

BEFORE THE
GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
TO THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: TELEPHONIC MEETING

DATE: DECEMBER 4, 2006
3 P.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CSR
CSR. NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 76797

I N D E X

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
CALL TO ORDER		3
ROLL CALL		4
APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF 3/30 & 5/19		6
PRESIDENT'S REPORT		8
CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE POLICY FOR CONTRACTING AND SERVICES OF INDEPENDENT CONSULTANTS		13
CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE 2006-07 CIRM ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET		27
CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE POLICY AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING TRAVEL FOR CIRM STAFF AND WORKING GROUP MEMBERS		46
CONSIDERATION OF GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE MISSION STATEMENT AND STANDING ORDERS INCLUDING DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY FOR FUTURE CIRM TRAVEL POLICIES		46
CONSIDERATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT FOR PATIENT ADVOCATES OF THE ICOC		56
ADJOURNMENT		86

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DECEMBER 4, 2006

3 P.M.

CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I'D LIKE TO WELCOME EVERYBODY TO THE MEETING OF THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE ICOC. AND I BELIEVE WE HAVE PARTICIPANTS IN NINE LOCATIONS ON THE LINE. SO LAURA BROWN AND I ARE HERE IN L.A., AND I'D LIKE TO SAY ARE WE ON THE LINE WITH CIRM? IS EVERYBODY THERE?

MS. DUROSS: WE'RE HERE.

CHAIRPERSON LANSING: KECK?

DR. HENDERSON: HERE.

CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CARLSBAD?

DR. NOVA: HERE.

CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SACRAMENTO?

DR. POMEROY: HERE.

CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE?

MS. INGELS: HERE.

CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LA JOLLA?

DR. MURPHY: HERE.

CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SAN FRANCISCO?

MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: HERE.

CHAIRPERSON LANSING: DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL.

MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: YES.

CHAIRPERSON LANSING: GOOD. STANFORD?

1 DR. PIZZO: HERE.

2 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: AND I WANT TO THANK YOU
3 ALL FOR PARTICIPATING IN THIS IMPORTANT CALL, AND THEN
4 THIS WILL BE A LITTLE REDUNDANT, BUT, AMY, COULD YOU
5 PLEASE LEAD US IN A ROLL CALL.

6 MS. DUROSS: SHERRY LANSING.

7 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: HERE.

8 MS. DUROSS: PHIL PIZZO.

9 DR. PIZZO: HERE.

10 MS. DUROSS: OS STEWARD.

11 MS. INGELS: HE'S RIGHT OUTSIDE THE DOOR.

12 MS. DUROSS: CLAIRE POMEROY.

13 DR. POMEROY: HERE.

14 MS. DUROSS: BOB KLEIN. TINA NOVA.

15 DR. NOVA: HERE.

16 MS. DUROSS: BRIAN HENDERSON.

17 DR. HENDERSON: HERE.

18 MS. DUROSS: RICH MURPHY.

19 DR. MURPHY: HERE.

20 MS. DUROSS: DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL.

21 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: HERE.

22 MS. DUROSS: JOHN REED IS NOT JOINING US
23 TODAY.

24 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WE'LL MISS HIM. BEFORE
25 WE OFFICIALLY BEGIN, I WOULD FIRST LIKE TO WELCOME LORI

1 HOFFMAN, WHO'S OUR NEW CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE AND
2 FINANCIAL OFFICER.

3 (APPLAUSE.)

4 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: AND I SECOND THAT.
5 LORI COMES TO US MOST RECENTLY FROM THE OFFICE OF THE
6 PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, AND SHE HAS
7 TAKEN OVER FOR WALTER BARNES. AND SO I'D LIKE TO, ON
8 BEHALF OF ALL OF US, LORI, EXTEND YOU OUR JOY AND OUR
9 WELCOME. WE'RE DELIGHTED THAT YOU'RE WITH US.

10 MS. HOFFMAN: THANK YOU SO MUCH.

11 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: AND BEFORE WE GET INTO
12 OUR AGENDA, I JUST WANT TO SEE IF THERE ARE ANY
13 QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM OUR SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS,
14 AND THEN I THINK, IN ORDER TO MAKE IT A LITTLE EASIER,
15 I'LL GO TO EACH PLACE AND AT THE SAME TIME ASK IF
16 THERE'S ANY PUBLIC COMMENT. SO CIRM, ANY SUBCOMMITTEE?

17 MS. DUROSS: NO.

18 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ANY PUBLIC?

19 MS. DUROSS: NO.

20 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: KECK?

21 DR. HENDERSON: NO.

22 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CARLSBAD?

23 DR. NOVA: NO.

24 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SACRAMENTO?

25 DR. POMEROY: NO.

1 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE? LA JOLLA?
2 DR. MURPHY: NO.
3 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SAN FRANCISCO?
4 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: NO.
5 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: STANFORD?
6 DR. PIZZO: NO.
7 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: AND I'M NOT GOING TO
8 TELL THE PUBLIC THAT THEY ONLY HAVE -- IF YOU WANT TO
9 SAY ANYTHING, JOHN, BECAUSE YOU JUST WALKED IN IN L.A.
10 MR. SIMPSON: JUST SAY THAT JOHN SIMPSON FROM
11 THE FOUNDATION FOR TAXPAYER AND CONSUMER RIGHTS IS HERE
12 AND TAKING PART IN THE MEETING.
13 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: AND, AGAIN, IF THERE
14 SHOULD BE FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT AT ANY TIME, I JUST
15 WANT TO REMIND YOU THAT YOUR COMMENTS SHOULD BE LIMITED
16 TO THREE MINUTES.
17 WITH THAT DONE, I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE ON. AND
18 OUR FIRST AGENDA ITEM IS APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM
19 OUR MEETINGS ON MARCH 30TH AND MAY 19TH. ARE THERE ANY
20 COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS ON THESE MEETINGS, AS WELL
21 FROM THE PUBLIC? I THINK IF WE DO THIS AT THE SAME
22 TIME, IT WILL BE QUICKER.
23 CIRM?
24 MS. DUROSS: NO.
25 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: KECK?

1 DR. HENDERSON: NO.

2 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CARLSBAD?

3 DR. NOVA: NO.

4 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SACRAMENTO?

5 DR. POMEROY: NO.

6 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE?

7 DR. STEWARD: NO.

8 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LA JOLLA? DAVID, SAN
9 FRANCISCO?

10 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: NO.

11 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: STANFORD?

12 DR. PIZZO: NO.

13 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IS THERE A MOTION TO
14 APPROVE THESE MINUTES?

15 DR. HENDERSON: SO MOVED, KECK.

16 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SECOND?

17 DR. POMEROY: SECOND.

18 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IS THERE A DISCUSSION
19 ON THE MOTION? AMY, WILL YOU PLEASE LEAD US IN A ROLL
20 CALL VOTE.

21 MS. DUROSS: SHERRY LANSING.

22 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES.

23 MS. DUROSS: PHIL PIZZO.

24 DR. PIZZO: YES.

25 MS. DUROSS: OS STEWARD. CLAIRE POMEROY.

1 DR. POMEROY: YES.

2 MS. DUROSS: BOB KLEIN. TINA NOVA.

3 DR. NOVA: YES.

4 MS. DUROSS: BRIAN HENDERSON.

5 DR. HENDERSON: YES.

6 MS. DUROSS: RICH MURPHY.

7 DR. MURPHY: YES.

8 MS. DUROSS: DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL.

9 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: YES.

10 MS. DUROSS: JOHN REED. MOTION PASSES.

11 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. ZACH, WE'RE NOW

12 GOING TO TURN TO YOUR PRESIDENT'S REPORT, WHICH IS

13 AGENDA ITEM 4. SO, ZACH, THE MEETING IS ALL YOURS.

14 DR. HALL: THANK YOU, SHERRY. FIRST, I WANT

15 TO REPORT SOME RECENT DEVELOPMENTS AT CIRM, AND,

16 SECOND, LORI HOFFMAN WILL BE BRINGING TO YOUR ATTENTION

17 SEVERAL CHANGES IN OUR POLICIES THAT WE WISH TO PROPOSE

18 BECAUSE WE BELIEVE THAT THEY WILL STRENGTHEN OUR

19 OPERATIONS.

20 LET ME JUST START BY SAYING THAT WE HAVE JUST

21 HAD A REMARKABLE AND HISTORIC WEEK FOR TWO REASONS.

22 FIRST, WE CARRIED OUT REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF 232

23 APPLICATIONS FOR SEED GRANTS ON TUESDAY, WEDNESDAY, AND

24 THURSDAY IN WHAT WAS CALLED A MIRACULOUS AND

25 MAGNIFICENT, SOMEONE EVEN SAID A HEROIC MEETING.

1 DR. NOVA: WOW. CONGRATULATIONS.

2 DR. HALL: I WILL SAY MORE ABOUT IT AT THE
3 ICOC MEETING, BUT WE ALL CAME OUT OF THIS MEETING
4 THRILLED BY THE RESULTS WHICH YOU WILL SEE IN FEBRUARY.
5 AND JUST TO NOTE THAT ARLENE AND HER TEAM DID A
6 FANTASTIC JOB.

7 DR. PIZZO: GREAT. CONGRATULATIONS AND
8 THANKS.

9 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I ALSO WANT TO SECOND
10 THAT. I MEAN I WAS THERE FOR ONE OF THE DAYS, AND THE
11 WORK WAS EXTRAORDINARY AND IT WORKED LIKE CLOCKWORK.
12 SO TO YOU, ZACH, AND TO ARLENE AND TO EVERYBODY ON THE
13 TEAM THAT WORKED ON IT, IT WAS JUST EXTRAORDINARY AND
14 MADE ME FEEL VERY, VERY PROUD TO BE A SMALL PART OF IT.

15 DR. HALL: THE SECOND MAJOR EVENT OF THE WEEK
16 WAS THE DEPOSIT OF THE BAN'S AND THE GOVERNOR'S LOAN
17 INTO THE CIRM ACCOUNT. SO BOB KLEIN AND HIS TEAM
18 DESERVE TERRIFIC CREDIT. WE ARE FINALLY SOLVENT AND
19 AREN'T AT SORT OF THE DOOR OF RUNNING OUT OF MONEY
20 HERE. AND IT'S WONDERFUL AND IT'S, I THINK, QUITE NICE
21 THAT THESE TWO THINGS HAPPENED IN THE SAME WEEK BECAUSE
22 ALL WHO WERE PRESENT AT THAT MEETING FELT WE HAD
23 FANTASTIC SCIENCE TO FUND, AND WE NOW HAVE THE MONEY TO
24 FUND THAT FIRST BIT OF IT. AND SO THAT MAKES THIS
25 REALLY HISTORIC.

1 LET ME QUICKLY REPORT ON SEVERAL PERSONNEL
2 MATTERS JUST TO THIS COMMITTEE. WE HAVE HIRED TWO NEW
3 SCIENCE OFFICERS, DR. KUMAR HARI AND RUTH GLOBUS. DR.
4 HARI HAS ALREADY JOINED US, AND DR. GLOBUS WILL BE
5 JOINING US AFTER THE FIRST OF THE YEAR, AND A GRANTS
6 TECHNICAL ASSISTANT, MAYBEL CORTEZ.

7 WE HAVE ALSO MADE AN OFFER TO A PERSON FOR
8 THE FACILITIES LIAISON OFFICER THAT WILL BE CONTINGENT
9 ON APPROVAL OF A SPECIAL ITEM BY THE ICOC LATER THIS
10 WEEK. THE CANDIDATE HAS ACTUALLY ACCEPTED CONTINGENT
11 ON ICOC APPROVAL, SO WE'LL BE DEALING WITH THAT LATER
12 THIS WEEK. AND I HOPE WE WILL HAVE A FACILITIES
13 OFFICER BY THE END OF THE WEEK.

14 FINALLY, WE HAVE ACTIVATED A SEARCH FOR CHIEF
15 LEGAL OFFICER AND ARE CURRENTLY INTERVIEWING SEVERAL
16 CANDIDATES FOR THIS POSITION, AND MY HOPE IS THAT WE'LL
17 BE ABLE TO MOVE FAIRLY QUICKLY ON THAT AS WELL.

18 THE NEXT ITEM I WANTED TO DISCUSS WAS THE
19 CIRM SPACE POLICY. AS YOU RECALL AT THE JUNE ICOC
20 MEETING, CIRM WAS DIRECTED TO DEVELOP A FORMAL PLAN FOR
21 INTERNAL SPACE MANAGEMENT AND OFFICE ASSIGNMENT THAT'S
22 BASED ON OUR PERSONNEL CLASSIFICATION SCHEME AND SALARY
23 STRUCTURE. WE HAVE DONE SO, AND I PRESENT IT HERE.
24 IT'S IN THE MATERIAL THAT YOU HAD GIVEN OUT TO YOU, AND
25 I DON'T KNOW HOW TO DESCRIBE WHAT PAGE IT'S ON, BUT

1 IT'S CALLED -- IT'S ITEM NO. 4 A, INTERNAL SPACE.

2 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IT'S RIGHT BEHIND YOUR
3 NO. 4 TAB.

4 DR. HALL: RIGHT. AND THE BASIC ARRANGEMENT
5 OF THIS IS THAT WE HAVE THREE TYPES OF OFFICES, THOSE
6 WITH WINDOWS, THOSE THAT ARE INTERNAL, AND THOSE THAT
7 ARE OPEN, AND THAT ASSIGNMENT TO THEM IS LINKED TO
8 POSITION. IN SOME CASES THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE AT A
9 PARTICULAR LEVEL EXCEEDS THE NUMBER OF OFFICES OF A
10 PARTICULAR TYPE, AND IN THOSE CASES, THE PRESIDENT WILL
11 MAKE THE ASSIGNMENT IN CONSULTATION WITH THE RELEVANT
12 SUPERVISOR. AND THE OBJECT, OF COURSE, WILL BE TO KEEP
13 CONSISTENCY AND FAIRNESS AND ALSO TO TRY TO GROUP BY
14 FUNCTION WHERE POSSIBLE.

15 WE HAVE ALREADY BEGUN TO IMPLEMENT THIS PLAN
16 WITH SEVERAL CHANGES IN OFFICE ASSIGNMENT AS A RESULT
17 AND OTHERS WHO WILL BE MADE IN THE NEXT WEEK OR SO.

18 NOW LET ME BRIEFLY INTRODUCE THE OTHER ITEMS
19 THAT WILL BE CONSIDERED IN THE REST OF THE MEETING. AS
20 YOU MAY KNOW, WE'VE HAD A VERY RIGOROUS AND DEMANDING
21 REVIEW OF OUR OPERATIONS BY THE STATE AUDIT OFFICE. IN
22 THE COURSE OF THE REVIEW -- AND LET ME SAY THIS HAS
23 GONE ON FOR SOME WEEKS, AND I'LL SAY A LITTLE BIT MORE
24 ABOUT IT ON THURSDAY -- BUT IT HAS BEEN -- WE HAVE PUT
25 A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF TIME AND EFFORT INTO MEETING THE

1 REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION OF THE AUDITORS.

2 IN THE COURSE OF THE REVIEW, THE AUDITORS
3 BROUGHT TO OUR ATTENTION SEVERAL WAYS IN WHICH OUR
4 POLICIES COULD BE IMPROVED TO HELP BRING THEM BETTER IN
5 LINE WITH PROPOSITION 71. THESE SUGGESTIONS WERE
6 ACTUALLY HELPFUL TO US. AND RATHER THAN WAIT FOR THE
7 FORMAL REPORT, WE HAVE DECIDED TO GO AHEAD AND
8 IMPLEMENT THE CHANGES IN POLICIES THAT AROSE OUT OF
9 THESE DISCUSSIONS. THESE POLICIES CONCERN HOW WE DO
10 OUR CONTRACTING AND THE POLICIES FOR CIRM AND WORKING
11 GROUP TRAVEL. AND IN ADDITION, IN THE COURSE OF THIS
12 DISCUSSION, WE WILL ASK THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE TO
13 RECOMMEND A CHANGE IN DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY FOR THE
14 TRAVEL POLICIES.

15 WE HAVE NOT YET PRESENTED TO YOU THE AMENDED
16 BUDGET FOR 2006-2007 THAT REFLECTS THE CHANGES AS A
17 RESULT OF THE GOVERNOR'S LOAN TO US. WITH LORI'S
18 RECENTLY JOINING US, WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO GO AHEAD AND
19 DEVELOP THAT BUDGET, AND SHE WILL BE PRESENTING THAT
20 TODAY. SO WITH THAT BRIEF INTRODUCTION, I TURN IT BACK
21 TO YOU, SHERRY, AS CHAIR, AND WE CAN MOVE ON TO OTHER
22 ITEMS ON THE AGENDA.

23 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU, ZACH. AND
24 AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR THE INCREDIBLE JOB YOU DID ON THE
25 GRANTS AND IN ALL WAYS ACTUALLY. I HEAR A BABY. WE

1 HEARD OH, OH, OH, AND WE'RE SAYING.

2 MS. KING: YOU ALL SAW A PHOTOGRAPH OF HER.
3 THAT IS CLARA SERRANO-SEWELL. YOU SAW HER PHOTOGRAPH
4 IN AUGUST AND NOW YOU HEARD HER VOICE.

5 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SHE'S BEAUTIFUL. WE'RE
6 GLAD TO HAVE CLARA WITH US.

7 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: THANK YOU.

8 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SO NOW WE'RE GOING TO
9 MOVE ON TO AGENDA ITEM NO. 5. AND, LORI, WOULD YOU
10 PLEASE LEAD US THROUGH IT. AND THAT IS THE
11 CONSIDERATION OF ALL PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE POLICY
12 FOR CONTRACTING AND SERVICE OF INDEPENDENT CONSULTANTS.

13 DR. POMEROY: QUESTION, SHERRY. WE DON'T
14 NEED TO APPROVE THE INTERNAL SPACE POLICY OR IT'S NOT
15 APPROPRIATE FOR US TO?

16 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I DON'T HAVE --

17 DR. HALL: WE WERE DIRECTED TO DO IT, AND I'M
18 JUST -- IT'S NOT ON THE AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION. I'M
19 JUST REPORTING TO YOU THAT WE'VE DONE IT.

20 DR. POMEROY: I GOT IT. THANK YOU.

21 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SO WITH THAT SAID,
22 LORI, I'M GOING TO TURN IT BACK TO YOU.

23 MS. HOFFMAN: YES, THANK YOU. SO THIS
24 AFTERNOON WE'RE SEEKING THE COMMITTEE'S APPROVAL AND
25 RECOMMENDATION TO THE ICOC FOR THE ATTACHED POLICY ON

1 CONTRACTING AND SERVICES OF INDEPENDENT CONSULTANTS.
2 AS I SAY, ATTACHED BECAUSE IT SHOULD BE UNDER YOUR TAB
3 FIVE, LABEL NO. 5. THANK YOU.

