BEFORE THE

INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE
APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

DATE: JANUARY 27, 2022

9 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2022-03

INDEX

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE	NO
OPEN SESSION		
1. CALL TO ORDER		4
2. ROLL CALL		4
3. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT		6
4. PRESIDENT'S REPORT		17
5. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES FOR DECEMBER 14 ICOC MEETING		26
6. CONSIDERATION OF NEW APPOINTMENTS AND REAPPOINTMENTS OF GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERS		29
7. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO DISC2: PARTNERING OPPORTUNITY FOR DISCOVERY STAGE RESEARCH PROJECTS		41
8. CONSIDERATION OF CONCEPT PLAN FOR EDUC5: FUNDING OPPORTUNITY FOR CREATING OPPORTUNITIES THROUGH MENTORSHIP AND PARTNERSHIP ACROSS STEM CELL SCIENCE (COMPA		72
9. CONSIDERATION OF CONCEPT PLAN FOR INFR4: FUNDING OPPORTUNITY FOR ALPHA CLINIC NETWORK EXPANSION	_	80
10. CONSIDERATION OF COI POLICY FOR SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY PANELS	1	32

2

I N D E X (CONT'D.)

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
11. CONSIDERATION OF ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP (AAWG) BYLAWS AND CONFIDENTIALITY POLICY	137
12. CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENTS OF NEW MEMBER TO THE ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP (AAWG)	141
13. CONSIDERATION OF INCREASING PERCENT EFFORT OF ICOC VICE-CHAIR IN LIGHT OF ADDITIONAL DUTIES	145
14. DISCUSSION AND UPDATE OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT	148
CLOSED SESSION	NONE
15. DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OR WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION DATE FINANCIAL INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENTED RESEARCH OR DATA, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INFORMATION TO APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSACIONAL TEM 7 (HEALTH & SAFETY CODE 125290 (B) AND (C))	ATA, IFIC FORMATION DNSE TO
DISCUSSION ITEMS	
16. PUBLIC COMMENT	150
17. ADJOURNMENT	154

_	DETH G. DIAHN, CA CON NO. 7 132
1	THURSDAY, JANUARY 27, 2022; 9 A.M.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: GOOD TO GO. GOOD
4	MORNING, EVERYBODY, AND WELCOME TO THE FIRST MEETING
5	IN 2022 OF THE ICOC AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW
6	SUBCOMMITTEE. MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFA ABDULHAQ. DAN
8	BERNAL.
9	MR. BERNAL: PRESENT.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
11	DR. BLUMENTHAL: HERE.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL BOTCHAN. LINDA
13	BOXER.
14	DR. BOXER: PRESENT.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
16	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: PRESENT.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
18	DR. DULIEGE: PRESENT.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
20	MS. DURON: HERE.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
22	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: HERE.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
24	DR. FISHER: HERE.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS. JUDY
	4

1	GASSON.
2	DR. GASSON: HERE.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
4	DR. GOLDSTEIN: HERE.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
6	DR. HIGGINS: HERE.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
8	MR. JUELSGAARD: HERE.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: JAMES KOVACH.
10	DR. KOVACH: HERE.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
12	MR. LAJARA: HERE.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
14	DR. LEVITT: HERE.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
16	DR. LO: HERE.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
18	DR. MALKAS: HERE.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
20	DR. MARTIN: HERE.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
22	DR. MELMED: HERE.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
24	LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN. ADRIANA PADILLA.
25	DR. PADILLA: HERE.
	5
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Ī	
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
2	MR. PANETTA: HERE.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. MICHAEL
4	STAMOS.
5	DR. STAMOS: HERE.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
9	MR. TORRES: HERE.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
11	DR. VUORI: HERE.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: KAROL WATSON.
13	DR. WATSON: HERE.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: KEITH YAMAMOTO.
15	DR. YAMAMOTO: HERE.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. WE HAVE A
17	QUORUM.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA.
19	AGAIN, WELCOME, EVERYBODY. WE WILL START, AS
20	ALWAYS, WITH A FEW COMMENTS FROM THE CHAIR.
21	AS WE ALL RECALL FROM DECEMBER, WE ARE NOW
22	IN THE MIDST OF OUR NEW STRATEGIC PLAN
23	IMPLEMENTATION. THERE'S A LOT OF ACTIVITY THAT'S
24	BEEN GOING ON AT THE AGENCY RELATED TO THAT. YOU
25	SEE ON THE AGENDA, FOR EXAMPLE, THE CONCEPT PLAN FOR
	6

1	THE ALPHA STEM CELL CLINIC EXPANSION, A NEW
2	EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM. THE PROGRAMS THAT WE ROLLED
3	OUT LAST YEAR THAT ARE LEGACY WITH RESPECT TO THE
4	FUNDING AT ALL THE DIFFERENT LEVELS OF RESEARCH
5	CONTINUE APACE. THERE'S A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF
6	ACTIVITY GOING ON.
7	I WANTED TO HAVE A COUPLE OF UPDATES FOR
8	YOU FROM COLLEAGUES HERE WITH RESPECT TO SPECIFIC
9	THINGS HIGHLIGHTED IN THE STRATEGIC PLAN THAT ARE
10	ROLLED OUT AS A RESULT OF THE PASSAGE OF PROPOSITION
11	14. I'D LIKE TO START WITH ART WITH AN UPDATE ON
12	WHERE THINGS ARE WITH THE AAWG.
13	MR. TORRES: YES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
14	MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS. WE HAVE ON OUR AGENDA
15	TODAY THE APPROVAL OF OUR BYLAWS, WHICH IS PRETTY
16	PERFUNCTORY. I WANT TO THANK KEVIN MARKS AND MARIA
17	BONNEVILLE FOR WORKING SO HARD AND HELPING US ON
18	THOSE BYLAWS. YOU WILL BE PRESENTED TO THEM LATER
19	IN THE MEETING.
20	NO. 2, I'VE BEEN WORKING WITH THE
21	GOVERNOR'S OFFICE BECAUSE THEY ARE ESTABLISHING IN
22	THE CURRENT GOVERNOR'S BUDGET AN OFFICE OF
23	HEALTHCARE AND ACCOUNTABILITY. THAT OFFICE IS GOING
24	TO BE PARALLEL TO SOME OF THE ISSUES THAT WE WILL BE
25	CONFRONTING IN THE WORKING GROUP. AND AS SOON AS I

1	HEAR THE LEGISLATION THAT THEY'RE GOING TO INTRODUCE
2	TO IMPLEMENT THAT BUDGET REQUEST, IN THE GOVERNOR'S
3	BUDGET, I WILL SEND THAT OFF TO YOU. IT'S STILL
4	PRETTY NEW IN TERMS OF THE BUDGET APPROVAL. WE
5	WON'T HAVE A MAY REVISE, AS WE CALL IT, UNTIL
6	OBVIOUSLY MAY THAT WILL REVISE THE BUDGET IN ITS
7	CURRENT FORM TO HAVE ANY ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS.
8	THE LEGISLATURE WILL PROBABLY BEGIN
9	HEARINGS ON THE BUDGET IN FEBRUARY, MARCH; AND THEN,
10	OF COURSE, JUNE 15TH IS THE FINAL DAY TO APPROVE THE
11	BUDGET THAT'S BALANCED AND SEND BACK TO THE GOVERNOR
12	FROM THE LEGISLATURE. SO THIS OFFICE OF HEALTHCARE
13	AND ACCOUNTABILITY, WHICH IS PRETTY HISTORIC, WILL
14	BE IN THE BUDGET AS WELL AND ALSO WITH LEGISLATION
15	THAT WILL BE IMPLEMENTED AND SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR,
16	I'M SURE.
17	THE OTHER ISSUE THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE
18	TAKING UP THAT MARIA MILLAN AND MARIA BONNEVILLE AND
19	GEOFF LOMAX AND OTHERS HAVE BEEN WORKING ON WITH ME,
20	AND THAT IS TO ESTABLISH THE PATIENT FUND. AS YOU
21	RECALL, IN PROP 14 WE WANTED TO MAKE SURE WHEN WE
22	WERE WRITING IT INITIALLY TO GET SOME LANGUAGE THAT
23	WOULD PROVIDE FOR SERVICES FOR PATIENTS. AND MY
24	EXPERIENCE WITH ONE LEGACY, THE ORGAN TRANSPLANT
25	FOUNDATION, WAS THAT WE WOULD FUND CERTAIN

1	ORGANIZATIONS LIKE THE AVA FOUNDATION FOR HEART
2	TRANSPLANT PATIENTS TO GIVE THEM MONEY TO GET TO A
3	CLINICAL TRIAL WHICH AT THAT POINT THEY COULDN'T
4	AFFORD TO TRAVEL TO, BUT ALSO, MORE IMPORTANTLY,
5	MAKING SURE THEY HAD ENOUGH FUNDS TO HELP PAY FOR
6	THEIR CAREGIVER AND EXPENSES WHILE THEY'RE RECEIVING
7	AN ORGAN TRANSPLANT.
8	WE WANT TO LOOK AT HOW WE CAN PROVIDE THAT
9	FOR CLINICAL TRIAL PARTICIPANTS BECAUSE THAT IS SO
10	KEY, ESPECIALLY AS WE'VE TALKED AND YSABEL AND
11	OTHERS HAVE TALKED ABOUT AND AL DIVERSITY ISSUES IN
12	REACHING OUT INTO RURAL COMMUNITIES THAT MAY NOT
13	HAVE ACCESS TO CLINICAL TRIAL SITES. AND GEOFF
14	LOMAX AND I HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THAT IN TERMS OF
15	THE ALPHA CLINICS AND ALSO WITH THE COMMUNITY CARE
16	CENTERS.
17	I'VE ALSO PUT MARIA MILLAN IN TOUCH WITH
18	OUR CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE AT UC MERCED AS THE GOVERNOR
19	IS PROPOSING AND WE'VE TALKED ABOUT ESTABLISHING A
20	MEDICAL SCHOOL AND A HOSPITAL FACILITY AT UC MERCED.
21	AND THAT WOULD BE A PRIME LOCATION TO HAVE ACCESS TO
22	THOSE LIVING IN CENTRAL CALIFORNIA TO POTENTIAL
23	CLINICAL TRIALS AS WE PROCEED FORWARD.
24	THE PATIENT ASSISTANCE FUND WILL NEED TO
25	GO AS A PROPOSAL TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE, AND

1	WE'LL FOLLOW UP WITH THEM. AGAIN, THANK YOU, MARIA
2	BONNEVILLE, FOR ALL YOUR HELP ON THAT ISSUE AS WE
3	PROCEED ACCORDINGLY.
4	SO WE HAVE A FEW MORE MEMBERS THAT WE HAVE
5	APPROVED TO THE WORKING GROUP. WE HAVE 17 TOTAL,
6	AND IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT ONE OF THE PRIME
7	ELEMENTS OF THAT IS HOW DO WE NEGOTIATE RATES FOR
8	THE FUTURE IN TERMS OF AFFORDABILITY AND
9	ACCESSIBILITY, WHICH IS REALLY WHY I WANTED TO HAVE
10	JAMES DEBENEDETTI, WHO IS CURRENTLY OUR POINT PERSON
11	IN COVERED CALIFORNIA THAT NEGOTIATES ALL OF THE
12	CONTRACTS WITH THIRD-PARTY PAYERS, TO BE PART OF
13	THIS WORKING GROUP TO GIVE US AN INSIGHT AS TO WHAT
14	WE NEED TO LOOK OUT FOR IN THE FUTURE.
15	SO THAT'S THE CURRENT UPDATE ON THESE
16	ISSUES WITH THE WORKING GROUP, MR. CHAIRMAN.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, ART, FOR
18	THAT. THERE ARE A LOT OF THINGS ON THE PLATE FOR
19	THE AAWG COMING UP. SO IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT THE
20	BOARD HEAR ALL THAT'S GOING ON. AND WE WILL KEEP
21	YOU POSTED AS THINGS PROCEED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR, OF
22	COURSE.
23	MR. TORRES: YES. I WILL SEND A NOTICE
24	ONCE WE RECEIVE AN AUTHOR AND THE LEGISLATIVE NUMBER
25	OF THE BILL THAT WILL IMPLEMENT THIS OFFICE OF

1	HEALTHCARE AND AFFORDABILITY. THIS IS AN ISSUE
2	WHICH IS VERY DEAR TO THE HEART OF OUR GOVERNOR.
3	AND HE AND I HAVE TALKED ABOUT THIS ON NUMEROUS
4	TIMES, HAVING HAD A MOTHER, A SINGLE MOTHER, WHO
5	PASSED FROM BREAST CANCER, AND HAVING THE INABILITY
6	TO REACH OUT FOR SERVICES WAS ALWAYS AN ISSUE. I
7	THINK THAT HE IS VERY SENSITIVE TO AFFORDABILITY AND
8	ACCESSIBILITY FOR PATIENTS. I THINK THAT'S GOING TO
9	BE ONE OF THE MAIN REASONS HE PUT FORWARD THIS
10	PROPOSAL.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, ART.
12	ANOTHER ISSUE, AS YOU KNOW FROM NUMEROUS
13	DISCUSSIONS WE HAVE HAD OVER RECENT MONTHS, HAS BEEN
14	THE REFINING OF OUR POLICY ON DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND
15	INCLUSION, AND INTEGRATING CONCEPTS FROM THAT INTO
16	BOTH THE PROJECTS THAT ARE TO BE AWARDED BY CIRM AS
17	WELL AS THE TEAMS THAT WILL BE RUNNING THOSE
18	PROJECTS.
19	WE HAVE HAD SOME DISCUSSIONS SINCE
20	DECEMBER ON THIS, AND I'VE ASKED DR. SAMBRANO TO
21	UPDATE THE BOARD ON WHERE THOSE DISCUSSIONS STAND AS
22	WE CONTINUE TO REFINE THIS POLICY. GIL.
23	DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
24	GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE. GOOD TO SEE ALL OF YOU.
25	SO AS CHAIRMAN THOMAS MENTIONED, FOR SOME

1	TIME NOW WE'VE BEEN TAKING SEVERAL STEPS TO
2	INCORPORATE DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION INTO
3	OUR PROCESSES, SO REVIEW, GRANTS MANAGEMENT, OTHER
4	BUSINESS AREAS AT CIRM, AND MAKING IT A CORE PART OF
5	OUR ORGANIZATION. AND WHEN WE BEGAN ALL OF THIS,
6	I'M SURE AT THAT POINT WE WOULD HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED
7	THAT INCORPORATION OF THIS WOULD TAKE AND INVOLVE
8	SOME TRIAL AND ERROR. AND NOW THAT WE ARE DOING IT,
9	WE SEE CERTAINLY THAT IT'S AN ONGOING AND EVOLVING
10	PROCESS. AND SO WE NEED TO CONTINUOUSLY ASSESS,
11	LEARN FROM WHAT WE DO, AND THEN MAKE IMPROVEMENTS.
12	SO IT'S IN THAT SPIRIT THAT I WANT TO
13	SHARE ONE IMPORTANT STEP THAT WE TOOK THAT WE KICKED
14	OFF JANUARY 3D ACTUALLY WITH A MOCK DEI REVIEW WHERE
15	WE BROUGHT TOGETHER OUR BOARD MEMBERS WHO SERVE ON
16	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. AND THE IDEA WAS TO BRING
17	TOGETHER THIS GROUP TO EVALUATE THE THREE
18	APPLICATIONS FROM OUR CLINICAL PROGRAM THAT WE
19	SELECTED. SO THESE WERE APPLICATIONS THAT WE HAD
20	ALREADY REVIEWED BEFORE. AND HAVE A DISCUSSION ON
21	HOW EACH PATIENT ADVOCATE NURSE MEMBER APPROACHED
22	THE REVIEW OF DEI, HOW EFFECTIVE OUR INSTRUCTIONS
23	AND THE RUBRIC THAT WE PROVIDED WAS IN ACHIEVING
24	THAT TASK, WHAT ELEMENTS MIGHT BE MISSING OR THAT WE
25	CAN ADD, AND WHETHER EVERYONE WAS INTERPRETING ALL

1	OF THIS IN THE SAME WAY.
2	AND SO THAT EXERCISE PROVED TO BE VERY
3	INFORMATIVE AND PROVIDED US WITH SOME CLEAR GUIDANCE
4	ON HOW TO MAKE IMPROVEMENT. WE FOUND, FOR EXAMPLE,
5	THAT A GREATER CLARITY IS NEEDED IN INSTRUCTIONS
6	BOTH TO APPLICANTS AND REVIEWERS AS TO HOW TO USE
7	SECTIONS IN THE APPLICATION THAT WE CREATED. PART
8	OF THIS IS REORGANIZING AND REORDERING SOME OF THOSE
9	SECTIONS, NEEDING TO DEVELOP BETTER EXAMPLES, IN
LO	SOME CASES USING TABLES TO BETTER CAPTURE PERTINENT
L1	INFORMATION.
L2	AND SO WHAT WE ARE DOING NOW AS A
L3	FOLLOW-UP IS ACTIVELY MAKING CHANGES ON THE
L4	APPLICATION ON OUR SLIDES THAT WE USE TO GUIDE
L5	REVIEWERS TO ROLL THOSE OUT OVER THE NEXT SEVERAL
L6	WEEKS TO SEVERAL MONTHS TO IMPROVE THE PROCESS. AND
L7	AS I MENTIONED AT THE BEGINNING, IT IS AN ONGOING
L8	PROCESS OF ASSESSING AND FIGURING OUT WHAT WE ARE
L9	DOING WELL, WHAT WE ARE NOT DOING SO WELL. SO I
20	ANTICIPATE THAT THIS WILL BE ANOTHER ITERATION, AND
21	WE WILL MAKE IMPROVEMENTS AS WE NEED TO. AND SO
22	THIS WILL APPLY NOT JUST TO REVIEW, BUT ALSO IN THE
23	POSTAWARD GRANTS MANAGEMENT AND OTHER ELEMENTS THAT
24	WE DO.
25	I ALSO JUST WANT TO TAKE A SECOND TO THANK

1	AND ACKNOWLEDGE OUR PATIENT ADVOCATE AND NURSE
2	MEMBERS WHO SERVE ON THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. THEY
3	REALLY SPENT AN INCREDIBLE AMOUNT OF TIME IN THESE
4	REVIEWS AND IN HELPING US IMPROVE THAT PROCESS. SO
5	I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU, AND JUST SAY THAT WE
6	GREATLY APPRECIATE THEIR DEDICATION TO THIS. SO
7	THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
8	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, DR.
9	SAMBRANO. WE LOOK FORWARD TO CONTINUING THE PROCESS
10	THERE, AND I THINK WE ARE HOMING IN ON PROCEDURES TO
11	FOLLOW THAT WILL MAXIMIZE OUR GOAL OF INTEGRATING
12	DEI INTO ALL THAT WE DO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR
13	YOUR LEADERSHIP IN THIS, GIL. WE APPRECIATE IT.
14	MR. TORRES: MR. CHAIRMAN.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, SIR. SENATOR.
16	MR. TORRES: ON THAT POINT, I KNOW MANY OF
17	YOU HAVE HEARD THAT THE SUPREME COURT HAS AGREED TO
18	HEAR THE TWO HARVARD AND SOUTH CAROLINA CASES ON
19	AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN ADMISSIONS POLICIES. THEY'LL
20	OPEN UP ARGUMENTS OCTOBER 1ST OF THIS YEAR. SO IT'S
21	GOING TO BE A CASE THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO
22	FOLLOW VERY CAREFULLY BECAUSE IT MAY GIVE US FURTHER
23	GUIDANCE OR RESTRICT US EVEN FURTHER IN TERMS OF OUR
24	DIVERSITY ELEMENTS ON THESE ISSUES.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. YSABEL.

1	MS. DURON: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR.
2	GIL, I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT I'D LOVE TO
3	HELP PERUSE THOSE DOCUMENTS TO THE POINT THAT EVEN
4	ART MADE ABOUT WHAT APPEARS OR DOESN'T APPEAR
5	ACCEPTABLE. BUT I DID WORK, BEING A MEMBER OF THE
6	IRB FOR THE ALVA RESEARCH PROGRAM, I WAS QUITE
7	ADAMANT ABOUT INCLUSION PLANS. AND I'D LIKE TO SEE
8	HOW OURS ARE ROLLING OUT. SO THEY'RE SPECIFIC ABOUT
9	WHAT WE EXPECT TO HEAR BACK FROM THE APPLICANTS. SO
10	I'LL BE GLAD TO DO A QUICK RUN-THROUGH FOR YOU. I
11	APPRECIATE ALL THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE DONE SOME
12	ADVISORY WORK ALREADY, BUT I'D BE GLAD TO TAKE A
13	LOOK AT IT AS WELL.
14	DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU. WE APPRECIATE
15	THAT.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU.
17	SO IN ADDITION TO THESE PROGRAMS AND THE
18	
	NEW CONCEPT PLANS, ET CETERA, THAT WE ARE ADVANCING,
19	NEW CONCEPT PLANS, ET CETERA, THAT WE ARE ADVANCING, YOU RECALL LAST YEAR WE HAD SOME WORKSHOPS THAT
19 20	
	YOU RECALL LAST YEAR WE HAD SOME WORKSHOPS THAT
20	YOU RECALL LAST YEAR WE HAD SOME WORKSHOPS THAT HELPED INFORM THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN.
20 21	YOU RECALL LAST YEAR WE HAD SOME WORKSHOPS THAT HELPED INFORM THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN. AND THE PLAN NOW BEING IN PLACE, THERE ARE GOING TO
20 21 22	YOU RECALL LAST YEAR WE HAD SOME WORKSHOPS THAT HELPED INFORM THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN. AND THE PLAN NOW BEING IN PLACE, THERE ARE GOING TO BE A NUMBER OF UPCOMING MEETINGS IN THE FORM OF
20212223	YOU RECALL LAST YEAR WE HAD SOME WORKSHOPS THAT HELPED INFORM THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN. AND THE PLAN NOW BEING IN PLACE, THERE ARE GOING TO BE A NUMBER OF UPCOMING MEETINGS IN THE FORM OF WORKSHOPS THAT EXAMINE CERTAIN ELEMENTS OF THE

1	ONE. AS YOU RECALL, WE HAVE A BILLION FIVE IN PROP
2	14 ALLOCATED TO DISEASES OF THE BRAIN. SO WE'RE
3	GOING TO HAVE A MEETING TO TALK ABOUT CNS
4	COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH PROGRAMS THAT CAN FURTHER
5	ADVANCE OUR STRATEGIC PLAN GOAL OF ADVANCING
6	WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE. DR. CANET-AVILES IS OUR POINT
7	PERSON ON THAT.
8	ANOTHER PROGRAM THAT'S GOING TO BE THE
9	SUBJECT FOR A WORKSHOP LATER IN THE SPRING IS GOING
10	TO EXAMINE COMMUNITY NEEDS THAT CAN INFORM THE
11	CONCEPT PLAN FOR THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF
12	EXCELLENCE, ALSO A KEY ADDITION IN PROP 14. MARIA
13	BONNEVILLE IS THE LEAD ON THAT.
14	SO STAY TUNED FOR REPORTS ON THOSE AS THEY
15	GO FORWARD.
16	LAST, BUT NOT LEAST, ONE OF THE THINGS
17	THAT THE AGENCY IS GOING TO BE DEALING WITH THIS
18	YEAR IS, AS WE MENTIONED, ART AND I ARE BOTH TERMED
19	OUT DECEMBER 31 THIS YEAR. AND THE PROCESS OF
20	PICKING A NEW CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR WILL BE THE
21	SUBJECT MATTER OF DISCUSSION THAT'S KICKING OFF WITH
22	A SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING OF THE GOVERNANCE
23	SUBCOMMITTEE IN MARCH WHICH WILL THEN YIELD A REPORT
24	TO OUR FULL BOARD MEETING ALSO IN MARCH AFTER THAT.
25	SO THERE WILL BE A LOT OF ACTIVITY IN CONNECTION

1	WITH THAT PAIR OF SELECTIONS GOING FORWARD.
2	SO THAT CONCLUDES MY CHAIR'S REPORT. I'LL
3	TURN IT OVER NOW TO DR. MILLAN TO GIVE HER
4	PRESIDENT'S REPORT, WHICH WILL FEATURE COMMENTS FROM
5	HER AS WELL AS GENERAL COUNSEL KEVIN MARKS. MARIA.
6	DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU SO MUCH, CHAIRMAN
7	THOMAS AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, PUBLIC, AND
8	COLLEAGUES. I'M GOING TO BE VERY BRIEF, BUT I
9	WANTED TO GIVE AN UPDATE, A ONE-MONTH UPDATE, SINCE
10	THIS BOARD APPROVED OUR STRATEGIC PLAN. NEXT SLIDE
11	PLEASE.
12	AS YOU WILL RECALL, THE MISSION STATEMENT
13	AS STATED HERE IS ACCELERATING WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE
14	TO DELIVER TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
15	TREATMENTS IN AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A DIVERSE
16	CALIFORNIA AND WORLD. EVERYTHING WE DO RELATES TO
17	THIS MISSION STATEMENT AND OUR STRATEGIC GOALS
18	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE REALLY EMANATE FROM THIS
19	MISSION STATEMENT.
20	SO YOU WILL RECALL THAT THE THREE MAJOR
21	CATEGORIES OF OUR FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC GOALS WITH
22	DEFINED GOALS THAT WE SET IN DECEMBER ARE IN THE
23	CATEGORIES OF ADVANCING WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE,
24	DEVELOPING THESE PROGRAMS TO OPTIMIZE HOW WE CAN
25	PUSH SCIENCE FORWARD AND GET THE MOST RETURN ON

1	INVESTMENT; DELIVER REAL-WORLD SOLUTIONS TOWARD
2	COMMERCIALIZATION, PATIENT DELIVERY, ACCESS, AND ALL
3	OTHER THINGS RELATED TO THE ECOSYSTEM THAT NEEDS TO
4	SUPPORT THIS EMERGING AND GROWING FIELD; AND PROVIDE
5	OPPORTUNITIES FOR ALL THAT SPEAKS TO DEVELOPING A
6	DIVERSE AND HIGHLY SKILLED WORKFORCE ALL THROUGHOUT
7	FROM TRAINING ALL THE WAY THROUGH FINAL CAREER
8	PATHWAYS.
9	AND THEN THE MAJOR ONE IS DELIVER A ROAD
10	MAP FOR ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY THAT SENATOR TORRES
11	TOUCHED ON EARLIER WHEN HE SPOKE ABOUT THE AAWG AND
12	CONSIDERATION OF PRIORITIES AND DIRECTION. NEXT
13	SLIDE PLEASE.
14	SO IN ACCOMPLISHING THIS, IN TERMS OF OUR
15	RESEARCH PROGRAMS, WE CONTINUE TO LEVERAGE THE
16	PILLARS OF FUNDING, WHICH ARE IN SOME CASES
17	RECURRENT FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES, SUCH AS IN THE
18	DISCOVERY PROGRAM, TRANSLATIONAL, AND CLINICAL, AND
19	AS WELL AS EDUCATION PROGRAM. OUR MOST PRODUCTIVE
20	PROGRAM FOR THE FIRST PART OF THE LAUNCH HAS BEEN
21	THE EDUCATION PROGRAMS. AND THEN UNDER
22	INFRASTRUCTURE A VARIETY OF PROGRAMS, SOME OF WHICH
23	YOU'LL HEAR TODAY OR ONE OF WHICH YOU'LL HEAR TODAY.
24	
۷ 4	THESE ARE ALL INTENDED TO DELIVER ON THE THREE MAJOR
25	THESE ARE ALL INTENDED TO DELIVER ON THE THREE MAJOR PILLARS OF OUR GOALS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.

