# BEFORE THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TO THE

# CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

#### REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: AS INDICATED ON THE AGENDA

DATE: JUNE 11, 2009

9:35 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 84736

#### INDEX

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
CALL TO ORDE	ΞR	3
ROLL CALL		3
YEAR 2009-20	ON OF CIRM BUDGET FOR FISCAL 010 AND FUNDING SOURCES TO REQUIREMENTS	4
	E ON LOAN PROGRAM DELEGATED RFP RESPONSES	39
	GENCY FINANCING INCLUDING OF FIRST HALF OF FISCAL 011	55
PUBLIC COMME	ENT	NONE
ADJOURNMENT		58

	BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE
1	THURSDAY, JUNE 11, 2009
2	9:35 A.M.
3	
4	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: LET'S GO AHEAD AND
5	CALL THE MEETING TO ORDER AND CONDUCT A ROLL CALL.
6	MS. HARWELL: SURE. RICARDO AZZIZ.
7	ROBERT BIRGENEAU. FLOYD BLOOM. MARCY FEIT.
8	MICHAEL GOLDBERG.
9	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: HERE.
10	MS. HARWELL: BOB KLEIN.
11	MR. KLEIN: HERE.
12	MS. HARWELL: TED LOVE. ED PENHOET. PHIL
13	PIZZO. DUANE ROTH.
14	MR. ROTH: HERE.
15	MS. HARWELL: JEFF SHEEHY.
16	MR. SHEEHY: HERE.
17	MS. HARWELL: OSWALD STEWARD. ART TORRES.
18	MR. TORRES: HERE.
19	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: ART, IS THAT YOU?
20	MS. HARWELL: HE'S HERE.
21	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: OKAY. SO FIRST ITEM
22	OF DISCUSSION, LET'S GO TO AGENDA ITEM NO. 3, AND
23	I'D LIKE TO ASK JOHN ROBSON, OUR CHIEF OPERATING
24	OFFICER, TO SHARE WITH US THE MANAGEMENT PHILOSOPHY
25	BEHIND THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE 2009-2010 FISCAL
	3

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

1	BUDGET.
2	DR. ROBSON: OKAY. THANK YOU, MICHAEL.
3	AS YOU KNOW, CIRM RECEIVED ABOUT \$500 MILLION OR A
4	LITTLE OVER 500 MILLION IN APRIL FROM BOND SALES
5	THANKS TO THE EFFORTS OF CHAIRMAN KLEIN, JAMES
6	HARRISON, LYNN HARWELL, AND OTHERS ON THE STAFF.
7	THAT'S BEEN A TERRIFIC BOOST TO OUR SITUATION.
8	SOME OF THAT MONEY WAS USED TO REPAY LOANS
9	THAT WE OWED THE STATE, BUT WE ENDED UP WITH ABOUT
10	\$275 MILLION BEING ADDED TO OUR BOND ACCOUNT THAT'S
11	USED FOR ALL OF OUR FINANCING. AND THAT BRINGS OUR
12	TOTAL IN THE BOND ACCOUNT CURRENTLY TO ABOUT 370
13	MILLION. SO THAT'S ENOUGH MONEY TO CARRY US FOR ALL
14	OF OUR OBLIGATIONS OR GRANTS AND OUR OPERATIONS
15	THROUGH THE END OF 2010 OR MAYBE A LITTLE BIT
16	FARTHER DEPENDING ON SOME FUNDING DECISIONS MADE BY
17	THE ICOC OVER THE NEXT FEW MONTHS.
18	HOWEVER, BECAUSE OF CALIFORNIA'S
19	CONTINUING ECONOMIC SITUATION AND CONCERNS ABOUT THE
20	STATE BUDGET, WE ARE NOT CERTAIN WHAT THE
21	IMPLICATIONS OF THESE THINGS WILL BE FOR FUTURE BOND
22	SALES, SO WE FEEL THAT IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT THAT WE
23	BE PRUDENT IN DEVELOPING A BUDGET THAT REALLY IS
24	STRIPPED DOWN JUST TO THE ESSENTIALS OF WHAT WE NEED
25	TO MEET OUR MISSION.

Τ.	SO WE HAVE PROVIDED YOU A SUMMARY
2	DOCUMENT, AND IF YOU LOOK IF YOU LOOK AT THAT,
3	YOU WILL SEE THAT OUR OVERALL REQUEST FOR THIS YEAR
4	IS ABOUT 3 PERCENT LESS THAN WHAT THE ICOC APPROVED
5	LAST YEAR. AND THAT'S IN SPITE OF THE FACT THAT WE
6	EXPECT TO HAVE SIGNIFICANT INCREASES IN STAFFING IN
7	JULY OF 2008. WHEN THIS CURRENT BUDGET STARTED, WE
8	HAD 31 PEOPLE ON STAFF. BY THE END OF JUNE 2010,
9	WHEN THIS FUTURE BUDGET WILL BE ENDING, WE HOPE TO
10	HAVE 47. WE EXPECT TO HAVE 47 ON STAFF. AND IN
11	ADDITION, OUR GRANT PORTFOLIO OVER THE NEXT 12
12	MONTHS WE ANTICIPATE WILL INCREASE BY \$330 MILLION.
13	SO IN SPITE OF THOSE INCREASES IN OUR WORK
14	LOAD AND OUR STAFFING, WE STILL HAVE A BUDGET THAT'S
15	UNDER WHAT HAD BEEN APPROVED FOR LAST YEAR.
16	SO IF YOU LOOK AT THE TABLE, YOU WILL SEE
17	THE VARIOUS CATEGORIES THERE LISTED ON THE LEFT.
18	THOSE ARE THE SAME CATEGORIES THAT MARGARET FERGUSON
19	HAS BEEN SHOWING TO THE ICOC IN HER REGULAR REPORTS
20	ON THE PROGRESS OF OUR ONGOING OPERATIONS. AND THEN
21	YOU CAN SEE IN THE OTHER THE NEXT TWO COLUMNS
22	WHAT WE'RE REQUESTING FOR THIS YEAR COMPARED TO WHAT
23	WE REQUESTED WHAT WAS APPROVED FOR LAST YEAR AND
24	THEN A COLUMN WHICH SHOWS THE DIFFERENCE. AND AT
25	THE BOTTOM YOU WILL SEE THAT IT'S ABOUT 3 PERCENT.

1	I'D LIKE TO JUST SAY A COUPLE OF THINGS
2	ABOUT, BEFORE WE GET INTO DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL
3	ITEMS ON THIS, A COUPLE OF COMMENTS ABOUT WHAT WE
4	SEE AS SORT OF RISKS AND OPPORTUNITIES ASSOCIATED
5	WITH THIS BUDGET. AND ALSO I'D LIKE TO JUST BRIEFLY
6	TOUCH ON HOW OUR OPERATING EXPENDITURES RELATE TO
7	THE 6-PERCENT CAP THAT WE HAVE THAT IS LEGISLATED IN
8	PROPOSITION 71.
9	SO LET'S JUST FIRST TOUCH ON SOME OF THE
10	RISKS AND OPPORTUNITIES.
11	MR. TORRES: BEFORE YOU GO FORWARD, JUST
12	FOR THE RECORD, I WANT TO ALSO GIVE CREDIT TO STATE
13	TREASURER BILL LOCKYEAR AND HIS CHIEF OF STAFF STEVE
14	CLOONEY FOR BEING EXTREMELY HELPFUL (INAUDIBLE). I
15	JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE IT'S IN THE RECORD THAT WE
16	ALSO GIVE CREDIT TO STATE TREASURER BILL LOCKYEAR
17	AND HIS CHIEF OF STAFF STEVE CLOONEY FOR HELPING US
18	OUT THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS TO HAVE THE MONEY IN THE
19	BANK.
20	MR. KLEIN: AND THIS IS BOB KLEIN. I'D
21	LIKE TO DOUBLE ART'S COMMENTS AND INDICATE THAT THE
22	GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF FINANCE WAS ALSO EXTREMELY
23	IMPORTANT IN PUTTING TOGETHER WITH US A MOSAIC OF
24	PROGRAMS, INCLUDING A PROGRAM WHERE THE STATE COULD
25	ISSUE BONDS AND HAVE A THIRD OF ALL OF ITS INTEREST

1	COST SUBSIDIZED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. SO THE
2	FEDERAL PROGRAM CREATED AN OPPORTUNITY FOR SPECIFIC
3	PROGRAMS LIKE OURS TO HAVE THE COST SUBSTANTIALLY
4	REDUCED, AND THAT WAS PUT TOGETHER IN A SHORT
5	TIMEFRAME IN RESPONSE TO THE STIMULUS PROGRAM.
6	SO DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE FOR THE GOVERNOR
7	AND THE STATE TREASURER'S OFFICE AND OUR STAFF
8	FORMED A VERY EFFECTIVE COLLABORATIVE EFFORT TO SAVE
9	THE STATE MONEY AND TO PUT FUNDS INTO THE BANK SO
10	THAT THEY COULD SECURE OUR PROGRAM FUTURE.
11	MS. HARWELL: BEFORE DR. ROBSON CONTINUES,
12	DID MARCY FEIT JOIN THE CALL?
13	MS. FEIT: YES.
14	MS. HARWELL: AND IS RICARDO AZZIZ ON THE
15	CALL? NOT YET. OKAY.
16	DR. ROBSON: OKAY. WELL, THOSE ARE BOTH
17	VERY GOOD POINTS. I CERTAINLY DIDN'T MEAN TO SLIGHT
18	ANYBODY ELSE'S CONTRIBUTIONS.
19	LET ME TALK JUST BRIEFLY ABOUT SOME OF THE
20	RISKS AND OPPORTUNITIES THAT WE SEE WITH THIS
21	BUDGET. ONE OF OUR ONGOING RISKS, BECAUSE WE ARE A
22	SMALL ORGANIZATION, IS THAT WE IF WE LOSE KEY
23	STAFF, THAT CAN CREATE PROBLEMS FOR US. WE DO WHAT
24	WE CAN TO MAKE A VERY STIMULATING AND ENJOYABLE,
25	PLEASANT PLACE WORKPLACE, AND WE DO WHAT WE CAN

1	TO PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR OUR STAFF AND FOR
2	CAREER ADVANCEMENT.
3	I SHOULD ALSO MENTION THAT THERE IS SOME
4	CONCERN THAT WE HAVE ABOUT THE POSSIBLE RETIREMENT
5	FROM THE ORGANIZATION OF THE CHAIR AT THE END OF
6	2010, AND SO WE ANTICIPATE THAT WE WILL BE BRINGING
7	TO THE ICOC A REQUEST FOR A POSITION FOR A STRATEGIC
8	FINANCING PERSON WHO CAN OVERLAP WITH BOB AND TAKE
9	OVER MANY OF THOSE FUNCTIONS SO THAT THERE'S A
10	SMOOTH TRANSITION WHEN HE EVENTUALLY DEPARTS FROM
11	HIS POSITION HERE.
12	AS I MENTIONED BEFORE, WE'RE CONCERNED
13	ABOUT FUTURE FUNDING. THAT'S ALWAYS A RISK, BUT
14	THAT DOESN'T REALLY AFFECT THE CURRENT BUDGET
15	BECAUSE WE HAVE ENOUGH FUNDS TO CARRY US, AGAIN,
16	THROUGH THIS UPCOMING FISCAL YEAR AND BEYOND TO THE
17	END OF 2010 AT LEAST.
18	WE THINK THERE'S WE KNOW THAT THERE'S
19	STRONG SUPPORT FOR CIRM'S PROGRAMS IN THE PUBLIC.
20	OF COURSE, WE NEED TO WORK TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT
21	REMAINS, AND WE ARE DOING THAT IN A COUPLE OF WAYS.
22	WE TRY TO BE, AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, FISCALLY
23	RESPONSIBLE IN CONSTRUCTING OUR BUDGET. AND ALSO TO
24	DEVELOP WE HAVE WITHIN THIS BUDGET SOME FUNDS TO
25	DEVELOP SOME COMMUNITY OUTREACH PROGRAMS SO THAT THE

1	PUBLIC UNDERSTANDS WHAT WE'RE DOING, WHAT CIRM HAS
2	ACCOMPLISHED IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN THAT SUPPORT.
3	WE HAVE SOME ISSUES AROUND BUILDING A
4	ROBUST AND RELIABLE ELECTRONIC GRANTS MANAGEMENT
5	SYSTEM. WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON THIS FOR A COUPLE OF
6	YEARS, AND IT'S BEEN PROVING TO BE A DIFFICULT TASK.
7	WE HAD WE THOUGHT WE HAD A PROGRAM THAT WAS GOING
8	TO MANAGE OUR SYSTEMS; BUT BECAUSE OF CIRM'S
9	EVOLVING PORTFOLIO AND PROCESSES, THIS SYSTEM HAS
10	NOT BEEN PROVED ADEQUATE. WE NOW ARE SEARCHING FOR
11	SOME NEW SYSTEMS AND SOME NEW STRATEGIES, BUT WE
12	HAVE A GOOD LINE ON THIS. I THINK IN THE END, WE'RE
13	GOING TO END UP WITH A SYSTEM THAT WILL MEET OUR
14	NEEDS, AND IT'S GOING TO BE ACTUALLY AT REDUCED COST
15	OVER WHAT WE HAD BEEN EXPECTING PRIOR TO THIS.
16	SOME OF THE OPPORTUNITIES WE HAVE, I
17	THINK, ARE AROUND EXPANSION OF CIRM'S ROLE GLOBALLY.
18	WE HAVE BUILT COLLABORATIONS WITH FIVE COUNTRIES
19	AROUND THE WORLD AND WE ARE NEGOTIATING WITH FIVE
20	OTHERS. WE'VE HAD A TARGET TO GET ABOUT TEN
21	INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATORS, AND THERE'S SOME FUNDS
22	IN THE BUDGET TO ALLOW FOR THOSE PROGRAMS TO BE
23	DEVELOPED. SIMILARLY, WE'RE TRYING TO DEVELOP
24	PROGRAMS WITHIN THE U.S. WITH THE NIH NOW THAT THE
25	GOVERNMENT'S POSITION ON STEM CELLS IS CHANGING. WE

