

BEFORE THE  
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE  
TO THE  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE  
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE  
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT  
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: SHERATON HOTEL & MARINA  
1580 HARBOR ISLAND DRIVE  
BAY TOWER, BEL AIRE BALLROOM  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

DATE: JUNE 17, 2009  
4:30 P.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CSR  
CSR. NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 82462

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

### I N D E X

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
	CALL TO ORDER	3, 122
	ROLL CALL	4, 123
	CONSENT ITEMS	
4.	APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM AUGUST 12-13, SEPTEMBER 25; DECEMBER 9-10, 2008; AND JANUARY 29TH-30TH; AND MARCH 12, 2009 ICOC MEETINGS.	125
5.	APPROVAL OF UPDATED CIRM CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE.	126
	REPORTS	
6.	CHAIRMAN'S REPORT.	7
7.	PRESIDENT'S REPORT.	11
	ACTION ITEMS	
8.	CONSIDERATION OF FUNDING FOR APPROVED CIRM RESEARCH TRAINING PROGRAM II AWARDS.	50
9.	CONSIDERATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS FROM GRANTS WORKING GROUP ON TIER 2 EARLY TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH AWARDS APPLICATIONS.	76, 126
	CLOSED SESSION (NOT REPORTED)	
	PUBLIC REPORT OF ANY ACTION TAKEN, IF NECESSARY, DURING CLOSED SESSION.	
	ACTION ITEMS	
11.	CONSIDERATION OF 2009-2010 CIRM BUDGET.	155, 215
12.	CONSIDERATION OF CONTRACT WITH REMCHO, JOHANSEN & PURCELL, LLP.	251
13.	CONSIDERATION OF CONSOLIDATED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REGULATIONS. NO LONGER TO BE CONSIDERED AT THIS MEETING. TO BE CONSIDERED AT A FUTURE MEETING.	

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

14.	CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT OF AT-LARGE MEMBERS AND LEADERSHIP OF EVALUATION SUBCOMMITTEE.	252
15.	CONSIDERATION OF FEDERAL LEGISLATION, H.R. 1427/WAXMAN AND H.R. 1548/ESHOO.	192
16.	CONSIDERATION OF GUIDELINES FOR OPEN VOTING ROLL.	253
DISCUSSION ITEMS		
17.	PUBLIC COMMENT	NONE

**BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE**

1 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JUNE 17, 2009

2 4:30 P.M.

3  
4 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU  
5 VERY MUCH. WE ARE IN THE WONDERFUL, BEAUTIFUL CITY  
6 OF SAN DIEGO, AND WE HAVE DR. BRENNER HERE.

7 DR. BRENNER: YOU'RE ALWAYS WELCOME ANY  
8 TIME, BOB.

9 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YOU HEARD THAT. WE'RE  
10 ALWAYS WELCOME. SO DON'T HOLD BACK. COME EVERY  
11 WEEK.

12 WE HAVE A NUMBER OF ALTERNATES WITH US  
13 TODAY OR WILL BE WITH US TODAY. ELIZABETH FINI IS  
14 COMING IN A LITTLE LATER FOR DR. PULIAFITO. DR. KEN  
15 BURTIS JUST SWORE IN FOR DR. CLAIRE POMEROY. AND,  
16 OF COURSE, DR. PRICE IS HERE FOR DR. BIRGENEAU.  
17 DR. JACOB LEVIN IS HERE FOR DR. BRYANT, AND DR. ROME  
18 IS HERE FOR DR. LEVEY, AND DR. MILLIKEN IS HERE FOR  
19 DR. HAWGOOD. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR BEING HERE.

20 WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO HAVE A PLEDGE OF  
21 ALLEGIANCE LED BY MELISSA KING.

22 (THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)

23 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU. AND MS. KING,  
24 IF YOU WILL CALL THE ROLL.

25 MS. KING: RICARDO AZZIZ. ROBERT PRICE

**BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE**

1 FOR ROBERT BIRGENEAU.  
2 DR. PRICE: HERE.  
3 MS. KING: FLOYD BLOOM.  
4 DR. BLOOM: HERE.  
5 MS. KING: DAVID BRENNER.  
6 DR. BRENNER: HERE.  
7 MS. KING: JACOB LEVIN FOR SUSAN BRYANT.  
8 DR. LEVIN: HERE.  
9 MS. KING: MARSHA CHANDLER. MARCY FEIT.  
10 MS. FEIT: HERE.  
11 MS. KING: MICHAEL FRIEDMAN. LEEZA  
12 GIBBONS. MICHAEL GOLDBERG. NANCY MILLIKEN FOR SAM  
13 HAWGOOD.  
14 DR. MILLIKEN: HERE.  
15 MS. KING: BOB KLEIN.  
16 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: HERE.  
17 MS. KING: SHERRY LANSING. LEONARD ROME  
18 FOR GERALD LEVEY.  
19 DR. ROME: HERE.  
20 MS. KING: TED LOVE.  
21 DR. LOVE: HERE.  
22 MS. KING: ED PENHOET. PHIL PIZZO. KEN  
23 BURTIS FOR CLAIRE POMEROY.  
24 DR. BURTIS: HERE.  
25 MS. KING: FRANCISCO PRIETO. ELIZABETH

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 FINI FOR CARMEN PULIAFITO. ROBERT QUINT. JOHN  
2 REED. DUANE ROTH.

3 MR. ROTH: HERE.

4 MS. KING: JOAN SAMUELSON. DAVID  
5 SERRANO-SEWELL. JEFF SHEEHY. JONATHAN SHESTACK.  
6 OSWALD STEWARD. AND ART TORRES.

7 MR. TORRES: HERE.

8 MS. KING: WE DON'T YET HAVE A QUORUM, BUT  
9 WE'RE EXPECTING TO SOMETIME SOON.

10 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: JEFF IS, I BELIEVE, ON  
11 THE PHONE. HE'S TRYING TO GET OFF HERE MOMENTARILY.  
12 AND WE HAVE TWO OR THREE ADDITIONAL MEMBERS WHO ARE  
13 ON THEIR WAY. WE HAVE SOME REPRESENTATIONS THAT  
14 THEY WILL BE HERE SHORTLY.

15 I WANT TO THANK JENNIFER PRYNE AND MELISSA  
16 KING FOR ASSEMBLING THIS DISTINGUISHED GROUP AGAIN  
17 IN A VERY NICE ENVIRONMENT HERE. NOT EVERY TIME YOU  
18 CAN GET A BREAK WHERE YOU CAN LOOK OUT AND SEE BOATS  
19 IN THE WATER, SO IT'S A VERY BEAUTIFUL ENVIRONMENT.  
20 I'D LIKE TO ALSO THANK LARRY HANDERHAN FOR HELPING  
21 US TO GET THIS ENTIRE MEETING TOGETHER.

22 NO MEMBERS ARE HERE BY PHONE. BUT THE  
23 PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING AUDIOCAST AND MADE AVAILABLE  
24 VIA THE INTERNET. AND MELISSA IS ESCORTING IN LEEZA  
25 GIBBONS. SO THANK YOU.

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 WE HAVE ON THE AGENDA TODAY CONSENT ITEMS,  
2 BUT WE'RE GOING TO WAIT ON THOSE UNTIL WE GET  
3 THROUGH THE CHAIRMAN AND THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT, AT  
4 WHICH TIME WE HOPE TO HAVE OUR QUORUM ASSEMBLED.

5 SO MOVING DIRECTLY INTO ITEM 6, THE  
6 CHAIRMAN'S REPORT, I WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE IN THE  
7 FRONT ROW ON THE LEFT DR. CATRIONA JAMIESON FROM UC  
8 SAN DIEGO, WHO IT IS OUR PRIVILEGE TO HAVE HER AS A  
9 GUEST HERE TODAY AND FEATURED IN THE SPOTLIGHT  
10 TOMORROW WITH HER RESEARCH. IT IS TREMENDOUS THE  
11 RESEARCH SHE HAS PROCEEDED WITH AND THE BENEFITS TO  
12 PATIENTS.

13 HER FIRST -- THE FIRST HUMAN TRIAL IMPACT  
14 FOR RESEARCH FUNDED BY THIS AGENCY IS CATRIONA  
15 JAMIESON'S RESEARCH. IT'S A PHASE I TRIAL OF A JAK2  
16 INHIBITOR FOR MYELOFIBROSIS, AN ACQUIRED BLOOD  
17 DISEASE THAT LEADS TO LEUKEMIA AND STROKES. THE  
18 PATIENTS I HAVE MET WHO HAVE COME THROUGH THAT  
19 TRIAL, EVEN THOUGH IT'S A PHASE I TRIAL, HAVE HAD  
20 REMARKABLE BENEFITS TO THEIR HEALTH, AND THEY HAVE  
21 BEEN REMOVED FROM BONE MARROW TRANSPLANT LISTS, A  
22 HIGH-RISK MEDICAL PROCEDURE THAT IS CRITICALLY  
23 EFFECTIVE WHEN NECESSARY WITH A COST OF OVER  
24 \$120,000.

25 HER TRIALS INVOLVE THE BIOTECH COMMUNITY

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 IN SAN DIEGO, SPECIFICALLY TARGAGEN, A SAN DIEGO  
2 BIOTECH COMPANY. SHE'S GOING TO BE GIVING US SOME  
3 EXCITING INSIGHTS INTO THAT, BUT IT IS PHENOMENAL TO  
4 HAVE PATIENTS ALREADY BENEFITING FROM THE RESEARCH  
5 OF THIS AGENCY. THEY SAY THAT THE RESIDUAL OF THE  
6 DESIGN IS LUCK, AND I THINK WE HAVE A LITTLE LUCK  
7 AND A BRILLIANT SCIENTIST TO GUIDE OUR LUCK. SO  
8 THANK YOU, CATRIONA.

9 ON THE LARGER SCOPE OF THE AGENCY'S  
10 FUTURE, AS WE KNOW FROM A PRIOR MEETING, WE HAVE A  
11 SEGREGATED FUND THAT IS SUFFICIENT TO CARRY US  
12 THROUGH A LARGE PART OF NEXT YEAR. IT IS WITH  
13 TREMENDOUS EMPATHY THAT WE LOOK AT THE OVERALL STATE  
14 BUDGET PICTURE. AND AS YOU WILL SEE IN OUR BUDGET  
15 REPORT, EVEN WITH A MAJOR INCREASE IN SCIENTIFIC  
16 STAFFING, WE HAVE ACCOMPLISHED, WITH THE LEADERSHIP  
17 OF OUR PRESIDENT, DR. ALAN TROUNSON, TO HAVE OUR  
18 BUDGET BE SLIGHTLY BELOW LAST YEAR.

19 LOOKING FORWARD, THE PROSPECTS ARE FOR  
20 FOUR TO FIVE YEARS OF FINANCIAL STRESS FOR THE STATE  
21 OF CALIFORNIA AS IT TRIES TO RECOVER FROM THE  
22 CURRENT POSITION AND RESTRUCTURE THE REVENUE AND  
23 EXPENDITURE MISALIGNMENT IN THE STATE BUDGET.

24 I WOULD INDICATE THAT WE HAVE REMAINING  
25 \$160 MILLION IN PRIVATE PLACEMENT AUTHORITY. WE



## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 HAVE SOME STRONG INTEREST IN THAT PRIVATE PLACEMENT  
2 AUTHORITY WHICH COULD FURTHER AUGMENT THE FUNDING WE  
3 HAVE NOW IN HAND. AND WE BELIEVE THE AGENCY WILL BE  
4 IN A STRONG PERFORMANCE POSITION TO DELIVER FOR THE  
5 PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA AND THE PATIENTS OF CALIFORNIA  
6 CERTAINLY THROUGH 2010 AND INTO 2011.

7 WE WILL NEED TO HAVE IN A FUTURE MEETING  
8 OF THE BOARD SOME STRATEGIC DISCUSSIONS OF FUTURE  
9 POSITIONING THAT CAN BE OF TREMENDOUS HELP IN  
10 LEVERAGING OUR FUNDS, INCLUDING POTENTIAL FEDERAL  
11 U.S. TREASURY LOAN GUARANTEES. THERE HAS BEEN A  
12 SUBSTANTIAL EXPANSION OF FEDERAL TREASURY LOAN  
13 GUARANTEE PROGRAMS GOING INTO THE ENERGY AREA BEYOND  
14 THE TRADITIONAL AREAS OF THE SBA AND HOUSING. THERE  
15 ARE ALSO AGRICULTURAL U.S. TREASURY GUARANTEES.

16 THE FEDERAL LOAN GUARANTEE FOR OUR  
17 PROGRAMS HAS THE BENEFIT THAT SINCE THOSE GUARANTEES  
18 WOULD GUARANTEE PRIVATE BANKS THAT COULD PARTICIPATE  
19 IN FUNDING PART OF OUR LOAN PORTFOLIO, WE WOULD BE  
20 IN A POSITION WHERE WE WOULD HAVE FUNDS THAT AROSE  
21 NOT FROM CALIFORNIA TAXPAYERS, BUT FUNDS THAT AROSE  
22 THROUGH THE BENEFIT OF FEDERAL TAXPAYERS ACROSS THIS  
23 COUNTRY. GIVEN THOSE FUNDS WERE NOT DERIVED FROM  
24 CALIFORNIA TAXPAYERS, THEY COULD AUGMENT OUR  
25 RESEARCH BUDGET AND OUR ABILITY TO FUND CLINICAL

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 TRIALS FOLLOWING AND ADVANCING THE WORK DONE IN  
2 RESEARCH, THE RESEARCH DONE IN CALIFORNIA WITH  
3 OUT-OF-STATE TRIALS WHICH WE CANNOT CURRENTLY FUND  
4 WITH OUR CURRENT PROPOSITION 71 FUNDS. SO THERE'S A  
5 STRATEGIC VALUE TO THIS BEYOND THE FACT THAT IT  
6 FURTHER LEVERAGES OUR CAPACITY.

7 IN ADVANCING OUR LOAN PROGRAM, THE FINANCE  
8 COMMITTEE MET IN THE LAST TEN DAYS, AND WE HAD A  
9 VERY GOOD, SOLID RESPONSE TO OUR REQUESTS FOR  
10 PROPOSALS FOR DELEGATED UNDERWRITERS. WE HAVE FIVE  
11 DIFFERENT INSTITUTIONS THAT MADE APPLICATIONS.  
12 THOSE NAMES HAVE BEEN MADE PUBLIC. AND THE FINANCE  
13 COMMITTEE WILL BE MEETING PROBABLY IN THE FIRST WEEK  
14 OF JULY TO TRY AND MOVE THIS FORWARD UNDER THE  
15 WATCHFUL EYE AND GUIDANCE OF THE LOAN TASK FORCE  
16 HEADED BY VICE CHAIR DUANE ROTH AND THE CHAIR OF THE  
17 FINANCE COMMITTEE, MICHAEL GOLDBERG.

18 IT HAS ALSO BEEN PART OF OUR CURRICULUM IN  
19 THE LAST 30 DAYS TO WORK WITH THE LITTLE HOOVER  
20 COMMISSION, AND WE HAVE HAD ACTUALLY A VERY  
21 PRODUCTIVE CALL WITH THEM IN THE LAST TWO WEEKS  
22 WHERE WE HAD AN IN-DEPTH DISCUSSION WITH THE STAFF.  
23 THE STAFF WAS VERY CLEAR THAT THEY THOUGHT THAT THE  
24 AGENCY WAS ONE OF THOSE STATE AGENCIES THAT HAD  
25 EXCEEDED ITS MISSION OBJECTIVES. AND IN ADDITION,

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 THEY REFERRED TO THE MASTERFUL BOARD STRUCTURE AND  
2 BOARD MEMBERS. SO I THINK THIS IS AN OPEN DIALOGUE  
3 THAT'S VERY HEALTHY.

4 I'M HAVING A MEETING NEXT TUESDAY WITH  
5 MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION AND THEIR STAFF. AND  
6 WHILE THERE ARE DIFFERENCES OF OPINION ON STRUCTURE  
7 AND PROCESS, THERE ARE ALSO SOME VERY COMMON, I  
8 THINK, POINTS OF AGREEMENT ON THE QUALITY OF THE  
9 PERFORMANCE OF THIS AGENCY, ITS FINANCIAL  
10 DISCIPLINE, ITS SCIENTIFIC ACHIEVEMENTS, ITS  
11 ACHIEVEMENTS WITH THE MAJOR FACILITIES PROGRAM, AND  
12 THE LEVERAGE ACCOMPLISHED THERE WHICH THEY, SEVERAL  
13 COMMISSION MEMBERS, HAVE SAID WAS EXTRAORDINARY.  
14 AND WE HOPE THAT WE CAN LEARN FROM THEIR PERSPECTIVE  
15 WHILE HAVING SOME VERY RESPECTFUL DIFFERENCE OF  
16 OPINION ON STRUCTURE AND THE NUMBER OF BOARD MEMBERS  
17 THAT IT'S NECESSARY TO CARRY THIS VISION FORWARD.

18 I AM GOING TO END MY CHAIRMAN'S REPORT AT  
19 THIS POINT AND ASK THAT THE PRESIDENT GO THROUGH HIS  
20 REPORT, AGAIN AWAITING A COUPLE MORE MEMBERS TO COME  
21 INTO THE PUBLIC MEETING SO THAT WE CAN HAVE A QUORUM  
22 FOR ACTION STARTING WITH CONSENT ITEMS. DR.  
23 TROUNSON.

24 DR. TROUNSON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,  
25 CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. SO I'LL JUST SEE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 HOW WELL MY EYESIGHT WORKS FROM HERE. CHALLENGING  
2 FOR YOU TOO, I GUESS. SO AS USUAL, I'LL START ON  
3 THE SCIENCE. IF I MAY LOOK AT IT IF YOU DON'T MIND,  
4 IF THAT'S OKAY. PROBABLY THE MOST INTERESTING PAPER  
5 IN THE LAST MONTH WAS ONE ON FANCONI ANEMIA WHICH IS  
6 A DREADFUL DISEASE AFFECTING QUITE A LARGE NUMBER OF  
7 PEOPLE. IT'S A GENETIC DISEASE, AND THE PRIMARY  
8 PROBLEM HERE IS THAT EVEN GENE THERAPY WHEN IT'S  
9 BEEN TRIED DOESN'T WORK BECAUSE THE CELLS THAT YOU  
10 NEED TO TARGET ARE DESTROYED BY THE GENE THERAPY.  
11 GENE THERAPY DOESN'T WORK IN THESE PATIENTS. SO IF  
12 YOU WERE TRYING TO CORRECT THE DISEASE OF THE  
13 FANCONI ANEMIA, IT HASN'T WORKED TO DATE.

14 SO THERE'S A BIG GROUP OF PEOPLE INVOLVED  
15 IN THIS STUDY LED BY JUAN BELMONTE, WHO'S FROM THE  
16 SALK, BUT HOLDS A POSITION IN BARCELONA AT THE  
17 CENTER OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. AND HE WAS ONE OF  
18 THE PEOPLE THAT REALLY ASSISTED US CONNECT WITH THE  
19 SPANISH SCIENTISTS IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. HE'S A  
20 VERY SENIOR SCIENTIST AND ONE WHO'S KNOWN REALLY  
21 THROUGHOUT THE WORLD.

22 THIS PAPER WAS PUBLISHED IN *NATURE* THIS  
23 JUNE. AND WHAT THEY DID WAS THEY PREPARED SKIN  
24 BIOPSIES FROM PATIENTS WITH A VARIETY OF GENETIC  
25 MUTATIONS THAT ALL EXPRESS FANCONI ANEMIA, THE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 GENETIC DISEASE. AND THEY CORRECTED THE GENE DEFECT  
2 BY USING A GENE THERAPY SYSTEM IN THE LABORATORY.  
3 SO THEY TOOK THESE CELLS, THESE SKIN CELLS, AND THEY  
4 USED THOSE CELLS IN A WAY TO CORRECT THOSE DISEASES  
5 BY USING A VIRAL INSERTION THAT'S NORMALLY USED FOR  
6 GENE THERAPY. OKAY. SO IT'S THE SKIN SAMPLES FROM  
7 THE PATIENTS THAT ARE TREATED IN THE LABORATORY.

8 THEN WHAT THEY DID AFTER THAT, THE  
9 GENETICALLY CORRECTED CELLS WERE REPROGRAMMED TO  
10 MAKE IPS CELLS. SO THEY TURNED THEM INTO THE  
11 EQUIVALENT OF EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS BY INSERTING THE  
12 TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS THAT MAKE THE SOMATIC CELLS,  
13 THE ADULT SOMATIC CELLS, MOVE TO THE EMBRYONIC  
14 STATE. SO NOW YOU'VE GOT THE EQUIVALENT OF  
15 EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS OF THE PATIENTS, OF THOSE  
16 PATIENTS, AND YOU'VE GOT THEM IN A STATE WHERE YOU  
17 CAN THEN DIFFERENTIATE THEM.

18 SO THEY DIFFERENTIATED THOSE CELLS INTO  
19 HEMATOPOIETIC PROGENITORS OF BOTH THE ERYTHROID AND  
20 MYELOID LINEAGES, AND THEY SHOWED THAT BOTH THE  
21 TYPES OF CELLS PRODUCED IN BOTH THE MYELOID AND THE  
22 ERYTHMOID LINEAGES WERE FUNCTIONAL CELLS, AND THEY  
23 SHOWED THAT IN CULTURE. AND THIS HAS REALLY BEEN  
24 QUITE DIFFICULT TO DO, BUT THEY SHOWED THAT IT  
25 WORKED. SO THEY'VE NOW GOT HEALTHY CELLS FROM THOSE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 PATIENTS.

2 THERE'S NO HEALTHY CELLS OF THAT TYPE IN  
3 THE PATIENTS WITH FANCONI ANEMIA. SO THIS IS A WAY  
4 OF DERIVING HEMATOPOIETIC PROGENITORS, AND THEY CAN  
5 BE MAINTAINED IN A DISEASE-FREE PHENOTYPE IN THIS  
6 MANNER. SO IT'S A BEAUTIFUL PIECE OF RESEARCH, I  
7 THINK. IT'S A PROOF OF CONCEPT, IF YOU LIKE, THAT  
8 YOU CAN TAKE SOMEONE'S CELLS, YOU CAN MANIPULATE THE  
9 GENE BACK TO A NORMAL CONDITION, AND THEN YOU CAN  
10 MAKE THE CELLS DIFFERENTIATE INTO THE CELL TYPE THAT  
11 YOU'RE INTERESTED IN.

12 CLEARLY THERE'S A BIG STEP BETWEEN THERE  
13 AND THE CLINICAL TRIALS BECAUSE YOU'VE GOT TO USE  
14 THE DIFFERENTIATION SYSTEM THAT THEY USED IN THE  
15 HUMAN. THERE'S A CHALLENGE THERE. I DON'T NEED TO  
16 GO INTO IT, BUT IT'S A BIT CHALLENGING TO GET THAT  
17 TO WORK IN THE HUMAN, IN THE PATIENT. BUT, OF  
18 COURSE, THE OTHER THING IS THAT YOU'VE GOT TO BE  
19 CAREFUL ABOUT USING THOSE TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS IN  
20 THE WAY THEY USED THEM BECAUSE THEY USED THE SORT OF  
21 CONVENTIONAL METHODOLOGY WHICH LEAVES YOU SOME  
22 VIRUSES INTACT AND SOME C-MYC AND KLF FOR GENES  
23 WHICH ARE ASSOCIATED WITH CANCER.

24 NEVERTHELESS, THIS IS A BIG STEP THAT WAS  
25 ACKNOWLEDGED THROUGHOUT THE WORLD, AND IT'S COME

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 FROM OUR BACK DOOR HERE IN SAN DIEGO. SO I DIDN'T  
2 CHOOSE IT BECAUSE OF THAT REASON, BUT I CHOSE IT  
3 JUST BECAUSE IT WAS JUST A BEAUTIFUL PIECE OF  
4 RESEARCH WORK, AND IT SHOULD BE RECOGNIZED AS  
5 SOMETHING, I THINK, WILL BE REFERRED TO FREQUENTLY  
6 AS ONE OF THE STEPS IN THE SYSTEM FOR CELL  
7 THERAPIES.

8 SO THERE ARE JUST SOME PICTURES OF CELLS  
9 THAT THEY MADE. FANCONI ANEMIA IS A RELATIVELY  
10 COMMON GENETIC DISEASE. IT CAN'T BE CORRECTED BY  
11 VIRAL VECTOR GENE THERAPY, SO YOU CAN'T USE THE  
12 NORMAL VIRAL VECTOR SYSTEM TO CORRECT IT. AND THESE  
13 CORRECTED IPS CELLS OFFER A VERY ATTRACTIVE AVENUE  
14 TO CORRECT THE DISEASE. DEMONSTRATED PROOF OF  
15 CONCEPT IN VITRO IN THIS IMPORTANT STUDY. WHAT YOU  
16 ARE SEEING THERE IS SOME OF THE CELLS THAT THEY  
17 GREW. THEY MADE THEM INTO SKIN CELLS AND TURNED  
18 THEM INTO IPS CELLS, AND THEY'RE DOING THE KIND OF  
19 THINGS THAT YOU WANT THEM TO DO AND THEN LATER ON  
20 THE MATURE CELLS WILL FUNCTION AS WELL.

21 SO THE SECOND STUDY I WANTED TO POINT OUT  
22 TO YOU CAME OUT OF THE UC STUDIES THAT IRVINE  
23 PUBLISHED IN THE *JOURNAL OF NEUROIMMUNOLOGY* BY THE  
24 GROUP WORKING IN HANS KEIRSTEAD'S LABORATORY THERE.  
25 I THINK IT'S A SALIENT AND INTERESTING STUDY, AND

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 IT'S ONE I THINK IS WORTH DRAWING TO YOUR ATTENTION  
2 BECAUSE SOMETIMES YOU GET ALL THE GOOD STORIES AND  
3 SOMETIMES YOU DON'T GET THE ONES THAT ARE A BIT MORE  
4 COMPLICATED.

5 BUT IN THIS PARTICULAR STUDY, THEY WERE  
6 LOOKING AT DERIVING OLIGODENDROCYTES FROM HUMAN  
7 EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS. THE OLIGODENDROCYTES ARE THE  
8 CELLS WHICH PUT THE MYELIN SHEATHS BACK ON THE  
9 NEURONS. THESE ARE THE ONES THAT GERON ARE USING  
10 FOR THEIR STUDIES WITH SPINAL INJURY, AND THEY'RE  
11 BEING USED ON A RANGE OF CONDITIONS BECAUSE THESE  
12 ARE IMPORTANT CELLS THAT PUT THE PLASTIC BACK, IF  
13 YOU LIKE, ON THE ELECTRICAL WIRING.

14 NOW, THEY MADE THEM BECAUSE THIS WAS SOME  
15 OF THE WORK, ORIGINAL WORK, THAT WAS DONE BY THE  
16 KEIRSTEAD LABORATORY THERE. AND THEY'RE ABLE TO  
17 INTEGRATE AND REMYELINATE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM  
18 NEURONS IN MICE WITH A NEUROPATHOGENESIS RESEMBLING  
19 MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS. NOW, MS IS A COMPLICATED  
20 DISEASE. AND IF YOU MAKE IT IN -- SOME OF THE  
21 PARTS -- A STRONG PART OF THE SCIENTIFIC SOCIETY  
22 BELIEVES THAT IT IS VIRALLY BASED. IT'S A VIRAL  
23 CONDITION. AND, THEREFORE, YOU SHOULD STUDY IT IN A  
24 MODEL THAT IS INDICATIVE OF BEING A VIRAL CONDITION,  
25 SO THIS IS WHAT THEY DID.



## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1           THEY TRANSPLANTED THESE CELLS, AND THEY'RE  
2   ABLE TO SORT OF SURVIVE IN RECIPIENT MICE FOR TWO  
3   WEEKS EVEN WHEN TRANSPLANTED WITH IMMUNOSUPPRESSIVE  
4   REGIMES. SO THEY COULDN'T SURVIVE MORE THAN TWO  
5   WEEKS. TWO WEEKS WAS THEIR LIMIT, AND THEN NO  
6   KNOCKDOWN IN THIS PARTICULAR MODEL. SO EVEN THOUGH  
7   YOU IMMUNOSUPPRESS THE ANIMALS SO THAT THERE WAS  
8   ENOUGH IMMUNOSUPPRESSION THERE, THESE CELLS WERE  
9   LOST.

