

BEFORE THE  
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE  
TO THE  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE  
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE  
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT  
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: AS INDICATED ON AGENDA

DATE: JUNE 13, 2014  
3 P.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CSR  
CSR. NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 96305

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

I N D E X

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER.	3
2. ROLL CALL.	3
3. CONSIDERATION OF CIRM BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015.	4
4. ADDITIONAL ITEMS:	
1. RECONSIDERATION OF MOTION (ADOPTED MAY, 29, 2014) REQUIRING NEW GRANTS WORKING GROUP REVIEW OF APPLICATION FOR PA 14-04 EXTRAORDINARY SUPPLEMENT AWARD (MAJOR) FROM EARLY TRANSLATION III AWARD TR3-05501 (BLAU)	27
2. CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT OF NEW SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS OF GRANTS WORKING GROUP	25
4. PUBLIC COMMENT	NONE

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

FRIDAY, JUNE 13, 2014

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

JUNE 13, 2014; 3 P.M.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU,  
EVERYBODY, FOR TAKING TIME OUT OF YOUR BUSY FRIDAY  
AFTERNOON FOR THIS SPECIAL EDITION OF THE ICOC BOARD  
MEETING. WE HAVE THREE ITEMS ON THE AGENDA, WHICH  
WE'LL GET TO, BUT WE WANT TO START AS ALWAYS WITH  
ROLL CALL.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID BRENNER. LINDA  
BOXER.

DR. BOXER: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: SUE BRYANT. KEN BURTIS.  
ELIZABETH FINI.

MR. BERTON: I'M SORRY. THIS IS KEN. I  
MAY HAVE BEEN ON MUTE. KEN BURTIS HERE, PRESENT.

MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.

MICHAEL FRIEDMAN.

DR. FRIEDMAN: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.

DR. GASSON: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.

DR. JUELSGAARD: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING. BERT  
LUBIN.

DR. LUBIN: HERE.

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.  
2 DR. MELMED: HERE.  
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. JOE  
4 PANETTA.  
5 MR. PANETTA: HERE.  
6 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO. ROBERT  
7 QUINT. AL ROWLETT.  
8 MR. ROWLETT: HERE.  
9 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.  
10 MR. SHEEHY: HERE.  
11 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.  
12 DR. STEWARD: HERE.  
13 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.  
14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.  
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.  
16 MR. TORRES: HERE.  
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.  
18 DR. VUORI: HERE.  
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: DONNA WESTON. DIANE  
20 WINOKUR.  
21 MS. WINOKUR: HERE.  
22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, EVERYBODY.  
23 THE FIRST ITEM ON THE AGENDA, AS YOU RECALL, WE PUT  
24 OFF CONSIDERATION OF THE BUDGET AT THE LAST BOARD  
25 MEETING TO GIVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DR. MILLS TO

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 REVIEW THE BUDGET AND PUT HIS THOUGHTS ON IT AND TO  
2 BRING IT BACK AS AMENDED TO US FOR CONSIDERATION.  
3 AND SO THAT IS ITEM NO. 1.

4 WE HAD A FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING ON  
5 THIS VERY TOPIC, AND I WILL TURN THE MATTER OVER FOR  
6 DISCUSSION HERE TO MR. JUELSGAARD.

7 DR. JUELSGAARD: THANK YOU, MR. THOMAS.  
8 CAN EVERYBODY HEAR ME SATISFACTORILY? OKAY. ALL  
9 RIGHT.

10 SO AT THE VERY BEGINNING OF THAT ON MAY  
11 1ST WE INITIALLY REVIEWED THE COMING YEAR'S BUDGET  
12 AND HAD A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS THAT WE ASKED OF THE  
13 PEOPLE FROM STAFF WHO WERE IN ATTENDANCE, CHILA, PAT  
14 OLSON, ELLEN FEIGAL, ELONA BAUM, ETC. WE DECIDED AT  
15 THE END OF THAT REVIEW THAT WE SHOULD REALLY AWAIT  
16 THE REVIEW OF THE BUDGET BY DR. MILLS SINCE HE WOULD  
17 BE, AS THE NEW PRESIDENT OF CIRM, RESPONSIBLE  
18 ULTIMATELY FOR, IN ESSENCE, STICKING TO THE BUDGET  
19 FOR THE EXPENSES THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN BUDGETED FOR.  
20 SO THAT HAPPENED. DR. MILLS, AS YOU KNOW, REVIEWED  
21 THE BUDGET, AND THEN WE MET AGAIN LAST WEEK AND HAD  
22 ANOTHER BUDGET REVIEW.

23 AND IN THAT INTERIM PERIOD, DR. MILLS,  
24 ALONG WITH OTHERS OF STAFF, IDENTIFIED ROUGHLY  
25 \$600,000 IN EXPENSES THAT COULD BE SAVED. AND WE

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 FOUND THAT OBVIOUSLY POSITIVE IN NATURE.

2 AND SO THE SUBCOMMITTEE, IN ESSENCE,  
3 DECIDED TO RECOMMEND THIS BUDGET, WHICH YOU ARE  
4 GOING TO HEAR ABOUT IN A MOMENT FROM CHILA AND DR.  
5 MILLS, TO RECOMMEND THAT THIS BUDGET BE APPROVED BY  
6 THE FULL ICOC, BUT OBVIOUSLY THE DECISION IS YOURS.  
7 SO WITHOUT FURTHER ADO, I'LL TURN IT OVER TO CHILA  
8 AND DR. MILLS.

9 MS. SILVA-MARTIN: GOOD AFTERNOON,  
10 EVERYONE. FOR THIS PRESENTATION YOU SHOULD HAVE  
11 RECEIVED TWO DOCUMENTS. ONE OF THEM IS A POWERPOINT  
12 PRESENTATION AND THE OTHER IS A TEN-PAGE DOCUMENT  
13 THAT INCLUDES A SUMMARY OF THE '14-'15 BUDGET  
14 REQUEST AS WELL AS DETAILED BUDGETS FOR EACH OF THE  
15 COST CENTERS.

16 SO GOING THROUGH THE POWERPOINT  
17 PRESENTATION, AS BOTH CHAIRMAN THOMAS AND MR.  
18 JUELSGAARD HAVE INDICATED, WE DID GO THROUGH AN  
19 INITIAL REVIEW PROCESS BACK IN MAY 12, 2014, WITH  
20 THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE. AND WE REQUESTED AT THAT  
21 TIME \$17.9 MILLION FOR THE BUDGET. IT WAS NOT  
22 APPROVED AT THE TIME BECAUSE WE DID WANT REVIEW BY  
23 DR. MILLS. AND SO FOR THE NEXT COUPLE OF WEEKS WE  
24 WENT THROUGH AN INTERNAL PROCESS AND REVIEWED THE  
25 BUDGET WITH THE COST CENTER MANAGERS AND REVISED THE

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 BUDGET AND BROUGHT IT BACK TO THE FINANCE  
2 SUBCOMMITTEE WITH A REDUCTION, AS MR. JUELSGAARD  
3 INDICATED, OF ABOUT \$600,000.