1	HAVE FUNDS IN HERE THAT WILL ALLOW US TO DEVELOP
2	THOSE KINDS OF COLLABORATIONS WITH THE FEDERAL
3	GOVERNMENT AND ALSO WITH STATE GOVERNMENTS THAT ARE
4	FUNDING STEM CELLS ON THEIR OWN.
5	WE HAVE FUNDS IN HERE FOR ADDITIONAL
6	SCIENCE OFFICERS WHICH WE'RE GOING TO NEED TO FOLLOW
7	OUR PROGRAMS AND DEVELOP THE RFA'S AND PARTICULARLY
8	TO DO PROGRESS REPORTS AND EVALUATIONS. ESPECIALLY
9	WHEN WE GET INTO DISEASE TEAMS AND THESE MORE
10	COMPLEX PROGRAMS, WE'RE GOING TO NEED MORE PERSONNEL
11	TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY'RE KEEPING ON TRACK. AND WE
12	HAVE FUNDS IN THERE FOR THAT.
13	ALSO, WITH OUR GROWTH OF OUR STAFF AND OUR
14	PORTFOLIO, THE STRESSES ON THE FINANCE OFFICE HAVE
15	BEEN INCREASING ENORMOUSLY. WE'VE JUST HAD MARGARET
16	FERGUSON HANDLING THAT ROLE ON HER OWN WITH SOME
17	HELP FROM A PART-TIME RETIRED ANNUITANT. THIS IS
18	PROVING TO BE MORE THAN IS REASONABLE, AND WE HAVE
19	FUNDS IN HERE TO HIRE A SECOND FINANCE OFFICER AND
20	ACTUALLY HAVE BEGUN THE PROCESS TO INTERVIEW FOR
21	THAT.
22	SO I THINK THAT THIS BUDGET ALLOWS US TO
23	CONTINUE OUR PROGRAMS AND DEVELOP OUR NEW PROGRAMS,
24	BUT IT IS A RESPONSIBILITY AND TRIMMED DOWN TO
25	REALLY THE BARE ESSENTIALS OF WHAT WE NEED.

1	NOW, LET ME MENTION JUST SOMETHING ABOUT
2	THE 6-PERCENT CAP. AS YOU KNOW, PROPOSITION 71
3	LIMITS OUR OPERATING EXPENSES TO 6 PERCENT. NOW, WE
4	CAN LOOK AT THAT TWO DIFFERENT WAYS. I THINK IF YOU
5	LOOK AT IT FROM A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE FROM THE TOTAL
6	APPROPRIATION OF \$3 BILLION, IF YOU TRANSLATE THAT
7	BACK, CALCULATE BACK, THAT WOULD BE \$180 MILLION
8	AVAILABLE FOR OPERATIONS OVER THE TEN-YEAR PERIOD
9	LIFE SPAN OF CIRM OR \$18 MILLION A YEAR. IF YOU
10	LOOK AT THE BOTTOM OF THE CHART, SUMMARY CHART WE
11	GAVE YOU ON THE BUDGET, YOU WILL SEE THAT THAT COMES
12	OUT TO 3 PERCENT OF THAT IS FOR GENERAL OPERATIONS
13	AND 3 PERCENT IS DEDICATED TO GRANTS OPERATIONS.
14	THAT WOULD BE NINE MILLION IN EACH OF THOSE
15	CATEGORIES. WE ARE WELL UNDER THOSE FIGURES.
16	ANOTHER WAY TO LOOK AT IT WOULD BE TO
17	CALCULATE THE 6 PERCENT BASED ON BOND SALES TO DATE.
18	OUR TOTAL BOND SALES TO DATE ARE \$755 MILLION. SIX
19	PERCENT OF THAT IS A LITTLE OVER 45 MILLION. SO
20	THAT WOULD SAY THAT WE WOULD HAVE \$45 MILLION
21	AVAILABLE IN AGGREGATE FOR OUR OPERATIONS. AND IF
22	YOU LOOK AT OUR EXPENDITURES TO DATE SINCE THE
23	BEGINNING OF CIRM, WE'VE SPENT A LITTLE OVER \$27
24	MILLION ON OUR OPERATIONS. AND THE CURRENT BUDGET
25	HAS A REQUEST FOR ABOUT AN ADDITIONAL 11. SO THAT

1	WOULD BRING AT THE END OF 2000 FISCAL YEAR 2010,
2	2009-10, OUR TOTAL EXPENDITURES WOULD BE 38.3, WHICH
3	IS WELL UNDER THE 45.3 AS CALCULATED BASED ON OUR
4	BOND SALES SO FAR.
5	SO I THINK ANY WAY YOU LOOK AT IT, WE'RE
6	DOING WELL IN PROVIDING THE CITIZENS OF CALIFORNIA A
7	GOOD PRODUCT AT A VERY REASONABLE COST.
8	SO I WOULD NOW GO BACK TO THE BUDGET IF
9	THERE'S ANY QUESTIONS SO FAR.
10	MR. KLEIN: JOHN, THIS IS BOB KLEIN. I
11	THINK I'D JUST LIKE TO REMIND SOME OF THOSE THAT
12	MIGHT BE LISTENING THAT WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT A
13	TEN-YEAR LIFE SPAN, THAT'S A SIMPLIFIED MODEL.
14	OBVIOUSLY OUR LITIGATION WAS NOT RESOLVED UNTIL
15	2000 HALFWAY THROUGH 2007. SO THE PROGRAM LIFE
16	SPAN IS CLOSER TO 2017, WHICH IS TEN YEARS FROM THAT
17	DATE. SO THAT THE INITIATIVE WAS WRITTEN TO ADJUST
18	TO IMPEDIMENTS THAT HAVE DEVELOPED OR MAY DEVELOP,
19	AND OUR LIFE SPAN IS NOT A STATIC TIME PERIOD, BUT
20	RATHER AN ORGANIC TIME PERIOD THAT RESPONDS TO THE
21	QUALITY OF THE SCIENCE AND TO CHALLENGES WE MAY
22	INCUR DURING THAT PERIOD.
23	DR. ROBSON: GOOD POINT. I THINK EVEN
24	WITH THOSE ADJUSTMENTS, WE'RE STILL IN GOOD SHAPE.
25	MR. KLEIN: ABSOLUTELY.

1	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: SO JOHN, YOU ASKED FOR
2	QUESTIONS. FIRST FROM THE MEMBERS OF THE
3	SUBCOMMITTEE AND THEN FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AT
4	THIS POINT, AND THEN WE CAN GO INTO SOME OF THE
5	DETAIL ON THE SPECIFIC LINE ITEMS IN THE BUDGET AND
6	SOME OF THE AREAS OF MAJOR CHANGE SUCH AS
7	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.
8	MR. ROTH: JOHN, CAN YOU GIVE US, INSTEAD
9	OF A COMPARISON TO THE APPROVED BUDGET, CAN YOU GIVE
10	US A FEEL FOR THE INCREASE OVER WHAT YOU EXPECT THE
11	ACTUAL BUDGET TO BE FOR THIS YEAR?
12	DR. ROBSON: YEAH. SO THAT'S A LITTLE BIT
13	OF A CRYSTAL BALL GAZING BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE OUR
14	FINAL FIGURES YET. IN FACT, MARGARET JUST TOLD ME
15	THIS MORNING SHE RECEIVED THE FIGURES FOR MAY THIS
16	MORNING ABOUT FIVE MINUTES BEFORE WE STARTED HERE.
17	SO WE DON'T EVEN HAVE THOSE FOR YOU. ALL WE HAVE IS
18	OUR PROJECTIONS BASED ON WHAT WE PRESENTED TO THE
19	ICOC AT THE BOARD MEETING IN APRIL. AND BASED ON
20	THAT, WE ANTICIPATE THAT WE WILL BE ABOUT 20 PERCENT
21	BELOW THE APPROVED BUDGET, BUT THAT REALLY REMAINS
22	TO BE SEEN BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF OUTSTANDING
23	EXPENSES THAT COULD GET ROLLED UP AT THE END OF THE
24	FISCAL YEAR THAT WILL SHOW UP IN JUNE.
25	SO THAT'S THE BEST WE CAN DO RIGHT NOW.
	12

1	MR. ROTH: SO IF YOU TAKE THAT PROJECTION
2	AND YOU OVERLAY IT HERE, ARE THE SIGNIFICANT
3	INCREASES VERSUS ACTUAL IN THE SAME CATEGORIES? I
4	ASSUME THEY WILL BE, BUT I WANTED TO HEAR, YOU KNOW,
5	WHERE THAT IS BECAUSE THE PERCENT OVER ACTUAL IS
6	GOING TO BE 23 PERCENT THEN.
7	MR. KLEIN: DUANE, THIS IS BOB KLEIN.
8	YOU'D HAVE TO ADJUST THE MANNING SCHEDULE FROM 31
9	PERSONS TO 47 AND THEN LOOK ON A NORMALIZED MANNING
10	SCHEDULE WHAT THE INCREASE IS. AND ON A NORMALIZED
11	MANNING SCHEDULE, I THINK YOU'RE GOING TO FIND IT'S
12	VERY SMALL, BUT THEY HAVEN'T HAD THE CHANCE TO
13	CALCULATE THAT. I'LL ASK THE WORK WITH MICHAEL
14	TO SEE IF THEY CAN GET THAT CALCULATED BEFORE THE
15	BOARD MEETING.
16	MR. ROTH: YEAH. I THINK AND I UNDERSTAND
17	THAT WE'RE NEW AT THIS AND BUDGETING AND SO ON, BUT
18	I'M ACCUSTOMED AT THIS POINT IN TIME THAT YOU WOULD
19	HAVE AN ESTIMATED YEAR-END AND WE DO, BUT IT SEEMS
20	TO LAG BEYOND WHAT I THINK WE MIGHT WANT TO HAVE
21	WHEN WE GO INTO APPROVING A MAJOR BUDGET LIKE THIS.
22	SO I'M JUST SUGGESTING THAT WE DO ALL WE CAN TO
23	COMPARE TO LAST YEAR'S ACTUAL, NOT LAST YEAR'S
24	BUDGET. OTHERWISE WE JUST CONTINUE TO BUILD ON
25	SOMETHING THAT WAS ESTABLISHED YEARS AGO.
	14

1	MR. KLEIN: I THINK, DUANE, MY POINT IS WE
2	NEED TO LOOK AT IT ON A NORMALIZED MANNING SCHEDULE
3	JUST LIKE LOOKING AT BOND SALES BECAUSE WE HAVE WITH
4	OUR VOLUME OF PROGRAMS SUBSTANTIALLY INCREASED AND
5	THE STAFF TO MAN THOSE INCREASED. WE NEED TO
6	COMPARE ON A NORMALIZED BASIS WHETHER OR NOT WHAT
7	OUR LEVEL OF INCREASE IS.
8	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: THAT NOTWITHSTANDING,
9	I'D RAISE A FURTHER POINT, DUANE, AND WE'LL WORK
10	WITH MARGARET AND JOHN TO ATTEMPT TO ESTABLISH THAT.
11	IT WOULD BE FROM A NOMENCLATURE STANDPOINT PROBABLY
12	THE TERM YOU USE WOULD BE RATHER THAN THE ACTUAL
13	BUDGET FOR '08-'09 WOULD BE THE FORECASTED ACTUAL.
14	MR. ROTH: CORRECT. SO THERE WOULD BE
15	THREE COLUMNS HERE.
16	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: RIGHT.
17	MR. ROTH: THAT'S EXACTLY AND PERCENTAGES.
18	MS. FERGUSON: WE CAN DO THAT.
19	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: ARE THERE QUESTIONS
20	FROM THE COMMITTEE?
21	MR. SHEEHY: I HAVE A LOT OF QUESTIONS.
22	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: YOU WANT TO STAY AT
23	THE GLOBAL LEVEL, JEFF, OR AS WE GET INTO THE LINE
24	ITEMS?
25	MR. SHEEHY: I GUESS MY PROBLEM IS WE JUST