4 SO AS PROVIDED IN THE HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
5 SECTION 125290.3, THE INSTITUTE SHALL, EXCEPT WHERE
6 OTHERWISE PROVIDED, BE GOVERNED BY THE COMPETITIVE
7 BIDDING REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO UNIVERSITY OF
8 CALIFORNIA. OUR CURRENT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR
9 CONTRACTING AT TIMES HAVE BEEN AMBIGUOUS AND OMIT A FEW
10 OF THE REQUIRED PROCEDURES AS DEFINED IN THE UNIVERSITY
11 OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND FINANCE BULLETIN 34.

12 SO WE ARE SUGGESTING A FEW MAJOR CHANGES TO
13 OUR POLICIES. AND THEY INCLUDE, FIRST, AS IDENTIFIED
14 UNDER THE DESCRIPTION OF THIS ITEM, THE THRESHOLDS FOR
15 SOLICITATION. THE CURRENT POLICY READS THAT CONTRACTS
16 WITH INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS OR CONSULTANTS ARE NOT
17 REQUIRED TO BE COMPETITIVELY BID. WE ARE SUGGESTING
18 THAT WE MOVE TO THE PROPOSED POLICY AS IDENTIFIED ON
19 PAGE 10, THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS: THAT ANY CONTRACT
20 LESS THAN \$15,000, THE RESPONSIBLE ADMINISTRATIVE
21 OFFICIAL SHALL DETERMINE THAT PAYMENT IS REASONABLE FOR
22 THE SERVICES TO BE PERFORMED.

23 ON YOUR ITEM YOU WILL SEE THAT I HAVE NOTED
24 WHAT WE'RE USING AS A DEFINITION OF REASONABLE PAYMENT.
25 AND A REASONABLE PAYMENT NEED NOT BE THE LOWEST PRICE

1 AVAILABLE, BUT IS THE ONE WHICH OFFERS THE HIGHEST
2 VALUE TO CIRM.

3 THEN ANYTHING OVER \$15,000 WILL NEED TO BE
4 COMPETITIVELY BID AS DEFINED IN BUSINESS AND FINANCE
5 PUBLICATION 34 FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA. WE
6 HAVE VERY CLEARLY SET FORTH A GRADATION OF WHAT WOULD
7 BE CONSIDERED A COMPETITIVE BID. SO ANYTHING BETWEEN
8 15 AND \$50,000, WE COULD SEEK A VERBAL BID SO THAT WE
9 WOULD HAVE THREE OR MORE VERBAL BIDS BASED ON THE SAME
10 SCOPE OF WORK. ANYTHING ABOVE \$50,000 WILL BE WRITTEN
11 COMPETITIVE BID PROCESS.

12 SECOND, WE'RE SEEKING A CHANGE TO THE
13 APPROVAL LEVELS FOR CONTRACTS AND INDEPENDENT
14 CONSULTING SERVICES. OUR CURRENT POLICY AS ADOPTED AS
15 OF JULY 5TH, 2005, STATES THAT THE ICOC SHALL HAVE
16 (DISTORTED TRANSMISSION) \$250,000. THE GOVERNANCE
17 SUBCOMMITTEE WOULD BE THE APPROVAL AUTHORITY FOR
18 ANYTHING BETWEEN A HUNDRED THOUSAND AND 250,000, AND
19 THE PRESIDENT CAN APPROVE INDEPENDENT CONSULTING
20 CONTRACTS UP TO \$100,000. CERTAINLY THIS IS DIFFERENT
21 THAN INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS, WHICH THE PRESIDENT HAS
22 THE AUTHORITY FOR THE INITIAL CONTRACT AS WELL AS ANY
23 FILING AMENDMENTS OR EXTENSIONS.

24 WE ARE PROPOSING THE CHANGES FOUND ON PAGE 11
25 WHICH WILL INCREASE THE DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO THE

1 PRESIDENT UP TO \$250,000. THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE
2 WOULD HAVE BETWEEN \$250,000 AND \$500,000. THE ICOC
3 WOULD APPROVE ANY CONTRACT ABOVE \$500,000. AND THE
4 INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS REMAIN THE SAME.

5 THE THIRD CHANGE THAT WE ARE PROPOSING IS THE
6 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS. CURRENTLY THE GOVERNANCE
7 SUBCOMMITTEE AS WELL AS THE ICOC IS GIVEN AN UPDATE AT
8 EVERY MEETING ON THE CURRENT CONTRACTS AND THEIR STATUS
9 AND ANY CHANGES THAT HAVE BEEN MADE. WE ARE PROPOSING
10 THAT THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE RECEIVE QUARTERLY REPORTS
11 ON THIS AND THAT THE ICOC RECEIVE AN ANNUAL REPORT.

12 AND THEN THE OTHER CHANGE IS THE TITLE
13 CHANGED BECAUSE THIS IS CERTAINLY JUST POLICY AND THAT
14 WE WILL HAVE OUR OWN INTERNAL CIRM PROCEDURE MANUAL.

15 SO WITH THAT, THE ONLY ADDITION THAT I WOULD
16 LIKE TO ADD BEFORE I OPEN IT UP FOR DISCUSSION IS I DO
17 WANT TO BRING YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 6 OF THIS ITEM.
18 AND THE DEFINITION OF RESPONSIBLE ADMINISTRATIVE
19 OFFICIAL. I'M SEEKING THIS RECOMMENDATION AND
20 APPROVAL. WE'RE ASKING THAT THE PRESIDENT OR THE CFAO
21 IS THE DESIGNATE BY THE ICOC FOR THE PURPOSE OF
22 APPROVING ANY INDEPENDENT CONSULTING AGREEMENT. SO, IN
23 FACT, WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IS THAT THE DELEGATED BODY
24 APPROVED THE CONSULTING AGREEMENT, THEN THE RESPONSIBLE
25 ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL WOULD BE THE SIGNATURE.

1 SO WITH THAT, I OPEN IT UP TO QUESTIONS.

2 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LET ME GO AROUND AND
3 SEE IF THERE ARE ANY COMMENTS FROM ANYONE AT CIRM?

4 MS. DUROSS: NO.

5 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ANYONE AT KECK?

6 DR. HENDERSON: NO.

7 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ANYONE AT CARLSBAD?

8 DR. NOVA: NO.

9 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ANYONE AT SACRAMENTO?

10 DR. POMEROY: NO.

11 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ANYONE AT IRVINE?

12 DR. STEWARD: NO COMMENTS.

13 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ANYONE AT LA JOLLA?

14 DR. MURPHY: NO.

15 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SAN FRANCISCO?

16 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: SHERRY, ARE YOU LOOKING
17 FOR COMMENTS FROM THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEMBERS OR
18 THE PUBLIC?

19 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I'M LOOKING FOR
20 COMMENTS FROM THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEMBERS FIRST.

21 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: OKAY. LORI, CAN YOU GO
22 OVER AGAIN THE JUSTIFICATION FOR INCREASING THE
23 DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY FROM A HUNDRED THOUSAND TO
24 250,000? IS THAT A PREEMPTIVE MOVE ON STAFF'S PART
25 HAVING TO DO WITH THE STATE AUDIT? YOU MENTIONED SOME

1 UC POLICIES, BUT I WONDER IF THERE'S ANY MORE --

2 DR. HALL: NO. THIS IS SEPARATE, DAVID. I
3 THINK IT WAS A FEELING EARLY ON THAT THE LOWER LIMIT
4 WOULD BE ONE THAT WOULD BE USEFUL EARLY ON; AND THAT AS
5 WE SORT OF DEVELOPED AS AN AGENCY, THAT THERE WOULD BE
6 LESS NEED TO GET APPROVAL FOR EACH CHANGE AND THAT WE
7 COULD HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE AUTONOMY AND AUTHORITY
8 HERE. AND SO WE -- IT'S COUPLED AS PART OF THAT. THIS
9 WAS NOT PICKED UP BY THE AUDITORS. IT'S NOT RELATED TO
10 ANY OF THE AUDITOR'S TESTS; BUT WHILE WE WERE DEALING
11 WITH CONTRACTING, WE WANTED TO SUGGEST THAT. AND SO
12 THAT'S THE IDEA.

13 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: OKAY.

14 DR. HALL: I WOULD SAY THAT WE'VE BEEN ON A
15 PRETTY SHORT STRING AS FAR AS THIS GOES. I KNOW IT'S
16 BEEN FINE AND WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO WORK WITH IT, BUT I
17 THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE AT THIS STAGE, ASSUMING THAT WE
18 HAVE YOUR CONFIDENCE, THAT WE LOOSEN THAT UP JUST A
19 LITTLE BIT.

20 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: SHERRY, ONE FOLLOW-UP
21 QUESTION TO LORI BECAUSE IT SEEMS LIKE, LORI, YOU'VE
22 DONE THE -- YOU AND ZACH HAVE DONE THE WORK ON THIS.

23 DR. HALL: LORI HAS DONE THE WORK.

24 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: LORI. A VERY THOROUGH
25 REPORT. THANK YOU. SOMEONE IN THE UC SYSTEM OR ANY

1 STATE AGENCY THAT HAS COMPARABLE AUTHORITY TO ZACH'S
2 POSITION, THAT IS, SOMEONE WHO IS A PRESIDENT AND CHIEF
3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF THE STATE AGENCY OF THIS SIZE, AND
4 I KNOW THAT THERE'S -- WE'RE ALWAYS SEARCHING FOR
5 ANALOGIES AND OTHER LIKE AGENCIES. I'VE ALWAYS BEEN OF
6 THE OPINION WHEN IT CAME TO SETTING SALARIES AND OTHER
7 ITEMS, OTHER POLICY ITEMS, THAT THERE WERE, IN FACT,
8 OTHER AGENCIES THAT WE CAN LOOK AT. AND SO I WOULD ASK
9 IN THIS INSTANCE, SOMEONE THAT HAS ZACH'S COMPARABLE
10 SET OF RESPONSIBILITIES, IF WE CAN FIND --

11 MS. HOFFMAN: I CAN GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE.

12 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: -- SOMEBODY THAT HAS THIS
13 LEVEL OF AUTHORITY IN TERMS OF EXECUTING CONTRACTS
14 WITHOUT A TRUSTEE APPROVAL.

15 MS. HOFFMAN: WELL, CERTAINLY I WILL FIRST
16 ANSWER FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, AND THEN I
17 WILL TURN TO THE FINANCE OFFICER, MARCIA DAVIES, AND
18 ASK HER TO SPEAK TO THE RULES FROM STATE AGENCIES.

19 IN TERMS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
20 CERTAINLY CHANCELLOR HAS THE DELEGATED AUTHORITY FOR
21 ALL CONTRACTS ON THEIR CAMPUS. THAT IS CERTAINLY
22 DIFFERENT THAN ANY CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS LET, AND SO I
23 CAN SPEAK TO THAT AS WELL. SO CHANCELLOR HAS APPROVAL
24 FOR UP TO \$5 MILLION FOR CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS, AND
25 THEN THE PRESIDENT HAS APPROVAL BETWEEN 5 AND 10

1 MILLION. THE CHAIR OF THE BOARD, AS WELL AS THE CHAIR
2 OF GROUNDS AND BUILDINGS, IN CONCURRENCE WITH THE
3 PRESIDENT, HAS APPROVAL BETWEEN 10 AND 20 MILLION, AND
4 ANYTHING OVER \$20 MILLION IS APPROVED BY THE BOARD, BY
5 THE REGENTS.

6 MS. DAVIES: THIS IS MARCY. I'M THE
7 (UNINTELLIGIBLE). MY EXPERIENCE AS THE FINANCE OFFICER
8 FOR THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION AND THE EXECUTIVE
9 DIRECTOR, WHICH WOULD BE COMPARABLE TO OUR PRESIDENT,
10 HAD AUTHORITY UP TO A MILLION DOLLARS. FOR ANY
11 CONTRACT AFTER THAT, ANYTHING WENT TO THE BOARD.

12 IN SMALLER AGENCIES SOMETIMES IT COMES DOWN
13 TO GENERALLY ANYWHERE FROM A HALF A MILLION TO A
14 MILLION IS WHAT AN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOULD HAVE
15 AUTHORITY FOR.

16 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: OKAY.

17 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. STANFORD?

18 DR. PIZZO: NO COMMENTS.

19 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ANYTHING -- WE'LL NOW
20 GO FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. SO I'LL START IN LOS ANGELES.

21 MR. SIMPSON: JOHN SIMPSON, FOUNDATION FOR
22 TAXPAYER AND CONSUMER RIGHTS. FIRST, VERY QUICKLY,
23 EVERYONE HAS BEEN DOING SUCH A WONDERFUL JOB OF GETTING
24 THESE DOCUMENTS UP AND AVAILABLE, WE WERE VERY
25 DISAPPOINTED TODAY HOWEVER. YOU SEEM TO HAVE BROKEN

1 YOUR PAST GOOD PRACTICE. THESE WERE ONLY AVAILABLE
2 TODAY. NOW, THAT MAY HAVE BEEN SIMPLY BECAUSE OF THE
3 SPLENDID WORK DONE LAST WEEK AND WE UNDERSTAND IT. BUT
4 I, FOR THE RECORD, WANT TO JUST NOTE THAT IT'S TOUGH TO
5 UNDERSTAND WHAT'S AT STAKE WHEN THE DOCUMENTS AREN'T
6 AVAILABLE.

7 READING QUICKLY THROUGH THIS, YOU MADE
8 REFERENCE --

9 DR. HALL: JOHN, MAY I JUST ANSWER QUICKLY.
10 WE APPRECIATE THAT COMMENT, AND WE WILL -- AS YOU KNOW,
11 WE REALLY TRY TO DO OUR BEST. THE TWO ISSUES WERE THAT
12 WE HAD THIS BACK-BREAKING EVENT LAST WEEK, WHICH TOOK
13 MOST PEOPLE OUT. THE OTHER IS LORI IS NEW AND HAS BEEN
14 DOING HER BEST TO GET UP TO SPEED AND HAS BEEN DOING A
15 FANTASTIC JOB, BUT STILL IN ALL, SHE HAS STARTED FROM
16 GROUND ZERO ON THIS. AND SO WE HAVE BEEN WORKING VERY
17 HARD TO GET IT READY. AND I APOLOGIZE THAT IT WAS
18 LATE. WE WILL DO BETTER IN THE FUTURE.

19 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I JUST WANT TO MAKE A
20 SUGGESTION BECAUSE I THINK JOHN'S BRINGING UP A GOOD
21 POINT. IN ALL FAIRNESS, YOU KNOW, AND I THINK JOHN
22 UNDERSTANDS, WE HAD THIS BACK-BREAKING EVENT. AND I
23 MIGHT WANT TO SUGGEST THAT WE DON'T SCHEDULE OUR GRANTS
24 AS CLOSE TO OUR GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE SO THAT IT GIVES
25 YOU A LITTLE BIT OF BREATHING ROOM, DO YOU KNOW, IN THE

1 FUTURE.

2 MR. SIMPSON: ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THIS, YOU
3 START OFF WITH REFERENCE TO OUR AUGUST 5TH ICOC
4 MEETING. IN FACT, THERE WAS NO SUCH MEETING. I
5 BELIEVE IT WAS AUGUST 2D. AND THEN THERE'S REFERENCE
6 ALSO TO A GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING ON JULY 29TH,
7 AND I KNOW THERE WASN'T ONE AT ALL. NO GOVERNANCE
8 COMMITTEE MEETING SINCE, I BELIEVE, MAY.

9 THEN ON THE CONTRACTING POINT, SPELLING THAT
10 OUT, THAT TO ME IS A WONDERFUL IMPROVEMENT AND SPELLS
11 THINGS OUT EXPLICITLY. AND THE NOTION OF REASONABLE
12 PAYMENT IS VERY GOOD. I MEAN THAT, I THINK, COULD
13 FORESEE A SITUATION WHERE SAY SOMEBODY LIKE BETH DRAIN
14 MIGHT NOT HAVE THE LOWEST BID, BUT (INTERFERENCE IN
15 TRANSMISSION) COULD CONTINUE TO BE A PROVIDER.

16 I THINK THAT THE LEVELS ARE PERFECTLY FINE.
17 THE (INTERFERENCE), BUT I WONDER WHETHER IT WOULD NOT
18 BE MORE APPROPRIATE TO KEEP REPORTING TO EACH
19 GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE THAT MEETS. I WOULD SUSPECT THAT
20 WOULD BE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF SIX TIMES A YEAR.
21 THAT'S NOT THAT MUCH DIFFERENT THAN QUARTERLY, AND IT
22 IS A VALUABLE THING FOR PEOPLE TO SEE AS IT UNFOLDS, I
23 WOULD THINK.

24 SO I WOULD BE VERY MUCH IN SUPPORT OF THE
25 FIRST AND THE SECOND PROPOSALS HERE, BUT I HAVE

1 QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ADVISABILITY OF THE THIRD IN
2 CHANGING YOUR REPORTING REQUIREMENTS. THANK YOU.

3 DR. HALL: COULD I COMMENT ON THAT?

4 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES.

5 DR. HALL: FIRST OF ALL, JOHN, THESE ARE 2005
6 DATES AT THE BEGINNING. IT'S AUGUST 5TH, 2005.

7 MR. SIMPSON: I APOLOGIZE.

8 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SEE, YOU DID GET THIS
9 STUFF IN TIME.

10 DR. HALL: AND I WOULD SAY ABOUT THE LATTER,
11 IF THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE WOULD LIKE THEM EVERY
12 MEETING, WE CAN DO THAT. PARTLY WE WERE TRYING TO BE
13 RESPECTFUL OF YOUR TIME AND TRYING TO BRING THEM UP
14 QUARTERLY, WHICH SEEMED TO US TO BE A LITTLE MORE
15 REASONABLE, BUT WE WILL DO WHATEVER THE COMMITTEE
16 DECIDES ON THAT.

17 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WELL, I WILL ASK THE
18 COMMITTEE MEMBERS HOW THEY FEEL ABOUT THAT AFTER WE
19 FINISH THE PUBLIC COMMENT AND THEN WE CAN DETERMINE IT.
20 OKAY. SO ANY MORE PUBLIC COMMENT, JOHN? OKAY. SO NOW
21 ANY PUBLIC COMMENT -- THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT -- AT
22 CIRM?

23 MS. DUROSS: NO.

24 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: KECK? CARLSBAD?

25 DR. NOVA: NO PUBLIC.

1 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SACRAMENTO?
2 DR. POMEROY: NO.
3 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE?
4 MS. INGELS: NO PUBLIC.
5 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LA JOLLA?
6 DR. MURPHY: NO.
7 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SAN FRANCISCO, DAVID?
8 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: NO.
9 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: STANFORD?
10 DR. PIZZO: NO.
11 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SO NOW I GUESS I SHOULD
12 ASK YOU IF WE WANT TO AMEND THIS TO EVERY MEETING OR TO
13 QUARTERLY. WHY DON'T I GET A SENSE OF HOW EVERYBODY
14 FEELS? TO BE HONEST WITH YOU, I WAS COMFORTABLE WITH
15 QUARTERLY, BUT I'M VERY RESPECTFUL OF WHAT YOU'RE
16 SAYING, JOHN. IT REALLY DOESN'T MAKE THAT MUCH
17 DIFFERENCE TO ME, TO BE HONEST WITH YOU, I MEAN, YOU
18 KNOW. SO WHY DON'T I GET A SENSE OF WHAT EVERYBODY
19 FEELS. SO JUST SAY JUST FOR -- YOU KNOW, IT DOESN'T
20 MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE OR YOU WANT IT QUARTERLY OR YOU
21 WANT IT AT EVERY MEETING. SO LET ME JUST GO AROUND.
22 MY FEELING IS I'LL GO WITH THE MAJORITY.
23 SO CIRM?
24 DR. HALL: WE DON'T HAVE ANY VOTING MEMBERS
25 HERE.