4 SO LOOKING AT SOME OF THE OVERALL CHANGES  
5 THAT OCCURRED FROM THE MAY 12TH BUDGET REQUEST TO  
6 THE JUNE 30TH OF THE BUDGET, IN EMPLOYEE EXPENSES WE  
7 ACTUALLY SAW AN INCREASE AND A REDUCTION. AS YOU  
8 MAY RECALL, IN PREVIOUS BOARD MEETINGS I'VE  
9 INDICATED THAT WE HAVE A RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTION  
10 RATE THAT WE DO NOT HAVE CONTROL OVER. AND SO  
11 DURING THE '14-'15 FISCAL YEAR, WE DEVELOPED THE  
12 INITIAL BUDGET BASED ON INFORMATION THAT WE RECEIVED  
13 FROM CALPERS AS TO WHAT THE RATES WERE AT THAT TIME.

14 AT THE END OF MAY, WE RECEIVED ADDITIONAL  
15 INFORMATION INDICATING THAT THOSE RATES HAD GONE UP  
16 AGAIN. SO WE REVISED THE BUDGET, SO WE HAD AN  
17 INCREASE OF \$75,000 FOR RETIREMENT BENEFITS, BUT WE  
18 ALSO HAD (INAUDIBLE) MERIT SALARY ADJUSTMENTS TO BE  
19 IMPLEMENTED IN NOVEMBER.

20 FOR OUR EXTERNAL SERVICES, WE WERE ABLE TO  
21 REDUCE THE BUDGET BY \$160,000 JUST FROM A VARIETY OF  
22 DIFFERENT CUTS THAT WE MADE AS YOU CAN SEE IN THE  
23 POWERPOINT PRESENTATION. WE LOOKED AT OUR CURRENT  
24 YEAR SPENDING AND WHAT WE HAD FORECASTED FOR THE  
25 FISCAL YEAR, AND WE DECIDED THAT, FOR EXAMPLE, BASED

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 ON WHAT WE WERE SPENDING ON CONSULTING, WE COULD  
2 REDUCE SOME OF OUR CDAP EXPENSE BUDGET, AND SO WE  
3 DID THAT, AND SOME OF OUR COST FOR OUR GRANTEE  
4 COMMUNICATION, WHITE PAPERS, AND THEN AS WELL FOR  
5 SOME BD CONSULTING AND PLANNING.

6 THE BIGGEST AREA WHERE WE MADE REDUCTIONS  
7 WAS IN THE REVIEWS, MEETINGS, AND WORKSHOPS.  
8 OVERALL WE REDUCED THIS CATEGORY BY 400 -- I'M  
9 SORRY. OKAY. WE HAD A REDUCTION OF \$433,000 MAINLY  
10 FROM THE GRANTEE MEETING THAT WE HAVE DETERMINED WE  
11 WILL NOT HOLD DURING THE '14-'15 FISCAL YEAR; BUT  
12 ALSO A BIG CHUNK OF IT, ABOUT A \$100,000, WAS FROM  
13 THE CDAP REVIEWS. BASED ON OUR CURRENT YEAR  
14 SPENDING AND THE NUMBER OF CDAP MEETINGS WE  
15 ANTICIPATE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR AND THE FACT THAT DR.  
16 FEIGAL HAS BEEN ABLE TO KEEP HER COSTS AT A MINIMUM,  
17 WE BELIEVE THAT WE WERE ABLE TO SAVE \$100,000 THERE.

18 WE DID INCREASE THE BUDGET BY \$100,000 FOR  
19 THE ALPHA CLINIC REVIEW BECAUSE, AS YOU MAY RECALL,  
20 THAT WAS SCHEDULED TO BE REVIEWED DURING THE '13-'14  
21 FISCAL YEAR, BUT IT WAS POSTPONED. SO WE DIDN'T  
22 INCREASE OVERALL, BUT WE HAD AN OVERALL REDUCTION OF  
23 \$333,000 IN THIS CATEGORY.

24 AND THEN THE OTHER CATEGORY WHERE WE HAD  
25 SOME REDUCTIONS, WE WERE ABLE TO MAKE SOME

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 REDUCTIONS WITHIN OUR MEMBERSHIP AND TRAINING. WE  
2 HAD AN ITEM OF \$50,000 FOR THE INTERNATIONAL  
3 CONSORTIUM FOR CELL BANKING, AND WE ELIMINATED THAT  
4 ITEM, AS WELL AS REDUCED THE BUDGET FROM 42,000 TO  
5 12,000 IN SOME PARTNERSHIPS WITH BAY BIO AND BIOCUM.  
6 THE NET REDUCTION FROM MAY 12TH TO JUNE 30 WAS  
7 \$573,000.

8 SO LOOKING AT JUST SOME OF THESE CHANGES  
9 BY COST CENTER, IN OUR SCIENCE OFFICE RESEARCH,  
10 OVERALL WE WERE ABLE TO REDUCE THE BUDGET BY  
11 \$155,000, AS I INDICATED BEFORE, MAINLY BECAUSE THE  
12 GRANTEE MEETING AND THEN WE HAD THE CORRESPONDING  
13 CHANGE FOR THE ALPHA CLINICS.

14 IN OUR SCIENCE OFFICE DEVELOPMENT, WE WERE  
15 ABLE TO REDUCE THE OVERALL BUDGET BY \$178,000, AGAIN  
16 MOSTLY FOR THE CDAP CONSULTING OR REVIEW OF COSTS,  
17 BUT WE ALSO HAD A REDUCTION FOR THE IOM FORUM OF  
18 \$40,000.

19 AND WE HAD REDUCTIONS AS WELL TO THE  
20 OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT. THERE WAS NUMEROUS ITEMS  
21 THAT WE REDUCED THERE TOTALING 124,000 MAINLY FOR  
22 THINGS LIKE THE INTERNATIONAL CONSORTIUM FOR CELL  
23 BANKING OF 50,000. WE REDUCED THOSE COSTS, OUR  
24 FORECAST OR BUDGET FOR WORKSHOPS OR A SCIENTIFIC  
25 ADVISORY BOARD BY \$25,000, AND WE ELIMINATED SOME

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MONOGRAMS AS WELL AS THE ALPHA CLINIC PUBLICATION.

2 IN OUR OFFICE OF THE CHAIR, WE DID MAKE A  
3 REDUCTION -- OVERALL REDUCTION OF \$15,000, MOST OF  
4 IT FROM OUR PATIENT ADVOCATE SUPPORT LINE ITEM FOR  
5 EXTERNAL SERVICES.

