BEFORE THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TO THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: AS INDICATED ON THE AGENDA

DATE: MARCH 5, 2009

3: 30 P. M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 83767

INDEX

| ITEM DESCRIPTION NO. | PAGE |
|---|------|
| CALL TO ORDER | 3 |
| ROLL CALL | 3 |
| UPDATE REPORT ON CONTRACTS | 4 |
| CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO CONTRACTS FOR OUTSIDE LEGAL COUNSEL | 9 |
| CONSIDERATION OF MODIFICATIONS TO CIRM TRAVEL POLICY | 35 |
| CONSIDERATION OF POLICY/PROCEDURE FOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FOR CHAIR, VICE CHAIR, AND PRESIDENT | 44 |
| CLOSED SESSION (NOT REPORTED) | 75 |
| ADJOURNMENT | 75 |

2

| _ | DARRISTERS REPORTING SERVICE |
|----|---|
| 1 | THURSDAY, MARCH 5, 2009 |
| 2 | 3: 30 P. M. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: GREAT. THANK YOU, |
| 5 | MELISSA. I'D LIKE TO WELCOME EVERYONE TO THIS |
| 6 | MEETING OF THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE ICOC. |
| 7 | AND WE HAVE PARTICIPANTS IN FIVE LOCATIONS ON THE |
| 8 | CALL. I'M HERE IN L.A. AT MY OFFICE, AND I'D LIKE |
| 9 | TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE ON THE LINE WITH UC DAVIS. |
| 10 | DR. POMEROY: YES, MA'AM. |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CIRM IN SAN |
| 12 | FRANCI SCO? |
| 13 | MS. KING: HERE. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE? |
| 15 | DR. STEWARD: HERE. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CONNECT IN LA JOLLA? |
| 17 | MR. ROTH: HERE. |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: AND I WANT TO THANK |
| 19 | ALL OF YOU FOR PARTICIPATING. I'D LIKE TO ASK |
| 20 | MELISSA TO CALL THE ROLL. |
| 21 | MS. KING: BOB KLEIN. |
| 22 | MR. KLEIN: HERE. |
| 23 | MS. KING: SHERRY LANSING. |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: HERE. |
| 25 | MS. KING: PHIL PIZZO. CLAIRE POMEROY. |
| | 3 |
| | S |

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

| | BARRISTERS REPORTING SERVICE |
|----|--|
| 1 | DR. POMEROY: HERE. |
| 2 | MS. KING: JOHN REED. DUANE ROTH. |
| 3 | MR. ROTH: HERE. |
| 4 | MS. KING: JEFF SHEEHY. |
| 5 | MR. SHEEHY: HERE. |
| 6 | MS. KING: OSWALD STEWARD. |
| 7 | DR. STEWARD: HERE. |
| 8 | MS. KING: AND WE DO HAVE A QUORUM. |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: GREAT. SO I'D NOW |
| 10 | LIKE TO GO TO AGENDA ITEM 3, WHICH IS THE UPDATE ON |
| 11 | THE CONTRACTS AND THE INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS. AND I |
| 12 | ASSUME THAT EVERYONE HAS THE DOCUMENTS FOR ITEM NO. |
| 13 | 3, SO I'D LIKE TO ASK OUR CONTRACTS ADMINISTRATOR, |
| 14 | CYNTHIA SCHAFFER, TO WALK US THROUGH THIS UPDATE. |
| 15 | MS. SCHAFFER: AS WE'VE ESTABLISHED, CIRM |
| 16 | POLICY ON CONTRACTING CALLS FOR THE CIRM STAFF TO |
| 17 | PREPARE AN UPDATE ON CONTRACT ACTIVITY TO BE |
| 18 | PRESENTED AT EACH GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING. |
| 19 | SO THIS IS THE NEXT UPDATE, AND IT COVERS THE FISCAL |
| 20 | YEAR 2008-2009 FOR THE PERIOD FROM JULY 1, 2008, |
| 21 | THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2008. AND IT LISTS IN OUR |
| 22 | STANDARD FORMAT PURCHASE ORDERS FOR MORE THAN 5,000 |
| 23 | AND IS BROKEN OUT BY TABLE AS TO THE TYPE OF |
| 24 | CONTRACTS. AND I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY |
| 25 | QUESTIONS ABOUT IT. |
| | A |
| | 4 |

| 1 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CAN I ASK FOR ANY |
|----|---|
| 2 | QUESTIONS? |
| | |
| 3 | MS. KING: NONE HERE IN SAN FRANCISCO. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I CAN GO AROUND, BUT |
| 5 | I CAN JUST ASK IF ANY OF THE MEMBERS OF THE |
| 6 | SUBCOMMITTEE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS? THEN LET ME ASK IF |
| 7 | THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS FROM ANY MEMBERS OF THE |
| 8 | PUBLIC AT DAVIS? |
| 9 | DR. POMEROY: NO QUESTIONS. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SAN FRANCISCO? |
| 11 | MS. KING: NONE HERE. |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE? |
| 13 | DR. STEWARD: NO PUBLIC. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CONNECT? |
| 15 | MR. ROTH: THERE'S NO PUBLIC HERE EITHER. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IN L.A., JOHN, YOU |
| 17 | HAVE SOME QUESTIONS? |
| 18 | MR. SIMPSON: I DO INDEED. FIRST QUESTION |
| 19 | JUST SIMPLY WAS CAN WE GET A LITTLE BIT MORE |
| 20 | DESCRIPTION OF WHAT THE INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR |
| 21 | STEM CELL RESEARCH WHO GOT \$100,000 FOR? |
| 22 | DR. TROUNSON: THE ISSCR WAS PROVIDED WITH |
| 23 | A GRANT OF \$100,000 FOR ASSISTING THEM IN RESPONDING |
| 24 | TO AND ALERTING THE COMMUNITY TO NEW CHANGES IN STEM |
| 25 | CELL DEVELOPMENTS, THOSE THAT WERE POSITIVE AND THE |
| | |
| | 5 |

| 1 | NEW DEVELOPMENTS, THOSE WHICH WERE A CONCERN TO ALL |
|----|--|
| 2 | OF US ABOUT THE TRAVEL OF INDIVIDUALS TO OTHER |
| 3 | PLACES TO RECEIVE TREATMENTS WHICH REALLY DON'T HAVE |
| 4 | ANY APPROPRIATE EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE AND FOR ISSUES |
| 5 | THAT WOULD BE A CONCERN TO THE COMMUNITY THAT MIGHT |
| 6 | EVOLVE OUT OF ANY OF THE STEM CELL CLINICAL RESEARCH |
| 7 | AREAS. |
| 8 | SO THAT GRANT WAS PROVIDED FOR THEM TO |
| 9 | ASSIST IN THE COMMUNITY'S UNDERSTANDING OF THE |
| 10 | PROGRESS AND DEVELOPMENTS IN STEM CELL RESEARCH. |
| 11 | MR. SIMPSON: ONE ADDITIONAL QUESTION, IF |
| 12 | I MAY. JUST SO I CAN UNDERSTAND THIS, IF YOU HAVE A |
| 13 | CONTRACT AMOUNT AND THE CONTRACT IS ALREADY ENDED AT |
| 14 | THE END OF THE YEAR, BUT THE FULL AMOUNT OF THE |
| 15 | CONTRACT DOES NOT, THAT EXAMPLE I'M LOOKING AT IS |
| 16 | RICHARD MURPHY, IT SHOWS A CONTRACT AMOUNT OF |
| 17 | 155,000, BUT IT SHOWS ONLY 101,000 WAS SPENT THE |
| 18 | FIRST AND SECOND QUARTER. DOES THAT MEAN THAT THE |
| 19 | REST OF THE MONEY WAS SPENT PRIOR TO THAT, OR THAT |
| 20 | THE WHOLE THING WAS BROUGHT IN FOR 101,000? |
| 21 | MS. SCHAFFER: THE COLUMN ON THE RIGHT, |
| 22 | EXPENDITURES DURING THE FIRST AND SECOND QUARTERS, |
| 23 | THAT IS THE MOST CURRENT CALCULATION THAT OUR |
| 24 | FINANCE OFFICER, MARGARET FERGUSON, CAN PULL |
| 25 | TOGETHER. AS WE KNOW, IT'S A COMPLICATED PROCEDURE |
| | 4 |
| | |

| 1 | TO GET THINGS PAID THROUGH THE STATE. IT INVOLVES |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES AS WELL AS OTHER |
| 3 | AGENCIES. SO AS FAR AS I'M AWARE, THAT IS THE TOTAL |
| 4 | AMOUNT THAT HAS BEEN SPENT. WHETHER THERE'S STILL |
| 5 | ANOTHER BILL THAT DATES BACK TO THE ORIGINAL |
| 6 | CONTRACT PERIOD THAT'S STILL SOMEPLACE WORKING ITS |
| 7 | WAY THROUGH THE SYSTEM, I DON'T KNOW FOR SURE. |
| 8 | MR. SIMPSON: THIS CONTRACT STARTED BEFORE |
| 9 | THE FIRST AND SECOND QUARTERS, SO PRESUMABLY THE |
| 10 | MONEY IN OTHER WORDS, THE ACTUAL AMOUNT THAT WAS |
| 11 | SPENT ON THAT CONTRACT, I'M ASSUMING, IS \$155,000; |
| 12 | IS THAT CORRECT? |
| 13 | DR. TROUNSON: THE AMOUNT THAT HAS BEEN |
| 14 | SPENT TO DATE ON THAT IS \$101,000. HIS CONTRACT |
| 15 | HASN'T BEEN CONTINUING THIS YEAR. SO I DON'T THINK |
| 16 | THERE IS ANY OTHER EXPENDITURE EXPECTED FROM RICH |
| 17 | MURPHY ON HIS ACTIVITIES. |
| 18 | MR. SIMPSON: SO IN OTHER WORDS |
| 19 | MR. KLEIN: I'D LIKE TO SUPPLEMENT THAT. |
| 20 | I THINK THAT WE HAVE TWO DIFFERENT STATEMENTS. ONE, |
| 21 | ALAN'S STATEMENT IS, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, IS 101,000 |
| 22 | WAS SPENT IN THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR, AND THE |
| 23 | BALANCE WAS SPENT IN THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR. IS THAT |
| 24 | RIGHT, MARGARET? |
| 25 | MR. SIMPSON: SO THE CONTRACT IS ALL |
| | |

| 1 | CLOSED OUT AND THE TOTAL AMOUNT ENDED UP BEING |
|----|--|
| 2 | 155, 000. |
| 3 | MR. KLEIN: IS THAT THE SITUATION, |
| 4 | MARGARET, THE ENTIRE 155 HAS BEEN SPENT? |
| 5 | MS. FERGUSON: AT THIS POINT IN TIME, I |
| 6 | CAN'T TELL YOU 100 PERCENT, BUT PRETTY MUCH THAT |
| 7 | CONTRACT IS COMPLETE. I WON'T SAY THAT 155 TO THE |
| 8 | PENNY HAS BEEN SPENT BECAUSE I'D HAVE TO LOOK THAT |
| 9 | UP. |
| 10 | MR. SIMPSON: NOW, IN A DIFFERENT CASE FOR |
| 11 | FLEISCHMAN-HILLARD'S CONTRACT STARTED IN AUGUST, AND |
| 12 | IT SHOWS A CONTRACT AMOUNT OF 115. 54,000 HAS BEEN |
| 13 | SPENT. SO THEN PRESUMABLY YOU'LL SPEND THE REST OF |
| 14 | THAT IN THE NEXT TWO QUARTERS. |
| 15 | MR. KLEIN: HERE'S MY SUGGESTION IS THAT |
| 16 | IT WOULD BE GOOD FOR US TO REPORT BACK TO YOU |
| 17 | BECAUSE GIVE US THE TIME. THAT DETAIL ISN'T HERE |
| 18 | FOR LAST FISCAL YEAR. TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT DIDN'T |
| 19 | APPLY AGAINST THE PRIOR CONTRACT, WE REALLY NEED A |
| 20 | CHANCE FOR MARGARET TO BE ABLE TO RESEARCH THIS. |
| 21 | DOES THAT SEEM RIGHT, ALAN? |
| 22 | DR. TROUNSON: THE POINT, JOHN, THAT |
| 23 | YOU'RE TRYING TO MAKE, I DON'T THINK WE'RE EXPECTING |
| 24 | ANY MORE INVOICES FROM RICH MURPHY BECAUSE HIS |
| 25 | ACTIVITIES WERE COMPLETED SOME TIME AGO. SO WHETHER |
| | |

| 1 | TI'S 155,000 OR 101,000 I'M NOT CLEAR, BUT MY STAFF |
|----|--|
| 2 | WILL MAKE THAT CLARITY FOR THAT. THERE WILL BE NO |
| 3 | MORE EXPENDITURE AS FAR AS I UNDERSTAND. THERE MAY |
| 4 | HAVE BEEN ADDITIONAL MONEY FROM A PREVIOUS TIME THAT |
| 5 | MADE UP THE 155,000. WE'LL GET CLARITY ON THAT FOR |
| 6 | YOU WITHIN A DAY. |
| 7 | MR. SIMPSON: THAT'S FINE. I THINK I |
| 8 | UNDERSTAND NOW PERFECTLY. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH. |
| 9 | DR. TROUNSON: OKAY. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU, JOHN, AS |
| 11 | ALWAYS. IF THAT IS THE END OF PUBLIC COMMENT |
| 12 | QUESTIONS AND SO OBVIOUSLY THE SUBCOMMITTEE AS WELL, |
| 13 | SO I'D LIKE TO MOVE ON TO AGENDA ITEM 4, WHICH IS |
| 14 | CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE CONTRACTS FOR |
| 15 | OUTSIDE LEGAL COUNSEL. AND, AGAIN, CYNTHIA, I'D |
| 16 | LIKE TO ASK YOU TO LEAD US THROUGH THE DETAILS OF |
| 17 | THIS AMENDMENT. AND AFTER YOU'VE DONE THAT, I'D |
| 18 | LIKE TO ASK BOB KLEIN TO MAKE SOME COMMENTS. BUT, |
| 19 | CYNTHIA, I TURN IT OVER TO YOU. |
| 20 | MS. SCHAFFER: ARE WE STARTING WITH |
| 21 | MS. KOCH OR WITH REMCHO? IN TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT |
| 22 | WITH REMCHO JOHANSEN, WE STARTED THE CONTRACT FOR |
| 23 | THE NEW FISCAL YEAR, JULY 1, 2008, FOR THE SMALL |
| 24 | AMOUNT OF \$45,000. WE STARTED WITH THAT SMALL |
| 25 | AMOUNT SO WE COULD BRIDGE THE TIME GAP UNTIL THIS |
| | o |

| GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE COULD MEET ON JULY 30, 2008, |
|--|
| AT WHICH TIME THIS COMMITTEE APPROVED AN AMENDMENT |
| TO BRING REMCHO'S TOTAL AGREEMENT FOR THE FISCAL |
| YEAR UP TO THE AMOUNT OF \$450,000. |
| AS CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR, I WORK WITH THE |
| FINANCE OFFICER, MARGARET FERGUSON, TO MONITOR THE |
| OUTSTANDING AGREEMENTS. TOGETHER WE'VE COME TO |
| REALIZE THAT THERE ARE FOUR MONTHS LEFT TO RUN ON |
| REMCHO'S AGREEMENT; BUT WHEN WE ANALYZED THE MAXIMUM |
| AMOUNT OF THE AGREEMENT AGAINST THE BILLINGS |
| OCCURRING THAT WE'VE BEEN EXPERIENCING IN THE |
| BEGINNING OF 2009, WE BECAME CONCERNED THAT PERHAPS |
| WE NEED TO ADD ADDITIONAL MONEY TO THIS AGREEMENT. |
| BECAUSE OF THE WAY THE CIRM CONTRACTING POLICY IS |
| STRUCTURED, WE'D LIKE TO COME TO YOU TO START THAT |
| APPROVAL PROCESS. |
| AT THIS TIME WE'D BE SUGGESTING AN |
| ADDITIONAL 180,000 ADDED TO THE CURRENT MAXIMUM |
| AMOUNT TO BE ABLE TO SEE US THROUGH TO THE END OF |
| THE FISCAL YEAR. AND FIRST WE'RE STARTING AT THE |
| GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE, AND THEN WE ALSO HAVE IT |
| AGENDIZED FOR THE MARCH 12TH ICOC MEETING. |
| MR. KLEIN: AND THEN, SHERRY, YOU WOULD |
| LIKE ME TO PROVIDE SOME CONTEXT. |
| CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES, I WOULD. |
| 10 |
| |

| 1 | MR. KLEIN: SO WHEN WE BEGAN THE FISCAL |
|----|---|
| 2 | YEAR, WE HAD AN AMOUNT THAT WE FELT WITH THE |
| 3 | AMENDMENT WAS APPROPRIATE TO TAKE US THROUGH THE |
| 4 | FISCAL YEAR. DURING THIS FISCAL YEAR, THERE WERE A |
| 5 | NUMBER OF UNEXPECTED DEMANDS WHICH REACHED BEYOND |
| 6 | JAMES HARRISON'S TIME TO OTHER MEMBERS OF HIS |
| 7 | RESEARCH STAFF AND ATTORNEYS IN HIS FIRM. |
| 8 | THE HIGHLIGHTS OF THAT ARE, OF COURSE, |
| 9 | EXTENSIVE WORK DEALING WITH PROPER RESPONSE TO |
| 10 | IN-DEPTH QUESTIONS WITH THE LITTLE HOOVER |
| 11 | COMMISSION, EXTENSIVE WORK DEALING WITH THE PMI |
| 12 | LOANS AND THE RADICALLY CHANGING LEGAL POSITION OF |
| 13 | OUR ACCESS TO THAT. IN FACT, I CREATED A TASK FORCE |
| 14 | DEALING WITH PMI LOANS THAT JAMES HARRISON WAS PART |
| 15 | OF. AND WE WERE SUCCESSFUL IN ANTICIPATING CHANGES |
| 16 | IN POLICY A MONTH IN ADVANCE AND WERE ABLE TO |
| 17 | UTILIZE ALL BUT THE LAST FIVE MILLION OF OUR PMI |
| 18 | LOAN, WHICH AT THIS POINT NOW MIGHT STILL BE |
| 19 | AVAILABLE TO US. |
| 20 | ON THE BOND PROGRAM HE IS INVOLVED |
| 21 | PARTICULARLY IN THIS EXTENSION PERIOD IN INTENSIVE |
| 22 | WORK ON THE PRIVATE PLACEMENTS OF THOSE BONDS AS |
| 23 | PART OF A TEAM OF ABOUT SEVEN INDIVIDUALS AT THE |
| 24 | AGENCY AS WELL AS MEMBERS OF THE TREASURER'S OFFICE |
| 25 | AND ORRICK HERRINGTON AS WELL AS DOUG MONTAGUE. |
| | |