1 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: KECK?
2 DR. HENDERSON: I'M OPEN TO EITHER.
3 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CARLSBAD?
4 DR. NOVA: OPEN AS WELL.
5 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SACRAMENTO?
6 DR. POMEROY: OPEN.
7 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE?
8 DR. STEWARD: OPEN.
9 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LA JOLLA?
10 DR. MURPHY: I WOULD PREFER QUARTERLY.
11 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SAN FRANCISCO, DAVID?
12 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: QUARTERLY.
13 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: STANFORD?
14 DR. PIZZO: OPEN.
15 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SO THE OPENS CARRY IT.
16 SO, JOHN, IT DOESN'T REALLY MAKE THAT MUCH DIFFERENCE
17 TO US. SO WHY DON'T WE START WITH DOING IT AT EVERY
18 MEETING. AND, JOHN, YOU'VE BEEN SO CONSCIENTIOUS AND
19 YOU'RE ALWAYS AT OUR MEETINGS, IF IT LOOKS LIKE WE'RE
20 SPENDING TOO MUCH TIME AND IT'S NOT THAT NECESSARY,
21 THEN WE CAN REEVALUATE IT, LET'S SAY, AFTER FIVE
22 MEETINGS WE CAN REEVALUATE IT. DOES THAT SOUND FAIR TO
23 EVERYBODY?
24 DR. POMEROY: GOOD.
25 DR. HENDERSON: YEP.

1 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. SO HOW DO I --
2 DO I MAKE ANY AMENDMENT OR DO I JUST SAY THAT'S A
3 FRIENDLY AMENDMENT?
4 DR. HALL: YOU NEED A MOTION FIRST.
5 MS. DUROSS: YOU NEED A MOTION FIRST, RIGHT.
6 DR. HENDERSON: SO MOVED. BRIAN HENDERSON,
7 KECK.
8 DR. NOVA: SECOND.
9 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: TINA SECONDED AND BRIAN
10 HENDERSON MOVED IT. SO WE'RE GOING TO LEAVE -- ALL IN
11 FAVOR. I GUESS WE NEED A ROLL CALL. I'M GOING TO ASK
12 FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE NOW, AMY, FOR THIS NEW ADDITION TO
13 THE AMENDMENT. OKAY. SO LEAD US IN A ROLL CALL VOTE.
14 MS. DUROSS: SHERRY LANSING.
15 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES.
16 MS. DUROSS: PHIL PIZZO.
17 DR. PIZZO: YES.
18 MS. DUROSS: OS STEWARD. CLAIRE POMEROY.
19 DR. POMEROY: YES.
20 MS. DUROSS: BOB KLEIN. TINA NOVA.
21 DR. NOVA: YES.
22 MS. DUROSS: BRIAN HENDERSON.
23 DR. HENDERSON: YES.
24 MS. DUROSS: RICH MURPHY.
25 DR. MURPHY: YES.

1 MS. DUROSS: DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL.

2 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: YES.

3 MS. DUROSS: MOTION PASSES.

4 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SO, JUST SO WE'RE
5 CLEAR, WE ARE GOING TO FOR THE NEXT FIVE MEETINGS BRING
6 IT ALWAYS FORWARD, THE REPORT FORWARD. AND, JOHN, I
7 KNOW YOU WILL BE AT THEM; AND IF WE FEEL THAT IT'S
8 UNNECESSARY, THEN WE'LL GO BACK TO THE QUARTERLY AND
9 WE'LL AMEND IT BACK THE WAY IT WAS.

10 OKAY. LORI, WOULD YOU PLEASE LEAD US THROUGH
11 AGENDA ITEM 6, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF THE AMENDMENTS
12 TO THE 2006-2007 CIRM ADMINISTRATIVE OPERATING BUDGET.
13 LORI, BACK TO YOU.

14 MS. HOFFMAN: YES, THANK YOU. FOR PURPOSES
15 OF PROVIDING CONTEXT FOR THE BUDGET PRESENTATION, I
16 WILL BEGIN WITH A POWERPOINT PRESENTATION THAT WAS
17 DRAFTED FOR THE THURSDAY ICOC MEETING. THIS
18 PRESENTATION FOR THE ICO IS TITLED "PRESENTATION ON
19 ALLOCATION OF FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR CIRM GRANT MAKING."
20 SO LET ME BEGIN WITH THE POWERPOINT PRESENTATION.

21 ON THE SECOND SLIDE, THE TOP OF THE SLIDE
22 SHOULD SAY CIRM IS AUTHORIZED TO SELL BONDS IN THE
23 TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$3 BILLION. AND WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO
24 DO HERE IS, AGAIN, JUST PROVIDE, USING PROP 71 AS OUR
25 GUIDE, WHAT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE OR WHAT POTS OF MONEY

1 ESSENTIALLY.

2 SO SLIDE 3. NOT MORE THAN 3 PERCENT OF THE
3 PROCEEDS OF THE BONDS AUTHORIZED SHALL BE USED FOR THE
4 COSTS OF GENERAL ADMINISTRATION OF THE INSTITUTE. SO
5 THIS IS ONE OF THE IMPORTANT POINTS TO PROVIDE THE
6 CONTEXT FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE OPERATING BUDGET.

7 SLIDE 4, NOT MORE THAN 3 PERCENT OF THE
8 PROCEEDS OF THE BONDS AUTHORIZED SHALL BE USED FOR THE
9 COST OF GRANTS ADMINISTRATION. SO AT THIS POINT 6
10 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL BOND PROCEEDS CAN BE USED FOR
11 GENERAL AND GRANTS ADMINISTRATION.

12 THE FOLLOWING SLIDES ARE SPECIFIC NOW TO THE
13 GRANT MAKING, AND I'LL JUST GO THROUGH THIS AGAIN, BUT
14 YOU WILL HEAR THIS AT THE ICOC MEETING.

15 THEN THERE'S A SUBTRACTION OF ISSUANCE COSTS
16 WHICH WE HAVE CURRENTLY PROPOSED AS A .08 PERCENT
17 AMOUNT. AND WE CITED THE SECTION IN THE PROPOSITION
18 THAT REQUIRES THAT WE DO THIS.

19 SLIDE 6, WE TAKE ADDITIONAL FUNDS OUT IN THIS
20 PARTICULAR CASE UP TO \$52 MILLION HAS BEEN ALLOCATED
21 FOR CAPITALIZED INTEREST COSTS. THIS IS ALSO PROVIDED
22 FOR IN PROP 71 THAT REQUIRES THAT THE FIRST FIVE YEARS
23 OF THE INSTITUTE'S OPERATIONS, THAT THERE BE NO BURDEN
24 TO THE TAXPAYERS AND THAT THE FUNDS FOR INTEREST COSTS
25 BE CAPITALIZED WITHIN THE BONDS.

1 SLIDE 7, THIS GIVES US THE TOTAL FUNDS
2 AVAILABLE FOR GRANT MAKING MINUS \$3 MILLION OVER THE
3 LIFE OF THE INSTITUTE FOR LITIGATION COSTS.

4 SLIDE 8, OF THE \$2.744 MILLION, 10 PERCENT,
5 AS PROVIDED FOR IN PROP 71, IS TO BE USED FOR
6 FACILITIES COSTS.

7 SLIDE 9, AS PROVIDED FOR IN PROP 71, NO LESS
8 THAN 90 PERCENT OF THE AMOUNT AVAILABLE FOR GRANT
9 MAKING SHALL BE USED FOR FOR RESEARCH FUNDS.

10 THIS IS HOW WELL PRESENT THESE NUMBERS TO THE
11 ICOC. THESE WERE ALSO USED IN THE STRATEGIC PLAN AS
12 WELL.

13 SO NOW LET ME TURN TO THE ITEM TITLED
14 "CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE '06-'07 CIRM
15 ADMINISTRATIVE OPERATING BUDGET."

16 MS. KING: THIS ITEM IS LABELED AGENDA ITEM
17 NO. 6.

18 MS. HOFFMAN: SO THIS ITEM IS PRESENTED TO
19 YOU FOR APPROVAL AND RECOMMENDATION TO THE ICOC. AS
20 BACKGROUND, AT YOUR AUGUST -- AT THE ICOC'S AUGUST 2006
21 MEETING, THE BOARD APPROVED THE ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET
22 FOR '06-'07 AS FOUND ON ATTACHMENT 1 OF THIS ITEM. AT
23 THAT MEETING THERE WERE A LIST OF PROPOSED
24 ACCOMPLISHMENTS, AND I JUST WANTED TO BRING TO YOUR
25 ATTENTION THAT IN THE BACKGROUND SECTION ON PAGE 1 OF

1 ITEM 6, WE HAVE -- CIRM HAS ACCOMPLISHED THIS FIRST SET
2 OF EXPECTED MAJOR ACCOMPLISHMENTS. WE STILL HAVE MUCH
3 TO ACCOMPLISH AND WE'VE ATTACHED THOSE BULLETS AS WELL,
4 WHICH INCLUDES ADDITIONAL STAFF HIRINGS, INCLUDING THE
5 ISSUE OF THE SHARED RESEARCH LABS RFA, THE REVIEW AND
6 APPROVAL OF GRANTS, AWARD OF THOSE GRANTS, AS WELL AS
7 THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN OPERATIONAL GRANTS MANAGEMENT
8 TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM AND A COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM. ALL OF
9 THIS HAS BEEN INCORPORATED INTO OUR BUDGETED AMOUNT.
10 WE HAVE ALSO ADDED TO THIS LIST, AS ZACH POINTED OUT IN
11 HIS PRESIDENTIAL MESSAGE, THAT WE WILL BE HIRING A
12 CHIEF LEGAL OFFICER AS WELL AS COSPONSORING AN ONLINE
13 PUBLICATION FOR STEM CELL RESEARCH. WE'VE DEFERRED THE
14 LARGE SCIENTIFIC MEETING TO '07-'08.

15 BEFORE I PRESENT THE '06-'07 ADMINISTRATIVE
16 BUDGET, I WILL FIRST REVIEW THE SOURCES AND USES OF
17 CIRM'S GENERAL AND GRANT MAKING -- GRANT ADMINISTRATION
18 FUNDS.

19 AS SHOWN ON THE SOURCES OF FUNDS AVAILABLE,
20 WE STARTED WITH THE \$3 MILLION IN GENERAL FUND
21 START-UP. THEN THERE WAS 3 PERCENT FOR GENERAL
22 ADMINISTRATION AS WELL AS 3 PERCENT FOR GRANT
23 ADMINISTRATION UNDER THE FIRST \$14 MILLION WORTH OF
24 BAN'S, AN ADDITIONAL 3 PERCENT FOR GENERAL AND 3
25 PERCENT FOR GRANT ADMINISTRATION ON THE SECOND TRANCHE

1 OF BAN'S, AS WELL AS 3 PERCENT FOR GENERAL AND 3
2 PERCENT FOR GRANT ADMINISTRATION OF THE \$150 MILLION.

3 YOU CAN SEE THAT WE ALSO HAVE INCLUDED THE
4 REPAYMENT OF THE GENERAL FUND AMOUNT WHICH CAN TAKE
5 PLACE IN '06-'07 OR POSSIBLY '07-'08. INCLUDED IN THE
6 AMOUNT OF THE SOURCES OF FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR GENERAL
7 AND GRANT ADMINISTRATION IS ALSO THE GENEROUS GIFTS
8 THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED. I DO WANT TO BRING TO YOUR
9 ATTENTION THAT THERE ARE A SERIES OF GIFTS THAT ARE NOT
10 INCLUDED IN THIS AMOUNT AS WE'VE ONLY INCLUDED THE
11 AMOUNT THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED TO DATE. WE ANTICIPATE AN
12 ADDITIONAL \$330,000 OF GIFTS FOR ADMINISTRATION.

13 THE NEXT TABLE, THE USES OF ADMINISTRATIVE
14 FUNDS SINCE INCEPTION, AFTER THE '04-'05 FISCAL YEARS,
15 '05-'06, AND THE FIRST HALF OF '06-'07 HAVE BEEN
16 ACCOUNTED, FOR AS WELL AS THE BUDGETED AMOUNT FOR THE
17 REMAINDER OF '06-'07. THERE IS A BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR
18 '07-'08 OF \$2.948526 MILLION. I HAVE ALSO INCLUDED
19 BELOW THIS LINE ADDITIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDS, IF
20 NEEDED, WHICH REPRESENT 3 PERCENT FOR GENERAL
21 ADMINISTRATION AND 3 PERCENT OF GRANT ADMINISTRATION OF
22 THE ENTIRE AUTHORIZATION FROM THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
23 OF \$250 MILLION.

24 SO NOW LET ME TURN TO TABLE 1, WHICH IS THE
25 '06-'07 AMENDED OPERATING BUDGET FOR ADMINISTRATION.

1 THE CHANGES FROM AUGUST '06 BUDGET INCLUDE AN INCREASE
2 OF 362, PLUS OR MINUS, FOR STAFF. WE HAVE NOT ONLY
3 ADDED THE THREE STAFF MEMBERS TO THE SCIENCE OFFICE,
4 BUT WE WILL ADD AN ADDITIONAL THREE MEMBERS, AND THIS
5 ALSO INCLUDES THE SENIOR OFFICER FOR FACILITIES AS WELL
6 AS THE LEGAL OFFICER.

7 WE HAVE INCREASED OUR INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS,
8 WHICH INCLUDES OUR CONTRACT WITH THE STATE CONTROLLER'S
9 OFFICE. WE HAVE ACCOUNTED FOR ADDITIONAL EXTERNAL
10 CONTRACTS WHICH WE WILL BRING TO THE DELEGATED BODY OF
11 AUTHORITY FOR APPROVAL ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS. WE
12 HAVE --

13 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: HELLO.

14 MS. HOFFMAN: AND THEN THERE ARE JUST SEVERAL
15 INCREASES AND DECREASES BELOW \$100,000. I DO WANT TO
16 BRING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE FACT THAT BELOW THE
17 SUBTOTAL LINE OF TABLE 1 ON THE PROPOSED BUDGET, WE
18 HAVE SUBTRACTED OUT LEGAL COSTS THAT UNDER HEALTH AND
19 SAFETY SECTION 125292.10(U) ARE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH
20 LITIGATION AND THE START-UP OF CIRM CAN BE DEDUCTED
21 FROM THE LIMITS ON GENERAL ADMINISTRATION. SO WE HAVE
22 DONE SO HERE.

23 SO WITH THAT, I OPEN IT UP TO QUESTIONS.

24 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. LET ME GO AROUND
25 TO THE BOARD MEMBERS FIRST. CIRM, QUESTIONS?

1 MS. DUROSS: NO.

2 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: KECK?

3 DR. HENDERSON: CAN YOU JUST TELL US WHAT --
4 WHAT ARE THESE DESIGNATIONS OOA, OOP, OOC MEAN?

5 MS. HOFFMAN: OH, I'M SORRY. IT'S THE OFFICE
6 OF ADMINISTRATION, THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, AND THE
7 OFFICE OF THE CHAIR. WHAT WAS DONE, I THINK, ALMOST A
8 YEAR AGO WAS SETTING ESSENTIALLY DIFFERENT COST CENTERS
9 AS WELL AS A VERY DETAILED CHART OF ACCOUNTS SO THAT WE
10 ARE ABLE TO TRACK THE COST OF AN ICOC MEETING AS
11 OPPOSED TO THE COST OF A GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEETING.
12 AND SO THE SMALL STAFF HERE AT CIRM IS DIVIDED INTO
13 FOUR DIFFERENT OFFICES.

14 DR. HENDERSON: CAN YOU FOOTNOTE THOSE OR
15 SOMETHING IN THE FUTURE?

16 MS. HOFFMAN: ABSOLUTELY.

17 DR. HENDERSON: AND ANY OTHER SUCH
18 ABBREVIATIONS YOU USE JUST TO MAKE IT CLEAR SO WE DON'T
19 HAVE TO BOTHER YOU WITH IT?

20 MS. HOFFMAN: CERTAINLY.

21 DR. HENDERSON: THERE'S ANOTHER THING CALLED
22 OTHER OEE, AT THE BOTTOM OF THE BUDGET LINES. WHAT'S
23 OTHER OEE?

24 MS. HOFFMAN: THAT WOULD INCLUDE XEROXING
25 COSTS, OUR XEROXING CONTRACT, OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES.

1 DR. HENDERSON: IT'S OEE.

2 MS. HOFFMAN: THAT MEANS OTHER EXPENSES AND
3 EQUIPMENT.

4 DR. HALL: OTHER --

5 MS. HOFFMAN: OPERATING EXPENSES AND
6 EQUIPMENT IS WHAT OEE MEANS. SO WE'LL FOOTNOTE THAT
7 ALSO.

8 DR. HENDERSON: THANK YOU.

9 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU, BRIAN.
10 CARLSBAD?

11 DR. NOVA: NO.

12 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SACRAMENTO?

13 DR. POMEROY: ONE QUESTION, LORI. I JUST --
14 YOU CUT OUT FOR A LITTLE BIT ON MY MIC, BUT CAN YOU
15 REMIND ME WHAT THE 809,000 EXTERNAL CONTRACT IS IN THE
16 SCIENCE OFFICE, WHO THAT'S TO?

17 MS. HOFFMAN: WELL, CERTAINLY THAT INCLUDES
18 THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM. IT INCLUDES THE
19 STRATEGIC PLAN, BOTH THE INITIAL AMOUNT OF FUNDS AS
20 WELL AS THE STAFF AND DAY PLANS.

21 DR. POMEROY: OKAY. THAT'S IN THE SCIENCE
22 OFFICE. AND THEN WHAT ARE THE EXTERNAL -- THE BIG
23 EXTERNAL CONTRACTS IN THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
24 919,000?

25 DR. HALL: LEGAL IS --

1 MS. HOFFMAN: CERTAINLY OUR LEGAL CONTRACT.
2 ALSO, WE'VE INCREASED THAT NUMBER TO INCLUDE THE ONLINE
3 PUBLICATION THAT ZACH WILL BE SPEAKING ABOUT TO THE
4 ICOC MEETING.

5 MR. KLEIN: LORI, WILL YOU ADDRESS -- THIS IS
6 BOB KLEIN AT STANFORD. WOULD YOU ADDRESS THE LEGAL,
7 WHERE ALL THE LEGAL IS, INCLUDING A DESCRIPTION OF WHAT
8 WE'RE DOING WITH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE AS
9 VERSUS THE REMCHO FIRM?