6 IN PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS WE WERE ABLE TO  
7 REDUCE THEIR BUDGET BY \$20,000 FOR OUR GRANTEE  
8 COMMUNICATIONS. WE WILL STILL DO THAT, BUT WE'RE  
9 GOING TO DO IT AT A REDUCED COST.

10 IN OUR LEGAL OFFICE, \$23,000 FOR WHITE  
11 PAPERS. AND THEN THE OTHER AREA WHERE WE DID NOT  
12 HAVE ANY REDUCTIONS WERE IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY  
13 AND FINANCIAL SERVICES COST CENTERS.

14 AND THEN, FINALLY, IN OUR BUSINESS  
15 DEVELOPMENT BUDGET, WE REDUCED THE BUDGET BY 25,000  
16 FOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CONSULTING. AND THEN BY  
17 \$30,000 THAT I MENTIONED EARLIER FOR THE  
18 PARTNERSHIPS WITH BAY BIO AND BIOCOM FOR AN OVERALL  
19 NET OF, AS I HAD SAID EARLIER, OF 573,000.

20 SO THIS IS AT A REALLY HIGH LEVEL. OUR  
21 '14-'15 BUDGET REQUEST IS \$17.3 MILLION. AS YOU MAY  
22 RECALL, OUR BUDGET ALLOCATION FOR THE '13-'14 FISCAL  
23 YEAR IS \$17.4 MILLION. SO THIS BUDGET REQUEST IS  
24 \$150,000 DECREASE FROM WHAT WAS BUDGETED IN THE  
25 CURRENT YEAR. BUT I DO WANT TO POINT OUT THAT THIS

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 '14-'15 BUDGET DOES INCLUDE SOME COSTS THAT WE DON'T  
2 HAVE EVERY YEAR, AND THAT IS THE PERFORMANCE AUDIT  
3 THAT'S REQUIRED BY SENATE BILL 1064. SO THE '14-'15  
4 BUDGET DOES HAVE \$250,000 BUDGETED. SO HAD THAT NOT  
5 OCCURRED, OUR OVERALL BUDGET WOULD HAVE BEEN  
6 \$400,000 BELOW WHAT WE BUDGETED IN '13-'14 FISCAL  
7 YEAR.

8 DR. MILLS: THIS IS RANDY. GOING ON JUST  
9 BEFORE WE GET ONTO THE '14-'15 ROLLUP AND SOME OF  
10 THE MORE SPECIFIC NUMBERS, I DO WANT TO POINT OUT  
11 WITH REGARD TO THIS BUDGET THAT IT ASSUMES THAT WE  
12 MAINTAIN THE SAME OR SIMILAR OPERATING AND  
13 ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURES. AND THAT IF WE CHANGE  
14 THAT, THAT'S CURRENTLY UNDER REVIEW RIGHT NOW, THAT  
15 COULD CHANGE OBVIOUSLY BUDGETARY CONCERNS.

16 WHAT I WILL TELL YOU IS IF WE DO MAKE A  
17 RECOMMENDATION TO COME BACK TO THE BOARD AND CHANGE  
18 THE OPERATING AND ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE, AT THAT  
19 TIME WE WOULD ALSO BRING YOU BACK A REVISED BUDGET  
20 AND OBVIOUSLY A JUSTIFICATION FOR WHY WE THOUGHT  
21 THOSE CHANGES WERE NECESSARY.

22 MS. SILVA-MARTIN: OKAY. SO NOW REALLY  
23 LOOKING AT SOME OF THE MAJOR CHANGES OR INCREASES OR  
24 DECREASES FROM THE '14-'15 BUDGET. I JUST WANT TO  
25 LET YOU KNOW WHAT'S INCLUDED IN THIS BUDGET. SO

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 OVERALL WE DID REDUCE FIVE POSITIONS. WE REDUCED  
2 THREE POSITIONS IN THE SCIENCE OFFICE RESEARCH  
3 OFFICE UNDER DR. OLSON. WE REDUCED A POSITION IN  
4 THE SCIENCE OFFICE DEVELOPMENT UNDER DR. FEIGAL, AND  
5 THEN WE REDUCED AN LEGAL ATTORNEY POSITION UNDER  
6 ELONA BAUM'S COST CENTER.

7 WE DID, THOUGH, CONVERT ONE POSITION FROM  
8 EXTERNAL SERVICES. AS YOU MAY RECALL, OUR SUPPORT  
9 WAS PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED BY AN OUTSIDE CONSULTANT.  
10 WE DID AN ANALYSIS OF THAT AND DETERMINED THAT WE  
11 COULD BRING THAT FUNCTION IN-HOUSE AND SAVE SOME  
12 MONEY. SO WE DID THAT, AND SO OUR NET REDUCTION IN  
13 POSITIONS WAS FOUR.

14 THE BUDGET DOES INCLUDE A 3.5-PERCENT  
15 MERIT ADJUSTMENT BEGINNING, AS I INDICATED, IN  
16 NOVEMBER OF 2014. THERE IS INCREASED COST FOR  
17 RETIREMENT AS I MENTIONED EARLIER. OVERALL THE  
18 RETIREMENT COSTS HAVE GONE UP 14 PERCENT FROM ONE  
19 FISCAL YEAR TO ANOTHER. THAT'S PRETTY SIGNIFICANT.

20 WE DID SEE A REDUCTION IN TRAVEL OF ABOUT,  
21 I BELIEVE, \$68,000. SO AS YOU CAN SEE IN THIS  
22 BUDGET ROLLUP, SEVERAL OF OUR COST CENTERS ARE  
23 SEEING REDUCTIONS. THEY'RE SEEING REDUCTIONS LIKE  
24 THE SCIENCE OFFICE RESEARCH, SCIENCE OFFICE  
25 DEVELOPMENT, OFFICE OF THE CHAIR, PUBLIC

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE, AND LEGAL. THERE ARE A FEW  
2 THAT WE ARE SEEING SOME INCREASES MAINLY BECAUSE OF  
3 THE PERFORMANCE AUDIT LIKE IN THE FINANCIAL -- THE  
4 FINANCE AND OPERATIONS, BUT IN OUR I.T. WE'RE SEEING  
5 A MINOR INCREASE DUE TO WE'RE INCREASING OUR  
6 BROADBAND SERVICES AND TRYING TO PUSH MORE THINGS  
7 OUT TO THE CLOUD.