| 1 | ON THE MAJOR FACILITIES ISSUES, THE |
|----------------------|--|
| 2 | FINANCIAL CONDITIONS IN THE COUNTRY COMPLICATED |
| 3 | SEVERAL OF OUR MAJOR FACILITIES EFFORTS TO GET INTO |
| 4 | CONSTRUCTION. AND WE'RE, I THINK, SUCCESSFULLY |
| 5 | MOVING FORWARD WITH THOSE, HOPE TO GET THEM IN |
| 6 | CONSTRUCTION FAIRLY SOON, BUT WE HAD TO WORK WITH |
| 7 | THEIR COUNSEL AND LENDER COUNSEL IN ORDER TO MAKE |
| 8 | CERTAIN THEY UNDERSTOOD THAT THE GRANTS THAT WE |
| 9 | PROVIDED WOULD, IN FACT, BE AVAILABLE WITH THE |
| 10 | STRUCTURE THAT GAVE THEM THE ASSURANCES TO FEEL |
| 11 | CONFIDENT TO MOVE FORWARD. THAT'S AN ONGOING EFFORT |
| 12 | UNTIL THE SHOVEL'S IN THE GROUND. THAT TASK IS NOT |
| 13 | COMPLETED. |
| 14 | ADDITIONALLY, ON THE LOAN PROGRAM JAMES |
| 15 | HAS BEEN INVOLVED SIGNIFICANTLY IN THAT, INCLUDING |
| 16 | GETTING A LEGAL OPINION, WORKING OUT A LEGAL OPINION |
| 17 | WITH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE THAT WE CAN USE |
| 18 | WARRANTS TO IMPLEMENT A PART OF THE PROGRAM THAT |
| 19 | |
| 19 | DUANE ROTH HAS WORKED EXTENSIVELY AND LEADING US ON |
| | DUANE ROTH HAS WORKED EXTENSIVELY AND LEADING US ON THAT LOAN TASK FORCE. |
| 20 21 | |
| 20 | THAT LOAN TASK FORCE. |
| 20 21 | THAT LOAN TASK FORCE. IN TERMS OF THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE, |
| 20 21 22 | THAT LOAN TASK FORCE. IN TERMS OF THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE, RELATED TO HIS ACTIVITIES WITH THE CHAIR AND THE |
| 20 21 22 23 | THAT LOAN TASK FORCE. IN TERMS OF THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE, RELATED TO HIS ACTIVITIES WITH THE CHAIR AND THE VICE CHAIR, HE HAS HAD SPECIAL WORK IN THAT AREA. |

| 1 | ADDITIONAL WORK. AND THEN OBVIOUSLY WE KEEP HIM |
|----|--|
| 2 | BUSY BECAUSE ALL OF OUR POLICIES AS WE FACE OUR |
| 3 | CHALLENGES ARE NEW POLICIES. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, THE |
| 4 | PREAPPLICATION REVIEW POLICY WAS REVIEWED |
| 5 | EXTENSIVELY LEGALLY TO MAKE SURE WE HAD COMPLIANCE |
| 6 | THERE. BUT THESE ARE NOT THESE ARE NOT TASKS |
| 7 | THAT WERE SIZED IN THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT SIZING AND |
| 8 | INVOLVED MORE MEMBERS OF THE FIRM THAN ORIGINALLY |
| 9 | ANTI CI PATED. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. CAN I ASK IF |
| 11 | THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE |
| 12 | SUBCOMMITTEE AT DAVIS? |
| 13 | DR. POMEROY: YES. THIS IS CLAIRE. THIS |
| 14 | IS A HUGE AMOUNT OF MONEY AT THIS TIME. AND I AM |
| 15 | PERSONALLY NOT COMFORTABLE APPROVING THIS KIND OF |
| 16 | INCREASE WITHOUT SOME WRITTEN MATERIALS. I |
| 17 | APPRECIATE BOB'S REPORT. HE'S OUTLINED A NUMBER OF |
| 18 | ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL TASKS, WHICH I DO AGREE THAT WE |
| 19 | NEED TO MOVE FORWARD WITH, BUT I DON'T THINK I AM |
| 20 | COMFORTABLE APPROVING THIS KIND OF EXPENDITURE AT |
| 21 | THIS POINT IN OUR HISTORY WITHOUT A WRITTEN DOCUMENT |
| 22 | THAT OUTLINES THE EXPECTATIONS AND ESTIMATES OF THE |
| 23 | NUMBER OF HOURS AND THE RATES AND SOME EVIDENCE OF |
| 24 | DUE DILIGENCE THAT WE ARE, IN FACT, RECEIVING |
| 25 | COMPETITIVE RATES. |
| | |

| 1 | SO I HAVE SIGNIFICANT CONCERNS ABOUT DOING |
|----|--|
| 2 | THIS ON THE BASIS OF A VERBAL REPORT. |
| 3 | MR. KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. WELL, SHERRY, IF I |
| 4 | COULD RESPOND TO THAT. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: PLEASE. |
| 6 | MR. KLEIN: SO IN TERMS OF THE |
| 7 | COMPETITIVENESS FROM THIS FIRM, IN GOING OUT IN |
| 8 | THESE PRIVATE PLACEMENTS, IN DEALING WITH FIVE, |
| 9 | SEVEN LAWYERS THAT ARE INVOLVED, FOR EXAMPLE, IN |
| 10 | EACH OF THE TRANSACTIONS ON THE BAN'S, MAYBE IT'S |
| 11 | LESS, MAYBE IT'S THREE TO FIVE LAWYERS ON EACH OF |
| 12 | THE TRANSACTIONS ON THE PRIVATE PLACEMENTS, THE |
| 13 | VALUE OF BEING ABLE TO UNDERSTAND AND HAVE THE |
| 14 | COMPLETE HISTORY FROM THE INITIATIVE BEING WRITTEN |
| 15 | TO TO DATE IS EXTRAORDINARY. AND THE RATES AT WHICH |
| 16 | THE FIRM HAS BEEN BILLING US, IN FACT, HAVE BEEN |
| 17 | HIGHLY COMPETITIVE ON A HISTORIC BASIS. |
| 18 | I WOULD SUGGEST THAT AT THE END OF THE |
| 19 | FISCAL YEAR, WHICH IS NOT FAR AWAY, THAT WE CAN LOOK |
| 20 | AT THE CONTRACT RENEWAL AT THAT TIME AND HAVE A MORE |
| 21 | COMPLETE REPORT. BUT TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE AT THIS |
| 22 | MOMENT IN GETTING OUT SUBMISSIONS FOR PRIVATE |
| 23 | PLACEMENTS OF BONDS. WE NEED INTENSIVE AMOUNTS OF |
| 24 | TIME, AND WE'RE GOING WE CAN'T REALLY AFFORD A |
| 25 | DELAY. I THINK SENIOR STAFF, PRESIDENT HAS REVIEWED |
| | 14 |
| | |

| 1 | THIS, WE REVIEWED THIS IN EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE |
|----|--|
| 2 | MEETING. ED PENHOET AND, I THINK, ALAN I KNOW |
| 3 | THAT YOU WERE PRESENT IN THAT MEETING, AND I BELIEVE |
| 4 | THAT IT IS ESSENTIAL AT THIS TIME. AS I SAY, WE |
| 5 | WILL HAVE ANOTHER CHANCE TO DO A FULL REVIEW, AND |
| 6 | WITH YOUR COMMENTS IN MIND WILL PREPARE SUCH A |
| 7 | REVIEW FOR THE CONTRACT RENEWAL AT THE END OF THE |
| 8 | FISCAL YEAR IN 90 DAYS. |
| 9 | MR. ROTH: MAYBE I CAN FOLLOW UP. SO I'M |
| 10 | A LITTLE CONFUSED. I THOUGHT THERE WAS STILL SOME |
| 11 | ROOM HERE. WE HAVE EXPENDED 289,000 AND WE'RE |
| 12 | APPROVED FOR 450. |
| 13 | MS. SCHAFFER: LET ME CLARIFY THIS. THIS |
| 14 | IS CYNTHIA SCHAFFER, CONTRACTS ADMINISTRATOR. THE |
| 15 | FAR COLUMN IS JUST FOR THE FIRST AND SECOND QUARTERS |
| 16 | OF THE FISCAL YEAR. SO IT DOESN'T REPORT WHAT |
| 17 | HAPPENED SINCE FEBRUARY OR JANUARY. |
| 18 | MR. KLEIN: SO TO UPDATE THIS, DUANE, |
| 19 | THROUGH JANUARY, BASED UPON ACCRUED BILLINGS THAT |
| 20 | WE'VE ESTIMATED, WE BELIEVE IT'S \$352,000. LOOKING |
| 21 | AT THE HISTORY OF BILLINGS AND LOOKING AT THE |
| 22 | EXPECTATION OF THE TASK DEMAND BY MAJOR CATEGORY, IT |
| 23 | IS ESTIMATED THAT WE WOULD BE OUT OF FUNDS BY THE |
| 24 | TIME THE BILLINGS ARE RECEIVED FOR THE END OF MARCH. |
| 25 | THE PROBLEM IS THAT THERE'S ALWAYS, OF |
| | |

| 1 | COURSE, A TRAILING ON THE BILLINGS AFTER THE WORK IS |
|----|--|
| 2 | DONE. AND THOSE BILLINGS HAVE TO BE, A, GENERATED |
| 3 | AND THEN CHECKED BY THE PRINCIPAL ATTORNEYS. SO |
| 4 | IT'S EARLY MAY BEFORE YOU GET THAT RECONCILED BILL, |
| 5 | AND WE WANT TO MAKE CERTAIN THAT WE HAVE THE |
| 6 | APPROVALS IN PLACE FOR THE WORK TO BE DONE FOR THE |
| 7 | PERI OD. |
| 8 | IF THE MONEY IS NOT DOCUMENTED, IF IT'S |
| 9 | NOT VERIFIED, AND, BESIDES, WHEN IT COMES TO THIS |
| 10 | OFFICE, I PERSONALLY REVIEW ALL THESE BILLS. I'VE |
| 11 | HAD 25 OR 30 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN REVIEWING LEGAL |
| 12 | BILLS, AND IT IS OUR BEST ESTIMATE THAT WE WILL NEED |
| 13 | THIS ADDITIONAL CONTRACT AUTHORITY, BUT WE WILL NOT |
| 14 | SPEND ANY MONEY THAT IS NOT PROPERLY DOCUMENTED. |
| 15 | DR. POMEROY: BOB, THERE WOULD BE TIME TO |
| 16 | PREPARE A WRITTEN REPORT AS OPPOSED TO THE VERBAL |
| 17 | REPORT THAT YOU JUST GAVE US. |
| 18 | MR. KLEIN: YOU MEAN IF YOU ARE GOING TO |
| 19 | HAVE ANOTHER MEETING BEFORE THE END OF MARCH? |
| 20 | MR. SHEEHY: BOB, I THINK WHAT CLAIRE IS |
| 21 | ALLUDING TO IS I THINK THIS CONTRACT AMOUNT REQUIRES |
| 22 | BOARD APPROVAL ONCE IT GOES OVER \$500,000, SO |
| 23 | BETWEEN NOW AND THE BOARD MEETING, I THINK IT |
| 24 | PROBABLY WOULD BE GOOD TO HAVE SOME DOCUMENTATION. |
| 25 | I CERTAINLY APPRECIATE THAT WE'VE GOTTEN THE HIGHEST |
| | |

| 1 | QUALITY LEGAL WORK. SO I DO KNOW THAT WE'VE HAD |
|----|--|
| 2 | SIGNIFICANT CHALLENGES, BUT PERHAPS ONE SOLUTION IS |
| 3 | BETWEEN NOW AND THEN TO HAVE SOMETHING, A DOCUMENT |
| 4 | MI GHT BE HELPFUL. |
| 5 | MR. KLEIN: I THINK JEFF'S PROPOSAL WORKS. |
| 6 | I WAS THINKING OF A REPORT BEFORE WE HAD ANOTHER |
| 7 | GOVERNANCE MEETING, BUT ABSOLUTELY. THIS IS A |
| 8 | CONTRACT THAT'S GOT TO GO TO THE BOARD AT THE MARCH |
| 9 | 12TH MEETING. AND BETWEEN NOW AND MARCH 12TH |
| 10 | MEETING, WE CAN PREPARE THE KIND OF REPORT THAT |
| 11 | YOU'VE ASKED FOR, CLAIRE. SO AT THE BOARD MEETING |
| 12 | WE'D BE VOTING ON IT AS THE FINAL APPROVAL, WHICH IS |
| 13 | REQUIRED, WITH THE BENEFIT OF THAT REPORT. |
| 14 | DR. POMEROY: I THINK THAT'S A VERY |
| 15 | REASONABLE SUGGESTION. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. THAT'S GREAT. |
| 17 | CAN I ASK FOR ANY MORE QUESTIONS THAT WE MIGHT HAVE? |
| 18 | AT SAN FRANCISCO ANY QUESTIONS? |
| 19 | MS. KING: NONE HERE UNLESS JEFF DOES. |
| 20 | MR. SHEEHY: I DO THINK, AND I DON'T WANT |
| 21 | TO PUT A BURDEN ON THE CHAIR AND STAFF AS WE ARE |
| 22 | RACING BREAKNECK TO TRY TO DO WHAT I THINK WOULD BE |
| 23 | A FAIRLY SIGNIFICANT THING IN GETTING TO PRIVATE |
| 24 | PLACEMENT, BUT AT SOME POINT, AND THIS NEXT MEETING |
| 25 | MAY NOT BE APPROPRIATE, WE SHOULD GET A SENSE OF |
| | |

| | DIMMISTERS REPORTING SERVICE |
|----|---|
| 1 | WHAT THE COSTS ARE GOING TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH THAT |
| 2 | AND BE ABLE TO OUTLINE THAT DETAIL AND JUST GET THE |
| 3 | APPROPRIATE APPROVALS IF NEEDED. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. ANYTHING ELSE |
| 5 | IN IRVINE? |
| 6 | DR. STEWARD: NO. I THINK THE IDEA OF A |
| 7 | WRITTEN REPORT BEFORE THE BOARD MEETING IS AN |
| 8 | EXCELLENT ONE. |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I THINK WE ALL AGREE |
| 10 | WITH THAT. THANK YOU, CLAIRE. LA JOLLA? |
| 11 | MR. ROTH: NO. |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: NOW I CAN GO TO |
| 13 | MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, AND THEN WE CAN GO TO THE |
| 14 | NEXT PART AS WELL. SO ANY PUBLIC QUESTIONS AT |
| 15 | DAVI S? |
| 16 | DR. POMEROY: NO PUBLIC QUESTIONS. |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SAN FRANCISCO? |
| 18 | MS. KING: NONE HERE. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE? |
| 20 | DR. STEWARD: NO PUBLIC. |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CONNECT? |
| 22 | MR. ROTH: NO. |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: JOHN SIMPSON IN |
| 24 | L. A. ? |
| 25 | MR. SIMPSON: JUST TO UNDERSCORE HOW |
| | 10 |
| | 18 |

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

| IMPORTANT IT IS TO HAVE THE WRITTEN DOCUMENT. THAT |
|---|
| IS AN EXCELLENT IDEA, AND THANK YOU, DR. POMEROY, |
| FOR RAISING THAT. |
| CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WE ALL THANK YOU, |
| DR. POMEROY. CYNTHIA, DO YOU WANT TO CONTINUE WITH |
| LEADING US THROUGH ANY OTHER OUTSIDE LEGAL COUNSEL. |
| MS. SCHAFFER: YES. NEXT I'D LIKE TO |
| DISCUSS OUR CONTRACT WITH ATTORNEY NANCY KOCH. WE |
| POSTED AN RFP FOR THIS POSITION SERVICES, I |
| SHOULD SAY, MARCH 17, 2008, AND WE ENTERED INTO A |
| CONTRACT BACK ON APRIL 7, 2008. THAT ORIGINAL TERM |
| RAN THROUGH OCTOBER 7TH OF 2008. SO IT WAS ONLY A |
| COUPLE OF MONTHS OF CONTRACT, AND IT ALLOWED FOR A |
| MAXIMUM OF \$150,000. |
| WE DID A FIRST AMENDMENT TO EXTEND THE |
| TERM OF THAT AGREEMENT THROUGH THE END OF 2008, SO |
| DECEMBER 31ST, 2008. AND SUBSEQUENTLY DID A SECOND |
| AMENDMENT WHICH EXTENDED THE TERM FURTHER TO MARCH |
| 31, 2009. DURING THAT SECOND AMENDMENT, WE ENDED UP |
| ADDING FUNDS TO THE MAXIMUM TO REACH A NEW MAXIMUM |
| OF \$245,000. SO WE'RE NOW THAT CONTRACT |
| CURRENTLY RUNS UNTIL MARCH 31ST WITH THAT MAXIMUM. |
| SO WE'RE APPROACHING THE ONE-YEAR MARK AND |
| REALIZE WITH THAT UPCOMING EXPIRATION THAT, |
| ACCORDING TO SERVICE CONTRACTING POLICY, IN ORDER |
| 10 |
| |

| 1 | FOR US TO RENEW, WE'RE GOING TO NEED TO GO ABOVE THE |
|----|--|
| 2 | \$250,000 LEVEL. SO WE'RE COMING TO YOU TO SEEK THE |
| 3 | GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE APPROVAL FOR THE NEW AMOUNT |
| 4 | BECAUSE WE WOULD LIKE TO SEEK AN AMENDMENT TO EXTEND |
| 5 | THE TERM FOR ANOTHER SIX MONTHS, THROUGH SEPTEMBER |
| 6 | 30, 2009. AND IT'S OUR ESTIMATION THAT THE MONEY WE |
| 7 | WILL NEED TO CARRY US THROUGH THAT NEW SIX MONTHS IS |
| 8 | ABOUT \$180,000 WE WILL NEED TO ADD TO THE MAXIMUM |
| 9 | AMOUNT UNDER THE CONTRACT. |
| 10 | JUST TO NOTE BY WAY OF EXPLANATION ABOUT |
| 11 | HOW WE APPROACH THIS UNDER THE CONTRACTING POLICIES, |
| 12 | FOR THIS PARTICULAR, WE LOOK AT THE BIG PICTURE OVER |
| 13 | THE WHOLE TERM AND THE MAXIMUM DOLLAR AMOUNT IN THIS |
| 14 | REGARD. |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. THANK YOU. |
| 16 | CAN I HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FROM DAVIS? |
| 17 | DR. POMEROY: SO WE DON'T HAVE A COPY OF |
| 18 | THIS CONTRACT, SO CAN I ASK WHAT THIS PERSON IS |
| 19 | DOING AND HOW MANY PEOPLE IT IS AND WHAT PERCENT |
| 20 | TIME? WHAT IS THIS CONTRACT FOR? |
| 21 | MS. SCHAFFER: SO THE ORIGINAL RFP, WE |
| 22 | USED THE TERM INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SERVICES, BUT |
| 23 | IT'S ACTUALLY A MUCH BROADER DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES |
| 24 | THAT'S INCLUDED WITH THE AGREEMENT. IT'S PROVIDING |
| 25 | ADVICE TO THE PRESIDENT, THE CHAIR, THE VICE CHAIR, |
| | |

