

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

BEFORE THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE AND THE
GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: HYATT REGENCY SAN FRANCISCO
1333 OLD BAYSHORE HIGHWAY
CYPRESS ROOM BC
BURLINGAME, CALIFORNIA

DATE: JUNE 25, 2026
9 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR
CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2026-14

**133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864
208-920-3543 CACSR7152@OUTLOOK.COM**

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

| | I N D E X | |
|----|--|-----------|
| | ITEM DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO. |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | OPEN SESSION | |
| 4 | 1. CALL TO ORDER | 5 |
| 5 | 2. ROLL CALL | 5 |
| 6 | 3. CHAIR'S REPORT | 9 |
| 7 | 4. VICE-CHAIR'S REPORT | 10 |
| 8 | 5. PRESIDENT'S REPORT | 13 |
| 9 | DR. CANET-AVILES | 14 |
| 10 | DR. GOLD | 28 |
| | DR. PATEL | 37 |
| 11 | CONSENT CALENDAR | 55 |
| 12 | 6. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES FROM THE MARCH 26, 2026, ICOC/ARS MEETING | |
| 13 | 7. CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENTS/REAPPOINTMENTS OF SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP | |
| 14 | CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT AND RARE DISEASE ACCELERATION PLATFORM AND INNOVATION AND DELIVERY ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | 8. PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT (PDEV) CONCEPT PLAN RARE DISEASE ACCELERATION PLATFORM AND INNOVATION AND DELIVERY (RAPID) CONCEPT PLAN | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | 9. CONSIDERATION OF AWARD MANAGEMENT POLICY REVISIONS | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | 10. CONSIDERATION OF REQUESTS TO ATTEND REMOTELY (GOV'T CODE SECTION 11123.2(J)) | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X (CONT'D.)

OPEN SESSION

11. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED 57
IN RESPONSE TO A PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT
PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT (PDEV)

12. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED 89
IN RESPONSE TO A CLINICAL PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT
(CLIN2)

CLOSED SESSION **NONE**

13. DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECTUAL
PROPERTY OR WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION DATA,
FINANCIAL INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENTIFIC
RESEARCH OR DATA, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INFORMATION
RELATING TO APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO
AGENDA ITEMS 11 AND 12 ABOVE. (HEALTH & SAFETY CODE
125290.30(F) (3) (B) AND (C)).

OPEN SESSION

14. CLOSER TO CURES NOT TRANSCRIBED

15. RESOLUTION HONORING BETH DRAIN 127

16. CONSIDERATION OF THE DATA SCIENCE 135
AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING CONCEPT PLAN (INFR9)

17. UPDATE REGARDING THE PATIENT 181
PERSPECTIVE SCORE IN REVIEWS

18. CONSIDERATION OF THE FY '26-'27 161
RESEARCH BUDGET

19. CONSIDERATION OF THE FY '26-'27 172
ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET

20. CONSIDERATION OF A CONTRACT 180

21. UPDATE FROM COMMUNICATIONS 203

22. GENERAL COMMENTS ON THE ARS NONE
PROCESS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X (CONT'D.)

| | | |
|-----|----------------|-----|
| 23. | PUBLIC COMMENT | 233 |
| 24. | ADJOURNMENT | 235 |

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JUNE 25, 2026; 9 A.M.

CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GOOD MORNING,
EVERYONE. WE'RE GOING TO CONVENE THIS MEETING OF
THE INDEPENDENT CITIZENS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE, THE
GOVERNING BOARD OF CIRM, INTO SESSION NOW. WELCOME
YOU ALL TO, WHAT CITY, WE'RE IN BURLINGAME,
CALIFORNIA, TODAY. AND WE'RE GOING TO START WITH,
IF YOU WOULD ALL RISE, IF YOU ARE ABLE, AND PLACE
YOUR HAND ON YOUR HEART AND WE WILL RECITE THE
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. THANK YOU. SCOTT, WOULD YOU
LEAD US PLEASE.

(THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)

CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: SCOTT TOCHER, WOULD
YOU PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

MR. TOCHER: EYAD ALMASRI. KIM BARRETT.

DR. BARRETT: PRESENT. I'M HERE.

MR. TOCHER: THE RECORD REFLECTS KIM IS
HERE. DAN BERNAL. GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.

DR. BLUMENTHAL: HERE.

MR. TOCHER: MARIA BONNEVILLE.

VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: HERE.

MR. TOCHER: JOHN CARETHERS.

DR. CARETHERS: HERE.

MR. TOCHER: MARGUERITE CASILLAS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. CASILLAS: HERE.
2 MR. TOCHER: JUDY CHOU.
3 DR. CHOU: HERE.
4 MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
5 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: HERE.
6 MR. TOCHER: SHANNON DAHL.
7 DR. DAHL: HERE.
8 MR. TOCHER: MONICA CARSON FOR DEBORAH
9 DEAS.
10 DR. CARSON: HERE.
11 MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
12 DR. DULIEGE: HERE.
13 MR. TOCHER: YSABEL DURON.
14 MS. DURON: HERE.
15 MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
16 DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: HERE.
17 MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
18 DR. FLOWERS: PRESENT.
19 MR. TOCHER: JUDY GASSON.
20 DR. GASSON: HERE.
21 MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
22 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: HERE.
23 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA. SORRY, RICH'S.
24 I DIDN'T CATCH YOU. RICH'S MIC SEEMS TO BE MUTED.
25 I'LL COME BACK.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. LAJARA: I'M HERE.
2 MR. TOCHER: PAT LEVITT.
3 DR. LEVITT: HERE.
4 MR. TOCHER: HALA MADENAT.
5 DR. MADANAT: HERE.
6 MR. TOCHER: LINDA MALKAS.
7 DR. MALKAS: HERE.
8 MR. TOCHER: SHLOMO MELMED. CAROLYN
9 MELTZER.
10 DR. MELTZER: PRESENT.
11 MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
12 DR. MIASKOWSKI: HERE.
13 MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
14 DR. PADILLA: HERE.
15 MR. TOCHER: JOE PANETTA. JOYCE SACKY.
16 DR. SACKY: HERE.
17 MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
18 DR. SOUTHARD: HERE.
19 MR. TOCHER: SHAUNA STARK.
20 DR. STARK: HERE.
21 MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON. YAEL WYTE.
22 DR. WYTE: HERE.
23 MR. TOCHER: KEVIN XU.
24 MR. XU: HERE.
25 MR. TOCHER: AND KEITH YAMAMOTO.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

GREAT, MR. CHAIR. WE HAVE A QUORUM.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU.

I'D LIKE TO APPRISE THE BOARD OF JUST SOME RECENT ACTIVITIES. A FEW WEEKS AGO YOUR PRESIDENT AND CEO JONATHAN THOMAS AND I HAD THE PLEASURE OF TRAVELING DOWN TO THE LUNDQUIST INSTITUTE, WHICH IS LOCATED ON THE GROUNDS OF HARBOR UCLA HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER IN TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA, SOUTH OF DOWNTOWN L.A. FOR THE VERY HAPPY OCCASION OF THEIR RIBBON CUTTING TO OPEN AND REDEDICATE THE SHARED RESEARCH LAB.

IN ATTENDANCE WAS THE BENEFACTRESS, MELANIE LUNDQUIST, PI'S AND RESEARCHERS AT THE INSTITUTE, POST DOCS, STUDENTS, AND A GOOD SMATTERING OF THE ARCHITECTS WHO BUILT THIS BEAUTIFUL FACILITY.

AFTER GREETINGS, WE HAD A TOUR OF THEIR REFURBISHED AND UP-TO-DATE LAB SPACES, PRESENTATIONS BY THE MAIN INVESTIGATORS THERE, AND J.T. AND I SPOKE TO LAUD THEM IN THEIR ACCOMPLISHMENTS TO DATE AND THE FUTURE PROMISES OF THE LUNDQUIST INSTITUTE.

SECONDLY, AS PART OF MY OWN PERSONAL GOAL THIS YEAR TO EXPAND OUTREACH AND SPREAD THE MESSAGE OF CIRM TO THE CITIZENS OF THIS GREAT STATE THAT SUPPORT US WITH THEIR TAX DOLLARS, I GAVE THE KEYNOTE ADDRESS TO THE ANNUAL CONVENTION OF THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 VETERANS OF FOREIGN WARS IN SACRAMENTO A WEEK AGO.
2 THERE WERE 450 MEMBERS OF THE VFW IN THE AUDIENCE,
3 QUITE A LARGE AUDIENCE FOR ME TO DO THIS SPEECH.

4 THEY REPRESENTED EVERY COUNTY, CITY, AND
5 TOWN IN THE STATE, AND IT WAS A GOOD EVENT. AND ONE
6 OF THE MAJOR CONSEQUENCES OF THE SPEECH IS
7 INVITATIONS BY MANY, MANY OF THE MEMBERS THERE TO
8 REPRIZE THE SPEECH AT THEIR OWN LOCAL POST IN ALL
9 THE VARIOUS CORNERS OF THE STATE.

10 I'M GOING TO REPEAT THIS EFFORT IN TWO
11 DAYS, ON SATURDAY, TO A SIMILAR AUDIENCE IN VISALIA,
12 WHICH IS THE ANNUAL CONVENTION OF THE AMERICAN
13 LEGION. YOU SEE A COMMON THEME THERE.

14 I'M GOING TO KEEP THE CHAIR'S REPORT SHORT
15 TODAY BECAUSE WE HAVE A VERY FULL AGENDA. I WANT TO
16 KEEP US ON TIME. THANK YOU.

17 GOING TO BE FOLLOWED BY THE VICE CHAIR,
18 MARIA BONNEVILLE.

19 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, VITO.

20 THE LAST THREE MONTHS HAVE BEEN PACKED
21 WITH MEETINGS AND OUTREACH OPPORTUNITIES, INCLUDING
22 ROTARY CLUBS, CONFERENCES, WORKSHOPS, AND
23 CONGRESSIONAL VISITS. I'LL HIGHLIGHT JUST A FEW OF
24 THE MEETINGS.

25 IN APRIL I WAS IN D.C. AND VISITED WITH

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SEVERAL CONGRESSIONAL OFFICES BOTH IN AND OUT OF
2 CALIFORNIA. THESE VISITS PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY TO
3 TELL CIRM'S STORY AND EMPHASIZE AREAS OF RESEARCH
4 FUNDING IMPORTANT TO BOTH CIRM AND THE MEMBERS WITH
5 WHOM WE MEET. THEY CONTINUE TO BE VERY POSITIVE
6 MEETINGS.

7 AT THE ASGCT ANNUAL MEETING, I MODERATED A
8 PANEL EXPLORING THE MANY CHALLENGES TO ACCESS AND
9 AFFORDABILITY OF CELL AND GENE THERAPIES. THE PANEL
10 BROUGHT TOGETHER A DIVERSE SET OF STAKEHOLDERS TO
11 EXPLORE ISSUES FROM DIFFERENT VANTAGE POINTS,
12 INCLUDING: THE COMMERCIAL PAYER LANDSCAPE.
13 HIGHLIGHTING HOW DIFFERENT COVERAGE STANDARDS AND
14 FINANCING STRUCTURES CREATE ACUTE CHALLENGES FOR
15 SMALL, SELF-INSURED EMPLOYERS ILL-EQUIPPED TO ABSORB
16 THE COST ASSOCIATED WITH RARE DISEASE GENE
17 THERAPIES. MEDICARE AND MEDICAID. TRACING HOW FDA
18 OVERSIGHT AND CLINICAL TRIAL DATA REQUIREMENTS SHAPE
19 REIMBURSEMENT PATHWAYS. AND UNDERSTANDING HOW
20 LENGTHY MEDICAID COVERAGE ANALYSIS DELAYS PATIENT
21 ACCESS. THE ADMINISTRATIVE BURDEN HOSPITALS FACE IN
22 OFFERING CELL AND GENE THERAPIES FROM NAVIGATING
23 SINGLE-CASE AGREEMENTS TO FACILITATING CROSS-STATE
24 MEDICAID CARE WITHIN A CENTER OF EXCELLENCE MODEL,
25 AND THE BROADER CULTURAL SHIFT REQUIRED AS THERAPIES

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 LIKE CAR-T EXPAND INTO COMMUNITY HOSPITALS AND
2 CANCER CENTERS. AND THE CMMI CGT ACCESS MODEL AND
3 THE EVOLVING POLICY LANDSCAPE AS APPROVALS MULTIPLY
4 AND CGT'S BEGIN TO EXTEND BEYOND RARE DISEASE
5 INDICATIONS.

6 NEXT I PARTICIPATED ON A PANEL ORGANIZED
7 BY OUR FORMER COLLEAGUE ABLA CREASEY AT LABEST. THE
8 PANEL CONVENEED MIXED PERSPECTIVES, EXAMINING WHAT IT
9 WILL TAKE TO MOVE THE FIELD TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE,
10 EQUITABLE PATIENT ACCESS. THE PANEL ADVISED ON
11 THREE CORE STRATEGIES: DESIGNING PATIENT ACCESS
12 INTO DRUG DEVELOPMENT FROM THE START, BUILDING
13 SCALABLE, REPEATABLE DELIVERY SYSTEMS VERSUS ONE-OFF
14 CUSTOMIZED INTERVENTIONS, AND EVALUATING THESE
15 THERAPIES BASED ON THEIR LONG-TERM LIFETIME VALUE
16 RATHER THAN UP-FRONT COST. UNDERLYING ALL THREE IS
17 A CALL FOR SHARED ACCOUNTABILITY ACROSS INDUSTRY,
18 GOVERNMENT, PAYERS, AND PATIENT GROUPS.

19 CALIFORNIA HAS BEEN PROPOSED AS A MODEL TO
20 DEMONSTRATE HOW THESE IDEAS CAN BE INTEGRATED INTO A
21 COHERENT SYSTEM.

22 AND LAST, SHYAM AND I ATTENDED A MEETING
23 IN D.C. WHICH CONVENEED STAKEHOLDERS AND WAS FOCUSED
24 MORE ON REIMBURSEMENT AND INSURANCE CHALLENGES.
25 THIS CONFERENCE ARRIVED AT MANY OF THE SAME

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONCLUSIONS, BUT DELVED FURTHER INTO FINANCE MODELS
2 AND RISK POOLING.

3 ALL OF THESE ACTIVITIES EXPAND OUR NETWORK
4 OF STAKEHOLDERS, INCLUDING HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS,
5 PAYERS, PATIENT ADVOCACY GROUPS, AND INDUSTRY
6 PARTNERS, WITH WHOM WE CAN COLLABORATE TO DEVELOP
7 MEANINGFUL SOLUTIONS TO THE COMPLICATED CGT ACCESS
8 AND AFFORDABILITY LANDSCAPE. THANK YOU.

9 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. I'M
10 GOING TO BE FOLLOWED NOW BY OUR PRESIDENT AND CEO.
11 JONATHAN THOMAS, IF YOU WOULD COME TO THE PODIUM FOR
12 THIS TRIPARTITE PRESENTATION. AND YOU'LL INTRODUCE
13 THE FOLLOWING SPEAKERS.

14 DR. THOMAS: MR. CHAIR, MADAM VICE CHAIR,
15 ESTEEMED MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, GREAT MEMBERS OF OUR
16 TEAM, AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, IT'S A PLEASURE TO
17 PRESENT PRESIDENT'S REPORT TODAY FOR THIS FINE JUNE
18 DAY. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE THREE DIFFERENT SPEAKERS
19 THAT ARE GOING TO COME UP TO GIVE YOU SOME UPDATES
20 ON SOME VERY IMPORTANT MATTERS. IN ORDER, THIS WILL
21 BE DR. ROSA CANET-AVILES SPEAKING ABOUT OUR
22 LONG-TERM BUDGET FORECAST, DR. JOE GOLD ON A NUMBER
23 OF UPDATES OF COMPANIES WHERE THINGS ARE HAPPENING
24 WITH SOME OF OUR AWARDEES, AND LAST, BUT NOT LEAST,
25 SHYAM PATEL. DR. PATEL COMING UP TO TALK ABOUT A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MOST PRODUCTIVE TRIP THAT A NUMBER OF US TOOK TO
2 VISIT THE CCCE'S WHO ARE KICKING OFF THEIR
3 RESPECTIVE PROGRAMS UNDER OUR CCCE OVERALL PROGRAM.

4 SO I AM GOING TO, WITHOUT FURTHER ADO,
5 NOTE THAT YOU WILL RECALL BACK IN SEPTEMBER I
6 PRESENTED TO THE BOARD A LONG-TERM FORECAST WHICH
7 CONTEMPLATED SPENDING DOWN REMAINING PROP 14 FUNDS
8 OVER A SIX-YEAR PERIOD. WE HAVE TAKEN A VERY
9 DETAILED LOOK AT THIS TO DETERMINE IF THAT'S THE
10 OPTIMAL STRETCH TO BE CONSIDERING. AND THE TEAM,
11 THROUGH CONSULTATION WITH MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, HAVE
12 DETERMINED THAT, RATHER THAN A SIX-YEAR FORECAST, WE
13 FORESEE AN EIGHT-YEAR FORECAST. NOW, MIND YOU, THIS
14 WAS IN SEPTEMBER. SO IT'S ACTUALLY NOW FIVE VERSUS
15 SEVEN YEARS.

16 BUT TOWARDS THAT END, I'D LIKE TO DR.
17 CANET-AVILES TO COME UP HERE AND PRESENT TO YOU A
18 SHORT PRESENTATION WHICH DETAILS OUR THINKING ON THE
19 SUBJECT. ROSA.

20 DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU, J.T. MR.
21 CHAIRMAN, MADAM VICE CHAIR, DISTINGUISHED MEMBERS OF
22 THE BOARD, IT'S A PLEASURE TO COME HERE TODAY TO
23 PRESENT THIS UPDATED FORECAST.

24 AND I WANT TO THANK MY COLLEAGUE MS.
25 JENNIFER LEWIS FOR HER PARTNERSHIP IN PUTTING THIS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TOGETHER AS WELL.

2 SO AS J.T. WAS SAYING, WE ARE ALIGNING OUR
3 LONG-TERM FUNDING STRATEGY WITH THE IMPACT TO
4 PATIENTS. AND THESE TWO MESSAGES ARE GOING TO COME
5 IN THE NEXT SLIDE. SO WHEN THE STRATEGIC ALLOCATION
6 FRAMEWORK WAS APPROVED ABOUT THREE YEARS AGO NOW, WE
7 DEVELOPED A PLAN THAT COULD ALLOW US TO MOVE FUNDING
8 INTO THE FIELD IN A QUICK WAY.

9 OVER THE PAST YEAR AND A HALF, AS YOU VERY
10 WELL KNOW BECAUSE YOU'VE BEEN APPROVING ALL THESE
11 PROGRAMS, WE'VE BEEN DEPLOYING PROGRAMS. AND WE'VE
12 BEEN ALSO AT THE SAME TIME EVALUATING THE PORTFOLIO
13 AND WHERE THE OPPORTUNITIES WILL BE LIKELY TO
14 EMERGE. AND SOME OF THIS PORTFOLIO ANALYSIS IS
15 ACTUALLY COMING IN SEPTEMBER AS YOU WILL SEE.

16 WHAT BECAME CLEAR AS WE WERE DOING ALL
17 THIS ANALYSIS IS, THAT TO MAXIMIZE THE PATIENT
18 IMPACT TO CALIFORNIANS, IT'S NOT ALL ABOUT THE PACE
19 OF SPENDING. IT'S ABOUT HAVING ALSO A FLEXIBILITY
20 TO INVEST WHEN THE OPPORTUNITIES ARE READY AND WHEN
21 THE PROGRAMS ARE MATURE AND WHERE THE POTENTIAL
22 IMPACT WILL BE BIGGER.

23 SO THIS EIGHT-YEAR FORECAST SHIFTS US FROM
24 A MORE COMPRESSED MODEL TO A MORE READINESS AND
25 OPPORTUNITY PICKING A BASE MODEL. IT GIVES US MORE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FLEXIBILITY TO RESPOND TO EMERGING OPPORTUNITIES,
2 KEEP THE CONTINUITY IN THE PIPELINE, AND ADAPT TO
3 NEW TECHNOLOGIES AS THE FIELD IS EVOLVING. AND
4 THERE'S ALSO AN ASPECT OF REBALANCING THE FUNDING
5 WHERE THE COSTS ARE HIGHER.

6 THIS NEXT SLIDE SHOWS US WHAT J.T. WAS
7 MENTIONING JUST A MINUTE AGO, THE ORIGINAL FORECAST
8 THAT WAS APPROVED AS PART OF THE SAF FROM NOW TILL
9 FISCAL YEAR '30 TO '31 AS WE ORIGINALLY FORECASTED.
10 THE PLAN CONCENTRATED THE FUNDING INTO A RELATIVELY
11 SHORT TIME FRAME, WHICH IS SHOWN NOW FOR THE ACTUAL
12 FROM NOW TILL THE END.

13 THE APPROACH. THESE APPROACHES ASSUMED A
14 MORE COMPRESSED TIMELINE, ASSUMED MORE EQUAL NUMBERS
15 FOR OPPORTUNITY DEVELOPMENT THAT WE BELIEVE IS NOT
16 THE OPTIMAL WAY TO DO IT AS OF TODAY'S ANALYSIS. SO
17 THIS IS THE CURRENT INVESTMENT REVISED -- FUNDING
18 REVISED FORECAST. IT EXTENDS THE DEPLOYMENT HORIZON
19 BY APPROXIMATELY BY TWO YEARS UP TO FISCAL YEAR
20 32/33, BUT MAINTAINING THE SAME OVERALL LEVEL OF
21 FUNDING.

22 THE MAIN DIFFERENCE HERE IS NOT THE TOTAL
23 AMOUNT INVESTED, BUT THE TIMING AND THE DISTRIBUTION
24 OF THE FUNDINGS. THIS REVISED PLAN GIVES US MORE
25 FLEXIBILITY TO ALIGN THE FUNDING WITH SCIENTIFIC

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 READINESS AS WELL AS THE NEEDS THAT COME FROM THE
2 PORTFOLIO AS IT'S ADVANCING. AND VERY IMPORTANTLY,
3 I THINK, IT GIVES US THE ABILITY TO SUPPORT FUTURE
4 OPPORTUNITIES THAT WE CAN'T ANTICIPATE WELL TODAY,
5 ESPECIALLY AS TECHNOLOGIES ARE EVOLVING.

6 SO BEFORE MOVING INTO THE DETAILS OF THE
7 SPECIFIC CHANGES THAT WE'VE MADE, I WANT TO MAKE
8 SURE THAT, WHEN YOU SEE THE LEFT THAT SAYS PILLARS,
9 I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY, THAT DOES NOT CORRESPOND TO
10 THE PROGRAM. SO AS AN EXAMPLE, PDEV, DO NOT CONFUSE
11 PDEV WITH THE PDEV PROGRAM. THE PDEV HAS THREE
12 PROGRAMS. IT'S THE PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT PILLAR
13 THAT CONTAINS THE PDEV PROGRAM, THE RAPID PROGRAM,
14 AND THE TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM THAT WE
15 HAVEN'T YET PRESENTED TO THE BOARD AND IS COMING IN
16 DECEMBER, JUST TO CLARIFY.

