

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

BEFORE THE  
TASK FORCE ON NEUROSCIENCE AND MEDICINE  
OF THE  
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE  
TO THE  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE  
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE  
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT  
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

DATE: APRIL 24, 2023  
12 P.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR  
CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2023-14

**133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864  
208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM**

I N D E X

| ITEM DESCRIPTION   | PAGE NO. |
|--|----------|
| <b>OPEN SESSION</b>  |          |
| 1. CALL TO ORDER   | 3        |
| 2. ROLL CALL   | 3        |
| <b>DISCUSSION ITEMS</b>  |          |
| 3. GENERAL DISCUSSION AND REVIEW   | 5        |
| 4. EXTERNAL EXPERT ON STEM CELLS<br>AND PSYCHIATRIC DISEASE MODELING:<br>THOMAS C. SUDHOF, M.D.  | 21       |
| 5. EXTERNAL EXPERT ON STEM CELLS<br>AND PSYCHIATRIC DISEASE MODELING:<br>KRISTEN BRENNAND, PH.D. | 42       |
| 6. GENERAL DISCUSSION ABOUT ELEMENTS<br>OF A CIRM NEUROPSYCHIATRIC PROGRAM                       | 71       |
| 7. PUBLIC COMMENT  | 89       |
| 8. ADJOURNMENT   | 93       |

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APRIL 24, 2023; 12 P.M.

CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYBODY, AND WELCOME TO THE THIRD MEETING OF THE CIRM TASK FORCE ON NEUROSCIENCE AND NEUROMEDICINE. AND WHAT I WANT TO DO IS JUST TAKE A COUPLE MINUTES TO REVIEW WHERE WE'VE BEEN THUS FAR IN OUR MEETINGS, AND THAT WILL FRAME THE TOPICS THAT TODAY'S SPEAKERS WILL DISCUSS WITH US.

SO THE FIRST MEETING THAT WE DID BACK IN FEBRUARY --

MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: LARRY, SHOULD I DO ROLL CALL REAL QUICK?

CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OH, YES. I FORGOT ABOUT ROLL CALL. THANK YOU, MARIANNE.

MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: OKAY. HERE WE GO --

CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I'M NOT MUCH FOR FORMALITIES, AS YOU CAN SEE.

MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: NO WORRIES.

LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY. MARIA BONNEVILLE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: PRESENT.

MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.

DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: HERE.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: FRED FISHER.  
2 DR. FISHER: YEP.  
3 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: JUDY GASSON. I  
4 SAW JUDY. SHE IS ON MUTE. JUDY, YOU'RE ON MUTE.  
5 ALL RIGHT. I'LL GO BACK.  
6 LARRY GOLDSTEIN.  
7 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: HERE.  
8 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DAVID HIGGINS.  
9 DR. HIGGINS: HERE.  
10 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: VITO IMBASCIANI.  
11 DR. IMBASCIANI: PRESENT.  
12 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: STEVE  
13 JUELSGAARD.  
14 MR. JUELSGAARD: PRESENT.  
15 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: PAT LEVITT.  
16 DR. LEVITT: HERE.  
17 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: LAUREN  
18 MILLER-ROGEN.  
19 MS. MILLER-ROGEN: HERE.  
20 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: AL ROWLETT.  
21 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I THOUGHT I SAW AL A  
22 COUPLE MINUTES AGO.  
23 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: HE WAS THERE.  
24 YES, HE WAS. OKAY.  
25 MARVIN SOUTHARD. AND SEEMS LIKE MARVIN IS

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 ON, BUT I THINK HE WAS HAVING PROBLEMS WITH HIS  
2 AUDIO. I DO SEE HIM.

3 KEITH YAMAMOTO.

4 DR. YAMAMOTO: HERE.

5 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: LET ME CHECK  
6 AGAIN. JUDY GASSON. AND THEN AL ROWLETT. ALL  
7 RIGHT. WE CAN PROCEED.

8 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: PROCEED. THANK YOU.

9 SO WHAT I WANT TO DO IS JUST REVIEW WHERE  
10 WE'VE BEEN TO SET UP TODAY'S SPEAKERS AND TOPICS.  
11 SO THE FIRST MEETING OF THIS TASK FORCE DID A  
12 PORTFOLIO REVIEW OF CIRM'S PRESENCE IN NEUROSCIENCE,  
13 NEUROMEDICINE, AND ALSO WHAT THE BUSINESS LANDSCAPE  
14 LOOKED LIKE. AND WHAT WE DISCOVERED WAS THAT, WHILE  
15 CIRM HAS PRETTY GOOD EFFORTS GOING IN VARIOUS  
16 NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS USING STEM CELLS IN  
17 DIFFERENT WAYS RANGING FROM MECHANISM DISCOVERY TO  
18 CELL THERAPIES, WE HAD VIRTUALLY NO REPRESENTATION  
19 IN NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS EVEN THOUGH THERE ARE  
20 GOOD ARGUMENTS TO BE MADE THAT STEM CELL TECHNOLOGY  
21 WILL BE VERY HELPFUL FOR TACKLING THE PROBLEM OF  
22 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS, THAT THESE ARE  
23 RELATIVELY COMMON IN THE POPULATION WITH TREMENDOUS  
24 DISEASE BURDEN ON SOCIETY.

25 AND SO WE HAD A DISCUSSION ABOUT THESE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 PORTFOLIO ISSUES AND AGREED THAT THE FIRST PROBLEM  
2 WE WOULD ATTACK IN OUR PLANNING, AND AS YOU WILL SEE  
3 IN EARLY FUNDING INITIATIVE, WAS TO TACKLE THIS  
4 PROBLEM WHILE WE CONTINUE OUR EDUCATION ABOUT WHAT'S  
5 GOING ON IN DIFFERENT NEURO DISORDERS AND WHERE WE  
6 MIGHT MAKE UNIQUE IMPACT. AND IN FACT, TODAY'S  
7 SPEAKERS, YOU'LL SEE, HAVE BEEN USING STEM CELL  
8 TECHNOLOGY TO TACKLE THE PROBLEM OF NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
9 DISORDERS. AND THEY'VE GOT SOME VERY INTERESTING  
10 INSIGHTS AND PROJECTS TO TELL US ABOUT.

11 THE SECOND MEETING LAST MONTH FURTHER  
12 POSITIONED HOW WE MIGHT THINK ABOUT THINGS BECAUSE  
13 IT WAS A SET OF PRESENTATIONS BY TWO PSYCHIATRIC  
14 GENETICISTS THAT REVEALED THAT IN MANY OF THESE  
15 DISORDERS THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT IMPACT OF THE  
16 GENETIC CONSTITUTION THAT INDIVIDUALS HAVE AND THAT,  
17 LIKE MANY DISEASES IN HUMANS, WHAT ONE SEES IS THAT  
18 THERE'S SORT OF A BREAKDOWN BETWEEN TWO MAJOR WAYS  
19 THAT ONE SEES GENETIC EFFECTS ON NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
20 DISORDERS.

21 ONE IS BY THE ACTION OF RARE VARIANTS IN  
22 THE POPULATION THAT HAVE STRONG IMPACT, AND THE  
23 OTHER CLASS, IF YOU WILL, ARE MORE COMMON VARIANTS,  
24 EACH OF SOMEWHAT WEAKER IMPACT, BUT TOGETHER CAN  
25 LEAD TO THE DEVELOPMENT OR ENHANCE THE PROBABILITY

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 OF DEVELOPMENT OF NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISEASE.

2 IN FACT, AS IT TURNS OUT, AT PUBLIC  
3 COMMENT OF ONE OF OUR MEETINGS ABOUT TECHNOLOGIES  
4 THAT CIRM SHOULD USE OR COULD USE TO TACKLE DISEASE,  
5 TOM SUDHOF, ONE OF OUR SPEAKERS TODAY, SENT IN A  
6 VERY THOUGHTFUL LETTER. AND I THOUGHT I WOULD JUST  
7 READ THE LAST PARAGRAPH OF TOM'S LETTER. THERE WE  
8 GO. IT'S SHORT, SO BEAR WITH ME.

9 AND WHAT TOM SAID WAS, "CALIFORNIA HAS  
10 FANTASTIC NEUROSCIENCE AND GREAT NEUROSCIENTISTS.  
11 BY ENGAGING THE CREATIVE ENERGY OF CALIFORNIA'S  
12 NEUROSCIENTISTS TO DEVELOP AMBITIOUS RESEARCH  
13 INITIATIVES FROM MOLECULES TO THE TREATMENT OF  
14 PATIENTS, CIRM HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO TRANSFORM THE  
15 TREATMENT OF MENTAL ILLNESS AND REDUCE THE SUFFERING  
16 OF MILLIONS OF CALIFORNIANS."

17 AND I PERSONALLY THINK THAT TOM IS CORRECT  
18 IN THAT SENTIMENT. AND, OF COURSE, WE WILL BE  
19 TALKING ABOUT THAT FURTHER TODAY AND IN FUTURE  
20 MEETINGS.

21 SO WHAT I WANT TO DO NOW IS JUST GIVE YOU  
22 A BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO THE TWO SPEAKERS TODAY.  
23 THEY'RE BOTH WELL-KNOWN TO MANY OF YOU. ONE,  
24 KRISTEN BRENNAND, WHO IS A FACULTY MEMBER AT YALE  
25 USING STEM CELL TECHNOLOGIES TO TACKLE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS. KRISTEN DID HER PH.D.  
2 WORK WITH DOUG MELTON AT HARVARD WORKING ON  
3 PANCREATIC DEVELOPMENT. SHE THEN DID A POST-DOC  
4 WITH RUSTY GAGE, WHO MANY OF YOU KNOW, WHO'S DONE  
5 REALLY TERRIFIC WORK IN NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS  
6 AND USING STEM CELLS TO TACKLE THEM. AND KRISTEN  
7 TOOK A PART OF THAT PORTFOLIO WITH HER WHEN SHE  
8 MOVED TO HER FACULTY POSITION WHERE SHE CURRENTLY IS  
9 AT YALE.

10 TOM SUDHOF DID HIS PH.D. WORK IN GERMANY,  
11 BUT THEN POST-DOC'D WITH MIKE BROWN AND JOE  
12 GOLDSTEIN, NO ACTUAL RELATIVE OF MINE, BUT A  
13 TERRIFIC GUY NONETHELESS. AND THAT'S WHERE TOM  
14 REALLY BEGAN HIS INITIATIVES ON TRYING TO UNDERSTAND  
15 THE MECHANICS AND BIOCHEMISTRY OF SYNAPTIC  
16 TRANSMISSION. AND TO SAY THAT TOM IS A WORLD LEADER  
17 IN THIS FIELD WOULD BE AMAZINGLY AN UNDERSTATEMENT.

18 WILL JUST NOTE THAT A FEW YEARS AGO HE WAS  
19 AWARDED THE NOBEL PRIZE WITH RANDY SCHEKMAN AND JIM  
20 ROTHMAN. AND TOM WAS RECOGNIZED IN PARTICULAR FOR  
21 HIS WORK ON UNDERSTANDING HOW THE SYNAPSE WORKED AND  
22 HOW NEURONS TALK TO EACH OTHER.

23 SO THE FINAL THING WE'LL DO IN TODAY'S  
24 MEETING AFTER TOM AND KRISTEN'S PRESENTATIONS AND Q  
25 AND A ABOUT THOSE PRESENTATIONS, WE'LL TACKLE TWO



**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 THINGS. ONE IS I'LL REMIND YOU THAT WE ASKED AT THE  
2 LAST MEETING ROSA CANET-AVILES TO BEGIN WORKING ON A  
3 CONCEPT PLAN THAT WOULD COME TO THIS TASK FORCE  
4 FORMALLY AT OUR NEXT MEETING IN MAY, THEN TO THE  
5 SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE AT THE END OF MAY, AND THEN ON  
6 TO THE FULL ICOC OR BOARD OF DIRECTORS AT OUR  
7 MEETING AT THE END OF JUNE.

8 AND THE IDEA IS TO KICK-START OUR EFFORTS  
9 IN THIS FIELD BY PROVIDING AWARDS TO  
10 INTERDISCIPLINARY GROUPS OF INVESTIGATORS WHO ARE  
11 TACKLING DIFFERENT NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS. AND  
12 YOU'LL SEE THE DETAILS AT OUR NEXT MEETING.

13 THE OTHER THING THAT ROSA HAS BEEN WORKING  
14 ON AND THAT I'LL ASK HER TO PRESENT TO YOU TODAY IS  
15 A SURVEY OF CALIFORNIA NEUROSCIENTISTS TO DEVELOP A  
16 BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT DOES THE COMMUNITY OF  
17 NEUROSCIENTISTS WORKING WITH STEM CELLS IN  
18 CALIFORNIA LOOK LIKE AND WHERE ARE IMPORTANT THERE  
19 OPPORTUNITIES AND WHAT DO THESE COMMUNITIES  
20 THEMSELVES THINK OF THE BEST WAY TO MAKE PROGRESS ON  
21 THESE DISORDERS USING STEM CELL TECHNOLOGY.

22 SO WITH NO FURTHER ADO, UNLESS SOMEBODY  
23 HAS OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS THAT THEY WANT TO  
24 MAKE, IF YOU DO PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND, AND I DO NOT  
25 SEE ANY RAISED HANDS HERE. AND SO -- FRED, YES,

1 PLEASE.

2 DR. FISHER: SO, NOW, YOU'VE LAID OUT WHAT  
3 IS BASICALLY, INCLUDING THE NEXT MEETING, OR THE  
4 FIRST FOUR MEETINGS OF THIS WORK GROUP ESSENTIALLY  
5 BEING FOCUSED ON NEUROPSYCHIATRIC OPPORTUNITIES FOR  
6 CIRM'S INVESTMENT. I'M WONDERING WHEN WE'RE GOING  
7 TO GET TO THE OTHER PRIORITIES BECAUSE TO SPEND THE  
8 FIRST FOUR MEETINGS ON NEUROPSYCHIATRIC SORT OF BEGS  
9 THE QUESTION: IS THIS NOW THE PRIORITY OF THIS WORK  
10 GROUP, OR ARE WE ACTUALLY GOING TO BE LOOKING AT THE  
11 ENTIRE LANDSCAPE OF WHAT THOSE NEURO INVESTMENTS ARE  
12 OR ARE SUPPOSED TO BE? BECAUSE RIGHT NOW IT SEEMS  
13 VERY HEAVILY WEIGHTED IN THE DIRECTION OF  
14 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC. AND I'M TRYING TO CONTEXTUALIZE  
15 THAT INTO THE BIGGER UNIVERSE OF NEURO ISSUES.

16 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YES. SO, FRED,  
17 THAT'S REALLY A GREAT QUESTION. AND THANK YOU FOR  
18 BRINGING IT UP. IT'S AN IMPORTANT ISSUE. AND I  
19 THINK THE WAY I WOULD LOOK AT IT, AT LEAST, AND I  
20 THINK THIS IS THE SENTIMENT OF THE TASK FORCE, IS  
21 THAT WE HAVE TO START SOMEWHERE. NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
22 DISORDERS HAVE A TREMENDOUS BURDEN ON BOTH MAJORITY  
23 AND MINORITY POPULATIONS IN CALIFORNIA. AND AS  
24 TODAY'S PRESENTATION AND THE NEXT AND PROBABLY LAST  
25 ONE ON NEUROPSYCHIATRIC IS MY GUESS, ALTHOUGH

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 ULTIMATELY IT IS UP TO THIS TASK FORCE, THAT THERE  
2 IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE A REAL IMPACT HERE AND  
3 THAT WE'VE BEEN SUBSTANTIALLY UNDERREPRESENTED IN  
4 THIS AREA.

5 I THINK FOLLOWING THE DEVELOPMENT OF SOME  
6 INITIAL ATTACKS ON NEUROPSYCHIATRIC, WHICH IS WHAT  
7 WE ARE DOING NOW, THEN I THINK I AGREE WITH THE  
8 ISSUE YOU'RE BRINGING UP, WHICH IS WE HAVE TO LOOK  
9 AT SOME OF THE OTHER DISORDERS AND ASK THE QUESTION  
10 MORE FORMALLY, IF YOU WILL: ARE WE ADEQUATELY  
11 REPRESENTED SCIENTIFICALLY AND MEDICALLY IN THE  
12 STUDY OF THESE OTHER DISORDERS AND THE DEVELOPMENT  
13 OF THERAPIES OF THESE OTHER DISORDERS? AND WHAT IS  
14 THE BEST WAY TO MAKE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON SOME OF  
15 THESE OTHER DISORDERS AS PART OF THIS LONG-RANGE  
16 PLANNING EFFORT?

17 SO I THINK THE ANSWER IS STAY TUNED. WE  
18 ARE NOT ONLY GOING TO BE LOOKING AT NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
19 DISORDERS. WE ARE TAKING ADVANTAGE OF A UNIQUE AND,  
20 I WOULD ARGUE, SOMEWHAT POWERFUL PORTION OF THE  
21 LANDSCAPE WHERE I THINK WE ARE, AND IT HAS BEEN THE  
22 SENTIMENT OF THE TASK FORCE, THAT WE ARE  
23 COLLECTIVELY AWARE THAT WE ARE SUBSTANTIALLY  
24 UNDERREPRESENTED IN THIS AREA, THAT IT'S WORTHY OF  
25 THE BEGINNING OF AN ATTACK VIA FUNDING, WHICH IS ONE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 OF THE MAJOR METHODS WE USE TO HAVE AN IMPACT ON  
2 FIELDS, BUT WE WILL THEN COME BACK TO DISORDERS SUCH  
3 AS ALS, YOUR PARTICULAR PRIMARY INTEREST,  
4 ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE, PARKINSON'S, AND SOME OF THE  
5 OTHER NEURODEGENERATIVES. AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE  
6 TO DEVELOP SOME IDEAS WHERE WE THINK ABOUT HOW DO WE  
7 FAIRLY WEIGHT OUR EFFORTS AMONG THESE DIFFERENT  
8 DISEASES AND WHERE ARE THERE THE BEST OPPORTUNITIES,  
9 AND HAVE WE SATURATED OR NOT OUR EFFORTS IN THESE  
10 OTHER DISORDERS?

11 SO THAT WOULD BE MY ANSWER. IT'S NOT A  
12 PERFECT ANSWER, I RECOGNIZE, BUT I THINK IT FAIRLY  
13 SUMMARIZES THE VIEWS OF THE GROUP AS WE'VE TALKED  
14 ABOUT THESE THINGS, THESE DISORDERS, AND IN  
15 PARTICULAR WHAT WE DISCUSSED FOLLOWING THE PORTFOLIO  
16 REVIEW BACK AT OUR FIRST MEETING.

17 STEVE JUELGAARD.

18 DR. FISHER: IF WE GO AS DEEPLY INTO ALL  
19 OF THE OTHER NEURO OPPORTUNITIES AS WE ARE NOW  
20 DELVING INTO THE MENTAL HEALTH SIDE OF THINGS, WE'RE  
21 GOING TO BE AT THIS DISCOVERY PROCESS FOR A VERY  
22 LONG TIME AND LIKELY WILL NOT HAVE ANY  
23 RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING HOW TO ALLOCATE 1.5  
24 BILLION ACROSS THOSE UNTIL WE HAVE THOROUGHLY VETTED  
25 ALL OF THEM. AND AT THIS PACE, THAT COULD TAKE A

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 VERY LONG TIME TO DO ALL THE OTHERS JUSTICE.

2 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I'LL DISAGREE WITH  
3 THAT POINT, FRED. I MEAN I UNDERSTAND THE SENTIMENT  
4 AND WHERE IT'S COMING FROM. YES, WE DON'T WANT TO  
5 SPEND THE NEXT SIX YEARS PLANNING. I'M IN COMPLETE  
6 AGREEMENT WITH THAT EXTREME VERSION OF THE ARGUMENT.

7 MY SENSE, HOWEVER, BASED ON THE  
8 CONVERSATIONS WE'VE HAD THUS FAR, IS THAT WE AS A  
9 GROUP ARE SUBSTANTIALLY UNDERREPRESENTED IN OUR  
10 UNDERSTANDING OF NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS IN  
11 PARTICULAR AND HOW TO TACKLE THEM USING STEM CELL  
12 TECHNOLOGY. AND THAT USING STEM CELL TECHNOLOGIES,  
13 THERAPIES, GENE THERAPIES, WHAT HAVE YOU, FOR THE  
14 VARIOUS NEURODEGENERATIVES, WE ACTUALLY DO AS A  
15 GROUP HAVE A MUCH BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF THOSE FROM  
16 THE START HERE. AND I THINK WE CAN BRING IN  
17 PLANNING EFFORTS IN THOSE AREAS RELATIVELY QUICKLY  
18 WITH RESPECT TO HOW QUICKLY OR NOT THAT WE ARE  
19 MOVING IN THIS ONE UNDERREPRESENTED AREA.

20 I'LL JUST POINT OUT THAT IT'S NOT AS  
21 THOUGH WE ARE DOING NOTHING ON THESE OTHER AREAS.  
22 IN FACT, WE HAVE SIGNIFICANT FUNDING GOING INTO  
23 STUDIES OF A VARIETY OF OTHER NEURODEGENERATIVE  
24 DISORDERS THAT IS CONTINUING. IT'S COMING IN  
25 THROUGH A MORE CONVENTIONAL MECHANISM. AND I THINK

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 AT THE MOMENT, AT LEAST, IF ANYTHING, WE ARE TRYING  
2 TO GET THE NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS CAUGHT UP TO  
3 WHERE THE NEURODEGENERATIVES ARE BECAUSE AN  
4 UNDERRECOGNIZED SET OF TECHNOLOGIES FOR ATTACKING  
5 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS ARE, IN FACT, THE STEM  
6 CELL TECHNOLOGIES. WE'LL GET A BITE OF THAT TODAY  
7 FROM TOM AND KRISTEN. AND I THINK AT OUR NEXT  
8 MEETING OR THE ONE THEREAFTER, WE CAN INTELLIGENTLY  
9 AND IN A BETTER INFORMED WAY DISCUSS HOW DO WE WANT  
10 TO GO ABOUT PRIORITIZATION RELATIVE TO EACH OTHER OF  
11 THESE DIFFERENT DISORDERS.