| 1 | THE EXECUTIVE STAFF, PARTICIPATION IN DEVELOPMENT OF |
|----|--|
| 2 | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY POLICIES, ASSUMING |
| 3 | RESPONSIBILITY FOR DRAFTING AND NEGOTIATING |
| 4 | AGREEMENTS, COORDINATING RELATIONSHIPS WITH OUTSIDE |
| 5 | COUNSEL. |
| 6 | IF YOU RECALL, THERE'S BEEN MANY TIMES |
| 7 | WHICH MS. KOCH HAS COME BEFORE THE LCOC BOARD IN |
| 8 | RELATION TO CIRM'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY |
| 9 | REGULATIONS. |
| 10 | DR. TROUNSON: CLAIRE, IF I COULD MAKE |
| 11 | SOME ADDITIONAL COMMENTS. NANCY KOCH WAS HIRED |
| 12 | INITIALLY TO SUPPORT THE DEPUTY CHAIR AND THE |
| 13 | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY BUSINESS INTERACTIONS. SHE IS |
| 14 | A LAWYER OUT OF THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY. SHE'S A |
| 15 | VERY SKILLED PERSON IN THAT RESPECT. SHE'S BEEN |
| 16 | HELPING US WITH IP CONSOLIDATION AND NEGOTIATING |
| 17 | NGA'S WITH THE FOR-PROFIT SECTOR, WHICH IS REALLY |
| 18 | QUITE DIFFERENT FROM THE NOT-FOR-PROFIT. SHE'S BEEN |
| 19 | HELPING US WITH LOOKING AT REIMBURSEMENT ISSUES AND |
| 20 | THE ALIGNMENT BETWEEN THE CURRENT PROFIT MODELS OF |
| 21 | POTENTIAL STEM CELL THERAPIES. SHE'S BOOK LOOKING |
| 22 | AT HELPING US WITH THE STRATEGIC PLAN COMMERCIAL AND |
| 23 | FINANCIAL LINKAGES SECTIONS, FOR INDUSTRY MEETINGS. |
| 24 | SHE'S ACTUALLY PUT TOGETHER ALL OF THE |
| 25 | AGREEMENTS WITH THE EXTERNAL COUNTRIES FOR US. |
| | |

| 1 | SHE'S DOING FOLLOW-UP WORK IN THE GMP EXAMINATION OF |
|----|--|
| 2 | OPPORTUNITIES AND NIH COLLABORATION. SO SHE'S BEEN |
| 3 | VERY MUCH BUSY IN THE IP BUSINESS ISSUES AREA FOR |
| 4 | US. |
| 5 | NOW, IF I CAN THEN, IF I MAY, SHERRY, JUST |
| 6 | TELL YOU GIVE YOU SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON |
| 7 | WHAT'S HAPPENING WITH OUR GENERAL COUNSEL. WE, OF |
| 8 | COURSE, HAD TO REPLACE OUR GENERAL COUNSEL AND HAVE |
| 9 | BEEN THROUGH A VERY LONG AND INVOLVED SEEKING OF |
| 10 | SOMEONE TO REPLACE TAMAR PACHTER. AND THE OUTCOME |
| 11 | OF THAT IS JUST RECENTLY WE'VE HAD AN INDIVIDUAL |
| 12 | SIGN WITH US, AND THAT PERSON IS SOMEBODY WHO IS |
| 13 | VERY MUCH IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA. SHE'S ACTUALLY |
| 14 | DIRECTOR OF POLICY AND STRATEGY AS A LAWYER FOR THE |
| 15 | GENENTECH CORPORATION. SO SHE COMES TO US AS ONE OF |
| 16 | THE LEADERS OF STRATEGY IN THAT PARTICULAR COMPANY. |
| 17 | OF COURSE, GENENTECH IS ONE OF THE LEADERS IN THE |
| 18 | AREA. |
| 19 | IT'S BEEN A BIT COMPLEX BECAUSE SHE'S BEEN |
| 20 | INVOLVED IN SOME VERY DELICATE NEGOTIATIONS THAT |
| 21 | EXIST THERE, BUT SHE'S NOW SIGNED ON TO JOIN US, AND |
| 22 | SHE'S TAKEN A SALARY LEVEL THAT'S WITHIN OUR 80 |
| 23 | PERCENT, SO IT'S MUCH LOWER THAN HER CURRENT SALARY. |
| 24 | WE WOULD EXPECT HER TO COME ON BOARD |
| 25 | SOMETIME BEFORE THE 1ST OF JULY. AND SO SHE WILL |
| | 22 |
| | |

| 1 | TAKE UP A LOT OF THESE ACTIVITIES, AND WE NEED TO |
|----|--|
| 2 | THEN REFASHION OUR LEGAL SUPPORT TEAMS AROUND WHAT |
| 3 | SHE BRINGS. SO THERE'S A PERIOD HERE, AN INTERIM |
| 4 | PERIOD, THAT WE MAY NOT HAVE THIS PERSON UNTIL THE |
| 5 | 1ST OF JULY, ALTHOUGH IT MAY BE EARLIER, BUT AT THIS |
| 6 | STAGE WE'VE AGREED THAT THE LATEST TIME WOULD BE THE |
| 7 | 1ST OF JULY. |
| 8 | THERE IS A NEED, THEN, TO CONTINUE THE |
| 9 | KIND OF EXPERTISE THAT WE HAVE THERE; BUT IN |
| 10 | ADDITION, THE CHAIR HAS SPOKEN TO ME ABOUT WHETHER |
| 11 | HE COULD GET ASSISTANCE IN THE PRIVATE PLACEMENT |
| 12 | BONDS FROM NANCY KOCH. AND SO WHILE SHE HAS A VERY |
| 13 | BUSY SCHEDULE, THEN CLEARLY THAT'S A VERY THE |
| 14 | BOND ISSUE IS A VERY IMPORTANT COMPONENT FOR US, AND |
| 15 | SHE'S HIGHLY SKILLED IN THIS KIND OF AREA. SO I'M |
| 16 | SUPPORTIVE OF HER ASSISTING IN THIS MATTER SINCE |
| 17 | IT'S A VERY IMPORTANT MATTER FOR THE AGENCY. BUT |
| 18 | UNDER THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES, I THINK WE NEED TO EXTEND |
| 19 | HER CONTRACT SOMEWHAT. |
| 20 | NOW, SHE DOESN'T WANT TO BE EXTENDED |
| 21 | BEYOND SIX MONTHS AT THIS STAGE, SO SOMEWHERE |
| 22 | BETWEEN THREE AND SIX MONTHS WOULD BE IDEAL. I |
| 23 | THINK ON THE BASIS THAT SOME CONTINUUM MIGHT BE |
| 24 | NECESSARY FOR THE NEW COUNSEL, GENERAL COUNSEL, TO |
| 25 | PICK UP FROM WHERE SHE'S BEEN DOING THINGS AND TO |

| 1 | CONTINUE IN THAT CAPACITY, THAT WE ARE LOOKING AT |
|----|---|
| 2 | SOMETHING LIKE FIVE OR SIX MONTHS FROM NANCY KOCH. |
| 3 | MR. KLEIN: TO SUPPLEMENT ALAN'S COMMENTS, |
| 4 | AT \$10 MILLION EACH, THERE'S 40 TRANSACTIONS THAT |
| 5 | HAVE GOT TO BE CLOSED TO GET TO 400 MILLION. THAT'S |
| 6 | NOT ALL GOING TO BE DONE IN SIX MONTHS BY ANY |
| 7 | STRETCH OF THE IMAGINATION. BUT THE FIRST GROUP |
| 8 | THAT COMES THROUGH IS GENERALLY THE TOUGHEST. I'M |
| 9 | NOT GOING TO SAY THAT IN THIS ECONOMY, WHICH GIVES |
| 10 | US A SURPRISE EVERY WEEK. BUT THERE'S EXTENSIVE |
| 11 | WORK. AND FOR EVERY TRANSACTION YOU CLOSE, YOU MAY |
| 12 | END UP WITH THREE TO FOUR TRANSACTIONS THAT YOU HAD |
| 13 | TO GO INTO DISCUSSIONS WITH THEIR BOARD OR THEIR |
| 14 | COUNSEL, BOTH LEGAL AND FINANCIAL DISCUSSIONS, AS |
| 15 | WELL AS SUBSTANTIVE DISCUSSIONS ON THE PROGRAMMATIC |
| 16 | ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE AGENCY. |
| 17 | SO THIS PERSON, NANCY KOCH, IS EXTREMELY |
| 18 | WELL VERSED, IS A VERY EFFECTIVE MEMBER OF THE TEAM |
| 19 | WHERE WE DON'T HAVE TO LOSE SUBSTANTIAL TIME TRYING |
| 20 | TO EDUCATE SOME OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL WHO WOULD BE |
| 21 | AT A MUCH HIGHER RATE WITH THE LAW FIRMS THAT ARE |
| 22 | SPECIALIZED IN THE FINANCE AREA. SO I THINK SHE'S A |
| 23 | REAL RESOURCE IN THIS TEAM WHICH HAS JUST GOT A |
| 24 | BACK-BREAKING AMOUNT OF WORK TO COVER. |
| 25 | DR. POMEROY: SO, SHERRY, IF I COULD |
| | 2.4 |

| 1 | FOLLOW UP. |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: PLEASE. |
| 3 | DR. POMEROY: FIRST OF ALL, FOR ALAN I'M |
| 4 | ABSOLUTELY DELIGHTED TO HEAR THAT YOU'VE BEEN ABLE |
| 5 | TO RECRUIT NEW COUNSEL ONTO STAFF. THAT'S REALLY |
| 6 | WONDERFUL AND CONGRATULATIONS. |
| 7 | AND THEN JUST TO CLARIFY, SO THIS CONTRACT |
| 8 | FOR NANCY KOCH IS 180,000 FOR SIX MONTHS? DID I |
| 9 | HEAR THAT RIGHT? WE'RE PAYING HER AT RATE OF |
| 10 | 350,000 PER YEAR? |
| 11 | DR. TROUNSON: I CAN ACTUALLY GIVE YOU AN |
| 12 | HOURLY RATE, BUT I THINK IT DEPENDS ON THE AMOUNT OF |
| 13 | HOURS THAT SHE WORKS. BUT SHE'S BEEN I THINK |
| 14 | SHE'S AT AROUND 60 PERCENT OF A FULL-TIME PERSON AT |
| 15 | THE MOMENT. YEAH, I THINK THAT MIGHT BE RIGHT, |
| 16 | CLAIRE. IT MIGHT NOT BE QUITE THAT HIGH, BUT IT'S |
| 17 | AROUND ABOUT THAT. |
| 18 | DR. POMEROY: WAIT. IF IT'S 180,000 FOR |
| 19 | SIX MONTHS IF SHE'S WORKING 60-PERCENT TIME, I DON'T |
| 20 | QUITE UNDERSTAND HOW MUCH WE'RE PAYING HER. |
| 21 | DR. TROUNSON: SHE WORKS OFF AN HOURLY |
| 22 | RATE, 225 AN HOUR THAT WE PAY HER. |
| 23 | MR. KLEIN: I DON'T KNOW IF YOU HEARD |
| 24 | THAT. IT'S \$225 AN HOUR ALAN SAID THAT SHE IS PAID. |
| 25 | DR. POMEROY: THANK YOU. |
| | |

25

| 1 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ANYTHING ELSE, |
|----|--|
| 2 | CLAIRE? SAN FRANCISCO? |
| 3 | MR. SHEEHY: THIS IS JEFF. AND I THINK |
| 4 | THE WORLD OF NANCY, AND I THINK SHE'S DONE A |
| 5 | PHENOMENAL JOB. HER WORK ON THE LOAN TASK FORCE HAS |
| 6 | BEEN REALLY SPECTACULAR. BUT I PERSONALLY, THIS |
| 7 | COMES BACK TO THE COMMENT CLAIRE MADE LAST TIME, I |
| 8 | JUST WISH WE HAD DOCUMENTATION FOR THESE THINGS. |
| 9 | THERE WAS SOME I'M JUST HEARING THIS. I CAN'T |
| 10 | EVEN FIGURE OUT WHAT THE NUMBERS ARE, WHAT WE'RE |
| 11 | TALKING ABOUT. I GOT NOTHING TO LOOK AT. IT'S HARD |
| 12 | FOR ME, AND I WISH THAT THIS WAS KIND OF OVERLAID |
| 13 | WITH SOME SORT OF BUDGET THAT WE YOU KNOW, I |
| 14 | DON'T KNOW HOW THIS RELATES TO THE BUDGET THAT WE'RE |
| 15 | OPERATING UNDER. I DON'T KNOW WHETHER WE'RE ABOVE |
| 16 | BUDGET, BELOW BUDGET. IT ALL IS JUST KIND OF SEAT |
| 17 | OF THE PANTS, WHICH WAS OKAY FIRST COUPLE YEARS. |
| 18 | BUT IT SEEMS LIKE NOW, AS WE START DOING THIS, IT |
| 19 | OUGHT TO A DEGREE OF DETAIL MIGHT BE MORE |
| 20 | HELPFUL. |
| 21 | I DON'T WANT TO SLOW THINGS DOWN. I'M |
| 22 | REALLY BEING MORE PROSPECTIVE, AND I DON'T WANT TO |
| 23 | GET INTO A BIG DEBATE ABOUT THIS. |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I JUST WANT TO |
| 25 | SECOND AND I'LL LET EVERYONE CONTINUE. |
| | |

| 1 | MR. KLEIN: I'D JUST LIKE TO SAY WE ARE |
|----|--|
| 2 | BELOW BUDGET. WE WANT TO STRESS WE ARE |
| 3 | SIGNIFICANTLY BELOW BUDGET. |
| 4 | MR. SHEEHY: I MEAN BUDGET FOR EACH |
| 5 | PARTICULAR ITEM SO WE KNOW WHAT WE'RE SPENDING FOR |
| 6 | LEGAL SERVICES, WE KNOW THAT WE'RE SPENDING FOR |
| 7 | PUBLIC EDUCATION, MEDIA, WE KNOW HOW MUCH IS GOING |
| 8 | OUT THE DOOR BECAUSE THE PROBLEM ISN'T WHETHER WE'RE |
| 9 | BELOW BUDGET OR ABOVE BUDGET. THE PROBLEM IS HOW |
| 10 | MUCH MONEY WE'RE SPENDING ON SCIENCE AND HOW MUCH |
| 11 | WE'RE SPENDING ON OTHER THINGS. WHEN WE START TO |
| 12 | CUT BACK AND WE'RE NOT FUNDING SCIENTIFIC |
| 13 | APPLICATIONS, BUT WE ARE HAVING A LOT OF LAWYERS, A |
| 14 | LOT OF PUBLIC EDUCATION, I'D LIKE TO BE ABLE TO MAKE |
| 15 | SOME CHOICES AND JUST START SAYING, WELL, I'M JUST |
| 16 | GOING TO START WHACKING FROM SCIENTIFIC GRANTS. I |
| 17 | DON'T WANT TO THINK ABOUT EVER LAYING OFF ANY |
| 18 | EMPLOYEES, BUT I WANT TO HAVE MORE CHOICES |
| 19 | PERSONALLY. |
| 20 | AGAIN, THIS IS PROSPECTIVE, BUT I WOULD |
| 21 | JUST PROSPECTIVE. I DON'T WANT TO GET INTO A BIG |
| 22 | DEBATE ABOUT THIS. |
| 23 | DR. TROUNSON: JUST BRIEFLY, WE ARE WITHIN |
| 24 | BUDGET ON THE AGREED BUDGET; BUT WITHIN THE LEGAL |
| 25 | GROUP, BASICALLY BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, WE DIDN'T REALLY |
| | |

| 1 | APPOINT A GENERAL COUNSEL AT THE SAME RATE AS TAMAR |
|----|--|
| 2 | PACHTER, ESSENTIALLY WE'RE AT THE SAME WE'RE |
| 3 | UNDER BUDGET, IF YOU LIKE, FOR THE LEGAL PART AS |
| 4 | WE'RE WAY UNDER BUDGET FOR MOST OF THE OTHER THINGS |
| 5 | ON THE AGREED BUDGET. |
| 6 | THE BUDGET GOING BEYOND JULY, WE HAVEN'T |
| 7 | ACTUALLY PUT TO YOU YET. BUT AT THIS POINT WE ARE |
| 8 | WITHIN THE BUDGETED REALMS OF WHAT WE PUT TO YOU 12 |
| 9 | MONTHS AGO WHEN WE PUT TO YOU THE BUDGET. SO THAT |
| 10 | IS AS IT IS. |
| 11 | MR. SHEEHY: SO I SHOULD JUST CARRY THIS |
| 12 | AROUND WITH ME AND HAVE IT READY. |
| 13 | MR. KLEIN: I THINK, JEFF, THAT YOUR |
| 14 | SUGGESTION ON THE LAST ITEM WAS GOOD, THAT WE CAN |
| 15 | JUST PROVIDE A PACKET ON NANCY AT THE BOARD MEETING |
| 16 | AS WELL SO THAT GIVES |
| 17 | MR. SHEEHY: I'M NOT TRYING TO BE |
| 18 | OBSTRUCTIONIST. I ABSOLUTELY APPRECIATE, I KNOW THE |
| 19 | STRESS WE'RE ALL WORKING UNDER. I THINK THE |
| 20 | SERVICES WE'VE RECEIVED ARE PHENOMENAL, BUT I WOULD |
| 21 | JUST LIKE TO HAVE A MORE COHERENT FRAMEWORK IN WHICH |
| 22 | TO KIND OF LOOK AT THIS AND SAY, WELL, NUMBERS ARE |
| 23 | FLYING AROUND, NO PAPER, NO CONTEXT. |
| 24 | MR. KLEIN: WE ACTUALLY HAVE EXTREMELY |
| 25 | TIGHT DOCUMENTATION IN-HOUSE. IT'S ALWAYS A |
| | 20 |

