BEFORE THE

INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TO THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

SPECIAL MEETING

LOCATION: AS INDICATED ON THE AGENDA

SEPTEMBER 15, 2009 5 P.M. DATE:

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR REPORTER:

CSR. NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 85546

INDEX

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	NC
CALL TO ORDER			3
ROLL CALL			6
	OF ADOPTION OF REMAINING IP REGULATIONS FOR FOR-PROFIT GRANTEES		7
CONSIDERATION UNDERWRITER	OF CONTRACT WITH DELEGATED		3
PUBLIC COMMEN	ІТ	4	2

1	MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2009
2	5:00 P.M.
3	
4	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WE DON'T HAVE A QUORUM
5	YET, SO INSTEAD OF GOING TO ITEM 3, I'M GOING TO
6	JUST GO TO ITEM 4 BECAUSE WE'RE NOT GOING TO DEAL
7	WITH IT AS AN ACTION ITEM, JUST AN INFORMATIONAL
8	ITEM. SO HOPEFULLY WE CAN MOVE THROUGH THAT WHILE
9	WE'RE WAITING FOR THE BALANCE OF OUR QUORUM.
10	MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: THIS IS DAVID
11	SERRANO-SEWELL.
12	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: HI, DAVID. GOOD TO HAVE
13	YOU. WE'RE GOING WE'RE WAITING FOR QUORUM,
14	DAVID, SO WE'RE GOING TO TAKE AN INFORMATIONAL ITEM
15	WHILE WE'RE WAITING, AND THEN WE'LL GO BACK TO A
16	FORMAL ROLL CALL.
17	SO IN TERMS OF THE DELEGATED UNDERWRITER,
18	I WOULD SAY THAT IN TERMS OF THIS PARTICULAR RFA,
19	THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HAS COME TO THE CONCLUSION
20	THAT WE EXPECT A SMALL NUMBER OF LOANS. AND RATHER
21	THAN HAVING SOME LONG-TERM, LARGE MASTER AGREEMENT
22	TO BRING TO THE BOARD RIGHT AT THIS MOMENT, IN
23	LEARNING ABOUT THIS, WE HAVE TAKEN THE APPROACH
24	CONCEPTUALLY OF HAVING A PILOT CONTRACT THAT'S VERY
25	LIMITED. AND WE KNOW THE FEE STRUCTURE WAS
	3
	<u>, </u>

1	INTRODUCED AND BROUGHT THROUGH COMERICA'S
2	FEE-FOR-SERVICE STRUCTURE.
3	WE'RE LOOKING AT THE SIMPLER RECOMMENDED
4	APPROACH OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE, WHICH IS A
5	FEE-FOR-SERVICE MODEL WITH ORIGINATION AND SERVICING
6	FEES THAT ARE QUITE LIMITED. BUT BY HAVING A PILOT
7	CONTRACT, WE CAN LEARN A GREAT DEAL, THE LENDER CAN
8	LEARN, AND WE CAN BE IN A POSITION IN DECEMBER OR
9	EARLY NEXT YEAR OF BRINGING BACK SOMETHING THAT HAS
10	GONE BACK TO THE FINANCE COMMITTEE AGAIN AND HAS
11	MORE EXPERIENCE IN IT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION SIDE
12	RATHER THAN GETTING OURSELVES INTO A LARGE MASTER
13	CONTRACT WITHOUT THAT CASE STUDY VALUE ON A VERY
14	LIMITED AND CONTROLLED BASIS.
15	JOHN, ARE YOU THERE?
16	DR. ROBSON: I GUESS ONE THING I WOULD ADD
17	TO THAT IS, I'M NOT SURE, WE MAY WANT TO WAIT A
18	LITTLE BIT BEYOND DECEMBER OR JANUARY TO BRING THIS
19	TO YOU BECAUSE WE DON'T THE NEXT PROGRAM THAT WE
20	HAVE IN LINE THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR A LOAN
21	PROGRAM IS EARLY TRANSLATION, AND THAT PROBABLY
22	WELL, THE RFA WILL COME OUT SOMETIME THE MIDDLE OF
23	NEXT YEAR. SO I THINK WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO IS
24	MAYBE WAIT A LITTLE BIT LONGER THAN JANUARY SO WE
25	CAN HAVE A LITTLE MORE EXPERIENCE GOING THROUGH THIS
	4

1	PROCESS ONCE ACTUALLY ISSUING THE LOANS. AND THEN
2	WE'LL DEVELOP A MORE COMPLETE, ROBUST CONTRACT WITH
3	MORE THAN ONE DELEGATED UNDERWRITER AND BRING IT TO
4	YOU CERTAINLY BEFORE THAT RFA GOES OUT.
5	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO, JOHN, IF WE WAIT
6	FURTHER, THAT'S A FINANCE COMMITTEE DECISION. AND I
7	TALKED TO MICHAEL GOLDBERG, AND I'M SURE THE FINANCE
8	COMMITTEE WOULD WANT INTERIM REPORTS AND DISCUSSION.
9	DR. ROBSON: OH, ABSOLUTELY.
10	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I'VE BEEN ABLE TO REACH
11	MICHAEL. HE THINKS THAT A PILOT CONTRACT, LIMITING
12	ITS SCOPE VERY TIGHTLY AND NARROWLY, IS A BENEFIT
13	FOR US IN LEARNING AND REDUCING OUR EXPOSURE AT A
14	TIME WHEN WE'RE LEARNING THIS FASTER THAN THE
15	LENDER. DESPITE OUTSIDE CONSULTANTS, YOU DO LEARN
16	ON THE FIRST CASE IN ANY NEW PARADIGM.
17	DR. ROBSON: YEAH, EXACTLY. THAT'S WHY
18	WE'RE HOPING TO DELAY IT A LITTLE BIT. THE LONGER
19	WE DELAY, THE MORE WE'LL LEARN. BUT WE HAVE
20	EVERYTHING IN PLACE WHEN WE NEED IT AGAIN.
21	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. SO WE CAN'T
22	GO THROUGH AND TELL YOU EXACTLY HOW MANY LOANS THERE
23	WILL BE, BUT I'LL TELL YOU IT'S A SMALL NUMBER
24	BECAUSE IT'S A SUBSET OF THIS DISEASE TEAM
25	EXPERIENCE. AND I THINK IT'S A LIMITED NUMBER, BUT
	F
	5

1	IT'S GREAT TO HAVE PRIVATE COMPANIES THAT ARE GOING
2	TO BE PARTICIPATING IN THE LOAN PROGRAM, AND IT'S
3	GREAT TO START VERY SMALL AND LEARN.
4	JEFF, WOULD YOU CONCUR THAT IT WILL BE A
5	RELATIVELY SMALL PROGRAM?
6	MR. SHEEHY: YEAH. I THINK THIS WILL BE
7	SOMETHING THAT WE CAN MANAGE, AND IT ACTUALLY GIVES
8	US A GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO PILOT SOMETHING. SO I
9	THINK THIS WILL ALL WORK OUT FINE.
10	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY.
11	DR. HAWGOOD: BOB, THIS IS SAM HAWGOOD
12	JOINING.
13	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. ANY OTHER COMMENTS
14	OR POINTS OF INFORMATION ON THE STATUS OF PROGRESS
15	ON THE LOAN PROGRAM? HEARING NONE, I'D LIKE TO GET
16	A FORMAL ROLL CALL AT THIS POINT. I THINK WE MAY
17	HAVE HAD ENOUGH PEOPLE JOIN TO GET A QUORUM,
18	HOPEFULLY.
19	MS. KING: HOPEFULLY WE CAN CHECK NOW. IT
20	DIDN'T SOUND LIKE IT FROM THE NUMBER OF BEEPS, BUT
21	THEN THERE ARE SOME VOICES THAT I RECOGNIZE THAT
22	WEREN'T ON BEFORE. SO WE CAN TRY. SHOULD I TRY AND
23	CALL THE ROLL?
24	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: PLEASE DO THAT.
25	MS. KING: ALL RIGHT.
	6
	·

		· _
1	DONALD DAFOE.	
2	DR. DAFOE: HERE.	
3	MS. KING: ROBERT PRICE.	
4	DR. PRICE: HERE.	
5	MS. KING: FLOYD BLOOM.	
6	DR. BLOOM: HERE.	
7	MS. KING: DAVID BRENNER.	
8	DR. BRENNER: HERE.	
9	MS. KING: WILLIAM BRODY.	
10	DR. BRODY: HERE.	
11	MS. KING: SUSAN BRYANT.	
12	DR. BRYANT: HERE.	
13	MS. KING: MARCY FEIT. MICHAEL	FRIEDMAN.
14	DR. FRIEDMAN: HERE.	
15	MS. KING: LEEZA GIBBONS.	
16	MS. GIBBONS: HERE.	
17	MS. KING: MICHAEL GOLDBERG. SA	M HAWGOOD.
18	DR. HAWGOOD: HERE.	
19	MS. KING: BOB KLEIN.	
20	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: HERE.	
21	MS. KING: SHERRY LANSING. GERA	LD LEVEY.
22	TED LOVE.	
23	DR. LOVE: HERE.	
24	MS. KING: ED PENHOET. PHIL PIZ	ZO. KEN
25	BURTIS.	
	7	
	7	