8 SO WHAT I WANT TO DO NEXT IS JUST GO OVER  
9 THE BUDGET BY THE CATEGORIES AND REALLY FOCUS AT  
10 SOME OF THE ITEMS THAT ARE MOST CRITICAL AS I  
11 MENTIONED. SO LOOKING AT THE NEXT POWERPOINT  
12 PRESENTATION UNDER EMPLOYEE EXPENSES, I  
13 INDICATED --

14 DR. LUBIN: THIS IS A NEW POWERPOINT?

15 MS. SILVA-MARTIN: IT'S THE SAME ONE.  
16 IT'S THE LAST PAGE. I'VE GONE OVER SEVERAL OF THESE  
17 ITEMS.

18 ON EMPLOYEE EXPENSES WE HAD REDUCTIONS.  
19 WE DO ADJUSTMENTS AS WELL AS INCREASES TO OUR  
20 BENEFITS. SO OVERALL FROM ONE FISCAL YEAR TO  
21 ANOTHER, DESPITE THOSE INCREASES, BECAUSE WE WERE  
22 ABLE TO ELIMINATE SOME POSITIONS, WE ARE SEEING AN  
23 OVERALL REDUCTION OF \$58,000.

24 IN OUR EXTERNAL SERVICES, WE ARE SEEING A  
25 REDUCTION OF \$191,000, AND IT'S REALLY DUE TO THE

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 CONVERSION OF THE CONTRACT FOR I.T. SUPPORT HELP  
2 DESK IN CONVERTING THAT TO A POSITION AND THEN  
3 REALLY THE \$250,000 THAT WE HAD TO BUDGET FOR THE  
4 PERFORMANCE AUDIT.

5 OUR REVIEWS, MEETINGS, AND WORKSHOPS IS UP  
6 SLIGHTLY BY \$129,000, BUT THAT'S BECAUSE WE'VE  
7 ACTUALLY GOT ONE MORE GRANT WORK GROUP REVIEW  
8 BUDGETED IN THE '14-'15 FISCAL YEAR. IN '13-'14 WE  
9 HAD SIX GRANT REVIEWS BUDGETED. WE HELD FIVE, AND  
10 WE ARE PROPOSING TO HOLD SEVEN REVIEWS DURING THE  
11 '14-'15 FISCAL YEAR.

12 AND THEN, FINALLY, I JUST WANTED TO POINT  
13 OUT THAT IN TRAVEL WE HAVE BUDGETED OVERALL, AND WE  
14 MADE SOME SIGNIFICANT STRIDES IN TRYING TO REDUCE  
15 THAT BUDGET, AND WE WERE ABLE TO DO SO BY \$58,000.

16 DR. MILLS: SO THAT IS AN OVERVIEW OF THE  
17 BUDGET THAT WE PROPOSE FOR THIS COMING FISCAL YEAR.  
18 THERE'S THREE MAJOR CONCEPTS OR THOUGHTS AS WE WENT  
19 IN AND WE WENT OVER IT AGAIN. ONE IS I THINK IT  
20 MORE REALISTICALLY REFLECTS THE ACTUAL SPENDING  
21 THAT'S LIKELY TO OCCUR THIS YEAR BOTH ON A POSITIVE  
22 AND A NEGATIVE, SO WE WERE ABLE TO ADJUST, AS CHILA  
23 SAID, THE HIT WE TOOK DUE TO THE RETIREMENT CHANGES.  
24 AND THAT WAS TO THE UPSIDE.

25 WE ALSO HAD A MEETING, A GRANTS WORKING

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 GROUP, THAT GOT PUSHED FROM THIS YEAR INTO NEXT  
2 YEAR, AND SO THE SPENDING WENT UP. BUT IT ALSO  
3 REASONABLY REFLECTS, I THINK, LIKELY WHAT WE'RE  
4 ACTUALLY GOING TO SPEND.

5 THE SECOND ASPECT OF IT, FROM A FISCAL  
6 RESPONSIBILITY, THINGS CIRM COULD FIND WAYS OF DOING  
7 IN A MORE EFFICIENT AND FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE WAY.

8 AND THE THIRD ASPECT THAT WENT INTO THE  
9 BUDGET REVIEW WAS FOCUS. AND SOME OF THE ITEMS WERE  
10 CUT PURELY BECAUSE THEY WERE, IN MY OPINION,  
11 DEFOCUSING AWAY FROM OUR MISSION. SO IT WASN'T SO  
12 MUCH THAT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY WAS ENORMOUS, BUT THAT  
13 THE WORK WAS A DISTRACTION TO THE TEAM HERE AT CIRM  
14 GIVEN EVERYTHING ELSE THAT THEY HAVE TO DO AND  
15 THAT'S ON THEIR PLATE. SO THAT'S THE PHILOSOPHY  
16 THAT WENT INTO THIS REVISION OF THIS CURRENT BUDGET.

17 SO THAT'S OUR PRESENTATION HERE. CHILA  
18 AND I WOULD BE GLAD TO TAKE ANY QUESTIONS, MR.  
19 CHAIRMAN.

20 DR. JUELSGAARD: ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS  
21 OF ANY ICOC MEMBERS ABOUT THE BUDGET AS PRESENTED?

22 DR. LUBIN: I WANTED TO ASK A QUESTION. I  
23 UNDERSTOOD THAT YOU WERE CONSIDERING RELOCATING TO  
24 ANOTHER AREA, ANOTHER PHYSICAL PLACE THAT MIGHT HAVE  
25 A CHANGE IN THE RENT OR LEASE OR WHATEVER. AM I

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 WRONG IN THAT AND WAS THAT PUT IN THE BUDGET?

2 MS. SILVA-MARTIN: THIS IS CHILA. SO OUR  
3 CURRENT LEASE HERE WHERE WE'RE CURRENTLY LOCATED  
4 EXPIRES IN OCTOBER OF 2015. SO WE WOULD NOT  
5 ACTUALLY INCUR RENT COSTS TILL THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR.  
6 THERE IS A SMALL AMOUNT IN THIS BUDGET OF \$20,000 IN  
7 THE LEGAL OFFICE SHOULD WE NEED ASSISTANCE WITH  
8 NEGOTIATIONS OF THE LEASE.

9 DR. LUBIN: THANK YOU.

10 MS. SILVA-MARTIN: YOU'RE WELCOME.

11 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO THAT'S A VERY GOOD  
12 QUESTION, DR. LUBIN, BECAUSE THAT IS AN UPCOMING  
13 EVENT THAT'S GOING TO HAVE TO OCCUR, AND THERE WILL  
14 BE A MOVE OF THE CIRM HEADQUARTERS FROM WHERE THEY  
15 ARE NOW TO SOME OTHER YET TO BE DETERMINED PLACE.  
16 SO THAT WILL BE A BIT DISRUPTIVE WHEN THAT OCCURS,  
17 BUT I'M SURE THAT WILL BE MANAGED APPROPRIATELY.

18 ARE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OF ANY OF  
19 THE OTHER ICOC MEMBERS REGARDING THE BUDGET? IF  
20 NOT, THEN I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THIS  
21 BUDGET.

22 DR. FRIEDMAN: SO MOVED.

23 DR. JUELSGAARD: WHO JUST SECONDED IT?

24 MR. TORRES: TORRES.

25 DR. JUELSGAARD: OKAY. ART, THANK YOU.

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MARIA, DO YOU WANT TO -- I GUESS THE FIRST QUESTION  
2 IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?