| 1 | QUESTION OF HOW MUCH DOCUMENTATION WE SHIP AROUND |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE STATE FOR EACH OF THESE MEETINGS ON EACH ITEM. |
| 3 | BUT I THINK BOTH OF THESE ITEMS ARE GOING TO THE |
| 4 | BOARD. WE CAN HAVE A SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT WITH THE |
| 5 | BACKUP DOCUMENTATION THERE FOR YOU. AND I THINK |
| 6 | THAT'S A GOOD WAY TO I THINK IT'S VERY REASONABLE |
| 7 | TO GIVE, BECAUSE IN THIS TIME PERIOD LEGAL IS VERY |
| 8 | SIGNIFICANT, TO HAVE THAT MATERIAL AT THE BOARD |
| 9 | LEVEL. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: BOB, I THINK WE'RE |
| 11 | ALL IN AGREEMENT. WE REALLY APPRECIATE THE WORK |
| 12 | THAT YOU AND ALAN AND THE WHOLE TEAM IS DOING. AND |
| 13 | I THINK WHAT CLAIRE, JEFF, AND I ARE SAYING IS THAT |
| 14 | WE JUST WANT TO FULFILL OUR RESPONSIBILITY WITH A |
| 15 | LITTLE MORE TRANSPARENCY, WITH A LITTLE MORE |
| 16 | DOCUMENTATION AT THE BOARD LEVEL WOULD BE FINE. AND |
| 17 | I THINK YOU HEAR SUPPORT FOR THIS. IT'S JUST OUR |
| 18 | FEELING THAT WE WEREN'T ABLE TO LOOK OVER THE |
| 19 | MATERIAL ENOUGH. I UNDERSTAND WHY. AND, AGAIN, |
| 20 | WE'RE NOT LOOKING BACK; WE'RE LOOKING FORWARD. |
| 21 | MR. KLEIN: I THINK IAN HAS A POINT TO |
| 22 | MAKE. |
| 23 | MR. SWEEDLER: THIS IS IAN SWEEDLER, THE |
| 24 | INTERIM GENERAL COUNSEL. I WANTED TO CLARIFY |
| 25 | SOMETHING. WITH REGARD TO THE REMCHO CONTRACT, THE |
| | 20 |

| 1 | INCREASE WOULD BRING IT TO A LEVEL THAT REQUIRES |
|----|--|
| 2 | ICOC APPROVAL, AND IT'S ON THE AGENDA FOR ICOC |
| 3 | APPROVAL. WITH REGARD TO NANCY KOCH'S CONTRACT, |
| 4 | IT'S HERE BECAUSE THE AMENDMENT JUST NOW BRINGS IT |
| 5 | INTO THE LEVEL THAT REQUIRES GOVERNANCE APPROVAL. |
| 6 | SO THE ACTION ON THAT IS BEFORE THIS COMMITTEE, NOT |
| 7 | THE I COC. |
| 8 | MR. KLEIN: SHERRY, THAT'S HELPFUL, BUT |
| 9 | WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST IS THAT WE CAN PRESENT FOR THE |
| 10 | BOARD AND THE PUBLIC THE DOCUMENTATION THAT YOU |
| 11 | REQUESTED AT THE BOARD MEETING. BUT THEN I WOULD |
| 12 | ASK THAT PRESIDENT TROUNSON'S REQUEST FOR NANCY |
| 13 | KOCH'S CONTRACT TO BE INCREASED BE INCREASED AT THIS |
| 14 | COMMITTEE, AND WE WILL FOLLOW WITH THE |
| 15 | DOCUMENTATION. AND, AGAIN, PROSPECTIVELY, I THINK |
| 16 | WE HAVE LEARNED THE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION YOU |
| 17 | WANT, AS JEFF HAS INDICATED, SO THAT PROSPECTIVELY, |
| 18 | WITH LEGAL IN PARTICULAR, WE'LL MAKE CERTAIN, |
| 19 | BECAUSE THEY'RE LARGER ITEMS, TO HAVE A REPORT READY |
| 20 | FOR YOU IN WRITING WHEN IT COMES BEFORE THE |
| 21 | COMMITTEE. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I THINK THAT WOULD |
| 23 | BE EXCELLENT. ANY COMMENTS, CLAIRE, JEFF, ON THAT? |
| 24 | DR. POMEROY: THAT SOUNDS HELPFUL. |
| 25 | MR. SHEEHY: I CERTAINLY DON'T WANT TO |
| | 30 |

3U

| | Billitis IEI OIII (G BER 101 |
|----|--|
| 1 | SLOW DOWN THE WORK. I CAN JUST IMAGINE NANCY'S |
| 2 | BEING VALUABLE. I DON'T AGAIN, JUST PROSPECTIVE. |
| 3 | I HAVE TREMENDOUS RESPECT FOR OUR TEAM. I THINK OUR |
| 4 | LEADERSHIP HAS BEEN SUPERB. AGAIN, AS SHERRY SAID, |
| 5 | THIS IS JUST REALLY FOR US TO BE ABLE TO FULFILL OUR |
| 6 | DUTY. I DON'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH APPROVING THE |
| 7 | CONTRACT GOING FORWARD MYSELF. |
| 8 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: GREAT. THANK YOU. |
| 9 | I RVI NE? |
| 10 | DR. STEWARD: I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO |
| 11 | ADD. IT'S BEEN A VERY GOOD DISCUSSION. |
| 12 | MR. ROTH: AGREED. |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LET ME GO TO THE |
| 14 | MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC IN SACRAMENTO, DAVIS? |
| 15 | DR. POMEROY: NO COMMENTS. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SAN FRANCISCO? |
| 17 | MS. KING: NO PUBLIC COMMENTS HERE. |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE? |
| 19 | DR. STEWARD: NO COMMENTS. |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LA JOLLA? |
| 21 | MR. ROTH: NO. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: JOHN IN LOS ANGELES? |
| 23 | MR. SIMPSON: NOTHING TO ADD TO THE |
| 24 | EXCELLENT CONVERSATION YOU'VE HAD. THANKS. |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU. THEN I |
| | 21 |
| | 31 |

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

| 1 | WOULD LIKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AMENDMENTS TO |
|----|--|
| 2 | THESE TWO CONTRACTS. DO I HAVE A MOTION? |
| 3 | MR. SHEEHY: IT'S JUST ONE CONTRACT, |
| 4 | SHERRY. THE OTHER ONE HAS TO GO TO THE BOARD. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: BUT I THOUGHT WE HAD |
| 6 | TO RECOMMEND THAT IT GO. |
| 7 | MR. ROTH: I'M A LITTLE CONFUSED. I |
| 8 | UNDERSTAND IT DOESN'T HAVE TO GO TO THE BOARD, BUT |
| 9 | WE DECIDED TO GO TO THE BOARD WITH IT? |
| 10 | MR. KLEIN: ONE MOTION WOULD BE THE MOTION |
| 11 | TO RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD THE REMCHO CONTRACT |
| 12 | EXTENSION. A SEPARATE MOTION WOULD BE TO APPROVE |
| 13 | THE NANCY KOCH EXTENSION. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CAN I HAVE AN |
| 15 | AMENDMENT TO APPROVE THE NANCY KOCH EXTENSION? |
| 16 | MR. KLEIN: I'LL MAKE THE MOTION. |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SECOND? |
| 18 | MR. ROTH: I'LL SECOND IT, BUT, AGAIN, I'M |
| 19 | CONFUSED ON |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WE'RE DOING THE |
| 21 | NANCY KOCH. |
| 22 | MR. ROTH: I THOUGHT ON NANCY WE'RE GOING |
| 23 | TO GET A WRITTEN REPORT. |
| 24 | MR. KLEIN: ON NANCY WE'RE GOING TO |
| 25 | DELIVER A REPORT TO THE BOARD. BUT BECAUSE IT'S |
| | |

| 1 | WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THIS COMMITTEE, IT WASN'T |
|----|--|
| 2 | CALENDARED FOR APPROVAL AT THE BOARD LIKE THE REMCHO |
| 3 | CONTRACT WAS. SO WE'RE WITHIN THE TEN-DAY NOTICE |
| 4 | PERIOD, AND WE DON'T HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY BECAUSE |
| 5 | IT'S NOT A HEALTH EMERGENCY TO CHANGE THAT NOTICE. |
| 6 | SO ON NANCY KOCH WE WILL DELIVER PUBLIC |
| 7 | COPIES FOR THE BOARD AND THE PUBLIC ON THE NANCY |
| 8 | KOCH WITH THE DETAIL, BUT WE'RE ASKING FOR AN |
| 9 | APPROVAL MOTION AT THIS TIME. |
| 10 | DR. POMEROY: BOB, REALLY THE MOTION IS TO |
| 11 | APPROVE IT PENDING DELIVERY CONTINGENT UPON |
| 12 | DELIVERY OF A REPORT TO THE BOARD. |
| 13 | MR. KLEIN: APPROVE IT WITH THE CONDITION |
| 14 | THAT THERE WOULD BE A REPORT DELIVERED TO THE BOARD |
| 15 | AT THE MARCH MEETING. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: MELISSA, WILL YOU DO |
| 17 | A ROLL CALL VOTE ON THIS? |
| 18 | MS. KING: YES. JUST TO CLARIFY, WHO WAS |
| 19 | THE SECOND ON THAT? |
| 20 | MR. ROTH: THAT WAS DUANE. |
| 21 | MS. KING: BOB KLEIN. |
| 22 | MR. KLEIN: YES. |
| 23 | MS. KING: SHERRY LANSING. |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES. |
| 25 | MS. KING: CLAIRE POMEROY. |
| | |
| | 33 |

| | DARRISTERS REPORTING SERVICE |
|----|--|
| 1 | DR. POMEROY: YES. |
| 2 | MS. KING: DUANE ROTH. |
| 3 | MR. ROTH: YES. |
| 4 | MS. KING: JEFF SHEEHY. |
| 5 | MR. SHEEHY: YES. |
| 6 | MS. KING: OSWALD STEWARD. |
| 7 | DR. STEWARD: YES. |
| 8 | MS. KING: AND FOR THE RECORD THAT MOTION |
| 9 | CARRI ES. |
| 10 | MR. KLEIN: SHERRY, THE OTHER MOTION IS |
| 11 | NOT AN APPROVAL, IT'S A RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL |
| 12 | OF THE BOARD SUBJECT TO THE BOARD'S REVIEW OF THE |
| 13 | REPORT TO BE DELIVERED AT THE BOARD MEETING ON MARCH |
| 14 | 12TH. |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: EXACTLY, FOR THE |
| 16 | REMCHO CONTRACT. NOW THAT YOU'VE SAID IT, I'M GOING |
| 17 | TO ASK IF YOU CAN MOVE THAT MOTION. |
| 18 | MR. KLEIN: I WILL MOVE THE MOTION. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CAN I HAVE A SECOND? |
| 20 | MR. ROTH: I'LL SECOND. |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: DO I NEED TO DO A |
| 22 | ROLL CALL VOTE? |
| 23 | MS. KING: WE NEED TO DO A ROLL CALL SINCE |
| 24 | WE'RE ON THE PHONE. |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ROLL CALL. |
| | |
| | 34 |

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

| ı | Diministration in the service |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. KING: BOB KLEIN. |
| 2 | MR. KLEIN: YES. |
| 3 | MS. KING: SHERRY LANSING. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES. |
| 5 | MS. KING: CLAIRE POMEROY. |
| 6 | DR. POMEROY: YES. |
| 7 | MS. KING: DUANE ROTH. |
| 8 | MR. ROTH: YES. |
| 9 | MS. KING: JEFF SHEEHY. |
| 10 | MR. SHEEHY: YES. |
| 11 | MS. KING: OSWALD STEWARD. |
| 12 | DR. STEWARD: YES. |
| 13 | MS. KING: THAT MOTION CARRIES. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: NOW I'M GOING TO |
| 15 | MOVE TO AGENDA ITEM NO. 5, WHICH IS THE |
| 16 | CONSIDERATION OF THE MODIFICATIONS TO OUR CIRM |
| 17 | TRAVEL POLICY WITH REGARD TO MEALS. I'D LIKE TO ASK |
| 18 | INTERIM GENERAL COUNSEL IAN SWEEDLER TO WALK US |
| 19 | THROUGH THIS ITEM. PLEASE ALSO NOTE, BEFORE YOU |
| 20 | START, IAN, THAT CIRM'S FINANCE OFFICER MARGARET |
| 21 | FERGUSON IS ALSO HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU |
| 22 | MI GHT HAVE. |
| 23 | MR. SWEEDLER: THIS IS ACTUALLY A MATTER |
| 24 | THAT MARGARET FERGUSON BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION. |
| 25 | THERE WAS A CONTRADICTION IN OUR TRAVEL POLICY |
| | |
| | 35 |

| 1 | REGARDING ONE SMALL CLASS OF EXPENSES, AND THAT IS |
|----|---|
| 2 | MEAL REIMBURSEMENT FOR TRIPS THAT LAST UNDER 24 |
| 3 | HOURS. THE IRS CONSIDERS SOME OF THOSE TO BE |
| 4 | TAXABLE INCOME. AND SO CALIFORNIA STATE AGENCIES |
| 5 | DEAL WITH THAT IN TWO DIFFERENT WAYS. MOST |
| 6 | EXECUTIVE BRANCH AGENCIES REIMBURSE THOSE MEALS AND |
| 7 | THEY SIMPLY REPORT THAT REIMBURSEMENT AS TAXABLE |
| 8 | INCOME. IT'S A BOOKKEEPING ITEM. |
| 9 | THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA TRAVEL POLICY |
| 10 | AVOIDS THE BOOKKEEPING AND DOESN'T REIMBURSE FOR |
| 11 | THOSE MEALS. OUR POLICY SAID THAT WE WILL NOT |
| 12 | REIMBURSE ANY EXPENSE THAT WOULD BE CONSIDERED |
| 13 | TAXABLE INCOME, AND IT ALSO SAYS THAT WE WILL |
| 14 | REIMBURSE MEALS WITHIN OUR TRAVEL POLICY FOR A TRIP |
| 15 | OF LESS THAN 24 HOURS. SO WE NEEDED TO RESOLVE ONE |
| 16 | OR THE OTHER, AND IT'S ACTUALLY CREATING SOME |
| 17 | PROBLEMS WITH PROCESSING TRAVEL EXPENSE CLAIMS. |
| 18 | WHAT THIS AMENDMENT DOES IS TAKE THE |
| 19 | APPROACH THAT, AS ALLOWED BY OUR TRAVEL POLICY, OUR |
| 20 | SUBSISTENCE RULES IN THE TRAVEL POLICY WHERE MEALS |
| 21 | ARE ALLOWED TO BE REIMBURSED, THEY WILL BE |
| 22 | REIMBURSED. AND TO THE EXTENT THAT WOULD BE TREATED |
| 23 | AS TAXABLE INCOME, BOOKKEEPING OF THAT WILL BE |
| 24 | HANDLED IN THE SAME WAY THAT OTHER STATE AGENCIES. |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ALL RIGHT. DO I |
| | |

| 1 | HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FROM ANY MEMBERS OF THE |
|----|---|
| 2 | SUBCOMMITTEE? CLAIRE? |
| 3 | DR. POMEROY: NO QUESTIONS. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SAN FRANCISCO? |
| 5 | MR. SHEEHY: YES. THIS ONLY APPLIES TO |
| 6 | EMPLOYEES, OR DOES THIS APPLY I DON'T REALLY WANT |
| 7 | TO LOSE MONEY ON THIS. THIS MEANS NOW THAT I HAVE |
| 8 | TAXABLE INCOME IF I EAT AT ICOC MEETINGS? |
| 9 | MR. KLEIN: LET IAN RESPOND. |
| 10 | MR. SHEEHY: I HAVE TO PAY TO BE ON THIS |
| 11 | BOARD NOW? |
| 12 | MR. SWEEDLER: LET ME BE LAWYERLY AND SAY |
| 13 | THAT I'M NOT GIVING ANY MEMBER INDIVIDUAL TAX |
| 14 | ADVICE. THE WAY THIS WORKS IS THAT, LET'S SAY, YOU |
| 15 | SPEND \$12 FOR LUNCH, AND SO YOU'RE REIMBURSED \$12 |
| 16 | FOR LUNCH. THAT WOULD BE TREATED AS \$12 OF TAXABLE |
| 17 | INCOME. SO YOUR TAXES AT THE END OF THE YEAR WOULD |
| 18 | GO UP BY WHATEVER YOUR TAX RATE IS TIMES \$12. THAT |
| 19 | DOESN'T APPLY TO MEALS ON TRIPS OF LESS THAN 24 |
| 20 | HOURS. THAT'S THE CASE THAT'S THE IRS RULE. OUR |
| 21 | ONLY OPTION IS WHETHER OR NOT WE REIMBURSE. |
| 22 | MR. SHEEHY: IT DOESN'T APPLY TO TRIPS OF |
| 23 | LESS. |
| 24 | MR. SWEEDLER: CORRECT. IT'S ONLY SHORT |
| 25 | TRIPS WHERE THIS WOULD BE CONSIDERED INCOME. |
| | |

| 1 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IT DOESN'T APPLY TO |
|----|--|
| 2 | TRIPS. I GOT CONFUSED NOW. |
| 3 | MR. SWEEDLER: LET ME SAY THAT AGAIN. IF |
| 4 | A TRIP IS LESS THAN 24 HOURS, MEALS REIMBURSED ON |
| 5 | THAT TRIP ARE SOMETIMES CONSIDERED TAXABLE INCOME. |
| 6 | AND I READ A SLUE OF IRS OPINIONS TO EXPLAIN WHAT |
| 7 | THE DIFFERENCE IS. IT COULD DEPEND ON IF YOU SLEEP |
| 8 | FOR TWO HOURS IN A CAR AS OPPOSED TO A MOTEL WHETHER |
| 9 | IT'S TAXABLE OR NOT. IT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT WE |
| 10 | WANT TO TRY TO DO WITHIN OUR TRAVEL POLICY. SO |
| 11 | MR. KLEIN: LET'S CLARIFY THIS AGAIN FOR |
| 12 | THE BOARD MEMBERS. ISN'T THERE ALSO AN EXCEPTION TO |
| 13 | TAXABLE INCOME WHEN YOU ATTEND A BOARD MEETING AND |
| 14 | YOU GET A MEAL AS PART OF THAT MEETING? |
| 15 | MR. SWEEDLER: THIS ONLY APPLIES TO |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: REIMBURSEMENT. IT |
| 17 | DOESN'T APPLY TO WHEN YOU BUY THE FOOD FOR US. |
| 18 | MR. KLEIN: I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IN OTHER WORDS, |
| 20 | JEFF, AND IT'S LESS THAN 24 HOURS; IS THAT CORRECT? |
| 21 | MR. SHEEHY: SO IF I WANT TO SPEND TIME |
| 22 | WITH MY KID, I GOT TO PAY FOR PART OF MY MEAL? THIS |
| 23 | SERVICE IS SUCH A DELIGHT, I MEAN WHY NOT |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I'M CONFUSED. |
| 25 | MR. SHEEHY: PAY A LITTLE MORE FOR THE |
| | 38 |