17 SO FOR THE CLINICAL PILLAR, WE HAVE, A,
18 INCREASED THE OVERALL FUNDING RELATIVE TO THE
19 PREVIOUS FORECAST AND CONSOLIDATED CLINICAL FUNDINGS
20 INTO THE SINGLE PILLAR AND ALSO MOVES SOME FUNDING
21 INTO LATER YEARS AS THIS WILL BE -- THE CLINICAL
22 TRIALS IS WHAT WE'LL BE CAPTURING UP TO THE END OF
23 OUR FUNDING. SO WE'VE ADDED SOME FUNDING OF LATER
24 YEARS TO CAPTURE OPPORTUNITIES WITHIN CLINICAL THAT
25 WAS CLOSER TO PATIENTS TILL THE END OF OUR FUNDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THIS GIVES US MORE FLEXIBILITY. AS
2 OPPORTUNITIES MATURE, IT WILL ALSO ALLOW THE
3 SEPTEMBER LATE STAGE PORTFOLIO DISCUSSION TO HELP
4 INFORM FUTURE FUNDING DECISIONS.

5 FOR THE PRECLINICAL, WE HAVE ADJUSTED
6 FUNDING LEVELS AND TIMING TO REFLECT THE
7 CONTRIBUTION OF PLATFORM-BASED PROGRAMS FOR GENETIC
8 THERAPIES THROUGH RAPID. SO THE RELATIVE BETWEEN
9 PDEV PROGRAM AND RAPID IS NOW DIFFERENT BECAUSE THE
10 RAPID IS GOING TO HAVE THE FOCUS ON GENETIC
11 PLATFORMS. AND USUALLY THAT'S FOR ULTRA-RARE AND
12 RARE DISEASES, RIGHT? SO WE ARE CAPTURING THAT
13 THERE.

14 AND THEN WE HAVE REBALANCED THE FOCUS TO
15 SUPPORT -- AT THE EARLIER STAGES, THERE IS MORE
16 MONEY TO SUPPORT EARLIER PROGRAMS. THE EARLIER
17 PROGRAMS MEANS THAT WE GO FROM DEVELOPMENT CANDIDATE
18 UP TO IND. SO IT'S THE WHOLE PATH. SO AT THE
19 BEGINNING, WE WILL HAVE MORE EARLIER STAGE PROGRAMS
20 SO THERE'S MORE MONEY WHILE PRESERVING THE CAPACITY
21 FOR MORE ADVANCED PROGRAMS LATER THAT COULD THEN
22 HOPEFULLY SOME OF THEM WOULD GO INTO THE CLINICAL.
23 THAT'S WHY WE HAVE MONEY ALSO AT THE END FOR
24 CLINICAL.

25 SO FOR DISCOVERY, WE HAVE REDUCED THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OVERALL FUNDING RELATIVE TO THE PREVIOUS FORECAST.
2 IT DOESN'T MEAN -- THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT -- THAT WE
3 CONSIDER DISCOVERY AS LESS IMPORTANT. WE HAVE
4 BASICALLY REBALANCED THE FUNDINGS TOWARDS CLINICAL
5 WHERE THE COST OF ADVANCING THE THERAPIES IS A LOT
6 HIGHER AT THOSE LATER STAGES. SO AS YOU WILL SEE,
7 THERE IS MORE FOR CLINICAL, THE PRECLINICAL STAYED
8 THE SAME, AND DISCOVERY HAS LESS.

9 NOW, THIS HAS BEEN DONE THROUGH STAGING
10 DIFFERENTLY THE DISC4 PROGRAM. WE BELIEVE THAT WE
11 CAN ACHIEVE GREATER IMPACT FROM DISCOVERY BY
12 REDUCING THE FREQUENCY OF THE DISC4 AWARDS AND
13 FOCUSING ON MAXIMIZING THE VALUE OF THE FUNDED
14 NETWORKS AND THE DATA GENERATION AND THE DOWNSTREAM
15 TRANSLATION ACTIVITIES THAT ARE HAPPENING AS WE ARE
16 FUNDING THESE EVERY YEAR.

17 BY COMPARISON -- AND SOME OF THAT IS GOING
18 TO BE PRESENTED BY OUR COLLEAGUE DR. JANIE BYRAM
19 TODAY WHO'S GOING TO PRESENT THE INFRASTRUCTURE
20 PROGRAM ABOUT DATA, TOOLS, AND SOFTWARE THAT WE WILL
21 GENERATE TO DO THAT TYPE OF COLLABORATION AND DATA
22 SHARING AND LEVERAGING THE RESOURCES.

23 SO THE APPROACH CREATES OPPORTUNITIES TO
24 PARTNER ALSO WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS AND ALIGNING
25 WITH COMPLEMENTARY LARGER SCALE DISCOVERY FUNDINGS,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WHICH IS SOMETHING THAT SOME OF THE MEMBERS OF OUR
2 BOARD HAVE BEEN ASKING US TO DO AS WELL. SO AS WE
3 ARE GENERATING THIS DATA, WE HAVE TIME ALSO TO TALK
4 TO OTHER PARTNERS AND LEVERAGE. THERE'S THE ALLEN
5 INSTITUTE. THERE'S OTHER ORGANIZATIONS AS WELL,
6 MICHAEL J. FOX AND OTHERS, THAT WE CAN PARTNER WITH
7 TO LEVERAGE THOSE FUNDINGS. AND SIMILAR PROGRAMS
8 THAT WE HAVE MODELED THE DISC4 TO OPERATE ON A
9 MULTIYEAR RATHER THAN ANNUAL FUNDING CYCLE.

10 SO I WAS INVOLVED, FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE
11 ACCELERATING MEDICINE PARTNERSHIPS TO WHICH WE
12 MODELED THIS. THAT WAS ONE EVERY FIVE YEARS. IT
13 WASN'T ONE EVERY YEAR.

14 SO THAT'S THE RATIONALE FOR THE DISCOVERY
15 AND HOW WE HAVE STRATEGICALLY DISTRIBUTED THE
16 FUNDING HERE.

17 FOR EDUCATION, WE HAVE ADJUSTED THE TIMING
18 OF THE UPDATED SCHOLARS PROGRAM. AND THIS IS TO
19 BETTER ALIGN WITH WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENTS AND NEEDS
20 OVER TIME. AND THAT'S BEEN PART OF SOME DISCUSSIONS
21 WITH THE BOARD, PUBLIC DISCUSSIONS. SO AS YOU WILL
22 SEE IN SEPTEMBER, THE SCHOLARS PROGRAM IS COMING FOR
23 A PRESENTATION TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL NOW THIS
24 YEAR. SO WE'VE ACCELERATED THAT SO YOU WILL SEE
25 THIS REFLECTED IN MY COLLEAGUE MS. LEWIS IN TODAY'S

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BUDGET.

2 AND LASTLY, FOR INFRASTRUCTURE, WE HAVE
3 ADDED FUNDING FOR THE ALPHA CLINICS TO MAINTAIN THE
4 CLINICAL TRIAL AND PATIENT ACCESS INFRASTRUCTURE
5 THAT WE'VE BUILT ACROSS CALIFORNIA. AND THAT'S LED
6 BY MY COLLEAGUE, DR. SHYAM PATEL.

7 SO OVER ALL, THESE CHANGES ARE REALLY
8 ABOUT BALANCING THE FUNDINGS ACROSS THE PIPELINE,
9 MAINTAINING FLEXIBILITY, AND MAKING SURE WE ARE
10 POSITIONED TO SUPPORT THE STRONGEST OPPORTUNITIES AS
11 THEY EMERGE. THANK YOU FOR LISTENING.

12 DR. BLUMENTHAL.

13 DR. BLUMENTHAL: THANK YOU. QUICK
14 QUESTION. THIS IS REALLY GREAT. I REALLY DO
15 SUPPORT THIS GENERAL FRAMEWORK, BUT I HAD A QUESTION
16 ABOUT EDUCATION. BECAUSE ON THE ONE HAND, GIVEN THE
17 DECLINE IN FEDERAL FUNDING FOR EDUCATION, THIS IS A
18 TIME PERHAPS TO ACCELERATE EDUCATION SPENDING AS
19 SUGGESTED IN THAT TABLE. ON THE OTHER HAND, I AM
20 CONCERNED ABOUT THE FACT THAT THERE COULD BE A
21 DROP-OFF AT THE END SO THAT THERE ISN'T REALLY
22 CONTINUOUS SUPPORT FOR STUDENTS NEAR THE END OF THAT
23 EIGHT-YEAR PERIOD. DO YOU WANT COMMENT A LITTLE BIT
24 MORE ABOUT THAT?

25 DR. CANET-AVILES: YES. THANK YOU, DR.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BLUMENTHAL. WE HAVE RIGHT NOW INVESTED, SO THE \$200
2 MILLION ON FISCAL YEAR 26/27 ARE THE RENEWAL OF
3 THE -- I'M TRYING TO THINK WHICH ONE THAT
4 CORRESPONDS TO. THAT'S ACTUALLY THE HYBRID PROGRAM
5 THAT WE ARE JUST LAUNCHING NOW. AND THAT'S GOING TO
6 BE THE RENEWAL OF THE COMPASS AND THE BRIDGES THAT'S
7 GOING TO GO FOR FIVE YEARS.

8 THE NEXT ONE WILL BE THE SCHOLARS. YOU DO
9 HAVE A POINT, BUT I THINK IT'S UP TO THE BOARD TO
10 DECIDE WHETHER -- I THINK SOME OF THE WORKFORCE
11 NEEDS ARE GOING TO BE INTEGRATED INTO THE PIPELINE
12 OF THE PILLARS. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, FOR CLINICAL,
13 PDEV, AND DISC, THERE ARE FELLOWS AND RESEARCHERS
14 THAT WILL BE FUNDED THROUGH THAT AS WELL THROUGHOUT
15 THE YEARS.

16 SO I DO THINK THAT'S A VERY IMPORTANT
17 QUESTION YOU'RE ASKING. I DO NOT HAVE AN ANSWER FOR
18 IT. AND I THINK IT'S PROBABLY A TOUGH CHOICE THAT
19 THE BOARD WILL HAVE TO MAKE, WHETHER WE GO WITH THE
20 DEVELOPMENT OF THE THERAPIES OR WE RE-FUND SOMETHING
21 AT A LATER YEAR; FOR EXAMPLE, WHEN THINGS ARE
22 FINISHED AT THE 30/31. SORRY I'M BEING LONG. BUT
23 THERE WAS NEVER SUSTAINABILITY FOR WORKFORCE
24 DEVELOPMENT. SO THIS IS HARD. YEAH. THANK YOU.

25 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: KIM.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. BARRETT: NOW IT'S WORKING. GREAT.
2 SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THIS THOUGHTFUL
3 PRESENTATION. AND I DEFINITELY UNDERSTAND AND
4 SUPPORT THE RATIONALE TO REALLY PRIORITIZE THE
5 CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT. BUT I THINK THIS NEEDS TO BE
6 CAREFULLY MESSAGED IN AN ENVIRONMENT WHERE PEOPLE
7 ARE REALLY STRUGGLING FOR RESEARCH FUNDING, AND
8 PARTICULARLY FOR EARLY STAGE DISCOVERY-TYPE
9 PROJECTS.

10 DR. CANET-AVILES: AND THANK YOU, DR.
11 BARRETT. I AGREE WITH YOU. AND I THINK THAT -- I
12 WAS TRYING TO BE VERY CAREFUL IN THE WAY THAT WE ARE
13 JUSTIFYING THE DISCOVERY. AS YOU CAN SEE, LIKE THE
14 TOTAL AMOUNT IN EVERY ONE OF THE PILLARS IS NOW MORE
15 RELATIVE AND MORE BALANCED TO THE COST OF WHAT THAT
16 STAGE USUALLY, AND THAT WAS SOMETHING WE DIDN'T INTO
17 ACCOUNT BECAUSE I THINK WE JUST DIDN'T THINK ABOUT
18 THAT THAT CAREFULLY. AND WE ARE UPDATING THAT HERE.

19 BUT YOU ARE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT, AND I THINK
20 A GREAT PARTNERSHIP NOW THAT WE HAVE WITH OUR
21 COMMUNICATIONS COLLEAGUES, WE HAVE AMY ADAMS AND
22 SCOTT HERE HELPING US. AND THE TEAM COMMUNICATIONS
23 SHOULD PROBABLY PARTNER WITH US. WE WILL PARTNER
24 WITH THEM TO COMMUNICATE THIS. THANKS.

25 DR. MIASKOWSKI.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. MIASKOWSKI: THANK YOU. I HAVE A
2 QUESTION ABOUT THE ALPHA CLINICS. AND THIS IS MAYBE
3 CURIOSITY ON MY PART. WHAT PLANS ARE IN PLACE TO
4 UNDERSTAND THE SUSTAINABILITY OF THESE CLINICS WHEN
5 CIRM FUNDING ENDS? ARE THERE DISCUSSIONS HAPPENING
6 ABOUT THAT?

7 DR. CANET-AVILES: THERE ARE. AS I WAS
8 INTERIM LEADING THE PATIENT ACCES, WE STARTED VERY
9 THOROUGHLY THINKING ABOUT THIS AND UNDERSTANDING
10 WHAT THE OUTCOMES HAVE BEEN FROM THE CURRENT ALPHA
11 CLINICS INVESTMENT THAT HAS BEEN, I THINK, 15 YEARS
12 NOW. I WOULD LIKE TO DEFER TO MY COLLEAGUE DR.
13 PATEL, WHO'S NOW LEADING THIS, TO PROVIDE AN ANSWER.
14 AND PERHAPS WHEN HE PRESENTS THE CCCE OR IF YOU WANT
15 TO COME NOW, SHYAM, YOU CAN TALK ABOUT THAT BECAUSE
16 THAT'S DEFINITELY A VERY -- THAT'S A KEY QUESTION.
17 AND WE HAVE TAKEN -- AND WHEN I SAID ALPHA CLINICS
18 FUNDING, I DID NOT SAY RE-FUNDING. SO I WAS VERY
19 CAREFUL TO SAY THAT. PLEASE, DR. PATEL.

20 DR. PATEL: THANK YOU. YEAH. SO THIS IS
21 A GREAT QUESTIONS. SO AS WE LOOK AT THE ALPHA
22 CLINICS NETWORK, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE'RE
23 LOOKING AT IS THE INCREASE IN THE TRIAL CAPACITY
24 ACROSS ALL OF THOSE SITES AND HOW THAT IS SUSTAINED
25 GOING FORWARD.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THE ALPHA CLINICS
2 REALLY ENDED UP DOING, OUR FUNDING ENDED UP DOING,
3 WAS PROVIDING SOME STABILITY ON THE STAFF TO SUPPORT
4 BOTH THE ENGAGEMENT WITH SPONSORS AS WELL AS THE
5 LAUNCH OF THOSE TRIALS IN SUPPORT OF THEM. AND SO
6 WE WANT TO SEE AS WE GO FORWARD HERE WHAT IS
7 REQUIRED TO KEEP THAT GOING AND ALSO WHAT ADDITIONAL
8 FUNCTIONALITY WE CAN ADD TO THE NETWORK THAT WOULD
9 HELP PATIENTS IN CALIFORNIA ACCESS BOTH CLINICAL AND
10 COMMERCIAL THERAPIES.

11 SO OVER THE NEXT SIX MONTHS, WE'RE GOING
12 TO BE DIGGING DEEP INTO THAT AND THEN COMING BACK TO
13 THE BOARD WITH A PLAN.

14 DR. CANET-AVILES: GREAT QUESTION. THANK
15 YOU. OH, YSABEL. MS. DURON.

16 MS. DURON: THAT'S OKAY. I'M ACTUALLY
17 PROJECTING. AND SO I'M PUTTING IT ON THE TABLE
18 BECAUSE, GIVEN BOTH CUTS AT THE FEDERAL LEVEL THAT
19 ARE GOING TO IMPACT STATE, GIVEN STATE
20 RECONSIDERATIONS WITH REVENUES, ET CETERA, AND WHERE
21 THEY PUT THEM, I THINK, AND THIS GOES SOMEWHAT TO
22 AMY AS WELL, BUT I THINK WE AS A BOARD NEED TO THINK
23 ABOUT THIS IN TERMS OF COMMUNICATION OUTWARD. I
24 MEAN YOU SAID 2032. I THOUGHT WE WOULD BE WRAPPING
25 UP SOONER THAN THAT, BUT THAT'S LOVELY. BUT I

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 REALLY DO THINK WE NEED TO START THINKING IN TERMS
2 OF PREPARING OUR PUBLIC FOR SOME WONDERFUL DISCOVERY
3 AS WE GO, BUT ALSO FOR THE POSSIBILITY, TO YOUR
4 POINT, TO THE FACT THAT SOME CARE MIGHT ACTUALLY
5 BE -- THE SPIGOT MIGHT ACTUALLY BE TURNED OFF
6 SOMEWHAT ABRUPTLY IF WE CANNOT COME TO NEW
7 RESOLUTION AND NEW FUNDING.

8 I JUST THINK THAT, IN ORDER FOR THE PUBLIC
9 NOT TO BE TOTALLY GOBSMACKED BY A REALITY THAT
10 HAPPENS TO THEM LATER ON DOWN THE ROAD, THAT PERHAPS
11 AMY NEEDS TO THINK OF A COMMUNICATIONS PLAN AND WHEN
12 WE START TO TALK ABOUT LIMITATIONS AND ABOUT US
13 TRYING TO FIGURE OUT THE BEST THINGS TO DO WITH WHAT
14 WE HAVE WHILE WE HAVE IT.

15 DR. CANET-AVILES: THAT'S EXCELLENT. YES.
16 THAT'S A VERY GOOD POINT, MS. DURON. I THINK THAT
17 SOMETHING THAT WILL COMPLETE A LITTLE BIT THE
18 PICTURE, AND I WISH IT HAD BEEN NOW IN JUNE, BUT IT
19 WILL COME IN SEPTEMBER, IS GOING TO BE SHOWING WHAT
20 THE WINS OF WHERE ARE WE GOING IN TERMS OF THE LATER
21 STAGE PORTFOLIO THAT WE HAVE. HOW ARE WE GOING TO
22 ACHIEVE ALSO THESE SAF GOALS AND WHAT TO EXPECT.
23 AND I THINK THAT WILL ALSO HELP WITH MESSAGING, THAT
24 WE DON'T HAVE AN INFINITE FAUCET, RIGHT. AND THAT'S
25 SOMETHING THAT WE WILL ALSO PARTNER WITH MS. ADAMS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IN COMMUNICATING AS YOU VERY WELL SAID. THANK YOU.

2 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, ROSA.

3 J.T., YOU WANT TO CONTINUE?

4 DR. THOMAS: THANK YOU, ROSA. AND I WANT
5 TO DOUBLE DOWN ON THANKING JEN FOR ALL HER HARD WORK
6 ON THIS FORECAST. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH, JEN.

7 SO AT OUR LAST MEETING I WAS GOING TO
8 INITIATE AS A SORT OF COMPANION PIECE TO THE CLOSER
9 FOR CURES SEGMENT A REPORTING OUT OF HOW A NUMBER OF
10 OUR AWARDEES ARE DOING TO UPDATE KEY DEVELOPMENTS TO
11 THE BOARD SO THAT YOU GET AN ONGOING FEEL FOR WHERE
12 THINGS STAND. AND SO WE WERE JUST ABOUT READY TO
13 DELIVER THAT WHEN THE ROCKET NEWS HIT, AS YOU
14 RECALL, AT THE END OF THE LAST MEETING, WHICH WAS
15 VERY IMPORTANT NEWS OF THE DAY. AND SO THIS IS
16 GOING TO BE THE INAUGURAL EFFORT.

17 TOWARDS THAT END, I'VE ASKED DR. GOLD TO
18 COME TO SPEAK TO YOU ABOUT FIVE OF OUR AWARDEES AND
19 AN UPDATE ON WHERE THINGS STAND.

20 BEFORE, JOE, YOU COME UP, I WANT TO MAKE
21 ONE LAST COMMENT ON ROSA'S PRESENTATION. FOR NEWER
22 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, WHEN SHE TALKS ABOUT WE'RE
23 ACCELERATING FUNDING FOR THE SCHOLARS PROGRAM, A LOT
24 OF YOU PROBABLY DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT IS. AND THAT'S
25 SOMETHING THAT'S BEEN AROUND FOR A WHILE, AND IT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TARGETS YOUNG FACULTY, WHICH ARE THE VERY FACULTY
2 WE'RE CONCERNED ABOUT WITH THE FEDERAL FUNDING CUTS.

3 AND SO WE'RE, AS JEN WILL DESCRIBE,
4 ALLOCATING A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT IN THIS COMING YEAR
5 IMMEDIATELY DIRECTLY TO ADDRESS THAT ISSUE. SO,
6 JOE, PLEASE.

7 DR. GOLD: THANK YOU, J.T. AND GOOD
8 MORNING. J.T. ASKED ME TO SPECIFICALLY SUMMARIZE
9 SOME OF THE CIRM GRANTEE ACTIVITIES AT THE AMERICAN
10 SOCIETY FOR GENE AND CELL THERAPY MEETING IN BOSTON
11 LAST MONTH. AND, OF COURSE, ASCGT IS THE PREMIERE
12 SHOWCASE FOR DEVELOPERS OF THESE TYPE OF TREATMENTS.
13 AS YOU CAN IMAGINE, CIRM GRANTEES ARE VERY ACTIVE AT
14 THIS MEETING. AND I DON'T HAVE TIME TO DESCRIBE ALL
15 THE CIRM ACTIVITIES THERE BECAUSE OUR GRANTEES ARE A
16 PROLIFIC BUNCH. AND, IN FACT, YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR
17 FROM SOME OF OUR GRANTEES LATER ON IN THIS MEETING,
18 WHICH WILL BE VERY EXCITING.

19 BUT I DID WANT TO FOCUS ON A FEW OF THE
20 CLINICAL DISCUSSIONS. I THINK THEY'RE QUITE
21 IMPACTFUL, AND I THINK THEY MESH REALLY NICELY WITH
22 TWO OF OUR FRAMEWORK GOALS; NAMELY, THAT OF
23 ADVANCING FOUR TO SEVEN RARE DISEASE THERAPIES TO
24 THE BIOLOGICAL LICENSE APPLICATION, THE FINAL STAGE
25 FOR APPROVAL, AND ALSO FOR PROPELLING 15 TO 20 OTHER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DISEASE THERAPIES TO LATE STAGE CLINICAL TRIALS.
2 SO THE FIRST PRESENTATION I WANT TO TALK
3 ABOUT CAME FROM OUR GRANTEE TENAYA THERAPEUTICS.
4 AND TENAYA IS TARGETING A RARE CARDIAC DISEASE
5 CALLED ARRHYTHMOGENIC RIGHT VENTRICULAR
6 CARDIOMYOPATHY. AND THIS IS A GENETIC CONDITION
7 WHERE THE STRUCTURE OF THE HEART ACTUALLY CHANGES
8 AND ELECTRICAL SIGNALS DON'T PROMULGATE VERY
9 EFFECTIVELY, AND IT WINDS UP LEADING TO ARRHYTHMIAS
10 AND HEART FAILURE AND SUDDEN CARDIAC DEATH. AND THE
11 MAJORITY OF THESE CASES ARE CAUSED BY MUTATIONS IN A
12 GENE CALLED PKP2. AND WHEN I SAY THE MAJORITY, I
13 MEAN SOMETHING LIKE OVER 40 PERCENT OF THESE
14 PATIENTS HAVE THESE MUTATIONS. THAT MEANS ABOUT
15 70,000 PATIENTS IN THE U.S.

