BEFORE THE

INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TO THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION:

CROWNE PLAZA HOTEL SFO 1177 AIRPORT BOULEVARD BURLINGAME, CALIFORNIA

THURSDAY, JANUARY 29, 2009 DATE:

4: 40 P. M.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR REPORTER:

CSR. NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 82457

INDEX

ITEM DESCRIPTION NO.	PAGE
CALL TO ORDER/CHAIRMAN'S REPORT	3
ROLL CALL	7
PRESI DENT' S REPORT	9
UPDATES ON OPERATING BUDGET AND RESEARCH FUNDING BUDGET.	26
PRESENTATION ON CALIFORNIA BUDGET STATUS AND IMPACT ON CIRM FUNDING.	55
CASE STUDY OF BANS AND POTENTIAL FOR PRIVATE PLACEMENT OF BONDS FOR 2008-09 & 2009-10 FISCAL YEAR CIRM BUDGET.	64
PRESENTATION ON FEDERAL STIMULUS BILL AND OMNIBUS BILL AND POTENTIAL BENEFITS FOR BIOMEDICAL AND STEM CELL RESEARCH.	DEFERRED R
PUBLIC COMMENT	115, 166

2

1	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
2	THURSDAY, JANUARY 29, 2009; 4:40 P.M.
3	
4	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. IF WE CAN
5	HAVE SOUND. WHILE WE STILL HAVE SOME MEMBERS WHO
6	ARE MASTERING THE LOGISTICS OF GETTING HERE, WE
7	WOULD LIKE TO GO THROUGH THE FIRST COMPONENT OF THE
8	PROGRAM AT THIS TIME. WE HOPE THAT SOME OF THOSE
9	MEMBERS WILL COME IN SHORTLY, BUT WE DO NOT NEED A
10	QUORUM TO START. AND WE ARE VERY APPRECIATIVE OF
11	ALL THOSE WHO HAVE MADE IT HERE TODAY FOR THIS
12	MEETING AND TOMORROW'S MEETING.
13	SO I'D LIKE TO CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER
14	AND WELCOME EVERYONE TO THE BAY AREA. THANK YOU ALL
15	FOR JOINING US IN THE PUBLIC.
16	WE HAVE IN THIS MEETING ON OUR AGENDA THE
17	BRIDGES TO STEM CELL RESEARCH AND TRAINING PROGRAM
18	AS WELL AS THE TRAINING PROGRAM II TO CONSIDER.
19	IS THERE A MEMBER OF CALIFORNIA STATE
20	SENATE, SENATOR GLORIA ROMERO'S STAFF HERE? I DON'T
21	THINK SHE IS HERE YET. BUT YOU WILL FIND IN THE
22	BACK OF THE ROOM A COPY OF A LETTER FROM SENATOR
23	GLORIA ROMERO, AND YOU WILL FIND THAT IT'S COSIGNED
24	BY DARRELL STEINBERG, THE SENATE PRESIDENT PRO TEM,
25	THANKING THE AGENCY FOR THE BRIDGES PROGRAM THAT HAS
	3

1	BEEN DEVELOPED. AND WE NEED TO CERTAINLY RECOGNIZE
2	THAT THIS HAS DEVELOPED AN INITIATIVE ORIGINALLY
3	BROUGHT TO US BY CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
4	CHANCELLOR CHARLES REED AND CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY
5	COLLEGE CHANCELLOR JACK SCOTT, AS WELL AS THE CITY
6	COLLEGE OF SAN FRANCISCO AND OTHER LEADING MEMBERS
7	OF THE EDUCATION LEADERSHIP OF THE STATE OF
8	CALI FORNI A.
9	WHEN THIS PROGRAM CAME FINALLY TO THE
10	BOARD AFTER APPROXIMATELY A YEAR OF DISCUSSION, IT'S
11	IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT WE CREATED A TASK FORCE WITH
12	DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL AND MARCY FEIT HEADING THAT
13	TASK FORCE, AND THEY BROUGHT THIS QUICKLY INTO A
14	FORM THAT COULD BE REALIZED AND IMPLEMENTED BY
15	STAFF. WE HAD A TREMENDOUS STAFF EFFORT WORKING
16	WITH DAVID AND MARCY. BUT THANK YOU, DAVID
17	SERRANO-SEWELL AND MARCY FEIT, FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP
18	ON THIS PROGRAM.
19	IT'S NICE TO HAVE I THINK THIS IS THE
20	FIRST TIME WE'VE HAD A PROGRAM THAT, BEFORE WE
21	ACTUALLY APPROVED IT, PEOPLE WERE THANKING US FOR
22	IT. THIS IS GOING TO BE A HARD ACT TO FOLLOW YOUR
23	LEADERSHIP HERE.
24	I'D ALSO LIKE TO COMMEND DON GIBBONS, WHO,
25	AS THE LETTER STATES, IS WORKING ON A CALIFORNIA

1	STEM CELL EDUCATION INITIATIVE THAT HE'S TALKED
2	ABOUT, TRYING TO BROADEN THE EDUCATION IN CALIFORNIA
3	ABOUT STEM CELL RESEARCH AND ITS OPPORTUNITIES.
4	IN SETTING A CONTEXT FOR TODAY, I WOULD
5	ALSO REMIND EVERYONE THAT WE HAVE JON SHESTACK
6	JOINING US BY PHONE. I BELIEVE HE IS ON THE LINE;
7	IS THAT CORRECT?
8	MR. SHESTACK: YES, I AM ON THE LINE.
9	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY, JON. THANK YOU
10	VERY MUCH.
11	MR. SHESTACK: MELISSA, IT SOUNDS LIKE
12	SOMEONE IS EATING POTATO CHIPS ON TOP OF THE MIC.
13	MS. KING: I'LL FIX THAT.
14	MR. SHESTACK: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
15	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THAT'S THE FIRST TIME
16	YOU'VE BEEN ASKED TO FIX THAT, MELISSA.
17	SO AS WE GO THROUGH THE DAY, I'D ALSO LIKE
18	TO EMPHASIZE THAT AT A TIME WHEN THE STATE IS FACING
19	UNPRECEDENTED CHALLENGES, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS
20	AT VERY HIGH SPEED GEARING UP A HIGHLY DIVERSIFIED
21	AND LAYERED SYSTEM OF ASSISTANCE. THE STIMULUS BILL
22	THAT YOU'VE HEARD A LOT ABOUT IS GOING THROUGH THE
23	CONGRESS, BUT ON ESSENTIALLY A CONCURRENT TRACK,
24	ALTHOUGH THE COMMITTEE HEARINGS CANNOT BE HELD UNTIL
25	THE STIMULUS BILL IS VOTED ON OR VOTED OUT OF

1	CONGRESS BY ABOUT THE 15TH OF FEBRUARY, THERE IS THE
2	OMNIBUS BILL. AND THAT IS THE BILL THAT CARRIES THE
3	FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS THROUGH THE REST OF
4	FISCAL YEAR '09. THE CONTINUING RESOLUTIONS ONLY
5	KEEP THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES IN
6	BUSINESS THROUGH MARCH OF THIS YEAR, SO THAT
7	TIMETABLE IS RAPIDLY FOLLOWING THE STIMULUS BILL.
8	AND IN ADDITION, THE CALIFORNIA
9	REPRESENTATIVES ARE LOOKING FOR IMPLEMENTATION
10	EXCUSE ME FOR CONTRIBUTIONS TOWARDS FORMING THE
11	FISCAL YEAR 2010 BUDGET BY THE MIDDLE OF FEBRUARY,
12	WITH THE INTENT THAT BY THE END OF FEBRUARY OR
13	BEGINNING OF MARCH, THE PRESIDENT WAS LOOKING
14	FORWARD TO PRESENTING THE 2010 BUDGET. SO THERE'S A
15	MASSIVE AMOUNT OF OPPORTUNITY TO TRY AND GET THE
16	ATTENTION OF THE CONGRESS AND THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH
17	IN THIS TRANSITION FOCUSED, AT LEAST IN PART, ON
18	BI OMEDI CAL RESEARCH.
19	WE WOULD HOPE THAT ALL OF OUR BOARD
20	MEMBERS AND THEIR INSTITUTIONS ARE MOBILIZED FULLY
21	BECAUSE I CAN ASSURE YOU, HAVING BEEN BACK THERE,
22	THAT THERE ARE LEGIONS OF VERY GOOD ADVOCATES IN THE
23	HALLS OF CONGRESS TALKING ABOUT THE GREENING OF
24	AMERICA AND ALTERNATIVE ENERGY, SOMETHING WE REALLY
25	SERIOUSLY NEED, BUT CERTAINLY BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH

	DANNISTERS REPORTING SERVICE
1	FOR CHRONIC DISEASES AND SPECIFICALLY THE NEW AREA
2	THAT WE'RE FOCUSED ON, STEM CELL RESEARCH, LIKE ANY
3	NEW AREA, DESPERATELY NEED FUNDS AS WELL.
4	SO WITH THAT CONTEXT FOR THE ENVIRONMENT
5	WE'RE WORKING WITHIN, MELISSA, WOULD YOU PLEASE LEAD
6	US IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND THEN THE ROLL
7	CALL.
8	(THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
9	MS. KING: RICARDO AZZIZ.
10	DR. AZZI Z: PRESENT.
11	MS. KING: ROBERT BIRGENEAU. FLOYD BLOOM.
12	GORDON GILL FOR DAVID BRENNER.
13	DR. GILL: PRESENT.
14	MS. KING: JACOB LEVIN FOR SUSAN BRYANT.
15	DR. LEVIN: PRESENT.
16	MS. KING: MARSHA CHANDLER. MARCY FEIT.
17	MS. FEIT: HERE.
18	MS. KING: MICHAEL FRIEDMAN. LEEZA
19	GI BBONS. MI CHAEL GOLDBERG.
20	MR. GOLDBERG: HERE.
21	MS. KING: SAM HAWGOOD.
22	DR. HAWGOOD: PRESENT.
23	MS. KING: BOB KLEIN.
24	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: HERE.
25	MS. KING: SHERRY LANSING.
	7

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

DARRISTERS REPORTING SERVICE
MS. LANSING: HERE.
MS. KING: GERALD LEVEY. TED LOVE. ED
PENHOET. PHIL PIZZO.
DR. PI ZZO: HERE.
MS. KING: CLAIRE POMEROY.
DR. POMEROY: HERE.
MS. KING: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
DR. PRI ETO: HERE.
MS. KING: CARMEN PULIAFITO.
DR. PULI AFI TO: HERE.
MS. KING: ROBERT QUINT. JOHN REED.
DR. REED: HERE.
MS. KING: DUANE ROTH.
MR. ROTH: HERE.
MS. KING: JOAN SAMUELSON. DAVID
SERRANO-SEWELL.
MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: HERE.
MS. KING: JEFF SHEEHY.
MR. SHEEHY: HERE.
MS. KING: JON SHESTACK.
MR. SHESTACK: HERE.
MS. KING: AND OSWALD STEWARD.
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
GIVEN THAT WE ARE JUST SHY OF A QUORUM, I THINK WHAT
WE WILL DO IS GO ON AND HAVE THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT.
8

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

1	DR. TROUNSON.
2	DR. TROUNSON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, CHAIR.
3	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD AND LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THE
4	MEMBERS OF STAFF, AS USUAL, THERE WAS A SLIDE ON ALL
5	THE STAFF MEMBERS THAT I PUT IN NEXT AND OBVIOUSLY
6	THE SYSTEM DOESN'T LIKE IT TOO MUCH, BUT I LIKE IT
7	BECAUSE THESE ARE THE PEOPLE WHO ARE DELIVERING THIS
8	FANTASTIC PROGRAM. AND I NORMALLY RECOGNIZE THEM AT
9	THE END OF THE TALK, BUT I THOUGHT IT WAS IMPORTANT
10	FOR YOU TO SEE THEM AT THE BEGINNING WHILE
11	EVERYTHING IS FRESH IN YOUR MIND AND YOU'RE NOT
12	DISTRACTED FROM ANYTHING ELSE.
13	THEY'RE JUST A WONDERFUL GROUP OF PEOPLE,
14	AND YOU WILL RECOGNIZE MANY OF THEM EITHER HERE
15	TODAY OR WILL BE VISITING WITH YOU AT SOME POINT IN
16	TIME. THEY DO A FANTASTIC JOB.
17	SO JUST TO REMIND YOU, THE STEM CELL
18	PATHWAYS, BECAUSE I'M COMING BACK ON THE RESEARCH AS
19	I USUALLY DO, THIS IS ABOUT STEM CELLS AND IT'S
20	ABOUT DRIVING CELLS INTO THE KIND OF DIFFERENT
21	PATHWAYS. THE MESODERM, ENDODERM, ECTODERM ARE
22	CALLED THE PRIMARY GERM PATHWAYS, AND THE AIM OF THE
23	WORK IS TO EITHER RENEW THE CELLS IN LARGE NUMBERS
24	AS A PLURIPOTENTIAL OR UNDIFFERENTIATED GROUP OF
25	CELLS OR DRIVE THEM INTO THE DIFFERENTIATED CELL

1	LINEAGES. AND THAT'S A VERY IMPORTANT PART OF OUR
2	PROCESS. THAT'S WHERE A LOT OF THE BASIC SCIENCE IS
3	FUNCTI ONI NG.
4	DOWN AT THE OTHER END ARE THE KIND OF
5	APPLICATIONS THAT ARE IMPORTANT, AND YOU WILL SEE
6	MORE AND MORE OF THESE COMING THROUGH IN MY REPORT
7	OR IF YOU ARE READING THE LITERATURE AND YOU'RE
8	LISTENING TO THE NEWS. THE WHOLE IDEA OF TISSUE
9	ENGINEERING NOW, THE COMBINATORIAL WITH THE
10	BIOENGINEERS, IS FASCINATING AND REALLY, REALLY IT'S
11	GOING TO HAVE A REALLY BIG IMPACT IN THE FIELD.
12	THE CELL THERAPIES, WHICH MOST PEOPLE HEAR
13	ABOUT, IS IMPORTANT. IT'S CERTAINLY IMPORTANT. AND
14	THE RECENT TRIAL APPROVAL FOR THE COMPANY GERON BY
15	THE FDA IS A VERY SIGNIFICANT MARK IN THE SAND IN MY
16	VIEW. IT MEANS THAT THE PHASE I CLINICAL TRIALS CAN
17	BEGIN TO WORK.
18	AND THE ISSUE OF TOLERANCE, WE'LL BE
19	COMING BACK TO TALK TO YOU FREQUENTLY ABOUT
20	IMMUNOLOGY, AND IT'S REALLY FOCUSED A LOT OF OUR
21	THOUGHTS ON THE INDUCTION OF TOLERANCE OR THE
22	ABILITY OF THE PATIENTS TO TOLERATE FOREIGN
23	TRANSPLANTS IF THEY COME FROM A STEM CELL WHICH IS
24	NOT THE PATIENT'S OWN CELL.
25	DRUG DISCOVERY AND ENVIRONMENTAL
	10

1	TOXICOLOGY IS RIGHT THERE. INTERESTINGLY, THE DRUG
2	INDUSTRY IS NOW WRITING TO DRUG DISCOVERY USING STEM
3	CELLS. IT'S VERY CLEAR THAT THEY'VE ADOPTED IT VERY
4	STRONGLY. IN FACT, BIG PHARMA IS ENTERING THIS VERY
5	QUIETLY, THIS WHOLE AREA, BUT VERY SIGNIFICANTLY.
6	AND I THINK GENE THERAPY WILL BE DELIVERED ALONG THE
7	LINES OF CELL BY INTRODUCING GENES INTO STEM
8	CELLS AND THEN INTRODUCING THOSE CELLS INTO PATIENTS
9	IN THE LONG TERM.
10	SO ON THAT BASIS, JUST TO REMIND YOU, SOME
11	OF THE IMPORTANT WORK THAT'S BEING PUBLISHED IN THIS
12	LAST FEW MONTHS, AND I WANT TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION
13	TO A NUMBER OF THEM.
14	THE ONE I PICKED OUT WAS THE VERY
15	EFFICIENT AND RAPID GENERATION OF THE INDUCED
16	PLURIPOTENTIAL CELLS FROM HUMAN KERATINOCYTES.
17	THESE ARE SKIN CELLS. AND THEY'RE ABLE TO SHOW THAT
18	THEY CAN GET A 100-FOLD MORE EFFICIENT PROCEDURE AND
19	TWOFOLD FASTER COMPARED TO REPROGRAMMING WITH THE
20	NORMAL CELLS THAT PEOPLE USE.
21	WHAT I THOUGHT WAS VERY INTERESTING IN
22	THIS PAPER WAS THAT THEY WERE SUCCESSFUL IN
23	ESTABLISHING THESE CELLS FROM A SINGLE ADULT HUMAN
24	HAIR. AND I THINK THAT IS JUST INCREDIBLE. YOU
25	KNOW, TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT FROM SOMEBODY'S HAIR

1	CELL, TO BE ABLE TO ESTABLISH PLURIPOTENTIAL STEM
2	CELLS IS JUST ABSOLUTELY ASTONISHING. AND SO I
3	THINK IT'S ONE OF THOSE LANDMARK PAPERS FOR THAT, IF
4	NOTHING ELSE.
5	THE CARDIAC REGENERATION THAT'S JUST BEEN
6	PUBLISHED BY THE STANFORD GROUP, JOE WU AND IRV
7	WEISSMAN'S LABORATORY, THE IDEA BEHIND THE WAY THEY
8	LOOK AT WORK IS TO TRY AND DEFINE EXACTLY WHAT IS
9	THE PATHWAY FOR NORMAL DEVELOPMENT. SO IF YOU GO
10	FROM AN EMBRYONIC STEM CELL TO A CARDIAC CELL, A
11	CARDIAC MUSCLE CELL, THEN IF YOU KNOW EXACTLY WHAT
12	THE PATHWAY IS IN DEVELOPMENT, THEN IF YOU CAN GET
13	THE CELLS TO FOLLOW THAT LINE ALL THAT PATHWAY DOWN,
14	YOU SHOULD HAVE A CELL IN A LABORATORY WHICH IS
15	EQUIVALENT TO THE HEART CELL.
16	AND THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THEY SHOWED, THAT
17	IF YOU WORK AT THIS PATHWAY AND THEN YOU DRIVE THE
18	HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS DOWN THAT PATHWAY, YOU
19	WILL GET CELLS THAT WILL INDEED GO INTO THE HEART
20	AND WILL ACT IN A REPAIR MODE. AND THIS KIND OF
21	CRITICAL PATHWAY INFORMATION IS VERY IMPORTANT FOR
22	APPLYING TO HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELL THERAPIES.
23	AND THIS IS WHAT THEY DID WITH THE BONE MARROW
24	CELLS. THEY'VE DONE IT WITH THE HEART CELLS. I
25	THINK IT'S A TERRIFIC APPROACH. IT LAYS THE BASIC

1	FOUNDATION, AND IT REALLY PROVIDES A VERY CLEAR
2	PATHWAY IN WHICH TO DRIVE YOUR CELLS. AND THEN
3	CELLS THAT YOU GET BEHAVE IN A WAY WHICH IS WHAT
4	YOU'D EXPECT IF YOU HAD TAKEN THE CELLS OUT OF AN
5	ANIMAL OR OF A HUMAN, AT LEAST A HUMAN FETUS, FOR
6	EXAMPLE.
7	THERE WAS A LOVELY PAPER, AGAIN OUT OF
8	CALTECH. YOU WILL NOTICE A NUMBER OF THESE
9	PUBLICATIONS ARE COMING OUT OF CALIFORNIA, AND THE
10	VOLUME OF THE REALLY GOOD WORK THAT'S COMING OUT OF
11	CALIFORNIA IS REALLY RANKING UP. I DON'T CHOOSE
12	THESE BY WHERE THEY COME FROM. I CHOOSE THEM REALLY
13	BECAUSE I THINK THEY'VE GOT TERRIFIC QUALITY.
14	THIS IS ABOUT SYSTEMS BIOLOGY, AND THE
15	CALTECH GROUP, THEY'VE GOT A VERY SPECIFIC WAY THAT
16	THEY APPROACH THEIR SCIENCE. AND IT'S REALLY BASED
17	ON MATHEMATICAL MODELING. AND WHAT THEY DO IS THEY
18	TAKE THE INCOMATION THAT C AVAILABLE
	TAKE THE INFORMATION THAT'S AVAILABLE
19	EXPERIMENTALLY, THEY INTERROGATE THE LITERATURE, AND
19 20	
	EXPERIMENTALLY, THEY INTERROGATE THE LITERATURE, AND
20	EXPERIMENTALLY, THEY INTERROGATE THE LITERATURE, AND THEN THEY CREATE MODELS THAT THEN WITH THAT MODEL
20 21	EXPERIMENTALLY, THEY INTERROGATE THE LITERATURE, AND THEN THEY CREATE MODELS THAT THEN WITH THAT MODEL THEY SEE IF YOU CAN BE SUCCESSFUL IN PREDICTING THE
20 21 22	EXPERIMENTALLY, THEY INTERROGATE THE LITERATURE, AND THEN THEY CREATE MODELS THAT THEN WITH THAT MODEL THEY SEE IF YOU CAN BE SUCCESSFUL IN PREDICTING THE OUTCOMES OF, SAY, A DIFFERENTIATION PATHWAY OR A
20 21 22 23	EXPERIMENTALLY, THEY INTERROGATE THE LITERATURE, AND THEN THEY CREATE MODELS THAT THEN WITH THAT MODEL THEY SEE IF YOU CAN BE SUCCESSFUL IN PREDICTING THE OUTCOMES OF, SAY, A DIFFERENTIATION PATHWAY OR A REPROGRAMMING EVENT IF YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT TAKING A

1	BETA CELL. AND THESE MODELS ARE WORKING.
2	AND I THINK IT IS VERY ADVANCED
3	MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS. IT'S AT THE LEVEL WHERE
4	YOU CAN THEN START TO PREDICT THE OUTCOMES OF WHAT'S
5	GOING TO HAPPEN GIVEN A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF
6	INFORMATION. AND THIS IS GOING TO BE THE KIND OF
7	BASELINE THAT'S DRAWN IN BIOLOGY FOR THE WHOLE OF
8	THE SYSTEMS WHEN WE COME TO WANT TO DO SOMETHING
9	WITH THESE STEM CELLS. BEAUTIFUL PIECE OF WORK.
10	I THINK THE TRANSPLANTATION OF THE
11	PANCREATIC ISLET CELLS DERIVED FROM HUMAN EMBRYONIC
12	STEM CELLS, I WANTED TO THIS IS A CANADIAN GROUP.
13	IT'S THE GROUP WHICH IS WHERE THE EDMONTON PROTOCOL
14	COMES FROM. AND THIS GROUP HAVE SHOWN THAT THEY CAN
15	DIFFERENTIATE THE HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS; AND
16	WHEN THEY TRANSPLANT THOSE CELLS WITH THE
17	APPROPRIATE MARKERS THAT THEY CAN SHOW THAT ARE
18	PRESENT THERE, THEY WILL CONVERT THOSE ANIMALS THAT
19	ARE DIABETIC INTO A NORMAL PHENOTYPE. NOW, THIS IS
20	IMPORTANT. AND ALSO THE LEVEL OF INSULIN THAT WERE
21	SHOWN IN THESE CELLS IN CULTURE AND THE QUANTITIES
22	OF THE C PEPTIDE THAT THEY MEASURED IN THE ANIMALS
23	WAS MUCH HIGHER THAN WHAT I'VE SEEN IN OTHER
24	STUDI ES.
25	SO THIS IS GETTING BETTER AND BETTER. BUT

1	I LIKE THE IDEA THAT INDEPENDENT LABORATORIES SHOW
2	THE SAME KIND OF THING. THIS IS A REPLICATION, IF
3	YOU LIKE, PERHAPS IN A MORE ADVANCED STATE THAN THE
4	WORK THAT I REPORTED TO YOU SOME TIME AGO OUT OF SAN
5	DIEGO. BUT I HAVEN'T SEEN THE SAN DIEGO MORE RECENT
6	PAPERS OR DATA, BUT HERE THIS FIELD IS MOVING VERY,
7	VERY STEADILY TOWARDS TRANSPLANTATION CLEARLY WITH A
8	VIEW TO, I THINK, IN THE NEXT FEW YEARS, MAYBE FOUR
9	OR FIVE YEARS, BRINGING IT TO THE CLINIC FOR
10	PATI ENTS.
11	THE MODELING HERE FOR DIFFICULT DISEASES
12	LIKE ALS. ALS IS TALKED ABOUT A LOT AS ONE OF THE
13	REALLY TOUGH DISEASES TO ADDRESS BY STEM CELL
14	RESEARCH. INTERESTINGLY, THERE IS A COMPONENT OF
15	ALS THAT HAS A GENETIC COMPONENT, AND IT CAN BE
16	IDENTIFIED AS AN OVEREXPRESSION OF THE SOD-1 GENE,
17	THE SUPEROXIDE DISMUTASE GENE BEING OVERACTIVE.
18	SO WHAT THEY WANTED TO DO HERE IS IF YOU
19	TOOK A CELL AND YOU SHOWED THAT YOU COULD
20	OVEREXPRESS THE SOD-1 MUTATED CELLS AND YOU GREW THE
21	CELLS AND NEURONS FROM PATIENTS IN THE PRESENCE OF
22	CELLS THAT HAVE GOT ADDITIONAL SOD-1 MUTANTS IN THE
23	ASTROCYTES, WHICH ARE THE COMPANION CELLS TO
24	NEURONS, YOU CAN GET THE EFFECTS THAT ARE SHOWN HERE
25	ON THE SLIDE. THESE EFFECTS MAKE FOR A VERY USEFUL

1	ASSAY FOR DRUG SCREENING FOR ALS.
2	SO IF THIS METHOD WILL WORK AS A MODEL FOR
3	A HIGH THROUGHPUT SCREEN, HERE'S A CHANCE FOR OTHER
4	PEOPLE TO DEVELOP NEW CANDIDATE DRUGS BASED ON THAT
5	SCREENING SYSTEM. AND THIS IS A PAPER OUT OF THE
6	SALK INSTITUTE. BUT THE NEXT ONE, WHICH IS THE LAST
7	ONE, IS A COMPANION PAPER IN A WAY BECAUSE IT'S ALSO
8	ABOUT ALS AND THE SAME MUTATION.
9	AND THE QUESTION THERE THEY'RE ASKING, THE
10	HARVARD GROUP, CAN SPECIFIC NEURONAL SUBTYPES
11	PRODUCED FROM HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS BE USED TO
12	INVESTIGATE THE MECHANISMS LEADING TO NEURAL
13	DEGENERATION IN ALS? AND THEY SHOWED THAT THESE
14	SPINAL MOTOR NEURONS, BUT NOT INTERNEURONS, ARE
15	SELECTIVELY SENSITIVE TO THE TOXIC EFFECT OF THE
16	GLIAL CELLS. THE GLIAL CELL IS THE ASTROCYTE THAT'S
17	GROWN IN COMPANION STATE WITH THE CELLS. SO IT IS,
18	IN FACT, A SUPPORT FOR THE STUDY IN THE SAN DIEGO
19	REPORT.
20	AND I THINK THESE ARE GOING TO BE VERY
21	USEFUL ASSAYS FOR DRUG DISCOVERY, AND THEY'RE
22	STARTING TO SHOW HOW IMPORTANT SOME OF THE CELL-CELL
23	ASSOCIATIONS ARE BECAUSE ALL OF THESE STUDIES NOW
24	ARE COMBINING BOTH NEURONS AND ASTROCYTES.
25	ASTROCYTES ARE THE COMPANION CELLS IN THE BRAIN, AND

1	THEY'RE VERY IMPORTANT TO GET THE EFFECTS OF ALS,
2	THAT YOU HAVE TO GROW THESE TWO CELL TYPES TOGETHER.
3	SO WE MOVE ON. THERE ARE TWO NEW
4	PERSONNEL THAT ARE HERE, I THINK. ZACH AND RAHUL.
5	AND BOTH OF YOU SHOULD STAND UP. I'VE GOT YOUR
6	PICTURES THERE, BUT WELCOME. THEY'VE GOT A LOT OF
7	WRITING TO DO, I UNDERSTAND, OF THE REPORTS. THAT'S
8	WHERE THEY'LL FOCUS A LOT OF THEIR ENERGY.
9	MY PRIORITIES IN JUST THIS LAST MONTH OR
10	SIX WEEKS, FIRST OF ALL, WAS THE CIRM-MRC FROM THE
11	UNITED KINGDOM, THE WORKSHOP THAT WE HAD TOGETHER OF
12	CALIFORNIAN AND ENGLISH SORRY BRITISH
13	SCIENTISTS AT A WORKSHOP LOOKING TO SEE WHAT WERE
14	THE PRIORITIES FOR BEING ABLE TO WORK TOGETHER. SO
15	THIS WAS A VERY USEFUL WORKSHOP. I'LL SPEAK TO YOU
16	BRIEFLY ABOUT THAT IN A MOMENT.
17	WE'VE BEEN WORKING VERY HARD ON BUDGETS
18	AND FORWARD PLANNING. WE'VE DONE THAT IN
19	ASSOCIATION WITH THE CHAIR. BUT SENIOR STAFF IN
20	CIRM HAVE BEEN WORKING BACKWARDS AND FORWARDS WITH
21	BUDGETS, AND WE'RE GOING TO PRESENT THAT INFORMATION
22	TO YOU. IT'S IN YOUR FOLDER, AND WE'LL DRAW YOUR
23	ATTENTION TO THAT IN A MOMENT.
24	I'VE HAD MEETINGS WITH THE OBAMA
25	TRANSITION TEAM. I WAS ASKED VERY SHORT NOTICE TO

1	GO TO WASHINGTON TO TALK TO THE TRANSITION TEAM. IT
2	WAS A VERY INTERESTING MEETING WITH THE TEAM WHERE
3	WE, A GROUP OF US, SCIENTISTS AND PATIENT ADVOCATES,
4	PUT OUR VIEWS TO THE TRANSITION TEAM ABOUT WHAT WE
5	THOUGHT WERE THE IMPORTANT THINGS THAT THE
6	TRANSITION TEAM OUGHT TO PASS UP THE LINE TO
7	PRESIDENT OBAMA'S TEAM. AND I'VE SENT OUT A COPY TO
8	ALL OF THE MEMBERS OF THE ICOC OF THAT DOCUMENT. I
9	THINK ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS FROM THAT MEETING WILL BE
10	MADE PUBLIC BY THE TRANSITION TEAM.
11	I'VE HAD DISCUSSIONS WITH THE NATIONAL
12	INSTITUTES OF HEALTH. AT THIS POINT IN TIME,
13	THERE'S A VERY STRONG POSITIVE FEELING FROM THE
14	MEMBERS OF NIH. THEY'RE WAITING FOR THE
15	ADMINISTRATION TO GIVE THEM SOME DIRECTION, AND I
16	THINK THAT WILL HAPPEN SHORTLY. AND AT THAT POINT
17	IN TIME, THEY'LL BE ABLE TO ENGAGE WITH US, I THINK,
18	IN THE WAY IN WHICH WE'RE LOOKING FORWARD TO. AND I
19	HOPE WE'RE ABLE TO CREATE SOME SIGNIFICANT
20	PARTNERSHIPS. IT'S CERTAINLY ONE OF THE CRITICAL
21	ELEMENTS THAT WE DREW TO THE ATTENTION OF THE
22	TRANSITION TEAM.
23	WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON THE STRATEGIC PLAN
24	REVISIONS, AND WE'LL BRING YOU A BRIEF OF THAT
25	DURING THIS MEETING.

1	WE'VE HAD DISCUSSIONS ON NEW FUNDING
2	MODELS AND PATHWAYS FOR CLINICAL TRIALS. THESE ARE
3	THINGS WE'RE STARTING TO EXPLORE WITH PEOPLE WHO
4	HAVE COME TO US WITH SUGGESTIONS.
5	WE'VE BEEN WORKING HARD ON THE LOAN
6	PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION PLAN. WE HOPE THAT WILL BE
7	AGREED TO BY THE BOARD AT THIS MEETING BECAUSE IT'S
8	VERY IMPORTANT FOR US TO GET FINALITY TO THAT SO WE
9	CAN ATTACH IT TO THE NEXT MAJOR RFA COMING UP.
10	AND WE'VE BEEN LOOKING AT CIRM FUNDING AND
11	STATE GOVERNMENT ISSUES; AND, OF COURSE, WE'VE BEEN
12	VISITING CIRM-FUNDED RESEARCHERS AS WELL. SO IT'S
13	BEEN A BUSY TIME.
14	I JUST WANTED TO SORT OF AGAIN GET YOU
15	INTO THE FRAMEWORK OF WHERE WE ARE IN TERMS OF
16	FUNDS. FUNDS AWARDED BY THE ICOC TO DATE IS AROUND
17	\$630 MILLION, AND IT'S SPLIT UP THERE IN TRAINING,
18	RESEARCH GRANTS, THE SHARED LABORATORY, AND THE
19	FACILITY AWARDS THERE.
20	THE NEXT ONE, THESE ARE THE FUNDS
21	ALLOCATED BY YOU TO DATE AS DISTINCT FROM THE
22	RECOMMENDATIONS. THERE'S CONCEPT PLAN APPROVALS,
23	AND THEY INCLUDE, AGAIN, A LARGE AMOUNT OF MONEY FOR
24	THE DISEASE TEAMS AND SOME OF THE OTHER EARLIER
25	STUDIES. SO WE ARE, RECOMMENDED BY THE BOARD, AT
	19

1	ABOUT \$1 BILLION, AND I WANTED TO DRAW YOUR
2	ATTENTION TO THAT BECAUSE I THINK IT'S A VERY
3	SIGNIFICANT POINT IN TIME, THAT YOU'VE ACTUALLY
4	MOVED TO RECOMMEND THAT, AT LEAST IN CONCEPT, THAT
5	WE GET OUT TO A BILLION DOLLARS. IN THEORY, IT'S A
6	THIRD OF OUR WAY THROUGH THE \$3 BILLION.
7	WE'RE MOVING THE PIPELINE FORWARD. THESE
8	ARE THE PROGRAMS THAT YOU'VE FUNDED. THE GREEN ONES
9	ARE IN THE BASIC AREA. AS WE MOVE INTO THE
10	TRANSLATION, THEY'RE THE MORE BLUISH COLOR, YOU CAN
11	SEE THAT WE'RE NOW MOVING TOWARDS THE CLINIC. AND
12	OUR FIRST FORAY TOWARDS THE CLINICAL STUDIES ARE IN
13	THE DISEASE TEAMS. SO WE ARE NOW MOVING FROM OUR
14	BASIC ELEMENT INTO THE TRANSLATION AND HEADING FOR
15	THE CLINIC.
16	I THINK THIS IS IMPORTANT FOR US TO
17	RECOGNIZE, THAT WE'VE DONE A LOT OF WORK BACK AT THE
18	BASIC LEVEL, AND NOW IT'S TIME TO SEE IF WE CAN DRAW
19	SOME OF THESE TREMENDOUS DISCOVERIES FORWARD TOWARDS
20	THE CLINIC BECAUSE THAT IS THE MISSION OF CIRM.
21	THE COMPLETED GRANT REVIEWS, WE'VE DONE
22	THOSE. YOU WILL BE LOOKING AT THEM AT THIS TIME.
23	IT'S TRAINING GRANTS II AND BRIDGES TO STEM CELLS.
24	UPCOMING GRANT REVIEWS, THE EARLY
25	TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH, WE RECEIVED 71 APPLICATIONS.

1	TWENTY WERE FROM FOR-PROFIT COMPANIES AND 51 WERE
2	FOR THE NOT-FOR-PROFIT SECTOR. THERE WERE 37
3	DEVELOPMENTAL CANDIDATES BECAUSE WE HAD SET THE RFA
4	TO LOOK FOR DEVELOPMENTAL CANDIDATES AND
5	BOTTLENECKS. SO IT'S ABOUT EQUAL, 37 AND 34, IN
6	TERMS OF APPLICATIONS.
7	I DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO THE FACT THERE
8	WERE NINE INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATIONS SET UP IN
9	THIS. THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THAT WE'D OFFERED ANY
10	KIND OF EXTERNAL COLLABORATION. THE STATE OF
11	VICTORIA IN AUSTRALIA PUT THEIR HAND OUT, AND THIS
12	IS REALLY THE BASIS FOR WHY WE'RE DOING THAT. THE
13	SCIENTISTS WANTED TO WORK TOGETHER. AND SO NINE OF
14	THOSE 71 APPLICATIONS HAVE COME FROM A COMBINATORIAL
15	PROJECT, AND IT'S GOING TO BE VERY INTERESTING. THE
16	GRANT REVIEWS ARE IN FEBRUARY THIS YEAR, AND THE
17	ICOC APPROVAL WE'LL BE LOOKING FOR IS IN APRIL.
18	UPCOMING RFA'S, THE BASIC RESEARCH
19	INITIATIVE I, THE RFA WAS RELEASED IN DECEMBER. THE
20	GRANTS WORKING GROUP WILL BE DOING THEIR JOBS IN
21	JUNE, AND THEN THE ICOC APPROVAL IS SLATED FOR
22	AUGUST THIS YEAR.
23	THE DISEASE TEAM RESEARCH AWARDS, THE RFA
24	WILL BE RELEASED IN FEBRUARY. IT'S NOT YET
25	RELEASED, BUT IT'S REALLY, REALLY VERY CLOSE, WITHIN

1	THE NEXT TEN DAYS, TWO WEEKS AT THE OUTSIDE. THE
2	GRANTS WORKING GROUP REVIEW IS SET FOR SEPTEMBER AND
3	ICOC APPROVAL FOR FALL AS CLOSE AS WE CAN GET TO THE
4	REVIEW BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY THIS IS A PROGRAM THAT'S
5	BEING VERY SOUGHT AFTER. WE'RE GETTING A LOT OF
6	INPUT FROM OUT THERE IN THE COMMUNITY.
7	I EXPECT THERE WILL BE OVER A HUNDRED
8	APPLICATIONS IN THIS DISEASE TEAM AREA. ACTUALLY IF
9	THEY COME FORWARD AT THE LEVEL THAT I THINK THEY'RE
10	GOING TO COME FORWARD TO, I THINK THERE'S GOING TO
11	BE SOME WONDERFUL GRANTS THAT I WOULD THINK HAVE A
12	VERY HIGH CHANCE OF MAKING IT TO IND. AND SO IT'S
13	GOING TO BE A VERY INTERESTING TEST, IF YOU LIKE, OF
14	THE WATER.
15	THE WORKSHOP, BRIEFLY, WITH THE UK WAS A
16	JOINT MEETING, AS I SAID, BETWEEN THE BRITISH AND
17	CALIFORNIAN SCIENTISTS. WE LOOKED AT TISSUE
18	ENGINEERING, ALL OF THOSE TOPICS THAT WE SAW THERE,
19	SEE THERE ON THE SLIDE.
20	WE TALKED ABOUT RESOURCES AND ACCESS TO
21	UNIQUE PATIENT POPULATIONS, SCIENTIFIC AREAS OF
22	EXPERTISE, FACILITIES, ACCESS TO SPECIFIC CELL
23	LINES. DIFFERENCES IN CLINICAL CULTURE WERE
24	HIGHLIGHTED BETWEEN THE TWO AREAS. THE
25	COLLABORATIVE FUNDING IDEAS WERE CANVASSED.