38

| 1 | PRI VI LEGE. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. KLEIN: HERE'S THE POINT, SHERRY. IF, |
| 3 | IN FACT, JEFF FLIES DOWN TO SAN DIEGO FOR A PUBLIC |
| 4 | MEETING AND HE COMES BACK WITHIN THE DAY AND HE BUYS |
| 5 | LUNCH AND GETS REIMBURSEMENT FOR IT, HE'S SUBJECT TO |
| 6 | TAXATION. WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS MAKE SURE WITH |
| 7 | THIS AMENDMENT THAT HE IS AT LEAST REIMBURSED. NOW, |
| 8 | I WOULD CERTAINLY EMPATHIZE WITH JEFF'S POSITION. |
| 9 | IT DOESN'T SEEM RIGHT THAT IF YOU'RE IN PUBLIC |
| 10 | SERVICE TO BE TAXED JUST BECAUSE YOU MADE THE TRIP |
| 11 | WITHIN 24 HOURS. DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE. |
| 12 | MR. SHEEHY: ESPECIALLY SINCE I NEED FOOD |
| 13 | TO TAKE MY MEDS, BUT, HEY, WE WON'T THINK ABOUT |
| 14 | THAT. |
| 15 | MR. ROTH: JEFF, I'LL BUY YOU LUNCH DOWN |
| 16 | HERE. |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: BASICALLY WHEN WE DO |
| 18 | COME, I'M A LITTLE CONFUSED. |
| 19 | MR. SHEEHY: PUBLIC SERVICE IS THE RIGHT |
| 20 | OF THE RICH, NOT THE POOR OBVIOUSLY. |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: NO, IT IS NOT. |
| 22 | DON'T SAY THAT. |
| 23 | MR. ROTH: IT'S THE STATE LAW, RIGHT? |
| 24 | MR. KLEIN: THIS IS A FEDERAL TAX LAW. |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THIS IS NOT |
| | 39 |
| | J / |

| 1 | SOMETHING THAT CIRM IS DOING. THIS IS TO BE |
|----|--|
| 2 | COMPLI ANT. |
| 3 | MR. SHEEHY: I'M FINE. LET'S APPROVE THIS |
| 4 | AND MOVE ON. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I ACTUALLY DON'T |
| 6 | UNDERSTAND IT, SO I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS. |
| 7 | MR. SHEEHY: WHAT THIS MEANS, SHERRY, IF I |
| 8 | FLY DOWN TO L.A. FOR STANDARDS WORKING GROUP AND I |
| 9 | DON'T SPEND THE NIGHT, I BUY MYSELF DINNER AT THE |
| 10 | AIRPORT ON THE WAY HOME, REPORT THAT ON MY EXPENSES, |
| 11 | THAT'S TAXABLE INCOME FOR ME, WHICH MEANS SOME |
| 12 | PORTION OF THAT I'M GOING TO HAVE TO PAY FOR ON MY |
| 13 | TAXES. I TYPICALLY TRY TO AVOID SPENDING THE NIGHT. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I GOT IT. IN OTHER |
| 15 | WORDS, IF WE REIMBURSE YOU IS JEFF NOT ALLOWED IF |
| 16 | HE'S NOT REIMBURSED TO DEDUCT THE MEALS, THAT IT WAS |
| 17 | PART OF HIS BUSINESS TRIP? |
| 18 | MR. SWEEDLER: WELL, NOW WE'RE WELL INTO |
| 19 | THE TERRITORY OF TAX ADVICE. |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LET ME ASK ABOUT |
| 21 | THAT. |
| 22 | MR. SWEEDLER: AGAIN, JUST TO BE CLEAR, |
| 23 | IT'S FEDERAL TAX LAW THAT DETERMINES WHETHER OR NOT |
| 24 | THIS IS TAXABLE. THE CHOICE THAT CIRM HAS IS |
| 25 | WHETHER TO REIMBURSE IT AND NOTE THE APPROPRIATE |
| | 40 |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

| 1 | TAXATION OR TO SIMPLY NOT REIMBURSE IT. |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SO WE'RE ACTUALLY |
| 3 | TRYING TO BE HELPFUL, BUT I UNDERSTAND WHAT JEFF'S |
| 4 | SAYI NG. |
| 5 | MR. SHEEHY: WE ALL GET IT. |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I'M SORRY. |
| 7 | MR. SHEEHY: IT'S OKAY. I WAS JUST A |
| 8 | LITTLE SURPRISED. |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ACTUALLY I WAS TOO. |
| 10 | CAN I HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS IN IRVINE? |
| 11 | DR. STEWARD: NO QUESTIONS, BUT I THINK |
| 12 | THAT THERE IS A CHOICE ON THE TABLE, AND I'D JUST |
| 13 | LIKE TO MOVE THAT FROM REIMBURSE IT |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THAT'S BETTER THAN |
| 15 | NOT. AT LEAST YOU HAVE THE CHOICE. IN LA JOLLA? |
| 16 | MR. ROTH: NO COMMENTS. |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SO DO I HAVE AN |
| 18 | AMENDMENT? LET ME SEE IF THERE'S ANY COMMENTS FROM |
| 19 | THE PUBLIC. I APOLOGIZE. ANY PUBLIC IN DAVIS? |
| 20 | DR. POMEROY: NO. |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SAN FRANCISCO? |
| 22 | MS. KING: YES. WE HAVE A MEMBER OF THE |
| 23 | PUBLIC HERE. YOU CAN TAKE THAT MICROPHONE. |
| 24 | MR. REED: DON REED. I JUST WANT TO MAKE |
| 25 | SURE I UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY. SO THE MOTION BEFORE |
| | 41 |

| 1 | YOU IS THAT THE LOSS IN TAX MONEY, THAT TAXATION |
|----|---|
| 2 | THAT JEFF WOULD BE CIRM IS TRYING TO EVEN UP FOR |
| 3 | THAT LOSS; IS THAT CORRECT? |
| 4 | MR. SWEEDLER: NO. NO. SO THIS IS THE |
| 5 | QUESTION IS WHETHER CIRM PAYS FOR THE MEAL AT ALL. |
| 6 | CIRM DOESN'T PAY FOR THE MEAL, THEN THERE'S NOTHING |
| 7 | TO TAX BECAUSE THERE WAS NO PAYMENT. IF CIRM PAYS |
| 8 | FOR THE MEAL, THAT AMOUNT OF MONEY IS CONSIDERED |
| 9 | TAXABLE. AND SO ON THE TAX REPORTING FORMS THAT |
| 10 | EMPLOYEES OR PAYEES RECEIVE AT THE END OF THE YEAR, |
| 11 | THAT AMOUNT IS FIGURED INTO THE TOTAL AMOUNT. |
| 12 | MR. REED: I STILL DON'T UNDERSTAND. |
| 13 | THAT'S OKAY. A PERSON THAT DEDICATES THEMSELVES TO |
| 14 | ICOC SERVICE TAKES A LOSS. HUNDRED BUCKS A DAY IS |
| 15 | VERY LITTLE AND THEY LOSE MONEY BY BEING HERE. SOME |
| 16 | PEOPLE MAKE 200 BUCKS AN HOUR. IMAGINE THEY'RE |
| 17 | LOSING. ANYTHING THAT CAN BE DONE TO MAKE IT EASIER |
| 18 | FOR THEM TO SERVE SHOULD BE DONE. THAT'S THE ONLY |
| 19 | COMMENT I CAN MAKE. |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE? |
| 21 | DR. STEWARD: NO PUBLIC. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LA JOLLA? |
| 23 | MR. ROTH: NO PUBLIC. |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LOS ANGELES, JOHN? |
| 25 | MR. SIMPSON: NO COMMENT. |
| | 42 |
| | 4/ |

42

| 1 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THEN CAN I HAVE A |
|----|--|
| 2 | MOTION TO APPROVE THIS? |
| 3 | DR. STEWARD: THAT WAS ME. I MADE IT |
| 4 | ACTUALLY. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CAN I HAVE A SECOND? |
| 6 | MR. ROTH: SECOND. |
| 7 | MS. KING: BOB KLEIN. |
| 8 | MR. KLEIN: YES. |
| 9 | MS. KING: SHERRY LANSING. |
| 10 | CHAI RPERSON LANSING: YES. |
| 11 | MS. KING: CLAIRE POMEROY. |
| 12 | DR. POMEROY: YES. |
| 13 | MS. KING: DUANE ROTH. |
| | |
| 14 | MR. ROTH: YES. |
| 15 | MS. KING: JEFF SHEEHY. |
| 16 | MR. SHEEHY: YES. |
| 17 | MS. KING: OSWALD STEWARD. |
| 18 | DR. STEWARD: YES. |
| 19 | MS. KING: AND THAT MOTION CARRIES. |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: NOW, MELISSA, I'M A |
| 21 | LITTLE CONFUSED. NOW I MOVE ON TO AGENDA ITEM 6 OR |
| 22 | DO WE HAVE ANOTHER TRAVEL THING? |
| 23 | MS. KING: NO, WE DON'T HAVE ANOTHER |
| 24 | TRAVEL THING. |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU. NOW I'D |
| | 43 |

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

| 1 | LIKE TO MOVE ON TO AGENDA ITEM 6, WHICH IS THE |
|----|--|
| 2 | CONSIDERATION OF THE PROCEDURE FOR THE PERFORMANCE |
| 3 | EVALUATION OF THE CHAIR, VICE CHAIR, AND PRESIDENT. |
| 4 | AS I SAID DURING OUR LAST MEETING, WE HAVE |
| 5 | BEEN EXTRAORDINARILY FORTUNATE TO HAVE BOB KLEIN AS |
| 6 | OUR CHAIR AND ALAN TROUNSON AS OUR PRESIDENT. WE |
| 7 | BEGAN WORKING IN DECEMBER ON A PROCESS FOR PROVIDING |
| 8 | THEM WITH FEEDBACK REGARDING THEIR PERFORMANCE. WE |
| 9 | ALSO BEGAN CONDUCTING FORMAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS |
| 10 | OF THE CHAIR, THE VICE CHAIR, AND THE PRESIDENT. |
| 11 | WE DISCUSSED A DRAFT PROCEDURE AT THAT |
| 12 | TIME, GOT THE THOUGHTS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE, AND OF |
| 13 | THE PUBLIC THAT JOINED US FOR THE MEETING, AND WE |
| 14 | AGREED TO BRING IT BACK TO THE SUBCOMMITTEE. SO |
| 15 | ITEM NO. 6 IS A CONSIDERATION OF THE PROCEDURE FOR |
| 16 | THE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF THE CHAIR, THE VICE |
| 17 | CHAIR, AND THE PRESIDENT. |
| 18 | AND YOU NOW HAVE BEFORE YOU ON ITEM NO. 6 |
| 19 | THE UPDATED PROPOSED PROCEDURE. I'D LIKE TO ASK |
| 20 | JAMES IF HE COULD WALK US THROUGH THE PROCEDURE AS |
| 21 | IT NOW STANDS. SO, JAMES, CAN I TURN THIS OVER TO |
| 22 | YOU? |
| 23 | MR. HARRISON: AS SHERRY SAID, AFTER THE |
| 24 | LAST MEETING, AT SHERRY AND CLAIRE'S DIRECTION, WE |
| 25 | PUT TOGETHER THIS DRAFT POLICY FOR YOUR |
| | $\it \Delta\it \Delta$ |

| 1 | CONSIDERATION. AND AS REFLECTED IN THE DISCUSSION |
|----|--|
| 2 | AT THE TIME, IT HAS THREE DIFFERENT STEPS. |
| 3 | FIRST, THE BOARD WOULD ADOPT ANNUAL |
| 4 | PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES AND DELIVERABLES FOR THE |
| 5 | CHAIR, THE VICE CHAIR, AND THE PRESIDENT BASED ON |
| 6 | INPUT FROM THE CHAIR, THE VICE CHAIR, AND THE |
| 7 | PRESI DENT RESPECTI VELY. |
| 8 | SECOND, THE BOARD WOULD ON AN ANNUAL BASIS |
| 9 | EVALUATE THE CHAIR, THE VICE CHAIR, AND THE |
| 10 | PRESIDENT BASED ON THEIR OBJECTIVES AND DELIVERABLES |
| 11 | WITH AN OPPORTUNITY ON THE PART OF THE CHAIR, THE |
| 12 | VICE CHAIR, AND THE PRESIDENT TO TALK ABOUT THEIR |
| 13 | PERFORMANCE WITH THE BOARD IN CLOSED SESSION. |
| 14 | THE THIRD STEP OF THE PROPOSED PROCESS |
| 15 | THEN WOULD ENTAIL FOR THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD |
| 16 | HAVING THE CHAIR OF THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND |
| 17 | ANOTHER MEMBER OF THE ICOC DESIGNATED BY THE BOARD |
| 18 | TO COMMUNICATE THE RESULTS OF THE BOARD'S |
| 19 | PERFORMANCE EVALUATION TO THE CHAIR. |
| 20 | FOR THE VICE CHAIR THE RESULTS OF THE |
| 21 | PERFORMANCE EVALUATION WOULD BE COMMUNICATED BY THE |
| 22 | CHAIR OF THE ICOC AND THE CHAIR OF THE GOVERNANCE |
| 23 | SUBCOMMI TTEE. |
| 24 | AND FOR THE PRESIDENT THE RESULTS OF THE |
| 25 | PERFORMANCE EVALUATION WOULD BE COMMUNICATED BY THE |
| | |

| 1 | CHAIR OF THE ICOC AND ANOTHER MEMBER OF THE BOARD |
|----|--|
| 2 | DESIGNATED BY THE BOARD. |
| 3 | THAT IS THE PROPOSAL THAT WE'VE DRAFTED, |
| 4 | THREE COMPONENTS. I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY |
| 5 | QUESTI ONS. |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ARE THERE ANY |
| 7 | QUESTIONS IN CLAIRE, SINCE WE DID THIS, ANY |
| 8 | QUESTIONS FROM YOU, CLAIRE? |
| 9 | DR. POMEROY: NO. BUT I WOULD JUST LIKE |
| 10 | TO COMMENT AND REALLY COMMEND BOB BECAUSE HE WAS THE |
| 11 | ONE THAT POINTED OUT TO US THE IMPORTANCE OF DOING |
| 12 | THIS, AND THAT IT WAS VERY APPROPRIATE FOR US TO |
| 13 | HAVE VERY CLEAR EXPECTATIONS BECAUSE HOW ELSE WERE |
| 14 | OUR TALENTED LEADERS SUPPOSED TO MEET THOSE |
| 15 | EXPECTATIONS. AND SO I THINK IT WAS A GREAT |
| 16 | SUGGESTION AND A GOOD STEP FORWARD. |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU. |
| 18 | MR. SHEEHY: YES. WELL, WHAT'S I HATE |
| 19 | TO BE SO FOCUSED ON THE PAPER TRAIL, BUT WHAT'S THE |
| 20 | PHYSICAL NATURE OF THIS? IS THIS ALL VERBAL? |
| 21 | MR. HARRISON: CLAIRE, WOULD YOU LIKE TO |
| 22 | ANSWER THAT OR WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO? |
| 23 | DR. POMEROY: WELL, I DON'T THINK I'LL |
| 24 | START AND THEN YOU CAN HELP ME OUT, JAMES. I THINK |
| 25 | THAT THE EXPECTATION WAS WAS THAT THERE WOULD BE |
| | A 4 |

| 1 | WRITTEN EXPECTATIONS AND WRITTEN FEEDBACK BECAUSE |
|----|--|
| 2 | THAT'S THE WAY YOU DOCUMENT COMMUNICATION. |
| 3 | MR. SHEEHY: I GUESS I HAVE TO SAY I CAN'T |
| 4 | APPROVE THIS UNLESS THERE'S SPECIFIC INFORMATION |
| 5 | ABOUT WHAT THE DOCUMENTATION WOULD LOOK LIKE. SO |
| 6 | THERE'S EXTREME CLARITY ON WHAT AGAIN, I HATE TO |
| 7 | GO TO THE UC FORM, BUT THE UC FORM IS A VERY |
| 8 | STANDARD FORM WITH VERY CLEAR METRICS, FOUR |
| 9 | DIFFERENT CATEGORIES, KIND OF REPORT-CARD TYPE. I |
| 10 | WANT TO BE IN A POSITION I DON'T WANT TO SAY THAT |
| 11 | ANYBODY HAS NOT BEEN DOING A GREAT JOB, BUT I'D LIKE |
| 12 | TO BE ABLE, AGAIN, TO BE ABLE TO HAVE SOMETHING I |
| 13 | CAN LOOK AT. THIS IS WHAT THE COMMITMENTS WERE. |
| 14 | THIS IS HOW WE MANAGED TO MEET THOSE COMMITMENTS AND |
| 15 | TO WHAT DEGREE WE MANAGED TO MEET THOSE COMMITMENTS |
| 16 | AND HAVE THAT ALL TO BE ABLE TO LOOK AT THAT. |
| 17 | MR. HARRISON: CLAIRE, COULD I JUST ADD |
| 18 | ONE THING? I THINK, AT LEAST IT WAS MY |
| 19 | UNDERSTANDING, THAT THE INTENTION WAS THAT THE |
| 20 | PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES AND DELIVERABLES WOULD BE A |
| 21 | WRITTEN FORM THAT WOULD SET FORTH WHAT THOSE |
| 22 | OBJECTIVES AND DELIVERABLES WERE; AND IF THEY WERE |
| 23 | TO CHANGE ON A YEAR-TO-YEAR BASIS, THE WRITTEN |
| 24 | DOCUMENT WOULD REFLECT THAT. AND THOSE WOULD BE THE |
| 25 | PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES AND DELIVERABLES AGAINST |
| | |