3 MR. TORRES: PERHAPS UNDER PUBLIC, MR.  
4 CHAIRMAN, I THINK THE BOARD SHOULD KNOW THAT CHILA  
5 AND I DID A REVIEW OF POTENTIAL OFFICE ITEMS IN THE  
6 FINANCIAL DISTRICT LAST WEEK, AND WE WILL REPORT TO  
7 J.T. AND RANDY AS TO WHAT OPTIONS THERE ARE AND WHAT  
8 THE CHANCES THERE ARE FOR US TO STAY IN SAN  
9 FRANCISCO.

10 DR. JUELSGAARD: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU.  
11 AND I GATHER THAT SAN FRANCISCO IS THE PREFERRED  
12 LOCATION OR THE ONLY LOCATION, OR HOW ARE WE  
13 THINKING ABOUT THAT?

14 MR. TORRES: WELL, AT THIS POINT THE MAYOR  
15 WANTS US TO STAY IN SAN FRANCISCO. WE'LL HAVE TO  
16 WAIT TO SEE WHAT KIND OF INCENTIVES HE AND HIS  
17 ADMINISTRATION MAY OFFER ONCE WE FIND A POTENTIAL.

18 DR. JUELSGAARD: RIGHT. BUT ARE WE  
19 EXPLORING OTHER VENUES, FOR EXAMPLE, SOUTH SAN  
20 FRANCISCO?

21 MR. TORRES: NO, I AM NOT.

22 DR. LUBIN: THIS IS BERT. I'D LIKE TO  
23 ALSO COMMENT THE ENTIRE FINANCIAL GROUP FOR USCF  
24 MOVED FROM SAN FRANCISCO TO EMERYVILLE AT 50-PERCENT  
25 REDUCTION IN THE LEASE.

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MR. TORRES: WELL, WE ALSO HAVE TO  
2 CONSIDER THE OTHER CONSIDERATIONS, ESSENTIALLY  
3 STAFF, TRAVEL TIME, TRANSIT, AS WELL AS OTHER  
4 ECONOMIC INCENTIVES THAT WE MIGHT RECEIVE FROM THE  
5 MAYOR AS OPPOSED TO THE MAYOR OF OAKLAND.

6 DR. LUBIN: I UNDERSTAND.

7 MR. TORRES: THE POINT IS I'M NOT SHUTTING  
8 ANY DOORS, NOR SHOULD WE AT ALL. SO IF BERT'S OFFER  
9 (INAUDIBLE) WE HAVE FIRST IN TERMS OF STAFF TIME,  
10 TRAVEL, TRANSIT. THERE ARE OTHER THINGS WHICH MIGHT  
11 BE A LITTLE ONEROUS FOR OTHER STAFF PEOPLE.

12 DR. JUELSGAARD: I THINK THE POINT THAT  
13 DR. LUBIN MAKES, WHICH I COMPLETELY AGREE WITH, IS  
14 THAT WE SHOULD KEEP A VERY OPEN MIND AND NOT JUST BE  
15 SAN FRANCISCO CENTRIC IN TERMS OF LOOKING AT  
16 POSSIBILITIES.

17 MR. TORRES: THAT'S WHAT I JUST SAID.

18 DR. JUELSGAARD: I GOT IT, BUT I'M JUST  
19 SORT OF -- I'M SECONDING WHAT HE JUST SAID. OKAY.

20 ARE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS  
21 REGARDING THE BUDGET? I JUST ASKED FOR PUBLIC  
22 COMMENT. SO IF THERE WERE NONE, MARIA, WOULD YOU  
23 CALL THE ROLL?

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: SURE. DAVID BRENNER.  
25 LINDA BOXER.

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 DR. BOXER: YES.  
2 MS. BONNEVILLE: SUE BRYANT.  
3 DR. BRYANT: YES.  
4 MS. BONNEVILLE: KEN BERTIS.  
5 DR. BERTIS: YES.  
6 MS. BONNEVILLE: ELIZABETH FINI.  
7 DR. FINI: YES.  
8 MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL FRIEDMAN.  
9 DR. FRIEDMAN: YES.  
10 MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.  
11 DR. GASSON: YES.  
12 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.  
13 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES.  
14 MS. BONNEVILLE: BERT LUBIN.  
15 DR. LUBIN: YES.  
16 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED. LAUREN  
17 MILLER.  
18 MS. MILLER: YES.  
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.  
20 MR. PANETTA: YES.  
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT. AL  
22 ROWLETT.  
23 MR. ROWLETT: YES.  
24 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.  
25 MR. SHEEHY: YES.

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.

2 DR. STEWARD: YES.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

5 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

6 MR. TORRES: AYE.

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.

8 DR. VUORI: YES.

9 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

10 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SOUNDS LIKE THAT MOTION  
12 PASSES.

13 MR. HARRISON: THAT MOTION PASSES 17 YES  
14 VOTES TO ZERO NO VOTES.

15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. HARRISON.  
16 THANK YOU, MR. JUELSGAARD, FOR LEADING THAT  
17 DISCUSSION.

18 WE HAVE TWO OTHER ITEMS, BOTH OF WHICH  
19 HAVE A TIME ELEMENT ATTACHED TO THEM AND HAVE BEEN  
20 PUT ON THE AGENDA SUBSEQUENT TO THE INITIAL POSTING  
21 DATES. AND AS SUCH, WE'RE REQUIRED TO FIRST HAVE A  
22 MOTION TO HEAR THOSE TWO ITEMS. AND AT SUCH TIME AS  
23 THAT MOTION IS MADE AND PASSES, THEN WE WILL PROCEED  
24 TO THE ITEMS THEMSELVES.

25 DO I HEAR A MOTION TO TAKE UP THESE TWO

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 ADDITIONAL ITEMS ON TODAY'S AGENDA?

2 MS. BONNEVILLE: J.T., JAMES NEEDS TO ADD  
3 SOMETHING TO THAT. HOLD ON THAT, PLEASE.

4 MR. HARRISON: SO, J.T., WE ACTUALLY NEED  
5 TO TAKE TWO DIFFERENT VOTES BECAUSE ONE OF THE  
6 MOTIONS WAS APPROVED BY THE APPLICATION REVIEW  
7 SUBCOMMITTEE. AND ONE IS AN ITEM FOR THE FULL  
8 BOARD. SO WHY DON'T WE TAKE UP THE APPOINTMENT OF  
9 GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERS FIRST.