10 MS. HOFFMAN: CERTAINLY. THE ATTORNEY
11 GENERAL'S OFFICE IS IN THE INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT UNDER
12 THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, AND THE REMCHO CONTRACT IS
13 UNDER EXTERNAL CONTRACTS UNDER THE OFFICE OF THE
14 PRESIDENT.

15 DR. HALL: HOW MUCH IS THE REMCHO? YOU HAVE
16 A FIGURE FOR THAT?

17 MS. HOFFMAN: IT'S ABOUT \$550,000 FOR THIS
18 YEAR.

19 MR. KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. BUT IN TERMS OF THE
20 LEGAL, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, WE HAVE
21 OUTSTANDING LIABILITIES TO?

22 MS. HOFFMAN: CORRECT. THE ATTORNEY
23 GENERAL'S OFFICE, THIS ENTIRE AMOUNT UNDER THAT
24 INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT IS IN THIS NUMBER. SO ANYTHING
25 THAT WAS DEFERRED FROM PAST YEARS IS ALL REFLECTED IN

1 THIS BUDGET.

2 MR. KLEIN: OKAY. AND DOES THAT BUDGET
3 AMOUNT PLAN THROUGH THE APPEAL TO THE SUPREME COURT?

4 MS. HOFFMAN: THIS BUDGET AMOUNT IS THE
5 AMOUNT OF FUNDS THAT WE'VE CURRENTLY AGREED TO WITH THE
6 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.

7 DR. HALL: THIS IS FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR,
8 RIGHT, THROUGH JUNE 30TH.

9 MS. HOFFMAN: AND ANY DEFERRED PAYMENTS,
10 RIGHT, BECAUSE IN AUGUST OF '06, THERE WAS THE DEFERRAL
11 OF ALL THOSE PAYMENTS.

12 DR. HALL: BUT THERE COULD BE EXPENSES NEXT
13 FALL, FOR EXAMPLE.

14 MS. HOFFMAN: THAT ARE NOT REFLECTED.

15 DR. HALL: THAT WOULD BE IN THE NEXT FISCAL
16 YEAR.

17 MS. HOFFMAN: THAT'S CORRECT.

18 MR. KLEIN: OKAY. SO THIS IS NOT JUST
19 INCURRED COST. THIS TAKES US THROUGH JUNE 30TH?

20 MS. HOFFMAN: YES, IT DOES.

21 MR. KLEIN: WITH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S
22 OFFICE?

23 MS. HOFFMAN: YES, IT SHOULD.

24 MR. KLEIN: OKAY. AND THE 919, THAT RELATES
25 TO THE MILLION OH EIGHTY-EIGHT AT THE BOTTOM IN WHAT

1 MANNER?

2 MS. HOFFMAN: IT REFLECTS \$558,000 FOR
3 OUTSIDE LEGAL COUNSEL IN CHARGES THAT INCLUDE
4 TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT AS WELL AS ADDITIONAL LEGAL SUPPORT.
5 IT HAS ALL OF OUR PR SUPPORT HERE, OUR WEBSITE SUPPORT,
6 AND THEN THE ONLINE PUBLICATION.

7 MR. KLEIN: OKAY. MAYBE I DON'T QUITE
8 UNDERSTAND. IT SAYS LEGAL COSTS, A MILLION OH
9 EIGHTY-EIGHT.

10 MS. HOFFMAN: THAT'S RIGHT. THAT'S A
11 DIFFERENT NUMBER BECAUSE THAT MILLION OH EIGHTY-EIGHT
12 IS THOSE FUNDS THAT I THINK ARE APPROPRIATE TO DEDUCT
13 OUT OF THE GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS THAT ARE
14 SPECIFIC TO EITHER LITIGATION OR THE START-UP OF THE
15 CIRM. SO THERE'S OTHER LEGAL COSTS THAT WE INCURRED
16 THAT DON'T FALL WITHIN THAT PURVIEW OF 1252 92.10(U).

17 DR. POMEROY: SO, LORI, I GUESS WHAT WE'RE
18 BOTH ASKING IS THE ONE OH EIGHT EIGHT IS PART OF BOTH
19 THE FIRST THREE LINES UNDER OOP, RIGHT?

20 MS. HOFFMAN: THAT IS CORRECT, YES. THANK
21 YOU. WE HAVE OUR OWN INTERIM LEGAL COUNSEL HERE THAT
22 IS ALSO INCLUDED IN THAT ONE OH EIGHT EIGHT. SO IT'S
23 ALL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, PART OF REMCHO, AND
24 PART OF OUR OWN INTERNAL COUNSEL HERE.

25 MR. KLEIN: OKAY.

1 MS. HOFFMAN: AGAIN, THIS IS A BUDGETED
2 AMOUNT, AND WE WOULD HAVE TO MAKE SURE THAT WE CAN
3 TRACK IT AND IT WAS AUDITABLE.

4 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY, BOB?

5 MR. KLEIN: YES. THANK YOU.

6 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LET ME GO ON. CLAIRE,
7 YOU HAVE ANY MORE QUESTIONS?

8 DR. POMEROY: NO. THAT WILL DO IT. THANK
9 YOU.

10 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE? LA JOLLA?

11 DR. MURPHY: OKAY.

12 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: DAVID?

13 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: YES. I HAD A QUESTION
14 ON THE INCREASE FOR THE FACILITIES WORKING GROUP,
15 INCREASING IT UP -- ADDING AN ADDITIONAL 35,000; IS
16 THAT RIGHT?

17 MS. HOFFMAN: YES.

18 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: OKAY. WHAT DOES THAT
19 ACCOUNT FOR, LORI? IS THAT JUST FOR THE ANTICIPATED
20 WORKING GROUP MEETINGS THAT WE'LL BE HAVING?

21 DR. HALL: TWO WORKING GROUP MEETINGS, ONE
22 FOR THE SHARED EQUIPMENT, AND WE HOPE ALSO TO HAVE A
23 MEETING IN CONNECTION WITH THE LARGE FACILITIES GRANTS.
24 I DON'T THINK WE'LL GET THAT -- WHETHER WE'LL GET
25 THAT -- WELL, WE'LL HAVE AN EVALUATION MEETING ON THAT

1 THIS FISCAL YEAR. WHETHER WE'LL MAKE THAT BEFORE JUNE
2 30TH, NOT QUITE SURE, BUT AT ANY RATE THAT'S WHAT
3 THAT'S MEANT. WE THINK THERE WILL BE AT LEAST TWO
4 MEETINGS OF THE FACILITIES WORKING GROUP BEFORE THE END
5 OF THE FISCAL YEAR, TWO MORE MEETINGS.

6 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: ZACH, AT OUR FIRS -- I
7 THINK OUR SECOND FACILITIES WORKING GROUP MEETING, ONE
8 WE HAD A MONTH OR SO AGO --

9 DR. HALL: YES.

10 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: -- THERE WAS SOME
11 GENERAL DISCUSSION ABOUT HAVING A WORKSHOP OR SYMPOSIUM
12 OR SOMETHING ALONG THE WORKING GROUP LEVEL TO DO ITS
13 DUE DILIGENCE AND HAVING A GREATER UNDERSTANDING OF
14 WHAT IT NEEDS TO DO. IN PART, WE WERE SORT OF -- WE
15 COULDN'T COME TO ANY FIRM CONCLUSIONS BECAUSE LORI
16 HADN'T OFFICIALLY COME ON BOARD YET, AND I DON'T KNOW
17 WHERE WE WERE WITH HAVING A LIAISON OFFICER AS FAR
18 DOWNSTREAM AS WE ARE NOW. DOES THIS LINE ITEM INCLUDE
19 A POSSIBLE SYMPOSIUM OR WORKSHOP AROUND THE LINES OF
20 THE SCIENTIFIC SYMPOSIUM THAT WE HAD IN SAN FRANCISCO?
21 I'M NOT SUGGESTING THAT WE NEED A TWO AND A HALF DAY
22 BECAUSE I KNOW IT'S A LOT OF STAFF WORK, BUT I THINK
23 THERE'S A DESIRE WITH THE WORKING GROUP TO REALLY
24 EDUCATE ITSELF MORE.

25 IT WAS A GREAT FIRST STEP THAT WE HAD THOSE

1 SPEAKERS AT OUR LAST MEETING SO WE KNOW WHAT NEEDS TO
2 BE DONE. SO WOULD THAT COME OUT OF THAT LINE ITEM, OR
3 WOULD THAT COME OUT OF ANOTHER LINE ITEM?

4 DR. HALL: WELL, I HADN'T -- DAVID, TO BE
5 HONEST WITH YOU, I HADN'T APPRECIATED THAT THE WORKING
6 GROUP HAD DECIDED TO DO THAT. AND I'M HAPPY TO HAVE
7 THAT DISCUSSION. AND IF SO, THEN WE WILL SIMPLY AMEND
8 OUR BUDGET TO INCLUDE IT. IT'S NOT INCLUDED HERE.
9 WHAT'S INCLUDED HERE ARE TWO MORE WORKING GROUP
10 MEETINGS, ONE OF WHICH, PRESUMABLY, WOULD BE TO DEAL
11 WITH THE SHARED LABORATORY FACILITIES AND ANOTHER ONE
12 WOULD BE PROBABLY TO PREPARE FOR THE LARGE FACILITY
13 RFA. THOSE ARE THE ONLY TWO, AND SO THERE'S NOTHING IN
14 THERE FOR SPEAKERS.

15 SO I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE DISCUSS THIS
16 FURTHER WITH RUSTY. AND ACTUALLY WE HAD A MEETING THIS
17 LAST WEEK. AT ANY RATE, LET'S DISCUSS IT FURTHER WITH
18 RUSTY AND WITH THE WORKING GROUP, AND THEN WE WILL BE
19 HAPPY TO AMEND THE BUDGET IF WE NEED TO FIT SOMETHING
20 IN.

21 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: OKAY.

22 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. STANFORD?

23 DR. PIZZO: NO FURTHER COMMENT.

24 MR. KLEIN: THIS IS BOB AGAIN. THE EXTERNAL
25 CONTRACTS UNDER THE CHAIRMAN'S OFFICE, WHAT'S IN THAT

1 65,000?

2 MS. HOFFMAN: THIS IS THE MERKSAMER CONTRACT.

3 MR. KLEIN: OKAY. THIS IS LEGISLATIVE
4 REPRESENTATION IN SACRAMENTO, WHICH IS 50,000. OKAY.
5 BUT YOU DON'T HAVE PROVISION IN HERE RIGHT NOW FOR
6 BOARD SUPPORT, ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT, FOR BOARD
7 MEMBERS, WHETHER IT'S JEFF SHEEHY OR JOAN OR ANYONE?

8 MS. HOFFMAN: I HAVE IN THE SALARIES UNDER
9 TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT A LINE ITEM FOR SUCH COST.

10 MR. KLEIN: HOW MUCH IS THAT?

11 MS. HOFFMAN: WELL, RIGHT NOW I HAVE IT FOR
12 JUST THE REMAINDER OF THIS FISCAL YEAR, WHICH I ASSUME
13 IS SIX MONTHS. AND I BELIEVE THAT THAT PARTICULAR LINE
14 ITEM IS UNDER \$15,000.

15 MR. KLEIN: OKAY. SO WE HAVE A LATER ITEM ON
16 THE AGENDA WHERE THE SUFFICIENCY OF THAT ISSUE WOULD BE
17 DISCUSSED. AND I WASN'T ABLE TO BE ON THE VERY FIRST
18 PART OF THIS CALL. DID YOU EXPLAIN, LORI, WHAT THERE
19 IS AVAILABLE FOR THE PERIOD FROM JULY 1ST TO DECEMBER
20 30TH IN CASE THE CASE TAKES LONGER WITH THE SUPREME
21 COURT AND WE'RE NOT ABLE TO ISSUE OUR BONDS UNTIL, SAY,
22 SEPTEMBER OR OCTOBER?

23 MS. HOFFMAN: YES, BOB. I CERTAINLY WENT
24 THROUGH AND, UNDER THE USES OF ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDS,
25 DID SPEAK ABOUT A BELOW-THE-LINE ADDITION AS NEEDED,

1 WHICH REPRESENTS THE ADDITIONAL 6 PERCENT OF FUNDS FOR
2 GENERAL AND GRANTS ADMINISTRATION OF UP TO \$250 MILLION
3 IF WE NEEDED TO DO THAT, YES.

4 MR. KLEIN: RIGHT. SO YOU WENT THROUGH THE
5 DISCUSSION WE HAD EARLIER TODAY --

6 MS. HOFFMAN: YES.

7 MR. KLEIN: -- ON THIS ITEM?

8 MS. HOFFMAN: YES, I DID.

9 MR. KLEIN: GREAT. OKAY. THANK YOU VERY
10 MUCH.

11 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO
12 TURN TO THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC HERE IN L.A. ANY
13 COMMENT?

14 MR. SIMPSON: JUST ONE, TWO QUESTIONS. ONE,
15 CAN YOU SAY A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THE COST OF THE
16 ONLINE PUBLICATION? WILL IT BE PEER REVIEWED, AND HOW
17 SOON WILL THAT BE BEING LAUNCHED?

18 DR. HALL: WELL, THAT'S REALLY A PLACEHOLDER,
19 JOHN, AND I'LL BE DISCUSSING THAT AT THE ICOC MEETING.
20 WE'RE IN EARLY STAGES OF DISCUSSION ON THAT, AND I'LL
21 BE DISCUSSING THAT ON THURSDAY. SO I DON'T THINK IT'S
22 APPROPRIATE TO SAY ANYTHING MORE AT THIS POINT.

23 MR. SIMPSON: SECOND QUESTION. THERE WAS
24 REFERENCE MADE TO AN ANTICIPATION, I THINK, OF ABOUT
25 \$330,000 IN INCREASED REVENUE THAT'S NOT BEEN SHOWN YET

1 BECAUSE YOU HAVEN'T GOTTEN IT. DOES THAT REPRESENT THE
2 AMOUNT THAT WAS RAISED BY THE GALA FUND-RAISER IN SAN
3 FRANCISCO, OR DO WE HAVE AN ESTIMATE AS WHAT THAT
4 AMOUNT WILL ULTIMATELY BE?

5 DR. HALL: WE ESTIMATE THAT AMOUNT TO BE
6 \$250,000, BUT WE HAVE NOT YET RECEIVED IT.

7 MR. SIMPSON: THANK YOU.

8 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. CIRM, COMMENTS?

9 MS. DUROSS: NO.

10 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: KECK, BRIAN? ANY
11 PUBLIC? I GUESS THERE'S NO PUBLIC THEN. CARLSBAD?

12 DR. NOVA: NO PUBLIC, NO COMMENT.

13 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SACRAMENTO?

14 DR. POMEROY: NONE.

15 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE?

16 DR. STEWARD: NO PUBLIC.

17 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LA JOLLA?

18 DR. MURPHY: NO.

19 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: DAVID?

20 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: SHERRY, THERE'S NO
21 PUBLIC COMMENT, BUT IF YOU WILL JUST INDULGE ME. ONE
22 FINAL QUESTION ON THE TEMPORARY HELP FOR THE 15,000.
23 THIS IS FOR THE REMAINDER OF THIS FISCAL YEAR, RIGHT,
24 LORI?

25 MS. HOFFMAN: THAT'S CORRECT.

1 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: IS THAT GOING TO BE
2 ENOUGH? HOW DID WE COME TO THAT NUMBER? IF YOU SAY,
3 YES, THAT IT'S ENOUGH, I'LL --

4 DR. HALL: I THINK THIS WAS THE ONLY ITEM
5 THAT WE HAD BEEN COMMITTED TO AT THE TIME THIS WAS
6 DRAWN UP WAS TO PROVIDE JOAN SAMUELSON WITH CLERICAL
7 HELP BEFORE EACH GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEETING AND
8 BEFORE EACH ICOC MEETING AND ALSO TO PROVIDE FROM OUR
9 STAFF SOMEBODY TO HELP HER TAKE NOTES DURING THE GRANTS
10 WORKING GROUP MEETING. AND SO IT'S REALLY BASED ON
11 THAT.

12 NOW, THE CHAIR HAS AN ITEM ON THE AGENDA
13 LATER ON WHICH WE'LL DISCUSS THAT WILL DEAL WITH THIS
14 IN MORE DETAILS. AND IF THE BUDGET REQUIRES AMENDING
15 BECAUSE OF A DECISION THAT YOU MAKE WITH RESPECT TO
16 THAT, THEN WE'RE HAPPY TO DO THAT.

17 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES, DAVID, I THINK A
18 LOT OF US ARE VERY ANXIOUS TO SEE THIS ITEM. I THINK
19 IT'S ITEM 7 OR 8, I CAN'T REMEMBER, BUT IT REALLY DOES
20 DEAL WITH THIS ISSUE.

21 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: OKAY.

22 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: NINE. OKAY. STANFORD,
23 PUBLIC?

24 ALL RIGHT. THEN ASSUMING THAT THAT'S IT FOR
25 THE PUBLIC AND BOARD GOVERNANCE, I'D LIKE A MOTION TO

1 RECOMMEND THESE AMENDMENTS FOR APPROVAL TO THE ICOC.
2 DR. POMEROY: SO MOVED.
3 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THAT WAS CLAIRE, I
4 BELIEVE. AND THE SECOND, PLEASE?
5 DR. NOVA: SECOND FROM TINA.
6 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: GREAT. IS THERE ANY
7 DISCUSSION? ALL RIGHT. AMY, WOULD YOU LEAD US IN A
8 ROLL CALL VOTE.
9 MS. DUROSS: SHERRY LANSING.
10 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES.
11 MS. DUROSS: PHIL PIZZO. OS STEWARD.
12 DR. STEWARD: YES.
13 MS. DUROSS: CLAIRE POMEROY.
14 DR. POMEROY: YES.
15 MS. DUROSS: BOB KLEIN.
16 MR. KLEIN: YES.
17 MS. DUROSS: TINA NOVA.
18 DR. NOVA: YES.
19 MS. DUROSS: BRIAN HENDERSON. RICH MURPHY.
20 DR. MURPHY: YES.
21 MS. DUROSS: DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL.
22 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: YES.
23 MS. DUROSS: MOTION PASSES.
24 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ALL RIGHT. NOW, LORI,
25 I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO LEAD US THROUGH AGENDA ITEM 7,

1 WHICH IS THE CONSIDERATION OF ALL PROPOSED AMENDMENTS
2 TO THE POLICIES AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING TRAVEL FOR
3 THE CIRM STAFF.

4 DR. PIZZO: SHERRY, BEFORE YOU START THAT,
5 THIS IS PHIL. UNFORTUNATELY I HAVE TO LEAVE AT THIS
6 POINT.

7 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OH, NO. WILL WE STILL
8 HAVE A QUORUM?

9 DR. HALL: I THINK SO.

10 MS. DUROSS: YES.

11 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: GREAT. THEN DO
12 WHATEVER YOU HAVE TO DO AND WE'LL MISS YOU.