10           SO DESPITE THE ABSENCE OF THESE  
11   OLIGODENDROCYTE PRECURSOR CELLS, AT THREE WEEKS  
12   REMYELINATION AND REDUCED DEMYELINATION WERE SEEN AT  
13   THE SITE OF TRANSPLANTATION, SO SOMETHING WAS  
14   WORKING THERE. AND THAT'S INTERESTING BECAUSE IT'S  
15   PART OF THIS TROPHIC EFFECT OF HAVING THE CELLS IN  
16   THERE, BUT THERE WAS NONE OF THE HUMAN CELLS THAT  
17   THEY PUT IN. THEY'D ALREADY GONE. AND THE  
18   OLIGODENDROCYTES ARE KNOWN TO SECRETE A VARIETY OF  
19   NEUROTROPHIC FACTORS THAT AID IN RECOVERY,  
20   SUGGESTING A TROPHIC EFFECT OF THESE OPC'S, THESE  
21   OLIGODENDROCYTE PRECURSOR CELLS.

22           SO THE LONG TERM, AND THIS IS THEIR QUOTE  
23   FROM THEIR PAPER, THE LONG-TERM SURVIVAL OF HUMAN  
24   ALLOGRAFT TRANSPLANTS FACES SIGNIFICANT HURDLES IN  
25   NEUROLOGICAL DISEASES ASSOCIATED WITH ROBUST AND

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 WIDESPREAD NEUROINFLAMMATION. AND I THINK THAT IS A  
2 SALUTARY STORY, BUT WE GET A LOT OF GOOD STORIES,  
3 BUT HERE IS ONE WHICH THERE WAS SOME FUNCTIONAL  
4 REPAIR. BUT IT WAS -- THE CELLS WERE TRANSITORY,  
5 AND THEY WERE NOT THERE AFTER TWO WEEKS DESPITE  
6 GREAT CELLS, GOOD IMMUNOSUPPRESSION, BUT THINGS WERE  
7 LOST.

8 SO WE'VE GOT LOTS OF GOOD BASIC WORK TO DO  
9 STILL IN THIS AREA, I THINK. AND I THINK AS A MODEL  
10 FOR MS, THERE WILL BE A LOT OF PEOPLE PAYING  
11 ATTENTION TO THIS PAPER BECAUSE SOME OF THE NONVIRAL  
12 MODELS OF MS MAY WORK A LOT BETTER.

13 NEXT ONE, SO THE LONG-TERM SAFETY AND  
14 FUNCTION OF RETINAL PIGMENT EPITHELIUM FROM HUMAN  
15 EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS HAS NOW BEEN STUDIED BY A  
16 NUMBER OF GROUPS. AND I THOUGHT THIS PAPER THAT WAS  
17 PRODUCED AT THE OREGON HEALTH AND SCIENCE UNIVERSITY  
18 PUBLISHED IN *STEM CELLS*, AGAIN THIS MONTH, WAS A  
19 PRETTY INTERESTING PAPER AND IS BACKING UP MORE AND  
20 MORE STUDIES IN THIS AREA.

21 SO THE IMPORTANT -- A COUPLE REALLY  
22 IMPORTANT POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS FOR THESE CELLS TO  
23 REPAIR LOSS OF SIGHT. AND THE TWO DISEASES THAT  
24 THEY ARE MOST INTERESTED IN, I THINK, AT THE MOMENT  
25 ARE AGE-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION AND STARGARDT

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 DISEASE, WHICH IS AN UNTREATABLE FORM OF MACULAR  
2 DYSTROPHY. SO THESE CELLS ARE BEING LOST FROM THE  
3 RETINAL AREA, AND AS A RESULT YOU LOSE YOUR  
4 EYESIGHT, PARTICULARLY THE CENTRAL VISION. AND IT'S  
5 HAPPENING AS MOST OF US AGE. CHAIRMAN, AS I SAID,  
6 IT'S GETTING HARDER TO SEE THE SLIDES. YOU'LL KNOW  
7 IT'S TIME TO RETIRE ME WHEN I CAN'T READ THEM AT  
8 ALL.

9 WHAT THEY SHOWED THERE WAS LONG-TERM  
10 FUNCTIONAL RESCUE IN ANIMAL MODELS IN THE RAT AND IN  
11 THE MOUSE WITH HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELL-DERIVED  
12 RETINAL PIGMENTED EPITHELIAL CELLS. THESE CELLS ARE  
13 RELATIVELY EASY TO GROW IN THE ROYAL COLLEGE SOCIETY  
14 RAT MODEL, WHICH IS A VERY WELL-KNOWN MODEL OF  
15 AGE-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION IN THE ELOV14  
16 MOUSE, WHICH IS A MODEL FOR THE STARGARDT DISEASE.  
17 THESE SURVIVED SUBRETINAL TRANSPLANTATION FOR  
18 PROLONGED PERIODS; THAT IS, MORE THAN 220 DAYS. SO  
19 THIS IS A LOT LONGER THAN THE TWO WEEKS IN THE STUDY  
20 I JUST REPORTED TO YOU IN THE OLIGODENDROCYTES.

21 AND THESE CELLS SUSTAIN VISUAL FUNCTION  
22 AND PHOTORECEPTOR INTEGRITY IN A DOSE-DEPENDENT  
23 FASHION, WHICH IS REALLY IMPORTANT, WITHOUT TERATOMA  
24 FORMATION, IMPORTANT AGAIN, OR UNTOWARD PATHOLOGICAL  
25 REACTIONS. SO YOU'VE GOT NEAR NORMAL FUNCTIONAL

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MEASUREMENTS WERE RECORDED AT MORE THAN 60 DAYS IN  
2 THE RATS. SO THESE RESULTS SUGGEST THAT THE HUMAN  
3 EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS COULD SERVE AS A POTENTIALLY  
4 SAFE AND INEXHAUSTIBLE SOURCE OF RETINAL PIGMENTED  
5 EPITHELIAL CELLS FOR EFFICACIOUS TREATMENT OF A  
6 RANGE OF RETINAL DEGENERATIVE DISEASES. SO IT'S A  
7 BIG PLUS AGAIN. IT'S ANOTHER GOOD STUDY STRONGLY IN  
8 SUPPORT OF THOSE THAT ARE COMING FROM LONDON AND  
9 COMING FROM CALIFORNIA. IT'S GOOD NEWS IN THAT  
10 DIRECTION.

11 THE WORK IN THE KIDNEY IS VERY COMPLEX AND  
12 FEW PEOPLE HAVE REALLY TAKEN UP STEM CELL WORK IN  
13 THE KIDNEY. KIDNEYS ARE TRANSPLANTED, AS YOU KNOW,  
14 QUITE FREQUENTLY. KIDNEY TRANSPLANTS ARE A PRETTY  
15 COMMON ORGAN TO BE TRANSPLANTED, AND THERE ARE A LOT  
16 OF PATIENTS WHO NEED TRANSPLANTS WHO ACTUALLY CAN'T  
17 GET THEM. SO THEY'VE BEEN LOOKING AT WHETHER HUMAN  
18 EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS CAN FORM KIDNEY PRECURSORS.

19 AND I BRING THIS ONE TO YOUR ATTENTION  
20 BECAUSE I THINK IT IS A VERY INTERESTING STUDY  
21 PUBLISHED IN *DIFFERENTIATION*, AGAIN JUNE, BY ALICE  
22 TARANTAL AND HER COLLEAGUES AT THE UC DAVIS. AND  
23 THEY WERE LOOKING AT THE MODELS IN THE MONKEY AND  
24 THE HUMAN AND HOW CLOSE THEY ARE BECAUSE IF YOU ARE  
25 GOING TO WORK ON KIDNEYS IN SPECIES THAT ARE GOING

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 TO BE USEFUL AS A MODEL FOR THE HUMAN, BEST YOU GET  
2 INTO PRIMATES AND WORK THERE.

3 AND I THINK WHAT SHE'S SHOWN IS THAT IT IS  
4 A GOOD MODEL. THE PRIMATE IS A GOOD MODEL FOR GENE  
5 EXPRESSION BASED ON BOTH HUMAN AND NONPRIMATE KIDNEY  
6 DEVELOPMENT. SO THEY WENT THROUGH AND LOOKED TO SEE  
7 WHAT ARE THE CELLS ACTUALLY PRODUCING IN TERMS OF  
8 MARKERS WHEN THEY DIFFERENTIATE. AND THEY FOUND  
9 THAT THEY'RE PRETTY MUCH COMMON IN THE MONKEY AND IN  
10 HUMAN. SO YOU COULD DIRECT THE DIFFERENTIATION AND  
11 YOU COULD SEE THAT YOU COULD GET THE SAME KIND OF  
12 RESPONSES THAT YOU WERE HOPING FOR IN BOTH SPECIES.

13 SO SPONTANEOUS DIFFERENTIATION REVEALED  
14 MARKERS OF THE METANEPHRIC MESENCHYME THAT INCREASED  
15 OVER TIME, WHICH IS IMPORTANT, FOLLOWED BY  
16 UPREGULATION OF KIDNEY PRECURSOR MARKERS. NOW, THIS  
17 IS VERY EARLY WORK. I HAVE TO SAY THAT. BUT IT'S A  
18 GOOD START IN AN AREA WHERE THERE REALLY HASN'T BEEN  
19 VERY GOOD STUDIES, IN MY OWN ESTIMATION.

20 SO THE STUDIES SHOW THAT MONKEY AND HUMAN  
21 KIDNEY DIFFERENTIATION MARKERS ARE SIMILAR AND  
22 USEFUL IN MODELING FOR HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELL  
23 DIFFERENTIATION TRANSPLANTATION. SAYS NOTHING ABOUT  
24 HOW EFFECTIVE THEY MIGHT BE IN THE LONG TERM, BUT  
25 THEY'VE TAKEN THE INITIAL STEP AND THEY'RE INTO SOME

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 KIDNEY WORK. AND I THINK THAT'S A GOOD THING TO BE  
2 HAPPENING. I'M GLAD THAT THEY'RE WORKING IN THE  
3 MONKEY BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S ONE OF THE SPECIES  
4 THAT IS REALLY NECESSARY IN THIS AREA OF RENAL  
5 DISEASE.

6 SO I THINK THIS IS THE LAST ONE, AND IT'S  
7 REALLY MORE MOLECULAR BIOLOGY, BUT I THINK IT'S  
8 ANOTHER GREAT PAPER FROM THE GROUP AT UC DAVIS. AND  
9 IT'S C-MYC REGULATES EXPRESSION OF PLURIPOTENTIAL  
10 GENES IN NEUROBLASTOMA. SO C-MYC IS THOUGHT TO BE  
11 THE EVIL CHILD IN THE TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS THAT  
12 TURN THE ADULT SKIN CELLS OR WHATEVER ADULT CELLS  
13 THAT YOU'RE WORKING WITH INTO THE PLURIPOTENTIAL  
14 CELLS, OR THOSE LIKE EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS. C-MYC IS  
15 A VERY NASTY CANCER-ASSOCIATED GENE. AND SO IT  
16 HAPPENS TO BE ONE WHICH IS BEING USED FREQUENTLY TO  
17 MAKE THE IPS CELLS, AND, OF COURSE, PUTS UP RED  
18 FLAGS FOR EVERYBODY, PARTICULARLY REGULATORY  
19 AGENCIES AND SO FORTH, OF HAVING THAT GENE AROUND.

20 BUT IT'S INTERESTING BECAUSE IT IS  
21 INVOLVED IN CANCER, AND IT IS INVOLVED IN TURNING  
22 THE CELLS BACK INTO PLURIPOTENTIAL STATE. SO I  
23 THINK THE MESSAGE HERE, IT WAS REALLY A VERY NICE  
24 PIECE OF WORK, AND IT SHOWED THAT THE MECHANISMS IN  
25 WHICH C-MYC WORKS, AND THIS IS N C-MYC AS THE C-MYC,

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 BUT THEY'RE VERY CLOSELY ASSOCIATED GENES. THIS  
2 PARTICULAR GENE IS REALLY PART OF A CASCADE THAT IS  
3 NOT ONLY RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING BAD CELLS LIKE A  
4 GLIOBLASTOMA OR NEUROBLASTOMA, BUT IT IS ALSO IN A  
5 SITUATION WHERE IT IS ONE OF THE CELLS RESPONSIBLE  
6 FOR MAINTAINING THE VERY UNDIFFERENTIATED STATE.

7 SO IF YOU LOOK AT HOW THAT GENE WORKS, YOU  
8 GET A LOT OF LOOK-IN ON HOW TO MAKE PLURIPOTENTIAL  
9 CELLS, BUT YOU GET ALSO A GOOD SIGHT LOOK INTO HOW  
10 IT'S MAKING THESE NEUROBLASTOMAS OR THESE NASTY  
11 CANCERS.

12 SO HERE WE'RE INTERESTED IN BOTH CANCER  
13 AND IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, AND IT'S BEEN CLEAR  
14 THAT THE AGENCY HAS BEEN INTERESTED IN THIS. AND  
15 THIS IS A VERY NICE LINKAGE REPORT BY THE GROUP AT  
16 DAVIS. AND I THINK IT WAS WORTH BRINGING IT TO YOUR  
17 ATTENTION BECAUSE I ENJOYED READING THE PAPER.

18 THERE'S ONE MORE. I'M SORRY. I THOUGHT  
19 THAT WAS THE END OF THE LAST ONE. BUT GENERATION OF  
20 T-CELLS FROM HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS HAS BEEN A  
21 DIFFICULTY. IT HAS BEEN A PROBLEM TO GET T-CELLS  
22 OUT. SO HERE'S A STUDY FROM GHENT IN BELGIUM BY THE  
23 GROUP THAT WAS PUBLISHED IN THE *JOURNAL OF*  
24 *IMMUNOLOGY* SHOWING THAT THEY CAN MAKE T-CELLS FROM  
25 HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS. AND A VERY NICE, CLEVER

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 WAY OF DOING IT FROM PICKING UP THE CELLS, THE  
2 HEMATOPOEITIC PRECURSOR CELLS PRESENT IN A ZONE, A  
3 CERTAIN ZONE, WHEN YOU GROW YOUR EMBRYONIC STEM  
4 CELLS ON A CO-CULTURED CELL LINE CALLED OP 9.

5 SO YOU CAN MAKE T-CELLS. THIS IS PROBABLY  
6 GOING TO BE IMPORTANT IN DUE COURSE FOR DISEASES  
7 LIKE HIV BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY T-CELLS ARE AN IMPORTANT  
8 CELL TO REFRESH IN THAT PARTICULAR CONDITION.

9 SO THERE'S BEEN A CONCERN THAT WE COULDN'T  
10 MAKE THE T-CELLS. THIS PAPER SHOWS YOU CAN MAKE THE  
11 T-CELLS. THERE'S BEEN ANOTHER PAPER THAT SHOWED IT  
12 AS WELL. SO NOW WE GOT TWO PAPERS SHOWING WE CAN  
13 GET T-CELLS UP, AND SO I'M FEELING A LOT BETTER  
14 ABOUT THAT PARTICULAR PART OF IT BECAUSE WE'VE GOT  
15 TO GET THESE HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS TO PROPERLY  
16 REFUNCTION IN SOME OF THE DISEASES, PARTICULARLY  
17 DISEASES WHERE T-CELLS HAVE BEEN REALLY UNDER  
18 ATTACK. THEN WE'VE GOT TO BE ABLE TO GET MATURE  
19 T-CELLS, AND THEY SHOWED THAT YOU COULD DO THAT IN  
20 THIS PAPER. SO I LIKED IT AS WELL. IT FITS NICELY  
21 FOR WHERE WE'RE GOING.

22 SO WE'VE GOT A NEW MEMBER OF STAFF IN THE  
23 GRANTS MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST. SO ELENA COMES FROM  
24 THE WOMEN'S FOUNDATION, AND WE'RE REALLY PLEASED TO  
25 HAVE TO HER. IN THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT AREA, WE'VE



## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 HAD A COUPLE OF PEOPLE MOVE ON TO OTHER POSITIONS AS  
2 THEY DO. WE HAVE YOUNG PEOPLE MOVING THROUGH OUR  
3 ORGANIZATION THAT GO OFF AND GET ANOTHER VERY GOOD  
4 JOB. SO WE'RE VERY HAPPY TO HAVE ELENA JOIN US.  
5 THIS PART OF THE ORGANIZATION IS THE REAL ENGINE  
6 PART OF US MAKING GRANTS MANAGEMENT REALLY FUNCTION.

7 TALKING ABOUT THE NATIONAL LINKAGES, THE  
8 TWO WE'VE BEEN TALKING TO YOU ABOUT, INTERNATIONAL  
9 LINKAGES, SO I WANTED TO JUST FOCUS, GIVE YOU SOME  
10 INFORMATION ON THE FOCUS THAT IS WITHIN THE NATIONAL  
11 BORDERS. AND, OF COURSE, WITH PRESIDENT OBAMA  
12 GIVING US A MUCH MORE RECEPTIVE TIME FOR WORKING  
13 TOGETHER, AND IT'S CLEAR FROM EVERYTHING THAT HE  
14 SAYS THAT HE WANTS THAT, SO THE FDA HAS BEEN  
15 TERRIFIC. AND I'VE HAD ELONA BAUM WORKING WITH THE  
16 FDA NOW, AND WE'VE GOT PROPOSALS THAT ARE NOW IN  
17 THAT ORGANIZATION IN THEIR EXECUTIVE BEING LOOKED AT  
18 FOR CONSORTIA OR LIAISON UPDATE MEETINGS ON STEM  
19 CELL SCIENCE, QUALITY CONTROL, AND RISK MANAGEMENT.

20 AND I THINK THIS IS WHAT WE WERE LOOKING  
21 FOR. I KNOW DUANE ROTH WAS ONE WHO HAD BEEN  
22 CONCERNED LAST YEAR, PERHAPS EVEN THE YEAR BEFORE,  
23 THAT THERE WAS NOT GOOD CONNECTIONS THERE. I THINK  
24 THE REST OF THE COMMUNITY WHO ARE INTERESTED IN  
25 GETTING THESE TREATMENTS OUT INTO THE CLINIC HAVE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 REALLY WANTED TO CONNECT. AND WE'RE ABLE TO DO THAT  
2 NOW. AND I'M HOPING THAT WITH ELONA TO DO A LITTLE  
3 BIT MORE NEGOTIATION THERE, I THINK WE WILL HAVE A  
4 VERY REGULAR WAY TO TALK TO FDA.

5 NIH MEETINGS, WE'RE WORKING ON  
6 HARMONIZATION OF OUR INTERESTS IN TERMS OF  
7 COLLABORATION. AND I THINK THAT'S ALSO BECOMING NOW  
8 POSSIBLE. THERE ALWAYS SEEM TO BE A LOT OF HURDLES  
9 IN THAT ASSOCIATION, BUT THEY'RE COMING DOWN ONE BY  
10 ONE. AND I THINK WE'RE MAKING GOOD PROGRESS AGAIN.  
11 AND IT'S THE PATIENCE OF ELONA IS HELPING US WITH  
12 THE HELP BECAUSE BOB AND I MET WITH NIH SOME TIME  
13 AGO. GREAT MESSAGES, BUT THEN YOU'VE GOT TO  
14 ACTUALLY MAKE SURE THAT IT THEN CONTINUES INTO  
15 SOMETHING THAT WE CAN RECOGNIZE AS BEING USEFUL.  
16 AND I THINK THAT'S NOW HAPPENING.

17 THE STATE STEM CELL AGENCIES, WE ARE ALSO  
18 CONNECTING WITH THEM. NANCY KOCH HAS BEEN  
19 PARTICULARLY HELPFUL WITH MARIE CSETE AND ALSO GEOFF  
20 LOMAX IN HELPING US BEGIN THE CONNECTIONS WITH THE  
21 STATE AGENCIES. AND THESE ARE THE AGENCIES THAT  
22 HAVE GOT STEM CELL INTERESTS IN THEM. AND WE'RE  
23 UNDER WAY WITH DISCUSSIONS FOR POTENTIAL  
24 COLLABORATION WITH SEVERAL OF THESE STATES.

25 SO THIS IS EARLY DAYS, BUT EVERYTHING THAT

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 WE'VE HEARD FROM THOSE STATES THAT WE'VE APPROACHED,  
2 IT'S A SMALL NUMBER OF THEM AT THE MOMENT, BUT VERY  
3 ENCOURAGING, WANT TO WORK TOGETHER, HOW CAN WE  
4 ASSIST EACH OTHER IN DELIVERING THIS NEW MEDICINE.  
5 SO JUST AS WE HAD A VERY STRONG CONNECTION WITH OUR  
6 INTERNATIONAL COLLEAGUES, WE'RE GETTING THEM NOW  
7 FROM OUR NATIONAL COLLEAGUES. AND I THINK THAT'S A  
8 TERRIFIC MOVEMENT FOR ALL OF US BECAUSE THE  
9 OUT-OF-CALIFORNIA COMPONENT ALWAYS REMAINS  
10 CHALLENGING FOR US TO DELIVER ON BECAUSE THERE ARE  
11 COMPONENT PARTS OF WHAT WE DO THAT ARE NOT ALL  
12 INSIDE -- NOT EVERYTHING THAT'S ABSOLUTELY BRILLIANT  
13 IS IN CALIFORNIA. MOSTLY IT IS, BUT NOT EVERYTHING.

14 SO THIS HELPS ALL OF THAT, AND I THINK IT  
15 ALSO WILL HELP US DELIVER ON OUR MISSION OF GETTING  
16 THESE INTO THE CLINIC AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

17 SO THE PRIORITIES THAT I'VE BEEN WORKING  
18 ON, AGAIN, THE LIST OF THINGS THAT I SPEND MY TIME  
19 ON A LOT IN THE LAST MONTH. ISSUES RAISED BY CIRM  
20 IP REGS AND LOANS FOR COMPANIES. WE'VE GOT INTO  
21 THIS SPACE BECAUSE WE'RE CONNECTED NOW WITH  
22 COMPANIES. THEY'VE GOT A LOT OF QUESTIONS. IT'S  
23 GONE BACK AND FORTH, PARTICULARLY WITH OUR GENERAL  
24 COUNSEL AND COLLEAGUES, LAWYERS IN-HOUSE. SO THERE  
25 HAVE BEEN MEETINGS WITH BOB, MYSELF, AND OTHERS, YOU

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 KNOW, DUANE'S BEEN PART OF THIS, AND IT'S BEEN A  
2 REAL MIXTURE, BUT I THINK WE'RE GETTING BETTER  
3 AGREEMENTS NOW. IT'S OUR ABILITY TO BE ABLE TO TALK  
4 TO THESE PEOPLE AND SAYING, WELL, WE'RE NOT ROCK  
5 SOLID. IF WE CAN MANEUVER IT A BIT TO ACCOMMODATE  
6 YOU, WILL YOU BE RIGHT. IT'S NORMALLY THE WAY.  
7 THAT'S PRETTY MUCH THE WAY BUSINESS WORKS. GIVE US  
8 A LITTLE BIT OF ROOM, AND WE'LL WORK OUT HOW TO BE  
9 ACCOMMODATING ON BOTH SIDES.

10 THE MAJOR FACILITIES PROGRAM, WE'RE NEARLY  
11 COMPLETED THAT PROCESS. IN A WAY THERE HAVE BEEN A  
12 COUPLE OF THINGS THAT HAVE BEEN PROBLEMATIC. WE'RE  
13 WORKING OUR WAYS TOWARDS THE END OF THOSE, AND SO I  
14 THINK THERE'S ONLY TWO PROGRAMS THAT ARE NOT  
15 ABSOLUTELY FINALIZED AND GOING FORWARD, BUT THEY'RE  
16 SO CLOSE, THAT I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY REAL  
17 PROBLEMS LEFT IN THEM. IT'S VERY MINOR ISSUES THAT  
18 WE'RE NOW DEALING WITH, VERY, VERY MINOR. AND JOHN  
19 ROBSON HAS BEEN A TOWER OF STRENGTH IN DOING THIS  
20 ALONG WITH OTHER STAFF THERE. IT'S BEEN JUST A  
21 NECESSITY TO KEEP ON AND ON AND ON AT IT.

22 WE'RE DEVELOPING NETWORKS IN U.S. SCIENCE  
23 AND INDUSTRY BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT WE'VE REALLY GOT TO  
24 DO NOW. WE'VE GOT TO ACTUALLY MAKE OURSELVES  
25 NETWORKED RIGHT ACROSS THE SPACE. I'M ABSOLUTELY

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 CONVINCED THAT WE'VE GOT TO BE CONNECTED TO BIOTECH  
2 INDUSTRIES AND TO THE PHARMA INDUSTRY, WHO ARE  
3 BACKING UP TO THIS SPACE IN VERY MAJOR WAY. AND SO  
4 NOW PFIZER, JOHNSON & JOHNSON, THESE KIND OF  
5 COMPANIES ARE STEM CELL COMPANIES, AND THEY'VE GOT  
6 STEM CELL COMPONENTS IN THEM. I THINK THAT'S GREAT  
7 NEWS. AND THEY'RE WANTING TO WORK TOGETHER WITH US,  
8 SO WE'RE JUST TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW THAT CAN BE  
9 ACCOMMODATED WITHOUT SORT OF MESSING WITH HOW WE'RE  
10 GOING FORWARD, BUT ENABLING, HOW CAN WE ENABLE US TO  
11 DELIVER ON OUR PRODUCTS AND ON THE NEED INTO THE  
12 CLINIC. AND WE'RE GETTING THERE. IT'S TERRIFIC. I  
13 THINK THERE'S A VERY GOOD DIALOGUE SET UP THERE.

14 WE'VE BEEN TALKING TO CALIFORNIA  
15 SCIENTISTS ON ISSUES RELATING TO CIRM. WE'VE GOT  
16 GREAT FEEDBACK FROM THEM IN MANY RESPECTS,  
17 ENDORSEMENT OF WHAT WE'RE DOING, BUT ALSO SOME  
18 CRITIQUE, WHICH WE'VE TAKEN BACK IN-HOUSE AND SAYS,  
19 OKAY, WE'LL REPORT THAT TO THE ICOC AS WE MOVE  
20 FORWARD.

21 THE BUDGET PLANNING HAS BEEN CHALLENGING  
22 BECAUSE THE FIRST TIME I SAW THE BUDGET, IT WASN'T  
23 ANY GOOD TO ME. I WANTED TO BRING YOU A BUDGET THAT  
24 WAS UNDER LAST YEAR'S BUDGET. WE'VE WORKED OUR WAY.  
25 WE'VE REMOVED REALLY ALL OF THE FAT OUT OF THE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 BUDGET. ALL OF THE THINGS THAT ARE REALLY NOT  
2 ABSOLUTELY CRITICAL HAVE GONE OUT. AND IF THERE'S  
3 REALLY ANY NEED FOR US TO ASK YOU FOR FURTHER  
4 CONSIDERATION IN THE YEAR IN TERMS OF DOING  
5 SOMETHING, WE'D RATHER COME BACK TO YOU AND SAY,  
6 WELL, LOOK, THERE'S AN IMPORTANT ITEM THAT WE TOOK  
7 OUT, BUT WE MIGHT NEED TO HAVE THIS CONSIDERED AND  
8 WE'LL BRING IT FORWARD.

9 BUT RIGHT NOW THE BUDGET, AS BOB SAID, HAS  
10 GONE UNDER LAST YEAR DESPITE THE INCREASE IN THE  
11 NUMBER OF STAFF. SO WE FEEL COMFORTABLE THAT YOU  
12 WILL BE ALL RIGHT WITH THAT, BUT LET'S WAIT AND SEE  
13 WHEN IT'S PROVIDED FOR YOU. BUT THE STAFF HAVE  
14 WORKED REALLY HARD ON THAT. AND THANKS TO MARGARET  
15 AND TO JOHN PARTICULARLY IN DOING THAT.