16 AND WHAT TENAYA IS DOING IS THEY'VE
17 DEVELOPED A VIRAL VECTOR WHICH IS VERY EFFECTIVE AT
18 TRANSFERRING THE DEFECTIVE GENE INTO THE HEART. AND
19 WHAT THEY'RE FINDING IN THEIR EARLY STAGE CLINICAL
20 TRIALS RESULTS IS THAT, FIRST OF ALL, THE THERAPY IS
21 SAFE, WHICH, OF COURSE, IS THE MOST IMPORTANT THING
22 TO DISCOVER FIRST OFF, BUT ALSO THE PATIENTS WHO ARE
23 TREATED ARE SHOWING A BIG DECREASE IN BOTH THE
24 NUMBER AND SEVERITY OF THE ARRHYTHMIAS.

25 SO THIS IS QUITE EXCITING. AND TENAYA IS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GOING TO BE MEETING WITH THE FDA LATER THIS YEAR TO
2 TALK ABOUT THE DESIGN OF THEIR NEXT TRIAL, WHICH
3 SHOULD BE THEIR PIVOTAL TRIAL; IN OTHER WORDS, THAT
4 WHICH IS GOING TO GET THEM TO FULL APPROVAL. SO
5 WE'LL KEEP AN EYE ON THAT.

6 NOW, VIRAL VECTORS ARE THE WORKHORSE OF
7 GENE THERAPIES, BUT THERE ARE SOME OTHER APPROACHES
8 WHICH ARE BEING USED. AND THEY CAN BE ADVANTAGEOUS
9 FOR SPECIFIC CONDITIONS. AND ONE OF OUR GRANTEEES IS
10 IMMUSOFT CORPORATION. AND IMMUSOFT IS TARGETING A
11 RARE DISEASE CALLED MPS1. AND THIS IS A GENETIC
12 CONDITION IN WHICH CELLS LACK AN ENZYME WHICH ALLOWS
13 THEM TO BREAK DOWN SPECIFIC TYPES OF SUGARS, MEANING
14 THEY BUILD UP IN THE CELLS, YOU GET CELL DEATH, YOU
15 GET MULTI-ORGAN SYSTEM FAILURE, YOU GET INTELLECTUAL
16 DISABILITIES, AND THE WORST CASE IS DEATH.

17 AND THERE ARE TWO CURRENT THERAPIES FOR
18 THIS CONDITION. THE FIRST IS A BONE MARROW
19 TRANSPLANT, WHICH IS IT IS CURATIVE, BUT WE KNOW
20 ABOUT THE CAVEATS THAT COME WITH BONE MARROW
21 TRANSPLANTS. YOU HAVE TO FIND AN APPROPRIATELY
22 MATCHED DONOR. YOU HAVE TO TYPICALLY TREAT THE
23 RECIPIENT WITH SOME PRETTY TOXIC DRUGS TO
24 PRECONDITION THEM TO ALLOW THESE TRANSPLANTS TO
25 ENGRAFT. YOU HAVE TO WORRY THAT THE RECIPIENT IS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GOING TO REJECT THE TRANSPLANT. SO YOU HAVE TO KEEP
2 THEM UNDER CARE WITH VERY POWERFUL IMMUNOSUPPRESSIVE
3 DRUGS POTENTIALLY THE REST OF THEIR LIFE, WHICH HAVE
4 SOME VERY SEVERE SIDES EFFECTS. AND THE WORST CASE
5 SCENARIO IS THAT THE TRANSPLANTED IMMUNE SYSTEM
6 ATTACKS THE HOST. THIS IS GRAFT VERSUS HOST
7 DISEASE, AND IT CAN BE FATAL.

8 SO THE OTHER TREATMENT WHICH IS BEING USED
9 IS LESS TOXIC, BUT ALSO LESS EFFECTIVE. AND THIS IS
10 WEEKLY INFUSIONS OF AN ENZYME GOING IN THE
11 CIRCULATION. THE PROBLEM WITH THIS TREATMENT IS
12 THAT, ASIDE FROM THE FACT THAT IT'S EVERY WEEK, IS
13 THAT THE ENZYME DOES NOT PENETRATE INTO THE BRAIN
14 VERY EFFECTIVELY. SO YOU'RE NOT REALLY ABLE TO
15 ADDRESS THE CNS ASPECTS OF THE DISEASE. ALSO
16 BECAUSE YOU'RE GIVING ONE INFUSION PER WEEK, THE
17 LEVELS OF THE ENZYME RISE AND FALL DURING THAT WEEK.
18 SO IT'S VERY HARD TO CONTROL THE LEVEL OF THIS
19 ENZYME. SO IT'S HELPFUL, BUT IT'S NOT A CURE.

20 BUT IMMUSOFT HAS TAKEN AN INTERESTING
21 APPROACH. THEY START WITH THE OBSERVATION THAT OUR
22 NATURALLY OCCURRING B-CELLS ARE INCREDIBLY POTENT
23 FACTORIES AT CHURNING OUT VERY LARGE QUANTITIES OF
24 PROTEINS AND SECRETING THEM. TYPICALLY THESE ARE
25 ANTIBODIES. BUT WHAT IMMUSOFT HAS DONE IS THEY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ISOLATE B-CELLS FROM THE INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS, AND
2 THEN THEY DO A NONVIRAL MODIFICATION USING SOMETHING
3 WITH THE EVOCATIVE NAME OF THE SLEEPING BEAUTY
4 TRANSPOSON, WHICH I CAN TALK ABOUT LATER IF ANYBODY
5 IS INTERESTED. AND THEY ENGINEERED THESE CELLS,
6 THEN, TO EXPRESS VERY LARGE QUANTITIES OF THIS
7 MISSING ENZYME.

8 AND THE BENEFITS OF THIS APPROACH IS THAT
9 NOT ONLY ARE THESE CELLS REALLY POTENT AT PRODUCING
10 THIS ENZYME, BUT B-CELLS ARE LONG-LIVED AND THEY'RE
11 VERY GOOD AT PENETRATING THE DIFFERENT TISSUES,
12 INCLUDING THE BRAIN. SO THE THERAPY GETS ACCESS TO
13 ALL THE TISSUES WHERE YOU WANT IT TO BE.

14 AND THEIR INITIAL CLINICAL TRIAL RESULTS
15 SHOW THAT, FIRST OF ALL, AGAIN, IT'S SAFE.
16 SECONDLY, THE CELLS ARE PRESENT. THEY'VE STAYED
17 ENGRAFTED. AND THEY'RE SEEING DECREASES IN THE
18 BLOOD AND CEREBRAL SPINAL CORD FLUID LEVELS OF THESE
19 SUGARS, AND THEY'RE ALSO SEEING SOME IMPACTS ON SOME
20 OTHER SYMPTOMS. SO, AGAIN, VERY, VERY EARLY
21 RESULTS, BUT VERY EXCITING.

22 NOW, I MENTIONED GRAFT VERSUS HOST
23 DISEASE. ANOTHER OF OUR GRANTEES IS TRX1. AND THEY
24 HAVE DEVELOPED AN OFF-THE-SHELF THERAPY TO STOP
25 GRAFT VERSUS HOST DISEASE. AND IN THIS CASE,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THEY'RE STARTING WITH T-CELLS FROM HEALTHY DONORS,
2 AND THEY'RE VIRALLY ENGINEERING THEM TO TURN THEM
3 INTO A TYPE OF IMMUNE CELL CALLED A TR1 CELL THAT
4 HAS THE NORMAL FUNCTION OF DAMPENING DOWN IMMUNE
5 RESPONSES.

6 SO THEY'RE USING THESE CELLS IN A CLINICAL
7 TRIAL WITH PATIENTS WHO HAVE HAD TO HAVE BONE MARROW
8 TRANSPLANTS DUE TO CANCER TREATMENTS. AND AS I
9 SAID, IT'S AN OFF-THE-SHELF THERAPY. SO WE CAN GO
10 RIGHT INTO THESE PATIENTS. AND THE RESULTS, AGAIN,
11 SAFE, THE CELLS ARE PERSISTING, AND THEY'RE GETTING
12 SOME PRETTY GOOD INDICATIONS THAT TOLERANCE MAY BE
13 BEING ESTABLISHED EARLY ON. SO, AGAIN, THAT'S
14 EXCITING. AND IF THIS APPROACH HOLDS UP, IT
15 POTENTIALLY WOULD HAVE SOME APPLICATIONS WELL BEYOND
16 GVHD. FOR EXAMPLE, YOU MIGHT IMAGINE THESE CELLS
17 MIGHT BE USEFUL IN MAINTAINING ACCEPTANCE OF OTHER
18 TYPES OF TRANSPLANTS, AND THEY MIGHT PLAY A ROLE IN
19 DAMPENING THE EFFECTS OF AUTOIMMUNE DISEASES TOO.
20 SO, AGAIN, SOMETHING TO KEEP AN EYE ON.

21 AND AUTOIMMUNE DISEASE. SO ANOTHER OF OUR
22 GRANTEES IS FATE THERAPEUTICS. AND THEY ARE DOING
23 SOMETHING INTERESTING. THEY'RE TAKING A TREATMENT
24 WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY DESIGNED AS A CANCER TREATMENT
25 AND TURNING IT AGAINST LUPUS NEPHRITIS, WHICH IS AN

1 AUTOIMMUNE DISEASE.

2 AND WHAT HAS BEEN FOUND IN THE LAST COUPLE
3 YEARS, WHICH IS REALLY INTERESTING, IS THAT THE SAME
4 CAR-T'S WHICH ARE USED IN CERTAIN TYPES OF BLOOD
5 CANCERS, SEEM TO BE VERY EFFECTIVE AT PUTTING LUPUS
6 INTO REMISSION. AND THIS IS BECAUSE THESE CAR-T
7 CELLS ARE DESIGNED TO KILL OFF B-CELLS BECAUSE THOSE
8 ARE THE TYPES OF CELLS WHICH BECOME MALIGNANT IN
9 THESE TYPES OF BLOOD CANCERS. BUT THESE ARE ALSO
10 THE TYPES OF CELLS THAT GO ROGUE IN LUPUS AND OTHER
11 AUTOIMMUNE DISEASES.

12 AND REALLY THE MOST INTERESTING PART HERE
13 IS THAT THEY HAVE FOUND THAT IF YOU GIVE THESE KIND
14 OF TREATMENTS TO LUPUS PATIENTS, MOST OF THE B-CELLS
15 ARE KILLED OFF, AS YOU WOULD ANTICIPATE, BUT
16 EVENTUALLY THEY COME BACK. BUT FOR REASONS WE DO
17 NOT UNDERSTAND, THE IMMUNE SYSTEM HAS RESET ITSELF.
18 SO THE B-CELLS THAT COME BACK DON'T CAUSE LUPUS
19 ANYMORE. WE DON'T KNOW WHY. IT'S REALLY, REALLY
20 INTERESTING. BUT PATIENTS GET BACK A NORMAL
21 COMPLEMENT OF B-CELLS. THEY HAVE NORMAL B-CELL
22 MEDIATED IMMUNITY. SO THIS IS GREAT BECAUSE IT
23 MEANS THAT THERAPY DOES NOT HAVE TO LAST FOREVER.
24 IN FACT, THERE'S A GOOD RATIONALE WHY YOU WOULDN'T
25 WANT IT TO LAST FOREVER SO THEY GET THEIR IMMUNE

1 SYSTEM BACK.

2 NOW, THE PROBLEM WITH THIS APPROACH, AND
3 OTHER PEOPLE WHO ARE TRYING THIS, IS THAT IT HAS ALL
4 THE DOWNSIDES OF TYPICAL CAR-T PRODUCTION, MEANING
5 IT'S A ONE-OFF FOR EACH INDIVIDUAL PATIENT. YOU
6 CAN'T SCALE IT UP. YOU CAN'T HAVE IT READY AHEAD OF
7 TIME. SO THAT'S A PROBLEM.

8 BUT FATE HAS TAKEN A VERY DIFFERENT AND
9 INTERESTING ROUTE. THEY START WITH PLURIPOTENT STEM
10 CELLS WHICH THEY CAN CONVERT INTO T-CELLS. AND THEY
11 TAKE THESE T-CELLS AND THEY ENGINEER THEM IN TWO
12 WAYS. FIRST, THEY KNOCK OUT THE NORMAL T-CELL
13 RECEPTOR IN THESE T-CELLS SO THEY CAN'T ATTACK THE
14 HOST. NO GRAFT VERSUS HOST DISEASE.

15 BUT, SECONDLY, THEY INTRODUCE THE RECEPTOR
16 SPECIFIC TO KILL OFF B-CELLS. AND WHAT THEY HAVE
17 FOUND IN THEIR EARLY CLINICAL TRIAL RESULTS IS,
18 AGAIN, SAFETY. SECOND, THE CELLS PERSIST LONG
19 ENOUGH, AND THEY'RE SEEING A KNOCKDOWN OF THE
20 PATIENT'S B-CELLS. SO IT ALL LOOKS REALLY, REALLY
21 GOOD. AND FATE HAS ALREADY MET WITH FDA, AND
22 THEY'VE AGREED THAT THE NEXT CLINICAL TRIAL WILL BE
23 THEIR PIVOT TRIAL. SO, AGAIN, VERY EXCITING.

24 THE LAST GROUP I'LL TALK ABOUT CAME FROM
25 ELPIDA THERAPEUTICS AND, SEPARATELY, THEIR FOUNDER,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TERRY PIROVOLAKIS. AND ELPIDA ANNOUNCED THAT THEIR
2 CIRM-FUNDED CMT4J TRIAL FOR RARE DISEASE IS OPEN,
3 AND THEY HOPE TO BE TREATING THEIR FIRST PATIENT
4 VERY, VERY SOON.

5 AND TERRY GAVE ONE OF THE KEYNOTE
6 ADDRESSES AT ASGCT. AND HE TALKED ABOUT HIS ODYSSEY
7 FROM BEING THE PARENT TO A CHILD WITH A DEVASTATING
8 RARE DISEASE TO SOMEONE WHO BECAME JUST A FIERCE AND
9 TIRELESS ADVOCATE TO DEVELOP A THERAPY FOR HIS
10 CHILD, A KID WITH THIS DISEASE, TO GOING ON AND
11 FOUNDING A NOT-FOR-PROFIT COMPANY THAT IS TACKLING
12 FIVE DIFFERENT RARE DISEASES FOR KIDS.

13 IT WAS POWERFUL, IT WAS MOVING, AND JUST
14 ONE OF THESE JOLTS YOU NEED SOMETIMES TO REMEMBER
15 THAT THERE ARE PEOPLE OUT THERE WHO ARE REALLY ALL
16 IN FIGHTING THE GOOD FIGHT AND THAT CIRM HAS AN
17 IMPORTANT ROLE TO PLAY IN SUPPORTING THESE EFFORTS,
18 BOTH THE RARE DISEASES AND MORE PREVALENT DISEASES.

19 SO JUST QUICKLY IN SUMMARY, I MENTIONED
20 THAT WE'VE GOT TENAYA, WHO'S GOING TO BE GOING TO
21 FDA TO TALK ABOUT THEIR NEXT PIVOTAL TRIAL FOR A
22 RARE CARDIAC CONDITION, WE'VE GOT FATE, WHICH HAS
23 ALREADY GOT CONCURRENCE FROM THE FDA ON THE DESIGN
24 OF THEIR TRIAL FOR A COMMON DISEASE FOR LUPUS, WE'VE
25 GOT EXCITING CLINICAL RESULTS FROM IMMUSOFT AND FROM

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TRX1 THAT'S GOING TO PROPEL THEM DOWN THE PATHWAY
2 TOWARDS LATER STAGE CLINICAL TRIALS, WE'VE GOT
3 ELPIDA OPENING UP THEIR TRIAL TOO.

4 WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT HERE IS JUST THE
5 THINGS WHICH ARE HAPPENING AT ASGCT. THERE'S A LOT
6 OF OTHER THINGS GOING ON BEHIND THE SCENES IN OTHER
7 FORMATS. AND WHAT THIS REALLY MEANS IS THAT WE'RE
8 BUILDING UP A LOT OF MOMENTUM TOWARDS THESE
9 STRATEGIC GOALS I WAS TALKING ABOUT. AND THE REST
10 OF THIS YEAR AND NEXT YEAR SHOULD BE A REALLY
11 EXCITING TIME TO SEE WHAT'S ABOUT TO HAPPEN. SO I
12 WOULD JUST TELL EVERYBODY TO STAY TUNED. THANK YOU.

13 (APPLAUSE.)

14 DR. THOMAS: THANKS VERY MUCH, JOE. THAT
15 WAS A GREAT SUMMARY. BY THE WAY, LOOKING QUITE
16 DAPPER TODAY, IF I DO SAY.

17 SO THE LAST SPEAKER I WOULD LIKE TO
18 INTRODUCE, YOU'VE SEEN A MINUTE AGO, DR. PATEL IS
19 GOING TO DESCRIBE THE TRIPS MADE TO THE THREE CCCE
20 SITES AND HOW THOSE WENT AND WHERE THEY ARE IN THE
21 ROLLOUT OF THEIR RESPECTIVE PROGRAMS. SHYAM.

22 DR. PATEL: THANK YOU, J.T. AND THANK YOU
23 TO THE ICOC. I'M EXCITED TO BE PRESENTING TO YOU
24 TODAY IN MY NEW CAPACITY AS THE PATIENT ACCESS TEAM
25 LEAD. THIS IS MY FOURTH ROLE AT CIRM.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO I HAVE A FEW SLIDES TO WALK THROUGH
2 SITE VISITS THAT WE DID AT THE COMMUNITY CARE
3 CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE. AS YOU KNOW, THESE JUST
4 LAUNCHED THIS YEAR. CAN WE GO TO THE SLIDES PLEASE.
5 THAT'S ON ME. THANK YOU. IT WON'T ADVANCE. WHILE
6 THOSE ARE ADVANCING -- THERE WE GO.

7 SO JUST AS A REMINDER, THE COMMUNITY CARE
8 CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE ARE MANDATED BY PROP 14. AND
9 THE INTENTION WAS TO EXPAND THE CLINICAL NETWORK IN
10 CALIFORNIA AND TO MAKE BOTH COMMERCIAL AND CLINICAL
11 STAGE CELL AND GENE THERAPIES ACCESSIBLE TO PATIENT
12 POPULATIONS THAT ARE UNDERSERVED BY THE CURRENT
13 INFRASTRUCTURE THAT WE HAVE IN THE STATE OF
14 CALIFORNIA.

15 AND SO THEY WERE DESIGNED TO FUND THE
16 DELIVERY AS WELL AS ALL THE TRAINING INVOLVED WITH
17 LAUNCHING CELL AND GENE THERAPY TRIALS AND
18 DELIVERING THESE IN A COMMERCIAL CAPACITY AS WELL.

19 SO SINCE WE JUST LAUNCHED THE AWARDS, THE
20 PATIENT ACCESS TEAM TOOK A SITE VISIT TO EACH OF
21 THOSE THREE NEW FACILITIES. AND WE ALSO BROUGHT
22 ALONG MANY OF OUR TEAM MEMBERS. AND SO I'LL GO
23 THROUGH SOME THAT, SOME OF OUR OBSERVATIONS, AND
24 REALLY SPEAK TO THE POWER OF WHAT IS BEING BUILT AT
25 EACH OF THESE FACILITIES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO THESE ARE THE THREE FACILITIES. I KNOW
2 IT'S A LITTLE BIT HARD SO SEE, SO I'M GOING TO WALK
3 THROUGH THAT. SO WE FUNDED THREE COMMUNITY CARE
4 CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE IN CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN
5 CALIFORNIA. ONE IS THE COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEM IN
6 FRESNO/CLOVIS THAT SERVICES THE CENTRAL VALLEY. THE
7 SECOND IS THE LUNDQUIST INSTITUTE. YOU HEARD JUST A
8 LITTLE BIT ABOUT THAT FROM J.T. EARLIER. THIS IN
9 THE SOUTH BAY IN TORRANCE, BUT IT SERVES THE SOUTH
10 LOS ANGELES, EAST LOS ANGELES, AND SOUTH BAY
11 COMMUNITIES. AND LASTLY IS LOMA LINDA UNIVERSITY.
12 THIS IS IN THE INLAND EMPIRE, AND IT SERVES BOTH THE
13 RIVERSIDE AND SAN BERNARDINO COUNTIES. THESE ARE
14 MASSIVE COUNTIES IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA BY AREA,
15 STRETCHING ALL THE WAY FROM THE OUTSKIRTS OF L.A. TO
16 LAS VEGAS.

17 AND SO I'M GOING TO GO THROUGH IN A LITTLE
18 BIT OF DETAIL ABOUT EACH OF THESE FACILITIES. ONE
19 THING I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT IS THAT IN EACH OF THREE
20 CASES, IT TOOK THE UNYIELDING VISION OF ONE PERSON
21 TO HAVE THE FORESIGHT THAT CELL AND GENE THERAPIES
22 ARE GOING TO BE IMPORTANT FOR THEIR PATIENT
23 COMMUNITIES AND REALLY BUILDING UP ALL OF THE
24 SUPPORT THEY NEEDED WITHIN THE INSTITUTION, THE
25 LEADERSHIP, THE STAFF, AS WELL AS EXTERNAL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 STAKEHOLDERS. AND THAT'S GOING TO COME ACROSS IN
2 THE SLIDES WHERE THEY HAVE BUILT THIS PARTNERSHIP,
3 EVERYBODY IS REALLY EXCITED ABOUT WHAT THEY'RE
4 DOING, AND THEY REALLY WANT TO BRING THESE THERAPIES
5 TO THEIR PATIENT COMMUNITY.

6 SO THIS IS US AT LOMA LINDA. SOME OF US
7 DRESSED UP IN FUNNY SUITS. I THINK THEY MADE US DO
8 THIS ON PURPOSE JUST TO SEE WHAT IT WOULD BE LIKE.
9 BUT WE GOT TO TOUR THE SURGICAL SUITES, AND I'LL
10 DESCRIBE THAT IN A LITTLE BIT. BUT WE WERE VERY
11 FORTUNATE TO BE JOINED BY MANY OF OUR INTERNAL
12 STAKEHOLDERS, INCLUDING J.T., THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT
13 TEAM, THE COMMUNICATIONS TEAM, THE PROGRAMS TEAM,
14 DR. JOE GOLD, WHO WAS VERY ENAMORED WITH CERTAIN
15 CARPET DESIGNS ON ONE OF THE FACILITIES, AS WELL AS
16 THE PATIENT ACCESS TEAM.