1	HAD A VERY WE HAD A PRESENTATION, AND IT WAS A
2	VERY INFORMATIVE PRESENTATION, BUT I HAVE NO
3	DOCUMENTATION FOR IT. AND MAYBE IT WOULD BE VERY
4	HELPFUL IF I HAD DOCUMENTS.
5	I MEAN, FIRST OF ALL, MY FIRST THING IS IT
6	DOESN'T SEEM CLEAR THAT THIS 6 PERCENT, WHAT WE'RE
7	OPERATING OFF OF, AND SO IT JUST WHETHER IT
8	MEANS, YOU KNOW, WE'VE HAD THE GLOBAL FIGURE OF TEN
9	YEARS OF FUNDING AND THEN DIVIDING THAT 3 BILLION
10	TIMES 6 PERCENT. WE'VE ALSO TALKED ABOUT BOND
11	SALES. I CAN ALSO VISUALIZE THAT WE MAY ALSO LOOK
12	AT GRANTS AWARDED AND GRANT MONIES GOING OUT, SO IT
13	DOESN'T EVEN SEEM LIKE THAT WE HAVE IDENTIFIED THE
14	METRIC FROM WHICH WE'RE TO OPERATE FROM IN TERMS OF
15	THE FUNDS AVAILABLE.
16	I MEAN WE CAN'T HAVE A MOVING WE CAN'T
17	PICK WHATEVER METRIC WE WANT, IT SEEMS TO ME. IT
18	SEEMS TO ME THAT WE OUGHT TO HAVE A STATUTORY OR AT
19	LEAST A LEGALLY DEFINED METRIC FOR FUNDS AVAILABLE.
20	IT DOESN'T MATTER TO ME WHICH ONE PER SE, BUT IT
21	WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE ONE. AND IT SEEMS LIKE
22	WE'RE JUST KIND OF THROWING A DART BOARD, THROWING A
23	DART AT THE DART BOARD. SO THAT'S MY FIRST QUESTION
24	IS, A, THERE'S NO DOCUMENTATION.
25	SO I'VE GOT THESE NUMBERS SCRIBBLED DOWN
	16

1	ON MY PIECE OF PAPER. THE NEXT TIME THIS DISCUSSION
2	COMES UP, I'M GOING TO HAVE TO DIG OUT MY SCRIBBLED
3	PIECE OF PAPER WITHOUT HAVING DOCUMENTATION OF THE
4	755 MILLION IN BOND SALES AND 45 MILLION WE'RE
5	ESTIMATING IS THE 6 PERCENT, THE 18 THE 180
6	MILLION THAT WE WOULD DO IF WE WERE ESTIMATING ON
7	THE TOTAL 3 BILLION OVER TEN YEARS, WHICH WOULD BE
8	18 MILLION A YEAR.
9	I MEAN NONE OF THESE NUMBERS EXIST IN THE
10	DOCUMENT THAT'S IN FRONT OF ME. SO THAT'S MY FIRST
11	THING THAT I'D LIKE TO HAVE IS AT SOME POINT SOME
12	IDEA OF WHAT WE'RE ACTUALLY USING AS A METRIC FOR
13	FUNDS AVAILABLE.
14	THE SECOND QUESTION IS THAT WE TALKED
15	ABOUT RISKS, SO LOSS OF KEY STAFF. DO WE IDENTIFY
16	KEY STAFF? WE TALKED ABOUT OPPORTUNITIES AND THEN
17	IDENTIFIED THINGS THAT WE'RE TO DO, BUT WE DON'T
18	HAVE LINE ITEMS IN THE BUDGET TO MATCH UP WITH THEM.
19	SO WE HAVE FUNDS IN OUR BUDGET EXPANDING CIRM'S ROLE
20	GLOBALLY. I DON'T SEE THAT LINE ITEM FOR DOING NIH,
21	STATE RELATIONSHIPS, ADDITIONAL SCIENCE OFFICERS.
22	YOU KNOW, IT'S JUST THE LEVEL OF DETAIL IS NOT
23	THERE, SO I REALLY DON'T UNDERSTAND.
24	YOU KNOW, I'M HAVING A LOT OF TROUBLE
25	UNDERSTANDING TO BEGIN WITH. AND THE OTHER THING IS

1	IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO NOT GET ANY DOCUMENT MORE
2	THAN 24 HOURS BEFORE WE'RE GOING TO CONSIDER IT.
3	AND THEN I HAVE A LOT OF QUESTIONS ONCE WE
4	GET INTO THE DETAIL OBVIOUSLY. WELL, I'LL SAY THIS
5	BECAUSE I DO HAVE A QUESTION. IT'S THE FIRST LINE
6	ITEM, SO I DO HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THAT. BUT, YOU
7	KNOW, IT'S JUST IT'S VERY HARD. I DON'T I
8	DON'T EVEN UNDERSTAND THE METRICS THAT WE'RE USING
9	FOR THE DEVELOPMENT FOR THE BUDGET. WE'RE USING
10	THE THE '08-'09 APPROVED BUDGET IS THE STARTING
11	POINT. I THINK THIS IS WHAT DUANE WAS TALKING
12	ABOUT. WE DON'T HAVE THE ACTUAL ON HERE. AND IT
13	DOESN'T EVEN I DON'T EVEN HAVE ON HERE IDENTIFIED
14	WHAT OUR FISCAL YEAR IS. I'M ASSUMING IT'S A JUNE
15	TO JUNE.
16	DR. ROBSON: JULY TO JUNE.
17	MR. SHEEHY: JULY TO JUNE 30TH. BUT THAT
18	WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE THAT STATED AT SOME POINT
19	THAT WE KNOW THAT. BUT, IN GENERAL, I'M NOT
20	THERE'S NOT A LOT OF CLARITY HERE.
21	MS. FEIT: THIS IS MARCY FEIT. I REALLY
22	HEARTILY ECHO EVERYTHING JEFF HAS SAID AND DUANE
23	ROTH. I ACTUALLY WAS IN THE PROCESS OF SCANNING MY
24	E-MAILS BECAUSE I THOUGHT I HAD MISSED SOMETHING. I
25	THOUGHT MAYBE I DIDN'T PRINT OUT THE RIGHT

1	DOCUMENTS. IT'S DIFFICULT TO EVEN FOLLOW THE
2	PROCESS. WE'RE NOT LOOKING AT DOCUMENTS THAT HELP
3	US UNDERSTAND THE BUDGET PROCESS. SO I'M NOT GOING
4	TO REPEAT EVERYTHING THEY SAID. I JUST I WANT TO
5	ECHO THEIR COMMENTS.
6	MR. SHEEHY: JUST ONE LAST COMMENT ON THE
7	GRANTS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM. I ACTUALLY THINK WE
8	PROBABLY NEED A LOT MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE
9	HISTORY OF WHERE WE ARE AND WHERE WE'RE GOING. I
10	NOTICE THAT THAT'S A HUGE JUMP IN ANTICIPATED
11	FUNDING, AND WE SPENT A LOT OF MONEY ON IT AND IT'S
12	NOT REALLY CLEAR WHAT'S GONE ON, BUT IT WOULD BE
13	HELPFUL TO AT LEAST I KNOW WE PROBABLY CAN'T GET
14	THAT NOW, BUT AND I WOULDN'T WANT TO TAKE TIME,
15	BUT WE SHOULD PROBABLY GET TO THE BOTTOM OF THAT.
16	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: JEFF, I THINK WE GET
17	TO THE BOTTOM OF THAT WHEN WE LOOK THROUGH THE
18	EXHIBIT LABELED 3 A ON INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, THE
19	SECOND PAGE OF A TWO-PAGE EXHIBIT. WHY DON'T WE GO
20	TO ASK JOHN AND/OR MARGARET TO WALK US THROUGH THE
21	SUPPORTING SCHEDULE FOR ITEM NO. 3, WHICH WERE THE
22	MAJOR CHANGES THAT LIVE BEHIND THE LINE ITEMS ON THE
23	FIRST TABLE.
24	MR. SHEEHY: I ACTUALLY JUST WANTED TO
25	MAKE A COMMENT. IT WOULD BE HELPFUL I MEAN I

1	JUST WANT TO IN SOME WAYS IT'S NOT CLEAR IN A
2	FUNCTIONAL WAY. LET'S JUST TAKE THE LEGAL SERVICES.
3	WE HAVE LEGAL STAFF, WE HAVE EXTERNAL CONTRACTS, WE
4	HAVE INTERAGENCY CONTRACTS. IT WOULD BE GREAT IF
5	THERE WAS SOME SORT OF IDEA WHAT REALLY WE'RE
6	SPENDING FOR LEGAL SERVICES. AND THAT'S SPREAD ALL
7	THROUGHOUT, AND IT WOULD BE HELPFUL.
8	I CAN THINK, YOU KNOW, COMMUNITY OUTREACH,
9	BUT IN SOME WAYS SCIENTIFIC YOU KNOW, THIS IS NOT
10	REALLY BROKEN DOWN IN A WAY THAT REALLY CAPTURES
11	WHAT WE'RE DOING AND THEN TIES A NUMBER TO IT. THIS
12	FORM THE NUMBERS AREN'T FOLLOWING THE FUNCTION,
13	IT SEEMS TO ME. AND THIS IS NOT AN INAPPROPRIATE
14	WAY TO DO THIS, BUT IT WOULD BE MUCH MORE HELPFUL
15	FOR ME IN ORDER FOR ME TO MAKE DECISIONS TO KNOW
16	EXACTLY WHAT WE ARE SPENDING FOR LEGAL SERVICES,
17	WHAT WE ARE SPENDING FOR SCIENCE, AND TO BE ABLE TO
18	LOOK AT HOW THOSE ARE CHANGING, HOW THEY NEED TO
19	CHANGE.
20	MR. KLEIN: IF YOU LOOK ON THE SUMMARY
21	SHEET, THEY'VE AGGREGATED ALL OF THE LEGAL,
22	INCLUDING STAFF SALARIES FOR LEGAL, ON THE BOTTOM OF
23	THE FIRST SHEET SO THAT THAT TOTAL IS THERE TO
24	INFORM YOU. IF YOU TOOK EVERYTHING OUT OF EVERY
25	CATEGORY, INCLUDING OUT OF SALARY, WHAT IT WOULD BE?

1	MR. SHEEHY: YEAH. I SAW THAT, BOB. AND,
2	AGAIN, I'M JUST USING THIS AS AN EXAMPLE, NOT AS TO
3	THAT SPECIFIC NUMBER. BUT EVEN WITH THIS SPECIFIC
4	NUMBER, IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE THAT ITEMIZED, YOU
5	KNOW, IN A COHERENT WAY SO I COULD LOOK DOWN AND
6	SEE.
7	AND THE REASON I PICKED ON LEGAL, BY THE
8	WAY, IS NOT IN ANY WAY TO BE PEJORATIVE. I ACTUALLY
9	THINK THAT LEGAL SERVICES HAVE BEEN EXTRAORDINARY AS
10	SOMEONE WHO HAS BEEN DEPOSED, ETC., ETC. I THINK
11	WE'VE GOTTEN VALUE FOR OUR LEGAL SERVICES. I PICKED
12	THAT OUT INTENTIONALLY AS ONE THAT I WOULD HOPE THAT
13	ALL OF US FEEL VERY STRONGLY HAS BEEN A SUPERB TEAM
14	WORKING ACROSS INTERAGENCY, EXTERNAL CONTRACTS, AND
15	INTERNALLY. SO IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE THOSE
16	NUMBERS IN A WAY THAT I CAN DIGEST THEM. AND THEN
17	WHEN PEOPLE ASK, I CAN DEFEND IT.
18	DR. ROBSON: WE'LL SEE IF WE CAN DO THAT.
19	SO IF YOU JUST WANT TO GO DOWN THE COLUMNS AND LOOK
20	AT WHERE WE'VE GOT CHANGES, SOME OF THESE ARE FAIRLY
21	STRAIGHTFORWARD. WE'VE GOT AN INCREASE IN SALARIES
22	AND BENEFITS DUE TO INCREASED EXPECTATIONS FOR
23	STAFFING.
24	WE ARE LAST YEAR WE WERE PROJECTED TO
25	BE AT 44.5 POSITIONS BY NOW. WE ACTUALLY ARE NOT
	21
	21

1	THAT HIGH. THAT'S ONE OF THE REASONS THAT OUR
2	ACTUAL BUDGET FOR THIS YEAR IS BELOW THE PROPOSED
3	BUDGET BECAUSE WE WERE SLOWER IN BUILDING STAFF THAN
4	WE ANTICIPATED. WE'RE NOW AT ABOUT 40 PEOPLE. AND
5	WE DO EXPECT TO BE AT 47 BY THE END OF THIS FISCAL
6	YEAR, SO THAT'S WHAT ACCOUNTS FOR THAT DIFFERENCE
7	THERE.
8	INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS, PERHAPS MARGARET
9	CAN SPEAK TO THAT. WE'VE HAD A REDUCTION IN SOME OF
10	OUR CONTRACTS WITHIN THE STATE, SO THAT'S PRODUCED A
11	SAVINGS FOR US.
12	MS. FERGUSON: IN THE INTERAGENCY
13	AGREEMENTS YEAR, WE HAD TO HAVE SOME TRANSITIONAL
14	AGREEMENTS WITH THE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE BECAUSE WE
15	WERE TRANSITIONING OUR ACCOUNTING AND OUR HR, OUR
16	PAYROLL SERVICES FROM THE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE OVER
17	TO THE DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES AND THE PUBLIC
18	UTILITIES COMMISSION. SO YOU HAD OVERLAPPED
19	CONTRACTS, SO WE NO LONGER HAVE THE AGREEMENT WITH
20	THE STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE BECAUSE IT IS NOT THAT
21	NEED THERE.
22	AS WELL, WE HAD A CONTRACT LAST YEAR THAT
23	WE HAD BUDGETED FOR THE SENATE RULES COMMITTEE FOR
24	LEGISLATIVE ADVICE, AND THAT CONTRACT HASN'T BEEN
25	RENEWED FOR THIS YEAR. AND WE MADE AN ADJUSTMENT TO