1	DR. BURTIS: HERE.
2	MS. KING: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
3	DR. PRIETO: HERE.
4	MS. KING: CARMEN PULIAFITO OR ELIZABETH
5	FINI. ROBERT QUINT. JEANNIE FONTANA. DUANE ROTH.
6	JOAN SAMUELSON. DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL.
7	MR. SERRANO-SEWALL: HERE.
8	MS. KING: JEFF SHEEHY.
9	MR. SHEEHY: HERE.
10	MS. KING: JONATHAN SHESTACK. OSWALD
11	STEWARD. AND ART TORRES.
12	SOMEONE JUST JOINED?
13	DR. FONTANA: JEANNIE FONTANA.
14	MS. KING: GREAT. THANK YOU, DR. FONTANA.
15	SO, BOB, WE ARE STILL NOT QUITE AT QUORUM.
16	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY.
17	MS. KING: I'M NOT SURE HOW YOU WANT TO
18	PROCEED.
19	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS WE
20	WILL PROCEED WITH DISCUSSION OF THE ITEM, AND THEN
21	WE'LL COME BACK WHEN ADDITIONAL INDIVIDUALS JOIN TO
22	ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THEY HAVE, AND RESTATE ANY
23	AND TAKE MOTIONS AT THAT TIME, ASSUMING WE ARE ABLE
24	TO GET A QUORUM. AND I'M SURE, MELISSA, YOU
25	HAVE YOU CAN DRAFT SOME OF THE STAFF UP THERE IN
	8

1	SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE TO MAKE SOME CALLS TO RELATE TO
2	INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE COMMITTED TO BE ON THE CALL
3	THAT, FOR THE IP REGULATIONS, IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE
4	MARSHAL OUR QUORUM FOR THIS EVENT.
5	MS. KING: YEP. ALREADY DID IT. THANKS.
6	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. SO IN TERMS OF OUR
7	STATUS OF OUR IP REGULATIONS, IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE
8	THAT AS WE GO FORWARD IN THIS PROCESS, WE'RE
9	CONSTANTLY LEARNING, AND WE HAVE A LOT OF HISTORY
10	HERE WHICH WE'RE TRYING TO MAKE SURE, AS WE GO
11	FORWARD, WE'RE FOLLOWING. AND WITH THE BENEFIT OF
12	BOARD MEMBERS'S HISTORY AND CONTINUITY AND THE
13	CONTINUITY OF THE STAFF THAT HAS BEEN HERE FROM
14	EARLY, WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO, I HOPE, RECONCILE OUR
15	BASIC IP REGULATIONS.
16	WE HAVE GOTTEN SOME ADDITIONAL COMMENTS IN
17	THIS PERIOD. BUT, ELONA, IF YOU COULD SET THE STAGE
18	AND TAKE US UP TO THE POINT WE WERE AT THE LAST
19	MEETING. AND THEN I BELIEVE YOU'RE GOING TO DEAL
20	WITH ANY UPDATES FROM THE LAST MEETING, YOU WERE
21	GOING TO REFER THOSE OUT TO SCOTT. BUT IF YOU COULD
22	SET THE STAGE FOR US AND REMIND US OF WHERE WE CAME
23	IN TERMS OF THE LAST MEETING OF UPDATING THE IP
24	REGS.
25	MS. BAUM: SURE. JUST TO SET THE STAGE IN
	9
	·

1	TERMS OF THE OVERALL DECISIONS THAT WE'RE REQUESTING
2	OF THE BOARD TODAY, THERE'S ACTUALLY FIVE THAT ARE
3	BEFORE THE BOARD FOR CONSIDERATION. THE FIRST ONE
4	BEING THE ONE THAT YOU REFERRED TO, AND THAT'S THE
5	DEFINITION OF EXCLUSIVE LICENSEE. AND AT THE
6	DIRECTION OF THE ICOC, WE HAVE ELIMINATED SOME OF
7	THE LANGUAGE THAT WAS OF CONCERN TO THE BOARD AT
8	THAT TIME. THAT LANGUAGE BEING THE ELIMINATION OF
9	THIS PHRASE "DIRECTLY FROM A GRANTEE, GRANTEE
10	PERSONNEL OR COLLABORATOR," SO THAT, IN EFFECT, BY
11	MAKING THIS CHANGE, THERE WILL BE SORT OF A BROADER
12	DEFINITION OF EXCLUSIVE LICENSEE.
13	AND SCOTT CAN PROCEED TO DISCUSS THE OTHER
14	FOUR CHANGES, AND SOME OF THEM HAVE OPTION A AND
15	OPTION B, WHICH HE WILL EXPLAIN TODAY, BUT ALSO HAVE
16	BEEN SET OUT IN GREAT DETAIL IN THE AUGUST 18TH
17	MEMORANDUM.
18	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. SO COMING INTO THE
19	LAST MEETING, WE HAD SOME CHANGES THAT ARE
20	CONSISTENT WITH PUBLIC COMMENT AT THAT TIME THAT I
21	THINK WE HAD A CONCURRENCE. WE BROUGHT THE
22	REGULATIONS BACK TO WHERE WE NEEDED TO HAVE THEM.
23	AND THEN SUBSEQUENTLY WITH THE ADDITIONAL SUGGESTED
24	CHANGES, COULD SCOTT PLEASE GO THROUGH THE
25	ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENTS THAT WE HAD RELATED TO
	10

1	THOSE CHANGES?
2	MR. TOCHER: SURE. AGAIN, THIS IS SET
3	FORTH IN THE MEMO THAT DESCRIBES EACH OF THE FIVE
4	DECISIONS IN ORDER THROUGH THE DRAFT REGULATIONS.
5	THE FIRST DECISION POINT, AS ELONA SAID, REFERENCES
6	THE ICOC'S DIRECTION AT THE AUGUST MEETING TO REFER
7	TO A PRE-AUGUST 3D DEFINITION OF ONE OF THE TERMS.
8	IN ADDITION, WE ALSO SAW THIS AS AN
9	OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT TO THE BOARD, WITHOUT TYING
10	ITS HANDS INTO DOING SO, TO PRESENT THE BOARD WITH
11	SOME OPTIONS FOR SOME ADDITIONAL CLARIFICATIONS THAT
12	HAVE BEEN SUGGESTED BY MEMBERS OF THE REGULATED
13	COMMUNITY. AND SO WE NOTICED THEM AS WELL DURING
14	THIS INTERIM PERIOD BETWEEN TODAY'S MEETING AND THE
15	AUGUST MEETING SO THAT THEY WOULD BE OPTIONS THAT
16	WOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.
17	ONE OF THEM AROSE IN THE CONTEXT OF A UC
18	COMMENT LETTER, AND THE SAME POINT WAS ALSO RAISED
19	IN ONE FROM IPERIAN. AND THAT RELATES TO DECISION
20	POINT THREE ABOUT THE SCOPE OF THEIR REPORTING
21	OBLIGATIONS TO THE AGENCY IN THE EVENT THAT THERE'S
22	A BREACH OF A LICENSE.
23	SO I CAN DISCUSS THE SPECIFICS THERE IN
24	THE CONTEXT OF THAT DECISION. BUT THAT IS THE
25	COMMENT THAT CAME IN THAT'S RELEVANT TO THE PROPOSED

1	CHANGE THERE.
2	IN ADDITION, THERE WAS A SUPPORTIVE
3	COMMENT BY A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC WITH REGARD TO THE
4	CHANGE THAT THE ICOC ASKED FOR WITH RESPECT TO THE
5	DEFINITION OF EXCLUSIVE LICENSEE.
6	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND IN TERMS OF THE
7	IPERIAN COMMENT, SCOTT, YOU WANT TO JUST SUMMARIZE
8	THE E-MAIL THAT THEY SENT AND COMMENTS?
9	MR. TOCHER: SURE. I GUESS, YOU KNOW, WE
10	CAN TAKE THEM OUT OF ORDER IF YOU LIKE, AND THAT'S
11	FINE. IT'S DECISION POINT THREE, WHICH IS LOCATED
12	AT PAGE 10 OF THE DRAFT REGULATIONS. AND BASICALLY
13	THIS REGULATION ADDRESSES LICENSING ACTIVITIES THAT
14	OUR GRANTEES MAY ENGAGE AND DESCRIBES THE
15	RESPONSIBILITIES OF INTERACTIONS, WHAT THE LICENSES
16	MUST CONTAIN, FOR INSTANCE, AND ALSO WHAT THE
17	GRANTEE MUST REPORT TO US ABOUT THE LICENSES THAT
18	THE GRANTEE EXECUTES.
19	SUBDIVISION H OF THAT REGULATION REQUIRES
20	OUR GRANTEE TO NOTIFY THE AGENCY IN THE EVENT THAT
21	THERE IS A MATERIAL BREACH OF THE LICENSE. UC AND
22	IPERIAN HAS RAISED THE QUESTION THAT THIS MIGHT BE
23	OVERBURDENSOME IN THE EVENT THAT THEY HAVE TO REPORT
24	ALL BREACHES OF THE LICENSE, AND THAT PERHAPS A MORE
25	MANAGEABLE SCOPE, CONSISTENT WITH THE AGENCY'S
	12
	

1	OBLIGATION TO MONITOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE
2	REGULATION, THAT THE LANGUAGE COULD BE MODIFIED TO
3	REQUIRE REPORTING TO CIRM IN THE EVENT OF A BREACH
4	AFFECTING ANY OF THE OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE
5	REGULATIONS. AND THIS SEEMS LIKE A COMMON SENSE
6	SUGGESTION THAT HELPS MANAGE THE BURDEN ON OUR
7	GRANTEES. IT ALSO ENSURES THAT CIRM IS AWARE OF ANY
8	POTENTIAL BREACHES OF LICENSES WHICH WOULD AFFECT
9	THE OBLIGATIONS UNDER THIS REGULATION.
10	THIS IS SOMETHING THAT UC HAS BEEN
11	STRUGGLING WITH, I THINK, TRYING TO WORK WITH US ON
12	FOR SOME TIME. IT WAS RAISED AGAIN IN THE IPERIAN
13	COMMENT. AND SO THE DECISION THERE IN OPTION THREE
14	PROVIDES THAT NARROWING OF SCOPE, AND STAFF
15	RECOMMENDS THAT THAT CHANGE BE MADE.
16	IF I CAN JUST BACK UP AS A GENERAL GUIDING
17	POINT, ON THESE FIVE DECISION POINTS, STAFF MAKES
18	RECOMMENDATIONS THAT ALL OF THE CHANGES BE MADE AND
19	THAT WHERE THERE IS A CHOICE BETWEEN AN OPTION A AND
20	AN OPTION B, STAFF RECOMMENDS THE LANGUAGE IN OPTION
21	Α.
22	SO DECISION THREE DOES NOT HAVE OPTIONAL
23	LANGUAGE, BUT WE WOULD NEVERTHELESS RECOMMEND THE
24	DECISION SET FORTH THERE FOR THE REASONS I JUST
25	EXPLAINED.
	13
	±- <i>3</i>

