# BEFORE THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TO THE

# CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

#### REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: THE LUXE HOTEL SUNSET BOULEVARD

11461 SUNSET BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

DATE: TUESDAY, MAY 3, 2011

3:30 P.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 88080

#### INDEX

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
1. CALL TO ORDER.	3
2. ROLL CALL.	3
3. UPDATE ON CONTRACTS AND INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS.	4
4. UPDATE ON GRANTS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.	6
5. CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENT TO AGREEMENT WITH KUTIR CORPORATION.	11
6. CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE INTERNAL GOVERNANCE POLICY AND ORGANIZATION CHART.	13
7. CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSAL TO FUND ANY SALARY AMOUNT FOR THE NEW CHAIR IN EXCESS OF \$150,000 FROM PRE-EXISTING DONOR FUNDS.	31
CLOSED SESSION	22
OPEN SESSION	
9. PUBLIC COMMENT.	NONE
10. ADJOURNMENT.	53

2

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MAY 3, 2011
2	3:46 P.M.
3	
4	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: DESPITE THE
5	EXTRAORDINARY TRAFFIC AND THE ROADBLOCKS TO GET
6	HERE, WHICH DEFY HUMAN COMPREHENSION, I'D LIKE TO
7	WELCOME EVERYONE TO THIS MEETING OF THE GOVERNANCE
8	SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE ICOC. WE'RE ACTUALLY ALL HERE
9	TOGETHER IN L.A. FOR THIS IN-PERSON MEETING. SO I
10	REALLY DO WANT TO THANK YOU ALL FOR COMING.
11	WE HAVE A NUMBER OF IMPORTANT ITEMS TO
12	COVER, AND WE ONLY HAVE ONE HOUR, AND WE'RE GOING TO
13	SAY THAT THE ONE HOUR STARTS AT 3:45 EVEN THOUGH WE
14	WERE SUPPOSED TO START AT 3:30, BUT I'VE BEEN
15	ASSURED THAT WE HAVE THE EXTRA TIME TO START OUR
16	REGULAR MEETING. BUT I STILL ASK ALL OF US TO MOVE
17	THROUGH THESE ITEMS AS EFFICIENTLY AS WE CAN. SO,
18	MELISSA, WILL YOU CALL THE ROLL.
19	MS. KING: BOB KLEIN.
20	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: HERE.
21	MS. KING: SHERRY LANSING.
22	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: HERE.
23	MS. KING: TED LOVE. PHIL PIZZO. CLAIRE
24	POMEROY.
25	DR. POMEROY: HERE.
	2

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

1	MS. KING: DUANE ROTH.
2	MR. ROTH: HERE.
3	MS. KING: DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL. JEFF
4	SHEEHY.
5	MR. SHEEHY: HERE.
6	MS. KING: OSWALD STEWARD.
7	DR. STEWARD: HERE.
8	MS. KING: ART TORRES.
9	MR. TORRES: HERE.
10	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU, MELISSA.
11	WE'RE GOING TO GO ON TO AGENDA ITEM NO. 3, WHICH IS
12	AN UPDATE ON THE CONTRACTS AND INTERAGENCY
13	AGREEMENTS. AND I'D LIKE TO ASK CYNTHIA SCHAFFER TO
14	PRESENT THIS ITEM.
15	MS. SCHAFFER: HI. I'M CYNTHIA SCHAFFER
16	AND THE CONTRACTS ADMINISTRATOR AT CIRM, AND I WANT
17	TO PRESENT THIS SUMMARY. THE CIRM POLICY ON
18	CONTRACTING, WHICH WAS REVISED LAST YEAR, CALLS FOR
19	CIRM STAFF TO SUBMIT A REPORT TO THE GOVERNANCE
20	SUBCOMMITTEE TWO TIMES A YEAR LISTING AGREEMENTS AND
21	AMENDMENTS EXECUTED IN THE REPORTING PERIOD FOR
22	AMOUNTS ABOVE \$20,000.
23	THIS ITEM IS A SUMMARY FOR THE FIRST THREE
24	QUARTERS OF CIRM'S 2010-2011 FISCAL YEAR FROM JULY
25	1, 2010, THROUGH MARCH 31, 2011. BECAUSE OF THE
	4
	4

1	AMENDMENTS TO THE CONTRACTING POLICY, WE'VE
2	REORGANIZED THE FORMATTING HERE AND TRIED TO BE
3	REALLY CLEAR THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT ONE-YEAR CONTRACT
4	PERIODS. THERE WERE STILL A COUPLE OF SPECIAL
5	ENTRIES WHERE THE CONTRACT PERIOD RUNS FARTHER OUT
6	INTO THE FUTURE. THOSE ARE NOTED WITH AN ASTERISK
7	TO INDICATE WHY THE AMOUNT AVAILABLE HASN'T BEEN
8	BROKEN OUT SPECIFICALLY JUST FOR THE FISCAL YEAR
9	'10-'11 BECAUSE THEY GO FORWARD IN TIME AND WEREN'T
10	EASILY DIVISIBLE INTO JUST THE FISCAL YEAR.
11	IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS I COULD ANSWER
12	ON THIS REPORT.
13	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ARE THERE ANY
14	QUESTIONS FROM THE SUBCOMMITTEE? DO WE HAVE ANY
15	PUBLIC COMMENTS? THANK YOU, THEN. THAT CONCLUDES
16	THIS ITEM, CYNTHIA? THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
17	I'D NOW LIKE TO MOVE TO AGENDA ITEM NO. 4,
18	WHICH IS AN UPDATE ON THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.
19	AND FOR THAT, I'D LIKE TO ASK JOHN ROBSON TO PRESENT
20	TO THE SUBCOMMITTEE.
21	MS. KING: JUST SO THE SUBCOMMITTEE
22	MEMBERS KNOW, THE SLIDES THAT DR. ROBSON WILL BE
23	PRESENTING ARE ON THE SCREEN. YOU ALSO HAVE A HARD
24	COPY OF THEM IN FRONT OF YOU.
25	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU, MELISSA.

1	DR. ROBSON: THANK YOU. I HAVE REPORTED
2	TO YOU ON OUR GRANTS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM PERIODICALLY.
3	I THINK LAST TIME WAS LAST AUGUST. AT THAT TIME WAS
4	SOON AFTER WE HAD MADE A DECISION NOT TO USE A
5	COMMERCIAL VENDOR AND TO BUILD OUR OWN SYSTEM. WE
6	HAD JUST HIRED AN I.T. DIRECTOR OR ADVISOR, AND WE
7	WERE REALLY GETTING STARTED WITH THE PROJECT. SO I
8	THOUGHT IT WAS APPROPRIATE TO COME BACK TO YOU TODAY
9	AND GIVE YOU AN UPDATE AS TO WHERE WE ARE, WHAT'S
10	HAPPENED IN THE LAST NINE MONTHS.
11	YOU'VE SEEN THESE THE NEXT TWO SLIDES
12	YOU'VE SEEN BEFORE. ACTUALLY ALL OF THE FIGURES I'M
13	GOING TO SHOW YOU YOU'VE SEEN BEFORE. AMY LEWIS
14	MADE A PRESENTATION ABOUT THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT
15	SYSTEM AND USED THESE, LENT THEM TO ME.
16	BUT THIS IS SORT OF A SUMMARY OF WHERE WE
17	WERE IN 2010 WHEN WE GOT STARTED WITH THIS PROJECT.
18	AND IT'S JUST SORT OF A FLOW DIAGRAM SHOWING HOW
19	INFORMATION COMES INTO THE SYSTEM. WE HAVE A MASTER
20	DATABASE, AND THEN IT GOES OUT TO MICROEDGE GIFTS
21	IS ACTUALLY A DATABASE THAT WE PURCHASED THAT WE
22	COULD USE FOR THE POSTAWARD PROCESS TO KEEP TRACK OF
23	OUR DATA AND TO PROVIDE ANALYSIS AS WE NEEDED TO
24	ABOUT OUR PORTFOLIO. IT'S A COMMERCIAL PRODUCT. IT
25	HAS SOME LIMITATIONS, BUT IT HAS SERVED US VERY WELL

1	S0	FAR
---	----	-----

IN THIS DIAGRAM EVERY PLACE YOU SEE A STAR
IS A PLACE WHERE A HUMAN BEING ACTUALLY HAD TO TOUCH
THE INFORMATION AND MAKE A TRANSFER OF INFORMATION
FROM ONE STEP TO THE NEXT. SO THESE ARE ALL QUITE
TIME CONSUMING. IT PROVIDES THE OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE
ERRORS AND SO FORTH. WHAT WE WERE TRYING TO MOVE TO
IS SHOWN IN THE NEXT SLIDE. THIS IS WHERE WE'RE
HEADED. IT'S A MUCH SIMPLER SYSTEM WITH A SINGLE
MASTER DATABASE SYSTEM THAT CAN TRANSFER INFORMATION
AUTOMATICALLY EITHER TO THE CIRM WEBSITE, WHICH WE
THINK IS VERY IMPORTANT FOR KEEPING THE WEBSITE UP
TO DATE, AND ALSO THEN CAN BE USED AS WE NEED FOR
FINANCIAL FORECASTING, STRATEGIC PLANNING, PORTFOLIO
ANALYSIS, WHATEVER WE THINK IS NECESSARY, WHATEVER
INFORMATION THE BOARD IS LOOKING FOR.

JUST TO SUMMARIZE WHERE WE ARE, THIS SLIDE KIND OF GIVES AN IDEA. YOU'VE SEEN THIS. THIS IS SORT OF A VERBAL DESCRIPTION OF THE GRANT-MAKING PROCESS THAT WE GO THROUGH. WHERE WE HAVE MADE THE MOST PROGRESS IN THE LAST YEAR IS IN THE PREREVIEW PART AND IN THE TRACKING THE PROGRESS, THE TWO BOXES THAT HAVE THE STARS NEXT TO THEM. THE STARS ARE WHERE WE'VE REALLY BUILT OUT NEW SYSTEMS.

NOW, THIS LOOKS LIKE A SMALL PART OF THE

1	PROCESS. ACTUALLY THIS IS A VERY LARGE PART OF THE
2	WHOLE PROCESS BECAUSE THIS IS WHERE THE GRANTEES
3	ACTUALLY INTERFACE WITH THE SYSTEM, WHERE THEY'RE
4	PROVIDING DATA TO US. SO IN THE PREREVIEW THE PARTS
5	THAT WE HAVE NOW BUILT OUT AND WE ARE USING ARE THE
6	RECEIPT OF THE LETTERS OF INTENT, PREAPPLICATIONS,
7	AND FROM NOW ON APPLICATIONS. NOW, THIS DOESN'T
8	MEAN THESE PROJECTS ARE ALL COMPLETE. WHAT IT
9	MEANS IS WE HAVE BUILT OUT AN EXAMPLE FOR A
10	PREAPPLICATION REVIEW, FOR A LETTER OF INTENT, AND
11	FOR AN APPLICATION. BUT THEY'RE SORT OF THE
12	SIMPLEST FORM. SO THE APPLICATION THAT WE NOW ARE
13	DOING ONLINE IS THE ONE FOR THE DISEASE TEAM
14	PLANNING. THAT'S A RELATIVELY SIMPLE ONE, HAS A
15	VERY SIMPLE BUDGET.
16	AS WE GO FORWARD OVER THE NEXT 12 MONTHS,
17	FROM NOW ON ALL APPLICATIONS FROM START TO FINISH
18	WILL BE USED IN THE SYSTEM. SO WE WILL BUILD MORE
19	COMPLICATED COMPONENTS FOR THE APPLICATION PROCESS
20	AS WE'RE GOING FORWARD OVER THE NEXT 12 MONTHS. BUT
21	FROM NOW ON THE APPLICATIONS AS THEY COME IN WILL BE
22	DONE ONLINE. THE SAME THING IS TRUE FOR TRACKING.
23	WE'RE NOW DOING THE PROGRESS REPORTS ARE BEING DONE
24	ONLINE, AND THEN WE'RE GETTING UPDATES ON
25	PUBLICATION DISCLOSURES AND INTENTS OF