16 CONTINUED DEVELOPMENT OF PROGRAMS, CIRM  
17 AWARDS FOR EXCEPTIONAL SCIENTISTS, I WANT TO SEE IF  
18 WE CAN MOVE THIS FORWARD. I'D LIKE TO TALK TO  
19 MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE. HOPEFULLY YOU MIGHT  
20 GET TIME, THE BOARD SUBCOMMITTEE, THAT ARE HERE, TO  
21 SEE WHERE WE GO. WE'VE TALKED TO THE HOWARD HUGHES,  
22 WHICH WAS A VERY INTERESTING DISCUSSION, JOHN AND I.

23 I CHAIRED THE SWEDISH RESEARCH COUNCIL  
24 MAJOR FACILITIES GRANTS, AND I THOUGHT THAT WAS  
25 INCREDIBLY INTERESTING WHERE IS SWEDEN GOING,

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 PARTICULARLY WITH NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS,  
2 DIABETES, AND SO ON. THEY'RE DOING SOME FANTASTIC  
3 WORK THERE AND VERY KEEN TO LINK UP WITH US. AND I  
4 WAS VERY IMPRESSED IN THE WAY THEIR INSTITUTIONS  
5 HAVE GOT A WAY OF FEEDING GOOD IDEAS INTO THE  
6 COMMERCIAL SYSTEM. I HAVEN'T SEEN IT AS WELL  
7 ORGANIZED ANYWHERE ELSE IN THE WORLD. AND I THOUGHT  
8 CALIFORNIA WOULD PROBABLY BE AT THE TOP OF THE TREE  
9 ON THAT. I THINK SWEDEN HAS REALLY GOT A TERRIFIC  
10 SYSTEM. WE MIGHT LEARN SOMETHING FROM IT. BUT IT  
11 WAS VERY INTERESTING.

12 SET UP DIALOGUE, AS I SAID, WITH MAJOR  
13 PHARMACEUTICAL INTERESTS, AND WE HAD THE  
14 CIRM-VICTORIAN GOVERNMENT JOINT FUNDING OF EARLY  
15 TRANSLATIONAL GRANTS, WHICH INVOLVED SOME OF US AT  
16 THE BIO MEETING.

17 SO UPCOMING GRANTS REVIEWS, BASIC BIOLOGY  
18 I WE'VE DONE IN JUNE. SO THIS IS JUNE, SO IT'S THIS  
19 MONTH, NEXT WEEK, I THINK. SO THESE COME ROUND  
20 QUICKLY. AND OUR DISEASE TEAMS I, THE REVIEW WILL  
21 BE DONE IN SEPTEMBER.

22 THE DISEASE TEAM RESEARCH AWARDS THAT ARE  
23 INVITED TO COME FORWARD, WE INVITED -- 32  
24 PRELIMINARY APPLICATIONS WERE INVITED, EIGHT, THE  
25 TOTAL APPLICATIONS WITH PI OR CO-PI AT FOR-PROFIT

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 INSTITUTIONS. SO EIGHT OF THOSE 32 HAD A COMMERCIAL  
2 PARTNER OR WERE LED BY A COMMERCIAL INSTITUTION.  
3 AND 28 WERE NONPROFIT WITH 13 INSTITUTIONS INVOLVED.  
4 NINE DESIGNATED INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATIVE FUNDING  
5 PARTNERS, NINE OF THE 32. AND THERE WAS EVIDENCE OF  
6 NEW PARTNERSHIPS, COLLABORATIONS WITHIN CALIFORNIA,  
7 FORMED. THAT'S WHAT WE WANTED TO DO, CREATE NEW  
8 PARTNERSHIPS, AND THAT'S EVIDENCED BY THE GROUPS  
9 GETTING TOGETHER. THE HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS,  
10 IPS CELLS, AND ADULT STEM CELLS ALL WELL REPRESENTED  
11 AND A DIVERSITY OF THERAPEUTIC APPROACHES.

12 SO THE DISEASES INCLUDE AUTOIMMUNE  
13 DISEASE, CANCER, CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE, DIABETES,  
14 EYE DISEASE, HEMATOPOIETIC DISORDERS, HIV/AIDS,  
15 LIVER DISEASE, MUSCULOSKELETAL DISEASES,  
16 NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS AND INJURY, AND PERIPHERAL  
17 VASCULAR DISEASE AND TISSUE REPAIR. SO A LOT FOR US  
18 TO COME UP WITH IN THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. I  
19 THINK THEY'RE TERRIFIC, THOSE APPLICATIONS, AND WELL  
20 WORTH THE CONSIDERATION. SO GOING TO BE HARD JOB AT  
21 THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP, BUT IT SHOULD BE  
22 INTERESTING, REALLY INTERESTING.

23 UPCOMING RFA'S, BASIC BIOLOGY II, POSTED  
24 IN AUGUST. THE RFA WILL BE POSTED IN AUGUST. STEM  
25 CELLS AND IMMUNOLOGY WILL COME TO US, ICOC, CONCEPT



## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 CLEARANCE IN AUGUST AND POST THE RFA, WE HOPE, IN  
2 THE FOURTH QUARTER. AND WE'RE BACK TO EARLY  
3 TRANSLATIONAL II GRANTS. THE CONCEPT CLEARANCE IN  
4 THE DECEMBER MEETING FOR THE ICOC, AND WE WILL POST  
5 THE RFA IN FEBRUARY.

6 THE CONFERENCE GRANT PROGRAM, I THOUGHT I  
7 NEEDED TO UPDATE YOU ON THIS BECAUSE I MEANT TO, SO  
8 THAT'S WHY I SHOULD. BUT YOU ALLOCATED UP TO  
9 300,000 PER YEAR TO NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS FOR  
10 CONFERENCE GRANTS. THE MAXIMUM AWARD, THE LESSER OF  
11 50,000 OR 50 PERCENT OF BUDGET. TO DATE WE'VE  
12 AWARDED FIVE GRANTS THAT TOTAL APPROXIMATELY  
13 \$100,000. AND THE APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF ATTENDEES  
14 AT THOSE CONFERENCES IS ABOUT 800.

15 THERE IS A CONFERENCE GRANT AWARD SUMMARY  
16 IN YOUR FOLDER. SO PLEASE HAVE A LOOK AT THAT, BUT  
17 IT TELLS YOU A LITTLE BIT MORE. WE HAVE A PARAGRAPH  
18 SUMMARY FOR EACH ONE OF THOSE FIVE THAT HAVE BEEN  
19 HAD. WE'VE GOT SOME TERRIFIC INPUT FROM THEM. THEY  
20 WERE VERY PLEASED. I GUESS ALL THE CONFERENCES THAT  
21 YOU HAVE PEOPLE ARE PLEASED, BUT THEY WERE VERY  
22 PLEASED WITH THE OUTCOMES. AND WE HEARD FROM STAFF  
23 WHO ATTENDED SOME AND OUR COLLEAGUES WHO HAVE BEEN  
24 AT SOME THAT THEY WERE JUST FABULOUS. SO REALLY  
25 GREAT, AND SO WE'RE STILL MOVING FORWARD LOOKING TO

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 SEE IF WE CAN FIND MORE OF THESE CONFERENCES TO  
2 SUPPORT WITHIN CALIFORNIA.

3 WE'RE HOPING TO KEEP IT WIDE ENOUGH TO  
4 COVER MOST OF OUR BRIEFS IF IT'S POSSIBLE IN EACH OF  
5 12 MONTHS.

6 SO THESE WERE THE CONFERENCES THAT WERE  
7 SUPPORTED. AND, AGAIN, THEY'RE SUMMARIZED IN THIS  
8 ONE PAPER, SO I DON'T THINK I'LL SPEND MORE TIME.  
9 YOU WILL SEE IT'S ONLY ONE AT 30,000 AND THERE'S  
10 SOME AT 11,000, 8,000, AND SO ON. SO IT DEPENDED ON  
11 WHAT THEY APPLIED FOR, AND THEN REALLY HOW MUCH STEM  
12 CELL-RELATED MATERIAL WAS INVOLVED AND HOW MUCH OF A  
13 DRAW IT WAS ON THE PEOPLE WHO WOULD BE REALLY  
14 INTERESTED FROM OUR POTENTIAL GRANTEES.

15 SO IF I CAN HAND OVER TO MARIE CSETE TO  
16 REPORT TO YOU ON WORKSHOPS, AND THEN SHE WILL ALSO  
17 REPORT TO YOU ON THE PROGRESS REPORTS.

18 DR. CSETE: VERY RECENTLY, ON JUNE 8TH AND  
19 9TH, HAD A REALLY EXCITING WORKSHOP BETWEEN JAPANESE  
20 SCIENTISTS AND CALIFORNIA SCIENTISTS SPONSORED BY  
21 CIRM AND BY JAPAN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY AGENCY, WHO  
22 RECENTLY DEVELOPED A LONG-TERM COLLABORATION WITH  
23 US. AND AS A TESTAMENT TO THE COMMITMENT OF THE  
24 JAPANESE, THEY SENT 15 JAPANESE SCIENTISTS AS WELL  
25 AS FOUR PEOPLE FROM JST ITSELF, THREE SCIENTISTS AND

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 AN ADMINISTRATIVE PERSON, WHICH IS A BIG COMMITMENT.

2 AND WE DIVIDED THE PANELS INTO

3 NEUROBIOLOGY, DISEASE MODELS, NEW TECHNOLOGIES,

4 REPROGRAMMING, AND AGING. AMY CHUNG HAD THE VERY

5 GOOD IDEA OF SEATING PEOPLE BY THEIR PANELS. AND

6 SURE ENOUGH, WE SAW DISEASE -- WE SAW TEAMS BEING

7 FORMED ON THE SPOT. AND ALSO AS I TALKED TO A LOT

8 OF THE JAPANESE SCIENTISTS AFTERWARDS ABOUT OTHER

9 COLLABORATORS IN THIS STATE WHO WEREN'T AT THE

10 MEETING, AND I KNOW OF THREE TEAMS THAT HAVE ALREADY

11 FORMED BASED ON THOSE CONVERSATIONS. SO THE

12 JAPANESE HAVE COMMITTED ALREADY TO PARTICIPATION IN

13 BASIC BIOLOGY, THE ONE THAT WILL BE POSTED IN

14 AUGUST.

15 THE TECHNICAL CONTENT OF THIS MEETING WAS

16 EXTRAORDINARY, AND THEY'VE ALSO EXPRESSED INTEREST

17 IN PARTICIPATING IN AN IMMUNOLOGY GRANT AS A RESULT

18 OF THE JST-CIRM WORKSHOP.

19 I WANT TO THANK DR. MICHAEL YAFFE, WHO

20 HELPED ME ORGANIZE THAT WORKSHOP.

21 WE ALSO HAD AN AUTISM WORKSHOP A FEW WEEKS

22 BACK, AND THIS WAS ALSO REALLY SUCCESSFUL IN

23 BRINGING CLINICIANS AND BASIC SCIENTISTS AND EVEN

24 EPIDEMIOLOGISTS TO THE SAME ROOM TO EXCHANGE IDEAS.

25 WE CONDUCTED THIS ONE A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENTLY IN

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 THAT WE DID HAVE EXPERT PANELS THAT WERE DOMAIN  
2 EXPERTISE DISEASE BASED. BUT AT THE END OF THE  
3 WORKSHOP, WE ALSO HAD BREAKOUT SESSIONS WHERE WE  
4 DIVIDED ALL THE ATTENDEES INTO GROUPS AND ASKED THEM  
5 TO COME UP WITH RECOMMENDATIONS FOR AN IDEALIZED  
6 RESEARCH AGENDA AND HELP US TO FIGURE OUT WHERE CIRM  
7 MIGHT PLAY A ROLE HERE.

8 THIS IS WORK THAT'S VERY MUCH IN THE BASIC  
9 STAGE, BUT THE ADVENT OF IPS CELLS HAS REALLY  
10 ALLOWED US TO THINK ABOUT A REACH-IN WITH STEM CELL  
11 SCIENCE THAT WASN'T THERE UP UNTIL JUST A FEW YEARS  
12 AGO.

13 AN OVERWHELMING RECOMMENDATION THAT CAME  
14 FROM THE BREAKOUT SESSIONS WAS THAT THERE SHOULD BE  
15 WIDE COLLECTION OF IPS CELLS FROM MANY DIFFERENT  
16 PATIENTS WITH THIS DISORDER COVERING THE RANGE OF  
17 PHENOTYPES. AND YOU CAN SEE SOME OF THE  
18 SUBRECOMMENDATIONS THERE. THEY ALSO CAME BACK TO US  
19 RECOMMENDING OTHER CRITICAL RESEARCH NEEDS NOW WHERE  
20 CIRM MAY OR MAY NOT BE ABLE TO HAVE REACH-IN, BUT  
21 THEY FEEL THAT THERE'S STILL FUNDAMENTAL EFFORTS  
22 NEEDED INTO THE BASIC ETIOLOGY, WHICH IS STILL  
23 ARGUED ABOUT, ALTHOUGH FOCUSING MORE ON THE SYNAPSE  
24 NOW AND THE TIME COURSE OF THE DISEASE.

25 AND IT WAS VERY IMPORTANT THAT NOW THAT

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 THERE ARE STANDARDIZED DIAGNOSTICS, THAT THESE GET  
2 OUT INTO THE CLINICAL COMMUNITY SO THAT AS STUDIES  
3 ARE BEING DONE AND PATIENTS ENROLLED TO GIVE CELLS,  
4 FOR EXAMPLE, THAT THERE'S A STANDARDIZED METRIC OF  
5 DIAGNOSTIC TESTS THAT ARE QUANTITATIVE THAT CAN BE  
6 REFERRED TO WHEN THE CELLS ARE STUDIED.

7 AND IT IS REALLY CRITICAL THAT SOME ACCESS  
8 TO TISSUE BE PROVIDED. SO OBVIOUSLY THESE KIDS  
9 DON'T DIE OFTEN, AND SO BRAIN SAMPLES FROM AUTISTIC  
10 CHILDREN TO LOOK AT MORE GLOBAL BRAIN STRUCTURE AND  
11 FUNCTION ARE NOT AVAILABLE TO RESEARCHERS. EACH ONE  
12 THAT DOES BECOME AVAILABLE, WE HEARD ABOUT STUDIES  
13 WHERE JUST THREE BRAINS GAVE REALLY QUITE  
14 INTERESTING POTENTIAL INSIGHTS AND HYPOTHESES TO BE  
15 TESTED.

16 SO I DIDN'T KNOW I WAS GOING TO TALK ABOUT  
17 THIS ONE, BUT YES.

18 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DR. CSETE, BEFORE YOU GO  
19 ON TO THE NEXT ONE, I'D JUST LIKE TO SAY THERE WAS A  
20 TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF ENTHUSIASM ON THE AUTISM  
21 WORKSHOP. IT WAS, IN ADDITION TO THE SCIENTIFIC  
22 REPRESENTATIVES THERE, DR. LOUIS VISMARA FROM THE  
23 STATE SENATE ATTENDED. HE IS WORKING WITH DARRELL  
24 STEINBERG ON A POTENTIAL COLLABORATION. ART TORRES,  
25 OUR VICE CHAIR, IS WORKING WITH HIM. WE'VE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 IDENTIFIED A POTENTIAL STRUCTURE UNDER WHICH PERHAPS  
2 SOME 63 FUNDS COULD POTENTIALLY BE USED TO AUGMENT  
3 OUR FUNDS FOR SPECIFIC RESEARCH ON AUTISM AND  
4 POTENTIALLY EPILEPSY, WHICH HAS SOME OVERLAP.

5 IT'S AN AREA WHERE CALIFORNIA IN  
6 PARTICULAR HAS INCREASING CASELOADS AT A VERY  
7 DISPROPORTIONATE AND THUS FAR UNEXPLAINED RATE. SO  
8 IT IS AN INTERESTING SPECIAL POTENTIAL FOR US FOR  
9 AUGMENTED FUNDING TO DEAL WITH THIS AREA WHICH IS  
10 CERTAINLY A CUTTING EDGE AREA. AND WE THANK YOU,  
11 DR. CSETE, FOR REALLY LEADING THAT EFFORT.

12 AND I BELIEVE THAT WE HAD AT THAT A  
13 SCIENTIFIC OFFICER WHO RETIRED AT THAT CONFERENCE,  
14 DR. ASHA NIGH, WHO WAS INSTRUMENTAL AS WELL IN, I  
15 THINK, SUPPORTING YOUR EFFORT TO ORGANIZE THAT  
16 WORKSHOP.

17 DR. CSETE: SO THIS WORKSHOP DIDN'T GO  
18 THROUGH THE USUAL WORKSHOP ROUTE. AND I THINK IT'S  
19 IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THE GENESIS OF THIS. WE  
20 HAVE PEOPLE OUT IN THE COMMUNITY NOW WORKING ON  
21 ESCRO COMMITTEES THAT HELP FACILITATE THE RESEARCH  
22 THAT WE FUND. AND THIS IS A VOLUNTEER EFFORT  
23 LARGELY BY FACULTY. AND THEY WERE ORIGINALLY  
24 THINKING ABOUT APPLYING FOR A CONFERENCE GRANT TO  
25 TALK ABOUT BEST PRACTICES IN THIS AREA. WHEN THEY

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 REALIZED THAT THERE WERE MATCHING FUNDS AND NO FUNDS  
2 ARE REALLY GIVEN TO SUPPORT THESE KINDS OF  
3 COMMITTEES, THEY FELT KIND OF BOXED IN AND  
4 APPROACHED ME ABOUT THIS.

5 SO GEOFF LOMAX AND I DECIDED THAT WE WOULD  
6 ORGANIZE THIS AND BRING THE VARIOUS PEOPLE OUT THERE  
7 IN THE FIELDS DOING THE GRUNT WORK ON THESE ESCRO  
8 COMMITTEES TOGETHER. THIS WILL BE CONDUCTED AT THE  
9 AIRPORT IN THE MUSEUM IN THE SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT  
10 ON JUNE 30TH AND JULY 1ST. AND WE'RE ASKING THE  
11 PEOPLE WHO COME TO BRING PROBLEMS THAT THEY'VE  
12 ENCOUNTERED SO THAT THERE CAN BE SORT OF A COMMUNITY  
13 DISCUSSION ABOUT WAYS TO OVERCOME THESE PROBLEMS.  
14 AND WE ALSO HAVE A GUEST SPEAKER COMING, DR.  
15 SCHIEFFER FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, WHO WAS  
16 THE AUTHOR OF THE PAPER LOOKING AT THE PROVENANCE OF  
17 THE NIH LINES AND FINDING THAT SOME OF THE NIH LINES  
18 WERE ACTUALLY NOT DERIVED ACCORDING TO MODERN  
19 ETHICAL STANDARDS.

20 SO I THINK IT'S GOING TO BE VERY LIVELY,  
21 AND I'M HOPING THAT THE EXPERIENCED ESCRO COMMITTEES  
22 WILL BE GIVING THEIR WISDOM DOWN TO THE LESS  
23 EXPERIENCED ESCRO COMMITTEES. AS WE'VE GONE AROUND  
24 THE STATE TO DO SITE VISITS, GEOFF LOMAX HAS DONE A  
25 LOT OF THESE, AND I ACCOMPANIED ON ONE RECENTLY,

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 WE'VE BEEN ENCOURAGING ALL OF THE INSTITUTIONS TO  
2 SEND REPRESENTATIVES. AND PRETTY MUCH ALL OF OUR  
3 MAJOR INSTITUTIONS WILL BE REPRESENTED.

4 THIS WILL ALSO BE IMPORTANT, I THINK, FOR  
5 MEMBERS OF THE STANDARDS WORKING GROUP TO ATTEND.

6 MR. SHEEHY: IT'S NOT A QUESTION. I JUST  
7 WANTED TO COMMEND MARIE AND ALAN AND THE SCIENTIFIC  
8 STAFF. I ATTENDED, IN FACT, ALL THREE WORKSHOPS WE  
9 TALKED ABOUT TODAY. AND NOT ONLY ARE THEY  
10 IMPRESSIVE FOR THE BREADTH AND DEPTH OF SCIENTIFIC  
11 KNOWLEDGE THAT'S PRESENTED, BUT ALL OF THEM COME OUT  
12 WITH MEASURABLE IMPACTS.

13 THE IMMUNOLOGY WORKSHOP IS GOING TO LEAD  
14 TO AN IMMUNOLOGY RFA, AS WE'VE SEEN FROM ALAN'S  
15 PRESENTATION. THE ONE WITH THE JAPANESE, TEAMS ARE  
16 FORMING. AND THE AUTISM ONE WAS REALLY  
17 BREATHTAKING. I MEAN FOR A DISEASE THAT IS REALLY  
18 EPIDEMIC IN CALIFORNIA RIGHT NOW, IT WAS GREAT FOR  
19 THAT COMMUNITY TO COME TOGETHER AND HEAR THE LATEST  
20 SCIENCE AND FOR THESE TYPES OF RELATIONSHIPS WITH  
21 DR. VISMARA, THE CONNECTION WITH THE SENATE SELECT  
22 COMMITTEE ON AUTISM, WHICH IS CHAIRED BY SENATOR  
23 STEINBERG. WE WILL BE ABLE TO PLAY A ROLE IN  
24 ADDRESSING ONE OF THE MAJOR PUBLIC HEALTH EPIDEMICS  
25 IN CALIFORNIA RIGHT NOW.



## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 SO I JUST WANT TO REALLY COMMEND STAFF FOR  
2 DOING THESE WORKSHOPS, PULLING THEM TOGETHER.  
3 THEY'RE A LOT OF WORK, I'M SURE, BECAUSE YOU'RE  
4 BRINGING PEOPLE FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD. AND THEY  
5 REALLY ARE AN IMPRESSIVE FEATURE OF OUR OPERATION.

6 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ART TORRES.

7 MR. TORRES: I WANTED TO ADD TO THAT  
8 COMMENT BECAUSE I ATTENDED THOSE WORKSHOPS AS WELL.  
9 TO, MARIE, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR PROVIDING THOSE  
10 OVERVIEWS. AND ALSO TO DON GIBBONS WHO PROVIDED A  
11 LAYPERSON'S OVERVIEW OF THESE WORKSHOPS.

12 EACH OF THESE REPORTS WERE SENT TO EVERY  
13 MEMBER OF THE AUTISM SENATE COMMITTEE AND EVERY  
14 MEMBER OF THE SENATE AND ASSEMBLY HEALTH COMMITTEES.  
15 WE ALSO SENT THEM AND WILL BE SENDING THE REPORT ON  
16 THE JAPANESE WORKSHOP WHICH YOU PROVIDED FOR ME AS  
17 WELL, WHICH WE WILL GET TO THEM.

18 AND WE HAVE ALSO STARTED A NEW PRACTICE AT  
19 THE INSTITUTE TO MAKE SURE THAT EACH MEMBER OF THE  
20 LEGISLATURE RECEIVES OUR NEWS SUMMARY SO THAT THEY  
21 KNOW DIRECTLY WHAT THIS HAS BEEN -- WHAT OUR  
22 ORGANIZATION HAS BEEN DOING. AND IT'S SOMETHING  
23 THAT DUANE AND I HAVE TALKED ABOUT AT LENGTH, AND,  
24 OF COURSE, THE CHAIRMAN AND PRESIDENT, TO MAKE SURE  
25 THAT WE PROVIDE THESE COMMUNICATIONS SO THAT PEOPLE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 KNOW THE TREMENDOUS EFFORTS THAT OUR SCIENTIFIC TEAM  
2 AND INSTITUTE AND PEOPLE OUTSIDE AND THIS BOARD HAVE  
3 BEEN SUPPORTIVE OF SO THAT THEY KNOW THAT THERE IS A  
4 RECORD HERE THAT NEEDS TO BE ARTICULATED TO THE  
5 POLICYMAKERS IN SACRAMENTO AND IN WASHINGTON AS  
6 WELL.

7 DR. CSETE: I GUESS THE LAST THING I'M  
8 SUPPOSED TO SPEAK ABOUT IS OUR MECHANISM FOR LOOKING  
9 AT PROGRESS REPORTS. AND THE FIRST OPPORTUNITY WE  
10 HAD TO AGGREGATE DATA ON THIS WAS FOR THE SEED  
11 GRANTS, WHICH ARE NOW MORE OR LESS IN THEIR SECOND  
12 YEAR OF FUNDING FOR MOST OF THE INVESTIGATORS. AND  
13 AS A REMINDER, I THINK ALL THE WAY BACK A FEW YEARS,  
14 THIS WAS A GRANT PROGRAM DESIGNED TO DEVELOP HUMAN  
15 EMBRYONIC STEM CELL BIOLOGY IN THE STATE. AND SINCE  
16 IT WAS EARLY, IT WAS MORE IDEA BASED RATHER THAN  
17 PRELIMINARY DATABASED, AND WE REALLY HOPED TO  
18 ATTRACT NONSTEM CELL BIOLOGISTS TO THE FIELD AS WELL  
19 AS CELL AND DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGISTS WHO WERE  
20 WORKING PERHAPS ON OTHER STEM CELLS, BUT HAD NOT  
21 DONE HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELL WORK.

22 AS SUCH, THE SEED GRANTS WERE ACKNOWLEDGED  
23 TO BE RATHER HIGH RISK, HIGH GAIN. AND I WAS  
24 INVOLVED IN THE SEED GRANTS AS A REVIEWER. SO I  
25 HAVE INSIGHT INTO THE PROCESS FROM THE BEGINNING

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 EVEN BEFORE I CAME HERE TO CIRM. AND I HAVE TO SAY  
2 THAT THE OVERWHELMING MESSAGE I WANT TO LEAVE YOU  
3 WITH HERE IS THAT DESPITE A SLOW START, THAT WAS THE  
4 BUMP IN THE ROAD, THAT THE SEED'S ARE REALLY  
5 OVERWHELMINGLY SUCCESSFUL. AND WE LOOKED THIS WEEK  
6 TO FIND THAT THERE ARE ALREADY 64 PAPERS COMING OUT  
7 OF THE SEED PROGRAM EVEN THOUGH, AGAIN, THESE WERE  
8 NEW INVESTIGATORS IN THIS FIELD.

9 SO IT IS IMPORTANT ALSO, THANKS TO  
10 BETTINA, TO REMIND YOU THAT PROGRESS REPORTS ARE NOT  
11 JUST PROGRESS REPORTS, AND THIS HAS BEEN AN  
12 EDUCATION FOR BOTH THE SCIENCE OFFICE AND FOR OUR  
13 SCIENTISTS, THAT THEY REALLY SERVE AS A FOCUS OF A  
14 WAY THAT THE SCIENCE OFFICERS AND OUR GRANTEES CAN  
15 HAVE A POINT OF COMMUNICATION. AND IT ALSO ALLOWS  
16 US TO GET A HEADS UP ON WHERE THE DATA IS, ON WHAT  
17 PAPERS ARE BEING SUBMITTED, ON POTENTIAL PATENTS  
18 THAT ARE COMING OUT. AND ALSO WHEN WE DISCUSS THE  
19 PROGRESS REPORTS IN THE SCIENCE OFFICE MEETING, IT  
20 ALLOWS US TO MATCH SCIENTISTS FROM OUR INDIVIDUAL  
21 PORTFOLIOS WITH OTHER SCIENTISTS WHOSE PROGRESS  
22 WE'RE HEARING ABOUT IN THE MEETING.

23 IN GENERAL, I HAVE TO SAY THAT I'VE GOTTEN  
24 A LOT OF POSITIVE FEEDBACK FROM OUR PI'S ABOUT THE  
25 INTERACTIONS WITH OUR SCIENCE OFFICERS AND OUR

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 GRANTEES.

2 SO THIS IS THE PROCESS THAT WE'VE SORT OF  
3 COME TO. AND I'VE CONDENSED A VERY COMPLEX DIAGRAM  
4 THAT HAS ARROWS GOING OUT EVERY WHICH WAY. AND ON  
5 THE RIGHT, YOU HAVE A LOT OF GOLD STARS. AND THE  
6 GOLD STARS IS WHAT USUALLY HAPPENS. ON THE LEFT I  
7 PUT SYMBOLS SHOWING HOW MUCH COMMUNICATION HAPPENS  
8 AT EACH ONE OF THESE STEPS.