1	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. AND ARE THERE
2	ANY OTHER COMMENTS THAT YOU RECEIVED DURING THIS
3	PERIOD, SCOTT, THAT LED TO ACTUAL CHANGES DURING
4	THIS PERIOD?
5	MR. TOCHER: NO, BECAUSE NO. JUST THE
6	ONES THAT I HAVE REFERENCED.
7	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. LET ME ASK
8	MEMBERS OF THE IP TASK FORCE THAT ARE WITH US, DO
9	THEY HAVE, FIRST, ANY COMMENTS ON THIS BECAUSE THEY
10	LIVED WITH THESE PROVISIONS FOR A GREAT DEAL OF
11	TIME, INVESTED A HUGE AMOUNT OF TIME. I THINK IT
12	WOULD BE INFORMATIVE IF THEY HAVE ANY PARTICULAR
13	POSITIONS OR INFORMATION THEY'D LIKE TO IMPART.
14	JEFF, I THINK YOU'RE IN SAN FRANCISCO; IS THAT
15	RIGHT?
16	MR. SHEEHY: I'M ACTUALLY I'M NOT AT
17	CIRM, BUT I'M HERE IN THE CITY.
18	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YOU'RE IN SAN FRANCISCO,
19	JUST NOT AT CIRM. DO YOU HAVE ANY PARTICULAR
20	COMMENT ON THE ADDITIONAL POINTS THAT SCOTT HAS
21	BROUGHT TO US IN TERMS OF THESE ADDITIONAL DECISIONS
22	RELATED TO TECHNICAL CHANGES TO TIGHTEN LANGUAGE?
23	MR. SHEEHY: THIS ALL LOOKS VERY
24	STRAIGHTFORWARD, SO I DON'T SEE ANY PROBLEMS.
25	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT.

1	MR. SHEEHY: THERE DID SEEM LIKE THAT
2	THERE'S SOME CHOICES ON SOME OF THESE, IF I'M
3	CORRECT.
4	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YES, THERE ARE. AND
5	WE'LL GO THROUGH EACH OF THOSE.
6	MR. SHEEHY: BUT, IN GENERAL, I THINK
7	THEY'VE DONE A GREAT JOB.
8	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. ANY OTHER COMMENTS
9	FROM MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE?
10	DR. BRYANT: YES. OH, SORRY. I'M NOT ON
11	THE TASK FORCE. NEVER MIND.
12	MS. KING: I THINK THAT'S OKAY, DR.
13	BRYANT. GO AHEAD.
14	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DR. BRYANT, GO AHEAD.
15	DR. BRYANT: OKAY. SO I'VE BEEN TALKING
16	TO THE UC OFFICE ABOUT THIS, AND THERE STILL IS SOME
17	LANGUAGE IN HERE THAT IS PROBLEMATIC FOR UC, WHICH,
18	YOU KNOW, REPRESENTS AN OPINION ABOUT, YOU KNOW, HOW
19	TO SET THESE THINGS UP SO THAT THEY PROTECT
20	EVERYONE'S INTEREST. AND I CAN GO THROUGH THEM. I
21	DON'T KNOW IF THEY HAVE BEEN COMMUNICATED TO YOU
22	SINCE THIS MOST RECENT DRAFT. HAVE YOU RECEIVED
23	ANYTHING?
24	MR. TOCHER: THIS IS SCOTT IN SACRAMENTO.
25	OUR 15-DAY COMMENT PERIOD JUST CLOSED. EXCUSE ME.

1	SACRAMENTO. MAYBE THREE YEARS AGO I WAS, BUT NOT
2	NOW. I HAVE A COLD. LET'S BLAME IT ON THAT.
3	OUR COMMENT PERIOD JUST CLOSED A FEW DAYS
4	AGO, AND WE DID NOT RECEIVE ANY COMMENTS FROM UC.
5	DR. BRYANT: OKAY. BUT I KNOW THAT THERE
6	ARE SOME REMAINING CONCERNS. I THINK THEY WERE
7	EXPRESSED BEFORE, BUT SOME OF THEM ARE STILL IN
8	THERE. AND I JUST FEEL LIKE I SHOULD BRING THOSE UP
9	AT SOME POINT. I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS THE RIGHT
10	TIME OR NOT.
11	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: LET ME ASK, DR. BRYANT,
12	IF THERE'S ANY OTHER COMMENT ON THE CHANGES THAT
13	HAVE BEEN MADE. AND IF THERE AREN'T, THEN I THINK
14	IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR YOU TO GO FORWARD AT
15	THIS TIME TO EXPLAIN THOSE. SO IS THERE ANY OTHER
16	COMMENTS ON THE CHANGES THAT HAVE BEEN MADE?
17	HEARING NONE, DR. BRYANT, COULD YOU PROCEED TO
18	OUTLINE THOSE FOR US?
19	DR. BRYANT: OKAY. SO THERE ARE QUITE A
20	FEW, SO LET ME AND THEY'RE INDEXED BY THE NUMBER
21	IN THE PROPOSED LANGUAGE. SO THE FIRST ONE APPLIES
22	TO SECTION 100601(C), DEFINITION OF CIRM-FUNDED
23	INVENTION. THIS IS A RESIDUAL CONCERN, AND THIS IS
24	CIRM-FUNDED INVENTION NOW INCLUDES INVENTIONS THAT
25	ARE NEITHER CONCEIVED NOR REDUCED TO PRACTICE UNDER

1	CIRM FUNDING. THIS IS BECAUSE OF THE ADDITION OF
2	THE PHRASE "ALL WITHIN 12 MONTHS OF THE CLOSE OF THE
3	GRANT" AT THE END OF CRITERION 2.
4	SO IT MEANS THAT WHETHER OR NOT THE
5	CONCEPTION HAD OCCURRED DURING THE PERIOD OF THE
6	CIRM FUNDING. SO THE POINT IS HERE THAT NOT EVEN
7	THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT GOES THIS FAR IN CAPTURING
8	IP.
9	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DR. BRYANT, THE BASIC
10	CONCEPT HERE IS THAT CIRM CAPTURES SOMETHING THAT
11	MAY BE CONCEIVED WITHIN 12 MONTHS AFTER THE CLOSE OF
12	THE GRANT.
13	DR. BRYANT: RIGHT.
14	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND SO, SCOTT, WOULD YOU,
15	AS WE GO, JUST ANSWER EACH ONE OF THESE SO THAT WE
16	DON'T HAVE TO WAIT TILL THE END WHEN OUR MEMORY MAY
17	BE VAGUE OF THE FIRST ONE?
18	DR. BRYANT: RIGHT. SO, YES, LET'S DO IT
19	THAT WAY. SO THAT WOULD BE THE ANSWER TO THAT ONE.
20	IT WOULD IT SHOULD BE LIMITED TO THE PERIOD OF
21	THE GRANT.
22	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. AND, SCOTT, WHAT
23	IS OUR RESPONSE TO THE UC SYSTEM?
24	MR. TOCHER: WELL, YOU KNOW, THIS IS
25	SOMETHING THAT WE WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE WERE
	17
	17

1	CAPTURING THE CIRCUMSTANCE WHERE THERE WAS A
2	CONCEPTION, BUT A DELAY IN THE REDUCTION TO PRACTICE
3	WHERE THERE WAS CONCEPTION DURING, BUT THEN THE
4	REDUCTION TO PRACTICE WAS HELD OFF UNTIL SOMETIME
5	AFTER THE CLOSE OF THE GRANT. AND SO THAT'S WHY
6	THIS IS ACTUALLY COMPROMISE LANGUAGE IN TERMS OF THE
7	12-MONTH PERIOD WITH UC. INITIALLY IT WAS PROPOSED
8	TO BE 24 MONTHS.
9	AND SO WE JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE
10	DO NOT LOSE OUT FROM THE SITUATION WHERE THERE MAY
11	BE AN ATTEMPT TO GAME THE SYSTEM THAT WE SET UP IN
12	ORDER TO AVOID THE REGULATIONS.
13	DR. BRYANT: OH, I DON'T THINK THAT'S WHAT
14	IT'S ABOUT. I THINK IT'S ANYBODY WOULD SEE THAT
15	HAVING IT BE CONCEIVED DURING THE GRANT AND THEN IT
16	MAY BE REDUCED TO PRACTICE AFTERWARDS, BUT ACCORDING
17	TO, YOU KNOW, THE READING THAT I'VE RECEIVED ABOUT
18	IT IS THAT THE WAY IT'S WRITTEN INCLUDES INVENTIONS
19	THAT ARE NEITHER CONCEIVED, NOR REDUCED TO PRACTICE
20	UNDER CIRM FUNDING BECAUSE IT SAYS THESE THINGS ARE
21	LINKED TOGETHER, AND IT WAS ALL WITHIN 12 MONTHS OF
22	THE CLOSE OF THE GRANT, WHICH BUT YOU NEED TO
23	SEPARATE THE CONCEIVED FROM THE REDUCED TO PRACTICE
24	IN ORDER TO MAKE IT WORKABLE.
25	MR. TOCHER: IT ARISES FROM THE