12 THE PROBLEM IN AN AGENCY LIKE THIS IS, AS  
13 I'M SURE YOU AND OTHERS RECOGNIZE, IS YOU'RE OFTEN  
14 LOOKING FOR A BALANCE BETWEEN, IN A SENSE, PICKING  
15 AREAS THAT YOU THINK THERE ARE OPPORTUNITIES FOR  
16 INVESTMENT. AND THAT NEEDS TO BE BALANCED WITH THE  
17 TRADITIONAL GRANTMAKING MECHANISM, WHICH IS ONGOING  
18 EVEN AS WE SPEAK FOR MOVING POTENTIAL THERAPIES  
19 THROUGH ANIMAL MODELS, DOING ENOUGH BASIC SCIENCE TO  
20 DEVELOP NEW IDEAS, AND TO LAUNCH CLINICAL TRIALS.  
21 AND THOSE ACTIVITIES ARE ONGOING. AND THE  
22 DISCUSSION OF RELATIVE PRIORITIES OF THESE VARIOUS  
23 DISORDERS TO EACH OTHER AND TO NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
24 DISORDERS THAT WE ARE DISCUSSING CURRENTLY, THAT  
25 KIND OF PRIORITIZATION IS ALWAYS CHALLENGING TO DO.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 AND IN SOME WAYS THE GRANTMAKING MECHANISM DOES PART  
2 OF THAT FOR US SIMPLY BASED ON WHAT DO REVIEWERS SEE  
3 AS HIGH QUALITY, HIGH LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS  
4 PROJECTS.

5 SO I THINK WE'LL GET TO WHERE YOU WANT US  
6 TO BE ACROSS THIS SUMMER. WE AGREED AT THE FIRST  
7 MEETING NOT TO TRY A MAD RUSH TO TRY TO GET A  
8 COMPLETE PLAN WITH ALL OF THE DISORDERS AND ALL OF  
9 THE SCIENTIFIC AREAS WE MIGHT WANT TO EMBRACE BY THE  
10 JUNE MEETING OF THE ICOC AS BEING UNREALISTIC. AND  
11 SO, YES, WE ARE GIVING OURSELVES MORE TIME THAN JUST  
12 GOING TO THE JUNE MEETING, WHICH HAD BEEN MY INITIAL  
13 HOPE. AND I THINK, UPON THINKING THROUGH WITH THIS  
14 GROUP THE MAGNITUDE OF THAT CHALLENGE, WE'RE GOING  
15 TO HAVE TO GO A LITTLE BIT SLOWER AND A LITTLE BIT  
16 MORE DELIBERATELY. AND I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE ARE  
17 DOING.

18 I DON'T KNOW IF THAT SATISFIES YOU OR NOT,  
19 BUT IT'S A PARTIAL ONE.

20 DR. FISHER: THAT'S FINE. I APPRECIATE  
21 THE RESPONSE.

22 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. GREAT. THANK  
23 YOU, FRED. STEVE.

24 MR. JUELGAARD: YES. SO FIRST LET ME  
25 APOLOGIZE THAT MY IMAGE IS NOT SHOWING UP ON THE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 SCREEN. OUR INTERNET WENT OUT THIS MORNING, SO I'M  
2 RELYING ON MY IPAD HOT SPOT. SO HOPEFULLY WHAT I'M  
3 SAYING WILL COME THROUGH.

4 SO AN OBSERVATION AND A QUESTION. SO  
5 STARTING AT THE GRAND SCALE, THE PURPOSE OF CIRM AND  
6 ITS MISSION, IF YOU WILL, IS TO MARRY REGENERATIVE  
7 MEDICINE AND GENE THERAPY WITH THE TREATMENT OF  
8 UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS. SO NOW DIVING A LITTLE DEEPER  
9 INTO THAT, I'LL BE REALLY INTERESTED IN ABLA'S  
10 SURVEY OF THE GRANT INSTITUTIONS IN CALIFORNIA AND  
11 FIND OUT HOW MANY ARE DEALING WITH THE ISSUE OF  
12 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS USING EITHER REGENERATIVE  
13 MEDICINE OR GENE THERAPY AS THE TOOLS TO STUDY IT.

14 I WOULD JUST NOTE, I AM NOT AWARE OF, IT  
15 MAY BE THAT THERE ARE SOME, BUT I'M NOT AWARE OF  
16 ANYBODY COMING FORWARD WITH GRANT APPLICATIONS THAT  
17 DEAL WITH NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS USING THOSE  
18 TOOLS THAT I JUST DESCRIBED. IT SEEMS TO ME WE'VE  
19 HAD A -- AND WE'VE BEEN OPEN-MINDED ABOUT THIS --  
20 WE'VE HAD A DEARTH OF APPLICATIONS SO FAR, WHICH  
21 BEGS THE QUESTION OF TO WHAT EXTENT ARE SCIENTISTS  
22 IN CALIFORNIA REALLY FOCUSED ON USING THESE TOOLS OF  
23 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, GENE THERAPY TO DEAL WITH  
24 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISEASE.

25 MORE PARTICULARLY, SO WE'RE GOING TO HEAR



**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 FROM THOMAS SUDHOF. THOMAS IS AT STANFORD  
2 UNIVERSITY, SO HE'S LOCATED IN CALIFORNIA. MY  
3 QUESTION OF THOMAS: HAS HE EVER APPLIED FOR A CIRM  
4 GRANT FOR ANY OF HIS WORK THAT HE'S DONE BECAUSE  
5 HE'S GOING TO BE SPEAKING TO THE ISSUE OF STEM CELL  
6 THERAPY AND NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS. AND IF HE  
7 HAS, WHAT HAVE THOSE RELATED TO? AND IF NOT, WHY  
8 NOT?

9 SO MY CONCERN IS THE FOUNDATION ON WHICH  
10 WE ARE TRYING TO BUILD A NEUROPSYCHIATRIC PROGRAM  
11 AND HOW SOLID IS THAT FOUNDATION TO BEGIN WITH, OR  
12 IS IT REALLY SOMETHING THAT WE HAVE TO CREATE OUT OF  
13 WHOLE CLOTH HERE COMING OUT OF THIS GROUP? DONE.

14 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO, STEVE, I THINK  
15 THOSE ARE EXCELLENT QUESTIONS. IN FACT, THOSE ARE  
16 THE KINDS OF QUESTIONS WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF  
17 TRYING TO TANGLE WITH. THE SURVEY THAT ROSA IS  
18 BUILDING WILL GIVE US A LITTLE BIT MORE INFORMATION  
19 ON THE QUESTIONS YOU'VE POSED. TOM, I THINK, WILL  
20 ADDRESS THE QUESTIONS YOU'VE JUST RAISED IN HIS  
21 PRESENTATION HOPEFULLY. SO WE'LL FIND OUT WHAT THE  
22 ANSWER IS.

23 I'LL JUST NOTE THAT YOU'RE RIGHT. THE  
24 CONVENTIONAL GRANTMAKING MECHANISM HAS DONE A PRETTY  
25 GOOD JOB OF IDENTIFYING NEURODEGENERATIVE PROJECTS

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 AND SOME NEURODEVELOPMENTAL PROJECTS. WHY WE DON'T  
2 HAVE AN EXISTING INVESTMENT IN NEUROPSYCHIATRIC,  
3 ESPECIALLY GIVEN HOW COMMON THESE DISORDERS ARE, IS  
4 NOT SO CLEAR TO ME AS YET. AND I THINK THIS IS  
5 SOMETHING THAT WE WILL BE FINDING OUT VIA ROSA'S  
6 SURVEY AND HEARING FROM TWO OF THE LEADING USERS OF  
7 STEM CELL TECHNOLOGY TO TACKLE NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
8 DISORDERS. I THINK THEY'LL BOTH BE SCHIZOPHRENIA IS  
9 MY RECOLLECTION, BUT WE'LL FIND OUT. AND WE'LL TAKE  
10 IT FROM THERE.

11 I DO FIND IT HARD TO BELIEVE THAT IT'S  
12 ONLY A QUALITY ISSUE. OUR GRANTMAKING SYSTEM REALLY  
13 PUTS QUALITY FIRST AND AREAS OF ENDEAVOR A CLOSE  
14 SECOND HAS BEEN MY OBSERVATION OVER THE YEARS, BUT  
15 WE'LL DELVE INTO THIS ISSUE MORE DEEPLY AS WE GO.

16 OKAY. AL, PLEASE.

17 MR. ROWLETT: I WANTED TO FIRST  
18 ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I GOT DROPPED, AND SO I'M GOING TO  
19 LEAVE MY CAMERA OFF UNTIL I CAN FIGURE OUT MY  
20 INTERNET ISSUES. SO MY APOLOGIES FOR ONLY HEARING  
21 MY AUDIO.

22 I AM A SUPPORTER OF THE NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
23 APPROACH. ONE OF THE REASONS IS THAT OFTENTIMES I  
24 HAVE THE UNIQUE PRIVILEGE OF WORKING WITH A LOT OF  
25 PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN DIAGNOSED WITH DIFFERENT FORMS

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 OF SCHIZOPHRENIA. AND SELDOM ARE THE APPROACHES FOR  
2 TREATMENT LIKE THOSE THAT ARE SPONSORED BY THE  
3 AGENCY, REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, OR REALLY LOOKING AT  
4 APPROACHES THAT COULD AMELIORATE SOME OF THE ORGANIC  
5 CAUSES OF SCHIZOPHRENIA TYPICALLY AREN'T TALKED  
6 ABOUT. WHAT IS DISCUSSED IS THERE'S A PLETHORA OF  
7 DATA ASSOCIATED WITH THE SOCIAL DETERMINANTS AND  
8 OTHER FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE THE TRAJECTORY OR THE  
9 COURSE OF THE DISEASE.

10 THAT INFORMATION COUPLED WITH WHAT CIRM  
11 MIGHT BE DOING, I THINK, WOULD RESULT IN SOME  
12 WONDERFUL GRANTMAKING OPPORTUNITIES AND ACTUALLY  
13 SOME THINGS THAT ARE QUITE INTRIGUING IN THE AREA OF  
14 ADVANCING THE INITIATIVES ASSOCIATED WITH THE  
15 PATIENT PERSPECTIVE THAT CIRM IS EMBRACING AND  
16 ENSURING THAT DIVERSITY, EQUITY, INCLUSION IS  
17 INCULCATED INTO ANY RESPONSE THAT WE MIGHT CONSIDER.

18 THERE'S A LOT OF WORK IN THAT AREA WITH  
19 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS BECAUSE THE APPROACH HAS  
20 BEEN TYPICALLY SUPPORTING PEOPLE CLINICALLY WITH HOW  
21 TO LIVE WITH THE DISEASE AND AMELIORATING SOCIAL  
22 DETERMINANTS. SO FOR FEAR OF REPEATING MYSELF  
23 AGAIN, I JUST WANT TO SAY, LARRY, I THINK YOU GOT TO  
24 START SOMEWHERE. I HEARD SOMEONE SAY A MILE WIDE OR  
25 A MILE DEEP, AND WE'RE GOING TO GO A MILE DEEP AND

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 AN INCH WIDE AND SEE WHAT WE GET. I SUPPORT THE  
2 EFFORT.

3 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. THANK YOU,  
4 AL.

5 I'LL JUST ADD THAT MY READING OF THE  
6 LITERATURE SUGGESTS THAT THESE DISORDERS ARE NOT  
7 PARTICULARLY RARE. THEY MAY BE MORE COMMON IN  
8 UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES, ALTHOUGH I THINK WE DON'T  
9 REALLY KNOW THAT. AND MY GUESS WOULD BE THAT MOST  
10 YOUNG SCIENTISTS, WHEN THEY'RE STARTING THEIR  
11 CAREERS, HAVE A LOOK AT THE MAGNITUDE OF THIS  
12 PROBLEM AND THE COMPLEXITY OF IT AND SWITCH TO OTHER  
13 AREAS OF RESEARCH. BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT. THAT IS  
14 SPECULATION ON MY PART.

15 OKAY. ANYBODY ELSE BEFORE WE HEAR FROM  
16 KRISTEN?

17 DR. SUDHOF: ONE THING.

18 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YES, PLEASE.

19 DR. SUDHOF: I JUST WANT TO REMIND YOU I  
20 HAVE A HARD STOP AT 1 O'CLOCK.

21 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OH, RIGHT. SORRY.  
22 YES. OKAY. I'LL INVERT THE ORDER. THANK YOU FOR  
23 REMINDING ME, TOM. MUCH APPRECIATED.

24 OKAY. SO, TOM, YOU'RE UP WITH NO FURTHER  
25 ADO.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 DR. SUDHOF: OKAY. LET ME SHARE MY  
2 SCREEN.

3 SO IT'S REALLY AN HONOR FOR ME TO PRESENT  
4 TO YOU HERE TODAY WHY I BELIEVE, AND I HOPE I CAN  
5 MAKE THIS CLEAR, THAT CIRM WOULD BE WELL PLACED TO  
6 SUPPORT VIA A SOLID GRANTING MECHANISM RESEARCH INTO  
7 THE BASIC PROCESSES THAT UNDERLIE NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
8 DISORDERS.

9 THE QUESTIONS REALLY ARE WHY DO WE NEED  
10 STEM CELL BIOLOGY TO STUDY NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISEASES  
11 AND HOW CAN STEM CELL BIOLOGY HELP US UNDERSTAND  
12 WHAT IS ARGUABLY A MAJOR CRISIS IN OUR HEALTHCARE  
13 SYSTEM? AND I THINK THERE CAN BE NO DOUBT, AS LARRY  
14 ALREADY ARTICULATED, THAT NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS  
15 ARE NOT RARE. AND, FOR EXAMPLE, THE HOUSING CRISIS,  
16 THE HOMELESS CRISIS THAT WE HAVE IN CALIFORNIA IS  
17 PARTLY, AT LEAST, I BELIEVE, DUE TO THE PROBLEM OF  
18 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS. THIS IS A WIDELY  
19 DISTRIBUTED, UNFORTUNATELY, QUITE HIGH FREQUENCY SET  
20 OF DISEASES.

21 NOW, NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS ARE  
22 NEURODEVELOPMENTAL. THAT IS, THE IDEA IS THAT MOST  
23 PEOPLE IN THE FIELD FEEL THAT THEY ARE EMERGING FROM  
24 SOME KIND OF MISALIGNMENT DURING THE PROCESS OF HOW  
25 THE BRAIN IS WIRED DURING DEVELOPMENT. AND,

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 THEREFORE, STEM CELL BIOLOGY, WHICH IS IDEALLY  
2 SUITED, ESPECIALLY FOR DEVELOPMENTAL QUESTIONS, IS  
3 ACTUALLY A VERY GOOD WAY OF APPROACHING THIS,  
4 ESPECIALLY SINCE IT CAN BE DONE WITH HUMAN MATERIAL.

5 AND WHAT I WANT TO DO IS TALK ABOUT  
6 SCHIZOPHRENIA AS AN EXAMPLE, BUT MY LAB, AS WELL AS  
7 KRISTEN'S AND OBVIOUSLY MANY OTHERS, HAVE ALSO USED  
8 STEM CELL BIOLOGY FOR OTHER NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
9 DISORDERS. AND SCHIZOPHRENIA I WANT TO USE AS AN  
10 EXAMPLE BECAUSE THE GENETICS OF SCHIZOPHRENIA ARE SO  
11 WELL UNDERSTOOD.

12 WHAT YOU SEE HERE IS TAKEN FROM A PAPER  
13 FROM ONE OF THE LEADING LABS AT THE BROAD ON THE  
14 GENETICS OF SCHIZOPHRENIA. AND YOU CAN SEE HERE THE  
15 PLOT OF THE FREQUENCY WITH WHICH A CERTAIN  
16 POLYMORPHISM GENETIC CHANGE HAPPENS IN THE GENERAL  
17 POPULATION VERSUS THE CHANCE, THE ODDS RATIO OF  
18 GETTING SCHIZOPHRENIA. YOU CAN SEE THAT THOSE  
19 POLYMORPHISMS THAT HAVE A VERY LOW IMPACT ARE SHOWN  
20 ON THE RIGHT. THEY ARE MUCH MORE FREQUENT  
21 OBVIOUSLY. AND THEN YOU CAN ALSO SEE THAT ON THE  
22 LEFT THERE'S THESE HIGH IMPACT GENE MUTATIONS  
23 GENERALLY THAT ARE EXTREMELY RARE.

24 THE PROBLEM IS THAT WE HAVE HAD ENORMOUS  
25 PROGRESS IN HUMAN GENETICS, BUT ALMOST NONE IN

1 MECHANISTIC SCIENCE. IN OTHER WORDS, WE DON'T  
2 ACTUALLY KNOW HOW THESE GENETIC CHANGES PREDISPOSE  
3 TO NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS SUCH AS SCHIZOPHRENIA.  
4 AND A SIMILAR PICTURE EMERGES FOR AUTISM. THE  
5 REASON WHY, AND WE CAN TALK ABOUT THIS AT THE END,  
6 WHY THERE HAS BEEN SO LITTLE PROGRESS IN  
7 THERAPEUTICS AND PRESUMABLY WHY THERE ARE FEW GRANT  
8 APPLICATIONS TO CIRM OR OTHERS THAT ARE DIRECTLY  
9 TRANSLATIONAL IS THAT WE HAVE FANTASTIC GENETICS,  
10 BUT THE GENETICS DOESN'T ACTUALLY TELL US HOW IT  
11 WORKS. IT ONLY TELLS US THAT THERE'S A GENETIC  
12 PREDISPOSITION.

13 SO INSTEAD OF GOING THROUGH ALL THESE  
14 GENES AND TALKING ABOUT WHAT WE AND OTHERS HAVE DONE  
15 ON THEM, I THOUGHT THAT MY BEST SERVICE TO THE  
16 COMMITTEE WOULD BE TO EXPLAIN TO YOU ABOUT HOW OUR  
17 LAB HAS WORKED ON ONE PARTICULAR GENE, A GENE CALLED  
18 NRXN1, THAT IS NOW HIGHLIGHTED HERE WITH THE CIRCLE,  
19 AND THAT IS CRUCIALLY INVOLVED IN SCHIZOPHRENIA  
20 BECAUSE TO THIS POINT IT IS STILL THE ONE GENE THAT  
21 PROVIDES THIS AS A SINGLE GENE MUTATION PROVIDES THE  
22 MOST FREQUENT PREDISPOSITION TO SCHIZOPHRENIA.

23 YOU CAN ALSO SEE THAT IT HAS QUITE A HIGH  
24 ODDS RATIO, MEANING IT HAS A VERY LARGE EFFECT SIZE.  
25 IT'S NOT A SMALL EFFECT SIZE.

1 AND SO WHAT I'M GOING TO DO TODAY IS TRY  
2 TO ILLUSTRATE FOR YOU WITH THIS STUDY HOW WE CAN  
3 ACTUALLY GET CLOSER TO MECHANISMS ALTHOUGH I HAVE TO  
4 TELL YOU WE DON'T YET UNDERSTAND EXACTLY. WE ARE  
5 NOT THERE YET AND WILL TAKE EVEN MORE BASIC STUDIES.  
6 BASIC STUDIES ARE, THIS IS MY MESSAGE, THE CRUCIAL  
7 REQUIREMENT AT THIS POINT IN UNDERSTANDING  
8 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISEASES IN ORDER TO MAKE PROGRESS  
9 TOWARDS THERAPEUTICS.

10 SO NRXN1 IS A GENE THAT BELONGS TO A  
11 FAMILY CALLED NEUREXINS. AND WHAT YOU SEE HERE VERY  
12 SCHEMATICALLY IS WHAT THESE PROTEINS LOOK LIKE.  
13 THEY'RE CELL SURFACE PROTEINS. THEY HAVE MULTIPLE  
14 DOMAINS THAT ARE NOT VERY RELEVANT AS SHOWN HERE  
15 CALLED L AND E. AND THEY BIND ACROSS THE SYNAPSE,  
16 WHICH IS SCHEMATICALLY ILLUSTRATED HERE AS LEFT AND  
17 RIGHT, ON THE POSTSYNAPTIC SIDE TO A NUMBER OF  
18 LIGANDS. SO THEY HAVE LOTS OF DIFFERENT FUNCTIONS  
19 BY BINDING LOTS TO LOTS OF DIFFERENT PROTEINS.

20 THERE ARE THREE GENES THAT HAVE  
21 INDEPENDENT PROMOTERS BECAUSE THE NEUREXINS COME IN  
22 TWO FLAVORS, ALPHA, LONGER, BIGGER PIECES THAT ARE  
23 SHORTER. THEY HAVE, AS I ALREADY MENTIONED, DIVERSE  
24 TRANS-SYNAPTIC LIGANDS AND EXTENSIVE ALTERNATIVE  
25 SPLICING. AND HERE'S WHY WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THIS.



**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 THERE'S THOUSANDS OF GENE MUTATIONS IN NEUREXINS,  
2 NOT ONLY NRXN1, BUT BY FAR THE MOST FREQUENTLY,  
3 NRXN1, THAT PREDISPOSE TO MULTIPLE NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
4 DISORDERS. SO WHAT I'M GOING TO TELL YOU ABOUT  
5 TODAY IS ACTUALLY NOT ONLY ABOUT SCHIZOPHRENIA.  
6 IT'S ABOUT NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS IN GENERAL.

7 WHAT YOU SEE HERE IS A SLIDE TAKEN FROM A  
8 PAPER BY COSEMANS, ET AL. WHERE THEY HAVE MAPPED THE  
9 DELETIONS IN THE NRXN1 GENE AND COMPARED THEM  
10 WHETHER OR NOT THEY HAVE A SPECIFIC PHENOTYPE IN  
11 TERMS OF THE CLINICAL PRESENTATION OR NOT. AND IN  
12 BLACK YOU SEE THOSE THAT DO CAUSE OF CLINICAL  
13 PHENOTYPE, IN GREEN THOSE THAT DON'T. THE POINT OF  
14 THIS SLIDE IS NOT TO LET YOU KNOW ABOUT THE DETAILS  
15 OF THESE MUTATIONS. THAT'S IRRELEVANT. THE POINT  
16 OF THE SLIDE IS THAT THESE MUTATIONS, INDEPENDENT OF  
17 WHERE THEY ARE, ARE ASSOCIATED WITH A DIVERSE SET OF  
18 CLINICAL PRESENTATIONS, AUTISM, SCHIZOPHRENIA,  
19 TOURETTE, INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY. THOUSANDS OF  
20 CASES, ENORMOUS DIVERSITY.

21 AND SO THE CHALLENGE HERE, AND THE SAME  
22 ACTUALLY APPLIES FOR OTHER GENE MUTATIONS, IS NOT  
23 THAT WE HAVE THE GENE MUTATIONS. THE CHALLENGE IS  
24 TO UNDERSTAND WHY THE SAME MUTATIONS ARE SO HIGHLY  
25 PREDISPOSING TO DIFFERENT NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISEASES.

1           AND JUST TO ILLUSTRATE THIS POINT EVEN  
2           FURTHER, AGAIN FROM THE SAME PAPER ON THIS CLINICAL  
3           PRESENTATION OF THE PATIENTS, WHAT IT MAPS IS THE  
4           FREQUENCY OF DELETERIOUS VERSUS NON-DELETERIOUS  
5           MUTATIONS SHOWN ON TOP. AND THE BOTTOM EXHIBITS THE  
6           TYPES OF PRESENTATIONS THAT ARE OBSERVED IN PATIENTS  
7           WITH THESE DELETIONS IN THE NRXN1 GENE. AND YOU CAN  
8           SEE THERE'S A WHOLE GAMUT OF PRESENTATIONS THAT  
9           SHIFT SLIGHTLY DEPENDING ON WHERE THE DELETIONS ARE  
10          IN THIS HUGE GENE.