13 DR. PIZZO: I'M SORRY. BYE-BYE.

14 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: BYE, PHIL. THANKS FOR
15 YOUR ATTENDANCE. AND WORKING GROUP MEMBERS, WE'RE
16 GOING TO GO THROUGH THE POLICY AND REGULATIONS
17 GOVERNING THEIR TRAVEL. BUT BEFORE WE DO THAT, LORI,
18 THE WAY I UNDERSTAND IS THAT YOU ARE GOING TO BE
19 DEALING WITH AGENDA ITEM 8, WHICH IS THE DELEGATION OF
20 AUTHORITY, FIRST BECAUSE WE HAVE TO DO THAT BEFORE WE
21 CAN GO TO SEVEN. WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO TURN IT BACK
22 TO LORI.

23 MS. HOFFMAN: THANK YOU. SO WHAT WE'RE
24 SEEKING TODAY, AS IDENTIFIED, THIS IS BOTH AGENDA ITEM
25 7 AND 8. OKAY. SO ON AGENDA ITEM 7, WE ARE SEEKING

1 APPROVAL AND RECOMMENDATION FROM THE COMMITTEE TO THE
2 ICOC FOR THE ADOPTION OF THE POLICY AND PROCEDURES
3 GOVERNING TRAVEL FOR CIRM STAFF AND WORKING GROUP
4 MEMBERS. THAT POLICY IS FOUND ON ATTACHMENT 1.

5 WE ARE ALSO SEEKING YOUR CONCURRENCE AND A
6 RECOMMENDATION TO THE ICOC FOR THE DELEGATION OF
7 AUTHORITY FOR THESE POLICIES.

8 SO THE BACKGROUND FOR BOTH THESE ITEMS ARE
9 SIMILAR. AND AS YOU CAN SEE FROM THE TWO AND A HALF
10 PAGES, THAT, IN FACT, THE ICOC, AS WELL AS THE
11 GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE, HAS SPENT AN INORDINATE AMOUNT
12 OF TIME ON TRAVEL POLICY. AND CERTAINLY IN HEALTH AND
13 SAFETY CODE SECTION 125290.45(B)(2), IT ALLOWS FOR ICO
14 MEMBERS TO BE REIMBURSED FOR THE REASONABLE AND
15 NECESSARY TRAVEL AND OTHER EXPENSES INCURRED IN THE
16 PERFORMANCE OF THE MEMBER'S DUTIES. SO I WANTED TO SAY
17 HERE THAT THESE PROPOSED TRAVEL POLICIES ARE NOT FOR
18 ADOPTION FOR ICOC MEMBERS. THE STAFF IS RECOMMENDING
19 THAT THESE PROPOSED POLICIES BE FOR CIRM STAFF AND
20 WORKING GROUP MEMBERS. IT'S NOT PROPOSED THAT THE ICOC
21 MEMBERS WOULD WANT TO APPROVE THIS OR NOT.

22 SO HAVING SAID THAT, I DO WANT TO SAY THAT
23 THE RATIONALE FOR USING UC POLICY IN EVERY CASE WHERE
24 APPLICABLE I BELIEVE IS STRAIGHTFORWARD AND CONSISTENT
25 AND CERTAINLY WILL BE JUSTIFIABLE IN ANY AUDIT.

1 SO WE ARE PROPOSING THE CHANGES TO OUR
2 POLICY, AND THEY ARE, AGAIN, FAIRLY CONSISTENT, BUT
3 JUST HAVE CLARIFIED AMBIGUITIES THAT EXISTED IN THE
4 PREVIOUS POLICY. FOR EXAMPLE, THERE IS A SECTION ABOUT
5 AIR TRAVEL, AND WE HAVE CLARIFIED HERE THAT STATE RATES
6 NEED TO BE EXHAUSTED FIRST BEFORE WE WOULD SEEK ANY
7 COACH REFUNDABLE TICKETS. AND THAT ONLY IN THE CASE OF
8 AN EXCEPTION CAN A STAFF OR WORKING GROUP MEMBER FLY
9 BUSINESS CLASS.

10 WE ALSO HAVE CLARIFIED SOME AMBIGUITIES
11 AROUND PER DIEMS, MEALS COST, AS WELL AS WE'VE
12 ADOPTED -- WE ARE PROPOSING A POLICY THAT ALLOWS FOR
13 SURFACE TRAVEL IN LIEU OF AIR TRAVEL; HOWEVER, THESE
14 COSTS SHALL NOT EXCEED THE COST OF AIRFARE BASED ON
15 STATE RATE OR THE REGULAR COACH FARE. SO IN EVERY
16 CASE, IF THIS POLICY IS APPROVED AND THEN RECOMMENDED
17 TO THE ICOC FOR APPROVAL, IN EVERY CASE CIRM STAFF AS
18 WELL AS WORKING GROUP MEMBERS WOULD ALWAYS SEEK THE
19 LEAST POSSIBLE FORM -- LEAST POSSIBLE COST OF FORM OF
20 TRAVEL.

21 AND WITH THAT, I'LL OPEN THIS UP FOR
22 QUESTIONS.

23 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ALL RIGHT. WELL, WHAT
24 I THINK I'M GOING TO DO THEN IS FIRST START WITH THE
25 DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY. SO I WANT TO KNOW IF THERE'S

1 ANY COMMENT FROM BOARD MEMBERS ON THIS ONE ISSUE, WHICH
2 IS JUST THE DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY, AND THEN I'LL GO
3 BACK TO THE TRAVEL. SO AT CIRM ARE THERE ANY COMMENTS
4 FROM BOARD MEMBERS OR THE PUBLIC?

5 MS. DUROSS: NO.

6 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: KECK? CARLSBAD?

7 DR. NOVA: NO.

8 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SACRAMENTO?

9 DR. POMEROY: NO.

10 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE?

11 DR. STEWARD: NO.

12 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LA JOLLA?

13 DR. MURPHY: NO.

14 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: DAVID?

15 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: NO.

16 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: STANFORD?

17 MR. KLEIN: NO.

18 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ALL RIGHT. L.A., JOHN?

19 MR. SIMPSON: NO.

20 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ALL RIGHT. WITH THAT
21 SAID, I'D LIKE TO ASK FOR A MOTION TO RECOMMEND THIS
22 DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO THE BOARD. IS THERE A
23 MOTION?

24 DR. POMEROY: SO MOVED.

25 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IS THAT CLAIRE?

1 DR. POMEROY: YEAH.
2 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SECOND?
3 DR. NOVA: TINA, SECOND.
4 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. IS THERE ANY
5 DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION? OKAY. THEN, AMY, WILL YOU
6 LEAD US FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE.
7 MS. DUROSS: SHERRY LANSING.
8 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES.
9 MS. DUROSS: PHIL PIZZO. OS STEWARD.
10 DR. STEWARD: YES.
11 MS. DUROSS: CLAIRE POMEROY.
12 DR. POMEROY: YES.
13 MS. DUROSS: BOB KLEIN.
14 MR. KLEIN: YES.
15 MS. DUROSS: TINA NOVA.
16 DR. NOVA: YES.
17 MS. DUROSS: BRIAN HENDERSON. RICH MURPHY.
18 DR. MURPHY: YES.
19 MS. DUROSS: DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL.
20 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: YES.
21 MS. DUROSS: MOTION PASSES.
22 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: NOW I'M GOING TO GO
23 BACK TO AGENDA ITEM 7, WHICH IS OBVIOUSLY THE
24 AMENDMENTS TO THE CIRM STAFF, WORKING GROUP MEMBERS
25 TRAVEL POLICY. AND, AGAIN, JUST FOR TIME, I'M GOING TO

1 ASK IF THERE'S ANY BOARD OR PUBLIC COMMENT AT CIRM?
2 MS. DUROSS: NO.
3 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: KECK? CARLSBAD?
4 DR. NOVA: NO.
5 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SACRAMENTO?
6 DR. POMEROY: NO.
7 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE? LA JOLLA?
8 DR. MURPHY: NO.
9 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: DAVID?
10 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: NO.
11 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: STANFORD?
12 MR. KLEIN: I HAVE JUST A QUESTION. IN THE
13 ELIGIBLE CITIES ON AN OLD PROPOSAL, IT SEEMED THAT THEY
14 LEFT OUT THE ORANGE COUNTY AIRPORT. AND WE HAVE A
15 NUMBER OF -- THERE ARE A NUMBER OF REASONS THAT ICOC
16 MEETINGS AND OTHER THINGS THAT INDIVIDUALS MIGHT BE
17 FLYING FROM SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT TO ORANGE COUNTY. I
18 THINK TO THE EXTENT THAT THIS POLICY INCLUDES THE
19 ORANGE COUNTY AIRPORT, THAT'S FINE.
20 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IS THERE ANY REASON,
21 LORI, THAT THAT WAS LEFT OUT, OR IT WAS JUST AN
22 OVERSIGHT?
23 MS. HOFFMAN: WE KNOW THAT THERE IS A REASON
24 FOR ORANGE COUNTY, SO I DON'T THINK THAT THERE'S
25 A PROBLEM AT ALL.

1 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THERE'S A WHAT?
2 DR. HALL: WE DON'T THINK THERE'S A PROBLEM.
3 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY.
4 DR. HALL: FOR NO REASON THAT WE CAN IMAGINE.
5 WE DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT, A REASON THAT ORANGE COUNTY
6 MIGHT BE TREATED DIFFERENTLY.
7 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WELL, LET'S ASSUME THAT
8 UNLESS THERE'S A REASON IT WILL BE PART OF IT; AND IF
9 THERE'S A REASON, YOU'LL COME BACK TO US AND TELL US.
10 DR. HALL: SURE.
11 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IN L.A., JOHN?
12 MR. SIMPSON: JUST A QUESTION TO CLARIFY. IN
13 READING THROUGH ALL OF THIS, IT LOOKED TO ME AS THOUGH
14 YOU HAD PRIMARILY UC REIMBURSEMENT AND TRAVEL POLICIES
15 WITH SOME DPA MIXED IN WITH IT. AND WHAT YOU'RE DOING
16 HERE IS PUTTING IT ALL CONSISTENT WITH THE UNIVERSITY
17 OF THE CALIFORNIA; IS THAT CORRECT, SUBSTANTIALLY?
18 MS. HOFFMAN: IT IS CORRECT WITH ONE
19 EXCEPTION, THAT IN OUR POLICY WE STILL ENCOURAGE AND
20 WILL ALWAYS LOOK FOR STATE RATES, WHICH UC, FOR THE
21 MOST PART USES, AND IT'S REFUNDABLE.
22 MR. SIMPSON: THANK YOU.
23 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WITH THAT SAID, IS
24 THERE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND THESE AMENDMENTS? CAN I
25 HAVE A MOTION?

1 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: SO MOVED BY DAVID.
2 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU, DAVID. A
3 SECOND?
4 DR. POMEROY: SECOND.
5 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ANY DISCUSSION? AMY,
6 PLEASE LEAD US IN A ROLL CALL VOTE.
7 MS. DUROSS: SHERRY LANSING.
8 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES.
9 MS. DUROSS: OS STEWARD. CLAIRE POMEROY.
10 DR. POMEROY: YES.
11 MS. DUROSS: BOB KLEIN.
12 MR. KLEIN: YES.
13 MS. DUROSS: TINA NOVA.
14 DR. NOVA: YES.
15 MS. DUROSS: BRIAN HENDERSON.
16 DR. HENDERSON: YES.
17 MS. DUROSS: RICH MURPHY.
18 DR. MURPHY: YES.
19 MS. DUROSS: DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL.
20 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: YES.
21 MS. DUROSS: MOTION PASSES.
22 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY.
23 MR. KLEIN: SHERRY, IF SOMEBODY CAN TURN TO
24 PAGE 28 IN THE AGENDA BOOKLET, DO YOU SEE WHERE IT
25 LISTS AIRPORTS?

1 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YEAH. I HAVEN'T GOTTEN
2 THERE. JUST A SECOND. YES.

3 MR. KLEIN: YOU SEE WE'RE MISSING ORANGE
4 COUNTY TO SACRAMENTO OR TO SAN FRANCISCO.

5 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: RIGHT. AND I DON'T
6 KNOW WHY. SO --

7 DR. HALL: THAT'S THE OLD POLICY.

8 MS. HOFFMAN: THAT'S THE OLD POLICY.

9 MR. KLEIN: I UNDERSTAND. I'M JUST SAYING IN
10 THE NEW POLICY LET'S JUST MAKE THAT TRANSITION. I'M
11 JUST REFERRING TO THAT.

12 MS. HOFFMAN: RIGHT. BUT, YOU KNOW, IN THE
13 NEW POLICY, WE DON'T -- WE DON'T IDENTIFY ANY SPECIFIC
14 AIRPORTS. WHAT WE SAY IS WHERE THERE IS A STATE RATE,
15 THAT'S WHAT WE USE. WHEN THERE'S NOT A STATE RATE, WE
16 USE COACH REFUNDABLE.

17 MR. KLEIN: OKAY. WELL, THE OLD POLICY STILL
18 AFFECTS ICOC MEMBERS, AND SO WE NEED TO PICK UP ORANGE
19 COUNTY AIRPORT FOR ICOC MEMBERS. BUT WITH THAT
20 COMMENT, WE CAN GO FORWARD.

21 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. I DON'T THINK
22 IT'S A BIG DEAL, BUT I HEAR WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. WE ALL
23 HEAR WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. I THINK WHAT THEY'RE SAYING
24 IS THEY'RE NOT IDENTIFYING ANY AIRPORT AND THEY'RE
25 MAKING IT A BROADER POLICY. BUT NEVERTHELESS, WE STAND

1 NOTICED THAT WE SHOULD INCLUDE ORANGE COUNTY.

2 LORI, WILL YOU PLEASE LEAD US THROUGH AGENDA
3 ITEM 8, WHICH IS THE CONSIDERATION OF THE GOVERNANCE
4 SUBCOMMITTEE MISSION STATEMENT AND STANDING ORDERS.

5 MS. HOFFMAN: YES. SO WHAT THIS WILL DO IS
6 WITH THE DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY FOR THE PROPOSED
7 POLICY AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING TRAVEL FOR CIRM STAFF
8 AND WORKING GROUP MEMBERS, WHEN PRESENTED TO THE ICOC
9 ON THURSDAY AND, IF APPROVED, WHAT WE WILL DO IS GO
10 BACK AND REVISIT THE MISSION STATEMENT, ALSO PROVIDE
11 FOR THE ICOC AT THEIR FEBRUARY MEETING STANDING ORDERS
12 THAT INCORPORATE THE MISSION STATEMENT AS WELL AS THE
13 NEW DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY FOR TRAVEL, AND THEN SUBMIT
14 IT TO THE ICOC FOR APPROVAL.

15 SO THIS PARTICULAR ITEM IS IN THERE ONLY FOR
16 THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE TO REVIEW THE MISSION
17 STATEMENT; AND IF THERE ARE ANY ISSUES WITH IT, WE
18 WOULD LIKE TO INCORPORATE THAT INTO THE NEW STANDING
19 ORDERS THAT WE PROPOSE TO BRING FORWARD TO THE ICOC IN
20 FEBRUARY.

21 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I THINK -- SO BASICALLY
22 THIS IS AN INFORMATION ITEM, BUT I'D LIKE COMMENTS FROM
23 THE BOARD AND THE PUBLIC FOR ANY GUIDANCE THAT WE MIGHT
24 LIKE. SO CIRM, ARE THERE ANY COMMENTS ON THIS? KECK?
25 CARLSBAD?

1 DR. NOVA: NO.

2 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SACRAMENTO?

3 DR. POMEROY: THIS IS A REALLY MINOR COMMENT,
4 BUT WE SHOULD DECIDE IF WE'RE USING CHAIRMAN OR
5 CHAIRPERSON AND USE IT CONSISTENTLY.

6 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: MY RECOMMENDATION IS WE
7 USE CHAIRPERSON.

8 DR. POMEROY: OKAY. SO WE CAN JUST AMEND IT
9 TO REFLECT THAT, I THINK.

10 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IRVINE?

11 DR. STEWARD: NO.

12 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LA JOLLA?

13 DR. MURPHY: NO.

14 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: DAVID IN SAN FRANCISCO?

15 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: NO.

16 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: STANFORD?

17 MR. KLEIN: NO.

18 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IN L.A.?

19 MR. SIMPSON: NO.

20 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I DON'T THINK WE HAVE A
21 MOTION OR ANYTHING, SO WE DON'T NEED A ROLL CALL VOTE,
22 DO WE? THIS IS JUST AN INFORMATION ITEM.

23 MS. DUROSS: CORRECT.

24 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. THEN WE MOVE ON
25 TO AGENDA ITEM 9. AND AGAIN, LORI, I'M GOING TO ASK

1 YOU TO INTRODUCE THIS TO US, WHICH IS THE CONSIDERATION
2 OF ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT FOR THE PATIENT ADVOCATES.
3 AND THERE'S NO DOCUMENT FOR THIS AGENDA, BUT THIS IS
4 REALLY SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO DISCUSS FOR FUTURE
5 MEETINGS.

6 MS. HOFFMAN: WELL, THIS WAS DISCUSSED AT
7 YOUR LAST GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING. AND AS YOU
8 CAN SEE AND WE TALKED ABOUT EARLIER, THE CHAIRPERSON OF
9 THE BOARD HAS PLACED THIS ON THE AGENDA. AND I KNOW
10 THAT THERE IS OTHER COMMENTS THAT POSSIBLY WE WOULD
11 LIKE TO MAKE, BUT PERHAPS, FIRST, I WOULD ASK THAT BOB
12 KLEIN COMMENT ON THIS.

13 MR. KLEIN: CERTAINLY. FOR THE LAST YEAR
14 WE'VE DISCUSSED SUPPORT FOR THE PATIENT ADVOCATES ON
15 THE BOARD WHO DON'T HAVE AN INSTITUTIONAL POSITION
16 WHERE THEY CAN GET SUPPORT WITHIN THE MISSION OF THE
17 INSTITUTION FOR WHICH THEY ARE A MEMBER. SO, FOR
18 EXAMPLE, WHETHER IT'S JOAN SAMUELSON OR DAVID
19 SERRANO-SEWELL OR JEFF SHEEHY OR ANY OF THE OTHER
20 PATIENT ADVOCATES THAT ARE IN AN ENVIRONMENT WHERE THEY
21 AS AN INDIVIDUAL HAVE NO INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT, THE
22 BURDEN ON THE PATIENT ADVOCATES IS THE MOST INTENSE
23 BURDEN OF ANY OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. IN ADDITION
24 TO THE BOARD MEETINGS AND SUBCOMMITTEE MEETINGS, THEY
25 HAVE GENERALLY TWO OR MOST OF THEM HAVE THREE WORKING

1 GROUPS THAT THEY'RE ON.

2 THIS IS GOING TO BE A PARTICULARLY INTENSE
3 EFFORT TO MAKE SURE THEY CAN GET THROUGH MATERIALS, DO
4 THEIR RESEARCH, HAVE THEIR ADMINISTRATIVE AND LOGISTIC
5 NEEDS MET, AS WELL AS HAVING A SUPPORTING RESEARCH
6 NEEDS MET FOR THEM TO FULFILL THEIR MEMBERSHIP IN THE
7 BOARD, THE SUBCOMMITTEES, AND THE WORKING GROUPS.