1	TRAINING AND EXCHANGE PROGRAMS BETWEEN THE TWO
2	COUNTRIES ARE VERY HIGHLY DESIRED BY BOTH, AND JOINT
3	WORKSHOPS AND CONFERENCES IS ALSO HIGHLY DESIRED TO
4	CONTINUE TO PROMOTE THE COLLABORATION.
5	INTERESTINGLY, AT THIS MEETING ALONE WE
6	KNOW OF THREE NEW COLLABORATIONS THAT WERE INITIATED
7	AT THAT PARTICULAR MEETING. SO THEY'RE GOING ON
8	IRRESPECTIVE OF WHETHER WE FUND THEM OR NOT, WHICH
9	IS THAT'S THE WAY SCIENCE WORKS.
10	PROPOSED WORKSHOPS, AS I SAID, IMMUNOLOGY
11	IS A VERY BIG ITEM COMING UP. IT'S A WAY TO ADDRESS
12	HOW TO GET FOREIGN CELLS INTO THE BODY WHERE THE
13	CELLS ARE NOT A PATIENT'S OWN. WE'RE HAVING THAT
14	MEETING ON THE 4TH OF FEBRUARY. IT'S BEEN ORGANIZED
15	BY MARIE CSETE AND COLLEAGUES. AND THEY'RE FOCUSING
16	ON IMMUNE SUPPRESSION, STRATEGIES FOR INDUCING
17	TOLERANCE, REALLY IMPORTANT, AUTOIMMUNITY AND OTHER
18	GAPS IN IMMUNE FUNCTION, AND PREDICTIVE IMMUNOLOGY,
19	TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES FOR ASSESSING IMMUNE RESPONSES
20	TO STEM CELLS.
21	I KNOW TODAY, AND I WAS JUST SAYING TO
22	DAVID, THERE'S A BEAUTIFUL PAPER COMING OUT IN THE
23	NEXT DAY ON THE USE OF STEM CELLS TO TREAT M.S., AND
24	I THINK IT'S A VERY ENCOURAGING PAPER. AND IF
25	YOU'RE INTERESTED, I PROMISED TO SEND DAVID A COPY

1	AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO WOULD BE INTERESTED. THIS IS
2	CLINICAL WORK SHOWING THAT HUMAN CELLS WILL RETURN
3	BEHAVIOR IN THESE PATIENTS BACK TO NORMAL. NOW,
4	THAT'S AN ASTONISHING ACHIEVEMENT.
5	OF COURSE, YOU NEED TO FOLLOW UP TO
6	UNDERSTAND HOW LONG THESE EFFECTS LAST, BUT WE ARE
7	IN AN INCREDIBLE INTERSECTION IN TIME AND SPACE AT
8	THE MOMENT WHERE, NOT ONLY HAVE WE GOT GERON TRIALS
9	GOING ON, BEGINNING, BUT WE'RE HAVING SOME BEAUTIFUL
10	WORK COMING FORWARD OUT OF THE SCIENTIFIC ARENA.
11	AND I THINK WE'RE POISED AS AN AGENCY TO HAVE THE
12	MAXIMUM EFFECT IN THIS NEXT TWELVE MONTHS TO THREE
13	YEARS. IT'S GOING TO BE A WONDERFUL TIME, I THINK,
14	TO BE PART OF WHAT I BELIEVE IS A REAL REVOLUTION IN
15	MEDICINE.
16	WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A STEM CELLS IN AUTISM
17	AND MENTAL HEALTH WORKSHOP. THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT,
18	CHAIR, TO MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY WHO PARTICULARLY
19	HAVE CHILDREN WHO SUFFER FROM MENTAL HEALTH AND
20	AUTISM. AND WE HAVE BEEN STRUGGLING TO KNOW HOW TO
21	FOCUS OUR PARTICULAR INTEREST TO HELP THIS SECTOR OF
22	THE COMMUNITY, BUT WE ARE GETTING THERE. AND MARIE
23	AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE SCIENCE OFFICE HAVE BEEN
24	OUT THERE LOOKING VERY CLOSELY AT WHAT WE MIGHT BE
25	ABLE TO DO. AND HOPEFULLY WE'LL BE ABLE TO

1	ENCOURAGE SOMEBODY TO COME FORWARD WITH CIRM THAT
2	COULD MAKE A DIFFERENCE SOMETIME IN THE FUTURE. IT
3	IS A VERY INTERESTING AREA, AND I WOULD HOPE SOME
4	MEMBERS OF THE ICOC WHO HAVE INTEREST IN THAT WILL
5	COME ALONG TO THE WORKSHOP.
6	MR. SHESTACK: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO TALK TO
7	MARIE IF SHE WOULD GIVE ME A CALL. I CAN PUT HER IN
8	TOUCH WITH SOME PEOPLE WHO CAN HELP YOU PUT TOGETHER
9	A VERY GOOD WORKSHOP.
10	DR. TROUNSON: YOU KNOW HOW TO GET HOLD OF
11	MARIE, JON, AND MARIE KNOWS HOW TO GET HOLD OF YOU.
12	WE REALLY APPRECIATE THE INPUTS. WE'RE REALLY GOING
13	TO GO AND TARGET WHAT WE COULD DO FOR THIS AREA OF
14	SCIENCE. IT HASN'T BEEN VERY CLEAR UP UNTIL NOW,
15	AND I THINK THE WORKSHOP WILL HELP US GET SOME FOCUS
16	ON THAT AREA.
17	MR. SHESTACK: THERE'S SOME BIG POTENTIAL
18	IN DISCOVERY SCIENCE IN AUTISM AND STEM CELLS. IF
19	SHE WOULD GIVE ME A CALL, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.
20	DR. TROUNSON: AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A
21	CIRM-JAPAN SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY SCIENTIST GROUP
22	MEETING. SO THIS IS CALIFORNIA AND JAPANESE
23	SCIENTISTS TO BE DETERMINED. THE JAPANESE WANT US
24	TO BRING THE YOUNG SCIENTISTS FROM CALIFORNIA AND
25	JAPAN TOGETHER, AND I THINK THAT'S A VERY
	25

1	INTERESTING PROPOSAL. AND MARIE AND MIKE YAFFE WILL
2	BE GOING SHORTLY TO TALK WITH OUR JAPANESE
3	COLLEAGUES ABOUT THAT.
4	WELL, I THINK I'M PRETTY CLOSE TO THE END
5	OF WHAT I WANTED TO SAY, BUT I WANT TO MOVE ON TO
6	THE FINANCIAL SIDE. AND I THINK THIS IS SOMETHING
7	THAT THE BOARD HAS BEEN LOOKING FOR. I THINK IT'S
8	IMPORTANT. SO IF YOU COULD LOAD IT IN, WHAT WE'RE
9	GOING TO DO HERE IS DO IT IN TWO WAYS. I'M GOING TO
10	ASK MARGARET FERGUSON TO COME FORWARD AND TALK TO
11	YOU ABOUT OUR 2008 BUDGET ALLOCATION AND
12	EXPENDITURES. THIS IS FOR OPERATIONS. YOU GAVE
13	US YOU AGREED TO A BUDGET FOR OUR OPERATIONS.
14	REMEMBER, OUR OPERATIONS HAVE TO STAY INSIDE THE 6
15	PERCENT OF THE FUNDS RAISED. AND SO WE'VE BEEN
16	WORKING AT A BUDGET, AND I WANT HER TO GIVE YOU THE
17	PROGRESS, BASICALLY SIX MONTHS' PROGRESS, ON THAT
18	BUDGET AND THE VARIATION ON THE BUDGET.
19	SO THAT WILL BE THE FIRST PRESENTATION.
20	AND ALL OF THESE MATERIALS ARE IN YOUR FOLDERS. SO
21	IF YOU GO TO YOUR FOLDERS, YOU WILL BE ABLE TO
22	FOLLOW, NOT ONLY IF YOU CARE TO LOOK AT THE BOARD,
23	BUT YOU CAN GET MORE DETAIL, LOOK AT THE INFORMATION
24	IN YOUR FOLDERS.
25	THEN I'M GOING TO ASK JOHN ROBSON, WHO'S

1	THE DEPUTY PRESIDENT OPERATIONS, TO GIVE YOU THE
2	CURRENT AND FORWARD SITUATION FOR OUR CASH FLOW
3	OVERALL SO THAT YOU CAN HAVE A LOOK AT THE STATE OF
4	THE MONEY IN OUR AGENCY. AND AT THE END OF HIS
5	PRESENTATION ON THE FIGURES, THE SENIOR STAFF,
6	TOGETHER IN DISCUSSIONS WITH THE CHAIR, HAVE
7	FORMULATED A SET OF RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE HOPE
8	THAT YOU WILL CONSIDER. AND IF YOU ALLOW JOHN TO GO
9	RIGHT THROUGH TO THE END, AND THEN I'M SURE YOU ARE
10	GOING TO HAVE LOTS OF QUESTIONS, BUT I THINK IF YOU
11	SEE WHAT THE BUDGET SITUATION IS AND THE FORECAST
12	FOR THE CASH FLOWS AND THEN SEE WHAT WE'RE
13	RECOMMENDING THAT WE DO ABOUT THAT, BOTH THE
14	MANAGEMENT AND WHAT WE'RE RECOMMENDING TO THE BOARD,
15	THEN I THINK YOU WILL HAVE A VERY GOOD IDEA OF THIS
16	PARTICULAR SPACE. SO MARGARET.
17	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DR. TROUNSON, BEFORE
18	MARGARET BEGINS, SO THAT THE BOARD CAN PUT THIS ALL
19	IN CONTEXT, IF I COULD ASK. WE'RE GOING TO GO
20	THROUGH THESE STAFF PRESENTATIONS, BUT BEFORE
21	DISCUSSING THE OPTIONS, WE'RE GOING TO GO THROUGH,
22	WE'RE GOING TO BREAK THIS AND GO THROUGH THE STATE
23	OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET CASE STUDIES SO THEY CAN LOOK
24	AT POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS, THE DIFFICULTY OF THOSE
25	SOLUTIONS, AND THEN WE'LL COME BACK TO THE SECOND

1	PART OF THIS, WHICH IS CONSIDERING THESE OPTIONS.
2	THERE WILL NOT BE ANY VOTES TODAY, BUT IT'S A
3	DISCUSSION TODAY. WE WILL AGENDIZE THIS LATER FOR
4	VOTES BASED UPON THIS DISCUSSION.
5	AS YOU WILL SEE IN THE DISCUSSION, THERE
6	WILL BE SOME DECISION POINTS STRATEGICALLY IN TIME
7	WHERE WE WILL NEED TO MAKE SOME VERY IMPORTANT
8	ALLOCATION DECISIONS. I WOULD SUGGEST THAT AS WE
9	GET THE INFORMATION TODAY, WE WILL POTENTIALLY SEE
10	THAT WE DON'T HAVE ALL THE INFORMATION FOR ACTION
11	TODAY ON OPTIONS, WHICH IS WHY IT'S NOT AGENDIZED AS
12	AN ACTION ITEM, BUT WE CANNOT WAIT UNTIL WE NEED TO
13	ACT TO INFORM THE BOARD BECAUSE THE BOARD NEEDS THIS
14	INFORMATION WELL IN ADVANCE. WE MAY, IN FACT, NEED
15	AT SOME POINT TO ACT ON A TELECONFERENCE CALL, AND
16	WE WILL NEED TO HAVE THE BOARD HAVE INFORMATION, A
17	CHANCE TO CONSIDER THE INFORMATION, A CHANCE TO DO
18	THEIR OWN RESEARCH AND INDEPENDENT THINKING, SO
19	WE'RE PREPARED TO ACT AT THAT TIME.
20	THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND THANK YOU FOR
21	YOUR TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF WORK IN PUTTING THIS
22	MATERIAL TOGETHER.
23	MS. FERGUSON: OKAY. MY TURN. FIRST, I
24	WOULD LIKE TO SAY GOOD AFTERNOON, MEMBERS OF THE
25	BOARD, CIRM STAFF THAT'S PRESENT, AND MEMBERS OF THE

1	PUBLIC. I'M HERE TODAY TO PRESENT TO YOU THE
2	EXPENDITURES THAT HAVE OCCURRED THROUGH DECEMBER
3	31ST ON CIRM'S OPERATING BUDGET. THIS IS WHAT CIRM
4	SPENDS TO OPERATE AND DOES NOT INCLUDE GRANT
5	FUNDING, WHICH DR. ROBSON WILL GO THROUGH.
6	I WOULD LIKE TO REVIEW THE VARIOUS
7	CATEGORIES IN THE BUDGET FOR THE 2008 FISCAL YEAR AS
8	THAT HAD BEEN APPROVED BY THE ICOC IN JUNE '08 AND
9	COMPARE THAT WITH OUR EXPENDITURES FOR THE FIRST
10	HALF OF THE FISCAL YEAR. I'LL BEGIN BY GIVING YOU A
11	BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE EXPENDITURE CATEGORIES.
12	SALARIES AND BENEFITS, WHICH IS
13	SELF-EXPLANATORY. THAT IS SALARIES AND BENEFITS.
14	INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS, IN THIS CATEGORY WE RECORD
15	EXPENDITURES FOR AGREEMENTS MADE WITH OTHER STATE OF
16	CALIFORNIA AGENCIES FOR SERVICES THAT THEY RENDER TO
17	CIRM. OUR CURRENT AGREEMENTS ARE FOR ACCOUNTING,
18	PAYROLL, PERSONNEL, SOME LEGAL, AND I.T. SERVICES.
19	UNDER EXTERNAL CONTRACTS, EXPENDITURES IN THIS
20	CATEGORY ARE FOR CONTRACTS WITH OUTSIDE CONTRACTORS
21	AND VENDORS TO PROVIDE A VARIETY OF NECESSARY
22	SERVICES TO CIRM. SUCH SERVICES INCLUDE, BUT ARE
23	NOT LIMITED TO, AUDITING, GRANTS MANAGEMENT, I.T.
24	SUPPORT AND CONSULTING, LEGAL, COMMUNICATIONS,
25	WEBSITE DEVELOPMENT, PRINTING, AND EVEN MAINTENANCE.

1	NOW, ICOC SCIENCE WORK GROUP MEETINGS
2	I'M SORRY ICOC, SCIENCE, AND WORK GROUP MEETINGS,
3	I COLLAPSED THIS. IN THE HANDOUT YOU HAVE THEM EACH
4	AS SEPARATE; BUT IN ORDER FOR US TO NOT LOSE OUR
5	EYESIGHT AND HAVE THE NUMBERS A LITTLE BIT BIGGER UP
6	THERE, I COLLAPSED IT DOWN INTO ONE CATEGORY.
7	IN THIS CATEGORY ARE ANY AND ALL COSTS
8	RELATED TO CONDUCTING THESE TYPES OF MEETINGS. AND
9	THESE COSTS WOULD INCLUDE TRAVEL FOR PARTICIPANTS,
10	MEETING VENUES, AUDIOVISUAL, SUPPLIES, AND
11	TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES. OTHER TRAVEL, THESE ARE FOR
12	COSTS DIRECTLY RELATED TO THE TRAVEL OF CIRM STAFF
13	FOR OFFICIAL STATE BUSINESS BOTH WITHIN THE STATE
14	AND OUT-OF-STATE. FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT, AGAIN,
15	IS SELF-EXPLANATORY. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
16	INCLUDES THE COST OF COMPUTERS, SOFTWARE, AND
17	SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE.
18	OTHER OE&E, WHICH IS OTHER OPERATING
19	EXPENSE AND EXPENDITURES OR EQUIPMENT, INCLUDES
20	THOSE EXPENDITURES FOR OFFICE SUPPLIES, PAPER,
21	PRINTING, COMMUNICATIONS, TRAINING, PUBLICATIONS,
22	SUBSCRIPTIONS, AND MEMBERSHIPS.
23	NOW I'D LIKE TO MOVE ON TO THE COLUMNS.
24	IN COLUMN 1, THE BUDGET ALLOCATION, THAT IS
25	REPRESENTATIVE OF THE BUDGET THAT WAS APPROVED LAST
	30
	ı JU

1	JUNE FOR THE 2008-9 FISCAL YEAR.
2	COLUMN 2, EXPENDITURES POSTED. THAT
3	REPRESENTS THE OPERATING EXPENDITURES THAT HAVE BEEN
4	POSTED FOR CIRM AS OF DECEMBER 31ST BY THE
5	DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES, WHO MAINTAINS OUR
6	ACCOUNTING RECORDS AT THE MOMENT.
7	COLUMN 3, AVAILABLE BUDGET ALLOCATION,
8	REPRESENTS THAT PORTION OF THE BUDGET THAT WAS
9	APPROVED THAT IS STILL AVAILABLE TO COVER ACTUAL AND
10	PROJECTED OPERATING EXPENDITURES THROUGH JUNE 30TH,
11	2009.
12	AND THE LAST COLUMN, NO. 4, IS THE
13	PERCENTAGE OF THE BUDGET ALLOCATION POSTED. THAT
14	SHOWS EXPENDITURES AGAINST THE BUDGET ALLOCATION AND
15	THAT PERCENTAGE OF THE BUDGET THAT WE'VE ACTUALLY
16	USED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2008.
17	AS DISPLAYED, THE EXPENDITURES INDICATE
18	THAT WE HAVE USED APPROXIMATELY 21 PERCENT OF OUR
19	OPERATING EXPENSES AND EQUIPMENT BUDGET AND 35
20	PERCENT OF OUR SALARIES AND BENEFIT ALLOCATION.
21	OVERALL WE'VE USED 29 PERCENT OF OUR APPROVED BUDGET
22	ALLOCATION. HOWEVER, I WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO
23	BRING TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION THAT WE CURRENTLY
24	HAVE OUTSTANDING EXPENDITURES THAT HAVE NOT BEEN
25	RECORDED THAT WILL INCREASE THIS 21 PERCENT
	24

1	OPERATING EXPENSE AND EQUIPMENT PERCENTAGE THAT'S
2	SHOWN.
3	WE HAVE AT A MINIMUM A ONE-MONTH LAG ON
4	INVOICES. TYPICALLY INVOICES FOR GOODS AND SERVICES
5	THAT ARE RENDERED TO CIRM ARE RECEIVED IN ONE MONTH,
6	BUT THOSE BILLS WON'T COME IN UNTIL THE FOLLOWING
7	MONTH. SO THERE'S ALWAYS GOING TO BE THAT ONE-MONTH
8	LAG. SO WHAT YOU SEE HERE IS WHAT'S POSTED TO
9	DECEMBER, BUT THAT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN THAT
10	INCLUDES ALL DECEMBER EXPENDITURES.
11	IN ADDITION TO THIS ONE-MONTH LAG IN
12	PROCESSING INVOICES, WE HAVE CONTRACTS AND
13	INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS THAT ARE NOT PAID ON A
14	MONTHLY BASIS, BUT RATHER ON A QUARTERLY BASIS OR
15	WHEN A FINAL PRODUCT IS RECEIVED AND APPROVED BY
16	CIRM. THOSE EXPENDITURES ARE ANTICIPATED TO POST
17	BETWEEN THE MONTHS OF JANUARY AND JUNE.
18	AT THE PRESENT WE ALSO HAVE A BACKLOG IN
19	CREDIT CARD AND BUSINESS TRAVEL ACCOUNT EXPENDITURES
20	THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO DECEMBER THAT ARE SCHEDULED
21	TO BE RECORDED IN JANUARY AND FEBRUARY. AND THOSE
22	COSTS WILL INCREASE THOSE PERCENTAGES USED IN THE
23	ICOC SCIENCE WORK GROUP MEETING CATEGORY AS WELL AS
24	OTHER TRAVEL AND OTHER OE&E. HOWEVER, EVEN WITH
25	THESE BACKLOGS AND THE TIMING ISSUE WITH INVOICES OR

1	THE NORMAL LAGS, THE CIRM IS CURRENTLY PROJECTED TO
2	OPERATE UNDER THE APPROVED BUDGET ALLOCATION. SO TO
3	DATE, OF THE \$13 MILLION THAT WE HAD APPROVED, WE'VE
4	SPENT 3.8 AND WE STILL HAVE 9.5 AVAILABLE. I LOST
5	IT. EXCUSE ME. BUT YOU NEED TO TAKE INTO
6	CONSIDERATION THAT THERE ARE ITEMS THERE THAT ARE
7	NOT POSTED THAT REALLY ARE THROUGH DECEMBER
8	EXPENDI TURES.
9	AND I'M NOW OPEN FOR ANY QUESTIONS THAT
10	YOU MI GHT HAVE.
11	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND, MARGARET, MY
12	UNDERSTANDING, AGAIN, IS THAT WE'LL END UP, BASED ON
13	CURRENT PROJECTIONS, ABOUT 22 PERCENT UNDER BUDGET
14	FOR THE FISCAL YEAR.
15	MS. FERGUSON: YES, WE WILL.
16	DR. PIZZO: I REALIZE THAT THIS IS A
17	BUDGETED ITEM, BUT I'M JUST NOT SURE EXACTLY WHAT'S
18	IN IT, WHICH IS IN THE OTHER TRAVEL CATEGORY. JUST
19	LOOKING AT THIS, IF I HEARD YOU CORRECTLY, THIS IS
20	FOR TRAVEL OF THE STAFF OF CIRM, NOT FOR THE
21	SCIENTISTS WHO ARE COMING FOR REVIEWS. THAT'S
22	INCLUDED IN THE LINE ABOVE THAT, CORRECT?
23	MS. FERGUSON: CORRECT.
24	DR. PIZZO: IT LOOKS LIKE A FAIRLY I
25	REALIZE YOU'VE ONLY USED 10 PERCENT OF IT, BUT IT

1	LOOKS LIKE STILL A FAIRLY LARGE NUMBER. SO AS YOU
2	BUDGETED THAT, WHAT WAS BEING PLANNED?
3	MS. FERGUSON: WELL, LET'S SEE. WHEN WE
4	BUDGETED THAT, AT THE TIME THAT WE DID THAT, WE TOOK
5	INTO CONSIDERATION ALL THE TRAVEL FOR ALL THE
6	MEETINGS WITHIN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AS WELL AS
7	THE NECESSARY TRAVEL THAT SCIENCE STAFF, OFFICE OF
8	THE PRESIDENT, AS WELL AS OFFICE OF THE CHAIR WOULD
9	NEED TO DO IN ORDER TO, FOR INSTANCE, GO BACK TO
10	WASHINGTON, D.C., AND MEET WITH THE NEW INCOMING
11	ADMINISTRATION, OTHER SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCES. SO WE
12	STILL HAVE A LOT OF THOSE SCHEDULED TO HAPPEN. AND
13	LIKE I SAID, WE HAVE A BACKLOG, AND ONE OF THOSE IS
14	IN THE TRAVEL CATEGORY.
15	SO EVEN THOUGH IT SHOWS 10 PERCENT, IT
16	REALLY IS MORE THAN THAT 10 PERCENT. THERE ARE
17	APPROXIMATELY THREE MONTHS' WORTH OF TRAVEL-RELATED
18	ITEMS THAT ARE NOT POSTED THERE. THEY'RE PAID, BUT
19	NOT POSTED.
20	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: MARGARET, I'D LIKE TO SEE
21	IF DR. TROUNSON OR DR. OLSON WOULD LIKE TO AUGMENT
22	THOSE COMMENTS BECAUSE THERE IS A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT
23	OF STAFF TRAVEL TO BE ON THE CUTTING EDGE OF SCIENCE
24	AND MEDICINE.
25	DR. TROUNSON: THANK YOU, CHAIR. ONE OF
	3.4

1	THE THINGS THAT I WANT THE SCIENTISTS TO DO IS TO
2	GET OUT THERE AND BE WITH THE CALIFORNIANS AS MUCH
3	AS POSSIBLE BECAUSE THEY'RE THE PEOPLE THAT WE'RE
4	TRYING TO TAKE THEIR ADVICE FROM, TO BE AWARE OF, TO
5	GO DEEP INTO THEIR STUDIES. AND SO I ENCOURAGED
6	STRONGLY ALL THE SCIENCE OFFICERS TO TRAVEL AS MUCH
7	AS POSSIBLE IN ORDER TO KEEP THEM VERY WELL UPDATED.
8	AND THAT INCLUDES SOME CONFERENCES THAT ARE
9	OUT-OF-STATE AS WELL.
10	WHAT'S HAPPENED IS THAT THE SCIENCE OFFICE
11	HAS BEEN OVERWHELMED REALLY WITH THEIR WORKLOAD, AND
12	SO THEY HAVEN'T TRAVELED AS FREQUENTLY AS WE'D
13	PREDICTED. WHAT YOU HAVE TO DO, AND I THINK THIS IS
14	WHAT HAPPENS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IS
15	THAT WE HAVE TO APPLY TO THE GOVERNOR FOR APPROVAL
16	TO TRAVEL TO CONFERENCES AND PARTICULARLY
17	OUT-OF-STATE AND INTERNATIONALLY. IF YOU DON'T DO
18	IT, YOU CAN'T DO IT IF YOU HAVEN'T GOT IT PEGGED.
19	SO WE WHAT DO, PHIL, IS TRY AND LOOK AT WHAT THE
20	MAXIMUM MIGHT BE AND THEN HOPEFULLY COME IN WELL AND
21	TRULY UNDER BUDGET. BUT IF WE DON'T DO THAT, WE RUN
22	INTO A PROBLEM OF NOT HAVING PERMISSION TO DO IT.
23	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO WHAT DR. TROUNSON IS
24	REFERRING TO SPECIFICALLY IS THE DEPARTMENT OF
25	FINANCE IN THEIR BUDGET PROCESS GOES THROUGH AND

1	DOES A DETAILED EVALUATION. AND FOR EVERY TRIP
2	THERE HAS TO BE A JUSTIFICATION FOR THE PEOPLE AND
3	THE COST. AND THAT REVIEW WE'VE GONE THROUGH
4	PROCEDURALLY. ALTHOUGH TECHNI CALLY WE'RE NOT
5	REQUIRED NECESSARILY STATUTORILY TO DO SO, WE HAVE
6	BECAUSE IT'S A BEST PRACTICE WE'VE FOLLOWED.
7	I'D ALSO LIKE TO FIND OUT IF, DUANE,
8	BEFORE I GO TO YOUR QUESTION, WHETHER DR. OLSON
9	WANTED TO ADD ANYTHING.
10	DR. OLSON: I THINK DR. TROUNSON
11	ADEQUATELY DID A GREAT JOB OF SUMMARIZING OUR
12	POSITION.
13	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO
14	DUANE.
15	MR. ROTH: QUESTION. BASED ON WHAT YOU
16	SAID ABOUT THESE EXPENDITURES THAT HAVEN'T BEEN
17	RECORDED, ARE YOU ON A CASH OR ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING
18	BASI S?
19	MS. FERGUSON: IT'S ACTUALLY A MODIFIED
20	ACCRUAL BASIS. IN OTHER WORDS, AT THE END OF THE
21	YEAR, WE WILL ACCRUE THOSE ITEMS FOR A SNAPSHOT IN
22	TIME THROUGH JUNE 30TH. RIGHT NOW IT IS JUST
23	MODIFIED ACCRUAL. WHEN I SAY THAT SOME OF THESE
24	THINGS HAVE ACTUALLY BEEN PAID AND NOT RECORDED,
25	IT'S BECAUSE WE HAVE OTHER METHODS OF PAYING OTHER

1	THAN GOING STRAIGHT THROUGH A CLAIM SCHEDULE
2	PROCESS. WE HAVE A REVOLVING FUND PROCESS WHICH
3	ALLOWS US TO MAKE A TIMELY PAYMENT AND THEN PUT IN
4	ALL THE WORKLOAD THAT'S NECESSARY TO GET IT THROUGH
5	THE FINAL PROCESS AND RECORDED AS AN EXPENDITURE.
6	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. ADDITIONAL POINTS?
7	DR. POMEROY: I WOULD LIKE TO FOLLOW UP ON
8	PHIL'S QUESTION IF I COULD. TRAVEL IN THESE TIMES
9	IS VERY MUCH OF A PERCEPTION ISSUE, ESPECIALLY HALF
10	A MILLION DOLLARS WORTH OF TRAVEL. AND I WAS
11	WONDERING IF IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE AT A FUTURE DATE
12	TO GET A BREAKDOWN OF HOW MUCH OF THIS IS IN-STATE,
13	NATIONAL, AND INTERNATIONAL.
14	MS. FERGUSON: YES, I CAN DO THAT FOR YOU.
15	DR. TROUNSON: WE CAN PROVIDE YOU WHAT WE
16	APPLIED FOR, AND WE CAN PROVIDE YOU WHAT WE ACTUALLY
17	DID. SO WE HAVE TO APPLY, YOU KNOW, IN GOOD TIME
18	BEFORE THE FINANCIAL YEAR, AND THEN IT HAS TO BE
19	ACCOUNTED FOR AT THE END OF THE FINANCIAL YEAR.
20	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: BUT IN RESPONSE, WE'LL
21	BRING THAT BACK TO THE BOARD.
22	DR. POMEROY: THANK YOU.
23	DR. GILL: JUST COMMENT ON WHAT ALAN SAID.
24	IN THE ARISE REPORT FROM THE AMERICAN ACADEMY THAT
25	TOM CECH WAS CHAIR OF, IT WAS POINTED OUT THAT THE

1	PROGRAM OFFICES AT THE NIH WERE REALLY GETTING OUT
2	OF TOUCH WITH SCIENCE, ESPECIALLY HIGH RISK, HIGH
3	REWARD SCIENCE, BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT ABLE TO ATTEND
4	THE SCIENTIFIC MEETINGS AND, THEREFORE, EVALUATE
5	WHERE FUNDING PRIORITIES SHOULD BE. SO I CERTAINLY
6	SECOND ALAN'S ATTACHING IMPORTANCE TO THIS.
7	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. ADDITIONAL
8	QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD ON THIS REPORT? THANK YOU
9	VERY MUCH, MARGARET.
10	MS. FERGUSON: I THINK I HAVE ONE MORE
11	SLIDE. THERE IT IS.
12	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU.
13	MS. FERGUSON: MAY I GO AHEAD?
14	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YES.
15	MS. FERGUSON: ALL RIGHT. THIS IS MY
16	FAVORITE PART. I HAVE TO ADMIT IT. THIS IS A
17	PRESENTATION ON THE DONATIONS THAT WERE REPORTED OR
18	RECORDED JULY THROUGH DECEMBER. AND I WANT TO TAKE
19	A MOMENT TO PUBLICLY THANK THE LISTED DONORS WHOSE
20	CONTRIBUTIONS ARE LISTED FOR YOU.
21	IN ADDITION, I WANT TO TAKE JUST A SMALL
22	MOMENT OF TIME TO READ A COUPLE OF NOTES THAT WERE
23	ATTACHED TO SOME OF THESE DONATIONS. IN PARTICULAR,
24	WELL, FIRST, MR. ARNOLD STARK, BLESS HIS SOUL. THIS
25	MAN, EVERY FEW MONTHS WE'LL GET A CHECK FOR \$20.
	38

1	AND SOMEONE DESIGNATED THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR
2	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE AS THEIR DONATION UNDER THE
3	UNITED CAMPAIGN. THAT'S WHY WE HAVE THE UNITED
4	CAMPAIGN OF SAN DIEGO UP THERE OR UNITED WAY.
5	BUT THIS IS IN MEMORY OF RICHARD "DICK"
6	HOOPER. HERE'S WHAT I'D LIKE TO SAY. WE RECEIVED
7	\$765 FROM ALL THESE INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE LISTED
8	BEFORE YOU. FROM DONNA NEWBOLD, THERE WAS A SMALL
9	NOTE ATTACHED TO THE DONATION SAYING THAT IT WAS IN
10	MEMORY OF DICK HOOPER, AND SHE WANTED TO THANK
11	EVERYONE FOR THE GOOD JOB THAT WE'RE DOING. AND SHE
12	MEANT THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF REGENERATIVE
13	MEDICINE.
14	FROM THE DAUGHTER OF MR. DICK HOOPER, AND
15	I GOT THE NOTE AND I READ THIS, AND I THINK YOU NEED
16	TO HEAR THIS. AND I'M GOING TO QUOTE WHAT WAS IN
17	THERE. AND SHE SENT A NOTE TO ALL MR. HOOPER'S
18	FRIENDS. "SOME OF YOU MAY NOT BE ABLE TO ATTEND THE
19	SERVICES AND MAY WANT TO HONOR DICK. WE ARE ASKING
20	THAT YOU HELP TO CONTINUE ONE OF HIS PASSIONS BY
21	MAKING A DONATION IN HIS NAME TO THE CALIFORNIA
22	INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE."
23	THESE INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE LISTED BEFORE
24	YOU ARE REALLY WHO WE SERVE AND PROVIDE HOPE FOR A
25	HEALTHI ER FUTURE. THANK YOU.

CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU, MARGARET.
(APPLAUSE.)
DR. ROBSON: THAT'S A TOUGH ACT TO FOLLOW.
SHE GETS TO GIVE ALL THE GOOD NEWS AND NOW HERE
COMES THE REALITY. SO
DR. AZZIZ: I DID HAVE A QUESTION. THIS
IS A TOUCHING DONATION REPORT. BEING INVOLVED IN
FUND-RAISING, AS MANY OF US ARE INVOLVED HERE, HAS
THE CENTER ENGAGED IN ANY WAY IN A PLANNED WAY TO
RAISE AWARENESS AND, IN FACT, BEGIN TO DEVELOP
AVENUES OF PHILANTHROPY, OR IS THIS NOT PART OF THE
OVERALL STRATEGIC PLAN? I PRESUME RIGHT NOW IT IS
NOT, BUT I'D LIKE TO UNDERSTAND IF WE'RE MOVING IN
THAT DIRECTION OR NOT.
DR. TROUNSON: IF I COULD ADDRESS THAT,
CHAIR. IT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT WE'VE BROUGHT
FORWARD AS SOMETHING THAT WE SHOULD DO AS A
PRIORITY. I THINK IT COULD BE, BUT IT IS NOT
SOMETHING THAT WE'VE THOUGHT WAS AN ESSENTIAL
ELEMENT OF THE AGENCY. AND WE'D BE INTERESTED IN
OTHER PEOPLE'S VIEW OF THAT. CLEARLY, THE AGENCY IS
AVAILABLE IF PEOPLE FEEL THAT THEY WANT TO DO THE
KIND OF THING THAT YOU SEE. AND THESE SMALL
DONATIONS, IN A WAY, ARE VERY IMPORTANT BECAUSE I
THINK IT'S MEANINGFUL AND FROM THE HEART, AS
40

1	MARGARET SAID.
2	I THINK THIS IS SOME OF THE THINGS THAT WE
3	COULD DISCUSS PERHAPS AT PUBLIC MEETINGS IF WE GO
4	FORWARD ON THE STRATEGIC PLAN AS WE MIGHT RECOMMEND
5	TO YOU LATER ON. SO IT'S A THOUGHT AND NOT AN
6	IMPOSSIBLE ONE. CLEARLY, THERE IS A DIFFERENT WAY
7	OF LOOKING AT THAT, WHICH IS THE CHAIR'S SORT OF
8	STRATEGY TOWARDS SEEING HOW YOU CAN ACTUALLY GET A
9	DONATION THAT CAN COME TO THE INSTITUTE IN A VERY
10	SIGNIFICANT WAY. I'M NOT REALLY TRYING TO ADDRESS
11	THAT MUCH MORE. I'M MORE TALKING ABOUT THE SMALLER
12	DONATIONS IN A PHILANTHROPIC.
13	MAYBE, AS WE COME ONTO THE CLINIC, OF
14	COURSE, AS YOU DO SEE IN HOSPITALS, THERE'S A MUCH
15	MORE STRONG FEELING TOWARDS WANTING TO GIVE IN ORDER
16	TO SIGNIFICANTLY HELP. AND IT GETS STRONGER AS YOU
17	MOVE TO THE CLINIC.
18	DR. AZZIZ: JUST I DO THINK IT'S SOMETHING
19	WORTHWHILE EXAMINING. I THINK IT IS ONE WAY TO
20	ENGAGE THE COMMUNITY IN A SIGNIFICANT WAY. AS YOU
21	SAID, THERE ARE MANY REWARDS TO PHILANTHROPY, NOT
22	JUST MONIES. SO IT'S SOMETHING AT SOME POINT I'D
23	LIKE YOU TO THINK AND MAYBE ALL OF US CAN DISCUSS.
24	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: CERTAINLY. DR. AZZIZ, WE

HAVE A PROGRAM FROM APPROXIMATELY TWO YEARS AGO

25

1	WHERE A MAJOR DONOR CAN PROPOSE TO FUND A
2	SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF AN INDIVIDUAL PROGRAM, AND
3	THE BOARD WOULD CONSIDER NAMING THAT PROGRAM AFTER
4	THAT DONOR ACCORDING TO CERTAIN CRITERIA THAT THE
5	BOARD HAS SET UP THAT THAT DONOR MUST QUALIFY UNDER.
6	SO THAT IS AN OPTION. WITH THE SMALL
7	STAFF WE HAVE, WE HAVE BEEN FOCUSING ON THE
8	PRIORITIES ON THE CRITICAL PATH, BUT THIS IS
9	DEFINITELY A POTENTIAL MAJOR SUPPLEMENT TO OUR PATH
10	THAT COULD BE BENEFICIAL. DR. PIZZO.
11	DR. PIZZO: WELL, I DON'T WANT TO BELABOR
12	THE DISCUSSION TOO LONG NOW BECAUSE WE HAVE SO MANY
13	THINGS TO DO, BUT I DO THINK WE'D HAVE TO HAVE A
14	THOUGHTFUL, AS I'M SURE YOU WOULD AGREE, DISCUSSION
15	ABOUT THIS IN THAT, ON THE ONE HAND, IT COULD BE
16	VIEWED AS SUPPLEMENTAL OR COMPLEMENTARY. IT COULD
17	ALSO BECOME COMPETITIVE SINCE EACH OF OUR
18	INSTITUTIONS ARE ALSO ENGAGED IN RAISING FUNDS FOR
19	ACTIVITIES, INCLUDING STEM CELL RESEARCH. SO I
20	THINK WE'D WANT TO BE VERY MINDFUL OF HOW DO WE
21	ENRICH THE WHOLE WITHOUT COMPETING AMONG THE PARTS.
22	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY
23	MUCH. DR. ROBSON, THE SECOND PART OF THE REPORT.
24	DR. ROBSON: SO MARGARET JUST WENT THROUGH
25	THE OPERATING BUDGET AT CIRM FOR THE FIRST HALF OF

1	THE FISCAL YEAR. I'M GOING TO PROJECT FORWARD, AND
2	I'M GOING TO DO TWO THINGS. FIRST, I'M GOING TO GO
3	OVER THE EXPENDITURES THAT WE ANTICIPATE HAVING
4	BETWEEN NOW AND JUNE 30TH, THAT IS THE SECOND HALF
5	OF THE FISCAL YEAR, JUST SO YOU CAN SEE WHAT WE HAVE
6	IN CASH ON HAND, WHAT EXPENDITURES FOR GRANT FUNDS,
7	AND OTHER THINGS THAT WE ANTICIPATE HAVING SO
8	THAT AND THEN SHOW YOU WHAT WE EXPECT TO BE OUR
9	SITUATION, GIVE YOU A VIEW OF WHERE WE WILL BE AS OF
10	JUNE 30TH.
11	THEN I'M GOING TO WE'VE BEEN THINKING
12	BEYOND JUNE 30TH. AND THEN I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU AN
13	IDEA OF WHAT WE'VE BEEN SEEING FARTHER INTO THE
14	FUTURE. AND THE DATE THAT I'M GOING TO PROJECT OUT
15	TO IS DECEMBER 31, 2010. SO THAT'S REALLY TWO YEARS
16	FROM NOW. AND THE REASON I'M GOING TO PROJECT TO
17	THAT DATE IS THAT OUR CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE
18	FINANCE COMMITTEE BELIEVE THAT WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO
19	RAISE MONEY THROUGH GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS UNTIL
20	THE END OF 2010. SO I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU A PICTURE
21	OF WHAT WE NEED TO GET FROM HERE TO THERE.
22	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND JOHN, LET ME CLARIFY
23	THAT SLIGHTLY BY SAYING THROUGH PUBLIC SALES.
24	DR. ROBSON: GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS IS
25	WHAT I MEANT, PUBLIC SALES.