11                 BUT THE POINT HERE IS THAT IN THE MAJORITY  
12          OF THE CASES, IT'S A PSYCHIATRIC DISORDER THAT IS  
13          THE MANIFEST OF THIS PARTICULAR MUTATION, RESULTING  
14          IN A SEVERE IMPAIRMENT IN HOW THESE PATIENTS CAN  
15          DEAL WITH EVERYDAY LIFE. AND THIS IS SORT OF AN  
16          EXAMPLE, I THINK IN MANY WAYS A PARADIGM OF HOW  
17          NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS ARE CURRENTLY VIEWED IN  
18          THE FIELD.

19                 MOST OF THESE MUTATIONS ARE ACTUALLY  
20          INHERITED BECAUSE THEY ONLY PARTIALLY MANIFEST, BUT  
21          A SIGNIFICANT PART IS ALSO DE NOVO AS SHOWN HERE AT  
22          THE BOTTOM. SO THIS IMPACT OF THESE NEUREXIN  
23          DELETIONS IS HUGE. AND AS I ALREADY MENTIONED, THE  
24          NEUREXIN DELETIONS ARE THE MOST PREVALENT  
25          SINGLE-GENE MUTATIONS PREDISPOSING TO SCHIZOPHRENIA

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 AND AMONG THE MOST PREVALENT PREDISPOSING TO  
2 TOURETTE AND TO AUTISM.

3 AND SO WHAT I'M GOING TO TELL YOU NEXT IS  
4 HOW WE APPROACHED AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHY THESE  
5 MUTATIONS MIGHT BE PREDISPOSING TO NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
6 DISORDERS USING STEM CELL BIOLOGY. AND I'M GOING TO  
7 TRY TO LEAD YOU THROUGH SOME OF THE STUDIES VERY  
8 BRIEFLY AND VERY SCHEMATICALLY TO TRY TO GIVE YOU A  
9 FLAVOR FOR WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE, AT LEAST IN OUR  
10 VIEW, IN ORDER TO UNDERSTAND HOW THIS CAN  
11 POTENTIALLY BE ANALYZED.

12 SO AS A STARTING POINT, SOME YEARS AGO,  
13 ABOUT TEN YEARS AGO, WE DEVELOPED A METHOD OF  
14 RAPIDLY GENERATING HUMAN NEURONS FROM STEM CELLS,  
15 AND WE USED THIS METHOD TO ANALYZE NRXN1 MUTATIONS.

16 NOW, THIS METHOD, I BELIEVE, IS NOW WIDELY  
17 USED BY MANY DIFFERENT LABS. AND MAYBE KRISTEN WILL  
18 ALSO TALK ABOUT IT. AND THE METHOD DEPENDS ON  
19 TRANSDUCING STEM CELLS, IPS CELLS, ES CELLS, ANY  
20 KIND OF STEM CELL, WITH VIRUSES THAT EXPRESS A  
21 TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR. AND BY EXPRESSING THE SINGLE  
22 TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR NGN2, WE CAN MAKE THESE STEM  
23 CELLS BECOME NEURONS WITHIN WEEKS. AND THESE  
24 NEURONS ARE TRUE NEURONS. THEY DON'T ONLY LOOK LIKE  
25 NEURONS, BUT THEY ACTUALLY FORM SYNAPSES. AND THIS

1 IS ILLUSTRATED DOWN HERE WHERE YOU CAN SEE THAT EVEN  
2 AFTER TWO WEEKS AND CERTAINLY AFTER THREE WEEKS  
3 THERE'S ROBUST SYNAPTIC RESPONSES. AND AS THESE  
4 NEURONS MATURE IN THE DISH WHERE THEY CAN BE KEPT  
5 FOR MONTHS AND EVEN YEARS, THESE SYNAPTIC RESPONSES  
6 BECOME BIGGER AND BIGGER AND BIGGER. SO THEY  
7 CONTINUE TO MATURE AS A FUNCTION OF TIME. AND  
8 BECAUSE THESE SYNAPTIC RESPONSES RESEMBLE THOSE THAT  
9 ARE OBSERVED IN AN ADULT, THESE SYNAPTIC RESPONSES  
10 AND THE SYNAPSES CAN BE USED TO ANALYZE DISEASE  
11 PROCESSES.

12 SO USING THIS SYSTEM, WE ENGINEERED  
13 CONDITIONAL NRXN1 MUTATIONS INTO STEM CELLS. AND WE  
14 USED CONDITIONAL MUTATIONS BECAUSE WE WANTED TO HAVE  
15 A SITUATION WHERE WE COULD ANALYZE THE MUTATION  
16 INDEPENDENT OF THE GENETIC BACKGROUND. SO THE  
17 GENETIC BACKGROUND WOULD ALWAYS BE THE SAME FOR BOTH  
18 THE TEST AND THE CONTROL, THE MUTANT AND THE WILD  
19 TYPE. THEN WE ANALYZED GENETICALLY IDENTICAL WILD  
20 TYPE AND MUTANT HUMAN NEURONS.

21 WHAT YOU SEE HERE ON TOP IS A PICTURE OF  
22 WHAT THESE NEURONS LOOK LIKE. THEY LOOK LIKE ANY  
23 KIND OF NEURON. IT DOESN'T MATTER IF THEY HAVE A  
24 HETEROZYGOUS NRXN1 LOSS-OF-FUNCTION OR NOT. BUT AS  
25 EXPECTED, THEY EXPRESS LESS NRXN1 BECAUSE THEY HAVE

1 A HETEROZYGOUS DELETION.

2 AND THEN WE ASKED, WELL, WHAT DOES THIS DO  
3 TO NEURONAL DEVELOPMENT AND SYNAPSE NUMBERS? AND IT  
4 DOESN'T DO ANYTHING TO EITHER. AND WE ASKED WHETHER  
5 THERE ARE ANY FUNCTIONAL EFFECTS SINCE THERE'S NO  
6 EFFECT ON THE ACTUAL MORPHOLOGY ON THE ABILITY OF  
7 THESE NEURONS TO FORM SYNAPSES IN THE FIRST PLACE.  
8 AND WE OBSERVED A VERY ROBUST IMPAIRMENT IN SYNAPTIC  
9 TRANSMISSION THAT IS ILLUSTRATED HERE. IN OTHER  
10 WORDS, THESE NEURONS, THEY MAKE SYNAPSES WHICH LOOK  
11 PERFECTLY NORMAL WHEN YOU LOOK AT THEM IN A  
12 MICROSCOPE, EM OR LIGHT; BUT WHEN YOU MEASURE THEIR  
13 FUNCTION, THEIR FUNCTION IS IMPAIRED. THEY HAVE A  
14 DISCRETE PHENOTYPE, THEY FLEX, DECREASE IN RELEASE  
15 PROBABILITY, SO PRESYNAPTIC FUNCTION, THE WAY HOW A  
16 PRESYNAPTIC NEURON SENDS OUT A SIGNAL DURING  
17 SYNAPTIC TRANSMISSION TO THE POST-SYNAPTIC SIDE.  
18 AND THIS PARTICULARLY DISCRETE PHENOTYPE IS ROBUSTLY  
19 OBSERVED.

20 WE WONDERED WHAT THE MOLECULAR CORRELATE,  
21 AND THIS IS WHERE WE DON'T REALLY KNOW HOW THIS  
22 PRESYNAPTIC DECREASE HAPPENS, HOW THIS IMPAIRMENT  
23 HAPPENS, BUT WE FOUND AS A MAJOR SIGNATURE THAT  
24 THERE WAS ONE PARTICULAR PROTEIN THAT WAS CHANGED IN  
25 LEVELS AND, IN FACT, INCREASED A PROTEIN CALLED

1 CASK. I WON'T DISCUSS THIS ANY FURTHER. IT MAKES  
2 SENSE THOUGH BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT THIS PROTEIN  
3 ACTUALLY BINDS TO NEUREXINS.

4 SO THE ROBUST AND SELECTIVE FUNCTIONAL  
5 IMPAIRMENT OF HETEROZYGOUS NRXN1-MUTANT NEURONS WERE  
6 UNEXPECTED. WE DIDN'T EXPECT THAT SUCH A  
7 HETEROZYGOUS DELETION WOULD CAUSE A MAJOR CHANGE.  
8 AND WE WONDERED WOULD THIS ALSO BE OBSERVED IN  
9 PATIENT-DERIVED NEURONS? IS THIS SOMETHING THAT IS  
10 ONLY OBSERVED IN A RATHER ARTIFICIAL OR THE  
11 WELL-CONTROLLED CONDITIONAL MUTATION, OR CAN THIS  
12 ALSO BE OBSERVED IN A MORE TRANSLATIONAL RELEVANT  
13 PATIENT DIRECT NEURON SITUATION?

14 AND SO WE INITIATED A LARGE-SCALE  
15 VALIDATION PROJECT THAT TESTED THE POTENTIAL  
16 TRANSLATABILITY OF WHAT WE HAD OBSERVED. AND THIS  
17 WAS SPONSORED BY NIMH BECAUSE NIMH WAS VERY  
18 CONCERNED ABOUT REPRODUCIBILITY AND ABOUT THE  
19 ROBUSTNESS OF MANY NEUROPSYCHIATRIC-ASSOCIATED  
20 PHENOTYPES THAT HAVE BEEN REPORTED IN THE LITERATURE  
21 OVER THE YEARS.

22 SO THE PROJECT THAT WE INITIATED IS  
23 ILLUSTRATED HERE SCHEMATICALLY. WE GENERATED, IN  
24 COLLABORATION WITH NIMH, IPS CELLS FROM PATIENTS AND  
25 MATCHED CONTROLS. AND THIS IS FROM SCHIZOPHRENIA

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 PATIENTS. AND WE ANALYZED THREE PAIRS, NOT A LARGE  
2 SAMPLE. BUT BECAUSE OF THE DEPTH OF ANALYSIS, WHICH  
3 REQUIRES A HUGE AMOUNT OF INVESTMENT OF LABOR, THREE  
4 PAIRS WAS ALL WE COULD DO WITH THE AVAILABLE  
5 FUNDING.

6 WE THEN USED THESE HUMAN IPS CELLS AND WE  
7 DISTRIBUTED THEM INTO TWO SITES IN THE COUNTRY,  
8 STANFORD AND RUTGERS. AND THERE THESE IPS CELLS  
9 WERE INDEPENDENTLY TRANSFORMED INTO NEURONS USING  
10 OUR NGN2 METHOD AND THEN ANALYZED FUNCTIONALLY AND  
11 THE RESULTS WERE COMPARED. I'M NOT GOING TO TELL  
12 YOU IN DETAIL WHAT WE FOUND. SUFFICE IT TO SAY THAT  
13 WE FOUND IDENTICAL PHENOTYPES IN RUTGERS AND  
14 STANFORD DESPITE THE FACT THAT THEY WERE SEPARATELY  
15 ANALYZED. AND THIS PHENOTYPE IS SUMMARIZED HERE,  
16 WHICH IS A SELECTIVE LOSS OF SYNAPTIC STRENGTH  
17 INDEPENDENT, WITHOUT ANY CHANGE IN THE MORPHOLOGY,  
18 WITHOUT CHANGE IN SYNAPSE NUMBERS OF MAKING  
19 SYNAPSES. ONLY THE STRENGTH OF THE SYNAPSE, OF  
20 THESE EXCITATORY SYNAPSES, IN THESE HUMAN NEURONS  
21 WAS IMPAIRED IN PATIENT-DERIVED NEURONS COMPARED TO  
22 MATCHED OBVIOUSLY NONIDENTICAL CONTROLS.

23 AND THIS IS SHOWN HERE FOR THE THREE PAIRS  
24 IN THREE DIFFERENT COLORS TO ILLUSTRATE TO YOU THAT  
25 IN EACH CASE THE DECREASE IN SYNAPTIC STRENGTH WAS

1 VERY, VERY SIMILAR, ACTUALLY AMAZINGLY SIMILAR. AND  
2 WE ALSO OBSERVED AN INCREASE IN WHAT'S CALLED THE  
3 C.V. IT DOESN'T REALLY MATTER WHAT THAT MEANS.  
4 SUFFICE IT TO SAY THAT IT'S A REFLECTION OF THE  
5 RELEASE PROBABILITY, SORT OF TELLS YOU OF HOW WELL  
6 THE PRESYNAPTIC NEURON IS ABLE TO SEND OUT A SIGNAL.

7 SO THIS LARGE DECREASE IN RELEASE  
8 PROBABILITY CAUSES A MAJOR LOSS OF SYNAPTIC  
9 STRENGTH, AND THIS WAS REPLICATED, SUGGESTING THAT  
10 THIS PROVIDES A SUBSTRATE FOR UNDERSTANDING WHY THIS  
11 MUTATION IS NOT GOOD FOR PEOPLE, WHY IT PREDISPOSES  
12 IN A SUBSET OF PEOPLE, ACTUALLY IN THE MAJORITY, TO  
13 SOME NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDER. AND THESE MUTATIONS  
14 IN THESE PAIRS WAS ALSO ASSOCIATED WITH THE CASK  
15 INCREASE THAT I OBSERVED ALREADY, TOLD YOU ABOUT IN  
16 THE ENGINEERED NEURONS WHERE WE OBSERVED AN INCREASE  
17 IN THE PROTEIN CALLED KYAT3. AND KYAT3 IS THE  
18 KYNURENINE AMINOTRANSFERASE 3 THAT SYNTHESIZES  
19 KYNURENIC ACID, WHICH IS A GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR  
20 ANTAGONIST. SO IT FITS INTO THE GENERAL THEME OF  
21 HAVING SYNAPTIC TRANSMISSION ABNORMALITY.

22 SO WE WONDERED ARE THESE CHANGES IN  
23 PROTEIN LEVELS AND SYNAPTIC STRENGTH DUE TO A  
24 TRANSCRIPTIONAL CHANGE IN RESPONSE TO THE NRXN1  
25 DELETION? AND WE ASKED THIS BECAUSE NOWADAYS IT'S



**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 REALLY COMMON TO DO RNASEQ EXPERIMENTS. IT'S EASY.  
2 IT PROVIDES HUGE AMOUNTS OF DATA QUICKLY. SO  
3 EVERYBODY DOES IT. AND MOST OF THE CASES PEOPLE  
4 FIND SOMETHING THAT'S DIFFERENT, AND WE ALSO FOUND  
5 SOMETHING THAT'S DIFFERENT. BUT THE MAJOR  
6 DIFFERENCE WE FOUND IS SHOWN HERE IN THIS HEAT MAP  
7 IS BETWEEN THE IPS AN ES CELLS AND THE NEURONS.  
8 THERE THE DIFFERENCE WAS BASICALLY RED AND BLUE AS  
9 YOU CAN SEE HERE AT THESE TWO HIGHLIGHTED AREAS.  
10 WHEREAS, WHEN WE COMPARED EITHER PATIENT-DERIVED  
11 NEURONS WITH CONTROL NEURONS OR ENGINEERED TEST  
12 NEURONS WITH ENGINEERED CONTROL NEURONS, WE FOUND  
13 VERY, VERY LITTLE DIFFERENCES. THE DIFFERENCES WERE  
14 STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT, BUT THAT IS ONLY BECAUSE  
15 IN TRANSCRIPTOMICS EVERYTHING IS STATISTICALLY  
16 SIGNIFICANT.

17 IT'S ACTUALLY THE CASE THAT THERE IS MORE  
18 DIFFERENCE, AS SHOWN HERE, IN THE PRINCIPAL  
19 COMPONENT ANALYSIS BETWEEN THE PARENTAL IPS CELLS  
20 AND THE NEURONS WHERE THE DIFFERENCES ARE HUGE.  
21 THEN THERE IS BETWEEN THE NEURONS FROM WILD TYPE OF  
22 CONTROLLED PATIENTS AND FROM PATIENTS, FROM CONTROL  
23 INDIVIDUALS, SORRY, AND PATIENTS, AND ALSO WE  
24 OBSERVED VERY LITTLE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE CONTROL  
25 NEURONS AND TEST NEURONS WITH THE ENGINEERED

1 MUTATIONS.

2 SO THE CONCLUSIONS FROM THE RNASEQ  
3 EXPERIMENTS IN OUR CASE ARE THAT THE ABNORMALITIES  
4 THAT WE OBSERVE IN SYNAPTIC STRENGTH ARE NOT DUE TO  
5 GENE EXPRESSION CHANGES. THEY'RE DUE TO CHANGES OF  
6 HOW NRXN1 BASICALLY ORGANIZES SYNAPSES. NRXN1  
7 MUTATION HAS A MINIMAL IMPACT ON GENE EXPRESSION.  
8 IT BASICALLY ACTS AT THE SYNAPSE AS WE HAVE SHOWN IN  
9 OTHER STUDIES THAT I DON'T HAVE TIME TO DISCUSS IN  
10 ORDER TO ENABLE A SYNAPSE TO FUNCTION PROPERLY. AND  
11 YOU CAN IMAGINE THAT IF YOU HAVE A WHOLE BRAIN WHERE  
12 THE SYNAPSES, MOST OF THE SYNAPSES, HAVE A  
13 DEFICIENCY IN SOME KIND OF SYNAPTIC TRANSMISSION,  
14 THAT THIS DOES HAVE OVERALL CONSEQUENCES FOR NEURAL  
15 CIRCUITS.

16 FINALLY, I WANT TO BRIEFLY DISCUSS THE  
17 NEED TO ACTUALLY DO SUCH EXPERIMENTS IN HUMAN NEURON  
18 CELLS DERIVED FROM STEM CELLS BECAUSE I THINK THAT,  
19 ALTHOUGH MOUSE MODELS ARE TERRIFIC, WE NEED THEM,  
20 THEY'RE ESSENTIAL FOR REALLY SCIENCE TRANSLATION,  
21 FOR EVERYTHING. IN THE END WE HAVE TO UNDERSTAND  
22 WHAT HAPPENS IN HUMAN NEURONS AT THE MOST BASIC  
23 LEVEL WHICH WILL ALLOW US TO IDENTIFY POTENTIAL  
24 THERAPEUTIC TARGETS, DEVELOP DRUGS, SCREEN FOR  
25 DRUGS, AND EVENTUALLY GO INTO CLINICAL TRIALS.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 AND THIS, WHAT I WANT TO TELL YOU ABOUT  
2 HERE NOW IS A DIRECT COMPARISON OF HUMAN VERSUS  
3 MOUSE NEURONS. SO WHEN WE GOT THE RESULTS THAT I  
4 TALKED TO YOU UP TO NOW, WE WONDERED WHY IS IT THAT  
5 WE DID NOT OBSERVE A COMPARABLE RESULT WITH  
6 HETEROZYGOUS MUTATIONS THAT WE PREVIOUSLY ANALYZED  
7 IN MOUSE NEURONS? AND THE POTENTIAL REASON COULD  
8 HAVE BEEN THAT WE NEVER REALLY COMPARED THE SAME  
9 PREPARATIONS, THE SAME APPROACHES. IN THE MOUSE  
10 NEURONS YOU ALWAYS USED NEURONS THAT WERE ACTUALLY  
11 GENERATED BY THE MICE AS THEY WERE DEVELOPING AS A  
12 REAL ORGANISM. WHEREAS, IN THE CASE OF THE HUMAN  
13 NEURONS, WE WERE MAKING THE HUMAN NEURONS --

14 (INTERRUPTION.)

15 I'M ALMOST DONE. SO WHAT WE DID IN THESE  
16 STUDIES IS WE MADE MOUSE AND HUMAN IPS CELLS THAT  
17 WERE ES CELLS AND IPS CELLS THAT WERE EXACTLY  
18 IDENTICAL, WITH THE IDENTICAL MUTATIONS,  
19 HETEROZYGOUS MUTATIONS. EVERYTHING WAS EXACTLY THE  
20 SAME. AND THEN WE ANALYZED THEM IN PARALLEL. AND  
21 THIS ALLOWED US TO ACTUALLY COMPARE EXACTLY THE SAME  
22 SITUATIONS AND TO TEST RIGOROUSLY WHERE THE MOUSE  
23 MODELS ARE ULTRALY RELIABLE OR PARTIALLY RELIABLE.  
24 AND WHAT WE FOUND, AS SHOWN HERE IN THIS VERY BUSY  
25 SLIDE, SO I'M NOT GOING TO GO THROUGH THIS, JUST

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 FOCUS ON THE TOP, A AND D, IS THAT THE MOUSE NEURONS  
2 DO NOT HAVE THE PHENOTYPE THAT THE HUMAN NEURONS  
3 HAVE WHERE THERE IS A DECREASE IN SYNAPTIC ACTIVITY  
4 CALLED MEPPSC FREQUENCY; WHEREAS, IN THE MOUSE  
5 NEURONS THAT ISN'T OBSERVED. AND MAYBE NOT  
6 SURPRISINGLY, MICE AND HUMANS ARE THUS DIFFERENT.

7 SO THEN WHAT I'VE TRIED TO TELL YOU HERE  
8 WITH THIS PART IS THAT HETEROZYGOUS HUMAN, BUT NOT  
9 MOUSE NRXN1-MUTANT NEURONS EXHIBIT A ROBUST SYNAPTIC  
10 IMPAIRMENT THAT COULD SERVE AS THE BASIS FOR  
11 MECHANISTIC AND TRANSLATIONAL STUDIES WHICH ARE  
12 HOPEFULLY GOING TO BE IDENTIFYING TARGETS THAT WE  
13 CAN USE TO MORE SPECIFICALLY TREAT PATIENTS THAN THE  
14 CURRENTLY AVAILABLE MEDICATIONS, WHICH ARE NOT  
15 SUFFICIENT, WHICH ARE REALLY NOT WORKING WELL.

16 AND THE BIGGER PICTURE HERE IS THAT  
17 MECHANISTIC STUDIES ON A DISEASE PROCESS ARE  
18 ESSENTIAL FOR IDENTIFYING DRUG TARGETS AND  
19 DEVELOPING THERAPIES. THE REASON, MAYBE ONE OF THE  
20 REASONS WHY CIRM HASN'T GOTTEN APPLICATIONS IN THIS  
21 AREA IS THAT, IN MY VIEW AT LEAST, WE ARE AT THE  
22 BEGINNING OF AN UNDERSTANDING OF HOW  
23 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISEASE ACTUALLY OCCURS. AND I  
24 THINK THE SAME IS ACTUALLY TRUE FOR  
25 NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES, BUT THAT'S A DIFFERENT

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 SUBJECT. WE KNOW THE GENES, BUT WE DON'T KNOW HOW  
2 THE GENES ACTUALLY OPERATE IN THE DISEASE AND, IN  
3 FACT, IN THE NORMAL. WE NEED STEM CELL BIOLOGY TO  
4 BASICALLY DECONSTRUCT WHY CHANGES IN THESE GENES  
5 PREDISPOSE, AND THEY ALWAYS PREDISPOSE, TO  
6 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS, AND THEN WE CAN MOVE  
7 FORWARD TOWARD STUDIES WHERE WE DEVELOP DRUGS AND  
8 WHERE WE TRY TO PUT THESE DRUGS INTO PEOPLE.

