

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

BEFORE THE
SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

DATE: MARCH 7, 2022
1 P.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR
CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2022-10

**133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864
208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM**

I N D E X

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
OPEN SESSION.	
1. CALL TO ORDER	3
2. ROLL CALL	3
ACTION ITEMS.	
3. CONSIDERATION OF CONCEPT PLAN FOR DISCOVERY STAGE RESEARCH PROJECTS: DISC-0.	4
4. CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO CONCEPT PLANS FOR DISCOVERY, TRANSLATION AND CLINICAL STAGE RESEARCH PROJECTS.	35
DISCUSSION ITEMS.	
5. PUBLIC COMMENT	NONE
6. ADJOURNMENT	54

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MONDAY, MARCH 7, 2022; 1 P.M.

CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. LET ME CALL US TO ORDER FOR TODAY'S SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE, AND THEN ASK MARIA BONNEVILLE TO CALL THE ROLL.

MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFA ABDULHAQ. DEBORAH DEAS.

DR. DEAS: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.

DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS. JUDY GASSON.

DR. GASSON: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.

CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS. PAT LEVITT.

DR. LEVITT: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN. SHLOMO MELMED.

DR. MELMED: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.

DR. MIASKOWSKI: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

2 MR. TORRES: HERE.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: CARL WARE.

4 DR. WARE: HERE.

5 MS. BONNEVILLE: KAROL WATSON. KEITH
6 YAMAMOTO.

7 DR. YAMAMOTO: HERE.

8 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.

9 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. THANK YOU.

10 WE HAVE A NUMBER OF CONCEPT PLANS AND
11 AMENDMENTS TO CONSIDER TODAY. AND FIRST ONE FOR IS
12 FOR DISC-0. WHO IS DOING THE PRESENTATION PLEASE?

13 DR. CANET-AVILES: I'LL BE DOING THE
14 PRESENTATION, MR. CHAIRMAN, CHAIR OF THE
15 SUBCOMMITTEE. GREAT. LET ME JUST GO AND SHARE MY
16 SCREEN. CAN YOU SEE MY SLIDES?

17 MS. BONNEVILLE: YES.

18 DR. CANET-AVILES: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS
19 OF THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE, ON BEHALF OF OUR TEAM
20 AT CIRM, I AM PRESENTING THIS CONCEPT THAT WE WILL
21 BE BRINGING TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL THIS MONTH.
22 THIS IS A CONCEPT THAT IS PART OF THE DISCOVERY
23 PILLAR OF PROGRAMS.

24 SO AS WE ALL KNOW, OUR MISSION IS TO
25 ACCELERATE WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE TO DELIVER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE TREATMENTS IN
2 AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND THE
3 WORLD. AND AS WE KNOW, DESPITE THE PROGRESS IN
4 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE OVER THE PAST DECADE, THERE
5 ARE STILL CRITICAL GAPS IN OUR UNDERSTANDING OF
6 FUNDAMENTAL HUMAN CELL BIOLOGY AND DISEASE THAT
7 AFFECT THE PACE OF SCIENTIFIC DISCOVERY AND PREVENT
8 THE POTENTIAL OF THIS RESEARCH FROM BEING FULLY
9 REALIZED AS THE BASIS FOR NEW THERAPIES AND AS TOOLS
10 FOR BIOMEDICAL INNOVATION.

11 THE NEW ERA OF TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION IN
12 GENE EDITING, SINGLE CELL PROFILING, DATA SCIENCE
13 AND ALSO ENGINEERING IS PRESENTING TO US WITH NEW
14 OPPORTUNITIES FOR ADDRESSING QUESTIONS OF BIOLOGY
15 THAT HAVE REMAINED ELUSIVE. AND ALL THIS WORK HAS
16 LED TO THE NEW STRATEGIC PLAN AND A NEW AND REVISED
17 MISSION STATEMENT REFLECTING THE NEW ERA THAT WE ARE
18 IN.

19 CIRM SEEKS TO HARNESS ALL OF THESE AND
20 OTHER SYNERGIES THROUGH THIS NEW DISC-0 FOUNDATION
21 AWARDS MECHANISM THAT WOULD BE FOSTERING A ROBUST
22 DISCOVERY ENGINE THAT WILL NOT ONLY OPEN NEW DOORS
23 TO TREATMENTS, BUT ALSO ACCELERATE AND INCREASE THE
24 LIKELIHOOD OF BRINGING REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
25 TREATMENTS TO PATIENTS IN NEED. AND BY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 INCORPORATING PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICES OF DIVERSITY,
2 EQUITY, AND INCLUSION WITHIN THE SCIENCE, THIS
3 PROGRAM ALSO STRIVES TO FOSTER DISCOVERIES THAT WILL
4 EQUITABLY IMPACT PATIENTS IN ALL OUR COMMUNITIES.

5 CIRM HAS ESTABLISHED, AS WE KNOW, A STRONG
6 TRACK RECORD FOR STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS IN THESE FIVE
7 PILLARS: INFRASTRUCTURE, EDUCATION, DISCOVERY,
8 TRANSLATION, AND CLINICAL. THROUGH CIRM'S NEW
9 STRATEGIC PLAN, WE WILL ENHANCE, ORGANIZE, AND
10 INTERCONNECT CIRM'S PROVEN FUNDING MODEL TO ACHIEVE
11 THE OVERARCHING GOALS. THIS CONCEPT, AS I MENTIONED
12 EARLY ON, IS PRESENTED IN THE CONTEXT OF THE MIDDLE
13 PILLAR WHICH IS THE DISCOVERY PILLAR.

14 AS A LITTLE BACKGROUND, AND WE HEARD THIS
15 DURING THE WORKSHOP THAT WE HAD A COUPLE OF WEEKS
16 AGO, A WEEK. WE HAVE RECEIVED A LOT OF FEEDBACK
17 FROM AND WE'VE BEEN INFORMED BY MULTIPLE LAYERS OF
18 THE STAKEHOLDERS OVER THE PAST COUPLE OF YEARS. THE
19 DISC-0 CONCEPT HAS ALSO BEEN INFORMED BY THESE
20 STAKEHOLDER DISCUSSIONS AND INPUT THAT STARTED EVEN
21 PRIOR TO PROP 14. AND ONE OF THESE STAKEHOLDER
22 MEETINGS WAS THE BRAINSTORMING NEURODEGENERATION
23 WORKSHOP THAT HAPPENED IN 2019 AND ALSO THE
24 STRATEGIC SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY PANEL IN 2021. AND
25 ACTUALLY WE HAVE ANOTHER VIEW OF WHAT THE OUTCOMES

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF THESE MEETINGS HAVE BEEN IN ONE OF OUR BLOG POSTS
2 OFFERED BY OUR COLLEAGUE MITRA HOOSHMAND.

3 THROUGH ALL THESE MEETINGS CIRM RECEIVED
4 BROAD STAKEHOLDER INPUT ABOUT THE NEED FOR CONTINUED
5 RESEARCH OF BASIC MECHANISMS OF STEM CELL BIOLOGY,
6 GENE THERAPY APPROACHES, AND DISEASE BIOLOGY TO
7 IMPROVE THE LIKELIHOOD OF CLINICAL SUCCESS FOR
8 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. THIS HAS TRANSLATED INTO THE
9 STRATEGIC PLAN THAT I MENTIONED. AND TO REALIZE THE
10 FULL POTENTIAL OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE FOR SOCIETY,
11 CIRM IS COMMITTED TO PROMOTING SUCCESS OF HIGH RISK,
12 HIGH REWARD PROJECTS.

13 AND FOR THAT WE ARE PROPOSING THESE NEW
14 OBJECTIVES FOR THE DISCOVERY FOUNDATION AWARDS. AND
15 THE OBJECTIVE OF THE DISCOVERY FOUNDATION AWARDS IS
16 TO SUPPORT RIGOROUS STUDIES ADDRESSING CRITICAL
17 BASIC KNOWLEDGE GAPS IN THE BIOLOGY OF STEM CELLS
18 AND REGENERATIVE MEDICINE APPROACHES AND TO ADVANCE
19 STEM CELL-BASED TOOLS.

20 PROJECTS FUNDED THROUGH THE FOUNDATION
21 AWARDS SHOULD PROPOSE IMPACTFUL OR INNOVATIVE
22 RESEARCH THAT CULMINATES IN A DISCOVERY OR
23 TECHNOLOGY THAT COULD EITHER ADVANCE OUR
24 UNDERSTANDING OF THE BIOLOGY OR A STEM CELL BIOLOGY
25 THAT'S RELEVANT TO HUMAN BIOLOGY AND DISEASE OR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ADVANCE THE DEVELOPMENT OR USE OF HUMAN STEM CELLS
2 AS TOOLS FOR BIOMEDICAL INNOVATION OR LEAD TO A
3 GREATER APPLICABILITY OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
4 DISCOVERIES TO COMMUNITIES REPRESENTING THE FULLEST
5 SPECTRUM OF DIVERSITY OR ADVANCE THE APPLICATION OF
6 GENETIC RESEARCH THAT IS RELEVANT TO HUMAN BIOLOGY
7 AND DISEASE AS IT PERTAINS TO STEM CELLS AND
8 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. AND I WILL GO INTO THESE A
9 LITTLE BIT MORE IN THE NEXT SLIDE.

10 IN TERMS OF ELIGIBILITY FOR THE PROJECT,
11 THESE ELIGIBLE PROJECTS WILL DEFINE AND PROPOSE
12 RESEARCH THAT ADDRESSES A KEY KNOWLEDGE GAP IN OUR
13 UNDERSTANDING OF THE BIOLOGY OR APPLICATION OF STEM
14 CELLS OR PROGENITOR CELLS OR IN THE APPLICATION OF
15 GENETIC RESEARCH AS IT PERTAINS TO STEM CELLS OR
16 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, AS I WAS SAYING IN THE
17 EARLIER SLIDE.

18 FOR THE SCOPE OF THIS SOLICITATION, CIRM
19 CONSIDERS GENETIC RESEARCH TO MEAN RESEARCH THAT
20 ALTERS GENOMIC SEQUENCES OF CELLS EITHER BY EDITING,
21 REMOVING, OR ADDING THE DNA SEQUENCES OR INTRODUCES
22 OR DIRECTLY MANIPULATES NUCLEIC ACIDS, SUCH AS
23 MRNA'S OR ASO'S, IN CELLS. WHILE WE DO INCLUDE
24 RESEARCH ON ANIMALS AND ANIMAL CELLS, WE REQUIRE
25 THAT DISCOVERIES MADE IN NON-HUMAN CELLS BE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 VALIDATED WITH A RELEVANT HUMAN CELL EQUIVALENT AS
2 PART OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT.

3 IN TERMS OF INSTITUTIONAL ELIGIBILITY, ALL
4 CALIFORNIA FOR-PROFIT'S AND NONPROFITS ARE ELIGIBLE
5 TO APPLY. AND PI ELIGIBILITY, THE PRINCIPAL
6 INVESTIGATORS MUST COMMIT AT LEAST 20 PERCENT OF
7 THEIR EFFORT.