1	THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CONTRACT BASED ON THE
2	MONITORING OF THE EXPENSES THAT ARE ATTRIBUTED TO
3	THE EXISTING CONTRACT, AND REDUCED IT APPROPRIATELY.
4	THOSE WERE THE THREE MAJOR CONTRACTS THAT
5	WERE EITHER CANCELED OR ADJUSTED TO CREATE THE
6	\$283,000 REDUCTION.
7	DR. ROBSON: AND THEN EXTERNAL CONTRACTS
8	HAS GONE DOWN. NOW, THIS IS THIS AREA IS A
9	LITTLE BIT CONFUSING, SO LET ME TRY TO EXPLAIN IT
10	GENERALLY FIRST. YOU SEE A REDUCTION THERE. AND
11	MARGARET HAS LISTED SOME OF THE ON THE NEXT PAGE
12	SOME OF THE REASONS FOR THAT. WE WERE GOING TO DO A
13	SALARY SURVEY. WE'VE DELAYED THAT. BUT THE MAIN
14	REASON FOR THE CHANGE IS THAT WE'VE SORT OF
15	REORGANIZED OUR BUDGET. WE'VE TAKEN ALL OF THE
16	EXTERNAL CONTRACTS RELATED TO INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
17	AND WE PUT THEM INTO ONE CATEGORY, WHICH IS
18	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, WHICH YOU WILL SEE ON THE
19	FIRST PAGE NEAR THE BOTTOM.
20	NOW, IF YOU LOOK AT THAT ONE, YOU SEE THAT
21	THE AMOUNT REQUESTED IN THAT CATEGORY HAS INCREASED
22	ENORMOUSLY THIS YEAR. THAT'S BECAUSE LAST YEAR ALL
23	THAT WAS IN THAT CATEGORY WAS PURCHASES OF SOFTWARE
24	AND HARDWARE. IT WAS JUST A SMALL AMOUNT. ALL OF
25	OUR EXTERNAL CONTRACTS RELATED TO I.T. WERE LISTED

UNDER EXTERNAL CONTRACTS. WE FELT THAT THAT WAS AN
INAPPROPRIATE WAY TO REFLECT OUR I.T. NEEDS AND
EXPENDITURES. SO WE PULLED THOSE EXTERNAL CONTRACTS
AND LUMPED THEM IN WITH OUR HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE
PURCHASES DOWN THERE AT THE BOTTOM.
AND THEN WE'VE GIVEN YOU A SEPARATE LIST
ON THE LAST PAGE OF THE DOCUMENT WHICH JUST SHOWS
OUR EXTERNAL CONTRACTS RELATED TO INFORMATION
TECHNOLOGY. SO THAT'S HOW WE GET TO THE TOTAL.
THAT'S THE EXPLANATION FOR THE OTHER LARGE CHANGE
THERE, SOMEWHAT A CHANGE IN OUR NEEDS, BUT ALSO A
REORGANIZATION OF HOW WE'RE REPORTING THAT.
THE NEXT FEW CATEGORIES, ICOC MEETINGS,
SCIENCE MEETINGS, WORKING GROUPS, THOSE ADJUSTMENTS
DOWN ARE REALLY BASED ON OUR ANTICIPATED
EXPENDITURES FOR THIS YEAR. SO WE'VE JUST MADE
ADJUSTMENTS BASED ON WHAT WE SPENT THIS YEAR.
TRAVEL IS REDUCED. YOU KNOW, WE FEEL IT'S
IMPORTANT FOR OUR SCIENCE OFFICERS TO ATTEND
SCIENTIFIC MEETINGS AND KEEP ABREAST OF THE LATEST
ADVANCES, AND THEY REALLY NEED TO BE AT THE
FOREFRONT TO HAVE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT'S
GOING ON. SO PART OF THAT IS TRAVEL TO SCIENCE
MEETINGS.
WE'RE ALSO BUILDING INTERNATIONAL

1	RELATIONS AND RELATIONS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
2	AND STATE GOVERNMENTS. SO MUCH OF THE FUNDS THAT
3	WERE NEEDED TO BUILD THOSE ARE TRAVEL FUNDS THAT
4	ALLOW THE PRESIDENT, THE CHAIR, CHIEF SCIENTIFIC
5	OFFICER, AND SOME OF THE SCIENCE OFFICERS TO MEET
6	WITH INDIVIDUALS EITHER AT THE FEDERAL OR STATE
7	LEVEL OR INTERNATIONALLY TO BUILD THOSE
8	COLLABORATIONS.
9	FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT, WE SEE A CHANGE
10	THERE. THE BIG CHANGE IN THAT IS THAT OUR COPIERS
11	HAVE REACHED THEIR END OF THEIR LIFE EXPECTANCY, AND
12	WE'RE DEVELOPING A NEW CONTRACT TO REPLACE SOME OF
13	THE COPIERS. THOSE CONTRACTS ARE ENDED, SO THERE'S
14	A SMALL INCREASE THERE.
15	I TALKED ABOUT INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.
16	AND THEN OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES, PERHAPS THAT ONE
17	IS A BIT MORE OF A CATCHALL CATEGORY. PERHAPS,
18	MARGARET, COULD YOU TALK ABOUT THAT A LITTLE?
19	MS. FERGUSON: UNDER OTHER OPERATING
20	EXPENSES AND EQUIPMENT, ONE OF THE MAJOR CHANGES
21	WERE THAT WE WENT BACK AND LOOKED AT MEMBERSHIPS IN
22	SCIENTIFIC ORGANIZATIONS AND WHETHER WE HAD A NEED
23	FOR PARTICULAR MEMBERSHIPS IN THE UPCOMING YEAR, AND
24	WE MADE THOSE REDUCTIONS AS APPROPRIATE. IN
25	ADDITION, IN THIS PARTICULAR CATEGORY, WE ALSO

1	HAVE WE CAPTURED OR WE FUND FOR OUR NEEDS OUR
2	SIMPLE NEEDS, NOT SIMPLE NEEDS, BUT THE NEEDS TO
3	COVER OUR TELECOMMUNICATION COSTS IN TERMS OF ALL
4	OUR PHONES, ALL OUR COURIER SERVICES, PAPER,
5	SUPPLIES, TONERS, WHAT IT TAKES TO SUPPLY THE OFFICE
6	IN ORDER TO WELL, BASICALLY TO SUPPLY THE OFFICE.
7	THOSE ARE THE THAT IS WHAT IS CAPTURED
8	IN THERE AS WELL AS PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT TRAINING.
9	BUT THE BIGGEST AGAIN, THE BIGGEST CHANGE WAS A
10	RESULT OF OUR REDUCED OVERALL GENERAL EXPENSE.
11	AGAIN, THAT COVERS OUR TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND OUR
12	SUPPLIES MORE IN LINE WITH WHAT WE ARE REALLY
13	SPENDING OR ANTICIPATE TO SPEND IN '08-'09 AND THE
14	ELIMINATION OF SEVERAL SCIENTIFIC ORGANIZATION
15	MEMBERSHIPS.
16	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: THIS IS MICHAEL
17	GOLDBERG. WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS OPEN UP FOR THE
18	COMMITTEE FOR QUESTIONS ON THE DETAIL WITHIN THE
19	BUDGET THAT WAS JUST DISCUSSED AND THEN OPEN IT UP
20	TO THE PUBLIC FOR QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS. WHY DON'T
21	WE GO TO YOUR SITE, MARCY.
22	MS. FEIT: I DON'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS
23	RIGHT NOW.
24	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: THANK YOU. DUANE?
25	MR. ROTH: NO, I'M FINE.
	26

i	DIMMSTERS REPORTING SERVICE
1	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: JEFF?
2	MR. SHEEHY: I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS.
3	NO. 1, DO WE HAVE A STAFFING PLAN BECAUSE WE TALKED
4	ABOUT CAN THAT BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE BOARD?
5	DR. ROBSON: I THINK SO, YES.
6	MR. SHEEHY: I MEAN IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO
7	HAVE THAT, JUST KNOW WHO'S GOING TO BE WORKING
8	WHERE, WHAT WE ANTICIPATE THE SALARIES TO BE. I
9	MEAN IT SEEMS LIKE THE LEVEL OF DETAIL ON SALARY AND
10	BENEFITS WOULD BE HELPFUL.
11	AND THEN WHAT DOES SCIENTIFIC MEETINGS
12	ENCOMPASS?
13	DR. ROBSON: THAT INCLUDES OUR GRANTEES
14	MEETING AND WORKSHOPS.
15	MR. SHEEHY: WORKSHOPS. WHERE DO WHEN
16	WE ALLOCATE MONEY TO SUPPORT SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCES,
17	DOES THAT FALL IN HERE?
18	DR. ROBSON: OUR CONFERENCE GRANTS? IS
19	THAT IN THIS CATEGORY?
20	MR. SHEEHY: WHERE IS THAT INFORMATION
21	CAPTURED? CONFERENCE GRANTS, SCIENTIFIC
22	CONFERENCES.
23	DR. ROBSON: ALLOCATED AT THE PRESIDENT'S
24	DISCRETION.
25	MS. FERGUSON: OH, THE SCIENTIFIC
	2-7
	27

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

	DANNISTERS REPORTING SERVICE
1	CONFERENCE GRANTS THAT ARE BEING MADE UNDER THE
2	NOTICE OF GRANT AWARD?
3	DR. ROBSON: THAT'S IN THE GRANTS SECTION.
4	MS. FERGUSON: THAT'S IN GRANT AWARDS
5	UNDER
6	DR. ROBSON: THAT'S A RESEARCH AWARD.
7	MR. SHEEHY: YEAH. BUT THAT'S NOT
8	RECORDED IN
9	DR. ROBSON: THAT'S NOT RECORDED AS PART
10	OF OUR OPERATIONS.
11	MS. FERGUSON: THAT'S NOT PART OF
12	OPERATIONS.
13	MR. SHEEHY: WELL, WHERE WOULD THAT BE
14	RECORDED AT?
15	DR. ROBSON: THAT APPEARS IN OUR GRANT
16	AWARDS REPORT.
17	MR. SHEEHY: HOW? I MEAN WHAT WE KNOW IS
18	WHEN WE APPROVE A GRANT, WE KNOW WHAT WE JUST SPENT.
19	I DON'T KNOW THAT THIS APPEARS AS ANY KIND OF
20	DR. ROBSON: IT'S REFLECTED IN THE
21	SUMMARIES WE SHOW. IT SHOWS OUR EXPENDITURES. WE
22	HAVE NOT PROVIDED THAT INFORMATION ON A ONE-BY-ONE
23	BASIS, WHICH WE CAN DO.
24	MR. SHEEHY: THAT'S ALL I'M ASKING IS THAT
25	WE HAVE YEAH. I ASSUME THAT THAT'S WHERE IT IS,
	20
	28

28

1	BUT I'D LIKE I THINK A BUDGET SHOULD IDENTIFY
2	ALL I MEAN IN SOME WAYS BOARDS SHOULD BE ABLE TO
3	BE PROVIDED WITH ALL THE INFORMATION FOR ALL THE
4	MONEY THAT'S GOING OUT THE DOOR. AND THAT'S ONE
5	THAT DOESN'T SEEM TO BE PROVIDED. THIS IS NOT
6	CRITICISM. THIS IS JUST AN ITEM OF INFORMATION. I
7	DON'T NEED IT RIGHT NOW. I DON'T NEED TO KNOW A
8	NUMBER, BUT IT WOULD BE NICE THAT WOULD BE A NICE
9	ITEM TO HAVE.
10	DR. ROBSON: THAT WOULD BE PART OF
11	GENERALLY BE PART OF THIS REPORT.
12	MR. SHEEHY: AGAIN, I'M NOT SUGGESTING
13	THAT IT BE PART OF THIS REPORT, BUT IT'S NEITHER IN
14	THIS REPORT NOR IS IT CAPTURABLE WITHIN OUR GENERAL
15	SCIENTIFIC FUNDING APPROVALS WHERE WE HAVE A SENSE
16	OF WHAT'S GOING OUT FOR SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCES. I
17	JUST IT'S JUST A GAP AND JUST HOPING THAT IT IS
18	SOMEWHERE.
19	DR. OLSON: THIS IS PATRICIA OLSON, MEMBER
20	OF STAFF OF CIRM. JUST IN RESPONDING TO MR.
21	SHEEHY'S QUESTION, I WILL RESPOND, WITH RESPECT TO
22	CONFERENCE GRANTS, RECALL THAT WE DID COMMIT TO
23	PROVIDING AN UPDATE ON THE CONFERENCE GRANTS PROGRAM
24	TWICE A YEAR TO THE BOARD.
25	MR. SHEEHY: OKAY. GOOD. AND THEN THE
	20