1	SO AS AN UPDATE ON THESE PILLARS, IN TERMS
2	OF ADVANCING WORD-CLASS SCIENCE, OUR GRANTS
3	MANAGEMENT TEAM HAS JUST MOST RECENTLY REPORTED THAT
4	WE HAVE 207 ACTIVE AWARDS. IT'S NOTABLE THAT
5	ONE-THIRD OF THE FUNDING FOR THESE ACTIVE AWARDS HAS
6	BEEN THROUGH PROP 14. APPROXIMATELY \$279 MILLION
7	HAS BEEN DEPLOYED THUS FAR SINCE JANUARY OF LAST
8	YEAR. THAT'S INCREDIBLE TO THINK ABOUT WHAT WOULD
9	HAVE HAPPENED IF PROP 14 WASN'T HERE AND THE AMAZING
10	SCIENCE THAT IS CURRENTLY BEING FUNDED.
11	IN ADDITION, AS CHAIRMAN THOMAS HAD
12	REPORTED, WE ARE IN THE MIDST OF NEW SCIENTIFIC
13	PROGRAM CONCEPT PROPOSALS, AND YOU WILL BE HEARING
14	THOSE IN MARCH AND IN MAY. AND I'M SORRY. WAS
15	THERE A QUESTION?
16	AND THEN IN TERMS OF THE CATEGORY OF
17	DELIVERING REAL-WORLD SOLUTIONS, YOU WILL HEAR THE
18	CONCEPT PROPOSAL FOR THE ALPHA CLINICS NETWORK
19	EXPANSION TODAY FROM GEOFF LOMAX. AND THEN THERE IS
20	A CONCEPT UNDER WAY THAT'S BEING DEVELOPED BY OUR
21	BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AND OUR SCIENCE TEAM WORKING
22	TOGETHER FOR A MANUFACTURING NETWORK CONCEPT
23	PROPOSAL. AGAIN, THE RATIONALE AND THE PLANS WERE
24	OUTLINED IN THE STRATEGIC PLAN.
25	OUR EDUCATION PROGRAMS ARE CONTINUING TO
	10

1	BUILD ON A VARIETY OF ONRAMPS FOR A LONG EDUCATIONAL
2	SPECTRUM FOR BUILDING THE WORKFORCE AND LEADERSHIP
3	OF TOMORROW. AND TODAY YOU WILL HEAR A NEW
4	EDUCATION PROGRAM PRESENTED BY DR. KELLY SHEPARD AND
5	DR. CANET-AVILES, THE EDUC5 CONCEPT PROPOSAL.
6	AND WE, IN RELATION TO THE ACCESS AND
7	AFFORDABILITY GOALS, WE ARE UNDER WAY WITH A
8	RECRUITMENT FOR A VICE PRESIDENT OF MEDICAL AFFAIRS
9	AND POLICY. AND THERE'S BEEN SO FAR TREMENDOUS
10	RESPONSE TO THAT POSTING. AND SO WE ARE VERY
11	ENCOURAGED BY THAT. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
12	AND SO THAT'S MY PRESIDENT'S REPORT. I
13	WELCOME ANY QUESTIONS ON THAT BEFORE I INTRODUCE
14	KEVIN MARKS, OUR GENERAL COUNSEL, WHO ALSO LEADS OUR
15	ADMINISTRATIVE PROGRAMS, INCLUDING GRANTS
16	MANAGEMENT, LEGAL COMPLIANCE, AND IP, HUMAN
17	RESOURCES. AND KEVIN DOES A LOT OF THINGS. SO HE'S
18	GOING TO BE REPORTING ON TWO MAJOR ANNOUNCEMENTS FOR
19	US TODAY.
20	BEFORE I TURN IT OVER TO KEVIN, I WELCOME
21	ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD. CHAIRMAN
22	THOMAS.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANYBODY HAVE QUESTIONS
24	OR COMMENTS FOR DR. MILLAN? AS YOU CAN SEE, THERE'S
25	A LOT GOING ON. VERY EXCITING AND LOOK FORWARD TO

1	SEEING ALL OF THIS PLAY OUT. MARIA, PLEASE
2	INTRODUCE KEVIN.
3	DR. MILLAN: KEVIN, I THINK I INTRODUCED
4	YOU. SO HERE YOU GO. KEVIN MARKS.
5	MR. TORRES: HE NEEDS A DRUM ROLL.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: KEVIN, YOU'RE ON MUTE.
7	MR. TORRES: FAMOUS ZOOM PHRASE, YOU'RE ON
8	MUTE.
9	MR. MARKS: HOW ABOUT NOW? ARE WE GOOD?
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: GOOD.
11	MR. MARKS: GREAT. SORRY ABOUT THAT. WE
12	ARE EXPERIENCING SOME TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES IN THE
13	OFFICE. SO AS MUCH AS I WOULD LOVE TO CLAIM THAT I
14	AM MARIA GONZALES BONNEVILLE, I AM NOT. I'M JUST
15	USING HER COMPUTER.
16	SO THE REPORT I'M COMING WITH TODAY HAS
17	TWO PARTS. SO THE FIRST RELATES TO AN ANNOUNCEMENT
18	REGARDING OUR FUTURE OFFICE SPACE AND NEW CIRM
19	HEADQUARTERS. AS THE BOARD IS AWARE, THE BOARD
20	AUTHORIZED CIRM STAFF LAST YEAR TO EXPLORE NEW
21	LEASING OPTIONS AS OUR CURRENT LEASE IN OAKLAND WAS
22	EXPIRING. WE ARE HAPPY TO REPORT THAT WE RECENTLY
23	SIGNED A NEW LEASE TO MOVE THE CIRM HEADQUARTERS
24	FROM OAKLAND TO SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, SPECIFICALLY
25	THE GATEWAY COMPLEX LOCATED AT 601 GATEWAY BOULEVARD

1	IN SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO.
2	AS A REMINDER, IN OUR SEARCH FOR NEW
3	PROPERTIES, WE WANTED TO FOCUS ON A FEW KEY FACTORS.
4	THEY INCLUDED COST, BOTH RENTAL COST AND BUILDOUT
5	COSTS, INCREASED AND MORE FLEXIBLE SQUARE FOOTAGE
6	FOR USE IN THE OFFICE SPACE, ACCESSIBILITY FOR THE
7	BOARD, CONVENIENCE TO AIRPORTS, HOTELS, MEETING
8	SPACE, AND LAST, BUT CERTAINLY NOT LEAST, EMPLOYEE
9	AMENITIES WHICH INCLUDE A CENTRAL LOCATION FOR THE
10	EMPLOYEE POPULATION, VARIOUS TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS,
11	PARKING, AND DINING OPTIONS.
12	SO IN TAKING THOSE FACTORS AND WORKING
13	WITH THE STATE AND CVRE, WHO IS THE STATE'S REAL
14	ESTATE BROKERS, WE NARROWED THE CHOICES DOWN TO FIVE
15	LOCATED IN SAN FRANCISCO, OAKLAND, AND SOUTH SAN
16	FRANCISCO. IN APPLYING THOSE FACTORS THAT I
17	MENTIONED AND IN CONSULTATION WITH CHAIRMAN THOMAS
18	AND VICE CHAIR TORRES, WE DECIDED ON THE GATEWAY
19	BOULEVARD LOCATION.
20	SO OUR LEASE BEGINS ON JUNE 1 AND GOES FOR
21	EIGHT YEARS. WE DO HAVE AN EARLY OUT AT FOUR YEARS,
22	WHICH ALLOWS US FLEXIBILITY DEPENDING ON OUR CURRENT
23	CIRCUMSTANCES. THE NEW OFFICE SPACE EXPANDS OUR
24	FOOTPRINT FROM ABOUT 13,000 SQUARE FEET THAT WE HAVE
25	HERE IN OAKLAND TO JUST SHORT OF 17,000 SQUARE FEET

1	TO ACCOUNT FOR THE GROWTH OF THE AGENCY.
2	AS THE CURRENT LEASE IN OAKLAND EXPIRES AT
3	THE END OF MARCH, WE'LL BE WORKING VIRTUALLY FOR A
4	PERIOD OF TIME MUCH LIKE WE'VE BEEN WORKING NOW FOR
5	THE PAST TWO YEARS UNTIL THE BUILDOUT IS COMPLETE.
6	WE ARE ALSO WORKING THROUGH SOME TEMPORARY MEETING
7	SPACE OPTIONS TO ALLOW FOR IN-PERSON MEETINGS, IF
8	NEEDED, OVER THE NEXT FEW MONTHS.
9	SO WITH THAT, BEFORE I MOVE ON TO THE
10	SECOND TOPIC, I'LL TAKE ANY QUESTIONS.
11	OKAY. GOOD. SEEING NONE, I'LL MOVE ON TO
12	THE SECOND. SO THE SECOND PART OF MY REPORT IS TO
13	TALK ABOUT RECENT FUNDS THAT CIRM HAS RECEIVED FROM
14	STANFORD UNIVERSITY RELATED TO THREE CIRM GRANTS
15	UNDER WHICH STANFORD DEVELOPED SEVERAL CIRM-FUNDED
16	INVENTIONS AND CIRM-FUNDED TECHNOLOGIES.
17	STANFORD, IN TURN, PACKAGED UP THAT
18	COLLECTIVE IP AND ENTERED INTO AN EXCLUSIVE LICENSE
19	WITH 47, INC. THROUGH WHICH STANFORD OUTLICENSED
20	THIS IP. AS PART OF THIS LICENSE, STANFORD RECEIVED
21	EQUITY AND OTHER LICENSING REVENUE IN 47, INC. AS
22	YOU KNOW, IT WAS SUBSEQUENTLY ACQUIRED BY GILEAD.
23	SO PURSUANT TO BOTH THE STATUTORY AND REGULATORY
24	PROVISIONS THAT GOVERN THE THREE GRANTS, ON JANUARY
25	7TH STANFORD MADE A PAYMENT TO THE STATE OF \$15.6

1	MILLION AS ITS REVENUE SHARING PORTION. THAT'S
2	CALCULATED AS OF AUGUST 31, 2021.
3	THIS PAYMENT COMES AS A RESULT OF SEVERAL
4	CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN STANFORD AND CIRM STAFF. AND
5	WE AGREE COLLECTIVELY THAT THIS PAYMENT REPRESENTS
6	THE CORRECT CALCULATION OF THE AMOUNT THAT'S DUE AND
7	OWING BY STANFORD UNDER THIS CURRENT LICENSE. THERE
8	MAY BE SOME OTHER PAYMENTS DUE TO CIRM DEPENDING ON
9	THE COMMERCIAL VIABILITY AND SUCCESS OF THE PROGRAM
10	AND OF THE ASSETS THAT WERE LICENSED.
11	THE 15.6 MILLION HAS BEEN PLACED INTO AN
12	ACCOUNT WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND EARMARKED
13	TO BE USED FOR PATIENT ASSISTANCE FOR THERAPIES THAT
14	WERE AND ARE FUNDED BY CIRM. AND I THINK VICE CHAIR
15	TORRES BRIEFLY MENTIONED THE ABILITY TO USE THOSE
16	FUNDS FOR PATIENT ASSISTANCE ACTIVITIES.
17	SO WITH THAT, THAT'S THE END OF MY REPORT
18	AND, AGAIN, I'LL TAKE ANY QUESTIONS.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY COMMENTS OR
20	QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? THANK YOU,
21	KEVIN, ON BOTH FRONTS. I KNOW THAT YOU AND THE TEAM
22	HAVE WORKED EXTREMELY HARD ON THE NEW OFFICE SPACE
23	FOR MANY, MANY MONTHS. SO IT'S GOOD THAT THAT IS
24	MOVING ALONG, AND WE WILL BE ABLE TO START THERE IN
25	THE NOT TOO DISTANT FUTURE AND HOPEFULLY BE ABLE TO
	2.4

1	HAVE PEOPLE ACTUALLY MEET TOGETHER AND SEE EACH
2	OTHER. COULD BE A NOVEL CONCEPT.
3	ON THE STANFORD AWARD, I JUST WANT TO
4	THANK MEMBERS OF THE CIRM TEAM WHO WORKED ON THIS.
5	MANY DID. PARTICULAR SHOUT-OUT TO DR. MILLAN AND TO
6	BOARD MEMBER JUELSGAARD FOR THEIR HELP, AND KEVIN
7	AND BEN, MEMBERS OF THE LEGAL TEAM, ET CETERA. SO
8	THANK YOU.
9	ARE THERE ANY OTHER COMMENTS ON EITHER OF
10	THESE TOPICS?
11	DR. MALKAS: THAT IS A REALLY NICE CHUNK
12	OF CHANGE FROM STANFORD. SO THAT'S KUDOS TO THE
13	GROUP. AND IF THERE'S ANY WAY TO HIGHLIGHT IT OUT
14	TO THE WORLD, THAT WOULD BE A GOOD THING.
15	MR. TORRES: IT TOOK SOME REAL TOUGH
16	NEGOTIATING. AGAIN, KEVIN AND MARIA, THANK YOU FOR
17	ALL YOUR HARD WORK. AND, KEVIN, THANK YOU, AND
18	TEAM, THANK YOU FOR THE HARD WORK ON THE OFFICE
19	SPACE. HAVING BEEN INVOLVED WITH J.T. AND OTHERS IN
20	THE FIRST OFFICE SPACE TO OAKLAND, I KNOW THE TOUGH
21	JOB YOU HAD. SO THANK YOU AGAIN.
22	MR. MARKS: YOU'RE VERY WELCOME. THANK
23	YOU.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. ARE THERE ANY
25	OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS ON EITHER TOPIC FROM

1	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? SEEING NONE, OKAY. THANK YOU
2	VERY MUCH, KEVIN. THAT CONCLUDES THE PRESIDENT'S
3	REPORT.
4	WE'RE GOING TO PROCEED NOW TO ITEMS ON THE
5	AGENDA. FIRST UP, ITEM 5, CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES
6	FOR THE DECEMBER 14TH BOARD MEETING. DO WE HAVE A
7	MOTION TO APPROVE?
8	MR. TORRES: MOVE TO APPROVE.
9	DR. BLUMENTHAL: SECOND.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. MARIA, I GUESS WE
11	NEED TO CALL THE ROLL ON THIS, MINISTERIAL THOUGH IT
12	IS.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: LET ME JUST GET BACK. WE
14	HAD TO SWITCH AROUND AGAIN. LET ME GET BACK TO THE
15	MOTION. OKAY.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFA ABDULHAQ.
17	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
19	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
21	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL BOTCHAN. LINDA
23	BOXER.
24	DR. BOXER: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
	26
	20

_	,
DR	CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
MS	. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
DR	. DULIEGE: YES.
MS	. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
MS	DURON: YES.
MS	. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
DR	. FISCHER-COLBRIE: AYE.
MS	5. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
DR	. FISHER: AYE.
MS	. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS. JUDY
GASSON.	
DR	A. GASSON: YES.
MS	6. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
DR	. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
MS	BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
DR	. HIGGINS: YES.
MS	5. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
MR	. JUELSGAARD: YES.
MS	5. BONNEVILLE: JAMES KOVACH.
DR	. KOVACH: YES.
MS	5. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
MR	. LAJARA: YES.
MS	BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
DR	. LEVITT: YES.
MS	BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
	27
	MS DR

1	DR. LO: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
3	DR. MALKAS: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
5	DR. MARTIN: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
7	DR. MELMED: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
9	LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN. ADRIANA PADILLA.
10	DR. PADILLA: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
12	MR. PANETTA: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. MICHAEL
14	STAMOS.
15	DR. STAMOS: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
19	MR. TORRES: AYE.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
21	DR. VUORI: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: KAROL WATSON. KEITH
23	YAMAMOTO.
24	DR. YAMAMOTO: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. THE MOTION
	28

1	CARRIES.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA.
3	NEXT UP, CONSIDERATION OF NEW APPOINTMENTS
4	AND REAPPOINTMENTS TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP
5	MEMBERS. DR. SAMBRANO.
6	DR. SAMBRANO: HELLO AGAIN. SO WE ARE
7	BRINGING FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION SIX NOMINATIONS FOR
8	GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERSHIP TO CONTINUE TO
9	EXPAND OUR POOL OF EXPERTS. AND AS TYPICALLY THE
10	CASE, ALL NOMINEES HAVE SERVED AS NONVOTING
11	SPECIALIST REVIEWERS IN A COUPLE OF INSTANCES, AND
12	THAT HELPS GIVE US AN OPPORTUNITY TO ASSESS THEIR
13	EXPERIENCE AND THEIR CAPABILITY.
14	AS REVIEWERS, THE LIST OF NOMINEES HAS
15	BEEN REVIEWED BY THE CIRM LEADERSHIP, AND WE
15 16	BEEN REVIEWED BY THE CIRM LEADERSHIP, AND WE RECOMMEND THEIR APPOINTMENT. THESE INDIVIDUALS'
16	RECOMMEND THEIR APPOINTMENT. THESE INDIVIDUALS'
16 17	RECOMMEND THEIR APPOINTMENT. THESE INDIVIDUALS' BIOS ARE PROVIDED IN THE MATERIALS OF THE BOARD.
16 17 18	RECOMMEND THEIR APPOINTMENT. THESE INDIVIDUALS' BIOS ARE PROVIDED IN THE MATERIALS OF THE BOARD. AND THEY INCLUDE DRS. DEBORAH K. ARMSTRONG,
16 17 18 19	RECOMMEND THEIR APPOINTMENT. THESE INDIVIDUALS' BIOS ARE PROVIDED IN THE MATERIALS OF THE BOARD. AND THEY INCLUDE DRS. DEBORAH K. ARMSTRONG, ELIZABETH CONNICK, JULIAN HISCOX, MARK HUNG, MARTHA
16 17 18 19 20	RECOMMEND THEIR APPOINTMENT. THESE INDIVIDUALS' BIOS ARE PROVIDED IN THE MATERIALS OF THE BOARD. AND THEY INCLUDE DRS. DEBORAH K. ARMSTRONG, ELIZABETH CONNICK, JULIAN HISCOX, MARK HUNG, MARTHA ROOK, AND DANIEL SARIS.
16 17 18 19 20 21	RECOMMEND THEIR APPOINTMENT. THESE INDIVIDUALS' BIOS ARE PROVIDED IN THE MATERIALS OF THE BOARD. AND THEY INCLUDE DRS. DEBORAH K. ARMSTRONG, ELIZABETH CONNICK, JULIAN HISCOX, MARK HUNG, MARTHA ROOK, AND DANIEL SARIS. AND IN ADDITION TO THESE SIX NEW
16 17 18 19 20 21	RECOMMEND THEIR APPOINTMENT. THESE INDIVIDUALS' BIOS ARE PROVIDED IN THE MATERIALS OF THE BOARD. AND THEY INCLUDE DRS. DEBORAH K. ARMSTRONG, ELIZABETH CONNICK, JULIAN HISCOX, MARK HUNG, MARTHA ROOK, AND DANIEL SARIS. AND IN ADDITION TO THESE SIX NEW APPOINTMENTS, WE ALSO HAVE 12 EXISTING GRANTS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	RECOMMEND THEIR APPOINTMENT. THESE INDIVIDUALS' BIOS ARE PROVIDED IN THE MATERIALS OF THE BOARD. AND THEY INCLUDE DRS. DEBORAH K. ARMSTRONG, ELIZABETH CONNICK, JULIAN HISCOX, MARK HUNG, MARTHA ROOK, AND DANIEL SARIS. AND IN ADDITION TO THESE SIX NEW APPOINTMENTS, WE ALSO HAVE 12 EXISTING GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERS WHOSE TERMS ARE EXPIRING, BUT

1	SUMMARY SHEET THAT WE PROVIDED TO YOU. SO WE ARE
2	SEEKING APPROVAL OF THOSE SIX MEMBERS AND THE 12
3	REAPPOINTMENTS. SO THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, GIL. DO WE
5	HAVE A MOTION TO THAT EFFECT?
6	DR. DULIEGE: I CAN MAKE THE MOTION.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: A SECOND?
8	MR. BERNAL: SECOND.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, DAN. ANY
10	QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ON THIS ITEM?
11	DR. DULIEGE: A BRIEF COMMENT TO SAY THAT
12	I'M ALWAYS VERY IMPRESSED BY THE WAY THESE MEMBERS
13	OR FUTURE MEMBERS ARE SELECTED. THEY'RE ALWAYS VERY
14	HIGH CALIBER. I CAN'T RECALL ONE INSTANCE WHERE
15	SOMEONE FROM THE BOARD DISAGREED WITH THE PROPOSAL
16	FROM THE CIRM. AND I WANT TO SAY THAT THAT'S A
17	GREAT TESTIMONY TO THE QUALITY OF THE WORK THAT CIRM
18	IS DOING IN ENSURING THAT THESE GRANTS ARE REVIEWED
19	BY THE BEST POSSIBLE PEOPLE AS A GROUP.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, ANNE-MARIE.
21	ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS?
22	MS. DURON: J.T.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YSABEL.
24	MS. DURON: I AGREE WITH ANNE-MARIE. I
25	JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT IN OUR OUTREACH AND

INCLUSION THAT WE DO OUR BEST TO MAKE SURE THAT WE
ARE REPRESENTING AS BEST WE CAN AND SEARCHING AS
BEST WE CAN SOME OF OUR REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE
RACIAL AND ETHNIC COMMUNITIES AS WELL. REALLY,
REALLY WANT TO HEAR DIVERSE VOICES AND MAKE SURE
THAT THEY'RE ALSO REPRESENTED AT THE HIGHEST LEVELS
OF OUR REVIEW. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I
APPRECIATE BRINGING STELLAR PEOPLE ABOARD. I'D LIKE
TO SEE MORE FROM OUR COMMUNITIES REPRESENTED. THANK
YOU.
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, YSABEL.
ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? ANY
COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC? HEARING NONE,
MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFA ABDULHAQ.
DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL BOTCHAN. LINDA
BOXER.
DR. BOXER: YES.
MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
DR. DULIEGE: YES.
31

_		,
1	MS.	BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
2	MS.	DURON: YES.
3	MS.	BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
4	DR.	FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
5	MS.	BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
6	DR .	FISHER: YES.
7	MS.	BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS. JUDY
8	GASSON.	
9	DR .	GASSON: YES.
10	MS.	BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
11	DR.	GOLDSTEIN: YES.
12	MS.	BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
13	DR.	HIGGINS: YES.
14	MS.	BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
15	MR.	JUELSGAARD: YES.
16	MS.	BONNEVILLE: JAMES KOVACH. RICH
17	LAJARA.	
18	MR.	LAJARA: YES.
19	MS.	BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
20	DR.	LEVITT: YES.
21	MS.	BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
22	DR.	LO: YES.
23	MS.	BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
24	DR.	MALKAS: YES.
25	MS.	BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
		32

	DETH G. DIANN, CA CSK NO. 7 132
1	DR. MARTIN: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
3	DR. MELMED: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
5	LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN. ADRIANA PADILLA.
6	DR. PADILLA: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
8	MR. PANETTA: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. MICHAEL
10	STAMOS.
11	DR. STAMOS: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
15	MR. TORRES: AYE.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
17	DR. VUORI: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: KAROL WATSON. KEITH
19	YAMAMOTO.
20	DR. YAMAMOTO: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. THE MOTION
22	CARRIES.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANKS, MARIA. EVERY
24	ONCE IN A WHILE IT'S ALWAYS GOOD TO MAKE A COMMENT.
25	WE KIND OF TAKE THE GWG FOR GRANTED BECAUSE THEY'RE
	33

1	SUCH A FUNDAMENTAL PART OF WHAT CIRM DOES. BUT
2	HAVING SAT ON EVERY GWG SINCE I STARTED, FOR THOSE
3	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD WHO HAVE NOT BEEN INVOLVED IN
4	THE REVIEWS THEMSELVES, THE CALIBER OF THE
5	PARTICIPANTS AND THE QUALITY OF THE WORK, THE
6	EXCEPTIONAL DILIGENCE AND ANALYSIS THAT THEY BRING
7	TO THE TABLE IN EVERY SINGLE GWG MEETING IS REALLY
8	IMPRESSIVE. AND IT IS SORT OF THE MEAT AND POTATOES
9	THAT DRIVES THE WHOLE CIRM OPERATION. SO JUST TO
10	MAKE SURE THE BOARD UNDERSTANDS THAT THE MEMBERS OF
11	THE GWG TAKE THINGS EXTRAORDINARILY SERIOUSLY AND
12	OFFER RIGOROUS COMMENTARY THAT REALLY INFORMS THE
13	BEST RESULTS. THAT'S MY FIRST POINT.
14	THE SECOND POINT IS IT'S ALWAYS
15	INTERESTING TO HEAR FROM THE MEMBERS OF THE GWG HOW
16	MUCH THEY ENJOY BEING A PART OF THE CIRM PROCESS.
17	VIRTUALLY ALL OF THEM ARE NIH REVIEWERS, REVIEWERS
18	FOR OTHER INSTITUTIONS, AND THEY, WITHOUT FAIL,
19	COMMENT HOW, LITERALLY, THE CIRM REVIEWS ARE THE
20	FAVORITE REVIEWS THAT THEY'RE A PART OF, WHICH IS
21	ALWAYS GOOD TO HEAR AND FURTHER HELPS THEM WANT TO
22	PUT IN ALL THE TIME AND EFFORT THAT THEY DO TO MAKE
23	THE OPERATION WORK. SO I THOUGHT MEMBERS OF THE
24	BOARD WOULD APPRECIATE HEARING THAT.
25	FINALLY, JUST A SHOUT-OUT TO GIL AND ALL

1	THE MEMBERS OF THE REVIEW TEAM. THEY HAVE MANY
2	REVIEWS TO DEAL WITH. THIS YEAR IN PARTICULAR IT'S
3	GOING TO BE, I BELIEVE, AN ALL-TIME RECORD NUMBER OF
4	REVIEWS. AND THEY ALWAYS EXECUTE WITH THE UTMOST
5	PROFESSIONALISM AND MAKE THE PROCESS GO LIKE
6	CLOCKWORK. SO TO GIL AND THE TEAM, THANK YOU FOR
7	ALL YOU DO.
8	OKAY. ON TO
9	MS. DURON: FIRST OF ALL, J.T., I REALLY
10	WANT TO ECHO YOUR SENTIMENTS. WHEN I TAKE A LOOK AT
11	EVEN THE TITLES FOR THE REVIEWS THEY HAVE TO DO, I'M
12	GOING, OH, MY GOD. WHAT THE HECK ARE THEY TALKING
13	ABOUT? THE FACT THAT THEY ARE REVIEWING SO MANY
14	VERY COMPLEX SCIENTIFIC PROJECTS, KUDOS TO THEM.
15	I THINK THAT MY REMARKS ABOUT DIVERSITY
16	AND INCLUSION AMONGST THESE REVIEWERS ALSO GOES TO
17	MY FEAR THAT IF WE CAN'T FIND EXPERTS FROM RACIAL
18	AND ETHNIC COMMUNITIES, IT'S BECAUSE, ONE, WE
19	HAVEN'T TRIED HARD ENOUGH, OR, TWO, THEY'RE NOT
20	THERE. AND THAT MEANS THE SYSTEM IN AND OF ITSELF
21	IS NOT CREATING, ALLOWING, OR IMPACTING THE GROWTH
22	OF EXPERTS FROM OUR COMMUNITIES. THEY'RE NOT COMING
23	INTO THE SCIENCE SCHOOLS, THEY'RE NOT GRADUATING,
24	THEY'RE NOT GETTING A PATHWAY TO BECOME THESE
25	EXPERTS.

1	SO THAT'S SORT OF A REFLECTION MORE OF MY
2	CONCERN. AND I KEEP THINKING WHAT CAN I DO TO HELP
3	CHANGE THAT MODEL OR THAT BARRIER. AND I WELCOME
4	ANYBODY ELSE'S IDEAS ABOUT THAT BECAUSE I THINK THAT
5	IF CIRM COULD BE VERY INTENTIONAL AND REFLECTIVE
6	OUTWARD TOWARDS THE REST OF THE ACADEMIC COMMUNITIES
7	AND OTHER AREAS WHERE THERE'S THIS EXPERTISE AS
8	REQUIRED, THAT THEY TOO BE VERY INTENTIONAL IN
9	TRYING TO HELP DEVELOP THOSE PIPELINES. BECAUSE I
10	WOULD LOVE TO SEE A LOT MORE YOUNG LATINO
11	SCIENTISTS, AND I'D LOVE TO SEE THEM GROW EXPERTISE,
12	AND I'D LOVE TO SEE THEM HAVE THESE KINDS OF
13	OPPORTUNITIES SO SIT THERE AT THE TOP OF THE FOOD
14	CHAIN WITH AN EXPERTISE AND BRINGING A LIVED
15	EXPERIENCE THAT ADDS TO THE DEPTH AND THE BREADTH OF
16	THE KIND OF RESEARCH THAT IS BEING DONE.
17	AND THAT'S MY CONCERN WHEN I MAKE THESE
18	REMARKS. AND THAT'S OBVIOUSLY, MARIA, WHY I LOVE
19	THE IDEA OF THE SPARK AND THE BRIDGES AND ALL OF
20	THOSE PROGRAMS WHICH WE HOPE ARE CREATING THOSE
21	OPPORTUNITIES. SO I DO NOT WANT TO BELITTLE ANY OF
22	THE FOLK WHO ARE DOING THIS VERY DIFFICULT REVIEW.
23	I JUST WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOME NEW FOLK ABOARD OR
24	DIFFERENT FOLK ABOARD. THAT'S ALL. THANK YOU VERY
25	MUCH.

1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, YSABEL.
2	DAVID.
3	DR. MARTIN: J.T., COULD YOU JUST GIVE US
4	A BRIEF ASSESSMENT OF THE REASONS IN YOUR MIND OR
5	WHAT YOU HEAR FOR THE REVIEWS BEING SO FAVORABLE AND
6	ENJOYED OR APPRECIATED BY THE GWG?
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WELL, NOT BEING A
8	REVIEWER MYSELF OBVIOUSLY FOR CIRM OR FOR OTHER
9	ORGANIZATIONS, I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHAT GOES ON
10	WHEN THEY ARE REVIEWING FOR OTHERS. BUT ALL I CAN
11	SAY IS THEY MAKE THE SUMMARY COMMENT, THAT THE
12	PROCESSES THAT WE HAVE IN PLACE ARE SUCH THAT THEY
13	VERY MUCH ENJOY PARTICIPATING. WE GOT OVERWHELMING
14	RESPONSE FROM OUR REVIEWERS WHEN PROP 14 PASSED AND
15	IT WAS CLEAR THAT CIRM WAS GOING TO CONTINUE.
16	I REMEMBER AT THE LAST GWG MEETING BEFORE
17	THE ELECTION IN 2020, THERE WAS THIS SORT OF SENSE
18	OF CONCERN AND WORRY THAT, WERE PROP 14 NOT TO PASS,
19	CIRM WOULD NO LONGER EXIST IN ITS CURRENT FORM. AND
20	THEY WERE THERE WERE LOTS OF SORT OF TESTIMONIALS
21	AND COMMENTS AT THAT GWG ABOUT WHAT A PLEASURE IT'S
22	BEEN TO SERVE AND HOW MUCH THEY APPRECIATED THE
23	OPPORTUNITY AND WHAT GREAT WORK IS COMING OUT OF IT.
24	SO I CAN'T GIVE YOU SPECIFICS. I CAN JUST
25	SORT OF TELL YOU WHAT THEY SAID ABOUT WHAT WE DO.

1	AND THEY THINK THAT OUR PRACTICES ARE BEST IN CLASS,
2	AND IT'S JUST A PLEASURE FOR THEM TO PARTICIPATE.
3	THAT'S ABOUT THE BEST I CAN SAY ON THAT. BUT THANK
4	YOU FOR ASKING.
5	ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS? FRED,
6	YES.
7	DR. FISHER: I THINK AS A PATIENT
8	ADVOCATE ON THE GWG, IT'S BEEN EXTRAORDINARY FOR ME
9	TO SEE THE ACUMEN AND THE COMMITMENT AND THE
10	SCIENTIFIC RIGOR THAT THOSE REVIEWERS BRING TO THE
11	PROCESS. AND I THINK, LIKE ALL OF US THAT WANT TO
12	MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN THE WORLD AND WANT TO HAVE AN
13	IMPACT ON PEOPLE, WHEN YOU HAVE THE COMBINATION OF
14	THE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE BEST SCIENCE AND
15	ACTUALLY HAVE THE FUNDING TO MOVE IT FORWARD, THAT'S
16	AN EXTRAORDINARILY REWARDING OPPORTUNITY BECAUSE YOU
17	GET TO APPLY YOUR EXPERTISE IN A WAY THAT REALLY HAS
18	A CHANCE TO MOVE THE NEEDLE ON THE THING YOU
19	DEDICATED YOUR LIFE TO.
20	SO I HAVEN'T HEARD THAT FROM ANY OF THEM;
21	BUT HAVING WATCHED THE COMMITMENT THAT THEY BRING TO
22	THE PROCESS, I HAVE TO THINK THAT'S PART OF AN
23	ELEMENT. AND TO BE ABLE TO DO IT IN SUCH A
24	COLLEGIAL WAY WHERE THEY'RE NOT IN THEIR OWN LAB,
25	THEY'RE NOT IN THEIR OWN INSTITUTION, THEY'RE

1	ACTUALLY INTERACTING WITH PEOPLE LITERALLY FROM
2	ACROSS THE GLOBIN. SO IT IS AN EXTRAORDINARY
3	EXPERIENCE TO WITNESS MYSELF, AND I'M GUESSING IT
4	HAS THAT'S PART OF WHY IT HAS SUCH VALUE TO THE
5	PEOPLE WHO DO IT. AND I'M GRATEFUL TO THEM FOR THE
6	INCREDIBLE AMOUNT OF TIME THEY DEDICATE TO IT.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, FRED. ART,
8	BEFORE I TURN TO YOU, JUST A COMMENT FOLLOWING
9	FRED'S COMMENTS. THAT A KEY PART OF THE GWG HAS
10	ALWAYS BEEN AND CONTINUES TO BE THE SIGNIFICANT
11	INVOLVEMENT BY OUR PATIENT ADVOCATES WHO ARE MEMBERS
12	OF THE GWG WHO BRING A MOST IMPORTANT PERSPECTIVE TO
13	THE TABLE FOR THOSE DISCUSSIONS AND WHO UNIFORMLY
14	ARE HIGHLY RESPECTED BY OUR SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS OF
15	THE GROUP. SO TO ALL OF OUR PATIENT ADVOCATES WHO
16	ARE PARTICIPANTS IN THAT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR
17	YOUR GREAT DEDICATION ON THAT ON TOP OF EVERYTHING
18	ELSE THAT YOU HAVE TO DO HERE WITH CIRM. ART.
19	MR. TORRES: YES. I SERVED ON EVERY GROUP
20	AS A CANCER PATIENT ADVOCATE SINCE I CAME ON BOARD
21	IN 2009. SO OVER THE YEARS, I KNOW SOME OF US HAVE
22	NOT HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE IN-PERSON MEETINGS,
23	BUT IT IS A VERY INTERNATIONAL GROUP OF PEOPLE THAT
24	ARE VERY DEDICATED TO THEIR PATIENTS, VERY DEDICATED
25	TO THE SCIENCES, AND ALWAYS COMPLIMENTING US ON HOW

1	FAR MORE ADVANCED WE ARE THAN THE NIH GRANT
2	PARTICIPATION, HOW THE STAFF HAS BEEN SO PRODUCTIVE
3	AND SO SUPPORTIVE.
4	MOST OF THE DIVERSITY THAT YSABEL TALKED
5	ABOUT HAS REALLY COME FROM OUR PATIENT ADVOCATES WHO
6	HAVE SERVED ON THE BOARD, SPECIFICALLY DR. PRIETO
7	AND ALSO AL ROWLETT AND OTHERS, THAT HAVE BEEN
8	PARTICIPATORY, DAN BERNAL NOW AS WELL. BUT, AGAIN,
9	WE ARE SUFFERING FROM AFRICAN-AMERICAN ADMISSIONS
10	INTO OUR UNIVERSITY, FROM LATINOS IN THE SCIENCES.
11	AND THERE'S ONE GUY ON THIS BOARD WHO HAS DONE MORE
12	THAN ANYBODY, I THINK, TO HELP RECRUIT ESPECIALLY
13	LATINOS, AND THAT'S FORMER CHANCELLOR GEORGE
14	BLUMENTHAL FROM SANTA CRUZ, WHO I KNOW IS VERY
15	DEDICATED TO SCIENCE. AND I KNOW THAT CAMPUS UNDER
16	HIS LEADERSHIP REALLY PROVIDED THE OUTREACH.
17	SO IT'S GOING TO TAKE TIME AS WE INCREASE
18	THOSE NUMBERS BECAUSE WE ARE LACKING IN TERMS OF
19	PROFESSORS, WE'RE LACKING IN TERMS OF SCIENTISTS,
20	WE'RE LACKING IN TERMS OF OTHER AREAS WHERE WE COULD
21	BRING CERTAIN PEOPLE TO THESE GROUP REVIEWS. SO I'M
22	LOOKING FORWARD TO THAT TIME WHEN WE IMPROVE THOSE
23	NUMBERS. THANK YOU.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, ART. DAVID,
25	YOU HAVE ANOTHER COMMENT.