8 NOW, DATA SHARING AND MANAGEMENT PLAN.
9 CONSISTENT WITH THE STRATEGIC PLAN TO LEVERAGE
10 COLLECTIVE KNOWLEDGE TO INSPIRE COLLABORATIVE
11 RESEARCH THAT ADVANCES CALIFORNIAN'S UNMET MEDICAL
12 NEEDS, IF THE BOARD APPROVES THIS CONCEPT, WE ARE
13 PLANNING TO INCORPORATE IN THE APPLICATION SOME
14 GUIDELINES FOR THE DEVELOPMENT AND EXECUTION OF A
15 DATA SHARING AND MANAGEMENT PLAN, EFFECTIVELY
16 CAPTURING SCIENTIFIC KNOWLEDGE AND ENABLING
17 COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH. THESE GUIDELINES AND
18 TEMPLATES ARE THERE TO SUPPORT APPLICANTS IN THE
19 DEVELOPMENT OF SUCCESSFUL RESEARCH PROJECTS AND
20 MAXIMIZE THE COLLABORATIVE POTENTIAL OF CIRM-FUNDED
21 RESEARCH. WE ARE ALSO GOING TO PROVIDE GUIDELINES
22 FOR ALLOCATION OF FUNDS FOR PERSONNEL AND/OR
23 ACTIVITIES RELATED TO MANAGING AND SHARING THE DATA.

24 AND FOR THE DATA SHARING AND MANAGEMENT
25 PLAN, APPLICANTS WILL ALSO BE REQUIRED TO ADHERE TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE FAIR DATA SHARING PRINCIPLES OF ACCESSIBLE,
2 INTEROPERABLE, AND REUSABLE DATA FOR SCIENTIFIC
3 RESEARCH AND THERAPEUTIC DEVELOPMENT.

4 IN TERMS OF BUDGET, CIRM WILL FUND DIRECT
5 PROJECTS COSTS OF UP TO \$1 MILLION PER AWARD FOR UP
6 TO THREE YEARS DURATION. AND OF NOTE, WE HAVE
7 CHOSEN TO PROVIDE THE DIRECT COSTS IN THIS SLIDE,
8 BUT FOR INDIRECT COSTS, WHICH IS HIGHLIGHTED IN THE
9 BOTTOM THERE, THE COSTS PER PROJECT, AS YOU KNOW,
10 PER INSTITUTION OF INDIRECTS, BUT THE AVERAGE IS
11 ABOUT \$1.5 MILLION FOR THREE YEARS PER INSTITUTION.
12 TAKING THIS INTO ACCOUNT, AND IF THE OPPORTUNITY IS
13 AVAILABLE ONE TO TWO TIMES A YEAR, WE ESTIMATE,
14 BASED ON THE PREVIOUS BASIC DISC PILLAR PROGRAMS AND
15 OTHER INFORMATION THAT WE BENCHMARKED TO THAT, WE
16 ESTIMATE AN APPROXIMATE OF 12 TO 14 AWARDS WITH AN
17 ESTIMATE OF \$20 MILLION PER ROUND.

18 AND THIS WILL BE THE REQUEST THAT WE WILL
19 BRING TO THE BOARD ON THE 24TH OF MARCH, AND WE WILL
20 BE REQUESTING THIS CONCEPT FOR APPROVAL. AND THEN
21 WITH THIS, I'M DONE WITH MY PRESENTATION AND I WILL
22 WELCOME ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE.

23 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU. EXCELLENT
24 PRESENTATION. LET'S HAVE QUESTION OR DISCUSSION
25 FROM THE MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE PLEASE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. WARE: I'D LIKE TO RAISE A QUESTION
2 ABOUT THE PERCENT EFFORT. ARE WE GOING TO BE
3 EXCLUDING MID-CAREER AND SENIOR INVESTIGATORS BASED
4 ON A 20-PERCENT COMMITMENT TIME?

5 DR. CANET-AVILES: IF THEY CANNOT COMMIT
6 THAT PERCENTAGE OF TIME, THEN WE COULD BE EXCLUDING
7 THEM, YES. IT'S NOT ABOUT WHICH STAGE IN THEIR
8 CAREER THEY ARE. IT IS ABOUT WHETHER THEY CAN
9 COMMIT THE APPROPRIATE TIME THAT WE ARE REQUIRING
10 FOR THIS TYPE OF PROJECTS.

11 DR. WARE: I'M JUST SAYING THAT IN TERMS
12 OF MANY OF OUR MOST SUCCESSFUL MID-TERM AND SENIOR
13 INVESTIGATORS, A TYPICAL NIH GRANT HAS THEM AT 5
14 PERCENT BECAUSE THEY'RE COMMITTED TO THREE OR FOUR
15 OTHER GRANTS AND MAYBE OTHER OPPORTUNITIES.

16 DR. CANET-AVILES: THEY COULD COME IN AS
17 ANOTHER MEMBER OF THE TEAM INSTEAD OF BEING THE PI
18 AND LEAVE THE PI TO SOMEONE MORE JUNIOR THAT MIGHT
19 HAVE A CHANCE TO COME IN AND LEAD THE PROJECT. WE
20 ALSO WANT TO INCENTIVIZE YOUNGER INVESTIGATORS TO
21 GET INTO LEADING POSITIONS.

22 DR. WARE: CERTAINLY.

23 DR. LEVITT: IS IT ACCEPTABLE TO HAVE
24 MULTIPLE PI'S? AND, THEREFORE, IF YOU HAVE, LET'S
25 SAY, TWO MPI'S, EACH ONE AT 10 PERCENT, THAT'S A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TOTAL OF 20 PERCENT.

2 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: INTERESTING QUESTION.

3 DR. CANET-AVILES: I CAN'T RECALL -- GO
4 AHEAD.

5 DR. LEVITT: I WAS GOING TO SAY IF THEY'RE
6 MPI'S, WHICH REQUIRE, CERTAINLY AT NIH WHAT'S
7 REQUIRED IS AN MPI PLAN: WHO'S RESPONSIBLE FOR
8 WHAT? HOW ANY DISAGREEMENTS WOULD BE MANAGED, ET
9 CETERA. SO THERE'S A SEPARATE PLAN FOR MPI'S, BUT
10 THEY'RE BECOMING MORE AND MORE POPULAR. JUST LIKE
11 SHARING A FIRST AUTHORSHIP, RIGHT, THAT PRECEDED
12 THIS, BUT IT'S BECOMING MORE POPULAR. AND ALSO HAS
13 A POSSIBILITY OF PROVIDING A TETHERED WAY OF
14 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT FOR JUNIOR AND SENIOR
15 INVESTIGATORS.

16 DR. CANET-AVILES: I THINK ONE OF THE
17 THINGS THAT I'VE SEEN IN SOME OTHER AGENCIES, THEY
18 ARE INCENTIVIZING THESE MULTIDISCIPLINARY TYPE OF
19 TEAMS WITH SOMEBODY THAT MIGHT BE A MORE JUNIOR PI,
20 BUT THEN NEEDS TO HAVE ANOTHER CO-PI THAT IS MORE
21 SENIOR THAT COULD BE AT A LESSER PERCENTAGE. THOSE
22 ARE SOME THINGS, AND I THINK OUR PRESIDENT MARIA
23 MILLAN HAS HER HAND RAISED. MARIA.

24 DR. MILLAN: I ACTUALLY WAS GOING TO ASK
25 IF GIL SAMBRANO AND JENN LEWIS CAN SPEAK TO WHAT OUR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CURRENT POLICY IS BECAUSE IF IT IS SOMETHING TO
2 CONSIDER, IT WOULD REQUIRE A CHANGE OR AN AMENDMENT
3 TO OUR POLICY. GIL.

4 DR. SAMBRANO: I'M HAPPY TO SPEAK TO THAT.
5 SO CURRENTLY THE WAY OUR GRANTS ADMINISTRATION
6 POLICY IS STRUCTURED, WE ONLY ALLOW FOR ONE PI. AND
7 PART OF IT IS BECAUSE WE DEFINE THE PI TO BE THE
8 SINGLE PERSON WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CONDUCT OF
9 THE AWARD UNDER THOSE POLICIES.

10 AND SO THE PERCENT EFFORT IS TIED TO THAT
11 ONE INDIVIDUAL. THAT DOESN'T, OF COURSE, PREVENT
12 OTHERS FROM PARTICIPATING AND SHARING IN AND
13 CONTRIBUTING TO THE PROJECT IN SOME WAY. BUT THE 20
14 PERCENT IS PRETTY MUCH FIXED ON A SINGLE PERSON AT
15 THIS TIME.

16 DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU, GIL.

17 DR. LEVITT: SO I UNDERSTAND THAT IT WOULD
18 HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED AS A CHANGE OF POLICY. BUT
19 GIVEN THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY NATURE OF ALL THESE
20 PROJECTS, THEY'RE ALL MULTIDISCIPLINARY NOW, AND THE
21 OPPORTUNITY TO BE MORE INCLUSIVE, IT'S SOMETHING TO
22 CONSIDER. AND THEN THERE COULD STILL BE A RULE
23 ABOUT, IF IT'S A SINGLE PI, IT'S 20-PERCENT EFFORT.
24 IF IT'S MPI, IT'S EQUIVALENT -- THAT'S WHAT NIH
25 EXPECTS -- EQUIVALENT EFFORTS BETWEEN THE MPI'S

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BECAUSE YOU CAN'T HAVE ONE AT 15 AND ONE AT 5.
2 THAT'S NOT AN MPI SITUATION. THAT'S A SINGLE PI AND
3 A COINVESTIGATOR. BUT YOU COULD REQUIRE IT TO BE
4 ADDING TO 20 PERCENT.

5 THE OTHER THING THAT IS DONE IS THERE'S
6 ONE OF THE TWO IS DESIGNATED AS THE CORRESPONDING
7 PI. AND THAT'S WHO THE BUSINESS IS DONE WITH AND IS
8 ULTIMATELY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE FINANCIAL AND
9 ADMINISTRATIVE OVERSIGHT.

10 DR. CANET-AVILES: QUESTION. THANK YOU,
11 PAT. MY QUESTION TO GIL AND JENN COULD BE DO WE
12 NEED TO AMEND THE GAP TO ALLOW FOR THIS?

13 DR. SAMBRANO: NO. IN ORDER TO ALLOW
14 MULTIPLE PI'S, YOU WOULD. I THINK THE QUESTION IS
15 DO YOU WANT TO ADJUST THE PERCENT EFFORT FOR THE WAY
16 WE CURRENTLY DEFINE THE PI, WHICH IS PERHAPS AKIN TO
17 WHAT IS THE ADMINISTRATIVE PI THAT WE HOLD
18 ACCOUNTABLE FOR ALL THE AWARD AND COMMUNICATION.

19 SO AS MENTIONED, IF YOU LOWER, FOR
20 EXAMPLE, THE PERCENT EFFORT TO 10 PERCENT, YOU CAN
21 BRING OTHERS ON BOARD TO BE SORT OF A PSEUDO CO-PI.
22 WE DON'T NECESSARILY HAVE A SPECIFIC DEFINITION FOR
23 ONE, BUT OTHERS THAT CAN CONTRIBUTE AND COLLABORATE
24 IN A SIMILAR WAY.

25 DR. CANET-AVILES: COULDN'T WE GET INTO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE POTENTIAL ISSUE OF HAVING THEN PEOPLE THAT ARE
2 NOT COMMITTED, THEY ARE SENIOR AND NOT COMMITTED TO
3 THE EXTENT THAT WE NEED FOR THE PROJECT, AND THEN WE
4 KIND OF DILUTE THE EFFORT IN TERMS OF LEADERSHIP ON
5 A PROJECT OF IMPORTANCE. COULD THIS BE A PROBLEM?