1	OTHER QUESTION, FOR SALARIES, DID WE ARE WE
2	GIVING RAISES?
3	DR. ROBSON: WE HAVE NOT GIVEN RAISES TO
4	ANYONE FOR THE PAST 12 MONTHS WHOSE SALARY IS ABOVE
5	A HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND. WE DID THIS SPRING GIVE
6	SMALL RAISES TO THOSE WHOSE SALARIES WERE LOWER.
7	THERE WERE NO RAISES LAST JULY. THAT WAS THAT
8	WAS THAT REALLY HAD BEEN DEFERRED FOR OVER A
9	YEAR.
10	MR. SHEEHY: JUST A POINT OF INFORMATION.
11	THAT'S MY LAST QUESTION.
12	MR. TORRES: THAT'S A VERY IMPORTANT POINT
13	OF INFORMATION THAT JEFF JUST CITED, AND THAT IS
14	THAT THIS ORGANIZATION HAS BEEN IN FULL COMPLIANCE
15	WITH WHAT STATE GOVERNMENT IS DOING ACROSS THE BOARD
16	AS WELL IN NOT GIVING RAISES TO PEOPLE OVER A
17	HUNDRED FIFTY. AND EXCEPT TO THOSE UNDER A HUNDRED
18	FIFTY THOUSAND, NO RAISES SMALL RAISES WERE GIVEN
19	TO THOSE PEOPLE.
20	DR. ROBSON: THEY WERE MERIT BASED, NOT
21	COST OF LIVING.
22	MR. TORRES: IN A VERY SENSITIVE TIME, I
23	THINK JEFF'S QUESTION IS MORE THAN APPROPRIATE, AND
24	TO MAKE SURE THAT WE EXPLAIN VERY CLEARLY WHERE WE
25	HAVE BEEN AND WHERE WE CONTINUE TO BE AND IN BEING
	20

1	CONSISTENT WITH WHAT GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS AND THE
2	GOVERNOR IS ASKING FOR AS WELL ACROSS THE BOARD.
3	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: THANK YOU, ART.
4	CEDARS, IS DR. AZZIZ ON THE LINE?
5	DR. AZZIZ: I AM, YES.
6	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: ANY QUESTIONS OR
7	COMMENTS AT THIS TIME?
8	DR. AZZIZ: I JUST HAD TWO COMMENTS. ONE,
9	YOU KNOW, I THINK THE BUDGET THAT WE RECEIVED LOOKS
10	INTELLIGIBLE AND PROBABLY IS VERY APPROPRIATE AND SO
11	ON. JUST FOR THE FUTURE, AND I KNOW THIS HAS BEEN
12	SAID BEFORE, BUT I DO URGE STAFF TO PROVIDE A LOT
13	MORE DETAIL BOTH ON ACTUALS AS WELL AS DETAILS OF
14	THE ITEMS. IT JUST SAVES THEM A LOT OF HASSLE AND
15	QUESTIONS AND ALLOWS US TO MAKE A MORE INTELLIGENT
16	ASSESSMENT, BUT OVERALL I HAVE NO SPECIFICS.
17	I DO, IN FOLLOWING UP TO ART'S COMMENTS, I
18	DO THINK WE NEED TO BE PRUDENT WITH SALARIES, BUT I
19	DO ALSO STRONGLY URGE ALL OF US TO KEEP IN MIND THAT
20	WHILE THE ECONOMY AND THE STATE IS NOT VERY GOOD,
21	THE COMPETITION FOR BRIGHT YOUNG PEOPLE IN THIS
22	FIELD IS EXTREMELY TIGHT ACROSS THE COUNTRY. SO WE
23	ALSO NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE KEEP THE RIGHT PEOPLE
24	IN THE RIGHT PLACE. AND SO IF IT TAKES SMALL
25	RAISES, I ACTUALLY THINK IT'S SOMETHING TO CONSIDER.
	24

1	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: THANK YOU. CHAIRMAN
2	KLEIN WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT.
3	MR. KLEIN: I'D JUST LIKE TO SAY THAT ON
4	THE TRAVEL BUDGET, WHICH IS AN IMPORTANT PART FOR
5	THE SCIENTIFIC STAFF AND THE GENERAL OPERATIONS,
6	WITH THE RELATIONSHIPS WITH SCIENTIFIC EXCELLENCE IN
7	OTHER COUNTRIES, THE FIVE COUNTRIES THAT HAVE BEEN
8	REFERENCED THUS FAR, PLUS COUNTRIES THAT ARE IN
9	PROCESS, THOSE COUNTRIES HAVE PUT UP, AS THE BOARD
10	KNOWS, MORE THAN 200 MILLION IN MATCHING FUNDS TO
11	FUND THEIR SCIENTISTS TO GIVE US BOTH FINANCIAL
12	LEVERAGE AND SCIENTIFIC KNOWLEDGE LEVERAGE, WHICH IS
13	AN IMPORTANT AUGMENTATION TO OUR CAPACITY.
14	IN ADDITION, WHEN OUR SCIENTISTS TRAVEL TO
15	VARIOUS CONFERENCES INTERNATIONALLY, THEY PICK UP A
16	TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF INFORMATION SO THAT WE ARE NOT
17	REDUNDANTLY FUNDING RESEARCH THAT IS PROGRESSING
18	WELL AND IS BEING PRESENTED ON POSTERS OR IN LIMITED
19	SESSIONS LIMITED ATTENDANCE SESSIONS ON THE
20	CUTTING EDGE OF STEM CELL SCIENCE.
21	ON THE FINANCIAL SIDE, WITH THE NEW
22	ADMINISTRATION THIS YEAR GOING INTO THE FIRST PART
23	OF NEXT YEAR, WE'RE WORKING ON THE TAX-EXEMPT
24	OPINION AS CONFIRMED WITH CONGRESS, BOTH HOUSES OF
25	CONGRESS, FOR OUR GRANTS PROGRAM OVER THE LIFE OF
	ວາ

1	THE PROGRAM PRIOR ESTIMATES OF THE TREASURER'S
2	OFFICE. IT WOULD SAVE THE TAXPAYERS 453 MILLION.
3	SO THIS IS AN IMPORTANT FUNCTION.
4	AND WE'RE VERY THANKFUL IN PARTICULAR TO
5	HAVE ART TORRES AVAILABLE TO WORK ON THAT SPECIFIC
6	PROJECT WITH THE CHAIRMAN'S OFFICE, THE TREASURER,
7	AND BOTH HOUSES OF CONGRESS AS WELL AS THE IRS AND
8	THE TREASURY.
9	ADDITIONALLY, IN CONGRESS OVER THE NEXT
10	YEAR, AS WE TRY AND GET PAST THE INITIAL STIMULUS
11	PROGRAM AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION OBSTACLES, WHETHER WE
12	END UP WITH A \$500 MILLION TREASURY GUARANTEE FOCUS
13	OR A BILL OR AN EQUIVALENT PROGRAM, EXPANDING OUR
14	LOAN PROGRAM POTENTIALLY HAS BEEN VERY VALUABLE. WE
15	TALKED WITH DUANE ROTH AND ART AND CERTAINLY DR.
16	TROUNSON AS WELL AS MICHAEL GOLDBERG ABOUT THE VALUE
17	OF THE GUARANTEE PROGRAM. IN ADDITION TO JUST
18	LEVERAGING OUR FUNDS BY GUARANTEEING PRIVATE LENDERS
19	WITH FEDERAL GUARANTEES, IT WOULD PROVIDE A SOURCE
20	OF FUNDING THAT IS NOT CALIFORNIA TAXPAYER FUNDING
21	FOR FUNDING CLINICAL TRIALS OUT-OF-STATE FOR
22	CALIFORNIA-BASED RESEARCH.
23	RIGHT NOW, AS YOU KNOW, WE CANNOT USE OUR
24	FUNDS FOR FUNDING OUT-OF-STATE CLINICAL TRIALS. SO
25	THAT WILL BE ANOTHER POTENTIALLY HIGHLY VALUABLE
	22

1	ADDITION TO OUR PROGRAM NEXT YEAR WHICH WILL REQUIRE
2	SOME SIGNIFICANT TRAVEL TO WASHINGTON, D.C.
3	I THINK WE ALWAYS HAVE TO LOOK AT WHAT OUR
4	RETURNS ARE FROM TRAVEL AND MAKE SURE WE'RE
5	JUSTIFYING IT AS WE GO. AND AT THE BOARD MEETING
6	I'LL PROVIDE SOME ADDITIONAL DETAIL ON THOSE ITEMS
7	SO THAT EVERYONE IS COMFORTABLE WE'RE GETTING OUR
8	MAXIMUM VALUE OUT OF THOSE EXPENDITURES.
9	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: THANK YOU. MEMBERS OF
10	THE PUBLIC, WHY DON'T WE START WITH CEDARS.
11	MR. SIMPSON: JOHN SIMPSON HERE. I JUST
12	WANTED TO REITERATE WHAT SOME OF THE BOARD MEMBERS
13	HAVE BEEN SAYING, THAT THIS IS I VIEW THIS AS AN
14	EXCELLENT, TOP-LINE BUDGET, BUT I THINK IT WOULD
15	MAKE LIFE EASIER ON STAFF IF THERE WERE A BETTER
16	BREAKDOWN. AND AS THE CHAIRMAN WAS JUST SAYING,
17	TRAVEL IS VALUABLE; BUT IT WOULD HELP TO HAVE A LIST
18	OF THE TRIPS SO THAT YOU CAN WEIGH THE BENEFITS
19	AGAINST THE PAYOFFS.
20	THE ONLY OTHER QUESTION I HAD TWO
21	ACTUALLY. IT WASN'T CLEAR TO ME. I UNDERSTAND THAT
22	THE SALARIES HAVE BEEN FROZEN FOR THE LAST YEAR.
23	ARE YOU PLANNING TO RAISE THEM GOING AHEAD IN THIS
24	BUDGET? AND IT WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT A RAISE WOULD
25	BE APPROPRIATE FOR MANY OF THE HARDWORKING STAFF.

1	IT WASN'T CLEAR TO ME WHETHER YOU WERE, IN FACT,
2	INCLUDING A RAISE IN THIS OR NOT.
3	DR. ROBSON: WE HAVE A RAISE INCLUDED IN
4	HERE. WHETHER OR NOT WE'LL DO IT REMAINS TO BE
5	SEEN. IT'S GOING TO DEPEND IN PART ON WHAT HAPPENS
6	IN THE STATE.
7	MR. KLEIN: MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT'S
8	INDIVIDUALS AT A HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND OR LESS; IS
9	THAT CORRECT, JOHN?
10	DR. ROBSON: YES.
11	MR. SIMPSON: OVER A HUNDRED FIFTY THERE
12	WOULD BE NO RAISE?
13	DR. ROBSON: AT THE MOMENT WE DON'T THINK
14	SO.
15	MR. SIMPSON: THANK YOU. AND THE FINAL
16	QUESTION, IN ONE OF THE CHALLENGES THAT YOU
17	MENTIONED, DR. ROBSON, WAS THE POSSIBILITY OF
18	CHAIRMAN KLEIN'S RETIREMENT. THAT'S THE FIRST SOME
19	OF US HAVE HEARD OF THAT. IS THAT SOMETHING THAT
20	THE CHAIRMAN COULD COMMENT ON? IS THIS A
21	POSSIBILITY OR HAVE DECISIONS BEEN MADE IN THAT
22	REGARD?
23	MR. KLEIN: WELL, THE REASON FOR THE
24	PLANNING IS MY TERM IS UP AT THE END OF 2010. AND
25	WHILE I AM PASSIONATE ABOUT THIS PROGRAM, I DON'T

1	THINK I CAN AFFORD THE LUXURY. I'VE BEEN AT THIS
2	SEVEN YEARS. SO TO GO BEYOND THE END OF 2010, WHICH
3	WILL PUT ME AT EIGHT YEARS IN THIS ENDEAVOR, IS JUST
4	CUMULATIVELY A GREAT CHALLENGE, JOHN. SO I'VE
5	RAISED THE POINT THAT ON THE FINANCIAL STRATEGIC
6	FINANCIAL SERVICES SIDE, WE NEED TO START PLANNING
7	TO CREATE CONTINUITY AND TRANSITION AT THIS POINT.
8	MR. SIMPSON: SO I UNDERSTAND, THEN, THAT
9	YOU'RE SAYING THAT YOU'RE PLANNING TO FILL OUT THE
10	TERM AND NOT SERVE AFTER THAT; IS THAT CORRECT?
11	MR. KLEIN: THAT'S MY CURRENT POSITION
12	UNLESS I INHERIT A LOT OF MONEY BETWEEN NOW AND
13	THEN, AND I DON'T HAVE ANY LIVING RELATIVES.
14	MR. SIMPSON: I JUST FOR THE RECORD WANTED
15	TO SAY THAT YOUR TERM HAS BEEN TREMENDOUSLY
16	SUCCESSFUL AND YOU BROUGHT VERY, VERY MUCH TO THE
17	ORGANIZATION.
18	MR. KLEIN: WELL, I THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
19	APPRECIATE YOUR GENEROUS COMMENTS.
20	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: THIS RAISES A POINT,
21	AND I'LL CONTINUE TO POLL THE SITES FOR PUBLIC
22	COMMENT, THAT AS I HAVE THOUGHT ABOUT THAT POTENTIAL
23	EVENTUALITY AND THE TRANSITION TO AN ORDERLY POST
24	CHAIRMAN KLEIN TERM, SHOULD IT COME TO PASS, THE
25	BUDGET DOES NOT REFLECT, AS I UNDERSTOOD IT, ANY