9 LET ME TELL YOU I AM EXTREMELY INTERESTED  
10 IN DEVELOPING DRUGS. I WORK WITH MULTIPLE COMPANIES  
11 IN THIS ENDEAVOR, AND I KNOW FROM MY EFFORTS WITH  
12 THESE COMPANIES HOW DIFFICULT IT IS TO DEVELOP DRUGS  
13 WHEN YOU DON'T ACTUALLY UNDERSTAND THE DISEASE. AND  
14 THIS IS REALLY WHAT WE WANT TO DO IN APPROACHING  
15 THESE QUESTIONS USING HUMAN STEM CELL BIOLOGY.  
16 HAPPY TO TAKE ANY QUESTIONS YOU MIGHT HAVE.

17 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: TOM, THANK YOU VERY  
18 MUCH. LOVELY PIECE OF WORK, JUST EXTRAORDINARILY  
19 IMPRESSIVE.

20 SO LET ME JUST ASK A SIMPLE QUESTION TO  
21 START, WHICH IS WHAT FRACTION OF SCHIZOPHRENIA DO  
22 YOU THINK IS ACCOUNTED FOR BY NEUREXIN MUTATIONS OR  
23 PERHAPS PROTEINS THAT ARE INVOLVED IN WHAT YOU MIGHT  
24 CALL THE NEUREXIN PATHWAY; THAT IS, WHAT THIS  
25 MOLECULE IS ACTUALLY DOING AT THE SYNAPSE?

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 DR. SUDHOF: THE ACTUAL MUTATIONS ARE VERY  
2 RARE. SO THERE'S THOUSANDS OF PATIENTS, BUT THERE'S  
3 MILLIONS AND MILLIONS OF SCHIZOPHRENICS. AND SO IT  
4 IS SUCH A DEVASTATING, PREVALENT DISEASE. IT IS  
5 TRULY -- I DON'T KNOW -- I WAS ACTUALLY TRAINED AS  
6 AN M.D. ORIGINALLY. IT IS ONE OF THE MOST  
7 DEVASTATING DISEASES YOU CAN HAVE AS A HUMAN. IT IS  
8 HORRIBLE FOR THE PEOPLE. AND THE SUICIDE RATE  
9 AMONGST SCHIZOPHRENICS IS HIGHER THAN AMONG  
10 DEPRESSION. IT IS VERY, VERY, VERY -- YEAH.

11 BUT HAVING SAID THAT, EVERYBODY IN THAT  
12 FIELD, IN SCHIZOPHRENIA FIELD, IS NOW FOCUSING ON  
13 NMDA RECEPTORS AS A KEY POINT. AND, IN FACT, EVEN  
14 RARE MUTATIONS HAPPEN IN NMDA RECEPTORS. IN OTHER  
15 WORK THAT WAS ACTUALLY DONE IN MICE, BUT WHICH WE  
16 HOPE TO EXTEND TO HUMAN STEM CELLS, WE HAVE SHOWN  
17 THAT NRXN1 IS A REGULATOR OF NMDA RECEPTORS. OKAY.

18 AND SO WE THINK THAT THIS WHOLE PATHWAY IS  
19 ONE PATHWAY IN SCHIZOPHRENIA THAT GOES FROM  
20 PRESYNAPTIC SITES, CASK, OR NEUREXINS, OR NMDA  
21 RECEPTORS ALL THE WAY, KYNURENIC ACID, BUT THIS IS  
22 ONE BIG, HUGE PATHWAY WHICH CAN BE HIT INDEPENDENTLY  
23 BY DIFFERENT GENE MUTATIONS OR IN SPORADIC CASES BY  
24 SOME NEURODEVELOPMENTAL ACCIDENT THAT MAY HAVE  
25 HAPPENED FOR WHATEVER REASON THAT WE DON'T

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 UNDERSTAND THAT PREDISPOSES PATIENTS TO DEVELOP THE  
2 DISEASE.

3 AND SO MY SPECULATION, AND THIS IS PURE  
4 SPECULATION, THIS IS NOT SCIENTIFIC, THIS IS BASED  
5 ON THE FACT THAT NMDA RECEPTORS ARE THE KEY CENTRAL  
6 POINT OF SCHIZOPHRENIA CLINICALLY -- KETAMINE, FOR  
7 EXAMPLE, IS AN EXAMPLE -- IS THAT THIS PATHWAY IS  
8 LIKELY GOING TO BE INVOLVED BROADLY IN SCHIZOPHRENIC  
9 PATIENTS FAR BEYOND THE NUMBERS THAT CARRY THE  
10 ACTUAL NRXN1 MUTATIONS.

11 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: BOY. ABSOLUTELY  
12 REMARKABLE. LET'S SEE. QUESTIONS FROM OTHER  
13 MEMBERS OF THE GROUP PLEASE. PAT.

14 DR. LEVITT: HI, TOM.

15 DR. SUDHOF: HI, PAT.

16 DR. LEVITT: HOW ARE YOU? GOOD.

17 SO YOU MENTIONED AT THE BEGINNING, WHICH I  
18 THINK IS REALLY AN IMPORTANT ISSUE TO ADDRESS, IS  
19 THAT, AND THIS IS A POSTER CHILD FOR THE COMPLEXITY  
20 IN WHICH YOU HAVE MUTATIONS IN THE SAME GENE, AND  
21 YET THE PHENOTYPES CAN VARY QUITE A BIT. AND YOU  
22 SHOWED THAT GRAPHIC THAT INCLUDED INTELLECTUAL  
23 DISABILITY, AUTISM, SCHIZOPHRENIA, AND OTHER. SO  
24 I'M WONDERING WHAT YOUR THOUGHTS ARE ABOUT HOW THIS  
25 MIGHT WORK. YOU HAVE THESE FUNDAMENTAL CHANGES IN

1 EXCITATORY NEUROTRANSMISSION, PRESUMABLY IN SPECIFIC  
2 CIRCUITS AND SPECIFIC NEURAL SUBTYPES. AND MAYBE  
3 YOU THOUGHT MORE ABOUT WHY YOU WOULD GET THIS ARRAY  
4 OF THE PHENOTYPES THAT WOULD BE EXPRESSED  
5 DIFFERENTLY FROM ONE INDIVIDUAL TO THE NEXT.

6 DR. SUDHOF: IT'S A GREAT QUESTION,  
7 SOMETHING THAT WE HAVE GRAPPLED WITH, I THINK THE  
8 PERSON HAS GRAPPLED WITH AS WELL. MY PERSONAL  
9 HYPOTHESIS IS THAT WE CAN LEARN A LOT FROM CANCER.  
10 IN CANCER YOU HAVE A COMBINATION OF GENETIC  
11 PREDISPOSITION WITH ACCIDENTS, AND THEY HAPPEN  
12 STOCHASTICALLY. IN SCHIZOPHRENIA, I THINK IN  
13 NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISORDERS, THERE'S MANY, MANY  
14 SPORADIC CASES. THEY HAVE VERY FEW PREDISPOSING  
15 GENETIC FACTORS. GENETICS ONLY PROVIDES ONE PIECE.  
16 WHEN AN ORGANISM DEVELOPS, THERE'S ALL KINDS OF  
17 THINGS THAT CAN GO WRONG DURING DEVELOPMENT. THERE  
18 ARE SO MANY POINTS OF DECISIONS WHERE THINGS CAN GO  
19 WRONG.

20 I THINK ANY ACCIDENT, IF YOU WANT TO CALL  
21 IT THAT, ANYTHING WHERE SOMETHING HAPPENS DURING  
22 DEVELOPMENT WHERE THIS PATHWAY IS AFFECTED WILL  
23 PREDISPOSE TO SCHIZOPHRENIA. SO I DON'T THINK THAT  
24 GENETICS ALONE WILL EXPLAIN NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
25 DISORDERS. I THINK GENETICS TEACHES US TO LOOK FOR



**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 WHAT PATHWAYS TO STUDY AND TO TRY TO IDENTIFY, BASED  
2 ON THE GENETIC PATHWAYS, TARGETS THAT WE CAN USE TO  
3 DEVELOP DRUGS. BUT IN THE HUMAN CLINICAL SITUATION,  
4 I BELIEVE, IN ADDITION TO THE GENETICS, THERE'S  
5 ALWAYS A NONGENETIC COMPONENT, A DEVELOPMENTAL  
6 COMPONENT IN THIS CASE, AND WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND  
7 BETTER THERE COULD BE ENVIRONMENTAL, FOR EXAMPLE,  
8 VERY WELL POSSIBLE. IT COULD BE AN INFLAMMATORY  
9 EVENT DURING PREGNANCY, FOR EXAMPLE, WHICH IS  
10 ABSOLUTELY, I THINK, PLAUSIBLE. IT COULD BE  
11 SOMETHING ELSE EARLY IN CHILDHOOD. YEAH. I THINK  
12 IT'S NOT JUST GENETICS.

13 DR. LEVITT: THANK YOU.

14 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THAT'S REASONABLY  
15 TYPICAL FOR A LOT OF THESE KINDS OF DISORDERS, THAT  
16 YOU HAVE ABOUT HALF OF THE PHENOTYPE CONTROLLED BY  
17 THE GENETIC CONSTITUTION OF THE INDIVIDUAL AND THE  
18 OTHER HALF OF THE PHENOTYPE COMING FROM  
19 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS THAT, AS YOU NOTE, ARE REALLY  
20 POORLY UNDERSTOOD AND HARD TO GET A HANDLE ON.

21 ON THE OTHER HAND, IF HALF OF THE  
22 PHENOTYPIC BEHAVIOR COMES FROM THIS COLLECTION OF  
23 GENES, THAT IS HOPEFUL FOR THERAPY DISCOVERY. I  
24 MEAN IT'S GIVEN YOU A CLUE ABOUT WHERE TO LOOK AND  
25 WHAT TO MODULATE. SO WHILE COMPLICATED, IT'S A

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 TERRIFIC OPPORTUNITY FOR, AS YOU POINT OUT, A  
2 TERRIBLE DISORDER.

3 WE ARE AT ONE ZERO ZERO, TOM. YOU'RE FREE  
4 FOR THE TIME BEING, BUT I RESERVE THE RIGHT FOR US  
5 TO COME BACK TO SEEK ADDITIONAL ADVICE FROM YOU.

6 DR. SUDHOF: PLEASE DO. ANYTHING I CAN  
7 DO. I THINK THIS IS SO IMPORTANT FOR CALIFORNIA AND  
8 ACTUALLY FOR THE PATIENTS. I DO THINK THAT WE NEED  
9 TO MAKE PROGRESS.

10 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT.

11 DR. SUDHOF: THANK YOU.

12 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU, TOM.

13 OKAY. NEXT UP, KRISTEN, CAN YOU SHED SOME  
14 LIGHT ON THESE DISORDERS FOR US PLEASE?

15 DR. BRENNAND: YEAH. I'M REALLY, REALLY  
16 EXCITED TO GET THE CHANCE TO CHAT WITH THIS GROUP.  
17 I'VE GOT TO SAY I WAS ONE OF THE FIRST CLASS OF CIRM  
18 POST-DOC FELLOWS BACK IN 2008. AND CIRM HAS ALWAYS  
19 BEEN A DEAR PART OF MY TRAINING IN MY COMMUNITY.  
20 AND SO WHEN LARRY ASKED ME TO SPEAK WITH YOU, I  
21 SHOULD ADD HE GAVE A VERY LONG LIST OF QUESTIONS  
22 THAT HE WANTED US TO ADDRESS IN JUST 15 MINUTES.  
23 AND SO I'M GOING TO DO MY BEST TO HIT A FEW OF THEM  
24 IN THE TALK, AND THEN POST THE REST OF THEM AT THE  
25 END SO WE CAN DISCUSS THEM AND MAKE SURE WE HIT

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 EVERYTHING THAT HE ASKED.

2 AND SO I'M GOING TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT SOME  
3 OF THE WORK THAT MY LAB HAS BEEN DOING USING STEM  
4 CELLS TO EXPLORE THE GENETICS OF NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
5 DISORDERS. AND THE FIRST THING THAT I THINK IS SO  
6 IMPORTANT TO TALK ABOUT IS HOW COMMON THESE  
7 DISORDERS ARE.

8 SO ONE IN FIVE PEOPLE ACROSS THE U.S. WILL  
9 EXPERIENCE A PSYCHIATRIC DISORDER THIS YEAR. YOUR  
10 LIFETIME RISK IS ACTUALLY ONE IN THREE. AND SO IT'S  
11 SO IMPORTANT THAT WE TALK ABOUT THESE DISORDERS AND  
12 MOVE THEM OUT OF STIGMA AND INTO TREATMENT. NOT  
13 JUST ARE THEY COMMON; THEY'RE SEVERE. SO IF YOU  
14 LOOK AT THE DISABILITY ADJUSTED LIFE YEARS THAT WE  
15 LOSE BECAUSE OF PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS, THEY'RE  
16 ACTUALLY MORE IMPACTFUL THAN THINGS LIKE DIABETES  
17 AND NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASE THAT I KNOW CIRM HAS  
18 DONE A LOT TO STUDY. THESE ARE IN THE TOP FIVE  
19 CAUSES OF DISABILITY WORLDWIDE.

20 THEY ARE VERY HETEROGENEOUS. WHEN WE TALK  
21 ABOUT NEURODEVELOPMENTAL AND PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS,  
22 WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A SPECTRUM THAT STARTS WITH  
23 AUTISM AND DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY, INCLUDES PSYCHOSIS,  
24 BIPOLAR, EATING DISORDERS, ANXIETY, DEPRESSION, AND  
25 SUBSTANCE ABUSE. AND SO WE HAVE A HUGE COLLECTION

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 OF PRESENTATIONS HERE. AND WHAT I REALLY WANT YOU  
2 TO SIT ON FOR A MOMENT IS THESE TWO REALLY IMPORTANT  
3 CLINICAL FACTS.

4 AND THE FIRST IS THAT THE DELAY, THE  
5 AVERAGE DELAY BETWEEN SYMPTOM ONSET AND TREATMENT IN  
6 THE U.S. IS EIGHT TO TEN YEARS. PEOPLE ARE  
7 EXPERIENCING AND SUFFERING FROM THE DISABILITY THAT  
8 COMES WITH PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS FOR UP TO TEN YEARS  
9 BEFORE THEY'RE GETTING ANY HELP WITH THE SYMPTOMS.  
10 AND SECOND OF ALL, EVEN AFTER DIAGNOSIS, MANY PEOPLE  
11 ARE NOT BEING TREATED. THE PERCENTAGE OF ADULTS  
12 WITH A PSYCHIATRIC DISORDER WHO DID NOT RECEIVE  
13 TREATMENT LAST YEAR WAS 60 PERCENT.

14 THIS REFLECTS A LOT OF TRUTHS, ONE THAT WE  
15 ARE BAD AT DIAGNOSING, TWO, THAT OUR DRUGS TEND TO  
16 BE REALLY TERRIBLE AND THEY HAVE A LOT OF SIDE  
17 EFFECTS, AND SOME PATIENTS CHOOSE NOT TO TAKE THEM;  
18 THREE, THAT SOME OF THE DRUGS ARE JUST -- THERE ARE  
19 ABOUT A THIRD OF CASES OF SCHIZOPHRENIA AND BIPOLAR,  
20 FOR EXAMPLE, THAT IS NOT TREATMENT RESPONSIVE. SO  
21 THERE'S A LACK OF TREATMENTS, A LACK OF GOOD  
22 TREATMENTS, A LACK OF TREATMENTS WITHOUT SIDE  
23 EFFECTS, AND THEN A LACK OF ACCESS.

24 PATIENTS WITH PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS ARE  
25 OVERREPRESENTED IN OUR CRIMINAL, HOMELESS, AND

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 INSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATIONS AND UNDERREPRESENTED  
2 IN OUR DAY-TO-DAY LIVES.

3 THE GENETICS, AND TOM AND LARRY HAVE  
4 HINTED AT THIS ALREADY, BUT THE COMPLEX GENETIC RISK  
5 ARCHITECTURE IS VERY FAR FROM DIAGNOSTIC OR  
6 PREDICTIVE.

7 I'LL START HERE WITH SCHIZOPHRENIA BECAUSE  
8 IT'S WHAT MY LAB FOCUSES ON, BUT ALSO IT'S THE MOST  
9 HERITABLE PSYCHIATRIC DISORDER, WHICH IS A BIG PART  
10 OF WHY MY LAB FOCUSES ON IT. SO THE HERITABILITY  
11 FROM TWIN STUDIES FOR SCHIZOPHRENIA IS ACTUALLY  
12 ESTIMATED AT 80 PERCENT. SO A HUGE AMOUNT OF  
13 WHETHER OR NOT PEOPLE HAVE SCHIZOPHRENIA CAN BE  
14 PREDICTED OR OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO BE PREDICTED FROM  
15 THE DNA THAT THEY ARE BORN WITH.

16 NOW, THAT'S NOT TO SAY THAT WE CAN DO THAT  
17 YET. WE DON'T UNDERSTAND, IN FACT, MOST OF THE  
18 HERITABILITY FOR SCHIZOPHRENIA. SO ABOUT A THIRD OF  
19 THAT KNOWN HERITABILITY WE CAN EXPLAIN FROM  
20 GENOMEWIDE ASSOCIATION STUDIES. BUT TWO-THIRDS OF  
21 IT IS TERMED MISSING HERITABILITY. WE KNOW IT MUST  
22 BE THERE FROM TWIN STUDIES, BUT WE DON'T KNOW HOW TO  
23 EXPLAIN IT YET.

24 NOW, IF WE EXPAND THIS ACROSS THE SPECTRUM  
25 OF PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS I WANT YOU TO SEE. SO AT

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 THE TOP WE HAVE SCHIZOPHRENIA, AUTISM, ADHD,  
2 BIPOLAR. THEY'RE EXTREMELY HERITABLE. BUT  
3 THREE-QUARTERS ARE HERITABILITY; BUT ACROSS ALL OF  
4 THEM, OUR ABILITY TO EXPLAIN THAT HERITABILITY IS  
5 ABOUT A THIRD TO A QUARTER.

6 THE OTHER END OF THE SPECTRUM, WE HAVE  
7 ANXIETY DISORDER, DEPRESSION, PTSD. THESE ARE  
8 EXTREMELY DRIVEN BY ENVIRONMENT. NOW, THIS MAKES  
9 SENSE. PTSD IS DEFINED BY BEING A DISORDER THAT  
10 OCCURS IN RESPONSE TO A TRAUMATIC EVENT. SO THERE  
11 HAS TO BE A HUGE ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENT HERE.

12 AND SO ONE OF LARRY'S QUESTIONS WAS REALLY  
13 WHICH DISEASES ARE APPROPRIATE FOR STEM CELL MODELS.  
14 AND I THINK IT DEPENDS A LOT ON THE EXTENT TO WHICH  
15 WE UNDERSTAND THE GENETICS AND/OR THE EXTENT TO  
16 WHICH WE UNDERSTAND THE BIOLOGICAL EFFECTORS DRIVING  
17 THE ENVIRONMENTAL EXPOSURES.

18 BUT I'M GOING TO DIVE IN, BACK-DIVE TO  
19 SCHIZOPHRENIA HERE TO TAKE YOU THROUGH SOME EXAMPLES  
20 OF HOW WE CAN USE STEM CELLS, ESPECIALLY WHEN  
21 COMBINED WITH CRISPR, TO INVESTIGATE A PSYCHIATRIC  
22 DISORDER.

23 AND SO HERE'S EVERYTHING THAT WE KNOW  
24 ABOUT THE GENETICS OF SCHIZOPHRENIA AS OF LATE LAST  
25 YEAR. SO THERE ARE THREE TYPES OF VARIANTS ON THIS

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 PLOT. THESE GREEN ONES WERE ACTUALLY THE FIRST ONES  
2 LINKED TO SCHIZOPHRENIA. THESE ARE COPY NUMBER  
3 VARIANTS. THEY TEND TO BE VERY LARGE DELETIONS OR  
4 DUPLICATIONS. HERE'S 2P16.3, THE ONE THAT THOMAS  
5 JUST SPOKE WITH US ABOUT. SO THIS PLOT IS PLOTTING  
6 THE ODDS RATIOS OR THE PENETRANCE OF THE VARIANT,  
7 HOW LIKELY YOU ARE NOT TO BE A CONTROL IF YOU  
8 INHERIT THIS VARIANT. WHEREAS, THE X AXIS IS  
9 LOOKING AT HOW COMMON IT IS IN THE POPULATION. AND  
10 SO THIS 3Q29 DELETION IS THE MOST PENETRANT. YOU  
11 ARE INCREDIBLY UNLIKELY TO BE A CONTROL IF YOU  
12 INHERIT IT, BUT IT'S EXTREMELY RARE IN LIKE LESS  
13 THAN A HUNDREDTH OF A PERCENTAGE OF PATIENTS.

14 IN AGGREGATE, THESE GREEN DOTS EXPLAIN  
15 ABOUT 5 PERCENT OF CASES. SO THEY ARE NOT  
16 EXPLAINING THE MAJORITY OF THE GENETICS, BUT THEY  
17 ARE A VERY SIGNIFICANT RISK GENE. THEY ALSO CAN BE  
18 PLEIOTROPIC. SO IF YOU HAVE, FOR EXAMPLE, A  
19 DELETION IN 22Q11, YOU'RE EXTREMELY LIKELY TO HAVE  
20 INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, BUT ONLY ABOUT ONE-THIRD  
21 LIKELY TO HAVE SCHIZOPHRENIA. THE DELETIONS  
22 ASSOCIATED WITH NRXN1 THAT THOMAS WAS TALKING ABOUT,  
23 THEY'RE SIGNIFICANTLY ASSOCIATED WITH SCHIZOPHRENIA,  
24 BUT ALSO AUTISM, EPILEPSY, OCD. AND SO YOU SEE  
25 THESE PLEIOTROPIC EFFECTS OF THESE RARE VARIANTS

1 LIKELY CAUSED BY THEIR INTERACTION WITH THE OTHER  
2 VARIANTS YOU MIGHT INHERIT.

3 SO THESE RED VARIANTS ARE ALSO RARE.  
4 THEY'RE, IN FACT, MORE RARE THAN THE GREEN VARIANTS  
5 THAT ARE LEFT SHIFT IN THIS PLOT. THEY'RE NOW  
6 PROTEIN-TRUNCATING VARIANTS. THEY'VE ONLY BEEN  
7 IDENTIFIED IN THE LAST YEAR, SO THEY'RE MUCH NEWER  
8 TO US. THEY'RE JUST AS PENETRANT AS THESE COPY  
9 NUMBER VARIANTS, BUT IN A SMALLER PERCENTAGE OF  
10 PATIENTS.