6 DR. LEVITT: I DON'T THINK IT'S A PROBLEM
7 BECAUSE IN THE BUDGET JUSTIFICATION I ASSUME THAT IN
8 PERSONNEL THAT YOU HAVE TO DESCRIBE WHAT EACH KEY
9 PERSONNEL IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ON THE PROJECT. AND IF
10 IT'S UNSATISFACTORY -- IF IT SEEMS LIKE, OH, ONE
11 WILL BE THE OVERSIGHT PERSON AND THE OTHER WOULD DO
12 ALL OF THE RESEARCH. I MEAN I HAVEN'T SEEN THAT AS
13 A PROBLEM.

14 I DON'T WANT TO LENGTHEN THIS OR CONFUSE
15 IT. MAYBE WE CAN TAKE IT UP SOME OTHER TIME, BUT I
16 DO THINK THAT IT'S BECOMING MORE AND MORE COMMON
17 BECAUSE A SINGLE PI DOES NOT HAVE THE ABILITY TO
18 REALLY OVERSEE AN ENTIRETY OF THESE
19 MULTIDISCIPLINARY PROJECTS. SO YOU CAN CALL THEM A
20 CO-PI, I SUPPOSE, BUT THAT DIMINISHES THEIR TITLE OR
21 ROLE THAT THEY'RE REALLY PLAYING IN THE PROJECT.
22 AND, AGAIN, I THINK IT CREATES AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE
23 MORE INCLUSIVE OF DEVELOPING INTERDISCIPLINARY
24 PARTNERSHIPS.

25 DR. CANET-AVILES: OKAY. MARIA.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: WE HAVE TWO HANDS.
2 MARIA, GO.

3 DR. MILLAN: IF THE BOARD, AFTER THIS
4 DISCUSSION, WISHES US TO GO BACK AND PROPOSE HOW
5 THIS COULD BE DONE, THAT'S CERTAINLY SOMETHING WE
6 CAN DO. AND I THINK GIL GAVE A GOOD EXAMPLE OF HOW
7 THAT CAN BE DONE IN OUR CURRENT POLICY.

8 DR. MIASKOWSKI, I DIDN'T MEAN TO RAISE MY
9 HAND AT THE SAME TIME.

10 DR. MIASKOWSKI: THAT'S OKAY. I'D LIKE TO
11 SPEAK IN SUPPORT OF PAT'S IDEA. I'VE BEEN INVOLVED
12 NOT IN OBVIOUSLY STEM CELL BIOLOGY PROJECTS, BUT IN
13 OTHER PROJECTS WITH MULTIPLE PI'S. AND AS PAT SAID,
14 THERE IS A CONTACT PI THAT IS THE ADMINISTRATIVE
15 PERSON. BUT THE MULTIPLE PI PLAN, I THINK, MIGHT
16 ADDRESS ROSA'S CONCERN WHERE PEOPLE ACTUALLY SPELL
17 OUT THE RATIONALE FOR NEEDING TWO PI'S, AND THEN THE
18 RESPONSIBILITIES OF EACH OF THOSE PI'S IS LISTED.
19 AND THEN THE REVIEW COMMITTEE CAN ADJUDICATE WHETHER
20 OR NOT THE PERCENT EFFORT THAT'S APPLIED TO THOSE
21 MULTIPLE PI'S IS ACCURATE TO GET THE WORK DONE.

22 IN MY EXPERIENCE DOING THIS, IT REALLY,
23 REALLY ENRICHES THE PROJECT BECAUSE YOU BRING PEOPLE
24 WITH TWO OFTEN DIVERSE BACKGROUNDS THAT ARE GOING TO
25 BE GREATER SYNERGISTICALLY IN TERMS OF THE SUM OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE PARTS. IT REALLY, REALLY WORKS QUITE WELL. SO
2 I WOULD SUPPORT WHAT PAT SAID.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY, YOU'RE ON MUTE.

4 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I WAS JUST GOING TO
5 MENTION THAT CIRM HAS HAD THESE LARGE PERCENT EFFORT
6 REQUIREMENTS FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS. SO I THINK IN
7 ONE SENSE IT'S BEEN WORKING OKAY. THERE HASN'T BEEN
8 A PUSH TO MAKE A BIG CHANGE UNTIL THIS CONVERSATION,
9 I THINK.

10 ON THE OTHER HAND, I CAN SEE THE VALUE OF
11 DOING SOME SORT OF EXPERIMENT ON OUR USER COMMUNITY
12 TO SAY TRY IT OUT ON A PILOT BASIS, SEE WHETHER WE
13 GET THINGS THAT ARE SENSIBLE AND THAT REVIEWERS
14 AGREE ARE SENSIBLE PLANS THAT PROVIDE MAXIMAL
15 ENGAGEMENT AND COMMITMENT FROM THE PI'S OF THE
16 PROJECT. THAT'S WHAT I THINK WE ARE WORRIED ABOUT.
17 WE DON'T WANT A SITUATION WHERE SOMEBODY SLIDES IN
18 UNDER THE LIMIT AND ISN'T REALLY VERY COMMITTED.

19 I GUESS THE QUESTION I WOULD HAVE FOR ROSA
20 AND THE TEAM IS HOW HARD WOULD IT BE TO ENGINEER A
21 SINGLE CYCLE OF APPLICATIONS WHERE WE TRIED THIS OUT
22 AND GOT A SENSE OF IS THERE A LOT OF DEMAND FOR IT,
23 AND THEN WHAT SORTS OF ARRANGEMENTS DO WE SEE?

24 DR. CANET-AVILES: I DON'T THINK IT WOULD
25 BE VERY HARD. I DON'T THINK IT COULD BE VERY HARD.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I THINK WE HAVE HEARD ENOUGH EXAMPLES AND FEEDBACK
2 DURING THIS CALL THAT WE CAN ACTUALLY DEVELOP THE
3 APPLICATION AND THE REVIEW CRITERIA WITH OUR REVIEW
4 OFFICE THAT WILL ENABLE TO ENGINEER A PILOT CYCLE
5 THAT COULD IMPLEMENT THESE CHANGES. I THINK IT
6 COULD BE INTERESTING TO SEE THE OUTCOMES, AND IT
7 COULD BE VERY INFORMATIVE FOR US. MARIA, YOU AGREE
8 AS WELL?

9 DR. MILLAN: I THINK THAT, JUST BY NATURE
10 IF WE GAVE A CHOICE, IT WOULD JUST GET PILOTED
11 BECAUSE YOU'D SEE WHAT THE UTILIZATION IS AND YOU'D
12 SEE HOW THEY FAIR IN THE REVIEW PROCESS. AND THEN
13 IF DOESN'T WORK OUT WELL, IT JUST DEFAULTS TO OUR
14 ORIGINAL. SO I AGREE AND I WOULD DEFER TO GIL,
15 WHO'S GOING TO BE IN CHARGE OF MAKING THIS HAPPEN.
16 AND THEN, OF COURSE, IT SOUNDS LIKE IT'S COMPATIBLE
17 WITH THE GAP, BUT I'D LOVE TO HEAR IF JENN LEWIS HAS
18 ANY OTHER THOUGHTS ON THAT. GIL.

19 DR. SAMBRANO: DID YOU WANT TO GO TO JENN
20 OR TO ME?

21 DR. MILLAN: JUST WANTED TO GET YOUR TAKE
22 AS TO WHETHER IN SOME FORMAT THIS COULD BE SOMETHING
23 MADE AVAILABLE IN ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, EITHER A
24 PILOT OR GIVING THE APPLICANTS A CHOICE TO CHOOSE --
25 TO TAKE THE 20 PERCENT ON THEIR OWN OR TAKE ANOTHER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FORMAT, TBD WHAT THAT LOOKS LIKE, WE'LL BRING IT
2 BACK TO THE BOARD ONCE THAT'S SETTLED. BUT DOES
3 THAT SEEM LIKE A FEASIBLE APPROACH?

4 DR. SAMBRANO: I THINK WE NEED TO LOOK AT
5 IT CAREFULLY BECAUSE I'M ACTUALLY NOT SURE WHAT
6 IMPLICATIONS WE HAVE IN THE GRANTS ADMINISTRATION
7 POLICY FOR A PI. BECAUSE WE DEFINE PRETTY
8 SPECIFICALLY WHAT A PI IS. AND IT CURRENTLY DOES
9 NOT ALLOW SPLITTING THAT RESPONSIBILITY OUT. SO IT
10 MAY REQUIRE POTENTIALLY A MODIFICATION OF THE GAP.
11 SO WE NEED TO LOOK INTO IT TO SEE HOW DOABLE IT IS.

12 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: JENNIFER LEWIS, MARIA
13 THOUGHT YOU MIGHT KNOW OFF THE TOP OF YOUR HEAD THE
14 ANSWER TO GIL'S QUESTION.

15 MS. LEWIS: I NEED TO LOOK A LITTLE
16 CLOSER. FROM JUST REVIEWING THE GAP, I THINK WE
17 HAVE FLEXIBILITY IN PROVIDING THE OPTION IN THE
18 PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT TO TEST IT OUT. I DO THINK WE
19 MIGHT WANT TO JUST AFTER THIS MEETING GO BACK AND
20 LOOK AT THE LANGUAGE AND HAVE THE LEGAL TEAM WEIGH
21 IN ON WHETHER THAT'S -- BUT FROM MY VIEW RIGHT NOW,
22 I THINK THERE'S FLEXIBILITY TO PUT IT IN THE PROGRAM
23 ANNOUNCEMENT.

24 DR. CANET-AVILES: OKAY. SO I THINK WHAT
25 WE WILL DO IS WE WILL COME BACK TO THE BOARD WITH

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 LIKE WHETHER THERE IS FLEXIBILITY PROVIDING THIS IN
2 THE PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT CORROBORATED FROM THE LEGAL
3 PERSPECTIVE, OR LOOK AT THIS AND GET BACK BY E-MAIL,
4 NO? OKAY.

5 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THAT SOUNDS
6 REASONABLE TO ME. SO THE PROPOSAL WOULD BE THE
7 GRANTS ADMINISTRATION TEAM WILL HAVE A LOOK AT THE
8 LANGUAGE, SEE WHETHER THIS IS SOMETHING WE COULD
9 OFFER AS AN EXPERIMENT IN THIS ROUND. AND WHEN WE
10 VOTE ON THIS PARTICULAR CONCEPT PLAN, WHICH I THINK
11 WE NEED TO DO, WE WILL DO IT WITH THE PROVISO THAT
12 THERE IS THIS POSSIBILITY THAT THERE WOULD BE SORT
13 OF A LAST-MINUTE ALTERATION TO OFFER A PILOT PROGRAM
14 FOR MULTIPLE PI'S ADDING UP TO 20 PERCENT. HAVE I
15 CAPTURED THE ESSENCE OF THIS?

16 DR. CANET-AVILES: EXCELLENT. YES, YOU
17 DID.

18 MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY, I'LL JUST NOTE
19 THAT IF WE CAN GET THAT SETTLED BEFORE WE GO TO THE
20 BOARD IN MARCH BECAUSE, REMEMBER, THIS WILL MOVE TO
21 THE BOARD IN MARCH, AND THEN WE CAN MAKE THE FINAL
22 VOTE FOR IT AT THE MARCH BOARD MEETING.

23 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SOUND FEASIBLE, GUYS?
24 GIL? JENNIFER? MARIA?

25 DR. SAMBRANO: YES FROM MY END.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THAT'S INTERESTING.
2 ANY OTHER DISCUSSION ITEMS?