| WHICH THE PERFORMANCE OF THE THREE INDIVIDUALS WOULD |
|--|
| BE MEASURED. SO THAT WOULD BE A WRITTEN DOCUMENT |
| AVAILABLE FOR PEOPLE TO SEE. |
| MR. KLEIN: CLAIRE, COULD I ASK JEFF A |
| QUESTI ON HERE? |
| DR. POMEROY: YEAH. |
| MR. KLEIN: JEFF, HOW WOULD YOU FEEL ABOUT |
| APPROVING THIS WITH A CONDITION THAT A DOCUMENTARY |
| FORM BE BROUGHT BACK THAT HAS OBJECTIVE CRITERIA |
| THAT YOU REFERENCED A PARTICULAR FORM THAT MIGHT BE |
| ADAPTED. I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH THAT FORM, BUT |
| ESSENTIALLY VIEW THIS AS A FIRST STEP IN THE PROCESS |
| AND THEN COME BACK WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION STEP. SO |
| THIS WOULD BE A POLICY STEP. |
| MR. SHEEHY: MAYBE I CAN ASK ALEX. WHAT |
| DO WE USE FOR EMPLOYEES HERE? |
| MS. CAMPE: WE HAVE A FORM HERE, JEFF. |
| I'VE HAD DISCUSSIONS WITH JAMES ABOUT THIS. WE CAN |
| ALTER OR MODIFY WHAT WE HAVE HERE FOR STAFF FOR THE |
| CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR. |
| MR. HARRISON: I THINK WE HAVE SOME OF |
| YOU MAY HAVE SEEN IT BEFORE. THAT COULD BE A |
| STARTING POINT. THE IDEA WAS THAT IF THE BOARD FELT |
| IT WAS NECESSARY, AFTER GETTING INPUT FROM THE THREE |
| CONCERNED INDIVIDUALS, THAT THERE WERE PARTICULAR |
| 48 |
| |

| 1 | OBJECTIVES THAT NEEDED TO BE MODIFIED OR ADDED, THAT |
|----|--|
| 2 | THAT WOULD OCCUR. AND THEN THE BOARD WOULD ADOPT |
| 3 | THAT AS THE PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES AGAINST WHICH THE |
| 4 | PERSON'S PERFORMANCE WOULD BE MEASURED. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CAN I ADD SOMETHING |
| 6 | BECAUSE I THINK MAYBE I'M CONFUSED OR MAYBE THERE IS |
| 7 | A WAY I CAN HELP CLARIFY THIS. THESE ARE THE THREE |
| 8 | STEPS, BUT WE DON'T YET HAVE, JEFF, THE SPECIFICS |
| 9 | UNDER WHICH WE'RE EVALUATING. THAT'S PART OF WHAT |
| 10 | THIS COMMITTEE IS GOING TO DO. THIS IS A PROCESS. |
| 11 | IT'S GOING TO DO THE FIRST ONE SAYS THE BOARD |
| 12 | WILL DEVELOP PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES AND DELIVERABLES |
| 13 | FOR THE CHAIR, THE VICE CHAIR, AND THE PRESIDENT, |
| 14 | AND WE'RE GOING TO DO THAT WITH THE INPUT OBVIOUSLY |
| 15 | FROM ALL OF US, BUT FROM EACH OF THE INDIVIDUALS WHO |
| 16 | ARE BEING EVALUATED. AND SO YOU WOULD SEE THAT |
| 17 | BEFORE WE WOULD BEGIN THE EVALUATION. |
| 18 | MR. ROTH: MAYBE WE COULD JUST INSERT THE |
| 19 | WORD "WRITTEN" BEFORE PERFORMANCE. |
| 20 | DR. POMEROY: I THINK, DUANE, I MIGHT ALSO |
| 21 | ADD IN TWO AND THREE WE COULD ADD COMMUNICATE IN |
| 22 | WRITING. |
| 23 | MR. SHEEHY: DIDN'T WE HAVE A SCALED |
| 24 | EVALUATION? I DON'T WANT TO BE IN A POSITION AS |
| 25 | YOU KNOW, CLAIRE, FROM THE UC THING, THERE'S FOUR |
| | |

| DIFFERENT YOU HAVE A SCALE ON WHICH YOU CAN FALL, |
|--|
| SO I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE EVALUATION HAVE SOME |
| SORT OF SCALE INTO SO IT'S NOT THUMBS UP OR THUMBS |
| DOWN. |
| DR. POMEROY: JEFF, THE ONLY CONCERN I |
| HAVE ABOUT SPECIFYING A SPECIFIC FORM IS, FIRST OF |
| ALL, THAT FORM'S NOT USED FOR LEADERSHIP, IT'S NOT |
| USED FOR SMG EMPLOYEES, WHICH I THINK WOULD BE THE |
| COMPARABLE TO THE PRESIDENT AT LEAST. AND SECOND OF |
| ALL, THE FORMS THAT ARE USED FOR LEADERSHIP ARE IN |
| THE PROCESS OF BEING REDONE IN THE UNIVERSITY OF |
| CALIFORNIA. SO I THINK THAT IT MIGHT BE BETTER IF |
| WE DIDN'T GET TOO SPECIFIC AT THIS POINT. AND I |
| WOULD BE GLAD TO COMMIT TO BRINGING BACK A MODIFIED |
| CIRM FORM FOR REVIEW BY THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE. |
| MR. SHEEHY: I'M BEING TAXED ON MY MEALS. |
| I DON'T KNOW WHY YOU CAN'T HAVE A FORM THAT I CAN |
| UNDERSTAND. |
| DR. POMEROY: WE'LL BRING YOU A FORM. |
| MR. SHEEHY: I DON'T THINK IT'S ROCKET |
| SCIENCE. I DON'T THINK IT'S THAT HARD. AND I DON'T |
| THINK WE NEED TO REINVENT THE WHEEL. |
| CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I THINK WHAT CLAIRE |
| IS SAYING, UNLESS I'M CONFUSED, IS THAT WE ARE GOING |
| TO START WITH WHAT THEY HAVE, BUT WE'RE GOING TO |
| 50 |
| |

| 1 | ADJUST IT BECAUSE THIS IS A SPECIFIC INSTITUTION |
|----|--|
| 2 | WITH SPECIFIC GOALS, AND EACH OF THE PEOPLE HAVE A |
| 3 | DIFFERENT JOB. AND WHEN WE HAVE THAT, THEN WE'RE |
| 4 | GOING TO BRING IT BACK BEFORE GOVERNANCE FOR |
| 5 | EVERYBODY TO LOOK AT BECAUSE WE WILL THEN HAVE THE |
| 6 | INDIVIDUALS, THE CHAIR, THE VICE CHAIR, AND THE |
| 7 | PRESIDENT'S INPUT, BRING IT BACK, LOOK AT IT, |
| 8 | EVERYBODY SIGN OFF ON IT, AND THEN BEGIN THE, QUOTE, |
| 9 | EVALUATI ON. |
| 10 | MR. SHEEHY: AND THEN MY SECOND POINT IS |
| 11 | THERE'S GOING TO BE NO COMMITTEE WORK, SO THIS IS |
| 12 | ALL GOING TO HAPPEN AT THE BOARD? HOW MUCH TIME WE |
| 13 | GOING TO TAKE FOR THIS? |
| 14 | DR. POMEROY: WELL, AT LEAST MY |
| 15 | RECOLLECTION OF THE MY RECOLLECTION OF THE |
| 16 | PREVIOUS DISCUSSION WAS THAT PEOPLE DIDN'T WANT THIS |
| 17 | DONE EXCLUSIVELY IN THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE |
| 18 | BECAUSE ALL OF THE BOARD MEMBERS WANTED TO HAVE |
| 19 | INPUT INTO EVALUATING THE LEADERSHIP. |
| 20 | MR. SHEEHY: I THINK THAT THAT'S |
| 21 | I MPORTANT, BUT |
| 22 | DR. POMEROY: CERTAINLY THE SPECIFIC STEPS |
| 23 | OF HOW THE BOARD DEVELOPS THE PERFORMANCE |
| 24 | OBJECTIVES, IT WOULD CERTAINLY BE APPROPRIATE FOR |
| 25 | THE BOARD TO DELEGATE THAT TO THE GOVERNANCE |
| | |

| 1 | SUBCOMMITTEE. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. SHEEHY: I'LL BE HONEST. WHEN WE WERE |
| 3 | TALKING ABOUT PAYING THE CHAIR, I THOUGHT IT WAS |
| 4 | IMPORTANT THAT WE HAD A MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE AND |
| 5 | ACTUALLY THINK THAT THE BOARD IS TOO UNWIELDY OF A |
| 6 | BODY TO EXERCISE TO BE ABLE TO GO TO THE DEGREE |
| 7 | OF DETAIL, THE KIND OF DIALOGUE THAT YOU'D WANT TO |
| 8 | HAVE AT THE TYPES OF SALARIES WE'RE PAYING TO BE |
| 9 | ABLE TO HAVE REALLY CLEAR VIEW. I JUST SEE THE |
| 10 | PRINCIPALS BEING EVALUATED ARE GOING TO BRING IN |
| 11 | THEIR STUFF AT A BOARD MEETING. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE |
| 12 | A BOARD MEETING IN WHICH IT'S UP OR DOWN, A LITTLE |
| 13 | BIT OF THIS, A LITTLE BIT OF THAT, AND THEN IT'S OUT |
| 14 | THE DOOR. AND THAT'S I GET EVALUATED MORE |
| 15 | RIGOROUSLY THAN THAT, AND I GET PAID A LOT LESS. |
| 16 | IT'S JUST THE DEGREE OF RIGOR YOU WANT TO DO HERE. |
| 17 | MR. KLEIN: JUST AS PART OF PROCESS, I'D |
| 18 | ALSO SAY THAT I'VE PARTICIPATED IN A VERY THOUGHTFUL |
| 19 | REVIEW PROCESS AT THE AGENCY LEVEL WHERE YOU DO A |
| 20 | 360 REVIEW AND YOU REVIEW THE INDIVIDUAL TO GET THE |
| 21 | PERSPECTIVES OF TWO OR THREE OTHER INDIVIDUALS THAT |
| 22 | HAVE A HIGH INTENSITY RELATIONSHIP WITH THEM. AND, |
| 23 | YOU KNOW, IN LOOKING AT A PROCESS THAT'S THOUGHTFUL, |
| 24 | YOU MIGHT, FOR EXAMPLE, HAVE THE COMMITTEE CHAIRS |
| 25 | COMMENT ON WORKING WITH THE CHAIR'S OFFICE BECAUSE |

| 1 | WE WORK TOGETHER IN THE PROCESS SO THAT THERE'S SOME |
|----|--|
| 2 | SUBSTANTIVE INPUT, THAT WHEN IT GETS TO THE BOARD, |
| 3 | THEY HAVE OTHER PERSPECTIVES THAT HAVE BEEN RECORDED |
| 4 | TO GET TO JEFF'S POINT OF HAVING SOME CONTENT DEPTH |
| 5 | IN BEING ABLE TO JUDGE PERFORMANCE. |
| 6 | IS THAT THE KIND OF THING, JEFF? |
| 7 | MR. SHEEHY: THAT WOULD BE HUGELY HELPFUL. |
| 8 | MR. ROTH: JUST ONE OTHER POINT. I THINK |
| 9 | IF YOU LOOK AT THE PROP 71 LANGUAGE, THE DUTIES AND |
| 10 | RESPONSIBILITIES WOULD GIVE YOU A GOOD START ON WHAT |
| 11 | WE SHOULD BE MEASURING. |
| 12 | DR. POMEROY: RIGHT. I THINK AGREED, |
| 13 | DUANE, BUT I THINK WHAT WAS REQUESTED WAS THE |
| 14 | SPECIFIC METRICS THAT WOULD BE USED TO EVALUATE THE |
| 15 | INDIVIDUAL'S SUCCESS IN MEETING THOSE DUTIES. |
| 16 | MR. ROTH: CORRECT. BUT I THINK IN TERMS |
| 17 | OF THE CRITERIA, THE RESPONSIBILITIES ARE THERE. |
| 18 | AND I THINK WHEN YOU LOOK AT THESE THREE POSITIONS, |
| 19 | THEY'RE ALL GOING TO HAVE A DIFFERENT DEGREE OF |
| 20 | FEEDBACK THAT'S GOING TO BE NECESSARY BASED ON THOSE |
| 21 | DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES. SO I DON'T THINK ONE |
| 22 | IS GOING TO FIT ALL THREE IS WHAT I'M SAYING. ALAN |
| 23 | IS GOING TO BE REVIEWED DIFFERENTLY THAN BOB AND |
| 24 | DIFFERENTLY THAN THE VICE CHAIR. |
| 25 | DR. POMEROY: RIGHT. AGREED. |
| | 53 |
| | ı JJ |

| 1 | DR. STEWARD: I JUST WANT TO AGREE. I |
|----|--|
| 2 | THINK WE NEED TO DEVELOP OUR OWN METRIC. I THINK |
| 3 | THAT SHOULD BE THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE AT THIS |
| 4 | POINT. I ALSO ACTUALLY WOULD THINK THAT THE MAJOR |
| 5 | PART OF THE REVIEW SHOULD BE DONE BY THE GOVERNANCE |
| 6 | COMMITTEE OR SOME OTHER DESIGNATED COMMITTEE, NOT |
| 7 | THE WHOLE BOARD. THE WRITTEN DOCUMENT THAT'S |
| 8 | PREPARED AS PART OF THAT COULD THEN BE DISTRIBUTED, |
| 9 | GIVING PEOPLE AN OPPORTUNITY TO ADD IN OR COMMENT, |
| 10 | BUT I DON'T THINK THAT THE ENTIRE BOARD SHOULD DO |
| 11 | IT. |
| 12 | DR. POMEROY: I'M JUST LAUGHING BECAUSE |
| 13 | THAT WAS THE ORIGINAL PROPOSAL, AND WE RECEIVED |
| 14 | SIGNIFICANT FEEDBACK THAT THAT WAS NOT ACCEPTABLE TO |
| 15 | MOST OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. |
| 16 | MR. KLEIN: THERE'S A SIGNIFICANT POSITION |
| 17 | OF DIFFERENT BOARD MEMBERS THAT REPRESENT A MUCH |
| 18 | BROADER GROUP THAN IS ON THIS COMMITTEE, THAT THEY |
| 19 | WANTED IT TO BE A REVIEW OF THE BOARD AS A WHOLE. |
| 20 | AND SO I THINK JEFF'S POINT GOES TO THE ISSUE OF |
| 21 | BRINGING CONTENT IN FROM ALL THE COMMITTEES IN THE |
| 22 | PROCESS, SO YOU HAVE SUBSTANTIVE DEPTH TO LOOK AT, |
| 23 | NOT JUST A POSITION BASED UPON A DISCUSSION AT A |
| 24 | POINT IN TIME, BUT YOU HAVE A REVIEW PROCESS THAT |
| 25 | BRINGS IN COMMENTS FROM ALL THE FUNCTIONAL ELEMENTS |
| | |

| 1 | OF THE BOARD AND LTS COMMITTEES AND SUBCOMMITTEES |
|----|--|
| 2 | AND WORKING GROUPS. |
| 3 | DR. POMEROY: BOB, I HEAR JEFF SAYING TWO |
| 4 | THINGS THOUGH. I SEE JEFF SAYING YOU NEED A SMALLER |
| 5 | GROUP THAT CAN ACTUALLY GET THESE THINGS WRITTEN UP, |
| 6 | AND THAT'S WHERE PEOPLE ARE SAYING THE GOVERNANCE |
| 7 | SUBCOMMITTEE, AND THEN MAKING SURE THAT YOU GET LOTS |
| 8 | OF INPUT. I ACTUALLY AGREE WITH BOTH OF THOSE. I |
| 9 | THINK THAT FUNCTIONALLY THE WHOLE BOARD ISN'T GOING |
| 10 | TO SIT DOWN AND DRAFT A DOCUMENT. THAT'S GOING TO |
| 11 | FALL TO THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE, BUT IT IS |
| 12 | ULTIMATELY THE BOARD'S RESPONSIBILITY TO APPROVE |
| 13 | THAT DOCUMENT. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YOU JUST SAID WHAT I |
| 15 | WAS GOING TO SAY. WHY DON'T WE TAKE IT UPON |
| 16 | OURSELVES, AS WE HAVE, CLAIRE, I KNOW YOU AND DUANE |
| 17 | WERE LEADING THIS, IN GETTING THE DOCUMENTS READY, |
| 18 | AND THEN LET'S BRING IT AFTER WE'VE ALL GIVEN OUR |
| 19 | INPUT, LET'S BRING IT TO THE FULL BOARD FOR ITS |
| 20 | INPUT AND THEN DIVIDE THE BOARD UP IN GROUPS THAT |
| 21 | WILL DO THE EVALUATIONS. |
| 22 | DR. STEWARD: I ACTUALLY STILL THINK THAT |
| 23 | IT IS BETTER FOR I DO UNDERSTAND AND REMEMBER |
| 24 | THAT THERE WAS DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS, AND THE |
| 25 | MEMBERS OF THE BOARD FELT THAT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO |
| | 55 |

| 1 | DO. I STILL THINK THIS COMMITTEE OUGHT TO BRING THE |
|----|--|
| 2 | PROPOSAL BACK TO THE BOARD IN ESSENTIALLY THE WAY |
| 3 | THAT WE DID IN THE BEGINNING BECAUSE I REALLY DON'T |
| 4 | THINK THAT THE REVIEW CAN BE DONE BY THE WHOLE BOARD |
| 5 | EVEN IF IT'S DIVIDED UP INTO SUBCOMMITTEES. |
| 6 | MR. KLEIN: YOU MAY NOT GET PEOPLE WHO ARE |
| 7 | PREPARED TO SERVE IN THE CHAIRMAN'S ROLE, THE |
| 8 | PRESIDENT'S ROLE, OR THE VICE CHAIR'S ROLE UNLESS |
| 9 | THEY ARE SERVING THE WHOLE BOARD. AND THEY MAY |
| 10 | HAVE FROM THAT SIDE, IN ADDITION TO THE BOARD'S |
| 11 | PERSPECTIVE, YOU MAY HAVE SIGNIFICANT ISSUES IF THE |
| 12 | REVIEW ISN'T TRULY DONE AT THE BOARD LEVEL. THESE |
| 13 | ARE INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE PUTTING IN A COUPLE |
| 14 | THOUSAND HOURS A YEAR, AND IT MAY REQUIRE A |
| 15 | SIGNIFICANT MEETING OF THE TIME A PORTION OF A |
| 16 | MEETING AT THE BOARD; BUT IF THE PROPER PRESENTATION |
| 17 | AND COMPONENTS OF INPUT ARE BROUGHT FROM THE VARIOUS |
| 18 | CHAIRS AND WORKING GROUPS AND SUBCOMMITTEES, I THINK |
| 19 | YOU WILL HAVE A VERY MEANINGFUL REVIEW WITH THE |
| 20 | DEPTH OF CONTENT THAT JEFF SAYS IS IMPORTANT, AND I |
| 21 | WOULD AGREE WITH HIM. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I THINK YOU CAN |
| 23 | DIVIDE IF WE DO THE EVALUATION, BRING IT TO THE |
| 24 | BOARD, THE BOARD ADDS WHAT IT WANTS, SUBTRACTS WHAT |
| 25 | IT WANTS, THEN WE LOOK AT THE PEOPLE THAT WANT TO |
| | |

