

Real

Life™

Gil Sambrano, PhD

Vice President, Portfolio Development and Review

Grants Working Group Recommendations CLIN

May 31, 2023

CIRM
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL AGENCY

OUR MISSION


Accelerating world class science
to deliver transformative
regenerative medicine treatments
in an equitable manner to a
diverse California and world



Annual Allocation: \$169 million

- Amount Requested Today
- Approved Awards
- Unused Balance

Amounts are shown in millions



- **Score of “1”**

Exceptional merit and warrants funding.

May have minor recommendations and adjustments that do not require further review by the GWG

- **Score of “2”**

Needs improvement and does not warrant funding at this time but could be resubmitted to address areas for improvement.

GWG should provide recommendations that are achievable (i.e., “fixable changes”) or request clarification/information on key concerns.

- **Score of “3”**

*Sufficiently flawed that it does not warrant funding and the same project should not be resubmitted **for at least 6 months**.*

Applications are scored by all scientific members of the GWG with no conflict.

1. Does the project hold the necessary significance and potential for impact? (*what value does it offer; is it worth doing?*)
2. Is the rationale sound? (*does it make sense?*)
3. Is the project well planned and designed?
4. Is the project feasible? (*can they do it?*)
5. Does the project uphold the principles of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI)? (*e.g., does it consider patient diversity?*)

CIRM CLIN Program DEI Rubric				
CRITERIA	Score of 0 to 2 Not Responsive	Score of 3 to 5 Not Fully Responsive	Score of 6 to 8 Responsive	Score of 9 to 10 Outstanding Response
1. Commitment to DEI	Fails to address how success of this project would lead to a therapy that positively impacts underserved or disproportionately affected communities.	Inadequately addresses how success of this project would lead to a therapy that positively impacts underserved or disproportionately affected communities.	Adequately describes how success of this project would likely lead to a therapy that positively impacts underserved or disproportionately affected communities.	Convincingly and clearly describes how success of this project would lead to a therapy that positively impacts underserved or disproportionately affected communities.
	Does not set goals for diverse trial population enrollment and provides no justification for the target enrollment.	May set trial population enrollment goals that are inappropriate or infeasible relative to the population affected or at risk for the indication.	Sets adequate goals for trial population enrollment relative to the population affected or at risk for the indication.	Trial population goals are based on a deep understanding of health disparities and disease burden.
	Inadequate personnel/expertise or budget to implement DEI-oriented activities.	May have inadequate personnel/expertise or budget to implement DEI-oriented activities.	Adequate personnel/expertise or budget to implement DEI-oriented activities.	Strong personnel/expertise and appropriate budget to implement DEI-oriented activities.
2. Project Plans	Planned activities do not reflect a good faith effort and are unlikely to be effective in outreach and engagement.	Planned activities are incomplete or inadequate and may not reflect a good faith effort for outreach and engagement.	Planned activities reflect a good faith effort and have the potential to be effective in outreach and engagement.	Planned activities reflect an outstanding and comprehensive effort for outreach and engagement.
	Does not demonstrate an understanding of the potential barriers to participation in the clinical trial.	Does not fully demonstrate an understanding of the potential barriers to participation in the clinical trial.	Demonstrates an understanding of the potential barriers to participation in the clinical trial.	Demonstrates a clear understanding of the potential barriers to participation in the clinical trial.
	Inadequate plan to address potential barriers to participation.	May not have an adequate plan to address potential barriers to participation.	Has an adequate plan to address potential barriers to participation.	Has a strong plan to address potential barriers to participation.
	Unlikely to achieve the recruitment of trial participants from underserved or disproportionately affected populations.	May not be able to achieve the recruitment of trial participants from underserved or disproportionately affected populations.	Likely to achieve the recruitment of trial participants from underserved or disproportionately affected populations.	Very likely to achieve the recruitment of trial participants from underserved or disproportionately affected populations.
3. Cultural Sensitivity	Does not include activities to increase cultural sensitivity on the team or at partner institutions, or activities proposed are not appropriate.	Proposed activities may not be effective or sufficient to increase cultural sensitivity on the team or at partner institutions. Activities may not match the needs of the project.	Has appropriate plans to increase cultural sensitivity on the team or at partner institutions. Activities match the needs of the project.	Outstanding plans to increase cultural sensitivity on the team or at partner institutions. Activities are well matched to the needs of the project.

DEI Scores

Applications are scored for adherence to principles of DEI by all GWG Board Members with no conflict.

- **DEI Score of 9-10**
Outstanding Response
- **DEI Score of 6-8**
Responsive
- **DEI Score of 3-5**
Not Fully Responsive
- **DEI Score of 0-2**
Not Responsive

Scientific GWG
Member



Scientific evaluation (disease area expert,
regulatory, CMC, product development)
Provides scientific score on all applications

GWG Board
Member
(Patient
Advocate/Nurse)



DEI evaluation, patient perspective on significance
and potential impact, oversight on process
Provides DEI score on all applications
Provides a suggested scientific score

Scientific
Specialist
(non-voting)



Scientific evaluation (specialized expertise as
needed)
Provides initial but not final scientific score

Board members with Conflicts of Interest for CLIN applications

Miaskowski

Flowers

Yamamoto

Title	Autologous T Cells to Treat Refractory/Relapsed Pediatric Liver Cancer
Therapy	Autologous T cells modified to target and kill cancer cells
Indication	Pediatric liver cancer
Goal	Complete Phase 1 clinical trial
Funds Requested	\$10,600,072 Co-funding: \$7,066,715 (40% required)

Maximum funds allowable for this category: \$12,000,000

Clinical Background: The pediatric liver cancers targeted in this application include a few different types of rare malignant liver cancers in children and adolescents. Current treatment may involve surgery, chemotherapy, or liver transplantation. The prognosis for patients depends on the type of tumor and stage, but for high-risk patients overall survival is about 60%.

Value Proposition of Proposed Therapy: The proposed therapy offers a potential treatment for relapsed/refractory pediatric liver cancers, for which there are currently no approved therapies.

Why a stem cell or gene therapy project: The therapeutic candidate is a gene modified cell therapy



CLIN2-14338: Similar CIRM Portfolio Projects



CIRM does not currently have any active awards addressing pediatric liver cancers.



Previous CIRM Funding to Applicant Team



Applicant has not previously received a CIRM award.

GWG Recommendation: Exceptional merit and warrants funding

Scientific Score	GWG Votes
1	14
2	1
3	0

DEI Score: 8.0 (scale 1-10)

CIRM Team Recommendation: Fund (concur with GWG recommendation)

CIRM Award Amount: \$ 10,600,072*

*Final award shall not exceed this amount and may be reduced contingent on CIRM's final assessment of allowable costs and activities.