1	COMMERCIALIZATION. ANY KIND OF PROGRESS IN THAT
2	DIRECTION THAT CANDIDATES ARE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE TO
3	US, WE'RE NOW DOING THOSE THINGS ONLINE.
4	THE AREAS THAT ARE INDICATED BY THE
5	TRIANGLES THERE ARE AREAS WHERE WE HAVEN'T
6	COMPLETELY CONVERTED TO OUR NEW SYSTEM, BUT WE'RE
7	STORING THE DATA IN THE SYSTEM HAVING TO DO WITH THE
8	REVIEW PROCESS AND SO FORTH. SO WE'VE MADE QUITE A
9	BIT OF PROGRESS, I THINK, IN THE LAST NINE MONTHS,
10	REALLY A LOT OF PROGRESS. GETTING THE FIRST
11	APPLICATION ONLINE WAS A REALLY BIG DEAL THING FOR
12	US.
13	AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO BUILD THE SYSTEM
14	OUT IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS. WE HAVE FOUR MASTER
15	PROGRAMMERS WHO ARE WORKING ON THIS PROJECT. WITH
16	THOSE FOUR PROGRAMMERS, AND ASSUMING WE DON'T HAVE
17	ANY NEW PROCESSES OR NEW PROGRAMS THAT COME INTO THE
18	SYSTEM THAT WE DON'T KNOW ABOUT YET, WE EXPECT TO
19	HAVE OUR BASIC SYSTEM COMPLETE BY JUNE OF NEXT YEAR.
20	THE FULL THING WOULD BE COMPLETE AT THAT POINT. IF
21	THERE'S SOME CHANGES IN FACT, WE DID LOSE ONE OF
22	OUR PROGRAMMERS RECENTLY, SO WE'RE IN THE PROCESS OF
23	BRINGING A NEW ONE IN. SO THERE COULD BE SOME
24	DELAYS IF THOSE THINGS HAPPEN, BUT THAT'S WHERE WE
25	THINK WE ARE RIGHT NOW AND WHERE WE'RE HEADED.

I CAN ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU HAVE OR
JUST MOVE ON.
CHAIRPERSON LANSING: DO WE HAVE ANY
QUESTIONS FROM THE SUBCOMMITTEE? DO WE HAVE ANY
PUBLIC COMMENT?
DR. TROUNSON: SHERRY, I JUST WANT TO
RECOGNIZE AMY LEWIS AND HER TEAM WHO PUT INCREDIBLE
AMOUNT OF ENERGY AND TIME INTO THIS. AND IT'S
REALLY IMPORTANT TO GET IT UP ONLINE AND TO HAVE IT
FUNCTION ONLINE FOR THE GRANTEES. WE SAW WHAT
HAPPENED IN AUSTRALIA WHERE A SYSTEM STOPPED
FUNCTIONING FOR A GRANT ROUND, AND FOR TWO MONTHS
THE WHOLE THING COLLAPSED AND IT WAS TOTAL CHAOS IN
THE WHOLE OF AUSTRALIA FOR THE NH AND MRC GRANTS.
SO I THINK TO GET THIS IN PLACE WHERE IT'S
NOW FUNCTIONING, THE FEEDBACK FROM THE SCIENTISTS IS
EXTREMELY POSITIVE. THEY FEEL VERY COMFORTABLE IN
THIS PROCESS. WE DON'T HAVE THE KIND OF CRAZY
DELIVERY TIMES THAT WE USED TO HAVE. IN THE
SO-CALLED OLD DAYS, THEY USED TO RUSH TO THE DOOR
AND IN FIVE MINUTES BEYOND FIVE MINUTES, EVEN IF
THE DELIVERY VAN WAS LATE, IT WAS AN ISSUE WHICH HAD
TO BE DEALT WITH AS AN ISSUE. NOW IT GETS DONE
ONLINE AND IT GETS DONE EASILY.
DR. ROBSON: I WOULD ADD IN THE DOCUMENTS
10

1	MENTIONED THERE THAT WE'RE BUILDING THIS AS
2	COMPONENTS, AND THEN WE RELEASE THEM WHEN THEY'RE
3	READY. AND AS WE'VE BEEN DOING THIS, WE'VE GOTTEN
4	VERY POSITIVE FEEDBACK FROM THE GRANTEES. THEY
5	REALLY LIKE THIS. IT'S MADE LIFE EASIER FOR THEM AS
6	WELL.
7	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO, DR. TROUNSON, IN
8	MENTIONING AMY LEWIS AND HER STAFF, AMY GAVE BIRTH
9	AT THE END OF LAST WEEK TO A BABY GIRL. AND I THINK
10	IT WOULD DEFINITELY CHEER HER SPIRITS, WHICH
11	HOPEFULLY ARE EBULLIENT ALREADY, IF YOU WILL JUST
12	SEND HER A NOTE THAT SHE WAS COMMENDED IN THIS
13	MEETING FOR THE DEDICATION OF HER STAFF AND HER
14	LEADERSHIP ON THIS PLAN THAT'S BEING PRESENTED.
15	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU. ANY
16	OTHER PUBLIC COMMENTS? THEN I BELIEVE THAT
17	CONCLUDES THIS ITEM. SO THANK YOU AGAIN VERY MUCH,
18	JOHN.
19	MOVING ON TO AGENDA NO. 5, WHICH IS
20	CONSIDERATION OF THE AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT WITH
21	KUTIR CORPORATION. AND, CYNTHIA, AGAIN, WOULD YOU
22	PRESENT THIS?
23	MS. SCHAFFER: IN JUNE CIRM RELEASED A
24	REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR SYSTEMS ANALYSIS AND
25	SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES, RECEIVED A NUMBER OF

1	RESPONSES. WE AWARDED A CONTRACT TO KUTIR
2	CORPORATION TO PROVIDE US WITH ONE SOFTWARE
3	DEVELOPER. AND THEN IN NOVEMBER WE REQUESTED TO ADD
4	A SECOND SOFTWARE DEVELOPER TO THE KUTIR AGREEMENT.
5	WE ARE NOW SEEKING AN ADDITIONAL 45,000 TO
6	THIS CONTRACT TO INCREASE THE TOTAL TO 290,000 OVER
7	THIS FISCAL YEAR TO FINISH OUT THE YEAR WITH THE TWO
8	DEVELOPERS ON THE KUTIR CONTRACT.
9	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ALL RIGHT. ARE
10	THERE ANY QUESTIONS FROM THE SUBCOMMITTEE? I DON'T
11	THINK WE NEED PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS. SO CAN I HAVE
12	A MOTION TO APPROVE THIS?
13	MR. TORRES: SO MOVED.
14	DR. POMEROY: SECOND.
15	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DO WE HAVE A QUORUM?
16	MS. KING: WE DO.
17	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: NOW I WOULD LIKE
18	PUBLIC COMMENT. WE NEED TO HAVE A VOICE VOTE. ALL
19	IN FAVOR.
20	(CHORUS OF AYES.)
21	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ANY OPPOSED? GREAT.
22	THEN THAT AMENDMENT CARRIES.
23	NOW AGENDA ITEM 6, WHICH JAMES HARRISON
24	WILL LEAD US THROUGH, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF
25	AMENDMENTS TO THE INTERNAL GOVERNANCE POLICY.

1	JAMES.
2	MR. HARRISON: THANK YOU, SHERRY. YOU
3	WILL FIND A PROPOSED REORGANIZATION PLAN BEHIND TAB
4	6 OF YOUR MATERIALS. CONSISTENT WITH THE BOARD'S
5	EVALUATION OF THE PRESIDENT, THE CHAIR AND THE
6	PRESIDENT HAVE SPENT THE LAST SIX MONTHS OR SO
7	MEETING TO DISCUSS PROPOSED CHANGES TO CIRM'S
8	ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE, INCLUDING THE CREATION OF
9	A NEW POSITION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OR
10	DIRECTOR OF FINANCE, AND A NEW POSITION OF PUBLIC
11	COMMUNICATIONS DIRECTOR.
12	INITIALLY WE HAD PLANNED TO PRESENT THESE
13	PROPOSED CHANGES TO YOU AS CHANGES TO THE INTERNAL
14	GOVERNANCE POLICY, BUT WE RECOGNIZED THAT TRYING TO
15	PUT ALL OF THESE CHANGES IN FRONT OF YOU AT ONCE
16	WITH STRIKEOUT LANGUAGE IN THE INTERNAL GOVERNANCE
17	POLICY MIGHT CREATE SOME CONFUSION. SO INSTEAD WE
18	THOUGHT IT WOULD BE CLEANER TO PRESENT THE CONCEPTS
19	TO YOU FIRST; AND THEN, BASED UPON YOUR
20	RECOMMENDATION AND THE BOARD'S ACTION, WE WILL
21	INCORPORATE THE CHANGES YOU DIRECT US TO MAKE INTO
22	THE INTERNAL GOVERNANCE POLICY AND BRING THAT
23	DOCUMENT BACK TO YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION AND THEN
24	ULTIMATELY TO THE BOARD FOR ITS APPROVAL.
25	TURNING TO THE REORGANIZATION PROPOSAL

1	ITSELF, AS ALL OF YOU KNOW, THE CHAIR AND THE
2	PRESIDENT EACH HAVE STATUTORY RESPONSIBILITIES IN
3	THE AREA OF FINANCE. AND GENERALLY SPEAKING, THE
4	CHAIR HAS STATUTORY RESPONSIBILITY FOR EXTERNAL
5	FINANCE, INCLUDING BOND FINANCING, CASH FLOW, AND
6	MAXIMIZING FINANCIAL LEVERAGE OPPORTUNITIES, WHILE
7	THE PRESIDENT, GENERALLY SPEAKING, HAS STATUTORY
8	RESPONSIBILITY FOR CIRM'S INTERNAL FINANCES,
9	PREPARING AND PRESENTING CIRM'S BUDGET, AND PLANNING
10	AND IMPLEMENTING CIRM'S COST CONTROL PROGRAMS.
11	CURRENTLY, AS ALL OF YOU KNOW, WE HAVE A
12	VACANT FINANCE POSITION WITHIN THE OFFICE OF THE
13	CHAIR THAT HAS BEEN VACANT FOR SOME TIME. AND IN
14	ADDITION, JOHN ROBSON, OUR CURRENT VP FOR
15	OPERATIONS, HAS ANNOUNCED HE WILL BE LEAVING CIRM.
16	BOTH OF THESE POSITIONS UNDER THE PROPOSED
17	REORGANIZATION PLAN WOULD BE ELIMINATED, AND INSTEAD
18	WE WOULD CREATE A NEW POSITION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL
19	OFFICER TO CARRY OUT THESE RESPONSIBILITIES.
20	THIS INDIVIDUAL WOULD REPORT JOINTLY TO
21	THE CHAIRMAN AND THE PRESIDENT AND WOULD SUPPORT THE
22	CHAIRMAN AND THE PRESIDENT IN CARRYING OUT THE
23	FUNCTIONS ASSIGNED TO THEM IN PROP 71 AS FURTHER
24	DELINEATED IN THE DOCUMENT YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU.
25	THE OTHER SIGNIFICANT CHANGE THAT WE WOULD

1	PROPOSE DEALS WITH COMMUNICATIONS. THE CHAIR AND
2	THE PRESIDENT HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY EACH HAVE A
3	ROLE WITH RESPECT TO COMMUNICATIONS, WITH THE CHAIR
4	FOCUSED ON PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS AND THE PRESIDENT
5	FOCUSED ON SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATIONS.
6	TO IMPLEMENT THIS, WE PROPOSE TO ESTABLISH
7	A NEW POSITION OF PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS THAT WOULD
8	REPORT TO THE CHAIR AND THE STATUTORY VICE CHAIR.
9	AND SCIENCE REPORTING AND EDUCATION WOULD CONTINUE
10	TO BE HANDLED THROUGH STAFF REPORTING TO THE
11	PRESIDENT, AND THE CHAIR AND THE PRESIDENT WOULD BE
12	RESPONSIBLE FOR JOINTLY REPORTING TO THE
13	COMMUNICATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE WHICH THE BOARD
14	ESTABLISHED ABOUT A YEAR AGO NOW.
15	FINALLY, THE PROPOSAL INCLUDES A CHANGE
16	WITH RESPECT TO EMPLOYMENT DECISIONS IN THE OFFICE
17	OF THE CHAIR. CURRENTLY STAFF IN THE OFFICE OF THE
18	CHAIR REPORT TO THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR FOR WORK
19	ASSIGNMENTS, BUT THE PRESIDENT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR
20	EMPLOYMENT AND COMPENSATION DECISIONS. BY VESTING
21	THE CHAIR AND THE VICE CHAIR WITH AUTHORITY
22	REGARDING BOTH WORK ASSIGNMENTS AS WELL AS
23	EMPLOYMENT DECISIONS, WE WOULD ALIGN RESPONSIBILITY
24	AND ACCOUNTABILITY FOR THESE EMPLOYEES IN A SINGLE
25	PERSON.