| 1 | SERVE ON THE EVALUATION COMMITTEE. IT MAY NOT BE |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE WHOLE BOARD. YOU NEVER KNOW. BUT THEN DIVIDE |
| 3 | IT UP INTO SUBCOMMITTEES. WE'RE ALLOWED TO HAVE THE |
| 4 | SUBCOMMITTEES IF OR IS THERE SOME PUBLIC THING |
| 5 | THAT WE HAVE TO DO WITH A QUORUM? |
| 6 | MR. ROTH: SHERRY, I TEND TO AGREE WITH |
| 7 | OS. I WOULDN'T OVERENGINEER THIS. LET'S GO BACK |
| 8 | AND TALK TO THE BOARD ABOUT HOW WE MIGHT DO THIS. |
| 9 | IF THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE COULD DO THE FIRST CUT, |
| 10 | AND THEN WE MEET WITH THE BOARD, GET THEIR INPUT |
| 11 | BEFORE WE MOVE FORWARD TO GIVE THE FINAL EVALUATION, |
| 12 | I THINK THAT'S A GOOD WAY TO DO IT. THAT GETS ALL |
| 13 | THE BOARD TOGETHER TO GIVE FEEDBACK ON THE WORK THAT |
| 14 | WOULD BE DONE BY THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE. |
| 15 | DR. POMEROY: OKAY. SO I'M JUST TRYING TO |
| 16 | UNDERSTAND THE BEST WAY EXACTLY WHAT YOU'RE |
| 17 | SUGGESTING THERE BECAUSE IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IF WHAT |
| 18 | WE'RE SAYING IS THAT THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE |
| 19 | SHOULD DO A FIRST CUT, BUT WE STILL NEED TO BRING IT |
| 20 | TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL, SO THIS PROCEDURE WOULD |
| 21 | STAND AS SAYING THAT ULTIMATELY IT'S THE BOARD |
| 22 | THAT'S DOING IT. |
| 23 | MR. ROTH: CORRECT. |
| 24 | DR. POMEROY: EVEN THOUGH THAT THEY'RE ON |
| 25 | A PRACTICAL LEVEL DELEGATING THE FIRST STAB, THE |
| | |

| 1 | ROUGH DRAFT, TO THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ROTH: I WOULD SUGGEST THAT THERE BE |
| 3 | TWO BOARD SESSIONS ON THIS. ONE TO REVIEW THE DRAFT |
| 4 | AND THEN APPROVAL OF THE FINAL RECOMMENDATION. |
| 5 | MR. KLEIN: I WOULD STRONGLY DISAGREE WITH |
| 6 | THAT APPROACH AND BELIEVE THAT THE PROBLEM WITH A |
| 7 | FIRST DRAFT IS IT BECOMES EFFECTIVELY PREEMPTS A |
| 8 | LOT OF DISCUSSION. I THINK THAT THE INITIAL DRAFT |
| 9 | OF THIS HAS TO BE DONE. IF THE WHOLE BOARD CAN MEET |
| 10 | AS A BOARD, IT CAN PROPERLY DELEGATE A COUPLE OF |
| 11 | MEMBERS TO TAKE THE INFORMATION AND DISTILL IT FROM |
| 12 | THE DISCUSSION AND BRING IT BACK TO THE BOARD. BUT |
| 13 | WHEN YOU GET A BOARD MEETING, GIVEN THE BREADTH OF |
| 14 | OUR COMMITTEES, SUBCOMMITTEES, AND WORKING GROUPS, |
| 15 | YOU ARE GOING TO GET A MUCH BETTER EVALUATION THAN |
| 16 | WE CAN HAVE IN A RELATIVELY SMALL COMMITTEE TO |
| 17 | COVER |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: DON'T FORGET, BOB, |
| 19 | WHAT THIS COMMITTEE IS DOING. IT'S ONLY A STAB |
| 20 | BECAUSE THIS IS JUST A FIRST PROCESS. I THINK IT'S |
| 21 | UNWIELDY. I WAS ACTUALLY DON'T FORGET WHAT THIS |
| 22 | COMMITTEE IS DOING IS THIS COMMITTEE WOULD SIT WITH |
| 23 | YOU FOR HOURS AND GET ALL YOUR INPUT, GET EVERYTHING |
| 24 | THAT YOU ARE SAYING, MEET WITH WHOEVER YOU WANTED IN |
| 25 | TERMS OF YOUR SITUATION, AND THEY WOULD MEET WITH |
| | 58 |
| |)O |

| 1 | ALAN AND ANYBODY THAT HE WANTED AND COMPILE WHAT |
|----|--|
| 2 | THEY THINK IS RIGHT WITH ALL OF YOUR INPUT. |
| 3 | THEN WE WOULD ALL OF US HERE LOOK AT IT |
| 4 | ONE MORE TIME AND THEN BRING IT TO THE BOARD, SO |
| 5 | YOU'D AT LEAST HAVE SOMETHING. |
| 6 | MR. KLEIN: WELL, LISTEN, I THINK CLAIRE'S |
| 7 | PROPOSAL AND STRUCTURE IS A GREAT FIRST STEP. AND I |
| 8 | LIKE JEFF'S SUGGESTION OF FINDING A WAY TO DEVELOP |
| 9 | CONTENT THAT IS BROADLY BASED INPUT, AND I LIKE |
| 10 | JEFF'S SUGGESTION OF AN OBJECTIVE RATING SCALE. |
| 11 | WE'RE GETTING DOWN TO A FAIR LEVEL OF DETAIL IN THIS |
| 12 | DISCUSSION OF WHERE THIS DRAFT STARTS. BUT I DO |
| 13 | THINK THAT WE NEED TO TAKE THAT TO THE BOARD AND |
| 14 | JUST SEE WHAT THEY THINK. |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ALL RIGHT. SO WHAT |
| 16 | IS THE MOTION THAT WE'RE ASKING FOR? WE'RE ASKING |
| 17 | FOR A MOTION TO ASK THE BOARD DO THEY WANT US TO |
| 18 | TAKE THE FIRST DRAFT OR DO THEY WANT TO MEET AS A |
| 19 | WHOLE BOARD IN PUBLIC AND COME UP WITH THE CRITERIA? |
| 20 | DR. STEWARD: I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST THAT |
| 21 | WE PUT FORWARD THE RECOMMENDATIONS, THAT WE DEVELOP |
| 22 | THE CRITERIA FOR THEIR APPROVAL, THAT WE CARRY OUT |
| 23 | THE INITIAL DRAFT OF THE EVALUATION, AND TAKE IT |
| 24 | FORWARD TO THE BOARD FOR THEIR REVIEW AND |
| 25 | DI SCUSSI ON. |
| | 59 |
| | |

| 1 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: DO I HAVE A SECOND |
|----|--|
| 2 | FOR THAT MOTION? |
| 3 | MR. ROTH: I'LL SECOND THAT. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ALL RIGHT. MELISSA, |
| 5 | CAN I HAVE A ROLL CALL VOTE? |
| 6 | MS. KING: PUBLIC COMMENT BEFORE THAT. |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SORRY. SACRAMENTO, |
| 8 | DAVI S? |
| 9 | DR. POMEROY: NO. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SAN FRANCISCO? |
| 11 | MR. REED: THERE'S SOMETHING I WOULD LIKE |
| 12 | TO SEE COME OUT OF THIS THAT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE |
| 13 | PUBLIC PERCEPTION. AND THAT'S I'D LIKE EACH OF THE |
| 14 | THREE PEOPLE, THE PRESIDENT, THE CHAIR, THE VICE |
| 15 | CHAIR, TO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO GIVE A WRITTEN |
| 16 | SUMMARY OF THE CHALLENGES THAT THEY HAVE FACED AND |
| 17 | THEIR GREATEST ACCOMPLISHMENTS. I THINK THIS AN |
| 18 | IMPORTANT PART OF THE STORY THAT THE PUBLIC NEEDS TO |
| 19 | SEE AND BE ABLE TO ACCESS SO THAT THEY COULD SAY |
| 20 | THIS IS WHAT WE FACED BECAUSE THE PUBLIC PAID FOR |
| 21 | THIS. AND ALSO THIS IS FAIR TO INDIVIDUALS. THEY |
| 22 | CAN SAY THIS IS WHAT I THINK WAS THE MOST IMPORTANT |
| 23 | THING WE ACCOMPLISHED, THIS WAS THE GREAT DIFFICULTY |
| 24 | WE FACED. I THINK IT'S A STORY THAT NEEDS TO BE |
| 25 | PART OF THE PUBLIC PROCESS. |
| | 40 |

| 1 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. THANK YOU, |
|----|--|
| 2 | DON. CAN I CONTINUE ON? IRVINE? |
| 3 | DR. STEWARD: NO PUBLIC. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LA JOLLA, NO PUBLIC. |
| 5 | MR. ROTH: NO PUBLIC. |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: JOHN SIMPSON IN |
| 7 | L. A. ? |
| 8 | MR. SIMPSON: I'M SORRY. I'M A LITTLE BIT |
| 9 | CONFUSED HERE AS TO WHAT THE MOTION IS DOING. ARE |
| 10 | YOU TALKING ABOUT SORT OF DEVELOPING THE FORM AND |
| 11 | THAT GOING TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL, OR ARE YOU |
| 12 | TALKING ABOUT, ONCE YOU ALREADY HAVE THE METRICS |
| 13 | DEVELOPED, ACTUALLY REVIEWING SOMEBODY? |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WE'RE TALKING ABOUT |
| 15 | DEVELOPING THE FORMS, THE METRICS, AND TAKING THAT |
| 16 | TO THE BOARD. AND THEN THAT'S ACTUALLY AS FAR AS |
| 17 | WE'VE GOTTEN. |
| 18 | DR. STEWARD: ACTUALLY THERE WERE TWO |
| 19 | PARTS TO IT. THAT'S THE FIRST PART. |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: AND THEN HAVING US |
| 21 | TO CONTINUE THE EVALUATION. |
| 22 | DR. STEWARD: THAT'S RIGHT. AFTER THE |
| 23 | BOARD APPROVES THE METRICS, THAT WE WOULD THEN |
| 24 | DEVELOP AND TAKE THAT BACK TO THE BOARD FOR THEIR |
| 25 | FULL DISCUSSION. |
| | 61 |

| 1 | MR. KLEIN: OS, YOUR PROPOSAL IS THAT THE |
|----|--|
| 2 | GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE WOULD PREPARE THE FIRST DRAFT |
| 3 | OF THE REPORT. THAT'S RIGHT? |
| 4 | DR. STEWARD: THAT'S CORRECT. |
| 5 | DR. POMEROY: I JUST WANT TO REITERATE |
| 6 | THAT THIS PROPOSAL AS WRITTEN HERE THERE'S A LOT |
| 7 | OF STATIC FROM SOMEBODY. |
| 8 | MS. KING: IF THERE'S SOMEBODY ON A CELL |
| 9 | PHONE THAT COULD BE MUTED. |
| 10 | DR. POMEROY: I JUST WANT TO REITERATE |
| 11 | THAT THIS SAYS THAT THE BOARD WILL DEVELOP THESE |
| 12 | PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES AND DELIVERABLES. IT DOES |
| 13 | NOT SAY HOW THE BOARD WILL DO THAT. AND SO THIS |
| 14 | COULD BE APPROVED WITHOUT SPECIFYING THAT. AND IF |
| 15 | THE BOARD CHOOSES TO DELEGATE THAT TO THE GOVERNANCE |
| 16 | SUBCOMMITTEE, THAT'S A PERFECTLY ACCEPTABLE |
| 17 | APPROACH. |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WE'RE RECOMMENDING |
| 19 | THAT THEY DO THAT. |
| 20 | MR. KLEIN: CLAIRE, I THINK, IS CALLING |
| 21 | OUR ATTENTION TO THE FACT THAT THERE'S A MOTION ON |
| 22 | THE FLOOR, BUT THERE WOULD BE ANOTHER MOTION TO |
| 23 | APPROVE WHAT SHE HAS PROPOSED. THAT'S A SEPARATE |
| 24 | VOTE. |
| 25 | DR. POMEROY: RIGHT. SO I DO THINK THAT |
| | 4.0 |

| THE MOTION NEEDS TO BE CLEARLY STATED SO THAT WE ALL |
|--|
| UNDERSTAND WHAT THE MOTION IS. |
| CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OS, WOULD YOU DO IT |
| AGAI N? |
| DR. STEWARD: OF COURSE. THAT THE |
| GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OR MEMBERS OF IT TAKE THE |
| LEAD ON THIS, DEVELOP THE INITIAL DOCUMENT THAT |
| WOULD BE THE METRIC FOR THE REVIEW THAT WE WOULD |
| APPROVE AND TAKE FORWARD TO THE BOARD FOR THEIR |
| REVIEW AND APPROVAL. SUBSEQUENT TO THAT THE |
| GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE WOULD DEVELOP THE INITIAL DRAFT |
| OF THE REVIEW ITSELF AND TAKE THAT FORWARD TO THE |
| BOARD FOR THEIR REVIEW AND APPROVAL. |
| MR. ROTH: AND I SECOND THAT. |
| CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THAT SAID |
| DR. POMEROY: CAN I JUST CLARIFY, THEN, |
| THAT THIS PROPOSAL DOESN'T CHANGE THE PART ABOUT |
| THAT THE INDIVIDUAL CHAIR, THE VICE CHAIR, AND THE |
| PRESIDENT CAN GET A SHOT AT MEETING WITH THE BOARD |
| TO DISCUSS THEIR PERFORMANCE AND THEIR OBJECTIVES. |
| ALL THAT PART STAYS UNCHANGED OR UNSPECIFIED. |
| DR. STEWARD: I THINK THAT STAYS |
| UNCHANGED. WE'RE TALKING HERE JUST ABOUT REALLY |
| DEVELOPMENT OF DOCUMENTS, NOT REALLY THE PROCESS OF |
| THE REVIEW ITSELF. |
| 63 |
| |

| 1 | MR. SHEEHY: IF I CAN MAKE A FRIENDLY |
|----|--|
| 2 | AMENDMENT. WHAT IF WE MAKE YOUR MOTION, OS, AN |
| 3 | AMENDMENT TO THE CURRENT DRAFT WE HAVE IN FRONT OF |
| 4 | US UNDER ITEM ONE. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IT'S AN EXTENSION. |
| 6 | I THINK THAT'S A GOOD IDEA, JEFF. |
| 7 | MR. SHEEHY: THAT'S ACCEPTABLE TO THE |
| 8 | MAKER AND THE SECOND? |
| 9 | DR. STEWARD: THAT IS ACCEPTABLE TO THE |
| 10 | MAKER. |
| 11 | MR. ROTH: AND THE SECOND. |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: TURN IT BACK TO JOHN |
| 13 | SIMPSON. DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS? |
| 14 | MR. SIMPSON: YES, I DO. WHEN THE WHEN |
| 15 | YOU GET TO THE POINT WHERE YOU HAVE DISTILLED THE |
| 16 | OBJECTIVES AND DELIVERABLES, WILL THOSE BE PUBLIC |
| 17 | RECORD? |
| 18 | MR. ROTH: MY ASSUMPTION IS YES. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I WOULD AGREE WITH |
| 20 | THAT. |
| 21 | MR. KLEIN: THIS IS BOB KLEIN. I THINK |
| 22 | RELATIVE TO THE STATEMENT, THE PUBLIC STATEMENT MADE |
| 23 | IN SAN FRANCISCO BY DON, I DO THINK THAT THE CHAIR, |
| 24 | THE VICE CHAIR, AND THE PRESIDENT SHOULD ANNUALLY |
| 25 | MAKE A STATEMENT OF WHAT THEIR OBJECTIVES ARE AT THE |
| | 4.1 |

| 1 | END OF THE YEAR AND A STATEMENT OF WHAT THEIR |
|----|--|
| 2 | ACHIEVEMENTS HAVE BEEN IN A PUBLIC ANNUAL REPORT. |
| 3 | MR. HARRISON: JOHN, THIS IS JAMES |
| 4 | HARRISON. THE PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES THAT THE |
| 5 | GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE DEVELOPS, IF THIS MOTION IS |
| 6 | ADOPTED AND THE BOARD APPROVES, WOULD BE A PUBLIC |
| 7 | DOCUMENT. |
| 8 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IT WOULD BE A PUBLIC |
| 9 | DOCUMENT. |
| 10 | MR. HARRISON: CORRECT. THE PERFORMANCE |
| 11 | OBJECTIVES THAT THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE |
| 12 | DEVELOPED, THE METRICS AGAINST WHICH THE PERFORMANCE |
| 13 | IS MEASURED WOULD, UNDER THIS MOTION, BE DEVELOPED |
| 14 | BY THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE, TAKEN TO THE BOARD, |
| 15 | AND APPROVED BY THE BOARD. THOSE METRICS WOULD BE A |
| 16 | MATTER OF PUBLIC RECORD. |
| 17 | MR. SIMPSON: THE ACTUAL PERFORMANCE |
| 18 | DOCUMENT ITSELF THAT WAS FILLED OUT, THERE WOULD BE |
| 19 | A PERSONNEL FILE. |
| 20 | MR. HARRISON: CORRECT. THE PERFORMANCE |
| 21 | EVALUATION ITSELF WOULD BE PROTECTED BY THE |
| 22 | PERSONNEL EXCEPTION. |
| 23 | MR. SIMPSON: THANK YOU. |
| 24 | DR. POMEROY: SO THIS IS CLAIRE. AND I |
| 25 | WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE ALL VERY CLEAR ON WHAT |
| | |