11 AND THEN, FINALLY, OVER HERE ON THE  
12 OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE GRAPH, WE HAVE THESE COMMON  
13 VARIANTS. AT PRESENT THE MOST RECENT GENETIC STUDY  
14 HAS ABOUT 250 OF THESE COMMON VARIANTS. EACH OF  
15 THEM CONFERS PERHAPS A 1-PERCENT INCREASED RISK OF  
16 SCHIZOPHRENIA. AND SO IN ISOLATION THESE VARIANTS  
17 DO ALMOST NOTHING TO PREDICT OR MAYBE EVEN CAUSE  
18 DISEASE; BUT IN AGGREGATE, WHEN YOU CONSIDER THE  
19 DOZENS THAT EACH OF US INHERIT, THE INTERACTIONS  
20 BETWEEN THEM DO LEAD TO LARGE PHENOTYPIC EFFECTS.

21 AND SO DIVING INTO THESE COMMON VARIANTS  
22 HERE, THIS IS THE MOST RECENT GWAS FOR  
23 SCHIZOPHRENIA. THE Y AXIS HERE IS THE P-VALUE, SO  
24 THE LIKELIHOOD THAT A VARIANT IS ASSOCIATED WITH  
25 SCHIZOPHRENIA. AND THIS RED LINE IS GENOMEWIDE



1 SIGNIFICANCE. SO EVERYTHING ABOVE THAT LINE IN THIS  
2 MANHATTAN PLOT IS SIGNIFICANTLY ASSOCIATED WITH  
3 SCHIZOPHRENIA.

4 NOW, THIS IS A PLOT OF SINGLE NUCLEOTIDE  
5 POLYMORPHISMS. YES, SOME OF THESE LOOK LIKE LINES,  
6 BUT SOMETIMES IT'S MORE CLEAR THAT THEY'RE JUST  
7 COLLECTIONS OF VARIANTS THAT MAY OR MAY NOT BE CLOSE  
8 TOGETHER IN SPACE. SOMETIMES WE CAN ENCOMPASS  
9 KILOBASES OF SPACE IN BETWEEN THE VARIANTS AT A  
10 GIVEN LOCI.

11 SO HOW DO YOU TRANSLATE A BIG GENETIC PLOT  
12 LIKE THIS INTO SCHIZOPHRENIA? WHAT ARE SOME BIG  
13 CHALLENGES? FIRST OF ALL, EACH OF THESE LOCI,  
14 AGAIN, CAN BE COMPRISED OF DOZENS OR HUNDREDS OF  
15 THOUSANDS OF SINGLE NUCLEOTIDE POLYMORPHISMS. AND  
16 RESOLVING WHICH ONE OR ONES IS LINKED TO DISEASE  
17 CAUSALLY IS CHALLENGING. FIGURING OUT WHICH GENES  
18 ARE THE TARGETS OF EACH OF THESE LOCI IS MORE  
19 CHALLENGING. I'M SORRY. THE PATHWAYS THAT ARE  
20 IMPACTED DOWNSTREAM OF THESE NONCODING SNP'S IS EVEN  
21 HARDER. SO TRANSLATING GENETIC HITS TO BIOLOGY IS  
22 REALLY CHALLENGING WHEN THEY'RE NOT IN THE CODING  
23 REGION. IT'S VERY EASY TO ASSIGN A DELETION OR A  
24 TRUNCATION IN THE CODING GENE TO THE GENE TARGET.  
25 IT'S VERY HARD TO ASSIGN A NONCODING PUTATIVE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 REGULATORY ELEMENT TO A DOWNSTREAM GENE TARGET.

2 SECOND OF ALL, NONE OF THESE VARIANTS ACT  
3 IN ISOLATION. AGAIN, ALL OF US INHERITED DOZENS OF  
4 THEM. PATIENTS MIGHT HAVE JUST INHERITED A DOZEN  
5 MORE. SO THE INTERACTIONS BETWEEN THESE VARIANTS IS  
6 CRITICALLY IMPORTANT AND MIGHT ACTUALLY BE THE  
7 ANSWER TO THIS MISSING HERITABILITY.

8 AND FINALLY, HOW DOES ALL OF THIS  
9 TOGETHER, THE INTERACTION OF RARE VARIANTS AND  
10 COMMON VARIANTS AND COMMON VARIANTS AND COMMON  
11 VARIANTS WITH COMMON VARIANTS AND COMMON VARIANTS  
12 WITH ENVIRONMENT, HOW DOES THIS IMPACT CLINICAL  
13 PENETRANCE AND EXPRESSIVITY? AND SO THESE ARE  
14 EXACTLY THE QUESTIONS MY LAB HAS BEEN ASKING.

15 I DO WANT TO BACK UP AND FOR, LIKE, ONE  
16 MINUTE TALK TO YOU ABOUT SCHIZOPHRENIA AND WHAT WE  
17 KNOW FROM HUMAN STUDIES. MUCH LIKE  
18 NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASE, POSTMORTEM STUDIES HAVE  
19 LONG SHOWN THAT THE BRAINS OF INDIVIDUALS WITH  
20 SCHIZOPHRENIA ARE SMALLER. WE'VE KNOWN THIS FROM  
21 AUTOPSY STUDIES WHERE THE BRAINS WERE WEIGHED AND  
22 WHERE WE CERTAINLY KNOW THIS FROM BRAIN IMAGING  
23 SCANS. HERE THIS IS A STUDY BY JUDY RAPOPORT WHERE  
24 THE REGIONS IN RED ARE SMALLER. BUT UNLIKE  
25 NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASE, THE REGIONS ARE NOT

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 SMALLER BECAUSE THE NEURONS ARE DEAD OR THE CELLS  
2 ARE MISSING. THE NEURONS THEMSELVES ARE SMALLER.  
3 SO WE KNOW FROM POSTMORTEM PATHOLOGY THERE'S FEWER  
4 DENDRITES, SO FEW BRANCHING FROM NEURONS AND FEWER  
5 CONNECTIONS BETWEEN THEM, FEWER SYNAPSES IN  
6 POSTMORTEM BRAINS.

7 AND SO WE CAN SEE AT END-STAGE DISEASE  
8 HUMAN BRAINS ARE LESS WELL CONNECTED, BUT THERE ARE  
9 SO MANY QUESTIONS THAT WE DON'T KNOW. WHICH CELL  
10 TYPE IS IMPACTED FIRST IN SCHIZOPHRENIA? WE HAD  
11 LONG ASSUMED THAT NEURONS FOR THE CELL TYPE OF  
12 ORIGIN IN ALZHEIMER'S, AND THE GENETIC STUDIES HAVE  
13 MUCH MORE RECENTLY TAUGHT US THAT MICROGLIA ARE  
14 HUGELY DRIVING THE SUBSEQUENT NEURONAL DEATH.

15 LIKewise, IN SCHIZOPHRENIA WE HAVE FOR  
16 DECADES TREATED DOPAMINE RECEPTOR ACTIVITY, BUT THE  
17 GENETIC STUDIES DON'T KEEP HIGHLIGHTING DOPAMINE  
18 ROBUSTLY. INSTEAD, THEY'RE HITTING GLUTAMATERGIC  
19 AND GABAMINURGIC SIGNALING. SO ARE THOSE THE CELL  
20 TYPES OF ORIGIN FOR DISEASE? AND IF THEY ARE, WHEN  
21 IN DEVELOPMENT ARE THEY BEING IMPACTED? AGAIN, THE  
22 GENETICS STUDIES SUGGEST IT'S SECOND AND THIRD  
23 TRIMESTER, BUT THE THINGS THAT ARE GOING WRONG IN  
24 THE BRAIN OF SOMEBODY WHO'S GOING TO HAVE  
25 SCHIZOPHRENIA THREE DECADES FROM NOW OR TWO DECADES

1 FROM NOW ARE GOING WRONG IN UTERO.

2 WHY DO WE KNOW SO LITTLE? WELL, JUST LIKE  
3 IN NEURODEGENERATION, BUT UNLIKE IN CANCER, THERE'S  
4 JUST INSUFFICIENT LIVE HUMAN TISSUE FOR STUDIES OF  
5 PSYCHIATRIC DISORDER RISK AND DRUG DISCOVERY. AND  
6 WHILE MOUSE MODELS HAVE BEEN HUGELY INFORMATIVE  
7 ACROSS A NUMBER OF DISEASES, I LIKE TO JOKE THAT I  
8 WASN'T TRAINED AS A NEUROSCIENTIST, AND EVEN I CAN  
9 TELL THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THIS MOUSE BRAIN AND  
10 THIS HUMAN BRAIN. AND THAT REMAINS TRUE WHEN WE  
11 SCALE FOR SIZE.

12 NOW, MOUSE MODELS ARE REALLY GOOD AT  
13 LOOKING AT THE COMPLEX INTERACTIONS OF GENES,  
14 CIRCUITS, AND BEHAVIORS. WHAT HAPPENS IF YOU KNOCK  
15 OUT THIS GENE ON THAT CIRCUIT AND THAT BEHAVIORAL  
16 TASK? BUT LIKE ALL MODELS, THEY HAVE LIMITATIONS.  
17 AND I THINK TWO OF THE MAJOR LIMITATIONS OF MOUSE  
18 MODELS ARE THEY POORLY CAPTURE THE IMPACT OF  
19 NONCODING COMMON VARIANTS BECAUSE THEY'RE  
20 INFREQUENTLY CONSERVED BETWEEN RODENTS AND HUMANS.

21 I JUST SHOWED YOU THAT MUCH, IN FACT, MOST  
22 OF THE GENETIC RISK THAT WE HAVE IDENTIFIED TO DATE  
23 FOR PSYCHIATRIC DISEASES IS IN THE FORM OF NONCODING  
24 COMMON VARIANTS THAT ARE INFREQUENTLY CONSERVED IN  
25 RODENTS. AND SECOND OF ALL, IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 STUDY THE INTERACTIONS BETWEEN VARIANTS IN MICE  
2 BECAUSE, ONE, IT'S DIFFICULT TO ENGINEER MANY  
3 VARIANTS. AND SECOND, IT'S EVEN HARDER TO BREED  
4 THEM TRUE.

5 SO WHAT DO I THINK STEM CELLS ARE USEFUL  
6 FOR TEACHING US ABOUT PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS? WELL,  
7 I THINK THERE'S TWO WAYS THAT THEY'RE REALLY GOING  
8 TO HELP ADVANCE OUR UNDERSTANDING AND TREATMENT OF  
9 PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS. AND THE FIRST IS IMPROVING  
10 DIAGNOSIS. THERE ARE NO BLOOD TESTS TO DIAGNOSE  
11 PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS. THERE'S NO BIOPSIES.  
12 THERE'S, IN FACT, NO BRAIN IMAGING SCANS. SO WE  
13 TALK A LOT ABOUT HOW THE TOOLS ARE DIFFICULT IN  
14 NEURODEGENERATION TO DIAGNOSE. WELL, THEY'RE  
15 ARGUABLY MUCH FURTHER BEHIND IN PSYCHIATRY. IT CAN  
16 BE EXTREMELY CHALLENGING TO ACCURATELY DIAGNOSE  
17 SOMEBODY WITHIN YEARS OF SYMPTOM ONSET. AND SECOND  
18 OF ALL, THERE'S A LACK OF ADEQUATE TREATMENTS FOR  
19 PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS AND, MORE SO, A LACK OF  
20 METHODS TO PREVENT DISORDER. AND IF WE COULD GET  
21 BETTER AT DIAGNOSIS, IF WE COULD PREDICT WHO WAS AT  
22 HIGH RISK PRIOR TO SYMPTOM ONSET, I THINK WE REALLY  
23 ARE CHANGING THE WINDOW OF THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTION.

24 JUST LIKE I'D MUCH RATHER TREAT MY FUTURE  
25 ALZHEIMER'S WHEN I'M 40 OR 50 WITH TARGETING

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 ABERRANT MICROGLIA FUNCTION THAN WHEN I'M 80 OR 90  
2 AND FACING SEVERE COGNITIVE DEFICITS AND NEURONAL  
3 DEATH. LIKewise, WE'D LIKE TO TREAT PSYCHIATRIC  
4 DISORDERS PRIOR TO SYMPTOM ONSET AND PRIOR TO THIS  
5 REINFORCEMENT OF ABERRANT CIRCUIT FUNCTION.

6 AND SO WHAT I'M REALLY TALKING ABOUT IN  
7 THIS PRECISION MEDICINE, THAT IF WE COULD UNDERSTAND  
8 WHY ALL OF THE DOZENS OF RISK VARIANTS THAT A GIVEN  
9 PATIENT HAS INHERITED, WHAT THEY DO AND HOW THEY  
10 INTERACT WITH EACH OTHER, THEN MAYBE WE CAN IDENTIFY  
11 THE RIGHT, THE PRECISE THERAPEUTIC TO INTERVENE  
12 WITH. AND I THINK THE BIG DIFFERENCE HERE IN  
13 PSYCHIATRY VERSUS NEURODEGENERATION IS THAT GOAL  
14 COULD JUST BE PHARMACOLOGY. WE DON'T NECESSARILY  
15 NEED CELL REPLACEMENT THERAPY TO DO BETTER BY OUR  
16 PATIENTS IN PSYCHIATRY. WE DON'T EVEN NEED A CURE.  
17 WE JUST NEED TO LESSEN THE IMPACT OF THEIR SYMPTOMS.

18 AND SO THINKING ABOUT HOW WE CAN USE STEM  
19 CELLS TO UNDERSTAND THE COMPLEX GENETICS OF  
20 SCHIZOPHRENIA, I WANT TO SHOW YOU ONE EXAMPLE OF HOW  
21 WE TRACK GENOTYPE TO PHENOTYPE. THIS IS WORK LED BY  
22 A FORMER POST-DOC OF MINE, NADINE SCHRODE.

23 WE LOOKED AT THE GWAS BACK IN 2015, AND WE  
24 WERE TRYING TO PRIORITIZE WHICH LOCI TO EDIT USING  
25 CRISPR, NONCODING SNP. THIS WAS BACK WHEN CRISPR

1 EDITING REMAINED REALLY CHALLENGING. WHICH ONE DO  
2 YOU PICK? DO YOU PICK THE TALLEST ONE? DO YOU PICK  
3 THE SPARSEST ONE? AND IN TRUTH WE WORKED REALLY  
4 CLOSELY WITH GENETICISTS TO UNDERSTAND WHICH ONE  
5 THEY WOULD PICK. AND THEY WERE REALLY EXCITED ABOUT  
6 THIS SNP OVER HERE IN THE THREE PRIME UTR OF A GENE  
7 CALLED FURIN. AND THE REASON THEY WERE EXCITED  
8 ABOUT IT IS SOMETHING HAPPENED AT THIS SNP THAT  
9 DIDN'T HAPPEN ANYWHERE ELSE IN THE GWAS PLOT.

10 SO HERE IN THIS PLOT WE'VE GOT THE Y AXIS  
11 BEING P-VALUE, SAME AS OVER HERE. SO THE STRENGTH  
12 OF THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN A GIVEN VARIANT AND  
13 SCHIZOPHRENIA DIAGNOSIS. BUT WE HAVE CROSSED IT  
14 WITH A BRAIN EQTLKEY VALUE, WHICH IS TO SAY WHAT IS  
15 THE PROBABILITY THAT ANY GIVEN SNP AT THAT LOCI IS  
16 REGULATING EXPRESSION OF THE NEAREST TARGET GENE?  
17 AND WHAT YOU GET AT THIS LOCUS IS A SINGLE SNP IN  
18 THE TOP RIGHT-HAND CORNER, A SNP TERMED RS4702. SO  
19 THIS SNP WAS THE SNP MOST LIKELY TO BE CONFERRING  
20 RISK FOR SCHIZOPHRENIA AND ALSO MOST LIKELY TO BE  
21 REGULATING EXPRESSION OF FURIN.

22 AND SO THIS WAS THE SNP THAT WE CHOSE FOR  
23 CRISPR EDITING. THE SECOND BEST CANDIDATE GENE, THE  
24 SECOND BEST ONE LOOKED LIKE THIS WHERE WE'VE GOT 20  
25 OR 30 DOTS IN THE TOP RIGHT-HAND CORNER. AND SO IT

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 WASN'T CLEAR TO US WHETHER ALL OF THESE SNP'S WERE  
2 CONFERRING 1 OR 2 PERCENT OF THE RISK AT THIS LOCI  
3 OR WHETHER THERE WAS ONE REALLY IMPORTANT SNP IN  
4 THAT CLUSTER THAT WE JUST COULDN'T DISCERN YET. AND  
5 SO WE'LL USE CRISPR EDITING TO LOOK AT RS4702, AND  
6 WE'LL USE A DIFFERENT CRISPR TOOL, CRISPR  
7 ACTIVATION, TO LOOK AT SNAP91.

8 AND SO THIS WAS THE EDIT THAT NADINE  
9 ACHIEVED. SHE STARTED, I BELIEVE, TWO CONTROLLED  
10 DONORS, MANIPULATED THE AA GENOTYPE TO A GG  
11 GENOTYPE, AND COULD SHOW THAT THE GG SAT WHERE  
12 THEY'RE ISOGENIC TO EACH OTHER EXCEPT THAT ONE  
13 NONCODING SNP. THE GG CELLS WHEN THEY WERE INDUCED  
14 INTO NEURONS SHOWED REDUCED FURIN EXPRESSION. OVER  
15 THE TIME IT TOOK HER TO DO THE EDIT, IT WAS ACTUALLY  
16 SHOWN THAT THIS SNP, RS4702, WAS IN A BINDING SITE  
17 FOR MIR338. AND WHEN SHE ELIMINATED MIR338 ACTIVITY  
18 THROUGH AN INHIBITOR, SHE ELIMINATED THE REGULATORY  
19 EFFECT OF THIS SNP. NOW THIS MAKES SENSE. WITHOUT  
20 MIR338 BINDING, IT CAN'T REGULATE THE EXPRESSION OF  
21 FURIN. AND SO THIS BECOMES NOW A CONTEXT-SPECIFIC  
22 REGULATORY ELEMENT WHERE ONLY IN THOSE CELL TYPES  
23 THAT MIR338 EXPRESSED WOULD RS4702 BE PREDICTED TO  
24 INFLUENCE YOUR RISK FOR SCHIZOPHRENIA.

25 NADINE TOOK IT FURTHER. SHE WAS ABLE TO



1 SHOW THAT GG NEURONS WITH THEIR REDUCED FURIN  
2 EXPRESSION HAD A REDUCED NEURITE OUTGROWTH  
3 CONSISTENT WITH FURIN KNOCKOUT MICE AND ALTERED  
4 PATTERNS OF NEURONAL ACTIVITY.

5 BUT, AGAIN, THIS IS JUST ONE SNP IN  
6 ISOLATION. AND WE REALLY WANTED TO KNOW WHAT WOULD  
7 HAPPEN IF WE MANIPULATED MORE RISK GENES AT THE SAME  
8 TIME. AND SO WE PRIORITIZED AGAIN BY INTERSECTING  
9 GWAS AND BRAIN EXPRESSION, AND WE ENDED UP WITH A  
10 SLIGHTLY LONGER LIST OF FOUR GENES: FURIN, NRX2,  
11 SNAP91, AND T-SNARE. WE ARE USING CRISPR A AND  
12 CRISPR I TO ACTIVATE AND INHIBIT EXPRESSION OF THESE  
13 GENES. AND SO HERE YOU CAN SEE THAT THE MOST  
14 PERTURBED GENE IS THE GENE WE ARE TARGETING. THOSE  
15 OTHER GENES CHANGING DOWNSTREAM, AND THOSE  
16 DOWNSTREAM GENES, THEY DON'T SEEM TO BE OFF-TARGET  
17 EFFECTS BECAUSE THEY'RE SPECIFICALLY IMPACTING  
18 SYNAPTIC FUNCTION. AND, IN FACT, IF WE MANIPULATE  
19 SNAP91, WE CAN SEE RECIPROCAL EFFECT BY  
20 ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY LOOKING AT THE FREQUENCY OF  
21 SYNAPTIC ACTIVITY. SO INCREASING SNAP91, INCREASING  
22 SYNAPTIC ACTIVITY, DECREASING IT DECREASES SYNAPTIC  
23 ACTIVITY. BUT, AGAIN, ONE GENE AT A TIME. THAT WAS  
24 NOT THE GOAL. WE WANTED TO KNOW HOW THESE RISK  
25 VARIANTS INTERACT.

1           SO HERE NADINE HAS TAKEN THESE FOUR GENES,  
2           DONE WITH SINGLE GENE PERTURBATIONS FOLLOWED BY  
3           RNASEQ, GENERATED COMPUTATIONALLY AND EXPECTED  
4           ADDITIVE MODEL COMBINING THE RNASEQ, AND THEN ASKING  
5           EXPERIMENTALLY HOW GOOD THE MODEL WAS COMPARED TO  
6           THE PREDICTION. AND IT TURNED OUT THE MODEL WAS  
7           PRETTY GOOD. GENOMEWIDE ABOUT 82 PERCENT OF GENES  
8           ARE ACCURATELY PREDICTED BY THE EXPECTED ADDITIVE  
9           MODEL, BUT 7 PERCENT OF GENES ARE MORE DOWN THAN  
10          EXPECTED. THEY WERE ENRICHED FOR NEUROTRANSMITTER  
11          GENES, AND 11 PERCENT OF GENES WERE MORE UP THAN  
12          EXPECTED, MIR ENRICHED FOR THE RARE AND COMMON  
13          VARIANTS LIKE SCHIZOPHRENIA AND BIPOLAR. AND SO  
14          REALLY THIS IS HINTING AN EMERGENT BIOLOGY THAT YOU  
15          CAN ONLY DETECT WHEN YOU MANIPULATE GENES IN  
16          COMBINATION THAT YOU CANNOT PREDICT BY ADDING UP THE  
17          EFFECTS OF SINGLE-GENE PERTURBATIONS.