3 I WANT TO JUST ADD SOMETHING IN A REQUEST.
4 I LOOKED AT THE DATA SHARING PLAN. AS YOU KNOW,
5 I'VE HAD AN INTEREST IN THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE. I
6 WONDER IF IT'S POSSIBLE TO OFFER LINKS TO OUR
7 GRANTEE COMMUNITY TO THE NIH POLICIES THAT GIVE SOME
8 EXAMPLES OF HOW THEY MIGHT CARRY THESE THINGS OUT.
9 I DO WORRY THAT IT'S A LITTLE TOO OPEN-ENDED, AND IT
10 WOULD BE HELPFUL IF WE GAVE SOME ADDITIONAL ADVICE
11 TO OUR APPLICANTS.

12 DR. CANET-AVILES: YES. I HAD A COUPLE OF
13 SLIDES TO PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL ABOUT THE
14 TYPE OF DATA ELEMENTS THAT WE ARE CONSIDERING, AND
15 IT'S ALL BEEN ALIGNED WITH THE NIH NEW POLICIES THAT
16 ARE COMING INTO EFFECT IN 2023 AND HAVE BEEN UNDER
17 DISCUSSION AND REVIEW FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS, I
18 THINK. SO WE'VE BEEN CAREFULLY LOOKING AT THIS AND
19 EVALUATING THEM, AND THAT'S WHAT WE WOULD BE BASING.

20 SO WE COULD -- ONE CONUNDRUM HERE WOULD BE
21 IF WE LINK TO THEIR WHOLE POLICIES, IT COULD BE
22 CONFUSING BECAUSE NIH IS VERY DIFFERENT INSTITUTES.
23 WE HAVE A BIT MORE HOMOGENEITY IN WHAT WE CAN OFFER
24 TO OUR GRANTEES. SO WOULD WE WANT TO PERHAPS
25 PROVIDE A PDF THAT OUTLINES WHAT WE ARE THINKING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ABOUT INSTEAD OF LINKING TO THEM? I'M JUST
2 SUGGESTING.

3 AND WE HAVE -- MARIA AND DAVID HIGGINS, I
4 DON'T KNOW WHO WAS FIRST. MARIA, I THINK, WAS
5 FIRST.

6 DR. MILLAN: I'LL LET THE CHAIR CHOOSE. I
7 WANTED TO SUGGEST SOMETHING AFTER DR. HIGGINS SPEAKS
8 IN TERMS OF THAT SPECIFIC QUESTION. AND WE CAN
9 EITHER DO IT NOW OR AT THE TIME THAT GIL PRESENTS
10 THE CONCEPT CHANGES BECAUSE THE DATA SHARING IS
11 SOMETHING THAT'S BEING PROPOSED ACROSS THE PROGRAM.
12 BUT I'LL JUST YIELD TO DR. HIGGINS FIRST. AND THEN
13 THAT'S ON THE TABLE. WE DO HAVE SOME SUPPLEMENTAL
14 INFORMATION TO JUST ILLUSTRATE HOW THIS COULD BE
15 IMPLEMENTED.

16 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GOOD. DAVID, GO
17 AHEAD.

18 DR. HIGGINS: THANK YOU, PRESIDENT MILLAN.
19 I JUST WANTED TO CHIME IN AND SECOND OR BACK UP WHAT
20 LARRY WAS JUST SAYING ABOUT US SORT OF GIVING PEOPLE
21 SOME INFORMATION ABOUT HOW THEY COULD MOST
22 SUCCESSFULLY CRAFT THEIR APPLICATION. I JUST WANTED
23 TO SHARE WITH YOU THAT MY KNOWLEDGE, GENERAL
24 KNOWLEDGE, OF CIRM PRIOR TO EVEN BEING INVOLVED WITH
25 CIRM WAS THAT THEY WERE AN INSTITUTION THAT THEIR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GOAL WASN'T TO TRICK YOU AND MAKE YOU FAIL. THE
2 GOAL WAS TO FIND OUT WHAT CIRM COULD DO TO MAKE YOU
3 SUCCEED TO GET MONEY.

4 AND I THINK THAT THAT PHILOSOPHY IS VERY
5 UNUSUAL, VERY UNIQUE, AND EXTREMELY POWERFUL. AND I
6 THINK THAT'S WHAT LARRY BASICALLY IS SAYING, NOT TO
7 PUT WORDS IN YOUR MOUTH, AND I JUST WANTED TO SECOND
8 THAT. I THINK THAT'S REALLY, REALLY WHAT SETS US
9 APART FROM OTHER PLACES THAT GRANT MONEY.

10 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU, DAVID.

11 DR. MILLAN: WE HAVE A GENERAL SCHEME THAT
12 WE CAN SHARE AT ANY TIME, DR. GOLDSTEIN, WHENEVER
13 YOU THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE.

14 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO IT WOULD APPLY TO
15 DISC-0 AS WELL AS 2 AND THE TRAN, AND CLIN-1 AND 2
16 BECAUSE THEY'RE LISTED SEPARATELY IN THE AGENDA?

17 DR. MILLAN: IF IT'S RELEVANT TO THE
18 SUBCOMMITTEE DELIBERATIONS ON THE DISC-0, THIS COULD
19 BE A FINE TIME FOR OUR TEAM TO PRESENT THIS SO THAT
20 YOU CAN KIND OF LOOK AT DISC-0 HOLISTICALLY. IT'S
21 ALSO RELEVANT TO THE CONCEPT CHANGES. SO ROSA HAS
22 SOME SUPPLEMENTARY DISCUSSION SLIDES THAT COULD HELP
23 IN THAT IF THAT'S WHAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO DO.

24 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU. THAT
25 SOUNDS LIKE A GREAT IDEA TO ME, MARIA. THANK YOU

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 VERY MUCH. ROSA.

2 DR. CANET-AVILES: I'M TRYING TO LEARN
3 FROM LARRY. OKAY.

4 SO THIS IS THE PHASED APPROACH
5 IMPLEMENTATION OF DATA SHARING. AND AS YOU KNOW,
6 CIRM HAS IN RECENT YEARS ALREADY INCORPORATED DATA
7 MANAGEMENT PLANS IN OUR GRANT PROPOSALS. THIS WAS
8 FIRST IMPLEMENTED DURING THE EMERGENCY COVID PROGRAM
9 AND HAS HIGHLIGHTED THE IMPORTANCE OF COLLABORATIVE
10 RESEARCH AND DATA SHARING, WHICH WAS VERY CRITICAL
11 AT THE TIME.

12 SINCE THEN, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE HAVE
13 REALIZED IS THAT THERE IS VARIABILITY IN THE PLANS
14 THAT ARE COMING IN. AND THAT MADE US REALIZE THAT
15 WE NEED TO BE MORE CLEAR IN THE GUIDELINES AND
16 TEMPLATES THAT WE PROVIDE TO BE ABLE TO HELP OUR
17 GRANTEES BETTER TO BE SUCCESSFUL.

18 SO WITH THE BOARD'S APPROVAL, IF WE
19 APPROVE THIS, WE COULD BE IMPLEMENTING A SECOND
20 PHASE DESIGN TO SOLVE THESE ISSUES BY PROVIDING
21 GUIDELINES FOR DATA MANAGEMENT AND SHARING. SO CIRM
22 COULD BE PROVIDING IN THE RFA CLEAR INSTRUCTIONS TO
23 RESEARCHERS TO PROVIDE A PLAN FOR HOW SCIENTIFIC
24 DATA WILL BE PRESERVED AND SHARED IN ACCORD WITH THE
25 FAIR PRINCIPLES. AND WE WOULD ALSO, AS I MENTIONED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EARLIER ON, ALLOCATE FUNDS IN THE PROPOSED BUDGET
2 FOR PERSONNEL AND/OR ACTIVITIES RELATED TO MANAGING
3 AND SHARING OF THIS DATA. AND I WILL PROVIDE A
4 LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL IN THE NEXT SLIDE.

5 APPLICATIONS, THROUGH THE PROGRAM
6 ANNOUNCEMENT, WE WOULD HAVE AN APPLICATION THAT
7 COULD PROVIDE TWO TYPES OF TEMPLATES. ONE WOULD BE
8 FOR THE DATA MANAGEMENT AND SHARING PLAN AND THEN
9 ONE FOR ALLOWABLE COSTS. WE WOULD ALSO BE PROVIDING
10 A LIST OF ESTABLISHED AND AVAILABLE DATA
11 REPOSITORIES FOCUSING ON RESEARCHOMICS DATASETS, AND
12 ALSO A LIST OF TRAINING AND TUTORIALS, LINKS TO
13 DIFFERENT TUTORIALS AND TRAINING THAT HAVE BEEN MADE
14 AVAILABLE THROUGH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND OTHER
15 INSTITUTIONS THAT COULD BE HELPFUL WHEN USING THESE
16 REPOSITORIES OF DATA.

17 THROUGH THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP REVIEW,
18 THERE COULD BE CLEARLY DEFINED CRITERIA FOR REVIEW
19 OF THE STRENGTH OF THE DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN. AND IN
20 TERMS OF ACCOUNTABILITY, INCORPORATION OF THE DATA
21 SHARING AND MANAGEMENT PLAN INTO THE AWARD
22 MILESTONES.

23 PHASE II COULD THEN START. THE TIMING FOR
24 THIS IS TBD. OF COURSE, VERY DEPENDENT ON THE
25 DISCUSSIONS AND FEEDBACK FROM THE BOARD, BUT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 COORDINATE AND SHAPE BY CIRM FUTURE DATA AND
2 CONSORTIA PROGRAMS.

3 IN THIS NEXT SLIDE WE HAVE BASICALLY SOME
4 EXAMPLES OF WHAT WE COULD BE ASKING IN A PLAN. MANY
5 THESE THINGS WILL BE INCORPORATED AND HAVE FUNDING
6 THROUGH THE AWARD BASICALLY TO ENABLE THESE AND THE
7 PERSONNEL THAT WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE TO ENSURE
8 ADHERENCE TO THESE REQUIREMENTS. SOME COULD BE
9 PROVIDING FUNDS THROUGH THE AWARD.

10 SO THE PROPOSED ELEMENTS OF THE DATA TYPE
11 AND STANDARDS, THAT WOULD BE DESCRIBING THE
12 SCIENTIFIC DATA TO BE MANAGED AND PRESERVED AND
13 SHARED TOGETHER WITH AN INDICATION OF WHAT TYPE OF
14 STANDARDS WILL BE APPLIED TO THE SCIENTIFIC DATA AND
15 ASSOCIATED METADATA, FOR EXAMPLE.

16 IN TERMS OF RELATED TOOLS, WE WOULD BE
17 ASKING TO PROVIDE AN INDICATION OF WHETHER
18 SPECIALIZED TOOLS WOULD BE NEEDED TO ACCESS OR
19 MANIPULATE SHARED SCIENTIFIC DATA TO SUPPORT THE
20 REPLICATION OR THE REUSE. AND WE WOULD BE ASKING,
21 IF APPROPRIATE, IF THEY NEEDED TOOLS AND SOFTWARE,
22 WHICH ONES WOULD BE.

23 IN TERMS OF DATA PRESERVATION, ACCESS AND
24 TIME LINES FOR ACCESS, THIS COULD INCLUDE PLANS AND
25 TIMELINES FOR DATA PRESERVATION AND ACCESS,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 INCLUDING THE NAME OF THE REPOSITORY. THE
2 REPOSITORIES FOR SCIENTIFIC DATA AND METADATA
3 ARISING FROM THE PROJECT WOULD BE ARCHIVED. IN THIS
4 CONTEXT, CIRM COULD BE PROVIDING SUPPLEMENTARY
5 INFORMATION TO ASSIST IN SELECTING SUITABLE
6 REPOSITORIES FOR SCIENTIFIC DATA RESULTING FROM THE
7 FUNDED RESEARCH.