1	THAT'S A BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSED
2	CHANGES. I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU
3	HAVE.
4	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ANY QUESTIONS FROM
5	THE SUBCOMMITTEE?
6	DR. POMEROY: MY QUESTION'S REALLY ABOUT
7	THE TIMING OF THIS. WE'RE ABOUT TO HIRE A NEW
8	CHAIR, AND OBVIOUSLY THE BEST ORGANIZATION WILL BE
9	DEPENDENT UPON THAT PERSON'S CHARACTERISTICS AND
10	SKILL SETS AND DESIRES. AND SO IT SEEMS A LITTLE
11	OFF TO ME TO DO THIS ONE MONTH BEFORE OR A COUPLE OF
12	MONTHS BEFORE WE WOULD BE HIRING A NEW CHAIR. AND I
13	THINK THAT IT'S VERY POSSIBLE THAT THERE WILL BE
14	SOME DESIRE FOR A REDISTRIBUTION OF RESPONSIBILITIES
15	WHEN WE FIND OUT THE SKILL SET OF THE NEW PERSON.
16	SO I GUESS I DON'T REALLY UNDERSTAND WHY THERE'S A
17	SENSE OF URGENCY AT THIS POINT.
18	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I'LL GO LAST. JEFF
19	AND THEN ART AND THEN I'LL RESPOND TO THAT.
20	MR. SHEEHY: YOU KNOW, WHAT I'D LIKE TO
21	REQUEST IS THAT WE GO INTO CLOSED SESSION FOR
22	PERSONNEL BECAUSE THAT'S WHERE I THINK THIS
23	DISCUSSION NEEDS TO START.
24	MR. TORRES: I WOULD CONCUR WITH JEFF
25	BECAUSE THIS IS NOTHING NEW. WE'VE BEEN HEARING
	16

1	ABOUT THIS SINCE DECEMBER.
2	MR. SHEEHY: YEAH. I REALLY THINK WE
3	SHOULD GO INTO CLOSED SESSION IF WE'RE GOING TO
4	DISCUSS THIS IS NOT
5	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I WOULD THEN LIKE TO
6	GO ON THE RECORD JUST TO SAY WHAT I HAVE TO SAY, AND
7	WE CAN TAKE A VOTE IF WE WANT TO GO INTO CLOSED
8	SESSION. THE REASON THAT I THINK THIS IS A GOOD
9	IDEA IS THAT, AND I THINK ACTUALLY WE PREFACED ALL
10	OF THIS BY SAYING THAT WHEN WE KNEW WHO THE NEW
11	CHAIR WAS, DEPENDING ON HIS OR HER SKILL SET,
12	WORKING WITH THE PRESIDENT, WE WOULD BE FLEXIBLE AND
13	ADOPT THIS ADAPT THIS SITUATION. BUT I THINK
14	THAT WE HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THIS FOR A LONG TIME,
15	AND WE HAVE BEEN SAYING THIS IS WHAT WE THOUGHT. WE
16	ALL HAD MANY MEETINGS AND CAME TO THESE CONCLUSIONS.
17	I THINK WHAT WE'RE REALLY JUST TRYING TO
18	DO IS MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THIS IS WHAT WE'RE
19	GENERALLY THINKING OF TO THE PEOPLE THAT MIGHT BE
20	INTERESTED IN THE JOB OR THE PEOPLE THAT ARE
21	EXPLORING THE JOB OR EVEN TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL
22	OFFICERS. I THINK THE MINUTE WE KNOW WHO HE OR SHE
23	IS THERE WAS ACTUALLY A PARAGRAPH PRECEDING THIS
24	THAT JUST SAID THIS IS WHERE WE ARE TODAY. WE
25	MAINTAIN THE RIGHT TO BE FLEXIBLE WHEN WE KNOW WHO

THE PERSON IS, AND IT'S ALL DEPENDENT ON THE WORKING
RELATIONSHIP WITH THE PRESIDENT AND IT WILL ADAPT AS
ACCORDINGLY.
BUT I THINK THERE'S PEOPLE ARE ASKING
QUESTIONS, AND WE SORT OF WANT TO BE ABLE TO SAY
THIS IS WHAT WE THOUGHT OF, BUT YOUR SKILL SET WILL
MAKE US BE MORE FLEXIBLE OR YOU FIT PERFECTLY INTO
THIS SKILL SET. SO DUANE.
MR. ROTH: I HAVE TO SAY I'M EXACTLY WHERE
CLAIRE WAS. I READ THIS AND THOUGHT AFTER A COUPLE
OF YEARS OF TRYING TO FIGURE THIS OUT, WHY SIX MORE
WEEKS UNTIL WE FIND OUT WHO THAT CHAIR IS AND THEN
HAVE A DISCUSSION WOULDN'T BE MORE APPROPRIATE. I'M
NOT SAYING I'M OPPOSED TO WHAT'S IN HERE. I THINK
IT'S ALREADY LAID OUT. AND I WOULD VERY MUCH
RECOMMEND THAT WE DELAY THIS UNTIL WE HAVE A NEW
CHAIR IN SIX WEEKS AND THEN CONSIDER EVERYTHING
ABOUT THAT PERSON'S SKILL SET. LET THEM GIVE US
SOME OPINION ON THIS AND THEN MAKE A FINAL
DETERMINATION.
CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OBVIOUSLY WE'RE
GOING TO DEFER TO THE MAJORITY. BOB.
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I THINK THAT, AS IT SAYS
IN THE INTRODUCTION, SHERRY, THAT YOU REFERENCED, IT
IS QUITE APPROPRIATE TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT WHEN WE
18

1	HAVE A NEW CHAIR HOW THAT PARTICULAR SKILL SET
2	RELATES TO THESE RESPONSIBILITIES. BUT IT'S
3	IMPORTANT, I THINK, TO BE ABLE TO COMMUNICATE IN A
4	FORMAL WAY WHERE WE ARE AS A STARTING POINT. WHAT
5	WE'VE GONE THROUGH, THE YEAR'S WORTH OF EVALUATION
6	OF THE CHAIR, EVALUATION OF THE PRESIDENT. WE HAD A
7	NUMBER OF DISCUSSIONS TO TRY AND GET TO THIS POINT
8	TO WHERE THE BOARD FELT THAT WE LAID OUT CERTAIN
9	PRINCIPLES. I HAD NUMEROUS MEETINGS WITH THE
10	PRESIDENT.
11	THE OUTCOME WILL NEVER BE TRULY IDEALIZED
12	UNTIL, AS CLAIRE SAYS, DR. POMEROY SAYS, WE HAVE THE
13	ABILITY TO REALLY GET SOME EXPERIENCE BETWEEN THE
14	PRESIDENT AND THE CHAIR WORKING TOGETHER. IT'S NOT
15	THAT THE CHAIR IS GOING SHOW UP ON THE 22D AND ON
16	THE 23D THEY'RE GOING TO KNOW HOW THEY INTERACT.
17	THEY'RE GOING TO NEED THREE OR FOUR MONTHS OR SO OF
18	INTERACTION TO BE ABLE TO IDEALIZE AND REFINE THESE.
19	BUT WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO FOR THE INTERIM? THEY
20	HAVE AN OPERATING POSITION FOR YOUR INTERIM. YOU
21	CAN'T HAVE A VACUUM.
22	SO THIS CREATES AN OPERATING POSITION FOR
23	THE INTERIM WHILE THEY GAIN EXPERIENCE OF WORKING
24	WITH EACH OTHER AND CAN COME BACK. WHEN THEY COME
25	BACK, I WOULD GUESS THE BOARD WILL WANT TO HAVE AN

1	EXECUTIVE SESSION AND MEET WITH THEM AND DISCUSS
2	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: HOW THEY WANT TO
3	MODIFY WHAT WE WE HAD ORIGINALLY AGREED TO THIS.
4	I THINK ALL THAT BOB AND I, AND, AGAIN, WE CAN TAKE
5	A VOTE. IF NOBODY WANTS TO DO THIS, WE'RE NOT GOING
6	TO DO THIS. BUT I THINK AT LEAST WHAT, BOB, YOU'RE
7	OUTLINING MY THINKING, WAS JUST AS A STARTING POINT.
8	IT'S A FLEXIBLE AGREEMENT. IT'S WHAT WE HAD THOUGHT
9	OF BEFORE WE KNEW WHO THE INDIVIDUAL WAS, WHAT WE'VE
10	BEEN THINKING OF FOR QUITE A LONG TIME. THEN THE
11	TWO INDIVIDUALS, MEANING THE PRESIDENT AND THE
12	CHAIR, GET TOGETHER AND WORK IT OUT AND COME BACK TO
13	US AND SAY THIS IS HOW WE WANT TO DO IT AND ADJUST
14	TO IT. I'M JUST AFRAID IF WE DON'T HAVE SOMETHING,
15	THAT WE COULD HAVE CHAOS.
16	MR. SHEEHY: I HAVE TO SAY IT'S REALLY NOT
17	FAIR FROM MY PERSPECTIVE TO CONTINUE THIS DISCUSSION
18	IN OPEN SESSION.
19	DR. POMEROY: DO WE HAVE A MOTION? MAKE A
20	MOTION TO GO INTO CLOSED SESSION.
21	MR. SHEEHY: SHOULD I MAKE MY COMMENTS
22	THAT ARE INAPPROPRIATE IN PUBLIC SESSION
23	DR. POMEROY: MAKE A MOTION.
24	MR. SHEEHY: BECAUSE THIS IS PART OF A
25	WHOLE PROCESS THAT ORIGINATED IN CLOSED SESSION AS A
	20

1	PERSONNEL ISSUE. AND I THINK IT'S VERY UNFAIR TO
2	KIND OF JUST DIVORCE THAT ASPECT OF IT FROM THIS
3	PRESENT DISCUSSION AND TO EITHER PUT ME I DON'T
4	THINK
5	DR. POMEROY: SINCE JEFF ISN'T MAKING A
6	MOTION, MAY I MAKE A MOTION TO GO INTO CLOSED
7	SESSION?
8	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I'LL SECOND THE MOTION.
9	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ALL IN FAVOR. SO
10	WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE THIS DISCUSSION IN CLOSED
11	SESSION. DOES THAT MEAN I HAVE TO ASK FOR PUBLIC
12	COMMENT AS WELL?
13	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: NO.
14	MS. KING: YOU DON'T HAVE TO BECAUSE
15	THERE'S NOT A MOTION ON THE TABLE.
16	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. NOW LET'S GO
17	TO AGENDA ITEM 7. WE'LL GO INTO CLOSED SESSION
18	AFTER AGENDA ITEM 7?
19	MS. KING: I THINK NOW.
20	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LET'S GO INTO CLOSED
21	SESSION NOW, AND WE'LL COME BACK FOR AGENDA ITEM 7.
22	MR. HARRISON: FOR THE RECORD, THE
23	SUBCOMMITTEE WILL BE CONVENING IN CLOSED SESSION
24	PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 11126 AND HEALTH
25	AND SAFETY CODE SECTION 125290.30(F)(3)(D) TO
	21

1	DISCUSS PERSONNEL.
2	(THE COMMITTEE THEN WENT INTO CLOSED
3	SESSION, NOT REPORTED NOR HEREIN TRANSCRIBED.)
4	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WE'RE OFFICIALLY
5	BACK FROM OUR PRIVATE SESSION. SO, JAMES, YOU'VE
6	GIVEN US THE ITEM. AND I WOULD LIKE TO GO BACK TO A
7	MOTION TO APPROVE. DO I HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE
8	THIS ITEM?
9	MR. TORRES: SO MOVED.
10	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DO I HAVE A SECOND?
11	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SECOND.
12	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. NOW, DO I
13	HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENTS?
14	MR. HARRISON: JUST TO BE CLEAR, THE
15	MOTION, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, IS TO RECOMMEND BOARD
16	APPROVAL OF THIS CONCEPT AND REQUEST STAFF TO COME
17	BACK TO THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND THE BOARD
18	WITH THE ACTUAL CHANGES IN THE INTERNAL GOVERNANCE
19	POLICY.
20	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THAT IS CORRECT. SO
21	DO I HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENTS? THEN I SHOULD CALL
22	FOR A VOICE VOTE OR ROLL CALL.
23	MS. KING: YOU CAN DO A VOICE VOTE SINCE
24	WE'RE HERE, BUT YOU MAY WANT TO DO A ROLL CALL.
25	THAT'S UP TO YOU.