1	DR. MARTIN: JUST VERY BRIEFLY. I THINK
2	THAT THIS IS IMPORTANT ENOUGH FOR OTHER FUNDING
3	AGENCIES GLOBALLY, THAT SOMEONE, A SMALL GROUP,
4	MAYBE CIRM AND SOME PARTICIPANTS, SHOULD WRITE A
5	SHORT SUMMARY OF WHY IT SEEMS TO BE SO APPRECIATED
6	AND SUCCESSFUL AND SHARE IT, PUBLISH IT.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: VERY INTERESTING IDEA.
8	THANK YOU, DAVID. I WILL SAY THAT YOU MAY RECALL
9	SEVERAL YEARS AGO THAT RANDY AND MARIA AND MEMBERS
10	OF THE CIRM TEAM WENT BACK TO NIH AT NIH'S
11	INVITATION TO MEET WITH LEADERS OF VARIOUS
12	INSTITUTES AT THE NIH TO DESCRIBE THESE
13	BEST-IN-CLASS PROCESSES THAT WE HAVE IN PLACE, WHICH
14	WAS A GREAT OPPORTUNITY FOR CIRM TO INFORM THEM, BUT
15	ALSO A REAL VINDICATION FROM THE HIGHEST POSSIBLE
16	FUNDING LEVEL ORGANIZATION THAT WHAT WE ARE DOING IS
17	REALLY VIEWED AS THE EXPERT PROCESS THAT WE ALL KNOW
18	IT TO BE. BUT THAT'S A VERY GOOD IDEA, DAVID. SO
19	THANK YOU.
20	ANY OTHER COMMENTS ON THAT TOPIC? OKAY.
21	WE ARE NOW GOING TO MOVE INTO THE PART OF THE
22	MEETING THAT IS THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE.
23	AND THE FIRST ITEM FOR CONSIDERATION IS ITEM NO. 7,
24	CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE
25	TO DISC2, PARTNERING OPPORTUNITY FOR DISCOVERY STAGE

1	RESEARCH PROJECTS. HAVE A PRESENTATION BY DR.
2	SAMBRANO.
3	DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
4	I'M GOING TO SHARE MY SCREEN.
5	ALL RIGHT. SO WE ARE BRINGING TO YOU THE
6	RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP
7	RELATED TO THE DISCOVERY 2 FUNDING OPPORTUNITY. SO
8	I WANT TO PROVIDE YOU A LITTLE BACKGROUND ON WHAT
9	DISC2 IS, ALSO KNOWN AS QUEST. BUT THIS FUNDING
10	OPPORTUNITY FALLS INTO OUR EARLY SET OF CORE
11	PROGRAMS INTENDED TO TAKE IDEAS AND HELP THEM
12	DEVELOP THEIR PROJECT INTO A SINGLE PRODUCT
13	CANDIDATE. AND SO WE OFFER THIS OPPORTUNITY TWICE
14	PER YEAR, SO EVERY SIX MONTHS. AND THE GOAL IS TO
15	CREATE THE BEGINNINGS OF A PIPELINE THAT ULTIMATELY
16	WILL LEAD TO A CLINICAL TRIAL.
17	SO, THEREFORE, THE OBJECTIVE OF THIS
18	PROGRAM, AS WRITTEN HERE, IS TO PROMOTE THE
19	DISCOVERY OF PROMISING NEW STEM CELL-BASED AND NOW
20	GENE THERAPY TECHNOLOGIES UNDER PROP 14 THAT CAN BE
21	TRANSLATED TO ENABLE BROAD USE AND ULTIMATELY
22	IMPROVE PATIENT CARE. AND SO WE LOOK FOR PROPOSALS
23	WHERE THE TECHNOLOGY IS EITHER UNIQUELY ENABLED BY
24	HUMAN STEM/PROGENITOR CELLS, DIRECT REPROGRAMMED
25	CELLS, OR THAT ARE UNIQUELY ENABLING FOR THE

1	ADVANCEMENT OF THE STEM CELL-BASED THERAPY OR THAT
2	IS AIMED AT DEVELOPING A GENE THERAPY APPROACH.
3	IN ASSESSING PROJECTS, WE CONSIDER
4	DIFFERENT TYPES OF PRODUCT CANDIDATES. SO YOU HAVE
5	MOSTLY THERAPEUTICS. SO THINGS SUCH AS CELL THERAPY
6	OR A SMALL MOLECULE OR SOMETHING THAT ACTS ON A STEM
7	CELL IN SOME WAY, WHICH IS THE MOST COMMON TYPE, BUT
8	WE ALSO CONSIDER DIAGNOSTICS, DEVICES, AND TOOLS,
9	RESEARCH TOOLS IN PARTICULAR, THAT MAY HELP WITH
10	STEM CELL OR GENE THERAPY APPROACHES.
11	AND FROM THESE PROJECTS WHAT WE ARE
12	LOOKING FOR IS FOR THEM, OVER THE COURSE OF 24
13	MONTHS, TO DEVELOP AND IDENTIFY A SINGLE PRODUCT
14	CANDIDATE THAT THEY CAN THEN TAKE TO TRANSLATIONAL
15	STUDIES. PART OF THAT PROCESS IS MAKING SURE THAT
16	THEY DEVELOP A TARGET PRODUCT PROFILE THAT WILL
17	GUIDE THE DEVELOPMENT OF THAT PRODUCT INTO FUTURE
18	DEVELOPMENT STAGES. AND FOR A THERAPEUTIC, WE WANT
19	TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY PROPOSE STUDIES THAT ARE
20	GOING TO ALLOW THEM TO SHOW DISEASE MODIFYING
21	ACTIVITY FOR THAT PRODUCT CANDIDATE. AND FOR A
22	DIAGNOSTIC, DEVICE, OR TOOL, THAT THEY SHOW
23	SCIENTIFIC PROOF OF CONCEPT FOR THOSE PRODUCTS.
24	AND JUST LOOKING HERE AT THE OVERALL
25	TIMELINE AS TO HOW THAT QUEST OR DISCOVERY 2

1	OPPORTUNITY FITS IN, SO THESE INITIAL 24 MONTHS
2	SHOULD GET PROJECTS TO IDENTIFY THAT SINGLE
3	CANDIDATE. AND THEN THERE ARE A COUPLE OF OTHER
4	OPPORTUNITIES THAT FOLLOW THAT ARE AVAILABLE THROUGH
5	CIRM. SO IN THE CASE OF A THERAPEUTIC, THERE'S A
6	TRAN1, WHICH ALLOWS THEM THEN TO CONDUCT THOSE
7	TRANSLATIONAL STUDIES, OFTEN FOR ABOUT 30 MONTHS,
8	AND THEN CULMINATE IN A PRE-IND MEETING AFTER THAT
9	TO ENGAGE IN IND-ENABLING WORK USUALLY FOR A COUPLE
10	OF MORE YEARS BEFORE THEY DO THEIR IND FILING AND GO
11	INTO THE CLINIC. SO, AGAIN, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT
12	DISC2, THE VERY EARLIEST STAGE OVER A 24-MONTH
13	PERIOD.
14	SO HERE IS A SLIDE SHOWING WHAT THE REVIEW
14 15	SO HERE IS A SLIDE SHOWING WHAT THE REVIEW CRITERIA FOR THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WAS IN
15	CRITERIA FOR THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WAS IN
15 16	CRITERIA FOR THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WAS IN ASSESSING THESE PROJECTS AND SCORING THEM. THERE
15 16 17	CRITERIA FOR THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WAS IN ASSESSING THESE PROJECTS AND SCORING THEM. THERE WERE FIVE KEY QUESTIONS THAT WENT INTO DETERMINING
15 16 17 18	CRITERIA FOR THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WAS IN ASSESSING THESE PROJECTS AND SCORING THEM. THERE WERE FIVE KEY QUESTIONS THAT WENT INTO DETERMINING THEIR SCORE. THE FIRST IS DOES THE PROJECT HOLD THE
15 16 17 18 19	CRITERIA FOR THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WAS IN ASSESSING THESE PROJECTS AND SCORING THEM. THERE WERE FIVE KEY QUESTIONS THAT WENT INTO DETERMINING THEIR SCORE. THE FIRST IS DOES THE PROJECT HOLD THE NECESSARY SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT?
15 16 17 18 19	CRITERIA FOR THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WAS IN ASSESSING THESE PROJECTS AND SCORING THEM. THERE WERE FIVE KEY QUESTIONS THAT WENT INTO DETERMINING THEIR SCORE. THE FIRST IS DOES THE PROJECT HOLD THE NECESSARY SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT? MEANING WHAT VALUE DOES IT OFFER, AND IS IT
15 16 17 18 19 20	CRITERIA FOR THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WAS IN ASSESSING THESE PROJECTS AND SCORING THEM. THERE WERE FIVE KEY QUESTIONS THAT WENT INTO DETERMINING THEIR SCORE. THE FIRST IS DOES THE PROJECT HOLD THE NECESSARY SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT? MEANING WHAT VALUE DOES IT OFFER, AND IS IT SOMETHING THAT IS ULTIMATELY WORTH DOING? IS THE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CRITERIA FOR THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WAS IN ASSESSING THESE PROJECTS AND SCORING THEM. THERE WERE FIVE KEY QUESTIONS THAT WENT INTO DETERMINING THEIR SCORE. THE FIRST IS DOES THE PROJECT HOLD THE NECESSARY SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT? MEANING WHAT VALUE DOES IT OFFER, AND IS IT SOMETHING THAT IS ULTIMATELY WORTH DOING? IS THE RATIONALE SOUND? IS THE PROJECT WELL PLANNED AND
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CRITERIA FOR THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WAS IN ASSESSING THESE PROJECTS AND SCORING THEM. THERE WERE FIVE KEY QUESTIONS THAT WENT INTO DETERMINING THEIR SCORE. THE FIRST IS DOES THE PROJECT HOLD THE NECESSARY SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT? MEANING WHAT VALUE DOES IT OFFER, AND IS IT SOMETHING THAT IS ULTIMATELY WORTH DOING? IS THE RATIONALE SOUND? IS THE PROJECT WELL PLANNED AND DESIGNED? IS IT FEASIBLE; THAT IS, DO THEY HAVE THE

1	NEEDS OF UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES?
2	IN DOING THE REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF
3	APPLICATIONS FOR THE DISCOVERY 2, WE USE WHAT WE
4	CALL THE POSITIVE SELECTION OR TWO-STAGE REVIEW
5	PROCESS. AND WE DO THIS WHEN WE HAVE OPPORTUNITIES
6	WHERE WE GET MANY APPLICATIONS AND THE TOTAL NUMBER
7	OF APPLICATIONS WILL EXCEED THE CAPACITY OF THE
8	GRANTS WORKING GROUP TO REVIEW IN A SINGLE SESSION.
9	AND SO IN THE FIRST STAGE OF THIS PROCESS,
10	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP PANEL, INCLUDING THE
11	PATIENT ADVOCATES AND NURSE BOARD MEMBERS, DO A
12	PREREVIEW OF THE APPLICATIONS AND SELECT WHICH ONES
13	SHOULD ADVANCE TO THE FULL REVIEW, LOOKING REALLY AT
14	THE BIG PICTURE OF THE APPLICATIONS, THOSE THAT MAY
15	DEMONSTRATE THE GREATEST POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT AND
16	SIGNIFICANCE IN THEIR PROPOSALS.
17	SO THE CIRM PRESIDENT AND CIRM TEAM ALSO
18	EXAMINE THE NONSELECTED APPLICATIONS TO DETERMINE IF
19	ANY OF THOSE THAT WEREN'T SELECTED BY THE GWG WOULD
20	MERIT A FULL REVIEW. ONCE WE DO THAT, THEN THE
21	REMAINDER ARE THEN DEEMED NOT TO ADVANCE. SO THE
22	REVIEW FOR THOSE ENDS.
23	SO IN THIS CASE WE HAD A TOTAL OF 79
24	ELIGIBLE APPLICATIONS THAT CAME IN AND WERE ASSESSED
25	IN THIS WAY. FIFTY WERE SELECTED THAT THEN ADVANCED

1	TO FULL DISCUSSION STAGE BY THE GWG.
2	THE SCORING SYSTEM THAT IS UTILIZED BY THE
3	GWG TO ASSESS THESE IS ON A SCALE OF ONE TO A
4	HUNDRED. SO THOSE THAT RECEIVE A SCORE BETWEEN 85
5	AND 100 ARE RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING; WHEREAS, THOSE
6	THAT RECEIVE A SCORE BETWEEN 1 AND 84 ARE NOT
7	RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING. SO BASICALLY THIS IS A
8	THUMBS UP OR THUMBS DOWN USING THE SCORE. AND
9	BECAUSE WE USE THE MEDIAN IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THE
10	SCORE, THAT MEANS THAT THE OUTCOME IS DRIVEN BY THE
11	MAJORITY SCORE OF THE SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS.
12	NOW, IN THIS PARTICULAR REVIEW WE
13	INSTITUTED A NEW POLICY FOR THOSE APPLICATIONS THAT
14	RECEIVE A SCORE OF 80 TO 84. AND WE MADE SURE THAT
15	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERS KNEW THIS AS THEY
16	WERE SCORING. IF THEY SCORE THE APPLICATION BETWEEN
17	80 AND 84, THAT THEY DEEMED THAT THE APPLICATION HAD
18	SUFFICIENT MERIT TO BYPASS THE POSITIVE SELECTION
19	PROCESS, WHICH I DESCRIBED IN THE PREVIOUS SLIDE,
20	AND ADVANCE TO FULL SCIENTIFIC REVIEW IF SUBMITTED
21	IN THE NEXT CYCLE. THE IDEA BEHIND THIS WAS TO
22	CAPTURE PROJECTS THAT AREN'T QUITE READY TO BE
23	FUNDED, BUT THAT CERTAINLY SHOW PROMISE AND THAT
24	THEY WOULD LIKE MAYBE JUST SOME CLARIFICATION, SOME
25	ADDITIONAL DATA, AN IMPROVED DESIGN THAT THEY WOULD

1	LIKE TO SEE AGAIN. SO THAT OFFERED THEM THAT
2	OPPORTUNITY TO SCORE PROJECTS IN THIS WAY.
3	TO SUMMARIZE THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE
4	GRANTS WORKING GROUP, OF THE 50 APPLICATIONS, THERE
5	WERE 16 THAT SCORED 85 OR ABOVE AND ARE THEREFORE
6	RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING. AND THE TOTAL FUNDING
7	REQUEST FOR THOSE 16 APPLICATIONS IS ABOUT 20.8
8	MILLION. THE FUNDS AVAILABLE ARE 40 MILLION TO
9	COVER TWO CYCLES. SO THIS IS THE FIRST CYCLE OF TWO
10	THAT WE WILL HAVE IN THIS FISCAL YEAR. SO THE
11	AMOUNT THAT IS RECOMMENDED IS JUST OVER HALF OF WHAT
12	WE HAVE FOR THOSE TWO CYCLES.
13	IN ADDITION, WE HAD 16 APPLICATIONS AMONG
14	THESE 34 THAT SCORED WITHIN THAT 80 TO 84 THAT WILL
15	BE ABLE TO BYPASS THE POSITIVE SELECTION PROCESS IN
16	THE NEXT CYCLE. JUST AS AN FYI.
17	THE OTHER THING I WANT TO MENTION IS THAT
18	UNDER PROP 14, WE HAVE A NEW POLICY THAT ANY
19	APPLICATION THAT IS NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING BY
20	THE GWG, BUT WHICH HAS 35 PERCENT OR MORE MEMBERS
21	SCORE TO FUND THE APPLICATION MUST INCLUDE A
22	MINORITY REPORT. AND SO THE MINORITY REPORT IS ONE
23	THAT IS PUT TOGETHER BY THE CIRM REVIEW TEAM THAT
24	SUMMARIZES AND PROVIDES A SYNOPSIS OF THE OPINION OF
25	THE REVIEWERS THAT SCORED 85 OR ABOVE. WE SHARE

1	THIS SUMMARY WITH THE REVIEWERS TO ENSURE THAT IT
2	ACCURATELY REFLECTS THEIR COMMENTS AND VIEW ON THE
3	APPLICATION.
4	AND SO IN THIS CYCLE WE HAD ONE
5	APPLICATION THAT QUALIFIED FOR A MINORITY REPORT.
6	THAT ONE WAS DISC2-13163 WITH A SCORE OF 84. YOU
7	CAN SEE THAT THERE WERE SIX SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS THAT
8	SCORED 85 OR ABOVE, THERE WERE NINE THAT SCORED
9	BELOW 85. THE RANGE OF SCORES WAS 82 TO 86 ON THAT
10	PARTICULAR APPLICATION. THIS ONE IS ENTITLED "IPSC
11	EXTRACELLULAR VESICLES FOR DIABETES THERAPY." AND
12	IT IS A BIOLOGIC AND SCAFFOLD COMBINATION,
13	EXTRACELLULAR VESICLES THAT ARE SECRETED BY IPSC'S,
14	WHICH ARE INTENDED TO SUPPRESS THE IMMUNE SYSTEM AND
15	DAMPEN THE EFFECTS OF TYPE 1 DIABETES. AND SO THIS
16	APPLICATION IS AMONG THE ONES THAT ARE PROVIDED IN
17	THE SUMMARY DOCUMENTS THAT YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU. THE
18	CIRM TEAM IS NOT SPECIFICALLY RECOMMENDING TO FUND
19	OR NOT TO FUND, BUT IT IS AVAILABLE, LIKE ALL
20	OTHERS, FOR YOU TO REVIEW. IF YOU CHOOSE TO FUND
21	IT, LIKE ANY OTHER APPLICATION, OF COURSE, WE WILL
22	MAKE SURE THAT WE SUPPORT THE SUCCESS OF ALL OF
23	THESE APPLICATIONS TO THE EXTENT THAT WE CAN.
24	AND SO WITH THAT, I WILL STOP SHARING THIS
25	SCREEN. AND, MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M JUST GOING TO PUT UP

1	THE SHARE THE EXCEL SHEET WHICH HAS THE LISTING
2	OF ALL THE APPLICATIONS, AND YOU SHOULD BE ABLE TO
3	SEE THAT AS WELL IN THE MATERIALS. I'M GOING TO
4	JUST FLASH IT UP THERE. HOPEFULLY YOU CAN SEE THAT.
5	SO WE HAVE THE 16 APPLICATIONS THAT GO
6	DOWN TO 13221, AND THEN WE HAVE RIGHT BELOW THAT THE
7	ONE THAT QUALIFIED FOR THE MINORITY REPORT. SO, MR.
8	CHAIRMAN, I'LL TURN IT BACK TO YOU.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU. FIRST
10	OF ALL, DO WE HAVE ANY MOTIONS TO ELEVATE ANY OF
11	THOSE?
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: J.T., MARK FISHER HAS HIS
13	HAND RAISED. I'M NOT SURE IF HE WANTED TO COMMENT
14	ON SOMETHING FROM THE PRESENTATION.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I COULDN'T SEE THAT.
16	YES, FRED.
17	DR. FISHER: GIL, COULD YOU CLARIFY ON THE
18	MAJORITY SCORE DO NOT FUND, BUT THE RANGE WAS 82 TO
19	86. A SCORE OF 86 WOULD BE IN THE FUNDABLE RANGE.
20	SO COULD YOU EXPLAIN HOW A SCORE OF 86 ENDED UP IN
21	THE DO NOT FUND GROUP?
22	DR. SAMBRANO: WELL, THE SCORE WAS 84. SO
23	IT'S BASED ON THE MEDIAN. THE RANGE OF SCORES GIVEN
24	BY THE INDIVIDUAL SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS RANGED BETWEEN
25	82 AND 86. SO THERE WERE SIX MEMBERS THAT SCORED

1	THAT APPLICATION AS AN 85 OR AN 86, AND THERE WERE
2	NINE MEMBERS THAT SCORED IT ESSENTIALLY BETWEEN 82
3	AND 84.
4	DR. FISHER: I SEE. THANK YOU.
5	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, MARK.
6	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: AS THE PATIENT
7	REPRESENTATIVE FOR TYPE 1 DIABETES, I WANTED TO MAKE
8	A RECOMMENDATION THAT IT ACTUALLY GET FUNDED. I
9	THINK THIS IS A PHENOMENON WHERE IT'S CLEARLY RIGHT
10	HERE ON THE BUBBLE FROM THAT PERSPECTIVE. AND THE
11	CHARACTERIZATION ON SOME OF THE COMMENTS DURING THE
12	REVIEW WAS INTERESTING IN THE PERSPECTIVE OF THE
13	ADJECTIVE, IT'S A VERY CIRM-Y TYPE OF PROPOSAL, IF
14	YOU WILL, IN THE CONTEXT THAT THERE CAN BE SOME VERY
15	UNIQUE DISCOVERIES THAT ARE OCCURRING FROM TAKING
16	THIS APPROACH. SO I JUST WANTED TO MAKE THAT
17	RECOMMENDATION FOR FUNDING.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. BY THE WAY, FOR
19	THOSE OF YOU NEW MEMBERS, THE TERM "CIRM-Y" WAS
20	ORIGINALLY COINED BY MARK NOBLE, ONE OF OUR
21	VENERABLE REVIEWERS AT UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER, AND
22	HAS SORT OF TAKEN ON A LIFE OF ITS OWN OVER THE
23	YEARS, ONE OF OUR FINER EXPRESSIONS.
24	SO WE NEED, AS I WAS GETTING TO, IS THERE
25	A MOTION TO MOVE ANY OF THOSE NONRECOMMENDED FOR

1	FUNDING UP TO THE RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING RANGE?
2	MR. TORRES: DOES MARK NEED A SECOND FOR
3	THAT?
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, HE DOES.
5	MR. MARKS: J.T., THIS IS KEVIN. WE DON'T
6	HAVE A MOTION.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WE NEED A FORMAL MOTION.
8	SO, MARK, DO YOU MAKE THAT MOTION?
9	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES. I'LL MAKE A
10	FORMAL MOTION, THAT WE RECOMMEND THAT THAT PROPOSAL
11	GET FUNDED. SO THANK YOU.
12	MR. TORRES: IF I'M NOT CONFLICTED, I WANT
13	TO SECOND IT.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART, YOU CANNOT MAKE A
15	MOTION.
16	MR. TORRES: OKAY.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DO WE HAVE A SECOND?
18	I'LL MAKE THE SECOND.
19	DO WE HAVE DISCUSSION BY MEMBERS OF THE
20	BOARD?
21	DR. DULIEGE: SO THIS IS EXACTLY ONE OF
22	OUR MOST IMPORTANT MANDATES IS TO DISCUSS THOSE THAT
23	YOU HAVE JUST MADE OR HAVE NOT YET JUST MADE IT
24	AROUND THE BUBBLE OR ON THE BAR. SO I'D LIKE TO SEE
25	IF ANYONE CAN TELL ME WHAT WOULD BE THE REASONS IN
	5 1

1	THIS APPLICATION TO CHANGE THE RECOMMENDATION OR THE
2	OUTCOME OF THE JOINT RECOMMENDATION FROM THE GWG.
3	I'D LIKE TO UNDERSTAND IT. I'M RATHER IN FAVOR OF
4	IT, BUT I'D LIKE TO HAVE A RATIONALE FOR IT.
5	DR. FISHER: THE ALTERNATIVE WOULD BE TO
6	GO BACK TO THE APPLICANT WITH COMMENTS AND HAVE THEM
7	RESUBMIT, RIGHT? AND SO I GUESS PART OF WHAT YOU'RE
8	ASKING IS WHAT'S THE RATIONALE FOR MOVING FORWARD
9	WITHOUT THAT AND SKIP THAT STEP IN THIS PROCESS.
10	DR. DULIEGE: THAT'S WHY, FRED. AND THE
11	REASON IS BECAUSE WE ALL ARE CONVINCED THAT THE GWG
12	IS DOING AN EXCELLENT JOB. IT IS INDEED OUR
13	RESPONSIBILITY TO SCRUTINIZE THOSE THAT, AGAIN, THAT
14	ARE AROUND THE BAR, ABOVE AND BELOW, BUT WE HAVE A
15	RATIONALE TO REALLY FRANKLY MODIFY THE
16	RECOMMENDATION.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MARK IS THE MAKER OF THE
18	MOTION. COULD YOU PLEASE ADDRESS ANNE-MARIE'S
19	QUESTION?
20	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: THAT'S A GREAT
21	QUESTION AND OBVIOUSLY A VERY IMPORTANT ONE FOR
22	CONSIDERATION WHENEVER YOU'RE MAKING ADJUSTMENT TO
23	THE GWG. I THINK THIS WAS JUST ON THE KNIFE EDGE OF
24	THAT. AND SO IN THAT CONTEXT, IT DOESN'T TAKE MUCH
25	TO TIP IT OVER INTO AN APPROVAL CYCLE. FROM MY

1	PERSPECTIVE, THE ELEMENTS AROUND THE SCIENCE BEHIND
2	THIS ARE THE KIND OF THINGS THAT ARE THE OPPORTUNITY
3	FOR HIGH RISK, HIGH REWARD PHENOMENON. I JUST IN
4	TAKING THE VIEW RELATED TO THAT CIRM-Y ADJECTIVE IS
5	TAKING THAT, GIVING A LITTLE EXTRA CONSIDERATION
6	JUST TO TIP IT OVER INTO THE APPROVAL CATEGORY FOR
7	THAT REASON, THAT THAT'S EXACTLY THE KIND OF
8	RESEARCH EFFORT THAT CIRM HAS BEEN SUPPORTING
9	OVERALL. AND THAT'S REALLY THE RATIONALE FROM MY
10	VIEW.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY.
12	DR. DULIEGE: IF YOU DON'T MIND IF I
13	RESPOND TO THIS.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: CERTAINLY. ACTUALLY,
15	ANNE-MARIE, BEFORE YOU DO, FURTHER ILLUMINATION ON
16	YOUR QUESTION WOULD COME FROM GIL. IF YOU COULD
17	TALK TO THERE IS A MINORITY REPORT WHICH ADDRESSES
18	THE REASONS WHY THOSE REVIEWERS BELIEVE THIS SHOULD
19	BE FUNDED, AND THAT WOULD HELP INFORM THE ANSWER TO
20	ANNE-MARIE.
21	DR. SAMBRANO: SURE, MR. CHAIRMAN. I CAN
22	READ THE MINORITY REPORT WHICH IS JUST A PARAGRAPH.
23	SO THE PANELISTS WHO GAVE THIS APPLICATION A SCORE
24	OF 85 OR ABOVE WERE POSITIVE ABOUT THE IPSC
25	EXTRACELLULAR VESICLES APPROACH AND POTENTIAL IMPACT

1	AMONG PEOPLE WITH TYPE 1 DIABETES. THIS GROUP NOTED
2	CONVINCING PROOF OF CONCEPT DATA SUPPORTING THE
3	IMMUNOMODULATORY EFFECTS OF THE IPSC EV, THE
4	VESICLES, THOUGHTFUL AND LOGICAL PROJECT PLANS,
5	PREPARATION FOR PITFALLS, AND POTENTIAL TO EXPAND
6	THE IPSC EV APPROACH TO OTHER DISEASES.
7	ONE PANELIST DESCRIBED THE PROPOSAL AS
8	VERY RESPONSIVE TO CIRM'S MISSION AND EXPLICITLY
9	ACKNOWLEDGED THE PRAGMATISM OF DEVELOPING A THERAPY
10	EVEN IF WE DO NOT KNOW EXACTLY HOW IT WORKS.
11	ACCORDING TO THIS GROUP, THE TIMELINE IS REALISTIC
12	AND THE BUDGET IS APPROPRIATE.
13	OUTSTANDING CONCERNS IN THE GROUP WERE THE
14	APPLICANT'S LIMITED EXPERIENCE WITH EXTRACELLULAR
15	VESICLES, THE CHALLENGES IN THE PRODUCTION OF THE
16	VESICLES, AND THE PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF TRANSLATION
17	TO THE CLIENT. AND SO THAT'S THE MINORITY REPORT.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. THANK YOU.
19	ANNE-MARIE, DOES THAT HELP AT ALL?
20	DR. DULIEGE: YES, IT DOES. THANK YOU.
21	I'D LIKE TO STILL MAKE A QUICK COMMENT IF POSSIBLE.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OF COURSE.
23	DR. DULIEGE: SO I REALLY APPRECIATE,
24	MARK, REALLY YOUR POSITION WHERE YOU COME FROM AND
25	ASSUMING THAT'S ONE OF YOUR ROLES AS A PATIENT

1	REPRESENTATIVE. ON THE OTHER HAND, IT'S REALLY, I
2	THINK, OUR JOINT RESPONSIBILITY TO REALIZE THAT,
3	ONE, WE ARE ALL HERE TO REPRESENT PATIENTS AS MANY
4	OF YOU DO. AND THAT FOR ME IS A NOT SUFFICIENT
5	REASON, PARTICULARLY BECAUSE WE ARE NOT SAYING IT
6	SHOULD NOT BE FUNDED. WE ARE SAYING IT SHOULD COME
7	BACK WITH ADDRESSING SOME OF THE CONCERNS IN A
8	CLEARER, MORE CONVINCING WAY.
9	AND FOR ME THE ONLY REASON WHY I WOULD
10	SUGGEST TO MODIFY A RECOMMENDATION FROM THE GWG
11	WOULD BE I FEEL THAT THEIR ASSESSMENT WAS A BIT
12	UNFAIR. THERE WAS SOME LEVEL OF SLIGHT UNFAIRNESS
13	IN THEIR JUDGMENT. BUT I DON'T HEAR IT HERE.
14	FRANKLY, THEY CAN COME BACK IN THREE MONTHS WITH
15	BETTER ADDRESSING THE CONCERNS, AND IN ALL
16	LIKELIHOOD THEY WOULD BE FUNDED.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, ANNE-MARIE.
18	HAIFA, YOU HAD YOUR HAND UP?
19	DR. ABDULHAQ: I THINK MY QUESTION WAS
20	ADDRESSED. THANK YOU.
21	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU.
22	DAVID.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: ACTUALLY, DAVID, YOU
24	CAN'T COMMENT EITHER. SORRY.
25	DR. LO: I'M NOT?

1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YOU HAVE A CONFLICT
2	HERE, SO YOU CANNOT COMMENT ON THIS PARTICULAR.
3	DR. LO: OKAY. NEVER MIND.
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: TURN YOUR HAND OFF,
5	DAVID, IF YOU WOULD THERE.
6	OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF
7	THE BOARD?
8	SO JUST AS THE SECONDER OF THE MOTION AND
9	HAVING SAT THROUGH THE GWG MEETING ON THIS, I DID
10	FIND THE COMMENTARY BY THOSE THAT SUBMITTED THE
11	MINORITY REPORT TO BE PERSUASIVE IN A MANNER THAT
12	WOULD LEAD ME TO SUPPORT VOTING FOR THIS TO BE MOVED
13	UP TO THE FUNDING RANGE FOR WHAT THAT'S WORTH TO
14	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.
15	DR. DULIEGE: J.T., YOU'RE INFLUENCING US.
16	I JUST WANT TO SAY I LOVE TO HAVE A COMMENT. WE
17	NEED TO LOOK BECAUSE THIS RESEARCH IS EXTREMELY
18	IMPORTANT. CAN YOU CLARIFY WHAT YOU MEANT BY
19	PERSUADED? JUST GIVE US A PRACTICAL REASON WHY,
20	INDEED, WE SHOULD VOTE YES? I'D LOVE TO VOTE YES.
21	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WELL, I THINK THE POINTS
22	MADE BY THOSE THAT WROTE THE MINORITY REPORT, WHICH
23	YOU JUST HEARD, WERE COMMENTS THAT TO ME SUGGESTED
24	THAT THIS WAS WORTHY OF FUNDING. THAT WOULD BE MY
25	RESPONSE TO THAT.