8 AND MY COLLEAGUE, SHYAM PATEL, HAS SOME
9 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION WITH REGARDS TO THIS IN
10 TERMS OF WHAT WE COULD BE PROVIDING AND WHAT HAS
11 ALREADY BEEN DONE THROUGH CIRM. WHAT SOME OF OUR
12 GRANTEES HAVE BEEN USING IN TERMS OF REPOSITORIES.

13 IN TERMS OF REUSE, DISTRIBUTION OF DATA
14 CONSIDERATIONS, A DESCRIPTION, WE WOULD BE ASKING
15 FOR A DESCRIPTION OF ANY APPLICABLE PRACTICE THAT
16 COULD AFFECT SUBSEQUENT ACCESS, DISTRIBUTION, OR
17 REUSE OF SCIENTIFIC DATA RELATED, FOR EXAMPLE, TO
18 INFORMED CONSENT, PRIVACY, PROTECTIONS, OR WHETHER
19 ACCESS TO THE SCIENTIFIC DATA DERIVED FROM HUMANS
20 COULD BE CONTROLLED, AND ANY OTHER RESTRICTIONS OR
21 CONSIDERATIONS.

22 AND THEN TERMS OF GOVERNANCE AND DATA
23 SHARING MANAGEMENT, WE WOULD BE ASKING TO INDICATE
24 HOW COMPLIANCE WITH THE PLAN WOULD BE MONITORED AND
25 MANAGED AND THE OVERSIGHT, ET CETERA. AS I

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MENTIONED, AGAIN, TO ENABLE THESE AND THE PERSONNEL
2 THAT WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ENSURING THE ADHERENCE
3 TO ALL THESE REQUIREMENTS, CIRM COULD BE PROVIDING
4 FUNDS THROUGH THE AWARD. I HOPE THIS IS HELPFUL.

5 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I THINK THAT'S GREAT,
6 ROSA. I THINK IT'S A TERRIFIC STEP AHEAD. I THINK
7 IT WILL HELP OUR GRANTEES AND APPLICANTS WITH
8 MANAGING THIS. OBVIOUSLY SOME TYPES OF DATA ARE
9 GOING TO BE MUCH MORE STRAIGHTFORWARD THAN OTHERS.
10 OMICS DATA WE ALREADY KNOW HOW TO DO.
11 IMMUNOFLUORESCENCE MICROSCOPY EXPERIMENTS, IT'S NOT
12 COMPLETELY OBVIOUS THAT WE YET KNOW EXACTLY HOW TO
13 HANDLE THOSE DATA, BUT MAYBE WE WILL LEARN.

14 I WONDER IF I COULD ASK KEITH, IF HE'S
15 STILL ON THE LINE, WHO'S VERY IN TOUCH WITH THE NIH,
16 IS THERE ANYTHING THAT'S BEEN LEARNED AT THE NIH
17 ABOUT HOW TO MANAGE THESE SORTS OF PLANS, OR HAVE
18 THERE BEEN ANY TERRIBLE MISTAKES WE SHOULD KNOW
19 ABOUT?

20 DR. YAMAMOTO: I DON'T THINK SO. THE PLAN
21 IS REALLY SET TO BE IMPLEMENTED IN 2023. AND SO WE
22 HAVE ALL SEEN THE PROPOSALS THAT HAVE BEEN PUT
23 FORWARD. DATA SHARING ON THE CLINICAL TRIAL SIDE
24 REMAINS, IN MY VIEW, HOPELESSLY WEAK. ACTUALLY
25 LAUNCHED IN THE FIRST DATA SHARING PLAN PUT FORWARD

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IN 2003 FOR NIH. THERE'S AN ADVISORY THAT CLINICAL
2 TRIAL DATA -- PROPOSALS FOR CLINICAL TRIALS FROM NIH
3 MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY A DATA SHARING PLAN, BUT NOT
4 ANY REQUIREMENT FOR DATA SHARING. AND THAT LANGUAGE
5 HAS PERSISTED SINCE 2003 THROUGH EIGHT VERSIONS
6 UNTIL WHAT IS NOW CALLED THE FINAL PLAN.

7 AND SO I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH WE'RE GOING
8 TO LEARN FROM THERE. BUT THE OVERALL DATA SHARING
9 SCHEME WILL BE IMPLEMENTED IN '23, AND WE'LL BEGIN
10 TO SEE -- AND ONLY THEN WILL WE REALLY BE ABLE TO
11 SEE WHETHER THERE ARE SOME FLAWS THAT NEED TO BE
12 ADDRESSED.

13 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. THANK YOU,
14 KEITH.

15 ROSA AND TEAM, WE DO INTEND OUR PLAN TO
16 APPLY TO CLINICAL TRIAL DATA ULTIMATELY, DON'T WE?

17 DR. CANET-AVILES: YES. THIS DATA SHARING
18 PLAN -- AND I SHOULD ACTUALLY DEFER TO MY COLLEAGUE
19 DR. CREASEY PERHAPS. ABLA.

20 DR. CREASEY: THANK YOU, ROSA. THANK YOU,
21 LARRY.

22 SO WE ARE STILL THINKING ABOUT THE DATA
23 SHARING PLAN FOR CLINICAL, BUT WE KNOW, FOR EXAMPLE,
24 OUR SICKLE CELL GRANTS THAT ARE WORKING CLOSELY WITH
25 NIH AND NHLBI, THEIR DATA ARE LIKELY TO GO INTO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BIODATA CATALYST, WHICH IS, AGAIN, AN IMPORTANT
2 DATABASE FOR NIH. BUT WE ARE STILL, AGAIN, THINKING
3 ABOUT IS THIS LIKE WITH ONE REPOSITORY AND MAYBE
4 THERE ARE OTHERS. SO IT'S STILL BEING STUDIED.

5 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. PAT LEVITT,
6 YOU HAVE A QUESTION OR COMMENT.

7 DR. LEVITT: YEAH. I WAS JUST GOING TO
8 COMMENT. SO THE OMICS DATA ARE OBVIOUSLY THE MOST
9 RELEVANT AND EASIEST TO COMPLY WITH, AND IT'S BEEN
10 RELATIVELY SUCCESSFUL. I CAN TELL YOU JUST FROM MY
11 OWN EXPERIENCE, OTHER KINDS OF DATA, LIKE THROUGH
12 NDAR, FOR EXAMPLE, WHICH IS THE AUTISM NATIONAL
13 DATABASE, HAS BEEN EXTREMELY DIFFICULT.

14 I WAS JUST GOING TO MENTION, AND I DON'T
15 KNOW WHAT THE WORD "TOOLS" MEANT, BUT I THINK IN
16 ADDITION TO SHARING DATA, SHARING PROTOCOLS AND
17 ANALYTICAL PIPELINES, I THINK, REALLY NEEDS TO BE
18 INCLUDED. AND THERE ARE VERY STRAIGHTFORWARD
19 MECHANISMS THAT PEOPLE GENERALLY USE, BUT NOT
20 EVERYBODY POSTS THEIR ANALYTICAL PIPELINE ON A
21 GITHUB, FOR EXAMPLE, WHICH IS A FREE, OPEN ACCESS
22 DATABASE. AND I THINK, THROUGH THESE STUDIES, THERE
23 WILL DEFINITELY BE ANALYTICAL PIPELINES AND OTHER
24 SORTS OF PROTOCOLS THAT WILL BE DEVELOPED AND SHOULD
25 BE SHARED OPENLY.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. CANET-AVILES: CAN I SAY SOMETHING?

2 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GO AHEAD, ROSA,
3 PLEASE, YES.

4 DR. CANET-AVILES: THIS IS GREAT. AND I
5 DON'T KNOW IF DR. LEVITT HAD A CHANCE TO ATTEND THE
6 WORKSHOP LAST FRIDAY FOR THE DATA INFRASTRUCTURE,
7 AND WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THE CONCEPT OF THE DATA
8 BIOSPHERE WHICH COULD CONTAIN ANALYTICAL PIPELINES.
9 THIS WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD DEFINITELY BE
10 THINKING ABOUT IN PHASE II OF THIS IMPLEMENTATION.
11 THAT'S AN EXCELLENT POINT. THANK YOU.

12 DR. LEVITT: YOU'RE WELCOME.

13 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. ANY OTHER
14 QUESTIONS OR DISCUSSION HERE? MARIA, DO WE HAVE A
15 QUORUM SO WE CAN VOTE?

16 MS. BONNEVILLE: WE DO HAVE A QUORUM, BUT
17 WE NEED A MOTION.

18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: NEED A MOTION.
19 SOMEBODY?

20 DR. YAMAMOTO: SO MOVED.

21 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SECOND SOMEWHERE?

22 DR. LEVITT: SECOND.

23 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. GREAT. LET'S
24 SEE. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION BEFORE WE CALL THE
25 ROLL?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: I'M SORRY. WHO WAS THE
2 SECOND? I WAS JUST TRYING TO FIND THE VOICE.

3 DR. LEVITT: IT WAS PAT.

4 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, PAT. GREAT.

5 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. MARIA
6 BONNEVILLE.

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: CAN YOU CALL FOR PUBLIC
8 COMMENT?

9 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: WE NEED PUBLIC
10 COMMENT ON THIS ITEM. PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE. DO WE
11 HAVE ANY?

12 MS. BONNEVILLE: WE DO NOT HAVE ANY. YOU
13 KNOW, WE FOLLOW THESE RULES.

14 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: KEVIN MARKS HAS
15 SOMETHING TO SAY ALL OF A SUDDEN. KEVIN.

16 MR. MARKS: ALL OF A SUDDEN. SO WE'VE
17 BEEN WORKING A LITTLE BIT ON THE BACKGROUND WITH
18 RESPECT TO THE ISSUE OF THE CO-PI DEFINITION AND THE
19 ALLOWANCE UNDER THE GAP. IN A QUICK REVIEW, OUR GAP
20 DOES NOT ENVISION THE USE OF A CO-PI IN ANY OF THE
21 ACTIVITIES. BUT WE'RE IN THE MIDST OF ACTUALLY
22 REVIEWING OUR GAP AND PROPOSING CERTAIN REVISIONS.
23 SO WE CAN ALWAYS TAKE THAT INTO CONSIDERATION. IF
24 THIS COMMITTEE AND THE BOARD WISHES TO DO SO, WE CAN
25 TAKE THAT INTO CONSIDERATION AS WE PROPOSE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS.

2 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO I THINK THE
3 IMPLICATION OF WHAT YOU JUST SAID IS WE CAN'T BY
4 FIAT HAVE A PILOT PROGRAM ON THIS PARTICULAR CONCEPT
5 THAT WE ARE DISCUSSING. AND THAT ANY CHANGE IN THE
6 GAP WOULD HAVE TO COME THROUGH HERE AND THEN GO TO
7 THE FULL BOARD BEFORE IT WAS IMPLEMENTED; IS THAT
8 RIGHT?

9 MR. MARKS: IN A QUICK REVIEW OF THE GAP,
10 YES. WE CAN EXPLORE A LITTLE BIT MORE WITH RELATION
11 TO OUR FLEXIBILITY. AS IS STATED, THE CURRENT
12 WORDING OF THE GAP DOES NOT ALLOW A CO-PI TYPE
13 SITUATION.