1	COST FOR THE KIND OF PEOPLE THAT MIGHT BE REQUIRED
2	TO REPLACE THE SKILL SET THAT CHAIRMAN KLEIN HAS
3	BEEN PROVIDING. AND I'D LIKE THE SUBCOMMITTEE TO
4	CONSIDER THE POSSIBILITY OF, WHEN WE GET TO THE
5	BOARD MEETING IN SAN DIEGO NEXT WEEK, IF WE'RE SO
6	INCLINED, TO RECOMMEND AN APPROVAL OF THE BUDGET AS
7	PROVIDED BY MANAGEMENT, BUT TO CONSIDER A
8	CONTINGENCY IN ADDITION TO THAT BUDGET UP TO 5
9	PERCENT TO BE ALLOCATED AT THE DISCRETION OF THE
LO	FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND THE ICOC SO THAT WE DON'T
L1	MISLEAD THE PUBLIC WITH RESPECT TO THE FACT THAT
L2	THERE MAY BE COSTS, COSTS WHICH WE CAN'T NOW WELL
L3	DEFINE THAT MAY BE NECESSARILY INCURRED TO DEAL WITH
L4	A POTENTIAL EVENTUALITY THAT WE CAN'T SPECIFY MORE
L5	FULLY AT THIS TIME.
L6	MR. KLEIN: I THINK YOU'RE ALSO REFERRING
L7	TO OTHER ITEMS THAN JUST JUST AS A GENERAL
L8	PLANNING PURPOSE HAVING A CONTINGENCY. HOPEFULLY
L9	I'M NOT THE ONLY CONTINGENCY. THAT WOULD BE A GREAT
20	SET OF FACTS IF I WERE THE ONLY CONTINGENCY. BUT I
21	WOULD SUGGEST, JUST AS A MATTER OF PLANNING, A
22	5-PERCENT CONTINGENCY IS PROBABLY A REASONABLE
23	BUDGET PRACTICE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITIES THAT MAY
24	DEVELOP. AND IF WE HAVE SIGNIFICANT AUGMENTATIONS
25	OF CAPACITY BECAUSE OF FEDERAL GUARANTEES DURING

1	THIS PERIOD, WE'RE GOING TO NEED TO WORK ON
2	IMPLEMENTING THAT.
3	SO FOR OTHER REASONS, I WOULD THINK THAT,
4	AS A GENERAL BUSINESS PRACTICE, HAVING A 5-PERCENT
5	CONTINGENCY WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA.
6	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: BACK TO COMMENTS FROM
7	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. DO WE HAVE ANY MEMBERS OF
8	THE PUBLIC IN SAN DIEGO?
9	MR. ROTH: NO. WE HAVE A MEMBER, BUT NO
10	COMMENT.
11	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: PLEASANTON?
12	MS. FEIT: NO.
13	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: PALO ALTO? MENLO
14	PARK?
15	MS. HARWELL: CIRM SAN FRANCISCO, WE HAVE
16	PUBLIC COMMENT HERE IN SAN FRANCISCO.
17	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: THANK YOU.
18	MR. REED: YEAH. THIS IS DON REED. ALL
19	THE FINANCIAL THINGS MAY BE AFFECTED BY LEGISLATION
20	WHICH COULD COME OUT OF THE LITTLE HOOVER
21	COMMISSION. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WAS RAISED AT
22	THE LAST HEARING WAS THE POSSIBILITY OF INCREASING
23	THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES WHILE NOT INCREASING THE
24	FUNDING TO PAY THEM, WHICH RAISED ALL KINDS OF
25	STRANGE POSSIBILITIES. THEY WANTED A 6-PERCENT
	38

36

1	FUNDING CAP AND BEYOND THAT WE CAN'T GO, BUT THEY
2	WANTED TO GO BEYOND THAT WITH A NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES,
3	BUT NOT FIND OUT WAYS TO FUND IT.
4	THE NEXT MEETING OF THE LITTLE HOOVER
5	COMMISSION WILL BE ON JUNE 24TH. IT'S GOING TO BE
6	IN ROOM 127 OF THE CAPITOL AT 3:30. AND THIS IS A
7	HIGHLY SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT. FOR ONE THING, THEY
8	WANT TO REDUCE THE ICOC FROM 29 TO 15 AND SUBSTITUTE
9	A, QUOTE, MORE TRADITIONAL BOARD OF DIRECTORS
10	SUBJECT TO GUBERNATORIAL LEGISLATIVE OVERSIGHT. THE
11	MAJOR CHANGES ARE OFFERED IN SOME CASES BY PEOPLE
12	WHO SEEM TO KNOW VERY LITTLE ABOUT THE ICOC.
13	FOR INSTANCE, ONE COMMENT WAS MADE, WELL,
14	IT HAS TO BE CHANGED BECAUSE THEY'VE LOCKED OUT
15	BIOTECH, WHEN, IN FACT, THERE ARE, OF COURSE, FOUR
16	BIOTECH MEMBERS ON THE BOARD.
17	SO POSSIBLY IF ANYONE CAN MAKE IT, IT'S
18	ROOM 127, JUNE 24TH IN THE CAPITOL AT 3:30. THANK
19	YOU.
20	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: THANK YOU, MR. REED.
21	I'D LIKE TO PROCEED TO AGENDA I'M NO. 4 AND TURN IT
22	OVER TO JAMES HARRISON. ARE YOU ON, JAMES?
23	MR. HARRISON: I AM, MICHAEL.
24	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: COULD YOU REVIEW WITH
25	US THE RESPONSES AND THE PROCESS WITH RESPECT TO
	20

IDENTIFICATION AND SELECTION OF DELEGATED
UNDERWRITERS FOR THE LOAN PROGRAM?
MR. HARRISON: YES. WE WANTED TO PROVIDE
A VERY BRIEF UPDATE TO THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE. AS
ALL OF YOU KNOW, WE ISSUED A REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
SOME MONTHS BACK TO GATHER INFORMATION FROM
POTENTIAL DELEGATED UNDERWRITERS ABOUT THE TYPES OF
SERVICES THAT THEY MIGHT PROVIDE AND THE VARIOUS
MODELS AVAILABLE FOR PROVIDING THOSE SERVICES.
BASED ON THE RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR
INFORMATION, WE DEVELOPED A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
WHICH WE ISSUED ON FRIDAY, MAY 15TH, CALLING FOR
RESPONSES BY MONDAY, JUNE 8TH, JUST THIS LAST
MONDAY.
WE DID RECEIVE FIVE RESPONSES FROM
ADJUVANT CAPITAL PARTNERS, COMERICA BANK, ORRICK'S
VENTURE FINANCE, SILICON VALLEY BANK, AND SQUARE ONE
BANK. SO WE ARE VERY PLEASED AT THE LEVEL OF
RESPONSE, AND STAFF WILL REVIEW, ANALYZE, AND SCORE
THOSE RESPONSES BASED ON THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA.
ONE, THE QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF
THE PROPOSER. TWO, THE RESPONSIVENESS OF THE
PROPOSAL TO THE SCOPE OF WORK SET FORTH IN THE RFP.
THREE, AND VERY IMPORTANTLY, COST. AND FOUR, THE
INNOVATIVENESS OF THE PROPOSAL.
40

1	AS YOU KNOW, THIS IS A NEW PROGRAM, AND WE
2	ARE STRIVING TO DO THIS IN THE MOST EFFICIENT AND
3	EFFECTIVE WAY POSSIBLE. SO WITH THAT INFORMATION,
4	WE PLAN AND HOPE TO SELECT A DELEGATED UNDERWRITER
5	OR DELEGATED UNDERWRITERS WITH WHOM CIRM WOULD WORK
6	TO IMPLEMENT THE LOAN PROGRAM OVER THE NEXT COUPLE
7	OF WEEKS.
8	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: QUESTIONS FROM THE
9	COMMITTEE?
10	MR. SHEEHY: YEAH. SO THE SELECTION IS
11	GOING TO BE DONE BY STAFF?
12	MR. HARRISON: THAT'S THE PLAN.
13	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: JAMES, IT WAS MY
14	UNDERSTANDING THAT THE ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION
15	WOULD BE MADE BY STAFF, BUT THE SELECTION WOULD
16	ULTIMATELY BE MADE BY THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE.
17	MR. HARRISON: WE ARE HAPPY TO PROCEED
18	DOWN THAT PATH IF YOU LIKE.
19	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: DUANE, IS THAT
20	CONSISTENT WITH YOUR UNDERSTANDING?
21	MR. ROTH: YES. I WOULD SUPPORT HAVING
22	THE SUBCOMMITTEE MAKE THE FINAL DECISION.
23	DR. AZZIZ: THAT WAS MY THIS IS
24	RICARDO. THAT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING AS WELL IN OUR
25	INITIAL DISCUSSIONS.
	И1

1	MS. BAUM: I THINK WE HAVE A DEADLINE IN
2	MIND THOUGH.
3	MR. HARRISON: HEARING THAT, WHAT WE'D
4	LIKE TO DO, THEN, IS TRY TO SCHEDULE A MEETING OF
5	THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE AS SOON AS WE CAN IN ORDER
6	TO PROVIDE THE STAFF ANALYSIS TO THE FINANCE
7	SUBCOMMITTEE AND REACH A CONCLUSION SO THAT WE CAN
8	FINALIZE THE SELECTION PROCESS AND ENTER INTO A
9	CONTRACT SO THAT WE CAN GET THE DELEGATED
10	UNDERWRITERS UNDERWAY IN THEIR WORK. SO WE WILL
11	WORK WITH THE CHAIR, MICHAEL GOLDBERG, TO ARRANGE
12	THAT.
13	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: AND THE CHAIR OF THE
14	LOAN SUBCOMMITTEE OR THE LOAN TASK FORCE, DUANE
15	ROTH, WHO HAS DONE A YEOMAN'S JOB ON THIS WHOLE
16	EFFORT.
17	MR. SHEEHY: SHOULDN'T THIS COME TO THE
18	ICOC TOO? DID WE ESTIMATE THE VALUE OF THIS
19	CONTRACT TO BE EVENTUALLY TO THE UNDERWRITER?
20	MR. KLEIN: JEFF, THE
21	MR. SHEEHY: MILLIONS OF DOLLARS.
22	MR. KLEIN: JEFF, LET ME MAKE A
23	SUGGESTION. NORMALLY IN THIS PROCESS YOU'D HAVE AN
24	EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO NEGOTIATE, AND YOU WOULD
25	NEGOTIATE SOMETHING, AND THEN IT COULD POTENTIALLY
	42
	16

1	BE REFERRED TO THE BOARD FOR FINAL APPROVAL. BUT
2	UNDER THE EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO NEGOTIATE, EVERYONE
3	COULD GENERALLY BE WORKING ON THEIR MODEL AND
4	IMPLEMENTATION SO THAT WHEN IT CAME TO THE BOARD,
5	SOME OF THE PARTS THAT MAY NOT HAVE BEEN COMPLETELY
6	WORKED OUT AT THE TIME OF THE PROPOSALS WOULD HAVE
7	HAD A BIT OF REFINEMENT, AND THE BOARD WOULD SEE THE
8	FULL STRUCTURE.
9	MR. SHEEHY: I JUST DON'T UNDERSTAND THE
10	PROCESS, AND SO I DON'T I MEAN I JUST SEE FIVE
11	YEARS DOWN THE ROAD, TEN YEARS DOWN THE ROAD A
12	DELEGATED UNDERWRITER GETS A LOT OF MONEY. I JUST
13	THINK THAT'S SOMETHING THAT THE BOARD SHOULD
14	PERSONALLY I FEEL THAT THAT'S SOMETHING THAT THE
15	BOARD NEEDS TO WEIGH IN ON. I'M NOT AWARE THAT THE
16	BOARD HAS APPROVED ANY EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO NEGOTIATE.
17	THAT'S THE FIRST TIME I'VE HEARD THAT TERM.
18	MR. KLEIN: NO. WHAT I WAS SUGGESTING,
19	JEFF, IS THAT FROM A HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE, MY
20	PERSONAL VIEW IS THAT NORMALLY A FINANCE COMMITTEE
21	WOULD DO A SELECTION AND THEY TRY AND WORK THROUGH
22	DOING AN EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO NEGOTIATE WITH ONE OR
23	TWO OR MORE COMPANIES AND TRY AND COME TO FINAL
24	TERMS AND A FINAL MODEL, AND THEN WOULD TAKE THE
25	FINAL CONTRACT TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL.