1	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CLAIRE HAS A COMMENT
2	BEFORE THE VOTE.
3	DR. POMEROY: SO BECAUSE I'M CONCERNED
4	ABOUT HIRING PEOPLE WITHOUT KNOWING WHO THE NEW
5	CHAIR IS, I'D LIKE TO MAKE A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT THAT
6	WE ADOPT THIS, BUT THAT NO HIRING TAKE PLACE UNTIL
7	THE NEW CHAIR IS IN PLACE.
8	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CAN I HAVE SOME
9	DISCUSSION AMONG THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS?
10	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THAT WOULD CERTAINLY I
11	WANT TO MAKE IT VERY CLEAR MY VIEW, AND I'VE TALKED
12	TO SENATOR TORRES, WHO CAN ALSO SPEAK TO HIS VIEW,
13	IS THAT NO HIRING SHOULD TAKE PLACE UNTIL THERE IS A
14	NEW CHAIR. SO I'M VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THE INTENT OF
15	THIS POSITION. AND I WOULD COMMIT THAT THAT IS
16	EXACTLY I WOULD BE BOUND BY THAT INTENT.
17	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ART, DO YOU WANT TO
18	COMMENT?
19	SO WHAT WE ARE DOING THEN IS WE ARE
20	APPROVING THE ITEM DISCUSSION. AND BECAUSE OF THE
21	FACT THAT WE WILL HAVE A NEW CHAIR WITHIN SIX WEEKS,
22	BOTH THE TWO PEOPLE WHO HAVE THE CHAIR AND THE VICE
23	CHAIR WHO HAVE THE ABILITY, ART, DO YOU NEED TO
24	DUANE, DO YOU ALSO NEED TO AGREE?
25	MR. ROTH: NO, I DON'T.
	23

1	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: HAVE THE ABILITY
2	TO HIRE HAVE SAID THAT THEY THINK IT WOULD BE
3	INAPPROPRIATE TO HIRE ANYONE TILL WE KNEW WHO THE
4	NEW CHAIR WAS. WE CAN CERTAINLY POST THE POSITION
5	AND CERTAINLY LET PEOPLE KNOW THAT IT'S OUT THERE.
6	SO DO I HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
7	DR. POMEROY: SHERRY, JUST ONE. I WANT TO
8	THANK BOB AND ART FOR AGREEING TO THIS. AND I JUST
9	WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR TO EVERYBODY THAT THE
10	IMPLICATION OF THIS IS THAT THIS WILL THEN BE, AS
11	THIS PROPOSES, A DISCUSSION BETWEEN THE CHAIR AND
12	THE PRESIDENT BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT'S IN THIS
13	DOCUMENT.
14	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES.
15	DR. STEWARD: I'M NOT GOING TO GO TO THE
16	MAT. I JUST WONDER WHETHER WE REALLY NEED THIS AS A
17	FORMAL AMENDMENT. AS LONG AS WE HAVE AN
18	UNDERSTANDING, MAYBE THAT IS ENOUGH.
19	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IT'S NOT A FORMAL
20	AMENDMENT. THERE'S NO MOTION AND SECOND.
21	DR. POMEROY: THERE'S A MOTION, BUT NO
22	SECOND.
23	DR. STEWARD: THERE'S NO SECOND. FINE.
24	IF IT'S NOT A FORMAL AMENDMENT, THEN I'M FINE WITH
25	IT. THE ONLY REASON IS THAT ONE COULD IMAGINE
	2.4

1	CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE YOU MIGHT ACTUALLY HAVE A
2	SUBSTANTIAL DELAY IN HIRING THE CHAIR, FOR EXAMPLE.
3	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I WON'T BE HERE, SO I CAN
4	COMMIT.
5	DR. STEWARD: YOU WON'T BE HERE, BUT MAYBE
6	SOMEBODY ELSE WILL BE.
7	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THAT WILL GO TO THE
8	BOARD.
9	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THERE SEEMS TO BE
10	SOME OPPOSING POINTS OF VIEW. CLAIRE HAS MADE A
11	MOTION, A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO THE MOTION, I GUESS
12	IS WHAT WE WOULD CALL IT, BUT IT NEEDS A SECOND.
13	DOES ANYONE WANT TO SECOND?
14	MR. ROTH: DOES IT NEED A SECOND, OR ARE
15	YOU JUST ASKING THE MAKERS OF THE MOTION? I DON'T
16	KNOW WHICH TAKES PRECEDENT.
17	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I ACTUALLY DON'T
18	KNOW WHAT I'M SUPPOSED TO DO, TO BE HONEST WITH YOU.
19	MR. HARRISON: THERE ARE TWO APPROACHES.
20	UNDER THE BOARD'S BYLAWS, IF THE MAKER AND THE
21	SECOND AGREE TO THE FRIENDLY AMENDMENT AS PART OF
22	THE MOTION, THEN A VOTE WILL BE TAKEN ON THE MOTION
23	AS AMENDED. IF THEY DO NOT AGREE, THEN THE PROPOSED
24	AMENDMENT GOES TO A VOTE FIRST FOLLOWED BY THE MAIN
25	MOTION.

1	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. DO THE MAKERS
2	OF THE FIRST AND THE SECOND AGREE TO THE FRIENDLY
3	AMENDMENT? THAT WOULD BE BOB.
4	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO IT APPEARS THAT
5	THERE'S INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE NOT SUPPORTIVE OF A
6	FORMAL AMENDMENT. I'M COMMITTING TO DO IT, SO I'D
7	PREFER IF WE COULD KEEP IT AS A FORMAL COMMITMENT
8	THAT BOTH ART AND I ARE MAKING, AND WE'LL MAKE IT TO
9	THE BOARD AS WELL IN FRONT OF THE BOARD IN ADDITION
10	TO THIS COMMITTEE. BUT THAT WOULD BE MY PREFERENCE
11	IF THAT WORKS.
12	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: NOT TO HAVE IT BE AN
13	AMENDMENT.
14	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: RIGHT.
15	MR. SHEEHY: AND I ALSO SUPPORT THE CHAIR.
16	I DON'T THINK IT NEEDS TO BE PART OF THE MOTION.
17	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I GOT IT. SO NOW I
18	NEED TO ASK FOR A VOTE ON NO.
19	MR. HARRISON: CLAIRE'S AMENDMENT WOULD
20	NEED A SECOND BEFORE A VOTE.
21	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IS THERE A SECOND?
22	MR. ROTH: CLAIRE, IF YOU STILL WANT TO DO
23	THAT, I WILL MAKE A SECOND.
24	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: DO I VOTE ON THAT
25	FIRST?
	26

	DANKISTERS REPORTING SERVICE
1	MR. HARRISON: YEAH. LET ME JUST CLARIFY
2	THE MOTION, AS I HAD UNDERSTAND IT, SO WE MAKE SURE
3	WE'RE ALL ON THE PAGE. THIS MOTION IS ON AN
4	AMENDMENT TO THE MAIN MOTION. AND AS I UNDERSTAND
5	THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT, IT WOULD BE TO ADD A
6	PROVISION TO THE MAIN MOTION DEFERRING HIRING THE
7	NEW POSITIONS CONTEMPLATED IN THE REORGANIZATION
8	PLAN UNTIL A NEW CHAIR IS ELECTED.
9	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. SO I THINK
10	I'M GOING TO NEED A ROLL CALL VOTE FOR THAT.
11	MS. KING: BOB KLEIN.
12	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: NO.
13	MS. KING: SHERRY LANSING.
14	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: NO.
15	MS. KING: CLAIRE POMEROY.
16	DR. POMEROY: YES.
17	MS. KING: DUANE ROTH.
18	MR. ROTH: YES.
19	MS. KING: JEFF SHEEHY.
20	MR. SHEEHY: NO.
21	MS. KING: OSWALD STEWARD.
22	DR. STEWARD: NO.
23	MS. KING: AND ART TORRES.
24	MR. TORRES: NO.
25	MS. KING: THAT MOTION FAILS.
	27
	<b>-</b> ·

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

1	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I JUST WANT TO
2	CLARIFY, BUT WE DO HAVE A COMMITMENT FROM THE CHAIR
3	AND THE VICE CHAIR THAT THEY WILL BE FREE TO POST
4	THE JOB, TO LET PEOPLE KNOW ABOUT IT, BUT THERE WILL
5	BE NO HIRING UNTIL SUCH TIME AS WE KNOW WHO THE
6	CHAIR IS, THE NEW CHAIR IS, WHICH WILL BE IN NO MORE
7	THAN SIX WEEKS FROM TODAY.
8	MR. ROTH: SO, SHERRY, CALL THE QUESTION
9	ON THE FIRST.
10	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YOU WANT TO
11	REPEAT YES.
12	MS. BAUM: I'M SORRY, BUT I HAD A QUICK
13	QUESTION BECAUSE I DO UNDERSTAND THAT IT WAS A JOINT
14	REPORT, SO ISN'T IT WASN'T CLEAR TO ME FROM THE
15	DOCUMENTATION THAT THAT MEANT THAT IT WAS ONCE OR
16	TWO POSITIONS SITTING SOLELY IN THE CHAIR'S OFFICE.
17	WOULDN'T THIS REQUIRE BOTH THE PRESIDENT AND THE
18	CHAIR'S OFFICE TO POST?
19	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THERE'S TWO THINGS HERE.
20	ONE, THE PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS OFFICERS IN THE
21	CHAIR'S OFFICE, THE CHAIR CERTAINLY, I WOULD EXPECT,
22	AND I THINK CLAIRE REFERENCED IT, WOULD BE
23	APPROPRIATELY ADVISED TO CONFER WITH THE PRESIDENT
24	BECAUSE THIS IS A PARTNERSHIP WITH THE PRESIDENT TO
25	MAKE SURE THAT THIS INDIVIDUAL IS GOING TO WORK WELL

1	WITH THE PRESIDENT AS WELL AS THE CHAIR.
2	THE DIRECT THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
3	IS A JOINT REPORT TO THE CHAIR AND THE PRESIDENT.
4	SO IT IS NOT ONLY APPROPRIATE, IT WOULD BE THE ONLY
5	LOGICAL THING TO DO TO MAKE CERTAIN THAT THE CHAIR
6	AND THE PRESIDENT REACH A CONSENSUS ON THIS CHIEF
7	FINANCIAL OFFICER BECAUSE IT'S SERVING BOTH INTERNAL
8	AND EXTERNAL NEEDS. AND THIS IS AN INDIVIDUAL THAT
9	NEEDS TO BE COMFORTABLE WITH BOTH THE CHAIR AND THE
10	PRESIDENT, AND BOTH THE PRESIDENT AND THE CHAIR NEED
11	TO BE COMFORTABLE WITH THE INDIVIDUAL.
12	MS. BAUM: THANK YOU.
13	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ALL RIGHT. SO I
14	HAVE A MOTION AND I HAVE A SECOND, SO I THINK DO
15	YOU WANT TO REPEAT THE MOTION?
16	MR. HARRISON: YES. THE MAIN MOTION IS TO
17	RECOMMEND BOARD APPROVAL OF THE REORGANIZATION PLAN
18	AND REQUEST THAT STAFF PRESENT THE NECESSARY
19	MODIFICATIONS TO THE INTERNAL GOVERNANCE POLICY TO
20	THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND THE BOARD FOR THEIR
21	CONSIDERATION.
22	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: GREAT.
23	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: MAY I CLARIFY. THIS IS
24	GOING TO GO TO THE BOARD TOMORROW.
25	MR. HARRISON: THIS WILL GO TO THE BOARD
	29
	·