1	NOW, OTHERS MAY DISAGREE, WHICH IS TOTALLY
2	FINE. I'M NOT LOOKING TO PERSUADE ANYBODY. I'M
3	JUST GIVING YOU MY PARTICULAR PERSPECTIVE.
4	DR. DULIEGE: MY POINT IS, AND I DON'T
5	WANT TO SPEND MORE TIME THAN THAT ON THIS TOPIC,
6	IT'S NOT WHETHER OR NOT THIS GRANT SHOULD BE FUNDED.
7	IT'S WHETHER IT SHOULD BE FUNDED NOW OR WHETHER IT
8	SHOULD COME BACK FOR REVIEW IN A FEW MONTHS, BETTER
9	ADDRESSING THE CONCERNS EXPRESSED BY THE GWG. I'D
10	LOVE TO HAVE A VERY PRACTICAL REASON TO SAY, YES, WE
11	CAN MODIFY THAT BECAUSE I'D LOVE TO SAY YES.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: AN ABSOLUTELY VALID
13	POINT. AND IF YOU HAVEN'T FOUND THE POINTS MADE
14	PERSUASIVE, THAT'S ABSOLUTELY FAIR.
15	SO OTHER COMMENTS? FRED.
16	DR. FISHER: I'M WITH ANNE-MARIE. AS A
17	PATIENT ADVOCATE, I WANT TO DO WHAT'S IN THE BEST
18	INTEREST OF PATIENTS; BUT FROM AN ACCOUNTABILITY
19	POINT OF VIEW, IT'S GOOD TO HAVE A RATIONALE TO LEAN
20	ON. AND I'M WONDERING IF IT WOULD BE HELPFUL OR IF
21	THE STAFF WHO HAVE WORKED WITH THE APPLICANTS IN THE
22	PREPARATION AND ALL OF THAT, IF THE STAFF HAVE
23	SOMETHING TO ADD TO THIS CONVERSATION IN TERMS OF
24	INSIGHT REGARDING THE IMPLICATIONS OF APPROVING NOW
25	VERSUS APPROVING WHEN THEY HAVE THE CHANCE TO WORK

1	WITH THE APPLICANT TO IMPROVE IT TO THE POINT WHERE
2	EVERYONE IS COMFORTABLE AND IT MEETS THE THRESHOLD
3	FOR FUNDING.
4	MR. JUELSGAARD: J.T., BEFORE WE GET TO
5	THE STAFF, CAN I MAKE A COUPLE OF POINTS?
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OF COURSE.
7	MR. JUELSGAARD: SO LET ME JUST READ THE
8	LAST SENTENCE FROM THE MINORITY REPORT, THEN I WANT
9	TO COME BACK TO WHAT I THINK THIS ALL MEANS. IT
10	SAYS, OUTSTANDING CONCERNS IN THE GROUP WERE THE
11	APPLICANT'S LIMITED EXPERIENCE WITH EV, CHALLENGES
12	IN THE PRODUCTION OF EV, AND PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF
13	TRANSLATION TO THE CLINIC.
14	FIRST QUESTION YOU HAVE TO ASK YOURSELF IS
15	ARE THOSE ISSUES ABLE TO BE ADDRESSED IN ANOTHER
16	GO-ROUND OR NOT? IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME THAT SIMPLY
17	GIVING IT ONE MORE BITE OF THE APPLE IS GOING TO
18	CHANGE THIS IN THE MINDS OF THE REVIEWERS. SO FROM
19	MY POINT OF VIEW, THIS IS WHAT WE HAVE GOT ON THE
20	TABLE. I DON'T SEE THAT THERE'S SOMETHING VERY
21	SPECIFIC HERE THAT WILL MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE IN A
22	SECOND REVIEW.
23	BUT TO GO BACK TO WHAT OUR JOB IS, AND
24	IT'S NOT TO RUBBER STAMP NECESSARILY WHAT THE GWG
25	PROVIDES IN TERMS OF SCIENTIFIC SCORES. WE HAVE

1	TYPICALLY RELIED ON THEM FOR A BASE SCIENTIFIC
2	SCORE, BUT WE'VE NOT ALWAYS DONE THAT. WE CERTAINLY
3	HAVE VOTED IN PROJECTS THAT HAVE A SCORE OF 84. IT
4	WAS JUST A FEW SESSIONS BACK THAT I REMEMBER DOING
5	THAT.
6	SO OUR JOB IS PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW. AND SO
7	TO KIND OF LINE UP WITH WHAT MARK TALKED ABOUT, WE
8	ARE TALKING ABOUT A DIFFICULT DISEASE, DIABETES,
9	TYPE 1 DIABETES. AND THERE ARE PROJECTS THAT HAVE
10	HIGHER RISK, BUT HAVE HIGHER REWARD. AND WHEN I
11	LOOK AT THE REWARD POSSIBILITY HERE, GIVEN HOW
12	EXTENSIVE THIS DISEASE IS AND THE POSSIBILITY OF
13	DOING SOMETHING TO HELP ALLEVIATE IT, I'M STRUCK
14	WITH THE IDEA THAT IN PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW THAT'S
15	SOMETHING THAT WE ARE SUPPOSED TO, AT LEAST IN MY
16	MIND, TAKE ACCOUNT OF. SO I'M NOT SITTING HERE
17	ASKING ANYBODY TO VOTE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, BUT I'M
18	JUST POINTING OUT A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT DRIVE MY
19	CONSIDERATIONS HERE.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, STEVE. GIL,
21	WOULD YOU LIKE TO RESPOND TO FRED'S QUESTION?
22	DR. SAMBRANO: CERTAINLY. I CAN PROVIDE
23	MAYBE A COUPLE OF BITS OF INFORMATION THAT MIGHT BE
24	HELPFUL.
25	SO FOR THIS APPLICATION, THIS ACTUALLY IN

1	THIS CYCLE IS A RESUBMISSION. IT MEANS THEY'VE GONE
2	THROUGH THE REVIEW PROCESS TWICE. IF IT IS NOT
3	FUNDED, IT WILL GO THROUGH THEN TO WHAT WOULD BE A
4	THIRD REVIEW TO MAKE IMPROVEMENTS AGAIN. GOING
5	THROUGH THAT REVIEW PROCESS, ALTHOUGH IT RECEIVED A
6	SCORE OF 84 AND IT WILL BYPASS POSITIVE SELECTION,
7	CERTAINLY DOESN'T NECESSARILY GUARANTEE THAT AN
8	APPLICATION WILL BE FUNDED BECAUSE A LOT OF IT
9	DEPENDS ON THE CONTEXT OF WHAT THOSE OTHER
10	APPLICATIONS THAT COME IN LOOK LIKE AS WELL.
11	AS I MENTIONED, THERE ARE 16 APPLICATIONS
12	TOTAL THAT RECEIVED A SCORE OF 80 TO 84 THAT ARE
13	BASICALLY GOING TO BE WORKING ON MAKING IMPROVEMENTS
14	IN ORDER TO RESUBMIT. SO THAT IS ONE BIT OF
15	INFORMATION.
16	THE OTHER IS RELATED TO THE BUDGET. AS I
17	MENTIONED, THE AMOUNT THAT THE RECOMMENDED PORTION
18	OF APPLICATIONS WOULD USE UP IS ALMOST 21 MILLION
19	OUT OF 40 MILLION. IF THIS WERE ALSO FUNDED, THAT
20	WOULD LEAVE ABOUT 18 MILLION FOR THE NEXT CYCLE. SO
21	IT WOULD BE A LITTLE LESS THAN THIS CYCLE. SO IT'S
22	PRETTY NEAR HALF. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT HAS ANY
23	IMPACT, BUT IT'S JUST SOMETHING TO BE AWARE OF IN
24	TERMS OF THE OVERALL BUDGET AMOUNT.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, GIL. FRED.

1	DR. FISHER: SO IF I UNDERSTAND STEPHEN'S
2	REMARKS, WHICH THANK YOU FOR FOCUSING ON US ON THAT,
3	WE ARE NOT ONLY IN FUNDING THIS PROJECT TAKING A
4	GAMBLE ON WHETHER SOMETHING WILL WORK, WHICH THAT'S
5	WHAT WE ARE HERE TO DO, BUT WE ARE ALSO TAKING A
6	GAMBLE ON THE TEAM'S EXPERTISE TO ACTUALLY EXECUTE.
7	AM I UNDERSTANDING WHAT THE MINORITY REPORT IS
8	SAYING? IT'S ONE THING NEITHER OF THOSE
9	NECESSARILY WOULD BE REASONS NOT TO FUND IT. IT
10	JUST IS BEING CLEAR THAT IF WE ARE TAKING BIG BETS
11	ON IMPORTANT WORK, THERE ARE SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT
12	THE ABILITY OF THIS TEAM TO IMPROVE THE ODDS. I
13	JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I'M INTERPRETING THAT
14	CORRECTLY.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: GIL, WOULD YOU COMMENT
16	ON THAT?
17	DR. SAMBRANO: YES. I THINK YOU ARE
18	INTERPRETING IT CORRECTLY. I THINK THE ONLY THING I
19	WOULD ADD IS THAT, IF YOU WERE TO CHOOSE TO FUND
20	THIS, AS I MENTIONED BEFORE, WE ARE GOING TO DO
21	EVERYTHING WE CAN ON CIRM'S SIDE TO SUPPORT THE
22	PROJECT AND TAKE INTO ACCOUNT WEAKNESSES AND
23	CONCERNS THAT WERE BROUGHT UP BY THE GWG AND TRY TO
24	IMPLEMENT WAYS IN WHICH WE CAN EITHER HELP THE TEAM
25	REFINE THE PROJECT, BRING THEM ADDITIONAL EXPERTISE

1	AS IS NEEDED. SO IT DOESN'T MEAN THE PROJECT IS
2	STAGNANT AS YOU SEE IT, AND WE CAN ACTUALLY HAVE AN
3	IMPACT ON WHAT HAPPENS POST AWARD. SO ANYWAY, JUST
4	WANTED TO ADD THAT. THANK YOU.
5	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I JUST WOULD NOTE THAT,
6	FRED, THAT'S AN EXCELLENT QUESTION. THIS, AS YOU
7	KNOW FROM YOUR TIME ON THE GWG AND HAVING SAT
8	THROUGH IT OVER THE YEARS, THIS SORT OF QUESTION
9	COMES UP IN DIFFERENT FORMS WITH RESPECT TO VARIOUS
10	APPLICATIONS, PARTICULARLY, FOR EXAMPLE, WHEN YOU
11	HAVE A YOUNG INVESTIGATOR THAT DOESN'T HAVE A
12	LONG-STANDING TRACK RECORD. SO IT IS A FACTOR THAT
13	NEEDS TO BE CONSIDERED FOR SURE. SO, YES, MARK.
14	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: JUST A QUICK
15	COMMENT. THESE ARE TERRIFIC QUESTIONS. AND I JUST
16	WANTED TO SHARE MY PERSPECTIVE, THAT WHEN THERE IS A
17	MORE NOVEL AREA OF SCIENCE, THAT RISK PROFILE JUST
18	ESCALATES DRAMATICALLY. SO THE CONSEQUENCES ARE
19	THAT IT'S THE CHICKEN AND THE EGG PHENOMENON IN
20	TERMS OF SHOWING THE DEMONSTRATION OF, YEAH, WE CAN
21	DO THIS KIND OF APPROACH. HENCE, THE REFERENCE TO
22	THE CIRM-Y CONVERSATION PREVIOUSLY. SO THAT WAS
23	WHAT I WAS MORE FOCUSED ON RATHER THAN THE TYPE 1
24	DIABETES PATIENT AND ADVOCATING THAT CONSTITUENCY.
-	
25	IT'S MORE IN THE CONTEXT THIS HAS ALSO THE PROMISE

1	FOR OTHER AREAS OF EXPLORATION AS WELL. AND BECAUSE
2	IT'S MORE NOVEL, IT THEN IS GOING TO INHERENTLY
3	SUFFER FROM CONCERNS OF DEFICIENCIES ABOUT IS IT
4	DOABLE OR NOT.
5	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU.
6	DR. FISHER: SO THIS IDEA THAT CIRM IS AN
7	INCUBATOR OF GOOD SCIENCE AND AN INCUBATOR OF GOOD
8	RESEARCHERS OR GREAT SCIENCE AND GREAT RESEARCHERS,
9	IF THE STAFF TO WHOM THE BURDEN OF SHEPHERDING THIS
10	PROJECT THROUGH TO THE BEST OPPORTUNITY FOR SUCCESS
11	ARE CONFIDENT THAT THIS TEAM CAN BE SUPPORTED IN A
12	WAY THAT HELPS THEM EXECUTE AND UTILIZE THESE FUNDS
13	IN THE WAY THAT THE PROPOSAL SUGGESTS, THEN I'M ALL
14	FOR IT BECAUSE IT SEEMS LIKE A YES VOTE ON THIS
15	MEANS WE ARE REALLY BURDENING THE STAFF WITH DOING
16	WHAT THEY CAN DO TO POSITION THIS TEAM FOR THE MOST
17	PRODUCTIVE OUTCOME. AND I WOULDN'T WANT TO BURDEN
18	THE STAFF WITH THAT RESPONSIBILITY IF THEY DIDN'T
19	HAVE A LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE THAT THEY WOULD BE ABLE
20	TO DO SO AND THIS TEAM WOULD BE RECEPTIVE TO THAT
21	KIND OF INTERVENTION AND SUPPORT.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: GIL, YOU WANT TO RESPOND
23	TO THAT?
24	DR. SAMBRANO: WELL, I CAN'T SPEAK FOR THE
25	TEAM WHO WOULD ULTIMATELY BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS.

1	BUT IN GENERAL, ANYONE THAT RECEIVES AN AWARD FROM
2	CIRM, WE ARE GOING TO PUT THE SAME LEVEL OF WORK AND
3	EFFORT TOWARDS. SO I THINK FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE WE
4	WILL WELCOME WHATEVER THE DECISION OF THE BOARD IS
5	TO FUND OR NOT TO FUND.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: AND I'D ADD THAT I
7	WOULDN'T SAY BURDENING IS THE RIGHT QUESTION. THIS
8	IS WHAT THE TEAM DOES AS A MATTER OF COURSE WITH OUR
9	GRANTEES. SO THIS WOULD JUST BE ANOTHER IN A LONG
10	LIST OF PROJECTS WHICH WE HAVE THAT WE WOULD BE
11	WORKING TO HELP ALL OF THE GRANTEES ACHIEVE THE BEST
12	RESULTS. ANNE-MARIE.
13	DR. DULIEGE: FIRST OF ALL, THANK YOU FOR
14	ALL THESE COMMENTS. THEY ARE VERY HELPFUL, AT LEAST
15	TO ME.
16	QUICK QUESTION FOR GIL, I GUESS, IS THAT I
17	NOW REALIZE THAT THIS IS ALREADY A RESUBMISSION.
18	CAN YOU TELL US HOW MUCH WHAT IT WAS SCORED OR
19	SIMPLY HOW MUCH PROGRESS? IS IT SIGNIFICANTLY
20	BETTER? WERE THE APPLICANTS ABLE TO REALLY ADDRESS
21	THE INITIAL CONCERNS? THAT WOULD HELP ME IN
22	SUPPORTING THEM.
23	DR. SAMBRANO: YES. SO THE SCORE
24	PREVIOUSLY WAS AN 80. SO IT WENT FROM AN 80 NOW TO
25	AN 84, SO IT MOVED UP. AND FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF

1	REVIEWERS, THEY DID ADDRESS MANY OF THE CONCERNS
2	THAT WERE BROUGHT UP IN THE ORIGINAL REVIEW. THERE
3	WERE STILL ADDITIONAL ONES THAT WEREN'T. I THINK
4	CERTAINLY THE FEELING THAT THIS WAS OVER AMBITIOUS
5	IN MANY RESPECTS, THE LACK OF EXPERIENCE MAY BE
6	DIFFICULT TO OVERCOME IN A RESUBMISSION NECESSARILY.
7	ALTHOUGH I THINK THEY DID ADVISE, FOR EXAMPLE,
8	HAVING A TYPE 1 DIABETES COINVESTIGATOR RATHER THAN
9	A CONSULTANT, MEANING ADDING SOMEBODY WHO'S GOING TO
10	PERHAPS SPEND MORE TIME WITH THE PROJECT THAN A
11	CONSULTANT WOULD. SO IT DID IMPROVE FROM THE
12	PREVIOUS SUBMISSION.
13	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU, GIL. THAT'S
14	EXTREMELY HELPFUL. I GUESS I WILL SUPPORT IT
15	BECAUSE I LIKE PEOPLE THAT ARE A LITTLE BIT OVER
16	AMBITIOUS. I'M KIDDING. I THOUGHT THEY REALLY MADE
17	AN EFFORT. WHAT IS THERE IS NOT EASILY FIXABLE OR
18	CAN BE FIXED WITH INITIAL WORK. BUT WE ARE GOING TO
19	GET PEOPLE WITH SUBSTANTIALLY MORE EXPERIENCE RIGHT
20	TODAY. SO I'M HAPPY WITH THAT. GREAT. THANK YOU.
21	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. STEVE.
22	MR. JUELSGAARD: THIS IS A QUESTION OF
23	GIL. SO THE PREFACE FOR THIS QUESTION IS THAT WE DO
24	HAVE AGREEMENTS IN OUR AGREEMENTS WITH THE GRANTEES.
25	WE HAVE MILESTONE PROVISIONS, THINGS THEY HAVE TO

1	MEET IN ORDER TO GET THE NEXT ROUND OF FUNDING, ET
2	CETERA. ARE ANY OF THE CONCERNS THAT GOT RAISED IN
3	THIS APPLICATION, ARE THEY ADDRESSABLE BY HAVING
4	MILESTONES SUCH THAT A MILESTONE MIGHT BE BASED ON
5	WHAT WAS PERCEIVED A WEAKNESS IN THE APPLICATION AND
6	WE CONTROL THESE CONCERNS IN THAT FASHION?
7	DR. SAMBRANO: IT'S ACTUALLY DIFFICULT FOR
8	ME TO SAY. I DON'T THINK SO. I THINK SOME OF THEM
9	SUCH AS MAYBE REQUIRING A TYPE 1 DIABETES EXPERT TO
10	BE PART OF THE TEAM IS SOMETHING THAT COULD BE PUT
11	IN, FOR EXAMPLE. I THINK SOME OF THE CONCERNS
12	REALLY RELATE TO WHETHER ULTIMATELY THIS IS GOING TO
13	WORK OR NOT. AND IT KIND OF FALLS ON THE OPINION OF
14	THE SCIENTIFIC REVIEWERS OF SOME FEELING LIKE LET'S
15	GIVE IT A CHANCE, OTHERS THINKING, WELL, MAYBE I
16	WOULDN'T GIVE THIS A CHANCE. SO I DON'T KNOW THAT
17	THAT KIND OF DEVELOPMENT WOULD BE ADDRESSABLE IN
18	THAT WAY, BUT AT LEAST SOME OF THEM COULD BE.
19	MR. JUELSGAARD: THANK YOU, GIL.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. I DON'T SEE ANY
21	OTHER COMMENTS HERE. SEEING NONE FURTHER, DO WE
22	HAVE ANY COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC?
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO. THERE ARE NO HANDS
24	RAISED.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. HEARING THAT,

	,
1	WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL. AND, AGAIN, REMEMBER
2	THE MOTION IS TO ELEVATE THIS ONE APPLICATION TO THE
3	FUNDING RANGE.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: YES. AND THAT'S
5	APPLICATION NO. 13163.
6	DAN BERNAL.
7	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
9	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
11	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
13	DR. FISHER: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
15	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
17	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
19	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
21	MR. LAJARA: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
23	DR. MARTIN: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
25	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
	67
	67

	, <u>,</u>
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
2	DR. PADILLA: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
4	MR. PANETTA: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. JONATHAN
6	THOMAS.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. THANK YOU
10	FOR THE ROBUST DISCUSSION. I THINK THAT WAS VERY
11	HELPFUL.
12	ARE THERE ANY OTHER APPLICATIONS CURRENTLY
13	IN THE NOT FOR FUNDING RANGE THAT ANYBODY WANTS TO
14	MOVE TO UP TO THE FUNDING RANGE? OKAY. HEARING
15	NONE, THEN THE NEXT I WOULD LIKE TO ENTERTAIN, IF
16	THIS IS OKAY WITH THE BOARD, IS A MOTION TO APPROVE
17	ALL OF THE PROJECTS IN THE FUNDING RANGE, WHICH
18	INCLUDES THE ONE JUST ELEVATED. DO I HEAR SUCH A
19	MOTION?
20	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: SO MOVED.
21	DR. DULIEGE: I CAN SECOND.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. MARIA, YOU
23	GOT THE MOVERS THERE?
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK AND ANNE-MARIE.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ARE THERE QUESTIONS AND
	68

	DETH G. DIAMIN, CA GON NO. 7 132
1	COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? ARE THERE ANY
2	COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC? HEARING NONE,
3	MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
5	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
7	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
9	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
11	DR. FISHER: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
13	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
15	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
17	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
19	MR. LAJARA: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
21	DR. MARTIN: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
23	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
25	DR. PADILLA: YES.
	69
	53

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
2	MR. PANETTA: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. JONATHAN
4	THOMAS.
5	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. KEVIN, I
8	BELIEVE WE NEED A MOTION NOW TO OFFICIALLY CLOSE OUT
9	THE NOT FOR FUNDED PROJECTS. SO WE NEED A MOTION
10	SPECIFICALLY TO NOT FUND THOSE PROJECTS REMAINING IN
11	THE NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING RANGE. DO I HEAR
12	SUCH A MOTION?
13	DR. DULIEGE: I CAN MAKE THIS MOTION.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECOND? I'LL SECOND.
15	QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?
16	ANY COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC? HEARING
17	NONE, MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
19	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
21	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
23	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
25	DR. FISHER: YES.
	70

	,
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
2	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
4	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
6	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
8	MR. LAJARA: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
10	DR. MARTIN: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
12	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
14	DR. PADILLA: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
16	MR. PANETTA: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. MARIA, I
21	BELIEVE THAT IS THE FULL PACKAGE OF MOTIONS WE NEED
22	ON THIS?
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: IT IS.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. OKAY. THANK
25	YOU. THAT CONCLUDES THAT ITEM. FOR BETH'S SAKE,
	71
	7.1

1	WHY DON'T WE TAKE A FIVE-MINUTE BREAK. SO IT'S NOW
2	10:40. WE WILL RECONVENE AT 10:45. THANK YOU.
3	(A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. READY TO
5	RECONVENE HERE. PROCEED TO THE NEXT ACTION ITEM,
6	WHICH IS ITEM NO. 8, CONSIDERATION OF CONCEPT PLAN
7	FOR EDUC5, FUNDING OPPORTUNITY FOR CREATING
8	OPPORTUNITIES THROUGH MENTORSHIP AND PARTNERSHIP
9	ACROSS STEM CELL SCIENCE WITH THE SNAZZY ACRONYM OF
10	COMPASS. PRESENTATION HERE WILL BE BY DR. KELLY
11	SHEPARD.
12	DR. SHEPARD: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. I'M
13	BEGINNING TO SHARE MY SCREEN NOW. IS EVERYBODY ABLE
14	TO SEE MY SCREEN AND HEAR ME?
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
16	DR. SHEPARD: GREAT. GOOD MORNING. I
17	GUESS IT'S APPROACHING AFTERNOON. MEMBERS OF THE
18	BOARD, MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, AND OUR CIRM TEAM, IT
19	IS MY PLEASURE TO APPEAR BEFORE YOU HERE TODAY TO
20	PRESENT A NEW CONCEPT IN OUR EDUCATION AND TRAINING
21	PROGRAM. I'M SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF THE SCIENTIFIC
22	PROGRAMS TEAM LED BY DR. ROSA CANET-AVILES. BUT I
23	JUST WANT TO TAKE A SECOND BEFORE I BEGIN TO
24	ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE HAVE BEEN SOME VERY VALUABLE
25	CONTRIBUTIONS FROM MEMBERS ACROSS CIRM. IN

1	PARTICULAR, I WOULD LIKE ACKNOWLEDGE DR. LILA
2	COLLINS, HALLEY LADD, AND GIL SAMBRANO, WHO ALL
3	CONTRIBUTED TO IMPORTANT IDEAS AND HELPED US
4	PRESSURE TEST SOME OF THE IDEAS IN THIS PROGRAM.
5	WITH THAT, LET ME BEGIN MY PRESENTATION.
6	I WOULD JUST LIKE TO REITERATE, AS DR. MILLAN
7	PRESENTED, OUR NEW MISSION STATEMENT, WHICH IS
8	ACCELERATE WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE TO DELIVER
9	TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE TREATMENTS IN
10	AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND
11	WORLD. WE DELIVER ON CIRM'S MISSION BY INVESTING IN
12	THESE FIVE KEY PILLAR PROGRAMS WHICH WE INITIATED
13	UNDER PROPOSITION 71 ALL THE WAY BACK IN 2006.
14	THE PILLARS INCLUDE, OF COURSE, OUR
15	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS, WHICH ARE
16	DISCOVERY STAGE OR EARLY STAGE OPPORTUNITIES, AS YOU
17	JUST RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING IN THE PREVIOUS AGENDA
18	ITEM; OUR TRANSLATION STAGE PROGRAM; AND OUR
19	CLINICAL AND CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROGRAMS. WE ALSO
20	HAVE INVESTMENTS IN, OF COURSE, INFRASTRUCTURE AND
21	EDUCATION. EDUCATION WILL BE THE FOCUS OF THIS NEW
22	CONCEPT THAT I PRESENT FOR YOU TODAY.
23	AS PART OF OUR NEW STRATEGIC PLAN, WE WILL
24	CONTINUE TO INVEST IN THESE SUCCESSFUL PILLARS, BUT
25	BUILD ON THEM BY ENHANCING, ORGANIZING, AND

1	INTERCONNECTING THEM WITH ONE ANOTHER IN ORDER TO
2	CREATE SYNERGIES AND BEST DELIVER OUR MISSION UNDER
3	PROPOSITION 14.
4	NOW, SPECIFICALLY, EDUCATION AND TRAINING,
5	THIS PILLAR, IS ABSOLUTELY CORE TO CIRM'S MISSION
6	AND OUR STRATEGIC PLAN. DR. MILLAN PRESENTED TO YOU
7	THE THREE MAJOR THEMES OF OUR PLAN, AND EDUCATION
8	AND TRAINING ARE ACTUALLY TOUCHING ON ALL THREE. IN
9	TERMS OF ADVANCING WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE, TRAINEES,
10	WHILE THEY'RE BEING TRAINED, CONTRIBUTE VALUABLE
11	RESEARCH AND INSIGHTS THAT DRIVE SCIENTIFIC PROGRESS
12	AND INNOVATION. SO WHILE THEY ARE ACTUALLY
13	ADDRESSING KNOWLEDGE GAPS, THEY ARE ALSO RECEIVING
14	ON-THE-JOB TRAINING WHILE DOING SO, SO PART OF THE
15	WORKFORCE OF TODAY AS WELL AS THE WORKFORCE OF
16	TOMORROW.
17	IN TERMS OF DELIVERING REAL-WORLD
18	SOLUTIONS, THE TRAINING THAT THESE PROGRAMS PROVIDE,
19	IN ADDITION TO THEIR RESEARCH SKILLS, THEY ARE
20	PROVIDED WITH AN ADDITIONAL SET OF SKILLS THAT THEY
21	WILL BE ABLE TO APPLY AND ADAPT TO MEET THE
22	CHALLENGES OF TOMORROW, WHICH WILL BE NECESSARY TO
23	MAKE THE REGENERATIVE SOLUTIONS A REALITY.
24	AND FINALLY, TOUCHING ON PROVIDING
25	OPPORTUNITY FOR ALL, OUR TRAINING PROGRAMS DO TARGET

1	AN EFFORT TO CREATE A DIVERSE AND INCLUSIVE
2	WORKFORCE IN ORDER TO BRING THESE VALUABLE AND
3	NEEDED PERSPECTIVES WHICH ARE CRITICAL TO ADVANCING
4	THE SCIENCE AS WELL AS ENSURING THE FRUITS OF THE
5	SCIENCE BENEFIT TO ALL WHO NEED IT.
6	OF COURSE, THESE PILLARS ARE ULTIMATELY
7	PART OF AN ECOSYSTEM THAT WE'RE BUILDING TO CREATE
8	THE WORKFORCE THAT IS GOING TO BE NECESSARY TO
9	DELIVER ON OUR MISSION, AND THIS IS JUST LAYING THE
10	GROUNDWORK HERE. THIS IS WHERE WE WILL GO IN THE
11	COURSE OF OUR STRATEGIC PLAN. WE WILL BE CREATING
12	MULTIPLE ONRAMPS THAT WILL SYNERGIZE WITH THESE
13	TRAINING PROGRAMS TO HELP DEVELOP THE NEXT
14	GENERATION OF LEADERS, SCIENTISTS, CLINICIANS, AND
15	OTHER PARTICIPANTS IN THIS WORKFORCE. THESE
16	TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES WILL BE CONNECTED AND
17	LEVERAGING AND ENHANCED WITH OUR PROGRAMS THAT
18	SUPPORT BASIC RESEARCH AND SOME OF THE OTHER PILLAR
19	PROGRAMS THAT YOU SEE LISTED HERE.
20	SO WE HAVE ALREADY BEGUN LAYING THE
21	GROUNDWORK FOR THIS ECOSYSTEM BY REFUNDING OUR THREE
22	LONG-TERM AND ONGOING TRAINING GRANT PROGRAMS THAT
23	WERE INITIATED UNDER PROPOSITION 71. LAST YEAR THIS
24	BOARD RELAUNCHED ALL THREE OF THESE PROGRAMS WITH
25	UPDATES AND ALIGNMENTS TO MAKE THEM RESPONSIVE TO

1	PROPOSITION 14. THESE INCLUDE OUR SPARK PROGRAM OR
2	EDUC3, WHICH SUPPORTS SUMMER RESEARCH INTERNSHIPS
3	FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS AROUND THE STATE OF
4	CALIFORNIA; OUR BRIDGES OR EDUC2 PROGRAM, WHICH
5	SUPPORT A VARIETY OF PROGRAMS THAT TRAIN STUDENTS
6	RANGING FROM LATER UNDERGRADUATE STAGES TO POST
7	BACCALAUREATE TO MASTER'S LEVEL PROGRAMS
8	SPECIFICALLY TARGETING CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITIES
9	AND COMMUNITY COLLEGES. AND WE HAVE MOST RECENTLY
10	FUNDED A RESEARCH TRAINING PROGRAM OR EDUC4, WHICH
11	SUPPORTS PREDOCTORAL, POSTDOCTORAL, AND CLINICAL
12	FELLOWS WHO WILL BECOME FUTURE LEADERS IN THE
13	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE FIELD.
14	SO THIS CONCEPT THAT I'M PRESENTING FOR
15	YOU TODAY WILL JOIN THIS CADRE OF OUR EXISTING
16	PROGRAMS AND COMPLEMENT THEM AND BUILD ON THEM
17	TOWARDS ACHIEVING THAT ECOSYSTEM THAT I DESCRIBED.
18	I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE FOR YOUR
19	CONSIDERATION TODAY THE NEW TRAINING PROGRAM CALLED
20	COMPASS OR EDUC5, AS MR. CHAIRMAN ALREADY DESCRIBED,
21	CREATING OPPORTUNITIES FOR MENTORSHIP AND
22	PARTNERSHIPS ACROSS STEM CELL SCIENCE. I HAVE TO
23	CREDIT OUR PRESIDENT, DR. MILLAN, FOR REVERSE
24	ENGINEERING THAT WONDERFUL ACRONYM THAT COULDN'T BE
25	MORE APPROPRIATE.