1	CIRM-FUNDED RESEARCH, SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT CIRM
2	FUNDING GOES INTO THE DISCOVERY, BUT IT'S NOT
3	REDUCED TO PRACTICE UNTIL WITHIN 12 MONTHS OF THE
4	CLOSE. SO I THINK THAT IS APPROPRIATE, AND WE
5	COMMUNICATED THIS WITH THE OFFICE OF TECH TRANSFER.
6	DR. BRODY: THIS IS BILL BRODY SPEAKING.
7	I THINK FIRST OF ALL, I'M NOT SURE I AGREE WITH
8	YOUR INTERPRETATION OF THE LANGUAGE. SECONDLY, I
9	THINK IT'S ABSURD. AND THIRD, I DON'T THINK IT'S
10	ENFORCEABLE.
11	YOU KNOW, I'VE BEEN AWAY FROM CALIFORNIA
12	FOR A LONG TIME, BUT MY GUESS IS THAT THIS WOULD NOT
13	BE ENFORCEABLE. AND WE DO READ IT AS MEANING
14	INVENTIONS THAT ARE NEITHER CONCEIVED, NOR REDUCED
15	TO PRACTICE WOULD BE COVERED. AND, IN FACT, THERE'S
16	SOME INTERPRET THERE'S ONE INTERPRETATION THAT
17	SOMEBODY WHO WAS NEVER EVER FUNDED BY CIRM COULD BE
18	PUT INTO THIS DUE TO THE PREVIOUS PART.
19	I JUST THINK THIS IS POORLY WORDED, AND
20	I'M STRONGLY AGAINST THIS AS IT'S WRITTEN.
21	MR. TOCHER: WELL, THE FIRST LINE OF THE
22	DEFINITION SAYS IT ARISES FROM CIRM-FUNDED RESEARCH.
23	DR. BRYANT: RIGHT.
24	MR. TOCHER: I DON'T KNOW HOW THE CASE
25	COULD BE MADE THAT THIS ISN'T EVEN RESEARCH THAT

1	ARISES FROM A CIRM GRANT.
2	DR. BRODY: WHAT THIS SHOULD SAY IS IN ANY
3	INVENTION WHICH IS PATENTABLE WHICH IS EITHER
4	CONCEIVED OR REDUCED TO PRACTICE DURING THE YOU
5	KNOW, DURING THE FUNDING PERIOD. SO IF I CONCEIVE
6	IT WHILE I'M FUNDED, BUT I REDUCE IT TO PRACTICE
7	LATER, IT'S STILL COVERED. RIGHT?
8	MR. TOCHER: BUT THAT'S WHAT THE
9	CIRM-FUNDED RESEARCH DEFINITION INCLUDES. ALL
10	ASPECTS
11	DR. BRODY: THAT'S NOT HOW THAT'S NOT
12	HOW SEVERAL COUNSELS INTERPRET IT, INCLUDING
13	STANFORD AND THE UC SYSTEM.
14	MS. BAUM: MAY I SUGGEST THAT AT SOME
15	POINT IT'S THE INTENT TO HAVE FREQUENTLY ASKED
16	QUESTIONS THAT WE COULD POST AS WELL THAT WOULD GIVE
17	EXPLANATION AS TO WHAT THE INTENT WAS MEANT BY THE
18	ACTUAL REGULATORY LANGUAGE?
19	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: LET'S DO THIS. THIS IS
20	BOB KLEIN. CLEARLY
21	DR. BRODY: ONE THING, BOB. THERE'S
22	LANGUAGE IN THE BAYH-DOLE AMENDMENT THAT'S BEEN USED
23	SUCCESSFULLY BY UNIVERSITIES ACROSS THE COUNTRY, AND
24	I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY, AS POINTED OUT IN THE
25	STANFORD LETTER FROM KATHY KU TO SCOTT, WE DON'T

1	ADOPT THAT LANGUAGE. I'D LIKE A RESPONSE FROM THE
2	COUNSELS WHY WE'RE ADOPTING DIFFERENT LANGUAGE IN
3	THIS PARTICULAR PART.
4	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: LET'S BREAK THIS INTO
5	INCREMENTS THAT WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO IMPLEMENT AND
6	THEN INCREMENTS THAT ARE CALLED TO OUR ATTENTION
7	THAT WE NEED TO FOCUS ON IN TERMS OF FURTHER
8	REFINEMENTS TO THAT LANGUAGE.
9	IN TERMS OF WHAT WE CAN ACCOMPLISH TODAY,
10	WE HAVE TO PUT AN IP POLICY IN PLACE THAT APPLIES TO
11	THE DISEASE TEAM RFA. IN TERMS OF LANGUAGE THAT
12	APPEARS THAT THERE'S SIGNIFICANT CONTROVERSY OVER,
13	WE DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO CHANGE TO ADD NEW
14	LANGUAGE TODAY AND TAKE ACTION ON NEW LANGUAGE THAT
15	WOULD IMMEDIATELY BECOME EFFECTIVE. BUT WE WOULD
16	NEED THE IP TASK FORCE TO TAKE THIS ON TO GIVE US
17	BACK A RECOMMENDATION, AFTER TALKING TO DR. BRODY,
18	THE UC SYSTEM, STANFORD, AND THE OTHER INDIVIDUALS
19	THAT HAVE COMMENTED, IN PARTICULAR UC IRVINE THAT
20	LOOKS AS IF THEY'VE PUT SOME TIME INTO THIS.
21	SCOTT, COULD YOU GIVE US
22	DR. BRODY: BUT, BOB, LET ME ASK A
23	QUESTION. IF WE DON'T HAVE A QUORUM, THEN WE CAN'T
24	APPROVE SOMETHING; IS THAT CORRECT?
25	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IF WE DON'T HAVE A

1	QUORUM, WE CAN'T APPROVE SOMETHING. WE WILL NEED A
2	QUORUM THAT WE'LL NEED TO CALL ANOTHER BOARD MEETING
3	TO AT LEAST GET OUR CONSOLIDATED IP IN PLACE.
4	DR. BRODY: YOU KNOW, APPROVING A BAD, YOU
5	KNOW, BAD LAW OR BAD REGULATION IS A PERSON HAVING
6	TO WAIT.
7	DR. BRYANT: YEAH. I AGREE. I THINK IT'S
8	VERY IMPORTANT TO GET THIS STRAIGHT BECAUSE I THINK,
9	YOU KNOW, THESE OFFICES ON OUR CAMPUSES DEAL WITH
10	THESE ISSUES EVERY DAY. AND INTRODUCING NEW
11	PROBLEMS IS JUST GOING TO MAKE IT VERY DIFFICULT FOR
12	EVERYBODY.
13	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. LET ME WALK
14	THROUGH THIS BECAUSE IF IT'S DR. BRODY, I TOTALLY
15	AGREE. IF IT'S AVOIDABLE (INAUDIBLE) THAT IS
16	ADVISABLE; BUT IF IT'S NOT AVOIDABLE BECAUSE WE HAVE
17	TO DO THIS IN INCREMENTAL STEPS UNDER STATE LAW,
18	THEN WE'RE GOING TO BE IN A POSITION WHERE WE HAVE
19	TO HAVE SOMETHING APPROVED AND THEN CORRECT IT. BUT
20	LET ME FIND OUT. LET'S EDUCATE ALL OF US HERE.
21	SCOTT, COULD YOU GIVE US THE FRAMEWORK
22	WE'RE WORKING WITH AND TELL US WHAT UNDER STATE
23	STATUTE OUR CHOICES ARE. AND PLEASE, ELONA, WOULD
24	YOU SUPPLEMENT THAT OBVIOUSLY IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO
25	PUT ANOTHER LAYER OF INFORMATION ON THAT.
	22

1	MS. KING: AND BEFORE COUNSEL DOES THAT,
2	CAN I JUST CHECK. HAS ANYONE JOINED THE CALL
3	RECENTLY THAT WAS NOT CALLED DURING THE ROLL CALL?
4	WE'RE EXPECTING 21 PEOPLE, SO WE'RE MISSING QUITE A
5	FEW OF YOU WHO WERE SUPPOSED TO BE HERE. WHO'S
6	JOINED THE CALL RECENTLY THAT WAS NOT PART OF THE
7	ROLL CALL?
8	DR. QUINT: ROBERT QUINT.
9	MS. KING: THANK YOU, DR. QUINT. ANYBODY
10	ELSE? OKAY. WE'RE STILL A FEW SHORT OF A QUORUM,
11	AND I'LL TURN IT OVER TO COUNSEL.
12	MR. TOCHER: LET ME JUST CLARIFY YOUR
13	QUESTION, BOB. ARE YOU ASKING IN TERMS OF OAL
14	PROCESS AND THE REGULATORY PROCESS WHAT THE
15	REQUIREMENTS ARE?
16	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YEAH. WELL, FIRST OF
17	ALL, ADVISE US WHAT IS THE STATUS OF 100601(C) RIGHT
18	NOW? IN OTHER WORDS, IS IT IN PLACE AS AN INTERIM
19	REGULATION? AND WHAT ARE THE ACTIONS THAT ARE
20	OPTIONS TO US AT THIS MOMENT?
21	MR. TOCHER: WE DO NOT HAVE IN PLACE
22	REGULATION 100601. THAT REGULATION WAS NOT ADOPTED
23	AT THE LAST MEETING EITHER ON AN INTERIM BASIS OR
24	OTHERWISE. AND SO, THEREFORE, THE SPECIFIC
25	SUBDIVISION ALSO IS NOT OPERABLE.