17 AND SO FOR US, SITE VISITS ARE IMPORTANT
18 BECAUSE WE GET TO MEET THE TEAMS, WE GET TO SEE ALL
19 THE WORK IN PERSON, AND WE REALLY JUST GET TO BUILD
20 RELATIONSHIPS THAT WE CAN THEN EXPAND UPON AS WE GO
21 FORWARD.

22 AND SO I'M GOING TO START OFF WITH THE
23 COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEM IN CLOVIS/FRESNO, AND THEN
24 GO THROUGH THE OTHER TWO. SO HERE DR. HABDULAK
25 (PHONETIC) HAD A VISION. AND THE PRIMARY VISION WAS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO BRING CAR-T CELL THERAPY TREATMENTS TO LOCAL
2 COMMUNITY THERE INSTEAD OF HAVING TO TRAVEL 200
3 MILES TO GO THE BAY AREA OR 200 MILES TO GO TO L.A.
4 AND YOU CAN SEE THAT IN THAT TINY MAP RIGHT THERE.
5 THAT LITTLE BLUE DOT IN THE MIDDLE, THAT'S THE
6 AUTHORIZED TREATMENT CENTER CLOVIS/FRESNO FOR KITE
7 T-CELL THERAPIES. THAT ALLOWS THOSE PATIENTS TO GET
8 TREATED THERE INSTEAD OF HAVING TO GO TO THE BAY
9 AREA, WHICH IS THAT TOP BLUE SPOT, OR TO THE L.A. OR
10 SAN DIEGO REGION, WHICH IS THE BOTTOM BLUE SPOT.
11 AND THAT IS A BIG DEAL FOR THESE PATIENTS TO BE ABLE
12 TO GET TREATED THERE AND HAVE ACCESS TO THE CELL AND
13 GENE THERAPIES.

14 AND SO RIGHT NOW THEY ARE ONBOARDING ALL
15 MAJOR COMMERCIAL CELL AND GENE THERA- -- CAR-T
16 PRODUCTS WHILE THEY BUILD UP THEIR CLINICAL TRIAL
17 INFRASTRUCTURE. WE GOT TO TOUR AN OLD OFFICE
18 BUILDING THEY'RE TURNING INTO A MANUFACTURING
19 FACILITY TO ALLOW THEM TO MANUFACTURE A CELL AND
20 GENE THERAPY DRUG PRODUCT FOR CLINICAL TRIALS IN
21 COLLABORATION WITH UC DAVIS. AND THEY'RE WORKING
22 WITH SEVERAL COMMUNITY PARTNERS, INCLUDING BLOOD
23 CANCER UNITED -- YOU MAY KNOW THEM AS THE LEUKEMIA
24 AND LYMPHOMA SOCIETY -- FOR PATIENT NAVIGATION AND
25 COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AS WELL AS THE FRESNO CENTER,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WHICH IS TIED INTO LOCAL COMMUNITIES THERE IN TERMS
2 OF TRAINING COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS, AS WELL AS
3 ENGAGEMENT WITH THE COMMUNITY THERE INFORMING THEM
4 ABOUT CELL AND GENE THERAPY TRIALS.

5 I'M GOING TO MOVE ON TO THE LOMA LINDA
6 UNIVERSITY. AS I MENTIONED, THIS SERVES TWO OF THE
7 BIGGEST COUNTIES BY AREA IN CALIFORNIA, A HUGE
8 CATCHMENT AREA. AND LOMA LINDA IS WELL ON ITS WAY
9 TO BEING ABLE TO SUPPORT BOTH COMMERCIAL AND
10 CLINICAL CELL AND GENE THERAPIES. IT'S GOING TO USE
11 CIRM FUNDING TO EXPAND ALL OF THAT OPERATION.

12 SO FIRST AND FOREMOST, THEY'RE AN
13 AUTHORIZED TREATMENT CENTER FOR MULTIPLE COMMERCIAL
14 CELL AND GENE THERAPIES. THIS INCLUDES SICKLE CELL
15 GENE THERAPIES AS WELL AS CAR-T'S. AND AS THEY TOLD
16 US DURING THE PRESENTATION, THERE'S A SIGNIFICANT
17 SICKLE CELL POPULATION IN THEIR COMMUNITY. AND SO
18 BEING ABLE TO PROVIDE THAT ACCESS TO THAT CELL AND
19 GENE THERAPY THERE IS IMPORTANT.

20 THEY ARE ALSO A TREATMENT SITE FOR A
21 NUMBER OF NEUROLOGICAL DISORDER CLINICAL TRIALS IN
22 CELL AND GENE THERAPIES. SO IN THAT PICTURE YOU SEE
23 DR. BOLING DEMONSTRATING TO US AN IMAGE-GUIDED
24 NEUROSURGERY. DR. BOLING HAS BEEN INSTRUMENTAL IN
25 LEADING THIS INSTITUTION TO BE ABLE TO SUPPORT THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DELIVERY OF CELL AND GENE THERAPIES FOR NEUROLOGICAL
2 DISORDERS. THIS INCLUDES CIRM-FUNDED THERAPIES. WE
3 ASKED *BIO'S GENE THERAPY FOR PARKINSON'S DISEASE AS
4 WELL AS NEURONA CELL THERAPY FOR EPILEPSY. THESE
5 ARE COMPLICATED PROCEDURES THAT REQUIRE MRI-GUIDED
6 SURGERY AS WELL AS TRAINING IN NOVEL DELIVERY
7 DEVICES AND MANY, MANY ROBOTIC SYSTEMS. AND ALL OF
8 THAT HAS BEEN ENABLED BY THE VISION OF DR. BOLING.

9 THEY'RE ALSO DEVELOPING A CENTRALIZED
10 CLINICAL RESEARCH ADMINISTRATIVE UNIT WITH THE
11 SINGULAR FOCUS OF CUTTING TRIAL ACTIVATION TIME DOWN
12 BY HALF. AND SIMILARLY TO THE CLOVIS, THEY'RE
13 BUILDING A MANUFACTURING FACILITY TO BE ABLE TO
14 SUPPORT CELL AND GENE THERAPY CLINICAL TRIALS
15 LOCALLY.

16 FINALLY, THEY HAVE SEVERAL COMMUNITY
17 PARTNERS THAT THEY'RE WORKING WITH TO TRAIN
18 COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS FOR THEIR COMMUNITIES AND
19 ALSO TO LEVERAGE DATA ABOUT ENGAGEMENT AND TO BETTER
20 INFORM FUTURE ENGAGEMENT.

21 LASTLY IS THE LUNDQUIST INSTITUTE IN MY
22 HOME TOWN OF TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA. AND SO THIS IS A
23 UNIQUE FACILITY. SO HERE THE VISION WAS TO BE ABLE
24 TO PROVIDE CELL AND GENE THERAPIES TO PATIENTS IN AN
25 AMBULATORY OUTPATIENT SETTING. THAT'S THEIR MAIN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FOCUS. THEY'RE ONLY GOING TO SUPPORT TRIALS AS WELL
2 AS COMMERCIAL THERAPIES THAT ARE DELIVERED IN AN
3 OUTPATIENT SETTING. AND THAT'S UNIQUE TO OUR ENTIRE
4 NETWORK.

5 THEY'RE RENOVATING AN OLD CONFERENCE ROOM
6 INTO AN ADVANCED PHARMACY SUITE TO BE ABLE TO
7 SUPPORT CELL AND GENE THERAPIES. WE GOT TO SEE THE
8 ROOM. IT'S GOING TO LOOK WONDERFUL WHEN IT'S A
9 PHARMACY. AND THEY'RE ALSO ACCELERATING THE
10 TIMELINE, WHICH WE LOVE. SO THEY'RE MOVING FASTER
11 TO BE ABLE TO GENERATE -- TO BE ABLE TO SUPPORT
12 THESE TYPES OF THERAPIES. AND THEY ALREADY STARTED
13 DISCUSSING THE TRIAL SPONSORS.

14 AND LASTLY, THEY'RE COLLABORATING WITH
15 SEVERAL COMMUNITY PARTNERS IN THEIR COMMUNITY,
16 INCLUDING RFK INSTITUTE, THE SOUTH CENTRAL
17 PREVENTION COALITION, AND ACCESS ADVOCACY, TO BUILD
18 MULTIPLE DISEASE ADVOCACY GROUPS AND BOARDS TO HAVE
19 REAL CLEAR ENGAGEMENT AROUND DISEASES THAT ARE
20 IMPORTANT TO THEIR COMMUNITY. AND THEY'RE ALSO VERY
21 PASSIONATE ABOUT WORKING WITH US TO ENGAGE OUR
22 EARLIER STAGE PRECLINICAL PROGRAMS TO HELP REALLY
23 BRING THE PATIENT VOICE INTO THE TRIAL DESIGN AND
24 TRIAL EXECUTION. SO WE'RE REALLY EXCITED TO BE
25 WORKING WITH THEM GOING FORWARD AS WELL.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO I'M GOING TO END WITH A SPECIAL
2 SHOUTOUT TO DR. GEOFF LOMAX, WHO MANY OF YOU KNOW.
3 GEOFF HAS BEEN WORKING AT CIRM AND HAS SERVED CIRM
4 FOR OVER 20 YEARS. AND SADLY HE'S RETIRING AT THE
5 END OF JULY. WE WILL MISS HIM. WE WANT TO
6 RECOGNIZE ALL THE CONTRIBUTIONS THAT HE'S MADE TO
7 CIRM OVER THE LAST 20 PLUS YEARS, NOT ONLY ON THE
8 POLICY SIDE, BUT ALSO ON THE INFRASTRUCTURE SIDE.

9 SO I MENTIONED THE CCCE PROGRAMS. GEOFF
10 LED THE SCOPING, THE DEVELOPMENT, AND THE LAUNCH OF
11 THIS PROGRAM. AND SO THAT'S GOING TO BE HIS LASTING
12 LEGACY AS HE LEAVES CIRM HERE IS TO SEE THIS PROGRAM
13 SORT OF GROW UP OVER THE NEXT FEW YEARS. SO I WANT
14 TO THANK GEOFF FOR ALL OF HIS SERVICE, AND WE ARE
15 VERY DELIGHTED TO HAVE WORKED WITH HIM OVER THE
16 YEARS AND WISH HIM ALL THE BEST AS HE MOVES ON WITH
17 HIS RETIREMENT.

18 (APPLAUSE.)

19 MS. DURON: SHYAM, DON'T RUN AWAY TOO
20 SOON.

21 DR. PATEL: THOUGHT I COULD ESCAPE.

22 MS. DURON: FIRST, CONGRATULATIONS. VERY
23 EXCITING NEWS. THANK YOU PARTICULARLY ABOUT THE
24 COMMUNITY OUTREACH. AND I RECOGNIZE SOME OF THOSE
25 FOLKS THAT YOU'RE WORKING WITH.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I THINK IT WOULD BE REALLY LOVELY IF YOU
2 ADD TO THE SLIDE THE DEMOGRAPHIC REACH AND CATCHMENT
3 AREA OF THE PATIENTS IN THOSE PARTICULAR AREAS JUST
4 SO WE KNOW, WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THESE UNDERSERVED
5 POPULATIONS, WE REALLY KNOW WHO'S IN THAT CATCHMENT
6 AREA AND WHETHER OR NOT WE CAN MEASURE THAT, IN
7 FACT, THEY'RE BEING CAUGHT.

8 DR. PATEL: YEAH. DEFINITELY.

9 MS. DURON: SECOND OF ALL, I THINK THAT
10 IT'S REALLY CRITICAL TO HAVE THIS KIND OF
11 INFORMATION POINT OUTWARD BACK THROUGH YOUR
12 COMMUNITY PARTNERS TO THOSE COMMUNITIES SO THEY CAN
13 SEE THIS IS SOMETHING THEY DO NEED, RIGHT, THAT IT
14 DOES IMPACT THEM. AND I THINK THAT USING YOUR
15 COMMUNITY PARTNERS WHO ARE TRAINING WHAT YOU CALL
16 PROMOTORAS, AKA COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS, WHO ARE
17 BECOMING THE BRIDGE ACROSS THE COUNTRY TO REACH
18 VULNERABLE POPULATIONS PARTICULARLY, BUT TO ADD TO A
19 SHRINKING LABOR FORCE OR ONE THAT NEEDS TO BE BUILT
20 OR REBUILT. SO I THINK IT WOULD BE CRITICAL.

21 FINALLY, I HOPE THAT YOU ARE ACTUALLY
22 BRINGING THEM TO THESE CENTERS SO THEY CAN SEE IT AS
23 WELL AND START TO DREAM AND BELIEVE.

24 DR. PATEL: YES, DEFINITELY. I THINK
25 THERE'S GOING TO BE A COMPONENT OF THAT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND SO A COUPLE OF POINTS I JUST WANT TO
2 MAKE THERE. SO ONE OF THE THINGS THAT REALLY
3 HEARTENED US, ESPECIALLY AT THE LUNDQUIST INSTITUTE,
4 WHERE THEY HAD EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THEIR EXTERNAL
5 AND INTERNAL STAKEHOLDERS AT THE TABLE. AND SO ALL
6 THOSE COMMUNITY PARTNERS, UCLA, EVERYBODY WAS THERE
7 TO HAVE A REALLY RICH DISCUSSION. AND ONE PART OF
8 THAT THAT REALLY CAME UP THERE WAS, BECAUSE THE
9 CLINICIANS WERE THERE, THE CLINICIANS WERE TALKING
10 ABOUT HOW, WHEN THEY WANT TO REFER THEIR PATIENTS
11 EVEN FOR STANDARD OF CARE TO FACILITIES LIKE UCLA,
12 CITY OF HOPE, AND OTHERS IN THAT REGION, THEY RUN
13 INTO ALL KINDS OF ACCESS BARRIERS AROUND INSURANCE
14 COVERAGE PARTICULARLY FOR THE ADULT POPULATION. AND
15 SORT THAT LED TO A WORKFLOW FOR US AS WELL IS TO
16 FIGURE OUT WHAT'S HAPPENING IN OUR TRIALS.

17 AND THEN ON THE SAME SIDE, AS YOU
18 MENTIONED WITH THE COMMUNITY PARTNERS AND THE
19 TRAINING WITH OUR COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS, THERE
20 WAS A DISCUSSION AROUND HOW DO WE ALL WORK WITH EACH
21 OTHER TO USE THE APPROPRIATE TRAINING MATERIALS,
22 RIGHT, AND AS WELL AS WHAT COMMUNITIES ARE WE
23 ENGAGING AND HOW DO WE MEASURE ALL THAT. AND SO
24 COMING OUT OF THAT IS ALSO WHAT IS OUR METRICS FOR
25 SUCCESS ON THE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT SIDE AS WELL.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO I THINK ALL OF THIS GOING TO BE
2 BUILDING AS WE GO FORWARD HERE AND REALLY TO
3 OPERATIONALIZE THIS NETWORK AND TO PUT ALL THE
4 LEARNINGS TOGETHER AND REALLY TAKE ACTION GOING
5 FORWARD. SO THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR FEEDBACK.

6 DR. CARETHERS: DR. PATEL, SORRY. BY THE
7 WAY, THIS IS PHENOMENAL. SO I'M THRILLED WITH THIS
8 DEVELOPMENT NETWORK.

9 I WAS VERY INTERESTED IN THE CENTRAL
10 VALLEY OUTPATIENT ONE. WHAT IS THE EXACT MODEL? IS
11 IT AN EXPERT GOES THERE FOR A PERIOD OF TIME? I'M
12 TRYING TO THINK HOW THE MODEL WORKS. OR IS IT
13 SOMEONE WHO'S THERE ALL THE TIME THAT CAN HELP
14 DELIVER THESE WHEN IT'S FULLY UP AND RUNNING?

15 I'M ASKING THAT BECAUSE I'M JUST INTRIGUED
16 IF THIS -- IF WE CAN LEARN FROM THAT AND REPLICATE
17 THAT IN OTHER AREAS OF RELATIVE RURAL SITES BECAUSE
18 A LOT OF THESE RURAL AREAS DON'T NECESSARILY HAVE
19 LARGE OR HOSPITALS OR HOSPITAL SYSTEMS. THEY HAVE
20 CRITICAL ACCESS HOSPITALS, AND THIS OUTPATIENT THING
21 SOUNDS JUST PHENOMENAL. SO I WOULD LOVE, ONCE IT'S
22 UP AND RUNNING, HOW IT'S REPLICATED.

23 SO I WAS WONDERING WHAT'S THE CARE MODEL.
24 I CAN'T IMAGINE A HIGH-END MEDICAL ONCOLOGIST BEING
25 THERE A HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE TIME, BUT MAYBE THERE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IS. I DON'T KNOW. I'M JUST ASKING.

2 DR. PATEL: YEAH. AND SO THIS IS
3 PARTICULAR TO THE LUNDQUIST INSTITUTE AS WELL. I'LL
4 SPEAK TO THAT BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S THE MOST
5 RELEVANT OF YOUR POINT HERE. SO THE LUNDQUIST
6 INSTITUTE IN TORRANCE WHERE THEY'RE GOING TO BE
7 SUPPORTING US IN AN OUTPATIENT SETTING, THEY FIRST
8 HAVE TO START BY TRAINING ALL OF THEIR STAFF ON HOW
9 TO DELIVER THESE THERAPIES. AND SO THAT'S WHERE
10 THEY'RE WORKING REALLY TIGHTLY WITH THE UCLA TEAM ON
11 THAT TRAINING. SO THERE'S GOING TO BE SOME CROSS
12 TRAINING, HAVING THE LUNDQUIST STAFF ACTUALLY GO TO
13 UCLA, GET TRAINED FIRSTHAND, AND USING A LOT OF THE
14 PREVIOUS ALPHA CLINIC RESOURCES TO BE ABLE TO DO
15 THAT.

16 AND I THINK, AS YOU MENTIONED, THERE'S
17 PROBABLY GOING TO BE SOME LEVEL OF EXPERT COMING
18 THERE. AND I THINK THERE'S JOINT APPOINTMENTS
19 BETWEEN UCLA AND LUNDQUIST THAT DOES HELP WITH THAT.
20 AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO SEE HOW THAT PLAYS OUT IN
21 THAT SETTING. BUT YOU'RE RIGHT. AS WE GO FURTHER
22 AND FURTHER OUT WHERE THERE ISN'T A DIRECT TIE TO A
23 MAJOR MEDICAL INSTITUTION HOW DOES THAT PLAY OUT.
24 AND I THINK THIS IS GOING TO INFORM US QUITE BIT ON
25 HOW WE THEN SCALE OUT TO OTHER INSTITUTIONS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I DON'T SEE ANY --
2 YES, YAEL.

3 MS. WYTE: I JUST WANTED TO SAY I LOVE
4 THIS. IT'S GREAT. IT'S LOVELY TO SEE IN ACTION,
5 AND I LOVE THE THOUGHTFULNESS THAT'S COME OF THE
6 CONVERSATIONS OF BEING IN PERSON AND HOW THIS IS
7 GOING TO GROW AND BENEFIT. SO THANK YOU FOR
8 SHARING.

9 DR. PATEL: THANK YOU. AND ONE OF THE
10 THINGS THAT REALLY STOOD OUT FOR US WAS DURING THE
11 TOUR, I THINK IT WAS AT LOMA LINDA, AND THEY SPOKE
12 TO A NURSE. AND SHE WAS JUST SO MUCH IN AWE OF
13 HAVING DELIVERED THE FIRST GENE THERAPY AT THE
14 INSTITUTION, AND IT WAS SICKLE CELL GENE THERAPY.
15 AS WE WERE WALKING AWAY, THEY WERE MENTIONING THAT
16 THE NURSING STAFF HERE RECOGNIZES THE IMPACT OF
17 THESE THERAPIES, BUT IT IS HARD. THESE ARE REALLY
18 COMPLICATED THERAPIES THAT REQUIRE A LOT OF TRAINING
19 AND THEN A LOT OF MONITORING. SO IT'S INCREDIBLY
20 HARD TO DELIVER THESE THERAPIES, BUT AT THE SAME
21 TIME THEY RECOGNIZE THE IMPACT.

22 DR. SACKY: DITTO. THIS IS JUST AMAZING.
23 THIS IS INCREDIBLE. SO CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU AND
24 YOUR TEAM FOR THIS INCREDIBLE LAUNCH. IT'S VERY
25 INSPIRING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I GUESS MY QUESTION HAS TO DO WITH HOW
2 CIRM IS ENCOURAGING THESE CENTERS TO THINK ABOUT A
3 SUSTAINABILITY PLAN THAT GOES BEYOND THE CIRM
4 FUNDING.

5 DR. PATEL: YES. GREAT POINT. SO ONE OF
6 THE THINGS THAT'S INSTRUMENTAL IN ALL THREE OF THESE
7 FACILITIES IS THAT THERE IS FULL LEADERSHIP BUY-IN
8 OF THE CEO'S OF ALL THREE OF THESE INSTITUTIONS
9 PRESENT AT THESE MEETINGS. AND THEY ALSO HAD
10 COMMITTED FUNDING TO BUILD OUT THE INFRASTRUCTURE.

11 I THINK GOING FORWARD, IT'S GOING TO BE A
12 COMBINATION OF THE ABILITY TO DELIVER THE COMMERCIAL
13 THERAPY AND HOW THAT PLAYS OUT FOR THEIR ECONOMICS.
14 AND ON THE CLINICAL SIDE, IT'S GOING TO BE A STEADY
15 FLOW OF TRIALS COMING THEIR WAY.

16 BUT YOU'RE RIGHT. THE SUSTAINABILITY IS
17 REALLY GOING TO BE CRITICAL. AND ESPECIALLY ONE OF
18 THE THINGS THAT WE REALLY WANT TO BE CAREFUL OF WHAT
19 YSABEL MENTIONED IN TERMS OF THE TRAINING PROGRAMS
20 AND THAT ENGAGEMENT AND WHAT HAPPENS TO THAT BECAUSE
21 THAT'S NOT A REVENUE MAKING SYSTEM RIGHT THERE,
22 RIGHT, AND HOW DO WE SUSTAIN THAT GOING FORWARD.

23 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: CHRISTINE.

24 DR. MIASKOWSKI: I THINK THIS IS REALLY
25 FANTASTIC. AND I REMEMBER READING THESE GRANT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 APPLICATIONS AND BEING REALLY, REALLY EXCITED. SO
2 I'M THINKING ABOUT THE BROADER MEDICAL AND NURSING
3 COMMUNITY AND LESSONS LEARNED, RIGHT, THE EXAMPLE
4 YOU GAVE OF THE NURSE. I GUESS WHAT IS OUR
5 OBLIGATION TO DISSEMINATE WHAT WE'RE LEARNING IN
6 PUBLICATIONS TO THE BROADER COMMUNITY? BECAUSE I
7 CAN IMAGINE ACADEMIC MEDICAL CENTERS THINK WE CAN
8 ONLY DO THIS AT A UCSF. I'M USING MY INSTITUTION AS
9 AN EXAMPLE. AND WE'RE PROVING THEM WRONG.