	Diministra in ordina delivired
1	TOMORROW AND
2	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: RECOMMENDATION FOR THEIR
3	CONFIRMING ACTION.
4	MR. HARRISON: CORRECT. IF THE BOARD WERE
5	TO APPROVE, STAFF WOULD THEN COME BACK TO THE
6	GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE WITH THE AMENDMENTS TO THE
7	INTERNAL GOVERNANCE POLICY TO MAKE CONFORMING
8	CHANGES, AND THEN THOSE WOULD BE SENT OUT TO THE
9	BOARD FOR ITS APPROVAL.
10	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU.
11	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I THINK I NEED A
12	ROLL CALL VOTE ON THIS.
13	MS. KING: BOB KLEIN.
14	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YES.
15	MS. KING: SHERRY LANSING.
16	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES.
17	MS. KING: CLAIRE POMEROY.
18	DR. POMEROY: NO.
19	MS. KING: DUANE ROTH.
20	MR. ROTH: YES.
21	MS. KING: JEFF SHEEHY.
22	MR. SHEEHY: YES.
23	MS. KING: OSWALD STEWARD.
24	DR. STEWARD: YES.
25	MS. KING: AND ART TORRES.
	30
	JU

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

1	MR. TORRES: AYE.
2	MS. KING: FOR THE RECORD THAT MOTION
3	CARRIES.
4	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. WE WILL NOW
5	GO TO AGENDA ITEM NO. 7, WHICH IS THE CONSIDERATION
6	OF PROPOSALS TO FUND ANY SALARY AMOUNT FOR THE NEW
7	CHAIR IN EXCESS OF \$150,000 FROM PREEXISTING DONOR
8	FUNDS. BOB, WOULD YOU EXPLAIN THIS ITEM TO US?
9	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: CERTAINLY. THE STATE OF
10	CALIFORNIA, AS WE ALL KNOW, IS IN A PERIOD OF
11	FINANCIAL STRESS. IN FACT, ONE OF THE REASONS I'M
12	SERVING IN THIS EXTENDED PERIOD WITHOUT ANY SALARY
13	IS IN RECOGNITION OF THE FINANCIAL STRESS FOR THE
14	STATE. IT IS, HOWEVER, IMPORTANT THAT THE BOARD
15	HAVE THE CHOICE OF THE WHOLE RANGE OF INDIVIDUALS,
16	NOT JUST INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE INDEPENDENTLY WEALTHY
17	OR WHO HAVE ADEQUATE FINANCIAL RESOURCES TO PASS UP
18	A SALARY. WE DON'T WANT TO SEND A MESSAGE TO THE
19	CITIZENS OF THIS STATE THAT ONLY THE ELITE CAN
20	SERVE.
21	WE HAVE TREMENDOUS RESOURCES IN THIS STATE
22	FROM INDIVIDUALS WHOSE ENTIRE LIFE HAS BEEN IN
23	PUBLIC SERVICE WHO MAY NOT HAVE AGGREGATED MUCH IN
24	THE WAY OF ASSETS OR WHO HAVE CONTRIBUTED MASSIVE
25	AMOUNTS OF THEIR PROFESSIONAL TIME TO PUBLIC SERVICE
	21

E
1
S
T
T
,

1	TO CONTRIBUTE TO STEM CELL RESEARCH.
2	I REQUESTED SENATOR TORRES AND TED LOVE,
3	ASKED IF THEY WOULD JOIN ME IN A LETTER, WHICH I
4	SENT TO SHERRY LANSING ON MARCH 18TH PROPOSING THIS
5	WITH THAT PURPOSE IN MIND. AND SENATOR TORRES MAY
6	WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT.
7	MR. TORRES: YES. IT IS ALSO CONCEIVABLE
8	THAT, GIVEN THE SALARY RANGE WHICH WE APPROVED, I
9	BELIEVE, ON A 17-TO-4 VOTE PREVIOUSLY, THAT THE
10	SALARY COULD BE LESS THAN A HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND.
11	IT COULD BE THAT THE PROPOSED CHAIR MIGHT JUST WANT
12	TO WORK 50 PERCENT OF HIS OR HER TIME, AND THAT
13	WOULD MEAN A SALARY OF ABOUT A HUNDRED THIRTY-SEVEN
14	THOUSAND.
15	SO I THINK THE LEVEL THAT YOU RAISE IS
16	APPROPRIATE, AND I THINK THAT ISSUE HAS BEEN MET
17	WITH APPRECIATION AND SUPPORT BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL
18	OFFICERS THAT I HAVE SPOKEN TO.
19	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SO THEY ARE
20	SUPPORTIVE OF THIS?
21	MR. TORRES: NOT ALL OF THEM. I'M SAYING
22	THE ONES THAT I HAVE SPOKEN TO HAVE EXPRESSED.
23	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THAT'S GREAT. I'M
24	GLAD TO KNOW THAT. DO I HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FROM THE
25	SUBCOMMITTEE?
	22

MR. ROTH: YES. I'M NOT SURE WHAT YOU'RE
LOOKING FOR HERE. IS THIS AN ACTION ITEM THAT YOU
INTEND TO VOTE ON?
CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES.
MR. ROTH: SO IF OUR FORMER COLLEAGUE ED
PENHOET WERE HERE, HE WOULD, I'M SURE, REMIND US
THAT THESE FUNDS THAT ARE EXTERNAL THAT HAVE COME IN
THROUGH DONORS SHOULD BE TREATED REALLY, REALLY
CAREFULLY AND AS IF THAT WERE THE SAME THING. AND
IT SHOULD BE, I BELIEVE, KEPT FOR THINGS THAT WE
CAN'T DO THROUGH STATE-FUNDED ACTIVITIES THAT WE
NEED AND WE THINK THERE'S CLEAR EVIDENCE THAT WE
SHOULD SUPPORT SOMETHING, BUT WE'RE UNABLE TO DO IT
LEGALLY. AND, THEREFORE, I WOULD THINK THAT WE
SHOULD DEAL WITH THE SALARY FOR THE NEW CHAIR
STRAIGHT UP.
WE HAVE A RANGE. WE'RE ALLOWED TO PAY FOR
IT. AND I DON'T SEE WHY WE WOULD TAKE THIS APPROACH
AS IF IT WERE SOMEHOW EXCESSIVE AND WE'RE GOING TO
MAKE IT UP THROUGH INDEPENDENT SOURCES BECAUSE THE
RANGE IS NOT EXCESSIVE. AND, THEREFORE, WE'RE
ALLOWED TO USE THE FUNDS FOR THIS, AND WE OUGHT TO
BE ABLE TO MAKE THE CASE FOR IT ON THE BASIS OF WHO
THE NEW CHAIR IS.
AND, FINALLY, AND THIS IS JUST MAYBE
34

1	IT'S UNIMPORTANT, BUT THIS LETTER NOT ONLY WENT TO
2	SHERRY, BUT IT WENT TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS
3	AND IT WENT ON OUR LETTERHEAD AS IF THE BOARD HAD
4	DISCUSSED THIS. IT DID NOT. AND I, FOR ONE, WAS
5	NOT ASKED ANYTHING ABOUT THIS LETTER BEFORE IT WENT
6	OUT. AND SO I JUST THINK WE SHOULD BE CAREFUL WHEN
7	WE USE LETTERHEAD IF WE UNINTENDEDLY GIVE THE
8	IMPRESSION EVERYBODY IS IN AGREEMENT WITH THIS. I
9	THINK WE SHOULD NOT USE THESE FUNDS FOR THE SALARY
10	OF CHAIR. I THINK WE SHOULD PAY THE CHAIR WHAT THEY
11	REQUIRE, AND WE HAVE THE RANGE TO DO THAT.
12	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO, DUANE, YOU SHOULD
13	KNOW THE COMMUNICATION WITH THE CONSTITUTIONAL
14	OFFICERS SPECIFICALLY STATED THAT THIS HAD NOT YET
15	BEEN APPROVED BY THE BOARD. IT WAS ONLY BEING
16	SUBMITTED FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE GOVERNANCE
17	SUBCOMMITTEE AND THE BOARD. AND THAT UNTIL AND
18	UNLESS THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND THE BOARD
19	APPROVED THIS, THERE WOULD BE NO FORCE AND EFFECT OF
20	THIS. AND THAT WAS SPECIFICALLY COMMUNICATED.
21	MR. ROTH: IN THIS LETTER?
22	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AS A COVER TO THIS
23	LETTER. THE COMMUNICATIONS TO THOSE CONSTITUTIONAL
24	OFFICERS, I HAVE NOT SPOKEN TO ALL THE
25	CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS, AND, IN FACT, I'VE ONLY

1	SPOKEN TO THEIR STAFF BECAUSE I'M TRYING TO STAY ONE
2	STEP REMOVED IN THIS PROCESS, BUT I WILL TELL YOU
3	THAT THEIR STAFFS UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS
4	CONDITIONAL UPON THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE AND THE
5	BOARD'S APPROVAL.
6	MR. SHEEHY: SO I AGREE I AGREE WITH
7	DUANE. I MEAN I THINK WE'RE TRYING TO CURE WHAT'S
8	REALLY AN OPTICS PROBLEM WITH A BAND-AID. AND I
9	DON'T THINK THE PUBLIC IS GOING TO SEE THE
10	DIFFERENCE. IT'S STILL TO THEM GOING TO FEEL LIKE
11	GOVERNMENT MONEY. AND, YOU KNOW, AS A BOARD, WE'RE
12	GOING TO MAKE A DECISION ON WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO
13	SEE IN A CHAIR. WE'VE BEEN THROUGH THIS DISCUSSION
14	IN THE SUBCOMMITTEE BEFORE. THERE'S A DIFFERENCE OF
15	OPINION.
16	AND IF WE DECIDE TO GO IN THE DIRECTION
17	WHICH REQUIRES A BIG SALARY, I WOULD BE MUCH MORE
18	COMFORTABLE I'M GOING TO SUPPORT MY FELLOW BOARD
19	MEMBERS. I MEAN I THINK THAT I MADE MY POSITION
20	CLEAR. BUT IF A DECISION GOES A CERTAIN WAY, I
21	WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO GET UP AND SUPPORT THE
22	DECISION OF MY COLLEAGUES, WHATEVER THAT MAY BE.
23	AND I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO START PRETENDING LIKE
24	WHAT WE DECIDED TO DO IS SOMEHOW NOT RIGHT. AND I
25	THINK WE SHOULD JUST BE STRAIGHTFORWARD. IF WE END

1	UP WITH AN EXECUTIVE CHAIR WITH THE SKILL SET AND
2	THE SALARY REQUIREMENTS THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE
3	FOR AN EXECUTIVE CHAIR, THEN I THINK WE NEED TO GET
4	UP THERE AND ALL 29 OF US JUMP OFF THE BRIDGE
5	BECAUSE WE HAVE TO JUSTIFY WHAT WE'RE DOING. AND
6	SOMEHOW TO SAY THAT WE'VE DONE THIS WITH DONOR
7	MONEY, I THINK, COMMUNICATES TO PEOPLE THAT WE'RE
8	NOT PROUD OF THE WORK WE DO.
9	AND EVEN WHEN WE HAVE VOTES THAT I DON'T
10	AGREE WITH AND EVEN WHEN I LOSE, I'VE ALWAYS BEEN
11	PROUD OF THE WORK OF THIS BOARD AND OF THIS AGENCY.
12	SO I WOULD DEFEND WHATEVER SALARY WE COME UP WITH.
13	EVEN THOUGH I MAY NOT BE FOR IT WHEN WE VOTE ON IT,
14	I'LL DEFEND THE DECISIONS OF MY COLLEAGUES.
15	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: BOB.
16	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: JEFF, IT MAY HELP THE
17	CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS WHO WANT TO PROVIDE US WITH
18	A FULL RANGE OF APPLICANTS. AND SOME APPLICANTS
19	NEED FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE, OTHERS DON'T. SOME NEED
20	COMPENSATION, NOT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE, BUT
21	COMPENSATION FOR THE TREMENDOUS VALUE THEY WILL
22	CONFER TO THIS ORGANIZATION AND SOME DON'T. IT PUTS
23	THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICER IN A BETTER POSITION WHEN
24	THEY'RE TRYING TO CUT FROM DIFFERENT CATEGORIES.
25	I SPECIFICALLY TALKED TO THE DONORS ALONG