1	IF THE AGENCY WISHED TO ADOPT EXCUSE
2	ME. IF THE AGENCY WISHED TO AMEND ANY OF THE
3	LANGUAGE IN ANY OF THESE SUBDIVISIONS FOR ANY REASON
4	BEYOND WHICH OR IS A SUBSTANTIVE CHANGE TO ANYTHING
5	IN THE DRAFT BEFORE YOU, THAT WOULD REQUIRE US TO
6	POST THOSE CHANGES FOR A 15-DAY COMMENT PERIOD. AND
7	ONLY AFTER THAT COMMENT PERIOD IS CONCLUDED WOULD
8	THE AGENCY HAVE THE OPTION OF ADOPTING THOSE CHANGES
9	OR REJECTING THEM AND THEN SENDING THE REGULATION ON
10	TO THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW FOR ITS REVIEW.
11	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DO I UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY
12	THAT YOUR ARGUMENT, SCOTT, IS THAT WHAT DR. BRODY IS
13	SUGGESTING WAS THE INTENT?
14	MR. TOCHER: WELL, I DO NOT ARGUE THAT HIS
15	INTERPRETATION WELL, LET ME BACK UP. I'M NOT
16	SURE TO WHICH OF HIS INTERPRETATIONS YOU'RE
17	REFERRING. LET ME JUST SAY THAT THE CIRM-FUNDED
18	INVENTION DEFINITION DOES NOT COVER INVENTIONS THAT
19	WERE NOT CONCEIVED DURING THE GRANT PERIOD. IN
20	FACT, I'M AWARE OF THE GENERAL CONCERN, FOR
21	INSTANCE, THAT UC HAS RELAYED IN THEIR PAST
22	COMMENTS. AND WE HAVE ASKED FOR A SPECIFIC READING
23	AND EXPLANATION OF A READING OF THE STATUTE THE
24	PROPOSED REGULATION, EXCUSE ME, THAT WOULD RESULT IN
25	THE CONCERNS THAT WERE PLACED IN THE LETTER, BUT
	24

1	THAT HASN'T BEEN PROVIDED.
2	DR. PRIETO: I JUST WANTED TO COMMENT. I
3	MEAN I UNDERSTAND WHAT SCOTT IS SAYING AND THAT WE
4	CAN BASICALLY, ONCE WE HAVE A QUORUM, ONLY ADOPT
5	LANGUAGE THAT HAS BEEN PROPOSED, AND THAT'S BECAUSE
6	OF CALIFORNIA LAW AND STATUTE. BUT WITH REGARD TO
7	THE COMMENT ABOUT BAYH-DOLE, HE WASN'T ON THE BOARD
8	DURING THE DISCUSSION THAT LED UP TO THESE IP
9	REGULATIONS. AND I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO
10	REMEMBER, AND JEFF COULD CERTAINLY SHED SOME LIGHT
11	ON THIS AS WELL, THAT WE CONSCIOUSLY STEPPED AWAY
12	FROM BAYH-DOLE AND, YOU KNOW, DRAFTED OUR
13	REGULATIONS DIFFERENTLY. AND PART OF THAT WAS IN
14	RESPONSE TO THE REPRESENTATIONS AND THE PROMISES
15	THAT WERE MADE TO CALIFORNIA DURING THE COURSE OF
16	THE CAMPAIGN FOR THE PROPOSITION.
17	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I UNDERSTAND THAT, DR.
18	PRIETO, BUT IT OCCURS TO ME THAT DR. BRYANT AND DR.
19	BRODY'S INTENT HERE IS CONSISTENT WITH WHAT IS BEING
20	ARTICULATED, WHICH IS THAT IT BE THAT THE
21	INVENTION WOULD HAVE BEEN CONCEIVED DURING AND AROSE
22	FROM THE FUNDED GRANT.
23	DR. PRIETO: SURE. AS SCOTT IS SAYING,
24	AND I THINK THAT THAT'S ABSOLUTELY THE CASE. AND I
25	DON'T THINK ANYONE COULD EVER SUCCESSFULLY ARGUE,

1	CERTAINLY WE COULD NOT, THAT WE HAD SOME RIGHTS TO
2	SOMETHING THAT HAPPENED, YOU KNOW, THE 12 MONTHS
3	AFTER THE PERIOD OF THE GRANT, BUT THAT WAS NOT
4	CONCEIVED DURING THE COURSE OF THE GRANT. I MEAN I
5	UNDERSTAND THEIR CONCERN, BUT I THINK THERE'S PLENTY
6	OF DOCUMENTATION OF THAT NOT BEING OUR INTENT, THAT
7	THAT JUST WOULD NOT HOLD WATER.
8	DR. BRYANT: YEAH, BUT THAT'S WHAT IT
9	SAYS. I MEAN I THINK IN A LEGAL CASE IT WOULD GO
10	BACK TO THE LANGUAGE OF THIS REGULATION. AND, YOU
11	KNOW, ANYONE COULD ARGUE THE CASE THE OTHER WAY.
12	WHATEVER OUR INTENT, IT'S CLEAR IN THE LANGUAGE.
13	MR. SHEEHY: IT'S HARD TO SEE WHAT I
14	MEAN I'M LOOKING AT THIS. IT SAYS AN INVENTION
15	THE FIRST STIPULATION IS THAT THE INVENTION ARISES
16	FROM CIRM-FUNDED RESEARCH. SO IF THAT'S THE FIRST
17	BENCHMARK YOU HAVE TO MEET, I MEAN I'M LOOKING AT
18	THE LANGUAGE IN FRONT OF ME, IT'S HARD FOR ME I
19	MEAN WE MAY NEED TO TIGHTEN THE LANGUAGE, BUT I'M
20	NOT SURE THAT I UNDERSTAND WHAT HAS CREATED THIS
21	LEVEL OF CONCERN BECAUSE THIS SEEMS VERY DOES NOT
22	SEEM I'M HAVING TROUBLE SEEING WHAT THE HIGH
23	CONCERN IS BASED ON THE DIRECT LANGUAGE THAT'S IN
24	FRONT OF ME THAT SAYS THAT NOTHING STARTS UNTIL WE
25	HAVE CIRM-FUNDED RESEARCH. SO UNTIL SOMETHING IS

1	FUNDED BY CIRM
2	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: JEFF, I THINK WE ALL HAVE
3	TO LIVE WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK THAT LAWYERS PLACE,
4	WHICH IS THE DEFAULT TO NOT AGREEING WITH LANGUAGE
5	UNLESS IT'S EXTRAORDINARILY CLEAR. AND DR. BRYANT'S
6	POSITION IS THAT WHEN YOU GET TO LITIGATION, THE
7	REGULATORY LANGUAGE PREVAILS ABOVE INTENT WITH
8	CERTAIN EXCEPTIONS. IS JAMES HARRISON ON?
9	MR. HARRISON: YES, I AM, BOB.
10	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: CAN YOU COMMENT ON THE
11	HIERARCHY THE COURTS WILL LOOK AT IN DISTINGUISHING
12	REGULATORY LANGUAGE FROM INTENT?
13	MR. HARRISON: YEAH. WELL, ABSOLUTELY.
14	YOU KNOW, THE GUIDING PRINCIPLE OF STATUTORY AND
15	REGULATORY CONSTRUCTION IS TO GIVE INTENT TO THE
16	LANGUAGE. IN OTHER WORDS, THE GOAL IS TO ENSURE
17	THAT THE LANGUAGE IS CARRIED OUT IN A MANNER THAT IT
18	WAS INTENDED TO BE APPLIED. IF THERE IS AMBIGUITY,
19	THEN YOU WOULD LOOK TO EXTRANEOUS MATERIALS SUCH AS
20	THE FAQ'S THAT ELONA REFERRED TO OR OTHER EVIDENCE
21	OF THE AGENCY'S INTENT.
22	AND HERE I THINK, AS HAS BEEN SAID, THE
23	INTENT IS CLEAR EVEN IF THERE IS A POTENTIAL
24	AMBIGUITY IN THE READING OF THE LANGUAGE. AND IT'S
25	ALSO IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER THAT CIRM, AS THE AGENCY

1	CHARGED WITH ENFORCING THIS REGULATION, IS GRANTED
2	SOME DEFERENCE BY THE COURTS IN TERMS OF HOW IT
3	SHOULD BE CONSTRUED.
4	SO CIRM'S READING OF THE LANGUAGE AND ITS
5	MEANING IS ONE OF THE TOOLS THAT THE COURT WOULD USE
6	IN INTERPRETING IT.
7	MS. BAUM: OF COURSE, THIS TRANSCRIPT AS
8	WELL.
9	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. LET US
10	MR. SHEEHY: BUT ANOTHER POINT IS IT WOULD
11	BE HELPFUL IF LANGUAGE WAS SUPPLIED. I MEAN, YOU
12	KNOW, TO OFFER AS A DEFAULT TO BAYH-DOLE, WHICH
13	DOESN'T I DON'T THINK IT'S YOU KNOW, BAYH-DOLE
14	DOESN'T REALLY PERMIT ANY CAPTURE OF ANYTHING BY THE
15	FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE MOST PART. AND SO TO
16	JUST THROW DEFAULT TO BAYH-DOLE AT US, IT SEEMS VERY
17	SIMPLISTIC AND A LITTLE PROVOCATIVE, IN FACT, FROM
18	THE GRANTEE ORGANIZATIONS WHEN OUR GOAL HERE IS VERY
19	SIMPLY TO MAKE SURE THAT WE DON'T GET GAMED BY
20	SOMEONE SITTING ON THE IP UNTIL AFTER THE GRANT IS
21	OVER AND THEN SUDDENLY, YOU KNOW, REDUCING IT TO
22	PRACTICE, AND THEN WE HAVE TO GO BEFORE THE VOTERS
23	AND THE LEGISLATURE AND THE GOVERNOR AND EXPLAIN WHY
24	WE LET THIS ESCAPE.
25	SO I FIND IT DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND WHY,