10 AND I REALLY THINK THERE NEEDS TO BE
11 ARTICLES ABOUT THE PRINCIPLES THAT WE'VE APPLIED,
12 WHAT WE'RE LEARNING. I WAS THINKING AS MARIA GAVE
13 HER PRESENTATION ABOUT ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY.
14 THOSE ARE REALLY IMPORTANT CONCEPTS THAT WE SHOULD
15 CLAIM AS OURS AND PUT THEM IN MEDICAL JOURNALS,
16 NURSING JOURNALS, TO SAY THIS IS POSSIBLE.
17 COMMUNITIES CAN LEARN THIS. PRIMARY CARE DOCS CAN
18 REFER. NURSES CAN BE ENGAGED IN THIS.

19 SO I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S PLANS FOR THAT,
20 BUT I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO ENCOURAGE US TO DO THAT
21 BECAUSE I THINK IT'S GOING TO BE A REALLY IMPORTANT
22 SERVICE TO THE NATION.

23 DR. PATEL: THANK YOU FOR THE
24 ENCOURAGEMENT. I THINK THAT IS AN IMPORTANT PART OF
25 DISSEMINATING LEARNINGS GOING FORWARD. AS YOU WERE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TALKING EARLIER TO JOHN'S QUESTION ABOUT THOSE
2 FACILITIES, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT CAME OUT OF THERE
3 WAS THAT EVERY SINGLE MANUFACTURER FOR THOSE CAR-T
4 THERAPIES HAS THEIR OWN REQUIREMENTS. AND THEY HAD
5 TO SORT OF MANAGE ALL OF THAT IN DIFFERENT WAYS AND
6 BUILD ALL THAT LEARNING AND INFRASTRUCTURE IN PLACE.
7 AND THAT'S THE SORTS OF LEARNING THAT CAN BE GOING
8 OUT AS WELL AS IN ADDITION TO THE TRAINING OF THE
9 NURSING STAFF AND THE CLINICAL STAFF. AND I THINK
10 IT'S IMPORTANT FOR IS TO BE ABLE TO GATHER ALL THAT
11 AND DISSEMINATE IT IN APPROPRIATE PLATFORMS. THANK
12 YOU FOR RAISING THAT. IT'S DEFINITELY SOMETHING
13 WE'D LOVE TO WORK WITH YOU GOING FORWARD ON.

14 DR. SOUTHARD: TO BUILD ON THAT, I ALSO
15 WANTED TO SEE THAT WE HAVE ARTICLES WRITTEN ABOUT
16 OUR OUTREACH TO UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS BECAUSE I
17 THINK IF WE ARE ABLE TO SHOW THAT OUR PROGRAMS,
18 PARTICULARLY IN THE CENTRAL VALLEY, ARE REACHING
19 UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS THAT ARE USUALLY IGNORED,
20 THAT WOULD MAKE A VERY POWERFUL IMPACT FOR AN
21 ARTICLE.

22 DR. PATEL: THANK YOU. AND AS YOU'VE
23 LEARNED OVER THE LAST FEW MONTHS, AMY IS ALWAYS A
24 STEP AHEAD. SO SHE AND HER TEAM HAD INDEPENDENT
25 CONVERSATIONS WITH ALL THREE CCCE'S WHEN WE WERE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DOWN THERE TO FIGURE OUT A COMMUNICATION STRATEGY.

2 DR. MALKAS: SO THE WORD I WOULD USE IS
3 TEMPLATE. YOU'RE BUILDING TEMPLATES. AND I THINK
4 THAT COULD BE A VERY, VERY POWERFUL THING, NOT JUST
5 FOR CALIFORNIA, BUT FOR THE NATION AS A WHOLE. SO
6 I'D START, IF YOU WANT TO USE A WORD, I WOULD START
7 SAYING WE'RE BUILDING THE TEMPLATE FOR DELIVERING
8 THIS KIND OF CARE INTO A NEW SETTING REALLY. SO
9 THAT'S JUST MY RECOMMENDATION.

10 DR. PATEL: THANK YOU, DR. MALKAS. I'LL
11 BE USING THAT WORD AND LISTENING GOING FORWARD.

12 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: SHYAM, I SEE A LOT
13 OF WRITING IN YOUR FUTURE.

14 MS. DURON: I'M GOING TO ADD ONE WORD TO
15 LINDA, TOOLKITS. DEVELOP THE TOOLKITS THAT CAN THEN
16 BE DISSEMINATED. PARTICULARLY IN THE COMMUNITY
17 LEVEL, THOSE WOULD BE EXCELLENT. AND I'D BE GLAD TO
18 HELP DISSEMINATE THEM.

19 DR. PATEL: OF COURSE. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

20 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. IF THERE ARE
21 NO OTHER QUESTIONS, I DON'T SEE ANY ON THE LINE. SO
22 J.T., YOU WANT TO --

23 DR. THOMAS: I'M JUST GOING TO WRAP UP
24 HERE. WE'RE GOING TO -- IN THE INTEREST OF TIME, I
25 HAD SOME COMMENTS ON AI. I'M GOING TO DEFER THAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TILL OUR NEXT MEETING. AND I WANT TO THANK ALL
2 THREE OF OUR SPEAKERS AND ALL MEMBERS OF THE TEAM
3 WHO WERE INSTRUMENTAL IN GETTING ALL THE WORK DONE
4 THAT WAS DISCUSSED TODAY.

5 AND I JUST WANT TO CLOSE IN MY OWN
6 SHOUTOUT TO GEOFF. I KNOW YOU'RE WATCHING. YOU'VE
7 BEEN A STELLAR MEMBER OF THE TEAM FOR MANY, MANY
8 YEARS. THANK YOU FOR ALL YOUR HARD WORK AND
9 DEDICATION, AND WE'LL DEFINITELY MISS YOU VERY MUCH.
10 THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

11 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, J.T.
12 THAT WAS A SERIES OF WONDERFUL PRESENTATIONS. THANK
13 YOU, EVERYONE.

14 WE CAN MOVE NOW TO THE PART OF THE AGENDA
15 CALLED THE CONSENT AGENDA. TODAY WE HAVE FIVE
16 ITEMS. AND THAT WOULD BE THE MINUTES FROM THE LAST
17 MEETING, THE CONSIDERATION OF FIVE NEW APPOINTMENTS
18 AND TWO REAPPOINTMENTS TO THE SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS OF
19 OUR GRANTS WORKING GROUPS, AMENDMENTS TO THE
20 PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT PLAN, AND THE RAPID
21 PROGRAM FOR RARE DISEASE, REVISIONS TO THE AWARD
22 MANAGEMENT POLICY, AND THE USUAL REQUEST TO ATTEND
23 REMOTELY.

24 THE WAY THE CONSENT AGENDA IS, I WOULD
25 LIKE A MOTION TO ACCEPT ALL OF THESE WITHOUT FURTHER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DISCUSSION UNLESS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD WANTS TO
2 ABSTRACT AN ITEM OR MORE.

3 DR. BARRETT: MOVE APPROVAL.

4 DR. BLUMENTHAL: SECOND.

5 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE HAVE A MOTION AND
6 SECOND. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ON THAT? ANY
7 FURTHER ABSTRACTIONS? NO. NONE.

8 ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC HAVE A COMMENT ON
9 THIS? IF NOT, SCOTT, YOU CAN PROCEED TO A VOTE.

10 MR. TOCHER: OKAY. I'LL DO A VOICE VOTE
11 IN THE ROOM, BUT I MUST POLL INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS WHO
12 ARE PARTICIPATING VIA ZOOM. SO ALL THOSE IN THE
13 ROOM IN FAVOR SAY AYE. THOSE OPPOSED NAY. ANY
14 ABSTENTIONS?

15 AND ON THE PHONE: LEONDR A CLARK-HARVEY.

16 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.

17 MR. TOCHER: MONICA CARSON.

18 DR. CARSON: AYE.

19 MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.

20 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: AYE.

21 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.

22 MR. LAJARA: AYE.

23 MR. TOCHER: CAROLYN MELTZER.

24 DR. MELTZER: AYE.

25 MR. TOCHER: SHANNA STARK.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. STARK: AYE.

2 MR. TOCHER: KEVIN XU.

3 MR. XU: AYE.

4 MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANK YOU. MR.
5 CHAIR, MOTION CARRIES.

6 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE CAN PROGRESS NOW
7 TO AGENDA ITEM NO. 11. WE'RE NOW GOING TO CONSIDER
8 THE APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO OUR
9 PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM, THE PDEV PROGRAM.
10 THIS IS THE WORK OF THE APPLICATION REVIEW
11 SUBCOMMITTEE. AND DR. HAYLEY LAM WILL APPROACH THE
12 PODIUM AND GIVE THE PRESENTATION. THANK YOU,
13 HAYLEY.

14 DR. LAM: GOOD MORNING. IT'S MY PLEASURE
15 TODAY TO PRESENT TO THE BOARD THE GRANTS WORKING
16 GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PDEV PRECLINICAL
17 PROGRAM.

18 SO I'LL BE PRESENTING A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF
19 THE PROGRAM AND THEN WALKING YOU THROUGH THE PROCESS
20 BY WHICH WE ARRIVED HERE TODAY WITH THE
21 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR YOUR APPROVAL.

22 AS YOU KNOW, WE HAVE OUR SIX IMPACT GOALS
23 HERE THAT HAVE ALLOWED US TO DEVELOP AND LAUNCH
24 SEVERAL PROGRAMS UP TO NOW AND MANY PLANS AS WELL.
25 THESE WERE ESTABLISHED IN 2024. THE PDEV PROGRAM

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ALIGNS WITH GOAL 4, PROPELLING 15 TO 20 THERAPIES
2 TARGETING DISEASES AFFECTING CALIFORNIANS. AND THE
3 PDEV PROGRAM ITSELF IS AIMED AT ACCELERATING
4 PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT AND PRIORITIZATION OF
5 INNOVATIVE THERAPIES FOR CALIFORNIANS. AND SO AS
6 SUCH, THE OBJECTIVE OF THE PROGRAM IS TO ACCELERATE
7 COMPLETION OF PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT, FDA IND
8 CLEARANCE, AND THE CLINICAL TRIAL START-UP FOR STEM
9 CELL-BASED AND GENETIC THERAPIES.

10 THE OVERALL STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM FOR
11 THIS CURRENT FISCAL YEAR IS TWO ITERATIONS OF THIS
12 PROGRAM. THIS IS THE SECOND FOR THE CLOSING FISCAL
13 YEAR. AND THE PROGRAM ALLOWS A MAXIMUM DURATION OF
14 FIVE YEARS AND UP TO 13 MILLION IN AWARD AMOUNTS AND
15 VARYING CO-FUNDING AMOUNTS DEPENDING ON THE
16 APPLICANT TYPE. WE PROJECTED WITH A 160-MILLION
17 TOTAL INITIAL ALLOCATION APPROXIMATELY SOMEWHERE
18 BETWEEN 12 TO 21 TOTAL AWARDS ACROSS BOTH ROUNDS.

19 I'LL SPEAK TO A LITTLE BIT MORE OF THIS
20 LATER, BUT WE HAVE ALREADY, AS YOU MAY RECALL, IN
21 DECEMBER APPROVED 12 APPLICATIONS AGAINST THIS
22 160-MILLION BUDGET ALREADY. AND SO WHAT YOU'RE
23 SEEING TODAY IS AGAINST THE REMAINING 42 MILLION OR
24 SO FOR THE HUNDRED -- OUT OF THE 160 INITIAL
25 ALLOCATION.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE AWARD STRUCTURE.
2 SO TO ACCELERATE THE PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT, THE
3 PROGRAM IS DESIGNED TO ALLOW FLEXIBILITY IN ENTRY
4 POINTS. SO WE ALLOW TWO ENTRY POINTS TO THIS
5 PROGRAM, BUT A SINGULAR OUTCOME, WHICH IS THAT
6 EXPECTED FDA IND CLEARANCE AT THE END OF THE AWARD.
7 SO DEPENDING ON WHAT STAGE THAT THE APPLICANT COMES
8 IN AT, THEY CAN COME IN AT WHAT WE CALL THE EARLY
9 STAGE. THESE APPLICANTS HAVE EARLY PROOF OF CONCEPT
10 WITH THEIR CANDIDATE IN THE INDICATION THAT THEY'RE
11 AIMING FOR, OR THE APPLICANTS MAY BE FURTHER DOWN IN
12 THEIR DEVELOPMENT PATHWAY AND COME IN AT WHAT WE
13 TERM THE LATE STAGE PDEV AWARDS. AND THESE FOLKS
14 HAVE ALREADY HAD A PRE-IND MEETING WITH THE FDA,
15 GOTTEN FEEDBACK, AND ARE WORKING ON THEIR SORT OF
16 IND-ENABLING STUDIES THAT WILL ALLOW THEM TO GET TO
17 THAT IND CLEARANCE AT THE END OF THE AWARD.

18 AS YOU CAN SEE, FOR EACH OF THESE STAGES,
19 THEY HAVE BUDGET LIMITS AND DURATIONS FOR EACH OF
20 THOSE STAGES. BUT, AGAIN, I WANT TO EMPHASIZE
21 REGARDLESS OF WHERE THESE APPLICANTS COME IN, THE
22 END GOAL FOR ALL PDEV AWARDS IS TO ACHIEVE THAT IND
23 CLEARANCE.

24 AND I ALSO WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT HERE TO
25 EMPHASIZE THAT THESE ARE FIVE-YEAR AWARDS FOR UP TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 13 MILLION. AND SO THESE APPLICANTS THAT ARE COMING
2 IN AT THE EARLY STAGE, THE CIRM STAFF AND TEAM ARE
3 REALLY DEDICATED TO WORKING WITH THESE AWARDEES TO
4 DEVELOP THEIR PROJECTS. AND FOR THOSE THAT COME IN,
5 AGAIN, AT THAT EARLY STAGE, THERE IS AN IMPORTANT
6 INFLECTION POINT BETWEEN THE EARLY AND LATE STAGES
7 WHERE THE CIRM TEAM WILL BE WORKING WITH THE
8 AWARDEES ON THEIR PRE-IND MEETING STRATEGY, SITTING
9 IN ON THOSE PRE-IND MEETINGS WITH THE AWARDEES. AND
10 THEN BASED ON THE FEEDBACK FROM THE FDA, WORKING
11 WITH AWARDEES, TO ADJUST THE MILESTONES FOR THAT
12 AWARD AS WELL AS AWARD DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE
13 REMAINDER OF THAT PROJECT.

14 TRANSITIONING TO THE REVIEW PROCESS BY
15 WHICH WE BRING THESE APPLICATIONS TO YOU, THE PDEV
16 PROGRAM FOR THE SECOND ROUND HAD PRESUBMISSIONS BY
17 WHICH WE APPLIED OUR PROGRAM PREFERENCES. THE TOP
18 30 OR SO APPLICATIONS ADVANCE TO FULL APPLICATIONS,
19 COME INTO CIRM, WE CONDUCT OUR TYPICAL ELIGIBILITY
20 SCREENING. THE APPLICATIONS THEN GO TO MERIT
21 REVIEW, THE SCIENTIFIC REVIEW, AND THEN THE
22 APPLICATIONS OUT OF THERE COME TO HERE FOR
23 RECOMMENDATION AND FINAL FUNDING DECISIONS.

24 AND SO JUST TO GIVE A COUPLE OF NUMBERS
25 HERE FOR THIS CURRENT ROUND UNDER PROPOSAL HERE, WE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 HAD 126 PRESUBMISSIONS. TWENTY-THREE WERE INVITED
2 TO SUBMIT FULL APPLICATIONS. ULTIMATELY 22 OF THOSE
3 WENT TO SCIENTIFIC MERIT REVIEW, AND EIGHT OF THOSE
4 WERE RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING BY THE GRANTS WORKING
5 GROUP.

6 AND HOW DID THEY ARRIVE AT THOSE EIGHT
7 PROJECTS? THE PANEL IS COMPOSED OF ESSENTIALLY
8 SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS ACROSS MANY DIFFERENT AREAS
9 AS WELL AS OUR BOARD MEMBERS THAT ARE PATIENT
10 ADVOCATE AND NURSE MEMBERS OF OUR BOARD. THE
11 EXPERTISE SPANS SCIENTIFIC AREA EXPERTISE IN
12 SPECIFIC DISEASE AREAS, REGULATORY SCIENCE,
13 MANUFACTURING, AS WELL AS PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT. AND,
14 OF COURSE, OUR BOARD MEMBERS PROVIDE THE PATIENT
15 PERSPECTIVE ON THE PROJECTS.

16 IN TERMS OF THE SCIENTIFIC SCORING OF
17 THESE APPLICATIONS WE USE FOR ALL OF OUR PROGRAMS IS
18 A SCALE OF 1 TO 100 WITH A MEDIAN SCORE OF 85 AND
19 ABOVE, A RECOMMENDATION FOR FUNDING, SCORE AND A
20 SCORE OF 1 TO 84 NOT A RECOMMENDATION FOR FUNDING.
21 I WILL EMPHASIZE HERE THAT THE SCORING IS MEANT TO
22 BE HOLISTIC AND ENCOMPASSES ALL OF THE REVIEW
23 CRITERIA IN ALL FACETS OF THE REVIEW. AND WE
24 ENCOURAGE OUR REVIEWERS TO SCORE ACROSS THE ENTIRE
25 RANGE TO SIGNAL SORT OF THEIR ENTHUSIASM FOR THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROJECTS.

2 AND THE REVIEW CRITERIA AGAINST WHICH THEY
3 EVALUATED THE APPLICATIONS ARE LISTED HERE. BROADLY
4 SPEAKING, WE HAVE FIVE REVIEW CRITERIA: THE VALUE
5 PROPOSITION, THE RATIONALE, THE PROJECT PLAN AND
6 DESIGN, THE PROJECT TEAM AND RESOURCES, AND, OF
7 COURSE, THE POPULATION IMPACT.

8 FROM THESE WE COME TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS
9 FOR THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE. SO AS I
10 MENTIONED BEFORE, FOR THIS CURRENT ROUND, EIGHT OF
11 THE APPLICATIONS OUT OF THE 22 THAT WENT TO
12 SCIENTIFIC REVIEW WERE RECOMMENDED BY THE GRANTS
13 WORKING GROUP. AS YOU CAN SEE HERE, THE TOTAL
14 APPLICANT REQUESTS FOR THOSE EIGHT APPLICATIONS
15 EXCEEDS THE AMOUNT OF FUNDING THAT'S AVAILABLE FOR
16 THIS PROGRAM.

17 I WILL ALSO MENTION HERE THAT UNDER PROP
18 14 WE REPORT ON ANY APPLICATION THAT IS NOT
19 RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING, BUT WHICH HAD 35 PERCENT OR
20 MORE OF THE REVIEWERS SCORE TO FUND THE APPLICATION.
21 IF YOU LOOKED IN YOUR MATERIALS, THERE IS ONE
22 APPLICATION IN THIS ROUND THAT RECEIVED A MINORITY
23 REPORT. IT IS INCLUDED WITHIN THE REVIEW SUMMARY
24 FOR THE APPLICATION WHICH IS NUMBER PDEV-19728,
25 WHICH IS LISTED HERE, AND IT RECEIVED A MEDIAN SCORE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF 84.

2 I WILL POINT OUT ALSO THAT THE CIRM TEAM
3 SUPPORTS THE MAJORITY POSITION IN THIS PARTICULAR
4 APPLICATION TO NOT FUND 19728.

5 NOW, AS I MENTIONED PREVIOUSLY, WE HAD
6 EIGHT APPLICATIONS THAT WERE RECOMMENDED BY THE
7 GRANTS WORKING GROUP AND LESS THAN THE 89 MILLION
8 AVAILABLE FOR FUNDING FOR THIS PROGRAM. AND SO THE
9 CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDATIONS ARE PRESENTED HERE TO YOU.

10 ESSENTIALLY, TO SUMMARIZE, THE CIRM TEAM
11 RECOMMENDS THAT THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
12 FUND THE TOP FOUR RANKED APPLICATIONS THAT ARE
13 HIGHLIGHTED IN GREEN HERE. AND THAT RECOMMENDS TO
14 NOT FUND THE RANKED FOUR THROUGH EIGHT APPLICATIONS
15 AS YOU CAN SEE HERE. AND THE RATIONALE IS LAID OUT
16 IN THE MEMO THAT WAS PRESENTED TO YOU; BUT IN BRIEF
17 SUMMARY, THE CIRM TEAM LOOKED AT THE GRANTS WORKING
18 GROUP SUMMARIES AS WELL AS THE COMPETITIVE LANDSCAPE
19 FOR EACH OF THESE PROJECTS, AND ALSO CONSIDERED THE
20 CIRM PORTFOLIO FOR THE PRECLINICAL AND OUR CLINICAL
21 PORTFOLIO IN EXAMINING EACH ONE OF THE EIGHT
22 APPLICATIONS THAT WERE RECOMMENDED. AND ULTIMATELY,
23 THE TEAM DIDN'T FIND ANY COMPELLING REASON TO
24 ADVANCE ANY OF THE RANKED FOUR THROUGH EIGHT
25 APPLICATIONS ABOVE THE TOP FOUR.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO I THINK WITH THAT, I WILL GO BACK TO
2 CHAIR IMBASCIANI.

3 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. I WAS
4 GOING TO INTERRUPT YOU ANYWAY AT THIS POINT, HAYLEY.
5 I JUST WANT TO REMIND THE BOARD MEMBERS. THIS
6 MORNING BEFORE YOU CAME IN, WE PUT IN FRONT OF YOU
7 AT EACH OF YOUR PLACES A HANDOUT THAT COMPILES IN
8 ONE PLACE MANY OF THE SLIDES THAT SHOW IN COLOR
9 CODING THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GRANTS WORKING
10 GROUP AND OF THE CIRM TEAM AMONG THE TOTAL
11 APPLICATIONS.

12 THE PDEV APPLICATIONS ARE ON PAGES 2 AND
13 3. AND IT MIGHT BE HANDIER FOR YOU TO USE THE
14 HANDOUT RATHER THAN THE SLIDE. OKAY.

15 I WOULD LIKE -- THANK YOU, HAYLEY, BUT
16 DON'T GO AWAY.

17 DR. LAM: YES. AND CAN WE SWITCH TO THE
18 EXCEL PLEASE.

19 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: COULD YOU SWITCH TO
20 THE SLIDE THAT'S GOT ALL OF THE APPLICATIONS
21 INCLUDING THOSE NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE GRANTS
22 WORKING GROUP. THAT ONE. YOU MIGHT NEED TO TOGGLE
23 PERIODICALLY UP AND DOWN. THANK YOU.