18                 WE WANTED TO VALIDATE THIS ACROSS A LARGER  
19          NUMBER OF GENES, SPECIFICALLY CONSIDERING PATHWAY  
20          BIOLOGY. SO USING AN UPDATED GENETICS STUDY AND AN  
21          UPDATED POSTMORTEM BRAIN STUDY, WE WERE ABLE TO NOW  
22          FILTER TO THE TOP FIVE GENES THAT ARE SYNAPTIC IN  
23          FUNCTION, THE TOP FIVE EPIGENETIC, THE REGULATORY  
24          GENES, BECAUSE THESE ARE THE TWO MAJOR FUNCTIONS  
25          LINKED TO SCHIZOPHRENIA RISK, AND THEN THE TOP FIVE

1 GENES THAT WERE NOT SYNAPTIC, NOT REGULATORY, AND  
2 NOT RELATED TO EACH OTHER.

3 AND MICHAEL WAS NOW ABLE TO SHOW THAT HE  
4 COULD SEE THE SYNERGY IN THE SYNAPTIC SET, IN THE  
5 REGULATORY SET, BUT NOT IN THE MULTIPATHWAY SET. SO  
6 SYNERGY SEEMED TO BE DEPENDENT ON THE GENES HAVING A  
7 SHARED BIOLOGY. AND THIS SHARED BIOLOGY ACTUALLY  
8 REFLECTED THE CONVERGENT DOWNSTREAM TARGETS OF THE  
9 INDIVIDUAL FIVE GENES IN EACH CLUSTER. SO WE SAW  
10 STRONG OVERLAP OF CONVERGENT GENES AND SYNERGY GENES  
11 AND SYNAPTIC AND REGULATORY AND NOT AT ALL IN THE  
12 MULTIFUNCTION.

13 THIS IDEA, I THINK, IS REALLY COOL AND IT  
14 GETS TO THIS UNIQUELY HUMAN ELEMENT OF COMPLEX  
15 GENETIC DISORDERS. SO IF WE'VE GOT, FOR EXAMPLE,  
16 TEN GENES IN THIS MODEL THAT IN ISOLATION HAVE THESE  
17 SMALL EFFECTS, YOU MIGHT PREDICT AN EXPECTED  
18 ADDITIVE MODEL THAT JUST SUMS THESE EFFECTS. BUT IF  
19 YOU DO THE EXPERIMENT, YOU MIGHT SEE SOMETHING LESS.  
20 AND WHAT WE ARE BEGINNING TO SEE NOW IS THAT IF YOU  
21 LOOK AT THE SHARED IMPACTS DOWNSTREAM OF THESE  
22 SINGLE-GENE PERTURBATIONS, YOU CAN USE THOSE TO  
23 EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE EXPECTED AND THE  
24 OBSERVED COMBINATORIAL EFFECTS.

25 AND WHY IS THIS IDEA OF CONVERGENCE SO

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1     IMPORTANT? WELL, WE ARE INCREASINGLY SEEING IT  
2     ACROSS BRAIN DISORDERS. SO THERE'S A HUGE AMOUNT OF  
3     CONVERGENCE IN THE VARIANTS LINKED TO SCHIZOPHRENIA  
4     AND BIPOLAR. THIS HAS BEEN KNOWN FOR A DECADE NOW.  
5     BUT AS THE GENETICS STUDIES GET LARGER, WE CAN ALSO  
6     SEE CONVERGENCE ACROSS ANXIETY, PTSD, AND  
7     DEPRESSION. AND WE CAN SEE THIS ACROSS THE  
8     NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS.

9             BUT THEN MORE RECENTLY, WE ARE ALSO  
10    BEGINNING TO SEE CONVERGENCE ACROSS PSYCHIATRIC AND  
11    NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS. SO YOU CAN SEE HERE  
12    SOME RICH GENES LINKED TO SCHIZOPHRENIA THAT ARE  
13    ALSO LINKED TO PARKINSON'S, RISK GENES LINKED TO  
14    BIPOLAR THAT ARE ALSO LINKED TO ALZHEIMER'S. AND  
15    YOU CAN LOOK AT THE PATHWAY LEVEL. AND VERY FEW  
16    GENES ARE BOTH YELLOW AND PINK. I THINK IT'S THE  
17    CONNECTION BETWEEN THESE PSYCHIATRIC DISORDER GENES  
18    AROUND HOW THE NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDER GENES IS  
19    REALLY STRIKING AND I THINK SHOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO  
20    REALIZE THAT THIS HUGE GROUP OF NEURODEGENERATIVE  
21    RESEARCHERS THAT YOU ALREADY HAVE IN CALIFORNIA  
22    SHOULD BE ABLE TO HELP EXPAND INTO PSYCHIATRIC  
23    DISORDERS WITH A LITTLE BIT OF INCENTIVE.

24             AND SO THE OVERARCHING IDEA THAT I WANT TO  
25    SHARE IS THAT, YES, WE KNOW THAT GENOTYPES REGULATE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 PHENOTYPES, BUT IT'S NEVER THAT SIMPLE. THE  
2 ENVIRONMENT THAT YOU LIVE IN CAN MAKE A PHENOTYPE  
3 WORSE. SO LIVING IN A STRESSED ENVIRONMENT, AN  
4 ENVIRONMENT THAT CAUSED A LOT OF INFLAMMATION HAS  
5 MORE PENETRANCE. WHEREAS, THE ASSUMPTION IS THERE  
6 ARE LIKEWISE PRO, GOOD ENVIRONMENTS THAT MIGHT  
7 AMELIORATE IT. IT COULD BE A DRUG. IT COULD BE  
8 JUST AN UNSTRESSED ENVIRONMENT THAT ALLOWS YOU TO  
9 ACHIEVE YOUR GENETIC MAXIMUM TOWARDS THIS  
10 IDEA -- THIS WILL BE MY LAST DATA SLIDE, I THINK.  
11 I'VE WORKED WITH RACHEL YEHUDA, THE NEW YORK STEM  
12 CELL FOUNDATION, TO LOOK AT THE IMPACT OF STRESS ON  
13 GENE EXPRESSION.

14 AND SO HERE WE HAVE STEM CELLS FROM 39  
15 DONORS. WE'VE STRESSED THEM WITH A LOW DOSE OF THE  
16 STRESS HORMONE HYDROCORTISOL AND A HIGH DOSE. AND  
17 WHAT I THINK IS PRETTY OBVIOUS TO EVERYBODY IS THAT  
18 THERE'S MORE BLUE, THERE'S MORE CHANGES IN GENE  
19 EXPRESSION WHEN YOU STRESS NEURONS MORE. IF,  
20 HOWEVER, YOU BREAK THOSE 39 DONORS -- THEY WERE ALL  
21 COMBAT EXPOSED VETERANS, BY THE WAY -- INTO THE 19  
22 WHO HAD PTSD AND THE 20 WHO DID NOT. WHAT YOU CAN  
23 NOW SEE IS THAT THE PTSD-SPECIFIC DIFFERENCES  
24 OCCURRED HERE AT THE LOW DOSE, WHICH IS TO SAY THE  
25 NEURON FROM INDIVIDUALS WITH PTSD WERE HYPER STRESS

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 RESPONSIVE. THEY WERE MORE LIKELY TO RESPOND TO  
2 STRESS AT LOW DOSE THAN THOSE FROM CONTROLS.

3 YOU CAN USE THAT LOW DOSE STRESS RESPONSE  
4 TO PREDICT WHO HAD PTSD AND WHO DID NOT. AND THE  
5 TYPES OF GENES THAT WERE DIFFERENTIALLY EXPRESSED IN  
6 THE PTSD NEURONS IN LOW STRESS CONDITIONS ARE  
7 ACTUALLY ENRICHED, NOT JUST FOR THE PTSD RISK GENES,  
8 BUT ALSO FROM POSTMORTEM SIGNATURES. BUT THEY'RE  
9 NOT SPECIFIC TO PTSD. YOU CAN SEE HERE WE ALSO HAVE  
10 A LOT OF AUTISM AND SCHIZOPHRENIA GENES. AGAIN,  
11 SUGGESTING THAT STRESS NONSPECIFICALLY INCREASES  
12 YOUR RISK FOR PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS. AND SO IT ADDS  
13 TO THE RISK THAT YOU WERE BORN WITH.

14 AND SO MY LAB IS JUST SO INTERESTED IN  
15 THESE GENE-GENE AND GENE-ENVIRONMENT INTERACTIONS  
16 TRYING TO UNDERSTAND GENETIC RESILIENCE. HOW DO WE  
17 ALLOW PEOPLE TO ACHIEVE THEIR GENETIC BEST TO  
18 MINIMIZE THE IMPACT AND THE INTERACTIONS BETWEEN  
19 THEIR RISK GENES AND RISK ENVIRONMENTS?

20 AND SO TO ANSWER LARRY'S QUESTIONS, I  
21 THINK IT REALLY IS TIME TO TALK ABOUT DISCUSSION OF  
22 WHICH DISORDERS TO PRIORITIZE IN COMING BACK TO THIS  
23 IDEA OF CONVERGENCE, THAT I THINK WE CAN PRIORITIZE  
24 ALL OF THEM.

25 CELL TYPES TO STUDY? I THINK THIS HAS TO

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 BE BASED ON THE GENETICS WHETHER THEY STUDY COMMON  
2 OR RARE VARIANTS AND POWER LIMITATIONS. AND SO WITH  
3 THAT, I'M REALLY HAPPY TO STOP SHARING AND TO TALK  
4 ABOUT THESE QUESTIONS WITH YOU.

5 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: KRISTEN, THANK YOU.  
6 LOVELY STUFF. REALLY THOUGHT PROVOKING. I'LL  
7 LAUNCH THE FIRST QUESTION AS PEOPLE THINK THROUGH  
8 WHAT THEY WANT TO KNOW ABOUT.

9 I GUESS THE QUESTION REALLY DOES BECOME  
10 WHAT IS THE DEGREE OF RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOMETHING  
11 THAT WE WOULD CALL, OH, THAT'S A NEURODEGENERATIVE  
12 DISORDER VERSUS SOMETHING LIKE SCHIZOPHRENIA WHERE  
13 YOU SAY, OH, THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH  
14 NEURODEGENERATION. THAT'S JUST THE PSYCHIATRIC  
15 DISORDER. YOU AND ACTUALLY TOM HAVE BOTH RAISED THE  
16 POSSIBILITY THAT THESE DISORDERS ARE ENORMOUSLY  
17 OVERLAPPING. AND I GUESS THE QUESTION THAT IS MAYBE  
18 A SLIGHTLY MORE PRECISE WAY OF ASKING WHAT I'D LIKE  
19 TO KNOW IS WHAT REALLY IS THE EXTENT OF OVERLAP  
20 BETWEEN THOSE TWO BROAD CLASSES?

21 DR. BRENNAND: I THINK EVEN FIVE YEARS AGO  
22 PEOPLE WOULD HAVE SAID THERE WASN'T ONE. YOU HAD TO  
23 HAVE A HUGE AMOUNT OF GENETIC KNOWLEDGE TO BE ABLE  
24 TO BEGIN TO SEE IT. THERE WAS A STUDY IN *SCIENCE*, I  
25 THINK, IN 2018 SAYING THERE WAS NO OVERLAP BETWEEN

1 NEURODEGENERATION AND PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS AT THE  
2 GENETIC RISK LEVEL. AND THERE'S BEEN TWO IN THE  
3 LAST SIX MONTHS SAYING THERE IS. AND SO I THINK  
4 THAT THE ISSUE IS THAT THE GENETICS ARE SO COMPLEX.  
5 IT'S NOT THAT THERE'S THREE ALZHEIMER'S GENES AND  
6 THERE'S FIVE SCHIZOPHRENIA GENES AND EITHER THEY  
7 OVERLAP OR THEY DON'T. AND IT IS THAT PEOPLE ARE  
8 REALLY COMPLEX. AND SO AT THE LEVEL OF EVEN THESE  
9 NONCODING COMMON VARIANTS AND RARE VARIANTS, IT'S  
10 VERY RARE EVEN IN SCHIZOPHRENIA TO HAVE THE COMMON  
11 VARIANT TARGETS DIRECTLY OVERLAP WITH THE RARE  
12 VARIANT TARGETS. AND THAT CAN SEEM REALLY  
13 INCONSISTENT AND REALLY HARD TO WRAP YOUR HEAD  
14 AROUND UNTIL YOU PAUSE AND THINK ABOUT THE FACT THAT  
15 A COMMON VARIANT MIGHT BE CHANGING EXPRESSION IN THE  
16 BRAIN BY 5 OR 10 PERCENT. AND A RARE VARIANT IS 50  
17 PERCENT. AND YOU HAVE TO SURVIVE DEVELOPMENT TO BE  
18 A PERSON.

19 SO THERE'S A HUGE SELECTIVE PRESSURE. AND  
20 WHILE OUR ASSUMPTION, I THINK, IS THAT THESE RARE  
21 VARIANTS ARE THE MORE IMPORTANT DISEASE GENES, WE  
22 SURVIVE WITH THOSE MISSING HALF THE DOSE. AND SO  
23 THESE COMMON VARIANTS THAT I THINK GOT DISMISSED FOR  
24 A LONG TIME BECAUSE THEIR EFFECT SIZES WERE SO SMALL  
25 MIGHT ACTUALLY HINT AT SOME OF THE FUNDAMENTAL



1 BIOLOGY BECAUSE WE TOLERATE VERY SMALL CHANGES  
2 THERE. AND SO I THINK UNDERSTANDING THAT EVERY CELL  
3 TYPE MIGHT HAVE DIFFERENT RISK VARIANTS OR THAT  
4 IMPACT DIFFERENT GENES AT DIFFERENT STAGES OF  
5 DEVELOPMENT AND IN DIFFERENT CELL TYPES. LIKE THE  
6 SAME RISK GENE MIGHT IMPACT GLUTAMINURGIC NEURONS TO  
7 CAUSE SCHIZOPHRENIA AND ASTROCYTES TO CAUSE  
8 ALZHEIMER'S. IT REALLY DEPENDS ON THE CELL TYPE  
9 SPECIFIC AND CONTEXT DEPENDENT REGULATORY ACTIVITY  
10 OF THESE NONCODING SNPS. IT'S BECAUSE BIOLOGY IS  
11 LAZY AND REUSES ALL THESE VARIANTS I THINK IS WHY  
12 THE ANSWER IS SO HARD.

13 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: INTERESTING  
14 STATEMENT. BIOLOGY IS LAZY. YES. IT REUSES THINGS  
15 IMMENSELY.

16 DR. BRENNAND: OR INEFFICIENT OR I DON'T  
17 KNOW.

18 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I MEAN I GUESS THE  
19 OTHER FACTOR IS WE TEND TO THINK OF, FOR EXAMPLE,  
20 LETHAL MUTATIONS ARE ONLY ELIMINATED FROM  
21 POPULATIONS WHEN THEY'RE HOMOZYGOUS. AND THAT'S  
22 ACTUALLY JUST NOT TRUE. DICK LEWINGTON ARGUED A  
23 LONG TIME AGO VERY EFFECTIVELY THAT WHEN YOU HAVE  
24 DISORDERS -- SORRY -- WHEN YOU HAVE MUTATIONS  
25 PRESENT IN HETEROZYGOUS CONDITION, THE EFFECT ON

1 NATURAL SELECTION AND THE VIABILITY IN THE  
2 POPULATION IS, IN FACT, OVER EVOLUTIONARY TIME, AT  
3 LEAST, VERY SUBSTANTIAL. AND WHEN YOU COMBINE THAT  
4 WITH THE BIG SURPRISE OF THE LAST 20 YEARS WHICH IS  
5 THAT THE NUMBER OF HUMAN GENES IS FAR SMALLER THAN  
6 WE EXPECTED, OF COURSE, THEY'RE REUSED IN MULTIPLE  
7 TISSUES, ORGANS, AND HAVE AN IMPACT ON DIFFERENT  
8 DISORDERS. AMAZING CONCEPT YOU'VE RAISED THERE.

9 PAT.

10 DR. LEVITT: HI, KRISTEN. THAT WAS GREAT.  
11 AND ACTUALLY THE LAST THING YOU SAID WAS A PART OF  
12 WHAT I WAS GOING TO ADDRESS IS THAT THERE ARE --  
13 GENES ARE NOT DISEASE GENES PER SE, LIKE THEY DON'T  
14 EXIST TO CAUSE DISEASE. THEY EXIST TO ENCODE  
15 PROTEINS TO PERFORM FUNCTIONS FOR THE ORGANISM,  
16 RIGHT. AND SO THE INCREASING AMOUNT OF DATA NOW  
17 SHOWING THAT GENES THAT HAVE BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH  
18 NEURODEGENERATION CLEARLY PLAY A ROLE IN  
19 DEVELOPMENT. RIGHT. THE LATEST WAS THIS PAPER THAT  
20 CAME OUT IN *SCIENCE* ON HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE IN A  
21 MOUSE MODEL IN WHICH THEY WERE ABLE TO TREAT WITH A  
22 GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR STIMULATOR AGONIST FOR JUST A  
23 SHORT PERIOD OF TIME IN DEVELOPMENT AND CAUSED MAJOR  
24 INCREASES IN LIFE SPAN IN THOSE MICE FROM JUST A  
25 SEVEN-DAY DEVELOPMENTAL TREATMENT.

1 SO THE QUESTION I HAVE IS, GIVEN WHAT YOU  
2 JUST SAID, WHICH IS A CORE BELIEF SYSTEM THAT I'VE  
3 HAD FOR A LONG PERIOD OF TIME, THAT EVERYTHING IS  
4 DEVELOPMENTAL ANYWAY, WHAT CAN YOU TELL US ABOUT HOW  
5 STEM CELLS CAN BE USED DIFFERENTLY TO TRY TO  
6 UNDERSTAND THE INTERACTIONS THAT YOU DISCUSSED WHICH  
7 MAY BE OVERLAPPING BUT NOT IDENTICAL TO CAUSE A  
8 PSYCHIATRIC DISORDER THAT EMERGES, LET'S SAY, IN  
9 ADOLESCENTS AND A DISORDER OF CELL SURVIVAL OR  
10 DEGENERATION WHICH MAY OCCUR DECADES LATER? WHAT'S  
11 YOUR TAKE ON HOW STEM CELLS CAN BE USED TO TRY TO  
12 DIFFERENTIATE THOSE FROM A MECHANISTIC PERSPECTIVE?

13 DR. BRENNAND: I THINK WE FEEL LIKE THE  
14 GENETICISTS HAVE DONE AN AMAZING JOB AT CATALOGING  
15 LISTS OF VARIANTS AND GENES. AND SO WE HAVE THIS  
16 WEALTH OF INFORMATION ACROSS MOST DISEASES THAT I  
17 WOULD ARGUE WE FAILED TO TURN INTO CLINICAL  
18 PRACTICE. IT'S HARD TO ANNOTATE NONCOMMON  
19 VARIANT -- NONCODING VARIANTS ESPECIALLY IF THEY'RE  
20 GOING TO HAVE DIFFERENT EFFECTS IN DIFFERENT DONORS  
21 AND CELL TYPES AND CONTEXT. AND SO I THINK THAT  
22 STEM CELLS REPRESENT THIS PLACE TO TEST HYPOTHESIS  
23 AND LEARN ABOUT HOW TO INTERPRET NONCODING VARIANTS.  
24 AND SO WHAT I MEAN BY THAT IS THAT I DON'T THINK WE  
25 ARE EVER GOING TO FIND ONE CURE FOR ALZHEIMER'S OR

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 ONE CURE FOR AUTISM, MUCH LIKE THERE'S NOT GOING TO  
2 BE ONE CURE FOR CANCER. BUT WE ARE GOING TO FIND A  
3 LOT OF TREATMENTS THAT WORK IN SOME PEOPLE.

4 AND SO YOU ARE GOING TO CHIP AWAY -- I'VE  
5 HAD PEOPLE ASK, LIKE, HOW LONG ARE WE GOING TO HAVE  
6 TO KEEP SEQUENCING PEOPLE WITH DISEASES? AND I SAY,  
7 WELL, HONESTLY, TILL WE HAVE ONE TREATMENT THAT  
8 WORKS DEPENDENT ON IT. AND THEN THE PHARMA  
9 COMPANIES WILL DO ALL THE SEQUENCING FOR US, RIGHT.  
10 LIKE WE JUST NEED THAT FIRST PIZZA SLICE. AND WE  
11 ARE THERE WITH CANCER. WE HAVE A LOT OF THE PIZZA  
12 SLICES. RIGHT. WE DON'T UNDERSTAND EVERYTHING  
13 ABOUT CANCER, BUT THERE ARE A LOT OF MUTATIONS NOW  
14 THAT IF THIS, THEN THAT DRUG. IF THESE TWO, THEN  
15 THIS OTHER DRUG GETS ADDED IN.

16 AND I THINK WE NEED TO BEGIN TO START, FOR  
17 LACK OF A MORE TECHNICAL WORD, BUCKETING PEOPLE.  
18 WHO BELONGS TOGETHER? AND IT TURNS OUT IT'S  
19 PROBABLY NOT BY CLINICAL PRESENTATION. WE'VE  
20 BUCKETED PEOPLE INTO NEURODEGENERATION AND PSYCH BY  
21 PHENOTYPES. WE'VE BUCKETED THEM INTO SCHIZOPHRENIA  
22 AND AUTISM BY CLINICAL PRESENTATION. BUT IF YOU  
23 HAVE A NRXN1 DELETION AND YOU HAVE AUTISM OR  
24 SCHIZOPHRENIA, ARE YOU LESS ALIKE THAN TWO  
25 SCHIZOPHRENIA PATIENTS OR TWO AUTISM PATIENTS? AND

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 I WOULD ARGUE THAT UNDERSTANDING THESE COMMON  
2 VARIANT BUCKETS IS JUST AS IMPORTANT AS  
3 UNDERSTANDING THAT RARE VARIANT BUCKET.

4 DR. LEVITT: THANK YOU.

5 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THE OTHER RELEVANT  
6 BIT IS THAT IT HAS BEEN RECOGNIZED FOR SOME TIME AND  
7 NOBODY HAS BEEN QUITE SURE WHAT TO DO WITH IT, THAT  
8 THE NEURODEGENERATIVES OFTEN HAVE PSYCHIATRIC  
9 PHENOTYPES. HYPERACTIVITY THAT LOOKS IN SOME WAYS  
10 LIKE THE MANIA IN BIPOLAR DISORDER. AND, IN FACT,  
11 IT'S -- IN SOME WAYS IT'S AN EASIER TREATMENT  
12 OPPORTUNITY THAN THE DEGENERATION BECAUSE MEASURING  
13 COGNITIVE DECLINE OR ARREST OF COGNITIVE DECLINE  
14 WITH A DRUG CANDIDATE OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS VERSUS  
15 DEVELOPING A DRUG THAT HELPS WITH THE BEHAVIORAL  
16 PROBLEMS IN ALZHEIMER'S AND PARKINSON'S -- I DON'T  
17 KNOW IF FRED KNOWS WHAT IT IS -- WHETHER THERE'S  
18 SOMETHING LIKE THIS IN ALS OR NOT -- THOSE ARE MUCH  
19 MORE STRAIGHTFORWARD TREATMENT OPPORTUNITIES THAT  
20 ACTUALLY WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL TO CAREGIVERS WHO  
21 HAVE TO MANAGE PEOPLE WITH THESE TERRIBLE DISORDERS.