1	YOU KNOW, OUR REALLY LEGITIMATE INTEREST IN MAKING
2	SURE THAT WE AREN'T GAMED CAN'T BE RESPONDED TO WITH
3	SOMETHING A LITTLE MORE SOPHISTICATED THAN JUST PUT
4	IN BAYH-DOLE AND LEAVE US ALONE.
5	DR. BRODY: THIS IS DR. BRODY. THE
6	PROBLEM IS
7	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DR. BRODY
8	DR. BRODY: LET ME SPEAK. I'VE READ I
9	DON'T THINK WE'RE ARGUING ABOUT INTENT. I THINK
10	WE'RE IN AGREEMENT ON INTENT. I THINK THIS IS A
11	VERY BADLY WRITTEN PARAGRAPH. I READ IT AND REREAD
12	IT A NUMBER OF TIMES. I CAN'T UNDERSTAND WHAT IT
13	MEANS BECAUSE OF WHERE THE ANDS AND ORS AND EITHERS
14	ARE. THAT'S THE PROBLEM. IT'S WRITTEN BY YOU
15	KNOW, IT'S JUST IT JUST IS NOT CLEAR. AND I
16	THINK WE NEED CLEAR LANGUAGE, PERIOD.
17	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO JUST A SECOND. SO,
18	JEFF DR. BRODY, YOU SUGGESTED LANGUAGE, AND I
19	THINK THAT THERE IS NOT AN ISSUE HERE OF INTENT. WE
20	HAVE A CONSENSUS ON INTENT, GENERALLY SPEAKING. AND
21	SO WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO HERE, IN ORDER TO DECIDE
22	ON HOW WE ADDRESS THIS SECTION, IS UNDERSTAND IT IN
23	THE CONTEXT OF THE OTHER COMMENTS THAT DR. BRYANT IS
24	GOING TO MAKE. BUT WE CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND THAT
25	THERE'S AN ISSUE HERE, AND THERE IS CERTAINLY A

1	HIGHLY RESPECTED OPINION THAT WE COULD TIGHTEN THE
2	LANGUAGE TO ACCOMPLISH THE INTENT EVERYONE HOLDS IN
3	COMMON.
4	SO WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO, HAVING UNDERSTOOD
5	THAT, IS MOVE TO THE NEXT COMMENT OF DR. BRYANT SO
6	WE CAN GET A FULL PICTURE OF THE COMMENTS.
7	DR. BRYANT: I THINK I'M MUCH MORE
8	CONCERNED ABOUT THIS THAN ANY OF THE OTHERS. THE
9	OTHERS PALE IN SIGNIFICANCE. SO I WOULD I THINK
10	I'D RATHER PUT YOU KNOW, PUT THOSE ASIDE IF WE
11	GET THIS ONE SOLVED.
12	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. SO, DR. BRYANT, IF
13	THIS ITEM WERE NOT ACTED UPON TODAY, BECAUSE I WAS
14	CONCERNED THERE WAS AN INTERIM REGULATION IN PLACE,
15	WHICH IS NOT THE CASE, SO WE HAVE MORE OPTIONS THAN
16	I ORIGINALLY BELIEVED TO BE BEFORE US. SO IF WE
17	DIDN'T ACT ON THIS TODAY AND WENT FORWARD WITH THE
18	OTHER POINTS, MY QUESTION TO YOU: ARE THERE OTHER
19	POINTS THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS BECAUSE WE
20	WOULD ADDRESS THE OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS TODAY?
21	DR. LOVE: BOB, I HAVE ONE ISSUE. THIS IS
22	TED. WE'RE 43 MINUTES INTO A ONE-HOUR CALL AND WE
23	DON'T HAVE A QUORUM. I'M JUST WONDERING IF WE
24	SHOULDN'T TAKE AN ASSUMPTION THAT WE'RE NOT GOING TO
25	GET A QUORUM AND THINK ABOUT TRYING TO GET THESE
	20

1	COMMENTS, YOU KNOW, IN WRITING SO THAT WE CAN ALL
2	LOOK AT THEM IN ADVANCE AND THINK ABOUT THEM.
3	MS. KING: BOB, THIS IS MELISSA. I JUST
4	WANT TO SAY ONE THING. I COMPLETELY AGREE WITH WHAT
5	TED JUST SAID, WHICH IS THAT RIGHT NOW WHAT THE
6	BOARD IS TRYING TO DO IS REACT TO SOME INFORMATION
7	THAT THEY DON'T HAVE IN FRONT OF THEM. THAT'S THING
8	ONE.
9	THING TWO IS THAT I THINK WE ACTUALLY
10	MIGHT HAVE A QUORUM, AND I WOULD LIKE TO CHECK ON
11	THAT SO THAT WE CAN USE THE NEXT 16 MINUTES THAT WE
12	HAVE TO ACT ON WHAT THE BOARD IS ABLE TO ACT ON. IF
13	WHOEVER JOINED IN THE LAST COUPLE OF MINUTES COULD
14	PLEASE LET ME KNOW WHO THAT IS.
15	DR. LEVEY: JERRY LEVEY HERE, MELISSA.
16	MS. KING: THANKS SO MUCH, DR. LEVEY.
17	ANYBODY ELSE?
18	DR. LEVEY: I WAS DOWNTOWN AND DIDN'T GET
19	BACK TO WORK TILL LATE.
20	MS. KING: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR MAKING IT
21	BACK TO JOIN. AND DID ANYBODY ELSE JOIN THE CALL
22	THAT HASN'T BEEN CALLED IN THE ROLL CALL?
23	DR. QUINT: ROBERT QUINT.
24	MS. KING: OKAY. I HAD YOU, DR. QUINT.
25	SO I THINK WE MAY STILL BE ONE SHORT. LET ME JUST

	-
1	QUICKLY CHECK MY NUMBERS.
2	MR. HARRISON: THAT'S MY COUNT, MELISSA.
3	DR. FRIEDMAN: MELISSA, THIS IS MIKE
4	FRIEDMAN AND I'M SORRY. I DON'T MEAN TO COMPLICATE
5	THINGS, BUT I REALLY DO HAVE TO LEAVE AT 6 O'CLOCK.
6	AND I APOLOGIZE BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO CREATE A
7	PARLIAMENTARY CRISIS AT THAT MOMENT.
8	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. DR. FRIEDMAN, I
9	DON'T THINK MELISSA IS CLEAR ON WHERE I'M GOING
10	HERE. SO I THINK THAT THE INFORMATION THAT WE NEED
11	TO DISCUSS IS BEFORE US. AND WHAT I'M TRYING TO DO
12	HERE IS MAKE SURE THAT IF THERE'S OTHER IMPORTANT
13	ITEMS THAT WERE WE TO ACT, AND IT CERTAINLY DOESN'T
14	APPEAR WE'RE GOING TO HAVE THE VOTES TO ACT, I WANT
15	TO GET FIND OUT WHERE THE OTHER SIGNAL COMMENTS
16	ARE BECAUSE IF WE'RE GOING TO HAVE ANOTHER BOARD
17	CALL, I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THOSE COMMENTS ARE ON
18	THE TABLE TODAY SO THAT WE CAN FULLY INVESTIGATE
19	THEM AND BE FULLY PREPARED FOR THE NEXT CALL.
20	NOW, IT MAY BE THAT THERE ARE NOT OTHER
21	ITEMS THAT APPEAR TO BE HIGHLY SIGNIFICANT. ON THE
22	OTHER HAND, TO THE EXTENT THERE ARE, I'M TRYING TO
23	DRAW THEM OUT SO THAT WE CAN MAKE SURE WE HAVE TIME
24	TO STUDY THEM.
25	DR. BRYANT: I CAN GO THROUGH WHAT I HAVE

1	IF YOU WANT TO DO THAT.
2	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I WOULD APPRECIATE THAT.
3	AND I THINK IT'S VERY HELPFUL, DR. BRYANT, YOUR
4	EVALUATION OF THE RELATIVE SIGNIFICANCE FOR THE
5	BOARD.
6	DR. BRYANT: RIGHT. SO I THINK THE FIRST
7	THING IS A VERY DIFFICULT ISSUE. IT SHOULD BE
8	FIXED.
9	THIS NEXT SET OF ISSUES ARE BURDENSOME AND
10	MAY BE IMPOSSIBLE, BUT NOT AS SERIOUS IN THE SAME
11	SENSE, I DON'T THINK. ONE OF THEM IS THAT THESE ARE
12	ALL THINGS THAT WERE ORIGINALLY SUBMITTED BY UC, BUT
13	WERE NOT CHANGED. SO ONE OF THEM IS THE
14	INTRODUCTION OF A CITATION TO A PUBLICATION
15	CONCERNING ANY CIRM-FUNDED INVENTION NARROWS THE
16	REQUIREMENT A BIT. SO THERE WAS A REQUEST TO NARROW
17	THE REQUIREMENTS, BUT DOES NOT SOLVE ALL THE OVERALL
18	PROBLEMS. I SHOULD GO BACK. I'M SORRY. I'M TRYING
19	TO MAKE IT ABBREVIATED.
20	MS. BAUM: THIS IS ELONA BAUM. IT WOULD
21	BE VERY HELPFUL IF YOU CITED THE SECTION THAT YOU'RE
22	REFERRING TO.
23	DR. BRYANT: I'M SORRY. YES. LET ME
24	START. I WAS TRYING TO ABBREVIATE IT TOO MUCH.
25	I'LL JUST GO BACK AND READ MY COMMENTS HERE.