9 SO FOR THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE PROGRESS  
10 REPORTS, THINGS LOOK GOOD, AND WE GENERATE AN NGA  
11 WITH THE NEXT YEAR'S FUNDING ON THE SEED GRANTS.  
12 BUT WHAT I'M SHOWING YOU IN THE MIDDLE IS WHAT  
13 HAPPENS WHEN WE RECEIVE A PROGRESS REPORT THAT'S NOT  
14 SATISFACTORY. SO WE DID RECEIVE SOME WHERE WE SAW  
15 THAT THE PROJECTS WERE NOT ADVANCING. THEY WERE  
16 SLOW. THE VAST MAJORITY WERE THOSE GOLD STARS THAT,  
17 YOU KNOW, WENT RIGHT BACK FOR THE SECOND YEAR OF  
18 FUNDING.

19 IN GENERAL, I HAVE TO SAY IT WAS SLOW  
20 PROGRESS. IT WASN'T BAD PROGRESS. AND IT ALLOWED  
21 US TO IDENTIFY SOME ISSUES THAT ARE, I THINK,  
22 ENDEMIC WITH A NEW AGENCY AND NEW IDEAS.  
23 INSTITUTIONS HAD TROUBLE GETTING LINES FOR THEIR  
24 INVESTIGATORS. PEOPLE HAD TROUBLE HIRING POST DOCS  
25 WHO WERE ABLE TO DO THE WORK. WE HAD TROUBLE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 GETTING SOME OF THE NGA'S OUT THE DOOR FOR VARIOUS  
2 REASONS. SO RIGHT AWAY, BY HAVING A COMMUNICATION  
3 WITH THE PI AFTER THE PROGRESS REPORT WAS IN, WE  
4 COULD HELP THEM. WE COULD INTERVENE AND MAKE THE  
5 RIGHT CALLS TO TRY TO GET AND KICK START THESE  
6 PROGRAMS.

7 WHEN THERE WAS INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR US TO  
8 JUDGE HOW MUCH WORK HAD BEEN DONE, THE SCIENCE  
9 OFFICER WOULD REQUEST SUPPLEMENTAL DATA. THAT OFTEN  
10 REQUIRED A COUPLE OF PHONE CALLS AND A COUPLE OF  
11 EXCHANGES OF E-MAIL BECAUSE, AGAIN, WE WERE  
12 INTERESTED IN HEARING WHAT PEOPLE WOULD NORMALLY NOT  
13 SEND IN AS A PROGRESS REPORT. DIFFICULTY GETTING  
14 CELL LINES GROWN, DIFFICULTY DOING CERTAIN KINDS OF  
15 EXPERIMENTS SO THAT WE COULD SEE COMMON FEATURES  
16 ACROSS OUR SEED GRANTEES AND ALLOW THEM TO HELP EACH  
17 OTHER.

18 WHEN THE SUPPLEMENTAL DATA SUGGESTED THAT  
19 THERE WAS STILL INSUFFICIENT PROGRESS, I WOULD LOOK  
20 AT THE REPORT, AND WE ALSO HAD DISCUSSIONS WITH THE  
21 ENTIRE SCIENCE OFFICE. AT THAT POINT, IF WE  
22 COULDN'T COME TO A WAY TO JUMP START A PROJECT, WE  
23 WOULD HAVE A CONFERENCE CALL WITH THE PI, AND AT  
24 THIS POINT WE'D BRING IN THE INSTITUTIONAL OFFICIAL  
25 AS WELL, THE SCIENCE OFFICER WHO HAS THIS PI IN

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 THEIR PORTFOLIO, AND I, AND WE WOULD HAVE ANOTHER  
2 CALL TO TRY TO GET THIS PROGRAM BACK ON TRACK. AND  
3 VERY OFTEN WHAT THAT MEANT WAS THAT WE MADE A PLAN  
4 WITH THE INVESTIGATOR TO GIVE THEM SOME MORE TIME SO  
5 THAT THEY COULD GENERATE SOME DATA AND TRY TO PICK  
6 UP WHERE THE PROGRESS WAS SLOW.

7 SO THE TIME DIFFERED DEPENDING ON THE  
8 PROBLEMS THAT WERE THERE, HIRING PROBLEMS, FOR  
9 EXAMPLE. IF AFTER THIS TIME PERIOD ANOTHER  
10 SUPPLEMENTAL PROGRESS REPORT COMES IN AND THERE WAS  
11 INADEQUATE PROGRESS, WE DECIDED THAT WE WOULD NOTIFY  
12 THE INVESTIGATOR THAT THE PROJECT JUST DIDN'T SEEM  
13 TO BE GOING ANYWHERE, THAT THERE WAS NO REAL PLAN TO  
14 GET IT BACK ON TRACK AND THAT THERE WAS A POTENTIAL  
15 FOR TERMINATION.

16 AGAIN, THE AOO'S WERE ALL INVOLVED IN THIS  
17 AS WELL. AND IF THERE WAS NO RESPONSE WITHIN TWO  
18 WEEKS TO THAT POTENTIAL TERMINATION LETTER, THEN THE  
19 GRANT WAS TERMINATED AND THE SECOND YEAR'S FUNDING  
20 WAS NOT ADVANCED.

21 SO WHAT DID WE LEARN FROM THIS PROCESS?  
22 WE LEARNED THAT IT'S CRITICAL FOR US TO BE WORKING  
23 WITH THE PI'S TO KEEP THE GRANTS ON TRACK AND HOW  
24 APPRECIATIVE THE PI'S ARE WHEN WE DO WORK WITH THEM  
25 TO KEEP THEM ON TRACK. BY THE WAY, WE ALSO FOUND

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 SEVERAL GRANTS THAT WOULD NOT HAVE GONE ON BECAUSE  
2 THE INVESTIGATOR WAS INTERESTED IN NOT PURSUING THE  
3 ORIGINAL GOAL OF THE RESEARCH AND WAS GOING TO DROP  
4 THE WORK. AND WE FELT THAT THESE AREAS WERE SO  
5 CRITICAL FOR THE IDEAS THAT WERE PART OF THE SEED  
6 PROGRAM, THAT WE FOUND OTHER INVESTIGATORS WHO WERE  
7 CO-PI'S OR RELATED TO THE GRANT TO TAKE OVER AND  
8 WORKED WITH THESE NEW INVESTIGATORS AND FOUND  
9 MENTORSHIP TO KEEP THAT WORK GOING.

10 SO IT WENT IN BOTH DIRECTIONS. WE SAW  
11 ADEQUATE PROGRESS WHERE THE GRANTS WOULD NOT HAVE  
12 GONE ON HAD WE NOT INTERVENED. AND I THINK THAT  
13 THIS IS A VERY INTERIM REPORT FOR YOU BECAUSE THE  
14 FINAL SUCCESS OF THE SEED'S WILL BE SEEN OVER THE  
15 NEXT YEAR WHEN THE FINAL REPORTS COME BACK.

16 WE KNOW THAT PAPERS ARE GOING OUT. WE  
17 KNOW THAT RESEARCH IS PROCEEDING APACE NOW, I THINK  
18 MUCH TO THE EFFORT OF EACH OF THE INDIVIDUAL SCIENCE  
19 OFFICERS WHO WORKED VERY CLOSELY WITH THE GRANTEES.  
20 BUT IT WILL BE IMPORTANT TO EVALUATE HOW MANY NEW  
21 LABS WERE BROUGHT INTO HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELL AND  
22 PLURIPOTENT STEM CELL RESEARCH. AND I SHOULD ALSO  
23 SAY THAT A LOT OF THE INVESTIGATORS LEFT TO THEIR  
24 OWN DEVICES WOULD HAVE STOPPED WHAT THEY WERE DOING  
25 WITH THEIR SEED GRANTS AND SIMPLY GONE ON TO DERIVE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 IPS CELLS, AND WE WOULD HAVE HAD NO PORTFOLIO HAD WE  
2 BEEN ACTIVELY MANAGING THE GRANTS.

3 BUT MOST IMPORTANTLY, THE SUCCESS OF THIS  
4 PROGRAM WILL BE DETERMINED ON HOW THESE  
5 INVESTIGATORS GO INTO OTHERS OF OUR PROGRAMS AND  
6 OTHER LARGE-SCALE FUNDED GRANTS WITH THE WORK THAT  
7 WAS DEVELOPED FROM THE SEED. AND WE ALREADY HAVE  
8 SUCCESS IN THAT AREA. WE'RE SEEING THAT ONE SEED  
9 GRANTEE CONTINUED ON AND GOT AN EARLY TRANSLATION  
10 AWARD FROM CIRM LAST MONTH.

11 SO OVERALL WE'VE HAD ENORMOUS SUCCESS, I  
12 THINK, WITH THE SEED PROGRAM, AND WE'RE STILL IN THE  
13 MIDDLE OF IT. AND WE'VE LEARNED A LOT ABOUT PROCESS  
14 THAT WILL HELP US TO BE MANAGING LARGER SCALE  
15 PROJECTS AND TO WORK WITH OUR INVESTIGATORS IN A  
16 REALLY POSITIVE WAY.

17 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO, DR. CSETE, WILL YOU  
18 REMIND US THE NUMBER OF SEED GRANTS ORIGINALLY  
19 AWARDED?

20 DR. CSETE: SEVENTY-FOUR.

21 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO 74 GRANTS, AND WE'VE  
22 SEEN 62 OR 63 PAPERS AT THIS POINT.

23 DR. CSETE: SIXTY-FOUR PAPERS.

24 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO A VERY HIGH LEVEL OF  
25 PRODUCTIVITY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. DR. BLOOM.



## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 DR. BLOOM: THIS KIND OF NURTURING  
2 INTERACTIVE RELATIONSHIP WITH THE PI'S IS ABSOLUTELY  
3 UNIQUE IN THE GRANT WORLD. AND SO I THINK IT'S A  
4 WONDERFUL THING THAT YOU'VE INSTITUTED. IT'S GOING  
5 TO BE A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF ADDITIONAL WORK ON YOUR  
6 STAFF TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT, BUT IT'S HIGHLY  
7 COMMENDABLE, AND IT'S GOING TO MAKE THE DIFFERENCE  
8 BETWEEN SUCCESS OR FAILURE, PARTICULARLY FOR THESE  
9 INTERMEDIATE LEVEL OF SUCCESSFUL EARLY EXPERIMENTS  
10 WHERE THEY HAVE TO BE ENCOURAGED TO GO ON AND PUSH.  
11 SO IT'S A WONDERFUL THING YOU'VE DONE.

12 DR. AZZIZ: I JUST WANT TO ECHO THAT, FOR  
13 STARTERS. I THINK IT TAKES A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF  
14 WORK TO HELP THESE INVESTIGATORS FORWARD. AGAIN,  
15 PRESUMABLY, THEY ARE ALSO VERY APPRECIATIVE OF YOUR  
16 EFFORTS.

17 OF THE 74 APPLICATIONS, HOW MANY -- YOU  
18 SPOKE ABOUT THE PROCESS THAT YOU ARE GOING THROUGH.  
19 HOW MANY HAVE BEEN TERMINATED FOR NONPRODUCTIVITY?

20 DR. CSETE: THREE.

21 DR. AZZIZ: THREE OF THE 74. THANK YOU.

22 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. ANY ADDITIONAL  
23 BOARD COMMENT? THANK YOU VERY MUCH, DR. CSETE.

24 DR. TROUNSON, IS THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT  
25 CONCLUDED?

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 DR. TROUNSON: YES, IT IS. THANK YOU VERY  
2 MUCH.

3 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WE'RE GOING TO TAKE A  
4 TEN-MINUTE BREAK AND THEN WE'LL RECONVENE.

5 (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

6 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE GOING  
7 TO RECONVENE. WE'RE GOING TO START ON THE ITEM NO.  
8 8, CONSIDERATION OF FUNDING FOR APPROVAL OF THE  
9 RESEARCH TRAINING PROGRAM AWARDS. AND I WOULD  
10 REMIND EVERYONE, AS WE WILL DISCUSS DURING THE  
11 SESSION, THERE'S SOME -- IT'S OVER 200 PAPERS THAT  
12 HAVE BEEN PRODUCED, SCIENTIFIC PAPERS THAT HAVE BEEN  
13 PRODUCED BY THE SCHOLARS ON THE TRAINING PROGRAM.  
14 WE NEED TO, OF COURSE, REALLY MASTER OUR  
15 COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM SO THAT THE GENERAL PUBLIC  
16 UNDERSTANDS EACH OF THESE SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMS  
17 INCREMENTALLY ADDS TO THE KNOWLEDGE THAT WILL HELP  
18 US IDENTIFY AND DRIVE THERAPIES FORWARD.

19 SO EACH OF THESE REPRESENTS INCREMENTAL  
20 KNOWLEDGE THAT IS KEY TO OUR MISSION. AND THOSE  
21 CONTRIBUTIONS IN THE AGGREGATE ARE EXTREMELY  
22 IMPRESSIVE. THE PRODUCTIVITY OF THIS PROGRAM AT 16  
23 DIFFERENT INSTITUTIONS IS VIRTUALLY UNPRECEDENTED.

24 DR. SAMBRANO.

25 DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU. MR. CHAIR,

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, I  
2 AM BRINGING FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION THE FUNDING OF  
3 ALREADY APPROVED CIRM RESEARCH TRAINING PROGRAM II  
4 APPLICATIONS. JAMES, NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE.

5 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: LARRY, COULD YOU ASK THE  
6 BOARD MEMBERS WHO ARE NOT HERE TO PLEASE RECONVENE.  
7 EXCUSE ME, DR. SAMBRANO.

8 DR. SAMBRANO: TO GIVE YOU SOME BACKGROUND  
9 ON THIS ITEM, IN MARCH 2009 THE BOARD APPROVED 15  
10 APPLICATIONS INTENDING TO CONTINUE WHAT HAS BEEN A  
11 VERY SUCCESSFUL GRANT PROGRAM THAT ORIGINALLY BEGAN  
12 IN 2006 WITH OUR VERY FIRST RFA. HOWEVER, THE BOARD  
13 HAS ALSO DECIDED TO DEFER FUNDING FOR 12 MONTHS DUE  
14 TO UNCERTAINTY IN ACCESSING BOND FUNDS. THE  
15 APPROVED APPLICANTS WERE, AS A RESULT, GIVEN A  
16 CHOICE TO EITHER SELF-FUND AND BE REIMBURSED 12  
17 MONTHS LATER OR SIMPLY BEGIN THE AWARD IN 12 MONTHS,  
18 WHICH FOR MOST WOULD MEAN HALTING THEIR PROGRAM FOR  
19 A YEAR'S TIME.

20 I DO POINT OUT TO YOU THAT 14 OUT OF THE  
21 15 APPROVED APPLICATIONS ARE FROM INSTITUTIONS THAT  
22 ARE CONTINUING AN ALREADY ESTABLISHED CIRM TRAINING  
23 PROGRAM.

24 IN MAY OF 2009, THANKS TO THE GREAT EFFORT  
25 OF THE CHAIRMAN AND HIS STAFF, RECENT BOND SALES

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 WERE SUCCESSFUL AND HAVE ALLOWED CIRM TO MOVE  
2 FORWARD WITH FUNDING OF APPROVED PROGRAMS. SO TODAY  
3 WE ARE ASKING THAT YOU CONSIDER RESUMING FUNDING OF  
4 THE 15 PROGRAMS WHICH, AS YOU KNOW, HAVE BEEN  
5 DESIGNED TO TRAIN INDIVIDUALS FROM A DIVERSE  
6 SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND IN STEM CELL BIOLOGY AND  
7 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE AT THREE BASIC LEVELS, THE  
8 PREDOCTORAL, POSTDOCTORAL, AND CLINICAL FELLOWS.

9 IT'S ALSO MEANT TO EXPAND THE OVERALL POOL  
10 OF RESEARCHERS WHO ARE GOING TO LEAD AND CONDUCT  
11 STEM CELL RESEARCH IN CALIFORNIA IN THE FUTURE AND  
12 ALSO TO DIRECTLY SUPPORT, THROUGH THE TRAINING  
13 ACTIVITIES, BASIC TRANSLATIONAL AND PRECLINICAL  
14 RESEARCH.

15 WE ARE ALSO IN THE FORTUNATE POSITION  
16 WHERE WE CAN NOW LOOK UPON THE LAST THREE YEARS THAT  
17 CIRM HAS SUPPORTED THE FIRST TRAINING GRANT PROGRAM  
18 AND SEE THE REAL IMPACT AND IMPORTANCE TO OUR  
19 MISSION THAT THESE PROGRAMS BRING. WE KNOW THAT NOT  
20 ONLY DO THESE PROGRAMS ACHIEVE THESE OBJECTIVES, BUT  
21 THEY ALSO HAVE A TREMENDOUS IMPACT ON ADVANCING STEM  
22 CELL RESEARCH AND STRENGTHENING THE STEM CELL  
23 SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY IN CALIFORNIA.

24 OVER THE LAST THREE YEARS, THE TRAINING  
25 GRANTS HAVE SUPPORTED 279 CLINICAL FELLOWS,

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 POSTDOCTORAL FELLOWS, AND PREDOCTORAL STUDENTS  
2 ACROSS 219 DISTINCT LABORATORIES IN CALIFORNIA. THE  
3 TRAINEES HAVE PRODUCED 221 PUBLICATIONS, WHICH  
4 REPRESENT GREATER THAN TWO-THIRDS OF THE  
5 PUBLICATIONS GENERATED THUS FAR WITH CIRM SUPPORT.

6 AND ALTHOUGH IT IS STILL EARLY TO ASSESS  
7 OUTCOMES, WE KNOW THAT MANY TRAINEES HAVE MOVED ON  
8 TO IMPRESSIVE FACULTY AND INDUSTRY POSITIONS IN STEM  
9 CELL RESEARCH. MANY ALSO WITH M.D. DEGREES ARE  
10 PRACTICING MEDICINE WITH AN INTIMATE KNOWLEDGE OF  
11 STEM CELLS AND THEIR POTENTIAL, AND MANY HAVE MOVED  
12 ON TO CONTINUE TRAINING AT TOP STEM CELL RESEARCH  
13 LABORATORIES.

14 WHAT I THINK MIGHT BE PERHAPS MOST  
15 IMPRESSIVE ABOUT THE TRAINING PROGRAMS IS THAT THEY  
16 HAVE CATALYZED THE DEVELOPMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF A  
17 ROBUST STEM CELL COMMUNITY AT THE HOST INSTITUTIONS.  
18 THE PROGRAM THROUGH ITS COURSES, SEMINARS, MENTORING  
19 ACTIVITIES BRINGS TOGETHER MANY FACULTY IN A VARIETY  
20 OF WAYS THAT SPUR INCREASED COLLABORATION AND  
21 COMMUNITY. AND THIS HAS, IN TURN, ENHANCED THE  
22 RECRUITMENT OF TOP STUDENTS IN WHAT IS A VERY  
23 COMPETITIVE PROGRAM AND ALSO ATTRACTED FACULTY FROM  
24 OUTSIDE THE STATE TO JOIN THIS EMERGING COMMUNITY.  
25 IT IS ITSELF A VERY EFFECTIVE RECRUITMENT AND

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 RETENTION TOOL FOR THESE INSTITUTIONS.

2 FINALLY, THE RESEARCH THAT WE SUPPORT VIA  
3 THIS PROGRAM ALSO CLEARLY SYNERGIZES WITH OTHER  
4 CIRM-FUNDED PROGRAMS TO ACCELERATE RESEARCH AND  
5 GENERATE NEW IDEAS. JUST AS AN EXAMPLE, AND I THINK  
6 THIS WAS ALLUDED TO BEFORE, WE KNOW THAT WORK  
7 PERFORMED BY TWO CIRM SCHOLARS AND A SEED AWARD HAVE  
8 CONTRIBUTED TO THE TESTING OF A DRUG CURRENTLY IN  
9 PHASE I CLINICAL TRIALS.

10 AND SO IT IS CLEAR THAT WE HAVE BUILT A  
11 MOMENTUM AND A CRITICAL FOUNDATION WITH THESE  
12 TRAINING PROGRAMS. WE, THEREFORE, FEEL IT'S  
13 IMPORTANT THAT FUNDING FOR THESE PROGRAMS RESUME AS  
14 SOON AS POSSIBLE RATHER THAN WAITING UNTIL NEXT YEAR  
15 AS NOT TO LOSE THE BENEFITS OF THIS MOMENTUM AND  
16 PRESERVE CONTINUITY FOR THE COMMUNITY OF SCIENTISTS  
17 THAT IT HAS CREATED. THE LEADERS THEMSELVES OF STEM  
18 CELL PROGRAMS AT THESE TRAINING INSTITUTIONS HAVE  
19 UNEQUIVOCALLY AND UNANIMOUSLY STATED TO CIRM THE  
20 IMPORTANCE OF FUNDING THESE PROGRAMS WITHOUT DELAY  
21 TO SUSTAIN THE ADVANCEMENT OF THEIR RESEARCH.

22 AND SO, THEREFORE, CIRM REQUESTS THAT THE  
23 ICOC CONSIDER APPROVAL OF FUNDING OF THE APPROVED  
24 APPLICATIONS FOR CIRM TRAINING PROGRAM II STARTING  
25 ON JULY 1 OF THIS YEAR INSTEAD OF THE PROJECTED

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 START DATE OF APRIL 1, 2010.

2 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, DR.  
3 SAMBRANO. NOW, ARE WE GOING TO AT THIS POINT HAVE  
4 ADDITIONAL SPEAKERS TO THIS SUBJECT? IS THAT YOUR  
5 INTENT, DR. TROUNSON?

6 DR. TROUNSON: WELL, I THINK THERE ARE  
7 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC HERE, CHAIR, WHO COULD SPEAK  
8 TO THAT IF YOU INVITE THEM, THE MEMBERS OF THE  
9 PUBLIC. I WOULD SAY JUST ENDORSE THE VIEW THAT ALL  
10 OF THE STEM CELL PROGRAM LEADERS, BUT ALSO ALL OF  
11 THE TRAINING PROGRAM HEADS HAVE ALL REQUESTED OF US  
12 UNANIMOUSLY THAT THEY WANT THIS TO GO AHEAD. AND SO  
13 WE FEEL THAT'S IMPORTANT TO GIVE YOU THAT  
14 INFORMATION.

15 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: RIGHT. SO WHILE I  
16 NORMALLY WOULD TAKE THE COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC  
17 TOWARDS THE END OF THE DISCUSSION, HERE, BECAUSE IT  
18 CONTRIBUTES TO THE FOUNDATION OF A BROADER SUBJECT  
19 NOT ADDRESSING NECESSARILY ANY PARTICULAR PLEA FROM  
20 AN INDIVIDUAL INSTITUTION, BUT RATHER EXAMPLES OF  
21 WHAT INSTITUTIONS HAVE ACHIEVED THAT CONTRIBUTE TO  
22 THE OVERALL CONCEPT OF THIS PROGRAM, I'M GOING TO  
23 ASK IF MEMBERS OF THE AUDIENCE WHO HAVE PARTICIPATED  
24 IN THIS WOULD LIKE TO CONTRIBUTE THEIR THOUGHTS AT  
25 THIS TIME. I THINK CATRIONA JAMIESON HAS RAISED HER

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 HAND. DR. JAMIESON, WOULD YOU LIKE TO START?

2 DR. JAMIESON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'M  
3 THE DIRECTOR OF THE STEM CELL RESEARCH PROGRAM AT  
4 THE MOORES UCSD CANCER CENTER AND HERE ON BEHALF OF  
5 THE SANFORD CONSORTIUM FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE.  
6 AND I'M GOING TO BE INTRODUCING SOME OF MY PATIENTS  
7 TO YOU TOMORROW WHEN I TALK ABOUT WHY WHAT YOU ARE  
8 DOING IS SO IMPORTANT.

9 AND I THINK THAT WHAT I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND  
10 WHEN CIRM STARTED AS A FUNDING ORGANIZATION WAS WHY  
11 YOU FOCUSED ON THE TRAINING PROGRAM FIRST. AND YOU  
12 REALLY FOCUSED ON FUNDING TALENT AND THAT STEM CELL  
13 BIOLOGY IS NOT JUST A SET OF TOOLS. IT'S A FIELD,  
14 AND IT AFFECTS HOW WE LOOK AT HUMAN DISEASE, AFFECTS  
15 HOW WE TREAT HUMAN DISEASE. AND MY THREE PATIENTS  
16 WILL TELL YOU THAT TOMORROW.

17 SO I WAS FORTUNATE ENOUGH TO GET A SEED  
18 GRANT AND ALSO TO HAVE TWO PEOPLE IN MY LAB THAT ARE  
19 PHYSICIAN/SCIENTISTS THAT WERE FUNDED THROUGH THIS  
20 TRAINING PROGRAM WHO WOULDN'T HAVE HAD THE  
21 OPPORTUNITY TO BE FUNDED AT THIS LEVEL AND REALLY  
22 DEVELOP THEIR SKILLS. BECAUSE AS DR. BLOOM KNOWS,  
23 IN SCIENCE QUITE FREQUENTLY THE MORE EXPERIENCED YOU  
24 ARE, THE HARDER IT IS TO GET FUNDING AS YOU GO ON IN  
25 YOUR POSTDOC YEARS, BUT PARTICULARLY FOR PHYSICIANS.



## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 DR. BRENNER KNOWS THIS. A LOT OF PEOPLE HAVE HAD  
2 CHALLENGES AS THEY GO ON IN THEIR FUNDING, AND THEY  
3 NEED TO GET THAT MOTIVATION. THEY NEED TO BE TOLD,  
4 YES, THIS IS IMPORTANT.

5 THIS IS ONE OF THE ONLY PROGRAMS THAT I  
6 KNOW OF THAT TAKES THIS SERIOUSLY, FUNDING  
7 PHYSICIAN/SCIENTISTS, FUNDING SCIENTISTS THAT ARE  
8 MORE ADVANCED IN THEIR TRAINING. AND IT'S THAT KIND  
9 OF SKILL SET THAT'S REQUIRED TO DO THIS VERY COMPLEX  
10 EMBRYONIC STEM CELL BIOLOGY ALL THE WAY FROM THE  
11 BENCH TO THE BEDSIDE AND, AS WE'RE LEARNING, BACK  
12 AGAIN BECAUSE WE LEARN A LOT FROM OUR PATIENTS. AND  
13 WE'D LIKE TO GO BACK TO OUR LABS AND SEE IF WE CAN  
14 DO THINGS EVEN BETTER.

15 SO I CAN'T UNDERSCORE HOW IMPORTANT THE  
16 TRAINING GRANT IS. I THINK GILL SAID IT VERY WELL.  
17 I THINK, ALAN, YOU'VE DONE A TREMENDOUS JOB OF  
18 PUTTING THIS TOGETHER. MARIE, JUST THE WHOLE TEAM  
19 APPROACH IS VERY INSPIRING. IT KEEPS US GOING IN  
20 OUR LABS AND IN OUR CLINICS. BUT WITHOUT THESE  
21 TRAINEES, WE WOULDN'T BE ANYWHERE. IT'S THEIR  
22 TALENT THAT KEEPS US GOING. THEY'RE THE NEXT  
23 GENERATION.

24 SO I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE JENNIFER  
25 BRASWELL, IF I COULD, BECAUSE SHE'S ACTUALLY BEEN

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 INCREDIBLY PATIENT AND RUNS OUR TRAINING PROGRAM,  
2 AND THE TRAINEES ARE INSPIRED BY HER.

3 MS. BRASWELL: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,  
4 CATRIONA. AND THANK YOU VERY MUCH TO ALL THE  
5 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD AND TO MY SON, AARON BRASWELL,  
6 WHO'S WITH US HERE TONIGHT SUPPORTING MOM AND  
7 SUPPORTING STEM CELL EFFORTS IN THE STATE.