1	THE WAY ABOUT A VERY SIMILAR IDEA AT ONE POINT,
2	WHICH WAS SINCE WE WEREN'T ABLE TO PASS THROUGH
3	MERIT PAY INCREASES TO OUR STAFF BECAUSE OF STATE
4	CONSTRAINTS, USING PART OF THIS MONEY FOR MERIT PAY
5	FOR THE STAFF. IT'S NOT THAT WE'RE NOT PROUD OF THE
6	STAFF, AND IT'S NOT THAT WE DON'T BELIEVE THAT THEY
7	DESERVE MERIT PAY. IT'S BECAUSE WHILE WE'RE TRYING
8	TO BE PART OF AN OVERALL STATE ORGANIZATION WHERE
9	WE'RE SHOWING THAT WE'RE VERY AUSTERE WITH THE
10	STATE'S MONEY AND THAT THE ADDITIONAL MONEY FOR
11	MERIT AND FOR CONTRIBUTIONS WELL DESERVED IS
12	SOMETHING THAT WE'RE OBTAINING OUTSIDE FUNDS TO
13	COVER.
14	MANY OF THE FOUNDATIONS IN THE STATE
14 15	MANY OF THE FOUNDATIONS IN THE STATE ASSOCIATED WITH MEDICAL SCHOOLS PAY SIGNIFICANT
15	ASSOCIATED WITH MEDICAL SCHOOLS PAY SIGNIFICANT
15 16	ASSOCIATED WITH MEDICAL SCHOOLS PAY SIGNIFICANT  AMOUNTS OF MEDICAL SCHOOL SALARIES FOR LEADERSHIP
15 16 17	ASSOCIATED WITH MEDICAL SCHOOLS PAY SIGNIFICANT  AMOUNTS OF MEDICAL SCHOOL SALARIES FOR LEADERSHIP  OUT OF DONATIONS. THIS IS NOT A BRAND-NEW IDEA.
15 16 17 18	ASSOCIATED WITH MEDICAL SCHOOLS PAY SIGNIFICANT  AMOUNTS OF MEDICAL SCHOOL SALARIES FOR LEADERSHIP  OUT OF DONATIONS. THIS IS NOT A BRAND-NEW IDEA.  IT'S A WELL ESTABLISHED PRINCIPLE.
15 16 17 18	ASSOCIATED WITH MEDICAL SCHOOLS PAY SIGNIFICANT  AMOUNTS OF MEDICAL SCHOOL SALARIES FOR LEADERSHIP  OUT OF DONATIONS. THIS IS NOT A BRAND-NEW IDEA.  IT'S A WELL ESTABLISHED PRINCIPLE.  WE NEED TO ATTRACT THE BEST PEOPLE IN THE
15 16 17 18 19	ASSOCIATED WITH MEDICAL SCHOOLS PAY SIGNIFICANT  AMOUNTS OF MEDICAL SCHOOL SALARIES FOR LEADERSHIP  OUT OF DONATIONS. THIS IS NOT A BRAND-NEW IDEA.  IT'S A WELL ESTABLISHED PRINCIPLE.  WE NEED TO ATTRACT THE BEST PEOPLE IN THE  STATE TO THE MEDICAL SCHOOLS. AND YET WE'VE LIMITED
15 16 17 18 19 20	ASSOCIATED WITH MEDICAL SCHOOLS PAY SIGNIFICANT  AMOUNTS OF MEDICAL SCHOOL SALARIES FOR LEADERSHIP  OUT OF DONATIONS. THIS IS NOT A BRAND-NEW IDEA.  IT'S A WELL ESTABLISHED PRINCIPLE.  WE NEED TO ATTRACT THE BEST PEOPLE IN THE  STATE TO THE MEDICAL SCHOOLS. AND YET WE'VE LIMITED  HOW MUCH CAN BE PAID OUT OF STATE FUNDS, AND THE
115 116 117 118 119 220 221	ASSOCIATED WITH MEDICAL SCHOOLS PAY SIGNIFICANT AMOUNTS OF MEDICAL SCHOOL SALARIES FOR LEADERSHIP OUT OF DONATIONS. THIS IS NOT A BRAND-NEW IDEA. IT'S A WELL ESTABLISHED PRINCIPLE.  WE NEED TO ATTRACT THE BEST PEOPLE IN THE STATE TO THE MEDICAL SCHOOLS. AND YET WE'VE LIMITED HOW MUCH CAN BE PAID OUT OF STATE FUNDS, AND THE FOUNDATIONS ARE SUPPLEMENTING THOSE STATE FUNDS TO
115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223	ASSOCIATED WITH MEDICAL SCHOOLS PAY SIGNIFICANT AMOUNTS OF MEDICAL SCHOOL SALARIES FOR LEADERSHIP OUT OF DONATIONS. THIS IS NOT A BRAND-NEW IDEA. IT'S A WELL ESTABLISHED PRINCIPLE.  WE NEED TO ATTRACT THE BEST PEOPLE IN THE STATE TO THE MEDICAL SCHOOLS. AND YET WE'VE LIMITED HOW MUCH CAN BE PAID OUT OF STATE FUNDS, AND THE FOUNDATIONS ARE SUPPLEMENTING THOSE STATE FUNDS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO GET THE

1	COMMENT. YOU KNOW, THE STATE IS IN TERRIBLE SHAPE.
2	AND BECAUSE OF THAT, I THINK IT REQUIRES EVERY
3	STATE-FUNDED AGENCY, THE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM, THE CAL
4	STATE SYSTEM, WHATEVER, MORE AND MORE TO BE LOOKING
5	TO PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS IN ORDER TO ATTRACT
6	GOOD PROFESSORS, GOOD DEANS, GOOD CHANCELLORS, ETC.
7	AND I HAVE TO SAY THAT AS A REGENT, I'VE
8	BEEN VERY, VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THESE PUBLIC/PRIVATE
9	PARTNERSHIPS IN ORDER TO KEEP PEOPLE EMPLOYED THAT
10	WE THINK ARE TOP RATE SO THAT THEY'RE NOT GOING TO
11	GO TO PRIVATE INSTITUTIONS. AND I THINK THIS IS A
12	SIMILAR SITUATION. WE MAY HAVE A SALARY RANGE. WE
13	MAY BE ABLE TO DO IT; BUT IF WE HAVE A DONOR OR
14	DONOR FUNDS THAT ARE SUPPOSED TO BE USED FOR THAT
15	AND WE CAN TAKE SOME OF THAT MONEY AND USE IT FOR A
16	GRANT INSTEAD, I THINK IT SHOWS INGENUITY AND
17	ENTREPRENEURSHIP.
18	AND I ACTUALLY THINK THAT ASSUMING THAT
19	THAT'S WHAT IT WAS FOR, FOR THINGS THAT THE STATE
20	COULDN'T DO, I THINK IT GIVES US A WIDER CHOICE OF
21	APPLICANTS AND IS A SMART USE OF OUR BEING
22	ENTREPRENEURIAL IN THESE DIFFICULT TIMES.
23	FURTHER DISCUSSION?
24	MR. SHEEHY: AND, AGAIN, YOU KNOW, I
25	TOTALLY UNDERSTAND WHERE PEOPLE ARE COMING FROM, BUT
	20

1	IT DOES RAISE CONFLICTS ISSUES. PEOPLE WILL SAY
2	WHERE DID THE MONEY COME FROM? IS THERE ENOUGH
3	MONEY TO COVER THE ENTIRE SIX YEARS THAT THE
4	INDIVIDUAL MAY BE IN PLACE? I JUST
5	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: BUT YOU'RE VOTING ON
6	IT BEFORE YOU KNOW WHO THE INDIVIDUAL IS. SO YOU'RE
7	VOTING THE WAY THAT WE DID THIS RECENTLY AT THE
8	UC, FOR EXAMPLE, AND IT WAS, I THINK, POSITIVELY
9	RECEIVED I'M NOT GOING TO GO INTO THE DETAIL OF
10	THE INDIVIDUAL BUT WE GAVE IT TO THE JOB, NOT TO
11	THE PERSON. SO IN OTHER WORDS, WE DON'T KNOW WHO
12	THIS PERSON IS, SO YOU'RE GIVING IT TO A JOB. SO
13	THE INDIVIDUAL WHO'S GIVING THE DONOR HAS NO IDEA,
14	NOR DO WE AT THIS MOMENT, WE DON'T HAVE ONE
15	NOMINATION EVEN.
16	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WE ACTUALLY HAVE AN
17	ADVANTAGE OVER THAT SITUATION, SHERRY, AND THIS IS
18	THE ONLY WAY YOU CAN ACTUALLY DO IT IN CALIFORNIA IS
19	BECAUSE THIS MONEY WAS GIVEN FIVE YEARS EARLIER, THE
20	DONOR WHEN HE GAVE THIS HAD NO IDEA WHO THIS PERSON
21	WOULD EVER BE.
22	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: AND WE STILL DON'T
23	KNOW.
24	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: MY UNDERSTANDING, JAMES,
25	IS THAT PASSES EVERY CONFLICTS TEST WITHIN
	40

1	CALIFORNIA; IS THAT CORRECT?
2	MR. HARRISON: MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE
3	MOTION IS THAT WE WOULD ONLY USE PREEXISTING DONOR
4	FUNDS, IN OTHER WORDS, WE WOULD NOT DO ADDITIONAL
5	FUND RAISING IN ORDER TO PAY THESE COSTS.
6	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WE HAVE NO
7	CONFLICTS.
8	MR. SHEEHY: THAT'S HELPFUL. I'M AWARE.
9	MOST MAJOR INSTITUTION'S LEADERSHIP GETS A
10	PROFESSORSHIP, FOR INSTANCE, WHICH BRINGS WITH IT
11	SOME INCOME, BUT IT'S ASSOCIATED WITH THE POSITION.
12	SO I MAY ABSTAIN ON THIS ONE. I'M BACK AND FORTH ON
13	IT.
14	DR. POMEROY: I APPRECIATE JEFF'S GOING
15	BACK AND FORTH ON IT BECAUSE I'M GOING BACK AND
15 16	BACK AND FORTH ON IT BECAUSE I'M GOING BACK AND FORTH ON IT TOO. AND I AGREE THAT IN THE UNIVERSITY
16	FORTH ON IT TOO. AND I AGREE THAT IN THE UNIVERSITY
16 17	FORTH ON IT TOO. AND I AGREE THAT IN THE UNIVERSITY  OF CALIFORNIA, WE DO THIS FOR PROFESSORS, BUT I'M A
16 17 18	FORTH ON IT TOO. AND I AGREE THAT IN THE UNIVERSITY  OF CALIFORNIA, WE DO THIS FOR PROFESSORS, BUT I'M A  LITTLE WORRIED THAT THERE'S A DIFFERENCE FOR THE
16 17 18 19	FORTH ON IT TOO. AND I AGREE THAT IN THE UNIVERSITY  OF CALIFORNIA, WE DO THIS FOR PROFESSORS, BUT I'M A  LITTLE WORRIED THAT THERE'S A DIFFERENCE FOR THE  CHAIRMAN OF A BOARD OF A STATE AGENCY THAN THERE IS
16 17 18 19 20	FORTH ON IT TOO. AND I AGREE THAT IN THE UNIVERSITY  OF CALIFORNIA, WE DO THIS FOR PROFESSORS, BUT I'M A  LITTLE WORRIED THAT THERE'S A DIFFERENCE FOR THE  CHAIRMAN OF A BOARD OF A STATE AGENCY THAN THERE IS  FOR A PROFESSOR. THAT SEEMS LIKE USUALLY WHEN
16 17 18 19 20 21	FORTH ON IT TOO. AND I AGREE THAT IN THE UNIVERSITY  OF CALIFORNIA, WE DO THIS FOR PROFESSORS, BUT I'M A  LITTLE WORRIED THAT THERE'S A DIFFERENCE FOR THE  CHAIRMAN OF A BOARD OF A STATE AGENCY THAN THERE IS  FOR A PROFESSOR. THAT SEEMS LIKE USUALLY WHEN  YOU SEE PROFESSORS DO THIS, IT'S GIVEN TO SUPPORT
16 17 18 19 20 21	FORTH ON IT TOO. AND I AGREE THAT IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, WE DO THIS FOR PROFESSORS, BUT I'M A LITTLE WORRIED THAT THERE'S A DIFFERENCE FOR THE CHAIRMAN OF A BOARD OF A STATE AGENCY THAN THERE IS FOR A PROFESSOR. THAT SEEMS LIKE USUALLY WHEN YOU SEE PROFESSORS DO THIS, IT'S GIVEN TO SUPPORT THAT PERSON'S TIME TO DO A SPECIFIC THING. AND I
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FORTH ON IT TOO. AND I AGREE THAT IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, WE DO THIS FOR PROFESSORS, BUT I'M A LITTLE WORRIED THAT THERE'S A DIFFERENCE FOR THE CHAIRMAN OF A BOARD OF A STATE AGENCY THAN THERE IS FOR A PROFESSOR. THAT SEEMS LIKE USUALLY WHEN YOU SEE PROFESSORS DO THIS, IT'S GIVEN TO SUPPORT THAT PERSON'S TIME TO DO A SPECIFIC THING. AND I THINK MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT THESE DONATIONS WERE