| 1 | WE'RE VOTING ON, AND I DON'T THINK WE'RE CLEAR YET. |
|----|--|
| 2 | AND I THINK THAT WHAT WE'RE SAYING IS FOR THIS |
| 3 | MOTION THAT WE'RE CHANGING NO. 1 TO SAY ON AN ANNUAL |
| 4 | BASIS THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE SHALL DEVELOP THE |
| 5 | PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES AND DELIVERABLES FOR THE |
| 6 | THREE POSITIONS, THE BOARD WILL REVIEW AND APPROVE, |
| 7 | AND THE BOARD WILL THEN EVALUATE AS SET FORTH BELOW, |
| 8 | BUT I DON'T THINK IN THIS MOTION THAT'S PROPOSED I'M |
| 9 | CLEAR ON HOW TWO AND THREE ARE GOING TO READ. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I THOUGHT THAT WE |
| 11 | WERE ACTUALLY SAYING THAT THE GOVERNANCE |
| 12 | SUBCOMMITTEE WOULD EVALUATE, AND WE CAN SAY WITH |
| 13 | ADDITIONAL MEMBERS AS WELL. |
| 14 | DR. POMEROY: JUST WALK THROUGH THIS, |
| 15 | THOUGH, SHERRY, BECAUSE THEN SO THEN WE'RE SAYING |
| 16 | THAT THE CHAIR, THE VICE CHAIR, AND THE PRESIDENT |
| 17 | GET TO MEET WITH THE BOARD OR GET TO MEET WITH THE |
| 18 | GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE? |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: FIRST THEY MEET WITH |
| 20 | THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE AND GIVE THE GOVERNANCE |
| 21 | COMMITTEE, WHOEVER WE'VE DELEGATED TO DO THIS, THEIR |
| 22 | INPUT AS TO WHAT THEY THINK THE METRIC SHOULD BE. |
| 23 | AND MEET WITH WHOEVER ELSE THEY WANT US TO MEET WITH |
| 24 | TO HEAR WHAT THE METRICS SHOULD BE. |
| 25 | DR. POMEROY: OKAY. |
| | |

| 1 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: A DOCUMENT IS THEN |
|----|--|
| 2 | DEVELOPED BY THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE OR DELEGATES |
| 3 | OF THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE, AND THAT DOCUMENT IS |
| 4 | THEN BROUGHT TO THE FULL BOARD WHERE THE CHAIR, THE |
| 5 | VICE CHAIR, AND THE PRESIDENT ARE IN ATTENDANCE, AND |
| 6 | THEY HAVE THE RIGHT, AS ANYBODY DOES, TO SAY |
| 7 | ANYTHING THEY WANT ABOUT IT, AND THEN IT'S VOTED ON. |
| 8 | THAT BECOMES THE DOCUMENT UNDER WHICH WE EVALUATE |
| 9 | THESE THREE PEOPLE. THAT EVALUATION, I THINK WHAT |
| 10 | WE'RE SAYING, IS CONDUCTED BY MEMBERS OF THE |
| 11 | GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE AND ADDITIONAL PEOPLE SHOULD WE |
| 12 | SO DESTRE. |
| 13 | DR. STEWARD: THE FIRST DRAFT OF THAT |
| 14 | BASICALLY. THE WORKING DRAFT WOULD THEN GO TO THE |
| 15 | BOARD FOR THEIR FULL CONSIDERATION AND REVIEW. |
| 16 | MR. KLEIN: I THINK THAT IT'S CRITICAL TO |
| 17 | UNDERSTAND THAT THE CHAIR, THE VICE CHAIR, AND THE |
| 18 | PRESIDENT WORK FOR THE BOARD. THEY DON'T WORK FOR |
| 19 | THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE. |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THAT'S CORRECT. |
| 21 | MR. KLEIN: STRUCTURALLY WE KNOW FROM |
| 22 | SOCIOLOGY THAT THIS TYPE OF AN EFFORT EFFECTIVELY |
| 23 | BIASES ANY REVIEW. |
| 24 | MR. ROTH: NO, WAIT, BOB. I THINK THAT'S |
| 25 | NOT TRUE. I WAS A SOCIOLOGY MAJOR. |
| | |

| 1 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I DON'T UNDERSTAND |
|----|---|
| 2 | WHY WE'RE HAVING EITHER I'M MISSING THIS |
| 3 | COMPLETELY, BUT HERE'S WHAT WE'RE SAYING. YOU ARE |
| 4 | GOING TO HAVE A COMMITTEE. AND WE'RE GOING THAT |
| 5 | COMMITTEE IS GOING TO MEET WITH YOU, LET ME JUST |
| 6 | DEAL WITH THIS SPECIFICALLY, AND GET ALL OF YOUR |
| 7 | INPUT, ENDLESSLY, AS MUCH TIME AS YOU WANT AND MEET |
| 8 | WITH WHOEVER ELSE YOU WANT. |
| 9 | MR. KLEIN: BUT I ONLY WORK HALF-TIME. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: MAKE A LIST. MAKE A |
| 11 | LIST OF EVERYTHING THAT YOU WANT. WE'RE NOT TRYING |
| 12 | TO TAKE THINGS OUT. THEN THERE'LL BE A DOCUMENT. |
| 13 | SOMEBODY HAS TO DO THIS. THIS BIG BOARD YOU'LL |
| 14 | NEVER GET IT DONE. THEN THAT DOCUMENT WILL BE |
| 15 | BROUGHT TO THE FULL BOARD. AND SHOULD THERE BE ANY |
| 16 | DISAGREEMENTS, WHICH HOPEFULLY THERE WON'T BE |
| 17 | BECAUSE THIS ISN'T LIKE A GOTCHA. THIS IS LIKE |
| 18 | TRYING TO DO SOMETHING THAT MEETS WITH THE PERSON |
| 19 | WHO'S BEING EVALUATED AS WELL AS THE BOARD MEMBERS. |
| 20 | WE'LL GET MORE INPUT FROM THE BOARD. AND SHOULD |
| 21 | THERE BE ANY DISAGREEMENT, YOU'LL HAVE THE FULL |
| 22 | OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS IT WITH ALL MEMBERS OF THE |
| 23 | BOARD AND SAY WHY YOU FEEL THIS SHOULD HAVE BEEN |
| 24 | INCLUDED, SHOULD SOMETHING LIKE THAT HAVE HAPPENED, |
| 25 | OR SOMETHING SHOULD HAVE BEEN TAKEN OUT. OUR BOARD |
| | 68 |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

| WILL NOT BE BIASED BY THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE, I |
|--|
| GUARANTEE IT, NOT OUR GROUP OF PEOPLE. |
| DR. POMEROY: AND, SHERRY, SO THEN NO. 2 |
| NOW READS THAT THE CHAIR, THE VICE CHAIR, THE |
| PRESIDENT WILL EACH MEET WITH THE GOVERNANCE |
| COMMITTEE TO DISCUSS THEIR PERFORMANCE AND THEIR |
| OBJECTI VES. |
| CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I THINK WE'LL |
| PROBABLY HAVE TO ADD PEOPLE BECAUSE I THINK WE'RE A |
| SMALL GROUP, SO THERE'LL BE PEOPLE ON THE BOARD THAT |
| PROBABLY WANT TO PARTICIPATE. |
| DR. POMEROY: THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE AND |
| OTHER |
| CHAIRPERSON LANSING: MEMBERS OF THE |
| BOARD. |
| DR. POMEROY: MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, |
| THAT THAT GROUP WILL DEVELOP A DOCUMENT THAT THEY |
| WILL BRING TO THE FULL BOARD IN CLOSED SESSION, AND |
| THE FULL BOARD WILL REVIEW IT, AMEND IT AS THEY |
| WISH, AND APPROVE IT. |
| CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THAT'S CORRECT. |
| DR. POMEROY: AND THEN TWO MEMBERS OF THE |
| BOARD AS OUTLINED IN NO. 3 WILL COMMUNICATE THE |
| RESULTS. |
| I KNOW, BOB, THAT YOU DISAGREE WITH THIS. |
| 69 |
| |

| 1 | I'M JUST TRYING TO CLARIFY WHAT THE MOTION IS. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. SHEEHY: I DO THINK, CLAIRE, ONE |
| 3 | LITTLE AMENDMENT THERE IS THAT THE THREE INDIVIDUALS |
| 4 | UNDER REVIEW SHOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS |
| 5 | WITH THE BOARD AT THE BOARD LEVEL THE REVIEW BEFORE |
| 6 | THE BOARD ADOPTS IT, WHICH I DON'T THINK |
| 7 | DR. POMEROY: SO THE BOARD REVIEWS, AMENDS |
| 8 | AS NECESSARY, AND APPROVES, THEN |
| 9 | MR. SHEEHY: DISCUSSES WITH THE |
| 10 | INDIVIDUALS, THE PRINCIPALS. |
| 11 | DR. POMEROY: THEN IT'S COMMUNICATED BY |
| 12 | THE TWO MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, AND THEN THE PRINCIPAL |
| 13 | CAN COME BACK INTO THE FULL BOARD? |
| 14 | MR. SHEEHY: DO WE WANT TO GIVE THE CHAIR, |
| 15 | THE VICE CHAIR, AND THE PRESIDENT THE OPPORTUNITY TO |
| 16 | ADDRESS THE FULL BOARD TO DISCUSS THEIR PERFORMANCE |
| 17 | AND OBJECTIVES PRIOR TO THE BOARD VOTING? |
| 18 | DR. POMEROY: OH, I SEE. YOU WANT TO |
| 19 | BRING THEM IN BEFORE THE FINAL VOTE, NOT AFTER IT. |
| 20 | MR. SHEEHY: JUST SO THAT THEY CAN OFFER |
| 21 | SOME INPUT AT THE BOARD LEVEL. |
| 22 | MS. KING: WHICH IS WHAT TWO SAYS RIGHT |
| 23 | NOW. |
| 24 | DR. POMEROY: NO, IT'S NOT, BUT IT'S A |
| 25 | HYBRID. SO JUST LET ME MAKE THIS CLEAR. SO FIRST |
| | 70 |

| 1 | THE CHAIR, THE VICE CHAIR, AND THE PRESIDENT WILL |
|----|--|
| 2 | MEET WITH THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE AND THE OTHER |
| 3 | MEMBERS OF THE BOARD WHO ARE SELECTED, DISCUSS THEIR |
| 4 | PERFORMANCE, BASICALLY DO THEIR SELF-APPRAISAL, A |
| 5 | DOCUMENT WILL BE DEVELOPED, THEN WHEN THE BOARD |
| 6 | MEETS IN CLOSED SESSION, THEN THE CHAIR, THE VICE |
| 7 | CHAIR, AND THE PRESIDENT CAN GIVE A REVIEW OF THEIR |
| 8 | SELF-APPRAISAL, AND THEN THE BOARD WILL REVIEW THE |
| 9 | DRAFT DOCUMENT. |
| 10 | MR. SHEEHY: EXACTLY. |
| 11 | MS. KING: SO WE'RE ADDING TO NO. 2 AS |
| 12 | OPPOSED TO DELETING ANYTHING. |
| 13 | DR. POMEROY: YOU'VE GOT IT. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: FOR ADDING THE WHOLE |
| 15 | THING. I THINK WE'RE TRYING VERY HARD TO MAKE THIS |
| 16 | INCLUSIVE, NOT EXCLUSIVE. |
| 17 | MR. SHEEHY: MAYBE THE HYBRID IS THERE |
| 18 | IS, AS YOU NOTED, SHERRY, THE DIFFICULT PROBLEM OF |
| 19 | WHO'S GOING TO DO THE WORK. AND THE WHOLE BOARD, |
| 20 | THERE IS A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF DUE DILIGENCE THAT |
| 21 | NEEDS TO TAKE PLACE HERE IN ACTUALLY PULLING ALL |
| 22 | THIS TOGETHER. |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES. |
| 24 | DR. POMEROY: I THINK I HAVE THE MOTION |
| 25 | NOW, AND I DO THINK IT WILL I WILL VOLUNTEER |
| | |

| 1 | IF THIS PASSES, I WILL VOLUNTEER TO WRITE DOWN WHAT |
|----|---|
| 2 | I THINK THAT I HEARD BECAUSE I THINK IT'S REALLY |
| 3 | IMPORTANT THAT WE ALL UNDERSTAND THIS. |
| 4 | MS. KING: THANK YOU FOR THAT, DR. |
| 5 | POMEROY. |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SO THEN HAVING THAT |
| 7 | MOTION AND THE SECOND, I'D LIKE YOU TO TAKE A ROLL |
| 8 | CALL VOTE. |
| 9 | MS. KING: BOB KLEIN. |
| 10 | MR. KLEIN: OPPOSE. |
| 11 | MS. KING: SHERRY LANSING. |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES. |
| 13 | MS. KING: CLAIRE POMEROY. |
| 14 | DR. POMEROY: YES. |
| 15 | MS. KING: DUANE ROTH. |
| 16 | MR. ROTH: YES. |
| 17 | MS. KING: JEFF SHEEHY. |
| 18 | MR. SHEEHY: YES. |
| 19 | MS. KING: OSWALD STEWARD. |
| 20 | DR. STEWARD: YES. |
| 21 | MS. KING: AND THAT MOTION CARRIES FIVE |
| 22 | YES VOTES AND ONE NO VOTE. |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: BOB, WHEN WE HAVE |
| 24 | SOME MORE TIME, I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO TALK TO YOU |
| 25 | ABOUT WHY YOU WERE OPPOSED BECAUSE I ACTUALLY AM A |
| | 72 |

72

| 1 | LITTLE CONFUSED. I THINK IF WE CONTINUE TALKING, WE |
|----|---|
| 2 | WON'T GET TO OUR CLOSED SESSION ITEM, WHICH I KNOW |
| 3 | IS TIME SENSITIVE. |
| 4 | MR. KLEIN: THAT WOULD BE GREAT. I ALWAYS |
| 5 | APPRECIATE TALKING ABOUT YOU. |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: HONESTLY, MAYBE I'M |
| 7 | MISSING SOMETHING. I THINK WHAT IT IS WHAT YOU |
| 8 | WANT. |
| 9 | DR. POMEROY: SHERRY, CAN I SAY ONE OTHER |
| 10 | THING ABOUT THAT. I'M SORRY. I WOULD LIKE TO URGE |
| 11 | ALL OF US TO TRY THIS FOR ONE ROUND AND SEE HOW IT |
| 12 | GOES, AND THEN WE CAN REVISIT IT. WE CAN REVISIT IT |
| 13 | IF IT NEEDS TO BE REDISCUSSED. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES. ALL RIGHT. |
| 15 | HAVING SAID THAT, NOW WE'RE GOING TO GO INTO CLOSED |
| 16 | SESSION. AND, JAMES, COULD YOU PLEASE STATE THE |
| 17 | CODE SECTION ALLOWING US TO GO INTO CLOSED SESSION? |
| 18 | MR. HARRISON: THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE |
| 19 | WILL BE CONVENING IN CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO |
| 20 | GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 11126 AND HEALTH AND SAFETY |
| 21 | CODE SECTION 125290.30. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU, JAMES. |
| 23 | WE EXPECT OUR CLOSED SESSION TO LAST APPROXIMATELY |
| 24 | 30 MINUTES. I'M GOING TO ASK EVERYONE TO HANG UP |
| 25 | FROM THIS LINE AND DIAL INTO THE OTHER ONE. |
| | |

| 1 | MR. SIMPSON: CAN YOU TAKE GENERAL PUBLIC |
|----|---|
| 2 | COMMENT BEFORE YOU GO? |
| 3 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES. |
| 4 | MR. SIMPSON: I JUST WANTED TO ASK A |
| 5 | SIMPLE QUESTION. ARE YOU NOW CONSIDERING HAVING TWO |
| 6 | VICE CHAIRS? |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I CAN'T ANSWER THAT |
| 8 | UNTIL AFTER CLOSED SESSION, I BELIEVE; IS THAT |
| 9 | CORRECT, JAMES? |
| 10 | MR. HARRISON: WELL |
| 11 | MR. SIMPSON: YOU AGENDIZED AN ITEM FOR |
| 12 | POSSIBLY MORE THAN ONE CHAIR FOR YOUR NEXT |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IT'S ON THE AGENDA. |
| 14 | MS. KING: IT DOESN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO |
| 15 | WITH THIS MEETING. |
| 16 | MR. HARRISON: IT'S NOT ON THE AGENDA FOR |
| 17 | THIS MEETING. |
| 18 | MR. KLEIN: I THINK THAT IT IS WITHIN THE |
| 19 | JURISDICTION OF THIS COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER A CHAIR |
| 20 | OR CHAIRS. |
| 21 | MR. SIMPSON: DO YOU EXPECT TO BE HAVING A |
| 22 | REPORT TO THE PUBLIC IN HALF AN HOUR OR SO? |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I DON'T KNOW THE |
| 24 | ANSWER TO THAT, TO BE HONEST WITH YOU. I'M SORRY TO |
| 25 | BE SO VAGUE. I JUST DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO |
| | 7.4 |
| | 74 |

| 1 | HAPPEN IN THE MEETING. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. SIMPSON: I UNDERSTAND. |
| 3 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: RESPECTFULLY, THEN, |
| 4 | I'M GOING TO CONCLUDE THIS PART OF THE MEETING AND |
| 5 | ASK EVERYONE TO DIAL IN. |
| 6 | (THE COMMITTEE THEN WENT INTO CLOSED |
| 7 | SESSION, NOT REPORTED NOR HEREIN TRANSCRIBED. AT |
| 8 | THE CONCLUSION OF CLOSED SESSION, THE FOLLOWING WAS |
| 9 | THEN HEARD IN OPEN SESSION:) |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I'D LIKE TO WELCOME |
| 11 | EVERYBODY AGAIN AND JUST TO SAY THAT THERE IS REALLY |
| 12 | NOTHING TO REPORT FROM THE CLOSED SESSION, BUT THANK |
| 13 | YOU FOR YOUR TIME. AND SEE IF THERE'S ANY PUBLIC |
| 14 | COMMENT. |
| 15 | MS. KING: NONE IN SAN FRANCISCO. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IN SACRAMENTO DAVIS? |
| 17 | DR. POMEROY: NO. |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE? LA JOLLA? |
| 19 | AND I KNOW JOHN SIMPSON LEFT. SO THANK YOU. THIS |
| 20 | MEETING STANDS ADJOURNED. |
| 21 | (THE MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED AT |
| 22 | 05: 58 P. M.) |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | 75 |
| | , |

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE TELEPHONIC PROCEDINGS BEFORE THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING ON MARCH 5, 2009, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152

BARRISTER'S REPORTING SERVICE

1072 BRI STOL STREET

SULTE 100

COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

(714) 444-4100