14 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. SO I GUESS WE
15 CAN'T DO A PILOT PROGRAM ON THIS ONE. SO STRIKE
16 THAT FROM THE PLAN. BUT IT SOUNDS LIKE WE SHOULD
17 ASK KEVIN AND TEAM TO RESEARCH MAKING CHANGES IN THE
18 GAP TO ALLOW SUCH A PILOT PROGRAM AND THEN BRING IT
19 BACK TO THIS GROUP, I GUESS, AND THEN TO THE FULL
20 BOARD, CORRECT?

21 MS. BONNEVILLE: CORRECT.

22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. ANYTHING ELSE
23 BEFORE WE VOTE? OKAY. MARIA BONNEVILLE, NOW YOU
24 CAN CALL THE ROLL.

25 MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFA ABDULHAQ. DEBORAH

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DEAS.
2 DR. DEAS: YES.
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
4 DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS. JUDY
6 GASSON.
7 DR. GASSON: YES.
8 MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
9 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YES.
10 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
11 DR. HIGGINS: YES.
12 MS. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
13 DR. LEVITT: YES.
14 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN. SHLOMO
15 MELMED.
16 DR. MELMED: YES.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
18 DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
22 MR. TORRES: AYE.
23 MS. BONNEVILLE: CARL WARE.
24 DR. WARE: YES.
25 MS. BONNEVILLE: KAROL WATSON. KEITH

1 YAMAMOTO.

2 DR. YAMAMOTO: YES.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.

4 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. THANK YOU,
5 EVERYBODY.

6 ON TO ITEM 4 IN THE AGENDA, ADDITIONAL
7 AMENDMENTS TO CONCEPT PLANS FOR DISCOVERY,
8 TRANSLATION, AND CLINICAL STAGE PROJECTS. LET'S TRY
9 TO REMEMBER THE DISCUSSIONS WE JUST HAD SO WE DON'T
10 HAVE TO REINVENT THE WHEEL WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THESE
11 OTHER PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS. WHO'S GOT THIS?

12 DR. SAMBRANO: I'VE GOT THIS ONE. THANK
13 YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. LET ME SHARE MY SCREEN.

14 SO, AS YOU KNOW, WE HAVE ONGOING
15 OPPORTUNITIES TO FUND DISCOVERY, TRANSLATIONAL, AND
16 CLINICAL STAGE PROGRAMS THAT HAVE BEEN RUNNING NOW
17 SINCE ABOUT 2015. AND SO WE PERIODICALLY COME TO
18 YOU WITH SOME TWEAKS OR CHANGES FOR THESE CONCEPTS.
19 SO WE ARE COMING TO YOU AGAIN WITH SOME PROPOSED
20 CHANGES, WHICH I WILL GO OVER.

21 SO I DIVIDED THIS UP TO KIND OF FOCUS IN
22 ON CHANGES TO SPECIFIC CONCEPTS. AND THEN AT THE
23 END I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE GLOBAL ONES
24 THAT APPLY TO ALL OF THESE CONCEPTS. AND WE
25 PROVIDED THE DOCUMENT. SO YOU WILL SEE THE ACTUAL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONCEPT DOCUMENT WITH TRACK CHANGES WHERE YOU CAN
2 SEE HOW THOSE ARE BEING IMPLEMENTED. THE SLIDES ARE
3 JUST GOING TO PRESENT A HIGH LEVEL OVERVIEW OF
4 THESE.

5 SO FOR THE DISCOVERY 2 CONCEPT, DISC2 IS
6 OUR QUEST PROGRAM, WHICH SEEKS TO SUPPORT WORK TO
7 IDENTIFY A PRODUCT CANDIDATE OF SOME KIND, IN MANY
8 CASES OR PROBABLY IN MOST CASES, A THERAPEUTIC OF
9 SOME SORT, BUT IN OTHER CASES IT MAY BE A TOOL.

10 SO ONE OF THE PROPOSALS THAT WE HAVE IN
11 THIS CONCEPT IS TO INCREASE THE DURATION ALLOWABLE
12 FOR THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATE AWARDS FROM TWO YEARS TO
13 THREE YEARS. AND THIS IS BASED, IN PART, ON
14 FEEDBACK AND JUST OUR OBSERVATION OF APPLICANTS AND
15 AWARDEES IN GETTING TO THAT END GOAL OF IDENTIFYING
16 A THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATE AND FEELING THAT, BY
17 ALLOWING MORE TIME, YOU'RE LIKELY TO INCREASE THE
18 SUCCESS OF THEM ACCOMPLISHING THAT GOAL. SO THAT IS
19 ONE OF THE PROPOSALS.

20 AND ALIGNED WITH THAT IS AN INCREASE IN
21 THE BUDGET TO ACCOUNT FOR THE INCREASE IN TIME FROM
22 24 TO 36 MONTHS, BUT ALSO TO ALLOW A SMALL INCREASE
23 IN ADJUSTMENT TO TOTAL PROJECT COSTS THAT ACCOUNT
24 FOR INCREASES IN CAPS TO PERSONNEL AS WELL AS JUST
25 THE ADJUSTMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES AND INFLATION

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

OVERALL .
ONE OF THE ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS TO THIS IS
THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADD UP TO 200,000 OVER THAT CAP
THAT APPLICANTS MAY REQUEST WITH JUSTIFICATION FOR
SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES. AND THESE SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES
ARE OBTAINING AND/OR SHARING DEVELOPMENT COMPATIBLE
LINES, TESTING MULTIPLE LINES TO ENSURE QUALITY OF
THEIR SELECTED DEVELOPMENT CANDIDATE, AND TO ADDRESS
SCIENTIFIC DIVERSITY.

SO AN EXAMPLE THAT HAS COME UP OFTEN,
PARTICULARLY SINCE OUR COVID INITIATIVES, WAS
INCREASING THE NUMBER OF LINES THAT ARE TESTED TO
ENSURE THAT THERE IS RACIAL AND ETHNIC DIVERSITY
REPRESENTED IN THOSE LINES THAT ARE TESTED. SO BY
PROVIDING THIS ALLOWANCE, HOPEFULLY IT MAY
INCENTIVIZE GREATER STUDY OF THOSE DIVERSE LINES AS
WELL AS THE OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE THAT A REALITY FOR
THOSE. SO THAT'S JUST THE DISC2.

WE HAVE ONE CHANGE THAT APPLIES ACROSS
DISC2 AND THEN OUR TRANSLATIONAL CONCEPT, WHICH IS
TO INCREASE THE ALLOWANCE TO AWARD LAUNCH FROM 60
DAYS CURRENTLY TO 90 DAYS. WE'VE BEEN GOING BACK
AND FORTH A LITTLE BIT. WE TRIED TO BE VERY
PROGRESSIVE IN THIS, AND WE WENT DOWN TO AS LITTLE
AS 30 DAYS WHEN WE LAUNCHED THE COVID PROGRAM. I

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THINK THERE WAS REALLY AN EFFORT TO SPEED UP WHAT WE
2 DO AS MUCH WE COULD. BUT THAT WAS NOT SUSTAINABLE
3 BEYOND THAT, AND WE FOUND THAT 90 DAYS IS A MORE
4 COMFORTABLE WINDOW THAT ALLOWS US TO WORK WITH THE
5 APPLICANTS OR AWARDEES TO BE IN ORDER TO NEGOTIATE
6 THE FINAL CONTRACT AND LAUNCH THOSE AWARDS. SO THAT
7 APPLIES TO THOSE TWO.

8 FOR THE CLINICAL PROGRAM, SO THE CLIN 2 IS
9 THE CONCEPT AND OPPORTUNITY THAT FUNDS CLINICAL
10 TRIAL PROJECTS. AND SO THE MAIN CHANGE HERE IS A
11 PROPOSAL TO CHANGE THE BASIS FOR DETERMINING WHAT
12 THE AWARD MAXIMUM AND COFUNDING AMOUNTS WOULD BE.

13 SO CURRENTLY THE WAY WE DO THIS IS BASED
14 ON WHETHER A PROJECT IS A PHASE I, PHASE II, OR
15 PHASE III CLINICAL TRIAL. WE HAVE FOUND OVER TIME
16 THAT NOT ALL PROJECTS FIT VERY NEATLY WITHIN THE I,
17 II, OR III PHASE DESIGNATION. SOMETIMES THERE'S
18 I-II'S OR PROJECTS THAT MAYBE SHOULD BE LABELED A I,
19 BUT ARE NOT, OR A II AND VICE VERSA. AND ALSO THERE
20 IS THE DESIRE FROM SOME APPLICANTS TO TRY TO LABEL
21 AND FIT THEIR PROJECT WHERE THEY CAN TO ACCOUNT FOR
22 THE AWARD MAXIMUM THAT THEY CAN CLAIM OR FOR THE
23 COFUNDING ALLOWANCE.

24 SO WE THOUGHT WE WOULD SIMPLIFY IT A BIT.
25 INSTEAD OF USING THE PHASE I, II, AND III, PROPOSE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT STUDIES THAT ARE FIRST IN HUMAN, THAT ARE
2 TESTING A THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATE IN A GIVEN DISEASE
3 INDICATION WITH A GIVEN ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION
4 WOULD THEN HAVE AN AWARD MAX OF 12 MILLION FOR
5 NONPROFIT, 8 MILLION FOR FOR-PROFIT; COFUNDING, NONE
6 FOR NONPROFIT AND 30 PERCENT FOR FOR-PROFIT. AND
7 THOSE ARE IDENTICAL TO WHAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE FOR
8 OUR PHASE I STUDIES. SO THAT ALIGNS PRETTY WELL,
9 BUT WE'RE JUST NOW CALLING IT FIRST IN HUMAN.

10 ALL SUCCEEDING STUDIES, SO ANYTHING AFTER
11 FIRST IN HUMAN, WOULD THEN ENTER INTO THAT SECOND
12 TIER WHERE THEY CAN REQUEST UP TO 15 MILLION,
13 WHETHER NONPROFIT OR FOR-PROFIT, AND WOULD REQUIRE A
14 COFUNDING OF 40 PERCENT, AGAIN, WHETHER FOR-PROFIT
15 OR NONPROFIT. AND THIS IS ALIGNED WITH WHAT WE
16 CURRENTLY HAVE FOR PHASE II STUDIES COMING IN. SO
17 THAT'S THE PROPOSAL FOR CLIN2.

18 FOR CLIN1 THIS IS JUST A VERY SIMPLE
19 CHANGE ACTUALLY OF INCLUDING LANGUAGE FOR THOSE THAT
20 ARE PROPOSING ALLOGENEIC CELL THERAPIES. THIS IS
21 JUST ENSURING THAT THEY MEET THE DONOR ELIGIBILITY
22 REQUIREMENTS, WHICH WE ALREADY HAVE IN THE DISCOVERY
23 AND TRAN CONCEPTS. SO WE ARE JUST BRINGING IT INTO
24 CLIN1 JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT IS CONSISTENT.