24 I WOULD LIKE TO DO THIS IN THREE PARTS. I
25 WOULD LIKE, FIRST OF ALL, TO ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 REMOVE FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION FOR FUNDING BY THE
2 ICOC ALL THE APPLICATIONS, THE 12 OF THEM, ONLY THE
3 BOTTOM 12, THAT SCORED AT THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP
4 84 AND LOWER. TO REMOVE THE 12 APPLICATIONS AT THE
5 BOTTOM THAT SCORED 84 OR LOWER.

6 DR. SOUTHARD: SO MOVED.

7 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. THAT'S
8 RIGHT. BY THE WAY, BOARD MEMBERS, YOU'VE BEEN
9 APPRISED OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS. IF YOU'RE IN
10 CONFLICT, YOU CAN'T MAKE A MOTION EITHER. WE HAVE A
11 MOTION.

12 MS. CASILLAS: I'LL SECOND.

13 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: AND WE HAVE A --
14 OKAY.

15 MR. TOCHER: MARGUERITE CASILLAS.

16 MS. CASILLAS: FIRST OF ALL, I'LL OPEN THE
17 COMMENT SECTION NOW TO THE BOARD MEMBERS FIRST
18 FOLLOWED BY THE PUBLIC.

19 MR. TOCHER: MR. CHAIR, COULD I JUST GIVE
20 A LITTLE GUIDANCE? BECAUSE THIS PROGRAM IS WHAT WE
21 CALL OVERSUBSCRIBED, SO THERE ARE CURRENTLY PENDING
22 AT THIS MOMENT MORE APPLICATIONS FOR FUNDING THAN
23 CAN BE PROVIDED BY THE PROGRAM BUDGET. THOSE
24 MEMBERS WHO HAVE A CONFLICT WITH RESPECT TO ANY
25 APPLICATION IN THIS GROUP CANNOT PARTICIPATE IN THIS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DISCUSSION.

2 THAT SAID, WHEN WE CALL THE ROLL CALL,
3 WHEN YOUR NAME IS CALLED, YOU CAN INDICATE YOUR VOTE
4 YES OR NO EXCEPT FOR THOSE APPLICATIONS WITH WHICH
5 YOU HAVE A CONFLICT. SO FOR THE DISCUSSION
6 PURPOSES, WE'LL HAVE TO HAVE YOU SIT TIGHT.

7 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. ANY COMMENT
8 FROM BOARD MEMBERS IN THE ROOM?

9 MS. DURON: MR. CHAIR.

10 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES, YSABEL.

11 MS. DURON: I'M NOT COMMENTING ON ANYTHING
12 EXCEPT I WANT TO COMMENT ABOUT COI, CONFLICT OF
13 INTEREST.

14 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: POINT OF ORDER IF
15 YOU WILL. OKAY.

16 MS. DURON: EXCUSE ME. I OFTENTIMES --
17 WHEN I LOOK AT THE LIST, I OFTENTIMES SEE HOW MANY
18 TIMES I'M BOOTED OUT OF THE VOTING ARENA. AND SO I
19 THINK THE PUBLIC SAYING, "SHE HAS A LOT OF
20 CONFLICT." I THINK THAT, IF YOU COULD, DESCRIBE TO
21 THE PUBLIC WHY -- WHO CAN'T VOTE, WHAT CONFLICT OF
22 INTEREST MEANS BECAUSE IT MEANS THAT I HAVE ABOUT A
23 THOUSAND-MILE RELATIONSHIP WITH A STANFORD OR A
24 UCSF. I'M NOT ENGAGED IN ANY OF THESE RESEARCH
25 PROJECTS. SO I DON'T KNOW, IF YOU COULD EXPLAIN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 JUST BECAUSE THERE'S SOME OF US WHO CONSTANTLY ARE
2 ON THE OUTSIDE LOOKING IN.

3 MR. TOCHER: SURE. SO THERE'S NOTHING
4 REALLY EASY TO EXPLAIN ABOUT CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.
5 WHAT I WOULD SAY I THINK INITIALLY THOUGH TO THE
6 APPEARANCE THAT YOU RAISED IS OUR OWN COI POLICY
7 THAT APPLY TO BOARD MEMBERS THAT THE BOARD HAS
8 ADOPTED TO APPLY TO ITS MEMBERS PRECLUDE ANY MEMBER
9 FROM BEING AN AWARDEE OR RECEIVE FUNDS UNDER AN
10 AWARD.

11 SO AS A THRESHOLD MATTER, ANY TIME A
12 MEMBER HAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST, IT'S DUE TO OTHER
13 FINANCIAL INTERESTS THAT THEY HAVE THAT MAY BE
14 CONNECTED TO AN INDIVIDUAL SUBCONTRACT OR AWARDEE ON
15 AN APPLICATION, OR THEY HAVE A FINANCIAL
16 RELATIONSHIP WITH THE INSTITUTION, SUCH AS THEY'RE A
17 FACULTY MEMBER OR THEY HAVE A CONTRACT TO PROVIDE
18 SERVICES TO AN ORGANIZATION. BUT UNDER NO
19 CIRCUMSTANCES IS IT BECAUSE THE BOARD MEMBER STANDS
20 TO RECEIVE ANY SORT OF FUNDS OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.

21 SO IT'S THE STANDARD RULES THAT APPLY TO
22 ANY PUBLIC OFFICIAL AT A CITY COUNCIL OR ANY OTHER
23 STATE AGENCY.

24 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ANY QUESTIONS ON THE
25 MOTION FROM BOARD MEMBERS? IF NOT, IS THERE ANY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC EITHER IN THE ROOM OR ONLINE
2 THAT WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THE MOTION? YOU MAY
3 APPROACH -- I'M GOING TO LET -- CLAUDETTE, WOULD YOU
4 GUIDE. WE HAVE SOMEBODY IN THE ROOM WHO WANTS TO
5 ADDRESS. I THINK YOU NEED TO APPROACH A MICROPHONE,
6 MA'AM.

7 MS. MORALEZ: OKAY. SO WE'RE GOING TO
8 OPEN THE FLOOR FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. IF YOU'RE
9 JOINING US IN PERSON, YOU CAN APPROACH THE
10 MICROPHONE HERE ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF ME, AND YOU MAY
11 STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD. AND WE WILL BE
12 SETTING A TIMER FOR THREE MINUTES. ALSO, FOR ANY
13 PARTICIPANTS THAT ARE ON THE PHONE, IF YOU WOULD
14 PRESS STAR NINE TO RAISE YOUR HAND. AND WHEN YOU'RE
15 CALLED ON, YOU ARE GOING TO PRESS STAR SIX TO UNMUTE
16 YOURSELF. GO AHEAD AND STATE YOUR NAME.

17 MS. LARREA: HI THERE. MY NAME IS ALEXIS.
18 I AM HERE TODAY. I'M AN ATTORNEY AND ADVOCATE AND,
19 MOST IMPORTANTLY, I'M THE MOTHER TO A LITTLE BOY WHO
20 WAS BORN WITH ADRENAL LEUKODYSTROPHY AND WAS
21 IDENTIFIED ON NEWBORN SCREENING.

22 I REMEMBER THE FIRST PHONE CALL THAT
23 COMPLETELY CHANGED MY LIFE. I WAS LOOKING AT A
24 TOTALLY NORMAL, HEALTHY BABY BOY, AND I WAS FACED
25 WITH A COMPLETELY DEVASTATING AND NEUROLOGICAL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONDITION THAT CAME MY WAY THAT I HAD NEVER HEARD
2 OF.

3 THANKFULLY, NEWBORN SCREENING GAVE US
4 SOMETHING THAT PREVIOUS GENERATIONS OF ALD FAMILIES
5 HAVE NEVER HAD, AND THAT'S TIME. TODAY MY SON IS
6 HEALTHY, BUT EVERY MRI THAT WE GO TO GIVES US
7 SOMETHING THAT MANY ALD'S SEE WITHOUT WARNING, AND
8 THAT IS THE ONSET OF CEREBRAL ALD. WHILE CURRENT
9 TREATMENTS HALT THE PROGRESSION OF THIS DISEASE,
10 THEY DON'T ELIMINATE THE CHALLENGES THAT WE'RE FACED
11 WITH.

12 WE HAVE BONE MARROW TRANSPLANTS, WHICH,
13 WHILE EFFECTIVE, DEPEND ON FINDING A SUITABLE DONOR
14 MATCH AND UNDERGOING CHEMOTHERAPY. AND MY HUSBAND
15 AND I UNFORTUNATELY COME FROM DIFFERENT ETHNIC
16 BACKGROUNDS. SO FINDING A DONOR IS INCREDIBLY
17 CHALLENGING IF WE CAN FIND ONE AT ALL.

18 FAMILIES FACING ALD SHOULD NOT HAVE TO
19 CONSIDER THIS OPTION IS WHEN TIME IS ABSOLUTELY
20 CRITICAL AND FACE THIS CHALLENGE. AND SKYSONA AT
21 ONE POINT CREATED A TREMENDOUS HOPE FOR OUR
22 COMMUNITY. HOWEVER, THERE'S CONCERNS AGAIN ABOUT
23 CHEMOTHERAPY, RISKS, ELIGIBILITY, SIDE EFFECTS,
24 ACCESS, AND LONG-TERM OUTCOMES. AND RECENTLY IN
25 AUGUST BLUEBIRD BIO PUT OUT A MEMO NOTING THAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THERE'S A BLACK BOX WARNING THAT 15 PERCENT OF THEIR
2 CLINICAL TRIAL PATIENTS HAVE DEVELOPED LEUKEMIA OR
3 SOME OTHER SORT OF BLOOD CANCER. THAT RETURNED MANY
4 OLD FAMILIES BACK TO A SINGLE, SAFER TREATMENT
5 PATHWAY, THE BONE MARROW TRANSPLANT. THUS, LEAVING
6 US WITH OUR ONLY OPTION AT THIS POINT IN TIME AMONG
7 MANY OF OUR TRANSPLANT CENTERS.

8 THERE IS A CLEAR UNMET NEED FOR ADDITIONAL
9 SAFE OPTIONS FOR CHILDREN WITH CEREBRAL ALD. RARE
10 DISEASE FAMILIES LIKE MINE SPEND MUCH OF OUR LIVES
11 WAITING FOR SOMEONE TO DECIDE WHETHER OUR CHILDREN
12 ARE WORTH INVESTING IN. YOU ALL ARE DIFFERENT.
13 CIRM IS DIFFERENT. YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO SUPPORT
14 THERAPIES THAT OTHERWISE MAY NOT RECEIVE FUNDING
15 THAT THESE CHILDREN DESERVE. AND RESPECTFULLY, THIS
16 IS NOT SIMPLY A QUESTION OF WHETHER THIS RESEARCH
17 SHOULD BE FUNDED. IT IS WHEN.

18 EVERY MONTH MATTERS. DISEASE PROGRESSION
19 DOESN'T PAUSE WHILE FAMILIES SEARCH FOR MATCHES. IT
20 DOESN'T PAUSE WHILE RESEARCHERS SEEK FUNDING FOR OUR
21 FAMILIES. AND IT DOESN'T PAUSE FOR ANOTHER GRANT
22 CYCLE APPLICATION.

23 CHILDREN DIAGNOSED TODAY MAY NOT BE IN THE
24 SAME CLINICAL POSITION SIX MONTHS FROM NOW. AND
25 FAMILIES RACE AGAINST A DISEASE THAT IS NOT WAITING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I'M PART OF FLORIDA'S GENETICS NEWBORN
2 SCREENING AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE. AND WE HAD AN
3 ETHICIST COME AND TALK TO US.

4 MS. MORALEZ: THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.
5 I APPRECIATE YOU COMING. THANK YOU, MA'AM. YOUR
6 THREE MINUTES ARE UP.

7 NEXT PUBLIC COMMENT, WOULD YOU PLEASE COME
8 UP AND MAY STATE YOUR NAME. YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES.
9 THANK YOU.

10 DR. BRATT-LEAL: HI, EVERYBODY. MY NAME
11 IS ANDRES BRATT-LEAL. I'M THE CO-FOUNDER OF ASPEN
12 NEUROSCIENCE AND THE SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT OF
13 RESEARCH.

14 I FIRST CAME TO CIRM ABOUT TEN YEARS AGO
15 WITH PARKINSON'S PATIENTS ADVOCATING FOR OUR GRANT
16 FOR PARKINSON'S DISEASE. AND WE WERE FORTUNATE
17 ENOUGH TO GET A DISC2 AWARD IN 2016. IT TOOK US
18 SEVEN YEARS FROM 2016 TO GET OUR CLINICAL TRIAL
19 STARTED. SINCE THEN WE'VE DOSED 14 PARKINSON'S
20 DISEASE PATIENTS WITH THEIR OWN AUTOLOGOUS
21 IPSC-DERIVED NEURONS. WE'RE THE ONLY GROUP IN THE
22 WORLD THAT CAN SAY THAT, THE MOST ADVANCED
23 AUTOLOGOUS IPSC-DERIVED CELL THERAPY FOR PARKINSON'S
24 DISEASE.

25 AND IN MARCH OF THIS YEAR, WE'RE REALLY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EXCITED TO PRESENT EXCITING 12-MONTH DATA FROM OUR
2 FIRST EIGHT PATIENTS, SHOWING THAT NOT ONLY WAS IT
3 SAFE, BUT THESE PATIENTS WERE GETTING BETTER.
4 SOMETHING THAT'S REALLY EXCITING FOR SOMETHING LIKE
5 PARKINSON'S DISEASE WHERE YOU ONLY GET WORSE.

6 UNFORTUNATELY, SOME OF THE PATIENTS THAT
7 HELPED ADVOCATE FOR THIS PROGRAM IN 2016 DIED BEFORE
8 THE CLINICAL TRIAL STARTED. AND OTHERS SCREEN
9 FAILED IN THE TRIAL BECAUSE THEY HAD DEGENERATED TO
10 THE POINT WHERE THEY WERE NO LONGER ELIGIBLE FOR
11 CELL THERAPY.

12 SO IT'S BECAUSE OF THAT EXPERIENCE THAT I
13 HAD WITH THE PATIENTS AND UNDERSTANDING HOW URGENT
14 THESE CELL THERAPIES ARE TO GET TO PEOPLE THAT I'M
15 COMING TO ADVOCATE FOR OUR GRANT TODAY. OUR GRANT
16 RECEIVED A SCORE OF 84. IT'S 19751. AND I KNOW
17 THAT THERE'S NOT FUNDING FOR THESE GRANTS, AND
18 THERE'S EVEN GRANTS THAT WERE AWARDED A SCORE OF 85
19 THAT ARE NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING AND THAT THE
20 CIRM STAFF IS NOT RECOMMENDING OUR GRANT FOR
21 FUNDING. BUT IT'S REALLY BECAUSE OF MY EXPERIENCE
22 WITH THE PATIENTS AND MY BELIEF IN OUR POTENTIAL
23 CURATIVE THERAPY FOR CEREBRAL ADRENOLEUKODYSTROPHY
24 THAT I FEEL COMPELLED TO COME AND ASK YOU TO
25 CONSIDER OUR GRANT ANYWAY. I THINK THAT IT FITS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CIRM'S PRIORITIES OF CELL THERAPY FOR THE CNS. IT'S
2 AN UNMET RARE DISORDER USING A PLURIPOTENT-BASED
3 STEM CELL-BASED THERAPY. AND IT'S BECAUSE OF OUR
4 CONNECTION WITH PATIENTS LIKE ALEXIS AND HER FAMILY
5 THAT REALLY WANT US TO KEEP MOVING THIS FORWARD AND
6 DO EVERYTHING THAT WE CAN.

7 SO WE'VE BEEN VERY SUCCESSFUL RAISING
8 MONEY FOR PARKINSON'S DISEASE. AND WE'VE BEEN A
9 PARTNER WITH CIRM AND VERY GRATEFUL AND THANKFUL FOR
10 THAT. IT'S BEEN MUCH, MUCH HARDER TO RAISE MONEY
11 FOR A RARE DISEASE. I THINK FROM THE INVESTOR
12 COMMUNITY, IT'S DIFFICULT WHEN YOU SAY WE'RE GOING
13 TO MAYBE BE ABLE TO TREAT A HUNDRED PATIENTS PER
14 YEAR. BUT WITH RARE DISEASES, I THINK THE PERSON ON
15 THE GWG THAT WAS MOST IN THE BEST POSITION TO REVIEW
16 OUR GRANT WAS ALSO ONE OF OUR COLLABORATORS. SO HE
17 WAS RECUSED. AND I THINK THAT'S ONE OF THE
18 CAUTIONARY TALES OF WORKING ON RARE DISEASE.
19 THERE'S JUST NOT A LOT OF EXPERTS. BUT I THINK
20 THAT'S WHY I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO HEAR FROM THE
21 PATIENT COMMUNITY ABOUT THAT UNMET NEED. AND
22 DR. LUNGE SUBMITTED A LETTER IN SUPPORT OF OUR
23 GRANT.

24 MS. MORALES: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH
25 FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. IS THERE ANYBODY ELSE IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE ROOM? ANYBODY ON THE PHONE? AS A REMINDER,
2 THIS IS FOR PDEV-19751 AND BELOW. I DON'T SEE ANY
3 MORE PUBLIC COMMENT.

4 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ANY OTHER FINAL
5 COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS IN THE ROOM? IF NOT, WE
6 CAN CLOSE DISCUSSION AND PROCEED TO A VOTE.

7 MR. TOCHER: AND AS A REMINDER TO MEMBERS
8 BONNEVILLE, DAHL, DURON, FLOWERS, AND MIASKOWSKI,
9 WHEN I CALL YOUR NAMES, PLEASE INDICATE WITH RESPECT
10 TO THOSE WITH WHICH YOU HAVE A CONFLICT.

11 MARIA BONNEVILLE.

12 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES, EXCEPT FOR
13 THOSE WITH WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

14 MR. TOCHER: MARGUERITE CASILLAS.

15 MS. CASILLAS: YES.

16 MR. TOCHER: JUDY CHOU.

17 DR. CHOU: YES.

18 MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.

19 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.

20 MR. TOCHER: SHANNON DAHL.

21 DR. DAHL: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE WITH
22 WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

23 MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

24 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

25 MR. TOCHER: YSABEL DURON.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. DURON: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE WITH
2 WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

3 MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.

4 DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.

5 MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.

6 DR. FLOWERS: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE WITH
7 WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

8 MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.

9 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.

10 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.

11 MR. LAJARA: YES.

12 MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.

13 DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE
14 WITH WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

15 MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.

16 DR. PADILLA: YES.

17 MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.

18 DR. SOUTHARD: YES.

19 MR. TOCHER: YAEL WYTE.

20 DR. WYTE: YES.

21 MR. TOCHER: KEVIN XU.

22 DR. XU: YES.

23 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MOTION
24 CARRIES.

25 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 COULD I ASK THE AV TEAM TO PLEASE SUBSTITUTE THIS
2 SLIDE NOW FOR THE ONE WITH JUST THE TOP EIGHT.

3 MS. MORALEZ: GIL IS CONTROLLING THE SLIDE
4 RIGHT NOW.

5 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: SORRY. PERFECT.
6 THANK YOU, GIL.

7 OKAY. I THINK THE BEST WAY TO FACILITATE
8 THE FURTHER DISCUSSION NOW WOULD BE TO ENTERTAIN A
9 MOTION THAT -- LET ME PRECEDE THAT. THIS SLIDE
10 SHOWS THE EIGHT APPLICATIONS RECOMMENDED BY THE
11 GRANTS WORKING GROUP. WE JUST HEARD A PRESENTATION
12 FROM HAYLEY THAT THE CIRM TEAM HAS RECOMMENDED WE
13 FUND THE TOP FOUR ONLY. WHY? TO FUND ALL EIGHT
14 WOULD COST \$89 MILLION. WE ONLY 42 MILLION. IF WE
15 WERE TO ACCEPT THE TOP FOUR, WE WOULD SPEND ABOUT 41
16 OF THAT 42 MILLION, LEAVING 1.9.

17 OKAY. SO I THINK THE BEST MOTION WOULD BE
18 TO ACCEPT THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE CIRM TEAM TO
19 FUND THE TOP FOUR AND NOT THE OTHERS.

20 DR. SOUTHARD: SO MOVED.

21 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE HAVE A MOTION.

22 MS. CASILLAS: I'LL SECOND.

23 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: SECOND CAME FROM.

24 MR. TOCHER: MARGUERITE.

25 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. OKAY.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SCOTT, YES.

2 MR. TOCHER: AND SO SAME RULES APPLY
3 BECAUSE THERE IS STILL THE PENDING APPLICATIONS
4 EXCEED THE PROGRAM BUDGET. SO, THEREFORE, THE RULES
5 THAT APPLIED A MOMENT AGO APPLY HERE AS WELL. SO IF
6 YOU HAVE A CONFLICT TO ONE, YOU CANNOT PARTICIPATE
7 IN THE DISCUSSION OF THIS.

8 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE'RE GOING TO START
9 WITH COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS FIRST, AND THEN
10 OPEN IT TO THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AS WE USUALLY
11 DO. ANNE-MARIE, YES, DULIEGE.

12 DR. DULIEGE: A COMMENT AND A QUESTION. A
13 COMMENT IS, FIRST, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE TWO
14 PRESENTERS FROM THE PUBLIC WHO CAME. AND WE
15 RECOGNIZE HOW COURAGEOUS IT IS AND PROBABLY
16 UNDERSTAND SOME OF WHAT YOU'RE GOING THROUGH. WE
17 DON'T PRETEND WE UNDERSTAND ALL. WE HAVE ALSO
18 SEVERAL CONSIDERATION THAT COME IN OUR MIND. BUT
19 WANTED TO THANK YOU FOR THE EFFORT THAT YOU MADE
20 TODAY, AND THAT APPLIES TO ALL THESE THAT MAY COME
21 LATER DURING THIS SESSION TODAY.

22 A QUESTION FOR YOU. MANY OF THE REASONS
23 WHY YOU'VE ELIMINATED SOME OF THE FUNDING, AND WE
24 UNDERSTAND YOU HAD TO MAKE A PROPOSAL FOR MAKING
25 SURE THAT YOUR PRESENTATION COMES IN THE BUDGET.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BUT THE REASON WAS THAT YOU DIDN'T FIND, YOU, THE
2 CIRM TEAM, DIDN'T FIND ANY COMPELLING REASONS TO
3 MOVE IT AHEAD. CAN YOU EXPLAIN A LITTLE BIT WHAT,
4 AND GIVE US IN A EXAMPLE OF WHAT A COMPELLING REASON
5 MIGHT BE, FOR INSTANCE.