8 REPRESENTING THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
9 SAN DIEGO STEM CELL PROGRAM, I'D LIKE TO IMPRESS  
10 UPON THE CIRM ICOC THE CRITICAL IMPORTANCE OF  
11 FUNDING THE APPROVED CIRM RESEARCH TRAINING PROGRAM  
12 II AWARDS. I'M SPEAKING FOR LARRY GOLDSTEIN, THE  
13 PROGRAM DIRECTOR AT UCSD, WHO WENT HOME SICK AND  
14 COULD NOT BE HERE HIMSELF. HE SENDS HIS DEEP  
15 APOLOGIES BECAUSE THIS TOPIC IS OF ESSENTIAL  
16 IMPORTANCE TO ALL OF US.

17 THE FIRST THREE YEARS OF THE UCSD CIRM  
18 STEM CELL SCIENCE, BIOMEDICINE, AND ETHICS HAVE BEEN  
19 AN UNQUALIFIED SUCCESS. WE HAVE SUPPORTED 29  
20 TRAINEES, CONTRIBUTED TO THE SCIENTIFIC ADVANCEMENT  
21 OF THE STATE, AND BUILT THE FOUNDATION FOR FUTURE  
22 EXCELLENCE IN BIOLOGY, MEDICINE, AND ATTAINMENT OF  
23 CURES FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND FOR THE WORLD.

24 TWO CIRM CLINICAL FELLOW TRAINEES, EDWARD  
25 KAVALERCHIK, M.D., AND KIM-HIEN DAO, DO, PH.D., ARE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 INVOLVED IN THE TARGEEN JAK2 INHIBITOR CLINICAL  
2 TRIAL.

3 OUR CIRM TRAINEES ARE PUBLISHING HIGH  
4 IMPACT PAPERS, GETTING THE EXCELLENT JOBS, AND  
5 BECOMING FACULTY MEMBERS. TEN PAPERS HAVE RESULTED  
6 FROM THIS RESEARCH IN THE LAST TWO YEARS, ESSENTIAL  
7 CONTRIBUTIONS IN BASIC STEM CELL SCIENCE AND  
8 CLINICAL APPLICATIONS IN CANCER AND IN DIABETES  
9 RESEARCH. PAPERS HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED IN TOP  
10 JOURNALS, SUCH AS *STEM CELLS*, *NATURE*, *NATURE*  
11 *BIOTECH*, *CELL STEM CELL*, *PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL*  
12 *ACADEMY OF SCIENCES*, *EXPERIMENTAL DIABETES RESEARCH*,  
13 *CANCER CELL*, AND THE *JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY*.

14 LOUISE C. LAURENT, M.D., PH.D.,  
15 CO-AUTHORED PAPERS IN *NATURE* AND IN *STEM CELLS* AND  
16 IS CURRENTLY FUNDED ON A K12 CLINICAL RESEARCH  
17 AWARD. SHE WAS PROMOTED TO ADJUNCT ASSISTANT  
18 PROFESSOR IN REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AT UCSD. SHE  
19 MAINTAINS INDEPENDENT RESEARCH AND CLINICAL  
20 RESPONSIBILITIES AND SERVES IN JEAN LORING'S LAB AT  
21 SCRIPPS RESEARCH INSTITUTE.

22 AND EDWARD KAVALERCHIK, M.D., CO-AUTHORED  
23 A REVIEW IN *JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY* AND A PAPER  
24 IN *STEM CELL*.

25 KIM-HIEN DAO, D.O., PH.D. CO-AUTHORED TWO

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 PAPERS, ONE IN *CANCER CELL*, AND SHE'S BEEN OFFERED A  
2 FACULTY POSITION AT OREGON HEALTH SCIENCES  
3 UNIVERSITY.

4 BRIAN OH, PH.D., IS THE FIRST AUTHOR ON A  
5 *PNAS* PAPER ON NANOTUBE SURFACES IN GUIDED  
6 DIFFERENTIATION.

7 JUSTIN VOOG, A PREDOCTORAL FELLOW,  
8 CO-AUTHORED A PAPER IN *NATURE* ON GERM LINE STEM CELL  
9 NICHES. AFTER HE RECEIVES HIS PH.D. DEGREE THIS  
10 MONTH, JUSTIN WILL COMPLETE HIS M.D., CREATING HIS  
11 CAREER AS A PHYSICIAN/SCIENTIST IN REGENERATIVE  
12 MEDICINE.

13 TWO OTHER CLINICAL FELLOWS, CARLA  
14 DEMETERCO, M.D., AND PAULINA ORDINEZ, M.D., WILL  
15 JOIN THE UCSD FACULTY AFTER THEIR CIRM TRAINEESHIPS  
16 AS PHYSICIANS WHO WILL BRING THEIR RESEARCH ON STEM  
17 CELLS TO THEIR PRACTICE AS PHYSICIANS AND TO THEIR  
18 ROLES AS TEACHERS OF FUTURE DOCTORS AND RESEARCHERS.

19 OF FAR GREATER IMPACT IS THE CONTEXT IN  
20 WHICH THESE INDIVIDUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS OCCUR. THE  
21 CIRM RESEARCH AND TRAINING GRANT HAS NOT ONLY  
22 SUPPORTED INDEPENDENT RESEARCH IN LABORATORIES THAT  
23 FOCUSED ON STEM CELL RESEARCH AND THERAPEUTICS, BUT  
24 HAS ALSO LED TOP LABS INTO NEW DIRECTIONS BY  
25 OFFERING OPPORTUNITIES TO INNOVATIVE RESEARCHERS

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 WHOSE UNIQUE INTEREST IN STEM CELLS COMPLEMENTS  
2 EXISTING STUDIES.

3 ON BEHALF OF UC SAN DIEGO, OUR TRAINEES,  
4 OUR STUDENTS, PHYSICIANS, TECHNICIANS, PATIENTS,  
5 FAMILIES, AND COMMUNITY MEMBERS, WE STRONGLY URGE  
6 YOU TO FUND THESE TRAINING GRANTS THAT HAVE SUCH  
7 FAR-REACHING EFFECTS ON THE FUTURE OF REGENERATIVE  
8 MEDICINE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

9 I ALSO NEED TO SAY I'M JENNIFER BRASWELL,  
10 PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE UC SAN DIEGO STEM CELL  
11 PROGRAM. THANK YOU.

12 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,  
13 JENNIFER, AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORK AND DR.  
14 CATRIONA JAMIESON'S WORK. ARE THERE OTHER MEMBERS  
15 OF THE PUBLIC REPRESENTING PROGRAMS WHO WOULD LIKE  
16 TO SPEAK? YES.

17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'M A STEM CELL  
18 SCIENTIST JUST REPRESENTING MYSELF. I HAVE SOME  
19 QUESTION HERE. ALTHOUGH THE TRAINING GRANT IS  
20 EXTREMELY IMPORTANT, I MEAN, FOR STEM CELL -- FOR  
21 THIS FIELD, BUT NOBODY HAS EVER REALLY SPECIFIED  
22 WHAT KIND OF AREA THEY GOING TO TRAIN. FOR I  
23 UNDERSTANDING, PROPOSITION 71 IS FOR HUMAN EMBRYONIC  
24 STEM CELLS. THERE ARE MANY TYPE OF STEM CELLS. YOU  
25 CAN EVEN START STEM CELL IN FLIES, IN MICE, BUT A

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 REALLY CRITICAL AREA INTERESTING FOR US IS HUMAN  
2 EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS. THAT'S THE ONE WHICH IS  
3 REALLY CONTROVERSIAL, DON'T HAVE FUNDING, AND CAN  
4 REALLY GO TO PATIENTS.

5 SO I AM A SCIENTIST, SO I DO UNDERSTAND  
6 MOST OF THE UNIVERSITY OR INSTITUTE DO NOT HAVE THE  
7 EXPERTISE OF PEOPLE REALLY WORK ON HUMAN EMBRYONIC  
8 STEM CELL TO DO THIS TRAINING. SO IF YOU DON'T HAVE  
9 PEOPLE TO TRAIN PEOPLE WHO CAN REALLY WORK ON HUMAN  
10 EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS, A TRAINING PROGRAM IS  
11 CRITICAL; BUT IF YOU DON'T HAVE PEOPLE TO TRAIN  
12 THEM, SOUNDS LIKE YOU GIVE THEM MONEY, IT WILL BE  
13 LIKE FREE FOR THE INSTITUTION.

14 SO CAN THOSE INSTITUTION PROVIDE A LITTLE  
15 BIT MORE DETAIL ABOUT THE TRAINING PROGRAM? HOW IS  
16 IT GOING TO TRAIN THOSE PEOPLE? WHERE THEY GET THE  
17 EXPERTISE TO TRAIN THEM? THAT'S WHAT I WOULD LIKE  
18 TO KNOW.

19 SECOND QUESTION IS ALSO WE UNDERSTAND CIRM  
20 IS A STEM CELL AGENCY FOR THE STATE TO DISTRIBUTE  
21 PROPOSITION 71 MONEY FOR DOING RESEARCH ON HUMAN  
22 EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS, BUT THAT'S NOT THE CASE  
23 ACCORDING TO THE DIRECTOR OF THE GRANT. MOST OF THE  
24 GRANT, YOU CAN FIND IT ON CIRM WEBSITE, IS NOT ON  
25 HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS. A LOT OF GRANT ACTUALLY

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 WENT TO MICE OR FLY STEM CELLS. WHY IS THAT CASE?  
2 DO YOU REALLY HAVE A FOCUS ON THIS PARTICULAR TYPE  
3 OF STEM CELLS?

4 FOR THAT REASON I THINK THERE ARE A FEW  
5 GAPS. ONE THING IS IN ORDER TO TRAIN THESE PEOPLE,  
6 WE DO NEED TO HAVE PEOPLE TO TRAIN THEM. SO THE GAP  
7 NO. 1 IS WHERE WE SHOULD GET THE UNIVERSITY OR  
8 INSTITUTE TO GET BASIC EXPERTISE FOR PEOPLE WORKING  
9 ON HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS TO TRAIN THE NEXT  
10 GENERATION OF THE STUDENTS.

11 FOR CIRM, TRAINING GRANT IS CRITICAL, BUT  
12 ALSO THERE ARE OTHER AREAS THAT STUDENTS PROVIDE,  
13 KIND OF ESTABLISH A PACKAGE FOR RECRUITING FACULTY  
14 TO WORK ON HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS, TO PROVIDE  
15 LIKE A RESEARCH (UNINTELLIGIBLE) SO THEY CAN  
16 CONTINUE THEIR RESEARCH.

17 FROM MY OWN EXPERIENCE, I HAVE BEEN  
18 WORKING ON HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS SINCE 2003.  
19 WE HAVE DONE -- I THINK MY DISCOVERY IS VERY  
20 INTERESTING. WHAT WE HAVE DONE IS WE ACTUALLY HAVE  
21 A CONDITION TO DERIVE NEW HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELL  
22 LINES UNDER (UNINTELLIGIBLE) CONDITION AND WE CAN  
23 TURN THE CELLS INTO NEURON EXCLUSIVELY VERY  
24 EFFICIENTLY OR INTO CARDIOMYOCYTE.

25 I HAVE FUNDING FOR FIVE YEARS, SUPPOSED TO

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 GUARANTEE I GO ON TO CONTINUE DOING RESEARCH ON THIS  
2 FIELD, WHICH I COULD NOT CONTINUE IN UCSD BECAUSE I  
3 COULD NOT GET A SPACE. THAT WAS -- I HAVE LETTER  
4 FROM THE CHAIR SAY THEY COULD NOT PROVIDE A SPACE.

5 THE AREA FOR -- ONE SENATOR SAY THAT WE  
6 NEED TO TRAIN PEOPLE TO WORK ON THIS FIELD OR AREA,  
7 AND I DO NOT UNDERSTAND WHY THEY COULD NOT FOR  
8 PEOPLE RESEARCH, CONTINUE DOING THIS RESEARCH.

9 ALSO I DO UNDERSTAND IS SINCE THIS IS A  
10 REALLY CRITICAL AREA, I MEAN, JUST SUGGESTIONS,  
11 MIGHT BE GOOD FOR, LIKE, THERE'S A MAIN DEPARTMENT.  
12 THIS IS A NEW AREA BECOME CRITICAL INTERESTING.  
13 MIGHT BE GOOD TO START SOME STEM CELL DEPARTMENT. A  
14 LOT OF AREA FOR PUBLIC --

15 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO I THINK --

16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: JUST ONE MORE  
17 MINUTES. I THINK FOR PUBLIC IS MORE INTERESTING IN  
18 HOW TO BRING THE RESEARCH DISCOVERY ON HUMAN  
19 EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS TO THERAPY. SO IN TERM OF THE  
20 TRANSLATED DISCOVERIES, I FEEL THE BUSINESS ENTITY  
21 MAY BE MORE APPROPRIATE TO DO IT. SO I REALLY DON'T  
22 UNDERSTAND WHY CIRM HAS NOT PROVIDED ANY SUPPORT  
23 GRANT FOR THAT AREA. YOU OUGHT TO BE MORE EASY  
24 TO --

25 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. I THINK WE



**BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE**

1 UNDERSTAND THOSE QUESTIONS, AND I THINK DR. CSETE --

2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY

3 MUCH.

4 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: -- IS GOING TO ADDRESS

5 THE QUESTIONS.

6 DR. CSETE: I'M HAPPY TO STEP OUTSIDE AND

7 TAKE THESE OFF LINE BECAUSE THEY ARE REALLY OFF

8 POINT TO THE TRAINING PROGRAMS. NONETHELESS, I

9 THINK IN THERE THERE WAS SUPPORT FOR THE TRAINING

10 PROGRAMS.

11 I JUST WANT TO REMIND THE SPEAKER THAT

12 WE'RE THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF REGENERATIVE

13 MEDICINE, NOT THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF HUMAN

14 EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS, AND OUR PROGRAMS ARE REALLY

15 DESIGNED TO GET REGENERATIVE MEDICINE MOVED FORWARD.

16 I'LL BE HAPPY TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT YOUR OTHER ISSUES

17 OUTSIDE.

18 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: MAYBE GILL COULD JUST

19 ADDRESS, FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE PUBLIC RECORD, AND

20 THIS IS A BROADCAST PROGRAM, FUNCTIONALLY THE TYPE

21 OF TRAINING, WHO IS PROVIDING THE TRAINING SO THAT

22 THE PUBLIC TRANSCRIPT REALLY ANSWERS THAT BROAD

23 QUESTION.

24 DR. SAMBRANO: RIGHT. SO THE TRAINING IS

25 HANDS-ON RESEARCH EXPERIENCE. THIS OCCURS UNDER THE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MENTORSHIP OF FACULTY WHO ARE EXPERTS IN STEM CELL  
2 BIOLOGY BROADLY DEFINED TO INCLUDE ADULT THROUGH  
3 HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELL WORK. IT ALSO INCLUDES AS  
4 PART OF THE PROGRAM COURSEWORK THAT TEACHES THE  
5 TRAINEES NOT ONLY ABOUT STEM CELL BIOLOGY AS A  
6 WHOLE, BUT ALSO PROVIDES A COURSE FOR ETHICS, SOCIAL  
7 AWARENESS OF STEM CELL BIOLOGY AND ITS EFFECTS. SO  
8 IT'S A BROAD PROGRAM LED BY KEY FACULTY IN STEM CELL  
9 BIOLOGY ACROSS CALIFORNIA.

10 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT, DR. SAMBRANO.  
11 ARE THERE ANY OTHER MEMBERS HERE REPRESENTING  
12 INSTITUTIONS THAT HAVE DIRECT EXPERIENCE WITH THE  
13 PROGRAMS WE'RE CONSIDERING? THANK YOU. AND I WILL  
14 CALL AT THE END OF THIS FOR GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT.

15 BUT, ART, DID YOU HAVE A MOTION YOU WANTED  
16 TO MAKE WHILE WE PUT CONSIDERATION OF THIS FUNDING  
17 FOR JULY 1ST ON THE TABLE?

18 MR. TORRES: YES. FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO  
19 THANK THE GOVERNOR AND THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND  
20 OUR STATE TREASURER, BILL LOCKYEAR, FOR HELPING THE  
21 CHAIR AND THIS INSTITUTE TO GET THOSE PUBLIC FUNDS  
22 THAT WE NEED SO BADLY BECAUSE IT'S BEEN A VERY  
23 BIPARTISAN APPROACH IN SACRAMENTO WITH GOVERNOR  
24 SCHWARZENEGGER AND TREASURER LOCKYEAR IN WORKING  
25 WITH US.

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 DOES THIS INCLUDE THE BRIDGES PROGRAM AS  
2 WELL?

3 DR. SAMBRANO: THIS DOES NOT INCLUDE THE  
4 BRIDGES PROGRAM.

5 MR. TORRES: THIS IS JUST THE TRAINING  
6 PROGRAM. I MOVE THAT WE ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATION OF  
7 CIRM TO FUND THESE TRAINING PROGRAMS. AND I CANNOT  
8 OVEREMPHASIZE HOW IMPORTANT THESE PROGRAMS ARE. AND  
9 ONCE WE APPROVE THESE PROGRAMS, WE WILL SEND A  
10 LETTER TO EACH OF THE LEGISLATORS WHERE THESE  
11 SPECIFIC TRAINEES WILL RESIDE IN THEIR DISTRICTS SO  
12 THAT THEY KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON FROM THIS PERSPECTIVE  
13 BECAUSE THIS IS THE NEXT GENERATION. AND I'M VERY  
14 PROUD OF THE FACT TO BE PART OF IT.

15 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AS I'M UNDERSTANDING YOUR  
16 INTENT, MR. TORRES, YOUR INTENT IS TO HAVE US MAKE  
17 THAT FUNDING NOW CURRENT AS OF JULY 1ST --

18 MR. TORRES: CORRECT.

19 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: -- TO REPLACE THE PRIOR  
20 PROGRAM OF DEFERRAL.

21 MR. TORRES: CORRECT.

22 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IS THERE A SECOND TO THAT  
23 MOTION?

24 DR. LOVE: SECOND.

25 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SECOND FOR DR. LOVE. AND

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 I'D LIKE TO WELCOME OUR ESTEEMED MEMBER JOAN  
2 SAMUELSON, WHO FOR THIS PARTICULAR MOTION MAKES OUR  
3 QUORUM. AND WE WILL EXPLAIN OUR QUORUM AND OUR  
4 VOTES OF WHO'S QUALIFIED AT THIS TIME BEFORE WE HAVE  
5 PUBLIC DISCUSSION OF THE BOARD MEMBERS. SO, MR.  
6 HARRISON, COULD YOU INDICATE WHICH MEMBERS OF THE  
7 BOARD CAN PARTICIPATE IN THIS DISCUSSION GIVEN THAT  
8 A NUMBER OF THEM ARE FROM INSTITUTIONS WHICH HAVE  
9 PROGRAMS.

10 MR. HARRISON: THIS IS A RATHER UNUSUAL  
11 SITUATION BECAUSE THIS MOTION APPLIES TO GRANTS THAT  
12 THE BOARD HAS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED, BUT AS TO WHICH  
13 THE BOARD DECIDED TO DEFER FUNDING. IN OTHER WORDS,  
14 THOSE MEMBERS WHO HAVE AN INTEREST IN AN INSTITUTION  
15 THAT HAS BEEN APPROVED FOR FUNDING CANNOT  
16 PARTICIPATE IN THIS VOTE.

17 DR. PRICE: CAN WE SPEAK AGAINST IT?

18 MR. HARRISON: YOU MAY NOT. THE MEMBERS  
19 WHO MAY PARTICIPATE IN THIS DISCUSSION AND VOTE ARE  
20 MEMBERS GIBBONS, KLEIN, LOVE, QUINT, ROTH,  
21 SAMUELSON, SERRANO-SEWELL, SHESTACK, AND TORRES.  
22 AND AS THE CHAIR SAID, WITH MEMBER SAMUELSON, WE DO  
23 HAVE A QUORUM ON THIS PARTICULAR MOTION.

24 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YES, THANK YOU. AND SO  
25 JOAN SAMUELSON.

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MS. SAMUELSON: I JUST HAVE A QUESTION.  
2 THERE MAY HAVE ALREADY BEEN DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS.  
3 IS THERE ANY DATA ON TO WHATEVER EXTENT THERE'S  
4 OVERLAP BETWEEN THE PEOPLE WHO WOULD PARTICIPATE IN  
5 A TRAINING GRANT AND THOSE WHO ARE ALREADY OR WILL  
6 BE PARTICIPATING IN RESEARCH, STEM CELL RESEARCH,  
7 THROUGH OTHER CIRM-FUNDED PROGRAMS LIKE BASIC  
8 SCIENCE AND SO ON?

9 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO THERE WAS DIRECT  
10 TESTIMONY FROM CATRIONA JAMIESON THAT WITHIN HER OWN  
11 LAB HER FIRST FUNDING CAME FOR A POST-DOC; IS THAT  
12 CORRECT?

13 DR. SAMBRANO: THEY WERE BOTH CLINICAL  
14 FELLOWS.

15 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: BOTH CLINICAL FELLOWS WHO  
16 PARTICIPATED AS CIRM SCHOLARS, AND THEN SUBSEQUENTLY  
17 SHE GOT A SEED GRANT. SO IT WAS A COMPLEMENTARY  
18 RELATIONSHIP, BUT SHE WAS ABLE TO MOVE THIS FORWARD  
19 INITIALLY WITH THE HELP OF THIS FUNDING. IT WAS  
20 ALSO TESTIMONY THAT A NUMBER OF THESE INDIVIDUALS  
21 WHO WERE CIRM SCHOLARS HAVE NOW MOVED FORWARD. ONE  
22 OF THEM HAS RECENTLY OBTAINED A TRANSLATIONAL GRANT  
23 TO BRING THEIR WORK FORWARD DOWNSTREAM TO TRY AND  
24 GET A CANDIDATE FOR A THERAPY DEVELOPMENT.

25 MS. SAMUELSON: THAT'S GREAT. AND THAT'S

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 REALLY THE UNDERLYING REASON FOR MY QUESTION. I'M  
2 WONDERING WHETHER THE OTHER PROGRAMS THAT WE'RE  
3 FUNDING MIGHT NOT BE PERFORMING THE TRAINING  
4 FUNCTION, AND AT THIS POINT WE REALLY WOULDN'T NEED  
5 AN ADDITIONAL TRAINING GRANT PER SE BECAUSE THE  
6 TRAINING IS GOING ON ROBUSTLY THROUGH THE OTHER  
7 PROGRAMS.

8 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO PART OF THE TESTIMONY  
9 WE'VE HEARD, AND I'LL CALL ON THE STAFF TO AUGMENT  
10 THIS, IS THAT OF THE 179 INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE  
11 PARTICIPATED IN OUR FELLOWSHIP PROGRAMS, IT'S LED TO  
12 221 -- ACTUALLY IT WAS 279 INDIVIDUALS, I THINK, WHO  
13 HAVE PARTICIPATED.

14 DR. SAMBRANO: THAT'S CORRECT. THERE WAS  
15 221 PUBLICATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN GENERATED THROUGH  
16 TRAINEES, AND THESE REPRESENT TWO-THIRDS OF ALL THE  
17 PUBLICATIONS THAT CIRM HAS SUPPORTED. SO THEY  
18 REPRESENT MORE THAN THE LARGE BULK OF PUBLICATIONS.

19 SO THE OTHER ADVANTAGES THAT MANY OF THESE  
20 TRAINEES, THEY ENTER LABORATORIES THAT AREN'T  
21 NECESSARILY CURRENT GRANTEES, NOT NECESSARILY  
22 ALREADY ON SEED, COMPREHENSIVE, AND SUCH, AND THEY  
23 OFFER THE OPPORTUNITY TO THOSE FACULTY AND THOSE  
24 MENTORS TO GENERATE NEW IDEAS THAT MAY LATER COME TO  
25 US AS GRANT APPLICATIONS OR AS FULLY FUNDED GRANTS.

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I THINK, GILL, TOO THE  
2 OTHER PART OF HER QUESTION --

3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (INTERRUPTION).

4 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: EXCUSE ME. YOU'RE NOT --  
5 YOU DON'T HAVE THE STAND HERE. SO THE OTHER PART OF  
6 HER QUESTION WAS THIS IS A UNIQUE FUNCTION IN  
7 SERVING AS THE ENTRY GATEWAY TO RECRUIT MAJOR TALENT  
8 TO THE FIELD, BOTH PHYSICIAN/SCIENTISTS. AND THE  
9 TESTIMONY WAS THAT PHYSICIAN/SCIENTISTS, AS THEY  
10 MOVE DOWNSTREAM, THIS IS ONE OF THE FEW PROGRAMS  
11 WHERE THEY CAN GET ADDITIONAL FUNDING TO ADVANCE  
12 THEIR CAREER TO THE POINT THAT THEY CAN COME IN AS  
13 PI'S. SO IT'S RECRUITING MAJOR TALENT WHICH HAS  
14 BEEN HIGHLY PRODUCTIVE TO THE FIELD.

15 MS. SAMUELSON: SO ARE THOSE THAT WOULD BE  
16 FUNDED BY THIS PROGRAM NOT ELIGIBLE FOR THE OTHERS  
17 OR IN LABS THAT CAN'T COMPETE?

18 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THEY WOULDN'T BE AT A  
19 POINT IN THEIR CAREER THAT THEY WOULD BE PI'S.

20 MS. SAMUELSON: BUT ARE THEY IN LABS WHERE  
21 THERE MAY BE PI'S?

22 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THE PI'S ARE THERE  
23 TRAINING THEM ON RESEARCH WHILE THEY ARE ADVANCING  
24 THEIR CAREERS.

25 MS. SAMUELSON: RIGHT. RIGHT. RIGHT.

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 OKAY.

2 DR. SAMBRANO: IT PROVIDES A MECHANISM FOR  
3 SUCH INDIVIDUALS TO BECOME INDEPENDENT  
4 INVESTIGATORS. AND SO AS A RESULT, YOU'RE  
5 INCREASING THE NUMBER OF SCIENTISTS WHO ARE THEN  
6 CONDUCTING AND LEADING RESEARCH WITHIN CALIFORNIA.

7 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DR. OLSON, DID YOU WANT  
8 TO MAKE A SUPPLEMENTAL COMMENT?

9 DR. OLSON: I WAS JUST GOING TO ELABORATE  
10 THAT THE TRAINING PROGRAM FUNDS A BROADER SCOPE OR A  
11 VERY BROAD SCOPE OF RESEARCH. IN SOME CASES,  
12 PARTICULARLY AS WE MOVE TO SOME OF THESE VERY  
13 TRANSLATIONAL, VERY FOCUSED-TYPE APPLICATIONS, THE  
14 KINDS OF WORK THAT A PREDOCTORAL STUDENT OR A  
15 POSTDOCTORAL STUDENT NEEDS TO BE ABLE TO DO TO  
16 ADVANCE IN THEIR CAREER AS AN INDEPENDENT RESEARCHER  
17 IS THE, YOU KNOW, THE NEW IDEA, THE EXPLORING THE  
18 NEW RESEARCH IDEA. AND THE TRAINING PROGRAM  
19 PROVIDES A VENUE FOR THOSE IDEAS THAT THEN LEAD TO  
20 THE NEW INVENTIONS AND POSSIBLY THE NEW THERAPIES OF  
21 TOMORROW.

22 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU.

23 MR. ROTH: SO I'M GOING TO SUPPORT THAT WE  
24 DO THIS, BUT I HAVE A QUESTION ON THE BUDGET. THIS  
25 IS INCLUDED IN THE FUNDING THAT WE ANTICIPATE



## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 THROUGH THE END OF 2010?

2 DR. ROBSON: THE LAST PROJECTIONS THAT WE  
3 DID, THIS WAS INCLUDED, BUT IT WAS WITH A DELAY,  
4 WASN'T STARTING UNTIL NEXT SPRING. IF WE LOOK AT  
5 THE DIFFERENCE, IT'S A BIT COMPLICATED BECAUSE, IF  
6 YOU REMEMBER, WE ALLOWED SOME INSTITUTIONS TO  
7 SELF-FUND FOR A YEAR. AND IF THEY CHOSE TO DO THAT,  
8 WE WOULD PAY THEM RETROACTIVELY FOR A YEAR. FIVE OF  
9 THE INSTITUTIONS CHOSE TO DO THAT.