1	MR. SHEEHY: WHAT WAS THE PROCESS THAT WE
2	APPROVED?
3	MR. KLEIN: I THINK THAT THE PROCESS WE
4	APPROVED WE'VE DISCUSSED THUS FAR WAS THE FINANCE
5	COMMITTEE I THINK THAT DUANE AND MICHAEL ARE
6	CORRECT THAT THE FINANCE COMMITTEE WAS GOING TO WORK
7	OFF THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO SELECT THE DELEGATED
8	UNDERWRITERS, BUT THAT DOESN'T THAT DOESN'T MEAN
9	THAT THE FINAL CONTRACT DOESN'T GO TO THE BOARD FOR
10	APPROVAL.
11	SO ONCE YOU SELECT THE APPLICATIONS TO
12	DATE, GENERALLY THERE IS A PROCESS WHERE THERE IS A
13	NEGOTIATION OF THE FINAL STRUCTURE AND
14	IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS. AND THEN WHEN WE REALLY
15	UNDERSTAND THE CONTRACT, THERE COULD BE ONE OR MORE
16	CONTRACTS THAT GO TO THE BOARD IN THE PARTICULAR
17	CASE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. BECAUSE IT'S A NEW
18	PROGRAM, IT MIGHT BE ADVISABLE TO HAVE A MINIMUM
19	LEVEL OF REDUNDANCY. THAT IS, NOT APPROVE A SINGLE
20	COMPANY, BUT PERHAPS TWO COMPANIES SO THAT IF ONE
21	MODEL WORKS BETTER THAN ANOTHER, YOU HAVE ABILITY TO
22	FALL BACK AND YET YOU HAVE ENOUGH VOLUME THAT BOTH
23	COMPANIES HAVE A SIGNIFICANT MOTIVATING BUSINESS
24	RELATIONSHIP.
25	MR. SHEEHY: MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT WE
	44
	<del>                                     </del>

1	WERE GOING TO APPROVE AT LEAST TWO COMPANIES.
2	MR. KLEIN: THAT IS I WAS JUST STATING
3	THE RATIONALE.
4	MR. ROTH: SO, JAMES, IT'S DUANE. COULD
5	YOU TELL ME WHO'S GOING TO YOU SAID STAFF IS
6	GOING TO SCORE THESE. IS THAT THE ONLY PEOPLE WHO
7	ARE GOING TO SCORE THESE?
8	MR. HARRISON: WELL, THAT'S REALLY TO YOUR
9	DISCRETION, DUANE. THERE ARE TWO POSSIBLE WAYS TO
10	APPROACH IT. STAFF COULD DO THE ANALYSIS AND THE
11	FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE COULD SCORE, OR STAFF COULD DO
12	THE ANALYSIS AND SCORING AND PRESENT THAT TO THE
13	FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE FOR DIRECTION ABOUT WHAT
14	COMPANIES CIRM SHOULD NEGOTIATE WITH PENDING FINAL
15	BOARD APPROVAL OF THE CONTRACT. THAT REALLY IS UP
16	TO YOU AS THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE.
17	MR. KLEIN: DUANE, THIS IS BOB. BECAUSE
18	YOU ARE THE CHAIR OF THE LOAN TASK FORCE AND BECAUSE
19	I'VE BEEN DEEPLY INVOLVED IN THIS PROGRAM AS WELL,
20	I'VE ASKED THAT, CONCURRENT WITH THE STAFF REVIEW
21	AND SCORING, TO GET YOUR COMMENTS AND MY COMMENTS
22	INTO THOSE EVALUATIONS SO THAT WHEN THEY COME TO THE
23	FINANCE COMMITTEE, THEY HAVE STAFF AS WELL AS
24	OUTSIDE BUSINESS COMMENTS THAT ARE AVAILABLE FOR
25	REVIEW.

1	DR. AZZIZ: JUST I DO WANT TO MAKE A
2	COMMENT JUST FOR THE RECORD. I MEAN IT'S JUST WE DO
3	NEED TO HANDLE THIS WITH THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF
4	TRANSPARENCY. AND SO I MEAN, BOB, I AGREE WITH
5	EVERYTHING YOU SAID. I THINK IT DOES NEED TO GO TO
6	THE BOARD FOR FINAL APPROVAL. IT DOES NEED TO COME
7	WITH STAFF AND PERHAPS YOU AND DUANE'S ANALYSES, BUT
8	I THINK THE COMMITTEE SHOULD DO THE SCORING. IN
9	OTHER WORDS, WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WHEN THIS
10	WHOLE NEW EXPERIMENT, BECAUSE THIS IS WHAT THIS IS,
11	IS IMPLEMENTED, THERE IS NO OR MINIMAL POSSIBILITY
12	OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST OR THE PERCEPTION OF.
13	SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE GO
<b>1</b> 4	THROUGH THE FULL PROCESS, WE GET BOARD APPROVAL, AND
15	WE ACTUALLY ALLOW THE COMMITTEE, THIS FINANCE
16	SUBCOMMITTEE, TO DO THE SCORING.
<b>L</b> 7	MR. KLEIN: IN THAT REGARD, DR. AZZIZ, I
18	THINK THE STAFF SCORING IS IN THE ORDER OF A
19	RECOMMENDATION, BUT AT THE COMMITTEE LEVEL, I THINK
20	THAT, AS IT IS WITH THE SCIENTIFIC GRANT REVIEWERS
21	THAT WE DO FOR PROGRAMMATIC REASONS, AS FAR AS I
22	UNDERSTOOD IT, IT WAS THE INTENT THAT THE COMMITTEE,
23	AS IT DID IN MAJOR FACILITIES AS WELL, CAN ADJUST
24	THE FINAL RECOMMENDATION AND AWARDS THEY FORWARD TO
25	THE BOARD WITH THEIR OWN RECOMMENDED SCORING AND

1	NARRATIVE COMMENTARY.
2	DR. AZZIZ: BOB, THAT'S WHAT I'M SORT OF
3	REFERRING TO. ACTUALLY WE'RE NOT AWARDING A GRANT
4	HERE. WE'RE NOT AWARDING ANY KIND OF AWARD. THIS
5	IS ACTUALLY A CONTRACT WITH A COMPANY OR COMPANIES
6	THAT ARE GOING TO PARTNER WITH CIRM TO DO THIS. SO
7	THIS IS DIFFERENT. SO, IN FACT, MY ONLY SUGGESTION
8	IS THAT STAFF AND YOU ALL PRESENT SORT OF BRIEF
9	ASSESSMENTS OF THE WEAKNESSES AND STRENGTHS OF EACH
10	OF THE PROPOSALS AND ALLOW THE COMMITTEE TO THEN DO
11	THE RANKING.
12	THIS IS NOT THE SAME THING AS WE DO
13	NORMALLY WHEN WE ASSESS GRANTS. IN FACT, IF YOU DO
14	THAT, THERE MAY ALWAYS BE THE PERCEPTION THAT STAFF,
15	WHO WILL THEN PARTNER WITH THESE INDIVIDUALS OR
16	THESE COMPANIES, MAY HAVE HAD SOME CONFLICT. JUST
17	I'M TRYING TO MAKE SURE THAT WE DO THIS AS
18	TRANSPARENTLY AS POSSIBLE SINCE THIS IS AN
19	EXPERIMENT THAT IS RELATIVELY NEW TO THIS VENTURE.
20	MR. KLEIN: AND I'M SURE WHAT YOU MEAN IS
21	NOT FINANCIAL CONFLICTS, BUT MAYBE A BIAS BECAUSE
22	THEY LIKE ONE APPROACH VERSUS ANOTHER.
23	DR. AZZIZ: ABSOLUTELY. ABSOLUTELY.
24	BIAS. AND I CERTAINLY DON'T EXPECT ANYBODY TO HAVE
25	FINANCIAL CONFLICTS, BUT IT'S A BIAS. PEOPLE WILL

1	TEND TO CHOOSE THERE'S ALWAYS A POSSIBILITY THAT
2	THEY MAY TEND TO CHOOSE SOMETHING THAT THEY FEEL
3	MORE COMFORTABLE WITH. NEVERTHELESS, ALL I'M
4	ASKING, AND IT'S VERY MINOR, BUT I JUST, AGAIN, WANT
5	TO MAKE SURE THAT THE PROCESS IS OF SUCH LEVEL THAT
6	WE WILL NOT INCUR CRITICISM.
7	MR. TORRES: TRANSPARENCY IS ESSENTIAL.
8	PERHAPS WE OUGHT TO PUT THAT IN THE FORM OF A MOTION
9	BY DR. AZZIZ.
10	MR. HARRISON: WE DON'T HAVE A QUORUM. WE
11	COULD GET A SENSE OF THE COMMITTEE, AND I THINK
12	THAT'S PROBABLY THE APPROPRIATE WAY TO PROCEED.
13	MR. TORRES: I THINK WE HAVE THE GIST OF
14	HEARING JEFF AND DR. AZZIZ IN TERMS OF THE PROCESS
15	THAT OUGHT TO TAKE PLACE. WHAT I UNDERSTOOD FROM
16	THE CHAIRMAN AND FROM DUANE IS THAT THE FIRST STEP
17	IS THAT THE STAFF AND DUANE AND BOB PARTICIPATE IN
18	THE INITIAL ANALYSIS OF THE APPLICANTS, AND THEN
19	THAT ANALYSIS AND THOSE APPLICANTS' VITAS COME TO
20	THE FULL SUBCOMMITTEE AND WE DO THE RANKING, AND
21	THAT RANKING AND THAT ENCOMPASSES A
22	RECOMMENDATION TO THE FULL BOARD. IS THAT YOUR
23	SENSE OF WHAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT, JEFF?
24	MR. SHEEHY: YEAH. I THINK THAT TO ME
25	SOUNDS LIKE A VERY REASONABLE PROCESS. I WOULD ONLY

1	ADD ONE THING, AND THAT WOULD BE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR
2	THE ENTITIES THAT ARE BIDDING FOR THESE CONTRACTS TO
3	BE PRESENT AT THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE. I THINK WE
4	SHOULD STATE THAT BECAUSE SOME MAY COME ANYWAY. I
5	MEAN IT'S A PUBLIC MEETING. THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO
6	SPEAK. I THINK WE SHOULD BE EXPLICIT ABOUT INVITING
7	THEM TO BE AVAILABLE.
8	I'LL LEAVE IT TO THE DISCRETION OF STAFF
9	AND CHAIRMAN KLEIN AND DUANE WHETHER THEY WANT
10	TO I MEAN PERSONALLY I WOULD LEAVE IT TO THEIR
11	DISCRETION WHETHER WE WOULD HAVE FORMAL
12	PRESENTATIONS OR NOT. BUT I THINK THEY SHOULD BE
13	AVAILABLE BECAUSE THE WORST THING I WOULD THINK IS
14	IF ONE WAS AVAILABLE AND ABLE TO TAKE QUESTIONS
15	FROM YOU KNOW, ANSWER QUESTIONS, PROVIDE MORE
16	INFORMATION, AND THE OTHER FOUR WERE NOT AND THAT
17	ONE GOT THE CONTRACT, IT WOULD BE EVERYONE WOULD
18	BE LEGALLY ENTITLED TO BE HERE AT A PUBLIC MEETING
19	AND AT THIS DISCUSSION.
20	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: JEFF, IT'S MICHAEL
21	GOLDBERG AND I AGREE WITH THAT. SO I THINK WE'LL
22	HAVE, IN THE COURSE OF SETTING THE FINANCE
23	SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING TO REVIEW THE RECOMMENDATIONS
24	FROM THE CIRM, WE'LL ALSO INVITE EACH OF THE RFP
25	RESPONDERS TO ATTEND. I DON'T THINK A PRESENTATION

1	WILL BE THAT INSIGHTFUL, BUT I THINK HAVING PEOPLE
2	THERE TO COMMENT, HAVING THEM AVAILABLE TO US AS A
3	COMMITTEE TO RESPOND TO QUESTIONS THAT WE MAY HAVE
4	WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL TO THE COMMITTEE IN MAKING ITS
5	RECOMMENDATION TO THE ICOC.
6	I'D ALSO LIKE TO EXPRESS MY APPRECIATION
7	TO ALL FIVE OF THE RESPONDENTS BECAUSE IT'S BEEN A
8	KIND OF AN EXPERIMENT HOW TO DO THIS ON BOTH SIDES
9	AND WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR PATIENCE WITH US IN OUR
10	PROCESS AND YOUR ONGOING COMMITMENT TO TRY TO SERVE
11	THE PROGRAM AND THE EFFICIENCIES WE'RE TRYING TO
12	ACHIEVE FOR THE STATE.
13	DR. AZZIZ: THIS IS RICARDO, MICHAEL. I
14	DO AGREE THAT I THINK WE SHOULD NOT HAVE
15	PRESENTATIONS BY THE COMPANIES AT THAT POINT. I
16	THINK THAT WOULD BE REDUNDANT, BUT I DO HAVE A
17	QUESTION. MAYBE JAMES NEEDS TO ANSWER THIS. I MEAN
18	WHILE THE PRESENCE OF THE COMPANIES IS REALLY, I
19	THINK I FULLY AGREE, WOULD BE VERY USEFUL TO ANSWER
20	QUESTIONS AND CLARIFY ISSUES OR THIS KIND OF THING,
21	THESE ARE THE BIDDING IS SORT OF CLOSED. THIS IS
22	NOT A TIME FOR RENEGOTIATION OR TWEAKING OF THINGS.
23	I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE DON'T GET INTO A
24	HAGGLING SESSION. AM I CORRECT ON THAT, JAMES?
25	MR. HARRISON: YOU ARE CORRECT. ALTHOUGH
	50

1	THERE'S CERTAINLY NOTHING THAT WOULD PREVENT THE
2	RESPONDENTS FROM MAKING COMMENTS AND THE COMMITTEE
3	MEMBERS FROM ASKING QUESTIONS TO CLARIFY THE
4	INFORMATION THAT'S CONTAINED IN THEIR RESPONSES.
5	BUT YOU'RE CORRECT THAT THEY COULDN'T ADD NEW TERMS
6	AT THIS POINT IN TIME.
7	DR. AZZIZ: RIGHT.
8	MR. KLEIN: I'D LIKE TO CLARIFY THAT
9	LEGALLY AND TECHNICALLY. ONCE THERE IS A ONCE
10	THERE IS A RECOMMENDATION BY THIS COMMITTEE, WHAT I
11	REFERRED TO AS THE TERM OF ART IS GENERALLY IN
12	GOVERNMENT AN EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO NEGOTIATE. IN THIS
13	PARTICULAR CASE, IT'S NOT GOING TO BE EXCLUSIVE IF
14	YOU'RE TRYING TO GET AT LEAST TWO DIFFERENT
15	FUNCTIONING PARTIES. SO SEMI-EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO
16	NEGOTIATE MEANING OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO HAVE APPLIED,
17	THAT THOSE THAT ARE RECOMMENDED, BEFORE YOU GET TO
18	THE BOARD, WOULD WORK OUT SOMETHING CLOSER TO THEIR
19	FINAL TERMS OR STRUCTURING.
20	IT IS NOT AN OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE NEW
21	PARTIES JOIN; BUT IF THERE ARE ELEMENTS IN A
22	STRUCTURE, IN AN INNOVATIVE PROGRAM THAT DON'T WORK
23	WELL FOR THE AGENCY FOR LEGAL REASONS, OR FOR STATE
24	LAW REASONS, SEPARATE FROM THE LEGAL REASONS
25	ORIGINATING OR ARISING OUT OF THE INITIATIVE, THAT