1	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THROUGH PUBLIC SALES OF
2	GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS. AND WHILE WE MAY BE ABLE
3	TO RAISE PART OF IT, THERE ARE CERTAIN COMPETING
4	INTERESTS THAT WE MAY BE ABLE TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE
5	OVERALL RECOVERY OF THE STATE BY PRIVATELY RAISING
6	THE BONDS THROUGH PRIVATE SALES BECAUSE OF THE
7	EXTREME STRESS ON THE STATE'S ABILITY TO RAISE
8	SUFFICIENT FUNDS FOR CORE SERVICES.
9	DR. ROBSON: I HAD ASSUMED THAT WAS GOING
10	TO BE A PART OF YOUR DISCUSSION, NOT MINE.
11	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IT IS. I JUST WANT TO
12	FRAME IT GOING FORWARD.
13	DR. ROBSON: SO THE OTHER THING I WANTED
14	TO SAY IS THAT WE'RE GOING TO DO THESE SORTS OF
15	FINANCIAL UPDATES. I'M GOING TO BRING THESE TO YOU
16	ON A REGULAR BASIS AT THESE MEETINGS BECAUSE WE
17	THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE ALL HAVE THIS
18	INFORMATION SO THAT WE CAN FIGURE OUT HOW BEST TO
19	SUPPORT STEM CELL SCIENCE AND ALSO TO TAKE CIRM TO
20	THE COMPLETION OF ITS MISSION, WHICH IS TO BRING
21	SOME THERAPIES AND TREATMENTS TO OUR PATIENTS IN THE
22	TIMEFRAME THAT WE HAVE.
23	TWO POINTS I WANT TO MAKE BEFORE I START
24	SHOWING YOU THESE NUMBERS IS, NO. 1, WE'RE NOT
25	ASKING YOU TO TAKE ANY ACTION ON THEM. THIS IS FOR
	4.4

1	YOUR INFORMATION SO YOU HAVE THIS INFORMATION TO
2	CONSIDER WHEN YOU'RE TAKING ACTION ON OTHER ITEMS
3	THAT ARE ON YOUR AGENDAS FOR TODAY AND TOMORROW.
4	AND THE SECOND THING IS THAT THIS IS A
5	CASH-FLOW PROBLEM THAT WE'RE FACING RIGHT NOW. SOME
6	OF THE NUMBERS I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU, I THINK, ARE
7	FAIRLY DAUNTING, BUT KEEP IN MIND THAT WE'RE
8	AUTHORIZED, FULLY AUTHORIZED TO RAISE \$3 BILLION.
9	AND THAT \$3 BILLION WOULD EASILY COVER EVERY
10	PROGRAM, EVERYTHING, EVERY EXPENSE THAT I'M GOING TO
11	TALK TO YOU ABOUT AND MUCH, MUCH MORE. SO WE'RE IN
12	A CASH-FLOW PROBLEM NOW BECAUSE WE CAN'T ISSUE THESE
13	PUBLIC BONDS RIGHT NOW. WE VIEW IT AS A TEMPORARY
14	PROBLEM. UNFORTUNATELY WE CAN'T REALLY DEFINE
15	TEMPORARY AT THIS MOMENT. WE DON'T KNOW EXACTLY
16	WHEN IT WILL END.
17	SO WITH THOSE CAVEATS, I'LL GET STARTED.
18	HOPEFULLY YOU ALL HAVE YOUR SEATBELTS FASTENED
19	BECAUSE HERE WE GO. COULD I HAVE THE FIRST SLIDE.
20	SO LET'S TAKE A LOOK NOW AND JUST PROJECT THROUGH
21	THIS FISCAL YEAR. SO WHAT'S SHOWN HERE AND YOU
22	HAVE THIS IN YOUR BINDERS IF YOU LIKE. THIS IS CASH
23	ON HAND. SO WHAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE FOR SPENDING,
24	AND YOU'RE GOING TO SEE THIS NUMBER A FEW TIMES IN
25	MY SLIDES, IS A \$158 MILLION. AND THAT'S MOSTLY
	45

1	FROM THE BOND FUND AND FROM INTEREST THAT'S BEEN
2	EARNED. THIS DOES NOT INCLUDE MONEY THAT'S IN THE
3	LOAN FUND, THE PMI ACCOUNT, THE 5.9 MILLION. THAT
4	MONEY HAS BEEN FROZEN. THAT WAS AVAILABLE TO US FOR
5	SALARIES, BUT IT'S NOT ANYMORE RIGHT NOW. NOR DOES
6	IT INCLUDE DONATIONS OF 3.4 MILLION THAT WE
7	RECEI VED.
8	DR. PIZZO: JOHN, WHERE IS THIS CASH ON
9	HAND NOW?
10	DR. ROBSON: IT'S IN THE BOND FUND. THIS
11	IS IN THE BOND FUND. IT'S SECURE IN THE BOND FUND.
12	SO NOW LET'S LOOK AT OUR RESEARCH
13	OBLIGATIONS. NOW, WHAT I'VE SHOWN THERE ON THE LEFT
14	IS THE TOTAL AMOUNT THAT YOU'VE AWARDED TO DATE.
15	MUCH OF THIS HAS ALREADY BEEN PAID, BUT THAT'S HOW
16	MUCH YOU'VE ALLOCATED TO DATE. AND WHAT WE EXPECT
17	TO SPEND DURING THIS FISCAL YEAR FROM THAT
18	ALLOCATION IS ALMOST \$139 MILLION. SOME OF THAT HAS
19	ALREADY BEEN SPENT IN THE FIRST HALF. IN THE SECOND
20	HALF OF THE YEAR, WE ARE PROJECTING TO SPEND 107
21	MILLION PLUS.
22	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND WHEN HE'S USING THE
23	WORD "SPEND," THAT MEANS ON A CASH BASIS.
24	COMMITMENTS ARE GREATER AND THEN WE WILL ADDRESS THE
25	TOTAL COMMITMENTS.

1	DR. ROBSON: ALL RIGHT. SO IF WE THEN
2	LOOK WHAT OUR PICTURE FOR THE REST OF THE YEAR,
3	THIS IS WHAT WE'VE GOT. WE'RE STARTING OUT WITH
4	\$158 MILLION IN HAND. WE ARE SCHEDULED TO RECEIVE
5	SOME INTEREST PAYMENTS IN APRIL THAT WILL TOTAL
6	ABOUT \$1.5 MILLION. MARGARET AND I HAVE DONE
7	PROJECTIONS ON WHAT OUR OPERATING COST WILL BE FOR
8	THE SECOND HALF OF THE YEAR. THAT'S 6.6 MILLION.
9	AND THEN THAT NUMBER OF GRANTS THAT NEED TO BE
10	AWARDED, 107 MILLION. WE SEEM TO HAVE A FORMATTING
11	GLITCH HERE. WE ALSO OWE SOME CAPITALIZED INTEREST
12	PAYMENTS. THEY COME DUE IN APRIL. THAT'S 6.4
13	MI LLI ON.
14	SO AT THE END OF THE FISCAL YEAR, AT THE
15	END OF JUNE, WE ANTICIPATE HAVING \$39 MILLION LEFT
16	IN THE BOND ACCOUNT. SO NOW THAT'S WHERE WE'RE
17	GOING TO BE IN JUNE.
18	NOW I'M GOING TO SWITCH. I'M GOING TO
19	SWITCH FROM A FISCAL YEAR PICTURE TO A TWO-YEAR
20	PICTURE. SO THE NUMBERS I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT NOW
21	ARE BETWEEN NOW AND DECEMBER 31ST OF 2010. SO I'M
22	GOING TO GIVE YOU THREE DIFFERENT SCENARIOS.
23	IN THE FIRST SCENARIO, ALL WE FUND BETWEEN
24	NOW AND THEN IS WHAT YOU'VE APPROVED SO FAR. SO
25	THAT WOULDN'T INCLUDE BRIDGES AND TRAINING II WHICH

1	ARE BEING CONSIDERED TODAY, BUT IT WOULD INCLUDE
2	TOOLS AND TECHNOLOGY WHICH YOU APPROVED, AT LEAST
3	THE TOP TIER, AT YOUR LAST MEETING. IT WOULDN'T
4	INCLUDE ANY OF THE SECOND TIER WHICH ARE UNDER
5	CONSIDERATION AT THIS MEETING. SO THOSE ARE THE
6	ONLY THINGS WE WOULD FUND GOING FORWARD.
7	IF WE WERE TO DO THAT, OUR PICTURE LOOKS
8	AS SHOWN HERE. WE HAVE 158 MILLION ON HAND. THAT'S
9	THE SAME NUMBER I SHOWED YOU EARLIER. OVER THE NEXT
10	TWO YEARS, WE WOULD RECEIVE \$2.6 MILLION IN
11	INTEREST. OUR SALARIES AND BENEFITS PROJECTED OVER
12	TWO YEARS BASED ON CURRENT BUDGETS WOULD BE ABOUT 28
13	MILLION. AND TO FUND THOSE GRANTS, THOSE ONGOING
14	COMMITMENTS THAT WE HAVE RIGHT NOW, WE'D NEED
15	ANOTHER \$250 MILLION BY THE END OF DECEMBER OF 2010.
16	AND WE WILL OWE WE HAVE SOME FURTHER COMPOUND
17	INTEREST OBLIGATION PAYMENTS DUE, \$15 MILLION OVER
18	THAT PERIOD.
19	SO AT THE END OF 2010, TO GET TO THAT
20	POINT, JUST BY FUNDING THOSE PROGRAMS WE HAVE NOW,
21	WE WILL NEED AN ADDITIONAL \$133 MILLION. THAT'S THE
22	FIRST SCENARIO.
23	THE SECOND SCENARIO IS SHOWN HERE. NOW,
24	IN THIS ONE WE WOULD FUND THOSE ONGOING COMMITMENTS
25	THAT I JUST DESCRIBED TO YOU PLUS EVERYTHING THAT

1	HAS BEEN THROUGH CONCEPT APPROVAL BY THE I COC. AND
2	THOSE PROGRAMS ARE LISTED AT THE BOTTOM HALF OF THE
3	FIGURE THERE. SO THEY WOULD INCLUDE TRAINING AND
4	BRIDGES, WHICH ARE UNDER CONSIDERATION AT THESE
5	MEETINGS, EARLY TRANSLATION, BASIC BIOLOGY I,
6	DISEASE TEAMS II, AND BASIC BIOLOGY II. YOU'VE
7	APPROVED ALL OF THOSE. THE RECOMMENDED BUDGETS FOR
8	EACH OF THOSE IS SHOWN IN THE CENTER COLUMN. AGAIN,
9	WE HAVE A FORMATTING PROBLEM THAT WASN'T THERE BACK
10	IN SAN FRANCISCO, BUT IT IS HERE TODAY.
11	FORTY-EIGHT MILLION FOR TRAINING. SO
12	THAT'S THE CENTER COLUMN. THAT'S THE FULL AMOUNT
13	THAT HAS BEEN ALLOCATED IN THOSE BUDGETS, TARGETED
14	BUDGETS. WHAT'S SHOWN ON THE RIGHT IS WHAT WE WOULD
15	EXPECT TO PAY FOR EACH OF THOSE TO SPEND ON EACH
16	OF THOSE PROGRAMS BETWEEN NOW AND DECEMBER 31ST. SO
17	THE TOTAL OF WHAT WE NEED TO FUND THOSE PROGRAMS, IF
18	THEY WENT ACCORDING TO SCHEDULE, WOULD BE AN
19	ADDITIONAL 187 MILLION.
20	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THAT'S DECEMBER 31, 2010.
21	DR. ROBSON: 2010. I'LL SAY IT OVER AND
22	OVER AGAIN. TWO YEARS FROM NOW. AND SO TO GET TO
23	THAT POINT, ON TOP OF THE 158 WE HAVE, WE NEED AN
24	ADDITIONAL \$320 MILLION.
25	SCENARIO 3 NOW IS TO FUND EVERYTHING THAT

1	I'VE JUST TALKED ABOUT PLUS, IF WE WERE TO CONTINUE
2	AS IF WE HAD THE FUNDING THAT WE ALL EXPECTED WE
3	WOULD BE HAVING, THE ABILITY TO RAISE BONDS ANNUALLY
4	AND CONTINUE OUR PROGRAMS, WHAT WOULD WE SPEND
5	THROUGH THE END OF 2010. SO THOSE ADDITIONAL
6	PROGRAMS THAT WE WOULD ADD ON THAT HAVE NOT BEEN
7	THROUGH YOUR APPROVAL YET WOULD BE A PROGRAM IN
8	IMMUNOLOGY, EARLY TRANSLATION II, AND DISEASE TEAMS
9	II. REMIND YOU EARLY TRANSLATION AND DISEASE TEAMS,
10	THESE ARE REALLY OUR FLAGSHIP PROGRAMS. THESE ARE
11	REALLY THE THINGS THAT WE THINK ARE UNIQUE ABOUT
12	AMONG THE THINGS THAT ARE UNIQUE ABOUT CIRM THAT ARE
13	GOING TO GET OUR PRODUCTS TO THE CLINICS AND INTO
14	PATI ENTS.
15	TO FUND THOSE PROGRAMS, WE WOULD NEED AN
16	ADDITIONAL \$300 MILLION ALLOCATION. BUT JUST TO GET
17	TO 2010 TO DECEMBER 31ST, WE'D NEED AN ADDITIONAL 56
18	MILLION. SO TO GET TO THAT POINT ON SCENARIO 3, WE
19	WOULD NEED AN ADDITIONAL \$377 MILLION.
20	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: NOW, AGAIN, THIS IS CORE
21	PROGRAMS ONLY IN THIS EXTENDED PERIOD. THIS IS NOT
22	AN OPTIMIZED PROGRAM.
23	DR. ROBSON: THIS IS REALLY, BOB, WITH OUR
24	CALENDAR, THIS IS REALLY ALL WE CAN FIT INTO THAT
25	PERIOD RIGHT NOW.

1	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THERE IS AN ISSUE
2	POTENTIALLY THAT'S BEEN BROUGHT UP PREVIOUSLY THAT
3	THERE MIGHT BE A CLINICAL PROGRAM THAT THE BOARD
4	DISCUSSED. WE'VE DISCUSSED IT IN EXECUTIVE
5	COMMITTEE. I MEAN THERE ARE SOME DECISIONS THAT CAN
6	BE MADE BY THE BOARD. THIS IS A HYPOTHETICAL
7	PROGRAM.
8	DR. ROBSON: YES. WE COULD CHANGE THE
9	PROGRAMS. I'M NOT SURE WE COULD CHANGE THE NUMBER
10	OF PROGRAMS WE COULD DO IN THE PERIOD, BUT WE COULD
11	CHANGE THE
12	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IT'S NOT NECESSARILY THE
13	OPTIMIZED DOLLAR AMOUNTS BECAUSE WE COULD, IN FACT,
14	COME BACK FROM DISEASE TEAM PROGRAM THAT DR.
15	TROUNSON REFERENCED TODAY, IF YOU HAVE A HUNDRED
16	APPLICATIONS, YOU MAY FIND THAT YOU HAVE 20
17	SUPERLATIVE PROGRAMS. THE \$210 MILLION IS
18	STRUCTURED TO FUND APPROXIMATELY 11 OR 12 PROGRAMS.
19	SO THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO CONSTRAIN THE PROGRAM TO
20	CORE MISSION AND MAINTAIN THE MOMENTUM IN THE CORE
21	MISSION WITHOUT PUTTING ADDITIONAL RESERVES ON THE
22	TABLE FOR INCREASING THE SIZE OF THE PROGRAMS OR
23	SUBSTITUTING OTHER PROGRAMS THAT MAY BE MORE
24	EXPENSIVE THAN THE PROGRAMS THAT ARE IN THE LIST YOU
25	HAVE.

1	DR. ROBSON: WE COULD SUBSTITUTE OTHER
2	PROGRAMS, BUT REALLY WHAT I'M TRYING TO SHOW YOU IS
3	THE KINDS OF DOLLARS THAT WE NEED IF WE'RE GOING TO
4	CONTINUE UNDER ANY ONE OF THESE THREE SCENARIOS.
5	NOW, WE'VE BOB, DID YOU WANT TO STOP AT
6	THIS POINT? YOU WANT ME TO GO AHEAD WITH THESE
7	RECOMMENDATIONS?
8	DR. AZZIZ: I DON'T MEAN TO INTERRUPT YOU.
9	I WANT TO JUST MAKE SURE I'M CLARIFIED BEFORE YOU
10	GET INTO IT. YOU DO HAVE A STATEMENT, AND MAYBE YOU
11	NEED TO CLARIFY IT. I MUST HAVE MISSED IT.
12	REPAYMENT OF THE PMI LOAN OF TWO FIFTY IS NOT
13	INCLUDED. COULD YOU ELABORATE ON THAT?
14	DR. ROBSON: OKAY. SO WE DO HAVE YEAH,
15	I SHOULD HAVE MENTIONED THAT. WE HAVE RECEIVED IN
16	THE PAST THE LOAN FROM THE GOVERNMENT THAT'S IN THE
17	PMIA. THAT THEORETICALLY IS DUE THIS APRIL. THE
18	PAYMENT DUE IS 250, 640, 000. OUR EXPECTATION IS THAT
19	THAT LOAN WILL BE CARRIED FORWARD AT THIS POINT.
20	BUT IT IS IT'S SITTING THERE.
21	DR. AZZIZ: SO THE INTEREST PAID THAT YOU
22	HAVE LISTED HERE INCLUDES THE HYPOTHETICAL INTEREST
23	ON THIS
24	DR. ROBSON: THAT'S THE INTEREST ON THAT
25	LOAN, YES.
	F.2

1	DR. AZZIZ: ON THIS LOAN?
2	DR. ROBSON: BUT WE ONLY HAVE TO PAY THAT
3	INTEREST, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THROUGH THE END OF
4	2010.
5	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THROUGH THE END OF 2009.
6	DR. ROBSON: END OF 2009, YES. EXCUSE ME.
7	DR. AZZIZ: BUT, AGAIN, WE'RE GOING ON THE
8	ASSUMPTION THAT THIS \$250 MILLION LOAN
9	DR. ROBSON: THE FIGURES I GAVE YOU DO NOT
10	INCLUDE REPAYMENT OF THAT LOAN.
11	DR. AZZIZ: SO IF IT WERE TO BE CALLED IN
12	APRIL, WE'D CHANGE THESE NUMBERS BY AN ADDITIONAL
13	\$250 MILLION; AM I CORRECT?
14	DR. ROBSON: CORRECT.
15	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO LET ME TRY AND ADDRESS
16	THAT VERY NARROWLY. AS OF 48 HOURS AGO, IN A
17	MEETING WITH THE DEPUTY STATE TREASURER, IT IS THEIR
18	CURRENT EXPECTATION THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO EXTEND
19	THIS LOAN. WE DID DISCUSS THE FACT THAT THEY
20	THOUGHT, AS OF SIX WEEKS AGO, THEY'D BE ABLE TO, IN
21	FACT, CONTINUE TO ADVANCE PMI LOANS. THEY ARE
22	CONSTRAINED BY THE REALITIES OF THE BUDGET OR THE
23	LACK OF A BUDGET THAT THE STATE IS DEALING WITH.
24	THE CURRENT BOND FUNDS ARE SEGREGATED FROM
25	THE PMI LOAN ACCOUNT AND ARE SPECIFICALLY AVAILABLE
	F.0
	53

1	FOR FUNDING THE PROGRAMS THAT WE HAVE THUS FAR
2	CONCEPTUALLY APPROVED, BUT NOT ADVANCED FUNDING FOR.
3	THE STATE HAS A VERY LARGE NUMBER OF PMI
4	LOANS THAT ARE OUT THERE. SO THIS IS NOT AN
5	ISOLATED FACT PATTERN. I THINK IT MAY NUMBER IN THE
6	SEVENTIES. SO WHAT THE END RESULT IS OR END
7	DECISION ON THE PMI LOANS, IT IS NOT OUR CURRENT
8	INTENT TO USE BOND FUNDS THAT WE HAVE CURRENTLY TO
9	ADDRESS THE PAYMENT ON THAT PMI ACCOUNT BECAUSE OF
10	THE SPECIFIC PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE PMI LOAN WAS
11	MADE AND FOR THE SPECIFIC PURPOSES APPROVED FOR THE
12	BOND FUNDS.
13	DR. AZZIZ: AND I APPRECIATE THAT, BOB.
14	JUST TO BE CLEAR, THE CASH ON HAND INCLUDES THAT
15	\$250 MILLION, CORRECT?
16	DR. ROBSON: NO. THAT 250 MILLION, WE'D
17	ONLY HAVE 5.8 MILLION OF THAT REMAINING, AND WE
18	DON'T HAVE ACCESS TO THAT.
19	DR. AZZIZ: VERY WELL, SO THAT'S BEEN
20	SPENT.
21	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THAT'S CORRECT. IT WAS
22	STRUCTURED SPECIFICALLY INTO THE FRONT-END
23	FACILITIES FUNDING PROGRAM, WHICH WE WERE ALL VERY
24	EFFECTIVE IN IMPLEMENTING QUICKLY AND FUNDING BY
25	JUNE 30TH SO THAT IT WAS A FUNDING SOURCE INTENDED
	_,

1	TO MAKE SURE THOSE FACILITIES MOVE DOWNSTREAM. IT
2	WAS ABOUT 195 MILLION THAT CAME OUT OF THAT ACCOUNT
3	FOR FACILITIES.
4	DR. ROBSON: WANT ME TO GO AHEAD WITH OUR
5	RECOMMENDATIONS, OR DID YOU WANT TO TALK ABOUT
6	SOMETHING ELSE FIRST?
7	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WELL, WHAT WE CAN DO,
8	HOPEFULLY, IS WE WILL NOW GO AND TALK ABOUT THE
9	STATUS OF THE STATE BUDGET, THE CASE STUDY, AND WE
10	WILL TALK ABOUT THOSE TWO ITEMS AND COME BACK AND
11	THEN IN CONTEXT TALK ABOUT THE RECOMMENDATIONS SO
12	THAT THEY HAVE A FULL SPECTRUM OF INFORMATION IN
13	THAT DISCUSSION, IF THAT'S ACCEPTABLE TO THE BOARD.
14	DR. SIMPSON: WILL YOU TAKE COMMENTS OR
15	QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC AT SOME POINT?
16	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ABSOLUTELY. AND
17	HOPEFULLY THE PUBLIC WILL BENEFIT AS WELL BY HAVING
18	THE ENTIRE SPREAD OF INFORMATION. OKAY. THANK YOU
19	VERY MUCH.
20	SO WITH THIS BACKGROUND ON THE STATE OF
21	THE AGENCY, SINCE WE HAVE TO FIRST ADDRESS THE STATE
22	OF THE STATE, AND THE GOVERNOR COULD NOT BE HERE, WE
23	WILL ASK JAMES HARRISON TO PRESENT.
24	MR. HARRISON: I AM NOT THE TERMINATOR.
25	BUT I DO WANT TO BRIEFLY DESCRIBE TO YOU THE CURRENT

1	STATE OF AFFAIRS IN SACRAMENTO. AS MOST OF YOU
2	KNOW, THE STATE IS CURRENTLY LOOKING AT A PROJECTED
3	BUDGET DEFICIT OF APPROXIMATELY \$40 BILLION THROUGH
4	THE END OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2009-2010. THE GOVERNOR
5	AND THE LEGISLATURE HAVE BEEN DEEP IN NEGOTIATIONS
6	SEARCHING FOR A WAY OUT OF THIS CRISIS. AT THIS
7	POINT IN TIME, WE DON'T KNOW WHAT WILL EMERGE FROM
8	THOSE NEGOTIATIONS OR WHEN, BUT WE DO HAVE AS A
9	BASELINE THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSED BUDGET, WHICH HAS
10	BEEN AVAILABLE SINCE EARLY THIS YEAR AND WHICH HAS
11	ALREADY BEEN SUBJECT TO A GREAT DEAL OF ANALYSIS.
12	SO LET ME BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE GOVERNOR'S
13	BUDGET AND WHAT IT MEANS FOR CIRM. THE GOVERNOR'S
14	PROPOSED BUDGET INCLUDES APPROXIMATELY \$17 BILLION
15	WORTH OF NEW BORROWING. THAT'S IN ADDITION TO \$7
16	BILLION WORTH OF BONDS THAT ARE PLANNED FOR
17	INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$24 BILLION
18	IN PROPOSED BORROWING. THIS DOES NOT INCLUDE ANY
19	BORROWING FOR CIRM.
20	THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSED BORROWING,
21	HOWEVER, DOES INCLUDE APPROXIMATELY \$7 BILLION IN
22	WHAT ARE CALLED REVENUE ANTICIPATION WARRANTS, WHICH
23	ARE EFFECTIVELY IOU'S AND WHICH SERVE TO PUSH A
24	CORRESPONDING AMOUNT OF THE STATE'S DEFICIT INTO THE
25	FOLLOWING FISCAL YEAR. SOME OF THE BORROWING IS

1	DEPENDENT UPON THE SUCCESS OF A SPECIAL ELECTION,
2	WHICH COULD BE CALLED AS EARLY AS MAY, BUT THERE
3	WERE RUMORS TWO DAYS AGO THAT IT WOULDN'T HAPPEN
4	UNTIL SEPTEMBER. SO, AGAIN, WE DON'T REALLY KNOW
5	WHEN IT WILL OCCUR, BUT WE DO KNOW, BASED ON THE
6	ANALYSIS, THAT IN ORDER FOR THE GOVERNOR'S PLAN TO
7	WORK, THREE THINGS WOULD HAVE TO FALL INTO PLACE.
8	ONE, THE VOTERS WOULD HAVE TO APPROVE AN
9	AMENDMENT TO THE LOTTERY PROGRAM FOR THE
10	SECURITIZATION OF THAT PROGRAM. AND THE VOTERS
11	WOULD ALSO HAVE TO APPROVE AMENDMENTS TO TWO
12	EXISTING BALLOT MEASURES, PROPOSITION 10 AND
13	PROPOSITION 63, WHICH SET ASIDE FUNDS FOR EARLY
14	CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT AND MENTAL HEALTH
15	RESPECTIVELY. THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL WOULD TAKE A
16	PORTION OF THOSE FUNDS AND APPLY THEM TO THE STATE
17	GENERAL FUND.
18	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: LET ME MAKE IT CLEAR TO
19	THE BOARD MEMBERS. THOSE ARE TWO INITIATIVES. ONE
20	OF THEM PASSED IN THE SAME ELECTION OF THIS
21	INITIATIVE, THAT THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSED SPECIAL
22	ELECTION WOULD SWEEP THOSE FUNDS TO UTILIZE FOR THE
23	BUDGET DEFICIT.
24	MR. HARRISON: THE THIRD THING EXCUSE
25	ME THE SECOND THING THAT WOULD HAVE TO FALL INTO

QUESTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED ABOUT THE USE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION WARRANTS IN LIGHT OF SOME CONSTITUTIONAL RESTRAINTS.
CONSTITUTIONAL RESTRAINTS.
AND THE DESCRIPTION OF THE COLOR
AND THIRD, THE STATE WOULD HAVE TO ISSUE
BILLIONS OF DOLLARS WORTH OF DEBT IN A CREDIT MARKET
THAT IS IN TURMOIL AT THIS POINT IN TIME.
AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, THE GOVERNOR'S
PROPOSAL HAS BEEN SUBJECT TO QUITE A BIT OF
ANALYSIS, INCLUDING A LENGTHY ANALYSIS BY THE STATE
LEGISLATIVE ANALYST'S OFFICE, WHICH WARNED THAT
THERE ARE, IN THE WORDS OF THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYST,
MAJOR UNCERTAINTIES ABOUT THE STATE'S ABILITY TO
ACCESS CAPITAL MARKETS FOR ANYWHERE CLOSE TO THE
LEVEL OF FINANCING THAT THE GOVERNOR HAS PROPOSED
OVER THE COURSE OF THE NEXT 18 MONTHS.
SO WHAT THAT MEANS FOR CIRM IS THAT, GIVEN
THE STATE'S OTHER PRIORITIES AND THE CURRENT STATE
OF THE CREDIT MARKET, A RESOLUTION OF THE BUDGET
CRISIS TOMORROW WOULD NOT TRANSLATE INTO IMMEDIATE
ACCESS TO FUNDS FOR CIRM. SO THAT'S THE REALITY OF
THE STATE FISCAL CONDITION THAT WE NEED TO GRAPPLE
WITH AS WE CONSIDER HOW TO PROCEED.
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO LET ME ASK YOU TO
TOUCH ON THREE ADDITIONAL POINTS. NO. 1, FOR
5.9

1	EMPHASIS, EVEN IF THERE IS A BUDGET TRANSACTION
2	AGREEMENT THAT'S CONCLUDED TOMORROW, PERHAPS YOU
3	COULD REPORT ON THE CONCLUSION FROM OUR MEETING WITH
4	THE STATE TREASURER'S OFFICE ON THE ABILITY WITH
5	THAT BUDGET SOLUTION TO MEET ALL OF THE BONDED
6	INDEBTEDNESS REQUIREMENTS WITH PUBLIC SALE OF G.O.
7	BONDS IN THE NEAR FUTURE.
8	MR. HARRISON: THE STATE TREASURER'S
9	OFFICE INFORMED US THAT IT ALREADY HAS A BACKLOG OF
10	\$7 BILLION WORTH OF BONDS THAT IT HAS NOT BEEN ABLE
11	TO ISSUE FOR EXISTING PROGRAMS, NOT INCLUDING OUR
12	OWN. SO EVEN IF THERE WERE A RESOLUTION OF THE
13	BUDGET DIFFICULTIES, A, THE RESOLUTION WOULD BE
14	DEPENDENT UPON ACTION BY THE VOTERS, WHICH WOULDN'T
15	OCCUR FOR SEVERAL MONTHS; AND, B, WE WOULD THEN
16	LIKELY BE IN A BLACKOUT PERIOD IN ANY EVENT AND
17	WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO GO BACK TO THE BOND MARKET UNTIL
18	LATER IN THE YEAR IN ANY EVENT.
19	AND, AGAIN, CIRM'S BONDS WOULD NOT BE A
20	HIGH PRIORITY FOR THE STATE AT THAT POINT IN TIME
21	GIVEN BOTH THE BACKLOG AND THE BORROWING THAT IS
22	INCLUDED IN THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL.
23	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SECONDLY, SO THAT THE
24	INSTITUTIONS THAT ARE REPRESENTED IN THIS ROOM AND
25	FOR THE TRANSCRIPT AND THE WEBCAST, THOSE

1	INSTITUTIONS AND INDIVIDUALS LISTENING TO THIS, IN
2	SUMMARY, COULD YOU INDICATE THE STRENGTH OF THE
3	FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL SECURITY THAT ANY
4	GRANTEE HAS IN OUR CONTRACTS. THIS IS A SIX WEEKS
5	AGO SPECIFIC FOCUS I ASKED JAMES TO MAKE SO THAT HE
6	COULD DO THE RESEARCH AND REPORT WITH A SENSE OF
7	CONFIDENCE WHAT THAT CONTRACT MEANS AND ITS
8	STRENGTH.
9	MR. HARRISON: WELL, THERE ARE A COUPLE OF
10	POINTS TO MAKE. FIRST OF ALL, THE VOTERS APPROVED
11	PROPOSITION 71 AND AUTHORIZED THE ISSUANCE OF \$3
12	BILLION WORTH OF BONDS. THAT IS THE LAW OF THE
13	STATE. BUT IN LIGHT OF THE LITIGATION THAT WE
14	FACED, THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES
15	FINANCE COMMITTEE, WHICH IS THE STATE LEVEL FINANCE
16	COMMITTEE WHICH OVERSEES THE ISSUANCE OF THOSE
17	BONDS, AUTHORIZED THE ISSUANCE OF THE ENTIRE \$3
18	BILLION WORTH OF BONDS. SO THE ONLY STEP THAT
19	REMAINS FOR THE FINANCE COMMITTEE TO TAKE IS TO
20	DIRECT THE STATE TREASURER'S OFFICE TO ACTUALLY
21	ISSUES TRANCHES OF BONDS.
22	SO IN OTHER WORDS, WE'RE IN A POSITION
23	WHERE ALL OF THE ACTIONS THAT NEED TO OCCUR FOR THE
24	ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS HAVE TAKEN PLACE EXCEPT FOR
25	THE SALE THEMSELVES.

1	SECONDLY, THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AS A
2	SOVEREIGN POWER IS NOT SUBJECT TO FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY
3	LAWS. WHAT THAT MEANS IS THAT, UNLIKE
4	MUNICIPALITIES THAT CAN DECLARE BANKRUPTCY AND TRY
5	TO DISCHARGE THEIR DEBTS, THE STATE DOESN'T HAVE
6	THAT AVENUE. AND WHAT THAT MEANS IS THAT ULTIMATELY
7	THE STATE WILL PAY ALL OF ITS OBLIGATIONS.
8	THE THIRD THING IS THAT EVEN IN THE
9	WORST-CASE SCENARIO, THE CONSTITUTION DOES PROTECT
10	CONTRACTS FROM BEING IMPAIRED BY SUBSEQUENT ACTION.
11	SO THAT IS A BULWARK AGAINST ANY CHANGE EVEN IF
12	THERE WERE TO BE A CHANGE IN THE LAW, FOR EXAMPLE.
13	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO I WANT TO THANK YOU,
14	JAMES, BUT ALSO END THIS ON A POSITIVE NOTE FOR THIS
15	PORTION OF THE DISCUSSION, WHICH WE'LL PICK UP IN
16	GREATER DEPTH. BUT JAMES AND I AND SCOTT TOCHER AND
17	LYNN HARWELL MET WITH THE DEPUTY TREASURER AND THE
18	CHIEF LEGAL COUNSEL FOR THE TREASURER, AS WELL AS
19	FOR THE CHIEF OF THE PUBLIC FINANCE DIVISION OF THE
20	TREASURER'S OFFICE TWO DAYS AGO FOLLOWING A SERIES
21	OF PHONE DISCUSSIONS THAT STRETCHED OVER THE LAST
22	TWO MONTHS. AND THEY ARE HIGHLY COOPERATIVE AS TO
23	THE OPTION OF WORKING WITH US ON A PRIVATE PLACEMENT
24	OF GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT ON A TAXABLE BASIS
25	POTENTIALLY AS PROGRAM INVESTMENTS WITH MAJOR

1	FOUNDATIONS OR OTHER PURCHASERS THAT ARE NOT
2	NORMALLY IN THAT PUBLIC MARKET.
3	THEY ARE PREPARED TO HAVE A TERM SHEET FOR
4	US IN TWO WEEKS AND PLEDGED THEIR FULL COOPERATION.
5	THEY INDICATED THAT THEY THOUGHT THIS WAS A, AS THEY
6	HAVE OVER A NUMBER OF WEEKS IN DISCUSSIONS, FELT
7	THAT THIS WAS A VERY EFFECTIVE WAY TO CONTRIBUTE TO
8	THE SOLUTION AND POTENTIALLY PROVIDE US THE
9	OPPORTUNITY TO MAINTAIN OUR MOMENTUM WHILE THE STATE
10	WORKS ITS WAY THROUGH A PROBLEM THAT IT WILL SOLVE,
11	BUT WILL NEED SOME SIGNIFICANT TIME TO SOLVE.
12	I'D ALSO EMPHASIZE THAT WHEN THE
13	LEGISLATIVE ANALYST'S OFFICE SAYS THAT THERE'S A
14	PROBLEM OF DEALING WITH THIS FULL BONDED
15	INDEBTEDNESS THAT HAS BEEN OUTLINED, EVEN EXCLUDING
16	OUR DEBT, BUT IS ANTICIPATED THROUGH THE GOVERNOR'S
17	BUDGET AND THE REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE ALREADY ON THE
18	TABLE, WHEN THE LAO'S OFFICE SAYS THEY CAN'T SEE HOW
19	THE STATE'S GOING TO MOVE THROUGH THAT IN 18 MONTHS,
20	WE HAVE TO REALIZE THE 18 MONTHS PUTS US INTO A
21	BLACKOUT PERIOD IN 2010. AND THAT REALLY MEANS THAT
22	IF THIS PROBLEM IS CLEARED UP BY THE END OF THE
23	BUDGET CYCLE AND THE DISCLOSURE THAT'S REQUIRED
24	AFTER A BUDGET IS APPROVED IN 2010, THE EARLIEST
25	DATE WE COULD EXPECT MAJOR BOND ISSUES IS OCTOBER OF

1	2010. AND THERE WILL BE A MAJOR TRAFFIC JAM IN
2	OCTOBER, NOVEMBER, AND DECEMBER TO TRY AND CLEAR
3	DEBT.
4	THIS IS WHY WE HAVE SUGGESTED, AND DR.
5	ROBSON, DR. TROUNSON, AND THEIR STAFFS HAVE WORKED
6	WITH US OVER THE LAST TWO MONTHS, TO LOOK AT OPTIONS
7	PRICING OUT AND SIZING THE SCALE OF OUR CHALLENGE
8	THROUGH DECEMBER OF 2010 BECAUSE WE DON'T THINK IT'S
9	REASONABLE TO PREDICT THAT WE WOULD HAVE A FULL
10	RECOVERY, EVEN OPTIMISTICALLY, UNTIL JANUARY OF
11	2011. THAT IS THE FRAMEWORK FOR THE BUDGET OPTIONS
12	THAT WE'RE DISCUSSING HERE.
13	WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO GO TO A QUESTION.
14	DR. PI ZZO.
15	DR. PIZZO: SO I JUST WANT TO HAVE SOME
16	CLARITY, IF I CAN, BECAUSE I'VE HEARD TWO DIFFERENT
17	MESSAGES. THE FIRST PART OF JAMES' PRESENTATION TO
18	ME SOUNDED ENORMOUSLY DIRE, THAT WE WERE PART OF A
19	HUGE BACKLOG OF BONDS THAT HAD A VERY LOW LIKELIHOOD
20	OF SEEING THE LIGHT OF DAY IN THE IMMEDIATE FUTURE.
21	AND THEN THE SECOND PART SOUNDED LIKE THERE WAS AN
22	ALTERNATIVE METHODOLOGY THAT MAY, IN ESSENCE, GET US
23	INTO A VERY DIFFERENT PATHWAY.
24	AND SO I'M WONDERING IF, JAMES OR BOB, YOU
25	COULD PROVIDE KIND OF A SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS BETWEEN

1	THE DIRE, WE'RE IN THIS BOAT AND IT'S SINKING
2	RAPIDLY, AND, YOU KNOW, WE ARE FIRST AMONG EQUALS
3	AND WE SEEM TO BE SOMEHOW IN A VERY DIFFERENT
4	POSITION THAN MANY OTHERS, AND WE HAVE A PATH TO, IF
5	YOU WILL, CONTINUING THESE PROGRAMS. SO THAT'S THE
6	FIRST PART.
7	THE SECOND PART IS, WHICH I'M SURE WE'RE
8	GOING TO COME TO, WE HAVE A THE WONDERFUL THING
9	THAT'S HAPPENED IS WE HAVE STIMULATED IN THIS STATE
10	AN EXTRAORDINARY ARRAY OF IMPORTANT RESEARCH
11	PROJECTS. AND THEY REQUIRE, OBVIOUSLY AS WE ALL
12	KNOW, THIS IS JUST SAYING THE OBVIOUS, A CONTINUUM.
13	IF THERE IS A BREAK IN THE FUNDING, WE WILL, IN
14	ESSENCE, BE AT RISK FOR LOSING MUCH OF WHAT WE'VE
15	GAINED. SO AT THE END OF THE DAY, REALLY ZONING IN
16	ON YOUR, QUOTE, SECOND OPTION AND HOW LIKELY THAT IS
17	IS GOING TO MAKE A TREMENDOUS DIFFERENCE IN HOW WE
18	CONTINUE OUR WORK TONIGHT AND TOMORROW.
19	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO YOU'VE JUST GIVEN A
20	GREAT INTRODUCTION TO THE NEXT COMPONENT OF THE
21	PROGRAM, WHICH IS A CASE STUDY OF THE BAN'S AND ITS
22	APPLICABILITY TO THIS FACT PATTERN. SO IF WE CAN GO
23	THROUGH THAT, AND STARTING WITH TWO GUESTS THAT WE
24	HAVE ON THAT ITEM. AND THE TWO GUESTS ARE DOUG
25	MONTAGUE. HE'S THE FOUNDER OF MONTAGUE, DEROSE &

1	ASSOCIATES. DOUG MONTAGUE AND HIS FIRM WERE
2	SELECTED BY THE STATE TREASURER'S OFFICE AS THE
3	FINANCIAL ADVISOR FOR THE BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES.
4	THEY WERE ISSUED OBVIOUSLY IN ANOTHER
5	PERIOD OF DIRE CHALLENGE. HOPEFULLY WHAT WE CAN
6	LEARN FROM DOUG'S EXPERIENCE IN TERMS OF OUR CASE
7	STUDY PERFORMANCE IN THOSE PRIVATE MARKETS, AT THAT
8	POINT WITHOUT EVEN THE CONSTITUTIONAL APPROVAL OF
9	THAT DEBT, THAT WE CAN USE THAT TO HELP INFORM AN
10	EVALUATION OF OUR ABILITY TO PERFORM IN AN
11	EXTRAORDINARILY DIFFICULT FINANCIAL MARKET, BUT ONE
12	WHERE WE AT LEAST HAVE A CONSTITUTIONAL VALIDATION
13	OF THE DEBT.
14	AS DOUG WILL EXPLAIN AND AS STEVE
15	CHURCHWELL WILL EXPLAIN, WE ARE NOT IN THIS CYCLE AT
16	LEAST FOCUSED WITH THE PROBLEM THAT STEVE
17	CHURCHWELL, AS COUNSEL FOR A MAJOR BAN PURCHASER HAD
18	TO MEET, WHICH WAS TO EXPLAIN CLEARLY TO A CLIENT
19	THAT IF WE DIDN'T WIN THE LITIGATION, THEY WERE
20	MAKING A CONTRIBUTION TO THE STATE.
21	SO IN PROVIDING A CASE STUDY APPROACH
22	WHERE WE ARE VERY, VERY THANKFUL, DOUG, FOR YOUR
23	PERSONAL APPEARANCE HERE TODAY. AND IF YOU WOULD
24	BEGIN YOUR PRESENTATION GIVING US, FROM YOUR
25	PERSPECTIVE, IN HELPING DRIVE FROM THE TREASURER'S

1	OFFICE SIDE THE BAN PROGRAM, WHAT THE CHALLENGE WAS
2	AND HOW THAT VALIDATES THE ABILITY IN AN INNOVATIVE
3	WAY TO MAYBE FACE THE CHALLENGE WE HAVE TODAY.
4	MR. MONTAGUE: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN KLEIN.
5	APPRECIATE IT. IT'S A PLEASURE TO BE HERE. AND
6	CIRM IS CERTAINLY A GROUP THAT IS NEAR AND DEAR TO
7	MY HEART AND HAS CREATED, QUITE HONESTLY, A LOT OF
8	HEARTBURN OVER THE YEARS AS WE'VE REALLY CUT FROM
9	WHOLE CLOTH YOUR ORGANIZATION'S BORROWING PROGRAM.
10	WE ESSENTIALLY AND THIS FIRST SLIDE
11	ESSENTIALLY TALKS, IS BACKGROUND THAT YOU'VE HEARD
12	FROM OTHER SPEAKERS ALREADY THIS EVENING.
13	ESSENTIALLY WE JUST WANT TO TALK ABOUT BRIEFLY WHERE
14	WE ARE IN TERMS OF YOUR DEBT POSITION OR THE STATE'S
15	DEBT POSITION ON YOUR BEHALF. CIRM HAS WE WERE
16	ABLE TO SUCCESSFULLY ISSUE, AND I'M TAKING THESE A
17	LITTLE BIT BACKWARDS, BUT WE WERE ABLE TO ISSUE
18	LONG-TERM BONDS IN THE FALL OF 2007 IN THE AMOUNT OF
19	\$250 MILLION. THESE WERE TAXABLE BONDS, WHICH IN
20	THE MUNICIPAL MARKET IS A LITTLE BIT OF A UNIQUE
21	INSTRUMENT. MOST BONDS THAT ARE ISSUED BY
22	MUNICIPALITIES AND STATES ARE TAX-EXEMPT FEDERALLY
23	AND IN THE STATE IN WHICH THEY'RE ISSUED.
24	IN THIS CASE, BECAUSE OF YOUR USE OF
25	PROCEEDS, THERE WERE LIMITATIONS THAT MADE IT