1	THE OBJECTIVES OF THE COMPASS PROGRAM WILL
2	BE TO PREPARE A DIVERSE CADRE OF UNDERGRADUATE
3	STUDENTS FOR CAREERS IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. A
4	SECOND OBJECTIVE IS TO CREATE NOVEL RECRUITMENT AND
5	SUPPORT MECHANISMS THAT WILL IDENTIFY AND FOSTER
6	UNTAPPED TALENT WITHIN POPULATIONS THAT ARE
7	HISTORICALLY UNDERREPRESENTED IN THE BIOMEDICAL
8	SCIENCES. THIS PROGRAM WILL PROVIDE HANDS-ON
9	RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES WITH STRATEGIC AND STRUCTURED
10	MENTORSHIP EXPERIENCES TO ENHANCE THE TRANSITION OF
11	STUDENTS TO SUCCESSFUL CAREERS. THIS PROGRAM ALSO
12	WILL FOSTER GREATER AWARENESS AND APPRECIATION OF
13	DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION IN THE TRAINEES,
14	THEIR MENTORS, OR ALL OTHER PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS.
15	WHY ARE WE PRESENTING A NEW PROGRAM FOR
16	YOU TODAY? WHAT MAKES IT, IF I COULD BORROW THE
17	TERM, "CIRM-Y," WHICH WAS DISCUSSED EARLIER? THERE
18	ARE OTHER UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH TRAINING PROGRAMS
19	IN EXISTENCE, OF COURSE; BUT MOST OF THOSE, EVEN
20	THOSE THAT ARE TARGETING MEMBERS OF UNDERSERVED
21	COMMUNITIES, ACTUALLY ARE LOOKING FOR INDIVIDUALS
22	THAT HAVE PREDEFINED ACADEMIC SELECTION CREDENTIALS
23	AS WELL AS OFTEN A STATED COMMITMENT TOWARDS
24	GRADUATE SCHOOL, MEDICAL SCHOOL, OR FACULTY
25	POSITIONS IN ACADEMIA. THIS PROGRAM WILL SUPPORT

1	THE DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW STRATEGIES
2	TO RECOGNIZE AND FOSTER UNTAPPED TALENT THAT CAN
3	LEAD TO NEW AND VALUABLE PERSPECTIVES THAT ARE
4	SPECIFIC TO THE CHALLENGES OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE.
5	THIS PROGRAM WILL CREATE NEW PATHS TO A SPECTRUM OF
6	CAREERS THAT ARE NOT ALWAYS APPARENT TO STUDENTS IN
7	THE ACADEMIC AND UNDERGRADUATE ENVIRONMENT.
8	SO CERTAINLY PATHS TO THOSE GRADUATE
9	SCHOOL AND MEDICAL SCHOOL POSITIONS THAT WE SPOKE
10	OF, BUT ALSO THERE'S A BROAD ARRAY OF OTHER TYPES OF
11	CAREERS THAT BUILD ON RESEARCH SKILLS THAT ARE
12	REALLY NECESSARY IN ORDER FOR US TO ACHIEVE OUR
13	MISSION. AND THIS WILL PRESENT PATHWAYS TO THOSE
14	OPPORTUNITIES FOR ALL INVOLVED.
15	FINALLY, THIS PROGRAM WILL BE
16	COMPLEMENTARY, BUT NOT COMPETING WITH CIRM'S BRIDGES
17	PROGRAM, WHICH I INTRODUCED ON THE FIRST SLIDE. A
18	SUBSET OF THE BRIDGES PROGRAM DO SERVE
19	UNDERGRADUATES; HOWEVER, IT'S A DIFFERENT, BUT
20	EQUALLY IMPORTANT POPULATION. SO WE CONSIDER THESE
21	PROGRAMS COMPLEMENTARY.
22	SIMILARLY, THIS NEW PROGRAM IS UNLIKELY TO
23	COMPETE FOR THE SAME POOLS OF STUDENTS THAT WOULD BE
24	THE MOST LIKELY ONES TO RECEIVE SUPPORT THROUGH THE
25	MAJOR NIH TRAINING PROGRAMS, SUCH MARC AND THE

1	U-RISE PROGRAM.
2	SO THIS SLIDE IS JUST A HIGH LEVEL
3	OVERVIEW OF THE COMPONENTS OF A COMPASS AWARD. AND
4	I WILL GO THROUGH EACH OF THESE THREE ARMS IN MY
5	NEXT FEW SLIDES. BUT BASICALLY A COMPASS AWARD
6	CONSISTS OF THREE MAJOR DRIVES. THE FIRST IS THE
7	OUTREACH AND RECRUITMENT COMPONENT, WHICH IS HOW
8	TRAINEES WILL BE IDENTIFIED TO BE APPOINTED TO THIS
9	PROGRAM. THE SECOND IS THE EXPERIENCE THAT THE
10	TRAINEE WILL HAVE WHEN THEY ARE APPOINTED AS COMPASS
11	SCHOLARS. AND THE THIRD IS A MENTORSHIP PROGRAM
12	THAT WILL BE DEVELOPED AND IMPLEMENTED IN ORDER TO
13	SUPPORT THOSE STUDENTS THROUGH THEIR TIME IN THE
14	PROGRAM.
15	SO LET ME JUST GO INTO A LITTLE BIT MORE
16	DETAIL ABOUT EACH OF THOSE TO HELP YOU UNDERSTAND.
17	WE'LL BEGIN WITH THE COLUMN ON THE LEFT, THE
18	OUTREACH AND RECRUITMENT. SO THE OUTREACH, EACH
19	PROGRAM WILL HAVE AN ADAPTIVE OUTREACH AND
20	RECRUITMENT STRATEGY THAT WILL BE MANAGED BY A
21	DEDICATED PERSONNEL ROLE WHO WILL OVERSEE THE DEI
22	AND RECRUITMENT STRATEGY EFFORTS. WE'LL REFER TO
23	THIS INDIVIDUAL AS THE DIVERSITY AND OUTREACH
24	COORDINATOR.
25	THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES WILL BE TO ASSESS

1	DISPARITIES IN THEIR OWN STEM PROGRAMS WITHIN THEIR
2	INSTITUTION AND DEVELOP NOVEL AND SPECIFIC
3	RECRUITMENT STRATEGIES TO ADDRESS AND OVERCOME
4	THESE. SOME EXAMPLES OF SUCH STRATEGIES COULD BE
5	INCREASED AND STRATEGIC OUTREACH TO UNDERREPRESENTED
6	GROUPS AT THE UNIVERSITY, INCREASED OUTREACH TO
7	COMMUNITY COLLEGES, TARGETING SOCIOECONOMICALLY
8	DISADVANTAGED, FIRST IN FAMILY TO ATTEND COLLEGE, ET
9	CETERA. WE ANTICIPATE THAT THEY WILL INNOVATE AND
10	CREATE NEW MECHANISMS TO ADDRESS DISPARITIES AND
11	ALSO LOWER BARRIERS TO PARTICIPATION.
12	THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES ARE TO BUILD AND
13	FOSTER AND MAINTAIN AN INCLUSIVE AND SUPPORTIVE
14	ENVIRONMENT THROUGH THE ADMINISTRATION OF THIS
15	PROGRAM. AND THE ADAPTIVE PART IS WHAT COMES IN
16	HERE ON MY FOURTH BULLET. THEY ARE EXPECTED TO DO
17	REGULAR SELF-ASSESSMENTS, LOOKING AT THEIR OWN
18	PROGRESS, ANALYZING IT, AND MAKING ADJUSTMENTS IN
19	THEIR STRATEGIES AS NEEDED.
20	THE SECOND COMPONENT IS WHAT A TRAINEE
21	WILL EXPERIENCE ONCE THEY HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AND
22	RECRUITED INTO THIS PROGRAM. SO WE'RE PROPOSING
23	THAT THE PROGRAMS WOULD BE DEVELOPED WHERE THE
24	TARGETED STUDENTS WOULD BE SUPPORTED FOR TWO OR
25	THREE YEARS PER PROGRAM DESIGN.

1	ALL APPOINTED STUDENTS WOULD RECEIVE
2	FOUNDATIONAL COURSEWORK IN STEM CELL REGENERATIVE
3	MEDICINE-RELATED DISCIPLINES, PRINCIPLES OF
4	TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH, AND GOOD RESEARCH HABITS, AS
5	WELL AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE SPECIALIZED OPTIONS
6	PER THEIR INTEREST OR PROGRAM DESIGN; FOR EXAMPLE,
7	THINGS LIKE COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGY OR DATA ANALYSIS.
8	ALL WILL RECEIVE TRAINING IN SOFT SKILLS,
9	INCLUDING PRESENTATION AND SCIENTIFIC WRITING. ALL
10	WILL HAVE INDIVIDUALIZED FORMAL INTERNSHIP PLANS AND
11	CAREER COUNSELING. ALL WILL HAVE OPPORTUNITIES TO
12	DO PAID, HANDS-ON RESEARCH INTERNSHIPS IN ACADEMIC
13	OR BIOTECH LABORATORIES FOR ONE OR MORE SUMMER TERMS
14	OR AN EQUIVALENT PERIOD DISTRIBUTED THROUGHOUT A
15	SCHOOL YEAR IF THAT WORKS BETTER FOR THEM.
16	ALL WILL PARTICIPATE IN PATIENT ENGAGEMENT
17	AND COMMUNITY OUTREACH ACTIVITIES, A COMMON ELEMENT
18	TO ALL CIRM TRAINING PROGRAMS. AND STUDENTS WILL
19	COMPLETE A CAPSTONE PROJECT AND PRESENT AT A
20	CONFERENCE IN A CULMINATING EXPERIENCE.
21	THE THIRD AND VERY CRITICAL COMPONENT OF A
22	COMPASS AWARD IS THE DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION
23	OF THE MENTOR PLAN. THIS IS SO IMPORTANT THAT WE
24	HAVE ASCRIBED A DEDICATED PERSONNEL ROLE OR
25	MENTORSHIP FACILITATOR WHO WILL IDENTIFY AND TRAIN A
	0.1

1	SMALL TEAM OF INDIVIDUALS THAT WILL SERVE AS ROLE
2	MODELS FOR STUDENTS AND BRING CULTURAL AWARENESS,
3	KNOWLEDGE, AND PERSPECTIVES REPRESENTING THE
4	TARGETED STUDENT GROUPS THAT MAY NOT PRESENT IN THE
5	RESIDENT FACULTY OF THE ACADEMIC INSTITUTION.
6	THEY WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR DEVELOPING
7	THOSE INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR STUDENTS AND
8	ASSURING APPROPRIATE MENTORSHIP AGREEMENTS BETWEEN
9	THE STUDENTS AND THE RESEARCH MENTORS. THEY'RE
10	EXPECTED TO PROVIDE FORMAL MENTOR TRAINING FOR
11	RESEARCH ADVISORS AND ANY OTHERS WHO ARE INTERACTING
12	WITH THE STUDENTS, INCLUDING THINGS LIKE IMPLICIT
13	BIAS TRAINING. THEY WILL BE IMPLEMENTING
14	COHORT-WIDE ACTIVITIES TO GUIDE THE PERSONAL AND
15	PROFESSIONAL GROWTH OF TRAINEES, SUCH AS LIFE SKILLS
16	WORKSHOPS, RESUME, INTERVIEWS, WORKSHOPS, AND
17	NETWORKING EVENTS.
18	THEY WILL PROVIDE CAREER COUNSELING AND
19	INTRODUCE THE TRAINEES TO THE DIVERSE OPPORTUNITIES
20	THAT ARE AWAITING THEM FOR HOW THEY CAN APPLY THE
21	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE SKILL SETS IN THE WORKFORCE.
22	AND, FINALLY, IT IS THEIR RESPONSIBILITY
23	TO ASSIMILATE AND DESCRIBE THE NEW MENTORSHIP
24	APPROACHES AND BEST PRACTICES THAT THEY DEVELOP AND
25	REPORT THEM AND SHARE WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS SO

1	THAT THE BENEFITS OF THESE CAN BE SHARED WITH OTHER
2	INSTITUTIONS THAT MAY NOT NECESSARILY HAVE THEIR OWN
3	COMPASS PROGRAM.
4	SO WHO WILL BE ELIGIBLE TO APPLY FOR
5	COMPASS AWARDS? WE'RE PROPOSING THAT THIS AWARD
6	MECHANISM BE OPEN TO CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES,
7	COLLEGES, OR PRIVATE NONPROFIT ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS
8	THAT HAVE AN ACCREDITED BACHELOR'S DEGREE PROGRAM IN
9	BIOLOGY, BIOENGINEERING, BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES, OR
10	REALLY ANY STEM DISCIPLINE THAT'S RELEVANT TO
11	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE.
12	INSTITUTIONS THAT INTEND TO HOST THE
13	SUMMER RESEARCH INTERNSHIPS INTERNALLY, THAT IS AT
14	THEIR OWN INSTITUTION, MUST INCLUDE PARTICIPATING
15	FACULTY WITH FEDERAL OR CIRM-SUPPORTED RESEARCH
16	PROGRAMS IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE-RELATED
17	DISCIPLINES. HOWEVER, APPLICANT INSTITUTIONS THAT
18	LACK THIS NECESSARY RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE MAY
19	PARTNER WITH AN EXTERNAL ORGANIZATION SUCH AS A
20	RESEARCH UNIVERSITY OR INSTITUTE OR EVEN AN
21	APPROPRIATE BIOTECHNOLOGY OR PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY
22	TO PROVIDE AN APPROPRIATE INTERNSHIP EXPERIENCE AND
23	OPPORTUNITY FOR THEIR TRAINEES.
24	I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT
25	APPLICANTS WHO DO HAVE OTHER STEM-FOCUSED

1	UNDERGRADUATE TRAINING PROGRAMS WILL BE EXPECTED TO
2	ARTICULATE THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN PROGRAMS IF THEY
3	APPLY FOR A COMPASS AWARD AND ALSO DEMONSTRATE THEIR
4	CAPACITY TO ACCOMMODATE A NEW PROGRAM WITHOUT
5	DETRIMENTAL IMPACTS TO ANY OTHER.
6	AND THIS NEXT SLIDE IS TO SHOW YOU THE
7	BUDGET THAT IS REQUIRED TO SUPPORT THE COMPASS
8	AWARDS. SO WE WILL BE ASKING FOR AN ALLOCATION OF
9	APPROXIMATELY \$58 MILLION, WHICH IS ENOUGH TO
10	SUPPORT 300 TO 500 TRAINEES, DEPENDING ON THE LENGTH
11	OF THEIR APPOINTMENTS. THE WAY THIS BUDGET IS
12	STRUCTURED, I'M JUST GOING TO BRIEFLY GO THROUGH ON
13	THIS SLIDE BELOW.
14	SO ASSUMING AN INVESTMENT OF 20 AWARDS
15	WITH A MAXIMUM AWARD AMOUNT OF \$2.91 MILLION, WHICH
16	WOULD BE FULLY LOADED ACROSS ALL BUDGET CATEGORIES
17	OF AWARD. EACH PROGRAM COULD SUPPORT UP TO 25
18	STUDENTS DEPENDING ON WHETHER THEIR DURATIONS ARE
19	TWO YEARS, THREE YEARS, OR A MIXTURE. THE COMPONENT
20	OF THE 2.91 MILLION THAT WOULD BE DIRECTLY
21	ASSOCIATED WITH THE PER TRAINEE BASIS INCLUDE
22	\$30,800. THIS INCLUDES STIPENDS FOR THE STUDENTS,
23	COURSE FEES TO OFFSET THEIR TUITION,
24	RESEARCH-RELATED FUNDS TOR THEIR INTERNSHIP HOST
25	LAB, AND TRAVEL TO THE ANNUAL CONFERENCE.

1	THE SECOND COMPONENT OF THE BUDGET IS THE
2	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION AND OVERHEAD COSTS, WHICH IS
3	A LITTLE OVER \$27,000. THIS SUPPORTS THE OUTREACH
4	AND RECRUITMENT EFFORTS AND, OF COURSE, THE
5	MENTORSHIP PROGRAM, DEVELOPING, IMPLEMENTING, THE
6	SHARING THE RESULTS OF THAT.
7	THIS COMES DOWN TO A TOTAL COST PER
8	STUDENT PER YEAR OF \$58,220 PER YEAR OF APPOINTMENT.
9	IN SUM, CIRM REQUESTS THE BOARD APPROVE
10	THE PROPOSED EDUC5 COMPASS PROGRAM CONCEPT WITH AN
11	ALLOCATION OF 58.22 MILLION TO SUPPORT UP TO 20
12	EDUC5 AWARDS EACH WITH AN UP TO FIVE-YEAR DURATION
13	AT A COST OF APPROXIMATELY \$2.9 MILLION PER AWARD.
14	AND THAT CONCLUDES MY FORMAL PRESENTATION,
15	AND I'M HAPPY TO TAKE ANY QUESTIONS IF THERE ARE
16	ANY. THANK YOU.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, KELLY, FOR
18	THAT EXCELLENT PRESENTATION AND THIS TYPICALLY
19	EXTREMELY WELL THOUGHT OUT AND DETAILED NEW PROGRAM
20	THAT YOU'RE BRINGING TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION TODAY.
21	DO WE HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE REQUESTED
22	BUDGETED AMOUNT?
23	DR. HIGGINS: SO MOVED.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: IS THERE A SECOND?
25	DR. MARTIN: SECOND.
	0.5

1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DAVE MARTIN SECONDS.
2	QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?
3	DR. HIGGINS: I HAVE A QUICK QUESTION FOR
4	KELLY.
5	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, DAVID.
6	DR. HIGGINS: DO YOU HAVE ANY PREDICTION
7	AS TO YOU'VE GOT A BUDGET SET ASIDE, THE 58
8	MILLION, WHICH IS GREAT. YOU HAVE ANY IDEA HOW MUCH
9	OF THAT WILL ACTUALLY BE ABSORBED APPLIED FOR AND
10	GRANTED?
11	DR. SHEPARD: WELL, THIS IS A COMPLETELY
12	NEW PROGRAM, AND IT WILL BE OUR FIRST TIME OFFERING
13	IT. WE ARE DOING THIS BASED ON WE MADE SOME
14	ESTIMATES ON THE NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS THAT WE
15	THOUGHT WOULD BE ABLE TO APPLY. NOT EVERY
16	INSTITUTION THAT IS ELIGIBLE TO APPLY WOULD BE ABLE
17	TO SUPPORT A FULL LOAD OF TRAINEES AS THE BUDGET
18	WOULD ALLOW. HOWEVER, WE HAVE BASED THIS ON
19	COMPARISONS WITH SOME OF OUR OTHER TRAINING
20	PROGRAMS, THE NUMBER OF TRAINEES WE WOULD LIKE TO
21	SUPPORT. AND, OF COURSE, THERE'S A LOT OF NOVELTY
22	IN THAT WE'RE ASKING APPLICANTS TO COME UP WITH
23	INNOVATIVE MECHANISMS TO BOLSTER UNTAPPED TALENT.
24	AND PART OF THIS INNOVATION INVOLVES LEARNING,
25	TRYING NEW THINGS AND LEARNING FROM THEM AND

1	ADAPTING GOING FORWARD.
2	SO WE MAY LEARN IN THIS FIRST ITERATION
3	OF THIS PROGRAM, WE MAY LEARN A LOT THAT WILL HELP
4	US TO SHAPE IT AND CRAFT IT IN THE FUTURE JUST AS WE
5	HAVE OUR OTHER TRAINING PROGRAMS. BUT WE THINK THIS
6	IS A REALLY GOOD STARTING POINT BECAUSE WE HAVE A
7	FAIRLY GOOD IDEA OF THE TYPES OF INSTITUTIONS THAT
8	WOULD BE INTERESTED IN APPLYING AND THEIR STUDENT
9	BODY POPULATIONS AND WHAT THEY MIGHT BE ABLE TO
10	SUPPORT.
11	DR. HIGGINS: NOT ONLY DO YOU ANSWER THE
12	QUESTION CLEARLY AND COMPLETELY, BUT YOU TAKE IT THE
13	NEXT SEVERAL STEPS AND YOU'VE PROVED THAT YOU AND
14	THE REST OF THE STAFF KNOW EXACTLY WHAT YOU ARE
15	DOING, AND I LOVE THAT.
16	DR. SHEPARD: THANK YOU.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WE HAVE A NUMBER OF
18	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS. I BELIEVE ANNE-MARIE WAS
19	FIRST, AND THEN WE WILL GO DOWN THE LINE HERE.
20	DR. DULIEGE: I'LL BE BRIEF. KUDOS. SO
21	WELL DONE, SO WELL THOUGHT OUT, SO INNOVATIVE, SO
22	FORWARD THINKING, SO WELL PRESENTED AS WELL.
23	APPRECIATE THE BUZZ WORD, AND I'M NOT JOKING HERE,
24	MENTORSHIP AND DIVERSITY. WE NEED THAT MORE.
25	PEOPLE NEED THAT MORE EARLY IN THEIR PROFESSIONAL

1	LIVES, AND WE TALKED ABOUT THAT EVEN EARLIER TODAY.
2	SO MY QUESTION IS A LITTLE BIT ALONG THE
3	LINES OF WHAT WAS ASKED BEFORE. I KNOW YOU CANNOT
4	PREDICT EVERYTHING. THIS IS A FIRST STEP. BUT IN
5	YOUR IDEAL GOAL, YOUR GOAL, HOW MANY YOUNG
6	UNDERGRADS WOULD BENEFIT FROM THIS? FOR HOW LONG?
7	IS IT A YEAR, FOUR YEARS? SO THAT WE HAVE A ROUGH
8	IDEA OF IN THE END THE YIELD IN TERMS OF THE COST
9	INVESTED VERSUS THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT WILL
10	HOPEFULLY BE POSITIVELY IMPACTED BY THIS IN TERMS OF
11	UNDERGRAD APPLICANTS?
12	DR. SHEPARD: YES. SO WE THINK THAT WE
13	ARE BEING AMBITIOUS IN TARGETING AT LEAST 300 TO 500
14	STUDENTS. OF COURSE, AS SOME OF THE DISCUSSION
15	ALLUDED TO EARLIER TODAY, THERE ARE SOME CHALLENGES
16	THAT WE'RE TRYING TO OVERCOME. WE ARE TRYING TO
17	CREATE INTEREST AND RETAIN INTEREST IN INDIVIDUALS
18	COMING INTO SCHOOLS WHO MIGHT NOT YET HAVE REALIZED
19	OR DECIDED THAT A CAREER IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IS
20	FOR THEM. SO THIS PROGRAM IS GOING EARLY. IT'S
21	GOING TO BE BUILDING THESE PROGRAMS THAT WILL
22	INCLUDE A LOT OF NEW ELEMENTS THAT MAY TAKE A FEW
23	YEARS TO GET GOING.
24	SO I THINK THAT PROGRAMS THAT ALREADY HAVE
25	A LOT OF EXPERIENCE TARGETING UNTAPPED TALENT MIGHT

1	HAVE ELEMENTS IN PLACE THAT ARE READY TO GO. THERE
2	ARE OTHERS THAT MIGHT BE BUILDING. THE BUDGETS OF
3	THESE AWARDS, AS WITH OUR OTHER TRAINING AWARDS, THE
4	TRAINEE COMPONENTS ARE ONLY AWARDED IF THE STUDENTS
5	ARE PLACED. SO IF A PROGRAM IS UNABLE TO APPOINT
6	ALL THEIR SLOTS, THAT MONEY WILL COME BACK TO CIRM
7	EITHER AT THE END OF THE AWARD. OR IF THEY ARE ABLE
8	TO DEMONSTRATE TO US THAT THEY CAN CHANGE THINGS AND
9	DO BETTER IN THE NEXT YEAR, THEY MAY HAVE AN
10	OPPORTUNITY TO CARRY FORWARD THAT FUND TO DO THAT.
11	SO I ANTICIPATE, AS WE HAVE WITH OUR
12	LONG-STANDING PROGRAMS, WHICH WE'VE, INDEED, HAD 10,
13	12 YEARS TO LEARN FROM AND HAVE GONE THROUGH
14	MULTIPLE RFA CYCLES, I EXPECT THAT WE WILL LEARN A
15	LOT FROM THIS ONE AS WELL. IN FACT, THIS PROGRAM
16	INCORPORATES A LOT OF THE LESSONS WE HAVE LEARNED
17	FROM ADMINISTERING PREVIOUS TRAINING PROGRAMS. SO
18	I'M CONFIDENT THAT AFTER THE FIRST COUPLE OF YEARS,
19	WE WILL SEE HOW WE'RE GOING WITH THE BASE THAT WE
20	WERE HOPING TO CAPTURE. IF IT'S FEWER THAN WE ARE
21	HOPING, WHICH IS 300 TO 500 STUDENTS, THAT WILL TELL
22	US THAT WE NEED TO DO MORE AND WE NEED TO ASK THESE
23	PROGRAMS TO DO MORE. AND WE CAN COURSE CORRECT
24	ALONGSIDE THEM. THANK YOU FOR THAT QUESTION. DID I
25	ANSWER IT SUFFICIENTLY OR IS THERE MORE?

1	DR. DULIEGE: YES, VERY MUCH SO. THANK
2	YOU.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. HAIFA
4	PLEASE.
5	DR. ABDULHAQ: THANK YOU, KELLY. I ECHO
6	THE SAME SENTIMENTS. I THINK THIS IS A GREAT
7	PROGRAM. AND MY QUESTION TO YOU, KELLY, IS HOW IS
8	CIRM GOING TO ADVERTISE FOR THIS AMONGST DIFFERENT
9	INSTITUTIONS TO MAKE SURE THERE IS A BROAD OUTREACH?
10	AND FOR THOSE INSTITUTIONS THAT HAVE PROBABLY ROBUST
11	CANDIDATES, BUT THEY DON'T HAVE CAPABILITIES TO
12	MENTOR AND THEY WOULD LIKE TO COLLABORATE WITH OTHER
13	INSTITUTIONS, HOW'S CIRM GOING TO HELP WITH THAT AS
14	WELL?
15	DR. SHEPARD: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO
16	WE'VE BEEN THINKING ABOUT THAT AS WELL. AND WE HAVE
17	A COMMUNICATIONS TEAM THAT HAS A NUMBER OF NEW
18	MEMBERS THAT ARE VERY SAVVY AND HAVE SOME NEW IDEAS
19	ABOUT HOW WE CAN DO THAT. BUT ONE WAY WE DO IS WE
20	HAVE A PRETTY FIRM CONNECTION WITH THE INVESTIGATORS
21	THAT DO HAVE THE MAJOR RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE IN
22	THOSE INSTITUTIONS. SO ONE SIDE OF THE COIN IS
23	WORKING WITH THEM TO MAKE SURE THEY'RE DOING THE
24	APPROPRIATE OUTREACH THAT WE EXPECT OF THEM,
25	SPEAKING TO OTHER ORGANIZATIONS, AND FINDING WAYS TO

1	SUPPORT THEM. THAT'S ONE SIDE OF THE COIN.
2	THE OTHER SIDE OF THE COIN IS MAKING THIS
3	OPPORTUNITY, RAISING AWARENESS ABOUT IT TO THE
4	INSTITUTIONS THAT MIGHT BENEFIT FROM IT, AND
5	EDUCATING THEM THAT THEY MAY BE ABLE TO APPLY IF
6	THEY ARE ABLE TO FORM PARTNERSHIPS. PART OF THAT
7	OUTREACH WILL BE THROUGH OUR COMMUNICATIONS PLAN.
8	AND I HAVE VARIOUS CONTACTS THROUGH ADMINISTRATION
9	OF THE BRIDGES PROGRAM WITH CAL STATE UNIVERSITIES,
10	COMMUNITY COLLEGES. WE HAVE CONTACTS WITHIN THOSE
11	SYSTEMS WHO CAN SHARE THE WORD WITHIN THOSE
12	ORGANIZATIONS. SO WE WILL DO AN OUTREACH BLITZ TO
13	MAKE THEM AWARE.
14	BUT I BELIEVE THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO
15	ALSO, ONCE WE ARE ABLE TO POST THE PROGRAM
15 16	ALSO, ONCE WE ARE ABLE TO POST THE PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT, HAVE SOME KIND OF A WEBINAR OR
16	ANNOUNCEMENT, HAVE SOME KIND OF A WEBINAR OR
16 17	ANNOUNCEMENT, HAVE SOME KIND OF A WEBINAR OR INFORMATION SESSION THAT WE WILL PERSONALLY OUR
16 17 18	ANNOUNCEMENT, HAVE SOME KIND OF A WEBINAR OR INFORMATION SESSION THAT WE WILL PERSONALLY OUR PROGRAMS TEAM WILL BE INVOLVED IN TO EDUCATE AND
16 17 18 19	ANNOUNCEMENT, HAVE SOME KIND OF A WEBINAR OR INFORMATION SESSION THAT WE WILL PERSONALLY OUR PROGRAMS TEAM WILL BE INVOLVED IN TO EDUCATE AND MAKE THEM AWARE. AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WE
16 17 18 19 20	ANNOUNCEMENT, HAVE SOME KIND OF A WEBINAR OR INFORMATION SESSION THAT WE WILL PERSONALLY OUR PROGRAMS TEAM WILL BE INVOLVED IN TO EDUCATE AND MAKE THEM AWARE. AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO REPORT. SO IT CAN BE SHARED. AND
16 17 18 19 20 21	ANNOUNCEMENT, HAVE SOME KIND OF A WEBINAR OR INFORMATION SESSION THAT WE WILL PERSONALLY OUR PROGRAMS TEAM WILL BE INVOLVED IN TO EDUCATE AND MAKE THEM AWARE. AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO REPORT. SO IT CAN BE SHARED. AND OTHER PEOPLE COULD VISIT AND WATCH IT AND LEARN IF
16 17 18 19 20 21	ANNOUNCEMENT, HAVE SOME KIND OF A WEBINAR OR INFORMATION SESSION THAT WE WILL PERSONALLY OUR PROGRAMS TEAM WILL BE INVOLVED IN TO EDUCATE AND MAKE THEM AWARE. AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO REPORT. SO IT CAN BE SHARED. AND OTHER PEOPLE COULD VISIT AND WATCH IT AND LEARN IF THEY'RE UNABLE TO ATTEND A LIVE WEBINAR.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ANNOUNCEMENT, HAVE SOME KIND OF A WEBINAR OR INFORMATION SESSION THAT WE WILL PERSONALLY OUR PROGRAMS TEAM WILL BE INVOLVED IN TO EDUCATE AND MAKE THEM AWARE. AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO REPORT. SO IT CAN BE SHARED. AND OTHER PEOPLE COULD VISIT AND WATCH IT AND LEARN IF THEY'RE UNABLE TO ATTEND A LIVE WEBINAR. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: STEVE, YOU WERE NEXT.