1	IS THE TIME PERIOD WHEN YOU CAN NEGOTIATE
2	STRUCTURING THAT SOLVES THOSE PROBLEMS. SO WHEN YOU
3	GET TO THE BOARD YOU HAVE A MORE MATURE PROPOSAL
4	THAT IS CLOSER TO THE FORM TO BE IMPLEMENTED.
5	DR. AZZIZ: RIGHT. JUST TO CLARIFY, I
6	MEAN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ONCE WE SELECT THE ONE OR
7	TWO COMPANIES, OF COURSE, THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT,
8	WE WOULD THEN OBVIOUSLY HAVE TO FINALIZE THE DEVIL
9	IN THE DETAILS AND I UNDERSTAND THAT PART. WHAT I'M
10	JUST MAKING SURE, AND, AGAIN, THIS IS WHY I ASKED
11	JAMES, THAT THE NEXT COMMITTEE SESSION IS NOT ABOUT
12	TWEAKING EACH INDIVIDUAL CONTRACT. RATHER, SIMPLY
13	CLARIFYING WHAT THEY ACTUALLY MEANT TO SAY IN THEIR
14	RFP. OTHERWISE, YOU KNOW, WE'RE DEALING WITH
15	COMPANIES WHO ARE NOT RESPONDING CLEARLY TO THE RFP.
16	I JUST I HEAR WHAT YOU SAY. I JUST
17	WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE CLEAR THAT THEY WILL
18	JOIN US TO ANSWER QUESTIONS THAT THE COMMITTEE MAY
19	HAVE, NOT TO PUT UP COUNTERPROPOSALS AS DISCUSSION
20	PROCEEDS.
21	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: WE'RE IN AGREEMENT.
22	DR. AZZIZ: GREAT.
23	MR. HARRISON: MICHAEL, COULD I JUST
24	BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE, THEN, WHAT I UNDERSTAND TO BE THE
25	SUBCOMMITTEE'S DESIRE WITH RESPECT TO THE PROCESS TO

1	MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE.
2	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: YES, PLEASE.
3	MR. HARRISON: STAFF WILL ANALYZE THE
4	RESPONSES WITH INPUT FROM CHAIRMAN KLEIN AND DUANE
5	ROTH, THE CHAIR OF THE BIOTECH TASK FORCE, STAFF
6	WILL PRESENT ITS ANALYSIS TO THE FINANCE
7	SUBCOMMITTEE AT A MEETING WHICH WE HOPE TO SCHEDULE
8	IN THE NEAR FUTURE. THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE WILL
9	SCORE THE RESPONSES BASED ON THE CRITERIA SET FORTH
10	IN THE RFP. THE RESPONDERS THEMSELVES ARE INVITED
11	TO ATTEND A FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING TO ANSWER
12	ANY CLARIFYING QUESTIONS FROM THE SUBCOMMITTEE. AND
13	THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE WILL SELECT A DELEGATED
14	UNDERWRITER OR DELEGATED UNDERWRITERS WITH WHOM WE
15	WILL ATTEMPT TO NEGOTIATE A REFINED PROPOSAL AND
16	CONTRACT TO PRESENT TO THE ICOC FOR FINAL APPROVAL.
17	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: THAT IS MY SENSE OF
18	THE DISCUSSION.
19	MR. HARRISON: THANK YOU.
20	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: ANY OBJECTIONS FROM
21	SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS?
22	MR. SHEEHY: JUST ONE REQUEST, THAT ALL
23	THE DOCUMENTATION THAT ACCOMPANIES THIS DECISION BE
24	PROVIDED IN TIME IN AN ADEQUATE TIMEFRAME SO THAT
25	WE CAN DIGEST THE INFORMATION BEFORE WE MAKE OUR
	5.2

1	CONSIDERATION.
2	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: POINT WELL TAKEN.
3	WHAT WOULD YOU CONSIDER AN ADEQUATE TIMEFRAME?
4	MR. SHEEHY: I'D SAY TEN DAYS. I KNOW
5	THAT'S PROBABLY NOT REALISTIC, BUT, YOU KNOW, THREE
6	OR FOUR DAYS FOR ME. I'VE JUST GOT TO HAVE YOU
7	KNOW, I HAVE A JOB AND I HAVE A KID, AND I JUST NEED
8	A COUPLE OF NIGHTS TO BE ABLE TO LOOK AT THIS STUFF.
9	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: THERE ARE A LOT OF
10	THINGS YOU AND I DISAGREE ON, JEFF, BUT THIS IS NOT
11	ONE OF THEM.
12	MR. SHEEHY: BUT I WOULD TAKE ANYTHING
13	ANY ACCEPTABLE TIMEFRAME. ANYTHING MORE THAN 48
14	HOURS WOULD BE A HUGE BLESSING. FIVE DAYS.
15	DR. AZZIZ: I VOTE FOR CLOSURE FOR A WEEK.
16	I MEAN, YOU KNOW, IT JUST GETS TOUGH. I KNOW THAT
17	WE'RE ON A TIGHT TIMELINE, AND I WOULD WORK I
18	WOULD LOVE THREE DAYS, BUT THE LONGER THE BETTER.
19	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: MAY I SUGGEST FIVE
20	DAYS?
21	DR. AZZIZ: THAT SOUNDS GOOD.
22	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: OKAY.
23	MR. SIMPSON: THIS IS A MEMBER OF THE
24	PUBLIC, JOHN SIMPSON, WITH A QUESTION. WILL THAT BE
25	A PUBLIC FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING, AND WILL THE
	54

1	DOCUMENTS BE MADE AVAILABLE FOR THE PUBLIC?
2	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: IT WILL BE A PUBLIC
3	MEETING, JOHN. AND, JAMES, COULD YOU SPEAK TO
4	WHETHER OR NOT THE MATERIALS COULD BE PROVIDED TO
5	THE PUBLIC AT THE TIME THEY'RE PROVIDED TO THE
6	SUBCOMMITTEE?
7	MR. HARRISON: YES. IT MAY BE THAT
8	YES. THE RESPONSES ARE PUBLIC RECORDS, BUT THERE
9	MAY BE PROPRIETARY OR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
10	CONTAINED IN THE RESPONSES WHICH WE WOULD REDACT
11	UNDER THE PUBLIC RECORDS ACT. BUT, YES, THEY WOULD
12	BE PROVIDED.
13	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: THAT ADDRESS YOUR
14	QUESTION, MR. SIMPSON?
15	MR. SIMPSON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
16	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: ANY QUESTIONS FROM THE
17	PUBLIC AT ANY OF THE SITES WHERE PUBLIC IS PRESENT?
18	HEARING NONE, WE'LL PROCEED TO THE LAST AND FINAL
19	AGENDA ITEM, AND WE'LL TRY TO WRAP THIS UP BY 11
20	O'CLOCK, IF NOT SOONER. I'M GOING TO ASK CHAIRMAN
21	KLEIN TO UPDATE US ON AGENCY FINANCING, INCLUDING A
22	DISCUSSION OF WHAT THE FIRST HALF OF THE FISCAL 2010
23	AND 11 MAY LOOK LIKE WITHOUT DESCENDING INTO THE
24	ARITHMETIC.
25	MR. KLEIN: SO AS A GENERAL DISCUSSION
	ζζ

1	POINT, OUR PROGRAMMATIC SCHEDULE FOR THE LATTER PART
2	OF 2009 AND THE FIRST WELL, I WOULD SAY THE
3	LATTER PART OF THIS FISCAL YEAR, WHICH WOULD BE
4	2009-2010 FISCAL YEAR, MEANING THE FIRST SIX MONTHS
5	OF 2010 AND THE LAST SIX MONTHS OF 2010 BEING THE
6	FIRST SIX MONTHS OF THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR, WHEN THE
7	BOARD CONSIDERS THAT PROGRAMMATIC SCHEDULE, IT'S
8	GOING TO BE IMPORTANT TO REALIZE THAT WITH THE
9	VOLATILITY IN THE FINANCING MARKETS AND THE STATE'S
10	FINANCES, WHICH CERTAINLY ARE NOT APPARENTLY
11	RESOLVED AS OF TODAY, THAT WE HAVE 160 MILLION OF
12	ADDITIONAL PRIVATE PLACEMENT BOND AUTHORITY WE
13	HAVEN'T USED.
14	WE DO HAVE SOME IDENTIFIED PURCHASERS, AND
15	WE MAY, BASED UPON BOARD DECISIONS ON PROGRAMS,
16	PARTICULARLY THE SECOND PHASE TRANSLATIONAL PROGRAM
17	AND THE SECOND PHASE DISEASE TEAM PROGRAM, WANT TO
18	ISSUE THOSE BONDS AT THE END OF THE YEAR OR THE
19	BEGINNING OF NEXT YEAR BASED UPON MARKET
20	OPPORTUNITIES.
21	THE KEY POINT HERE IS THAT EVEN IF THE
	THE KEY POINT HERE IS THAT EVEN IF THE FINAL AWARD, SAY, UNDER DISEASE TEAMS II IS NOT
22	
22 23	FINAL AWARD, SAY, UNDER DISEASE TEAMS II IS NOT
21 22 23 24 25	FINAL AWARD, SAY, UNDER DISEASE TEAMS II IS NOT UNTIL JANUARY OR FEBRUARY, I WOULD LIKE, AS A MATTER

1	SOMEONE STEPPING INTO THE CHAIR'S POSITION TO
2	EXECUTE ON THAT GIVEN THE STATE'S CHALLENGES ON A
3	BUDGETARY BASIS AND VOLATILITIES IN THE MARKET.
4	SO WE WILL DO A MORE ADVANCED AND DETAILED
5	DISCUSSION OF THIS AS THE STATE'S BUDGETARY ISSUES
6	AND THEIR SOLUTIONS BECOME CLEARER, BUT I THINK WE
7	WOULD NEED TO CONSERVATIVELY LOOK AT TRYING TO ISSUE
8	THE NEXT 160 MILLION OF REMAINING PRIVATE PLACEMENT
9	BOND AUTHORITY TO CREATE THE RESERVES TO CREATE
10	PROGRAM FLEXIBILITY IF THE QUALITY OF THE SCIENTIFIC
11	PROGRAMS CREATES ANY CAPITAL DEMANDS IN THE FIRST 90
12	DAYS OF 2011.
13	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: COMMENTS FROM THE
14	SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS?
15	DR. AZZIZ: BOB, THIS IS RICARDO. JUST I
16	THINK THAT'S VERY PRUDENT, YOUR RECOMMENDATION. THE
17	QUESTION IS AND I KNOW THAT THIS IS A
18	GUESSTIMATE. YOU HAVE NOTED THAT THERE ARE SOME
19	BUYERS IDENTIFIED FOR THE \$160 MILLION WORTH OF
20	PRIVATE PLACEMENT BONDS. WHAT IS YOUR SENSE, I MEAN
21	WHAT IS YOU KNOW, I MEAN IT LOOKS PRETTY TIGHT
22	OUT THERE. ARE WE TALKING ABOUT \$20 MILLION WORTH
23	OF BONDS, 15, A HUNDRED? WHAT IS YOUR SENSE OF THE
24	MARKET CAPACITY IN THE PRIVATE BOND PLACEMENT?
25	MR. KLEIN: WELL, IF WE HAVE A PROGRAM
	E 7

1	THAT WE CAN TAKE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND
2	THE TREASURER'S OFFICE AND GET THEIR COLLABORATION,
3	AGAIN, OUTSIDE OF AN IMMINENT CRISIS THAT THEY'RE IN
4	AT THE MOMENT, I WOULD HOPE THAT WE COULD PLACE THE
5	WHOLE 160 MILLION. I BELIEVE THAT WE CAN.
6	DR. AZZIZ: THANK YOU, BOB.
7	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR
8	QUESTIONS FROM THE MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE?
9	HEARING NONE, I'D LIKE TO MOVE TO THE PUBLIC.
10	CEDARS?
11	DR. AZZIZ: NO COMMENT HERE.
12	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: NO COMMENT IN MENLO
13	PARK. PALO ALTO? SAN FRANCISCO?
14	MS. HARWELL: NO PUBLIC.
15	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: SAN DIEGO?
16	MR. ROTH: NO.
17	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: PLEASANTON?
18	MS. FEIT: NO.
19	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: ANY FINAL COMMENTS?
20	I'M SORRY. WAS THERE A COMMENT IN SAN FRANCISCO?
21	MS. HARWELL: NO.
22	CHAIRMAN GOLDBERG: SO ANY FINAL COMMENTS,
23	QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE OR THE
24	PUBLIC? HEARING NONE, I'D MOVE ADJOURNMENT.
25	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 10:55 A.M.)
	58
	J0

58

### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING ON JUNE 11, 2009, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152 BARRISTER'S REPORTING SERVICE 1072 BRISTOL STREET SUITE 100 COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA (714) 444-4100