1	NECESSARY TO MAKE THOSE BONDS TAXABLE. IT'S A
2	DIFFERENT BUYER AUDIENCE, DIFFERENT BUYER GROUP FOR
3	TAXABLE BONDS THAN TAX EXEMPT, A SMALLER AUDIENCE
4	AND MORE CHALLENGING SALE.
5	THOSE BONDS HAVE A MANDATORY TENDER DATE,
6	WHICH MEANS THAT THE HOLDERS OF THOSE BONDS ARE
7	REQUIRED TO PUT THEM BACK OR GIVE THEM BACK ON APRIL
8	1ST, 2010. AND I MADE A MENTAL NOTE NEXT TIME,
9	MAYBE APRIL FOOL'S DAY ISN'T THE BEST DAY TO BE
10	REMARKETING YOUR BONDS. WE'LL WORK ON THAT. BUT ON
11	APRIL 1ST, 2010, THOSE BONDS WILL BE REMARKETED.
12	AND DEPENDING ON THE USE OF THE PROCEEDS, ULTIMATELY
13	HOW THEY'RE USED, THEY MAY BE REMARKETED TAX EXEMPT
14	OR REMARKETED AS TAXABLE BONDS AGAIN.
15	IN ADDITION, AS DISCUSSED, YOU HAVE A
16	POOLED MONEY INVESTMENT ACCOUNT LOAN FROM THE STATE
17	IN THE AMOUNT OF \$250 MILLION. AND THAT LOAN IS DUE
18	IN THE SPRING. I HAVE AN UPDATE EVEN, BOB, AS
19	RECENTLY AS THIS AFTERNOON THAT IT'S THE STATE'S
20	INTENTION, BARRING SOME UNFORESEEN CHANGE, TO EXTEND
21	THAT LOAN. AND IT WILL BE AT THE ORIGINAL RATE.
22	THIS IS THEIR NORMAL PROCESS. THEY ROLL THESE LOANS
23	UNTIL LONG-TERM BONDS ARE ISSUED TO TAKE OUT THE
24	LOAN. SO I THINK AT THIS POINT, AT LEAST BARRING
25	ANY ADVERSE WORD, YOU CAN EXPECT THAT LOAN TO BE

1	ROLLED AT ITS CURRENT RATE.
2	AND WHILE YOU HAVE SIGNIFICANT CASH
3	RESOURCES, IT'S CLEAR THAT IN ORDER TO CONTINUE WITH
4	YOUR MISSION, YOU NEED ADDITIONAL FUNDING IN ORDER
5	TO MAKE SURE THAT THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY
6	RETAINS ITS CONFIDENCE IN THE INTEGRITY OF YOUR
7	PROGRAM AND ITS VIABILITY. AND YOUR ABILITY TO
8	ATTRACT THE BEST RESEARCHERS AND THE BEST MINDS, YOU
9	NEED TO STAY YOU NEED TO HAVE CASH BALANCES.
10	THE PROBLEM IS THE STATE'S ABILITY TO
11	BORROW, AS CHAIRMAN KLEIN HAS INDICATED AND ALSO
12	JAMES, THAT THE MARKET IS IN SHAMBLES. AND THIS IS
13	A RESULT OF THE MORTGAGE CRISIS AND SORT OF THE
14	DOMINO EFFECT AND THE RIPPLE EFFECT THAT IT'S HAD
15	THROUGHOUT THE GLOBAL ECONOMY, BUT IT HAS
16	ESSENTIALLY RESULTED IN A TOTALLY DYSFUNCTIONAL
17	TAX-EXEMPT MARKET. AND YOU HAVE FEWER BUYERS FOR
18	TAX-EXEMPT BONDS. AND REALLY EVERYONE IS WANTING TO
19	BUY U.S. TREASURI ES.
20	AND WE'LL TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHAT
21	THAT HAS DONE TO THE DYNAMICS OF THIS MARKET, BUT
22	THE RESULT FOR YOU IS THAT THERE ARE FEWER BUYERS.
23	AND BECAUSE THE STATE HAS NOT BEEN ABLE TO ACCESS
24	THE MARKET, PARTLY BECAUSE OF THESE KIND OF GLOBAL
25	GENERAL THIS CHAOS, BUT ALSO NOW BECAUSE OF
	40

1	ISSUES RELATED TO THE STATE'S FINANCES SPECIFICALLY,
2	THERE'S A GROWING BACKLOG IN THE ISSUANCE OF
3	CALIFORNIA GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS.
4	AND AS MENTIONED, WHAT WE'VE BEEN TALKING
5	ABOUT ARE REALLY TWO DIFFERENT TYPES OF SALES, BOND
6	SALES. A PUBLIC SALE, WHICH IS A SALE IN WHICH THE
7	STATE HIRES UNDERWRITERS, THINK OF MERRILL LYNCH,
8	THINK OF J. P. MORGAN, SECURITIES FIRMS TO
9	ORCHESTRATE A SALE, OR THEY ADVERTISE FOR BONDS AND
10	TAKE BIDS FOR BONDS AT PUBLIC SALE. THOSE ARE THE
11	TWO WAYS THAT THEY NORMALLY SELL BONDS. AND USUALLY
12	THEY'LL DO A BILLION DOLLARS AT A TIME OR A BILLION
13	AND A HALF OR 750 MILLION.
14	THE MARKET IS SO CONSTRAINED THAT THEY
15	DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY REALLY TO ACCESS THE MARKET
16	IN THAT SIZE OR WITH ANY FREQUENCY. THERE ARE
17	LITERALLY TIMES IN THE LAST 60 DAYS WHEN I'VE GONE
18	ALL THE WAY TO NEW YORK FOR A BOND SALE ONLY TO FIND
19	THAT, DUE TO RUMORS ABOUT THE FINANCIAL TROUBLES OF
20	ONE OF THE MAJOR INVESTMENT BANKS, THE ENTIRE
21	TAX-EXEMPT MARKET SEIZES UP AND NO BONDS CAN BE
22	SOLD. THESE ARE TIMES WE'VE NEVER WITNESSED IN OUR
23	MARKET BEFORE.
24	BUT BECAUSE OF THAT, THE STATE IS HAVING
25	TROUBLE ACCESSING THE MARKET. AND WHAT CHAIRMAN

1	KLEIN IS DISCUSSING IN TERMS OF A PRIVATE PLACEMENT
2	OF BONDS IS A VERY DIFFERENT PROCESS. AND IT'S THE
3	PROCESS WE USED TO PLACE THE ORIGINAL \$45 MILLION
4	WORTH OF BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES BACK IN 2006. AND
5	THAT PROCESS IS A MUCH SMALLER PROCESS. IT DOESN'T
6	INVOLVE UNDERWRITERS OR INVESTMENT BANKERS. BUT IN
7	2005 AND 2006, IT INVOLVED A HERCULEAN EFFORT BY
8	CIRM STAFF TO ACTUALLY REACH OUT TO VERY WELL-TO-DO
9	INDIVIDUALS AND FOUNDATIONS WHO BELIEVED IN THE
10	CAUSE AND WHO WERE WILLING TO ESSENTIALLY PUT ALL
11	THEIR MONEY AT RISK, THE MONEY THEY WERE INVESTING
12	AT RISK, RECOGNIZING THAT THE PROP 71 WAS STILL THE
13	SUBJECT OF SERIOUS, POTENTIALLY FATAL LITIGATION.
14	YET WE WERE ASKING THEM TO MAKE THIS INVESTMENT EVEN
15	IN THE FACE OF THAT RISK.
16	WE WERE ABLE TO DO THAT. IT LITERALLY
17	TOOK OVER A YEAR, AND WE STARTED WITH A LARGE POOL,
18	THE TREASURER'S OFFICE STAFF, CIRM STAFF, AND OUR
19	STAFF, WITH A LARGER POOL, BUT IT WHITTLED DOWN. BY
20	THE END, WE HAD JUST A LITTLE MORE THAN TWO DOZEN
21	INDIVIDUALS AND FOUNDATIONS WHO PARTICIPATED. AND
22	WE'LL HEAR A LITTLE MORE ABOUT THE PROCESS FROM THE
23	INVESTOR SIDE, BUT IT WAS THE FIRST TIME THE STATE
24	HAD ISSUED BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES. WE WERE CUTTING
25	FROM WHOLE CLOTH, AND IT WAS A CHALLENGING BUT

1	REWARDING PROCESS.
2	THE RISKS, THEN, ARE NOT EXACTLY THE SAME
3	AS THE RISKS NOW. THINGS HAVE CHANGED DRAMATICALLY.
4	AS WE MENTIONED, THERE ARE FEWER INSTITUTIONS
5	REMAINING TO UNDERWRITE OR TO SELL BONDS ON THE
6	STATE'S BEHALF. AND DUE TO HEAVY LOSSES, YOU HAVE
7	INVESTORS VERY GUN-SHY ABOUT INVESTING IN ANYTHING
8	OTHER THAN TREASURIES OR KEEPING THEIR MONEY UNDER
9	THEIR MATTRESS OR IN INSURED BANK ACCOUNTS. AND
10	WHAT HAS RESULTED IS EXTREMELY HIGH VOLATILITY IN
11	ALL MARKETS. YOU SEE IT IN THE STOCK MARKET; YOU
12	SEE IT IN THE BOND MARKET IN TERMS OF RATES.
13	TAXABLE BONDS, WHEN THEY'RE SOLD, ARE SOLD
14	AS A SPREAD TO TREASURIES TO A COMPARABLE MATURITY
15	TREASURY BOND OR NOTE. AND I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU A
16	LITTLE BACKGROUND JUST BECAUSE IT WILL HELP YOU
17	UNDERSTAND THE DYNAMICS OF WHERE WE ARE NOW.
18	THIS CHART, ALTHOUGH I'M GOING TO MAKE THE
19	SAME COMPLAINT, IT LOOKED A LOT BETTER ON MY
20	COMPUTER THAN IT LOOKS ON THE SCREEN, THIS SHOWS
21	YOU, AND YOU CAN'T WE'VE LOST THE KEY AS WELL,
22	BUT THESE ARE ESSENTIALLY TWO DIFFERENT WHAT WE CALL
23	YIELD CURVES. AND THEY'RE THE RATES OF INTEREST
24	THAT INVESTORS DEMANDED TO PURCHASE TREASURIES IN
25	DIFFERENT MATURITIES. THE X AXIS HERE IS YEARS FROM

1	TWO TO THIRTY. AND ESSENTIALLY YOU CAN SEE THE RED
2	LINE WAS THE YIELD CURVE AT THE TIME WE SOLD THE
3	BONDS IN 2007. AND WE WERE AT THE THREE-YEAR
4	ACTUALLY THIS ISN'T A LINEAR GRAPH. THREE YEARS IS
5	ABOUT HALFWAY THROUGH THE CHART, HALFWAY LEFT AND
6	RIGHT, AND YOU CAN SEE WE WERE ABOUT A 4 PERCENT
7	TREASURY YIELD FOR A THREE-YEAR INVESTMENT, WHICH IS
8	WHAT THE TERM OF THE ORIGINAL BONDS, THE ORIGINAL
9	PUT PERIOD IS FOR CIRM'S BONDS.
10	WE SOLD THOSE BONDS AT, I THINK, ABOUT A
11	5.17, 5.17 PERCENT YIELD, SO IT WAS ABOUT A 117
12	BASIS POINT SPREAD TO THE COMPARABLE TREASURY. YOU
13	CAN SEE NOW, IF YOU LOOK AT THE YIELD CURVE DOWN
14	BELOW, THE BLUE LINE, THAT AT THREE YEARS WE'RE AT
15	ABOUT 1 PERCENT. AND THAT'S DRIVEN BY THE DEMAND
16	FOR TREASURIES, AS WE'VE TALKED ABOUT. THIS IS
17	REALLY AN UNHEARD OF TYPE OF YIELD CURVE, BUT AT
18	THAT LEVEL, IF THE STATE COULD BORROW AT THE SAME
19	1.57-PERCENT SPREAD, WE'D BE BORROWING AT JUST A
20	LITTLE OVER 2 PERCENT. UNFORTUNATELY THAT'S NOT
21	WHAT'S HAPPENING BECAUSE THAT ASSUMES THAT THERE'S A
22	LOT OF CONFIDENCE IN THE STATE AND IN MUNICIPAL
23	BONDS.
24	THIS SLIDE I WON'T GET INTO A LOT OF
25	DETAIL, BUT IT'S THE SPREAD BETWEEN MUNICIPAL BONDS

1	AND TREASURY BONDS. AND THE BLUE LINE IS
2	TREASURIES; THE RED LINE IS MUNICIPALS. AND YOU CAN
3	SEE WHAT'S HAPPENED IN THE LAST FEW MONTHS. IT USED
4	TO BE, AND IT WOULD BE LOGICAL, THAT A TAXABLE BOND
5	WOULD HAVE A HIGHER YIELD THAN A TAX-EXEMPT BOND,
6	BUT THAT HAS REVERSED. AND AS CONFIDENCE HAS BEEN
7	LOST IN OUR MARKET, YOU CAN SEE NOW THAT MUNICIPAL
8	BONDS AT 30 YEARS ARE TRADING AT A 5 PERCENT
9	COMPARED TO THE TREASURY ACTUALLY IS A WEIGHTED
10	TREASURY, BUT YOU CAN SEE THAT THE SPREAD IS VERY
11	WIDE BETWEEN THE TWO, WHICH MEANS THE MARKET DOESN'T
12	HAVE THE SAME CONFIDENCE IN MUNICIPALS THAT THEY HAD
13	RELATIVE TO TREASURIES EVEN A YEAR AND A HALF AGO.
14	SO BUYERS TODAY, THEY HAVE NO RISK OF PROP
15	71 LITIGATION ANYMORE. THAT'S BEEN RESOLVED. BUT
16	THEY DO HAVE GENERAL CREDIT RISKS THAT RELATE TO THE
17	TAX-EXEMPT MUNICIPAL MARKET AND SPECIFIC TO THE
18	STATE WHICH COME INTO PLAY. WE THINK PROBABLY
19	COMPARED TO THE 1.17 SPREAD TO TREASURIES WE WERE
20	ABLE TO ACHIEVE IN 2007, WE MAY BE LOOKING AT A
21	4-PERCENT SPREAD OR 3.5 TO 4.5. IT'S GOING TO BE
22	MULTIPLES OF THE CREDIT SPREAD THAT WE SAW.
23	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DOUG, WHAT THAT MEANS FOR
24	THIS BOARD IS THAT ON A FOUR-YEAR MATURITY, WE COULD
25	BE, IF WE HAVE A 450 BASIS POINT SPREAD, WE COULD BE

1	AT A 5.50, IN THAT RANGE. BUT STILL, ON A HISTORIC
2	BASIS, VERY REASONABLE AND QUITE COMPARABLE TO THE
3	5.17 SPREAD ON THE ORIGINAL PRIVATE PLACEMENT WE
4	DI D.
5	MR. MONTAGUE: THAT'S RIGHT. SO THAT
6	REALLY IS THE PUNCHLINE IS THAT EVEN THOUGH THE
7	SPREAD, THE CREDIT SPREAD, THAT'S BEING EXTRACTED BY
8	THE MARKET IS MULTIPLES OF WHAT IT WAS BEFORE. WE
9	DO HAVE THE BENEFIT OF TREASURIES BEING AT ABSOLUTE
10	HISTORIC LOWS. SO THAT SPREAD ADDED TO CURRENT
11	TREASURY RATES, ASSUMING THEY STAY ROUGHLY WHERE
12	THEY ARE, BY THE TIME YOU'RE IN THE MARKET, MEANS
13	YOUR BORROWING RATE WILL NOT BE THAT MUCH DIFFERENT
14	THAN IT WAS IN 2007.
15	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND AS TO THE MARKET
16	GENERALLY, SEPARATE FROM INDIVIDUALS, OUR GREATEST
17	POTENTIAL MAY BE WITH MAJOR FOUNDATIONS, WORLD-CLASS
18	FOUNDATIONS, THAT CAN DO PROGRAM INVESTMENTS BECAUSE
19	AS A PROGRAM INVESTMENT, DEFINED AS AN INVESTMENT
20	THAT IS SPECIFICALLY RELEVANT TO ACCOMPLISHING THE
21	MISSION OF THE FOUNDATION, THE DIRECTORS AND
22	ADVISORS OF THOSE MAJOR FOUNDATIONS CAN MAKE
23	INVESTMENTS THAT HAVE MUCH GREATER VOLATILITY THAN
24	THEY WOULD OTHERWISE HAVE IN THEIR PORTFOLIO THAT
25	HAVE RISK CHARACTERISTICS ON TIMING OF PAYMENT THAT

1	THEY NORMALLY WOULD NOT UNDERTAKE IN THIS VOLATILE
2	MARKET. BUT BECAUSE THEY'RE SERVING BOTH THEIR
3	PROGRAM MISSION BY BUYING THESE AND GETTING A
4	REASONABLE YIELD, THEY REPRESENT AN OPPORTUNITY FOR
5	US. AND THESE INVESTORS ARE NOT CLASSICALLY GOING
6	TO BE IN THE PUBLIC MARKETS FOR CALIFORNIA
7	SECURITIES. IS THAT AN ACCURATE STATEMENT?
8	MR. MONTAGUE: YES, I'M SURE THAT'S RIGHT.
9	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO WITH ALL OF THAT, AND
10	BEFORE HEARING WHAT THE LEGAL ADVISORS ARE GOING TO
11	SAY FROM STEVE CHURCHWELL TO THEIR CLIENTS, IN
12	SOMEWHAT OF A COMPARABLE RISK SITUATION WITH
13	DIFFERENT RISKS AT STAKE, HOW WOULD YOU GENERALLY
14	EVALUATE OUR ABILITY TO OPERATE IN THIS TAXABLE
15	MARKET WITH PRIVATE PLACEMENTS?
16	MR. MONTAGUE: I THINK MY SENSE IS, AND
17	THIS IS AFTER HAVING HAD SOME CONVERSATIONS WITH
18	TAXABLE DESKS AT SOME OF THE SECURITIES FIRMS, AND I
19	KNOW WE WON'T BE USING, ASSUMING YOU GO WITH THE
20	PRIVATE PLACEMENT APPROACH, YOU WON'T BE USING THEM,
21	BUT I THINK IT'S OUR SENSE THAT, WHILE THE TAXABLE
22	MARKET IS SMALLER, THE STATE WON'T BE TAPPING IT TO
23	NEARLY THE EXTENT OR TRYING TO SELL INTO IT TO
24	NEARLY THE EXTENT THAT THEY WILL THE TAX-EXEMPT
25	MARKET. THE VAST MAJORITY OF STATE BORROWING IN THE

1	FUTURE WILL BE TAX-EXEMPT.
2	SO I THINK WE THINK THERE ARE TRADITIONAL
3	TAXABLE BUYERS WHO WILL BE INTERESTED. BUT TO
4	AUGMENT THAT, YOU KNOW, THESE SPECIAL-SITUATION
5	BUYERS WHO HAVE A SPECIAL INTEREST IN CIRM AND THE
6	WORK YOU'RE DOING ARE GOING TO MAKE, I THINK, FOR A
7	COMPELLING CASE. I THINK THE IDEA WOULD BE TO DO
8	PRIVATE PLACEMENTS PERIODICALLY, TAP THE MARKET
9	PERIODICALLY FOR SIZE. WE KNOW YOU NEED AT LEAST
10	133 MILLION IN THE NEAR TERM.
11	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO IF YOU HAVE 390
12	MILLION, YOU MAY DO THREE TRANCHES OF 130 MILLION.
13	MR. MONTAGUE: RIGHT. AND SPREAD THAT OUT
14	OVER THE TIME PERIOD THAT MAKES SENSE TO YOU.
15	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND JUST AS A FINAL DATA
16	POINT OR INFORMATION POINT, BEFORE TAKING DR.
17	PIZZO'S QUESTION, IN OUR DISCUSSIONS WITH THE STATE
18	TREASURER'S OFFICE, I THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE TO SAY
19	THAT IT WAS VERY CLEAR, GIVEN THEIR OTHER BURDEN OF
20	WORK, THAT THEY SPECIFICALLY STATED IT WOULD BE OUR
21	OBLIGATION TO IDENTIFY AND EDUCATE THOSE PURCHASERS,
22	AND THEN THEY WOULD COMPLETELY COOPERATE IN THE
23	TRANSACTION PROCESSING OF THOSE AFTER THOSE
24	INVESTORS HAD BEEN IDENTIFIED AT A LEVEL THEY WERE
25	PREPARED TO ACCEPT THAT RISK, UNDERSTANDING THAT

1	THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH AN ENTIRE RISK DISCLOSURE
2	PROCESS WITH THE TREASURER'S OFFICE. IS THAT
3	APPROPRIATE STATEMENT, JAMES?
4	MR. HARRISON: THAT'S RIGHT. THE MODEL
5	REALLY IS SIMILAR TO THE ONE THAT WE USED WITH
6	RESPECT TO THE BAN'S WHERE CIRM STAFF DID THE VAST
7	BULK OF THE LEGWORK WITH THE HELP OF BOARD MEMBERS
8	IN IDENTIFYING POTENTIAL PURCHASERS OF THE BAN'S.
9	AND TREASURER'S OFFICE CAME IN TO HELP ACTUALLY GET
10	THE DEAL THROUGH.
11	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. DR. PIZZO.
12	DR. PIZZO: WELL, YOU KNOW, FIRST OF ALL,
13	I THINK I, LIKE I'M SURE EVERYONE, LOVE THE NOTION
14	OR RESPECT THE NOTION THAT THERE MAY BE A PATHWAY
15	OUT OF WHAT OTHERWISE APPEARS TO BE A ROUTE TO
16	DARKNESS. BUT I ALSO REMEMBER THE PROCESS WITH THE
17	BAN'S OF A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO, AND NOT STATED IS
18	THAT THINGS HAVE CHANGED DRAMATICALLY FOR THOSE WHO
19	MIGHT MAKE PRIVATE INVESTMENTS. YOU KNOW, JUST
20	THINKING ABOUT WHERE WE ARE TODAY AS COMPARED TO
21	THEN, YOU KNOW, I KNOW I AND SHERRY AND OTHERS WITH
22	YOU, BOB, MADE CONTACTS WITH SOME OF OUR MOST
23	GRATEFUL, ENTHUSIASTIC SUPPORTERS, BUT THOSE SAME
24	SUPPORTERS, AT LEAST AS WE TALK TO THEM FOR
25	DIFFERENT REASONS, ARE FEELING TREMENDOUS PAIN RIGHT

1	NOW. AND FOUNDATIONS ARE HARDLY IN A DIFFERENT
2	PLACE.
3	AND SO WHILE I THINK THIS IS VERY EXCITING
4	ON THE ONE HAND, WHEN I SPOKE ABOUT SENSITIVITY
5	ANALYSIS, I SUPPOSE, YOU KNOW, IT'S GOING TO BE
6	IMPORTANT TO DO REALITY CHECK ANALYSIS AS WELL
7	BECAUSE AT THE END OF THE DAY, WE REALLY HAVE
8	SEVERAL TASKS. I MEAN THE FIRST TASK IS WE CLEARLY
9	WANT TO SUPPORT THE WORK THAT'S CURRENTLY IN PLACE
10	AND NOT COMPROMISE THAT. THE SECOND IS WE ONLY WANT
11	TO FUND PROJECTS GOING FORWARD THAT HAVE A CHANCE OF
12	BEING COMPLETED. YOU KNOW, FOR AN INVESTIGATOR TO
13	START A PROJECT AND HAVE IT FALL APART IS A PRETTY
14	UNACCEPTABLE PLACE. WE ALL AGREE WITH THAT. AND
15	FOR A NEW TRAINEE TO START ON A PROGRAM OF EDUCATION
16	AND THEN HAVE TO STOP IS A HORRIBLE PLACE TO BE.
17	SO I THINK WE HAVE TO BE CLEARLY PLANNING
18	WITH HOPEFULNESS. THIS IS POTENTIALLY A WAY OF
19	DOING IT; BUT, BOY, IT SEEMS LIKE A HIGH MOUNTAIN TO
20	CLIMB, WHICH MEANS WE NEED TO SPEND AN EQUAL AMOUNT
21	OF TIME ON PREPARING FOR THAT DARK ROAD AS WELL.
22	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO IT IS EXTREMELY
23	CHALLENGING. AND BEFORE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS TO DOUG
24	MONTAGUE, WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS GET THE OTHER PART
25	OF THE CHALLENGE BECAUSE
	70

1	DR. PIZZO: IS THAT GOING TO BE BETTER OR
2	WORSE?
3	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YOU KNOW, WHEN YOU GET TO
4	THE TOP OF ONE MOUNTAIN, YOU LOOK BEYOND IT AND
5	THERE'S ANOTHER MOUNTAIN. AND YOU NEED TO KNOW SOME
6	OF THE BIGGER MOUNTAINS THAT YOU ARE GOING TO FACE
7	IN MAKING YOUR EVALUATION. SO, DOUG, WE HAVE ONE
8	MORE SLIDE?
9	MR. MONTAGUE: YEAH. AND IT'S REALLY JUST
10	A SUMMARY SLIDE, JUST INDICATING THE BIGGEST
11	CHALLENGE IS THE IDENTIFICATION OF THE BUYERS
12	FOLLOWED BY THE NEGOTIATION OF TERMS. AND WE DO
13	HAVE EXPERIENCE BOTH IN THAT NEGOTIATION PROCESS,
14	BUT ALSO DOCUMENTATION. I BELIEVE WE'LL BE ABLE TO
15	USE ESSENTIALLY THE SAME DOCUMENTATION THAT WE USED
16	FOR THE PUBLIC BOND SALE IN 2007 AS THE
17	DOCUMENTATION FOR THIS SALE. I THINK IT'S
18	DIFFICULT, BUT I WOULD JUST SAY MY CONCLUSION IS
19	THAT IT'S PROBABLY LIKELY TO BE ACHIEVABLE.
20	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. AND I WANT TO SAY
21	DOUG MONTAGUE IS A PERSON OF EXTRAORDINARY
22	COMMITMENT AND PERFORMANCE. IF YOU WANT TO FIGHT A
23	BATTLE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT, HE'S GOING TO BE
24	THERE WITH YOU ALL THE WAY THROUGH THAT BATTLE. SO
25	WE'RE VERY PRIVILEGED THAT THE STATE TREASURER
	79

SELECTED HIS FIRM AS ADVISOR ON THOSE BONDS. AND
THERE'S PRELIMINARY INDICATION THAT THEY WOULD BE
SELECTED AS ADVISOR ON THIS PRIVATE PLACEMENT.
DR. AZZIZ: MR. MONTAGUE, THAT WAS VERY
ENLIGHTENING. I JUST HAVE JUST TO GET A SENSE, AND
I'M GOING TO FOLLOW UP ON PHIL'S QUESTION. YOU'VE
PRESENTED SOME DATA THAT OBVIOUSLY, AS ALL OF US
KNOW, THE MARKET IS A TOUGH ONE. WE HAVE 133
MILLION AT A MINIMUM TO KEEP FUNCTIONING WITH WHAT
WE ALREADY HAVE. WE HAVE ANOTHER 250 MILLION THAT
IS OUT THERE THAT WE HOPE THE STATE WILL PROBABLY
ALLOW US TO CARRY FORWARD. WE WOULDN'T HAVE ANY
OTHER NEW PROGRAM UNLESS WE ACTUALLY GO BEYOND THE
133 MILLION.
CONSIDERING A FAIR SPREAD, WHAT DO YOU
THINK, IF YOU DON'T MIND GIVING US YOUR PROFESSIONAL
ESTIMATE, AS TO WHAT THE PROBABILITIES WILL BE THAT
WE WILL BE ABLE TO SELL SOMETHING IN THE
NEIGHBORHOOD OF \$250 MILLION WORTH OF BONDS BETWEEN
NOW AND 2010 OR THEREABOUTS?
MR. MONTAGUE: I'D SAY IT WILL DEPEND A
LITTLE BIT ON THE BUYER BASE. AND THE UNKNOWN IS
DR. AZZIZ: BUT THE BUYER BASE YOU ARE
FAMILIAR WITH.
MR. MONTAGUE: THERE'S NO QUESTION IN MY
80

1	MIND THAT YOU COULD SELL THAT AMOUNT OF BONDS. I
2	THINK THE GOAL, THOUGH, IS TO TRY NOT TO CANNIBALIZE
3	OR TO USE CAPACITY THAT THE TREASURER'S OFFICE IS
4	TRYING TO PRESERVE FOR OTHER STATE NEEDS. AND
5	THAT'S WHERE THE TENSION IS. BUT I THINK THEY'RE
6	ACTIVELY WORKING ON OTHER CREATIVE SOLUTIONS TO
7	THEIR NEED FOR ISSUANCE AS WELL. AND MY SENSE IS
8	THAT CIRM OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO MANAGE THAT. DO YOU
9	WANT ODDS? I DON'T KNOW.
10	DR. AZZIZ: I LIKE ODDS, YOU KNOW.
11	MR. MONTAGUE: EIGHTY PERCENT.
12	DR. AZZIZ: I'M A PHYSICIAN.
13	MR. GOLDBERG: YOU OUGHT TO TAKE THE
14	FI FTH.
15	DR. AZZIZ: I GOT ODDS. YOU KNOW, YOU ARE
16	GOING CHANCES YOU'RE GOING TO LIVE IS 90 PERCENT.
17	THE 10 PERCENT IS A DIFFERENT THING.
18	DR. PIZZO: EXCEPT WE'RE GOING TO BREAK
19	DOWN TO A ZERO OR A HUNDRED AT THE END OF THE DAY.
20	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. SO, DOUG,
21	WE'RE GOING TO GO WITH STEVE CHURCHWELL. AND THANK
22	YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT PRESENTATION. YES.
23	DR. PRIETO: JUST ONE QUESTION. WOULDN'T
24	WE BE IN A DIFFERENT MARKET THAN THE STATE FOR THE
25	MOST PART IF THEY'RE NONTAXABLE BONDS AND THESE
	01

1	WOULD BE TAXABLE?
2	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THE STATE HAS BEEN MAKING
3	CALLS THE LAST TWO MONTHS TO CONFIRM THE TAXABLE
4	MARKET. IN FACT, DOUG'S OFFICE HAS BEEN DOING A
5	NUMBER OF THOSE. SO THERE'S PORTIONS OF THE TAXABLE
6	MARKET THAT THEY WOULD BE OCCUPYING. AND ONE OF THE
7	GOALS IS TO MAKE SURE THAT THE VITAL CORE FUNCTIONS
8	OF THE STATE CONTINUE. ONE OF OUR GOALS WOULD BE TO
9	OCCUPY THAT PORTION OF THE TAXABLE MARKET HEAVILY
10	THAT THEY ARE NOT IN, WHICH IS, IN FACT, MAJOR
11	FOUNDATIONS WHERE THIS CAN BE A PROGRAM INVESTMENT.
12	AND WHAT'S IMPORTANT ABOUT A PROGRAM
13	INVESTMENT IS UNDER CERTAIN RULES IT CAN BE COUNTED
14	AGAINST WHAT THEY HAVE TO EXPEND IN THAT PARTICULAR
15	YEAR. NOW, FOR FOUNDATIONS THAT HAVE LOST A LOT OF
16	ASSETS AND ARE TRYING TO REBUILD, THERE MAY BE SOME
17	STRATEGIC BENEFITS TO THAT POSITIONING. AND ONE OF
18	THE THINGS WE DID IN OUR IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIAL
19	PURCHASERS WAS STRATEGIC ANALYSIS OF PORTFOLIOS,
20	UNENCUMBERED COMMITMENT CAPACITY, ALTERNATIVE
21	COMMITMENT OPPORTUNITIES, AND THEN LOOKED AT THE
22	POTENTIAL MISSION CONTRIBUTION WE COULD MAKE.
23	WE CAN MAKE A VERY GOOD STRATEGIC CASE
24	THAT WE CAN HELP SOME OF THESE FOUNDATIONS THROUGH A
25	VERY DIFFICULT TIME AS THEY'RE TRYING TO MEET THEIR

1	REPORTING GOALS AND REBUILD PORTFOLIO.
2	DR. PRIETO: A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION. AT THE
3	END OF THE DAY OR AT THE END OF THE \$10 BILLION OR
4	THE TEN YEARS OR THE \$3 BILLION, WOULD THE INCREASED
5	INTEREST COSTS OF THAT KIND OF BORROWING HAVE AN
6	IMPACT ON WHAT WE WERE ABLE TO ACHIEVE OR WHAT WE
7	WERE ABLE TO FUND IN TOTAL?
8	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: CERTAINLY. SO IN THE
9	BALLOT ARGUMENT, THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYST'S OFFICE
10	ESTIMATED AN EFFECTIVE AVERAGE INTEREST COST OF 5
11	PERCENT. NOW, EVEN WITH THE CONSTITUTIONAL
12	CHALLENGE, WE WERE AT 5.17 PERCENT, THANKS IN PART
13	TO SOME VERY COMMITTED INDIVIDUALS AND FOUNDATIONS.
14	HERE, BECAUSE THE BASE RATE IS SO LOW, EVEN IF YOU
15	ADD 450 BASIS POINTS, WE'RE IN THE 5.50, 5.80 RANGE,
16	FAIRLY CLOSE IN AN EXTRAORDINARILY TOUGH TIME TO THE
17	AVERAGE RATE EXPECTED. SO THIS WOULD NOT
18	EFFECTIVELY PROVIDE OR CREATE AN OBSTACLE FOR US IN
19	REACHING OUR MISSION OBJECTIVES. THAT ASSUMES A
20	FOUR- TO FIVE-YEAR MATURITY NOTE, NOT A LONG-TERM
21	BOND ISSUE.
22	MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: I HAD A QUICK
23	QUESTION. FIRST OF ALL, THIS REALLY DOES HIGHLIGHT
24	WHAT AN IMPORTANT ROLE THE TREASURER'S OFFICE PLAYS
25	BEYOND A MINISTERIAL RESPONSIBILITY UNDER THE

1	CONSTITUTION, BUT REALLY ON A DETAILED POLICY LEVEL
2	HOW CRUCIAL IT IS TO HAVE THEIR WORKING IN COALITION
3	WITH THE OFFICE.
4	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ABSOLUTELY.
5	MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: SECONDLY, IN A
6	HEALTHY YEAR OR GOOD YEAR, WHAT SORT OF HOW MUCH
7	DEBT WOULD THE STATE ISSUE? I HEARD THE NUMBER 700
8	BILLION. SEEMS LIKE A LOT. SO IN A GOOD YEAR,
9	WOULD IT BE
10	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: NO. SEVEN BILLION. THEY
11	HAVE A \$7 BILLION BACKLOG.
12	MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: LIKE ON THE AVERAGE
13	YEAR, WOULD IT BE LIKE 3 BILLION THEY WOULD WHAT
14	WOULD THEY DO
15	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: NO. THEY HAVE A GREATER
16	CAPACITY. DOUG, \$10 BILLION IS AN AVERAGE YEAR ON A
17	SUSTAI NABLE LEVEL.
18	WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS INTRODUCE STEVE
19	CHURCHWELL. WHEN YOU'RE DEALING WITH THE LARGEST
20	LAW FIRM IN THE WORLD THAT STEVE IS A PARTNER IN,
21	AND THEY HAVE A CLIENT WHICH WILL GO UNNAMED, AND
22	IT'S A MAJOR CLIENT, YOU HAVE A HUGE RESPONSIBILITY
23	IN ADVISING THAT CLIENT. AND I'D LIKE TO SAY THAT,
24	AS YOU WILL HEAR, STEVE CHURCHWELL, I MUST HAVE
25	SPENT AT THE BEGINNING OF THIS WITHOUT EVEN A
	0.4

1	DISCLOSURE OF WHO THE CLIENT WAS, BECAUSE HE
2	COULDN'T DISCLOSE THE CLIENT UNTIL WE GOT THROUGH
3	THE ENTIRE FIRST PHASE OF LITIGATION FEASIBILITY
4	ANALYSIS, HE WAS ON THE PHONE WITH ME AT 9 0'CLOCK
5	AT NIGHT OR SEVEN IN THE MORNING FOR HOURS. SO THIS
6	IS AN INDIVIDUAL OF HUGE DEDICATION TO OUR MISSION
7	MUCH BEYOND WHAT ANYONE WOULD ASK IF HE WERE JUST
8	FUNCTIONALLY FULFILLING HIS ADVISORY ROLE AS A
9	COUNSEL. STEVE CHURCHWELL.
10	MR. CHURCHWELL: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
11	TO QUOTE A I SHOULD SAY TO BORROW A QUOTE FROM A
12	FORMER VICE PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE AT HIS FIRST AND
13	LAST DEBATE, "WHO AM I AND WHAT AM I DOING HERE?"
14	WELL, FIRST OF ALL
15	DR. PIZZO: THINK OF WHERE HE WOUND UP.
16	MR. CHURCHWELL: EXACTLY. I THINK HE
17	LIVES IN THIS NEIGHBORHOOD, IN FACT, IF I'M CORRECT.
18	BUT ABOUT THREE YEARS AGO, I WAS SITTING
19	AT MY DESK IN SACRAMENTO MINDING MY OWN BUSINESS.
20	AND YOU HAVE TO UNDERSTAND ALL OF WHAT HAS BEEN
21	TALKED ABOUT TONIGHT IS SORT OF GREEK TO ME. I'M
22	NOT A FINANCIAL LAWYER. I DON'T INVEST IN
23	INDIVIDUAL STOCKS EVER. SO I BASICALLY DO A COUPLE
24	SPECIALTIES WHICH ARE CALLED GOVERNMENT LAW AND
25	POLITICAL LAW. AND SO IT MAKES IT EVEN CURIOUSER

1	THAT I RECEIVED THAT PHONE CALL FROM AN ESTATE
2	PLANNING ATTORNEY IN OUR SAN DIEGO OFFICE SAYING,
3	"ONE OF OUR CLIENTS IS THINKING ABOUT INVESTING FIVE
4	TO \$10 MILLION IN SOMETHING CALLED A BAN. AND I'VE
5	BEEN DOING ESTATE PLANNING FOR 35 YEARS, AND I HAVE
6	NO IDEA WHAT HE'S TALKING ABOUT."
7	AND I SAID, "WELL, WHY WOULD YOU THINK I,
8	A GOVERNMENT LAW ATTORNEY, WOULD HAVE ANY MORE CLEAR
9	UNDERSTANDING OF THAT?" "WELL, THE MANAGING
10	PARTNER, WE TRIED EVERYONE ELSE, AND WE'RE
11	DESPERATE, SO WE'RE CALLING YOU." AND SO OVER THE
12	NEXT, I DON'T KNOW, BOB, PROBABLY SIX MONTHS, I
13	DON'T THINK IT WAS ANY SHORTER THAN THAT, I MEAN I
14	QUICKLY, AS MOST LAWYERS WHO DO RESEARCH, WENT ON
15	WICKIPEDIA AND REALIZED THAT WASN'T GOING TO WORK,
16	AS GOOD AS THAT IS. AND GOOGLE, UNBELIEVABLY
17	NOTHING, QUOTES, NO QUOTES, BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES
18	WITHOUT QUOTES, NOTHING.
19	I CALLED OUR NEW YORK OFFICE. I THINK ONE
20	PERSON SAID IT THEORETICALLY IS POSSIBLE, BUT IT
21	WON'T HAPPEN AND HUNG UP. LITERALLY, MY OWN
22	PARTNER. BEFORE HE HUNG UP, HE TOLD ME TO CALL
23	SOMEONE IN OUR LONDON OFFICE WHO SAID, "IT'S
24	THEORETICALLY POSSIBLE. IT WON'T HAPPEN. THAT
25	PERSON'S RIGHT," BUT AT LEAST WAS ABLE TO TELL ME