6 DR. LAM: SO ONE MIGHT BE, FOR EXAMPLE, IF
7 THE PROJECT WAS COMPLETELY UNREPRESENTED IN OUR
8 PORTFOLIO, FOR EXAMPLE. OR I DON'T KNOW IF THE CIRM
9 TEAM WANTS TO CHIME IN HERE WITH ANYTHING IN
10 PARTICULAR. BUT I THINK IT WOULD HAVE TO BE
11 SOMETHING REALLY OUTSIDE OF WHAT WE TYPICALLY WOULD
12 CONSIDER PART OF, LIKE, THE ENTIRETY OF OUR
13 SCIENTIFIC REVIEW IN TERMS OF IF THERE WAS SOME
14 SIGNIFICANT FLAW WITH ONE OF THE RECOMMENDED
15 APPLICATIONS THAT WERE RANKED HIGHER THAT WOULD
16 IMPACT THE WAY THAT WE WOULD ADMINISTER THAT
17 APPLICATION OR ANYTHING.

18 I THINK I WOULD ALSO JUST MAYBE POINT OUT
19 THAT IN TERMS OF LOOKING AT THE EIGHT APPLICATIONS
20 THAT WERE RECOMMENDED, THE TOP FOUR, NOT ONLY JUST
21 PURELY ON THE SCORE, I GUESS, IN TERMS OF THE
22 SCIENTIFIC REVIEW, I WOULD SAY IS THAT THE GRANTS
23 WORKING GROUP DID HAVE SOME CONCERNS WITH SOME OF
24 THOSE PROJECTS AS WELL. BUT I THINK THE CONCERNS
25 THAT WERE BROUGHT UP FOR THE TOP FOUR WERE CONCERNS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT COULD BE EASILY ADDRESSABLE WITH AWARD
2 MANAGEMENT AND MILESTONING AND THAT SORT OF AWARD
3 MANAGEMENT APPROACH. WHEREAS, SOME OF THE CONCERNS
4 THAT WERE BROUGHT UP, I WOULD SAY ON THE FOUR
5 THROUGH EIGHT RANKED APPLICATIONS, HAD A MIX OF
6 THOSE TYPES OF CONCERNS AS WELL AS OTHER CONCERNS
7 THAT COULD BE ADDRESSED IN A RESUBMISSION IN TERMS
8 OF, LET'S SAY, THEIR APPROACH TO THE PROJECT OR THE
9 VALUE PROPOSITION AND OTHER ASPECTS OF THE PROJECT.

10 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ROSA.

11 DR. CANET-AVILES: DR. DULIEGE, IF WE HAD
12 THE MONEY, WE COULD FUND THEM, RIGHT? THE ONE THAT
13 HAS COME UP IS ACTUALLY UNDER THESE FOUR THAT WE SEE
14 HERE, 85. SO IF WE WERE FUND THAT ONE, THERE WOULD
15 HAVE TO BE A VERY STRONG RATIONALE BASED ON THE
16 GUIDING PRINCIPLES THAT OVERPASSES THOSE OTHER FOUR.

17 SO IT'S REALLY ABOUT IF WE HAD THE MONEY,
18 WE WOULD FUND, AND THEN WE COULD GO ONE BY ONE. AND
19 WE BASED OUR RATIONALE ON THE GUIDING PRINCIPLES,
20 THE TRANSFORMATIVE FOR CLINICAL IMPACT, THE PATIENT
21 ACCESS, AND THE DISEASE REPRESENTATION. THOSE WERE
22 THE THREE FACTORS.

23 DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU.

24 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: BOARD MEMBERS? ANY
25 BOARD MEMBERS THAT ARE NOT --

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. SOUTHARD: HAVING REVIEWED SOME OF THE
2 FOUR THAT PASSED THE MARK, BUT WON'T BE FUNDED, THEY
3 WERE REALLY OUTSTANDING PROPOSALS. BUT IF WE DON'T
4 HAVE THE MONEY, WE DON'T HAVE THE MONEY.

5 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, MARVIN.
6 ANY BOARD MEMBER NOT IN THE ROOM WANT TO MAKE A
7 COMMENT? DO YOU SEE ANY?

8 MS. MORALEZ: THERE'S NO HANDS RAISED.

9 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. WE'D LOVE TO
10 HEAR FROM THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC NOW. THE SAME
11 RULES APPLY. PLEASE IDENTIFY YOURSELF FOR THE
12 PUBLIC RECORD, AND YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES. THANK
13 YOU.

14 DR. WANG: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS JIWU
15 WANG. I AM THE FOUNDER AND CEO OF ALLELE BIOTECH.
16 WE ARE THE LEAD APPLICANT OF PDEV-19729. SO I ALSO
17 AM THE FOUNDER AND SCIENTIFIC DIRECTOR AND PROFESSOR
18 AT THE SCINTILLON INSTITUTE I FOUNDED IN SAN DIEGO
19 AS WELL.

20 SO OUR PROJECT AS PROPOSED IS TO PRODUCE
21 IPSC-DERIVED BETA CELLS AND ENCAPSULATE THEM IN A
22 DEVICE DEVELOPED BY OUR PARTNER COMPANY ENCELLIN TO
23 PROVIDE TREATMENT TO T1D TYPE 1 DIABETES. AND OUR
24 COMPELLING REASON I'M TRYING TO PRESENT HERE TO BE
25 FUNDED, TO BE SELECTED IN THIS ROUND, NOT NEXT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ROUND, IS WE CAN BRING THIS PRODUCT TO PATIENTS MUCH
2 QUICKER THAN ANY OTHER PROGRAM THAT'S BEING
3 CONSIDERED OR BEING FUNDED FOR TREATING T1D. THE
4 REASON BEING, ALTHOUGH OUR MAIN SCHEME OF
5 ENCAPSULATION HAS NOT BEEN SUCCESSFUL IN THE FIELD
6 FOR MANY YEARS, BUT THE NEW METHOD WE DEVELOPED
7 THROUGH OUR COLLABORATION WITH ENCELLIN -- MY
8 COLLEAGUE DR. GRACE WEI WILL SPEAK A LITTLE BIT MORE
9 ABOUT THAT AFTER ME -- HAS BEEN TESTED IN HUMANS.
10 IT'S ONGOING AND EXPANDING TRIALS IN CANADA TO SHOW
11 GREAT EFFECTS. AND WE ARE READY FROM THE CELL
12 THERAPY PART.

13 OUR TECHNOLOGY, VERY POWERFUL MESSENGER
14 RNA DIRECTED-IPSC GENERATION AND DIFFERENTIATION,
15 HAS BEEN ADOPTED, LICENSED BY LEADING PLAYERS IN THE
16 IPSC FIELD, LIKE A MAJOR JAPANESE COMPANY HAS BEEN
17 USING OUR TECHNOLOGY TO DEVELOP THERAPIES. AND WE
18 HAVE SHOWN WE COULD PRODUCE BETA CELLS TO PURITY OF
19 96 PERCENT AND ABOVE, WHICH IS TWICE THAT ACHIEVED
20 BY OTHER METHODS IN THE FIELD.

21 SO SIMPLY OUR ENCAPSULATION IS READY, IS
22 IN HUMAN. OUR CELL PRODUCTION IS READY AND CHEAP.
23 THIS IS IN REFERENCE TO ANOTHER PROGRAM MY COMPANY
24 IS DOING FOR THE ARPA-H FUNDING MANUFACTURE
25 BIOPRINTING OF WHOLE LIVER. OUR COST PRODUCING EACH

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CELL TYPE IS ABOUT 10 TO 20 PERCENT OF ANY OTHER
2 COMPUTATIONAL METHODS SUCH AS USING CYTOKINES
3 BECAUSE WE USE MESSENGER RNA. WE ALREADY KNOW THE
4 POWER MESSENGER RNA IN EXPRESSION AND CHANGING
5 THINGS IN BIOLOGY.

6 SO THE LAST POINT I WANT TO MAKE IS THERE
7 ARE SOME NEWER TECHNOLOGIES, ALTERNATIVE. THAT'S --

8 MS. MORALEZ: OKAY. THANK YOU, SIR.

9 THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENT. DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER
10 PUBLIC COMMENT IN THE ROOM? THANK YOU. STATE YOUR
11 NAME FOR THE RECORD, AND YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES.

12 DR. WEI: I'M GRACE WEI, CO-FOUNDER OF
13 ENCELLIN BASED IN THE BAY AREA. I'M SPEAKING IN
14 SUPPORT OF 19729 PDEV. ENCELLIN, I'M HERE TO GIVE
15 AN UPDATE ON OUR ACTIVITIES SINCE THE TIME OF
16 APPLICATION. OUR TRIAL NCT 06408311 CONCLUDED A FEW
17 MONTHS AGO. WE HAVE ONGOING DATA COMING FROM THAT
18 WHICH WAS PRIMARY ISLETS WHICH IS THE CURRENT USE IN
19 TRANSPLANT. AND THOSE RESULTS ARE ONGOING, AND WE
20 SEE ISLETS COMING OUT OF THOSE DEVICES THAT HAVE
21 BEEN TAKEN OUT TO LOOK AT. AND WE HAVE JUST SIGNED
22 A CONTRACT FOR FUNDING FOR A NEW TRIAL IN CANADA,
23 AGAIN, WITH ISLETS. AND WE WOULD LOVE TO BRING A
24 TRIAL BACK TO CALIFORNIA WHICH IS HOME TO THE
25 COMPANY. WE WERE A SPIN-OUT FROM UCSF, WHICH WAS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ALSO FUNDED THROUGH THE WORK OF TEJAL DESAI ON
2 DEVELOPING THESE MEMBRANES.

3 SO THE ENCAPSULATION PROCESS, AS JIWU
4 MENTIONED, HASN'T NOT SUCCEEDED TILL WE HAVE. AND
5 THE KEY DIFFERENTIATOR IS IT PROTECTS AND CARRIES
6 THE CELLS IN YOUR BODY AS OPPOSED TO RIGHT NOW
7 YOU'RE EITHER GOING TO HAVE TO TAKE A LOT OF
8 IMMUNOSUPPRESSION DRUGS. OR IF YOU DON'T DO THAT
9 AND YOUR CELLS ARE TRYING TO EVADE, YOU DON'T HAVE A
10 SAFETY VALVE NECESSARILY.

11 SOME OF THE THINGS THAT WE'VE LEARNED IN
12 WORKING IN OUR TRIAL IN CANADA, CANADA IS 25 TIMES
13 THE SIZE OF CALIFORNIA. IT HAS ABOUT THE SAME
14 NUMBER OF PEOPLE. IT HAS VERY REMOTE GEOGRAPHIES.
15 OUR THERAPY ENCAPSULATED COULD BE PACKAGED IN A BOX,
16 SENT ON A BUSH PLANE ON FLIGHTS FIVE MILES NORTH,
17 AND YOU CAN HAVE A LOCAL NERVE BLOCK. YOU CAN HAVE
18 A LOCAL PROCEDURE DONE IN TEN MINUTES. THAT'S HOW
19 LONG IT TOOK IN OUR LAST TRIAL TO IMPLANT AND YOU
20 WOULD BE DONE.

21 SO IN TERMS OF YOUR PRESENTATION ON THE
22 COMMUNITY CARE THERAPIES CLINICS, I THINK THIS IS
23 SOMETHING THAT COULD BE DEPLOYED WITHOUT A LOT OF
24 EXTRA SPECIALIZED TRAINING OR PERSONNEL. AND IT
25 COULD OBVIOUSLY SHIP WITHIN CALIFORNIA VERY EASILY.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO I THINK THAT WOULD BE OUR ARGUMENT TO HAVE IT
2 CONSIDERED AS A CANDIDATE FOR A THERAPY. THANK YOU.

3 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU.

4 MS. MORALEZ: ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANYBODY
5 ELSE IN PERSON? I DON'T SEE ANYBODY ELSE. I DO SEE
6 A HAND RAISED ON THE PHONE. IF ANYBODY ELSE ON THE
7 PHONE LINE WANTS TO MAKE A COMMENT, PLEASE PRESS
8 STAR NINE TO RAISE YOUR HAND. BUT NUMBER THAT ENDS
9 IN 3750, IF YOU WOULD PRESS STAR SIX AND STATE YOUR
10 NAME, AND YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES TO MAKE A
11 COMMENT.

12 MR. GRAND: HI. MY NAME IS PAUL GRAND.
13 I'M THE CEO OF MEDTECH INNOVATOR, AND I'M SPEAKING
14 ON BEHALF ALSO OF PDEV-19729 AS GRACE JUST DID.

15 SO JUST FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO DON'T KNOW,
16 MEDTECH INNOVATOR IS THE LARGEST HEALTHCARE
17 ACCELERATOR IN THE WORLD. WE WORK WITH COMPANIES
18 FROM EARLY STAGES ALL THE WAY THROUGH
19 COMMERCIALIZATION. WE'VE SUPPORTED 838 COMPANIES
20 WHO HAVE GRADUATED OUR ACCELERATOR AND HAVE GONE ON
21 TO BRING 500 FDA APPROVED AND CLEARED PRODUCTS TO
22 THE MARKET, WHICH IS PRETTY INCREDIBLE AND
23 IMPACTFUL. AND ABOUT 25 PERCENT OF THOSE ARE BASED
24 IN CALIFORNIA, WHICH IS FANTASTIC. SO WE'RE HUGE
25 FANS OF CALIFORNIA AND CALIFORNIA INNOVATION.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I'M ALSO A TYPE 1 DIABETIC MYSELF. SO
2 THIS TECHNOLOGY IS VERY RELEVANT FOR ME PERSONALLY.
3 WE SEE ABOUT 2,500 COMPANIES APPLYING TO GET INTO
4 OUR ACCELERATOR EVERY YEAR. ENCELLIN, THE COMPANY
5 BEHIND THIS THERAPY, IS ONE OF THOSE GRADUATES OF
6 MEDTECH INNOVATOR. AND IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH
7 THE FACT THAT I'M A TYPE 1 DIABETIC. THEY DID THAT
8 BEFORE I WAS EVEN DIAGNOSED AT AGE 49, LATE IN LIFE.

9 SO JUST VERY QUICKLY, I JUST WANTED TO SAY
10 THAT THE TECHNOLOGY THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE
11 HAS GONE THROUGH A HUGE VETTING PROCESS AT MEDTECH
12 INNOVATOR JUST TO GET ACCEPTED. OUT OF THOUSANDS OF
13 APPLICATIONS, WE ONLY ACCEPT ABOUT 3 TO 4 PERCENT OF
14 THE APPLICANTS EVERY YEAR. AND THIS TECHNOLOGY
15 WOULD DRAMATICALLY IMPROVE ACCESS FOR PATIENTS BOTH
16 IN A TIMELY MANNER, MEANING THAT THEY'LL GET THIS
17 THERAPY MUCH FASTER THAN SOME OF THE OTHER
18 TECHNOLOGIES BEING DEVELOPED FOR TYPE 1. THE
19 TREATMENTS THAT REQUIRE INJECTION INTO THE PORTAL
20 VEINS ARE JUST NOT GOING TO BE NEARLY AS COMPELLING
21 AS AN IMPLANT AS THIS TECHNOLOGY IS AND IT ALSO
22 DOESN'T NEED THE KIND OF ENGINEERING OF THE CELLS,
23 WHICH IS SOMETHING, I THINK, IS, WHILE VERY
24 EXCITING, THIS IS, I BELIEVE, A BETTER APPROACH.
25 AND, BY THE WAY, THERE'S NOTHING WRONG WITH HAVING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MULTIPLE HORSES IN THE RACE. SO EVEN IF YOU'RE
2 FUNDING SOME OF THESE OTHER APPROACHES, I THINK THIS
3 ONE IS WORTH FUNDING FOR SURE.

4 AND THEN, LASTLY, I THINK FROM AN ACCESS
5 PERSPECTIVE BEYOND TIMING, BECAUSE THIS WILL GET TO
6 MARKET FASTER THAN SOME OF OTHER THERAPIES, ALSO
7 THERE'S THIS INCREDIBLE ABILITY TO IMPROVE ACCESS,
8 AS GRACE WAS SAYING, TO PATIENTS IN RURAL AREAS WHO
9 TODAY JUST DO NOT HAVE ACCESS TO PRIMARY CARE AT THE
10 ADVANCED KIND OF LEVELS WE WOULD HAVE AT ACADEMIC
11 MEDICAL CENTERS IN PLACES LIKE SAN FRANCISCO AND
12 L.A. WHERE I LIVE.

13 SO JUST TO GIVE YOU THE THUMBS UP FROM MY
14 PERSPECTIVE, THIS ONE IS INCREDIBLY EXCITING, WORTH
15 FUNDING AND SUPPORTING. AND IT'S DIFFICULT TO RAISE
16 VENTURE CAPITAL FOR THESE KINDS OF --

17 MS. MORALEZ: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU FOR
18 YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. BACK TO YOU. THERE ARE NO
19 OTHER HANDS RAISED.

20 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. THANK YOU,
21 DR. GRAND.

22 HAYLEY, I HAVE A QUESTION FOR YOU BEFORE
23 WE CLOSE CONVERSATION. IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL IF THE
24 COMMUNITY KNEW THE NEXT OPPORTUNITY TO APPLY FOR
25 THIS PROGRAM OR REAPPLY.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. LAM: YES. SO WE'RE ANTICIPATING THAT
2 APPLICATIONS ARE SCHEDULED TO OPEN THE END OF JULY,
3 EARLY AUGUST --

4 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OF THIS YEAR.

5 DR. LAM: OF THIS YEAR, JUST IN A MONTH
6 ESSENTIALLY. AND THAT THE APPLICATIONS WILL BE DUE
7 IN OCTOBER. SO APPLICATION OPEN END OF JULY AND DUE
8 IN OCTOBER. THANK YOU.

9 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, HAYLEY.
10 OKAY.

11 FURTHER COMMENT FROM THE BOARD? IF NOT,
12 THE MOTION, ONCE AGAIN, IS TO ACCEPT THE
13 RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE TEAM, WHICH IS TO FUND THE
14 TOP FOUR AND NOT TO FUND THE BOTTOM FOUR.

15 MR. TOCHER: AND, AGAIN, THOSE MEMBERS
16 WITH A CONFLICT ARE MEMBERS BONNEVILLE, DAHL,
17 DULIEGE, DURON, FLOWERS, MIASKOWSKI.

18 MARIA BONNEVILLE.

19 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES, EXCEPT FOR
20 THOSE WITH WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

21 MR. TOCHER: MARGUERITE CASILLAS.

22 MS. CASILLAS: YES.

23 MR. TOCHER: JUDY CHOU. LEONDRA
24 CLARK-HARVEY.

25 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: SHANNON DAHL.

2 DR. DAHL: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE WITH
3 WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

4 MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

5 DR. DULIEGE: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE WITH
6 WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

7 MR. TOCHER: YSABEL DURON.

8 MS. DURON: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE WITH
9 WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

10 MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.

11 DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.

12 MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.

13 DR. FLOWERS: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE WITH
14 WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

15 MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.

16 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.

17 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.

18 MR. LAJARA: YES.

19 MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.

20 DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE
21 WITH WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

22 MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.

23 DR. PADILLA: YES.

24 MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.

25 DR. SOUTHARD: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON. YAEL WYTE.

2 DR. WYTE: YES.

3 MR. TOCHER: AND KEVIN XU.

4 DR. XU: YES.

5 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU. THE MOTION
6 CARRIES.

7 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MOTION CARRIES.
8 THANK YOU.

9 IF NOW YOU WOULD TURN TO THE FIRST PAGE OF
10 THAT HANDOUT, WE'RE GOING TO MOVE TO AGENDA ITEM 12,
11 CONSIDERATION OF THOSE APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN
12 RESPONSE TO OUR CLIN2 PROGRAM, OUR CLINICAL PROGRAM.
13 AND DR. SAMBRANO IS GOING TO LEAD THIS PRESENTATION.
14 THANK YOU, GIL.

15 DR. SAMBRANO: OKAY. GOOD MORNING,
16 EVERYONE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WILL TRY TO
17 EXPEDITE A LITTLE BIT BECAUSE I KNOW WE'RE RUNNING A
18 LITTLE LATE. CAN WE SWITCH THE SLIDES TO THE NEXT
19 PRESENTATION PLEASE. THANK YOU.

20 ALL RIGHT. OKAY. SO I'M GOING TO PRESENT
21 TO YOU THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE GRANTS WORKING
22 GROUP AS IT RELATES TO THE CLIN2 PROGRAM. I'M GOING
23 TO GO OVER WHAT THE PROGRAM IS ABOUT, THE REVIEW
24 PROCESS, AND THEN CONCLUDE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS
25 FROM THE WORKING GROUP ITSELF.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO AS MENTIONED BY HAYLEY AND OTHERS, WE
2 HAVE STRATEGIC IMPACT GOALS THAT WE ARE TRYING TO
3 ACHIEVE THROUGH THESE FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES. FOR
4 THE CLIN2 PROGRAM IN PARTICULAR, WE WANT TO PROPEL
5 15 TO 20 THERAPIES THAT TARGET DISEASES AFFECTING
6 CALIFORNIANS TO LATE STAGE TRIALS. AND WE DO HAVE
7 AN UPDATED CLIN2 THIS CYCLE REPRESENTS THAT INCLUDES
8 SUPPORT FOR EMERGING NOVEL CLINICAL TRIAL DESIGNS.
9 IT INCENTIVIZES STAGE APPROPRIATE MARKET ACCESS
10 STRATEGY, DEVELOPMENT, AND PRECOMMERCIALIZATION
11 ACTIVITIES, AND INCORPORATES PRIORITIZATION OF
12 INNOVATIVE THERAPIES FOR DISEASES THAT AFFECT
13 CALIFORNIANS.

14 SO THE OBJECTIVE OF THE CLIN2 PROGRAM IS
15 TO SUPPORT THE COMPLETION OF AN INTERVENTIONAL PHASE
16 1/2 OR 3 TRIAL FOR AN INNOVATIVE STEM-CELL BASED OR
17 GENETIC THERAPY THAT'S ADDRESSING A SERIOUS UNMET
18 NEED WITH POTENTIAL FOR TRANSFORMATIVE BENEFITS TO
19 PATIENTS, FAMILIES, AND THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM.

20 AND SO WE DESIGNED THE PROGRAM WITH THAT
21 IN MIND. THIS IS JUST AN OVERVIEW OF THE STRUCTURE
22 ITSELF. FOR THE CLIN2, WE SUPPORT FOUR CYCLES OF
23 THIS PROGRAM PER YEAR. SO IT'S ON A QUARTERLY
24 BASIS. THERE ARE CO-FUNDING AND MAXIMUM AWARD
25 REQUIREMENTS THAT DIFFER DEPENDING ON THE STAGE OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE CLINICAL TRIAL AS WELL AS WHETHER THE APPLICANT
2 IS A FOR-PROFIT OR NONPROFIT. AND THEN FOR
3 NON-CALIFORNIA ORGANIZATIONS WHICH ARE ELIGIBLE TO
4 APPLY, THERE ARE RESTRICTIONS IN TERMS OF WHERE THE
5 FUNDING CAN BE UTILIZED.

6 OUR ESTIMATE FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR IS TO
7 MAKE 9 TO 16 AWARDS BECAUSE THEY VARY IN AMOUNTS
8 THAT CAN RANGE FROM UNDER 5 MILLION TO 15 MILLION,
9 THAT IS AN ESTIMATE, FROM THE TOTAL ALLOCATION WE
10 HAD THIS YEAR OF 135 MILLION.

