

ICOC Survey: Chair and Vice Chair

**Governance Subcommittee
May 23, 2022**

Mandatory Criteria for Chair

- History of successful advocacy for stem cell research or other vital research opportunities
- State and federal legislative process experience, including approval of medical research funding or standards
- Qualifies as a Patient Advocate eligible for Board appointment
- Not currently employed by or on leave from research award recipient or applicant

Additional Criteria for Chair

- Government agency experience
- Experience with process of establishing government standards or procedures
- Legal experience with the review of governmental authority for the exercise of governmental powers
- Direct knowledge and experience in bond financing

Mandatory Criteria for Vice Chair

- History of successful advocacy for stem cell research or other vital research opportunities
- Qualifies as a Patient Advocate eligible for Board appointment
- Not currently employed by or on leave from research award recipient or applicant



**Chair and Vice Chair
Survey Results**

1. Role of the Chair and Vice Chair: Partnership or Delegation/Oversight

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES (%)	RESPONSES
In the partnership model the Chair, Vice Chair and President would each have specified operational roles and responsibilities.	52%	14
In the more traditional role of Chair and Vice Chair, the responsibilities would be delegated to the CIRM staff and the Board, under the leadership of the Chair and Vice Chair, would play an oversight role rather than an operational role.	48%	13

2. Based on the duties assigned to the Chair, including serving on the Working Groups, and your preference for how the role of the Chair should be carried out, please indicate the percent effort you believe is required to fulfill the role:

Percent of Effort	Votes	Percentage of Vote*
20%	1	4%
30%	1	4%
40%	1	4%
50%	4	15%
70%	1	4%
75%	7	26%
80%	4	15%
100%	8	30%

*rounded up to nearest whole number

3. Based on the duties assigned to the Vice Chair, including serving on the AAWG, and your preference for how the role of the Vice Chair should be carried out, please indicate the percent effort you believe is required to fulfill the role:

Percent of Effort	Votes	Percentage of Vote*
10%	1	4%
20%	2	7%
25%	4	15%
30%	1	4%
50%	9	33%
70%	1	4%
75%	4	15%
80%	2	7%
100%	3	11%

* rounded up to nearest whole number

4. We have identified a number of desirable attributes for a new Chair. Please select 8 attributes that you believe are most important.

ANSWER CHOICES	% OF RESPONSES	RESPONSES
Integrity	92.6%	25
Passion and Enthusiasm for Mission	81.5%	22
Leadership and Decisiveness	74.1%	20
Effective Communicator	74.1%	20
Collaborative and Inclusive	63.0%	17
Respect for Leadership and Staff	63.0%	17
Intellect and Experience	51.9%	14
Strong and Effective Advocate	40.7%	11
Perspective on Challenges and Opportunities	33.3%	9
Experience Working with industry	33.3%	9
Board Service Experience	33.3%	9
Significant Financial/Financing Experience	29.6%	8
Experience running large meetings	25.9%	7
Emotional Intelligence	22.2%	6
Biomedical Research and therapeutic Experience	22.2%	6
Relationships with key opinion leaders in the regenerative medicine field	18.5%	5
Crisis Management Experience	11.1%	3
Patient Advocate Experience	7.4%	2
Programmatic/policy experience on DEI	7.4%	2
(State) Government Service Experience	7.4%	2
Higher Education Experience	3.7%	1
Grant-making Experience	3.7%	1

5. We have identified a number of desirable attributes for a new Vice Chair. Please select 8 attributes that you believe are most important.

ANSWER CHOICES	% OF RESPONSES	RESPONSES
Integrity	85.2%	23
Passion and Enthusiasm for Mission	81.5%	22
Effective Communicator	77.8%	21
Collaborative and Inclusive	66.7%	18
Respect for Leadership and Staff	66.7%	18
Strong and Effective Advocate	48.2%	13
(State) Government Service Experience	48.2%	13
Intellect and Experience	44.4%	12
Leadership and Decisiveness	40.7%	11
Perspective on Challenges and Opportunities	40.7%	11
Board Service Experience	37.0%	10
Patient Advocate Experience	29.6%	8
Emotional Intelligence	25.9%	7
Programmatic/policy experience on DEI	22.2%	6
Experience Working with industry	18.5%	5
Significant Financial/Financing Experience	14.8%	4
Biomedical Research and therapeutic Experience	14.8%	4
Experience running large meetings	11.1%	3
Crisis Management Experience	7.4%	2
Higher Education Experience	7.4%	2
Relationships with key opinion leaders in the regenerative medicine field	7.4%	2
Grant-making Experience	3.7%	1

QUESTIONS?