1	WHAT IT IS. SO HE'D HEARD THIS IN HIS SCHOOLING IN
2	HIS DAYS AT OXFORD, HAD HEARD SOMEONE DESCRIBE HOW
3	THIS COULD OCCUR.
4	SO THAT'S WHAT I HAD TO WORK WITH. AND
5	LAWYERS, YOU HAVE TO UNDERSTAND, BY NATURE ARE
6	EXTREMELY CAUTIOUS PEOPLE. WE DON'T LIKE MOSTLY
7	BECAUSE WE'VE LEARNED AT THE SCHOOL OF HARD KNOCKS.
8	IT'S NOT BECAUSE WE START OFF THAT WAY. WE START
9	OFF VERY ALTRUISTIC AND AMBITIOUS AND QUICKLY LEARN
10	THAT DOESN'T GET YOU VERY FAR. SO I ENDED UP AND I
11	ACTUALLY ASKED MY ASSISTANT TO TRY TO FIND A MEMO.
12	IN SOME WAYS THIS SEEMED SORT OF LIKE A DREAM.
13	MAYBE IT DIDN'T REALLY HAPPEN UNTIL BOB CALLED AND
14	ME ASKED ME TO COME TONIGHT. AND MY ASSISTANT SAID,
15	YES. IN FACT, I THINK THERE IS A MEMORANDUM YOU
16	WROTE TO THE CLIENT. AND, IN FACT, THIS MEMORANDUM
17	WAS WIDELY DISTRIBUTED TO OTHER POTENTIAL INVESTORS,
18	WHICH I DIDN'T EVEN KNOW AND WAS SHOCKED TO FIND OUT
19	THIS WEEK.
20	AND BASICALLY WHAT THAT TO JUST KIND OF
21	TELL YOU HOW CRAZY IT REALLY WAS, FOR THOSE OF YOU
22	WHO HAVEN'T BEEN TOLD, THE THREE POSSIBLE SCENARIOS
23	FOR MY CLIENT'S \$10 MILLION, ONE WAS THAT HE WOULD
24	SEE THE RETURN OF HIS PRINCIPAL WITH INTEREST IN A
25	SET PERIOD OF TIME THAT WAS DESCRIBED IN SOME KIND

1	OF WRITTEN DOCUMENT. THE SECOND ONE WAS THAT HIS
2	FIVE TO \$10 MILLION WOULD CONVERT INTO A CHARITABLE
3	CONTRIBUTION. NOT SO BAD REALLY. THE THIRD ONE WAS
4	THAT, IF OUR TIMING WAS OFF JUST SLIGHTLY WHEN THE
5	COURT RULED ON YOUR LITIGATION, WAS THAT ALL THE
6	MONEY WOULD BE GONE. AND THAT'S NOT SOMETHING YOU
7	LIKE TO TELL YOUR CLIENT, THAT IT JUST COULD
8	DISAPPEAR. AND YOU JUST HAVE TO SAY THAT WAS A
9	REALLY GOOD I MEAN THAT'S SOMETHING I REALLY WANT
10	TO DO.
11	SO ULTIMATELY THE I WILL TELL YOU THAT
12	GOING BACK TO MY THERE ARE A LOT OF HEROES IN THE
13	PROCESS. I'M CERTAINLY NOT ONE OF THEM. THE PEOPLE
14	WHO ULTIMATELY, GIVEN THESE MEMOS, INVESTED THEIR
15	MONEY, THE ESTATE PLANNING ATTORNEY, MY OWN PARTNER
16	WHO WASN'T SPEAKING TO ME FOR ABOUT SIX MONTHS,
17	STARTED OUT AS A REALLY GOOD FRIEND, AND IT KIND OF
18	GOT STRAINED, TO BE HONEST WITH YOU, BECAUSE THERE
19	WERE A LOT OF QUESTIONS I DIDN'T HAVE ANSWERS FOR.
20	WE ULTIMATELY GOT THOSE FOR THEM.
21	IF YOU GO TO THE END OF THE PROCESS, YOU
22	WON'T BELIEVE THIS, I'LL JUST END WITH THIS. WE
23	THOUGHT WE HAD EVERYTHING IRONED OUT, AND THEN WE
24	REALIZED THAT WE COULDN'T EVEN FIGURE OUT HOW TO
25	TRADE THE CHECK FOR THE BOND. IT'S LIKE, NO, GIVE

1	ME THE CHECK FIRST. NO DELIVERY SERVICE WOULD DO
2	THIS ESCROW BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T KNOW WHAT WE WERE
3	TALKING ABOUT. SO WE ENDED UP, I THINK, WITH I'M
4	ORIGINALLY FROM MEMPHIS. I GOT SOMEONE AT FEDERAL
5	EXPRESS THAT I HAD GONE TO HIGH SCHOOL WITH TO GO
6	OUT ON A LIMB AND SAY, "THIS SOUNDS CRAZY TO ME, BUT
7	I TRUST YOU. WE'LL DO THAT WORK. AND WE'LL GO TO
8	THE DOOR AND GET THE CHECK AND GIVE THEM THE BOND,
9	AND YOU'RE TELLING ME NOTHING WILL GO WRONG."
10	AND ULTIMATELY SO EACH ONE OF THESE
11	DETAILS, THERE WAS THIS KIRKGARDIAN LEAP OF FAITH,
12	AND EVERY TIME WE LEAPT OFF, THERE WAS ABOUT A
13	ONE-FOOT JUMP TO THE LEDGE, THANK HEAVEN. SO WHY
14	WOULD CHAIRMAN KLEIN WANT ME TO TELL YOU ALL THIS?
15	I GUESS IT IS TO SAY THAT AT THE END OF THE PROCESS,
16	THAT IT ALL WORKED OUT. AND IT WILL ALL WORK OUT
17	AGAIN. AND I HAVE GREAT FAITH IN THE PEOPLE, THE
18	STAFF HERE, AND FAITH IN YOU, AND YOU HAVE A LOT OF
19	SUPPORTERS FOR A LOT OF DIFFERENT REASONS OUT THERE
20	WHO WILL MAKE IT SUCCESSFUL.
21	SO WITH THAT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
22	(APPLAUSE.)
23	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO THE POLITICS THAT
24	STEVE FACED WITHIN HIS FIRM WITH ATTORNEYS NOT
25	WANTING TO GO OUT ON A LIMB ON A NEW CONCEPT FOR A

VERY MAJOR CLIENT ARE SOME OF THE SAME POLITICS THAT
LAWYERS WILL FACE WITH FOUNDATIONS WHERE THEY'RE
MAKING AN INVESTMENT, A PROGRAM INVESTMENT, IN A
VOLATILE SECURITY BECAUSE, AS THE STATE'S BUDGET
BATTLES GO BACK AND FORTH FOR THE NEXT 24 MONTHS,
THE PRICE OF THESE SECURITIES WILL VARY
SUBSTANTIALLY, AND THE VALUE OF THEM MAY VARY.
THE KEY IS IF THESE FOUNDATIONS CAN HOLD
THEM TO TERM, AS A RELATIVELY SHORT TERM AT FOUR
YEARS, THEY KNOW THAT THEY CAN REALIZE THE FULL
VALUE OF THAT SECURITY. AS JAMES HAS SAID, UNDER
FEDERAL LAW, THE STATE CANNOT GO BANKRUPT. SO THERE
IS AN ULTIMATE PAYMENT.
ONE OF THE THINGS WE NEED TO DO IS SET
THESE MATURITIES OUT LONG ENOUGH SO THAT THE STATE
HAS HOPEFULLY WORKED ITS WAY THROUGH THE MORE
DIFFICULT CHALLENGES AT THE TIME THESE MATURE.
DR. PIZZO: BOB, COULD YOU CLARIFY THAT
FOR A SECOND? I MEAN WHY CAN'T A STATE IT COULD
GO BANKRUPT. IT JUST CAN'T DECLARE BANKRUPTCY.
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IT CAN FAIL TO BE ABLE TO
MEET A CURRENT OBLIGATION, BUT IT CANNOT GO
BANKRUPT. IT CANNOT BE RELIEVED OF ITS
I NDEBTEDNESS.
DR. PIZZO: I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT IT
90

1	COULD BE BANKRUPT.
2	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IT COULD.
3	(SIMULTANEOUS VOICES.)
4	DR. PIZZO: GOT IT. I THOUGHT MAYBE THERE
5	WAS SOME MATH HERE THAT I WAS MISSING.
6	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DR. PRIETO. DR. AZZIZ.
7	DR. AZZIZ: THIS IS ACTUALLY FOR JOHN
8	ROBSON, BUT MAYBE I CAN ASK A QUESTION. AGAIN, THE
9	CALCULATIONS, THE ESTIMATIONS, AND I KNOW YOU'RE
10	GOING TO CONTINUE TALKING ABOUT RECOMMENDATIONS, THE
11	SCENARIOS YOU PLANNED WERE PLANNED WITH INTEREST
12	RATES, BOND INTEREST RATES BASED ON CURRENT SPREAD,
13	PAST SPREAD, FUTURE SPREAD? BECAUSE IT SOUNDS LIKE
14	THE SPREAD CERTAINLY IS GOING TO HAVE TO GO UP TO
15	GET BUYERS.
16	DR. ROBSON: THEY'RE NOT BASED ON NEW BOND
17	ISSUES. THEY'RE BASED ON WHAT WE OWE FROM PREVIOUS
18	LOANS.
19	DR. AZZIZ: JUST ON WHAT YOU OWE.
20	DR. ROBSON: IT'S ALREADY SET.
21	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DR. AZZIZ, WE HAVE THE
22	ABILITY, SUBJECT TO THE TREASURER'S OFFICE
23	RECOMMENDATIONS, SUBJECT TO THE CALIFORNIA STATE
24	STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES BOND COMMITTEE
25	APPROVAL, TO CREATE CAPITALIZED INTEREST POTENTIALLY
	01

1	SO THAT WHAT WE SELL HAS AN INTEREST COMPONENT
2	WITHIN THE BOUND SO THAT THOSE INSTITUTIONS KNOW
3	THAT IF THEY NEED INTEREST ON A CURRENT BASIS, THEY
4	CAN RECEIVE THAT INTEREST. BUT, AGAIN, THE INTEREST
5	RATES THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT, BECAUSE TREASURIES ARE
6	SO DEPRESSED, EVEN THOUGH IT'S A BIG SPREAD TO
7	TREASURIES, ARE IN THE SAME RANGE AS ORIGINALLY
8	PROJECTED FOR THE WHOLE PROGRAM.
9	ALL RIGHT. SO AT THIS POINT, IN TERMS OF
10	THE VERY SPECIFIC QUESTION THAT WAS PUT TO DOUG
11	MONTAGUE, DOUG, JUST SO THAT WE CAN AGAIN PUT THIS
12	IN CONTEXT AS A PRINCIPAL POINT, WHEN YOU SAID YOU
13	HAD GREAT CONFIDENCE AND GAVE IT AN 80-PERCENT
14	NUMBER, I WON'T HOLD YOU TO THE PERCENTAGE, WHEN YOU
15	GO FOR SURGERY, THEY DON'T GIVE YOU A PERCENTAGE.
16	DR. AZZIZ: WE DO.
17	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: BUT THE KEY IS WHEN YOU
18	WERE GIVING THAT ASSESSMENT, IT'S BASED UPON THE
19	ENTIRE TEAM, CIRM'S TEAM, THE TREASURER'S TEAM,
20	INCLUDING YOUR FIRM, THE COUNSEL THAT YOU'RE AWARE
21	OF, OUR MISSION, AND THE BODY OF FOUNDATIONS AND
22	VERY STRONG WEALTHY INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE THERE, WHO
23	ARE A GENERAL SUPPORT BASE FOR OUR MISSION, AND
24	COULD YOU JUST EVALUATE, IN TERMS FOR THIS BOARD,
25	HOW WOULD YOU EVALUATE THE COMPARATIVE ABILITY OF

1	SOME OTHER AGENCY OF THE STATE TO GO OUT AND USE
2	THIS SAME APPROACH? IN OTHER WORDS, IS THIS A
3	SPECIFIC OPPORTUNITY BECAUSE OF THE TEAM AND THE
4	MISSION, OR IS THIS A GENERAL OPPORTUNITY?
5	MR. MONTAGUE: I THINK IT'S A UNIQUE
6	OPPORTUNITY FOR CIRM. IT HAS TO DO WITH YOUR
7	MISSION. IT HAS TO DO WITH THE USE OF THE BOND
8	PROCEEDS. AND YOU'RE HELPED BY THE FACT THAT IT'S A
9	SHORT-TERM BORROWING. YOU AREN'T ASKING FOR A
10	30-YEAR COMMITMENT. YOU'RE ASKING FOR A THREE-YEAR,
11	A FOUR-YEAR, A FIVE-YEAR COMMITMENT OF PEOPLE'S
12	MONEY. AND TOO, YOU WILL HAVE IT IS NOT THE SAME
13	RISK PROFILE AS THE BAN'S WERE BACK IN 2006.
14	SO I THINK THERE ARE GOING TO BE LEGAL
15	ISSUES TO BE HAMMERED OUT. AND ONE THAT JUST
16	SURFACED IS MAKING SURE THAT FOR FOUNDATIONS THAT AN
17	INVESTMENT IN THESE BONDS ACTUALLY COUNTS AGAINST
18	THEIR I'M NOT EVEN SURE TECHNICALLY WHAT IT IS,
19	BUT THEIR PROGRAMMATIC OBJECTIVES FOR TAX PURPOSES.
20	BUT THOSE THINGS WILL NEED TO BE ADDRESSED, BUT MY
21	SENSE IS THAT WE HAVE A MUCH BROADER POTENTIAL
22	UNIVERSE OF BUYERS THAN WE HAD FOR THE BAN'S BECAUSE
23	OF THE DIFFERENCE IN THE RISKS.
24	MR. ROTH: SO I THINK THAT'S PROBABLY THE
25	CRUX OF THE QUESTION. HAVE WE LOOKED AT THAT FROM A

LEGAL STANDPOINT, AND WE'RE FAIRLY SURE THEY CAN USE
THIS AS PART OF THEIR ANNUAL OBLIGATION?
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IT DEPENDS ON THE
FOUNDATION AND WHAT THEIR PRACTICE IS GOING TO BE
AND HOW THEY'RE GOING TO TREAT IT. SOME FOUNDATIONS
MAY BE REVISING THEIR POLICIES BASED UPON THE
CURRENT FACT PATTERN. AND ED PENHOET INDICATED THAT
THEY SPECIFICALLY HAVE TAKEN THAT APPROACH IN THE
PAST AT THE GORDON AND BETTY MOORE FOUNDATION, BUT
IT'S GOING TO VARY BY PRACTICE.
MR. ROTH: BUT WE'RE NOT BREAKING NEW
GROUND?
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WE ARE IN MANY WAYS
BREAKING NEW GROUND, BUT WE ARE
MR. ROTH: LEGALLY I'M TALKING ABOUT.
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: NO. NOT LEGALLY. OKAY.
THANK YOU.
SO JUST FOR LOGISTICS PURPOSES, LET ME ASK
THE QUESTION. ARE WE GOING TO ADJOURN FOR DINNER?
MS. KING: WE CAN IF THAT IS THE DESIRE OF
THE BOARD.
DR. TROUNSON: MR. CHAIR, I WOULD LIKE
JOHN ROBSON TO FINISH HIS PRESENTATION TO YOU, IF
YOU WOULDN'T MIND BECAUSE I THINK WE'VE GOT TWO
PARTS, AND WE HAVEN'T GOT THE THIRD PART.
94

1	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WHAT I WAS THINKING, DR.
2	TROUNSON, IF THIS IS ACCEPTABLE, IS HAVE DR. ROBSON
3	FINISH HIS PRESENTATION, BUT IT MIGHT BE A LONG
4	DISCUSSION AFTER THAT. AND WHAT WE COULD DO IS GO
5	TO DINNER AND COME BACK FOR THE DISCUSSION BOTH OF
6	THE BOARD AND THE PUBLIC. IS THAT REASONABLE?
7	AND I'D LIKE TO, JUST SO THAT WE HAVE THE
8	ABILITY FOR DOUG MONTAGUE AND STEVE CHURCHWELL TO BE
9	ABLE TO LEAVE, THEY'VE COMMITTED A LOT OF HOURS TO
10	THIS. THEY WERE ON THE FINANCE CALL THE OTHER DAY
11	OF WHICH WE'RE VERY APPRECIATIVE, FINANCE COMMITTEE
12	CALL. ARE THERE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC THAT WOULD
13	LIKE TO DIRECT QUESTIONS TO EITHER OF THEM AT THIS
14	POINT? THERE ARE NONE. DR. ROBSON.
15	MR. SHEEHY: COULD I JUST ASK ONE
16	QUESTION? THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR COMING IN. BUT YOU
17	SAID THE THREE THINGS THAT YOU HAD TO TELL YOUR
18	CLIENTS LAST TIME. WHAT ARE THOSE WHAT IS YOUR
19	GUESS OF WHAT THAT WOULD BE THIS TIME? I MEAN I
20	FIGURE THE FIRST ONE IS STILL OPERATIVE. WHAT ARE
21	THE RISKS THAT YOUR CLIENT
22	MR. CHURCHWELL: IT WILL COME AS NO
23	SURPRISE THAT I DON'T KNOW BECAUSE I REALLY, AGAIN,
24	WOULD HAVE TO GET MORE STEEPED IN WHAT YOU'RE
25	PLANNING TO DO. HOWEVER, I DO BELIEVE YOU'RE RIGHT,
	O.E.

1	THAT THE THIRD OPTION, I THINK WE CAN SAY, WOULD BE
2	OFF THE TABLE, THAT THE MONEY JUST SIMPLY IS GIVEN
3	WITHOUT ANY WITHOUT ANY HOPE OF EVEN A CHARITABLE
4	DEDUCTION. AND I DON'T THINK AGAIN, BECAUSE
5	BAN'S ARE SO UNIQUE, I'M NOT SURE WHETHER A
6	CHARITABLE DEDUCTION WOULD APPLY TO SOMETHING LIKE A
7	NORMAL G.O. BOND BECAUSE, AGAIN, WITH THE CONDITION
8	PRECEDENT OR SUBSEQUENT, FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO STUDY
9	SUCH THINGS, DEPENDING ON WHICH ONE IT IS, IF IT
10	DIDN'T OCCUR WITH A BAN, THERE WAS SOME WE DID
11	HAVE CONFIDENCE FROM SOME PRIOR TAX RULINGS THAT YOU
12	COULD AT LEAST TAKE A CHARITABLE DEDUCTION IF WE DID
13	SO BEFORE THE JUDGMENT BECAME FINAL IN THE COURT IF
14	IT WENT THE WRONG WAY.
15	SO IT WAS WASN'T A HUGE RISK. BUT I
16	JUST I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION.
17	MR. SHEEHY: WHAT ARE OUR DO WE KNOW
18	WHAT THE RISKS ARE TO AN INVESTOR?
19	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: JEFF, IF WE CAN THIS
20	IS PROBABLY A DOUG MONTAGUE QUESTION MORE THAN A
21	STEVE CHURCHWELL QUESTION. BUT, DOUG, AMONG THE
22	RISKS THAT I WOULD LIST ARE VERY HIGH VOLATILITY
23	RESULTING IN VOLATILITY AS TO VALUE AS THE
24	STATE'S FINANCES VACILLATE IN THIS PERIOD OF TWO
25	BUDGET CYCLES UNDER TREMENDOUS PRESSURE. THE
	04

ı	QUESTION AS TO THE TIMING OF RECEIPT OF PAYMENT IS A
2	RISK, ALTHOUGH WE WOULD HOPE THAT THAT RISK CAN BE
3	MANAGED PROPERLY. AND THE RISK THAT INTEREST
4	PAYMENTS, IF NOT CAPITALIZED, MIGHT BE RECEIVED AT
5	MATURITY OR IT MIGHT NOT BE RECEIVED AS REGULARLY
6	SCHEDULED.
7	BUT WHAT OTHER KEY RISKS WOULD YOU FOCUS
8	THEM ON?
9	MR. MONTAGUE: I THINK THE RISKS FOR AN
10	INVESTOR ARE SORT OF THE SAME AS THEY ARE FOR ANY
11	SECURITY EXCEPT WHAT WE'RE CURRENTLY THINKING ABOUT
12	SELLING IS A LONG-TERM BOND WITH A SHORT-TERM
13	MANDATORY PUT OR TENDER. SO THE RISK TO THE
14	INVESTOR IS, FIRST OF ALL, THAT THEIR PERIODIC
15	INTEREST PAYMENTS UNTIL THE TENDER DATE AREN'T MADE
16	TIMELY. AND THEN THE REAL RISK OR MAJOR RISK IS
17	THAT AT THE PUT DATE, THAT THE STATE IS NOT IN A
18	POSITION TO REPAY THEIR PRINCIPAL AT THAT POINT.
19	AND THAT WOULD MEAN THAT WOULD OCCUR IF FOR SOME
20	REASON THE STATE EITHER DIDN'T HAVE THE CASH
21	RESOURCES TO PAY THE HOLDER FOR HIS BOND AND THEN
22	REMARKET IT AT A SUBSEQUENT DATE OR THE RISK THAT
23	THE STATE DOESN'T HAVE MARKET ACCESS AT THAT POINT
24	IN ORDER TO REMARKET THOSE BONDS TO A LATER DATE OR
25	TO THE FINAL MATURITY.

1	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND, DOUG, I THINK YOU
2	POTENTIALLY WOULD PUT ON THAT LIST THE LACK OF
3	LIQUIDITY UP TO THAT PUT DATE BECAUSE OF THE VALUE
4	FLUCTUATION BASED UPON INTEREST RATE ISSUES RELATED
5	TO THE STATE'S BUDGET AND RATINGS.
6	MR. MONTAGUE: YEAH. THERE ARE MARKET
7	THERE ARE CREDIT AND MARKET ISSUES THAT MIGHT MAKE
8	THESE SECURITIES DIFFICULT TO SELL IN THE SECONDARY
9	MARKET. AND THERE MAY BE RESTRICTIONS PLACED UPON
10	THE SALE, YOU KNOW, BY THE STATE AS PART OF THIS
11	PROCESS. THE FACT THAT IT'S A PRIVATE PLACEMENT
12	RATHER THAN A PUBLIC SALE, THERE ARE OFTENTIMES MORE
13	RESTRICTIVE RULES ON TRANSFER.
14	MR. GOLDBERG: QUESTION WITH RESPECT TO
15	LONG-TERM BONDS WITH MANDATORY THREE-YEAR PUTS.
16	COULD YOU ELABORATE ON WHETHER THAT'S A DISTINCTION
17	WITH A DIFFERENCE OR NOT VERSUS A THREE-YEAR NOTE?
18	MR. MONTAGUE: IT'S ESSENTIALLY A
19	THREE-YEAR NOTE FROM AN INVESTOR'S STANDPOINT. IT
20	HAS ALL THE SAME MECHANICS AS A THREE-YEAR BOND OR A
21	THREE-YEAR NOTE.
22	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. ANY OTHER
23	QUESTI ONS?
24	MR. SIMPSON: JOHN SIMPSON FROM CONSUMER
25	WATCHDOG. JUST I'M CURIOUS, MAYBE I MISSED THIS,
	QQ

1	BUT HAS THERE BEEN, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, ANY PRIVATE
2	PLACEMENT OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ANYWHERE IN
3	THE UNITED STATES BEFORE EVER?
4	MR. MONTAGUE: I'M NOT SURE THAT I MEAN
5	THE NORMAL PROCESS IS OBVIOUSLY A PUBLIC SALE, AND
6	I'M NOT SURE OF ANY. I DON'T KNOW OF ANY OTHER
7	CASES, BUT I DO KNOW THAT THE STATE IS IN THE
8	PROCESS OF NEGOTIATING THE PRIVATE PLACEMENT OF G.O.
9	BONDS NOW WITH ENTITIES IN THE STATE. SO IT'S
10	OCCURRING NOW. THIS IS NOT THE ONLY PROGRAM.
11	MR. SIMPSON: THEY'RE THINKING OF DOING
12	THIS WITH THE OTHER OBLIGATIONS?
13	MR. MONTAGUE: YES.
14	MR. SIMPSON: OTHER STATES, THOUGH? I'M
15	JUST LOOKING FOR PRECEDENT.
16	MR. MONTAGUE: OFFHAND I CAN'T THINK OF A
17	LOT OF PRECEDENT, BUT THERE HAVE BEEN LOTS OF
18	PRIVATE PLACEMENTS OF DEBT, JUST NOT NECESSARILY
19	GENERAL OBLIGATION.
20	MR. SIMPSON: THANK YOU.
21	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THE TWO TRANSACTIONS THAT
22	I THINK DOUG IS THINKING OF ARE BEING POTENTIALLY
23	PLACED WITH GROUPS THAT WE WOULD NOT BE PURCHASERS
24	THAT I WOULD EXPECT FOR THE SECURITIES WE'RE TRYING
25	TO PRIVATELY PLACE. IT'S A VERY SPECIALIZED MARKET.

	BINNISTERS REPORTING SERVICE
1	IS THAT APPROPRIATE?
2	MR. MONTAGUE: YES. I THINK THEY'RE NEAR
3	TERM TRANSACTIONS.
4	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: MISSION RELEVANT
5	TRANSACTIONS. SO, DUANE, I THINK IF I UNDERSTOOD,
6	IF I NOW UNDERSTAND, THINKING BACK ON YOUR QUESTION,
7	THERE'S BOTH A PRACTICE AND LEGAL QUESTIONS AS TO
8	TREATMENT OF PROGRAM INVESTMENTS. AND I DON'T THINK
9	WE HAVE FULL RESOLUTION AS TO WHICH INSTITUTIONS CAN
10	TAKE A PROGRAM CREDIT FOR A CURRENT DISTRIBUTION
11	SPECI FI CALLY.
12	MR. ROTH: THAT WAS REALLY MY QUESTION.
13	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU.
14	MS. LANSING: WELL, I THINK WE'RE ALL
15	SITTING HERE. AND THE INGENUITY OF THIS IS VERY
16	CLEVER. WE ALL UNDERSTAND THE RISKS OF IT. I JUST
17	DON'T THINK WE HAVE ANY OTHER CHOICE. I THINK
18	THAT'S REALLY WHERE WE ARE AS A BOARD. I MEAN WE
19	WOULD BE IRRESPONSIBLE NOT TO TRY AND DO THIS.
20	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. A STATEMENT OF
21	COURAGE.
22	MS. LANSING: YES. AND BELIEF IN OUR
23	MI SSI ON.
24	DR. PIZZO: A STATEMENT OF HOPE.
25	MS. LANSING: YES.
	100

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WELL, WE'RE VERY MUCH IN
TUNE WITH THE NEW ADMINISTRATION.
MR. LANSING: YES, WE CAN.
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YOU KNOW, WASHINGTON MAY
COPY US IF WE PUT OUT YES WE CAN STEM CELL BONDS.
SO WITH THAT, I'D LIKE DR. ROBSON TO PUT
ON THE TABLE SOME OF THE POLICY AND MANAGEMENT
OPTIONS THAT WE'VE DISCUSSED IN ENHANCING OUR
ABILITY TO MANAGE AND REDUCE OUR LIQUIDITY
REQUIREMENTS DURING THIS PERIOD, AS WELL AS TO
STRETCH OUR FUNDS GENERALLY.
DR. ROBSON: SO I KNOW FROM MARIE CSETE
PERSONALLY THAT SHE'S ABOUT TO FAINT FROM HUNGER,
AND I SUSPECT THERE ARE OTHERS IN THAT SIMILAR
SITUATION, SO I'LL TRY TO BE BRIEF HERE.
OF COURSE, WE HAVE A LOT OF FAITH THAT WE
CAN RAISE MONEY, AND WE ARE CERTAINLY HOPEFUL THAT
WE CAN CARRY ON WITH OUR MOST AMBITIOUS PROGRAMS,
BUT WE ALSO FEEL AS A STAFF THAT IT'S ESSENTIAL FOR
US TO LOOK AT SOME PLAN B, SOME FALLBACK OPTIONS
THAT WILL MINIMIZE THE PAIN AND ALLOW US TO CONTINUE
TO GO FORWARD. SO THAT'S SOME OF THE
RECOMMENDATIONS, THAT'S WHAT I'M GOING TO PRESENT TO
YOU.
NOW, THE FIRST ONES ARE REALLY RELATIVELY
101

1	PAINLESS. THEY HAVE VERY LITTLE EFFECT ON PROGRAMS.
2	AND THOSE ARE TWO OF THEM WOULD REQUIRE ACTION BY
3	THE BOARD. THESE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE ARE MAKING
4	TO YOU IS TO NOT FUND ANY OF THE TIER 2 APPLICATIONS
5	IN TOOLS AND TECHNOLOGIES THAT YOU WILL BE
6	CONSIDERING. IF YOU REMEMBER, AT THE LAST MEETING,
7	YOU APPROVED APPLICATIONS IN TIER 1, AND THAT TOOK
8	YOU UP PRETTY MUCH TO YOUR BUDGET LIMIT. SO OUR
9	RECOMMENDATION IS THAT WE HOLD THE LINE THERE AT THE
10	BUDGET.
11	AND THAT WE DO NOT FUND ANY RFA'S IN THE
12	FUTURE BEYOND RECOMMENDED BUDGETS, AT LEAST IN THE
13	NEAR FUTURE. AND THAT WOULD INCLUDE, THEN, THE
14	PROGRAMS THAT YOU WILL BE CONSIDERING IN THE NEXT
15	COUPLE OF DAYS.
16	NOW, THERE ARE SOME ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS
17	THAT WE CAN TAKE THAT WILL HELP IN OUR CASH FLOW
18	THAT WILL EXTEND THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT WE WOULD
19	STILL HAVE AVAILABLE OVER A LONGER PERIOD OF TIME,
20	AGAIN WITH A TARGET OF LOOKING AT THAT DATE OF
21	DECEMBER 31, 2010.
22	ONE WOULD BE IS NO MORE ADDITIONAL FUNDING
23	FOR UP-FRONT FUNDING, EXCUSE ME, FOR MAJOR
24	FACILITIES PROJECTS. AND THAT WE HAVE REQUESTS FOR
25	UP TO BETWEEN 55 AND 60 MILLION. THAT WOULD HELP,

1	AGAIN, WITH OUR CASH FLOW A LITTLE BIT, BUT STILL
2	THAT MONEY WOULD BE AVAILABLE. THE COMMITMENT IS
3	STILL THERE TO THOSE PROJECTS.
4	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: LET ME EXPAND SO IT'S
5	CLEAR WHAT THAT MEANS. WE MADE IT AVAILABLE FOR
6	UP-FRONT FUNDING. ONE OF THE OBJECTIVES THAT'S
7	CLEAR AT THIS POINT WAS TO GET THE FUNDING OUT THE
8	DOOR SO THAT THE INSTITUTIONS HAD THE MONEY IN A
9	DEPENDABLE WAY BECAUSE WE HAD A FORESEEABLE
10	DIFFICULT TIME PERIOD. WE COULD RAISE THE MONEY,
11	PUT IT OUT, AND THE INSTITUTIONS HAD THE BENEFIT OF
12	THE CERTAINTY THAT THEY COULD HAVE THAT FUNDING.
13	WITH OTHER INSTITUTIONS, OF WHICH WE'VE
14	MADE COMMITMENTS, WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO PROVIDE
15	THEM A VERY STRONG LEGAL OPINION ON A CONTRACT WE'VE
16	ISSUED, AND THAT CONTRACT BEING PROTECTED AGAINST
17	SUBSEQUENT ACTION. BUT WE DO NOT HAVE THE CASH FLOW
18	AVAILABLE TO CHANGE WHAT WAS AN AGREEMENT THAT WE'D
19	BE THE LAST FUNDS IN TO UP-FRONT FUNDING. SO WE'RE
20	MERELY STATING THAT WE WOULD STAY WITH OUR CURRENT
21	BOARD APPROVED POLICY ON THOSE INSTITUTIONS THAT DID
22	NOT RECEIVE UP-FRONT FUNDING.
23	DR. PIZZO: TWO THINGS, BOB. JUST ONE
24	MODIFICATION OF YOUR STATEMENT. I THOUGHT THAT THE
25	REASON WE DID THE UP-FRONT FUNDING WAS TO ACHIEVE

1	NOMINAL PRESENT VALUE TO SPREAD OUT THE DOLLARS TO
2	FUND MORE PROGRAMS. WASN'T THAT WE FORESAW THE
3	ECONOMIC CRISIS.
4	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WE ACTUALLY IN EXECUTIVE
5	COMMITTEE MADE A DECISION AND DISCUSSED THIS
6	EVENTUALITY IN APRIL OF LAST YEAR. AND SPECIFICALLY
7	IN ORDER TO DRAW DOWN BOTH BOND FUNDS AND PMI FUNDS,
8	WE HAD TO HAVE PLANNED TO IMMEDIATELY USE THOSE
9	FUNDS, WHICH
10	DR. PIZZO: BUT WE HAD MORE REQUESTS THAN
11	WE COULD FUND, AND THIS WAS ONE WAY OF ALSO
12	SPREADING THAT.
13	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ABSOLUTELY. THERE WAS
14	A THERE WAS A SECONDARY BENEFIT OF REACHING
15	ADDITIONAL FUNDING BY DOING AN UP-FRONT PRESENT
16	VALUE DISCOUNT, WHICH WAS PART OF THIS.
17	DR. PIZZO: BUT THE REAL ISSUE THAT I
18	WANTED TO TALK ABOUT IS WHAT'S THE IMPACT OF THIS ON
19	PROGRAMS? DOES IT MEAN IS THIS FOR IS THIS
20	BASICALLY ONE FACILITY? IS IT FIVE FACILITIES?
21	HAVE THEY STARTED THEIR PROJECTS?
22	DR. TROUNSON: I JUST WONDER IF I COULD
23	FILL YOU IN ON IT? IN TWO OF THE INSTITUTIONS THAT
24	WEREN'T PLANNING TO COME AS UP-FRONT FUNDING,
25	BECAUSE OF THE ECONOMIC CLIMATE, THE BANKS HAVE
	104

1	ACTUALLY GOT A DIFFERENT VIEW AT THE MOMENT. AND
2	THEY'RE SAYING TO THEM, EVEN THOUGH THEY DON'T NEED
3	TO USE CIRM MONEY TO INITIATE THE BUILDING WORK, THE
4	BANKS ARE NOT PREPARED TO PROVIDE THE LOAN UNLESS
5	THE CIRM MONEY IS IN THE BANK.
6	NOW, I'VE ASKED COUNSEL TO WORK THROUGH
7	THIS ISSUE BECAUSE I THINK WE CAN PROVIDE WRITTEN
8	REASSURANCE TO THOSE INSTITUTIONS WHICH HOPEFULLY
9	THEY WILL BE ABLE TO USE.
10	DR. PIZZO: OTHERWISE, THE PROJECTS COULD
11	GO FORWARD. THAT'S REALLY WHAT I'M DRIVING AT
12	OBVI OUSLY.
13	DR. TROUNSON: SO THAT'S WHAT WE BELIEVE
14	WE CAN DO; BUT UNTIL WE'VE DONE IT, WE CAN'T BE
15	CERTAIN. BUT WE BELIEVE THAT THAT'S THE APPROPRIATE
16	THING. AND HENCE, THE RECOMMENDATION HERE IS TO NOT
17	EXPEND SOME HIGHLY CRITICAL FUNDS IN THIS SPACE IF
18	WE CAN SOLVE THOSE ISSUES IN ANOTHER WAY.
19	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: ALL RIGHT.
20	DR. ROBSON: SO
21	DR. HAWGOOD: DOES THIS ASSUME, THEN, THAT
22	THESE DOLLARS WOULD NOT BE CALLED ON AS LAST FUND
23	DOLLARS BEFORE DECEMBER OF '10?
24	DR. ROBSON: WELL, THE FACT IS WE EXPECT
25	THAT MANY OF THEM WOULD BE CALLED BEFORE THEN, BUT
	105

105

1	IT DOES HELP US IT DOESN'T HELP US NECESSARILY
2	GETTING TO DECEMBER 31ST OF 2010, BUT IT COULD HELP
3	US IN THE PERIOD IN BETWEEN ASSUMING WE'RE GOING TO
4	GET SOME MONEY ALONG THE WAY.
5	DR. TROUNSON: SAM, IT ACTUALLY SORRY.
6	THESE WOULD BE LAST DOLLARS IN. SO, IN FACT, THEY
7	ACTUALLY DON'T COME IN UNTIL BECAUSE THESE
8	PROGRAMS HAVEN'T BEGUN AT THIS POINT IN TIME.
9	DR. ROBSON: IT DEPENDS ON HOW FAST THEY
10	MOVE THE PROJECT ALONG.
11	DR. TROUNSON: SO THEY WOULDN'T BE ON
12	CALL, I THINK, UNTIL THE END OF THAT 2010 PERIOD.
13	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO TO EXTEND DR.
14	TROUNSON'S COMMENTS, IT ALSO HELPS US SUBSTANTIALLY
15	IN OUR CASH-FLOW PROGRAM BECAUSE THESE PROGRAMS TAKE
16	A LONG TIME TO LAUNCH THE INITIAL COMPONENT.
17	THERE'S A GREAT DEAL OF EDUCATION AND WORK WITH
18	THESE CLIENTS BEFORE THEY EVER CAN BE BROUGHT TO THE
19	TREASURER'S OFFICE. AND SO BY NOT PUTTING AS HEAVY
20	A CASH-FLOW LOAD IN THE EARLY PERIOD, IT HELPS US
21	STRATEGICALLY IN ACCOMPLISHING THE IN SCALING
22	DOWN THE TRANCHE WE NEED IN THE FRONT END.
23	DR. ROBSON: SO ANOTHER ADMINISTRATIVE
24	ACTION WE CAN TAKE HAS TO DO WITH TRAINING GRANTS.
25	MANY OF THE INSTITUTIONS THAT RECEIVED WERE
	10/

106

1	AWARDED THEIR PROGRAMS FUNDED IN TRAINING GRANTS
2	I ARE NOW APPLICANTS IN TRAINING GRANTS II, WHICH
3	YOU WILL SEE OVER THE NEXT COUPLE OF DAYS. SOME OF
4	THOSE PROGRAMS, A LOT OF THOSE PROGRAMS, TOOK A
5	WHILE TO RAMP UP. AND THEY HAVE CONSIDERABLE
6	CARRY-OVER FUNDS REMAINING. SO WHAT WE ARE PLANNING
7	TO DO THEN IS WE WON'T ISSUE NEW FUNDS TO THOSE
8	PROGRAMS UNTIL THEY'VE USED UP THEIR CARRY-ON FUNDS.
9	THE NUMBERS AREN'T CLEAR TO US BECAUSE,
10	FIRST OF ALL, WE DON'T KNOW WHICH ONES YOU WILL
11	APPROVE TOMORROW, AND WE DON'T HAVE THE FINAL
12	ACCOUNTING FROM THEM ON HOW MUCH CARRY-OVER MONEY
13	THEY HAVE STILL. BUT WE'RE ESTIMATING THAT THAT
14	COULD SAVE US EIGHT TO 10 MILLION OVER THIS TWO-YEAR
15	PERI OD.
16	AND FINALLY, WE COULD CHANGE FROM AN
17	ANNUAL PAYMENT SCALE TO A QUARTERLY PAYMENT SCALE.
18	THE WAY WE DO OUR GRANT FUNDING NOW, WHEN A GRANT IS
19	AWARDED, WE PAY ONE YEAR IN ADVANCE AND WE PAY ON AN
20	ANNUALIZED BASIS. IF WE SWITCH TO A QUARTERLY
21	BASIS, WE DON'T CHANGE THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT WE
22	GIVE. WE JUST STRETCH IT OUT OVER A LONGER PERIOD
23	OF TIME. EASIEST WAY FOR ME TO ILLUSTRATE THIS IS
24	IF SOMEONE HAS A MILLION-DOLLAR GRANT AND WE AWARD
25	THAT GRANT ON AN ANNUAL BASIS ANY TIME DURING THE

1	YEAR, WE WOULD THEN PAY A MILLION DOLLARS DURING
2	THAT YEAR. HOWEVER, IF WE PAID ON A QUARTERLY
3	BASIS, IF WE AWARDED IT IN THE FIRST QUARTER, WE
4	WOULD PAY THEM A MILLION DOLLARS OVER THE YEAR, FOUR
5	QUARTERLY PAYMENTS; BUT IF WE AWARDED IT IN THE LAST
6	QUARTER, WE WOULD ONLY PAY 250,000 DURING THAT YEAR.
7	WE WOULD PAY THE REMAINING IN THE FOLLOWING YEAR.
8	SO JUST LET ME SHOW YOU ON A SLIDE HERE
9	WHAT THAT WOULD DO TO THOSE SCENARIOS THAT I'VE
10	SHOWN YOU. SO IN THE FIRST SCENARIO, WHICH IS JUST
11	FUNDING ONGOING PROJECTS, THAT CALCULATION, THAT
12	SCENARIO WE HAD A BALANCE AT THE BOTTOM OF NEGATIVE
13	\$133 MILLION. IF WE WENT TO QUARTERLY PAYMENTS ON
14	THOSE PROGRAMS NOW, WE WOULD SAVE \$21 MILLION OVER
15	THAT TWO-YEAR PERIOD. AND SO WE WOULD ONLY NEED 112
16	MILLION DURING THE NEXT TWO YEARS, THE REMAINING
17	BEING PAID IN 2011.
18	IF WE DID THE SAME FOR SCENARIO 2, WE
19	WOULD SAVE \$67 MILLION OVER THIS TWO-YEAR PERIOD, SO
20	THE BALANCE WE WOULD OWE WOULD FALL FROM 320, OR
21	WHAT WE NEED WOULD FALL FROM 320 TO 250. AND IN
22	SCENARIO 3, IT WOULD BE \$109 MILLION THAT WE COULD
23	DELAY PAYMENTS ON. SO THAT OUR OBLIGATION OVER THAT
24	TWO-YEAR PERIOD AGAIN WOULD FALL FROM 377 MILLION
25	DOWN TO 267.