10 AND WHAT I WOULD ASK IS UNDER STATE LAW,  
11 WHEN AN AGENCY ADDS AN ITEM TO THE AGENDA AFTER THE  
12 AGENDA IS POSTED, IT HAS TO MAKE A FINDING THAT THE  
13 ITEM CAME TO THE AGENCY'S ATTENTION AFTER THE AGENDA  
14 WAS POSTED AND THERE'S A NEED FOR IMMEDIATE ACTION.  
15 AND IN THIS CASE THE REVIEW OFFICE IDENTIFIED A NEED  
16 TO APPOINT TWO ADDITIONAL MEMBERS OF THE GRANTS  
17 WORKING GROUP TO FILL OUT THE EXPERTISE FOR TWO  
18 UPCOMING REVIEWS. AND THERE'S A NEED FOR IMMEDIATE  
19 ACTION BECAUSE AT LEAST ONE OF THESE REVIEWS WILL  
20 TAKE PLACE BEFORE THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

21 SO IF THE MAKER OF THE MOTION WOULD  
22 INCORPORATE THAT, I WOULD APPRECIATE IT.

23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. DO I HEAR A  
24 MOTION TO CONSIDER THAT ITEM?

25 DR. FRIEDMAN: SO MOVED.

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY DR. FRIEDMAN.

2 IS THERE A SECOND?

3 DR. BOXER: SECOND.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. SECOND BY  
5 DR. BOXER. ANY QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?  
6 COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC? THANK YOU.

7 HEARING NONE, EVEN THOUGH THIS IS A PRO FORMA VOTE,  
8 BECAUSE THIS IS A TELEPHONIC CALL, WE HAVE TO TAKE  
9 ALL MATTERS ON A ROLL CALL BASIS. SO, MARIA, PLEASE  
10 CALL THE ROLL.

11 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID BRENNER. LINDA  
12 BOXER.

13 DR. BOXER: YES.

14 MS. BONNEVILLE: SUE BRYANT.

15 DR. BRYANT: YES.

16 MS. BONNEVILLE: KEN BERTIS.

17 DR. BERTIS: YES.

18 MS. BONNEVILLE: ELIZABETH FINI.

19 DR. FINI: YES.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL FRIEDMAN.

21 DR. FRIEDMAN: YES.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.

23 DR. GASSON: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELGAARD.

25 DR. JUELGAARD: YES.

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: BERT LUBIN.  
2 DR. LUBIN: YES.  
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.  
4 DR. MELMED: YES.  
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.  
6 MS. MILLER: YES.  
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.  
8 MR. PANETTA: YES.  
9 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT. AL  
10 ROWLETT.  
11 MR. ROWLETT: YES.  
12 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.  
13 MR. SHEEHY: YES.  
14 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.  
15 DR. STEWARD: YES.  
16 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.  
17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.  
18 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.  
19 MR. TORRES: AYE.  
20 MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.  
21 DR. VUORI: YES.  
22 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.  
23 MS. WINOKUR: YES.  
24 MR. HARRISON: MOTION CARRIES.  
25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. LET'S

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 PROCEED DIRECTLY TO THE ITEM ITSELF. DR. SAMBRANO.

2 DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN,  
3 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. SO THE TWO NOMINEES THAT WE  
4 HAVE FOR GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERSHIP, THEIR  
5 BIOS, I BELIEVE, HAVE BEEN DISTRIBUTED TO ALL OF  
6 YOU. THEY ARE DR. NAZEM ATTASSI. HE IS BRINGING  
7 EXPERTISE IN THE AREA OF NEUROMUSCULAR DISORDERS,  
8 MORE SPECIFICALLY IN ALS. AND ALSO DR. KENNETH  
9 BRAYMAN IN THE AREA OF DIABETES AND ISLET  
10 TRANSPLANTATION.

11 SO WE'RE SEEKING YOUR APPROVAL OF THESE  
12 TWO NOMINEES AS MEMBERS OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.

13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DO I HEAR A MOTION TO  
14 THAT EFFECT?

15 DR. GASSON: SO MOVED.

16 MR. TORRES: SECOND.

17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY DR. GASSON,  
18 SECONDED BY SENATOR TORRES. ANY DISCUSSION BY  
19 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? ANY PUBLIC COMMENTS? MARIA,  
20 PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

21 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID BRENNER. LINDA  
22 BOXER.

23 DR. BOXER: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: SUE BRYANT.

25 DR. BRYANT: YES.

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: KEN BERTIS.  
2 DR. BERTIS: YES.  
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: ELIZABETH FINI.  
4 DR. FINI: YES.  
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: MICHAEL FRIEDMAN.  
6 DR. FRIEDMAN: YES.  
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.  
8 DR. GASSON: YES.  
9 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.  
10 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES.  
11 MS. BONNEVILLE: BERT LUBIN.  
12 DR. LUBIN: YES.  
13 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.  
14 DR. MELMED: YES.  
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.  
16 MS. MILLER: YES.  
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.  
18 MR. PANETTA: YES.  
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT. AL  
20 ROWLETT.  
21 MR. ROWLETT: YES.  
22 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.  
23 MR. SHEEHY: YES.  
24 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.  
25 DR. STEWARD: YES.

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

4 MR. TORRES: AYE.

5 MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.

6 DR. VUORI: YES.

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

8 MR. HARRISON: MOTION PASSES.

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. THE THIRD  
10 ITEM, MR. HARRISON, WOULD YOU LIKE TO KEY THAT UP  
11 WITH A SIMILAR PROLOGUE?

12 MR. HARRISON: YES. SO, AGAIN, THIS IS  
13 ANOTHER ITEM THAT CAME TO OUR ATTENTION AFTER THE  
14 AGENDA WAS POSTED. AS YOU RECALL, AT THE LAST BOARD  
15 MEETING AT THE END OF MAY, THE BOARD REFERRED AN  
16 APPLICATION FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY SUPPLEMENT, THIS IS  
17 FOR PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT 14-04, TO THE GRANTS  
18 WORKING GROUP FOR AN ADDITIONAL REVIEW. AND  
19 SUBSEQUENT TO THE POSTING OF THE AGENDA, IT CAME TO  
20 OUR ATTENTION THAT CONVENING A FULL GRANTS WORKING  
21 GROUP WITH THE APPROPRIATE EXPERTISE TO REVIEW THE  
22 EXTRAORDINARY SUPPLEMENT APPLICATION COULD NOT OCCUR  
23 UNTIL LATER THIS FALL AND THAT THERE WAS A NEED FOR  
24 EARLIER RESOLUTION.

25 SO ON THAT BASIS, WE'D ASK YOU TO CONSIDER

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 A MOTION TO ADD THE ITEM TO THE AGENDA. AND THIS,  
2 AGAIN, IS FOR THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE  
3 ONLY.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. SO JUST TO BE  
5 CLEAR, THIS VOTE IS TO PROCEED TO A DISCUSSION OF  
6 THE AGENDA TOPIC, NOT THE TOPIC ITSELF.

7 MR. HARRISON: CORRECT.

8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO, MARIA, PLEASE CALL  
9 THE ROLL.