8 IN THE DISCUSSION OVER THE LAST YEAR ON
9 SEVERAL OCCASIONS, THE DISCUSSION WAS THAT WHEN WE, IN
10 FACT, HAD SUFFICIENT FUNDS, THAT WE WOULD SET ASIDE
11 ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT. NOW, IT HAS BEEN SUGGESTED TO
12 ME THAT THAT CAN BE MET BY A TEMP SERVICE, WHETHER IT'S
13 FOR JOAN SAMUELSON OR FOR ANY OTHER MEMBER OF THE
14 BOARD. I THINK THAT THAT DOES NOT, IN FACT, MEET
15 NEITHER THE INTENT NOR SUBSTANTIVELY THE NEEDS OF THE
16 PATIENT ADVOCATES ON THIS BOARD.

17 TEMP SERVICES, AS ANY OF US KNOW WHO HAVE
18 BEEN IN BUSINESS AND HAVE CONTRACTED WITH TEMP
19 SERVICES, ARE HIGHLY INCONSISTENT AS TO THE QUALITY.
20 AND IF YOU GET SOMEBODY OF HIGH QUALITY, IT'S GENERALLY
21 TEMPORARY WHILE THEY'RE LOOKING FOR A PERMANENT JOB.
22 SO THERE'S A LOT OF VOLATILITY, AND THERE'S A LOT OF
23 RETRAINING CONSTANTLY THAT GOES ON.

24 ADDITIONALLY, THE LEVEL OF CONFIDENTIALITY IS
25 HIGHLY QUESTIONABLE WITH SOMEONE FROM A TEMP SERVICE.

1 IT MAY BE VERY HIGH; IT MAY BE VERY LOW. AND FINALLY,
2 THE LEVEL OF CONFLICTS AND THE ABILITY TO CHECK
3 CONFLICTS AND MAKE SURE THAT WE'VE PROPERLY CLEARED
4 THEM IS NOT READILY APPARENT. YOU BARELY KNOW THE
5 PERSON. IT'S VERY DIFFICULT AS THOSE TEMPS TURN OVER
6 TO KEEP UP WITH THAT -- WITH SEVERAL DIFFERENT TEMPS IN
7 DIFFERENT AREAS.

8 THE ALTERNATIVE THAT HAS BEEN DISCUSSED AND
9 WHICH ORIGINALLY HAD BEEN PROPOSED FOR LAST YEAR IS
10 THAT WHEN WE HAVE THE FUNDS, WE GIVE AN ALLOWANCE, A
11 FIXED ALLOWANCE. WHAT'S BEEN DISCUSSED IN THE LAST
12 COUPLE OF MONTHS IS UP TO \$1500 ON MONTH TO BE USED TO
13 PAY SOMEONE WHO WOULD BE HIRED AS A PART-TIME, LESS
14 THAN 20 HOURS A WEEK, ASSISTANT. IT WOULD BE ON A
15 STANDARD CONTRACT DEVELOPED BY OUR OUTSIDE LAW FIRM.
16 JAMES HARRISON WOULD DEVELOP A STANDARD INDEPENDENT
17 CONSULTANT CONTRACT. THEY WOULD ESSENTIALLY HAVE TO
18 SIGN A CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT. THEY WOULD BE
19 CLEARED FOR CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AND THEY WOULD BE
20 RECRUITED UNDER AN OFFERING BY OUR HUMAN RESOURCES
21 DEPARTMENT THAT WOULD NARROW IT DOWN TO FOUR OR FIVE
22 CANDIDATES, AND THEN THE INDIVIDUAL PATIENT ADVOCATE
23 WOULD REVIEW THAT PERSON.

24 OFTENTIMES, FOR EXAMPLE, A GRADUATE STUDENT
25 MAYBE IN PUBLIC POLICY OR PUBLIC HEALTH OR SOME OTHER

1 RELATED PUBLIC POLICY AREA AT A UNIVERSITY MIGHT VERY
2 MUCH LIKE TO HAVE THIS KIND OF A POSITION AND HOLD IT
3 FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME, A YEAR OR TWO YEARS.
4 IF SOMEONE IS A VICE CHAIR OF A COMMITTEE, WHICH ONLY
5 AT THIS POINT IS DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL OR JOAN, THE
6 PROPOSAL WAS IT WOULD BE UP TO \$2,000 A MONTH BECAUSE
7 THERE COULD BE VERY INTENSE WORK, AND THE QUALITY OF
8 THE PERSON HANDLING THIS, TO REALLY BE ABLE TO MANAGE
9 THIS WORKLOAD, MAY NEED TO BE SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER, BUT
10 THESE ARE UP TO AMOUNTS.

11 SO IN ORDER TO HAVE THE QUALITY OF THE PERSON
12 CONTROLLED, THE CONFIDENTIALITY CONTROLLED, THE
13 CONFLICTS CONTROLLED, AND PREDICTABLE AND RELIABLE
14 PEOPLE THAT YOU DON'T HAVE TO CONSTANTLY RETRAIN
15 SUGGESTED THAT WE NOT USE A TEMP SERVICE BECAUSE I
16 DON'T THINK -- I THINK THEY CAN BE MORE WORK THAN HELP.
17 AND SUBSTANTIVELY, I THINK THAT'S THE ONLY WAY YOU'RE
18 GOING TO GET THE QUALITY OF SUPPORT FOR THESE
19 INDIVIDUALS TO FULFILL THEIR FUNCTION.

20 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: BOB, I THINK YOU
21 PRESENTED IT VERY GOOD. WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO, SINCE I
22 THINK THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT ITEM, WHAT I'D LIKE TO
23 DO IS GO AROUND AND GET THE BOARD COMMENTS AND
24 QUESTIONS, AND THEN TURN TO THE PUBLIC. AND I MYSELF
25 HAVE SOME QUESTIONS, BUT I'M GOING TO GO LAST. SO LET

1 ME GO BACKWARDS. WE JUST DID STANFORD, I ASSUME.
2 DAVID IN SAN FRANCISCO?

3 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: THANKS, SHERRY. AND
4 THANK YOU, BOB, FOR BRINGING UP THIS ISSUE. IT HAS
5 BEEN PERCOLATING REALLY SINCE OUR FIRST MEETING OF THE
6 ICOC. AND A LOT OF PROPOSALS HAVE BEEN FLOATING
7 AROUND.

8 FIRST OF ALL, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE PATIENT
9 ADVOCATE MEMBERS. THERE'S ONLY TEN. AND REALLY
10 THERE'S ONLY EIGHT THAT SERVE ON THE WORKING GROUP. OS
11 STEWARD AND LEON THAL HAVE DECIDED THAT, FOR WHATEVER
12 REASON, THEY'RE NOT SERVING ON THE WORKING GROUPS,
13 THEREBY, IN MY OPINION, INCREASING THE WORKLOAD OF THE
14 EIGHT REMAINING WORKING GROUP MEMBERS. AND ALL OF THEM
15 ARE SERVING REALLY WELL. IT'S A LOT OF TIME AND
16 EFFORT.

17 I LOOKED AT THE CALENDAR, PROJECTED CALENDAR,
18 FOR '07, FOR THIS NEXT CALENDAR YEAR, AND THERE'S
19 SOMETHING LIKE 20 TO 30 MEETINGS. I WAS STRICKEN WITH
20 THAT, BUT THAT WAS JUST SORT OF BASED ON THE '06
21 CALENDAR AND WHAT I THOUGHT THE '07 CALENDAR MIGHT LOOK
22 LIKE, ASSUMING ON THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP AND THE
23 FACILITIES WORKING GROUP. AND I KNOW THAT THERE'S SOME
24 ICOC MEMBERS THAT SERVE ON ALL THREE. SHERRY, I THINK
25 YOU SERVE ON ALL THREE WORKING GROUPS.

1 SO I THINK THE NEED -- SO WE'RE REALLY
2 TALKING ABOUT EIGHT PEOPLE, RIGHT. AND I THINK YOU CAN
3 NARROW THAT LIST A LITTLE BIT SMALLER. BOB, YOU
4 MENTIONED ME, YOU MENTIONED JOAN, JEFF, ALSO FRANCISCO,
5 YOU KNOW, I DON'T THINK HAS THAT KIND OF INSTITUTIONAL
6 SUPPORT.

7 MR. KLEIN: RIGHT. AND JANET WRIGHT DOESN'T
8 HAVE AN INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT.

9 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: SO, YOU KNOW, THERE IS
10 DEFINITELY A NEED THERE, AND IT'S GOT TO BE ADDRESSED.
11 WHETHER THIS IS THE BEST WAY TO GO ABOUT IT, AGAIN, I
12 THINK EACH ICOC MEMBER -- AND, BOB, YOU MAY HAVE
13 ALREADY DONE THIS, AND I KNOW FRANCISCO IS ONE OF THE
14 PEOPLE THAT PROPOSED THE STIPEND, WHICH YOU BASICALLY
15 PROPOSED. BUT I MEAN I THINK EACH -- I DON'T THINK
16 TAILORED FOR EACH ICOC MEMBER, THAT MIGHT BE TOO
17 CUMBERSOME, TOO MUCH WORK. AGAIN, YOU KNOW, I DON'T
18 KNOW IF I'D WANT TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR DEALING WITH THE
19 STAFF PERSON. IT MIGHT BE JUST TOO DETAILED FOR ME.

20 I KNOW THAT THERE ARE INSTANCES IN WHICH I DO
21 NEED HELP, WHETHER IT'S REVIEWING THE DOCUMENTS, WHICH
22 I, YOU KNOW, DO, WHETHER IT'S ASKING GENERAL QUESTIONS,
23 WHETHER IT'S FEELING AS THOUGH I HAVE THE REAL
24 COMMITMENT AND INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT FROM THE CIRM
25 LEADERSHIP. AND I THINK WE NEED TO EMBODY IT IN SOME

1 WAY.

2 SO THOSE ARE THE ONLY COMMENTS I HAVE RIGHT
3 NOW, SHERRY. I MEAN I'M REALLY INTERESTED IN KNOWING
4 WHAT SORT OF THE NON, IF YOU WILL, PATIENT ADVOCATES
5 MEMBERS THINK. WHERE ARE THEIR HEADS? WHAT DO THEY
6 THINK IS APPROPRIATE? AND CERTAINLY I DON'T WANT TO DO
7 IT LIKE, YOU KNOW, WE'RE GETTING MORE, THEY'RE GETTING
8 LESS. I DON'T WANT TO QUOTE THAT KIND OF SITUATION,
9 BUT IT IS JUST A LOT OF WORK. THERE'S NO WAY OF
10 GETTING AROUND IT. IT'S AN INORDINATE AMOUNT OF WORK
11 AT TIMES. I THINK EVERYBODY HAS REALLY STEPPED UP TO
12 THE PLATE AND DONE THEIR PART, BUT THERE'S A NEED FOR
13 SOME ASSISTANCE.

14 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU, DAVID. LA
15 JOLLA?

16 DR. MURPHY: THIS IS A TOUGH ONE. I MEAN I
17 HEAR DAVID, AND I ABSOLUTELY SYMPATHIZE WITH THE
18 CHALLENGES THAT HE AND THE OTHERS FACE. I GUESS
19 I'M -- BUT I GUESS I'M CONCERNED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE
20 NOTION THAT WE ARE ALL VOLUNTEERS ON THIS. AND THAT AS
21 VOLUNTEERS, IS IT APPROPRIATE FOR US TO HAVE PAID HELP
22 HELPING US DO WHAT WE CAN?

23 NOW, THIS IS COMING FROM SOMEONE WHO HAS GOT
24 A BACKUP. BUT AS I SIT HERE -- AND BELIEVE ME I'M NOT
25 OPPOSED TO THIS AT ALL. I'M JUST TRYING TO PUT IT IN A

1 FRAMEWORK OF WHAT I AS SOMEONE WITH INSTITUTIONAL
2 SUPPORT USES THAT INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT FOR. AND
3 BASICALLY WHAT I USE IT FOR IS WHEN THE STUFF COMES
4 ACROSS ON THE E-MAIL, MY ASSISTANT PRINTS IT OUT AND
5 THEN PUTS IT ON MY DESK. SHE IS ALSO INVOLVED IN
6 SCHEDULING AND MAKING SURE MY SCHEDULE FITS THE ICOC
7 SCHEDULE AND ALL OF THESE MEETINGS THAT WE HAVE. BUT
8 THERE'S NOT MUCH ELSE THAT SHE DOES TO HELP ME FULFILL
9 MY RESPONSIBILITIES TO THIS.

10 SO I REALLY -- I'M NOT OPPOSED TO IT AT ALL,
11 SHERRY AND DAVID, BUT I'M STRUGGLING WITH IT. AND I
12 GUESS I COULD BENEFIT FROM THE -- FROM SOME MORE
13 CONVERSATION FROM OUR COLLEAGUES ON THE PHONE.

14 MR. KLEIN: SHERRY, IF I CAN POINT OUT TO DR.
15 MURPHY FOR A MOMENT, THAT THE PATIENT ADVOCATES, AS
16 DAVID SUGGESTED, HAVE THIS COMPLETELY DIFFERENT LAYER
17 OF BURDEN. IN OTHER WORDS, JUST IN THE GRANT REVIEW
18 SESSION, THERE'S 230 APPLICATIONS FOR THE SEED MONEY,
19 THERE'S 78 APPLICATIONS FOR THE COMPREHENSIVE, THERE'S
20 THEN A WHOLE ADDITIONAL LAYER FOR THE SHARED LABS. AND
21 FACILITIES DURING THAT TIME PERIOD IS GOING TO BE
22 DEVELOPING EXTENSIVE APPLICATIONS, DEFINITIONS, AND
23 REVIEW PROCEDURES FOR MAJOR FACILITIES AS AN OVERLAY IN
24 ADDITION TO ANY OTHER RFP'S THAT COME THROUGH IN THAT
25 TIME PERIOD.

1 SO THE LEVEL OF PAPERWORK AND DOCUMENTS THAT
2 FLOW TO THESE MEMBERS IS SUBSTANTIALLY GREATER THAN THE
3 VERY HEAVY LEVEL THAT ALREADY FLOWS TO THE BOARD
4 MEMBERS IN THEIR FUNCTION ON THE BOARD AND THE
5 COMMITTEES.

6 DR. MURPHY: I THINK THAT'S A VERY GOOD
7 POINT, BOB.

8 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: LET ME -- I THINK I
9 HAVE AN IDEA, BUT LET ME GO ON. IRVINE?

10 DR. STEWARD: I ACTUALLY WOULD MAYBE EVEN GO
11 SLIGHTLY IN THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION. I'M A BIT
12 CONCERNED ABOUT THE MANAGEMENT OF ALL OF THIS BEING
13 THROUGH CIRM. I UNDERSTAND THE RATIONALE FOR THAT, BUT
14 I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT THE PATIENT ADVOCATES BE
15 ABLE TO ARRANGE THESE THINGS, FOR ASSISTANCE IN THE WAY
16 THAT WORKS BEST FOR THEM. BY THE WAY, JUST FOR THE
17 RECORD, THE REASON THAT LEON AND I ARE NOT ON THESE IS
18 THAT WE'RE, IN ADDITION TO BEING PATIENT ADVOCATES,
19 ALSO UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA EMPLOYEES.

20 AND SO I WONDER IF IT IS NECESSARY TO HAVE
21 THAT EMPLOYMENT PROCESS ENTIRELY COORDINATED BY CIRM.
22 THAT'S MY ONLY QUESTION. OTHER THAN THAT, I COMPLETELY
23 APPRECIATE THE ENORMOUS EXTRA WORKLOAD THAT THE OTHER
24 PATIENT ADVOCATES HAVE AND STRONGLY SUPPORT THEIR
25 HAVING THIS KIND OF ASSISTANCE.

1 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SACRAMENTO?

2 DR. POMEROY: FIRST OF ALL, I'M A STRONG
3 SUPPORTER OF THE PATIENT ADVOCATES THAT SERVE ON THE
4 SUBCOMMITTEES AND WORKING GROUPS HAVING ASSISTANCE. I
5 GUESS THE CHALLENGE IS IN THE BEST WAY TO ORGANIZE
6 THIS. THIS IS A LOT OF MONEY. I MEAN WE'RE TALKING
7 ABOUT \$200,000 A YEAR IF YOU MULTIPLY THINGS OUT. AND
8 SO I WAS THINKING THAT THERE'S A PERCEPTION PROBLEM
9 WITH THE FACT THAT WE MIGHT BE HIRING, QUOTE, PRIVATE
10 SECRETARIES FOR EACH OF THESE PEOPLE.

11 SO I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE OTHER END OF
12 THE SPECTRUM, WHICH IS THAT I WONDER IF IT WOULD BE
13 MORE COST-EFFECTIVE TO HIRE TWO ADDITIONAL FULL-TIME
14 PEOPLE AT CIRM WHO WOULD BE AVAILABLE TO THE PATIENT
15 ADVOCATES TO PROVIDE THIS SUPPORT. THE REASON I JUST
16 BRING UP THIS OTHER OPTION IS DO WE NEED TO HAVE SIX OR
17 EIGHT PEOPLE FIGURING OUT WHICH MATERIALS NEED TO BE
18 DISTRIBUTED, OR DO WE WANT TO HAVE ONE PERSON FIGURING
19 IT OUT FOR SIX TO EIGHT PEOPLE? SO I'M STRONGLY IN
20 SUPPORT OF THIS CONCEPT. I THINK IT'S ABSOLUTELY
21 ESSENTIAL GIVEN THE INCREDIBLE CONTRIBUTION THAT THE
22 PATIENT ADVOCATES MAKE, AND I THINK WE'VE ASKED THEM
23 UNFAIRLY TO REALLY KICK IN A LOT OF THIS SECRETARIAL
24 SUPPORT. I JUST WANT TO TALK A BIT MORE ABOUT WHAT'S
25 THE BEST ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE TO DELIVER THAT

1 SERVICE TO OUR PATIENT ADVOCATES.

2 MR. KLEIN: CLAIRE, THIS IS BOB. THERE USED
3 TO BE PEOPLE ON THE CHAIRMAN'S STAFF TO DEAL WITH
4 SUPPORT FOR THE PATIENT ADVOCATES. BUT IN THE
5 REDUCTION IN COST AND PERSONNEL, THOSE PEOPLE HAVE BEEN
6 ELIMINATED. SO WE NO LONGER HAVE THE ADDITIONAL
7 SUPPORT. NOW, THERE ARE, TO THE EXTENT WE HAVE FILL-IN
8 TIME BETWEEN VARIOUS, YOU KNOW, CRITICAL PATH ITEMS
9 LIKE BAN PURCHASES OR LITIGATION OR NUMEROUS OTHER
10 TASKS, THERE IS TIME WHERE INDIVIDUALS LIKE MELISSA
11 KING DOES LOGISTICS WORK FOR PATIENT ADVOCATES WHENEVER
12 POSSIBLE. THE PROBLEM IS THAT WITH THE NEW SCHEDULE
13 THAT'S COMING OUT AND WITH THE LEVEL OF SUPPORT THEY
14 NEED, INCLUDING, I THINK, THE ABILITY TO HAVE RESEARCH
15 SUPPORT WHEN NECESSARY TO REALLY FULLY DO THEIR JOB,
16 IT'S JUST GOING TO BECOME OVERWHELMING.