1	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WE APPRECIATE THE SPIRIT
2	OF ABBREVIATING, BUT I THINK THE CITATION WOULD BE
3	GOOD.
4	DR. BRYANT: ALL RIGHT. OKAY. SO IT'S
5	SECTION 100602(C), AND IT'S THIS SECTION THAT WE
6	FEEL IS PERHAPS IMPOSSIBLE.
7	MR. TOCHER: I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT. THIS
8	IS SCOTT IN SAN FRANCISCO. SECTION 100602 IS NOT
9	BEFORE THE BOARD BECAUSE SECTION 602 WAS ADOPTED.
10	DR. BRYANT: ALL RIGHT. THERE IS STILL
11	SOME PROBLEMS WITH IT, BUT I GUESS THAT'S ANOTHER
12	ISSUE THEN.
13	MS. KING: YEAH. THAT WAS PART OF WHAT
14	WAS ADOPTED AT THE BOARD MEETING, CORRECT?
15	DR. BRYANT: WHAT ABOUT 606? IS THAT A
16	SECTION OR NOT?
17	MR. TOCHER: YES.
18	DR. BRYANT: OKAY. THAT'S 606(A) AND (B),
19	REQUIREMENT TO COMMERCIALIZE CIRM-FUNDED INVENTIONS
20	AND CIRM TECHNOLOGY. THE TERM "CIRM-FUNDED
21	INVENTIONS AND CIRM-FUNDED TECHNOLOGY" INCLUDE THE
22	ORDINARY DAY-TO-DAY DETRITUS OF RESEARCH, INCLUDING
23	DATA, RESEARCH RESULTS, ETC. IN EVERY ROUND OF
24	COMMENTS, UC HAS EXPRESSED A CONCERN THAT BEING
25	REQUIRED TO TRY TO COMMERCIALIZE THIS BROAD RANGE OF
	34
	<u> </u>

RESEARCH RESULTS WOULD OTHERWISE BRING THEM TO
PRACTICAL APPLICATION. ONCE SUCH RESEARCH RESULTS
ARE OF NO COMMERCIAL INTEREST, MUCH OF THE DATA HAS
NO REAL PRACTICAL APPLICATION, AND IT WOULD BE A
POOR USE OF LIMITED RESOURCES TO TRY TO
COMMERCIALIZE THEM ANYWAY. THE PENALTY FOR NOT
DOING SO IS THAT WE MUST EITHER ATTEMPT TO NEGOTIATE
NONEXCLUSIVE LICENSES OR MAKE PROACTIVE ATTEMPTS TO
PUSH EVERYTHING INTO THE PUBLIC DOMAIN.
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. SO, SCOTT, COULD
YOU PROVIDE A QUICK RESPONSE TO THAT, REALIZING
AGAIN THAT THIS IS SOMETHING WE PROBABLY ARE GOING
TO TAKE UNDER SUBMISSION, BUT PROVIDE A SUMMARY
RESPONSE, PLEASE.
MR. TOCHER: WELL, IT WAS A LITTLE BROKEN
UP, BUT
DR. BRYANT: I THINK IT WAS YOU WERE AWARE
OF IT BEFORE, I THINK. UC RECOMMENDED BEFORE THAT
IT BE LIMITED TO BE LIMITED IF NOT TO PATENTABLE
CIRM-FUNDED INVENTIONS, THEN AT LEAST TO THOSE
RESEARCH RESULTS THAT ACTUALLY DO LEND THEMSELVES TO
COMMERCIALIZATION.
MR. TOCHER: RIGHT. AND THE ISSUE FOR US
IS THAT PART OF OUR MONITORING ACTIVITIES MUST
ENSURE THAT WE'RE AWARE OF CIRM-FUNDED TECHNOLOGIES,
n =

1	CIRM-FUNDED INVENTIONS, AND THE RESULTS OF THE
2	RESEARCH THAT MAY LEAD TO REVENUE GENERATING
3	OPPORTUNITIES OR PRODUCTS THAT MAY BE
4	COMMERCIALIZED. AND SO IT'S NECESSARY FOR THE
5	AGENCY TO MONITOR WHETHER OR NOT THOSE TECHNOLOGIES
6	ARE BEING EXPLOITED AND WHETHER THEY'RE BEING
7	CREATED. WAITING UNTIL THERE'S A POINT IN TIME
8	WHERE THE REVENUE IS BEING GENERATED IN FACT BEFORE
9	WE'RE AWARE OF WHAT IS OUT THERE MEANS THAT OUR
10	VISION IS TOO NARROWLY DEFINED BECAUSE WE WON'T BE
11	AWARE OF TECHNOLOGIES OR INVENTIONS THAT SHOULD BE
12	EXPLOITED. IT WON'T ALLOW US TO QUERY WHETHER OR
13	NOT THERE'S SOMETHING THAT CAN BE DONE IN ORDER TO
14	FURTHER PUSH THIS OUT INTO THE MARKET OR INTO OTHER
15	RESEARCH UNITS. SO I THINK THAT'S THE FUNDAMENTAL
16	ISSUE THAT WE HAVE DISCUSSED WITH UC.
17	DR. PRICE: THIS REGULATION, IT SEEMS TO
18	ME YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO
19	CIRM. BUT THE REGULATION, AS I READ IT, IS NOT
20	ABOUT INFORMATION. IT'S A REQUIREMENT THAT THE
21	INSTITUTION HAS TO MAKE EFFORT TO DEVELOP,
22	COMMERCIALIZE, OR OTHERWISE BRING INTO APPLICATION
23	THINGS THAT THEY DON'T BELIEVE HAVE COMMERCIAL
24	VALUE, WHICH IS MOST OF THE THINGS THAT ARE
25	DISCLOSED IN UNIVERSITIES.

1	MS. BAUM: I THINK THE OPERATIVE WORD
2	THERE WAS PRACTICAL. YOU WANT TO EXPAND ON THAT?
3	MR. TOCHER: RIGHT. I MEAN ELECTS NOT TO
4	DEVELOP, COMMERCIALIZE, OR OTHERWISE BRING TO A
5	PRACTICAL APPLICATION CIRM-FUNDED INVENTION OR
6	TECHNOLOGY, THEN MAKE EFFORTS TO NEGOTIATE.
7	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO LET'S DO THIS. WE'VE
8	PUT THAT ISSUE ON THE TABLE. I'D LIKE TO MOVE TO
9	THE NEXT ISSUE. AND, FURTHERMORE, WE WILL HAVE THE
10	BENEFIT IN THE NEXT CALL OF HAVING DR. PENHOET, HEAD
11	OF THE TASK FORCE, AND OTHER TASK FORCE MEMBERS WHO
12	ARE NOT ON THIS CALL WHO HAVE DISCUSSED SOME OF
13	THESE ISSUES BRING OUT THE OTHER SIDE OF THIS
14	DISCUSSION, BUT LET'S GO FORWARD TO THE NEXT POINT
15	IF WE COULD PLEASE, DR. BRYANT.
16	DR. BRYANT: I DON'T HAVE ANY MORE.
17	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. SO BASICALLY IT'S
18	THOSE TWO POINTS THAT WE NEED TO ADDRESS. SO LET ME
19	ASK SCOTT. ARE THERE SECTIONS THAT DO NOT INVOLVE
20	THOSE TWO POINTS THAT IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO
21	PASS TODAY? WELL, WE DON'T HAVE A QUORUM STILL. IS
22	THAT MY UNDERSTANDING?
23	MR. HARRISON: WE'RE AT 18, BOB.
24	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND WE NEED.
25	MR. HARRISON: NINETEEN.
	37

1	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: BECAUSE ALL OF OUR
2	VACANCIES ARE FILLED?
3	MR. HARRISON: CORRECT.
4	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. FINE. BUT LET ME
5	ASK SCOTT. IN TERMS OF LOOKING FORWARD, THESE TWO
6	SECTIONS INVOLVE HOW MANY OF THE PROPOSED DECISIONS
7	THAT ARE BEFORE US?
8	MR. TOCHER: SECTION 601 AND 606 COMPRISE
9	THREE OF THE DECISIONS.
10	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. THREE OF THE FIVE
11	DECISIONS.
12	MR. TOCHER: THAT'S RIGHT.
13	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. SO WE'RE GOING TO
14	FOCUS ON THOSE ITEMS, RESCHEDULE A CALL, BUT MAKING
15	CERTAIN WE HAVE TIME TO CONFER WITH THE IP TASK
16	FORCE. AND I'M GOING TO REQUEST THAT DR. PENHOET,
17	WHO HEADS THAT TASK FORCE, TALK TO DR. BRODY AND
18	DR. BRYANT AS REPRESENTATIVES WHO HAVE WITH THEIR
19	COUNSEL INVESTIGATED THESE SECTIONS WHO WILL HAVE
20	THE BENEFIT OF DR. PENHOET, DR. BRODY, AND
21	DR. BRYANT SHARING INFORMATION PRIOR TO THE NEXT
22	CALL.
23	AND WE'RE CLEARLY WITHIN BAGLEY-KEENE IN
24	LIMITING THIS DISCUSSION. REMEMBER, WE CANNOT UNDER
25	BAGLEY-KEENE HAVE A BROAD DISCUSSION, BUT CERTAINLY
	38

1	WE CAN HAVE THOSE MEMBERS INVOLVED FOR INFORMATIONAL
2	PURPOSES TO PREPARE EVERYONE AND A COUPLE OF OTHER
3	MEMBERS, BUT ANY ADDITIONAL MEMBERS NEED TO BE
4	CLEARED THROUGH BOARD COUNSEL AND THE CHAIR'S
5	OFFICE.
6	SO WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO ASK IF THERE'S
7	ANY OTHER BOARD COMMENT ON THE ITEMS BEFORE US.
8	DR. BRODY: BILL BRODY AGAIN. I JUST
9	COMMENT, AND AGAIN I APOLOGIZE COMING IN NEW TO THE
10	COMMITTEE, BUT THE WHOLE ISSUE OF ACCESS
11	REQUIREMENTS. SO, AGAIN, THIS IS SORT OF AN UNKNOWN
12	ISSUE AS TO WHETHER IT WILL BE A ROADBLOCK OR NOT
13	FOR GETTING LICENSES.
14	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO, DR. BRODY
15	DR. BRODY: THERE'S A LOT OF DISCUSSION TO
16	THAT. AND I UNDERSTAND WHY IT'S THERE.
17	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND, DR. BRODY, I THINK
18	IT WOULD BE BENEFICIAL TO PROBE THIS ISSUE WITH DR.
19	PENHOET BECAUSE UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW AND UNDER
20	PRACTICE, THERE'S A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF
21	INFORMATION THAT'S SUGGESTED THAT THIS ACCESS WOULD
22	BE ACCEPTED BY THE BIOTECH AND PHARMACEUTICAL
23	COMMUNITY AS A STANDARD OF PRACTICE THAT'S CURRENTLY
24	LARGELY IN EFFECT. AT LEAST THAT'S THE POSITION
25	THEY'VE PRESENTED BEFORE TO THE COMMITTEE, TO THE
	30