10 MS. BONNEVILLE: WE NEED A MOTION.

11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: CORRECT. GOOD POINT.  
12 GLAD PEOPLE ARE PAYING ATTENTION. DO I HEAR A  
13 MOTION TO THAT EFFECT?

14 MR. TORRES: SO MOVED.

15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY THE GOOD  
16 SENATOR. SECONDED BY?

17 DR. JUELSGAARD: SECOND.

18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. JUELSGAARD. NOW  
19 LET'S TRY THAT AGAIN. MARIA, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.

21 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.

23 MS. MILLER: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.

25 MR. PANETTA: YES.

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO. ROBERT  
2 QUINT. AL ROWLETT.

3 MR. ROWLETT: SORRY FOR THE DELAY. YES.

4 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.

5 MR. SHEEHY: YES.

6 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.

7 DR. STEWARD: YES.

8 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

10 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

11 MR. TORRES: AYE.

12 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

13 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

14 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.

15 MR. HARRISON: THAT MOTION PASSES.

16 DR. MELMED: SORRY. THIS IS SHLOMO  
17 MELMED. YOU DIDN'T CALL MY NAME.

18 MS. BONNEVILLE: DR. MELMED, THIS IS JUST  
19 FOR THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE.

20 DR. MELMED: OH, SORRY. APOLOGY.

21 MS. BONNEVILLE: IT'S OKAY.

22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. DR. MILLS, WOULD  
23 YOU PLEASE LEAD THIS DISCUSSION.

24 DR. MILLS: YES. SO JAMES DID A PRETTY  
25 GOOD JOB OF SUMMARIZING. ALL WE'RE DOING TODAY WITH

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 REGARDS TO THIS EXTRAORDINARY SUPPLEMENT REQUEST IS  
2 AMENDING THE MOTION WE TOOK IN THE PREVIOUS BOARD  
3 MEETING. IN THE PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING, BECAUSE WE  
4 HAD SOME REVIEW MATERIALS THAT WERE CRITIQUED THAT  
5 DID NOT GO OUT TO THE PATIENT ADVOCATES AND OTHERS  
6 SIMPLY BECAUSE THEY WEREN'T RECEIVED BY CIRM. THE  
7 DISCUSSION WAS HAD THAT THAT COMPROMISED THE REVIEW  
8 AND THAT AT OUR LAST BOARD MEETING WE SAID THAT WE  
9 WOULD CONDUCT A NEW REVIEW WITH THE GRANTS REVIEW  
10 GROUP.

11 WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO TO EXPEDITE THE  
12 PROCESS IS DO IT AT A SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE GRANTS  
13 REVIEW GROUP AND INVITE ALL OF THE PATIENT ADVOCATES  
14 TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DISCUSSION WITH ALL OF THE  
15 MATERIALS AT THIS TIME. SO THE ONLY ACTION WE HAVE  
16 TODAY IS TO JUST AMEND THE PREVIOUS MOTION WHICH HAD  
17 REVIEW BY THE ENTIRE GRANTS REVIEW GROUP TO A  
18 SUBGROUP OF THE GRANTS REVIEW GROUP WITH ALL OF THE  
19 PATIENT ADVOCATES.

20 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO, DR. MILLS, THIS IS  
21 STEVE JUELSGAARD. SO MARIA SENT OUT A LETTER FROM  
22 DR. BLAU. I THINK YOU DID THAT THIS MORNING, RIGHT,  
23 MARIA?

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: YES.

25 DR. JUELSGAARD: AND IN THAT LETTER SHE

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 BASICALLY SAYS THAT IF WE'RE GOING TO APPROVE THE  
2 SUPPLEMENT, THAT NEEDS TO HAPPEN BY JULY 1ST, AND  
3 SHE GOES THROUGH A LIST OF REASONS AS TO WHY THAT  
4 WOULD BE TRUE. DO YOU HAVE A COMMENT ON THAT LETTER  
5 FOR US, DR. MILLS?

6 DR. MILLS: SO THIS IS -- WE DECIDED -- WE  
7 DISCUSSED AND DECIDED AT THE LAST REVIEW MEETING  
8 BECAUSE THERE WAS AN IRREGULARITY IN THE PROCESS,  
9 THAT WE DIDN'T HAVE A REVIEW THAT WE FELT  
10 COMFORTABLE COULD STAND BECAUSE IT DIDN'T ALLOW FOR  
11 COMPLETE PATIENT ADVOCATE PARTICIPATION AS REQUIRED  
12 UNDER PROPOSITION 71. THIS IS HOW WE CAN AMEND  
13 THAT, BUT ONCE WE CONDUCT THE GRANTS -- THE  
14 SUBCOMMITTEE, IF THIS MOTION IS APPROVED, WE WILL  
15 SEND IT TO A SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE GRANTS WORKING  
16 GROUP. IT WILL STILL HAVE TO COME BACK TO THE FULL  
17 BOARD FOR APPROVAL WHICH COULDN'T HAPPEN UNTIL  
18 OBVIOUSLY ANY EARLIER THAN THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.  
19 AND SO THAT'S THE PROCESS WHERE WE ARE AT TODAY.

20 THIS ISN'T ABOUT CONSIDERING THIS -- THIS  
21 ISN'T ABOUT CONSIDERING THIS ITEM FOR FUNDING TODAY.  
22 IT'S ABOUT LETTING US REVIEW IT QUICKER THAN OUR  
23 PREVIOUS MOTION ALLOWED US TO DO.

24 DR. JUELGAARD: NO. I'VE GOT THAT. I  
25 UNDERSTAND THAT. NO, THE QUESTION IS, IN ESSENCE,

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 THAT THE ISSUE IS TO HOW FIRM A DATE JULY 1ST IS OR  
2 NOT. I'LL PUT THAT TO THE SIDE FOR A MOMENT. SO IN  
3 YOUR VIEW THIS WOULD ALL HAPPEN IN MATTER OF COURSE  
4 AND WHENEVER IT GETS DONE, IT GETS DONE. WHENEVER  
5 IT GETS BACK TO THE BOARD, IT'S GETS BACK TO THE  
6 BOARD. AND IF ALL THAT ISN'T UNTIL SOMETIME AFTER  
7 JULY 1ST, THEN IT ISN'T UNTIL SOMETIME AFTER JULY  
8 1ST. IS THAT WHAT I UNDERSTAND?

9 DR. MILLS: THAT IS OUR ONLY OPTION.

10 DR. JUELSGAARD: OKAY. I JUST WANTED SOME  
11 CLARITY. THANK YOU.

12 WELL, I MOVE THAT WE REVISE THE REVIEW  
13 PROCESS IN THE WAY THAT DR. MILLS SET OUT, REVISE IT  
14 IN THAT DIRECTION IN LIEU OF WHAT WE APPROVED AT THE  
15 ICOC MEETING IN MAY.

16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. JUELSGAARD. IS  
17 THERE A SECOND.