22 SO, FRED, DO YOU KNOW? ARE THERE  
23 BEHAVIORAL CHANGES IN ALS PATIENTS THAT ARE BEYOND  
24 JUST THE SORT OF OBVIOUS DEPRESSION THAT COMES FROM  
25 HAVING A DISORDER LIKE THAT?

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 DR. FISHER: A HUNDRED PERCENT. THE SAME  
2 GENE THAT CAUSES ALS CAUSES FRONTAL TEMPORAL  
3 DEMENTIA. SO YES. IT'S ESTIMATED THAT AS HIGH AS  
4 30 PERCENT OF THE PATIENT POPULATION WITH ALS  
5 DEVELOP SOME KIND OF COGNITIVE DISORDER, WHICH IS  
6 WHY IT'S IMPORTANT TO TALK ABOUT TREATMENT OPTIONS  
7 AND END-OF-LIFE OPTIONS VERY EARLY ON WHILE PEOPLE  
8 STILL HAVE THE ABILITY TO PARTICIPATE IN THOSE  
9 DECISIONS.

10 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YEAH. GREAT POINT.  
11 OKAY. OTHER QUESTIONS FOR KRISTEN BEFORE WE WRAP UP  
12 THIS PART OF THE MEETING? GOING ONCE, TWICE.  
13 KRISTEN, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME TODAY.  
14 VERY ILLUMINATING. AND I THINK THE COMBINATION WITH  
15 TOM REALLY GAVE US A GREAT WAY TO START THINKING  
16 ABOUT THESE DISORDERS IN A SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT WAY.

17 DR. BRENNAND: IT WAS SO MUCH FUN. AND  
18 I'M HAPPY TO COME BACK ANY TIME.

19 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY.

20 DR. BRENNAND: THANK YOU.

21 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YOU'LL BE COMING BACK  
22 INTO CALIFORNIA.

23 DR. BRENNAND: ASK ME ANY YEAR IN JANUARY.

24 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GOT IT.

25 DR. BRENNAND: BYE.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1           CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. SO THE LAST  
2 PART OF TODAY'S MEETING, IF I'VE GOT THIS  
3 RIGHT -- WELL, OTHER THAN PUBLIC COMMENT, WHICH I  
4 SEEM TO BE IN THE HABIT OF FORGETTING, THE LAST  
5 ISSUE WE WANT TO TALK ABOUT IS SOMETHING THAT ROSA  
6 HAS BROUGHT UP, WHICH IS THE VALUE OF A SURVEY IN  
7 CALIFORNIA OF POTENTIAL GRANTEES. THIS MAY ALSO  
8 HELP US THINK ABOUT THE QUESTION THAT FRED RAISED,  
9 WHICH IS WHY IS THERE SUCH A PAUCITY OF  
10 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC PROGRAMS WITHIN THE CIRM PORTFOLIO.

11           SO, ROSA, IF YOU ARE READY, I'LL TURN THE  
12 MIC OVER TO YOU TO TALK ABOUT SURVEYS.

13           DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU, LARRY.  
14 MARIANNE, DO YOU HAVE THOSE TWO SLIDES?

15           MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: YES, I DO.

16           DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU, LARRY. AND  
17 THIS WAS ALIGNED WITH WHAT YOU INTRODUCED US WITH  
18 AROUND DEVELOPING A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE  
19 COMMUNITY IN CALIFORNIA LOOKS LIKE AND WHAT DO WE  
20 THINK THAT WE ARE MORE PRIMED FOR. AND THIS IS ALSO  
21 IN REFERENCE TO STEVE JUELSGAARD'S QUESTION ABOUT  
22 THAT.

23           THERE WAS SOMETHING ELSE THAT I WANTED TO  
24 MENTION, AND AS STEVE MENTIONED, WHY DON'T WE HAVE  
25 AN INVESTMENT SO FAR IN THE RFA NEUROPSYCHIATRY?

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 AND I THINK THAT WAS ALIGNED -- IF YOU CAN GO TO NO.  
2 3, SLIDE NO. 3. I JUST WANTED TO MENTION THAT THE  
3 FIRST PRESENTATION I PRESENTED TO THE TASK FORCE DID  
4 AN ANALYSIS OF WHAT KIND OF DISEASE MECHANISMS WORK  
5 HAVE WE FUNDED SO FAR. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT CIRM  
6 HAD NOT DONE WAS WE DID NOT FOCUS ON WORK AROUND  
7 DISEASE MECHANISMS. SO WE DID NOT ASK FOR THESE  
8 SPECIFICALLY IN OUR PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENTS.

9 SO THIS IS WHAT WE ARE CHANGING HERE.  
10 THIS IS WHAT WE WILL BE PROPOSING, AND THIS IS  
11 ALIGNED WITH WHAT DR. SUDHOF AND DR. BRENNAND JUST  
12 PRESENTED.

13 SO WITHOUT FURTHER ADO, THE SURVEY IS TO  
14 GATHER INPUT FROM MEMBERS OF THE CALIFORNIA  
15 SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY TO ENSURE THAT THE PROGRAM  
16 DESIGN WILL LEAD TO PHYSICAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY  
17 RESEARCH PROJECTS WITH THE HIGHEST IMPACT AROUND  
18 FOUNDATIONAL RESEARCH TO ACCELERATE THE DEVELOPMENT  
19 OF THERAPIES FOR NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS. AND AS  
20 WE WERE JUST MENTIONING, THERE ARE ALSO COMPONENTS,  
21 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC COMPONENTS, IN OTHER CNS DISEASES  
22 LIKE ALZHEIMER'S, ALS, AND OTHERS.

23 SO THE DISCUSSION OBJECTIVE OF THE NEXT  
24 SLIDE IS NOT TO DISCUSS ANSWERING THE QUESTIONS, BUT  
25 TO GATHER FEEDBACK FROM THE TASK FORCE AROUND THE



**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 DESIGN OF THE QUESTIONS TO ACHIEVE THE GOAL THAT WE  
2 HAVE HERE. WE AT CIRM HAVE DONE AN ANALYSIS OF  
3 POTENTIAL APPLICANTS. SO WE HAVE A LIST OF AROUND  
4 240 RESEARCHERS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT HAVE  
5 AT A TIME OR OTHER BEEN WORKING IN NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
6 DISORDERS AND SOME OF THEM ALSO HAVE BEEN WORKING  
7 WITH STEM CELLS.

8 SO WITH THAT SAID, THE FIRST QUESTION, IF  
9 YOU COULD MOVE TO THE NEXT SLIDE, MARIANNE, THE  
10 FIRST ONE IS ALIGNED WITH WHAT WE HAVE BEEN  
11 DISCUSSING IN THE LAST DISCUSSION AFTER KRISTEN'S  
12 PRESENTATION. WHICH NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISEASE AREAS  
13 COULD BE MOST PRIME FOR DISEASE MECHANISM RESEARCH  
14 WITH STEM CELL MODELS IN NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISEASES  
15 AND WHY? AND THIS COULD BE A MULTIPLE CHOICE TYPE  
16 OF QUESTION THAT WE WOULD BE ASKING CALIFORNIA  
17 RESEARCHERS.

18 AND THE GOAL OF THIS QUESTION COULD BE TO  
19 FIGURE OUT WHETHER THERE IS -- WHAT'S THE LEVEL OF  
20 INTEREST AND ALSO OF RESEARCHERS ALREADY WORKING IN  
21 SOME OF THESE DISEASES. SO WE COULD ADD ADDICTION,  
22 ANXIETY DISORDERS, INCLUDING PTSD, ATTENTION DEFICIT  
23 HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDERS,  
24 INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY DISORDERS, BIPOLAR, EATING  
25 DISORDERS, SCHIZOPHRENIA. SO THESE COULD BE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 MULTIPLE CHOICE SO THAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO SEE  
2 WHAT'S THE LANDSCAPE OF APPETITE AND OF THE REALITY.

3 SO I WILL STOP HERE TO SEE IF THERE IS  
4 DISCUSSION, FEEDBACK ABOUT THESE QUESTIONS. I DON'T  
5 KNOW, LARRY, YOU HAVE ANY INPUT ABOUT HOW DO YOU  
6 WANT TO RUN THIS, IF YOU WANTED TO GO THROUGH ALL OF  
7 THEM OR IF YOU WANTED TO STOP ONE BY ONE.

8 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I THINK HITTING THEM  
9 ONE BY ONE IS FINE, ROSA. I'LL KEEP AN EYE ON THE  
10 TIME. AND IF IT LOOKS LIKE WE ARE TAKING TOO MUCH,  
11 THEN I CAN MOVE US ALONG A BIT MORE QUICKLY.

12 DR. CANET-AVILES: OKAY.

13 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I THINK, AS LONG AS  
14 I'VE GOT THE MICROPHONE ON HERE, BASED ON WHAT WE'VE  
15 JUST HEARD AND WHAT I'VE READ IN THE PAST, THE  
16 QUESTION WOULD BE, IN MY MIND, IT SEEMS TO ME A VERY  
17 STRONG ARGUMENT FOR STRATIFICATION OF OUR LEVEL OF  
18 ATTENTION SHOULD BE RELATED TO THE MAGNITUDE OF THE  
19 GENETIC CONTRIBUTION. IF YOU'RE DEALING WITH A  
20 HUMAN DISORDER THAT IS PRIMARILY ENVIRONMENTAL,  
21 WELL, THAT'S GOING TO BE PRETTY HARD TO MODEL IN  
22 STEM CELLS AND ORGANIDS AND THAT SORT OF  
23 TECHNOLOGY. WHEREAS, SOMETHING LIKE SCHIZOPHRENIA  
24 WHERE WE JUST HEARD A GREAT DEAL, NOT ONLY IS THERE  
25 A VERY STRONG COMPONENT, THE GENETIC ARCHITECTURE IN

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 MEASURING RISK, BUT THEY ACTUALLY AFFECT THE  
2 CHARACTER OF THE DISEASE, PSYCHIATRIC VERSUS  
3 DEGENERATIVE, FROM ALLELES OF THE SAME GENE.

4 SO IT SEEMS TO ME YOU'D ALMOST WANT TO  
5 CALL THE QUESTION OR WE WOULD BE INTERESTED IN  
6 HEARING WHAT THE COMMUNITY HAS TO SAY. ARE THERE  
7 STRONG ARGUMENTS FOR WORKING ON DISORDERS THAT ARE  
8 PRIMARILY ENVIRONMENTAL IN STEM CELL MODELS AS  
9 OPPOSED TO, IT SEEMS TO ME, A VERY STRONG ARGUMENT  
10 THAT OUR RESEARCHERS ARE GOING TO COME BACK AND TELL  
11 US IF THERE'S A STRONG GENETIC COMPONENT, THAT'S  
12 WHAT YOU'RE TRAPPING IN THESE CELLS EITHER BY GENOME  
13 CAPTURE OR BY CRISPR-INDUCED MUTATIONS. WE'RE GOING  
14 TO HEAR THAT.

15 I'D LOVE TO KNOW IF THERE'S A STRONG  
16 ARGUMENT COMING BACK FOR DISORDERS THAT ARE  
17 PRIMARILY ENVIRONMENTAL.

18 DR. LEVITT: LARRY, I WOULD SAY THAT THE  
19 TARGETS AND THE TREATMENTS ARE GOING TO BE BASED ON  
20 THE STEM CELL BIOLOGY. THE PICTURE, I THINK, AS TOM  
21 REALLY FOCUSED ON IS THAT THERE'S A FUNDAMENTAL  
22 CHANGE IN HOW THE PRIMARY SOURCE OF INFORMATION  
23 PROCESSING, THE SYNAPSE, IS FUNCTIONING. IT'S NOT  
24 REFLECTED IN GENE EXPRESSION. IT'S CAUSED -- IN HIS  
25 CASE HE USED GENETIC MODELS FOR THAT, AND THAT'S

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 PERFECTLY FINE. BUT IT'S CLEAR THAT ENVIRONMENTAL  
2 CONTRIBUTIONS CAN END UP DRIVING THE SAME CHAIN  
3 BIOLOGICALLY, AND THAT'S THE TARGET FOR  
4 THERAPEUTICS.

5 I'M NOT SUGGESTING THAT -- AN IPS CELL  
6 DERIVED FROM SOMEBODY WHO HAS DEPRESSION AND  
7 DEPRESSION IS RELATIVELY LOW ON THE SCALE IN TERMS  
8 OF HERITABILITY COMPARED TO OTHER PSYCHIATRIC  
9 DISORDERS; BUT, NONETHELESS, THE CELLS THAT ARE  
10 DERIVED FROM AN INDIVIDUAL WITH MONOPOLAR DEPRESSION  
11 MIGHT BE QUITE INFORMATIVE IN TERMS OF UNDERSTANDING  
12 WHAT THE CHANGES ARE IN TERMS OF HOW THAT NEURON  
13 FUNCTIONS, WHICH WE CAN'T GET FROM A MOUSE.

14 SO TO ME THE FIRST QUESTION IS WHETHER,  
15 FOR ME -- IT'S A FINE QUESTION AND THE DESIGN IS  
16 GREAT. DO WE WANT TO SAY MOST PRIMED OR PRIMED?  
17 SOMETIMES WHEN YOU SAY MOST PRIMED, YOU GET ANSWERS  
18 BACK FROM INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE FOCUSING ON WHAT THEY  
19 FEEL IS THE MOST PRIMED. IF YOU USE THE BROADER  
20 TERM LIKE PRIMED, THEY MIGHT BE MORE INCLUSIVE OF  
21 MENTIONING OTHERS THAT THEY MAY NOT BE FOCUSING ON  
22 RIGHT AWAY.

23 I THINK THE FIRST QUESTION IS OBVIOUSLY A  
24 VERY IMPORTANT ONE FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE.

25 DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU, PAT. AND

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 ALSO ONE THING TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT IS IN GENERAL  
2 MOST PROJECTS WITH HIGH HERITABILITY AND INCIDENCE  
3 OF THE NUMBER OF MUTATIONS ARE THE ONES THAT WILL  
4 LEAD TO MORE SUCCESSFUL PROJECTS IN GENERAL. AND WE  
5 NEED TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THAT THIS HERITABILITY IS  
6 MAINTAINED IN THE CELLS AFTER RECOMBINING AS WELL  
7 AND DIFFERENTIATION. SO THAT SHOULD BE ALSO  
8 SOMETHING TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT.

9 DR. LEVITT: YEAH.

10 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THE OTHER ISSUE THAT  
11 I DON'T KNOW THAT WE'VE YET -- I DON'T KNOW THAT  
12 WE'VE YET CAPTURED IN THE QUESTIONS HERE AND THAT  
13 WE'VE SEEN IS TO WHAT EXTENT ARE DIFFERENT  
14 NEURODEGENERATIVE OR NEUROCOGNITIVE DISORDERS  
15 ENRICHED IN THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF ENVIRONMENTS WE  
16 HAVE IN CALIFORNIA. SO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES HAVE  
17 ONE SET OF PRESSURES THAT THEY HAVE TO DEAL WITH IN  
18 THEIR LIVES. WEALTHIER COMMUNITIES, I GUESS, HAVE  
19 SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT PROBLEMS. AND IF WE ARE THINKING  
20 ABOUT ENVIRONMENTAL STRESSORS, FOR EXAMPLE, EXPOSURE  
21 TO LARGE AMOUNTS OF VINYL CHLORIDE BECAUSE YOU LIVE  
22 NEAR RAILROAD TRACKS, IS THERE SOME WAY OF CAPTURING  
23 THAT IN RESEARCH AREAS THAT OUR COMMUNITIES THINK  
24 ARE ACTUALLY TRACTABLE PROBLEMS?

25 DR. LEVITT: SO I DON'T WANT TO HOG THE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 CONVERSATION, BUT I THINK ONE OF THE REALLY  
2 IMPORTANT THINGS THAT I DIDN'T QUITE GET A SENSE OF,  
3 BUT YOU GET IT FROM READING PAPERS IS THE DEPTH AT  
4 WHICH -- AND JONATHAN SABBAT TALKED ABOUT THIS --  
5 THE DEPTH OF INFORMATION YOU GET FROM THOSE PATIENTS  
6 FROM WHOM YOU'RE OBTAINING PLURIPOTENTIAL STEM CELLS  
7 TO DO YOUR WORK, THAT THAT INFORMATION IS REALLY  
8 IMPORTANT, FOR ME ALMOST A REQUISITE, WHICH WOULD  
9 ADDRESS, FOR EXAMPLE, LARRY, THE PATIENT COMES FROM  
10 WHAT ZIP CODE. RIGHT? INFORMATION THAT'S HARDLY  
11 EVER PROVIDED WHEN YOU GET -- THE SOURCES DON'T  
12 PROVIDE A BUNCH OF INFORMATION.

13 SO I THINK EMPHASIZING DIVERSITY IN TERMS  
14 OF THE ORIGINS OF THE EXPERIMENTAL CELLS AND WHAT WE  
15 MEAN BY DIVERSITY, I THINK, WHICH INCLUDES ANCESTRY,  
16 IT INCLUDES THE BUILT AND LIVED ENVIRONMENT, THOSE  
17 SORTS OF THINGS. OBVIOUSLY IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO  
18 GET AN ENTIRE LIFE HISTORY, BUT I THINK IT'S  
19 IMPORTANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT THE MORE INFORMATION WE  
20 GET OR THAT THEY HAVE, THE BETTER THE STUDY IS GOING  
21 TO BE IN TERMS OF BEING ABLE TO CORRELATE OUTCOMES  
22 WITH FACTORS THAT MAY BE DRIVING IT.

23 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: IT'S A GREAT POINT.  
24 BECAUSE FOR ALS, FOR EXAMPLE, THERE HAVE BEEN  
25 ON-AND-OFF SUPPORT FOR THE IDEAS THAT ENVIRONMENTAL

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 EXPOSURE IS A PRIMARY CAUSE VERSUS SOMEWHAT LOWER  
2 DOWN. SO MAYBE THE SURVEY QUESTION WOULD BE WHAT  
3 DOES THE USER COMMUNITY THINK IS THE RIGHT WAY TO  
4 CAPTURE ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION IN CONTRIBUTIONS.

5 DR. LEVITT: YEAH. THERE'S OTHER -- FOR  
6 EXAMPLE, PERINATAL COMPLICATIONS INCREASES RISK FOR  
7 SCHIZOPHRENIA. IT'S THE MOST DRAMATIC NONGENETIC,  
8 SO-CALLED NONGENETIC, CERTAINLY INHERITED FROM THE  
9 OFFSPRING, LARGE GENETIC COMPONENT OF INCREASING  
10 RISK. IT'S ENORMOUS. AND YET THAT KIND OF  
11 INFORMATION MAY OR MAY NOT BE AVAILABLE IN A BANK  
12 WHERE YOU MIGHT BE ABLE TO ACCESS STEM CELLS  
13 FOR -- PLURIPOTENTIAL STEM CELLS FOR INDUCING  
14 WHATEVER CELL TYPE YOU WANT TO STUDY.

15 AND SO THOSE ARE THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT  
16 I THINK ARE REALLY HELPFUL BECAUSE NOT EVERYBODY  
17 WITH SCHIZOPHRENIA EXPERIENCE PERINATAL  
18 COMPLICATIONS. BUT THOSE WHO EXPERIENCE PERINATAL  
19 COMPLICATIONS HAVE AN INCREASED RISK FOR PSYCHIATRIC  
20 DISORDERS.

21 SO THIS IS THE KIND OF THING, NOT MAYBE  
22 PART OF THE SURVEY QUESTIONS, BUT CERTAINLY IN TERMS  
23 OF ANY KIND OF REQUEST FOR APPLICATION WHERE THE  
24 DEPTH OF INFORMATION IS REALLY IMPORTANT.

25 ONE THING, ROSA, THAT I THOUGHT OF WHEN

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 YOU SAY MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAMS, WOULD YOU WANT  
2 INFORMATION ON WHETHER PEOPLE -- ABOUT WHETHER  
3 INVESTIGATORS FEEL IT WOULD BE MOST PRODUCTIVE TO  
4 WORK AS PART OF A NETWORK, OR ARE WE TALKING ABOUT  
5 PROMOTING INDEPENDENT, THE WAY THAT NIH MOSTLY DOES  
6 IT EXCEPT IN BIG EFFORTS LIKE GENETICS OR IN BRAIN  
7 IMAGING, ARE WE TALKING ABOUT NETWORKS THAT WE WOULD  
8 SUPPORT OR INDIVIDUALS OR BOTH?

9 DR. CANET-AVILES: WE ARE TRYING TO DO A  
10 COUPLE OF THINGS HERE WITH THIS. ONE IS TO CATALYZE  
11 COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS BETWEEN DISCIPLINES THAT ARE  
12 NOT PER SE WORKING CURRENTLY IN NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
13 DISORDERS. SO WE ARE TRYING TO BRING IN  
14 COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGIES WITH NEUROBIOLOGIES WITH  
15 IMMUNOLOGISTS TOGETHER AND AT THE SAME TIME WITH  
16 CLINICIANS, WHICH ULTIMATELY COULD HAVE THE  
17 KNOWLEDGE OF THE CLINICAL ASPECTS OF THE DISEASE,  
18 BUT ALSO ACCESS TO THE PATIENTS. SO WE ARE TRYING  
19 TO CATALYZE THOSE MULTIDISCIPLINARY THREE TO FOUR,  
20 LIKE THAT'S INITIALLY WHAT WE ARE THINKING, AND  
21 THAT'S WHY WE WANT TO SEE WHAT PEOPLE ARE READY TO  
22 OR INCENTIVIZED TOWARDS OR LOOKING FORWARD TO. SO  
23 WE WOULD LIKE TO ASK THIS. SO HOPEFULLY THAT  
24 ANSWERS YOUR QUESTION.

25 WE WANT TO KNOW WHAT DISCIPLINES ARE



**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 LACKING TO FURTHER OUR UNDERSTANDING OF FOUNDATIONAL  
2 MECHANISMS OF THESE DISEASES.

3 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT POINT. FRED.

4 DR. FISHER: I REALLY FEEL LIKE I'M IN THE  
5 WRONG GROUP. WHY DO WE NEED A SURVEY TO TELL THIS  
6 INFORMATION WHEN APPARENTLY THERE ARE EXPERTS IN THE  
7 FIELD THAT UNDERSTAND THE USE OF STEM CELLS IN  
8 GENETICS IN THE EXPLORATION OF UNDERSTANDING  
9 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISEASE? AND THOSE PEOPLE HAVE AN  
10 OPEN INVITATION TO APPLY TO ANY NUMBER OF OUR  
11 BUCKETS OF FUNDING. HERE I THINK I'M IN A WORK  
12 GROUP THAT'S SUPPOSED TO BE LOOKING AT HOW TO DEPLOY  
13 OR EVALUATE OUR DEPLOYMENT OF NEURO FUNDING. AND WE  
14 ARE NOW CREATING A SURVEY TO ASK QUESTIONS THAT, IN  
15 MY MIND, THAT THE PEOPLE REQUESTING THE FUNDING  
16 SHOULD BE ABLE TO TELL US. I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE  
17 PURPOSE OF THIS AT ALL.