10 SO AS FAR AS OUR CASH-FLOW PROJECTIONS,  
11 SAY, TO THE END OF 2010 IS CONCERNED, THEY'RE  
12 STARTING NOW BECAUSE THEY WILL BE PAID FOR THIS YEAR  
13 NEXT YEAR.

14 MR. ROTH: BUT THE ANSWER IS --

15 DR. ROBSON: SO IF WE INCLUDE EVERYTHING  
16 IN HERE NOW, IT WOULD COST US ABOUT AN EXTRA \$9  
17 MILLION BETWEEN NOW AND DECEMBER 31, 2010, IF WE  
18 STARTED NOW AS OPPOSED TO NEXT SPRING.

19 MR. ROTH: AND THOSE FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE?

20 DR. ROBSON: YES.

21 MR. ROTH: THAT'S THE POINT. TO ME THIS  
22 IS ONE THAT WE DELAYED WHEN WE WERE IN THE MIDDLE OF  
23 NEVER NEVERLAND. AND I THINK WE'VE COME THROUGH  
24 THAT NOW. WE HAVE THE MONEY. IT'S A COMMITMENT  
25 THAT WE MADE. I THINK THERE'S VERY GOOD ARGUMENTS

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 THAT THIS PROGRAM HAS BEEN HIGHLY SUCCESSFUL, SO I  
2 THINK WE SHOULD MOVE AHEAD AND GET THESE GUYS  
3 FUNDED.

4 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ADDITIONAL BOARD COMMENT?  
5 IS THERE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT? ANYONE WHO HAS  
6 NOT SPOKEN AT THIS TIME? OKAY.

7 I'M GOING TO CALL THE QUESTION. WE WILL  
8 HAVE A ROLL CALL HERE TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE A GOOD  
9 PUBLIC RECORD THAT ONLY THOSE WHO DID NOT HAVE ANY  
10 CONFLICT VOTED ON THIS ITEM.

11 MS. KING: I WILL CALL ONLY THOSE MEMBERS  
12 WHO CAN VOTE ON THIS ITEM.

13 LEEZA GIBBONS.

14 MS. GIBBONS: YES.

15 MS. KING: BOB KLEIN.

16 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YES.

17 MS. KING: TED LOVE.

18 DR. LOVE: YES.

19 MS. KING: DUANE ROTH.

20 MR. ROTH: YES.

21 MS. KING: JOAN SAMUELSON.

22 MS. SAMUELSON: YES.

23 MS. KING: AND ART TORRES.

24 MR. TORRES: AYE.

25 MR. HARRISON: MOTION CARRIES.

**BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

(APPLAUSE.)

DR. TROUNSON: CHAIR, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I THINK WHEN WE SAT DOWN JUST RECENTLY WITH THE PRIMARY LEADERS IN CALIFORNIA IN THE STEM CELL PROGRAMS, THEY VERY MUCH HOPED THAT THIS WOULD HAPPEN. AND BECAUSE THEY MET, THEY REALLY DID SEE IT AS THE MORTAR FOR THE WORK THAT THEY'RE DOING, THE MORTAR THAT HOLDS IT ALTOGETHER. SO I THINK YOU HAVE DONE THEM A TREMENDOUS FAVOR. AND FOR ALL OF THEM THAT ARE NOT HERE, I THINK WE WANT TO THANK YOU FOR MAKING THAT DECISION.

CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. AND BEFORE WE START THIS NEXT ITEM, MELISSA KING, IF YOU COULD INDICATE WHAT IS OUR DINNER SCHEDULE TONIGHT? JENNA PRYNE, WHAT IS OUR DINNER SCHEDULE TONIGHT?

MS. PRYNE: I ONLY NEED TO GIVE THE KITCHEN TEN MINUTES.

CHAIRMAN KLEIN: COULD YOU GIVE THEM AN ALERT ON THE QUICK GOURMET, PLEASE?

AND I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THAT THE SCIENCE TEAM, DR. TROUNSON, BRING US UP TO SPEED ON ITEM NO. 9.

DR. TROUNSON: ROSA IS GOING TO PROVIDE THIS FOR YOU, CHAIR, IF SHE MAY.

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 DR. CANET-AVILES: MR. CHAIRMAN, BOARD  
2 MEMBERS, STAFF, AND MEMBERS OF THE AUDIENCE AND  
3 GUESTS, I WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT TO YOU FOR YOUR  
4 CONSIDERATION THE EARLY TRANSLATIONAL AWARD  
5 APPLICATIONS THAT WERE PLACED IN TIER 2 IN THE LAST  
6 ICOC MEETING. THIS IS AGENDA ITEM NO. 9 IN YOUR  
7 BINDERS.

8 JUST TO REMIND YOU, THE PURPOSE OF THE  
9 EARLY TRANSLATIONAL AWARDS IS TO PROVIDE FUNDING TO  
10 ENSURE THAT PROMISING DISCOVERIES IN STEM CELL  
11 RESEARCH CAN BE TRANSLATED INTO POTENTIAL STEM CELL  
12 BASED-CURES, THERAPIES, AND DIAGNOSTICS FOR THE  
13 BENEFIT OF PATIENTS.

14 SPECIFICALLY, THIS AWARD WAS DESIGNED TO  
15 SUPPORT TWO CATEGORIES OF RESEARCH. THE FIRST KEY  
16 OBJECTIVE WAS TO ADDRESS THE RESEARCH THAT RESULTS  
17 IN A DEVELOPMENT CANDIDATE THAT MEETS AN UNMET  
18 MEDICAL NEED. AND THE SECOND OBJECTIVE WAS TO FIND  
19 SOLUTIONS TO BOTTLENECKS TO EFFECTIVE TRANSLATION OF  
20 CELL THERAPIES THAT COULD ALLOW THE MORE RAPID  
21 ADVANCEMENT OF DISCOVERIES IN STEM CELL BIOLOGY TO  
22 THE IDENTIFICATION OF BETTER DEVELOPMENT CANDIDATES  
23 FOR CLINICAL TESTING.

24 NOW, IN THE LAST ICOC MEETING YOU APPROVED  
25 THE APPLICATIONS THAT WERE PLACED IN TIER 1 BY THE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 GRANTS WORKING GROUP. THE INITIAL TARGET, AS YOU  
2 CAN SEE, THE INITIAL TARGET NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS  
3 AND BUDGET APPROVED BY YOU INITIALLY WAS A TOTAL OF  
4 \$60 MILLION IN TOTAL COST FOR TEN GRANTS WITH NO  
5 MORE THAN SIX MILLION TOTAL PER GRANT.

6 AT THE APRIL ICOC MEETING, 15 APPLICATIONS  
7 WERE APPROVED FOR FUNDING WITH A TOTAL OF \$67.7  
8 MILLION, A NUMBER THAT WAS SUPERIOR TO THE \$60  
9 MILLION INITIALLY TARGETED.

10 THE APPLICATIONS THAT WERE PLACED IN TIER  
11 2 CATEGORY ARE RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING IF FUNDS ARE  
12 AVAILABLE. THESE ARE FOR CONSIDERATION IN THIS  
13 MEETING TODAY. THERE ARE A TOTAL OF 12 APPLICATIONS  
14 AND WE'LL NEED AN ADDITIONAL BUDGET OF ABOUT \$58  
15 MILLION.

16 BEFORE THE NEXT CONSIDERATIONS, CIRM STAFF  
17 WOULD LIKE TO REMIND YOU THAT ANOTHER RFA FOR EARLY  
18 TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH, AS DR. TROUNSON PRESENTED  
19 EARLY THIS AFTERNOON, IS PLANNED TO BE BROUGHT TO  
20 YOU FOR CONCEPT APPROVAL AT THE END OF THIS YEAR.  
21 THIS WILL BE GIVING THE APPLICANTS IN TIER 2 ANOTHER  
22 CHANCE TO APPLY AGAIN FOR THIS TYPE OF AWARD.

23 JUST AS ANOTHER SIDE REMINDER, THE  
24 APPLICATIONS FOCUSED RESEARCH LEADING TO A  
25 DEVELOPMENT CANDIDATE WERE A PRIORITY FOR THIS

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 AWARD, AND BOTH CATEGORIES, BOTTLENECKS AND  
2 DEVELOPMENT CANDIDATES, ARE EQUALLY REPRESENTED IN  
3 BOTH TIERS 1 AND 2.

4 SO, MR. CHAIRMAN, WITH THIS SLIDE, I'M  
5 ENDING MY PRESENTATION. IF YOU WISH, I WILL PROJECT  
6 THE RANKING TIER 2 GRANTS ON THE SCREEN FOR YOUR  
7 DISCUSSION.

8 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IF YOU WILL PLEASE  
9 PROCEED WITH THAT. ALL RIGHT. AND CAN WE ALSO  
10 REFRESH THE RECOLLECTION OF THE BOARD? THERE WAS A  
11 DISCUSSION ON THIS TIER OF A PARTICULAR APPLICATION  
12 WHERE THERE HAD BEEN A SUBSTANTIAL SPLIT IN THE  
13 VOTES. NORMALLY THAT COULD HAVE LED TO A MINORITY  
14 REPORT; BUT, IN FACT, THE LEAD REVIEWER LEFT BEFORE  
15 MINORITY REPORTS WERE TALLIED. AND COULD YOU REMIND  
16 US OF THE GRANT AND DESCRIBE FOR US THE CHARACTER OF  
17 THAT GRANT AND THE ARGUMENTS THAT ARE MADE FOR AND  
18 AGAINST THAT PARTICULAR APPLICATION?

19 DR. CSETE: YOU MIGHT RECALL THAT DURING  
20 THE LAST -- IT IS 1232. DURING THE LAST ICOC  
21 MEETING, I DID ADVOCATE FOR THIS GRANT BECAUSE IT  
22 WAS UNIQUE IN THE PORTFOLIO OVER TIME OVER ALL  
23 GRANTS THAT WE RECEIVED. IT'S FROM AN AGENCY THAT  
24 DEVELOPS MOUSE MODELS AND SUPPLIES MICE TO  
25 INVESTIGATORS, ONE OF THE LARGEST AND BEST AGENCIES

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 IN THE WORLD.

2 AND THE PROPOSAL BASICALLY WAS TO CROSS  
3 WELL-CHARACTERIZED MODELS OF MOUSE DISEASE WITH  
4 IMMUNOSUPRESSED MICE TO FACILITATE ANALYSIS OF HUMAN  
5 CELL TRANSPLANTS INTO THESE MOUSE MODELS OF DISEASE.  
6 THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE ENTHUSIASM FROM THE GRANTS  
7 WORKING GROUP ABOUT THIS. AND THE MAJOR NEGATIVE  
8 THAT CAUSED SOME PEOPLE TO SCORE VERY LOW WAS THAT  
9 THEY DOUBTED THAT THERE WAS A MARKET AMONG OUR  
10 GRANTEES FOR THESE PARTICULAR MOUSE MODELS. AND  
11 THEY WERE JUST CONCERNED THAT IT WASN'T WORTH THE  
12 INVESTMENT BECAUSE THE MARKET WASN'T THERE.

13 I'M GOING TO STEP AWAY A LITTLE BIT FROM  
14 WHAT WE USUALLY DO. AND I SHOULD SAY THAT SINCE THE  
15 LAST WORKING GROUP MEETING, SPECIFICALLY WHEN WE  
16 WERE AT THE ISCT MEETINGS AND A COUPLE OF OTHER  
17 MEETINGS I'VE ATTENDED, I HEARD INVESTIGATORS  
18 BEMOANING THE FACT THAT THESE MODELS ARE VERY  
19 DIFFICULT TO MAKE IN THEIR OWN HANDS AND THAT  
20 AVAILABILITY OF THEM, THE LACK OF AVAILABILITY OF  
21 THEM HAS SLOWED RESEARCH. SO I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOUR  
22 CONSIDERATION OF THIS REALLY UNIQUE APPLICATION.

23 DR. TROUNSON: CHAIR, JUST TO IN TERMS OF  
24 THE SPLIT VOTE, THERE WAS CLEARLY ONE THAT WAS --  
25 THE PRIMARY REVIEW WAS AT 80 AND THE OTHER TWO

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 SUPPLEMENTARY REVIEWERS WHO GAVE THE AWARDS, THE  
2 MARKS WERE DOWN AT 50, TWO AT 50, ONE AT 80. AND IT  
3 SEEMED TO ME THAT, FROM THE REVIEWERS' CONCERNS,  
4 THOSE THAT HAD THE 50 MARKS -- AND I JUST WANT TO  
5 MAKE SURE THAT YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT THEIR CONCERNS  
6 WERE -- THEY SAID THAT THE IMMUNE COMPROMISED MICE,  
7 THEY WERE GOING TO MAKE IMMUNE COMPROMISED MICE SO  
8 THAT YOU COULD DO THE TRANSPLANTS OF THE HUMAN  
9 CELLS. THOSE IMMUNE COMPROMISED MICE WERE NOT A  
10 GOOD MODEL FOR HUMAN CONDITION WHERE YOU HAVE AN  
11 INTACT IMMUNE SYSTEM, AND THAT THEY WOULDN'T BE  
12 RECOGNIZED AS BEING SUITABLE AS AN APPROPRIATE  
13 MODEL, PARTICULARLY WHERE MANY OF THE CONDITIONS  
14 THAT THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT REQUIRED AN IMMUNE  
15 SYSTEM TO BE PRESENT. SO THAT'S WHAT DREW THEIR  
16 NUMBERS DOWN TO THE 50, AT LEAST IN THEIR WRITTEN  
17 RESPECT.

18 NOW, AS MARIE SAID, THERE'S A NEED, I  
19 THINK, FOR THESE ANIMALS. AND IT SORT OF PRECEDES  
20 IN A WAY THE NEED FOR OTHER APPROPRIATE MODELS FOR  
21 TESTING FOR PRECLINICAL STUDIES THAT YOU HAVE AN  
22 APPROPRIATE MODEL WHERE YOU CAN ACTUALLY LOOK IN AN  
23 IMMUNE COMPROMISED ANIMAL EVEN THOUGH YOU MAY HAVE  
24 TO TEST IN AN IMMUNE INTACT ANIMAL LATER ON TO SEE  
25 IF IT'S APPROPRIATE TO BRING IT TO THE CLINIC.



## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 SO I HOPE YOU MIGHT JUST UNDERSTAND THEIR  
2 CONCERNS OF THOSE TWO REVIEWERS. JUST THAT'S WHY  
3 THEY WENT DOWN TO THEIR LOW 50S. I WASN'T HERE FOR  
4 THE DISCUSSION, BUT THAT'S WHAT THEY WROTE.

5 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO MY UNDERSTANDING IS,  
6 IN FACT, THE PEER REVIEW GROUP SPLIT. AND SO THERE  
7 WERE -- I WAS THAT THE SESSION. THERE WERE A GROUP  
8 OF SCORES CLEARLY IN THE FUNDING RANGE AND A GROUP  
9 THAT WERE NOT IN THE FUNDING RANGE BECAUSE OF  
10 DIFFERENT PHILOSOPHY ABOUT THE UTILITY OF THIS  
11 MODEL. BUT WE ALSO HEARD TESTIMONY IN THE PRIOR  
12 SESSION FROM DR. FRIEDMAN AND COMMENTS FROM DR.  
13 PENHOET THAT, IN FACT, THERE WERE SOME SIGNIFICANT  
14 VALUE AND LEAD-TIME OF HAVING THESE MODELS AVAILABLE  
15 TO ADVANCE SCIENTIFIC STUDIES.

16 JEFF SHEEHY, AS VICE CHAIR OF THE GRANTS  
17 WORKING GROUP, WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE SPECIFIC  
18 COMMENT?

19 MR. SHEEHY: WELL, IN TERMS OF THE UTILITY  
20 OF IMMUNOCOMPROMISED MICE, I JUST WOULD LIKE TO NOTE  
21 THAT THE ONE WHO GAVE IT THE HIGH SCORE WAS ONE OF  
22 THE -- IS ONE OF THE LEADING IMMUNOLOGISTS. SO FROM  
23 WHAT I KNOW OF THIS INDIVIDUAL'S WORK IS ONE OF THE  
24 LEADING LIGHTS ON TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO PUT  
25 HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS INTO PEOPLE AND GETS

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 CITED ALMOST EVERY TIME I TURN AROUND.

2 SO SHE -- I DON'T WANT TO PROVIDE TOO MUCH  
3 INFORMATION, BUT THIS PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL WAS  
4 EXTREMELY ENTHUSIASTIC, AND THAT THERE WERE OTHER  
5 MEMBERS OF THE WORKING GROUP WHO WERE EXTREMELY  
6 ENTHUSIASTIC ABOUT THIS GRANT AS WELL. I DO THINK  
7 ON ONE HAND -- TO SOME DEGREE, THIS DID BOIL DOWN TO  
8 UTILITY. IF PEOPLE THOUGHT THAT THEY THEMSELVES  
9 WOULD USE IT OR PEOPLE IN THEIR LABS WOULD USE  
10 SOMETHING LIKE THIS, THEN THEY WERE EXTREMELY  
11 ENTHUSIASTIC.

12 I DO REMEMBER ONE COMMENT FROM ONE  
13 REVIEWER WHO WAS -- OR ONE MEMBER OF THE WORKING  
14 GROUP WHO WAS NOT SO ENTHUSIASTIC SAID, "I MAKE ALL  
15 MY OWN MICE." BUT I THINK -- AND I'M NOT GOING TO  
16 USE SOMEBODY ELSE'S. AND I'M NOT A COMPLIANCE  
17 PERSON, BUT HAVING STANDARDIZED ANIMAL MODELS SEEM  
18 TO BE VERY USEFUL FOR GETTING REPRODUCIBLE RESULTS  
19 TO GET INTO THE CLINIC TO GET PEOPLE TO SUPPORT --  
20 TO GET FDA SUPPORT FOR YOUR FINDINGS.

21 SO I DO THINK THAT THIS IS PROBABLY ONE OF  
22 THE STARKEST BREAKS THAT I'VE SEEN, AND I'VE BEEN AT  
23 EVERY REVIEW SESSION, WHERE THERE WAS SUCH A  
24 CLEAR-CUT DIFFERENCE OF OPINION. I DO THINK, AS DR.  
25 CSETE HAS SAID, IF THIS IS SOMETHING THAT THE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 COMMUNITY WILL USE, THEN WE CAN PLAY AN INVALUABLE  
2 ROLE, ESPECIALLY IN THIS TRANSLATIONAL SPACE, BY  
3 SUPPORTING THIS APPLICATION. WE CAN BE A RESOURCE  
4 FOR THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY.

5 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I'M GOING TO CALL ON DR.  
6 CSETE FOLLOWED BY DR. LOVE AND DR. AZZIZ. AND,  
7 DUANE, DID YOU HAVE A COMMENT AS WELL?

8 DR. CSETE: JUST QUICKLY, I DIDN'T WANT TO  
9 UNDERESTIMATE WHAT THEY WERE TRYING TO PROVIDE. IN  
10 ADDITION TO JUST BREEDING THE ANIMALS, THEY WERE  
11 REALLY CHARACTERIZING THE MODELS, SO BEHAVIORAL  
12 ASSAYS IN THE NEUROLOGIC DISEASE MODELS  
13 QUANTITATIVELY, SO THAT THAT WOULD BE PART OF THE  
14 HAND-OFF TO THE INVESTIGATORS.

15 SO YOU CAN IMAGINE MANY PEOPLE COMING INTO  
16 THE FIELD WHO ARE NOT NEUROBIOLOGISTS WHO WOULD LIKE  
17 THAT FOR THE DIABETIC ANIMALS, CHARACTERIZING THE  
18 BLOOD WORK AND HANDING THAT OFF AS A BACKGROUND AS  
19 WELL.

20 FINALLY, I THINK THIS IS AN AGENCY, THE  
21 APPLICANT AGENCY IS ONE THAT WOULD FLEXIBLY MEET THE  
22 NEEDS OF OUR GRANTEES IF A MODEL THAT THEY DON'T  
23 HAPPEN TO NAME IN THE GRANT WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS  
24 REQUIRED BY THE INVESTIGATORS.

25 DR. LOVE: I'VE GOT TWO QUESTIONS. AND,

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 MARIE, YOU MAY BE THE BEST ONE TO ANSWER BOTH OF  
2 THEM. THE FIRST QUESTION RELATED TO, I BELIEVE, A  
3 DISCUSSION THAT WE HAD AT THE LAST MEETING ABOUT A  
4 NUMBER OF THE GRANTS THAT WE FUNDED MIGHT LIKELY BE  
5 PARED DOWN IN TERMS OF THE FUNDING LEVEL. SO I WAS  
6 CURIOUS TO UNDERSTAND IF WE HAD MADE ANY PROGRESS  
7 THERE, AND THAT KIND OF RELATES TO THE OVERALL ISSUE  
8 OF HOW MUCH MONEY WE HAVE.

9 DR. CSETE: PAT OLSON HAS BEEN LEADING THE  
10 CHARGE HERE. WE'VE BEEN QUERYING THE INVESTIGATORS  
11 TO PROVIDE GREAT DETAIL ABOUT THEIR BUDGETS. AND WE  
12 DIDN'T FIND AS MUCH SAVINGS AS MR. KLEIN MIGHT HAVE  
13 HOPED. THE MAXIMUM SAVINGS WE HAVE IDENTIFIED IS .9  
14 MILLION.

15 DR. LOVE: WE'RE STILL ABOUT 66.

16 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. AND DR. AZZIZ.

17 DR. LOVE: SECOND QUESTION RELATED TO WHAT  
18 I THOUGHT I HEARD AT THE BEGINNING OF THIS  
19 DISCUSSION. THAT IS, THAT THERE WILL BE ANOTHER  
20 ROUND OF GRANTS OF THIS NATURE COMING TOWARD THE END  
21 OF THE YEAR. SO I JUST WANTED TO JUST KIND OF  
22 ASK -- AND THAT SEEMED TO KIND OF GENERALLY SPEAK TO  
23 THIS WHOLE TIER. I JUST WANTED TO ASK KIND OF THE  
24 URGENCY OF THIS PARTICULAR GRANT VIS-A-VIS THAT  
25 DISCUSSION THAT WE'LL BE COMING AROUND TO THIS AGAIN

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 IN SIX MONTHS OR SO ANYWAY.

2 DR. CSETE: WELL, THE IDEA OF HAVING A SET  
3 OF CORE GRANTS THAT WE REPEAT ON A REGULAR CYCLE WAS  
4 EXACTLY THIS. GRANTS THAT HOLD PROMISE, BUT ARE NOT  
5 QUITE READY CAN TAKE THE COMMENTS FROM THE EXECUTIVE  
6 SUMMARIES, MAKE A BETTER GRANT, COME BACK WITH A  
7 MORE FEASIBLE PROGRAM THAT CAN BE DONE IN THE SHORT  
8 TIMEFRAME OF EARLY TRANSLATION, AND BE DELAYED BY A  
9 YEAR, YES, BUT COME BACK WITH A STRONGER PRODUCT.

10 DR. TROUNSON: JUST IN ADDITION, NORMALLY  
11 THE RESEARCH GRANTS MIGHT HAVE BEEN IMPROVED BY  
12 HAVING A LITTLE TIME. IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE IT  
13 WOULDN'T CHANGE ESSENTIALLY BECAUSE IT'S A  
14 PRODUCTION PROGRAM. SO YOU EITHER BELIEVE IN IT OR  
15 YOU DON'T. SOME PEOPLE WON'T AND SOME PEOPLE WILL.  
16 I THINK IT'S NOT GOING TO ACTUALLY CHANGE. IT WON'T  
17 BE A BETTER GRANT IN MY MIND BY WAITING. WHEREAS,  
18 CERTAINLY OTHER -- THE OTHER PROJECTS HERE MAY WELL  
19 BENEFIT FROM HAVING SOME ADDITIONAL TIME.

20 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO I'M GOING TO GO TO DR.  
21 AZZIZ. AND, DR. LOVE, THE CONCEPT APPROVAL AT THE  
22 END OF THIS YEAR WOULD BRING IT BACK TO OUR BOARD  
23 ABOUT THIS TIME NEXT YEAR. SO WE'D ESSENTIALLY LOSE  
24 A YEAR ON THESE MODELS. AND WHAT IS THE VALUE OF  
25 THOSE MODELS TO MOVE LARGE NUMBERS OF GRANTS

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 FORWARD.

2 DR. AZZIZ: SO THE FIRST QUESTION I HAD  
3 ACTUALLY WAS JUST ANSWERED BY ALAN, WHICH IS REALLY  
4 IS THIS SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO GO BACK TO THE  
5 COMPANY AND WE NEED TO TWEAK THE MODEL, OR IS THIS  
6 JUST THE WAY THE MODEL IS, AND THERE IS NO REAL  
7 NEGOTIATION. WHAT YOU'RE TELLING ME IS THAT THERE  
8 IS REALLY, IT'S EITHER THIS MODEL OR SOMETHING ELSE.

9 THE SECOND QUESTION, AND, AGAIN, I JUST  
10 NEED A LITTLE BIT OF CLARIFICATION, WHAT WAS OUR  
11 FUNDING RATE? HOW MANY OF THE TOTAL APPLICATIONS IN  
12 THE FIRST ROUND DID WE FUND? I DON'T RECALL. AND  
13 THEN, AGAIN, A RESTATEMENT OF THE FUNDING QUESTION,  
14 THE MONIES FOR THIS PROGRAM. I THINK WE TALKED  
15 ABOUT \$900,000 A MINUTE AGO, BUT I JUST WANT TO MAKE  
16 SURE THAT I WAS CLEAR ON WHERE WE STAND IN THAT  
17 REGARD.

18 DR. CSETE: SO 15 OUT OF 73.

19 DR. AZZIZ: FIFTEEN OUT OF 73, SO ABOUT A  
20 20 PERCENT OR SO ROUGHLY FUNDING RATE. GOOD.  
21 PROGRAM FROM A FISCAL POINT OF VIEW?

22 DR. CSETE: AS DR. CANET-AVILES SHOWED  
23 YOU, YOU APPROVED, WHEN THE CONCEPT APPROVAL CAME  
24 BEFORE THE BOARD, A \$60 MILLION BUDGET FOR THIS.  
25 RIGHT NOW WHAT YOU APPROVED IN THOSE 15 GRANTS FROM

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 TIER 1 IS BUDGETED FOR \$67.7 MILLION, SO  
2 CONSIDERABLY OVER BUDGET.

3 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND DR. AZZIZ, JUST TO  
4 BRING THIS DOWN TO THE NUMBERS WE DEAL WITH, IF THIS  
5 IS A QUALITY GRANT THAT WILL CONTRIBUTE TO OUR  
6 MISSION, OUR CONSTRAINT IS A CASH FLOW CONSTRAINT  
7 BECAUSE WE'RE WAY BELOW OUR AUTHORIZED LEVELS OF  
8 FUNDING. SO WITHIN THE STUDY PERIOD, DR. ROBSON,  
9 THE QUESTION IS IF THIS GRANT WERE TO BE APPROVED,  
10 HOW MUCH WOULD IT INCREASE THE FUNDING REQUIREMENTS  
11 WITHIN OUR FINANCIAL STUDY PERIOD THROUGH THE END OF  
12 2010?

13 DR. ROBSON: WELL, I DIDN'T PREPARE THAT  
14 IN ADVANCE, BUT LOOKING AT THIS AS A GRANT OF 3.8  
15 MILLION; IS THAT CORRECT?

16 DR. SAMBRANO: YES.

17 DR. ROBSON: 3.8 MILLION OVER THREE YEARS,  
18 IF IT WAS FUNDED NOW FOR A YEAR AND A HALF, IT WOULD  
19 BE ABOUT HALF THAT AMOUNT, SO IT WOULD BE 1.9  
20 MILLION WOULD BE ADDED TO OUR CASH-FLOW  
21 RESPONSIBILITY BETWEEN NOW AND THE END OF 2010.