1	MR. SHEEHY: HOW ABOUT WHAT ABOUT THIS,
2	AND JUST THROWING OUT AN IDEA. YOU KNOW, WHAT IF WE
3	JUST PUT THIS OUT THERE AS AN OPTION FOR THE BOARD
4	TO CONSIDER WHEN HIRING THE NEXT CHAIR? THIS SOUNDS
5	LIKE THIS IS HOW WE'RE GOING TO PAY THE CHAIR.
6	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: NO, NOT AT ALL. ALL
7	WE'RE SAYING IS THAT
8	MR. SHEEHY: SALARY REQUIREMENTS REACH
9	A
10	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IT'S AN OPTION.
11	THAT'S ALL IT IS. IT'S AN OPTION, TO FUND ANY
12	SALARY AMOUNT IN EXCESS OF 150,000.
13	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: BUT WE CAN MAKE IT CLEAR
14	THAT IT IS AN OPTION BECAUSE IF JEFF DOESN'T THINK
15	IT'S CLEAR, THEN IT SHOULD CLARIFY IT.
16	MR. SHEEHY: I CAN TELL YOU PERSONALLY
17	THAT IF WE DID DECIDE TO HIRE SOMEONE WHO WANTED AN
18	EXCESSIVE SALARY, WHICH IS WHAT I WOULD CONSIDER IT
19	TO BE IN SOME NUMBER, I PROBABLY WOULD RATHER PAY
20	THEM STRAIGHT OUT OF GOVERNMENT FUNDS.
21	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YOU HAVE THE OPTION
22	TO USE THIS IF YOU WISH.
23	MR. ROTH: WHY DO WE HAVE, THEN, TO TAKE A
24	POSITION ON IT TODAY? WE HAVE THE OPTION. IT'S
25	ALWAYS BEEN THERE. IT ALWAYS WILL BE.

1	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WELL, WE'RE TRYING TO
2	COMMUNICATE TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS THAT
3	THERE IS THAT OPTION. THEY DON'T NEED TO EXCLUDE
4	PEOPLE WHO SAY THEY MIGHT NEED MORE THAN A HUNDRED
5	FIFTY THOUSAND. AND THE GOVERNOR OR THE LIEUTENANT
6	GOVERNOR OR THE TREASURER OR OTHERS WOULDN'T WANT TO
7	PUT THEMSELVES IN A POSITION OF NOMINATING SOMEONE
8	WHO PER SE BY THE NOMINATION WOULD REQUIRE MORE
9	TAXPAYER FUNDS THAN OTHERWISE.
10	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THE WHOLE THING WAS
11	THAT IN EARLIER DISCUSSIONS WE ALWAYS SAID WE
12	WANTED LET'S SAY SOMEONE WE HIRE IS GOING TO GIVE
13	A HUNDRED PERCENT OF THEIR TIME, AND WE DIDN'T WANT
14	TO RESTRICT IT TO PEOPLE WHO WERE OF A HIGH INCOME
15	ALREADY. WE WANTED TO HAVE
16	MR. SHEEHY: I'M NOT HIGH INCOME. A
17	HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND IS A LOT OF MONEY TO ME.
18	DR. STEWARD: HAVING HEARD WHAT BOB JUST
19	SAID, IF THE MAJOR PURPOSE IS COMMUNICATING TO THE
20	CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS THE OPTION OF DOING THIS, IT
21	SEEMS LIKE WE ALMOST HAVE ALREADY DONE THAT. IT IS
22	OUR OPTION. WE CAN DO IT. I GUESS I WOULD BE MUCH
23	MORE COMFORTABLE NOT TAKING A STAND ON THIS AND
24	AUTHORIZING OR APPROVING SOMETHING AT THIS POINT.
25	I'M LIKE JEFF. I'M GOING BACK AND FORTH ON THIS.
	42

1	THERE'S PART OF THIS THAT JUST MAKES ME
2	UNCOMFORTABLE. AND IT JUST IF IT'S THERE AS AN
3	OPTION, FINE. TELL THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS
4	THAT, THAT THEY DON'T HAVE TO RESTRICT THEIR
5	NOMINATIONS, AND LET IT GO FOR NOW.
6	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO WE'LL NEED TO NOTICE
7	BEFORE YOU NEED TO HAVE A TEN-DAY NOTICE IN ORDER
8	TO HAVE MONEY AVAILABLE TO IT'S A SEPARATE
9	DECISION THAN HIRING THE CHAIR. AND WHEN WE GET TO
10	THAT DATE, RIGHT, I THINK WE'D ME MAKING AN
11	APPROPRIATION. SO YOU NEED TO AT LEAST HAVE THE
12	RECORD THAT IT IS AN OPTION SO YOU'RE EMPOWERED
13	AHEAD OF TIME IF YOU DECIDE TO ELECT THAT OPTION.
14	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ALAN.
15	DR. TROUNSON: I JUST THINK YOU NEED A
15 16	DR. TROUNSON: I JUST THINK YOU NEED A LITTLE BIT MORE INFORMATION. I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED
16	LITTLE BIT MORE INFORMATION. I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED
16 17	LITTLE BIT MORE INFORMATION. I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT THIS. THERE IS $2.1$ MILLION LEFT AFTER OUR
16 17 18	LITTLE BIT MORE INFORMATION. I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT THIS. THERE IS 2.1 MILLION LEFT AFTER OUR CURRENT COMMITMENTS TO IOM AND TO SENDING PATIENT
16 17 18 19	LITTLE BIT MORE INFORMATION. I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT THIS. THERE IS 2.1 MILLION LEFT AFTER OUR CURRENT COMMITMENTS TO IOM AND TO SENDING PATIENT ADVOCATES AND OTHERS TO THE MEETING. SO DOWN TO
16 17 18 19 20	LITTLE BIT MORE INFORMATION. I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT THIS. THERE IS 2.1 MILLION LEFT AFTER OUR CURRENT COMMITMENTS TO IOM AND TO SENDING PATIENT ADVOCATES AND OTHERS TO THE MEETING. SO DOWN TO 2.1. AND SO THIS WOULD BE A PRETTY BIG COMMITMENT
16 17 18 19 20	LITTLE BIT MORE INFORMATION. I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT THIS. THERE IS 2.1 MILLION LEFT AFTER OUR CURRENT COMMITMENTS TO IOM AND TO SENDING PATIENT ADVOCATES AND OTHERS TO THE MEETING. SO DOWN TO 2.1. AND SO THIS WOULD BE A PRETTY BIG COMMITMENT OF THE REST OF THAT. AND THIS IS ONE OF THE OPTION
16 17 18 19 20 21	LITTLE BIT MORE INFORMATION. I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT THIS. THERE IS 2.1 MILLION LEFT AFTER OUR CURRENT COMMITMENTS TO IOM AND TO SENDING PATIENT ADVOCATES AND OTHERS TO THE MEETING. SO DOWN TO 2.1. AND SO THIS WOULD BE A PRETTY BIG COMMITMENT OF THE REST OF THAT. AND THIS IS ONE OF THE OPTION AREAS THAT WE HAVE, YOU HAVE OF DOING SOME OF THESE
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223	LITTLE BIT MORE INFORMATION. I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT THIS. THERE IS 2.1 MILLION LEFT AFTER OUR CURRENT COMMITMENTS TO IOM AND TO SENDING PATIENT ADVOCATES AND OTHERS TO THE MEETING. SO DOWN TO 2.1. AND SO THIS WOULD BE A PRETTY BIG COMMITMENT OF THE REST OF THAT. AND THIS IS ONE OF THE OPTION AREAS THAT WE HAVE, YOU HAVE OF DOING SOME OF THESE THINGS WHICH ARE NOT SO EASY TO DO WITH THE GENERAL

1	HIRE A CHAIR, I ACTUALLY DON'T THINK THAT THAT
2	SALARY IS ACTUALLY GOING TO BE A PARTICULAR PROBLEM,
3	TO BE HONEST. I THINK WHAT'S GOING TO BE MORE OF A
4	PROBLEM A LITTLE DOWNSTREAM IS WE WANT TO DO
5	SOMETHING ELSE THAT IS NOT ENABLED WITH OUR GENERAL
6	FUNDS. SO IF YOU MAKE THIS COMMITMENT, THERE REALLY
7	WON'T BE VERY MUCH LEFT OF THAT TWO MILLION.
8	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO ALAN AND I HAVE HAD
9	THIS DISCUSSION. AND WHAT I HAVE SAID TO ALAN, AND
10	I FIRMLY BELIEVE, IS THAT WE REALLY HOPEFULLY WILL
11	HAVE THE BOARD CHOOSE A CHAIR THAT REALLY HAS THE
12	LEADERSHIP CAPACITY TO RAISE SOME MONEY. I MEAN
13	OVER THE PERIOD THAT I'VE BEEN HERE, WHETHER IT'S
14	WORKING WITH INSTITUTIONS ON BUILDINGS OR WORKING ON
15	PRIVATE PLACEMENT OF \$45 MILLION OF BONDS WITH
16	SHERRY AND ED PENHOET AND OTHERS, THESE WERE DONORS
17	WHO WERE LOOKING AT THEIR MONEY THESE WERE PEOPLE
18	BUYING BONDS WHO LOOKED AT THEIR MONEY BECOMING A
19	DONATION WITHOUT EVEN BENEFITING THE STEM CELL
20	AGENCY IF, IN FACT, THE LITIGATION FAILED.
21	I MEAN WE HAVE TO BE ABLE TO HIRE A CHAIR
22	WHO CAN RAISE 10 OR 15 OR \$20 MILLION DURING THEIR
23	TERM AT A MINIMUM. WE'VE SEEN ALMOST WE'VE SEEN
24	MORE THAN \$900 MILLION IN DONOR AND INSTITUTIONAL
25	DONORS' MONEY FLOW INTO THIS SYSTEM OVER THE LAST