18 MR. SHEEHY: SECOND.

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. IT'S BEEN MOVED  
20 AND SECONDED. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION BY  
21 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?

22 MS. WINOKUR: WOULD YOU PLEASE REPEAT THE  
23 EXACT MOTION THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE VOTING ON?

24 MR. HARRISON: YES. DIANE, THE MOTION IS  
25 TO AMEND THE MOTION THAT WAS TAKEN AT THE MAY 29TH

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 BOARD MEETING WHICH WOULD HAVE REQUIRED A FULL  
2 GRANTS WORKING GROUP REVIEW AND INSTEAD ALLOW CIRM  
3 TO DESIGNATE A SUBGROUP OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP  
4 MEMBERS THAT WOULD INCLUDE THE PATIENT ADVOCATES TO  
5 REVIEW THE APPLICATION SO THAT IT COULD HAPPEN IN A  
6 MORE EXPEDITIOUS MANNER.

7 MS. WINOKUR: THANK YOU.

8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY COMMENTS FROM  
9 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC?

10 DR. MELMED: J.T., THIS IS SHLOMO HERE. I  
11 HAVE A QUESTION.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HI, SHLOMO. YES, SIR.

13 DR. MELMED: CAN STAFF TELL US, IS THIS  
14 GOING TO BE -- ARE WE SETTING A PRECEDENT HERE? IS  
15 THIS JUST AN AD HOC VOTE?

16 DR. MILLS: A PRECEDENT REGARDING WHAT?

17 DR. MELMED: REGARDING THE PROCESS WE'RE  
18 VOTING ON. THIS IS GOING TO -- THAT WE'LL HAVE THE  
19 ABILITY TO DO EXPEDITED REVIEWS AS A GENERAL RULE OR  
20 WILL EVERYONE BE BROUGHT TO A VOTE? IS THIS AN AD  
21 HOC DECISION OR IS IT A CHANGE IN PROCESS?

22 DR. MILLS: THIS IS A BIT OF AN UNUSUAL  
23 CIRCUMSTANCE BECAUSE --

24 DR. MELMED: I UNDERSTAND. WILL OUR  
25 VOTES, THEREFORE, BE ONLY FOR THIS CIRCUMSTANCE AND

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 FUTURE SUCH REQUESTS WILL BE BROUGHT TO THE BOARD,  
2 OR ARE WE VERGING ON A CHANGE IN PROCESS?

3 DR. MILLS: THIS IS -- THE FIRST, THE  
4 FORMER.

5 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THIS IS STRICTLY A ONE  
6 OFF, SHLOMO.

7 MS. WINOKUR: MAYBE WE SHOULD MAKE THAT  
8 CLEAR IN THE MOTION.

9 DR. MELMED: THAT WOULD HELP US IF IT  
10 WOULD BE CLARIFIED IN THE MOTION.

11 MR. HARRISON: WE WILL DO THAT. THIS IS A  
12 MOTION THAT PERTAINS ONLY TO AN APPLICATION FOR  
13 EXTRAORDINARY SUPPLEMENT FOR EARLY TRANSLATION AWARD  
14 TR 305501.

15 MS. WINOKUR: THANK YOU.

16 MR. TORRES: ALSO IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE  
17 THAT THE REASON WE'RE MOVING IN THIS DIRECTION IS  
18 BECAUSE (INAUDIBLE).

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ART, WE GOT ABOUT TWO OF  
20 THOSE WORDS. A BIT OF A BAD CELL SPOT.

21 MR. TORRES: I'M SORRY. I JUST WANT TO BE  
22 SURE THAT PEOPLE KNOW THAT THIS IS EXTRAORDINARY  
23 BECAUSE THE PROCESS WAS NOT FOLLOWED AS IT USUALLY  
24 IS IN TERMS OF EVERY MEMBER GETTING ACCESS TO THE  
25 INFORMATION THAT WAS NECESSARY TO MAKE AN

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 APPROPRIATE DECISION. SO I WOULD SIDE WITH  
2 SHLOMO --

3 (LOST CONNECTION.)

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I THINK WE GOT THE BASIC  
5 GIST THERE. OKAY. ANY OTHER COMMENT BY MEMBERS OF  
6 THE BOARD?

7 DR. MELMED: CAN THE MOTION BE REREAD AS  
8 IT WOULD BE REWORDED PLEASE?

9 MR. HARRISON: YES. THE MOTION IS TO  
10 DESIGNATE A SUBGROUP OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP  
11 INCLUDING THE PATIENT ADVOCATES TO REVIEW AN  
12 APPLICATION FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY SUPPLEMENT RELATING  
13 TO EARLY TRANSLATION III AWARD, TR 3-05501.

14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. ANY OTHER  
15 COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? COMMENTS FROM  
16 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC? HEARING NONE, MARIA, PLEASE  
17 TAKE THE ROLL.

18 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.

19 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.

21 MS. MILLER: YES.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.

23 MR. PANETTA: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.

25 MR. ROWLETT: YES.

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.

2 MR. SHEEHY: YES.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.

4 DR. STEWARD: YES.

5 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES. DIANE

8 WINOKUR.

9 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

10 MR. HARRISON: WE'RE GOING TO LEAVE THE  
11 ROLL OPEN FOR A MOMENT SO THAT SENATOR TORRES CAN  
12 REJOIN US AND RECORD HIS VOTE. BUT I THINK OTHER  
13 THAN THAT, CHAIR, THIS IS ALL THE BUSINESS THAT WE  
14 NEEDED TO COMPLETE TODAY.

15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. AND HOW DO WE DO  
16 THAT? JAMES, HOW DO WE WITHOUT --

17 MR. HARRISON: THOSE OF US SITTING HERE AT  
18 CIRM WILL LEAVE THE PHONE LINE OPEN AND WAIT UNTIL  
19 SENATOR TORRES CAN REJOIN US. AND IF THERE ARE ANY  
20 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC --

21 MR. TORRES: I'M HERE NOW. I'M HERE NOW.  
22 I'M HERE NOW.

23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. ART, WE JUST HAD  
24 A ROLL CALL VOTE. WE HAPPILY GOT YOUR POINT BEFORE  
25 YOU LOST CONTACT HERE.

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. TORRES: I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT  
SHLOMO IS RIGHT ON TARGET. AND I VOTE AYE.  
MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.  
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU.  
MR. HARRISON: THAT MOTION PASSES.  
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY, MR. HARRISON.  
THANK YOU, EVERYBODY, FOR YOUR ATTENDANCE. AND THAT  
CONCLUDES TODAY'S MEETING.

(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT  
3:45 P.M.)

BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON JUNE 13, 2014, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152  
BARRISTERS REPORTING SERVICE  
160 S. OLD SPRINGS ROAD  
SUITE 270  
ANAHEIM, CALIFORNIA  
(714) 444-4100