THESE DON'T REALLY HAVE EFFECT
SHOULDN'T HAVE ANY IMPACT ON THE SCIENTISTS OR THE
PROGRAMS THAT WE'RE DOING. THEY JUST STRETCH THE
PAYMENTS OUT TO HELP US GET THROUGH THIS TIME PERIOD
WHEN WE HAVE A CASH-FLOW PROBLEM.
SO THE NEXT SLIDE, NOW WE GET INTO THINGS
THAT WE HAVE TO CONSIDER THAT ARE A BIT MORE
PAINFUL. THIS FIRST ONE, WHICH IS POSTPONE BASIC
RESEARCH II, IS SOMETHING THAT'S VERY UNPOPULAR
AMONG OUR SCIENCE OFFICERS. AND THE REASON FOR THAT
IS THAT YOU HAVE ALREADY APPROVED THIS. A DATE IS
OUT THAT THIS WILL BE OFFERED IN AUGUST. AND MANY
OF OUR SCIENTISTS HAVE MADE THEIR PLANNING BASED ON
THE ASSUMPTION THAT THIS WOULD BE DONE. THEY WERE
TOLD THAT THEY COULD APPLY IN BASIC SCIENCE I OR
THEY COULD APPLY FOR BASIC SCIENCE II. MANY OF THEM
MADE THEIR PLANS BASED ON THE EXPECTATION THAT THIS
WOULD BE AVAILABLE, BUT WE MAY JUST HAVE TO DELAY
IT, AND THAT'S JUST THE REALITY OF WHERE WE ARE
RI GHT NOW.
AND THEN THE OTHER THING WE ARE
RECOMMENDING IS THAT WE NOT BRING ALONG ANY NEW
RFA'S UNTIL OUR FINANCES IMPROVE, FOR CONCEPT
APPROVAL.
MR. SHEEHY: I WANTED TO ASK. WOULDN'T
109

1	THEY BE ABLE TO SEND THOSE APPLICATIONS INTO THE
2	NIH, WHICH IS GOING TO GET, PRESUMABLY, A MAJOR
3	CHUNK OF MONEY? WOULDN'T THOSE BE VERY APPROPRIATE
4	FOR FUNDING BY THE NIH FROM THE MONEY THAT'S BEING
5	SUPPLIED THROUGH THE STIMULUS PACKAGE?
6	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: HE'S ASKING ABOUT THE
7	BASIC SCIENCE.
8	DR. ROBSON: YES, THE BASIC SCIENCE. IT
9	HASN'T HAPPENED YET, BUT IF THINGS OPENED UP, IT'S
10	CONCEIVABLE THAT COULD BE ELIGIBLE FOR THAT.
11	MR. SHEEHY: SO THOSE SCIENTISTS MIGHT NOT
12	BE ABSOLUTELY TOTALLY CRUSHED IF WE DIDN'T DO THAT?
13	DR. ROBSON: THOSE POLICIES ARE STILL
14	DR. PIZZO: THEY'RE GOING TO BE CRUSHED.
15	THEY' LL BE DI STRESSED.
16	DR. ROBSON: IT'S GOING TO BE A
17	SIGNIFICANT BLOW TO THEM, I THINK.
18	DR. TROUNSON: IT'S REALLY TOO DIFFICULT
19	TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION, I THINK, BECAUSE THE
20	ALLOCATION OF FUNDING WITHIN THE NIH USUALLY TAKES,
21	YOU KNOW, MORE THAN AN IMMEDIATE POINT IN TIME. IT
22	USUALLY TAKES A YEAR TO SORT OF REFORMAT THE BUDGETS
23	AND ALLOCATE THEM TO THE PROGRAMS. SO I THINK THERE
24	WILL BE STRESS IN THE SYSTEM.
25	DR. PIZZO: WOULD YOU CONSIDER ACTUALLY
	110

1	PROCEEDING SINCE THE RFA WAS ANNOUNCED, AND WOULD
2	YOU CONSIDER PROCEEDING AND INFORM EVERYONE THAT
3	THEY'RE NOT GOING TO BE FUNDED IF WE DON'T HAVE THE
4	MONEY?
5	DR. TROUNSON: ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE
6	WOULD IN MY VIEW DO AND WHICH IS NECESSARY TO DO, I
7	THINK, IS TO SAY THAT IF IT WAS AGREED TO, THE
8	PROJECT, THAT WE COULD ONLY FUND BASICALLY IF WE HAD
9	THE MONEY TO DO IT. SO THAT WITHIN THE CONTRACT, WE
10	MAY HAVE TO SAY, AS MANY OTHER ORGANIZATIONS DO,
11	IT'S REALLY SUBJECT TO AVAILABLE.
12	DR. PIZZO: OF COURSE, I UNDERSTAND THAT,
13	BUT IT'S TWOFOLD. ONE IS IF WE HAVE THE MONEY NOW,
14	BUT THE OTHER IS HOPEFULLY WE'RE GOING TO GET OUT
15	FROM UNDER THIS, AND THEN THERE'LL BE A POINT WHEN
16	WE HAVE IT. AT LEAST HOPEFULLY AT THAT TIME THE
17	PROCESS WILL BE DONE. WHAT I'M SORT OF WORRIED
18	ABOUT IS SORT OF PUTTING THINGS OFF, AND THEN WE'LL
19	HAVE THIS HUGE, YOU KNOW, PIPELINE. WE'LL HAVE THE
20	MONEY THAT COULD HAVE BEEN SPENT THAT COMES INTO THE
21	BUCKET, AND WE WON'T HAVE ANY OF THE PROJECTS
22	AVAI LABLE.
23	DR. TROUNSON: THIS COMES DOWN TO YOUR
24	JUDGMENT. THIS IS WHAT YOU GET PAID SO MUCH TO DO
25	BECAUSE YOU'VE GOT TO WEIGH ALL OF THIS UP. I MEAN

1	
1	ESSENTIALLY THAT'S WHAT IT'S ABOUT, I THINK, ABOUT
2	THE RELATIVE MERITS AND PROBABILITIES.
3	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I'M GOING TO CALL ON
4	SHERRY. WHEN WE COME BACK AND HAVE A FULL
5	DISCUSSION, THERE ARE DECISION POINTS THAT WILL COME
6	OVER THE TIME PERIOD. FOR EXAMPLE, IN APRIL WHEN WE
7	COME TO APPROVE TRANSLATION II, THERE ARE OTHER
8	OPTIONS THAT WE HAVEN'T YET DISCUSSED, INCLUDING
9	APPROVING THESE WITH A PROVISION IN THE CONTRACT
10	THAT SAYS SUBJECT TO AVAILABLE FUNDS. SO THEN THERE
11	CAN BE AN INSTITUTIONAL DECISION ABOUT WHETHER TO
12	FUND IT IF THEY BELIEVE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IS
13	GOING TO CONTINUE TO EXIST, BUT THEY KNOW THAT THEY
14	HAVE A CONTRACT THAT IS BINDING WHICH WE COULD PUT A
15	DATE CERTAIN IN.
16	DR. PIZZO: WE CAN MOVE TO ALASKA.
17	MS. LANSING: YOU'RE BASICALLY BOTH SAYING
18	WHAT I WAS GOING TO SAY, WHICH IS THAT I THINK TO
19	STOP OUR WORK AND STOP SOMETHING THAT WE STARTED IS
20	A VERY, VERY BAD SIGNAL. WE DIDN'T DO THAT WHEN WE
21	WENT OUT TO GET THE BAN'S IN THE BEGINNING. SO I
22	THINK WE SHOULD CONTINUE WITH EVERYTHING THAT WE HAD
23	PLANNED SO FAR, AND I THINK IT'S PERFECTLY RIGHT TO
24	SAY, YOU KNOW, WE APPROVE THIS SUBJECT TO HAVING THE
25	FUNDS, PERIOD, YOU KNOW. I THINK TO STOP MIDWAY, IT

1	WOULD BE A TERRIBLE THING. AND IT WOULD ALSO THEN
2	MAKE ME FEEL LIKE WE REALLY DIDN'T HAVE THIS
3	89-PERCENT CHANCE TO GET THIS FUNDING.
4	DR. ROBSON: WE'RE PUTTING THESE OUT FOR
5	YOUR CONSIDERATION BECAUSE WE HAVE TO
6	MS. LANSING: I RESPECT THAT.
7	DR. ROBSON: TO BE PRUDENT. SO THEN
8	FINALLY, I THINK WHAT DO I HAVE NEXT? WE DO HAVE
9	SOME PROGRAMS THAT WE DO THINK ARE MISSION CRITICAL
10	HERE THAT WE ARE HOPEFUL WE CAN CONTINUE WITH.
11	BRIDGES, THE BRIDGES PROGRAM, WE THINK, IS IMPORTANT
12	FOR MEETING AN UNMET NEED IN THE STATE TO HELP
13	POPULATE OUR STEM CELL SCIENCE LABS. AND THE EARLY
14	TRANSLATION AND DISEASE TEAM PROGRAMS, WE THINK, ARE
15	REALLY SORT OF THE MEAT OF WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO.
16	SO WE THINK IT WOULD REALLY BE A MISTAKE TO PUT
17	THOSE ON HOLD.
18	NOW, WHAT WE MAY HAVE TO DO OVER THE NEXT
19	FEW MONTHS AS THESE THINGS COME, AS THEY'RE BROUGHT
20	FORWARD, IS A DECISION MAY HAVE TO BE MADE TO FUND
21	FEWER OR TO FUND TO PROVIDE A SMALLER BUDGET,
22	OVERALL BUDGET, THAN ORIGINALLY CONCEIVED. THAT'S,
23	AGAIN, A DECISION THAT YOU WILL HAVE TO MAKE.
24	YOU'LL HAVE TO PUT THAT INTO CONSIDERATION. WE
25	WOULD LIKE TO SEE THOSE PROGRAMS MOVE FORWARD.
	112

1	AND THEN THERE'S ALWAYS THE POSSIBILITY,
2	THIS IS NOT A RECOMMENDATION WE'RE MAKING, THIS IS A
3	BIT OF A LAST-DITCH THING, WOULD BE REDUCE BUDGETS
4	ON ALL GRANTS BY A FIXED PERCENTAGE. AGAIN, I JUST
5	PUT THAT OUT SO THAT YOU ARE AWARE THAT THAT IS
6	REMIND YOU THAT THAT IS A POSSIBILITY, NOT SOMETHING
7	THAT WE HOPE WE EVER HAVE TO DO.
8	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: GREAT. SO THIS IS LIKE
9	PREPARING EVERYONE FOR THE VIBRANT DISCUSSION THAT
10	WILL FOLLOW WHEN WE RECONVENE. WE'VE ENTICED THE
11	AUDIENCE TO REMAIN WITH US, AND WE WILL LOOK FORWARD
12	TO THAT DISCUSSION. MELISSA KING, COULD YOU TELL US
13	WHERE WE ARE ADJOURNING?
14	MS. KING: YOU WILL BE ADJOURNING TO THE
15	ROOM ACROSS THE HALL WHERE SOME OF YOU MAY HAVE
16	GOTTEN COFFEE EARLIER, SO JUST OUT THIS DOOR HERE
17	AND ACROSS THE HALL.
18	DR. SIMPSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, HOW LONG DO
19	YOU ANTICIPATE ADJOURNING?
20	DR. PI ZZO: THREE HOURS.
21	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I WOULD SAY APPROXIMATELY
22	40 MINUTES, 40 MINUTES.
23	(A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
24	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. IF WE COULD
25	RECONVENE. ALL RIGHT. COULD THE STAFF PLEASE HELP
	11/

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

1	ASSEMBLE THE BOARD MEMBERS? WE'RE GOING TO COMMENCE
2	THIS SESSION AS THE REMAINING MEMBERS ASSEMBLE. AND
3	I'M GOING TO TAKE A MOMENT BECAUSE I'D LIKE TO
4	TAKE A MOMENT TO INTRODUCE JACQUELINE KENNY, WHO IS
5	THE CONSULTANT TO STATE SENATOR GLORIA ROMERO, WHO'S
6	WRITTEN THE LETTER THAT IS AVAILABLE IN THE BACK OF
7	THE ROOM ON THE BRIDGES PROGRAM. SHE HAS TO LEAVE,
8	SO I'M GOING TO TAKE HER COMMENTS AT THIS TIME.
9	AND I THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR BEING HERE
10	AS I THANKED THE SENATOR EARLIER TODAY FOR HER
11	LETTER. QUITE UNEXPECTED, BUT DEFINITELY AND
12	ABSOLUTELY WELCOME. THANK YOU.
13	MS. KENNY: AS HE SAID, MY NAME IS JACKIE
14	KENNY, CONSULTANT TO SENATOR ROMERO, WHO'S THE CHAIR
15	OF THE SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE AND CHAIR OF THE
16	SENATE BUDGET FISCAL REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE ON
17	EDUCATION FINANCE.
18	SO ON BEHALF OF HER AND SENATOR STEINBERG,
19	THE PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE, I JUST WANT TO EXPRESS
20	APPRECIATION FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO WORK WITH YOU ON
21	STEM CELL EDUCATION. IT'S A MAJOR POLICY FOCUS IN
22	THE SENATE THIS YEAR TO LINK EDUCATION TO THE
23	ECONOMY, ESPECIALLY EMERGING INDUSTRIES. IT'S THE
24	SENATOR'S BELIEF THAT OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS MUST BE
25	CLOSELY LINKED TO THE NEEDS OF THE NEW ECONOMY IF WE

1	ARE TO PRODUCE ENOUGH WORKERS WITH THE PROPER
2	EDUCATION AND TECHNICAL TRAINING TO FILL THE JOBS IN
3	EMERGING INDUSTRIES. AND THE STEM CELL INDUSTRY, OF
4	COURSE, BEING A PRIME EXAMPLE OF WHY THIS IS SO
5	ESSENTI AL.
6	AN EDUCATED AND PROPERLY TRAINED WORKFORCE
7	IS ESSENTIAL IF CALIFORNIA IS TO RETAIN ITS PREMIERE
8	POSITION IN STEM CELL RESEARCH AND TO FULLY REALIZE
9	THE MEDICAL AND ECONOMIC BENEFITS FROM THIS EMERGING
10	I NDUSTRY.
11	SO AS STATED IN THE LETTER, SENATORS
12	ROMERO AND STEINBERG JUST WANT TO CONGRATULATE YOU
13	ON MAKING THE BRIDGES AWARDS, WHATEVER FORM THEY
14	MIGHT TAKE AND TO WHOEVER THE RECIPIENTS ARE, AND
15	ALSO JUST EXPRESS THEIR SUPPORT FOR OTHER EDUCATION
16	INITIATIVES, PARTICULARLY AT THE HIGH SCHOOL LEVEL.
17	WE'VE HAD A MEETING AND ARE WORKING WITH
18	DON GIBBONS ON YOUR STAFF TO HELP IDENTIFY EXISTING
19	PROGRAMS AND RESOURCES SO THAT MORE HIGH SCHOOL
20	STUDENTS WILL BE EXPOSED TO A PATHWAY TO CAREER
21	OPPORTUNITIES IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. WE'VE
22	TALKED ABOUT PROPOSALS FOR HIGH SCHOOL CURRICULUM
23	DEVELOPMENT, POSSIBILITIES WITH MAGNET PROGRAMS OR
24	CHARTER SCHOOLS THAT HAVE A MATH/SCIENCE FOCUS,
25	THERE'S PARTNERSHIP ACADEMIES, AND DISTANCE LEARNING

1	OPPORTUNITIES WITH THE K-12 HIGH SPEED NETWORK,
2	WHICH IS A BROADBAND NETWORK CONNECTING SCHOOLS
3	THROUGHOUT CALI FORNI A.
4	FOR SENATOR ROMERO, SHE LOOKS AT ALL
5	EDUCATION ISSUES, NOT JUST IN TERMS OF WORKFORCE
6	DEVELOPMENT, BUT HOW WILL THIS INITIATIVE HELP CURB
7	THE HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUT RATE OR HELP CLOSE THE
8	ACHIEVEMENT GAP, BOTH OF WHICH ARE ALARMING AND
9	UNACCEPTABLE IN OUR STATE.
10	SO IN CLOSING, AGAIN, I JUST WANT TO
11	REITERATE THEIR COMMITMENT TO WORKING ON STEM CELL
12	EDUCATION INITIATIVES AND ENCOURAGE YOU TO KEEP THE
13	LONG-RANGE VISION EVEN IN THESE VERY DIFFICULT
14	TIMES. THANK YOU.
15	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
16	(APPLAUSE.)
17	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IT'S A GREAT WAY TO START
18	THE SECOND PART OF THIS MEETING TONIGHT, AND IT IS
19	TREMENDOUS WHAT SENATOR ROMERO IS DOING IN THE AREA
20	OF ADVANCING TECHNICAL SKILLS FOR STUDENTS IN THE
21	NEW ECONOMY.
22	I'D LIKE TO
23	MR. GOLDBERG: JUST TO ADD TO THAT, THE
24	CALIFORNIA HEALTHCARE INSTITUTE IN COOPERATION WITH
25	PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS HAS JUST PUBLISHED THEIR NEW

1	ANNUAL REPORT ON THE STATE OF THE LIFE SCIENCE
2	INDUSTRY IN CALIFORNIA, WHICH NOW EMPLOYS OVER
3	270,000 CALIFORNIANS AT AN AVERAGE SALARY OF \$70,000
4	A YEAR COMPARED TO A STATE AVERAGE OF APPROXIMATELY
5	HALF OF THAT. SO I'M ALL FOR WHAT SENATOR ROMERO IS
6	PROMOTING, AND I THINK ALL OF US AS CALIFORNIANS
7	SHOULD BE AS WELL.
8	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YOU WOULD ALSO FIND THAT
9	IN SENATOR ROMERO'S LETTER ITSELF, SHE REFERENCES A
10	STUDY BY BAYBIO. AND BAYBIO, IN FOCUSING ON THE
11	CONSTRAINTS ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF BIOTECH IN THE
12	FUTURE OF CALIFORNIA, THEIR NO. 1 PRIORITY FOR
13	WORKFORCE AND EDUCATION IS TO INCREASE STATE
14	TRAINING AND PRODUCTION OF LICENSED CLINICAL
15	LABORATORY SCIENTISTS AND MEDICAL LAB TECHNICIANS.
16	SO THERE'S A CONFLUENCE HERE FROM A NUMBER OF
17	SOURCES ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF BUILDING THIS
18	INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT THE RESEARCHERS AND THE
19	BIOTECH SECTOR.
20	AT THIS POINT I'D LIKE TO GO INTO THE
21	DISCUSSION SECTION OF THE EVENING, LOOKING AT THE
22	INFORMATION THAT WE'VE HEARD PREVIOUSLY. AND I'D
23	LIKE TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT IN TERMS OF THE ABILITY
24	OF FOUNDATIONS TO TREAT THESE AS PROGRAM INVESTMENTS
25	TO MITIGATE RISK EXCUSE ME TO PROVIDE RISK

1	TOLERANCE FOR THE DECISIONS TO INVEST IN THESE
2	SECURITIES, WE'VE HAD DISCUSSIONS WITH DOUG MONTAGUE
3	ON THIS SUBJECT AND THE TREASURER'S OFFICE ON THIS
4	SUBJECT. AND THAT'S VERY MUCH, I THINK, IN THE
5	FRAMEWORK OF DOUG MONTAGUE'S INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION.
6	I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT THE LEGAL ISSUE
7	OF WHETHER OR NOT THE PARTICULAR FOUNDATION CAN
8	COUNT THIS TOWARDS ANY PROGRAMMATIC GOAL IS
9	SOMETHING THAT HAS NOT BEEN DISCUSSED IN TERMS OF
10	EVALUATING THE PROBABILITY OF FULFILLING THAT
11	OBJECTIVE. IF THAT IS POSSIBLE, IT WOULD BE AN
12	ENHANCEMENT. AND IT IS POSSIBLE THAT ONLY IF
13	THEY'RE ABOVE THE 5-PERCENT THRESHOLD CAN THEY COUNT
14	IT AGAINST THE GOAL.
15	SO WE NEED TO GET A SPECIAL TAX COUNSEL AT
16	ORECK TO LOOK AT THIS IN ADDITION TO AT LEAST TWO
17	FOUNDATION COUNSELS. DESPITE INFORMATION WE HAVE AS
18	TO THE POTENTIAL FOR THIS APPLICATION, THAT'S AN
19	ENHANCEMENT THAT HASN'T BEEN TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT IN
20	THE MATH THAT'S BEEN DISCUSSED HERE TONIGHT.
21	OKAY. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SAY THAT IN A
22	RISK ASSESSMENT OF WHETHER WE CAN COMPLETE THIS,
23	IT'S IMPORTANT TO REALIZE THAT TIMEFRAME MAY BE A
24	MAJOR ISSUE. SO THAT WHILE WE MAY BE ABLE TO
25	COMPLETE IT, THE QUESTION IS CAN WE SELL A TRANCHE

1	OF THESE SECURITIES IN THE TIME WE NEED TO FUND THE
2	SPECIFIC PROGRAM. AND IN THAT CONTEXT, JAMES
3	HARRISON, I'D LIKE YOU TO ADDRESS THE SPECIFIC ISSUE
4	WE REFERENCED EARLIER OR THE OPTION WE REFERENCED
5	EARLIER OF PUTTING A CONDITIONAL TERM IN THE
6	CONTRACTS THAT WILL DEAL WITH THE TIMING RISK.
7	MR. HARRISON: YES. WHAT WE'VE DISCUSSED
8	INTERNALLY IS INCLUDING IN OUR NOTICE OF GRANT
9	AWARDS GOING FORWARD A PROVISION ACTUALLY VERY
10	SIMILAR TO THE ONE THAT WE INCLUDED IN OUR FIRST
11	GRANT AWARDS FOR TRAINING GRANT I AWARDS, WHICH
12	EFFECTIVELY WOULD PROVIDE THAT THE TIMING OF THE
13	DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS PURSUANT TO THE GRANT AWARD
14	WOULD BE CONTINGENT UPON THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS
15	IN CIRM'S SOLE DISCRETION WITH A CAP OF PERHAPS 24
16	OR 36 MONTHS SO THAT THERE WAS SOME CERTAINTY OF
17	PAYMENT. IN OTHER WORDS, THE OBLIGATION WOULDN'T
18	JUST CARRY ON FOREVER. SO THAT'S ONE OF THE ITEMS
19	THAT'S UNDER CONSIDERATION CURRENTLY.
20	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO THE TIMING ISSUE IS
21	IMPORTANT BECAUSE ASKING AN INSTITUTION TO CARRY THE
22	RISK OF PAYMENT FOR A DEFINED TIME PERIOD IS
23	DIFFERENT THAN ASKING THEM TO CARRY IT WITHOUT A
24	DEFINED TIME PERIOD.
25	MS. LANSING: I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE
	120

1	TRYING TO DO, BUT I HAVE A QUESTION. IF THE
2	INSTITUTION, WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS
3	MR. SHESTACK: HELLO.
4	MS. LANSING: WE WILL FUND THIS, I WANT
5	TO BE SURE I UNDERSTAND THIS, NO LATER THAN 36
6	MONTHS. I'M MAKING THAT'S THE TIME YOU SAID.
7	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SHERRY, IF YOU COULD
8	SPEAK CLOSER TO THE MIC.
9	MS. LANSING: WHAT I THINK YOU'RE SAYING,
10	I WANT TO BE SURE I UNDERSTAND THIS, IS THAT WE WILL
11	FUND THIS NO LATER THAN 36 MONTHS. OKAY. MY
12	QUESTION IS IF THE INSTITUTION, AN INSTITUTION COULD
13	SAY, WELL, WE'RE NOT GOING TO CARRY IT TILL THEN,
14	THERE'S A SHORTAGE OF FUNDS, IF WE STILL ARE
15	OBLIGATED TO FUND IT AT NO LATER THAN 36 MONTHS, THE
16	SCIENCE PERHAPS WILL HAVE MOVED AHEAD OF THE GRANT.
17	SO I DON'T THINK I THINK WE HAVE TO HAVE THE
18	CAVEAT THAT THEN THE INSTITUTION HAS TO AGREE TO
19	FUND IT.
20	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THAT'S RIGHT. THE
21	SCIENCE, THERE'LL BE A CONDITION THAT YOU WOULD NEED
22	TO
23	DR. PIZZO: I THINK THAT'S A NONSTARTER.
24	MS. LANSING: THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING.
25	THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING YOU.
	101

121

1	DR. PIZZO: THERE WOULD BE SIMPLY NO WAY
2	THAT INSTITUTIONS CAN DO THIS.
3	MS. LANSING: I AGREE WITH YOU. SO WHAT
4	I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND IS IT'S LIKE A CATCH 22.
5	WHAT I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND IS I WANT TO MOVE
6	AHEAD, OKAY, AND I WANT US TO MOVE AHEAD SUBJECT TO
7	THERE BEING MONEY AVAILABLE. BUT IF WE'RE
8	GUARANTEEING, SEE, THAT MEANS I'M TRYING TO BE
9	ARTICULATE. OKAY. YOU PUT IN A GRANT, IF THERE'S
10	MONEY AVAILABLE AND WE APPROVE IT, WE FUND IT. BUT
11	IF THERE ISN'T MONEY AVAILABLE, THEN I DON'T WANT TO
12	HAVE TO FUND IT THREE YEARS FROM NOW OR A YEAR FROM
13	NOW BECAUSE EVERYTHING THAT I'M HEARING FROM
14	EVERYONE IS THE SCIENCE THE GRANT COULD BE
15	I RRELEVANT OR DATED.
16	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THERE'S A VERY IMPORTANT
17	POINT SHERRY IS MAKING HERE, BUT THIS ISSUE IS IF
18	IT'S AN OPTION FOR THE INSTITUTION SO THAT IF THEY
19	ACCEPT THE GRANT WITH AN AGREEMENT THAT IT WOULD BE
20	FUNDED NO LATER THAN 36 MONTHS, IF IT WAS AN OPTION
21	TO ACCEPT IT, BUT IF THEY DID ACCEPT IT, THEN THEY
22	HAD TO PROVIDE GAP FUNDING FOR IT.
23	MS. LANSING: AND EVERYONE HERE IS SAYING
24	THEY WON'T DO THAT.
25	DR. PIZZO: THERE'S NOT A CHANCE THAT WILL
	122

1	HAPPEN.
2	DR. POMEROY: IT WOULD BE IRRESPONSIBLE OF
3	THOSE OF US WHO HAVE INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY TO
4	AGREE TO THAT.
5	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SHERRY HAS GOT AN
6	I MPORTANT
7	DR. PIZZO: IT'S UNAFFORDABLE.
8	MS. LANSING: THAT'S WHAT I HEARD WHEN WE
9	WERE TALKING AT DINNER. SO THIS IS A WRINKLE, TO
10	SAY THE LEAST. SO IN OTHER WORDS, THE BEST THAT WE
11	CAN DO, I THINK, AND I THINK I COULD BE WRONG,
12	BUT I THINK, MAYBE THERE ISN'T, A LOT OF US ANYWAYS
13	WOULD LIKE TO MOVE FORWARD, OKAY, WITH THE HOPE THAT
14	WE WILL GET THE FUNDING FOR THESE GRANTS.
15	NOW, IF WE CAN'T GET THE FUNDING FOR THESE
16	GRANTS, I PERSONALLY DO NOT THINK WE SHOULD BE
17	RESPONSIBLE FOR FUNDING THEM. THAT MEANS WE TRIED
18	AND WE DIDN'T GET IT.
19	DR. PIZZO: CAN I PUT A POINT ON THAT? I
20	MEAN IF THIS GOES ON SO THAT WE DON'T GET FUNDING,
21	LET'S SAY, WITHIN A YEAR OR MAKE IT TWO YEARS AS THE
22	WORST SCENARIO, WE'RE NOT GOING TO EXIST ANYWAY.
23	LET' S BE
24	MS. LANSING: I I, AGAIN
25	DR. PIZZO: CLEAR. YOU KNOW, REALLY
	123
	·

1	WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A PRETTY CRITICAL TIME, RIGHT.
2	MS. LANSING: BUT NO ONE ELSE WILL BE
3	DOING FUNDING EITHER, SO YOU COULD MAKE A CASE WE
4	WOULD THEN PICK IT UP.
5	DR. PIZZO: THE NIH IS GOING TO BE DOING
6	FUNDING. IT WON'T AT THIS IT WON'T BE WITH THIS
7	FOCUS. THEY WILL HAVE FUNDING. IT'S NOT GOING TO
8	BE WITH THIS INTENSE FOCUS ON STEM CELL BIOLOGY.
9	EVEN WITH EXECUTIVE ORDER CHANGES, IT WON'T BE THE
10	DEPTH THAT WE WERE ABLE TO DO.
11	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I THINK IT WOULD BE
12	APPROPRIATE TO SAY, DR. PIZZO, THAT DISEASE TEAMS
13	AND FUNDING OF PHASE I AND PHASE II CLINICAL TRIALS
14	IN THIS AREA IS NOT A HIGH PROBABILITY FOR STEM CELL
15	FUNDI NG.
16	DR. PIZZO: CERTAINLY NOT THE LEVELS THAT
17	WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT.
18	MS. LANSING: I AGREE WITH YOU, BUT CAN WE
19	JUST GO BACK BECAUSE THIS IS REALLY
20	IF WE MOVE FORWARD AND IF WE SAY WE'RE
21	ONLY GOING TO FUND WHAT WE CAN PAY FOR, THEN THE
22	IDEA OF SAYING, SINCE WE KNOW THE INSTITUTIONS CAN'T
23	DO IT, THE IDEA OF SAYING BUT NO MATTER WHAT, YOU'LL
24	BE FUNDED EVEN IN A YEAR IS TOO LATE.
25	DR. PIZZO: THERE ARE BOUNDARIES. I MEAN
	124

1	I THINK WE CAN HAVE SOME CONDITIONAL CLAUSES. I
2	DON'T THINK A YEAR IS GOING TO BE TOO LATE, BUT I
3	THINK TWO YEARS DEPENDING UPON WHERE YOU ARE.
4	MS. LANSING: I'LL DEFER TO YOU.
5	DR. TROUNSON: SO SORRY, MR. CHAIR, I
6	THINK THE SCIENTISTS AND MANAGEMENT WOULD HAVE SOME
7	PROBLEM IF A PROJECT WAS DELAYED EVEN 12 MONTHS
8	BECAUSE THE RATE AT WHICH PROGRESS HAPPENS IN THIS
9	FIELD WOULD MEAN THAT YOU WOULD HAVE TO REVIEW THOSE
10	PROJECTS AGAIN. SO MY OWN VIEW IS THAT WE HAVE TO
11	PUT IN A PHRASE "FUNDS SUBJECT TO FUNDS AVAILABLE"
12	BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S JUST WHAT IS PRUDENT.
13	DR. PIZZO: ALAN, HOW ABOUT THIS AS AN
14	ALTERNATIVE, WHICH IS THAT IF WE REVIEWED THE
15	PROJECTS AND SOMEONE WAS FUNDED, THEY'D KNOW THAT IT
16	WAS FUNDED CONDITIONAL UPON TWO THINGS. ONE IS THE
17	AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING, BUT THE SECOND WOULD BE
18	THAT THEY WOULD KNOW THAT IF IT WAS BEYOND SOME
19	POINT IN TIME, LET'S JUST ARBITRARILY SAY NINE
20	MONTHS, A YEAR, THAT THEY WOULD NEED TO UPDATE THE
21	PROJECT. AND IT WOULD BE REVIEWED INTERNALLY. WE
22	WOULDN'T GO BACK OUTSIDE JUST TO BE SURE THAT IT WAS
23	STILL REASONABLY CURRENT.
24	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: LET'S GO HERE IN
25	SEQUENCE. WE HAVE SOME SPEAKERS HERE. JAMES, TO
	105

1	PROVIDE THE INSTITUTIONS HERE WHO HAVE TREMENDOUS
2	AMOUNT TO OFFER IN TERMS OF THEIR CASH-FLOW
3	MANAGEMENT, SOME BACKGROUND INFORMATION, COULD YOU
4	STATE THE CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS OF THE G.O. BOND
5	CLAIM ON REVENUES OF THE STATE AND ITS PRIORITY
6	RELATIVE TO PROP 98?
7	MR. HARRISON: YES. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF
8	WHAT THE STATE DESCRIBES AS PRIORITY PAYMENTS.
9	THESE ARE, AS THE PHRASE IMPLIES, PAYMENTS THAT HAVE
10	PRIORITY OUT OF THE GENERAL FUND AHEAD OF OTHER
11	PAYMENTS. AND AMONG THOSE PAYMENT PRIORITIES ARE
12	PAYMENTS ON GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS.
13	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND THEIR RELATIONSHIP TO
14	THE CONSTITUTIONAL MANDATE FOR PROP 98.
15	MR. HARRISON: WELL, PROP 98 PAYMENTS ARE
16	ALSO CONSIDERED PRIORITY PAYMENTS AS WELL.
17	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO OTHER THAN THE
18	POINT IS THAT IN TERMS OF GETTING PAID, THESE
19	CONTRACT OBLIGATIONS ARE EXTREMELY SENIOR IN THE
20	STATE'S HIERARCHY OF CASH FLOW. SO THERE'S A VERY
21	HIGH PREDICTABILITY AS A PAYMENT TO THESE
22	OBLI GATI ONS.
23	NOW, WITH THAT, DR. HAWGOOD.
24	DR. HAWGOOD: IF I UNDERSTAND SCENARIO 1,
25	WE HAVE, EVEN IF WE PUSH TO THE QUARTERLY PAYMENTS,
	126

1	WE HAVE ABOUT 112 MILLION THAT WE'RE OBLIGATED TO
2	FIND TO HONOR COMMITMENTS THAT WE'VE ALREADY MADE.
3	I THINK THE MOST DISASTROUS SITUATION WOULD BE TO
4	HAVE TO WITHDRAW FROM COMMITMENTS THAT WE'VE ALREADY
5	MADE. SO WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO TEST WHATEVER
6	STRATEGY WE COME UP WITH IN ORDER TO JUST DEAL WITH
7	THAT 112 MILLION. AND I THINK THAT WILL GIVE US
8	SOME SENSE AS TO HOW SUCCESSFUL WE'RE GOING TO BE.
9	AND MY BLAS WOULD BE TO PUSH BACK A LITTLE SOME OF
10	THE OTHER RFA'S, BE PREPARED TO GO FORWARD WITH
11	THEM; BUT IF WE CAN'T SUCCEED IN THIS 112 MILLION, I
12	THINK WE'VE GOT A FAR GREATER PROBLEM THAN DELAYING
13	AN RFA BECAUSE TO PULL BACK ON COMMITMENTS THAT
14	WE'VE ALREADY MADE WOULD CREATE EVEN BIGGER CRISIS.
15	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO LOOKING STRATEGICALLY
16	AT DECISION POINTS, IN APRIL WE HAVE CALENDARED A
17	RFA REVIEW AND APPROVAL FOR TRANSLATIONAL GRANTS.
18	AT THE TIME OF THAT APRIL MEETING, IT IS ONE OF
19	THE IT IS A VERY IT'S A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF
20	FUNDS. IT'S \$60 MILLION. AND WE WILL HAVE AN
21	OBLIGATION AT THAT MEETING TO TEST WHERE WE ARE IN
22	TERMS OF THE VIABILITY OF PLACING THIS FIRST TRANCHE
23	OF FUNDS. AND IF WE ARE NOT COMFORTABLE THAT WE CAN
24	PLACE IT, WE WILL HAVE SEVERAL DECISIONS AT THAT
25	POINT. DO WE APPROVE THEM, BUT HAVE A 90-DAY PERIOD

1	WHERE WE DECIDE WHETHER WE CAN FUND THEM AND HAVE
2	FULL TRANSPARENCY? DO WE EVALUATE THEM, BUT NOT, IN
3	FACT, APPROVE THEM? BUT THERE'S A DECISION POINT
4	THERE.
5	AND I'D LIKE TO ASK DR. TROUNSON. IN THE
6	\$133 MILLION, WAS IT CONSIDERED, THE BRIDGES AND
7	TRAINING GRANTS II, ARE THEY IN THE \$133 MILLION
8	NUMBER?
9	DR. TROUNSON: NO, THEY'RE NOT, CHAIR.
10	THERE WAS NO DECISION MADE, YOU KNOW, BY THE ICOC ON
11	THOSE PROGRAMS, SO THEY'VE NOT BEEN INCLUDED IN
12	THAT. SO THAT YOU HAVE TO MOVE SCENARIO 2 BEFORE
13	YOU PICK UP AGREEMENT UPON BRIDGES AND THE TRAINING
14	GRANTS II.
15	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. OR INCREMENTALLY
16	YOU CAN ADD THEM TO THE FIGURE. AND IS THERE AN
17	ESTIMATE OF THE UNEXPENDED TRAINING GRANT I FUNDS TO
18	ANALYZE THE TIME PERIOD?
19	DR. TROUNSON: WITH RESPECT TO THE END OF
20	2010, WE WOULD THINK THERE COULD BE A SAVING OF
21	EIGHT TO 10 MILLION. IT'S NOT MASSIVE, BUT
22	EVERYTHING COUNTS CLEARLY IN THIS SCENARIO. IT'S
23	NOT A MASSIVE SAVING, BUT I THINK IT CAN BE
24	SIGNIFICANT. IT DEPENDS WHERE YOU PUT THAT LINE.
25	OF COURSE, IF YOU MOVE THE LINE AROUND ON THE
	100

1	TIMELINE, THEN IT WILL CHANGE. BUT BY THE END OF
2	DECEMBER, WE THINK EIGHT TO 10 MILLION.
3	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: COULD YOU PUT UP THE
4	CHART SHOWING WITH QUARTERLY ADVANCES WHAT THE
5	OBLIGATIONS ARE IN EACH TIME PERIOD?
6	DR. TROUNSON: YEAH.
7	MR. SHEEHY: I JUST HAD A QUESTION. I
8	UNDERSTAND THE CAVEAT ABOUT THE SCIENTIFIC GRANTS,
9	BUT ACTUALLY BRIDGES AND TRAINING II ARE NOT ONES
10	THAT ARE SO CUTTING-EDGE SCIENCE. THEY'RE MORE
11	REFLECTIVE OF THE CAPACITY OF THE INSTITUTIONS. I'M
12	WONDERING IF THEY KIND OF FALL INTO A GRAY AREA
13	WHERE WE DON'T HAVE ALL THIS ANGST ABOUT APPROVING
14	THESE WHEN FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE BECAUSE THE REVIEWS
15	HAVE TAKEN PLACE, AND ACTUALLY WE LOSE THE
16	INVESTMENT WE'VE MADE IN THE REVIEWS TO NOT.
17	MS. LANSING: THAT'S A GOOD POINT.
18	MR. SHEEHY: SO MAYBE THESE ARE ONES THAT
19	WE BUT ANYTHING THAT MIGHT INVOLVE SCIENCE, I
20	THINK WE MIGHT HAVE TO TAKE A STEP BACK DEPENDING ON
21	HOW PEOPLE FEEL.
22	DR. AZZIZ: I'D LIKE TO HAVE SOME
23	DIRECTION FROM THE CHAIR. ARE WE DISCUSSING NOW
24	POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS TO A PROBLEM THAT YET IS
25	UNCLEAR? OR ARE WE SIMPLY PROVIDING DIALOGUE FOR
	129

OURSELVES, AND THEN THE SOLUTIONS WILL BE PRESENTED
PERHAPS AT A LATER TIME WITH BETTER ANALYSIS? I'M
JUST TRYING TO MAKE SURE, BEFORE I MAKE ANY
COMMENTS, THAT I KNOW WHAT THE INTENT OF TODAY'S
DISCUSSION IS.
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THERE IS NOT AN AGENDIZED
CONSIDERATION FOR A DECISION. AND, IN FACT,
INFORMATION IS GOING TO DEVELOP HERE BEFORE OUR NEXT
MEETING, HOPEFULLY, THAT WILL HELP US EVALUATE OUR
LEVEL OF RISK WITH MORE ACUITY. SO WHAT WE'RE
TRYING TO DO IS GIVE, FIRST OF ALL, TRANSPARENCY
WITH THE PUBLIC, TRANSPARENCY WITH THE GRANTEE
ORGANIZATIONS, AND THE BOARD OF WHAT THE CHALLENGES
ARE, BUT ALSO GET THE KIND OF FEEDBACK WE ARE ON
WHAT OUR REAL OPTIONS ARE. WE WOULD COME BACK AT
THE NEXT MEETING WITH A SERIES OF PROPOSALS
FASHIONED FROM THIS DISCUSSION.
NOW, ON AN ADMINISTRATIVE LEVEL, THERE CAN
BE FEEDBACK FROM THIS MEETING CERTAINLY THAT IS
INSTRUCTIVE IMMEDIATELY AS TO GOING TO QUARTERLY
IN-ADVANCE PAYMENTS INSTEAD OF ANNUALLY IN-ADVANCE
PAYMENTS BECAUSE WE'RE CURRENTLY ABLE TO DO THAT
WITHOUT HAVING A BOARD ACTION. WE'RE NOT OBLIGATED
TO PAY ANNUALLY IN ADVANCE.
DR. AZZIZ: SO TO CONCLUDE, THEN, WHAT I
130

1	WAS GOING TO SAY, AND YOU SAID IT VERY WELL, BUT I
2	DO THINK WE DON'T HAVE THE INFORMATION WHICH IS
3	CRITICAL, WHICH IS THE MARKET INFORMATION AS TO HOW
4	WELL WE'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO SELL WHATEVER
5	INSTRUMENT WE DECIDE THAT WE'RE GOING TO SELL. AND
6	THAT INFORMATION WILL BE IMPORTANT FOR US TO HAVE TO
7	MAKE LARGER DECISIONS ABOUT PROGRAMS.
8	BUT THERE ARE SOME ADMINISTRATIVE
9	SOLUTIONS THAT APPEAR TO BE RELATIVELY, I WOULDN'T
10	SAY PAINLESS, BUT RELATIVELY NONCOMPLICATED. AND I
11	DON'T KNOW IF THE ICOC NEEDS TO MAKE A DECISION ON
12	THAT OR SIMPLY SORT OF GIVE YOU TACIT APPROVAL AND
13	YOU MAKE THAT INTERNALLY. THAT'S ANOTHER QUESTION
14	BECAUSE, OTHERWISE, IF WE NEED TO MAKE A DECISION
15	ABOUT THE ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES, WHICH I THINK ARE
16	FAIRLY CLEAR, THEN WE CAN CERTAINLY GIVE DIRECTION.
17	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I THINK WE NEED A
18	DISCUSSION ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES. WE WON'T
19	HAVE A FORMAL RESOLUTION, BUT IT GIVES US REAL-TIME
20	FEEDBACK TO BE ABLE TO MAKE REAL-TIME ADMINISTRATIVE
21	CORRECTIONS AND TO COME BACK TO YOU WITH A MORE
22	FINELY FORMULATED PROPOSAL.
23	DR. TROUNSON: WELL, SORRY, CHAIR. WITH
24	RESPECT, ACTUALLY I'M NOT SURE I REALLY AGREE THERE.
25	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS WE CAN TAKE CLEARLY. YOU

1	KNOW, I THINK WHAT'S IMPORTANT IS TO YOU'VE GOT A
2	SET OF DECISIONS HERE WITH RESPECT TO BRIDGES AND
3	TRAINING GRANTS. YOU HAVE TO ACTUALLY HAVE, I
4	THINK, A VIEW AS A BOARD ABOUT WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO
5	RECOMMEND BECAUSE THEY'RE GOING TO BE PUT IN FRONT
6	OF YOU NOW, AND YOU'VE ALREADY HEARD ABOUT IT. SO I
7	THINK, YOU KNOW, THEY'RE THE THINGS THAT ARE REALLY
8	QUITE IMPORTANT IMMEDIATELY.
9	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IN TERMS OF THE TOTAL
10	DOLLARS WE'RE DEALING WITH AND THE LEVEL OF
11	OBLIGATIONS, THOSE DECISIONS ON THOSE TWO PROGRAMS
12	SHOULD NOT BE TAKEN TO BIND US ON FUTURE DECISIONS
13	WHICH ARE MUCH LARGER FINANCIAL DECISIONS.
14	DR. TROUNSON: I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT I DO
15	THINK THAT THE BOARD'S DECISIONS REALLY START TO
16	REFLECT IN WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO DO IMMEDIATELY
17	AFTER THIS ITEM IS FINISHED.
18	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: BUT WHAT I'M SAYING IS
19	THAT I'M GOING TO CALL ON SOME BOARD MEMBERS FOR
20	OPINIONS ON THIS, BUT BRIDGES AND TRAINING GRANTS
21	II, WHICH CAME TO THIS BOARD WITHOUT THE ADVANCE
22	DISCUSSION OF THESE ISSUES, CAN BE TREATED AS AN
23	INDIVIDUAL CASE AND WITH A VERY SPECIFIC DIRECTION
24	OPENLY, IF THIS BOARD SO CHOOSES, THAT DOES NOT BIND
25	US TO FUTURE DECISIONS THAT WE'RE NOW CONSIDERING.