1	BRAND-NEW PROGRAM THAT I'M NOT EVEN AWARE THAT
2	ANY OTHER INSTITUTION HAS ANYTHING LIKE THIS. SO
3	THE FIRST QUESTION REALLY IS WHO IS GOING TO MAKE
4	THE ASSESSMENT OF WHO CAN PARTICIPATE AS AN
5	INSTITUTION OR NOT? WHERE IS THE KNOWLEDGE BASE OF
6	REVIEWERS THAT WOULD SAY THAT THIS APPLICATION IS A
7	GOOD APPLICATION AND THIS ONE ISN'T? WHO DO YOU
8	INTEND THOSE PEOPLE TO BE?
9	DR. SHEPARD: THE ACTUAL DECISIONS AS TO
10	THE MERIT OF AN APPLICATION, INCLUDING THE
11	INSTITUTION AND WHAT THEY CAN BRING TO THE TABLE,
12	THAT IS THE TOPIC OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP
13	DISCUSSION. AND SO THE REVIEW CRITERIA THAT WE WILL
14	ASK THEM TO USE TO EVALUATE THESE PROPOSALS WILL
15	FACTOR IN A LOT OF THOSE THINGS THAT YOU ARE
16	CONCERNED ABOUT. AND, INDEED, WITH OUR EXPERIENCE
17	WITH THE BRIDGES PROGRAM, ALL OF THOSE STUDENTS ALSO
18	WORK IN A HOST LAB WHICH IS A PARTNERING
19	INSTITUTION. PART OF THE REVIEW OF THAT PROCESS IS
20	LOOKING AT THE DIFFERENT HOST INSTITUTIONS AND
21	AGREEMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN CREATED AND PUTTING
22	TOGETHER THE APPLICATION AND WHETHER THEY REPRESENT
23	APPROPRIATE OPPORTUNITIES.
24	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WHO REVIEW OUR
25	TRAINING PROGRAMS INCLUDE EXPERTS IN STEM CELL

1	BIOLOGY AND REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, BUT THEY ALSO
2	INCLUDE EXPERTS IN EDUCATION AND DELIVERING
3	EDUCATION, INCLUDING THOSE WHO HAVE EXPERTISE IN
4	MENTORING AND OUTREACH. AND, OF COURSE, DIVERSITY,
5	EQUITY, AND INCLUSION IS A CRITICAL COMPONENT OF
6	THIS PROGRAM. AND WE DO HAVE EXPERTISE ON OUR
7	GRANTS WORKING GROUP THAT CAN SPEAK TO THAT; OR IF
8	WE NEED MORE IN SPECIFIC AREAS, THEY CAN BE
9	RECRUITED FOR THE REVIEW.
10	SO BASICALLY THE SHORT ANSWER IS THAT WE
11	WILL ASK EXPERTS FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WHO
12	DO HAVE THE KIND OF EXPERTISE TO EVALUATE BOTH THE
13	SCIENTIFIC ELEMENTS OF WHAT WILL BE OFFERED TO THE
14	TRAINEES AS WELL AS THE MECHANICS OF THE MENTORSHIP
15	AND RECRUITMENT STRATEGIES AND WHETHER OR NOT
16	THEY'LL DELIVER ON WHAT WE'RE ASKING THEM TO DO.
17	MR. JUELSGAARD: I ACCEPT WHAT YOU SAID
18	EXCEPT THAT OVER THE YEARS IN REVIEWING THE
19	BIOGRAPHIES OF GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERS, WHICH
20	HAVE BEEN A NUMBER, I DON'T RECALL TOO MANY, IF ANY
21	AT ALL, THAT SPEAK TO THEIR ABILITY TO JUDGE
22	EDUCATIONAL ENTERPRISES, ET CETERA. SO HENCE MY
23	QUESTION. FOR THE MOIST PART, OUR GWG MEMBERS ARE
24	VERY STEEPED IN SCIENCE, BUT NOT SO MUCH IN THIS
25	SORT OF WORK. AND SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE

1	HAVE PEOPLE WHO UNDERSTAND HOW THIS WORKS, MAKING
2	THESE JUDGMENTS, WHICH GOES TO THE SECOND QUESTION.
3	SO THIS IS A LARGE ROLLOUT, \$58 MILLION,
4	20 INSTITUTIONS. OFTENTIMES WHEN YOU'RE DOING
5	SOMETHING THAT'S VERY NEW AND DIFFERENT, YOU START
6	WITH A PILOT PROGRAM, SOMETHING A LITTLE SMALLER YOU
7	CAN GET YOUR HANDS AROUND, MAKE SURE THAT YOU'VE
8	GOT IT'S WORKING THE WAY YOU WANT IT TO BEFORE
9	YOU DECIDE TO ROLL IT OUT LARGER. SO I JUST
10	WONDERED IF YOU HAD CONSIDERED STARTING SMALLER FOR
11	THE FIRST YEAR OR TWO, MAKING SURE YOU'VE GOT THIS
12	THING WORKING BEFORE YOU DECIDE TO GO BROAD.
13	DR. SHEPARD: YES, INDEED, WE HAVE
14	CONSIDERED THAT. AND EARLIER THE TEAM DISCUSSED A
15	NUMBER OF OPTIONS. AND ULTIMATELY I BELIEVE, AND I
16	DON'T WANT TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF THEM, DR. MILLAN,
17	IF YOU WANT TO ADDRESS THIS, BUT ULTIMATELY IT IS A
18	PILOT PROGRAM. AND THE WAY WE INTEND TO ADMINISTER
19	IT IS THE PAYMENTS AND FUNDS ARE STRUCTURED IN SUCH
20	A WAY THAT WILL BE MILESTONES WHERE THEY DEMONSTRATE
21	THE PIECES. IF IT'S A COMPLETELY NEW PROGRAM, THAT
22	THE PIECES THAT NEED TO BE BUILT COME INTO PLACE AS
23	THE PROGRAMS RAMP UP. AS I MENTIONED, THE DERISKING
24	OF THE FACT THAT CERTAIN COMPONENTS OF THE AWARD
25	AREN'T PAID IF THE TRAINEES AREN'T APPOINTED. WE

1	FEEL IT IS SOMETHING THAT DERISKED THIS TO OPERATE
2	IT IN THIS WAY. AND WE ALSO HAVE MANY NEW
3	COMPONENTS COMING TOGETHER THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO
4	FACTOR INTO THIS AS WE LEARN AND AS WE GO.
5	SO I'M NOT SURE IF THAT COMPLETELY
6	ADDRESSES YOUR SECOND QUESTION. AND I WILL GIVE DR.
7	MILLAN OR ANYBODY ELSE WHO WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK TO
8	THAT AN OPPORTUNITY TO SAY THAT. BUT I ALSO, TO
9	YOUR FIRST QUESTION, WE HAVE A NUMBER OF GWG THAT
10	ARE SPECIALIZED FOR EDUCATION THAT REALLY ONLY
11	PARTICIPATE ON OUR TRAINING GRANT REVIEWS, AND
12	THEY'RE NOT EXACTLY THE SAME AS THE ONES YOU HEAR
13	ABOUT REVIEWING MOST OF OUR PROGRAMS. AND THERE ARE
14	A NUMBER OF NEW ONES APPOINTED JUST LAST YEAR THAT
15	PARTICIPATED IN A REVIEW. I DON'T KNOW IF DR.
16	SAMBRANO WANTS TO SPEAK TO THAT. THAT CAME UP
17	EARLIER, AND WE CAN ALWAYS SORRY. OUR REVIEW
18	OFFICE CAN ALWAYS RECRUIT MORE AND ADDITIONAL
19	EXPERTISE AS NEEDED. AND DO WE THINK THAT WEIGHING
20	IN ON THE DEI COMPONENT AND THE OUTREACH COMPONENT
21	AND THE MENTORSHIP PROGRAMS OF THIS PROGRAM ARE KEY
22	TO MAKING IT SUCCESSFUL.
23	MR. JUELSGAARD: ALL RIGHT. JUST ONE FINAL
24	POINT, UNLESS DR. MILLAN HAS SOMETHING TO SAY, WHICH
25	IS GIVEN ALL OF THIS, I THINK IT WOULD BE USEFUL,

1	THIS IS A SUGGESTION ON MY PART, THAT LET'S SAY
2	AFTER A YEAR OR SO OF HAVING GOT THIS THING UP AND
3	RUNNING THAT THE BOARD HEARS BACK ABOUT HOW THINGS
4	ARE GOING, WHAT YOU'VE BEEN ABLE TO DO AND HOW
5	THINGS ARE PROCEEDING, THAT WE KNOW THAT THIS IS
6	GOING IN A FORWARD DIRECTION.
7	DR. SHEPARD: I THINK THAT'S A WONDERFUL
8	IDEA. I'M ALWAYS HAPPY TO COME AND TELL YOU HOW THE
9	RESULTS ARE IN REAL TIME OF THE CONCEPTS AND
10	PROGRAMS THAT YOU HAVE WEIGHED IN ON AND APPROVED
11	ULTIMATELY AND MADE YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS. SO I'D BE
12	VERY HAPPY TO DO THAT.
13	MR. JUELSGAARD: THANK YOU.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, STEVE, FOR
15	ALL YOUR COMMENTS. YSABEL, YOU'RE NEXT.
16	MS. DURON: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. AND
17	THANK YOU TO STEVE FOR HIS QUESTIONS. THOSE ARE
18	REALLY IMPORTANT ONES.
19	KELLY, GREAT. CONGRATULATIONS. I LOVE
20	THIS. IT SPEAKS TO MY EARLIER FEARS AND CONCERNS
21	ALTHOUGH IT'S GOING TO BE AWHILE TO MOVE THOSE KIDS
22	THROUGH PIPELINES, BUT IT IS A PATHWAY. AND I
23	REALLY APPRECIATE THAT CIRM IS THINKING ABOUT THIS.
24	TO AN EARLIER POINT THAT ART MADE AND WANT
25	TO MAKE AGAIN WITH THE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION ISSUE AT

1	THE SUPREME COURT, ONE, ARE YOU THINKING ABOUT HOW
2	YOU MIGHT HAVE TO QUICKLY PIVOT OR BE MORE NIMBLE IN
3	THE NEXT MONTHS AHEAD TO RESPOND? BUT ALSO I HEARD
4	YOU SAY ONE THING. I HADN'T SEEN IT, BUT I'M GLAD
5	YOU MENTIONED COMMUNITY COLLEGES BECAUSE I THINK
6	THAT MAY ALSO BE A MISSED OPPORTUNITY IF WE DON'T
7	REACH INTO THOSE INSTITUTIONS WHO I BELIEVE ARE
8	ACTUALLY TRYING TO BUILD UP THEIR SCIENCE PROGRAMS
9	TO GET YOUNG STUDENTS STARTED EARLY AND TO CAUSE TO
LO	SEED THAT KIND OF BELIEF AND ABILITY TO DO SCIENCE
L1	AND TO BUILD A CAREER ON THAT. SO I'M GLAD TO HEAR
L2	YOU'RE DOING THAT.
L3	BUT TO THE QUESTION. HAVE YOU THOUGHT
L4	THROUGH HOW YOU MIGHT HAVE TO PIVOT AND RESPOND
L5	SHOULD THE SUPREME COURT TAKE WHAT I WOULD CONSIDER
L6	A NEGATIVE ACTION AGAINST AFFIRMATIVE ACTION?
L7	DR. SHEPARD: YES. THAT HAS ONLY COME UP
L8	RECENTLY SINCE WE PUT TOGETHER THIS CONCEPT. BUT,
L9	OF COURSE, WE'VE ALWAYS HAD TO DEAL WITH PROPOSITION
20	209 AND THE RESTRICTIONS AROUND THAT IN THE STATE.
21	WE KNOW THAT WE AREN'T ALLOWED TO TARGET SPECIFIC
22	ETHNICITIES OR RACES IN MAKING FUNDING DECISIONS.
23	HOWEVER, WE FEEL THAT BY HAVING PROGRAMS ASSESS GAPS
24	AND COME UP WITH SPECIFIC OUTREACH STRATEGIES TO
25	TARGET THEM IS A GOOD WAY TO GO BECAUSE WE BELIEVE

1	THAT THIS IS ACTUALLY ADDRESSING A SCIENTIFIC
2	CHALLENGE.
3	IT'S NOT INCREASING DIVERSITY AND
4	PERSPECTIVES IS IMPORTANT FROM MANY FOR MANY
5	DIFFERENT REASONS. BUT CIRM IS ULTIMATELY
6	INTERESTED IN DEVELOPING CURES. AND HAVING THOSE
7	PERSPECTIVES, IT'S CRITICAL TO ADVANCING THE SCIENCE
8	BECAUSE THESE PERSPECTIVES OPEN UP LINES AND
9	INVESTIGATION AND QUESTIONING THAT MIGHT NOT HAVE
10	BEEN CONSIDERED BY SOMEBODY ELSE'S LIMITED
11	PERSPECTIVES. SO WE SEE IT AS A WAY TO ADDRESS A
12	SCIENTIFIC REVIEW CRITERIA INSIDE AND OUTSIDE OF
13	ANYTHING FOCUSED SPECIFICALLY ON DIVERSIFYING.
14	SO THOSE GOALS ARE PARALLEL, BUT THEY'RE
15	THE SAME IN A WAY. SO WE ARE THINKING ABOUT THEM.
16	WE'LL CERTAINLY KEEP A CLOSE EYE ON ANY DECISIONS
17	AND WHETHER OR NOT THAT AFFECTS THE WAY WE NEED TO
18	DO THAT. BUT WE ARE SPECIFICALLY ALLOWED TO TARGET
19	SOCIOECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED AND SIMILAR FIRST
20	GENERATION IN COLLEGE, AND WE HAVE A LOT OF
21	
Z I	BENCHMARKS THAT WE CAN LOOK AT THAT WE THINK WILL BE
	BENCHMARKS THAT WE CAN LOOK AT THAT WE THINK WILL BE ABLE TO HELP US TRY TO NAVIGATE AROUND ANY NEW
22	
22 23	ABLE TO HELP US TRY TO NAVIGATE AROUND ANY NEW
21 22 23 24 25	ABLE TO HELP US TRY TO NAVIGATE AROUND ANY NEW CHALLENGES THAT MIGHT PRESENT THEMSELVES WITHIN THE

1	WOULD ADDRESS SOMETHING NOT KNOWING WHAT EXACTLY NEW
2	OBSTACLE MIGHT COME OUR WAY, BUT WE ARE FULLY
3	PREPARED TO DO WHATEVER WE CAN TO FIND WORKAROUNDS
4	THAT WILL ALLOW US TO DO THIS.
5	MS. DURON: WELL, THANK YOU. AND BEST BE
6	PREPARED FOR ANYTHING, I GUESS, BUT I'M GLAD YOU
7	BASICALLY HAVE SOME EXPERIENCE AROUND IT JUST
8	DEALING WITH 209. THANK YOU.
9	DR. SHEPARD: THANK YOU.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, YSABEL. ART,
11	YOU'RE NEXT.
12	MR. TORRES: THESE FOUR PROGRAMS WITH THE
13	PREVIOUS THREE, BRIDGES, SPARK, HAVE BEEN
14	INSTRUMENTAL IN BRINGING A DIVERSE GROUP OF YOUNG
15	PEOPLE FROM THE STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM, COMMUNITY
16	COLLEGES, AND HIGH SCHOOLS INTO THE MIX. AND I
17	THINK WE ARE DOING MORE THAN THE UNIVERSITY OF
18	CALIFORNIA IS IN RECRUITMENT OF THESE YOUNG PEOPLE
19	FROM DIVERSE BACKGROUNDS AND SOCIOECONOMIC
20	BACKGROUNDS THAT HAVE BEEN UNDERSERVED.
21	LET'S KEEP IN MIND THAT THE CIRM SCHOLAR
22	PROGRAM, ONE OF OUR BIGGEST GRADUATES, WHICH WAS
23	DERRICK ROSSI, COFOUNDER OF MODERNA. SO WE MADE AN
24	EFFORT IN TERMS OF REACHING OUT TO YOUNG SCIENTISTS
25	WITH THAT CIRM SCHOLAR PROGRAM. BUT, AGAIN, IT

1	COMES BACK TO WHAT STEVE STATED VERY ELOQUENTLY.
2	AND THAT IS REVIEW AND OVERVIEW AND FOLLOW-UP. I
3	THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT BECAUSE I KNOW GIL AND MARIA
4	AND OTHERS WHO HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THIS, KELLY
5	ESPECIALLY, ARE ALWAYS RECOGNIZING THAT WE NEED TO
6	FIND OUT WHERE THESE KIDS END UP. AND WE'D BE
7	SURPRISED AT THE IMPRESSIVE POSITIONS OF MANY OF
8	THESE PEOPLE, ESPECIALLY IN THE BRIDGES PROGRAM,
9	HAVE LANDED OVER THE YEARS IN TERMS OF THE SCIENCE
10	AND RESEARCH, NOT ONLY IN CALIFORNIA, BUT CLEARLY
11	ACROSS THE COUNTRY.
12	AND SPEAKING OF COMMUNITY COLLEGES, THERE
13	WAS A RECENT LAW PASSED IN 2014 THAT ALLOWS US TO
14	PROVIDE FOR FOUR-YEAR BACHELOR'S DEGREES AT
15	COMMUNITY COLLEGES. ONE OF THOSE PROGRAMS IS VERY
16	IMPORTANT TO US, WHICH I'VE BEEN WORKING WITH SHYAM
17	PATEL AND OTHERS ON OUR STAFF, AND THAT IS THE
18	BIOMANUFACTURING MAJOR OFFERED AT SOLANO COLLEGE,
19	RIGHT NEAR UC SOUTH OF DAVIS AND IN SAN DIEGO, THE
20	MIRACOSTA COLLEGE, WHERE FOUR-YEAR GRADUATE PROGRAMS
21	ARE BEING PROVIDED WITH FULL BACCALAUREATE AT MUCH
22	LESS EXPENSE, ESPECIALLY IN BIOMANUFACTURING, WHICH
23	IS A CHALLENGE FOR US.
24	SO MARIA AND I HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THAT A
25	LOT AND HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THAT FOR SOME TIME.

1	AND, AGAIN, IT'S THESE KINDS OF RECRUITMENT
2	PROGRAMS, THESE KINDS OF RESOURCES THAT WE ARE
3	PROVIDING IS THE FUTURE AND THE FUTURE FOR BIOTECH,
4	BIOSCIENCE, AND CLEARLY FOR PATIENTS. THANK YOU.
5	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, ART.
6	LEONDRA.
7	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: THANK YOU. I
8	DEFINITELY AGREE WITH THE COMMENTS THAT WERE JUST
9	MADE BY ART REGARDING THE FOLLOW-UP AND THE
10	FOLLOW-THROUGH AND JUST REALLY LOOKING AT WHERE
11	PEOPLE END UP. I THINK THAT'S WONDERFUL DATA TO
12	COLLECT. I DON'T THINK ENOUGH PEOPLE DO THAT.
13	AND I ALSO WANT TO AGREE WITH THE COMMENTS
14	THAT YSABEL JUST MADE AND THE QUESTIONS SHE HAD. I
15	THINK OUTREACH OBVIOUSLY IS SO IMPORTANT, AND I
16	REALLY WOULD ENCOURAGE THINKING KIND OF OUTSIDE OF
17	THE TYPICAL RECRUITMENT AND OUTREACH BOX THAT WE
18	UTILIZED FOR SO LONG, ESPECIALLY IN THE DIGITAL AGE,
19	SO SOCIAL MEDIA. AND THEN ALSO LOOKING AT WHO YOU
20	CAN BRING ON AS AMBASSADORS FROM COMMUNITY-BASED
21	ORGANIZATIONS OR FOLKS THAT REPRESENT THE PEOPLE
22	THAT WE ARE TARGETING TO SPREAD THE MESSAGE, TO GET
23	THE INFORMATION OUT THERE, I THINK ARE THINGS TO
24	CONTINUE TO THINK ABOUT DOING.
25	THINGS ARE CHANGING SO QUICKLY IN

1	COMMUNICATION, PARTICULARLY NOW THAT WE'RE OUT OF
2	PANDEMIC. SO OUR TYPICAL KIND OF JOB FAIR MODEL OR
3	E-MAILING OR THAT TYPE OF THING, IT'S NOT AS
4	EFFECTIVE. SO JUST WANTED TO DEFINITELY ENCOURAGE
5	IN ORDER TO REACH PEOPLE.
6	DR. SHEPARD: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT
7	SUGGESTION. I LEARNED A LOT ABOUT THIS AS WELL IN
8	DOING THE RESEARCH TO PUT TOGETHER THIS CONCEPT
9	BECAUSE I BROUGHT I MEAN OUR TEAM, WE BROUGHT IN
10	PERSPECTIVES THAT WE DIDN'T HAVE AT CIRM, INCLUDING
11	WE DID SPEAK TO A COMMUNITY ORGANIZER AND SOME
12	OTHERS WHO ARE INVOLVED, AND WE CERTAINLY LEARNED A
13	LOT. AND IT HELPED INFORM THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE
14	CONCEPT, BUT OUR LEARNING DOESN'T STOP THERE. WE
15	WANT TO CONTINUE THE DIALOGUE AND BRING IN NEW
16	PERSPECTIVES AND EVOLVE AND MAKE THESE PROGRAMS THE
17	BEST THEY CAN POSSIBLY BE. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH
18	FOR THAT SUGGESTION, AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO TRY TO
19	DO MORE OF THAT. THANK YOU.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DAVE MARTIN.
21	DR. MARTIN: JUST VERY QUICKLY. KELLY,
22	THAT SOUNDS VERY EXCITING.
23	I URGE WHILE I HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY, I
24	URGE AND YOU DR. MILLAN AND YSABEL ACTUALLY, IF YOU
25	HAVEN'T, TO LOOK VERY QUICKLY AND CAREFULLY AT AN

1	ORGANIZATION CALLED SUMMER SEARCH. IT'S A NATIONAL
2	ORGANIZATION. IT'S VERY ACTIVE IN CALIFORNIA. AND
3	IT WILL REALLY HELP THIS PROGRAM.
4	DR. SHEPARD: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT
5	SUGGESTION. I WILL DO THAT IMMEDIATELY AFTER THIS
6	MEETING IS CONCLUDED.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY OTHER COMMENTS BY
8	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM THE
9	PUBLIC?
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: THERE ARE NO COMMENTS.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. AGAIN,
12	KELLY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
13	I HAVE ONE LAST QUESTION FOR YOU, WHICH IS
14	THIS IS A THIS LATEST PROGRAM SORT OF FILLS IN
15	ONE OF THE FEW INTERSTICES BETWEEN THE VARIOUS
16	PROGRAMS THAT WE HAVE. DO YOU ANTICIPATE THAT THERE
17	WILL BE ANY OTHER EDUC PROGRAMS COMING DOWN THE
18	PIKE? AND IF SO, WHAT MIGHT THEY BE?
19	DR. SHEPARD: PART OF OUR STRATEGIC PLAN
20	INCLUDES BOLSTERING AND INVESTING IN THESE PILLARS,
21	BUT ALSO CREATING ADDITIONAL TYPES OF ONRAMPS BY
22	LEVERAGING THROUGH OUR OTHER PROGRAMS THAT ARE
23	EXISTING, BUT ALSO IN DEVELOPMENT. SO WE HAVE SOME
24	PRELIMINARY PLANS LAID OUT OVER THE NEXT FEW YEARS
25	FOR THE FIRST THINGS THAT WE WILL ROLL OUT. AND I

1	DON'T HAVE SPECIFICS AT THIS TIME, BUT I THINK YOU
2	WILL SEE THAT THEY WILL CONTINUE TO BUILD IN
3	WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BECAUSE, AS GOOD AS THESE
4	PROGRAMS ARE, THERE ARE STILL SOME AREAS THAT WE
5	THINK ARE IMPORTANT FOR DELIVERING IN THE FUTURE,
6	ESPECIALLY AT STAGES THAT ARE DISCIPLINES AND
7	SPECIALTIES THAT ARE NOT NECESSARILY THE ONES THAT
8	ARE INTRODUCED TO STUDENTS DURING THEIR
9	UNDERGRADUATE EDUCATION IN THE ACADEMIC ENVIRONMENT.
10	SO BUILDING CONNECTIONS TO THOSE
11	ORGANIZATIONS THAT DO HAVE THAT KIND OF TRAINING AND
12	HOOKING THEM UP AND INTO THESE PROGRAMS, I THINK
13	IT'S FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU WILL SEE MORE TYPES OF
14	TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES IN THE FUTURE. AS TO WHETHER
15	IT WOULD BE AN EDUC6 OR COME IN UNDER THE GUISE OF
16	ONE OUR OTHER PROGRAMS, THAT IS SOMETHING THAT THE
17	STRATEGIC PLAN WILL FORMULATE OVER THE NEXT COUPLE
18	YEARS.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. DR. MILLAN.
20	DR. MILLAN: I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY THAT,
21	FOR SURE, WITH THE ADDITION OF THIS NEW PROGRAM, WE
22	COVER A LOT OF GROUND. SO I THINK THERE'S A LOT WE
23	CAN WORK WITH IN TERMS OF LEVERAGING THESE EDUCATION
24	PROGRAMS FOR SOME OF OUR OTHER OBJECTIVES. AS OF
25	NOW, THERE IS NOTHING PLANNED FOR ANOTHER CONCEPT AT

1	LEAST IN THE NEXT YEAR. SO THIS IS A REALLY BIG
2	PIECE OF IT. EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN THE
3	LARGEST INVESTMENT SINCE THE PASSAGE OF PROP 14.
4	AND WE WANTED TO DO THAT EARLY BECAUSE WE WANTED TO
5	GET THINGS GOING BECAUSE, AS YSABEL NOTED, IT'S
6	GOING TO TAKE A LITTLE WHILE AND THEY NEED IT HERE
7	TODAY.
8	BUT I DO FEEL THAT WITH THE ADDITION OF
9	THIS NEW PROGRAM, THIS TAKES CARE OF THE VARIOUS
10	ONRAMPS, AND I THINK WE HAVE A LOT TO WORK WITH.
11	THANK YOU.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. OKAY.
13	MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFA ABDULHAQ.
15	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
17	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
19	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL BOTCHAN. LINDA
21	BOXER.
22	DR. BOXER: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
24	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
	105
	105

1	DR. DUL	IEGE: YES.
2	MS. BON	NNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
3	MS. DUF	RON: YES.
4	MS. BON	NNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
5	DR. FIS	SCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
6	MS. BON	NEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
7	DR. FIS	SHER: YES.
8	MS. BON	NNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS. JUDY
9	GASSON.	
10	DR. GAS	SSON: YES.
11	MS. BON	NNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
12	DR. GOL	DSTEIN: YES.
13	MS. BON	NNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
14	DR. HIC	GGINS: YES.
15	MS. BON	NNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
16	MR. JUE	ELSGAARD: YES.
17	MS. BON	NNEVILLE: JAMES KOVACH.
18	DR. KOV	/ACH: YES.
19	MS. BON	NNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
20	MR. LAS	JARA: YES.
21	MS. BON	NNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
22	DR. LEV	/ITT: YES.
23	MS. BON	NNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
24	DR. LO:	YES.
25	MS. BON	NNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
		106

1	DR. MALKAS: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
3	DR. MARTIN: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
5	DR. MELMED: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
7	LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
8	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
10	DR. PADILLA: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
12	MR. PANETTA: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. MICHAEL
14	STAMOS.
15	DR. STAMOS: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
19	MR. TORRES: AYE.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
21	DR. VUORI: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: KAROL WATSON.
23	DR. WATSON: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: KEITH YAMAMOTO.
25	BARRY, ARE YOU ON THE LINE? THE MOTION
	107
	107

1	CARRIES.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. THANK YOU TO
3	KELLY AND ALL OF YOUR TEAM. ANOTHER EXPERTLY
4	THOUGHT OUT PROGRAM, AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO
5	IMPLEMENTATION. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
6	DR. SHEPARD: THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH AS
7	WELL.
8	DR. DULIEGE: J.T., IF YOU DON'T MIND, I
9	HAVE A QUICK QUESTION. I WAS JUST THINKING ABOUT
10	OUR DISCUSSION. I OFTEN GET REQUESTS OR QUESTIONS
11	FROM YOUNG PEOPLE WHO ARE LOOKING FOR MENTORSHIP IN
12	BIOTECHNOLOGY IN GENERAL. AND I WAS WONDERING IF
13	SOMEONE AT CIRM COULD SEND US AN E-MAIL WITH A NAME
14	AT CIRM TO ANSWER THE QUESTION. THESE ARE USUALLY
15	EITHER UNDERGRADS OR SHORTLY AFTER GRAD. AND TO
16	SOME EXTENT, IF ANY OF YOU HAVE THOSE RESOURCES, I
17	JUST LOOKED AT SUMMER SEARCH, WHICH IS FANTASTIC.
18	COULD WE HAVE A LIST OF THOSE WEBSITES,
19	ORGANIZATIONS THAT CAN HELP YOUNG PEOPLE WE WANT TO
20	MENTOR? SO THANK YOU SO MUCH.
21	DR. SHEPARD: THANK YOU.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, ANNE-MARIE.
23	OKAY. ON TO THE NEXT ITEM, ANOTHER CONCEPT PLAN,
24	WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF THE CONCEPT PLAN FOR
25	INFRASTRUCTURE 4, FUNDING OPPORTUNITY FOR ALPHA
	108

1	CLINIC NETWORK EXPANSION. AND WE WILL HAVE A
2	PRESENTATION HERE FROM GEOFF LOMAX.
3	DR. LOMAX: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'M JUST
4	GOING TO THANK YOU. THAT'S THE TITLE SLIDE, SO
5	I'M GOING TO MOVE TO MY PRESENTATION. BEAR WITH ME
6	ONE MOMENT.
7	VERY GOOD. THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN THOMAS AND
8	VICE CHAIRMAN TORRES. GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS
9	GEOFF LOMAX. I'M A SENIOR OFFICER WITH THE
10	THERAPEUTICS AND DEVELOPMENT TEAM AT CIRM. I WILL
11	BE PRESENTING THE CONCEPT PLAN FOR CIRM'S CLINICAL
12	TRIALS NETWORK KNOWN AS THE ALPHA CLINICS NETWORK.
13	I'M REFERENCING DR. SHEPARD'S
14	PRESENTATION. THE NETWORK LIVES IN CIRM'S
15	INFRASTRUCTURE PILLAR. AND I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO
16	WORK UNDER DR. MILLAN'S LEADERSHIP TO LAUNCH THE
17	NETWORK IN 2014 AND SUBSEQUENTLY HAVE SERVED IN A
18	PROJECT MANAGEMENT ROLE FOR THE NETWORK OVER THE
19	PAST FOUR YEARS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
20	SO CIRM'S MISSION OF ACCELERATING
21	WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE TO DELIVER TRANSFORMATIVE
22	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE TREATMENTS IN AN EQUITABLE
23	MANNER TO DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND THE WORLD. IN THE
24	CONTEXT OF OUR MISSION STATEMENT, THE ALPHA CLINICS
25	NETWORK IS THE PLACE WHERE TREATMENTS REACH THE

1	PATIENT. THE NETWORK IS FOCUSED ON THE DELIVERY OF
2	CLINICAL TRIALS. I'LL PROVIDE SOME ADDITIONAL
3	PROGRAM METRICS IN MY PRESENTATION TO ILLUSTRATE
4	THIS POINT. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
5	SO THE ALPHA CLINICS NETWORK CURRENTLY
6	INCLUDES SIX MEDICAL CENTERS ACROSS CALIFORNIA.
7	THESE CENTERS PROVIDE A SET OF CORE CAPACITIES
8	NECESSARY FOR THE DELIVERY OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
9	TREATMENTS TO PATIENTS. EXAMPLES OF THESE
10	CAPACITIES INCLUDE THE ABILITY TO DO ADVANCED STEM
11	CELL AND GENE THERAPY CLINICAL RESEARCH AND CLINICAL
12	TRIALS. A DEDICATED FOCUS ON PATIENT-CENTERED CARE,
13	SO EDUCATING, INFORMING, CONSENTING THE PATIENTS TO
14	THESE TRIALS. SUPPORTING CIRM'S WORKFORCE TRAINING
15	AND COMMUNITY OUTREACH OBJECTIVES, AND I'LL GIVE AN
16	EXAMPLE, SPECIFIC EXAMPLE, OF COMMUNITY OUTREACH
17	WORK THAT WAS DONE FACILITATED THROUGH THE NETWORK.
18	AND FUNDAMENTALLY TO ACCELERATE CIRM'S CELL AND GENE
19	THERAPY, BOTH THE CLINICAL PIPELINE AND CLINICAL
20	TRIALS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
21	SO SINCE 2014 WE HAVE GATHERED SOME
22	METRICS FROM THE PROGRAM FUNDAMENTALLY WITH THE AIM
23	OF, AGAIN, THE AIM OF ENABLING INNOVATIVE CLINICAL
24	RESEARCH. SO WE'VE INVESTED 40 MILLION IN THE
25	INITIAL ROUNDS, AND THAT'S SUPPORTED A TREMENDOUS