25 AND IN TERMS OF GLOBAL CHANGES THAT APPLY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO ALL THREE, DISCOVERY, TRAN, AND CLIN, WE TALKED
2 ABOUT THE DATA SHARING PLAN REQUIREMENTS. SO HERE
3 AGAIN, JUST TO EMPHASIZE WHAT WE ARE DOING IS SIMPLY
4 MAKING A CHANGE IN THE LANGUAGE. WE ACTUALLY HAVE
5 ALREADY HAD LANGUAGE IN THESE CONCEPTS THAT BEGAN
6 AROUND THE TIME THAT WE INSTITUTED THE COVID
7 OPPORTUNITIES FOR HAVING A DATA SHARING PLAN. AND
8 SO WE HAVE SINCE THAT TIME GOTTEN TO SEE A LITTLE
9 BIT ABOUT WHAT FOLKS DO WITH A PLAN. SOME ARE NOT
10 SO GREAT; SOME ARE GREAT. SO WE ARE HOPING TO TWEAK
11 THE LANGUAGE A LITTLE BIT AND SAY THAT NOW, INSTEAD
12 OF JUST EXPECTING, THAT WE REQUIRE AWARDEES TO
13 DEVELOP AND EXECUTE A DATA SHARING PLAN. WE ALSO
14 REQUIRE THAT THEY SHARE, IN ACCORDANCE TO FAIR DATA
15 PRINCIPLES, AS WELL AS ALSO TO ALLOCATE FUNDS IN THE
16 PROPOSED BUDGET TO ALLOW FOR EITHER PERSONNEL AND/OR
17 ACTIVITIES THAT RELATE TO THE DATA SHARING. LET'S
18 SEE.

19 WE ARE UPDATING DEI LANGUAGE. THESE ARE
20 NOT SIGNIFICANT UPDATES IN THE LANGUAGE BECAUSE THAT
21 ALSO HAS EXISTED IN ALL OF THESE CONCEPTS FOR A
22 WHILE, BUT WE'RE TRYING TO REFLECT SOME OF THE
23 IMPROVEMENTS THAT WE CONTINUE TO MAKE OR
24 CONSOLIDATING OUR DEI SECTIONS TO MAKE IT CLEARER
25 FOR APPLICANTS AND FOR US TO PROVIDE BETTER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 INSTRUCTION AS WELL AS TO REFLECT THE BOARD FEEDBACK
2 THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED IN THIS ARENA.

3 WE ARE ALSO JUST BROADLY REMOVING EXPIRED
4 REFERENCES TO THE CIRM 2.0, STREAMLINING THE
5 DOCUMENT. AND SO YOU MAY HAVE NOTICED THAT THE
6 TEMPLATES UPON WHICH WE HAVE THEM ARE ALL DIFFERENT
7 AND NEW, BUT THEY'RE ALL CONSISTENT WITH ONE
8 ANOTHER, AND HOPEFULLY THIS WILL HELP PRESENT THE
9 INFORMATION IN A CLEARER FASHION FOR EVERYONE TO
10 SEE.

11 AND SO SPEAKING OF CONSISTENCY, ONE OF THE
12 OTHER EXAMPLES IN WHICH WE ARE TWEAKING LANGUAGE TO
13 ENSURE THAT THIS IS THE CASE IS IN THE ELIGIBILITY
14 OF THE CANDIDATES THAT CAN COME IN FOR EACH OF THESE
15 OPPORTUNITIES. ONE OF THE EXAMPLES THAT WE ARE
16 ALIGNING IS IN THE FIRST BULLET WHERE IN THE
17 TRANSLATION PROGRAM, FOR A FEW HISTORICAL REASONS,
18 WE WERE NOT ALLOWING MINIMALLY MANIPULATED BONE
19 MARROW, CORD BLOOD, OR UNMODIFIED HSC'S IN THAT
20 PARTICULAR OPPORTUNITY, BUT IT WAS BEING ALLOWED IN
21 DISCOVERY AND CLINICAL. SO JUST TO MAKE IT
22 CONSISTENT, WE'RE GOING TO ALLOW IT IN TRAN SO THAT
23 THEY ARE ALL BASICALLY THE SAME, AND THERE WILL BE
24 LESS CONFUSION, I THINK, AMONG APPLICANTS OF WHAT
25 QUALIFIES OR WHAT DOES NOT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND THEN I THINK WITH THAT -- SO THAT KIND
2 OF TAKES CARE OF THE PROPOSED CHANGES, BUT WE ALSO
3 DO HAVE A QUESTION THAT MAY GO INTO A LITTLE BIT OF
4 DISCUSSION, WHETHER NOW THAT WE HAVE MOVED FORWARD
5 WITH THE DISCOVERY 0 CONCEPT THAT HAS A GENETIC
6 RESEARCH DEFINITION WHICH IS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT
7 THAN THE GENE THERAPY DEFINITION THAT WE CURRENTLY
8 USE, JUST GOING TO GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE SO YOU CAN
9 SEE THEM SIDE BY SIDE, CURRENTLY WE HAVE BEEN USING
10 A GENE THERAPY DEFINITION SHOWN ON THE LEFT THAT WAS
11 ADOPTED BY THE BOARD A FEW YEARS AGO, THIS WAS EVEN
12 BEFORE PROP 14, IN ORDER TO INCLUDE THIS TYPE OF
13 RESEARCH TO BE FUNDED. AND CURRENTLY WE FUND IT
14 WITHOUT ANY NEED FOR THERE TO BE A STEM CELL OR
15 PROGENITOR CELL COMPONENT. THIS IS JUST STRAIGHT UP
16 GENE THERAPY. AND SO WE DEFINE IT AS SHOWN ON THE
17 LEFT.

18 NOW, THE DISC-0 GENETIC RESEARCH
19 DEFINITION THAT IS IN THE DISC-0 CONCEPT IS A BIT
20 BROADER. IT'S INCLUSIVE OF WHAT'S UNDER THE GENE
21 THERAPY, BUT IT EXTENDS IT TO ALLOW FOR ELEMENTS
22 SUCH AS INTRODUCING ANY NUCLEIC ACID INTO A CELL
23 LIKE AN MRNA OR AN ANTISENSE OLIGO INTO CELLS. AND
24 SO I THINK THE QUESTION FOR DISCUSSION IS NOT, JUST
25 TO EMPHASIZE, THIS IS NOT CURRENTLY IN THE CONCEPT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DOCUMENTS, IS THE QUESTION OF WHETHER THAT SHOULD BE
2 BROADENED TO BE LIKE IT IS IN DISC-0 OR WHETHER IT
3 SHOULD REMAIN A LITTLE TIGHTER AS IS SHOWN ON THE
4 LEFT AND HOW WE'VE BEEN USING IT RECENTLY.

5 SO THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION, AND
6 HAPPY TO ADDRESS ANY QUESTIONS THERE MAY BE.

7 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: LET'S DO THIS, GUYS.
8 I DO HAVE ONE GENERAL QUESTION FOR GIL. THEN I
9 THINK WE SHOULD PROBABLY ORGANIZE THE DISCUSSION SO
10 THAT FOR EACH TYPE OF GRANT WE DISCUSS BRIEFLY THE
11 PROPOSED CHANGES. I THINK IF WE TRY TO DISCUSS ALL
12 OF THOSE PROPOSED CHANGES AT ONCE, WE'RE GOING TO
13 GET A LITTLE CONFUSED, AND IT WILL BE HARD TO MANAGE
14 THE DISCUSSION.

15 SO THE GENERAL QUESTION TO GIL IS WE JUST
16 HAD A LONG DISCUSSION WITH ROSA AND MARIA MILLAN
17 ABOUT DATA SHARING REQUIREMENTS AND HELPFUL LANGUAGE
18 THAT WILL BE ADDED TO THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS. IS
19 THAT SAME APPROACH GOING TO BE USED FOR THE CLINS,
20 TRAN, AND OTHER DISCS?

21 DR. SAMBRANO: YES. SO OUR APPROACH FOR
22 ALL OF THIS IS TO THINK ABOUT DATA SHARING IN A MORE
23 HOLISTIC WAY AS IT APPLIES TO ALL OF OUR PROGRAMS.
24 SO NOT REALLY RESERVED JUST FOR ONE. SO ABSOLUTELY,
25 YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: AND THE HELPFUL
2 RESOURCES FOR APPLICANTS. GREAT.

3 DISCUSSION OR QUESTIONS ABOUT THE CONCEPT
4 PLAN CHANGES FOR DISC2 PLEASE. ANYBODY GOT ANYTHING
5 THEY WANT TO KNOW OR CONTRIBUTE? GOING ONCE. GOING
6 TWICE. WE ARE OUT OF THERE.

7 TRAN? NO. YOU GUYS HAVE OBVIOUSLY DONE A
8 GREAT JOB DRAFTING THESE CHANGES.

9 CLIN1 CHANGES, QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? NOPE.
10 CLIN2? OKAY.

11 THEN FINALLY, GIL'S QUESTION ABOUT THE
12 DEFINITION OF GENE THERAPY AND GENETIC RESEARCH. I
13 DID HAVE ONE SUGGESTION. I KNOW IN OTHER PLACES WE
14 HAVE SAID "AS THEY APPLY TO STEM CELLS AND
15 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE" AS A WAY OF AT LEAST
16 NARROWING THE SCOPE A LITTLE BIT. IS THAT SOMETHING
17 WE CAN DO HERE ALSO, GIL?

18 DR. SAMBRANO: YES. AND I THINK THAT'S
19 ALSO BEEN TRUE OF THE GENE THERAPY DEFINITION THAT
20 WE CURRENTLY HAVE. I THINK THERE WAS A STRONG
21 FEELING FROM THE BOARD THAT IT SHOULD BE
22 REGENERATIVE IN SOME WAY. SO WE HAVE THE SAME
23 DEFINITION OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE THAT WE WOULD
24 APPLY TO THIS.

25 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. GREAT. THANK

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 YOU. OTHER -- J.T.

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO, GIL, I THINK IN THE
3 SPIRIT OF INCORPORATING WHAT'S IN PROP 14, THAT
4 EXPANDING THE SCOPE BEYOND THE ORIGINAL LANGUAGE
5 THAT WE'VE BEEN USING FOR GENE THERAPY TO
6 INCORPORATE THE BROADER GENETIC IDEA MAKES EMINENT
7 SENSE. SO I THINK WE NEED TO GIVE THAT VERY SERIOUS
8 CONSIDERATION.

9 DR. YAMAMOTO: GIL, COULD YOU PUT THAT
10 LANGUAGE BACK UP AGAIN?

11 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT IDEA. GOOD.
12 PLEASE.

13 DR. SAMBRANO: GIVE ME A SECOND.

14 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: J.T., YOU'VE GOT YOUR
15 HAND UP AGAIN.

16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THAT'S THE SAME HAND. I
17 WAS MUTED. I'M SURE MARIA MAY HAVE DONE THAT TO ME
18 INTENTIONALLY.

19 MS. BONNEVILLE: NOT THIS TIME.

20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: AS THE TECHNOLOGY
21 MARCHES INEXORABLY ALONG AND MORE THINGS ARE
22 APPLICABLE TO THE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE SPACE, I
23 THINK WE NEED TO KEEP PACE OF THAT. AND I THINK
24 THIS DISC-0 LANGUAGE HERE IS REFLECTIVE THAT THOSE
25 NEW TECHNOLOGIES ARE BECOMING MORE AND MORE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 APPLICABLE. SO I WOULD BE IN FAVOR OF ENLARGING THE
2 SCOPE TO ALLOW FOR THIS TERMINOLOGY.

3 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OTHER THOUGHTS,
4 COMMENTS ON THIS? I'LL JUST ADD THAT I WAS AT A
5 SEMINAR BEFORE THIS ONE THAT ACTUALLY WAS USING
6 DIFFERENT WAYS OF TRANSFORMING CELL FATE, FOR
7 EXAMPLE, FROM ASTROCYTES TO NEURONS, WHICH COULD BE
8 A VERY POWERFUL APPROACH. I THINK THIS WOULD ALLOW
9 THOSE SORTS OF APPROACHES TO BE INCLUDED WHICH IS
10 GOOD IN MY MIND.