1	I'M GOING TO GO FIRST TO DR. PRIETO AND
2	THEN EXCUSE ME DR. PULIAFITO, AND THEN I'M
3	GOING TO COME BACK OVER TO THIS SIDE.
4	DR. PULIAFITO: WELL, I'D LIKE TO
5	COMPLIMENT MR. ROBSON ON HIS PRESENTATION. AND ALSO
6	I THINK THAT THE PRESIDENT REALLY HAS COME TO THE
7	MAIN POINT HERE. WE HAVE A FINANCIAL CRISIS LOOMING
8	FOR US, A SHORTFALL. SOLUTIONS HAVE BEEN PROPOSED.
9	AND IN THE FULLNESS OF TIME, WE WILL LEARN MORE
10	EVERY MONTH ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THESE SOLUTIONS
11	WILL BE FORTHCOMING.
12	THE QUESTION FOR US REALLY IS WHETHER OR
13	NOT WE'RE GOING TO TAKE ACTION ON THE BRIDGES AND
14	THE TRAINING II OR DEFER THEM TO THE NEXT TIME.
15	BECAUSE IT'S A \$44 MILLION OBLIGATION, AS MY
16	COLLEAGUES NEXT DOOR HAVE REMINDED US, AND IT MAKES
17	THINGS A BIT MORE COMPLICATED. IS THAT THE ISSUE?
18	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THAT IS THE ISSUE. AND
19	OBVIOUSLY THAT DECISION CAN BE BIFURCATED, SO WE
20	HAVE A CHOICE OF BOTH OR ONE OR THE OTHER.
21	DR. PULIAFITO: AND THE TOTAL FINANCIAL
22	WHAT'S THE SPEND ON THOSE OVER THE NEXT TWO YEARS?
23	DR. TROUNSON: THE TOTAL PROGRAMS ARE
24	THREE YEARS, SO THERE'S 64 TOTAL, SO THE COMMITMENT
25	WOULD BE IN THE 40 SOMETHING MILLION.
	122

	Billitio IEI OIII I GERTIEL
1	DR. PULIAFITO: FOR TWO YEARS.
2	DR. TROUNSON: FOR TWO YEARS.
3	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WHY DON'T YOU GIVE HIM
4	THE INDIVIDUAL BREAKDOWNS AS WELL.
5	DR. TROUNSON: WE CAN DO THAT, BUT WE
6	DON'T REALLY HAVE THAT CAPACITY RIGHT NOW.
7	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YES, WE DO.
8	DR. POMEROY: THIRTY-TWO AND TWELVE.
9	DR. ROBSON: THIRTY-TWO AND TWELVE IS
10	WHAT'S SHOWN IN OUR CHARTS. THE QUARTERLY PAYMENTS,
11	THOSE PAYMENTS, THE FIRST PAYMENTS WOULD GO OUT IN
12	THE SECOND QUARTER. SO IF WE WENT TO QUARTERLY
13	PAYMENTS, WE WOULD DROP I NEED A PENCIL TO DO
14	THIS. BOB, YOU CAN DO THIS STUFF IN YOUR HEAD. WE
15	WOULD TWO YEARS, IT'S 16. WE WOULD DROP A
16	MILLION AND A HALF FOR ONE, AND WE WOULD DROP, THE
17	OTHER ONE IS 16, WE WOULD DROP FOUR MILLION FOR THE
18	OTHER. SO IF WE WENT TO QUARTERLY PAYMENTS, INSTEAD
19	OF BEING 42 MILLION, IT WOULD BE ABOUT 37.
20	DR. PULIAFITO: MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN I EXTEND
21	MY COMMENTS? I THINK THAT IT'S FAIRLY
22	STRAIGHTFORWARD THAT QUARTERLY THERE IS NO OPTION
23	BUT TO GO TO QUARTERLY PAYMENTS.
24	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I'M GOING TO GO TO DR.
25	POMEROY.
	134

134

1	DR. POMEROY: I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO COMMEND
2	THE PRESENTATIONS THAT WE HEARD EARLIER. THESE
3	CHARTS IN PARTICULAR ARE VERY HELPFUL. I WOULD HAVE
4	TO AGREE THAT IT SEEMS VERY STRAIGHTFORWARD THAT WE
5	SHOULD BE SUPPORTIVE OF STAFF TAKING THE
6	ADMINISTRATIVE, THE PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE THINGS,
7	THE PAY FORWARD AND THE QUARTERLY PAYMENTS. THOSE
8	SEEM VERY EASY TO ME.
9	WE HAVE TO COME UP WITH A \$112-MILLION
10	SOLUTION JUST TO MAINTAIN THE PROGRAMS THAT WE'VE
11	ALREADY COMMITTED TO, WHICH HAS TO BE THE FIRST
12	PRIORITY. AND UNTIL I PERSONALLY UNDERSTAND THE
13	FEASIBILITY OF COMING UP WITH AN ADDITIONAL 112
14	MILLION BEFORE DECEMBER OF 2010, I DON'T FEEL
15	COMFORTABLE COMMITTING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURES. I
16	AM A GREAT SUPPORTER OF BRIDGES AND TRAINING II. I
17	THINK THEY'RE VERY IMPORTANT PROGRAMS, BUT I'M ALSO
18	DESIROUS OF BEING FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE. AND TO
19	RAISE OUR INDEBTEDNESS FROM 112 TO 150 WITHOUT A
20	PLAN AND WITHOUT ANY KNOWLEDGE OF THE FEASIBILITY OF
21	SELLING MORE BONDS SEEMS NOT RESPONSIBLE TO ME, BUT
22	I'M WILLING TO LISTEN IF SOMEONE CAN TALK ME INTO IT
23	BECAUSE I'D LOVE TO SEE THESE PROGRAMS HAPPEN.
24	DR. AZZIZ: SO ONE IS A QUESTION AND THEN
25	I'LL MAKE A COMMENT. ALAN, YOU MAY WANT TO COMMENT
	125

1	ON THIS. I MEAN I THINK THAT IN GENERAL, AND I'M
2	SPEAKING JUST FOR MYSELF, BUT THE SENSE I'M GETTING
3	IS THAT PEOPLE ARE RELATIVELY, THE BOARD IS
4	RELATIVELY SUPPORTIVE OF ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES. THE
5	QUESTION IS DO WE NEED A MOTION TO APPROVE THAT, OR
6	IS THAT UP THE INSTITUTE? YOU'RE FINE.
7	I'D LIKE TO THEN MOVE THE CONVERSATION TO
8	WHAT BASICALLY CLAIRE WAS POINTING OUT. I DO THINK
9	THAT WE DON'T HAVE A CRITICAL PIECE OF INFORMATION,
10	WHICH IS WE DON'T REALLY KNOW HOW ACCESSIBLE CAPITAL
11	WILL BE TO US. WE DON'T KNOW. AND I KNOW THAT YOU
12	KNOW THE MARKET MUCH BETTER THAN I DO AND YOU'RE
13	SURE; BUT AS A FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE ENTITY, WE NEED
14	THAT PIECE OF INFORMATION.
15	SO I DO AGREE THAT WE SHOULD BEGIN TO
16	THINK ABOUT DELAYING BRIDGES AND TRAINING GRANTS AT
17	LEAST ONE CYCLE, WHICH WON'T THROW US OFF
18	COMPLETELY, WON'T POLITICALLY JEOPARDIZE THE
19	PROGRAM, BUT WILL GIVE A SENSE TO OURSELVES AND THE
20	PUBLIC THAT WE ARE BEING FISCALLY PRUDENT. SO I DO
21	THINK WE NEED TO HAVE THAT CONVERSATION. I DON'T
22	THINK THAT WE SHOULD SAY IT'S ONLY 40 MILLION, IT'S
23	A SMALL AMOUNT COMPARED TO WHAT WE REALLY OWE, SO
24	WHY DON'T WE DO THAT? IT'S SORT OF NOT QUITE WHAT
25	I'D LIKE TO PROPOSE.

1	MR. ROTH: I HAVE A QUESTION AND THEN A
2	POTENTIAL RECOMMENDATION OR A SOLUTION POTENTIALLY
3	ON HOW WE MIGHT HANDLE THESE TWO GRANTS. BUT THE
4	QUESTION DEALS WITH OUR OBLIGATIONS. I AGREE WITH
5	SAM. OUR FIRST OBLIGATION IS TO WHAT WE'VE ALREADY
6	COMMITTED.
7	AND A QUESTION IS IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE
8	PART, DOES ANY OF THE DEFERRED PAYMENTS, THAT
9	LAST-IN MONEY ON THE FACILITIES, IMPACT THIS NUMBER?
10	DR. TROUNSON: NO, IT DOESN'T. IT DOESN'T
11	MAKE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT. A LOT OF THE MONEY WENT
12	OUT FRONT UP, THE BULK OF IT.
13	MR. ROTH: SPECIFICALLY ON THESE TWO OR
14	THREE THAT YOU SAID.
15	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: HE'S TALKING ABOUT MAJOR
16	FACILITIES.
17	DR. TROUNSON: BUT I THINK THE END
18	PAYMENTS WILL BE HAPPENING AFTER THE END OF 2010 IS
19	OUR ESTIMATE FROM ALL OUR COLLEAGUES, THAT IT'S
20	UNLIKELY TO PLAY INTO THIS TIME.
21	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO LET ME TAKE A CAVEAT
22	TO THAT. I'M RELATIVELY FAMILIAR WITH THOSE CASH
23	FLOWS, DR. TROUNSON. AND THE BUCK PROJECT IS PAD
24	READY AND WOULD PROBABLY GO UP PRETTY QUICKLY. SO
25	THAT I THINK THAT THAT PAYMENT COULD WELL HIT BEFORE
	407

(1	
1	THE END OF 2010.
2	SECONDLY, THE SAN DIEGO CONSORTIUM
3	PROJECT, WE HAVE LAST DOLLARS IN, BUT THAT DOESN'T
4	MEAN THAT THE PROJECT IS FINALLY COMPLETE. IT'S
5	LAST DOLLARS IN. AND ON A CASH FLOW, I THINK THEY
6	MAY END UP AT THE END OF OR IN THE FIRST SIX MONTHS
7	OF THAT FISCAL YEAR.
8	DR. HAWGOOD: CAN I COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND?
9	BUT IF THOSE DOLLARS WERE NOT FACTORED INTO THE
10	DR. TROUNSON: NO, THEY WEREN'T FACTORED
11	I N.
12	DR. HAWGOOD: SO IF THEY'RE CALLED BEFORE
13	2010, IT JUST MAKES THE SITUATION WORSE.
14	DR. TROUNSON: THAT'S RIGHT. IF THEY
15	NEEDED TO BE ADDED BACK IN, SAM. I STILL I
16	THINK I STILL THINK IT'S UNLIKELY FROM OUR
17	ANALYSIS, BUT, YOU KNOW, WE'RE TRYING TO GIVE YOU
18	THE BEST INFORMATION ON THIS TWO-YEAR TIMEFRAME.
19	AND SO YOU HAVE TO TAKE WHATEVER PROBABILITIES THAT
20	WE HAVE IN OUR MIND. AND BOB MIGHT BE RIGHT, BUT I
21	ACTUALLY THINK, YOU KNOW, THAT IT'S PROBABLY
22	UNLIKELY IF THEY HAVEN'T YET STARTED, AND THEY'VE
23	NOT STARTED EITHER OF THOSE PROJECTS YET.
24	MR. ROTH: BOB, SO THAT'S PART 1. I THINK
25	WE NEED TO CONSIDER THAT BECAUSE THAT'S AN

138

OBLIGATION. AND WHAT I HEARD EARLIER, WE ARE GOING
TO GET SOME BEST GUARANTEE SO THEY CAN GET THEIR
FINANCING. SO WE NEED TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE HANDLE
THAT.
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: AND WE CAN POTENTIALLY,
IN WORKING WITH THEIR BANKS, POTENTIALLY, JUST BEING
SPONTANEOUSLY CREATIVE, SIT DOWN AND CREATE A DATE
CERTAIN. BUT SINCE WORKING WITH THEM TO PROVIDE
GREATER ASSURANCE, BY BOOKING A SPECIFIC DATE ON A
NOTE, THEY COULD GET A PRIORITY UNDER THE PUBLIC
OFFERINGS OF THE STATE. IT'S A RELATIVELY SMALL
AMOUNT. COULD GIVE THE BANKS CERTAINTY, AND IT
COULD MAYBE GIVE US SIX MONTHS' RELIEF IN THIS
TIMEFRAME, SO IT PUTS IT INTO A PERIOD WHEN WE
SHOULD BE ABLE TO ACCESS THE PUBLIC MARKETS AGAIN.
MR. ROTH: SO THE SUGGESTION I HAVE ON THE
BRIDGES AND THE TRAINING GRANTS WOULD BE THAT WE
COULD GO AHEAD AND MAKE THE RECOMMENDATIONS SINCE
IT'S ALL REVIEWED, IT'S FRESH, AND THEN PUT A LEDGER
THAT SAYS WE WILL CONSIDER IN APRIL OR JUNE OR
WHENEVER APPROPRIATE WHEN WE'RE GOING TO FUND. BUT,
YOU KNOW, INSTEAD OF WAITING TO MAKE THE DECISION,
MAKE THE DECISION, BUT A LEDGER THAT GOES WITH IT
THAT SAYS VERY CLEARLY THESE WILL NOT BE FUNDED
UNTIL ICOC DETERMINES THEY HAVE THE CASH TO DO IT.
139

1	MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: WE HAVE THE CASH NOW
2	TO DO IT.
3	MR. ROTH: WELL, I THINK WE NEED TO
4	PROBABLY WAIT TILL APRIL AT MINIMUM TO GET MORE
5	INFORMATION. THAT'S THE SUGGESTION. I'M NOT IN
6	FAVOR OF TWO YEARS OR THREE YEARS, BUT SOMETHING
7	SHORTER.
8	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: UNDERSTAND TOO IT'S,
9	FRANKLY, AT MY SUGGESTION THAT THE STAFF HAS GIVEN
10	YOU OUT THROUGH 2010 BECAUSE I DON'T THINK WE CAN
11	LOOK AT THIS AS A ONE-YEAR PROBLEM. BUT WE ARE
12	BEING CONSERVATIVE BECAUSE OF TWO VERY IMPORTANT
13	FACTS. ONE IS, AS JAMES HARRISON OPENED THIS
14	CONVERSATION AND STATED, IS THAT ALL \$3 BILLION OF
15	OUR BONDS HAVE BEEN AUTHORIZED. RIGHT. NOT ONLY
16	CONSTITUTIONALLY APPROVED, NOT ONLY APPROVED BY THE
17	VOTERS, BUT AUTHORIZED BY THE CALIFORNIA STATE STEM
18	CELL RESEARCH AND CURES BOND COMMITTEE. SO WE
19	ACTUALLY HAVE A RIGHT TO ACCESS THE PUBLIC MARKET
20	SI DE.
21	WE'RE TRYING TO BE RESPONSIBLE HERE, GIVEN
22	THE STATE'S OTHER CHALLENGES, IN RAISING FUNDS ON
23	THE PRIVATE PLACEMENT MARKET. IT IS EXPECTED THAT
24	BY THE BEGINNING OF 2010, MUCH LESS THE END, THE
25	STATE WILL BE ABLE TO ACCESS THOSE PUBLIC MARKETS TO
	140

1	SOME EXTENT. SO THE ULTIMATE FALLBACK POSITION IS
2	THAT WE ACTUALLY SAY WE'VE DONE EVERYTHING WE CAN TO
3	MITIGATE THE OBLIGATION OF THE STATE, WE HAVE A CORE
4	MISSION WE HAVE TO MAINTAIN, AND WE WILL ACCESS
5	THOSE PUBLIC MARKETS WITH EVERYONE ELSE, AND WE HAVE
6	AN AUTHORIZED PRIORITY TO DO SO. SO REALIZE THE
7	CONTEXT IN WHICH WE'RE DISCUSSING THIS. DR. PIZZO.
8	DR. PIZZO: I'M GOING TO DEFER TO DAVID
9	AND THEN I'LL COME BACK.
10	MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: I SAID SOMETHING AND
11	THERE WAS A CHORUS OF NOES WHICH I WELCOME. IT'S
12	GOOD BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY IT WAS SOMETHING I DIDN'T
13	GET. SO I WANT TO MAKE SURE I GET IT, SO EVERYONE
14	JUST WALK ME THROUGH IT ONE MORE TIME.
15	THIS HAS TO DO WITH SORT OF THE DECISION
16	ABOUT BRIDGES AND THE TRAINING BECAUSE I'M HEARING
17	IT SUGGESTED THAT THAT DECISION SOMEHOW GET DEFERRED
18	IN SOME WAY OR WE DO A LEDGER OR SOME CAVEATS OR
19	SOMETHING LIKE THAT. AND I THOUGHT, BASED ON
20	STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION IN IDENTIFYING THE BRIDGES AS
21	A MISSION CRITICAL PROGRAM THAT SHOULD BE DECIDED ON
22	TOMORROW AND FUNDED, THAT THERE WAS THE MONEY TO
23	FUND IT. SO I WAS WORKING UNDER THAT ASSUMPTION.
24	I GUESS THAT FELL UNDER, BOB, SCENARIO 1?
25	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: LET'S DIFFERENTIATE HERE.

1	WE HAVE CASH AVAILABLE
2	MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: YES. THAT'S THAT 158
3	OR SOMETHING.
4	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: TO FUND THAT PROGRAM
5	AND GET US THROUGH 2009, DECEMBER OF 2009. AS LONG
6	WE MAKE A DECISION IN APRIL, IF WE DO NOT HAVE THE
7	FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR TRANSLATION, TO NOT FUND THAT
8	PROGRAM. THE OBLIGATIONS IN 2010, WE WOULD HAVE AN
9	ADDITIONAL SHORTAGE THAT WOULD SHOW UP IN 2010 IN
10	OUR FUNDING BECAUSE
11	MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: BECAUSE WE FUNDED.
12	SO SOME ARE SUGGESTING THAT WE DELAY IN SOME WAY
13	FUNDING THE BRIDGES AND THE TRAINING UNTIL WE HAVE
14	MORE INFORMATION ABOUT WHERE THE SOURCES OF REVENUE
15	MIGHT COME FROM OR WHERE THE MONEY MIGHT BE?
16	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THEY ARE SUGGESTING THAT
17	BECAUSE THE PUBLIC BECAUSE IT'S CLEAR THE PUBLIC
18	BOND MARKETS ARE A SUBSTANTIAL CHALLENGE.
19	MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: YES.
20	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THAT IF WE WERE TO MAKE A
21	DECISION THAT WE WILL NOT FORCE IF WE WILL NOT
22	TAKE OUR PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED ALLOCATION OF
23	WHATEVER BONDS THEY CAN RAISE IN THE PUBLIC MARKET,
24	IF WE MAKE A POLICY DECISION WE'RE NOT GOING TO DO
25	THAT, THEN WE WILL BE IN A POSITION THAT WE CAN'T ON
	142

1	TIME FUND THE OBLIGATIONS WE'VE ALREADY INCURRED IN
2	2010.
3	MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: WE HEARD FROM
4	INDIVIDUALS TONIGHT THAT GAVE US INFORMATION THAT
5	THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT WE COULD GO TO THE
6	MARKET IN A PRIVATE PLACEMENT THAT MAY PROVIDE SOME
7	RELIEF TO US. THAT'S RIGHT. THAT SHOULD BE A
8	FACTOR IN OUR DECISION AS WELL, SHOULD IT NOT?
9	SHOULD IT BE A FACTOR THAT I'M THINKING ABOUT IN
10	DECIDING ON THE BRIDGES ISSUE?
11	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: IT SHOULD BE A FACTOR,
12	BUT IT IS NOT A GUARANTEE.
13	MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: OBVIOUSLY. I GET
14	THAT. THAT WAS MADE VERY CLEAR REPEATEDLY.
15	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THE BASIC POINT HERE IS
16	THAT WE ARE TAKING A POSITION THAT EVERY STATE
17	AGENCY WOULD HAVE TO CONSIDER BECAUSE THERE IS NO
18	STATE FUNCTION THAT CAN FUND ITSELF RIGHT NOW TO THE
19	END OF 2009 OTHER THAN OUR AGENCY AND MAYBE THE
20	CALIFORNIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY. SO ALL STATE
21	AGENCIES ARE GOING TO BE MAKING COMMITMENTS BASED
22	UPON THE FACT THAT THE STATE GOVERNMENT WILL
23	CONTINUE TO FUNCTION.
24	I THINK WE NEED TO BE VERY CAREFUL IN WHAT
25	WE DO, AND WE NEED TO LOOK FORWARD AND ANALYZE HOW
	1/13

1	WE'RE GOING TO MEET THESE OBLIGATIONS IN A TIMELY
2	FASHION, NOT JUST MEET THEM, BUT IN A TIMELY
3	FASHION, BUT REALIZE THERE ISN'T ANY STATE AGENCY
4	THAT I'M AWARE OF, THERE ARE PROBABLY SOME BECAUSE
5	THERE ARE A LOT OF STATE AGENCIES, THAT HAS ANY
6	ABILITY RIGHT NOW TO FUND INTO 2010.
7	MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: THAT'S VERY HELPFUL.
8	LET ME JUST SAY GENERALLY THEN, THIS IS THE BROADER
9	POINT I WANTED TO MAKE BECAUSE I ACCEPT I WOULD
10	ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS IN TERMS OF
11	IDENTIFYING WHAT THOSE MISSION CRITICAL FUNDING
12	PROGRAMS ARE, AND I WOULD ARGUE THAT WE STAY WITH IT
13	FOR A LOT OF REASONS. ONE OF THEM FOR ME IS ONE OF
14	THE HALLMARKS OF THIS AGENCY AND THIS BOARD HAS BEEN
15	WE DON'T SURRENDER. OKAY. WE JUST KEEP GOING. AND
16	THERE'S MANY OBSTACLES PLACED IN FRONT OF US. THIS
17	IS MAYBE OUR BIGGEST. THAT IS NOT TO SAY WE CAN'T
18	FIND A WAY AROUND IT.
19	BOB, YOU KNOW, I THINK YOU DESERVE A
20	LOT SOME CREDIT HERE, AND WE'RE THINKING AHEAD OF
21	TIME, AND LET'S BRING THE RIGHT PEOPLE IN, LET'S GET
22	SOME EXPERT OPINIONS HERE. BRAVO.
23	SO WITH THAT INFORMATION, I WOULD SAY, YOU
24	KNOW, WE NEED TO FORGE AHEAD HERE BECAUSE WE HAVE
25	DONE THAT CONSISTENTLY. AND I THINK IT'S GIVEN A

1	LOT OF PEOPLE WE'VE BEEN LUCKY TOO BECAUSE WE'VE
2	BEEN SMART. BUT IT GIVES PEOPLE FAITH. IT'S
3	FUNDING THE SCIENCE. IT'S FULFILLING OUR STATUTORY
4	MANDATE. AND TO SOMEHOW STOP OR WE DON'T KNOW AND
5	I'M NOT SURE, IT JUST SEEMS TO ME WEAK. I WANT TO
6	BE STRONG, BUT SMART AND NOT FOOLISH, AND I DON'T
7	THINK WE ARE OR WOULD BE IF WE ACCEPTED STAFF
8	RECOMMENDATIONS.
9	DR. PIZZO: DAVID, I KNOW THAT YOU ARE
10	BOTH STRONG AND SMART. BUT I JUST I WOULD JUST
11	LIKE TO, BOB, IF YOU COULD JUST WORK THROUGH THIS
12	WITH ME IN TERMS OF WHAT I THINK WE KNOW AND MAYBE
13	NOT. I THINK WHAT WE SEEM TO KNOW WITH CERTAINTY IS
14	THAT WE HAVE APPROXIMATELY \$158 MILLION OF CASH IN
15	HAND PLUS INTEREST, GETTING AROUND \$160 MILLION. I
16	THINK THAT'S THE ONLY THING WE KNOW, AND WE KNOW
17	THAT WE HAVE OUTSTANDING OBLIGATIONS. AND I THINK
18	WHAT WE'RE SAYING AS WELL IS THAT WE WANT TO FOLD
19	INTO THOSE OUTSTANDING OBLIGATIONS THE CAPITAL COSTS
20	IN ADDITION TO THE PROGRAM COSTS.
21	AND I THINK THAT'S IMPERATIVE BECAUSE IF
22	INSTITUTIONS HAVE ALREADY STARTED PROJECTS AND ARE
23	EXPECTING FUNDING FOR THOSE PROJECTS, WE NEED TO
24	MEET THAT. SO THOSE SEEM TO ME TO BE THE THINGS WE
25	KNOW.

1	THEN WHERE WE HAVE RELATIVE DEGREES OF
2	UNCERTAINTY IS TO WHAT DEGREE WILL WE BE SUCCESSFUL
3	WITH CREATIVE INTERIM FUNDING? I MEAN, YOU KNOW,
4	THE WAY IT'S BEEN CONVEYED TONIGHT, AS I SAID
5	EARLIER, IS WITH PRETTY DARK SIDES TO IT AND THEN
6	SOME BRIGHT SIDES; BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY, IT'S A
7	GUESS. AND WE'LL HAVE MORE DATA ABOUT THAT.
8	THE PART THAT I'M MOST WORRIED ABOUT AND
9	I'M ALSO WORRIED ABOUT IS TWO COMPONENTS, AND
10	THEY'RE UNRELATED, BUT CONNECTED. ONE OF THEM IS
11	THAT WE'RE MAKING AN ASSUMPTION BASED UPON YOUR
12	ASSESSMENT THAT IN 2010 THERE'S GOING TO BE A
13	BREAKTHROUGH IN SOME WAY, THAT THERE'LL BE A RELIEF
14	IN THE PROCESS. AND, YOU KNOW, I THINK YOU MAY BE,
15	YOU KNOW, ABLE TO SEE THAT FAR WITH GREAT VISION,
16	BUT I THINK WE NEED TO HAVE SOME DEGREE OF COMFORT
17	ABOUT WHETHER THAT WHAT THE BOUNDARIES AROUND
18	THAT ARE BECAUSE, JUST LIKE WE'RE CONCERNED ABOUT
19	COULD WE DO IT NOW, IF WE'RE HOLDING OUT TILL 2010,
20	THAT'S ONE THING. BUT IF THE CURRENT FISCAL CRISIS
21	CONTINUES THROUGH 2009 AND INTO 2010, I DON'T KNOW,
22	MAYBE YOU OR OTHERS DO, HOW CERTAIN THE PREDICTION
23	THAT BY 2010 WE'LL REALLY BE BACK ON THE BOND
24	MARKET. SO THAT'S ANOTHER CONTINGENCY. I THINK WE
25	WANT TO BE MINDFUL OF THAT.
	146
	140

1	AND THEN I THINK WE HAVE ANOTHER BIG ISSUE
2	BEFORE US, WHICH WE HAVEN'T TALKED ABOUT, AND SORT
3	OF FALLS INTO THE DON GIBBONS RANGE, WHICH IS THAT
4	WE'RE NOW HAVING A PUBLIC MEETING ABOUT AN ISSUE
5	THAT HAS ENGENDERED A LOT OF HOPE IN ALL OF OUR
6	INSTITUTIONS. AND I AM QUITE CERTAIN THAT THERE ARE
7	PEOPLE TODAY THINKING AND WORKING ON VARIOUS
8	PROPOSALS AND PROJECTS. I KNOW THAT THERE ARE LOTS
9	OF DISEASE TEAMS FORMING AND LOTS OF HOPE AROUND
10	THAT.
11	SO ONCE THE WORD GOES OUT, AND IT WILL BE
12	OUT TOMORROW MORNING, IF IT'S NOT OUT TONIGHT, THAT
13	THESE THINGS MAY ACHIEVE A HALT. I THINK WE HAVE A
14	BIG PUBLIC RELATIONS ISSUE BEFORE US. NOW, WE'D
15	HAVE A WORSE PUBLIC RELATIONS ISSUE IF WE WERE
16	IRRESPONSIBLE IN MEETING OUR CURRENT OBLIGATIONS.
17	BUT I THINK WE NEED TO DO SEVERAL THINGS. ONE IS
18	YOU NEED TO, IN ADDITION TO GROUNDING US IN
19	OPTIMISM, YOU NEED TO ALSO BE SURE WE'RE COUPLING IT
20	WITH REALITY. AND I THINK, YOU KNOW, WE ALL KNOW
21	THERE'S A BIG DOSE OF OPTIMISM AND UNCERTAINTY IN
22	THE INTERIM FUNDING, BUT I THINK THAT INTERIM
23	FUNDING IS A DIFFERENT BRIDGE THAT'S GETTING TO
24	2010. UNLESS YOU'RE REALLY SURE THAT THE 2010 PART
25	IS GOING TO BE THERE, THEN I THINK THAT REFRAMES US
	4.47

1	IN A SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT MANNER.
2	SO I WONDER IF YOU CAN HELP US, HELP ME
3	WITH THAT?
4	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: CERTAINLY. I'M GLAD THIS
5	IS ALL EASY DECISIONS. FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE,
6	BESIDES THE STATED FACTS THAT YOU'VE RECITED, WE DO
7	KNOW THAT WE ARE AUTHORIZED AND HAVE THE APPROVAL TO
8	RAISE ABOUT TWO AND A HALF BILLION DOLLARS BEYOND
9	THE PMI AND THE BONDS THAT ARE OUTSTANDING. WE KNOW
10	THAT THE CONTRACTS WE'VE ENTERED INTO ARE LEGALLY
11	BINDING AND HAVE TO BE FUNDED BY THE STATE.
12	DR. PIZZO: BUT IF THEY DON'T HAVE THE
13	RESOURCES TO DO SO.
14	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THE STATE, IF THEY DON'T
15	HAVE THE RESOURCES TO COVER EVERYTHING, MAY HAVE TO
16	ALLOCATE FUNDING.
17	DR. PIZZO: WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? GIVE YOU
18	AN IOU?
19	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: GIVE YOU A PART OF THE
20	FUNDI NG.
21	DR. PIZZO: OR GIVE YOU AN IOU. THE STATE
22	CAN JUST SAY WE'RE GIVING THIS, AND MAYBE IT WILL BE
23	AVAI LABLE
24	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WELL, WE ACTUALLY HAVE
25	APPROVED BONDS, RIGHT. AND, IN FACT, OUR BONDS HAVE
	148

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

1	BEEN APPROVED PRIOR TO SOME OF THE OTHER BONDS THAT
2	ARE LISTED IN SOME OF THESE TABLES. IN TRYING TO BE
3	FULLY RESPONSIBLE, WE'RE TRYING TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT
4	THE FACT THAT WE NEITHER WANT WE DON'T WANT TO BE
5	POLITICALLY OR PHILOSOPHICALLY IN A POSITION WHERE
6	THERE'S CORE BONDING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE STATE FOR
7	CORE MISSIONS THAT CAN'T BE MET BECAUSE THEY HAD TO
8	MEET OUR OBLIGATIONS AS A PRIORITY. BUT WE ALSO
9	HAVE TO REALIZE THAT THIS STATE GOVERNMENT AND EVERY
10	STATE GOVERNMENT THAT'S IN DEFICIT IS CONTINUING
11	THEIR PROGRAMS EVEN THOUGH THEY HAVE OBLIGATIONS
12	ARISING IN 2010 ON THE BASIS THAT THEY WILL ISSUE
13	DEBT IF THEY HAVE TO TO COVER THESE OBLIGATIONS WHEN
14	DUE, OR THEY WILL, IN FACT, APPROPRIATE.
15	OUR LIVES ARE SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLICATED BY
16	THE FACT THEY CAN'T CURRENTLY IN CALIFORNIA GET THE
17	LEGISLATIVE MAJORITIES THAT GIVE US ALL A CLEAR
18	PICTURE OF WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN IN TERMS OF THE
19	BUDGET. BUT AS THE STATE EXISTS RIGHT NOW WITHOUT
20	ADDITIONAL TAX INCREASES OR CHANGES, THE STATE IS
21	OBLIGATED UNDER ITS REVENUE FLOW AND THE PRIORITIES
22	IN ITS CONSTITUTION TO COVER GENERAL OBLIGATION
23	DEBT.
24	IN TERMS OF RESPONSIBILITY TO OUR GRANTEE
25	INSTITUTIONS, THE FALLBACK POSITION IS THAT THERE IS

1	A CONSTITUTIONAL OBLIGATION. THERE IS A PRIORITY
2	AND OUR CONTRACTS ARE A PRIORITY. SO IT IS NOT THAT
3	THEY WILL NOT RECEIVE FUNDING. WHAT WE ARE
4	DISCUSSING HERE IS THE TIMING OF THE FUNDING.
5	DR. PIZZO: BUT YOU'RE FOCUSING THAT
6	TIMELINE, AND I UNDERSTAND WHY, ON 2010. AND WHAT
7	I'M CHALLENGING IS HOW MUCH SECURITY ONE COULD HAVE
8	ABOUT THAT GIVEN ALL THE UNCERTAINTY THAT EXISTS.
9	I'M WILLING TO BELIEVE THAT THERE'S A CONTRACTUAL
10	RELATIONSHIP. I'M WILLING TO BELIEVE THAT THE STATE
11	AND WHOEVER IS THE ADMINISTRATION WILL WANT TO FUND
12	THIS, BUT THEY'RE GOING TO BE FACING LOTS OF OTHER
13	THINGS AS WELL. IF THINGS JUST CONTINUE TO
14	DETERIORATE, THEN WHATEVER CONFIDENCE WE CURRENTLY
15	HAVE ABOUT THAT JUST HAS TO HAVE SOME DEEP CLAUSES
16	AROUND IT.
17	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WHAT I WANT TO DO IS GO
18	TO THIS SIDE AND COME BACK OVER RATHER THAN. SO
19	GORDON.
20	DR. GILL: I WONDER IF YOU COULD JUST GIVE
21	ME SOME IDEA, AND I'LL GIVE YOU THE REASON I ASK, OF
22	WHAT THE TIMEFRAME WOULD BE, ASSUMING THE STATE
23	GIVES YOU THE GREEN LIGHT, TO PLACE THE FIRST
24	TRANCHE OF BONDS. IN OTHER WORDS, I THINK FROM ALL
25	I'VE HEARD, WE ARE FULLY COMMITTED TO MAKE THIS GO

1	AND TO GET THROUGH THE SHORT-TERM PERIOD. AND IF
2	THAT COSTS EXTRA INTEREST SO THAT INSTEAD OF 3
3	BILLION, YOU HAVE 2.9 BILLION AT THE END OF TEN
4	YEARS TO GET THROUGH THIS, THAT MIGHT BE A WISE
5	CHOICE. I MEAN IF YOU HAD TO PAY 6 PERCENT,
6	WHATEVER, ON THE BOND. BUT WHEN WOULD WE KNOW THAT?
7	I MEAN WHEN WOULD YOU KNOW WHETHER YOU COULD GET US
8	THROUGH TO, YOU KNOW, THE 2010? WOULD WE KNOW THAT
9	BY APRIL?
10	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: BY APRIL WE WOULD BE
11	LUCKY TO KNOW WHETHER I'M FROM THE SCHOOL THAT
12	UNTIL YOU HAVE A SIGNATURE ON THE PAPER, YOU DON'T
13	TRULY KNOW. BUT TO HAVE A VERY STRONG INDICATION
14	THAT WE CAN DO THAT FIRST 130 MILLION OR 100
15	MILLION, WE WOULD BE VERY FORTUNATE IF WE COULD DO
16	THAT BY APRIL. NOW, IN APRIL WE MAY ALSO KNOW THE
17	SHAPE OF A STATE BUDGET COMPROMISE THAT MAY INDICATE
18	THAT MORE BONDS CAN BE ISSUED THAN IS CURRENTLY
19	APPARENT. BUT I THINK THAT THE REASON I SENT OUT
20	THE LAO'S ESTIMATE IS THAT THIS IS A VERY DIFFICULT
21	CLIMATE. AND HAVING TOTAL PREDICTABILITY IS
22	SOMETHING THAT I DON'T SEE HOW WE CAN ACCOMPLISH
23	THAT.
24	DR. GILL: I GUESS I WAS ASKING FOR A
25	GENERAL TIMELINE IN TERMS OF WHEN WE WOULD HAVE SOME

1	I DEA.
2	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I THINK IN APRIL WE WOULD
3	HAVE A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF INFORMATION ABOUT OUR
4	ABILITY TO BE SUCCESSFUL ON THE FIRST TRANCHE.
5	WHETHER IT'S A HUNDRED, 130, WE WILL HAVE SOME
6	STRONG INDICATORS, BUT WE WOULD HAVE TO BE VERY
7	FORTUNATE, EXTREMELY FORTUNATE, TO HAVE SOMETHING
8	SIGNED, BUT WE WOULD HAVE MATERIAL INFORMATION
9	AVAILABLE IS THE HOPE.
10	DR. GILL: I THINK, YOU KNOW, I MEAN
11	CERTAINLY MY VIEW WOULD BE THAT IF YOU HAD TO PAY A
12	LITTLE MORE TO GET THAT DONE TO GET YOU THROUGH
13	THIS, WHICH CAME OUT AT THE VERY END TEN YEARS FROM
14	NOW TO KEEP THE PROGRAM GOING, THEN I WOULD STRONGLY
15	FAVOR THAT.
16	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: RIGHT. OKAY. DR. AZZIZ
17	AND I'M GOING TO MOVE BACK TO JEFF SHEEHY. IN FACT,
18	I'M GOING TO GO TO JEFF AND THEN DR. AZZIZ.
19	MR. SHEEHY: WELL, ACTUALLY I WAS GOING TO
20	TRY TO CUT TO THE CHASE BECAUSE I ACTUALLY BELIEVE
21	THAT YOU PRETTY MUCH SAID A LOT OF WHAT I WAS
22	SAYING. AND THE MAJOR CONFOUNDER IS STILL GOING TO
23	BE THE STATE BUDGET CRISIS. RESOLUTION OF THAT, I
24	THINK, WOULD RAISE DRAMATICALLY OUR SENSE OF
25	CONFIDENCE IN GOING FORWARD WITH THE PRIVATE

1	PLACEMENT. WHEREAS, IF THAT IS NOT RESOLVED, I
2	THINK THAT THAT PUTS EVEN A PRIVATE PLACEMENT IN
3	DOUBT. AND THE CONTOURS OF THAT DECISION CAN GIVE
4	US HIGHER LEVELS OF CONFIDENCE IN FITTING IN WITHIN
5	THE 2010 TIMEFRAME.
6	SO I WOULD RESPECTFULLY HOPE, AND MAYBE A
7	MOTION TO THIS, IF IT'S APPROPRIATE, TO DEFER
8	CONSIDERATION OF THESE GRANT ROUNDS THAT WE HAVE
9	BEFORE US TODAY UNTIL APRIL WHEN WE WILL HAVE MUCH
10	MORE INFORMATION AND WE CAN ACTUALLY MAKE SOME
11	INFORMED DECISIONS. AND IT MAY BE THAT WE HAVE
12	YOU'RE CERTAINLY GOING TO GET MORE INFORMATION ABOUT
13	THE PRIVATE PLACEMENT. THE CRITICAL PIECE ABOUT HOW
14	A FOUNDATION WILL TREAT THIS WILL BE VERY
15	DETERMINATIVE OF WHETHER OR NOT WE'LL HAVE SUCCESS.
16	IF THEY CAN TREAT THIS AS PROGRAMMATIC
17	EXPENDITURE
18	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THEY CAN TREAT IT AS A
19	PROGRAM INVESTMENT, AND THAT IS THE ONLY BASIS THAT
20	IT'S BEEN DISCUSSED. THAT WOULD BE AN ENHANCEMENT
21	IF THEY CAN TREAT IT AS A CURRENT CREDIT AGAINST.
22	MR. SHEEHY: THE 5 PERCENT THEY CAN GIVE
23	OUT. SO THAT WOULD CERTAINLY
24	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: YOU CAN'T MAKE A MOTION
25	AT THIS TIME BECAUSE WE'RE IN A DISCUSSION FORMAT.