1	INCREASE IN ACCESS TO PATIENTS TO CLINICAL TRIALS.
2	THERE'S BEEN OVER 105 CLINICAL TRIALS SINCE 2015.
3	EIGHTY-TWO OF THESE TRIALS ARE ACTUALLY TRIALS THAT
4	COME IN FROM INDUSTRY OR ACADEMIC SPONSORS WITHOUT
5	ANY SUPPORT FROM CIRM. A SMALLER FRACTION, 23, ARE
6	CIRM-FUNDED ACADEMIC OR INDUSTRY TRIALS THAT HAVE
7	BEEN SUPPORTED THROUGH THE CLIN2 AWARD MECHANISM.
8	AND 15 OF THESE PROGRAMS ARE ACTUALLY OPEN AT
9	MULTIPLE SITES IN THE NETWORK. I'LL EXPLAIN HOW THE
LO	NETWORKS WORK TO OPEN MULTISITE TRIALS, SOME OF THE
L1	TOOLS THEY PUT IN PLACE.
L2	THEY'VE SECURED 95 MILLION IN CONTRACTS TO
L3	SUPPORT SOME OF THE TRIALS REFERENCED ABOVE. AND IN
L4	TERMS OF TRAINING, THE PROGRAMS AT UC DAVIS AND UC
L5	SAN FRANCISCO, THEY CAME IN A LITTLE BIT LATER.
L6	THEY CAME IN 2017. SO THEY'RE THE TWO PROGRAMS THAT
L7	HAVE FORMAL TRAINING PROGRAMS. THEY'VE TRAINED 11
L8	M.D. FELLOWS AROUND CLINICAL TRIAL REGENERATIVE
L9	MEDICINE. SO A LOT OF TRAINING AROUND TRIAL DESIGN,
20	DELIVERY TECHNIQUES, EVERYTHING A TRAINEE WOULD NEED
21	TO KNOW TO BE ABLE TO WORK IN THE FIELD. NEXT SLIDE
22	PLEASE.
23	SO STARTING IN 2015, AS THE PROGRAM ROLLED
24	OUT, CIRM WORKED IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE NETWORK
25	SITES TO DEVELOP TOOLS AND RESOURCES THAT WOULD

1	ATTRACT COMMERCIAL SPONSORS AND ACCELERATE CLINICAL
2	TRIALS. THEY INCLUDE A COMMON INTAKE PROCESS FOR
3	SPONSORS. SO WE CAN INTRODUCE SPONSORS TO THE
4	NETWORK AND WORK TO IDENTIFY THE BEST SITE FOR THEIR
5	PROGRAM OR SITES. COHORT IDENTIFICATION TOOLS THAT
6	LEVERAGE PATIENT REGISTRIES THAT MANY OF THESE
7	MEDICAL CENTERS HAVE ACCESS TO. WE DEVELOPED AN IRB
8	RELIANCE AGREEMENT WHICH ALLOWS A SINGLE IRB TO
9	REVIEW A PROTOCOL AND THEN ADDITIONAL SITES TO USE
LO	TO BASE THEIR APPROVAL ON THAT OR BASE THEIR OPENING
L1	OF A TRIAL ON THAT APPROVAL. AND AROUND 2018 IN
L2	PROGRESS REPORTS, RELIANCE SITES, SITES THAT WERE
L3	USING THE PRIMARY IRB'S APPROVAL, REPORTED BEING
L4	ABLE TO GET TRIALS UP AND RUNNING IN ABOUT 60 DAYS,
L5	LESS THAN 60 DAYS, WHICH REALLY AS A MARKER, THAT'S
L6	A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF EFFICIENCY.
L7	THERE'S ALSO BEEN RAPID APPROVAL OF
L8	COMPASSIONATE USE PROTOCOLS BECAUSE OF THIS
L9	CONNECTIVITY BETWEEN THE INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARDS
20	PRIMARILY IN THE ONCOLOGY SPACE. THERE'S BEEN
21	COLLABORATIVE TREATMENT PROTOCOLS BETWEEN MULTIPLE
22	SITES WHERE A PARTICULAR TRIAL SITE MAY NOT HAVE THE
23	CAPACITY TO RUN THE TRIAL INDEPENDENTLY; BUT THROUGH
24	NETWORKING WITH DIFFERENT SITES, THEY'VE BEEN ABLE
25	TO RUN PROTOCOLS. AND, IN GENERAL, THERE'S A WIDE

1	DEGREE OF SHARING OF REGULATORY KNOWLEDGE AND
2	KNOWLEDGE IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN GENERAL
3	VIS-A-VIS MONTHLY CALLS. AND PERHAPS MY FAVORITE IS
4	ILLUSTRATED BY THE PICTURE HERE. THIS IS OUR LAST
5	IN-PERSON NETWORK SYMPOSIUM WHERE WE COME TOGETHER,
6	AND IT'S REALLY A WONDERFUL OPPORTUNITY WHERE
7	PATIENTS, PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS, AND OTHER TEAMS
8	ASSOCIATED WITH THE NETWORK REALLY DESCRIBE THEIR
9	JOURNEY IN THE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE SPACE, ANIMATED
10	ALWAYS BY THE PATIENT EXPERIENCE. AND SO IT'S A
11	TERRIFIC EVENT. HOPEFULLY WE'LL GET BACK TO THOSE
12	SOON. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
13	SO IN THE PREVIOUS SLIDE I TOUCHED ON SOME
14	OF THE TOOLS AND RESOURCES DEVELOPED BY THE NETWORK.
15	BUT CIRM, IN COLLABORATION WITH OUR PARTNERS, HAS
16	DEVELOPED A NUMBER OF MANUSCRIPTS AND PUBLICATIONS
17	THAT REALLY ELABORATE FURTHER ON THE TOOLS AND CORE
18	COMPETENCIES RELATED TO PATIENT NAVIGATION, CLINICAL
19	TRIAL DELIVERY, WORKFORCE TRAINING, AND OTHER
20	ASPECTS OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. I'D BE HAPPY TO
21	SHARE ANY OF THESE PUBLICATIONS WITH YOU. JUST FEEL
22	FREE TO ASK US. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
23	SO EARLIER I INDICATED I WOULD DESCRIBE
24	HOW THE NETWORK HAS SERVED CIRM'S COMMUNITY OUTREACH
25	OBJECTIVES. SO I'D LIKE TO PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE IN

1	THE CONTEXT OF OUR COVID-19 PROGRAM. THIS
2	PARTICULAR EXAMPLE, CITY OF HOPE, UC IRVINE, AND UC
3	SAN DIEGO COLLABORATED TO EXPAND RECRUITMENT IN THE
4	CONTEXT OF A CONVALESCENT PLASMA RESEARCH STUDY. IN
5	PARTICULAR, THE SITES WERE INTERESTED IN ENSURING
6	REPRESENTATION FROM MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED
7	POPULATIONS.
8	SO USING CENSUS DATA THEY WERE ABLE TO
9	LOOK AT THE PATIENT POPULATION THAT WAS PRESENTING
10	IN THE CLINIC WITH COVID AND THEN TAKE THAT
11	POPULATION AND PERFORM AN ANALYSIS WHERE THEY WOULD
12	OVERLAY CENSUS DATA WHICH INDICATES WHERE MEDICALLY
13	UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS RESIDE. THEY WERE ABLE TO
14	EXTRACT DOWN TO THE ZIP CODE LEVEL TO INFORM
15	RECRUITMENT STRATEGIES TO ATTRACT A DIVERSE DONOR
16	POPULATION FROM THESE AREAS.
17	THE RESULTS WERE THAT 76 PATIENTS OR 44
18	PERCENT OF THE OVERALL STUDY POPULATION LIVED IN
19	MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED AREAS. AND IT REALLY
20	DEMONSTRATES HOW THE NETWORK CAN DEPLOY TOOLS
21	COMBINING MEDICAL AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA TO SUPPORT
22	DIVERSITY. THIS APPROACH HAS BEEN PUBLISHED. SO
23	IT'S IN THE PEER REVIEW LITERATURE, AND THE CAPACITY
24	IS NOW CONSERVED AMONG NETWORK PARTNERS AND CAN BE
25	REPLICATED IN FUTURE STUDIES. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.

1	SO THE NETWORK IS FUNDAMENTALLY AN
2	INVESTMENT IN TEAMS THAT BRING REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
3	EXPERTISE. THESE TEAMS SUPPORT THE UNIQUE ASPECTS
4	OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE TRIALS, THINGS LIKE
5	APHERESIS AND KNOWING HOW TO TREAT PATIENTS WITH THE
6	TYPES OF TECHNOLOGIES WE ARE DEPLOYING. PRODUCT
7	PROCESSING, BIOSPECIMEN MANAGEMENT, AND PHARMACY,
8	TECHNICAL ASPECTS, ALL THE SITES HAVE SOME LEVEL OF
9	GMP CAPACITY. REGULATORY SUPPORT, IT'S BEEN A BIG
10	PART OF THE NETWORK AND A BIG PART OF THE NETWORKING
11	AND REALLY, AGAIN, A TRAINING PLATFORM FOR ALL THE
12	ACTIVITIES ABOVE.
13	AND ONE OF THE GREAT OPPORTUNITIES I WAS
14	ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN WAS IN AUGUST 2018, TWO-DAY
15	WORKSHOP THAT CITY OF HOPE COORDINATED IN
16	COLLABORATION WITH ALL THE NETWORK PARTNERS TO
17	INTRODUCE NURSES, FOCUSED ON NURSES, AND INTRODUCE
18	THEM BOTH TO RESEARCH NURSING AND NURSING AND
19	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. AND THERE WERE OVER 150
20	ATTENDEES; 56 PERCENT OF THOSE ATTENDEES WERE
21	REGISTERED NURSES. SO NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
22	AS WE MOVE FORWARD WITH OUR 2022 STRATEGIC
23	PLAN, THERE IS AN ONGOING NEED FOR THE CAPACITIES
24	PROVIDED BY THE NETWORK. WITH THE EXPANDING NUMBER
25	OF CLINICAL TRIALS, THIS EXPERTISE, THE NEED FOR

1	THIS EXPERTISE IS GROWING. THERE'S A CONTINUING
2	NEED TO DEVELOP THE WORKFORCE IN SIZE AND DIVERSITY
3	TO ADDRESS GAPS IN THE NUMBER OF REGENERATIVE
4	MEDICINE CLINICAL TRIALS. AND THERE'S A NEED FOR
5	CLINICAL COMPETENCY HUBS TO SUPPORT STATEWIDE
6	DEVELOPMENT OF PATIENT CARE CAPACITY AND ADDRESSING
7	THE DIVERSE NEEDS OF CALIFORNIA PATIENTS.
8	SO WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO TRANSITION TO
9	THE NEXT SLIDE WHERE I'LL INTRODUCE THE CONCEPT
10	PROPOSAL WE ARE BRINGING FORWARD FOR YOUR
11	CONSIDERATION TODAY. THIS IS A PROPOSAL TO EXPAND
12	THE NETWORK. THE PROPOSED BUDGET IS 80 MILLION. IT
13	WOULD BE OPEN TO CALIFORNIA MEDICAL CENTERS.
14	INDIVIDUAL AWARDS WOULD BE 8 MILLION FOR A FIVE-YEAR
15	PERIOD. PRIORITY WOULD BE GIVEN TO APPLICATIONS
16	THAT OFFER MATCHING FUNDS OR IN-KIND SUPPORT. THIS
17	IS CRITERIA THAT'S SPELLED OUT IN PROPOSITION 14.
18	AND THE APPLICANTS WOULD BE REQUIRED TO PROPOSE A
19	COMBINATION OF REQUIRED AND UNIQUE OFFERINGS.
20	SO THE HIGHEST LEVEL I REFER TO THE FIGURE
21	AT THE RIGHT. THE RFA CAN BE VIEWED AS HAVING THREE
22	CORE ELEMENTS. IN TERMS OF ELIGIBILITY, AGAIN, THE
23	APPLICANT MUST HAVE A TRACK RECORD IN SUPPORTING
24	CELL AND GENE THERAPY CLINICAL TRIALS. SO THAT IS
25	REALLY THE ELIGIBILITY. THE SECOND PART WOULD BE
	116

1	APPLICANTS MUST PROPOSE A TRAINING PROGRAM TO
2	SUPPORT REGENERATIVE MEDICINE CLINICAL RESEARCH AND
3	CARE. I'LL ELABORATE ON THAT POINT 2 IN A MOMENT.
4	AND THIRD, APPLICANTS MUST PROPOSE THE
5	OFFERINGS OR A LEAD OFFERING OR LEAD OFFERINGS THAT
6	CAN BE SHARED AMONG THE NETWORK PARTNERS. SO REALLY
7	BUILD THE NETWORKING AND THE SYNERGY. AND PROPOSALS
8	SHOULD BE RESPONSIVE TO CIRM'S REQUIREMENTS
9	REGARDING PATIENT ACCESS, DATA SHARING, AND DEI.
10	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
11	SO I'M NOW TURNING TO REQUIREMENT NO. 2
12	AGAIN, WHICH WOULD BE MANDATORY FOR ALL APPLICANTS.
13	A MAJOR CIRM STRATEGIC THEME IS TO PROVIDE
14	OPPORTUNITY FOR ALL. AND THIS REALLY REFLECTS THE
15	CIRM TRAINING AT THE CLINICAL LEVEL, THE CLINICAL
16	STAGE TRAINING. AND SO STRATEGIES FOR BUILDING A
17	DIVERSE AND SKILLED WORKFORCE ARE INCORPORATED AT
18	ALL LEVELS OF OUR PROGRAMS. IN THIS CASE WE WOULD
19	ASK APPLICANTS WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO
20	PROPOSE TRAINING PROGRAMS WITHIN ONE OR MORE OF THE
21	COMPETENCY AREAS NECESSARY TO SUPPORT CLINICAL
22	RESEARCH.
23	SO I MENTIONED EARLIER THERE'S A LOT OF
24	INTEREST IN NURSING. WE HAVE A TRACK RECORD IN
25	PHYSICIAN TRAINING IN THE FELLOWS PROGRAMS.

1	RESEARCH COORDINATOR, THIS IS A POSITION ACTUALLY
2	THAT'S WIDELY SUPPORTED IN ALL OF THE AWARDS. AND
3	IT CAME UP DURING THE STRATEGIC PLANNING PROCESS
4	THAT THIS IS A CHALLENGING ROLE TO FILL. AND WE
5	AGREE, AND WE KNOW IT'S NECESSARY IN THIS CONTEXT.
6	SO THAT'S SPECIFICALLY CALLED OUT. STEM CELL
7	PHARMACY AND PATIENT NAVIGATION ARE ALL AREAS THAT
8	WOULD BE ELIGIBLE WHERE APPLICANTS COULD PROPOSE
9	TRAINING. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
10	REQUIREMENT NO. 3, IN TERMS OF LEAD
11	OFFERINGS, THERE WERE A RANGE OF CLINICAL RESEARCH
12	NEEDS AND OPPORTUNITIES IDENTIFIED IN THE STRATEGIC
13	PLANNING PROCESS THAT COULD ENHANCE THE FIELD OF
14	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. MANY OF THESE OPPORTUNITIES,
15	SUCH AS CONSORTIA AND NOVEL CLINICAL TRIAL DESIGN,
16	WERE SUGGESTED BY OUR STAKEHOLDERS AND ARE REFLECTED
17	IN THE STRATEGIC PLAN. AND WE KNOW MANY OF THE
18	MEDICAL CENTERS IN CALIFORNIA HAVE THE CAPACITY TO
19	SUPPORT THESE TYPES OF ACTIVITIES AND ADVANCED STEM
20	CELL AND GENE THERAPY IN GENERAL.
21	SO I'VE SORT OF CATEGORIZED THEM IN SORT
22	OF THREE AREAS HERE. AND WE ANTICIPATE APPLICANTS
23	WILL PROVIDE A RANGE OF LEAD OFFERINGS TO SUPPORT
24	THE OVERALL GROWTH OF THE FIELD. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
25	SO A NETWORK BY DEFINITION SHOULD CREATE

1	SYNERGY, AND WE ALREADY HAVE A NUMBER OF EXAMPLES
2	WHERE MULTIPLE SITES HAVE PARTNERED TO DELIVER
3	TREATMENTS THAT WERE BEYOND THE CAPACITY OF ANY ONE
4	INDIVIDUAL SITE. THE RFA ENCOURAGES APPLICANTS TO
5	PROVIDE SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF HOW THEY'LL BOTH CREATE
6	SYNERGY AND CONSIDER HOW THEY WILL PARTNER WITH
7	MEDICAL CENTERS ACROSS CALIFORNIA. AND APPLICANTS
8	ARE AWARE THESE PLANS SHOULD BE DEVELOPED IN LIGHT
9	OF CIRM'S MISSION. AND IN THE CONTEXT OF THE RFA,
10	APPLICANTS WILL BE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO PROPOSE
11	PARTNERSHIPS IN LINE WITH OUR STRATEGIC PLAN. NEXT
12	SLIDE PLEASE.
13	AND, FINALLY, THIS IS SOMETHING A LITTLE
14	BIT NEW TO THIS RFA, WHICH WOULD BE ORGANIZATIONAL
15	INTEGRATION PLANS. APPLICANTS WOULD BE EXPECTED TO
16	DESCRIBE IN THE FORM OF A BUSINESS AND
17	ORGANIZATIONAL INTEGRATION PLAN HOW THEY AIM TO
18	LEVERAGE PROPOSED CAPACITIES AND CAPABILITIES SO
19	THEY BECOME INTEGRAL TO THE CENTER'S OPERATION
20	BEYOND THE AWARD PERIOD. SO THIS IS REALLY AN
21	OPPORTUNITY TO SORT OF EMBED THESE OPERATIONS INTO
22	THE LONG-TERM OPERATION OF THE MEDICAL CENTER.
23	WE EXPECT THESE PLANS WOULD INITIALLY
24	SUBMIT PLANS AT THE APPLICATION PERIOD, BUT THESE
25	WOULD BE LIVING PLANS THAT SHOULD BE REVISED OVER

1	THE AWARD PERIOD AS CAPABILITIES AND CAPACITIES
2	WITHIN THE NETWORK EVOLVE. AND, IN ADDITION, THE
3	PLAN MAY DESCRIBE OPERATIONAL SYNERGIES BETWEEN THE
4	ALPHA CLINICS NETWORK SITES AND OTHER CIRM PROGRAMS
5	IN GENERAL.
6	SO WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO STOP. I THINK
7	MY LAST SLIDE IS JUST THE INTRO SLIDE AGAIN, AND
8	HAPPY TO TAKE QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
10	GEOFF, FOR THIS EXCELLENT PRESENTATION. I THINK BY
11	ALL MEASURES THE ALPHA CLINIC NETWORK CONCEPT, WHEN
12	WE ORIGINALLY PUT IT INTO PLAY, WHICH AT THE TIME
13	WAS THE FIRST OF ITS KIND AND REMAINS THAT WAY, HAS
14	BEEN A GREAT SUCCESS. AND I THINK THIS IS A
15	COMPELLING DESCRIPTION OF THE NEED TO EXPAND IT TO
16	GIVE IT THE OPPORTUNITY TO FURTHER THAT SUCCESS
17	ABOVE AND BEYOND.
18	DO WE HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE BUDGET
19	AS RECOMMENDED BY GEOFF?
20	DR. HIGGINS: SO MOVED.
21	DR. DULIEGE: AND I SECOND.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECONDED BY ANNE-MARIE.
23	QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? I
24	SEE ANNE-MARIE, THEN STEVE.
25	DR. DULIEGE: WOMEN FIRST. AT SOME POINT
	120

1	IT WILL HAVE TO BE MEN FIRST BECAUSE THEY WILL BE SO
2	OUTNUMBERED.
3	FIRST, CONGRATS, CONGRATS. WELL
4	THOUGHT OUT, WELL PRESENTED, HIGH CALIBER,
5	VISIONARY. IT'S REALLY SO IMPRESSIVE. WHAT A
6	PLEASURE, WHAT AN HONOR TO BE PART OF THIS ENDEAVOR
7	IN OUR CAPACITY.
8	MY ONLY QUESTION IS HOW DO YOU REGULARLY
9	EVALUATE THE QUALITY OF THE WORK BEING DONE? AND DO
10	YOU HAVE EXAMPLES WHERE YOU HAD EITHER TO COURSE
11	CORRECT A PREVIOUS OR AT TIMES POTENTIALLY
12	DISCONTINUE ONE OF THEM?
13	DR. LOMAX: WELL, NONE OF THE AWARDS WERE
14	DISCONTINUED. WHAT WE ROUTINELY COLLECTED TWICE
15	ANNUALLY WERE REPORTS ON THE NUMBER OF ACTIVE
16	CLINICAL TRIALS, THE NUMBER OF PATIENTS TREATED.
17	AND SO WHAT WE WERE ABLE WE WERE ABLE TO SEE THAT
18	THEY WERE BOTH INCREASING IN THE NUMBER OF TRIALS
19	AND WITHIN THOSE TRIALS MEETING PATIENT RECRUITMENT
20	OBJECTIVES. SO THOSE HAVE TYPICALLY BEEN OUR
21	THOSE WERE OUR SORT OF CORE METRICS DURING THE EARLY
22	YEARS OF THE PROGRAM. AND OBVIOUSLY WE ADDED THAT
23	THEY HAD TO MEET THEIR TRAINING OBJECTIVES. THAT
24	WAS THE DAVIS AND SAN FRANCISCO SITE.
25	SO THAT'S HOW WE WERE ABLE TO KIND OF

1	CHECK THOSE. AND THEN ALSO WITH THE DAVIS AND SAN
2	FRANCISCO PROGRAMS, WHEN THEY STARTED, WE HAD MOVED
3	OVER TO OUR MILESTONE-BASED AWARDS. AND SO THEY
4	HAD THEIR MILESTONES WERE BASED ON INCREASING THE
5	NUMBER OF CLINICAL TRIALS IN A SORT OF SUSTAINED
6	WAY. AND BOTH OF THOSE SITES GREATLY EXCEEDED THE
7	NUMBER OF CLINICAL TRIALS THAT WERE SPECIFIED IN
8	THEIR MILESTONES. SO THOSE
9	DR. MILLAN: GEOFF, MAY I JUST ADD TO THAT
10	IF YOU WERE WRAPPING UP?
11	DR. LOMAX: YES, PLEASE.
12	DR. MILLAN: SO IN ADDITION WE ACTUALLY
13	MEASURED EFFICIENCIES SUCH AS TIME TO CONTRACTING,
14	TIME TO SITE, TO THE CLINICAL TRIAL LAUNCH, THINGS
15	LIKE THAT. AND ALSO WE WERE ABLE TO TRACK HOW OFTEN
16	THE SITES WERE ABLE TO EXPAND THEIR ACTIVITIES
17	BEYOND THEIR OWN SITE BECAUSE THEY HAD, AS GEOFF HAD
18	PRESENTED, SHARED IRB'S, ET CETERA. SO WHAT HAPPENS
19	IS THERE IS AN AUTOMATIC PLACE IN TERMS OF
20	ADDITIONAL CLINICAL TRIAL SITES AND FOLLOW-UP SITES.
21	SO THOSE ARE THE THINGS THAT GEOFF HAS BEEN
22	FOLLOWING, OF COURSE, WITH THE NORMAL MANAGEMENT OF
23	THE AWARDS. SO WE WILL BE CONTINUING TO DO THAT,
24	BUT ALSO ADDING ADDITIONAL METRICS TO FORMALLY
25	FOLLOW.

1	THANK YOU, GEOFF. I REALLY WANTED TO
2	HIGHLIGHT ALL THE AMAZING THINGS YOU'VE BEEN DOING.
3	IT'S DIFFICULT TO REMEMBER ALL OF THAT.
4	DR. DULIEGE: VERY, VERY QUICK RESPONSE TO
5	THAT IF YOU DON'T MIND. ALL WHAT YOU SAID IS
6	IMPORTANT, BUT I'M SURE THAT AT SOME POINT SOMEONE
7	IS CHECKING THE QUALITY, NOT JUST THE INTENSITY OR
8	THE SPECTRUM, THE BREADTH BY MEASURING DEVIATIONS
9	AND GCP TYPES OF QUALITIES. IT PROBABLY THIS MAY
10	BE SOMETHING YOU HAVE DELEGATED TO THE CRO, BUT I'M
11	SURE I ASSUME YOU KEEP TRACK OF THIS AND
12	OVERSIGHT ON THAT, PURELY THE QUALITY OF WHEN
13	PATIENTS ARE ENROLLED IN A TRIAL.
14	DR. MILLAN: DR. DULIEGE, THAT'S AN
15	EXCELLENT POINT. IN FACT, THOSE ARE INFORMALLY
16	COLLECTED AND FOLLOWED AND TRACKED. BUT AS WE ARE
17	DEVELOPING, DR. CREASEY HEADS THE THERAPEUTICS
18	DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM, THIS WHOLE CONCEPT OF KNOWLEDGE
19	NETWORKS EVEN IN CLINICAL TRIAL RESEARCH IN TERMS OF
20	NOT JUST THE SCIENTIFIC DATA, BUT THOSE COMPONENTS
21	ARE IN HER SIGHTS IN TERMS OF WHAT THE TEAM PLANS TO
22	DO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
23	DR. DULIEGE: MARIA, PLEASE CALL ME
24	ANNE-MARIE, NOT DR. DULIEGE. THAT MAKES ME
25	UNCOMFORTABLE.

-	
1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. STEVE.
2	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES. I'D LIKE TO GO TO
3	SLIDE 8 PLEASE, IF YOU WOULD, JUST FOR SOME
4	CLARIFICATION. I'M SORRY. SLIDE 10. SO \$80
5	MILLION BUDGET, \$8 MILLION MAXIMUM AWARD. SO I TAKE
6	IT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A MAXIMUM OF TEN CENTERS; IS
7	THAT RIGHT?
8	DR. LOMAX: CORRECT.
9	DR. JUELSGAARD: AND OF THOSE TEN CENTERS,
10	THE FIVE EXISTING ONES THAT YOU SHOWED ON THE MAP OF
11	CALIFORNIA EARLIER ON IN THE PRESENTATION, ARE THEY
12	ELIGIBLE FOR AN UP TO \$8 MILLION AWARD FOR FIVE
13	YEARS AS WELL?
14	DR. LOMAX: BASED ON THE CURRENT CONCEPT
15	PROPOSAL, YES. AND THERE ARE ACTUALLY SIX MEDICAL
16	CENTERS WITHIN FIVE AWARDS. SO THE UCLA/UC IRVINE
17	ARE A COMBINED AWARD. SO IT'S A LITTLE BIT NUANCED
18	THERE.
19	MR. JUELSGAARD: SO ASSUMING THAT THEY ALL
20	APPLY, ASSUMING THEY'RE ALL AWARDED UP TO 8 MILLION,
21	WHICH WOULD SEEM A REASONABLE ASSUMPTION, WE'RE
22	LOOKING AT THE POTENTIAL OF UP TO FIVE NEW CENTERS
23	NOW. WOULD THAT BE A CLEAR INFERENCE?
24	DR. LOMAX: YES.
25	MR. JUELSGAARD: THANK YOU.
	124

1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: FRED, THEN HAIFA.
2	DR. FISHER: SO THANKS FOR THIS. I'M
3	WONDERING HOW THIS PROGRAM FITS INTO THE LARGER
4	ECOSYSTEM OF CENTERS THAT RUN CLINICAL TRIALS. FOR
5	EXAMPLE, IN ALS THERE ARE EIGHT CERTIFIED TREATMENT
6	CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE, WHICH IS WHERE ALL CLINICAL
7	TRIALS FOR ALS GO. AND A FEW OF THEM ARE AMONG
8	THOSE THAT ARE ALREADY PART OF THIS PROGRAM. AND SO
9	I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL ON SOME LEVEL TO
10	UNDERSTAND SORT OF THE INTERSECTION, THAT THESE
11	CLINICS DON'T ACTUALLY OPERATE IN SILOS. CERTAINLY
12	THE PATIENT COMMUNITY DOES NOT EXIST IN A STEM CELL
13	SILO VERSUS A SMALL MOLECULE SILO.
14	AND SO WHEN WE ARE THINKING ABOUT THIS,
15	I'M HOPING THAT WE ARE THINKING ABOUT HOW THIS FITS
	THE HOW THE LEVERACES THE EVECTING OF THE CA
16	INTO, HOW THIS LEVERAGES THE EXISTING CLINICAL
16 17	RESEARCH SITES FOR VARIOUS DISEASES. I'M THE ALS
17	RESEARCH SITES FOR VARIOUS DISEASES. I'M THE ALS
17 18	RESEARCH SITES FOR VARIOUS DISEASES. I'M THE ALS AND MS ADVOCATE. AND ALS IS CERTAINLY THE SPHERE
17 18 19	RESEARCH SITES FOR VARIOUS DISEASES. I'M THE ALS AND MS ADVOCATE. AND ALS IS CERTAINLY THE SPHERE I'M MOST FAMILIAR WITH. WE FUND EIGHT OF THOSE
17 18 19 20	RESEARCH SITES FOR VARIOUS DISEASES. I'M THE ALS AND MS ADVOCATE. AND ALS IS CERTAINLY THE SPHERE I'M MOST FAMILIAR WITH. WE FUND EIGHT OF THOSE CERTIFIED CENTERS. CALIFORNIA HAS MORE OF THOSE,
17 18 19 20 21	RESEARCH SITES FOR VARIOUS DISEASES. I'M THE ALS AND MS ADVOCATE. AND ALS IS CERTAINLY THE SPHERE I'M MOST FAMILIAR WITH. WE FUND EIGHT OF THOSE CERTIFIED CENTERS. CALIFORNIA HAS MORE OF THOSE, PROBABLY TWICE AS MANY AS ANY OTHER STATE. SO WHEN
17 18 19 20 21	RESEARCH SITES FOR VARIOUS DISEASES. I'M THE ALS AND MS ADVOCATE. AND ALS IS CERTAINLY THE SPHERE I'M MOST FAMILIAR WITH. WE FUND EIGHT OF THOSE CERTIFIED CENTERS. CALIFORNIA HAS MORE OF THOSE, PROBABLY TWICE AS MANY AS ANY OTHER STATE. SO WHEN WE ARE LOOKING AT THIS PROGRAM, IT WOULD BE REALLY
17 18 19 20 21 22	RESEARCH SITES FOR VARIOUS DISEASES. I'M THE ALS AND MS ADVOCATE. AND ALS IS CERTAINLY THE SPHERE I'M MOST FAMILIAR WITH. WE FUND EIGHT OF THOSE CERTIFIED CENTERS. CALIFORNIA HAS MORE OF THOSE, PROBABLY TWICE AS MANY AS ANY OTHER STATE. SO WHEN WE ARE LOOKING AT THIS PROGRAM, IT WOULD BE REALLY HELPFUL TO THINK ABOUT HOW IT FITS INTO THESE SITES

1	THE PHILANTHROPIC DOLLARS OR OTHER FUNDING THAT GOES
2	INTO SUPPORTING THESE CLINICS RATHER THAN FOR
3	SPECIFIC APPROACHES LIKE THIS IS, AS OPPOSED TO
4	SPECIFIC DISEASES LIKE OURS ARE, JUST KIND OF HOW
5	THAT WHOLE THING FITS TOGETHER OR AT LEAST HAVE A
6	SENSITIVITY AND VISION AROUND HOW ALL THOSE PIECES
7	FIT TOGETHER IN A WAY WHERE THE ASSETS ARE
8	LEVERAGED.
9	DR. MILLAN: GEOFF, YOU WANT ME TO TAKE
10	THIS?
11	DR. LOMAX: SURE. GO AHEAD.
12	DR. MILLAN: AND THEN YOU CAN ADD. SO
13	THAT IS ABSOLUTELY THE CASE. SO THIS PROGRAM HAS
14	BEEN IN PLACE NOW FOR SIX YEARS. RIGHT, GEOFF?
15	WHAT HAS HAPPENED IS IT'S LEVERAGED OTHER PROGRAMS
16	SUCH AS THE CTSA AS WELL AS COMPREHENSIVE CANCER
17	CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE. WHAT HAPPENS IS THOSE ARE
18	ALL KIND OF EMBEDDED AND IT LEVERAGES ALL OF THOSE
19	ASSETS. IT COULD BE ACTUALLY THAT SOME OF THE
20	TRIALS THAT YOU'VE DESCRIBED ARE ALSO ALREADY
21	INTERCONNECTED VIA THOSE NETWORKS.
22	AND ANOTHER EXAMPLE IS OUR CURE SICKLE
23	INITIATIVE WITH THE NHLBI THAT IS AN NIH/CIRM
24	PARTNERSHIP, BUT IT'S USING THE ALPHA CLINIC SITE.
25	SO IT ALLOWS FOR THIS MULTIPLEX PARTNERSHIP. AND SO