17 AND THE THOUGHT IS THAT THE ACTUAL NUMBER OF
18 PEOPLE THAT WILL NEED THIS ARE ABOUT HALF THE TOTAL, SO
19 IT GETS DOWN TO ABOUT A \$100,000 NUMBER.

20 DR. POMEROY: I CERTAINLY AGREE THAT THIS
21 SHOULD NOT BE DONE AS AN ADD-ON TO ANYONE'S JOB. BUT,
22 YOU KNOW, I JUST WANT TO THINK THROUGH THE FACT THAT,
23 YOU KNOW, 20 HOURS A WEEK IS A LOT OF TIME AND IT'S A
24 LOT OF MONEY, AND I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'VE LOOKED AT
25 ALL THE MODELS AND MAKE SURE THEY MEET THE ADVOCATES'

1 NEEDS.

2 MR. KLEIN: ABSOLUTELY. AND THAT'S A
3 MAXIMUM. THAT'S NOT -- THIS IS ONLY REIMBURSED UP TO
4 THAT AMOUNT.

5 DR. HALL: COULD I MAKE A COMMENT ON THE --
6 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YOU'RE NEXT. CARLSBAD
7 WAS NEXT. LET ME JUST KEEP TRYING TO DO THIS IN ORDER.
8 I KNOW THERE'S NO ONE THERE, SO YOU'RE NEXT.

9 DR. HALL: I JUST WANTED TO ANSWER
10 SPECIFICALLY CLAIRE'S POINT. LET ME SAY, FIRST OF ALL,
11 I THINK THIS IS -- THIS IS A REALLY IMPORTANT ISSUE.
12 WE WILL BE DOING, JUST FOR THE GRANTS REVIEW WORKING
13 GROUP, WE WILL BE DOING SIX TO 12 OF THESE A YEAR. AND
14 WE CAN ROTATE OUR SCIENTISTS, BUT THERE IS NO PROVISION
15 FOR PATIENT ADVOCATES. SO THE SAME PEOPLE WHO ARE
16 BEING CALLED ON ALSO TO BE ON THE FACILITIES WORKING
17 GROUP AND ALSO TO BE ON THE STANDARDS WORKING GROUP
18 HAVE TO ATTEND ICOC MEETINGS, THESE SAME PEOPLE ARE
19 THERE FOR EVERY REVIEW. SO THAT IS A TREMENDOUS BURDEN
20 AND WORKLOAD.

21 AND IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE HELP NEEDS TO BE
22 ON-SITE; THAT IS, WHO NEEDS TO ORGANIZE PAPERS AND
23 DOWNLOAD E-MAILS AND WORK OUT SCHEDULES AND DO THINGS
24 IS A PERSON IN HEALDSBURG OR A PERSON IN CHICO OR A
25 PERSON IN SACRAMENTO FOR FRANCISCO, NOT SOMEBODY HERE.

1 IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO DO THAT. AND SO OUR IDEA WAS
2 THAT THE SENSIBLE WAY TO DO THIS WAS TO GET A SERVICE
3 THERE, EVEN TO THINK ABOUT US INTERVIEWING PEOPLE IN
4 HEALDSBURG. AND TRYING TO ARRANGE AND SUPERVISE THEM,
5 OR CHICO OR WHEREVER IT IS, BECOMES VERY, VERY
6 DIFFICULT. AND SO THE IDEA OF CONTRACTING WITH
7 SERVICES TO PROVIDE TEMPORARY HELP, AND THIS AMOUNTS TO
8 ESSENTIALLY A HALF-TIME JOB, SO THIS IS A REASONABLY
9 SUBSTANTIAL JOB. AND I THINK IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO
10 GET PEOPLE TO DO IT LONG-TERM. WE'VE HAD VERY ABLE
11 PEOPLE HERE AS TEMP HELP. AND I ALSO THINK THAT IT
12 WOULD BE A LOT OF ISSUES OF SUPERVISION, OF DECIDING
13 WHO THESE PEOPLE ARE. WE WOULD HAVE TO -- JUST HOW WE
14 WOULD OVERSEE THIS IS VERY HARD FOR ME TO UNDERSTAND.

15 BUT IF WE WERE TO CONTRACT WITH SOMEBODY TO
16 DO THIS, I THINK WE CAN HANDLE ISSUES OF
17 CONFIDENTIALITY AND CONFLICT OF INTEREST. I THINK THAT
18 CAN BE DONE. WE CAN SIMPLY INCORPORATE THAT, OR WE
19 COULD PROVIDE THE FORMS AND GET -- BE SURE THAT WE HAD
20 THE ASSURANCES, BUT I THINK OTHERWISE IT BECOMES VERY
21 DIFFICULT FOR US TO HAVE A HANDLE ON IT. AND I THINK
22 WE'RE GOING TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SOMETHING THAT WE
23 CAN'T REALLY COMPLETELY OVERSEE OR CONTROL. SO THAT'S,
24 I THINK, THE BIGGEST ISSUE.

25 I THINK THE OTHER ISSUE IS THAT AS WE OVERSEE

1 OUR -- LOOK AT OUR CIRM NEEDS WHEN WE GET GEARED UP, I
2 THINK WE'RE GOING TO NEED EVERY POSSIBLE FTE WE CAN
3 HERE FOR JUST THE SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES AND THE OTHER
4 ACTIVITIES OF THE INSTITUTE. AND WHERE WE CAN CONTRACT
5 THINGS OUT, I THINK WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO USE THAT AS
6 MUCH AS POSSIBLE, AND IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THIS IS A
7 GOOD PLACE AND WAY TO DO IT.

8 I THINK ONE ISSUE IS THE ISSUE OF WHETHER
9 WHAT'S NEEDED IS CLERICAL SUPPORT TO HELP WITH THE
10 MECHANICS OF ALL THIS VERSUS SOMETHING ELSE, WHICH
11 BECOMES A SORT OF DEPUTY OR HIGH LEVEL PERSON WHO I'M
12 NOT QUITE SURE WHAT AS A GRADUATE STUDENT DEVELOPS
13 POLICY PAPERS OR MAKES ANALYSES, DOES THOSE SORTS OF
14 THINGS. THAT, IT SEEMS TO ME, IS A DIFFERENT ISSUE,
15 BUT I THINK THE ISSUE OF PROVIDING CLERICAL SUPPORT FOR
16 THESE PATIENT ADVOCATES -- THERE'S TREMENDOUS -- I MEAN
17 THE BURDEN ON THEM IS ENORMOUS. AND I THINK IF WE'RE
18 GOING TO BE ABLE TO USE THEM AND NOT BURN THEM OUT
19 HERE, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO COME UP WITH A GOOD
20 MECHANISM FOR DOING IT. SO THOSE ARE MY THOUGHTS.

21 DR. POMEROY: SO THIS IS CLAIRE. JUST FOLLOW
22 UP. ZACH, BOTH YOU AND BOB MAKE SOME VERY CONVINCING
23 ARGUMENTS FOR ME. I TAKE IT THAT YOU HAVE TALKED TO
24 THE PATIENT ADVOCATES, AND THIS IS WHAT THEY WOULD
25 PREFER. HAVE WE DONE A SURVEY OF ALL OF THEM? DOES IT

1 WORK FOR THEM?

2 DR. HALL: I THINK WE HAVE NOT CARRIED OUT
3 ANY FORMAL SURVEY ON THIS PART. WE'D BE HAPPY TO DO
4 THAT IF YOU WOULD LIKE.

5 DR. POMEROY: SOUNDS LIKE --

6 DR. HALL: -- INDIVIDUAL NEEDS OF EACH PERSON.

7 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I THINK --

8 MR. KLEIN: SHERRY. SHERRY.

9 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES.

10 MR. KLEIN: GO AHEAD. NO, PLEASE.

11 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I WAS JUST GOING TO
12 KIND OF SUM UP. SO YOU WANT --

13 DR. HENDERSON: BRIAN HENDERSON HERE AT KECK.
14 CAN I JUST --

15 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SURE.

16 DR. HENDERSON: -- HAVE A SHOT AT A COMMENT
17 BEFORE YOU SUM UP?

18 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES. EVERYONE SHOULD
19 TALK.

20 DR. NOVA: TINA AS WELL AFTER BRIAN.

21 DR. HENDERSON: YEAH. I CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND
22 THE NEED. I'M CONCERNED THAT THIS BE AS TIGHT A
23 PROCESS AS POSSIBLE TO PROTECT THE PATIENT ADVOCATES
24 BECAUSE THIS IS A -- YOU KNOW, THIS IS A POTENTIAL HOT
25 BUTTON IF THE WRONG PERSON JUST HAPPENS TO GET IN A

1 POSITION OF WHERE THEY HAVE ACCESS TO CONFIDENTIAL
2 MATERIAL THAT'S OTHERWISE, YOU KNOW, WITHIN A CLOSED
3 TIGHTER LOOP. SO HOWEVER WE DO THIS, WE'VE GOT TO
4 PROTECT THE ADVOCATES. AND I, FOR ONE, TOO AM NOT
5 EXCITED ABOUT THE IDEA OF OTHERWISE GRADUATE STUDENTS
6 OR PEOPLE WHO MIGHT MAKE OTHER USE OF THE INFORMATION,
7 BUT WOULD PREFER TO KEEP IT AT A MORE CLERICAL LEVEL
8 UNTIL WE SEE A REAL NEED FOR SOMETHING THAT MAY BE MORE
9 DIFFICULT FOR US TO CONTROL.

10 SO I COULD SEE CLERICAL SUPPORT THAT SOMEHOW
11 WHERE THE EMPLOYMENT IS ARRANGED OR REVIEWED OR SOMEHOW
12 CONTROLLED BY THE PRESIDENT'S OFFICE, BUT WHERE IT'S
13 LOCAL AND AVAILABLE UP TO THE AMOUNTS YOU SORT OF
14 MENTIONED, BUT TO TRY TO KEEP IT AS SIMPLE AND AS WELL
15 DEFINED AS POSSIBLE AS WE GET STARTED, AND THEN SEE
16 WHAT OTHER NEEDS EVOLVE OVER TIME AND HOW WE MIGHT
17 ADDRESS THOSE WHEN THEY COME UP.

18 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ALL RIGHT. TINA, YOU
19 WANTED TO GO, AND THEN I'LL GO LAST, I GUESS.

20 DR. NOVA: I DEFINITELY AGREE WITH ALL THE
21 COMMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE. I THINK IT'S BEEN
22 SUMMARIZED WELL BY EVERYONE, JUST FINDING A MECHANISM,
23 AND I CERTAINLY SUPPORT IT A HUNDRED PERCENT,
24 ESPECIALLY FOR PEOPLE LIKE JOAN THAT HAVE SOME PHYSICAL
25 ISSUES ON TOP OF EVERYTHING ELSE SHE HAS TO DO.

1 ONE THING THAT HAPPENED IN THE PREPARATION OF
2 THIS PHONE CALL THAT WAS SAID IN THE PREP CALL WAS THAT
3 SOME PEOPLE WANTED THIS PERSON TO BE TECHNICAL AND
4 TO -- THAT DIDN'T COME UP IN THIS CALL, BUT IT CAME UP
5 IN A PRECALL -- THIS PERSON TO BE TECHNICAL AND HELP
6 THE PATIENT ADVOCATES OUT SCIENTIFICALLY. AND I JUST
7 WANTED TO SAY THAT THAT'S SOMETHING I TOTALLY DISAGREE
8 WITH BECAUSE THAT HAS TO BE KEPT AT THE COMMITTEE LEVEL
9 WITH ALL THOSE BRILLIANT SCIENTISTS WHO ARE ON THAT
10 COMMITTEE, AND I THINK THAT WOULD BE A HUGE BIAS AND A
11 VERY BIG MISTAKE. SO IT DIDN'T COME UP HERE, BUT IT
12 DID COME UP IN THE PRE. THAT'S THE ONLY REASON I'M
13 BRINGING IT UP. THANKS.

14 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: SHERRY, IF I COULD MAKE
15 ONE FINAL COMMENT.

16 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: SURE.

17 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: AND THEN YOU CAN DO YOUR
18 SUMMARY. THAT IS, EVERYONE HAS GOT A DIFFERENT IDEA
19 ABOUT WHAT'S THEIR ROLE. AND RICHARD MURPHY'S FIRST
20 COMMENT, THAT THIS IS A VOLUNTEER EFFORT, IS TOTALLY
21 IMPORTANT BECAUSE THROUGH ALL THE GRIEF AND HEADACHE
22 THAT COMES ALONG WITH THIS JOB, I SIGNED UP FOR IT. I
23 WAS THE ONE KNOCKING ON THE LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR'S
24 OFFICE SAYING APPOINT ME, APPOINT ME. SO, YOU KNOW,
25 YOU HAVE TO TAKE IT IN THAT LIGHT, IN MY OPINION.

1 AND SO I THINK CLAIRE HAS GOT AN INTERESTING
2 IDEA IN THAT WE OUGHT TO LOOK AT OTHER MODELS. I THINK
3 HAVING THE BUCK STOP AT THE PRESIDENT'S OFFICE IN TERMS
4 OF STAFFING AND RESPONSIBILITIES AND DELEGATION OF
5 AUTHORITY, THAT ALL OUGHT TO EMANATE FROM THE
6 PRESIDENT'S OFFICE BECAUSE IF WE DO HAVE A STAFF
7 PERSON, WHICH IS WHAT I'M LEANING TOWARD NOW, NOT A
8 TEMPORARY PERSON, NOT A TEMP PERSON, BUT SOMEBODY ON
9 STAFF WHO'S RESPONSIBLE FOR, ONCE WE OUTLINE WHAT THESE
10 RESPONSIBILITIES WILL BE FOR, I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT.
11 AND IT SENDS A STRONG SIGNAL TO THE PUBLIC THAT WE CARE
12 ABOUT THE WORK THAT HAPPENS AND WE WANT THE PATIENT
13 ADVOCATES TO BE SUPPORTED.

14 NO ONE IS GOING TO GO ON RECORD AND SAY WE
15 DON'T WANT THE PATIENTS TO BE SUPPORTED BECAUSE THAT'S
16 JUST BAD POLITICS. BUT THERE IS A NEED. AND, SHERRY,
17 WHAT DO YOU THINK?

18 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I WAS SAVING MY
19 COMMENTS FOR LAST, AND THEN I'LL GO TO THE PUBLIC
20 BECAUSE I KNOW JOHN SIMPSON WANTS TO SPEAK.

21 FIRST, LET ME SAY FOR THE RECORD THAT I AM
22 EXTRAORDINARILY SYMPATHETIC TO THE NEEDS OF THE HEALTH
23 OF THE PATIENT ADVOCATES. I FEEL THAT, IN ADDITION TO
24 THE INCREDIBLE BURDEN OF WORK THAT THEY HAVE, AS TINA
25 SAID, SOME ARE ALSO SUFFERING FROM PHYSICAL

1 DIFFICULTIES, WHICH MAKES IT EVEN MORE STRESSFUL AND
2 DIFFICULT TO DO THEIR JOB. I THINK THAT THE PATIENT
3 ADVOCATES, I EXCLUDE MYSELF FROM THIS, HAVE BEEN
4 EXTRAORDINARY. AND I AM ALL FOR THIS; HOWEVER, I WANT
5 TO TELL YOU WHAT I'M WORRIED ABOUT.

6 PERCEPTION IS REALITY UNFORTUNATELY, AND I
7 DON'T WANT IT TO LOOK LIKE IN ANY WAY THE PATIENT
8 ADVOCATES ARE TRYING TO GET THINGS THAT OTHER PEOPLE
9 AREN'T GETTING. AND SO FOR ME THE VERY, VERY FIRST
10 THING WE HAVE TO DO, WHICH I DO NOT THINK WE'VE DONE,
11 IS ACTUALLY DEFINE WHAT THE PATIENT ADVOCATES WANT.
12 MAYBE THERE HAVE BEEN INFORMAL CONVERSATIONS. I
13 HAVEN'T BEEN PART OF THEM, AND I'M A PATIENT ADVOCATE.

14 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: NOR HAVE I.

15 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: OKAY. SO THERE YOU GO.
16 SO I THINK THAT, YOU KNOW, WE NEED TO ASSIGN, YOU KNOW,
17 WHETHER IT'S ZACH, WHETHER IT'S BOB, WHETHER IT'S
18 CLAIRE, MAYBE IT SHOULD BE JUST A KIND OF OBJECTIVE
19 PERSON, TO REALLY GO AROUND AND TALK BECAUSE I ALSO
20 THINK THAT THE NUMBER WILL BE SMALLER THAN WE
21 ANTICIPATE. I DON'T THINK IT IS, AS BOB SAID, FOR ALL
22 EIGHT PEOPLE. I THINK THAT SOME PEOPLE ARE FORTUNATE
23 ENOUGH TO HAVE SUPPORT STAFF EVEN THOUGH THEY'RE NOT
24 PART OF AN INSTITUTION.

25 SO I'D LIKE TO KNOW, A, WHAT IT IS THAT

1 EVERYBODY THINKS THEY NEED, DEFINE THE JOB FIRST, FIND
2 OUT HOW MANY PEOPLE ACTUALLY WANT IT, AND THEN SEE WHAT
3 KIND OF MODELS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. IF SOMEONE WAS
4 SAYING YOU HAVE TO MAKE A DECISION TODAY, I WOULD SAY
5 HIRE ONE STAFF PERSON FOR ALL THE PATIENT ADVOCATES WHO
6 IS RESPONSIBLE. AND MY FEELING IS THAT WOULD GO A LONG
7 WAY TO HELPING US. YOU KNOW, WE ALL WORK PRETTY WELL
8 TOGETHER, AND THERE'S NO REASON WHY THOSE OF US THAT
9 ARE ON THE SCIENTIFIC GRANT COMMITTEE CAN'T SAY, OKAY,
10 THIS IS WHEN WE'RE HAVING OUR MEETING WITH THE STAFF
11 PERSON. I'M NOT READY TO SAY THAT YET BECAUSE I DON'T
12 FEEL I HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION.

13 MR. KLEIN: SHERRY, THIS IS BOB. I WOULD
14 AGREE WITH YOU. I'VE TALKED TO, I THINK, THE FOUR OR
15 FIVE THAT THIS WOULD ADDRESS, AND I CAN GET YOU A
16 SUMMARY FROM -- I THINK THE COMMITTEE NEEDS TO GET AT
17 ITS NEXT MEETING A SUMMARY OF WHAT THEY NEED BECAUSE
18 THAT'S WHY IT'S UP TO 20 HOURS BECAUSE WITH SOME PEOPLE
19 IT'S FOUR HOURS AND SOME PEOPLE IT'S 20 AND SOME PEOPLE
20 IT'S IN BETWEEN. THEY HAVE DIFFERENTIAL NEEDS. SO WE
21 CAN CERTAINLY GET THAT PUT DOWN AS A SUMMARY.