YOU'VE GOT TO WAIT TILL WE GET TO THE ACTUAL
TRAINING GRANTS AND THE BRIDGE PROGRAM TO MAKE A
MOTI ON.
MR. SHEEHY: SO I'LL WAIT TILL THAT
MOMENT. BUT I THINK WE'RE JUST GOING TO BE CHURNING
BACK AND FORTH AND LEVELS OF UNCERTAINTY. AND I
REALLY WOULD HOPE THAT WE CAN GET TO OUR DECISION
POINTS, WHICH I THINK FOR THIS MEETING ARE WHAT WE
DO WITH THESE GRANTS. FOR ME PERSONALLY I DON'T
WANT TO NEGATE THEM. THESE ARE PROBABLY THE LEAST
TIME SENSITIVE GRANTS OF ANY THAT WE WILL CONSIDER.
SO PUTTING THEM OFF TO APRIL I DON'T THINK WILL BE
THAT DAMAGING AND NOT SPEND ALL NIGHT JUST GOING
BACK AND FORTH ABOUT THE FACT WE DON'T KNOW WHAT'S
GOING TO HAPPEN. WE DON'T HAVE ANY MONEY.
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I WOULD INDICATE WE HAVE
A MEETING IN MARCH.
MS. FEIT: YOU KNOW, WE'VE BEEN SITTING
HERE FOR ALMOST AN HOUR NOW, AND THERE ARE JUST SO
MANY ASSUMPTIONS THAT WE'RE TRYING TO MAKE ABOUT
OTHER FORCES OUT THERE RIGHT NOW IN THE ENVIRONMENT
THAT WE HAVE NO CONTROL OVER. AND SO FOR US TO SIT
HERE TONIGHT AND CONTINUE SPINNING OUR WHEELS MAKING
ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE STATE BUDGET, MAKING
ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT CAPITAL MARKETS, WHICH I JUST
154

1	SPENT THE LAST TWO WEEKS TALKING TO BANKERS AND
2	CAPITAL MARKETS, AND I CAN TELL YOU THERE'S NOTHING
3	OUT THERE. AND SO MAYBE THERE IS IN THE PRIVATE
4	PLACEMENT WORLD. LET'S HOPE SO.
5	BUT I THINK TONIGHT, AS A BOARD, WE HAVE A
6	FIDUCIARY RESPONSIBILITY IN FRONT OF US. AND WE
7	NEED TO DEAL WITH WHAT'S REAL IN FRONT OF US AND NOT
8	MAKE ANY MORE ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE STATE BUDGET OR
9	ABOUT THE CAPITAL MARKETS. WE HAVE A PROBLEM TO
10	SOLVE, AND FIDUCIARILY WE HAVE TO ADDRESS IT TONIGHT
11	OR TOMORROW, AND WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH WHAT WE HAVE
12	AT HAND. DISAPPOINTING? YES. WE DIDN'T CREATE THE
13	ENVIRONMENT. WE'RE LIVING IN IT, AND WE ARE
14	RESPONSIBLE TO TRY TO CARRY THE AGENCY AS FAR AS WE
15	CAN GO WITH THE HOPE THAT THINGS WILL RESOLVE AND
16	WITH THE HOPE THAT, YOU KNOW, WE CAN FIND PRIVATE
17	PLACEMENT OUT THERE.
18	JUST BECAUSE I HAVE THIS SHRED OF HOPE
19	THAT WE COULD, OVER THE HOLIDAYS I WATCHED AN AUTO
20	AUCTION ON T.V., AND ONE CAR AUCTIONED OFF TO ONE
21	PERSON FOR \$1.6 MILLION. SO OBVIOUSLY THERE'S A
22	LITTLE FREE CASH OUT THERE BECAUSE IT WAS A 1971
23	CAR. SO I'M SITTING HERE THINKING
24	DR. PIZZO: DO YOU KNOW THE NAME OF THE
25	PERSON?
	155

1	MS. FEIT: SO I AM SITTING HERE WITH A
2	LITTLE BIT OF HOPE THAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO GO OUT
3	THERE AND DO THE BAN'S. BUT GETTING BACK, BOB,
4	WE'RE REALLY JUST SITTING HERE SPENDING OUR ENERGIES
5	MAKING SO MANY ASSUMPTIONS THAT WE HAVE NO CONTROL
6	OVER. SO I THINK WE SHOULD JUST FOCUS ON THE STAFF
7	RECOMMENDATIONS, YOU KNOW, EITHER ACCEPT THEM OR,
8	YOU KNOW, JUST COME UP WITH OUR OWN SOLUTION.
9	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO LET ME ASK A QUESTION
10	HERE. INSTITUTIONS EACH HAVE THE ABILITY TO MAKE
11	THEIR OWN DECISION. IF WE LET ME GET MARCY, JUST
12	A SECOND, DAVID. THERE'S BEEN A DISCUSSION AS WELL
13	THAT IT MAY BE HELPFUL TO INSTITUTIONS TO MAKE A
14	DECISION ON THESE GRANTS. AND, A, WE COULD MAKE A
15	DECISION AND SAY AND PUT A PROVISION IN THAT SAYS
16	SUBJECT TO AVAILABLE FUNDS, WHICH WOULD BE CLEAR
17	COMMUNICATION THAT WE ARE NOT INCREASING OUR CURRENT
18	FUNDING OBLIGATION, BUT OUR ULTIMATE FUNDING
19	OBLIGATION WHEN WE HAVE PLENTY OF AUTHORITY FOR, OR
20	WE COULD SAY WE'RE APPROVING THEM WITH THE FUNDING
21	DECISION LATER, BUT A DECISION THAT WE SAY WE'RE
22	FUNDING THEM AND SUBJECT TO AVAILABLE FUNDS. THAT
23	WOULD GIVE THE INSTITUTION THE ABILITY TO MAKE A
24	DECISION INDIVIDUALLY WHETHER THEY WANTED TO GO
25	FORWARD OR NOT. THESE ARE RELATIVELY SMALL GRANTS

1	WHERE THAT MIGHT BE FEASIBLE.
2	MS. FEIT: BASED ON JEFF SHEEHY'S COMMENT
3	EARLIER, THAT THESE COULD BE DELAYED BECAUSE WE'RE
4	NOT DEALING WITH SCIENCE THAT'S GOING TO CHANGE ON
5	US RAPIDLY, SO THAT'S AN OPTION.
6	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WE'RE DEALING WITH
7	ENROLLMENT YEARS.
8	MS. FEIT: I AGREE WITH YOU ON THAT. I'M
9	AGREEING WITH YOU ON THAT POINT. AND I THINK WHAT
10	WE NEED TO DO IS START MAKING THESE DECISIONS. AND
11	AS A BOARD, I AGREE WE SHOULD VEHEMENTLY GO OUT
12	THERE AND DRAFT A PLAN TO GO INTO THAT MARKET WITH
13	THE BAN'S.
14	MR. ROTH: THESE GRANTS WILL NOT GO STALE.
15	DR. PIZZO: ONE BRIEF CAVEAT. IF THEY'RE
16	TRAINING GRANTS, THEY HAVE PEOPLE ATTACHED TO THEM.
17	AND SOME OF THOSE ARE GOING TO BE TRAINEES THAT WILL
18	BE STARTING OVER THE NEXT ACADEMIC YEAR. SO I THINK
19	SO WE DO HAVE TO BE COGNIZANT OF THAT TIMELINE. I
20	THINK WE CAN LIVE WITH THE UNCERTAINTY THROUGH APRIL
21	AND THEN KNOW WE'RE GOING TO THEN PERHAPS CAN
22	DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT TO BRING THOSE PEOPLE ON OR
23	NOT.
24	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WHAT IS YOUR POINT OF
25	VIEW, DR. PIZZO, AS TO MAKING THE DECISION AND
	457

TELLING THEM THAT IT'S SUBJECT TO AVAILABLE FUNDING
SO THEY CAN MAKE THEIR OWN?
DR. PIZZO: I THINK WE'RE MANY OF US
SAYING THE SAME THING. WE ALL WANT TO BE PRUDENT
AND CAREFUL. I THINK WE RECOGNIZE, AS WE'VE SAID
OVER AGAIN, THAT THESE GRANTS ARE SLIGHTLY
DIFFERENT. THERE'S A LOT OF WORK THAT'S BEEN DONE.
THEY'VE ALREADY BEEN RANKED AND ORDERED. AND I'M
OKAY WITH APPROVING THEM SUBJECT TO OR WITH A DELAY
CHARACTER ASSOCIATED WITH THEM.
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: OKAY. DR. PULIAFITO.
DR. PULIAFITO: THE MOST CONSERVATIVE
THING TO DO, OF COURSE, IS TO SIMPLY DEFER THEM
BECAUSE IF, IN THE ABSENCE OF A WORSENING SITUATION,
YOU MAY DECIDE THAT THESE PROGRAMS ARE NOT AS HIGH
PRIORITY AS ANOTHER PROGRAM THAT YOU WISH TO FUND.
THAT'S NO. 1 OBSERVATION.
NO. 2, I'D LIKE TO MAKE IT CLEAR TO THE
BOARD, AND I THINK THE ACADEMICIANS WILL SAY THIS,
INSTITUTIONS DO NOT VIEW RECOMMENDATION OF AN
UNFUNDED GRANT AS ANYTHING OTHER THAN NOTHING. IT'S
JUST NOTHING BECAUSE THE MAJORITY OF NIH GRANTS ARE
APPROVED, BUT NEVER FUNDED. SO YOU'RE NOT HELPING
THE INVESTIGATOR BY, QUOTE, APPROVING THE GRANT.
CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO, DR. PULIAFITO, WE
158

1	ACTUALLY APPROVED OUR FIRST TRAINING GRANTS WITH THE
2	CLAUSE "SUBJECT TO AVAILABLE FUNDING" IN IT, AND THE
3	INSTITUTIONS CHOSE TO FUND IT IN THE INTERIM.
4	THAT'S JUST A HISTORICAL POINT.
5	DR. PULIAFITO: BUT IT WAS A DIFFERENT
6	PERIOD OF TIME TOO.
7	DR. PIZZO: CAN I JUST RESPOND TO THAT
8	VERY QUESTION BECAUSE IT'S A DIRECT RESPONSE,
9	RICARDO. I AGREE WITH YOU, BUT THERE IS A
10	DIFFERENCE FROM THE WAY NIH WOULD HANDLE IT BECAUSE
11	AT THE NIH IF YOU'RE APPROVED AND YOU'RE NOT FUNDED,
12	YOU'RE NOT FUNDED. THAT'S NOT GOING TO CHANGE IN
13	THE NEXT CYCLE. I THINK WHAT WE'RE SAYING HERE IS
14	THAT IF YOU'RE APPROVED, YOU COULD BE FUNDED IF THE
15	MONEY BECOMES AVAILABLE.
16	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: DR. AZZIZ.
17	DR. AZZIZ: I ACTUALLY FEEL LESS STRONGLY
18	ABOUT WHETHER WE REVIEW THEM AND RANK THEM AND THEN
19	SIMPLY NOT FUND THEM AT ALL AND NOT FUND THE PROGRAM
20	UNTIL WE CAN UNDERSTAND IT. BUT I JUST WANTED TO
21	SHARE A LITTLE BIT OF INFORMATION BECAUSE CLEARLY
22	THE WHOLE CRUX OF THE MODELING TODAY THAT WE'VE
23	HEARD, AND THE PRESENTATION HAS BEEN VERY GOOD, HAS
24	BEEN THAT YOU WISELY ASKED THEM TO DO THIS THROUGH
25	2010.

1	YOU KNOW, REVIEWING ECONOMIC DATA, THERE'S
2	A NICE STUDY BY KENNETH ROGOFF, WHO LOOKED AT 14
3	BUSTS, AND I CAN TELL YOU THE EQUITY DROP WAS 50.
4	SO WE REVIEWED 14 BUSTS ECONOMICALLY TO GET A
5	HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE. EQUITY WOULD DROP BY 56
6	PERCENT. THE TIME TO NADIR WAS 3.4 YEARS AND VERY
7	UNIFORM ACROSS ALMOST THESE 14 BUSTS.
8	HOUSING PRICES WOULD DROP BY 36 PERCENT.
9	TIME TO NADIR WAS FIVE YEARS. UNEMPLOYMENT WOULD GO
10	UP 7 PERCENT, AND THAT WOULD VARY WIDELY, BUT IT
11	WOULDN'T REALLY PEAK UNTIL ABOUT 4.8 YEARS.
12	MY POINT IS THAT ECONOMIC DATA IS SHOWING
13	CLEARLY THAT WE'RE NOWHERE NEAR THE BOTTOM, THAT THE
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA MAY NOT BE OKAY IN 2010. SO MY
15	ONLY POINT IS, AND THIS IS, AGAIN, I WANT TO PROVIDE
16	DATA, NOT JUST OPINIONS, THIS DATA SHOWS THAT
17	PERHAPS PRUDENT MANAGEMENT SHOULD BE SOMETHING WE
18	SHOULD CONSIDER.
19	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO I THINK WE ALWAYS
20	CONSIDER PRUDENT MANAGEMENT. I THINK YOU MEAN 2011.
21	DR. AZZIZ: OVERLY CAUTIOUS.
22	DR. PIZZO: THAT WAS REALLY IMPRESSIVE.
23	YOU CARRY THAT DATA AROUND WITH YOU?
24	DR. AZZIZ: I TRY.
25	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS
	160

160

1	WE'RE GOING TO TAKE A FEW MORE COMMENTS, WE'RE GOING
2	TO TAKE PUBLIC COMMENTS, AND THEN I THINK WE'RE
3	GOING TO ADJOURN FOR THE NIGHT BECAUSE WE'VE
4	ACHIEVED THE GOAL HERE OF HAVING A SUBSTANTIVE
5	DISCUSSION, HAVING FULL TRANSPARENCY ON THE ISSUES
6	FACING US, AND HAVING THE BOARD FULLY INFORMED ON
7	THE RISKS THAT WE'RE FACING.
8	MR. SHEEHY: SO I'M GLAD THAT YOU REMINDED
9	ME THAT WE HAVE A MARCH MEETING, AND THAT WE'RE
10	NOT WE DON'T HAVE ANY GRANTS TO REVIEW FOR THAT
11	MEETING. AND I ACTUALLY I PERSONALLY DON'T FEEL
12	COMFORTABLE MAKING ANY RECOMMENDATIONS ON THESE
13	GRANTS WITHIN THIS CURRENT CONSTRUCT AND WOULD FEEL
14	BETTER I THINK WE'LL HAVE A GREAT MORE
15	INFORMATION, A LOT MORE INFORMATION IN A MONTH IN
16	EVEN A MONTH. IF THE BUDGET IS NOT SETTLED BY
17	MARCH, WE'RE GOING TO BE IN A VERY BAD YOU KNOW,
18	WE ARE GOING TO START DOING WHAT DR. PULIAFITO AS
19	I BUTCHER YOUR NAME. I APOLOGIZE. WE'RE GOING TO
20	BE IN THAT SCENARIO WHERE WE MAY NOT WANT TO PUT
21	THESE TWO CYCLES IN THE FRONT OF THE QUEUE FOR MONEY
22	WHEN WE ACTUALLY ARE ABLE TO START SPENDING ON NEW
23	PROGRAMS.
24	AND I JUST THINK THAT, FIRST OF ALL, FOR
25	ME, I'M TRYING TO ABSORB THIS INFORMATION. AND I'M

1	NOT AT A POINT WHERE I'M READY TO APPROVE ANY GRANTS
2	FOR ANYTHING. AND I WOULD MUCH RATHER PUT THIS OFF.
3	AND THE OTHER THING TOO IS I DON'T MIND
4	LETTING SENATOR STEINBERG AND SENATOR ROMERO, WHO
5	WERE SO KIND ENOUGH TO SEND US A LETTER, TO LET THEM
6	KNOW THAT THE CONSEQUENCES TO WHAT'S GOING ON IN
7	SACRAMENTO AND THIS THING THAT THEY'RE VERY
8	INTERESTED IN ISN'T GOING ANYWHERE BECAUSE OF THE
9	IMPACT ON WE'RE NOT THE ONLY PEOPLE IN CALIFORNIA
10	THAT ARE KIND OF STUCK IN NEUTRAL WHILE WE WAIT FOR
11	IMPASSE TO GET RESOLVED. AND NOT TO PUT ANY BLAME
12	ON ANY PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL OR ANY PARTICULAR
13	POLITICAL PARTY, BUT THIS IS A CRISIS. I THINK IT'S
14	NOT INAPPROPRIATE FOR US TO SHOW THAT WE'RE AS
15	BADLY THAT WE'RE AS DRAMATICALLY AFFECTED AS
16	EVERYBODY ELSE IN CALIFORNIA, THAT THIS IS HAVING
17	SEVERE IMPACTS, AND THIS IS ROBBING CALIFORNIANS OF
18	HOPE.
19	AT A TIME WHEN THE FIRST CLINICAL TRIAL IS
20	GOING FORWARD, WE ARE NOT ABLE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH
21	ESTABLISHING CALIFORNIA AS THE WORLD LEADER IN STEM
22	CELL RESEARCH AND BRINGING THESE CURES TO PEOPLE
23	ACROSS THIS STATE BECAUSE OF THIS BUDGET CRISIS THAT
24	HAS KEPT THIS STATE FROM BEING ABLE TO DO ANYTHING
25	ECONOMI CALLY.
	140

1	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I THINK FOR THE RECORD,
2	WE SHOULD STATE THAT SENATOR STEINBERG AND SENATOR
3	ROMERO HAVE PUT TIME AFTER TIME OPTIONS ON THE TABLE
4	THAT WERE REASONABLE, THAT WERE REASONABLE TO THE
5	GOVERNOR, AND VERY CLEAR THAT THEY CAN'T GET A FEW
6	REPUBLICAN VOTES IN THE SENATE AND THE ASSEMBLY,
7	STATING IT BLUNTLY. SO THE ISSUE HERE IS WE DO HAVE
8	A CRISIS ENVIRONMENT WE'RE IN. WE NEED A BUDGET
9	PASSED. IT'S CRITICAL TO EVERY AGENCY IN THE STATE
10	OF CALIFORNIA.
11	MR. SERRANO-SEWELL: TO JEFF'S POINT,
12	JEFF, THE ONLY THING I WANT TO SAY TO YOUR POINT IS,
13	AND THEY'RE ALL VERY GOOD POINTS, WHAT I THINK IS
14	DIFFERENT HERE IS WE HAVE THE DISCRETIONARY
15	AUTHORITY TO MAKE A PROFOUND POLICY DECISION THAT
16	WILL IMPACT THE BRIDGES APPLICANTS. WE DO. WE
17	COULD ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION AND FULLY FUND
18	THEM. WHEREAS, THE BUDGET SITUATION DOESN'T LEAVE
19	US WITH NO CHOICE. IT DOES. WE CAN FUND BRIDGES IF
20	WE WANT TO.
21	MR. SHEEHY: I'M NOT SAYING NOT TO FUND,
22	BUT LET'S KICK THIS DOWN THE ROAD A MONTH. WE DON'T
23	HAVE ANY GRANTS TO REVIEW IN MARCH. I WOULD JUST
24	KICK IT.
25	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO HERE
	163
	100

1	IS WE'VE HAD A VERY EFFECTIVE DISCUSSION. WHAT I'D
2	LIKE TO DO, DR. TROUNSON, IF POSSIBLE, IS GET PUBLIC
3	COMMENT. THEN WE HAVE AN ADDITIONAL ITEM I'D LIKE
4	TO VERY BRIEFLY COVER, WHICH IS TO ADDRESS OPTIONS
5	THAT MAY BE HELPFUL TO US UNDER THE FEDERAL STIMULUS
6	BILL, THE OMNIBUS BILL, AND 2010 BUDGET. CERTAINLY
7	WE HAVE A VERY IMPORTANT MESSAGE TO COMMUNICATE TO
8	OUR CONGRESS ON OUR NEED FOR FUNDING.
9	DR. TROUNSON: SORRY, CHAIR. WE'VE BEEN
10	CONCENTRATING ON THE TRAINING AND THE BRIDGES
11	PROGRAM, BUT THERE'S ALSO THE LEFTOVER TOOLS AND
12	TECHNOLOGIES. AND YOU'VE GOT TO YOU'RE UP
13	THAT'S UP FOR DECISION NOW. I'M A BIT CONCERNED BY
14	REPEATEDLY PUTTING THAT ONE BACK. BUT ESSENTIALLY
15	YOU'VE ALSO GOT TO COME TO GRIPS WITH THAT.
16	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: NOT UNDER THIS AGENDA
17	ITEM THOUGH. IT'S A SEPARATE AGENDA ITEM. WE WILL
18	ADDRESS IT.
19	MS. LANSING: YOU KNOW, I RESPECT
20	EVERYTHING THAT ALL OF MY COLLEAGUES ARE SAYING, BUT
21	I GUESS I FEEL THAT WHAT WE WERE ALREADY REVIEWING
22	AND WHAT HAS ALREADY BEEN SET IN MOTION, SO IT'S ALL
23	THREE OF THEM AND THEN ANOTHER CYCLE AS WELL, I
24	UNDERSTAND, WE SHOULD MOVE AHEAD WITH SUBJECT TO
25	FUNDING, PERIOD. NOT WITH DATES OR ANYTHING, JUST

1	SUBJECT TO FUNDING, BECAUSE IF WE DON'T, I THINK
2	WE'RE SENDING A CLEAR MESSAGE THAT WE'RE OUT OF
3	BUSINESS. AND I THINK THAT'S A VERY, VERY BAD
4	MESSAGE TO SEND. I THINK WE'VE BEEN THERE BEFORE
5	WHEN WE DIDN'T KNOW IF THE BILL, WHEN IT WAS IN THE
6	SUPREME COURT, WE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT THE RESULT WAS
7	GOING TO BE. WE MOVED AHEAD AND WE GOT BAN'S.
8	I LISTENED TO VERY WISE COUNSEL SAYING A
9	NUMBER CALLED 89 PERCENT. NOW, THAT'S REALLY A
10	STRONG RECOMMENDATION. OF COURSE, THEY COULD BE
11	COMPLETELY WRONG; BUT UNTIL WE GO INTO THE MARKET,
12	UNTIL THE BUDGET GETS APPROVED ONE WAY OR THE OTHER,
13	WE DON'T KNOW WHERE WE ARE. AND TO HAVE TO PLAY
14	CATCH-UP BALL, I THINK, IS A HUGE MISTAKE. WE'VE
15	BEEN FULLY TRANSPARENT. I DON'T THINK THERE IS
16	IT WOULD BE TRAGIC NOT TO BE ABLE TO FUND IT, BUT WE
17	CERTAINLY WOULD NOT BE ACCUSED OF NOT BEING HONEST.
18	THIS HAS BEEN IN THE PUBLIC COMMENT. WE'VE ALL SAID
19	LOUD AND CLEAR THAT THERE MIGHT NOT BE ANY MONEY.
20	MR. GOLDBERG: SHERRY, I'D LIKE TO BE THE
21	FIRST PERSON TO SIGN UP FOR YOUR FAITH-BASED
22	COALITION.
23	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: I'M GOING TO BE THE
24	SECOND PERSON BECAUSE I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT TO
25	RECALL WHAT SHERRY IS REFERENCING US TO, WHICH IS
	4/5

1	THE HISTORY OF THIS ORGANIZATION. WE APPROVED THE
2	TRAINING GRANTS INITIALLY SUBJECT TO THE
3	AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING. WE SAID WE'RE IN BUSINESS.
4	NOW, IT IS VERY CLEAR, TOTALLY
5	TRANSPARENT, AS SHERRY SAID, WHAT THE RISKS ARE.
6	THEY'RE NO DIFFERENT THAN THE REST OF THE STATE
7	GOVERNMENT, WHICH IS NOT SHUTTING DOWN. SO WHAT'S
8	IMPORTANT HERE TO REALIZE IS THAT EVEN FOR THE
9	BRIDGES PROGRAM, THEY'VE ALREADY RECRUITED THEIR
10	STUDENTS. THEY' VE ALREADY RECRUITED THOSE STUDENTS.
11	IF WE APPROVE THIS PROGRAM, THEN IT'S SUBJECT TO
12	FUNDING. THOSE INSTITUTIONS CAN MAKE DECISIONS ON
13	AN INTERIM BASIS, BUT AT LEAST IT SHOWS OUR
14	INTENTION TO MOVE FORWARD SUBJECT TO THE INFORMATION
15	THAT WE CAN CONFIRM OUR FUNDING.
16	NOW, WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO GET PUBLIC
17	COMMENT.
18	DR. MARTINS-GREEN: MANUELA MARTINS-GREEN.
19	I AM A PROFESSOR AT UNIVERSITY CALIFORNIA RIVERSIDE,
20	AND THIS IS THE FIRST TIME I ATTEND THIS BOARD. I
21	AM REALLY IMPRESSED WITH THE KIND OF FRANK
22	DISCUSSION YOU ARE GOING THROUGH. AND I REALLY
23	WOULD LIKE TO ECHO BOARD MEMBER LANSING'S WORDS. I
24	THINK IT WOULD BE NOT ADVISABLE TO NOT MAKE A
25	DECISION, OF COURSE, WITH THE PROVISO THAT YOU CAN
	166
	100

1	FUND IT, FOR THE PEOPLE THAT HAVE WORKED SO HARD,
2	THEY HAVE PUT THEIR PROPOSALS IN AND NEED TO BEGIN
3	TO MAKE PLANS. SO I'D LIKE TO MAKE THAT REQUEST OF
4	THE BOARD.
5	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU.
6	MR. COFFMAN: HI. MY NAME IS LOUIS
7	COFFMAN. I'M WITH THE SANFORD CONSORTIUM, AND I
8	BRING YOU A LETTER FROM MY BOSS AND ONE OF YOUR
9	FORMER MEMBERS, DR. ED HOLMES. HE'S BEEN MONITORING
10	THIS VIA MY E-MAIL ALL NIGHT LONG. HE SAID, "MR.
11	CHAIRMAN, WE APPRECIATE WHAT YOU, PRESIDENT
12	TROUNSON, AND OTHERS ARE DOING TO REALIZE THE VISION
13	OF CIRM FOR STEM CELL RESEARCH IN CALIFORNIA AND THE
14	NATION. THESE ARE DIFFICULT ECONOMIC TIMES, AND
15	YOUR CREATIVITY AND INNOVATION IS APPRECIATED AND
16	NEEDED. HOWEVER, WE FEEL IT IS IMPORTANT FOR CIRM
17	TO MEET ITS EXISTING COMMITMENTS IN FULL AND ON
18	SCHEDULE BEFORE TAKING ON ADDITIONAL COMMITMENTS.
19	TO NOT MEET THE TERMS OF PRIOR NGA'S WILL NEGATIVELY
20	IMPACT THE CREDIBILITY OF CIRM AS A FUNDING AGENCY.
21	"HOW WILL FUTURE GRANT AWARDEES HAVE
22	CONFIDENCE THAT FUNDS WILL BE THERE FOR THEIR
23	PROGRAMS IF EXISTING COMMITMENTS ARE NOT FULFILLED?
24	WE AT SCRM AND OTHER ENTITIES LIKE US HAVE MADE
25	WARRANTS, COMMITMENTS TO INSTITUTIONS AND
	1/7

1	INDIVIDUALS BASED ON THE NGA WE RECEIVED FOR OUR
2	FACILITIES PROJECT. WE HAVE MADE COMMITMENTS TO THE
3	REGENTS, TO OUR DEVELOPERS. WE HAVE MADE
4	COMMITMENTS TO GENEROUS PHILANTHROPISTS. WE'VE
5	SPENT HIS MONEY BASED UPON THIS NGA, AND OUR
6	CONSORTIA MEMBERS HAVE COMMITTED TO EXISTING AND
7	NEWLY RECRUITED SCIENTISTS AND FACULTY BASED ON THIS
8	NGA.
9	"WE PLEAD WITH THE ICOC TO HONOR THESE
10	EXISTING COMMITMENTS AND REQUEST THAT THE ADOPTION
11	OF NEW PROGRAMS NOT COME AT THE EXPENSE OF THOSE
12	THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN AWARDED."
13	AND A COUPLE THINGS I JUST WANTED TO
14	CLARIFY. I AM THE ULTIMATE POLLYANNA, AND I THINK
15	IN TERMS OF OPTIMISM BECAUSE I'M THE ONE WHO STOOD
16	IN FRONT OF YOU WHEN YOU TOLD US WE WERE NOT GOING
17	TO GET OUR CERTIFIED EIR AND WE WERE NOT GOING TO
18	GET OUR COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT IN SOME FUTURE
19	TIME. WE GOT ALL ON TIME BY UNANIMOUS ON CONSENT
20	AGENDA BEFORE THE REGENTS, AND ACTUALLY WITH ONE OF
21	OUR MOST STRIDENT ANTAGONISTS IN FAVOR OF OUR
22	APPLICATION AT THE COASTAL COMMISSION. SO WE GOT
23	THAT. WE'RE ON TIME. WE'RE READY TO PUT A SHOVEL
24	IN THE GROUND FEBRUARY 9TH. WE CANNOT. OUR END
25	DATE IN OUR NGA IS 12/31/2010.

DISCUSSION HAS BEEN SUBJECT TO AVAILABLE FUNDING,
WHICH MEANS THEY DO NOT HAVE THE SAME PRIORITY.
THEY ARE NOT WE'RE NOT PUTTING EXISTING CONTRACTS
AT RISK FOR SOMETHING THAT'S SUBJECT TO AVAILABLE
FUNDI NG.
MR. COFFMAN: NOW IS NOT THE TIME TO
DEBATE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.
DR. SIMPSON: JOHN SIMPSON FROM CONSUMER
WATCHDOG. I JUST WOULD SIMPLY SAY THAT I DON'T SEE
HOW YOU CAN TAKE ON ANY ADDITIONAL COMMITMENTS FOR
OTHER GRANTS BASED ON THE INFORMATION THAT YOU'VE
HAD. YOU' VE HAD A VERY THOROUGH, THOUGHTFUL
DISCUSSION. STAFF DID A WONDERFUL JOB OF LAYING OUT
THE KNOWLEDGE AT THIS POINT, BUT IT DOES SEEM TO ME
THAT YOU HAVE TO DEFER ANY ACTION ON ANY ADDITIONAL
GRANTS AT LEAST UNTIL MARCH, IF NOT UNTIL THE APRIL
MEETING. I DON'T THINK YOU'VE GOT ANY OTHER COURSE.
I'M ALSO, MR. CHAIRMAN, A LITTLE PUZZLED
ABOUT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING ABOUT THAT ALL 3 BILLION OF
THE BONDS ARE ALREADY AUTHORIZED. YOU STILL NEED, I
THINK, DO YOU NOT, APPROVAL BY THE STEM CELL FINANCE
COMMITTEE TO GO INTO THE MARKET, RIGHT? AND
PRESUMABLY, IF THEY DON'T THINK THAT YOU SHOULD GO,
THEY WOULDN'T VOTE TO DO IT.
170

1	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: TO THE EXTENT WE HAVE
2	EXISTING COMMITMENTS AND CONTRACTS IN PLACE WITH
3	SPECIFIC OBLIGATIONS, THERE IS A CONTINUOUS
4	APPROPRIATION IN PLACE AND AN OBLIGATION TO FUND
5	THOSE UNDER TIMEFRAME. SO AS TO EXISTING
6	OBLIGATIONS, I HAVE EVERY FAITH THAT THE COMMITTEE
7	WOULD HONOR THE OBLIGATIONS THAT ARE CONTRACTUAL
8	OBLIGATIONS OF THE STATE.
9	DR. SIMPSON: BUT IF THEY DON'T HAVE THE
10	MONEY, WHERE WOULD THEY GET IT? THAT'S WHAT, I
11	GUESS, I'M KIND OF ASKING.
12	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: TO THE EXTENT OF OUR
13	EXISTING OBLIGATIONS RELATIVE TO THE TOTAL
14	OBLIGATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN AUTHORIZED FOR THIS
15	AGENCY, I BELIEVE THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO GET A
16	FUNDING OF THOSE OBLIGATIONS. NOW, IN AN ULTIMATE
17	WORST-CASE SCENARIO, NOTHING IN THE STATE IS GOING
18	TO WORK. SO AT A REASONABLE WORST-CASE SCENARIO IS
19	WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO BUILD HERE. AND SO UNDER THAT
20	SCENARIO, THE STATE WOULD HONOR THOSE OBLIGATIONS AS
21	EXISTING CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENTS.
22	IF WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHAT'S GOING TO
23	HAPPEN IN THE NEXT 90 DAYS OR 120 DAYS ON EXISTING
24	COMMITMENTS, I WOULD TELL YOU THAT ON A TIMING
25	BASIS, THERE'S A VERY DIFFICULT PROBLEM RAISING NEW
	171

1	FUNDS TO HONOR OBLIGATIONS IN THAT TIME PERIOD. IN
2	THE PERIOD THROUGH THE MIDDLE OF SEPTEMBER, IN FACT,
3	WITH NO NEW WITHOUT APPROVING THE TRANSLATIONAL
4	GRANTS IN THE PERIOD THROUGH SEPTEMBER, WE HAVE CASH
5	ON HAND TO HANDLE ALL OF OUR OBLIGATIONS, I BELIEVE,
6	UNTIL DECEMBER 31ST.
7	SO WE'RE TRYING TO LOOK DOWNSTREAM AND
8	TAKE EARLY ACTION TO MAKE CERTAIN THERE'S PLENTY OF
9	TIME. BUT WITH APPROPRIATE AMOUNT OF TIME, THE
10	STATE AT THE LEVEL WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WITH EXISTING
11	OBLIGATIONS SHOULD DEFINITELY BE ABLE TO HANDLE
12	THOSE OBLIGATIONS.
13	DR. SIMPSON: THANK YOU.
14	MR. REED: DON REED, CALIFORNIANS FOR
15	CURES. YESTERDAY MY GRANDSON TOLD ME, GRANDDAD, YOU
16	ARE LOOKING SO OLD. HE SAYS DOES THIS MEAN YOU ARE
17	GOING TO DIE SOON? I TOLD HIM I HAD NO SUCH PLANS.
18	BUT I AM AT AN AGE WHERE EVERYTHING REMINDS ME OF
19	SOMETHING ELSE.
20	THIS REMINDS ME OF THE WORST, DARKEST
21	HOURS OF THE ROMAN REED SPINAL CORD INJURY RESEARCH
22	ACT. ONE DAY WE HAD A \$75 MILLION A YEAR GUARANTEED
23	SOURCE OF FUNDING NONTAXED, \$5 FOR A SPEEDING
24	TICKET, SET FOR LIFE. THE NEXT DAY IT WAS DOWN TO
25	19 MILLION GENERAL TAX. FEW WEEKS AFTER THAT THE

1	ENERGY CRISIS. WENT INTO SUSPENSE. DOWN TO ZERO.
2	CAME BACK AND STARTED AGAIN FOR \$1 MILLION. BUT
3	FROM THAT \$1 MILLION CAME DR. HANS KIERSTEAD'S WORK,
4	WHICH WAS PICKED UP BY GERON, WHICH IS GOING TO
5	HUMAN TRIALS.
6	WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS A TREMENDOUS BOARD.
7	EVERYBODY HERE IS A CHAMPION OF THE FIELD, WORKING
8	TOGETHER. YOU LIKE EACH OTHER. YOU HAVE A CHAIRMAN
9	WHO WORKS DOUBLE TIME, ALTHOUGH I GRUDGINGLY SAY HE
10	IS ONLY PAID PART TIME. YOU ARE GOING TO FIGURE
11	THIS OUT. YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR ALL SIDES. YOU ARE
12	GOING TO WRESTLE BACK AND FORTH, AND YOU'RE GOING TO
13	DO THE BEST THING THAT CAN POSSIBLY BE DONE FOR
14	CALIFORNIA AND FOR THE FUTURE OF EVERYONE. YOU WILL
15	DO A TREMENDOUS JOB. WE HAVE FAITH IN YOU.
16	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
17	ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT? OKAY. SO GIVEN THE
18	HOUR, MY SUGGESTION IS THAT AS TO THE DISCUSSION ON
19	THE FEDERAL STIMULUS BILL, THE OMNIBUS BILL, AND
20	FISCAL YEAR 2010 FEDERAL LEGISLATION, WE'LL LIMIT
21	THAT DEBATE TO FOUR HOURS.
22	WE WILL, I THINK, SINCE WE WILL, IN FACT,
23	HAVE A GREAT DEAL MORE INFORMATION IN MARCH, DEFER
24	THAT ITEM TO THE MARCH CALENDAR, BUT PROVIDE IN THE
25	INTERIM SOME PUBLIC SUMMARIES OF PROGRESS OF THOSE

1	VARIOUS PIECES OF LEGISLATION UNLESS ANY INDIVIDUAL
2	ON THE BOARD WOULD LIKE TO SPECIFICALLY ADDRESS ONE
3	OF THOSE PIECES OF FEDERAL LEGISLATION.
4	ASKING COUNSEL, COUNSEL, IS THERE ANY
5	REASON THAT WE CANNOT AT THIS POINT ADJOURN? WE
6	HAVE ALSO CALENDARED THE REVIEW OF GRANTS FOR
7	TOMORROW, SO WE DID THAT OUT OF CAUTION THAT THIS
8	MIGHT BE A LONG DISCUSSION. AND I EXPECT THAT WE
9	CAN TAKE IT UP UNDER TOMORROW'S CALENDAR; IS THAT
10	CORRECT, COUNSEL?
11	MR. HARRISON: THAT'S CORRECT.
12	CHAIRMAN KLEIN: SO UNLESS THERE'S
13	VEHEMENT PROTEST, WE WILL ADJOURN FOR THE EVENING.
14	(THE MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED AT
15	10: 01 P. M.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	174

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD AT THE LOCATION INDICATED BELOW

CROWNE PLAZA HOTEL SFO 1177 AIRPORT BOULEVARD BURLINGAME, CALIFORNIA ON THURSDAY, JANUARY 29, 2009

WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

rain

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152

BARRISTER'S REPORTING SERVICE

1072 BRISTOL STREET

SUITE 100

COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

(714) 444-4100