1	IT IS NOT AT ALL RESTRICTIVE; AND, IN FACT, IT
2	AUGMENTS THE OTHER EFFORTS GOING INTO JOINT
3	EFFORT AND COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS.
4	BUT I THINK WHAT WE COULD DO TO YOUR POINT
5	IS MAYBE TAKE A LOOK AT HOW TAKE SOME BASE CASES
6	AND EXAMINE HOW THESE PARTICULAR EFFORTS INTERTWINE
7	AND WHAT THAT LOOKS LIKE IN TERMS OF ACTIVITY FLOW
8	AND ALL THAT. AND THAT WILL BE SOMETHING THAT WE'LL
9	REPORT BACK ON THESE PROGRAMS. SO THANK YOU.
10	GEOFF, I DIDN'T WANT TO INTERRUPT, BUT I
11	WANTED TO MAKE THAT POINT.
12	DR. LOMAX: THANK YOU FOR ADDING THAT.
13	THANK YOU FOR THAT QUESTION. REALLY MY WAY OF
14	LOOKING AT THIS IS TO THE EXTENT THAT CELL AND GENE
15	THERAPY OPPORTUNITIES EMERGE WITHIN THOSE DISEASE
16	AREAS, THIS IS KIND OF THE A TEAM, A RAPID RESPONSE
17	TEAM. SO IN THE CONTEXT OF ALS SPECIFICALLY, I
18	ALLUDED TO THIS IN MY PRESENTATION, THE NETWORK DID
19	SUPPORT THE BRAINSTORM TRIAL. IT WAS A PRETTY
20	UNIQUE COLLABORATION, LEVERAGING THE ALS CLINIC AT
21	UC IRVINE AND THE MANUFACTURING FACILITY AT CITY OF
22	HOPE. SO IN THE CONTEXT OF ALS SPECIFICALLY,
23	BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THAT AWARD, THE ALPHA
24	CLINICS NETWORK WAS EXTREMELY WELL POSITIONED TO RUN
25	QUICKLY AND EFFICIENTLY. AND THAT'S IN FACT WHAT

1	HAPPENED. AND THAT PARTNERSHIPS EMERGED IN THE
2	CONTEXT OF THAT TRIAL SPECIFICALLY IN ALS.
3	I THINK THAT'S ONE EXAMPLE OF HOW IT'S
4	BEEN ABLE TO QUICKLY LEVERAGE EXISTING ASSETS.
5	DR. FISHER: I'D ALSO JUST POINT OUT THAT
6	CEDARS-SINAI THAT HAS RECEIVED CIRM FUNDING FOR
7	THEIR STEM CELL PROGRAM WAS ALSO A BRAINSTORM SITE,
8	AS I THINK WAS CPMC IN SAN FRANCISCO. SO HOW WE DO
9	THIS IN A LESS FRAGMENTED WAY WHERE WE ARE GETTING
10	THE RESOURCES TO THE FOLKS THAT ARE ACTUALLY DOING
11	THE WORK, I HOPE WE SEE THAT AS WE GO FORWARD.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. HAIFA.
13	DR. ABDULHAQ: THANK YOU, GEOFF, FOR A
14	GREAT PRESENTATION. DO WE HAVE DATA ON THE
15	PRESENTATIONS, PUBLICATIONS, AND IND'S PROBABLY THAT
16	CAME OUT OF ALPHA CLINICS?
17	DR. LOMAX: THOSE ARE IN PROGRESS REPORTS.
18	IT WOULD TAKE A BIT I'VE NOT BEEN ABLE TO SORT OF
19	SIFT THEM INTO A TABULAR FORM. I APOLOGIZE FOR
20	THAT. BUT WE DO HAVE THOSE REPORTS. THE
21	PUBLICATIONS ARE VERY IMPRESSIVE, AND WE COULD COME
22	BACK WITH MORE INFORMATION ON THAT, BUT I DON'T HAVE
23	IT AT MY FINGERTIPS.
24	DR. ABDULHAQ: THANK YOU.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?
2	I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE AN EXTREMELY MINOR
3	FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO THE MOTION, WHICH, INSTEAD OF
4	GOING STRAIGHT TO APPROVING THE BUDGET, THE MOTION
5	SHOULD BE TO APPROVE THE CONCEPT PROPOSAL WHICH
6	INCLUDES THE BUDGET. ARE THE MOVER AND SECOND, ARE
7	YOU AMENABLE TO THAT VERY MINOR FRIENDLY AMENDMENT?
8	ANNE-MARIE, I THINK YOU WERE THE MOVER, AS I RECALL.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID AND ANNE-MARIE.
10	DR. DULIEGE: YES, OF COURSE.
11	DR. HIGGINS: FINE WITH ME. YES,
12	DEFINITELY.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. COMMENTS
14	FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC? HEARING NONE, MARIA,
15	WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: GIVE ME ONE SECOND TO
17	BRING UP THE ROLL CALL.
18	HAIFA ABDULHAQ.
19	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
21	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
23	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER. LEONDRA
25	CLARK-HARVEY.
	129
	143

_		
С	OR.	CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
M	۹S.	BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
	OR.	DULIEGE: YES.
N	۹S.	BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
N	۹S.	DURON: YES.
N	۹S.	BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
С	OR.	FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
M	۸S.	BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
С	OR.	FISHER: YES.
M	۸S.	BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS. JUDY
GASSON.		
	OR.	GASSON: YES.
M	۹S.	BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
	OR.	GOLDSTEIN: YES.
M	۹S.	BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
	OR.	HIGGINS: YES.
M	۹S.	BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
M	٩R.	JUELSGAARD: YES.
M	۹S.	BONNEVILLE: JAMES KOVACH.
	OR.	KOVACH: YES.
M	۹S.	BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
M	٩R.	LAJARA: YES.
M	۹S.	BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
	OR.	LEVITT: YES.
M	۹S.	BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
		130
	GASSON. GASSON.	MS. DR. MS. MS. MS. MS. DR.

	2211 6.21111, 61 651 162
1	DR. LO: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
3	DR. MALKAS: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN. SHLOMO
5	MELMED.
6	DR. MELMED: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
8	LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
9	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
11	DR. PADILLA: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
13	MR. PANETTA: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. MICHAEL
15	STAMOS.
16	DR. STAMOS: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
20	MR. TORRES: AYE.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
22	DR. VUORI: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: KAROL WATSON.
24	DR. WATSON: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: KEITH YAMAMOTO.
	131

1	DR. YAMAMOTO: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. THE MOTION
3	CARRIES.
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. AND THANK
5	YOU, GEOFF AND TEAM, FOR EXCELLENT WORK. THIS IS A
6	FANTASTIC PROGRAM THAT WILL ONLY GET BETTER WITH THE
7	ADDITION OF MORE PARTICIPANTS. SO THANK YOU.
8	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, WE HAVE A FEW ITEMS
9	LEFT. NONE OF THESE ARE LOOKING TO BE VERY
10	TIME-CONSUMING. I DON'T THINK WE NEED A BREAK FOR
11	LUNCH. IS EVERYBODY COMFORTABLE WITH JUST SORT OF
12	PROCEEDING APACE HERE AND WORKING THROUGH THE
13	REMAINING ITEMS? ANYBODY HAVE AN ISSUE WITH THAT,
14	LET ME PUT IT THAT WAY? HEARING NONE, LET ME ASK
15	BETH. BETH, DO YOU NEED A BREAK OR ARE YOU GOOD TO
16	GO HERE?
17	THE REPORTER: I'M GOOD, MR. CHAIRMAN.
18	THANK YOU.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. VERY GOOD. WE'LL
20	PROCEED ALONG THEN.
21	ITEM 10, CONSIDERATION OF CONFLICT OF
22	INTEREST POLICY FOR THE SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY PANELS.
23	KEVIN.
24	MR. MARKS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. THIS
25	SHOULD BE A QUICK ITEM.

1	AS THE BOARD IS AWARE, PROPOSITION 14
2	AUTHORIZES THE CHAIR AND PRESIDENT TO ESTABLISH
3	ADVISORY TASK FORCES TO PROVIDE EXPERT GUIDANCE
4	REGARDING SPECIFIC ISSUES WITHIN CIRM'S
5	JURISDICTION. FOR THE PURPOSES OF CONFLICT OF
6	INTEREST RULES, ADVISORY TASK FORCES ARE TO BE
7	TREATED LIKE WORKING GROUPS. AND ACCORDINGLY, THE
8	BOARD IS REQUIRED TO ADOPT CONFLICT OF INTEREST
9	RULES TO GOVERN THESE TASK FORCES.
10	ON JANUARY 21ST THE BOARD ADOPTED AN
11	INTERIM CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY FOR THE MEMBERS
12	OF THE ADVISORY TASK FORCE. THAT INTERIM REGULATION
13	HAS BEEN IN PLACE FOR QUITE SOME TIME. IN APRIL OF
14	2021, WE BEGAN THE FIRST OFFICIAL RULEMAKING PROCESS
15	BY SUBMITTING THE NOTICE TO THE OFFICE OF
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW. AT THAT POINT CIRM RECEIVED NO
17	PUBLIC COMMENTS DURING THE COMMENT PERIOD. UPON
18	FURTHER REVIEW OF THE DRAFT REGULATION, WE NOTICED
19	IT NEEDED A SLIGHT MODIFICATION TO ENSURE THAT IT
20	WAS CLEAR THAT ADVISORY TASK FORCES ARE AUTHORIZED
21	ONLY TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE ICOC, AND THEY
22	HAVE NO DISTINCT DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY.
23	THOSE CHANGES WERE MADE AND ON NOVEMBER
24	23D THE CIRM STAFF BEGAN A SECOND ROUND OF FORMAL
25	RULEMAKING PROCESS. THERE WERE NO COMMENTS RECEIVED

	DETTI G. DIMIN, GA GSK NO. 7 132
1	FROM THE PUBLIC.
2	AS A RESULT, THE RECOMMENDATION IS FOR THE
3	BOARD TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED REGULATION WHICH IS
4	ATTACHED TO THE BACK SIDE OF THIS MEMO IN ITS
5	CURRENT FORM. THANK YOU. I'LL TAKE ANY QUESTIONS.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, KEVIN. IS
7	THERE A MOTION TO APPROVE?
8	MR. BERNAL: SO MOVED.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: IS THERE A SECOND?
10	MR. JUELSGAARD: I'LL SECOND.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. QUESTIONS OR
12	COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? I DON'T SEE ANY
13	THERE. ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF
14	THE PUBLIC? MARIA.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO, THERE ARE NOT.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HEARING NONE, PLEASE
17	CALL THE ROLL.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFA ABDULHAQ.
19	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
21	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
23	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER. LEONDRA
25	CLARK-HARVEY.
	134

1	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
3	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
5	MS. DURON: YES. MARIA, I THINK WE'RE
6	HAVING PROBLEMS HEARING YOU JUST A TAD.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
8	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
10	DR. FISHER: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS. JUDY
12	GASSON.
13	DR. GASSON: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
15	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
17	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
19	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: JAMES KOVACH.
21	DR. KOVACH: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
23	MR. LAJARA: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
25	DR. LEVITT: YES.
	135

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
2	DR. LO: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
4	DR. MALKAS: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
6	DR. MARTIN: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
8	DR. MELMED: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
10	LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
11	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
13	DR. PADILLA: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
15	MR. PANETTA: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. MICHAEL
17	STAMOS.
18	DR. STAMOS: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
22	MR. TORRES: AYE.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
24	DR. VUORI: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: KAROL WATSON. KEITH
	136

1	YAMAMOTO.
2	THE MOTION CARRIES.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. ITEM 11,
4	CONSIDERATION OF ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY
5	WORKING GROUP BYLAWS AND CONFIDENTIALITY POLICY.
6	KEVIN.
7	MR. MARKS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
8	AGAIN, FOR THE EASE AND THE EFFICIENCY OF TIME, WE
9	WILL WORK THROUGH THIS POWERPOINT PRETTY QUICKLY.
10	SO FOR THE BOARD'S INFORMATION, THE AAWG
11	IS ONE OF THE FOUR WORKING GROUPS THAT WERE CREATED
12	COLLECTIVELY BY PROPOSITION 71 AND PROPOSITION 14.
13	THE BOARD'S PREVIOUS DESIRE WAS TO HAVE SIMILARITIES
14	BETWEEN THE BYLAWS OF ALL THE WORKING GROUPS WHERE
15	APPLICABLE. SO, THEREFORE, THIS DRAFT WAS CREATED
16	PURSUANT TO THAT PHILOSOPHY.
17	SINCE PROPOSITION 14 ARTICULATES MUCH OF
18	WHAT IS THE MANDATE FOR THE AAWG, THE BYLAWS FOLLOW
19	THAT MANDATE PRETTY CLOSELY. THESE DRAFT BYLAWS
20	WERE PRESENTED TO THE AAWG ON DECEMBER 1ST, AND ONE
21	ADDITION THAT WE WILL GO THROUGH QUICKLY, WAS
22	RECOMMENDED FOR THE BOARD ADOPTION.
23	AS YOU CAN SEE ON THE SUBSEQUENT SLIDE,
24	THERE'S AN ARTICULATION OF THE HIGHLIGHTS OF WHAT
25	THE IF YOU CAN MOVE TO THE NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.

1	THERE'S AN ARTICULATION OF WHAT THE BYLAWS
2	THEMSELVES STATE. THE BYLAWS ARE ALSO ATTACHED AS
3	ANOTHER DOCUMENT HERE FOR THE SPECIFICS.
4	THE ONE CHANGE TO HIGHLIGHT WAS WITH
5	ARTICLE IV, SECTION 16. DURING THE CONVERSATION OF
6	THE AAWG, THERE WAS A PROPOSAL THAT THE AAWG HAVE
7	THE ABILITY TO CREATE SUBCOMMITTEES TO WORK IN
8	SMALLER GROUPS AND HAVE THOSE SUBCOMMITTEES MAKE
9	RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE ENTIRE AAWG. SO THEY ARE,
10	THEREFORE, INCORPORATED INTO THE BYLAWS.
11	ALSO AS A PART OF THE BYLAWS IS THE
12	CONFIDENTIALITY POLICY WHICH IS IN APPENDIX A. THAT
13	ALSO IS CONSISTENT WITH THE CONFIDENTIALITY POLICIES
14	THAT WE HAVE IN PLACE FOR EACH OF OUR WORKING
15	GROUPS.
16	SO WITH THAT, ABSENT ANY QUESTIONS, WE
17	RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD, THEREFORE, ADOPT THE
18	BYLAWS FOR THE AAWG.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, KEVIN. DO WE
20	HAVE A MOTION TO THAT EFFECT?
21	DR. DULIEGE: SO MOVED.
22	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: SECOND.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I THINK WE'LL GIVE
24	ANNE-MARIE THE MOTION AND MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE THE
25	SECOND, IF I HEARD THAT CORRECTLY.

1	IS THERE ANY QUESTION OR COMMENTS FROM
2	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? ANY COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF
3	THE PUBLIC? HEARING NONE, MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE
4	CALL THE ROLL.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFA ABDULHAQ.
6	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
8	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
10	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
12	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
14	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
16	MS. DURON: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
18	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
20	DR. FISHER: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
22	DR. GASSON: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
24	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
	139
	133

	2211 6.211111, 61 651 161
1	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
3	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: JAMES KOVACH.
5	DR. KOVACH: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
7	MR. LAJARA: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
9	DR. LEVITT: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
11	DR. LO: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
13	DR. MALKAS: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
15	DR. MARTIN: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
17	DR. MELMED: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
19	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
21	DR. PADILLA: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
23	MR. PANETTA: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS.
25	DR. STAMOS: YES.
	140

	<u> </u>
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
4	MR. TORRES: AYE.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
6	DR. VUORI: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: KAROL WATSON. KEITH
8	YAMAMOTO.
9	MOTION CARRIES.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA.
11	ITEM 12, CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT OF A
12	NEW MEMBER TO THE ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY
13	WORKING GROUP. SO I WILL TAKE THIS ONE.
14	SO AS YOU RECALL, PROP 14 SPECIFIES THAT
15	THE AAWG HAVE SEVEN ICOC MEMBERS. I'VE ASKED
16	SENATOR TORRES TO CHAIR. SO IT'S ART, MYSELF, PLUS
17	FIVE OTHER MEMBERS OF THE ICOC. ONE OF THOSE
18	ORIGINAL APPOINTED MEMBERS WAS DR. BRASHEAR FROM UC
19	DAVIS. SHE, AS YOU KNOW, IS NO LONGER DEAN THERE,
20	HAS GONE TO ANOTHER POSITION ELSEWHERE. SO IT
21	OPENED UP ONE OF THOSE POSITIONS. I'VE ASKED PAT
22	LEVITT IF HE WOULD STEP IN AND FILL THAT OPEN
23	POSITION.
24	I THINK DR. LEVITT WILL BRING GREAT
25	EXPERTISE, NOT JUST IN GENERAL, BUT HAS THE ADDED
	141

1	BENEFIT OF BRINGING THE CHILDREN'S PERSPECTIVE TO
2	THE TABLE IN THIS, WHICH IS, OF COURSE, A VERY
3	IMPORTANT COMPONENT. SO I WANTED TO ASK FOR A
4	MOTION TO APPROVE ADDING DR. LEVITT TO THE AAWG.
5	DR. HIGGINS: SO MOVED.
6	DR. ABDULHAQ: SECOND.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WE'LL GIVE HAIFA THAT
8	ONE. I THINK SHE GOT IN A LITTLE BEFORE DAVE
9	MARTIN. DAVE'S BEEN ON SECONDS BEFORE. ANY
10	QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?
11	MR. TORRES: YES. I JUST WANT TO THANK
12	YOU, PAT, FOR AGREEING TO SERVE ON THIS WORKING
13	GROUP. I'VE NEVER TOLD YOU, BUT IT WAS CHILDREN'S
14	HOSPITAL THAT SAVED MY SON'S LIFE MANY, MANY YEARS
15	AGO. SO I'M SO HAPPY THAT YOU WILL BE PART OF THIS
16	NEW INITIATIVE.
17	DR. LEVITT: ART, THANKS VERY MUCH. AND I
18	DO WANT TO SAY THAT J.T. IS ONE OF BEST ARM TWISTERS
19	I'VE EVER ENCOUNTERED.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, PAT.
21	ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FROM
22	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? ANY COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF
23	THE PUBLIC?
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: THERE ARE NONE.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. HEARING NONE,
	142
	I ♥ /

1	MARIA, WILL YOU CALL THE ROLL.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFA ABDULHAQ.
3	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
5	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
7	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
9	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
11	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
13	MS. DURON: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
15	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
17	DR. FISHER: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
19	DR. GASSON: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
21	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
23	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
25	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
	143

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: JAMES KOVACH.
2	DR. KOVACH: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
4	MR. LAJARA: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
6	DR. LO: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
8	DR. MALKAS: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
10	DR. MARTIN: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
12	DR. MELMED: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
14	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
16	DR. PADILLA: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
18	MR. PANETTA: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS.
20	DR. STAMOS: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
24	MR. TORRES: AYE.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
	144

1	DR. VUORI: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA.
4	NEXT ITEM, CONSIDERATION OF INCREASING
5	PERCENT EFFORT OF ICOC VICE CHAIR IN LIGHT OF
6	ADDITIONAL DUTIES. I WILL TAKE THIS ONE AS WELL.
7	THERE'S A MEMO THAT'S POSTED ONLINE WITH RESPECT TO
8	THIS.
9	IN SHORT, ART HAS BEEN SERVING AS VICE
10	CHAIR PERFORMING ALL OF HIS MANY ROLES SINCE 2009.
11	DURING THAT TIME FRAME, HE'S BEEN DEEMED AN 80
12	PERCENT TIME EMPLOYEE. AS NOTED, I'VE ASKED HIM TO
13	CHAIR THE AAWG, WHICH, IN ADDITION TO ITS SEVEN
14	BOARD MEMBERS, HAS TEN OUTSIDE EXPERTS AND UP TO 15
15	NEW MEMBERS OF THE TEAM SPECIFICALLY DEVOTED TO THAT
16	MAJOR UNDERTAKING. LAST YEAR WE SPENT BASICALLY
17	FINDING AND APPOINTING AND APPROVING THE APPOINTMENT
18	OF THE TEN ADDITIONAL BOARD MEMBERS. SO WE ARE NOW
19	READY FOR THINGS TO BEGIN IN EARNEST, WHICH THEY ARE
20	THIS MONTH. AND BECAUSE THIS IS A VERY SUBSTANTIAL
21	ADDITIONAL INCREASE IN WORK, I'M RECOMMENDING THAT
22	ART BE DEEMED A HUNDRED PERCENT TIME EMPLOYEE FOR
23	THE BALANCE OF HIS TENURE HERE AS HE WORKS AS CHAIR
24	OF THIS NEW WORKING GROUP.
25	DO I HAVE A MOTION TO THAT EFFECT?

	·
1	DR. GASSON: SO MOVED.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY DR. GASSON. DO
3	I HAVE A SECOND?
4	MR. BERNAL: SECOND.
5	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, DAN.
6	ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF
7	THE BOARD? HEARING NONE, ANY COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS
8	OF THE PUBLIC?
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO QUESTIONS OR HANDS
10	RAISED.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HEARING NONE, MARIA,
12	WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: HAIFA ABDULHAQ.
14	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
16	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
18	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
20	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
22	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: YSABEL DURON.
24	MS. DURON: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
	146

	,
1	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRED FISHER.
3	DR. FISHER: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
5	DR. GASSON: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
7	DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
9	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
11	MR. JUELSGAARD: AYE.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: JAMES KOVACH.
13	DR. KOVACH: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: RICH LAJARA.
15	MR. LAJARA: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT.
17	DR. LEVITT: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID LO.
19	DR. LO: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
21	DR. MALKAS: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
23	DR. MARTIN: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
25	DR. MELMED: YES.
	1 4 7
	147

	,
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
2	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
4	DR. PADILLA: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
6	MR. PANETTA: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL STAMOS.
8	DR. STAMOS: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
12	DR. VUORI: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. ON TO ITEM
15	NO. 14, WHICH IS DISCUSSION AND UPDATE OF
16	PERFORMANCE AUDIT. AS YOU RECALL, WE ARE MANDATED
17	TO HAVE A PERFORMANCE AUDIT EVERY THREE YEARS. WE
18	HAVE HEARD AT PAST MEETINGS DISCUSSION OF THIS
19	RECENT ITERATION. IN THE PROCESS OF THE PERFORMANCE
20	AUDIT, THE AUDITORS SUBMIT THEIR FINDINGS. AND AS
21	IS NORMALLY THE CASE, WE RESPOND TO THOSE FINDINGS
22	IN WRITING. THOSE FINDINGS AND RESPONSES HAVE BEEN
23	POSTED ONLINE. AND RATHER THAN SORT OF GO THROUGH
24	THEM PIECE BY PIECE, I WOULD JUST NOTE THAT THE TEAM
25	HAS COMPREHENSIVELY ANSWERED ALL OF THE QUESTIONS.
	148

1	IF ANY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD HAS ANY
2	QUESTION ABOUT ANY OF THE ITEMS, ANY OF THE
3	RESPONSES, OR OTHER GENERAL COMMENTS ON THIS NOW
4	WOULD BE THE TIME. DO WE HAVE ANY SUCH QUESTIONS OR
5	COMMENTS?
6	OKAY. HEARING NONE, ARE THERE ANY PUBLIC
7	COMMENTS? I WOULD JUST LIKE TO NOTE THAT ALL OF
8	THIS CONSIDERABLE EFFORT PUTTING THIS TOGETHER WAS
9	LED BY MARIA BONNEVILLE. I WOULD LIKE TO THANK
10	MARIA AND HER TEAM IN PUTTING THESE RESPONSES
11	TOGETHER.
12	HAVING SAID THAT, MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE
13	CALL THE ROLL.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: THIS IS ACTUALLY NOT A
15	VOTING ITEM.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DISCUSSION. SORRY.
17	JUST DISCUSSION, NO VOTE.
18	THAT CONCLUDES THE AGENDA HERE EXCEPT FOR
19	GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT. DO WE HAVE ANY MEMBERS OF
20	THE PUBLIC WHO'D LIKE TO COMMENT ON ANYTHING ON ANY
21	SUBJECT?
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: I BELIEVE WE DO HAVE ONE.
23	I THINK KEVIN MCCORMACK WILL BE READING THOSE
24	COMMENTS.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. KEVIN.
	149

1	MR. MCCORMACK: CHAIRMAN THOMAS, MEMBERS
2	OF THE BOARD, CAN YOU ALL HEAR ME?
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
4	MR. MCCORMACK: THANK YOU. I'D LIKE TO
5	READ A STATEMENT FROM NANCY RENE. NANCY IS A
6	LONGTIME STEM CELL AND PARTICULARLY CIRM SUPPORTER.
7	SHE'S BEEN REALLY ACTIVE ON OUR BEHALF, IN
8	PARTICULAR IN ISSUES SURROUNDING SICKLE CELL
9	DISEASE. SHE'S ALSO THE PATIENT REPRESENTATIVE ON
10	ONE OF OUR PROGRAMS LOOKING AT SICKLE CELL DISEASE.
11	NANCY SAYS, "THANK YOU ALL FOR THE WORK
12	YOU ARE DOING ON BEHALF OF ALL CALIFORNIANS, LEADING
13	THE WAY IN STEM CELL RESEARCH. THE COMMUNITIES IN
14	POVERTY OR COMMUNITIES OF COLOR, THERE ARE SEVERAL
15	OBSTACLES BLOCKING ACCESS TO THESE LIFE-SAVING,
16	LIFE-CHANGING CURES. I SEE ONE OF THE BIGGEST
17	OBSTACLES IS LACK OF TRUST IN A PRIMARY CARE
18	PHYSICIAN.
19	"IF I OR A FAMILY MEMBER WAS FACING A
20	MEDICAL CHALLENGE, I WOULD START BY GETTING ADVICE
21	FROM MY PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIAN ABOUT STEM CELLS.
22	PERHAPS I'VE DONE SOME RESEARCH IN THIS AND DECIDED
23	I MIGHT GET THE MEDICAL HELP I NEEDED THROUGH THE
24	USE OF STEM CELLS. IF MY DOCTOR WAS KNOWLEDGEABLE,
25	I MIGHT CONSIDER A RESEARCH STUDY. IF NOT, I WOULD
	150

1	LOOK TO OTHER OPTIONS. IF MY DOCTOR OR WHOEVER
2	SHOWED UP AT THE ER, I WOULD BE OUT OF LUCK.
3	"FOR MANY REASONS, POOR AND PEOPLE OF
4	COLOR LACK ACCESS TO PRIMARY CARE. DOCTORS ARE
5	LOCATED IN SANTA MONICA OR BEVERLY HILLS, AND THEY
6	CERTAINLY DON'T TAKE MEDI-CAL. AND WHO CAN AFFORD
7	TO PAY \$20 TO PARK THEIR CAR? CIRM HAS ALREADY
8	BEGUN TO BRIDGE THIS GAP WITH A MAJOR PROJECT AT
9	BENIOFF CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL IN OAKLAND.
10	CONGRATULATIONS FOR THAT.
11	"PLEASE DEVELOP MORE PROGRAMS THAT REACH
12	OUT TO DOCTORS IN PUBLIC HOSPITALS SO THAT THEY TOO
13	CAN SUGGEST PATIENTS FOR YOUR STUDIES. ONCE A TRIAL
14	IS AT PHASE II, I HOPE THERE CAN BE A PERSON WHO IS
15	A KIND OF LIAISON WITH THE COMMUNITY. THIS COULD BE
16	A PERSON ON STEM CELL SCIENCE BUT, MORE IMPORTANTLY,
17	HAS CONNECTIONS TO THE COMMUNITY. AND IF CIRM HAS
18	THIS KIND OF POSITION IN THE WORKS, JUST KNOW THAT
19	TRUST BUILDING MUST HAVE A MAJOR EMPHASIS. IF
20	NOTHING CHANGES, NOTHING CHANGES. I WOULD HATE TO
21	SEE RESEARCH STUDIES THAT DO NOT INCLUDE POOR PEOPLE
22	OR PEOPLE OF COLOR. I HOPE TO SEE RESEARCH TEAMS
23	AND DOCTORS WHO LOOK LIKE ME. PATIENTS CAN'T DO IT
24	ALONE. THEY NEED TRUSTED PROFESSIONALS, TRUSTED
25	DOCTORS AND NURSES WHO WORK IN THEIR NEIGHBORHOODS.

1	THESE FOLKS CAN ALL MAKE A DIFFERENCE. THANK YOU
2	VERY MUCH."
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
4	KEVIN. VERY IMPORTANT MESSAGE.
5	SO LIKE TO, AS ALWAYS, THANK MARIA,
6	MARIANNE, AND DOUG FOR PUTTING ALL THE HARD WORK
7	INTO MAKING THIS HAPPEN. I WOULD LIKE TO CLOSE AND
8	NOTE HOW LUCKY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IS FOR THE
9	SECOND TIME IN FOUR MONTHS TO HAVE A MAJOR BATTLE
10	BETWEEN OUR PROFESSIONAL SPORTS TEAMS. I PUT IN A
11	CALL TO MR. JUELSGAARD SO THAT WE COULD BRAG ABOUT
12	OUR RESPECTIVE FOOTBALL TEAMS IN ADVANCE OF THE
13	UPCOMING NFC CHAMPIONSHIP GAME. THAT WAS FUN AS
14	ALWAYS.
15	THEN I CALLED MR. ROWLETT ONLY TO FIND
16	OUT, SINCE HE WAS SUCH AN ARDENT GIANTS BACKER, THAT
17	HE IN FACT IS A COWBOYS FAN AND REALLY DIDN'T HAVE
18	MUCH TO SAY ON THE SUBJECT ONE WAY OR ANOTHER. BUT
19	I FIGURE IF WE REALLY WANT TO INDULGE IN SOME REAL
20	TRASH TALK, THAT I SHOULD YIELD THE FLOOR TO DR.
21	KOVACH WHO ACTUALLY PLAYED FOR THE 49ERS AND IS
22	CERTAINLY UNIQUE AMONGST THIS BOARD OR MANY OTHERS
23	TO MAKE COMMENT. JIM, WOULD YOU LIKE TO SAY
24	ANYTHING?
25	DR. KOVACH: THANK YOU. I THINK YOU JUST
	152

1	NEED TO LOOK AT THE LAST SIX OR SO GAMES TO GIVE YOU
2	A READ ON WHO'S GOING TO WIN THIS ONE.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THAT WAS COLD. THANK
4	YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT.
5	MR. TORRES: GO NINERS.
6	MS. DURON: J.T., I THINK YOU REALLY NEED
7	TO COME TO MY PILATES CLASS WHERE YOU WILL GET A
8	REAL THROW-DOWN WITH A COUPLE OF MY FELLOW WOMEN
9	PILATES MEMBERS WHO DIDN'T WEREN'T REALLY EXCITED
10	BY EITHER OF THE TEAMS ON THE FIELD. THEY WERE
11	ROOTING FOR SOME BACK EAST FOLK. IT'S VERY
12	INTERESTING, BUT I'LL PASS ON YOUR SENTIMENTS AND
13	TELL THEM YOU'RE WILLING TO TAKE THEM ON. WHOEVER
14	WANTS TO TAKE THEM ON.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. I REFER
16	EVERYBODY TO JIM. HE'S A MUCH TOUGHER CUSTOMER THAN
17	EITHER OF US.
18	WITH THAT, WE THANK YOU AGAIN FOR A VERY
19	PRODUCTIVE MEETING AND DISCUSSION ON ALL TOPICS.
20	AND, MARIA, WHAT IS THE DATE FOR OUR NEXT FULL BOARD
21	MEETING IN MARCH? WE, OF COURSE, HAVE THE
22	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE IN FEBRUARY. WHAT
23	IS THAT DATE?
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: YOU WANT THE MARCH DATE?
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
	153
	1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: I BELIEVE IT'S THE 24TH.
2	YES, IT IS.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 24TH. WELL, WE WILL SEE
4	THE FULL BOARD THEN, THE APPLICATION REVIEW
5	SUBCOMMITTEE, WHICH, OF COURSE, INCLUDES THE FULL
6	BOARD, IN THE INTERIM. AND MEANTIME GO RAMS. WE
7	STAND ADJOURNED. THANK YOU.
8	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 12:24 P.M.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	154

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE ZOOM PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON JANUARY 27, 2022, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543