22 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: RIGHT. AND WHAT IS THE  
23 DOLLAR AMOUNT OF OUR CURRENT CUSHION IN FUNDING THAT  
24 WE HAVE ACTUALLY FUNDED EXCLUDING THE EXTRA 160  
25 MILLION IN PRIVATE PLACEMENT AUTHORITY? THOSE

**BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE**

1 AREN'T IN THE BANK. YOU CAN'T COUNT THOSE AS  
2 AVAILABLE.

3 DR. ROBSON: SO WHAT WE HAVE NOW,  
4 INCLUDING WHAT YOU'VE JUST DECIDED ABOUT TRAINING  
5 II, I THINK WE WILL HAVE A CUSHION OF ABOUT \$7  
6 MILLION AT THE END OF DECEMBER 31, 2010.

7 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU.

8 MR. ROTH: SO I'M SITTING HERE LISTENING  
9 TO THIS CONVERSATION, AND I HAVE MAYBE THREE  
10 THOUGHTS. ONE IS WHAT WE JUST DISCUSSED, THAT WE  
11 HAD A BUDGET; WE EXCEEDED THAT BUDGET. TWO, THERE'S  
12 GOING TO BE ANOTHER ROUND NOT TOO FAR IN THE  
13 DISTANCE. AND PERHAPS THREE AND MOST IMPORTANTLY,  
14 THE ARGUMENT THAT THIS IS A COMMERCIAL COMPANY, THAT  
15 THERE'S A NEED. AND THE QUESTION IS REALLY IF WE  
16 DON'T FUND IT, IS IT NOT GOING TO HAPPEN, OR HAVE  
17 THEY RECOGNIZED THERE'S A MARKET OPPORTUNITY HERE TO  
18 BUILD THESE MODELS? THAT'S WHAT THEY DO FOR A  
19 BUSINESS, I WOULD PRESUME.

20 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THIS IS A NONPROFIT  
21 ENTITY.

22 MR. ROTH: OKAY. IT IS A NONPROFIT  
23 ENTITY?

24 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THAT PRODUCES THESE  
25 DISEASE MODELS THROUGHOUT THE WORLD.



**BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE**

1 MR. ROTH: I HEARD EARLIER IT'S A  
2 COMMERCIAL ENTITY.

3 DR. TROUNSON: IT'S A NOT-FOR-PROFIT,  
4 DUANE.

5 MR. ROTH: SO THE MODEL WON'T EXIST  
6 WITHOUT THE FUNDING.

7 DR. TROUNSON: SOME OF IT MAY BE MADE, BUT  
8 I DON'T THINK TO THE EXTENT THAT HAS BEEN PROPOSED  
9 IN THIS. SO THERE WOULD BE CERTAINLY SOME INTEREST  
10 IN PROVIDING THE SCIENTISTS WITH IT. SO IT WOULD  
11 BE -- THERE WOULD BE SOME DONE, BUT NOT TO THE  
12 EXTENT TO WHICH IT'S PROPOSED.

13 SO I THINK THIS COMPANY HAS TO BREAK EVEN  
14 BECAUSE IT'S THE JACKSON LABORATORIES FROM BAR  
15 HARBOR, SO THEY HAVE TO BREAK EVEN FROM INPUTS AND  
16 OUT. SO IT IS WHAT IT IS, AND THEY CAN DO WHAT THEY  
17 CAN.

18 MR. ROTH: WELL, IN THAT LIGHT, I'M -- I'M  
19 RELUCTANT. AND I DON'T KNOW HOW I'M GOING TO VOTE  
20 IF THIS GRANT COMES FORWARD, BUT I'M RELUCTANT TO  
21 OPEN UP A DECISION THAT I THINK WE'VE LARGELY  
22 ALREADY REACHED. THERE WERE A COUPLE MORE GRANTS IN  
23 HERE THAT I KNOW OTHERS WANTED TO ADVOCATE FOR. BUT  
24 MY FEELING IS THAT UNLESS THERE'S A REALLY  
25 COMPELLING ARGUMENT THAT THERE'S SCIENTIFIC SUPPORT

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 AROUND THIS TABLE, UNANIMOUS SCIENTIFIC SUPPORT,  
2 THAT WE NEED TO DO THIS, THAT WE SHOULD DELAY IT.

3 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OUR NORMAL STANDARD IS  
4 NOT UNANIMOUS. JEFF SHEEHY.

5 MR. SHEEHY: AND, AGAIN, I HAVE THE  
6 PRIVILEGE OF BEING IN THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP  
7 DISCUSSION. THE PROBLEM IS NOW THAT THE COMPANY --  
8 THE NONPROFIT ENTITY IS OUT THERE IS THAT THERE'S  
9 PROBABLY NEVER GOING TO BE A REALLY GOOD FIT.  
10 THERE'S PROBABLY NEVER GOING TO BE A REALLY GOOD FIT  
11 IN THIS ENTITY.

12 THEY PROVIDE A RESOURCE. THEY'RE A  
13 NONPROFIT ENTITY. WE NEED TO MAKE THE DECISION  
14 WHETHER WE WANT TO MAKE THIS PART OF THE RESOURCES  
15 THAT WE WISH TO PROVIDE TO THE COMMUNITY. CLEARLY  
16 THERE'S A SENSE THAT PROVIDING THIS RESOURCE IS AN  
17 IMPORTANT INFRASTRUCTURE COMPONENT OF TRANSLATIONAL  
18 RESEARCH AND EMBRYONIC STEM CELL RESEARCH. AND THE  
19 QUESTION IS IS WHETHER -- I MEAN FROM MY  
20 PERSPECTIVE, THIS WILL SAVE THE ENTIRE FIELD TIME  
21 AND MONEY, WHICH ULTIMATELY SAVES US TIME AND MONEY.  
22 BUT THIS IS NOT, AS ALAN SAID, SOMETHING THAT WE CAN  
23 PUT DOWN THE ROAD AND THEY'RE GOING TO SUDDENLY HAVE  
24 A BETTER PROPOSAL. THIS IS REALLY A RESOURCE. AND  
25 WHETHER WE SEE OURSELVES AS MERELY FUNDING

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 INDIVIDUAL SCIENTIFIC PROJECTS AT INDIVIDUAL  
2 INSTITUTIONS OR WHETHER WE WANT TO OCCASIONALLY TAKE  
3 A LARGER PERSPECTIVE AND PROVIDE GENERALIZED  
4 RESOURCES THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY  
5 OF STEM CELL RESEARCHERS IN CALIFORNIA.

6 WE'RE THE ONLY ONES THAT ARE ABLE TO DO  
7 THAT. STANDARDIZED ANIMAL MODELS ARE VERY  
8 IMPORTANT, I'VE BEEN LED TO UNDERSTAND, AND I THINK  
9 THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE TALKED ABOUT THIS HAVE BEEN VERY  
10 COMPELLING IN TERMS OF MOVING TRANSLATIONAL  
11 RESEARCH. THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT ROLE WE CAN  
12 PLAY.

13 AND THERE'S -- TO MY MIND IT'S KIND OF  
14 LIKE NOW OR NEVER AND MAYBE WE OUGHT -- AND I THINK  
15 THE REAL DECISION IS DO WE WANT TO PROVIDE SOME OF  
16 THESE GLOBAL RESOURCES FOR THE COMMUNITY WHEN THESE  
17 OPPORTUNITIES COME BEFORE US, OR DO WE JUST WANT TO  
18 FUND INDIVIDUAL SCIENTISTS. I PERSONALLY THINK THAT  
19 THIS CAN BE A VERY VALUABLE ROLE ALONG WITH THE  
20 WORKSHOPS THAT WE DO WHERE WE PROVIDE A MORE  
21 COMMUNITYWIDE RESOURCE THAT CAN BE USED BY ALL.

22 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I'M GOING TO CALL ON DR.  
23 BURTIS. EXCUSE ME. WE CAN'T BECAUSE WE HAVE AN  
24 ISSUE THERE.

25 SO LET ME ASK THIS QUESTION. MY

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 UNDERSTANDING FROM STAFF IS THAT THERE ARE FIVE TO  
2 SEVEN OR MORE DISEASE AREAS THAT THESE LINES WERE  
3 DESIGNED TO ADDRESS; IS THAT CORRECT?

4 DR. CSETE: I DON'T RECALL THE ORIGINAL  
5 NUMBER. I CAN LOOK IN THE APPLICATION, BUT MY POINT  
6 THAT I MADE BEFORE IS THAT THIS READS LIKE A GRANT  
7 WHERE OUR GRANTEES CAN EXPRESS THE NEED AND THE  
8 MODEL WOULD BE MADE. THAT'S WHAT WE WOULD BE  
9 FUNDING. SO THEY PICKED WHAT THEY THOUGHT THE  
10 COMMON NEEDS WERE BASED ON LOOKING THROUGH OUR  
11 WEBSITE AND SEEING WHAT KINDS OF GRANTS WE HAD  
12 FUNDED, SO IT WAS A PARKINSON'S DISEASE, A TYPE 1  
13 DIABETES, AND AN MS MODEL THAT I RECALL, SEVEN. SO  
14 SEVEN MODELS ALTOGETHER.

15 BUT I THINK THAT THE POINT IS THAT THIS IS  
16 THE AGENCY THAT KNOWS HOW TO DO THIS. AND WE COULD  
17 WORK WITH THEM BASED ON THE NEEDS OF OUR GRANTEES.

18 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY.

19 DR. PRICE: ARE THERE CONFLICTS?

20 MR. HARRISON: YES, BUT YOU'RE NOT IN  
21 CONFLICT.

22 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DR. PRICE, WOULD YOU LIKE  
23 TO MAKE A COMMENT?

24 DR. PRICE: YEAH. I THINK MUCH OF THE  
25 DISCUSSION HAS, TO MY MIND, BEEN SOMEWHAT BESIDE THE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 POINT. TO SEEMS TO ME THE CENTRAL POINT IS RIGHT  
2 HERE AT THE TOP OF THE GRAPH, RECOMMENDED FOR  
3 FUNDING IF FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE. SO THAT, TO ME, IS  
4 THE CRUCIAL QUESTION. ARE FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR THIS  
5 OR ANY OTHER?

6 CLEARLY FROM WHAT WAS JUST SAID A MOMENT  
7 AGO ABOUT WHAT WE WOULD HAVE IN RESERVE IN THE END  
8 OF 2010, THERE CERTAINLY AREN'T FUNDS AVAILABLE TO  
9 FUND VERY MANY OF THESE; THAT IS, IF WE WANT TO FUND  
10 BASIC BIOLOGY AND IMMUNOLOGY AND DISEASE TEAMS AND  
11 SO ON; IS THAT CORRECT?

12 DR. ROBSON: LET ME JUST CLARIFY THAT THE  
13 \$7 MILLION I SAID WOULD BE OUR CUSHION, THAT IS WITH  
14 THE ASSUMPTION THAT YOU WOULD FUND DISEASE TEAMS AT  
15 210 MILLION, BASIC BIOLOGY I AT 30 MILLION, AND  
16 BASIC BIOLOGY II AT 30 MILLION. THOSE ARE THE  
17 ASSUMPTIONS THAT WE WORKED WITH. THAT'S WHAT YOU  
18 HAD CONCEPT APPROVED.

19 DR. PRICE: I UNDERSTAND, BUT THE ENTIRE  
20 HISTORY OF THIS INSTITUTE, WE'VE ALWAYS WANTED TO  
21 SPEND MORE THAN WE BUDGETED FOR. SO I DON'T THINK  
22 WE HAVE A PROBLEM. WE'RE NOT GOING TO UNDERFUND  
23 THOSE, AND SO I THINK IT'S A SAFE ASSUMPTION TO SAY  
24 THAT THOSE FIGURES ARE THE ONES MINIMALLY THAT WE'RE  
25 GOING TO WORK WITH. SO I DON'T KNOW IF A MOTION IS

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 IN ORDER, BUT GIVEN THAT, I WOULD SAY --

2 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WELL, WE HAVEN'T HAD AN  
3 EXECUTIVE SESSION.

4 DR. PRICE: WE HAVE TO HAVE AN EXECUTIVE  
5 SESSION FIRST.

6 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THAT'S RIGHT. AND IN  
7 RESPONSE TO YOUR POINT, WE HAVE AN ADDITIONAL \$160  
8 MILLION AUTHORIZED IN THIS PERIOD. WE HAVEN'T  
9 RAISED THOSE FUNDS. WE CONSERVATIVELY SET OUR  
10 POSITION TO SET A BUDGET THAT GOES THROUGH 2010. WE  
11 HAVE SEVERAL HUNDRED MILLION THAT WE HAVE NOT  
12 ACCESSED THAT IS ALREADY AUTHORIZED, BUT WE HAVE NOT  
13 TAKEN IT TO THE FINANCE COMMITTEE FOR THE STATE FOR  
14 THIS AGENCY TO GET ADDITIONAL AUTHORIZATION FOR  
15 ACTUALLY ISSUING THOSE BONDS BEYOND THE 160  
16 ADDITIONAL MILLION.

17 DR. PRICE: I UNDERSTAND. I UNDERSTAND  
18 YOU'RE A MUSICIAN, BOB. MAYBE WE SHOULD JUST RELY  
19 ON THE FACT THAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT.

20 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THE POINT THAT I WOULD  
21 MAKE IS THAT CERTAINLY IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS WE NEED  
22 TO BE VERY CONSERVATIVE ABOUT FUNDING WITHIN THE  
23 CASH WE HAVE ON HAND. WE'VE ACTUALLY BEEN MORE  
24 CONSERVATIVE AND BUDGETED CASH ON HAND FOR 18  
25 MONTHS. BUT WE DO HAVE AUTHORIZATION AND WE DO HAVE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 INTEREST IN, IN FACT, PURCHASING OUR PRIVATE  
2 PLACEMENTS. SO I DO THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE TO THINK  
3 ABOUT VALUE TO THE MISSION, QUALITY OF THE  
4 CONTRIBUTION. AND WHILE -- BUT I CERTAINLY WOULDN'T  
5 BE ONE TO SAY THAT YOU NEED TO ARTIFICIALLY LIVE  
6 WITH A SPECIFIC DOLLAR AMOUNT IF YOU'RE STAYING  
7 RELATIVELY CLOSE TO THAT AND THERE'S COMPELLING  
8 SCIENCE.

9 DR. AZZIZ: I'M ACTUALLY VERY HAPPY TO  
10 HEAR YOU TALK ABOUT WE NEED TO BE PRUDENT WITHIN OUR  
11 CASH FLOW REGARDLESS OF OBVIOUSLY THE LIMIT THAT WE  
12 ARE ALLOWED TO SPEND BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY WE DON'T HAVE  
13 THE MONEY. THE REAL QUESTION IS ONE OF PROCEDURE.

14 WE'VE ALREADY HAD AN EXECUTIVE SESSION FOR  
15 THESE GRANTS. THESE HAVE BEEN REVIEWED, DISCUSSED,  
16 AND SO ON AND SO FORTH. SO I'M UNCLEAR AS TO WHY WE  
17 NEED TO HAVE ANOTHER EXECUTIVE SESSION ABOUT THE  
18 SAME GRANTS. I APPRECIATE THAT THERE IS A  
19 PROCEDURAL ISSUE, BUT WE DID GO THROUGH THIS. THESE  
20 HAVE ACTUALLY BEEN REVIEWED IN EXECUTIVE SESSION.  
21 SO JUST FROM A POINT OF VIEW OF TIME MANAGEMENT, I'M  
22 NOT SURE THAT THAT IS NECESSARY SINCE THAT IS  
23 ALREADY ON THE RECORD AND HAS BEEN PERFORMED.

24 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO THE ISSUE IS ONE OF  
25 FAIRNESS TO THE GROUP. WE HAVE A SIGNIFICANT

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PEOPLE THAT WERE IN THE PRIOR  
2 EXECUTIVE SESSION AND THE PEOPLE WHO ARE HERE TODAY.  
3 THE PEOPLE THAT ARE HERE TODAY DESERVE THE SAME  
4 ABILITY TO GO THROUGH AN EXECUTIVE SESSION AND ASK  
5 THEIR QUESTIONS AS THE PEOPLE WHO WERE THERE IN THE  
6 LAST SESSION WHO MAY HAVE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO  
7 SO.

8 SO IT IS OUT OF DESIRE FOR FAIRNESS TO  
9 ADDRESS ANY PROPRIETARY SCIENTIFIC QUESTIONS FOR  
10 THOSE WHO WERE NOT PRESENT AT THE LAST SESSION. WE  
11 ARE GOING TO DO IT DURING DINNER IN ANY CASE, SO  
12 HOPEFULLY WE WILL EFFECTIVELY USE THE TIME.

13 DR. TROUNSON: I THINK ONE OF THE KEYS TO  
14 THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT IS THAT, AS RICARDO HAS  
15 SAID, THIS PROJECT IS NOT GOING TO GET BETTER IN  
16 TERMS OF IT COMING FORWARD AGAIN. SO IT IS ALSO, I  
17 THINK, AIMED AT THE VERY EARLY PART OF THE PROOF OF  
18 CONCEPT. AS I TOLD YOU WITH MS, IF YOU PUT A VIRAL  
19 AGENT IN THERE, YOU WILL GET A DIFFERENT READOUT.  
20 SO YOU WILL HAVE TO PUT THE IMMUNE SYSTEM IN AT SOME  
21 STAGE TO GET THE READOUT BEFORE GOING TO THE  
22 PATIENTS.

23 ONE WOULD ARGUE THAT THIS IS AN EARLY  
24 ROADBLOCK, AN ISSUE WHICH NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED  
25 EARLY ON. AND THIS IS EARLY COMPARED TO 12 MONTHS



## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 TIME. AND THE PROJECT IS NOT GOING TO GET BETTER  
2 BECAUSE IT'S VERY SPECIFICALLY AIMED AT THOSE IMMUNE  
3 COMPROMISED ANIMALS FOR WHICH THEY WILL DEVELOP THE  
4 BEST APPROPRIATE MODEL. SO I THINK IF YOU THINK  
5 ABOUT THIS PROJECT, IT MIGHT BE DIFFERENT TO SOME OF  
6 THE OTHERS BECAUSE THEY MAY IN TIME BE MUCH IMPROVED  
7 BY HAVING A WHILE TO MATURE. GOOD WINE, GOOD  
8 CHEESE. RICARDO, YOU UNDERSTAND THIS.

9 DR. AZZIZ: SOME THINGS DO GET BETTER.  
10 MOST OF US DO NOT.

11 DR. TROUNSON: IN THIS PROJECT IT'S NOT --  
12 IT'S CLEARLY NOT ONE OF THOSE. SO MAYBE YOU OUGHT  
13 TO THINK ABOUT THIS ONE A LITTLE DIFFERENTLY PERHAPS  
14 THAN THE OTHERS. AN EARLIER STAGE MIGHT BE  
15 BENEFICIAL AND IT'S NOT GOING TO NECESSARILY GET  
16 BETTER.

17 DR. LEVIN: THIS IS NOT A FIELD THAT I  
18 HAVE A WHOLE LOT OF EXPERIENCE WITH, BUT IT DOES  
19 SEEM TO ME THAT THIS PRESENTS A UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY  
20 FOR CIRM. CLEARLY THE STAFF IS IN SUPPORT OF THIS,  
21 AND THEY DO HAVE MORE INSIGHT AND EXPERIENCE WITH  
22 THIS PARTICULAR. AND THIS IS WE'VE TALKED AS A  
23 BOARD ABOUT INVESTING IN GENERAL RESOURCES FOR THE  
24 FIELD, THINGS LIKE GMP FACILITIES, AND THAT THERE'S  
25 A GOOD POSSIBILITY THAT SOMETHING LIKE THIS CAN

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 PRESENT A UNIQUE RESOURCE FOR THE ENTIRE FIELD THAT  
2 COULD POTENTIALLY EVEN LOWER THE COST OF FUTURE  
3 GRANTS BY PROVIDING THEM THE IMMUNOCOMPROMISED MICE  
4 THAT THEY OTHERWISE WOULD NEED TO GENERATE IN THEIR  
5 LABS AND PERHAPS ACCELERATING RESEARCH IN THAT  
6 REGARD. AND I DON'T SEE THAT THERE IS REALLY  
7 ANOTHER MECHANISM THAT IT WOULD HAPPEN IF WE DON'T  
8 FUND IT, SO IT MIGHT BE WORTH CONSIDERING SORT OF  
9 SEPARATELY.

10 WE, OF COURSE, ALWAYS DO HAVE THE OPTION  
11 LATER OF REDUCING THE CONCEPT PROPOSAL FOR THE  
12 SECOND EARLY TRANSLATIONAL AWARDS THAT HASN'T EVEN  
13 COME BEFORE THE BOARD, SO WE COULD JUST ALLOCATE  
14 LESS TO THEM IF WE REALLY ARE THAT CONCERNED ABOUT  
15 THE OVERALL BUDGET.

16 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU. DR. LOVE AND  
17 ANYONE ELSE DOWN THERE? DR. LOVE AND THEN WE'RE  
18 GOING TO GO TO FLOYD BLOOM, AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO  
19 ASK IF ANYONE WANTS TO DISCUSS, PRIOR TO THE  
20 EXECUTIVE SESSION, OR BRING UP ANY OTHER GRANT,  
21 OTHERWISE WE'RE GOING INTO DINNER AND EXECUTIVE  
22 SESSION, COME BACK, DISCUSS WHAT OTHER GRANTS  
23 MEMBERS WANT TO BRING FORWARD, IF THERE ARE ANY  
24 OTHERS, AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO TAKE PUBLIC COMMENT.  
25 SO THAT'S THE AGENDA. DR. LOVE.

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 DR. LOVE: SO I HAVE ONE QUESTION, AND IT  
2 KIND OF FEEDS OFF SOMETHING THAT DR. LEVIN JUST  
3 SAID, WHICH IS I'M VERY IMPRESSED AND INFLUENCED BY  
4 THE STAFF'S POSITION. AND SO -- BUT THE ONE THING I  
5 WANT TO PROBE JUST A LITTLE BIT MORE ON IS WHY  
6 INTRINSICALLY DO WE THINK THAT IF WE ARE SPLIT OVER  
7 WHETHER OR NOT THIS IS REALLY GOING TO BE A USEFUL  
8 MODEL SCIENTIFICALLY, THAT IN 12 MONTHS TIME THERE  
9 COULD NOT BE A BETTER SET OF MODELS IN FRONT OF US.  
10 THAT INTRINSICALLY JUST DOESN'T QUITE SEEM OBVIOUS  
11 TO ME THAT THERE'S NO WAY.

12 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DR. LOVE, THE QUESTION IN  
13 THE PEER REVIEW WAS NOT WHETHER THERE WAS ANOTHER  
14 SET OF MODELS. THE QUESTION WAS RAISED WHETHER  
15 PEOPLE COULD DEVELOP THEIR OWN MODELS OR BENEFIT  
16 FROM THIS AS A STANDARDIZED SET OF MODELS WHERE  
17 PEOPLE COULD COMPARE RESULTS ON A CONSISTENT  
18 CHARACTERIZED MODEL. SO THE QUESTION WAS NOT  
19 WHETHER -- THE MODEL WAS THOUGHT TO BE HIGH QUALITY.  
20 THAT WAS NOT THE QUESTION. IT WAS JUST A DIFFERENCE  
21 IN PHILOSOPHY.

22 DR. CSETE: SO TWO ISSUES, TED. WHETHER  
23 IT WOULD ACCELERATE PEOPLE DOING THE NECESSARY  
24 PRECLINICAL KINDS OF STUDIES THAT THEY HAVE TO DO TO  
25 ADVANCE THEIR WORK IF SOMEONE ELSE MADE THE MODELS

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 FOR THEM, AND ALSO WHETHER IT WOULD FACILITATE  
2 CROSSTALK BETWEEN LABS WHO ARE WORKING ON THE SAME  
3 MODELS BECAUSE THE MOST RIGOROUS STANDARDS IN HAVING  
4 THE MODELS WERE DONE BY THE PEOPLE WHO REALLY KNOW  
5 HOW TO HANDLE MICE AND WERE HANDING IT OFF TO  
6 MULTIPLE LABS.

7 THOSE WERE THE TWO REAL STRENGTHS THAT  
8 WON'T CHANGE WITH TIME EVEN THOUGH PERHAPS IF A NEW  
9 MOUSE MODEL OF X DISEASE BECOMES AVAILABLE, THAT  
10 WOULD BECOME THE HOT ONE TO CROSS WITH AN  
11 IMMUNOSUPPRESSED MOUSE.

12 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DR. BLOOM.

13 DR. BLOOM: I WAS ONE OF THOSE WHO WAS NOT  
14 HERE FOR THE SECOND PART OF THE APRIL MEETING, SO I  
15 MISSED THE DISCUSSION AND WAS PUZZLED WHEN I GOT THE  
16 BOOK WITH THE TIER 2 APPLICATIONS IN IT BECAUSE I  
17 THOUGHT THOSE HAD ALREADY BEEN DEALT WITH. SO I  
18 TOOK THE TIME TO READ THE 300 PAGES OF THE MINUTES  
19 OF THE APRIL MEETING, AND I FEEL AS THOUGH I WAS  
20 PRESENT NOW THAT I'VE GONE THROUGH ALL THOSE PAGES.

21 WHEN IT CAME TO THE DISCUSSION OF 1232,  
22 WHAT I DREW FROM THE MINUTE DISCUSSION, NOT FROM  
23 WHAT WAS SAID TODAY, WAS THAT THE NEGATIVE PEOPLE  
24 WERE NEGATIVE BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T THINK THE OTHER  
25 INVESTIGATORS IN OUR STEM CELL PROGRAM WOULD WANT TO

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 USE THESE TO THE EXTENT THAT THESE PEOPLE WANTED TO  
2 MAKE THEM.

3 SO MY QUESTION WOULD BE THIS: IS A  
4 BOTTLENECK GRANT ALSO TIMED FOR SUCCESS IN THE WAY  
5 THE DEVELOPMENT GRANTS ARE? AND IF NO ONE CHOSE TO  
6 USE THE FUNDS TO MAKE THE MICE THEY WANT TO MAKE,  
7 WOULDN'T WE THEN KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THESE WERE  
8 ATTRACTIVE SCIENTIFIC MODELS?

9 DR. CSETE: WELL, I'LL SLIP THAT A LITTLE  
10 BIT IN THAT WE MADE PRIORITIES OF BOTTLENECKS WHEN  
11 WE WROTE THE RFA, AND ANIMAL MODELS WERE ONE OF  
12 THEM. AND SO IT WAS OUR SENSE THAT THE TIMING WAS  
13 NOW ACUTE FOR OUR GRANTEES, AND THAT'S WHY WE PUT IT  
14 AS A PRIORITY. WE COULD HAVE LISTED OTHER  
15 PRIORITIES AND BOTTLENECKS, BUT THAT WAS ONE OF THE  
16 ONES WE CHOSE TO GO FOR.

17 DR. BLOOM: I UNDERSTAND THAT WE WANTED IT  
18 AS A PRIORITY, BUT THE ARGUMENT THAT WAS MADE  
19 AGAINST IT WAS THAT PEOPLE DIDN'T WANT TO USE THESE  
20 MICE OR WOULDN'T WANT TO USE THEM TO THE EXTENT THAT  
21 THE FUNDING BEING REQUESTED WOULD GENERATE.

22 DR. CSETE: I THINK THAT WE HAVE A BETTER  
23 SENSE OF OUR GRANTEES' NEEDS THAN SCIENTISTS COMING  
24 FROM OUTSIDE THE STATE WHO DON'T HAVE REALLY ACCESS  
25 TO THE PORTFOLIO, SO IT WAS THEIR OPINION THAT

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 PERHAPS --

2 DR. BLOOM: THAT'S NOT REALLY WHAT I'M  
3 SAYING. I'M SAYING THAT IF WE WERE TO DECIDE TO  
4 AWARD THIS GRANT AND NO ONE CAME TO ASK FOR THESE  
5 ANIMALS, THOSE FUNDS WOULD NOT BE SPENT.

6 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: FLOYD, DR. BLOOM, WHAT  
7 WOULD ACTUALLY HAPPEN IS IF WE APPROVE THE GRANT,  
8 THE MODELS WOULD BE MADE FOR THESE SEVEN DIFFERENT  
9 DISEASES.