22 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I THINK IT'S MORE THAN
23 THAT, BOB, IF I MIGHT SAY SO. I MEAN BECAUSE I
24 THINK -- THE ONLY PERSON I'VE TALKED TO A LITTLE BIT
25 ABOUT THIS IS JOAN, BUT IT'S BEEN VERY LITTLE, TO BE

1 HONEST WITH YOU, AND A LITTLE BIT TO JEFF, VERY LITTLE.
2 AND I THINK, YOU KNOW, WHETHER THE PATIENT ADVOCATES
3 KIND OF SIT DOWN THEMSELVES AND REALLY REALISTICALLY
4 TALK ABOUT IT, WHETHER SOMEBODY, YOU KNOW, THAT'S
5 OUTSIDE OF THE OFFICE KIND OF DOES A SUMMARY AND COMES
6 BACK, AND THEN I THINK, YOU KNOW, A LOT OF IT IS HOW
7 MUCH IS IT GOING TO COST BECAUSE WE'RE VERY MINDFUL.
8 YOU KNOW, IF, IN FACT, IT WAS \$200,000, I HAVE TO SAY
9 THAT WOULD BE AN ENORMOUS NUMBER THAT WOULD BE A RED
10 FLAG THAT WOULD JUST MAKE THE PATIENT ADVOCATES
11 INADVERTENTLY LOOK BAD. SO THEN I WOULD SAY, "WELL,
12 WAIT A MINUTE. LET'S FIGURE THIS OUT." DO YOU KNOW?

13 SO I WOULD LIKE SUGGESTIONS AS TO HOW WE GET
14 INPUT FROM ALL THE PATIENT ADVOCATES, HOW WE ACTUALLY
15 FIND HOW MANY PEOPLE WANT IT, HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE GOING
16 TO USE IT, AND WHAT WE REALLY THINK. MY INITIAL
17 TENDENCY IS TO THINK WE NEED A STAFF -- MAYBE WE CAN
18 GET IT FUNDED FROM OUTSIDE. I MEAN THAT'S ANOTHER
19 THING, DEPENDING ON HOW MUCH IT IS. SO I JUST DON'T
20 HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION TODAY, AND I DON'T WANT THIS TO
21 DRAG ON BECAUSE I THINK THE SENSE OF THE GOVERNANCE
22 COMMITTEE IS WE WANT TO DO SOMETHING, BUT WE DON'T YET
23 HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION TO KNOW WHAT TO DO.

24 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: SHERRY, LET ME ADD THAT
25 I WOULD AT THIS POINT RECOMMEND THAT WE ASK THE

1 PRESIDENT, OUR CHIEF EXECUTIVE, ZACH HALL, TO LOOK INTO
2 THIS AND COME BACK WITH SOMETHING EITHER TO YOU OR TINA
3 AND SORT OF TAKE IT FROM THERE. BUT IN TERMS OF DOING
4 THE DUE DILIGENCE AND GATHERING THE INFORMATION, I'D
5 LIKE ZACH TO DO THAT. ZACH IS ACCOUNTABLE TO THIS
6 GOVERNANCE WORKING GROUP, AND HE'S ALSO ACCOUNTABLE AS
7 AN ADMIRABLE EMPLOYEE AT THE INSTITUTE. SO I WANT TO
8 BE VERY CLEAR THAT I THINK IT'S A RESPONSIBILITY
9 THAT -- TASK THAT ZACH SHOULD TAKE UP.

10 DR. HALL: I'D BE HAPPY TO DO THAT, DAVID, IF
11 THE COMMITTEE WISHES ME TO.

12 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: IS THERE ANY -- I'M
13 VERY COMFORTABLE WITH THAT. IS THERE ANYBODY -- IT
14 SHOULDN'T BE A PATIENT ADVOCATE. THAT'S ALL I KNOW.
15 SO THE QUESTION IS IS THERE ANY OTHER -- ANYBODY ELSE
16 THAT ANYBODY WANTS TO DO IT, YOU KNOW, WHATEVER?

17 DR. HENDERSON: NO. I THINK THAT'S
18 APPROPRIATE.

19 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THEN I DON'T NEED A
20 VOTE, BUT LET ME JUST SEE IF I CAN -- I'M GOING TO GO
21 BACK TO THE PUBLIC FOR ONE SECOND. BUT THE SENSE OF
22 OUR COMMITTEE, THEN, LET ME JUST SAY IT, AND THEN I
23 WANT THE PUBLIC COMMENT. THE SENSE OF OUR COMMITTEE IS
24 THAT WE WISH TO DO SOMETHING TO HELP THE PATIENT
25 ADVOCATES, BUT WE NEED TO HAVE MORE INFORMATION ON

1 EXACTLY WHAT IT IS, THE DEFINITION OF WHAT WE'RE ASKING
2 FOR, WHO'S GOING TO BE USING IT, WHAT IT'S GOING TO BE
3 DOING, AND HOW MUCH IT'S GOING TO COST. AND THEN WE
4 WILL DISCUSS IT SOME MORE AT ANOTHER GOVERNANCE
5 COMMITTEE MEETING BEFORE WE BRING IT TO THE BOARD.

6 THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING. SO NOW LET ME TURN
7 TO THE PUBLIC AS WELL AS ANYBODY WHO'S A BOARD MEMBER
8 WHO WISHES TO TALK. AND JOHN HAS BEEN VERY PATIENT
9 WAITING IN L.A., SO, JOHN, I'LL START WITH YOU.

10 MR. SIMPSON: VERY QUICKLY. IT MAKES PERFECT
11 SENSE TO ME. I WOULD JUST THROW OUT ONE OTHER THOUGHT,
12 AND THAT IS THE FOLLOWING. IT MAY BE THAT PART --
13 EVERYONE WANTS THE PATIENT ADVOCATES TO GET THE SUPPORT
14 THEY NEED. PART OF THE PROBLEM MAY BE THAT THERE ARE
15 SO FEW PATIENT ADVOCATES AND SO MANY MEETINGS THAT THEY
16 ARE INVOLVED IN, THAT SORT OF SECRETARIAL SUPPORT IS
17 NOT WHAT'S NECESSARY. WHAT MAY BE THAT IS REALLY
18 NEEDED IS THE ABILITY FOR THEM, LIKE SOME OF THE
19 INSTITUTIONAL REPRESENTATIVES, TO BE ABLE TO APPOINT A
20 DESIGNEE TO GO TO A MEETING WHEN THEY CANNOT ATTEND.
21 AND IT MAY BE THAT THAT IS REALLY WHAT IS AT THE HEART
22 OF IT HERE. AND EVEN WITH APPROPRIATE ASSISTANCE, THEY
23 STILL WOULD BE OVERWHELMED WITH THEIR COMMITMENT. IT
24 MAY BE THAT A LOOK AT THE BYLAWS THAT WOULD PROVIDE FOR
25 A DESIGNEE TO SERVE AT CERTAIN TIMES WOULD SOLVE A LOT

1 OF THIS SOMETIMES. THANK YOU.

2 MR. KLEIN: JOHN, THIS IS BOB KLEIN. JAMES
3 HARRISON HAS LOOKED AT THIS AS WELL AS SOME OF THE
4 OTHER ATTORNEYS THAT WORKED WITH ME IN DRAFTING THE
5 INITIATIVE. AND THERE'S STATE LAW PROBLEMS WITH
6 INDIVIDUALS HAVING A SUBSTITUTE OR REPLACEMENT EXCEPT
7 WHERE THEY HAVE AN EXPERT ROLE AS IN PEER REVIEW. BUT
8 JAMES HARRISON, I THINK, COULD BE HELPFUL IN DOING A
9 MEMO THAT ADDRESSES THIS. IT'S POSSIBLE THAT IN
10 LEGISLATIVE ENHANCEMENTS THAT WE CAN ADDRESS THIS, BUT
11 I THINK WE NEED JAMES HARRISON TO DO -- TO SUMMARIZE
12 THE PRIOR DISCUSSIONS I'VE HAD WITH HIM, SHERRY, AND
13 I'M HAPPY TO TALK TO JAMES ABOUT GETTING THAT DONE.

14 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: CAN YOU GET IT TO JOHN?
15 I'D LIKE TO SEE IT TOO BECAUSE THIS IS SOMETHING THAT
16 I'VE ASKED ABOUT. AND I KNOW ALL THE REASONS WE CAN'T,
17 BUT WHY DON'T YOU JUST SEND IT TO ALL OF US ON THIS
18 GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE SO WE CAN LOOK AT IT AS WELL.

19 MR. KLEIN: OKAY.

20 MR. SIMPSON: YOU'RE AT THE POINT, THOUGH,
21 WHERE WOULD YOU'RE CLOSE TO BEING ABLE TO HAVE
22 LEGISLATIVE AMENDMENTS --

23 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YEAH, YOU'RE RIGHT.

24 MR. SIMPSON: -- WHICH MAY PROVIDE AN AVENUE
25 THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.

1 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THIS IS SOMETHING, YOU
2 KNOW, ZACH, WHEN YOU DO YOUR COMMITTEE, YOU SHOULD LOOK
3 INTO THIS ACTUALLY.

4 DR. MURPHY: SHERRY, JUST FOR THE RECORD, I
5 JUST WANT TO SAY TO DAVID. DAVID, WITH THE TREMENDOUS
6 BURDENS THAT YOU GUYS HAVE, YOU AND THE OTHER PATIENT
7 ADVOCATES, IT'S REALLY IMPRESSIVE TO ME THAT YOUR FIRST
8 THOUGHT IS WHAT WORKS BEST FOR CIRM AND HOW DO WE
9 HANDLE THIS IN AN APPROPRIATE WAY. I JUST WANT TO SAY
10 IT'S A PRIVILEGE BEING ON THE COMMITTEE WITH YOU. YOU
11 REALLY DO HAVE THE LONG VIEW. THANK YOU.

12 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I DITTO THAT, DAVID.
13 IT'S TRUE.

14 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: THANK YOU. THANK YOU.

15 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: AND, AGAIN, BECAUSE I'M
16 A PATIENT ADVOCATE, BUT TO MY OTHER PATIENT ADVOCATES I
17 FEEL THE SAME WAY.

18 LET ME GO AROUND. ARE THERE ANY OTHER PUBLIC
19 COMMENTS? I DON'T THINK THERE IS ANYBODY ELSE IN THE
20 PUBLIC THERE.

21 MS. DUROSS: NO. NOT AT CIRM.

22 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ANYPLACE ELSE? I DON'T
23 THINK THERE'S ANYBODY ELSE THERE? ARE THERE ANY OTHER
24 COMMENTS?

25 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: SHERRY, THIS IS DAVID.

1 ONE LAST THING. IF -- YOU CAN SAY YES OR NO. THAT IS,
2 WHAT YOU PROPOSE, I WANT TO MAKE ONE SLIGHT SUGGESTION.
3 AND THAT IS, THIS COMING BACK TO THE GOVERNANCE
4 COMMITTEE, THAT WE DELEGATE TO YOU AND TINA, AS THE
5 LEADERSHIP OF THIS COMMITTEE, TO SORT OF WORK OUT THE
6 DETAILS WITH ZACH, AND THEN HAVE ZACH MAKE A FORMAL
7 PRESENTATION AT THE APPROPRIATE FULL ICOC MEETING. I
8 JUST WANT US TO GET ONE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING, IF THAT'S
9 POSSIBLE. IF YOU DON'T THINK THAT'S A GOOD IDEA, THAT
10 IT OUGHT TO COME BACK --

11 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I THINK --

12 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: -- PROPOSE, THEN FINE.
13 THROUGH TINA, YOU GUYS CAN DO IT.

14 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I THINK THIS IS SUCH A
15 HOT-BUTTON ISSUE, THAT WHAT I DON'T WANT TO HAVE
16 HAPPEN, I'LL BE HONEST WITH YOU, IS TO HAVE A WHOLE BIG
17 DISCUSSION IN PUBLIC ABOUT IT. I'D LIKE TO HAVE THIS
18 GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE REALLY WANT IT AND HAVE A
19 PROPOSAL. AND THEN WHEN WE GO IN, YOU KNOW, TO THE
20 WHOLE BOARD, WE REALLY ARE GOING IN UNIFIED RATHER THAN
21 THREE OF US.

22 MR. KLEIN: SHERRY, THIS IS IN PUBLIC, BUT
23 WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS IN TERMS OF HAVING -- USING UP A
24 LOT OF OUR PUBLIC HEARING IN A WHOLE BOARD DISCUSSION.

25 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YES. I MEAN THIS

1 IS -- IN OTHER WORDS, THIS IS WHERE WE THRASH OUT. I
2 KNOW THIS IS IN PUBLIC. BUT I MEAN THIS IS WHERE WE
3 THRASH OUT EVERYTHING, AND I WOULD HATE TO GO BEFORE
4 THE FULL BOARD AND HAVE EVERYTHING BE -- I LIKE IT WHEN
5 WE DO IT IN THIS COMMITTEE, DAVID, UNLESS YOU HAVE A
6 PROBLEM WITH IT.

7 MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: I DON'T.

8 MR. KLEIN: I THINK THAT'S A GOOD IDEA,
9 DAVID, BECAUSE AT THE BOARD LEVEL, WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH
10 TIME FOR AS THOROUGH A DISCUSSION AS WE CAN DO HERE.

11 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YOU SAID IT BETTER THAN
12 I DID, BOB. THANK YOU.

13 DR. STEWARD: THIS IS OS. THERE'S JUST ONE
14 ISSUE, I GUESS, I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT. I THINK
15 WE'VE HEARD A CRY FOR HELP HERE. AND JUST BY WAY OF
16 THE TIMING, IF WE PUT IT OFF TO THE NEXT GOVERNANCE
17 COMMITTEE AND THEN THE NEXT BOARD MEETING AFTER THAT,
18 THERE'S A SEVERE DELAY, AND THAT DELAY IS HAPPENING
19 DURING A PERIOD WHERE THERE IS A LOT GOING ON.

20 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WELL, I WAS NOT
21 SUGGESTING THAT WE PUT IT OFF TO THE NEXT GOVERNANCE
22 COMMITTEE. I WAS ACTUALLY GOING TO END IT BY SAYING
23 THAT I HOPE THAT IN THE FIRST WEEK OR SECOND WEEK IN
24 JANUARY WE COULD HAVE ANOTHER GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE JUST
25 TO ADDRESS THIS. AND THEN OUR NEXT BOARD MEETING IS --

1 DR. HENDERSON: FEBRUARY.

2 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WELL, THERE'S NO
3 JANUARY MEETING.

4 MR. KLEIN: SHERRY, ON AN INTERIM BASIS, I
5 THINK ZACH HAS IMPLEMENTED USING A TEMP SERVICE. AND
6 SO TO THE EXTENT WE CAN DO THAT. IN ADDITION, KATE
7 SHREVE, WHO IS STILL AROUND THROUGH DECEMBER; IS THAT
8 RIGHT, ZACH?

9 DR. HALL: NO. SHE SAID THE 15TH, BOB.

10 MR. KLEIN: BUT IN TERMS OF MY UNDERSTANDING
11 IS THAT YOU'RE PREPARED TO HAVE A TEMP SERVICE --

12 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ABSOLUTELY.

13 MR. KLEIN: -- ON AN INTERIM BASIS.

14 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: ABSOLUTELY.

15 DR. HALL: WE HAVE ALREADY CONTACTED A
16 SERVICE IN HEALDSBURG, AND WE HAVE TOLD JOAN THAT WE
17 WOULD PROVIDE HELP FOR HER BEFORE THE NEXT GRANTS
18 WORKING GROUP MEETING AND BEFORE THE ICOC MEETING. SO
19 WE WILL GO AHEAD AND TRY TO IMPLEMENT THAT.

20 MR. KLEIN: BUT THAT WOULD APPLY TO JEFF
21 SHEEHY OR OTHER MEMBERS OF THE PATIENT ADVOCATES AS
22 WELL; IS THAT RIGHT?

23 DR. HALL: WE COULD DO THAT IF YOU WOULD LIKE
24 US TO.

25 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: I THINK WE SHOULD. IT

1 CAN'T BE THAT MUCH FOR A TEMP SERVICE FOR THE NEXT
2 EIGHT WEEKS, IF WE NEED IT. YOU KNOW, WE'LL SEE.

3 DR. HALL: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. I WILL --

4 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: YOU FEEL IT'S GETTING
5 OUT OF HAND --

6 DR. HALL: WE WILL COME UP WITH SOME INTERIM
7 PLAN, AND THEN WE WILL GO AHEAD AND GATHER INFORMATION
8 AND COME BACK WITH A MORE FULLY DEVELOPED PLAN FOR YOU.

9 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: WE CAN -- ON TEN DAYS
10 NOTICE, WE WON'T WAIT FOR THE NEXT GOVERNANCE. WE'LL
11 JUST HAVE A MEETING ON THIS SPECIFIC ISSUE. SO
12 SOMETIME, LET'S JUST SAY, YOU KNOW, I'M MAKING THIS UP,
13 YOU KNOW, AFTER THE HOLIDAYS, NO LATER THAN THE MIDDLE
14 OF JANUARY. THAT'S A GOAL.

15 DR. HALL: WE HAVE A REVIEW MEETING 8 TO 10,
16 JANUARY 8 TO 10 IS THAT BIG REVIEW MEETING, SO MAYBE A
17 LITTLE BIT AFTER THAT WE CAN HAVE THAT INFORMATION AND
18 HAVE A PLAN FOR YOU.

19 CHAIRPERSON LANSING: GREAT. DAVID, I THINK
20 IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT YOU, WHO HAVE BEEN INCREDIBLY
21 BURDENED, AND JOAN, THAT WE GIVE JOAN THE MESSAGE AS
22 WELL AS JEFF, THAT WE INTEND TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT.
23 AND WE WANT TO ACT QUICKLY, AND WE'RE EXTREMELY MINDFUL
24 OF YOUR INCREDIBLE PUBLIC SERVICE, AND THAT WE WILL BE
25 ADDRESSING IT, HOPEFULLY, BY THE MIDDLE OF JANUARY.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: THANK YOU.

CHAIRPERSON LANSING: AND MEANWHILE WE'LL
HAVE TEMPORARY SERVICE TO HELP EVERYBODY.

SO IF THERE ARE NO FURTHER COMMENTS OR
QUESTIONS FROM OUR SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS OR MEMBERS OF
THE PUBLIC, THIS MEETING WILL STAND ADJOURNED. ARE
THERE ANY OTHER COMMENTS?

DR. HENDERSON: THANK YOU.

CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU ALL.

MR. KLEIN: THANKS, SHERRY.

CHAIRPERSON LANSING: THANK YOU FOR YOUR
DILIGENT PARTICIPATION. THANKS, EVERYBODY.

(MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED AT 4:52 P.M.)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING ON DECEMBER 4, 2006, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE DIGITALLY RECORDED AND THEN TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152
BARRISTER'S REPORTING SERVICE
1072 S.E. BRISTOL STREET
SUITE 100
SANTA ANA HEIGHTS, CALIFORNIA
(714) 444-4100