1	BOARD.
2	SO DR. PENHOET, HAVING BEEN THE HEAD OF
3	CHIRON IN A PRIOR LIFE, HAS THAT PERSPECTIVE, AND I
4	THINK THAT WOULD BE A VALUABLE EXCHANGE.
5	DR. BRODY: GOOD. OKAY.
6	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY.
7	DR. PRIETO: BOB, I'D LIKE TO ECHO WHAT
8	YOU'RE SAYING, BOB. I THINK THAT WOULD BE VERY
9	HELPFUL, AND I THINK THAT, IN FACT, THAT IS EXACTLY
10	THE CASE.
11	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. AND SO
12	DR. BRYANT: WE COULD HAVE A CONFERENCE
13	CALL, ACTUALLY.
14	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YOU NEED TO BE VERY
15	LIMITED IN THE CONFERENCE CALL, SO ANY CALL WE'LL
16	CLEAR THROUGH BOARD COUNSEL TO MAKE SURE WE'RE IN
17	COMPLIANCE WITH BAGLEY-KEENE. BUT THERE IS A VALUE
18	IN AT LEAST GETTING KEY INFORMATION FROM BOTH SIDES
19	OF THIS ISSUE REFINED FOR A BETTER SUBSTANTIVE
20	DISCUSSION IN THE NEXT CALL.
21	MR. TOCHER: BOB, THIS IS SCOTT AGAIN. IF
22	I COULD ECHO JEFF SHEEHY'S POINT, AND THIS IS WHAT
23	CIRM STAFF ASKED FOR EARLIER IS WHERE THERE'S
24	CONCERN, A SPECIFIC READING OF WHAT THE ANALYSIS IS
25	THAT LEADS TO THE FEAR.

1	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. WELL, I LEARN
2	SOMETHING IN EVERY ONE OF THESE CALLS. GREATLY
3	APPRECIATE THE TREMENDOUS WORK.
4	MR. SHEEHY: BOB, COULD I JUST MAKE ONE
5	MORE COMMENT?
6	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ABSOLUTELY.
7	MR. SHEEHY: I JUST I THINK IT'S VERY
8	IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER THAT THE ACCESS REQUIREMENTS
9	WERE PASSED BY THE STATE LEGISLATURE VIRTUALLY
10	UNANIMOUSLY A YEAR AGO. THE STATE LEGISLATURE CAN
11	PUT AN AMENDMENT TO PROP 71 ON THE BALLOT WITH A
12	SIMPLE MAJORITY. AND IF THE ISSUE IS \$3 BILLION OF
13	STATE MONEY AND NO ACCESS TO UNINSURED CALIFORNIANS
14	BECAUSE INDUSTRY CAN'T ACCOMMODATE WHAT IS NOT A
15	REQUIREMENT TO COVER EVERYONE, BUT JUST SIMPLY A
16	REQUIREMENT THAT THEY MAKE SOME REASONABLE EFFORT
17	CONSISTENT WITH THE STANDARD THAT PREVAILS FOR A
18	BUSINESS ENTITY OF THEIR SIZE, YOU KNOW, AT THE TIME
19	OF COMMERCIALIZATION TO MAKE SOME EFFORT TO COVER
20	SOME SUBSET, EVEN ONE UNINSURED CALIFORNIAN, I THINK
21	THAT THAT BALLOT MEASURE WOULD PASS FAIRLY EASILY
22	AND WOULD PROBABLY HAVE A MORE STRINGENT REQUIREMENT
23	IN IT THAN WHAT WE HAVE IN OUR REGULATIONS. WE DO
24	HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO THE VOTERS AND TO THE
25	TAXPAYERS OF CALIFORNIA.
	4.7

1	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO, JEFF, I THINK THAT WE
2	EMBRACE, CLEARLY THE BOARD HAS EMBRACED THAT
3	CONCEPT, AND WE DO KNOW THAT THE LEGISLATURE SET
4	FORTH ACCESS STANDARDS WHICH WE ARE HOPEFULLY NOT
5	ONLY COMPLYING WITH, BUT HOPEFULLY WE'LL BE CREATIVE
6	IN FINDING SOURCES OF SUBSIDY TO HELP PROVIDE
7	BROADER ACCESS. BUT I'M TRYING TO ENCOURAGE A
8	CONVERSATION THAT WILL HOPEFULLY BRING EVERYONE THE
9	INFORMATION THAT LED US TO REALIZE THAT WE COULD
10	PRACTICALLY REACH THESE ACCESS TARGETS, AND THAT'S
11	THE GOAL IN THE CONTEXT OF THIS DISCUSSION.
12	SO I'D LIKE TO FIND OUT IS THERE ANY OTHER
13	BOARD MEMBER WHO WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT BEFORE I TURN
14	TO PUBLIC COMMENT? HEARING NONE, I'D LIKE TO KNOW
15	IF THERE'S PUBLIC COMMENT AT THIS TIME.
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: BOB, WE HAVE JOHN
17	SIMPSON IN LOS ANGELES.
18	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. MR. SIMPSON,
19	WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT? PLEASE
20	KEEP IT TO THE NORMAL THREE MINUTES.
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: CAN YOU HEAR HIM?
22	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WE CAN'T HEAR YOU, JOHN.
23	MR. SIMPSON: HELLO. ARE YOU ABLE TO HEAR
24	ME?
25	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: VERY WELL.
	42
	· -

1	MR. SIMPSON: I WAS AFRAID YOU WEREN'T. I
2	JUST SIMPLY WANTED TO REFER THE BOARD TO MY WRITTEN
3	COMMENTS. I THINK THOSE SPEAK PRETTY MUCH FOR
4	THEMSELVES. AND ALSO JUST CONFIRM THAT IF THERE ARE
5	REGULATION CHANGES OR DRAFTING CHANGES THAT DO COME
6	OUT OF THIS CONVERSATION THAT YOU'RE PROPOSING,
7	THOSE, I ASSUME, WOULD HAVE TO BE GIVEN 15-DAY
8	NOTICE BEFORE THE BOARD COULD ACT ON THEM.
9	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THAT'S A CORRECT
10	STATEMENT, JOHN.
11	MR. SIMPSON: THANK YOU.
12	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND I WOULD LIKE TO SAY
13	THAT THE STAFF, IN CARRYING OUT THE BOARD'S INTENT,
14	TRIES EXTREMELY HARD. IT'S A VERY COMPLICATED AREA.
15	IT'S A CHALLENGING AREA WITH DIFFERENT VIEWS. THEY
16	HAVE DEDICATED SIGNIFICANT PARTS OF THEIR LIFE TO
17	MAKING SURE THAT (INAUDIBLE). IT'S A DIFFICULT PATH
18	WE'RE FOLLOWING, SO I'D LIKE TO THANK THE STAFF FOR
19	THEIR WORK ON THIS. AND I'D LIKE TO ADJOURN THE
20	MEETING AND WE'LL LOOK FORWARD TO THE NEXT CALL. SO
21	THANK YOU ALL FOR ATTENDING. AND PLEASE ALL ATTEND
22	THE NEXT MEETING.
23	MS. KING: BOB, BEFORE YOU FINISH, DR.
24	TROUNSON HERE IN SAN FRANCISCO WOULD LIKE TO SAY A
25	FEW WORDS.

1	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ABSOLUTELY. DR.
2	TROUNSON, YOU HAVE THE FLOOR.
3	DR. TROUNSON: THANK YOU, BOB. I THINK
4	ONE OF THE COMMENTATORS WROTE TO ALL OF THE BOARD
5	MEMBERS REALLY AND I THINK RECENTLY QUESTIONED THE
6	INTEGRITY OF OUR GENERAL COUNSEL, ELONA BAUM. BOTH
7	YOU AND I, BOB, HAVE BEEN SUBJECT TO THESE KIND OF
8	PERSONAL ATTACKS, AND I THINK IT CAN BE UNPLEASANT,
9	BUT WE TOLERATE IT BECAUSE THE WORK OF THE AGENCY IS
10	SO IMPORTANT.
11	HAVING JOINED US FROM THE PRIVATE SECTOR,
12	ELONA TOO IS GETTING TO EXPERIENCE THIS KIND OF
13	UNFORTUNATE ASPECT OF PUBLIC SERVICE. SUFFICE TO
14	SAY, I CONTINUE TO HAVE FULL CONFIDENCE IN ELONA
15	BAUM. SHE'S A TERRIFIC ADDITION TO THE TEAM, AND I
16	CONTINUE TO RELY ON HER EXPERIENCE AND COUNSEL. AND
17	I JUST WANTED THE BOARD TO UNDERSTAND THAT
18	PARTICULAR ISSUE SPECIFICALLY. THANK YOU.
19	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU, DR. TROUNSON.
20	I THINK THE FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPT IS PLEASE RECOGNIZE
21	THE STAFF HAS AN EXTRAORDINARILY DIFFICULT JOB.
22	THEY TRY AND FOLLOW THE BOARD'S POLICY, AND THE
23	BOARD'S POLICY CAN BE CRITICIZED QUITE APPROPRIATELY
24	AND HOPEFULLY A LOT OF CONTRIBUTION FROM THE PUBLIC,
25	BUT WE SHOULD PLEASE RECOGNIZE THE SACRIFICE THE
	44

```
1
     STAFF IS MAKING FROM NOT BEING IN THE PRIVATE
 2
      SECTOR, BUT COMMITTING THEIR LIFE TO THE PUBLIC
 3
      SECTOR BECAUSE THEY BELIEVE IN OUR MISSION AND
 4
     HOPEFULLY RESTRICTING THEIR COMMENTS TO SUBSTANCE,
 5
     WHICH WE'RE ALL DEDICATED TO GETTING RIGHT. SO
 6
     THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR EVERYONE.
 7
                DR. BRYANT: HERE. HERE.
 8
                MS. KING: THANKS, EVERYBODY THAT JOINED.
 9
                     (THE MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED AT
10
     6:05 P.M.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                45
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS SPECIAL ON SEPTEMBER 15, 2009, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152 BARRISTER'S REPORTING SERVICE 1072 BRISTOL STREET SUITE 100 COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA (714) 444-4100