10 DR. BLOOM: THE WAY MY FEELING WITH  
11 JACKSON LABS HAVE BEEN IS THAT THEY DON'T MAKE  
12 ANYTHING UNTIL THEY'VE GOT A CUSTOMER FOR IT.

13 DR. CSETE: OR A GRANT.

14 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OR A GRANT. THEY  
15 SOMETIMES ARE ABLE TO GET NIH GRANTS TO DEVELOP THE  
16 MODELS. IN THIS CASE THEY'RE COMING TO US TO GET  
17 THE GRANT TO DEVELOP THE MODELS, BUT THEY'D MAKE THE  
18 SEVEN DIFFERENT DISEASE MODELS. AND THEN THEY HAVE,  
19 IN FACT, POLLED GRANTEE ORGANIZATIONS TO DETERMINE  
20 ALREADY BEFORE THEY SUBMITTED TO US. THEY ALSO  
21 TALKED TO -- SPECIFICALLY IT WAS DISCUSSED THAT THEY  
22 HAVE TALKED TO GRANTEE ORGANIZATIONS TO SEE WHICH  
23 MODELS PEOPLE NEEDED.

24 SO THEY HAVE DONE SOME PRELIMINARY  
25 RESEARCH HERE. OKAY. I'M GOING TO ADJOURN TO

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 DINNER. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE ABOUT AN HOUR ON THIS  
2 PROCESS. AND BEFORE WE RECONVENE, MR. HARRISON,  
3 WOULD YOU LIKE TO CITE THE STATUTORY PROVISIONS FOR  
4 THE EXECUTIVE SESSION SO THAT WE CAN CONCURRENTLY  
5 HAVE DINNER AND THE EXECUTIVE SESSION? WE MAY BE AS  
6 MUCH AS AN HOUR AND 15 MINUTES.

7 AND BEFORE THIS CITATION OF THE SESSION,  
8 JENNIFER, CAN YOU TELL US WHERE THE DINNER WILL BE?

9 MS. PRYNE: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE DINNER WILL  
10 BE HELD IN FAIRBANKS ROOM C AND D, THE SAME ROOM  
11 WHERE WE HAD THE REFRESHMENT BREAKS THIS AFTERNOON.

12 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: FOR THOSE MEMBERS WHO  
13 JUST CAME SINCE WE'VE STARTED THE SESSION, IT'S DOWN  
14 THIS HALL ON THE LEFT.

15 MS. PRYNE: THAT'S CORRECT.

16 MR. HARRISON: THE BOARD WILL BE CONVENING  
17 IN CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE  
18 SECTION 125290.30(D) TO DISCUSS CONFIDENTIAL AND  
19 PROPRIETARY INFORMATION RELATING TO THE EARLY  
20 TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH AWARD APPLICATIONS.

21 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU. WE WILL HAVE  
22 PUBLIC COMMENT AGAIN WHEN WE RECONVENE. THANK YOU.

23 (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

24 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IF WE CAN RECONVENE,  
25 PLEASE. THAT'S AN ACCOMPLISHED VOICE OF AUTHORITY.

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 IF WE COULD RETURN TO ITEM 8 ON THE AGENDA, I WOULD  
2 LIKE TO ASK IF ANYONE WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO  
3 APPROVE ANY GRANT ON THIS LIST.

4 MR. ROTH: ITEM 9.

5 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ITEM 9. IT IS ITEM 9.

6 DR. AZZIZ: CAN I MAKE A MOTION?

7 MR. HARRISON: YES.

8 DR. AZZIZ: JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE. I'D  
9 LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION THAT WE -- FOR TIER 2 THAT WE  
10 DO NOT FUND ALL THOSE APPLICATIONS WITH THE  
11 EXCEPTION OF 01232.

12 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO THE --

13 DR. AZZIZ: THERE WE GO.

14 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I THINK WHAT OUR ESTEEMED  
15 COUNSEL IS GOING TO RECOMMEND IS THAT MORE MEMBERS  
16 CAN VOTE IF WE JUST MAKE IT AN ISOLATED MOTION.

17 MR. HARRISON: RIGHT. YOU CAN MAKE A  
18 MOTION AS TO APPLICATION 1232. YOU CANNOT MAKE A  
19 MOTION AS TO THE REMAINDER.

20 DR. AZZIZ: SOUNDS PERFECT.

21 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE  
22 MOTION MADE BY DR. AZZIZ IS TO FUND ITEM 1223.

23 MR. HARRISON: 1232.

24 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: 1232. DYSLEXIA WILL GET  
25 SOMEONE A LOT OF MONEY. THANK YOU. IS THERE A



## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 SECOND?

2 DR. LOVE: SECOND.

3 MR. SHEEHY: SECOND.

4 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SECOND BY JEFF SHEEHY.

5 SECOND BY DR. LOVE. I GUESS WE HAVE TWO SECONDS.

6 VERY IN VOGUE FOR THIS GROUP. DISCUSSION ON THE

7 MOTION? FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE PUBLIC, COULD THE

8 STAFF IDENTIFY THE SUBJECT OF THIS MOTION AGAIN

9 SINCE WE'VE HAD DISCUSSION PREVIOUSLY, A VERY SHORT

10 SUMMARY DISCUSSION BY STAFF OF THE TOPIC OF THIS

11 MOTION.

12 DR. CSETE: THE CRUX OF THE APPLICATION IS

13 TO DEVELOP AND CHARACTERIZE A VARIETY OF DISEASE

14 MODELS IN IMMUNOSUPRESSED MICE FOR TESTING OF

15 VARIOUS NONMOUSE, INCLUDING HUMAN STEM CELL

16 THERAPIES, IN THE THERAPY OF THOSE DISEASES.

17 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU, DR. CSETE. I

18 WOULD LIKE TO ASK IF THERE'S ANY ADDITIONAL

19 DISCUSSION? WE HAD A VERY VIBRANT, ROBUST

20 DISCUSSION BEFORE THE BREAK. SEEING NONE, I'D LIKE

21 TO SEE IF THERE'S ANY DISCUSSION FROM THE AUDIENCE.

22 YES. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A STATEMENT, PLEASE

23 APPROACH THE MICROPHONE.

24 MR. SIANI-ROSE: I'M SORRY. DOES IT HAVE

25 TO BE SPECIFIC TO APPROVING THESE?

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: HAS TO BE SPECIFICALLY  
2 RELEVANT TO THIS MOTION.

3 MR. SIANI-ROSE: NO COMMENT.

4 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU. I WILL ASK  
5 FOR GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT AT THE END OF THE SESSION  
6 TONIGHT. THANK YOU. IS THERE A SPECIFIC COMMENT  
7 RELATED TO THIS MOTION?

8 MS. PETERSON: SUZANNE PETERSON, SCRIPPS  
9 RESEARCH INSTITUTE. I HAVE NO AFFILIATION WITH THIS  
10 PROPOSAL WHATSOEVER, BUT I'VE HEARD ABOUT IT AT THIS  
11 MEETING, AND I'VE HEARD ABOUT IT AT THE L.A. ONE.  
12 AND IT SOUNDS LIKE SUCH A GREAT IDEA TO ME. BEING A  
13 RESEARCHER, MOUSE MODELS ARE SO IMPORTANT FOR  
14 GETTING THINGS TO THE CLINIC. AND I JUST -- I FEEL  
15 LIKE IT'S A REALLY GREAT IDEA, BUT ANYHOO.

16 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.  
17 ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT? SEEING NONE, I'D LIKE TO  
18 CALL THE QUESTION AS A ROLL CALL VOTE, PLEASE. AND,  
19 COUNSEL, REMIND US OF WHO CANNOT VOTE.

20 MR. HARRISON: MEMBERS FEIT AND BURTIS.

21 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YES, THANK YOU.

22 MS. KING: RICARDO AZZIZ.

23 DR. AZZIZ: FOR.

24 MS. KING: ROBERT PRICE FOR ROBERT  
25 BIRGENEAU.

**BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE**

1 DR. PRICE: YES.  
2 MS. KING: FLOYD BLOOM.  
3 DR. BLOOM: NO.  
4 MS. KING: DAVID BRENNER.  
5 DR. BRENNER: NO.  
6 MS. KING: JACOB LEVIN FOR SUSAN BRYANT.  
7 DR. LEVIN: YES.  
8 MS. KING: LEEZA GIBBONS.  
9 MS. GIBBONS: YES.  
10 MS. KING: NANCY MILLIKEN FOR SAM HAWGOOD.  
11 DR. MILLIKEN: YES.  
12 MS. KING: BOB KLEIN.  
13 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YES.  
14 MS. KING: LEONARD ROME FOR GERALD LEVEY.  
15 DR. ROME: NO.  
16 MS. KING: TED LOVE.  
17 DR. LOVE: YES.  
18 MS. KING: ELIZABETH FINI FOR CARMEN  
19 PULIAFITO.  
20 DR. FINI: YES.  
21 MS. KING: DUANE ROTH.  
22 MR. ROTH: NO.  
23 MS. KING: JEFF SHEEHY.  
24 MR. SHEEHY: YES.  
25 MS. KING: AND ART TORRES.

**BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE**

1 MR. TORRES: ABSTAIN.

2 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THIS IS AN INTERIM VOTE  
3 COUNT. SO WE HAVE JOAN, FOR HEALTH REASONS FOR THIS  
4 EVENING, HAS RETURNED TO HER ROOM, AND WE HAVE A  
5 COUPLE OF MEMBERS THAT ARE STILL IN TRAFFIC. WE'RE  
6 GOING TO -- MY INTENT HERE IS TO LEAVE THIS ROLL  
7 OPEN FOR THE MORNING TO COMPLETE THIS BECAUSE WE DO  
8 NOT CURRENTLY HAVE A QUORUM PRESENT. WHAT IS YOUR  
9 INTERIM VOTE COUNT?

10 MR. HARRISON: NINE YES VOTES, FOUR NO  
11 VOTES, AND ONE ABSTENTION.

12 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.  
13 WITH THAT AND GIVEN THAT WE HAVE HOW MANY MORE --  
14 ABOUT -- WE HAVE ANOTHER FIVE MEMBERS THAT WILL BE  
15 HERE IN THE MORNING. ASSUMING THAT JOAN IS ALSO  
16 RETURNING, WE WILL HAVE SIGNIFICANTLY MORE THAN OUR  
17 QUORUM IN THE MORNING.

18 MS. KING: WE SHOULD HAVE SEVEN MORE.

19 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND WE ARE IN A POSITION  
20 WHERE WE HAD AN ILLNESS IN THE FAMILY FOR ONE OF THE  
21 BOARD MEMBERS, WHICH IS NOT FATAL, BUT SIGNIFICANT  
22 THEY HAD TO ATTEND TO AND COULD NOT COME AT THE LAST  
23 MINUTE. SO WE DO NEED TO LEAVE THIS OPEN UNTIL THE  
24 MORNING.

25 WE WILL COME TOGETHER IN THE MORNING AT

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 8:30 FOR A SPOTLIGHT AT WHICH LOCATION?

2 MS. KING: RIGHT HERE.

3 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IN THIS ROOM. AND I  
4 WOULD ENCOURAGE EVERYONE'S ATTENDANCE. CATRIONA  
5 JAMIESON WILL BE THERE TO MAKE A PRESENTATION ON HER  
6 CIRM-FUNDED RESEARCH WHICH IS IN A PHASE I TRIAL.  
7 SHE HAS PATIENTS THAT HAVE SUCCESSFULLY GONE THROUGH  
8 THAT TRIAL. EVEN THOUGH IT IS A PHASE I TRIAL, THEY  
9 ARE VERY SUBSTANTIAL RESULTS, WHICH YOU WILL FIND, I  
10 THINK, EXTREMELY INTERESTING. IT IS A POINT OF  
11 GREAT ENCOURAGEMENT. ALTHOUGH WE HAVE TO TRACK  
12 THESE PATIENTS OVER TIME, IT IS A POINT OF GREAT  
13 ENCOURAGEMENT, AND I THINK YOU WILL FIND IT  
14 EXTREMELY INTERESTING.

15 WE THANK OUR ESTEEMED DR. BRENNER, WHO  
16 WILL BE A PART OF THAT PROGRAM. AND WE WILL LOOK  
17 FORWARD TO RECONVENING. AND I WOULD LIKE TO SAY  
18 THAT THOSE 300 SCIENTIFIC PAPERS THAT HAVE BEEN  
19 CREATED WERE THE RESULT OF DEDICATED WORK OF SOME  
20 TREMENDOUSLY TALENTED SCIENTISTS AND  
21 PHYSICIAN/SCIENTISTS. THEY'RE ALSO THE RESULT OF  
22 SOME EXTRAORDINARY DEDICATION BY OUR PRESIDENT, DR.  
23 TROUNSON, OUR CHIEF SCIENTIFIC OFFICER, DR. CSETE,  
24 OUR HEAD OF OUR SCIENCE TEAM, DR. OLSON, AND A  
25 PHENOMENAL SCIENCE TEAM. AND I'D LIKE US TO GIVE

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 OUR SCIENCE TEAM, INCLUDING THE INDIVIDUAL SCIENCE  
2 MEMBER WHO HEADS OUR PEER REVIEW, DR. SAMBRANO, ALL  
3 A GREAT HAND OF APPLAUSE.

4 (APPLAUSE.)

5 MR. TORRES: IS IT POSSIBLE TO CHANGE THE  
6 SCREEN SO WE CAN SEE THEM?

7 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: CAN WE MOVE THE SCREENS  
8 IN CLOSER FOR THE MORNING SESSION?

9 MR. TORRES: SO THAT EVERYBODY CAN SEE.

10 MS. PRYNE: WE'LL MOVE IT IN A LITTLE BIT  
11 MORE. NO PROBLEM.

12 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THAT WOULD BE PHENOMENAL.  
13 IT'S A VERY NICE FACILITY. WE JUST NEED TO CLOSE  
14 DOWN THE DISTANCE. SOME OF US, EVEN WITH THE HELP  
15 OF OPTICAL INSTRUMENTS, DON'T HAVE PERFECT  
16 LONG-RANGE EYESIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH AND THANK  
17 ALL MEMBERS. MARCY FEIT. I'VE GOT FROM THE RIGHT  
18 AND THE LEFT REMINDERS. ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT  
19 TONIGHT? YES, GO AHEAD.

20 MR. SIANI-ROSE: GOOD EVENING. I'M MIKE  
21 SIANI-ROSE, PRESIDENT AND FOUNDER OF THEREGEN, INC.  
22 I JUST WANTED TO SAY I'VE ATTENDED THE LAST SEVERAL  
23 ICOC MEETINGS FOR THE PAST YEAR, AND I'M IMPRESSED  
24 WITH THE CALIBER OF THE PEOPLE AND THE CALIBER OF  
25 THE WORK THAT YOU'RE DOING. HOWEVER, I AM RUNNING A

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 SMALL VENTURE-FUNDED COMPANY IN THE BAY AREA BASED  
2 IN SAN FRANCISCO.

3 MY BACKGROUND IS AS A COMPUTATIONAL  
4 CHEMIST AND VARIOUS BIOTECH START-UPS AND NOW LARGER  
5 COMPANIES, ONE OF WHICH BECAME CHIRON AND NOW IS  
6 NOVARTIS. SO I'VE HAD ABOUT 15 YEARS EXPERIENCE IN  
7 BIOTECH IN THE BAY AREA, AND NOW I'VE MOVED INTO  
8 CELL THERAPY.

9 WE HAVE A TISSUE PATCH CONTAINING LIVING  
10 DERMAL FIBROBLASTS THAT'S JUST FINISHED PHASE I  
11 TRIALS AS A TREATMENT FOR ISCHEMIC HEART DISEASE.  
12 WE ACTUALLY PUT THE PATCH ON THE SURFACE OF THE  
13 HEART. AND WE FILED AN EARLY TRANSLATION GRANT  
14 APPLICATION FOR COMBINING OUR PATCH WITH CARDIAC  
15 PROGENITOR CELLS. THE CELLS ARE MADE FROM THE HUMAN  
16 EMBRYONIC STEM CELL-DERIVED CARDIAC PROGENITOR  
17 CELLS. THEY'RE MADE BY CALIFORNIA STEM CELLS, INC.,  
18 WHICH IS OUR COLLABORATOR.

19 AND I JUST WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT I'VE  
20 LOOKED AT THE APPROVED GRANTS FROM THE TIER 1, AND  
21 TWO OF THEM ARE CORPORATIONS. ONE OF THE STRENGTHS  
22 OF CALIFORNIA IS THE INCREDIBLE DRIVE AND INNOVATION  
23 OF THE START-UP COMPANIES IN THE BAY AREA. WE HAVE  
24 SILICON VALLEY, AND SAN DIEGO IS EQUIVALENT. I USED  
25 TO WORK AT SCRIPPS IN THE '80S, AND, YOU KNOW, I

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 KNOW A LOT OF COMPANIES CAME OUT OF SCRIPPS, UCSD,  
2 AND SURROUNDING AREA.

3 I THINK WE SHOULD ASK OURSELVES WHAT  
4 TRANSLATION REALLY IS IF IT'S NOT GOING TO GET INTO  
5 THE CLINIC BY MEANS OF A CORPORATE ENTITY. AND OUR  
6 GRANT APPLICATION RECEIVED A SCORE OF 21. OKAY.  
7 NOW, IT PROBABLY DESERVED A 21. I CAN'T REALLY TELL  
8 FROM THE COMMENTS. BUT WE HAVE SOMETHING THAT'S  
9 GOING INTO PHASE II CLINICAL TRIALS NOW, AND IT'S A  
10 CELL-BASED THERAPY. SO I'M ASSUMING THAT WE'RE NOT  
11 COMPLETELY OFF BASE. BUT THE REVIEWERS' COMMENTS  
12 TALKED ABOUT THE FACT THAT WE STRESSED GOOD  
13 MANUFACTURING PRACTICES, DEVELOPMENT OF, YOU KNOW,  
14 THE PROCESS AND THE STEM CELLS SO THAT THEY WOULD  
15 MEET FDA GUIDELINES SO THAT WE CAN GO INTO HUMANS.

16 AND WE WILL SUBMIT AGAIN FOR THE NEXT RFA,  
17 AND I'M HOPING THAT WE CAN GET INTO THE 60 POINT  
18 RANGE, BUT IT SEEMS UNLIKELY GIVEN THAT ONLY TWO OF  
19 THE 15 AND NOW 16 ARE ACTUALLY COMMERCIAL ENTITIES.  
20 SO THANK YOU FOR LISTENING TO ME. I DON'T HAVE  
21 ANY -- I'M NOT INTERESTED IN -- I DON'T HAVE A  
22 QUESTION, SO I'M NOT INTERESTED IN ANY ANSWERS, BUT  
23 I REALLY WANT YOU TO THINK ABOUT THIS. WE'RE  
24 WORKING REALLY HARD AT ALL THE DIFFERENT STAGES, BUT  
25 THIS -- I DON'T BELIEVE PROP 71 WAS INTENDED TO JUST



## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 BE FOR RESEARCH.

2 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR  
3 COMMENTS. IN THE NEXT BOARD MEETING IN AUGUST, I'LL  
4 ASK FOR A REPORT FROM THE SCIENTIFIC STAFF ON THE  
5 NUMBER OF COMPANIES THAT ARE INVOLVED IN THE DISEASE  
6 TEAMS EITHER AS PI'S OR CO-PI'S. WE ARE GOING TO  
7 DISCUSS THAT LATER. I DIDN'T SEE THAT NUMBER. I  
8 WAS POSSIBLY TRYING TO DEAL WITH OUR QUORUM AND OUR  
9 MEMBER THAT COULDN'T APPEAR.

10 DR. TROUNSON: SO THERE WAS EIGHT  
11 COMPANIES IN THE DISEASE TEAM PROGRAM THAT WERE  
12 EITHER LEADING OR WERE CO-PI'S.

13 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WAS IT EIGHT, OR MAYBE I  
14 DID SEE IT, NINE. EIGHT. OKAY. OUT OF THE 32.

15 DR. TROUNSON: UH-HUH.

16 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO IN TERMS OF -- THAT'S  
17 NOT AT AN AWARD LEVEL, BUT IN TERMS OF THE FINAL  
18 COMPETITION, IT'S EIGHT OUT OF 32. WHAT IS VERY  
19 VALUABLE, SEPARATE FROM A COMPETITION, ARE THE  
20 DISCUSSIONS THAT CAN OCCUR WITH SCIENTIFIC STAFF ON  
21 SPECIFIC STRENGTHS OF APPLICATIONS AND DEFICIENCIES.

22 WE HAVE HAD PREVIOUSLY PRESENTATIONS TO  
23 THE PRIVATE SECTOR ON HOW TO ENHANCE THOSE  
24 PROCESSES. AND, DR. TROUNSON, COULD YOU ADDRESS OUR  
25 NEXT STEPS TO, IN FACT, ENHANCE THE PRIVATE SECTOR

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 COMPETITION OR COMPETITIVENESS IN ADDITION TO THE  
2 FACT THAT WE ARE INTEGRATING AS WE GO FURTHER INTO  
3 TRANSLATION LARGER NUMBERS OF INDUSTRY  
4 REPRESENTATIVES ON OUR PEER REVIEW PANELS.

5 DR. TROUNSON: YOU ARE CORRECT. WE'RE  
6 INCREASING THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO WORK DIRECTLY IN  
7 THAT PHASE IN THE COMPANIES. THAT CAN BE MORE  
8 TRICKY BECAUSE OF THE COMPANIES THAT ARE SPREAD  
9 ACROSS CALIFORNIA AND THE REST OF THE U.S. IT CAN  
10 BE DIFFICULT TO FIND THOSE THAT ARE NOT -- THAT  
11 WOULD FIT IN THE PARAMETERS, BUT WE'RE SEARCHING AND  
12 GETTING THOSE PEOPLE.

13 WE ALSO ASKED ELONA BAUM TO DEVELOP A  
14 PROGRAM WHERE WE WOULD GO BACK TO THE COMPANIES AND  
15 WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF SOME OF THOSE COMPANIES THAT  
16 HAVE BEEN VERY SUCCESSFUL TO GIVE SOME INDICATION OF  
17 HOW THEY WERE SUCCESSFUL AND WHAT WAS THEIR -- WHAT  
18 WAS THEIR WAY OF ACHIEVING A SUCCESS IN THE GRANTS  
19 FORUM. AND I THINK COMING DIRECTLY FROM THOSE  
20 PEOPLE IT MIGHT BE MORE INFORMATIVE THAN FROM US OR  
21 FROM AN ACADEMIC.

22 FINALLY, YOU KNOW, IF THERE IS A RESIDUAL  
23 DIFFICULTY IN THIS AREA, WE'VE CONTEMPLATED THE  
24 POSSIBILITY OF A COMPANY OWNING PROJECTS. BUT AT  
25 THIS STAGE WE'RE NOT IN A POSITION OF WANTING TO

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 RECOMMEND THAT, BUT IT IS SOMETHING THAT WE COULD  
2 CONSIDER IF THERE WAS A SERIOUS DEFICIENCY THERE IN  
3 THAT REGARD.

4 SO WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS EDUCATE AND  
5 INFORM. YOU WOULD RECOGNIZE THAT SOME OF THE MORE  
6 SENIOR COMPANIES ACTUALLY HAVEN'T ENTERED THE  
7 PROCESSES BECAUSE THEY HAVE RESIDUAL ISSUES WITH OUR  
8 IP OR WITH THE LOAN PROGRAM, AND WE'RE WORKING WITH  
9 THEM, AS I SAID EARLIER, TRYING TO FIND OUT WHAT ARE  
10 THE SHARP AND HARD POINTS FOR THEM AND WHETHER WE  
11 CAN EITHER ADDRESS THAT BY GIVING THEM SOME WRITTEN  
12 DOCUMENTATION TO ALLAY THEIR FEARS; OR IF IT TURNS  
13 OUT THERE'S GENERIC ISSUES, WE'LL BRING THEM BACK TO  
14 THE ICOC AND SAY, WELL, THESE ARE THE PROBLEM AREAS.  
15 CAN WE RECONSIDER SOME OF THESE ISSUES WHERE THEY'RE  
16 ABLE TO BE RECONSIDERED?

17 SO WE'RE TRYING TO OFFER SORT OF A BROAD  
18 SPECTRUM FROM INFORMATION, EDUCATION, AND, IF NEEDS  
19 BE, IN THE LONG TERM, IF WE FEEL THAT IT'S A  
20 DEFICIENCY THAT WE CAN'T CORRECT THROUGH OUR CURRENT  
21 PROGRAMS, WE'D EXAMINE THE POSSIBILITY OF THE  
22 COMPANY OWNING PROJECTS. THERE'S SOME. I THINK THE  
23 NIH RUNS SOME OF THESE ALTHOUGH THEY'RE MUCH SMALLER  
24 THAN THE ONES WE'VE OPERATED. AND WE HAVE LISTENED  
25 TO THE FEEDBACK THAT WE'VE HAD, AS YOU SAID, FROM

## BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE

1 THE COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIES ABOUT HOW THEY FEEL THAT  
2 THE PROGRAM'S OPERATING.

3 AS SOMEBODY WHO I'VE STARTED UP A NUMBER  
4 OF COMPANIES, AND MOST OF THOSE ARE ACTUALLY HEALTHY  
5 AND LIVING LIFE SOMEWHERE ELSE NOT ASSOCIATED WITH  
6 ME, BUT HAVING BEEN IN THAT SPACE, I HAVE LIVED IN  
7 BOTH WORLDS TO SOME EXTENT. AND I THINK THAT WE'RE  
8 NOT REALLY FAR OFF GETTING A REASONABLE DEAL FOR ALL  
9 SIDES. BUT WE'RE OPEN. WE'RE OPEN TO WHATEVER  
10 THOUGHTS THAT WOULD COME FROM THE ICOC, BUT ALSO  
11 FROM THE COMMERCIAL INDUSTRY ITSELF. AND WE WOULD  
12 HOPE THAT CONTINUAL DIALOGUE, AND WE'LL HAVE MORE  
13 DIALOGUE WITH THE BIOTECH COMPANIES AND  
14 PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES, THAT MORE DIALOGUE MIGHT  
15 ALSO THROW UP SOME NEW OPPORTUNITIES THAT WE CAN  
16 BRING BACK TO YOU FOR CONSIDERATION.

17 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. AND A  
18 SPECIFIC IMMEDIATE STEP THAT WE'VE TAKEN IS THAT OUR  
19 GENERAL COUNSEL, WHO HAS COME RECENTLY FROM  
20 GENENTECH, ALONG WITH THE PRESIDENT, DUANE ROTH, AND  
21 I, HAVE MET WITH COUNSELS ALONG WITH BOARD  
22 COUNSEL -- WITH PRIVATE COMPANIES ALONG WITH BOARD  
23 COUNSEL TO IDENTIFY OBSTACLES, BARRIERS TO THEIR  
24 PARTICIPATING. SO WE ARE IN A ROBUST MANNER  
25 DEDICATED TO FULL PARTICIPATION BY THE PRIVATE

**BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE**

1 SECTOR IN THIS. IT IS AN INCREMENTAL PROCESS  
2 BECAUSE WE'RE CREATING AND WE'LL BRING BACK TO THE  
3 BOARD MODIFICATIONS THAT WILL HOPEFULLY STIMULATE  
4 THAT ACTIVITY. BUT THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR  
5 WORDS AND YOUR WORK.

6 MR. SIANI-ROSE: YOU'RE WELCOME. THANK  
7 YOU.

8 CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WE STAND ADJOURNED.

9 (THE MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED AT  
10 8:51 P.M. TO RECONVENE AT 9:30 ON JUNE 18, 2009.)  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**BARRISTERS' REPORTING SERVICE**

**REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE**

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD AT THE LOCATION INDICATED BELOW

SHERATON HOTEL & MARINA  
1580 HARBOR ISLAND DRIVE  
BAY TOWER, BEL AIRE BALLROOM  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ON  
JUNE 17, 2009

WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152  
BARRISTER'S REPORTING SERVICE  
1072 BRISTOL STREET  
SUITE 100  
COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA  
(714) 444-4100