1	THREE YEARS, WHICH WERE PARTICULARLY TOUGH YEARS.
2	SO IF YOU END UP WITH A MILLION TWO OF THIS MONEY
3	GOING TO A CHAIR OVER A PERIOD OF SIX YEARS OUT OF
4	THE 2.1 MILLION, I THINK IT'S FUNDAMENTAL THAT, NOT
5	FOR HIS OWN BENEFIT OR HER OWN BENEFIT, BUT YOU HAVE
6	A CHAIR THAT CAN RAISE MONEY IN THE FUTURE FOR
7	CONFERENCES AND OTHER PROGRAMS THAT WE DON'T EVEN
8	KNOW ABOUT YET.
9	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CAN I ASK AN
10	INFORMATIONAL ITEM JUST TO TRY AND SEE IF I CAN CUT
11	THROUGH THIS. IF THE MONEY IS THERE AND WE CAN USE
12	IT AS WE WANT; IS THAT CORRECT, JAMES?
13	MR. HARRISON: THAT'S CORRECT. THE ONLY
14	RESTRICTION ON THE FUNDS IS THAT THEY CAN'T BE USED
15	FOR LOBBYING EXPENSES.
16	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. SO IF THAT'S
17	TRUE, AND YOU ARE SAYING THAT YOU NEED AT SOME
18	TIME YOU MIGHT NEED TO ACCESS THE FUNDS, COULD THIS
19	NOT JUST BE AN INFORMATIONAL ITEM FOR TOMORROW, JUST
20	BASICALLY SAYING WE WANT THE BOARD TO BE AWARE OF
21	THIS POSSIBILITY SHOULD WE CHOOSE TO USE IT?
22	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IN TERMS OF JUST THE
23	LEGAL FOCUS OF THE QUESTION, JAMES, I'M CONCERNED
24	THAT WE WILL NOT HAVE MADE AN APPROPRIATION OF THESE
25	FUNDS. IT WILL NOT BE IN OUR BUDGET. IT WILL NOT

1	BE AN APPROVED BUDGET EXPENDITURE FROM THIS SOURCE
2	OF FUNDS UNLESS WE, IN FACT, AT SOME POINT POST IT
3	AND AUTHORIZE OURSELVES TO USE THESE FUNDS FOR THIS
4	PURPOSE.
5	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: MY QUESTION IS
6	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: PART OF THE PUBLIC
7	PROCESS, THE INTENT WE COULD DO IT BEFORE THE
8	JUNE MEETING.
9	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: MY QUESTION IS
10	I'M A LITTLE CONFUSED. I'M SUPPORTIVE OF THIS, SO I
11	WANT TO BE CLEAR ABOUT THAT, BUT I CAN SEE THAT
12	THERE'S SOME MIXED FEELINGS. BUT NO ONE SEEMS TO
13	OBJECT TO CONSIDERING IT AS AN OPTION. THAT'S WHAT
14	I'M HEARING AS THE CONSENSUS OF THE GROUP. SO I'M
15	TRYING TO ASK WHY, IF WE HAVE THE RIGHT TO DO WHAT
16	WE WANT WITH THESE FUNDS, AND IF AT SOME POINT WE
17	WANT TO CONSIDER IT AS AN OPTION, WHY WE CAN'T JUST
18	INFORM THE BOARD TOMORROW THAT THEY HAVE THAT
19	OPTION. AND IF WE'VE DONE THAT, THEN YOU WOULD BE
20	ABLE TO ACCESS THE FUNDS WHEN YOU NEEDED.
21	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IF THAT WORKS.
22	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I'M ASKING THE
23	QUESTION. I DON'T KNOW IF IT DOES.
24	MR. HARRISON: TO ANSWER THE QUESTION, I
25	THINK THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE COULD ADOPT A

1	MOTION TODAY, IF THAT'S THE WAY IT CHOOSES TO
2	PROCEED, TO RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD APPROVE A
3	PROPOSAL AUTHORIZING, NOT REQUIRING, BUT AUTHORIZING
4	THE BOARD AS AN OPTION TO USE PREEXISTING DONOR
5	FUNDS TO PAY ANY SALARY IN EXCESS OF 150,000 FOR A
6	NEW CHAIR. AND THE BOARD COULD THEN APPROVE THAT
7	MOTION, TO ADDRESS BOB'S CONCERN, AT THE MEETING AT
8	WHICH
9	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE
10	NOW.
11	DR. STEWARD: MY CONCERN
12	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I'M SAYING SOMETHING
13	DIFFERENT. I'M SAYING SOMETHING DIFFERENT. THAT'S
14	WHAT WE HAVE NOW. WE DON'T HAVE THE WORD "OPTION,"
15	SO WE COULD ADD THE WORD "OPTION."
16	MY QUESTION IS DIFFERENT. I'M FOR THIS
17	AND I WOULD HAVE VOTED YES FOR THIS, BUT I CAN HEAR
18	THAT WE DON'T HAVE CONSENSUS, AND I ALSO KNOW WE'RE
19	WAY OVER OUR TIME. SO WHAT I'M REALLY TRYING TO
20	SEEK IS IF AT THIS PARTICULAR TIME, IF WE CAN COME
21	TO SOME CONSENSUS, AND THE CONSENSUS THAT I THINK WE
22	CAN COME TO IS THAT THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE
23	RECOMMENDS THAT THERE BE AN INFORMATIONAL ITEM ON
24	TOMORROW THAT EXPLAINS THAT WE HAVE THIS OPTION.
25	AND WE CAN USE IT OR NOT USE IT BECAUSE WE CAN

1	USE IT AT ANY TIME WE WANT, AND THAT'S MY QUESTION.
2	CAN WE USE IT AT ANY TIME WE WANT?
3	MR. HARRISON: AND THAT'S THE SECOND POINT
4	I WAS GETTING TO, AND I THINK THAT'S THE CONCERN
5	THAT BOB RAISED. I THINK WHAT WE WOULD HAVE TO DO
6	IS INCLUDE AN ITEM ON THE AGENDA FOR THE MEETING AT
7	WHICH THE NEW CHAIR IS ELECTED, AND THE BOARD MAKES
8	A DECISION REGARDING HIS OR HER COMPENSATION, GIVING
9	NOTICE THAT THE BOARD HAS THE OPTION OF USING
10	PREEXISTING DONOR FUNDS TO PAY A SALARY IN EXCESS OF
11	150,000.
12	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THAT WOULD WORK.
13	MR. SHEEHY: I ACTUALLY WOULD BE HAPPY
14	WITH WHAT JAMES PROPOSED. JUST AS WE NOTED, IT'S AN
15	OPTION. I DON'T WANT US TO BE LOCKED INTO, AS A
16	BOARD, TO PAYING. AS LONG AS IT'S PART OF A MENU.
17	WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A WHOLE MENU.
18	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YOU'RE COMFORTABLE
19	WITH THAT, I'M COMFORTABLE WITH THAT.
20	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I'D LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION
21	THAT WE ADOPT THIS AS AN OPTION FOR THE BOARD TO USE
22	IF THEY FEEL THAT THEY NEED IT TO SELECT THE RIGHT
23	CANDIDATE.
24	MR. SHEEHY: WE'RE GOING TO FINALLY HAVE
25	TO COME TO SOME SORT OF CONCLUSION ON JOB
	40

1	DESCRIPTION. WE'RE GOING TO COME TO SOME SORT OF
2	DECISION. SO I DON'T WANT TO TELL PEOPLE IF I
3	LOSE ON ALL MY VOTES, I SUDDENLY DON'T WANT TO SAY,
4	OH, YOU CAN'T DO THIS.
5	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CAN I HAVE A SECOND,
6	THEN, FOR THAT?
7	MR. TORRES: SECOND.
8	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. LET'S HAVE A
9	ROLL CALL VOTE JUST BECAUSE OF THE DISCUSSION. IT'S
10	THE SAME ITEM EXCEPT WE'RE CALLING IT AN OPTION.
11	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IS THERE ANY PUBLIC
12	DISCUSSION?
13	MR. HARRISON: WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO
14	RESTATE THE MOTION?
15	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SURE.
16	MR. HARRISON: AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THEN,
17	THE MOTION WOULD BE TO RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD
18	APPROVE A PROPOSAL TO AUTHORIZE THE BOARD TO USE
19	PREEXISTING DONOR FUNDS TO PAY ANY SALARY FOR THE
20	NEW CHAIR IN EXCESS OF ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND.
21	DR. POMEROY: I'M SORRY. COULD YOU REPEAT
22	THAT?
23	MR. HARRISON: SURE. THE MOTION IS TO
24	RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD APPROVE A PROPOSAL TO
25	AUTHORIZE THE BOARD, NOT TO REQUIRE, BUT TO
	50

1	AUTHORIZE THE BOARD TO USE PREEXISTING DONOR
2	FUNDS
3	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AS AN OPTION.
4	MR. HARRISON: AS AN OPTION TO PAY ANY
5	SALARY FOR THE NEW CHAIR IN EXCESS OF \$150,000. AND
6	THE BOARD WOULD THEN MAKE THAT DECISION AT THE TIME
7	IT ELECTS THE NEW CHAIR.
8	DR. POMEROY: JUST TO CLARIFY, WHICH
9	ALLOWS THE BOARD TO NOT TAKE THAT OPTION AND PAY
10	MORE THAN A HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND.
11	MR. HARRISON: CORRECT.
12	DR. POMEROY: COULD I JUST ASK BOB BECAUSE
13	THAT DOESN'T ACCOMPLISH YOUR GOAL OF COMMUNICATING
14	SOMETHING TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS BECAUSE IF
15	THEY NOMINATE SOMEONE WHO IS GOING TO REQUIRE A
16	\$400,000 SALARY, STILL THE BOARD HAS THE OPTION OF
17	PAYING THAT ALL FROM STATE GENERAL FUNDS.
18	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IT DOES IN THE SENSE THAT
19	IF THEY NOMINATED SOMEONE, THEY ARE NOT PUTTING THE
20	BOARD IN THE POSITION THAT THE ONLY WAY WE COULD
21	VOTE FOR THAT PERSON IS TO USE MORE THAN A HUNDRED
22	FIFTY THOUSAND.
23	DR. POMEROY: BUT WE MIGHT.
24	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: BUT WE MIGHT.
25	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THEY KNOW WE HAVE
	51

1	THE OPTION.
2	MS. KING: WE DO HAVE A PUBLIC COMMENT.
3	MR. REED: THIS SEEMS LIKE A REASONABLE
4	SOLUTION TO A HIGHLY TECHNICAL, BUT VERY IMPORTANT
5	PROBLEM. I DO FEEL THAT WHOEVER WE GET, SINCE WE
6	WANT THE BEST, WE HAVE A TRADITION OF MAGNIFICENCE
7	WHICH WE SHOULD CONTINUE. WE WANT THE BEST. WE'RE
8	GOING TO HAVE TO BE HIRING SOMEBODY WHO'S ALREADY
9	BUSY. AND IF WE CAN ONLY HAVE THE OPTION OF GIVING
10	150,000, HE OR SHE MAY BE TAKING A CUT IN PAY. WE
11	NEED TO HAVE THE OPTION TO GET THE VERY BEST. I
12	THINK THIS LEAVES THAT OPTION OPEN.
13	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU, DON. I
14	APPRECIATE THAT. OKAY. CAN I HAVE A ROLL CALL
15	VOTE, MELISSA?
16	MS. KING: BOB KLEIN.
17	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YES.
18	MS. KING: SHERRY LANSING.
19	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES.
20	MS. KING: CLAIRE POMEROY.
21	DR. POMEROY: YES.
22	MS. KING: DUANE ROTH.
23	MR. ROTH: YES.
24	MS. KING: JEFF SHEEHY.
25	MR. SHEEHY: YES.
	52
	1

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

1	MS. KING: OSWALD STEWARD.
2	DR. STEWARD: YES.
3	MS. KING: AND ART TORRES.
4	MR. TORRES: AYE.
5	MS. KING: THAT MOTION CARRIES.
6	CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU. I'VE
7	BEEN TOLD THAT THE NEXT AGENDA ITEM WE DON'T NEED A
8	CLOSED SESSION. WE ALREADY DID IT. SO ARE THERE
9	ANY FURTHER COMMENTS FROM THE SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS?
10	ANY FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT?
11	THIS HAS BEEN A VERY ROBUST AND HEALTHY
12	DISCUSSION. SO I WANT TO THANK EVERYBODY FOR ALL OF
13	THEIR COMMENTS, AND WE CAME TO A CONSENSUS, AND NOW
14	THE MEETING STANDS ADJOURNED.
15	(THE MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED AT
16	05:13 P.M.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	53

### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD AT THE LOCATION INDICATED BELOW

THE LUXE HOTEL SUNSET BOULEVARD
11461 SUNSET BOULEVARD
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
ON
TUESDAY, MAY 3, 2011

WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152
BARRISTER'S REPORTING SERVICE
1072 BRISTOL STREET
SUITE 100
COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA
(714) 444-4100