11 DR. CREASEY: LARRY, WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO
12 SHARE THE TWO SLIDES WE HAVE THAT ARE IN THIS TOPIC?

13 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SURE.

14 DR. CREASEY: SO MY COLLEAGUES AND I PUT
15 OUR HEADS TOGETHER AND THOUGHT WHAT WOULD BE THE
16 RATIONALE FOR EXPANDING THE SCOPE OF GENE THERAPY
17 FOR CIRM FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES FOR ALL OUR PILLARS.
18 AS J.T. JUST POINTED OUT, PROPOSITION 14 INDICATES
19 THE FUNDING OF GENETIC RESEARCH, WHICH, AGAIN, YOU
20 SAW THE DEFINITION. AND JUST TO REMIND EVERYONE,
21 ESPECIALLY IN THE LAST TWO YEARS, THERE HAS BEEN
22 SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS IN THE AREA OF RNA SCIENCE THAT
23 APPLIES TO VACCINES AND THERAPEUTICS, INCLUDING
24 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. AGAIN, THERE WAS A
25 TESTIMONIAL FROM LARRY RIGHT NOW.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO CIRM HAS THE UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY IN THE
2 CREATION OF NEW SOLUTIONS TO ACCELERATE APPLICATION
3 OF GENE THERAPIES FOR UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS. AND IF
4 YOU'VE BEEN FOLLOWING THE LITERATURE, ESPECIALLY IN
5 THE LAST COUPLE YEARS AND THE LAST EVEN SINCE
6 OCTOBER, THERE HAVE BEEN DEVELOPMENT OF NEW
7 TECHNOLOGIES THAT WILL ALLOW FOR NOVEL AND
8 SUCCESSFUL APPLICATION OF THESE MODALITIES TO AREAS
9 WHERE WE REALLY ARE DESPERATELY LOOKING FOR
10 SOLUTIONS, SUCH AS RNA VACCINE FOR NEURODEGENERATIVE
11 DISEASES.

12 SO I WILL SHOW YOU IN THE NEXT SLIDE THAT
13 THESE APPROACHES HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED AND APPLIED FOR
14 RARE AND UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS, INCLUDING THE ASO'S,
15 SRNA, AND, AS YOU KNOW, MOST RECENTLY MESSENGER RNA.
16 AND WE ALL HAVE HAD HOPEFULLY THE VACCINE AND
17 ALREADY APPRECIATE THE IMPORTANCE OF M-RNA IN
18 RESOLVING SOME OF OUR DISEASES.

19 WHAT'S SO EXCITING IS THAT THE M-RNA
20 RESEARCH HAS EXPLODED TO INCLUDE MULTIPLE
21 APPLICATIONS THAT ARE REALLY IMPORTANT TO US, SUCH
22 AS THE AREA OF MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS AS AN AUTOIMMUNE
23 DISEASE, NOT AS AN INFECTIOUS DISEASE, BUT AS AN
24 AUTOIMMUNE DISEASE; BONE REGENERATION; AUTOIMMUNE
25 DISEASES. MODERNA IS INVESTING IN AUTOIMMUNE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DISEASE BY SHOWING JUST IN ANIMAL MODELS THAT CAR-T
2 CELLS ARE INCREASED AS WELL AS TREG'S ARE DEVELOPED
3 IN THE PRESENCE OF THE SPECIFIC M-RNA'S THAT THEY
4 ARE USING IN AUTOIMMUNE DISEASES.

5 MOST RECENTLY MODERNA AND PENN STATE HAVE
6 SHOWN, ALSO IN PRECLINICAL STUDIES, THAT THEY CAN
7 THROUGH MESSENGER RNA TECHNOLOGY RESOLVE SOME
8 CARDIAC DISEASE, AGAIN IN ANIMAL MODELS. ALL THIS
9 IS A TESTAMENT TO THE FACT THAT, IN ORDER FOR CIRM
10 TO MAINTAIN IT'S BEING ON THE FOREFRONT AND
11 ACCELERATING SCIENCE, I THINK IT MAKES SENSE FOR US
12 TO BE INVOLVED IN THAT RESEARCH AND ALLOW US TO
13 ASSIST THOSE GRANTEES WHO ARE INTERESTED IN THIS
14 AREA AND IN ACCELERATING THE WORK. AND WHO KNOWS,
15 IT MAY TURN OUT TO BE MANY OTHER DISEASES WE'VE BEEN
16 WANTING SOLUTIONS FOR MAY COME OUT FROM THIS
17 TECHNOLOGY. AND SO FOR THAT, I THINK I PERSONALLY
18 WOULD LIKE THE BOARD TO CONSIDER THIS TECHNOLOGY TO
19 BE PART OF ARMAMENTARIUM MOVING FORWARD.

20 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. THANK YOU,
21 ABLA.

22 ANY OTHER DISCUSSION BEFORE -- WELL, I
23 GUESS I HAVE TO ANNOUNCE. MARIA BONNEVILLE JUST LET
24 ME KNOW THAT WE SEEM TO HAVE LOST QUORUM. SO WE ARE
25 NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO ANYTHING FINAL TODAY, I

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GATHER, BUT WE CAN GET A SENSE OF THE COMMITTEE.
2 BUT THEN HOW DO WE BRING THIS TO A FINAL APPROVAL
3 BEFORE IT GOES TO THE BOARD, MARIA?

4 MS. BONNEVILLE: YOU WOULD JUST MENTION
5 THAT THE SENSE OF THE COMMITTEE WAS THAT THEY WERE
6 IN FAVOR OF IT, ALTHOUGH NOT ALL MEMBERS WERE
7 PRESENT AND YOU DID NOT HAVE A QUORUM, AND THEN THE
8 FINAL VOTE WOULD BE TAKE PLACE AT THE BOARD MEETING.

9 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. GREAT. SO WE
10 CAN GO TO THE BOARD. GOOD.

11 SO ANY FINAL COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT
12 THE DEFINITION CHANGES? MARIA MILLAN.

13 DR. MILLAN: IF THE SUBCOMMITTEE IS IN
14 FAVOR OF EXPANSION OF THE DEFINITION, WOULD YOU LIKE
15 US TO BRING THAT FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION AT THE
16 MARCH 24TH MEETING?

17 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I THINK THAT WOULD BE
18 SENSIBLE. IT'S A SIGNIFICANT CHANGE. IT'S A VERY
19 POSITIVE CHANGE, I THINK, IN TERMS OF TECHNOLOGY. I
20 DO THINK WE'RE GOING TO STILL WANT TO KEEP A FOCUS
21 ON STEM CELL AND REGENERATIVE MEDICINE APPROACHES AS
22 MUCH AS POSSIBLE. YES, I THINK THAT SHOULD COME TO
23 THE BOARD.

24 DR. DEAS: I AGREE. THIS REALLY EXPANDS
25 THE PORTFOLIO BECAUSE WITH RNA THERAPEUTICS, THERE'S

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 A LOT OF NEW DEVELOPMENTS.

2 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OTHER COMMENTS OR
3 QUESTIONS? OKAY. SO, MARIA BONNEVILLE CAN WE VOTE
4 ON THESE IN A BATCH, OR DO WE HAVE TO GO THROUGH ONE
5 BY ONE?

6 MS. BONNEVILLE: YOU CAN VOTE IN A BATCH.

7 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. SOMEBODY WANT
8 TO MOVE FOR APPROVAL?

9 DR. HIGGINS: I WILL MOVE FOR APPROVAL.

10 DR. DEAS: SECOND.

11 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU.

12 MR. TORRES: I THOUGHT WE COULDN'T BECAUSE
13 WE DON'T HAVE A QUORUM.

14 MS. BONNEVILLE: IT'S NOT A FORMAL VOTE.
15 IT'S JUST A SENSE OF THE COMMITTEE.

16 MR. TORRES: SO A CONSENSUS OF THE
17 COMMITTEE. OKAY.

18 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: PUBLIC COMMENT AT
19 THIS POINT, CORRECT?

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: YES.

21 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: IS THERE ANY PUBLIC
22 COMMENT?

23 MS. BONNEVILLE: KEVIN, GO AHEAD.

24 MR. MARKS: BEFORE WE DO, I JUST WANT TO
25 MAKE SURE THAT WE -- SO FROM A PERSONAL PERSPECTIVE,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I LOOK AT THIS AS TWO DIFFERENT SITUATIONS. SO YOU
2 BATCH THE CONCEPTS, AND THEN THERE WAS A SEPARATE
3 CONSIDERATION OF THE EXPANDED DEFINITION OF GENETIC
4 RESEARCH. I JUST WANT TO MAKE CLEAR ON WHAT THE
5 RECOMMENDATIONS ARE SO WHEN WE POSITION THIS FOR THE
6 BOARD MEETING, WE CAN CLEARLY ARTICULATE IT.

7 SO I'M FINE IF WE WANT TO DO IT
8 ALTOGETHER, JUST THE APPROVAL OF EVERYTHING, OR DO
9 YOU WANT TO BATCH IT UP WITH THE CONCEPT
10 CONSIDERATIONS AND APPROVALS AND THEN THE APPROVAL
11 OF THE EXPANDED DEFINITION?

12 MS. BONNEVILLE: ISN'T THE DEFINITION PART
13 OF THE CONCEPT CHANGE?

14 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YEAH, I BELIEVE IT
15 IS. KEVIN, I THINK THAT'S A GOOD QUESTION. I
16 PERSONALLY WOULD SAY WE OUGHT TO JUST DO THEM AS ONE
17 BATCH. I CAN TELL FROM THE DISCUSSION --

18 MR. TORRES: HERE. HERE.

19 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: -- EVERYBODY IS GOING
20 TO BE IN FAVOR OF EVERYTHING THAT'S BEEN PROPOSED.
21 THERE'S BEEN INSIGHTFUL DISCUSSION AND BACK AND
22 FORTH. I THINK WE ARE IN GOOD SHAPE TO DO THAT.

23 MR. MARKS: THANK YOU.

24 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: DOES ANYBODY OBJECT
25 TO THAT APPROACH? GREAT. OKAY.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PUBLIC COMMENT. STILL NONE, RIGHT?
2 MS. BONNEVILLE: NOT THAT I CAN FIND.
3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: LET'S VOTE ON A SENSE OF
4 THE COMMITTEE.
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFA. DEBORAH DEAS.
6 DR. DEAS: YES.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
8 DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
9 MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
10 DR. GASSON: YES.
11 MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
12 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YES.
13 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
14 DR. HIGGINS: YES.
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
16 DR. LEVITT: YES.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
18 DR. MELMED: YES.
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
20 DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
23 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
24 MR. TORRES: AYE.
25 MS. BONNEVILLE: KEITH YAMAMOTO.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. YAMAMOTO: YES.

2 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.

3 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. THE SENSE OF
4 THE COMMITTEE HAS PASSED.

5 LET'S SEE. ACCORDING TO MY AGENDA, THE
6 LAST THING IS ANY ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT. IT
7 SOUNDS LIKE DO WE HAVE ANYBODY WHO WANTS TO MAKE A
8 GENERAL OR OTHER COMMENT? NO. I THINK WE'VE BEEN
9 VERY EFFICIENT, GUYS.

10 MR. TORRES: THANK YOU.

11 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, EVERYONE. SEE
12 YOU AT THE BOARD MEETING MARCH 24TH.

13 MR. TORRES: THANKS FOR THE WARNING.

14 (THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 2:14 P.M.)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON MARCH 7, 2022, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152
133 HENNA COURT
SANDPOINT, IDAHO
(208) 920-3543