18 SO THAT'S WHY I FEEL LIKE I'M COMPLETELY  
19 LOST AND REALLY NOT UNDERSTANDING WHAT I'M DOING  
20 HERE BECAUSE I KEEP BEING SURPRISED BY WHAT WE ARE  
21 DOING.

22 DR. LEVITT: FRED, I'LL ANSWER THAT AS  
23 SOMEBODY WHO'S BEEN WORKING IN THIS FIELD FOR A LONG  
24 TIME. MANY SHIFT CHANGES IN EFFORTS THAT  
25 INVESTIGATORS MAKE IS BASED ON, AND MOST OF OUR

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 FUNDING COMES FROM THE NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH  
2 FOR THIS KIND OF RESEARCH, COMES FROM NATIONAL  
3 INSTITUTES OF HEALTH WORKSHOPS THAT ARE RUN OR  
4 SURVEYS THAT ARE GENERATED TO GET THIS KIND OF  
5 INFORMATION AND THEN SCULPT REQUESTS FOR  
6 APPLICATIONS THAT ADDRESS THE THOUGHT PROCESSES OF  
7 INVESTIGATORS WHO MAY BE WORKING IN A CERTAIN AREA  
8 THAT IS NOT PARTICULARLY RELEVANT TO USE OF STEM  
9 CELLS, BUT MIGHT FIND A REQUEST FOR APPLICATION IN  
10 THE EMPHASIS AREAS IN PARTICULAR SOMETHING THAT  
11 ALIGNS WITH WHAT THEY FEEL THEY COULD ACCOMPLISH  
12 WITH FUNDING.

13 IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY COME FROM THE  
14 INVESTIGATOR INDEPENDENT OF THE FUNDING AGENCY IN  
15 TERMS OF WHAT THE PRIORITIES ARE FOR THE FUNDING  
16 AGENCY. THE NIH DOES THIS ALL THE TIME. THE  
17 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION DOES IT ALL THE TIME. HRSA,  
18 SAMHSA, ALL THESE FEDERAL AGENCIES OFTEN GET THIS  
19 KIND OF INFORMATION BECAUSE THEY WANT TO TRY TO  
20 ALIGN THEIR NEW INITIATIVES WITH WHAT INVESTIGATORS  
21 MIGHT FEEL WOULD BE RELEVANT FOR THE KINDS OF  
22 INCREASED ACTIVITY THAT THEY MAY NOT BE PURSUING AT  
23 THE TIME.

24 THAT'S MY OWN BELIEF SYSTEM AND MY OWN  
25 EXPERIENCE AS AN INVESTIGATOR. THAT'S WHAT I'VE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 EXPERIENCED FOR A LONG TIME. SO THIS IS PRETTY  
2 USUAL, NOT UNUSUAL. AND ALSO FOUNDATIONS DO THIS AS  
3 WELL. PRIVATE FOUNDATIONS OFTEN TRY TO UNDERSTAND  
4 THE NATURE OF THE PLAYING FIELD IN TERMS OF THE  
5 INVESTIGATORS. AND NOT DEVIATING FROM THEIR  
6 MISSION, BUT TRYING TO ALIGN WHAT THEY ARE TRYING TO  
7 SUPPORT AND DO IT IN A WAY THAT ALIGNS WITH HOW THEY  
8 FEEL THEY CAN GET INVESTIGATORS TO SPEND MORE TIME  
9 ON THEIR PARTICULAR GOALS, ON THE GOALS OF THE  
10 FOUNDATION.

11 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YEAH. I WOULD JUST  
12 ADD TO THAT TWO POINTS, AND THEN WE SHOULD MOVE ON  
13 TO THE NEXT QUESTION, I THINK. ONE IS THAT THE WAY  
14 YOU WRITE AN RFA HAS A BIG IMPACT, AS I'M SURE  
15 YOU'VE EXPERIENCED IN BEING HEAD OF YOUR  
16 ORGANIZATION, FRED. HOW YOU WRITE THE RFA HAS A BIG  
17 IMPACT ON WHAT SORTS OF GRANTS YOU GET BACK IN AND  
18 WHAT SORTS OF PROPOSALS YOU GET TO SEE. AND IF YOU  
19 WANT TO SEE A LOT OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY PROPOSALS,  
20 YOU NEED TO STRUCTURE THINGS APPROPRIATELY IF YOU  
21 THINK THAT'S THE MOST INTERESTING KIND OF  
22 APPLICATION TO COME IN.

23 THE OTHER THING IS ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS  
24 MOSTLY ARE EXTREMELY SILOED. AND SO IF YOU DON'T  
25 HAVE INCENTIVES TO TRY TO INCLUDE, FOR EXAMPLE,

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 HIGHLY RIGOROUS, SOPHISTICATED STATISTICIANS IN THE  
2 FACE OF A DISORDER THAT'S ENORMOUSLY VARIABLE LIKE  
3 ALS, IT'S HARD TO MAKE SURE YOU'RE FINANCING THE  
4 RIGHT KINDS OF INVESTIGATIONS.

5 BUT WE BETTER MOVE ON TO THE NEXT COUPLE  
6 OF QUESTIONS. WE ONLY HAVE A FEW MINUTES LEFT,  
7 ROSA.

8 DR. CANET-AVILES: YES. SO I THINK WE  
9 TALKED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM  
10 ALREADY. SO UNLESS THERE IS ANY. AND THE NEXT ONE,  
11 NO. 3, WOULD BE WHAT'S THE IDEAL SIZE OF A  
12 MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM? THE GOAL HERE WAS  
13 THINKING -- OUR THINKING RIGHT NOW IS THAT THREE TO  
14 FOUR, NO MORE THAN THAT, BETWEEN STATISTICIAN,  
15 COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGIES, THE STEM CELL SCIENTISTS,  
16 CLINICIANS, THAT SHOULD BE. BUT THEN IF WE SEE THAT  
17 THE ANSWER IS LIKE MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAMS OF SEVEN,  
18 THAT WILL GIVE US A GUIDANCE TO INCLUDE UP TO SEVEN,  
19 FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT. THAT'S  
20 WHAT THE IDEA OF THIS WAS.

21 I THINK AL HAS A COMMENT, LARRY.

22 MR. ROWLETT: SO, FIRST, I WANT TO SAY I  
23 REALLY DO APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS, LARRY, ABOUT  
24 ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS BEING SILOED. THAT EXPLAINS  
25 SOME OF THEIR ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONS RELATED TO

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 DEI. BUT AS IT REFERENCES THE IDEAL SIZE, SO I  
2 THOUGHT I WAS APPRECIATING, PAT, YOUR POINT WAS THAT  
3 WE MIGHT ACTUALLY INVOLVE OR APPLYING ENTITIES MIGHT  
4 ACTUALLY INVOLVE INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE BEEN IMPACTED  
5 BY NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS AS A PART OF THEIR  
6 MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM. AND I SAY THAT BECAUSE ON  
7 THE SOCIAL SCIENCE SIDE, IT IS QUITE COMMON,  
8 ESPECIALLY WHEN WE ARE APPLYING TO ENTITIES THAT  
9 HAVE MORE OF A SOCIAL DETERMINANT FOCUS TO BE  
10 REQUIRED TO INCLUDE PEOPLE WHO HAVE EXPERIENCED THE  
11 DISORDER OR TO REALLY FOCUS ON ACTIVITIES IN  
12 GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS WHERE THERE'S A  
13 DISPROPORTIONATE CONCERNS OF SPECIFIC FACTORS THAT  
14 LEAD TO WHAT WE ARE STUDYING, NEUROPSYCHIATRIC  
15 SYMPTOMS.

16 SO IT'S COMMON FOR US, AND SO I'M A LITTLE  
17 BIT CHALLENGED BY US PRESCRIBING AN IDEAL SIZE. IF  
18 I'M UNDERSTANDING THE THOUGHT PROCESS IN THAT IT  
19 WOULD BE UP TO THE APPLICANT TO DETERMINE THAT.

20 DR. CANET-AVILES: SO JUST TO CLARIFY, THE  
21 TEAM, IT COULD BE THE RESEARCHERS. SO WE USUALLY  
22 HAVE A TEAM THAT'S ONE PI, AND THEN THAT'S WHERE WE  
23 ARE GEARING THE FUNDING. WHAT WE ARE THINKING ABOUT  
24 HERE IS POTENTIALLY A PI -- WE HAVE TWO OR THREE  
25 PI'S AT THE SAME LEVEL OF COMMITMENT AND

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 COLLABORATIVE EFFORT IN AN AWARD. THESE ARE GOING  
2 TO BE POTENTIALLY LARGE AWARDS TO ADVANCE THE  
3 FUNDAMENTAL UNDERSTANDING OF THESE DISEASES. SO  
4 THAT'S WHERE WE ARE GOING, AND THAT'S WHY WE ARE  
5 ASKING.

6 MR. ROWLETT: SO THAT DOESN'T PROHIBIT  
7 OTHER INDIVIDUALS AS BEING A PART OF WHAT I  
8 TECHNICALLY DESCRIBE AS A MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM.  
9 AND, AGAIN, I HAVE A DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE AROUND  
10 THIS, THAT WHAT YOU'RE DESCRIBING TO ME IS AN  
11 APPLICATION WHERE YOU WOULD ASK THE APPLICANT TO  
12 IDENTIFY MULTIPLE PI'S AS PART OF THE  
13 MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM.

14 DR. CANET-AVILES: CORRECT. WE DON'T KNOW  
15 IF WE WILL BE ABLE TO CALL THEM PI'S BECAUSE THAT'S  
16 PER THE GRANT ADMINISTRATION POLICY. CO-PI'S DO NOT  
17 EXIST. SO WE MIGHT HAVE TO ASK THE BOARD TO ALLOW  
18 FOR THAT. BUT THAT'S WHERE WE WERE GOING. WE WANT  
19 TO HAVE A MULTITYPE OF PI EFFORT SO THAT PEOPLE FEEL  
20 RESPONSIBLE FOR DIFFERENT PARTS OF A VERY  
21 MULTIDISCIPLINARY EFFORT.

22 DR. LEVITT: BUT I WOULD SAY -- I KNOW  
23 WE'RE GOING TO GO OVER TO ADDRESS AL'S COMMENT. AL,  
24 SO WHAT YOU DESCRIBE IS VERY COMMON IN CLINICAL  
25 RESEARCH PROJECTS, WHETHER THEY'RE DESCRIPTIVE OR

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 WHETHER THEY'RE CLINICAL TRIALS. IT'S VERY, VERY  
2 COMMON TO BE INCLUSIVE. THAT WOULD INCLUDE  
3 COMMUNITY MEMBERS IMPACTED IN THE SPECIFIC AREA OF  
4 BIOMED MEDICAL RESEARCH THAT'S BEING DONE. IT'S  
5 VERY UNCOMMON IN WHAT WE WOULD DEFINE AS BASIC  
6 RESEARCH, BUT SOMETHING THAT I THINK WE SHOULD  
7 CONSIDER.

8 WE DO WITH OUR RESEARCH INSTITUTE AND  
9 THERE'S A LOT OF BASIC STUDIES AND WE HAVE COMMUNITY  
10 MEMBERS WHO ARE INVOLVED AND THERE ARE FOUNDATIONS  
11 THAT DO THAT AS WELL. I THINK IT'S AN INTERESTING  
12 POINT TO BRING UP. BUT CERTAINLY IN TERMS OF  
13 CLINICAL RESEARCH, IT'S VERY COMMON TO DO EXACTLY  
14 WHAT YOU DISCUSS AND THEY'RE PART OF THE TEAM.

15 MR. ROWLETT: THANK YOU, PAT.

16 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO, LOOK, THIS IS A  
17 FASCINATING CONVERSATION THAT I DO THINK IS VERY  
18 USEFUL. BUT WE'VE HIT OUR TIME LIMIT FOR THE DAY.  
19 I DON'T WANT TO MESS UP ANYBODY ELSE'S CALENDAR.  
20 FOLKS --

21 DR. LEVITT: LARRY, CAN I JUST MAKE ONE  
22 SUGGESTION?

23 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SURE.

24 DR. LEVITT: TO ALIGN THE TERM WE USE WITH  
25 OUR FUNDING, INSTEAD OF SAYING -- I JUST PUT THIS

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 OUT THERE. INSTEAD OF BASIC RESEARCH, CAN WE SAY  
2 DISCOVERY RESEARCH BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT OUR GRANTS  
3 ARE CALLED AT THE MECHANISTIC LEVEL. THEY'RE  
4 DISCOVERY RESEARCH, NOT TO BE CONFUSED WITH --  
5 BECAUSE THE INTERPRETATION OF BASIC RESEARCH MAY BE  
6 SO FUNDAMENTAL AND NOT TRANSLATABLE INTO THE TRANS  
7 GRANTS. THAT IS MY ONLY WORRY ABOUT THAT TERM.

8 DR. CANET-AVILES: WELL, THAT'S FINE.  
9 THAT'S OKAY. YEAH. THAT'S OKAY. WE CAN SAY  
10 DISCOVERY RESEARCH.

11 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: WE ARE PARTLY THERE.

12 DR. CANET-AVILES: IT'S DISCOVERY AND  
13 VALIDATION TYPE OF RESEARCH, IDENTIFICATION,  
14 DISCOVERY VALIDATION. SO THAT'S WHY WHERE WE ARE  
15 GOING IS FUNDAMENTAL MECHANISTIC RESEARCH. WE ARE  
16 KIND OF BEING A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT FROM THE WAY  
17 WE'VE BEEN THINKING ABOUT DISCOVERY. BUT THAT'S  
18 FINE. THIS IS EARLY RESEARCH, BASIC DISCOVERY. WE  
19 WILL CALL IT DISCOVERY SO IT ALIGNS WITH THE PILLAR  
20 OF FUNDING.

21 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO, TASK FORCE  
22 MEMBERS, YOU'VE ALL SEEN THE QUESTIONS. PLEASE  
23 EMAIL ROSA WITH ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR SUGGESTIONS  
24 THAT YOU HAVE. WE WON'T GET THIS TO BE IDEAL, BUT  
25 LET'S GO FOR BEST POSSIBLE.



**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU, LARRY. WE  
2 WERE INTENDING TO SEND IT BY WEDNESDAY BECAUSE WE  
3 NEED THE CONCEPT TO FINALIZE FOR THE TASK FORCE  
4 MEETING ON THE 15TH OF MAY. SO WE WANT TO MAKE SURE  
5 THAT WE GATHER UP THE INPUT. THANK YOU, LARRY.

6 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SURE. SO EMAIL ROSA  
7 QUICKLY IF YOU HAVE ANY THOUGHTS.

8 OKAY. SO THAT'S IT FOR TODAY. I THINK WE  
9 SHOULD WRAP UP. NEXT MEETING WILL INCLUDE TALKS BY  
10 DAN GESCHWIND AND LILY YESHQAVA (PHONETIC) PRIMARILY  
11 ON SINGLE-CELL METHODS OF ANALYSIS AND THE  
12 TECHNOLOGIES THAT ARE BEING DEVELOPED TO MAKE THAT  
13 POSSIBLE. IT'S A VERY IMPORTANT AREA THAT'S  
14 DEVELOPING. AND ROSA WILL HAVE A DETAILED CONCEPT  
15 PLAN FOR US TO TALK ABOUT AS WELL. SO IT WILL BE A  
16 LIVELY MEETING, UNLIKE ALL THE OTHERS WHICH HAVE  
17 BEEN SLOW. SO THANK YOU ALL FOR YOUR TIME.

18 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: LARRY.

19 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OH, PUBLIC COMMENT,  
20 RIGHT.

21 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: YES. AND THERE  
22 WAS TWO THAT WERE RECEIVED EARLIER IF I CAN READ  
23 THOSE REAL QUICKLY.

24 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GO FOR IT.

25 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: OKAY. GREAT.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 THIS ONE IS FROM DR. YE ZHANG FROM UCLA. "STUDYING  
2 THE BASIC BIOLOGY OF NEURAL STEM CELLS AND BASIC  
3 DEVELOPMENTAL NEUROBIOLOGY IS VITAL FOR  
4 UNDERSTANDING THE NEUROLOGICAL AND PSYCHIATRIC  
5 DISORDERS BECAUSE IT HELPS US UNLOCK POTENTIAL  
6 THERAPEUTIC TARGETS AND DEVELOP MORE EFFECTIVE  
7 TREATMENTS. BY UNDERSTANDING THE FULL MECHANISMS OF  
8 NEURAL STEM CELL BEHAVIOR, SUCH AS THEIR GENERATION,  
9 DIFFERENTIATION, AND DEPLETION, WE CAN GAIN INSIGHTS  
10 INTO A WIDE RANGE OF DISORDERS.

11 "WHILE IT'S TRUE THAT INVESTIGATING  
12 NEUROLOGICAL AND PSYCHIATRIC DISEASES DIRECTLY CAN  
13 LEAD TO SHORT-TERM PROGRESS, WE ALSO NEED BASIC  
14 NEURAL STEM CELL AND NEURAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH TO  
15 BUILD A CONTINUOUS PIPELINE FOR NEW THERAPEUTIC  
16 TARGETS. BY UNDERSTANDING HOW NEURAL STEM CELLS  
17 RESPOND TO DIFFERENT SIGNALS DIFFERENTIATE INTO  
18 SPECIALIZED CELL TYPES AND CONTRIBUTE TO THE REPAIR  
19 AND REGENERATION OF DAMAGED TISSUES, WE CAN DEVELOP  
20 NOVEL THERAPIES TARGETING THE UNDERLYING CAUSE OF  
21 THESE DISEASES.

22 "MOUSE MODELS HAVE BEEN INVALUABLE FOR  
23 STUDYING THE COMPLEX PROCESSES INVOLVED IN NEURAL  
24 STEM CELL BIOLOGY. THEY ALLOW US TO MANIPULATE  
25 SPECIFIC GENES OR TRUSTED ENVIRONMENTAL STRESSORS IN

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 A CONTROLLED, REPRODUCIBLE MANNER. THIS PROVIDES  
2 INSIGHTS INTO HOW THESE FACTORS IMPACT NEURAL STEM  
3 CELL BEHAVIOR AND CONTRIBUTE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF  
4 NEUROLOGICAL AND PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS. MOUSE  
5 MODELS ALSO ENABLE US TO STUDY NEURAL STEM CELL  
6 TRANSPLANTATION AND THE POTENTIAL FOR CELL  
7 REPLACEMENT THERAPIES IN THE CONTEXT OF  
8 NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES.

9 "INVESTING IN BASIC NEURAL STEM CELL  
10 RESEARCH, INCLUDING STUDIES USING MOUSE MODELS, IS  
11 AN EXCELLENT LONG-TERM STRATEGY. IT CAN PAVE THE  
12 WAY FOR MORE EFFECTIVE AND TARGETED TREATMENTS.  
13 IT'S ESSENTIAL THAT CIRM INVEST IN THE STUDY OF THE  
14 BASIC BIOLOGY OF NEURAL STEM CELLS AND BASIC  
15 DEVELOPMENTAL NEUROBIOLOGY, INCLUDING USING MOUSE  
16 MODELS, AS THIS KNOWLEDGE HAS THE POTENTIAL TO  
17 IMPROVE OUR APPROACH TO UNDERSTANDING AND TREATING A  
18 VAST ARRAY OF NEUROLOGICAL AND PSYCHIATRIC  
19 CONDITIONS."

20 AND THEN THE LAST ONE IS FROM PAUL  
21 KNOEPFLER FROM UC DAVIS. "CEREBRAL PALSY OR CP IS A  
22 COMMON NEUROLOGICAL CONDITION PRESENT IN ABOUT THREE  
23 OUT OF THOUSAND CHILDREN, AND MORE THAN 750,000  
24 PEOPLE ARE LIVING WITH THIS CONDITION IN THE U.S.  
25 TODAY. CP GENERALLY RESULTS FROM BRAIN INJURY

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 DURING PREGNANCY OR AT BIRTH. TWO MAIN, MORE  
2 SPECIFIC CAUSES OF CP-RELATED BRAIN ISSUES ARE  
3 HYPOXIA OR LACK OF OXYGEN AND GENETIC VARIANTS,  
4 INCLUDING MUTATIONS.

5 "CP MANIFESTS WITH NUMEROUS SYSTEMS,  
6 INCLUDING MOVEMENT DISORDERS AND DELAYED COGNITIVE  
7 DEVELOPMENT. "THERE IS A GROWING APPRECIATION OF  
8 THE PSYCHIATRIC ELEMENTS TO CP TOO. FOR EXAMPLE,  
9 PEOPLE WITH CP ARE ANYWHERE FROM TWO TO TENFOLD MORE  
10 LIKELY TO HAVE ANXIETY AND DEPRESSION AS WELL AS  
11 OTHER PSYCHIATRIC CONDITIONS.

12 "THERE ARE RELATIVELY FEW EFFECTIVE  
13 TREATMENT AND PREVENTION OPTIONS FOR CP, LEAVING AN  
14 IMPORTANT HEALTH GAP. IN PART THIS GAP IS DUE TO  
15 THE MANY OPEN QUESTIONS SURROUNDING QUESTIONS OF CP  
16 CAUSE AND MECHANISMS. RESEARCH ON THE GENETIC  
17 ELEMENTS OF CP IS A PARTICULARLY EXCITING NEW AREA  
18 THAT REQUIRES MORE EMPHASIS AND SUPPORT. DESPITE  
19 THE LARGE SCOPE OF HEALTH PROBLEMS WITH CP, IN  
20 GENERAL THERE HASN'T BEEN ENOUGH RESEARCH INTO THE  
21 CONDITION, AND CIRM ITSELF HISTORICALLY HAS FUNDED  
22 RELATIVELY FEW CP FOCUSED GRANTS.

23 "I STRONGLY SUPPORT THE CIRM  
24 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC PROGRAM AND BELIEVE THAT CP IS ONE  
25 IMPORTANT AREA THAT SHOULD BE A FOCUS WHERE CIRM

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1       COULD HAVE DISPROPORTIONATELY LARGE POSITIVE IMPACT  
2       MOVING FORWARD."

3                   CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN:   THANK YOU, MARIANNE.  
4       OKAY.   IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE I'VE MISSED BEFORE WE  
5       ADJOURN HERE?   OTHERWISE, WE ARE OUT OF THERE.   HAVE  
6       A GOOD EARLY MAY.   TALK TO YOU SOON, GUYS.   BYE.

7                   (THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 2:09 P.M.)

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE**

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE TASK FORCE ON NEUROSCIENCE AND MEDICINE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON APRIL 24, 2023, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152  
133 HENNA COURT  
SANDPOINT, IDAHO  
(208) 920-3543