11 ALL RIGHT. SO LET ME GO OVER THE REVIEW
12 PROCESS. SO THE REVIEW PROCESS THAT WE USE FOR THIS
13 PARTICULAR CYCLE UTILIZED THE QUALIFICATION PROCESS
14 THAT USES PREFERENCES IN ORDER TO MAKE OBJECTIVE
15 ASSESSMENTS OF APPLICATIONS THAT COME IN AND NARROW
16 THE POOL. THIS WILL BE THE LAST CYCLE THAT UTILIZES
17 THIS METHOD.

18 BUT UNDER THIS METHOD, THE TOP SEVEN
19 APPLICATIONS ADVANCE AND THEN GO INTO AN ELIGIBILITY
20 ASSESSMENT, MERIT REVIEW, AND ULTIMATELY THE FUNDING
21 DECISION WHICH COMES TO THE APPLICATION REVIEW
22 SUBCOMMITTEE. AND IN TERMS OF THE NUMBERS THAT WE
23 STARTED WITH, WE STARTED WITH 14 APPLICATIONS THAT
24 WERE SUBMITTED THAT WENT THROUGH THAT QUALIFICATION
25 PROCESS. SEVEN ADVANCED, SEVEN WERE ELIGIBLE, AND

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THREE WERE RECOMMENDED BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.

2 THE PANEL COMPOSITION IS SIMILAR TO THAT
3 WHICH HAYLEY DESCRIBED FOR PDEV; BUT IN THIS CASE,
4 WE ALSO HAVE THE ADDITION OF ACCESS AND
5 AFFORDABILITY EXPERTS THAT HELP US ASSESS THE ACCESS
6 PLANS THAT ARE PROVIDED BY THE APPLICANTS TO BETTER
7 ESTIMATE THEIR ABILITY TO ADVANCE A THERAPY THAT'S
8 ULTIMATELY GOING TO BE ACCESSIBLE AND THAT THEY HAVE
9 PLANS IN PLACE THAT WILL HELP THEM DO THAT.

10 THE SCALE FOR SCORING IS THE SAME AS WITH
11 PDEV. IT'S A RANGE FROM 1 TO 100 WITH 85 AND ABOVE
12 REPRESENTING EXCEPTIONAL MERIT AND WARRANTING
13 FUNDING. BELOW 85, THOSE APPLICATIONS ARE NOT
14 RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING. AND SIMILARLY, THE SCORING
15 HERE IS HOLISTIC BASED ON ALL OF THE REVIEW CRITERIA
16 THAT WE WILL SHOW YOU HERE. THE VALUE PROPOSITION,
17 MEANING WHAT IS THE OVERALL VALUE OF THIS THERAPY
18 AND WHETHER IT OFFERS TRANSFORMATIVE AND COMPELLING
19 VALUE PROPOSITION. THE RATIONALE. THE PLAN AND
20 DESIGN. THE PROJECT TEAM AND RESOURCES. AND THE
21 POPULATION IMPACT.

22 ALL RIGHT. SO LET'S GET TO, THEN, THE
23 RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. SO
24 WE DID HAVE SEVEN APPLICATIONS. THERE WERE THREE
25 THAT WERE RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING. THE NOT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 RECOMMENDED SHOWS TWO BECAUSE TWO WITHDREW. SO
2 THERE'S REALLY FOUR THAT WERE NOT RECOMMENDED, BUT
3 THERE'S TWO THAT REMAIN UNDER CONSIDERATION.

4 THE THREE THAT ARE RECOMMENDED HAVE A
5 TOTAL APPLICANT REQUEST OF ABOUT 21 MILLION. THE
6 FUNDS AVAILABLE ARE 61. SO THERE ARE ADEQUATE FUNDS
7 TO FUND ALL THREE.

8 IN TERMS OF MINORITY REPORT, WE HAVE ONE
9 APPLICATION THAT QUALIFIED FOR A MINORITY REPORT. I
10 WON'T GO THROUGH WHAT A MINORITY REPORT IS SINCE WE
11 JUST HEARD THAT FROM HAYLEY. SO THIS IS THE
12 APPLICATION THAT QUALIFIED FOR THE MINORITY REPORT.
13 IT IS CLIN2-20117. IT IS A PHASE 1/2A DOSE
14 ESCALATION STUDY FOR THE TREATMENT OF FOCAL
15 ARTICULAR CARTILAGE DEFECTS IN THE KNEE. AND THIS
16 RECEIVED A SCORE OF 80.

17 CIRM'S POSITION ON THIS IS THAT WE SUPPORT
18 THE MAJORITY POSITION TO NOT FUND THIS APPLICATION
19 AT THIS TIME.

20 HERE IS A SUMMARY, THEN, OF THE CIRM TEAM
21 AS WELL AS THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS,
22 WHICH IS TO FUND THESE THREE APPLICATIONS THAT
23 SCORED 85 OR HIGHER FOR THE TOTAL AMOUNT SHOWN
24 THERE.

25 I'M GOING TO GO THROUGH EACH OF THESE JUST

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO THAT WE CAN UNDERSTAND A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT
2 EACH OF THE RECOMMENDED ONES AS WELL AS THE ONE THAT
3 RECEIVED A MINORITY REPORT. SO WE CREATED A SLIDE,
4 AND THERE'S ALSO A MEMO THAT DESCRIBES SOME OF THE
5 BACKGROUND THAT THE TEAM LOOKED AT IN EACH OF THESE
6 PROJECTS TO ASSESS WHAT THE TEAM RECOMMENDATION
7 WOULD BE.

8 SO FOR THE FIRST ONE, WHICH IS
9 CLIN2-19848, THIS IS ENTITLED "A PHASE 1/2A
10 FIRST-IN-HUMAN DOSE ESCALATION STUDY IN SUBJECTS
11 WITH PROGRESSIVE MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS." THIS IS A
12 THERAPEUTIC THAT IS COMPOSED OF CAR-T REGULATORY
13 CELLS. AND WHAT THEY DO IS THEY BASICALLY REDUCE
14 THE POPULATION OF B-CELLS AND ALSO INCREASE THE
15 NUMBER OF REGULATORY T-CELLS IN THE IMMUNE SYSTEM TO
16 BRING THAT ASPECT OF THE IMMUNE SYSTEM BACK WHICH IS
17 NOT WORKING PROPERLY IN MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS PATIENTS.

18 SO WITH THIS THERAPY, THE CIRM TEAM
19 RECOMMENDATION IS BOTH BASED ON THE GRANTS WORKING
20 GROUP SCORING AS WELL AS THE PATIENT ACCESS
21 CONSIDERATIONS OF THE PROJECT AND THE NOVELTY OF
22 THIS INDICATION TOGETHER WITH THE APPROACH THAT THEY
23 ARE USING.

24 SO THE CIRM PORTFOLIO SHOWS THAT THE PDEV
25 PORTFOLIO AND ACTIVE CLIN2 PORTFOLIO DO NOT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CURRENTLY CONTAIN ANY AWARDS THAT ARE ADDRESSING
2 MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS. SO THIS WOULD BRING A PROJECT
3 IN THIS AREA INTO THE PORTFOLIO. THE ALLOGENEIC
4 CAR-T REGS ARE A PARTICULARLY DISTINCT MODALITY IN
5 THAT PORTFOLIO. AND WHEN LOOKING MORE GLOBALLY AT
6 EXTERNAL PROJECTS OUTSIDE OF CIRM, AS WE KNOW, THERE
7 ARE NO CURRENTLY APPROVED TREATMENTS. AND THIS,
8 EVEN AMONG EXISTING TRIALS, LATE STAGE TRIALS, OF
9 WHICH WE COUNT 11, THIS STILL REPRESENTS A NOVEL
10 MODALITY COMPARED TO THOSE PROGRAMS.

11 OKAY. SO LET ME GO TO THE NEXT
12 APPLICATION. ALL RIGHT. SO THE NEXT APPLICATION IS
13 A PHASE 1/2 STUDY OF AN AAV-9 GENE THERAPY IN
14 PATIENTS WITH FOX G1 SYNDROME. AND SO FOX G1
15 SYNDROME IS A NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISORDER THAT IS AN
16 ULTRA-RARE DISEASE. AND SO IN THIS APPLICATION THEY
17 PROPOSE TO ADDRESS THIS THROUGH A GENE THERAPY
18 APPROACH WHICH WOULD BASICALLY PROVIDE THE FOX G1
19 GENE IN PATIENTS IN ORDER TO THEN RECOVER THAT
20 OTHERWISE FAILED ABILITY IN PATIENTS.

21 AND SO FROM CIRM'S ASSESSMENT, THIS
22 APPROACH WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS DESCRIBED BY
23 REVIEWERS AS ADDRESSING A CLEAR UNMET NEED WITH A
24 HIGH POTENTIAL TO RESULT IN POSITIVE, MEANINGFUL
25 CLINICAL OUTCOMES. THE PDEV AND CLIN2 PORTFOLIO DO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 NOT CURRENTLY CONTAIN ANY AWARDS THAT ADDRESS FOX G1
2 SYNDROME. AND IT'S ASSESSMENT OF THE COMPETITIVE
3 LANDSCAPE, THERE ARE NO APPROVED U.S. TREATMENTS
4 THAT ARE ADDRESSING THIS, NOR CLINICAL TRIALS THAT
5 WERE NOTED.

6 OKAY. NEXT APPLICATION IS CLIN2-19526.
7 SO THIS IS A PROPOSAL FOR AN AAV IMMUNE GENE THERAPY
8 FOR HIGH-GRADE GLIOMAS. AND THE HIGH-GRADE GLIOMAS
9 INCLUDES GLIOBLASTOMA AS WELL AS OTHER SEVERE BRAIN
10 TUMORS.

11 AND THE CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDATION ON THIS
12 IS ALIGNED WITH THE GWG SCORING. THE CIRM PORTFOLIO
13 DIVERSIFICATION THROUGH A NOVEL THERAPEUTIC APPROACH
14 AND DIFFERENTIATION COMPARED TO THE EXTERNAL
15 LANDSCAPE ARE KEY REASONS FOR THIS. SO THE
16 APPROACH, AS MENTIONED, IS AGNOSTIC TO THE TUMOR
17 SUBTYPE. SO IT INCLUDES GLIOBLASTOMA AS WELL AS
18 OTHER HIGH-GRADE GLIOMAS WHERE THERE IS NO
19 ESTABLISHED STANDARD OF CARE. THE REVIEWERS DID
20 DESCRIBE THIS AS A CLEAR UNMET NEED AND ONE THAT
21 COULD RESULT IN A POSITIVE MEANINGFUL CLINICAL
22 OUTCOME.

23 THE PDEV AND CLIN PORTFOLIO DO CONTAIN
24 SOME AWARDS HERE. THE PDEV CONTAINS ONE AWARD THAT
25 ADDRESSES GLIOMA, AND THE CLIN2 PORTFOLIO CONTAINS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FIVE AWARDS THAT ADDRESS GLIOMA.

2 THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT HAS A SOMEWHAT
3 UNIQUE AND DISTINCT THERAPEUTIC APPROACH COMPARED TO
4 THOSE EXISTING AWARDS. SO ALTHOUGH IT ADDS TO THE
5 SAME INDICATION, IT DOES BRING A NEW APPROACH IN
6 TERMS OF THE THERAPEUTIC. AND IN TERMS OF THE
7 EXTERNAL LANDSCAPE, THERE ARE ABOUT 49 LATE STAGE
8 CLINICAL TRIALS THAT WERE NOTED; BUT, OF COURSE, NO
9 APPROVED TREATMENTS AT THIS TIME. AND EVEN COMPARED
10 TO THOSE LANDSCAPE PROGRAMS, THIS DOES REPRESENT A
11 NOVEL MODALITY IN COMPARISON.

12 ALL RIGHT. SO THOSE ARE THE THREE THAT
13 ARE RECOMMENDED BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP AND THE
14 TEAM. I DO WANT TO MAKE A MENTION OF THE OTHER
15 APPLICATION THAT RECEIVED A MINORITY REPORT JUST FOR
16 YOUR AWARENESS.

17 SO THIS IS CLIN2-20117. THIS IS A PHASE
18 1/2A DOSE-EXCALATION STUDY FOR THE TREATMENT OF
19 FOCAL ARTICULAR CARTILAGE DEFECTS IN THE KNEE. AND
20 SO THIS ONE THAT PROPOSES USING ALLOGENEIC HUMAN
21 EMBRYONIC STEM CELL-DERIVED CHONDROCYTES AS A CELL
22 THERAPY TO TREAT ARTICULAR CARTILAGE DEFECTS. AND
23 THE CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDATION IS BASED BOTH ON THE
24 GWG SCORING AS WELL AS SOME NOTED CONCERNS BY THE
25 GRANTS WORKING GROUP THAT WE SHARE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE PROPOSAL ITSELF, AS NOTED MULTIPLE
2 TIMES IN THE SUMMARY, SEEMS TO CONFLATE
3 OSTEOARTHRITIS WITH FOCAL CARTILAGE LESIONS, MEANING
4 THERE IS A TARGET POPULATION THAT INCLUDES ABOUT 27
5 MILLION FOR OSTEOARTHRITIS, BUT THE ACTUAL IMPACTED
6 POPULATION WITH FOCAL ARTICULAR CARTILAGE DEFECTS IS
7 MORE ON THE ORDER OF 30 TO 70,000.

8 AND SO I THINK THAT'S ONE KEY ELEMENT THAT
9 WAS NOTED IN OVERSTATING THE OVERALL CLINICAL IMPACT
10 AND ADDRESSABLE PATIENT POPULATION THAT THIS COULD
11 HAVE. AND THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP ALSO DID HAVE
12 QUESTIONS AS TO WHETHER THIS REPRESENTED AN UNMET
13 NEED GIVEN THAT THERE ARE EXISTING TREATMENTS FOR
14 FOCAL ARTICULAR CARTILAGE DEFECTS.

15 IN TERMS OF THE PORTFOLIO, WE DO HAVE ONE
16 AWARD THAT ADDRESSES FOCAL CARTILAGE DEFECTS USING
17 HUMAN EMBRYONIC-DERIVED CHONDROCYTES. AND THE CLIN2
18 PORTFOLIO CONTAINS ONE GENE THERAPY AWARD THAT
19 ADDRESSES OSTEOARTHRITIS.

20 IN THE EXTERNAL LANDSCAPE, THERE ARE THREE
21 LATE STAGE CLINICAL PROGRAMS ADDRESSING FOCAL
22 ARTICULAR CARTILAGE DEFECTS OR CARTILAGE
23 DEGENERATION AND ONE APPROVED U.S. CELL/GENE THERAPY
24 TREATMENT ADDRESSING FOCAL ARTICULAR CARTILAGE
25 DEFECTS IN THE KNEE. AND THIS ONE WOULD REPRESENT A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 NOVEL MODALITY COMPARED TO THOSE EXTERNAL LANDSCAPE
2 PROGRAMS.

3 ALL RIGHT. SO THAT IS A REVIEW OF EACH OF
4 THESE PROJECTS. AND SO, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE CAN GO
5 BACK AND DISCUSS.

6 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GREAT. PLEASE STAY
7 AT THE PODIUM, GIL. THANK YOU FOR THE PRESENTATION
8 ON EACH OF THESE APPLICATIONS.

9 I HAVE A QUESTION. IN ORDER TO SCULPT THE
10 BEST OPENING MOTION, ARE THERE ONE OR TWO
11 APPLICATIONS THAT ARE NOT RECOMMENDED BY EITHER?

12 DR. SAMBRANO: SO THERE ARE TWO
13 APPLICATIONS UNDER CONSIDERATION THAT ARE NOT
14 RECOMMENDED BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP OR THE TEAM.
15 AND IT INCLUDES THE ONE WITH THE MINORITY REPORT.

16 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: EXACTLY. THANK YOU
17 FOR THE CLARIFICATION. THAT'S PRECISELY WHAT I
18 WANTED TO HEAR. SO THE CHAIR WOULD ENTERTAIN A
19 MOTION FIRST, AS WE DID WITH THE PDEV, TO REMOVE
20 FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION FROM FUNDING THOSE TWO
21 APPLICATIONS THAT WERE NOT RECOMMENDED BY EITHER THE
22 TEAM OR THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.

23 DR. DULIEGE: I MOVE.

24 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ANNE-MARIE MOVED.

25 MS. CASILLAS: I CAN SECOND.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MARGUERITE SECONDED.
2 GOOD. OKAY. ANY DISCUSSION ON THIS MOTION FROM
3 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? I DON'T SEE ANY. ANY ONLINE?
4 NO. OKAY. ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC WHO WOULD LIKE
5 TO ADDRESS THIS MOTION?

6 MS. MORALEZ: ALL RIGHT. IF THERE'S ANY
7 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC IN PERSON, WOULD YOU PLEASE
8 MAKE A LINE HERE AND COME UP WITH THE MICROPHONE
9 HERE ON MY RIGHT-HAND SIDE. AND FOR ANY MEMBER THAT
10 IS ON THE PHONE, PRESS STAR NINE TO RAISE YOUR HAND.

11 OKAY. WE HAVE TWO PEOPLE ON THE PHONE.
12 THE NUMBER ENDING IN 1046, PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME
13 FOR THE RECORD AND LET US KNOW WHAT APPLICATION
14 YOU'RE CALLING ABOUT, AND YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES.
15 PHONE NUMBER THAT ENDS IN 1046, WOULD YOU PLEASE
16 UNMUTE YOURSELF BY PRESSING STAR SIX.

17 MR. UVERA: SORRY. MY NAME IS AARON
18 UVERA. I AM COMING HERE TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF THE
19 BATTEN CLN6 MOTION.

20 I AM AN EXECUTIVE CHEF AND THE PROUD
21 FATHER OF CHARLIE PEARL UVERA WHO IS FIVE YEARS OLD.
22 CHARLIE IS AN AMAZING YOUNG GIRL WHO LIGHTENS UP
23 EVERY ROOM THAT SHE WALKS INTO AND IS FRIENDS WITH
24 EVERYBODY. SHE'S INCREDIBLY VIBRANT AND FULL OF
25 LIFE, BUT WE ARE FIGHTING CLN6 BATTEN DISEASE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I WANT TO SPEAK DIRECTLY TO THE HESITATION
2 THAT YOU MIGHT FEEL LOOKING AT THE SCIENTIFIC
3 PANEL'S ORIGINAL SCORE. I COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND AND
4 RESPECT THE NECESSITY OF RIGID SCIENTIFIC REVIEW.
5 WHEN THIS APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED MONTHS AGO, THE
6 REVIEWERS FLAGGED LEGITIMATE CONCERNS REGARDING
7 MANUFACTURING READINESS, POTENCY, ESSAYS, AND
8 REGULATORY ALIGNMENT. BUT I'M CALLING TODAY TO TELL
9 YOU THAT THE PROGRAM YOU ARE VOTING ON THIS
10 AFTERNOON IS COMPLETELY DIFFERENT FROM THE SNAPSHOT
11 REVIEWED MONTHS AGO.

12 EVERY SINGLE TECHNICAL GAP IDENTIFIED BY
13 THE GWG HAS BEEN BRIDGED. THE ANALYTICAL TESTING IS
14 COMPLETE. THE CELL-BASED POTENCY ESSAYS REQUIRED BY
15 REGULATORS ARE FULLY VALIDATED. THE MANUFACTURING
16 PATH HAS BEEN COMPLETELY STABILIZED TO SUPPORT
17 DOZENS OF CHILDREN. IN A RECENT TYPE C MEETING WITH
18 FDA PROVIDED A CLEAR, SAFE, AND COLLABORATIVE PATH
19 FORWARD. THE SCIENTIFIC AND OPERATIONAL RISKS THAT
20 TRIGGERED A TIER II SCORE SIMPLY NO LONGER EXIST.
21 THE VECTOR IS BOTTLED. THE EXPERT CLINICAL TEAMS
22 ARE STANDING BY.

23 WE'RE NOT ASKING YOU TO IGNORE THE
24 REVIEWERS' ORIGINAL CONCERNS. WE ARE TELLING YOU
25 THAT THE WORK HAS BEEN DONE. THE BARRIERS HAVE BEEN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OVERCOME. AND THE ONLY THING STANDING BETWEEN OUR
2 CHILDREN AND A LIFESAVING TRIAL IS FUNDING. PLEASE
3 GRANT A CONDITIONAL APPROVAL SO YOUR STAFF CAN
4 VERIFY THIS COMPLETED DATA PACKAGE AND LET US SAVE
5 OUR KIDS. THANK YOU.

6 MS. MORALEZ: THANK YOU SO MUCH. NOW I
7 HAVE THE NUMBER ENDING IN 4710. WOULD YOU PLEASE
8 UNMUTE YOURSELF, STATE YOUR NAME AND APPLICATION
9 YOU'RE CALLING FOR. WOULD YOU PLEASE PRESS STAR SIX
10 TO UNMUTE YOURSELF, NUMBER ENDING 4710.

11 MS. GRAY: THIS IS KRISTIN GRAY. I AM THE
12 FOUNDER OF THE CHARLOTTE AND GWENYTH GRAY FOUNDATION
13 TO CURE BATTEN DISEASE. AND I'M CALLING TO SUPPORT
14 THE APPLICATION FOR THE BATTEN CLN6 GENE THERAPY
15 TRIAL. CAN EVERYONE HEAR ME? OKAY.

16 I JUST WANT TO SHARE BRIEFLY MY STORY. I
17 HAVE TWO CHILDREN, TWO GIRLS, THAT WERE BORN TYPICAL
18 AND MET ALL THEIR MILESTONES. IN 2015, WHEN MY
19 DAUGHTER TRIPPED OVER A FEW PACKAGES AND HAD AN ARM
20 TREMOR, OUR WORLDS CHANGED. AND THREE WEEKS LATER
21 SHE WAS DIAGNOSED WITH BATTEN CLN6. THEY TOLD US IT
22 WAS RARE, IT WAS INCURABLE, AND SHE WOULD LIKELY NOT
23 LIVE PAST THE AGE OF SIX. THEY ALSO SAID YOUR OTHER
24 DAUGHTER GWEN HAS A 25-PERCENT CHANCE OF HAVING THAT
25 SAME DIAGNOSIS. SHE TOO THEN WAS DIAGNOSED WITH

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BATTEN GLN6.

2 RATHER THAN ACCEPT THAT REALITY, MY
3 HUSBAND AND I WERE IN A RACE AGAINST TIME TO CREATE
4 A FOUNDATION, CARRY THE BURDEN OF FUNDING OUR OWN
5 RESEARCH THAT ULTIMATELY RESULTED IN A YEAR FROM
6 DIAGNOSIS IN A CLINICAL TRIAL AT NATIONWIDE
7 CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL. IN 2015 THE DIAGNOSIS AND 2016
8 PATIENT ONE WAS TREATED. AND OVER THE COURSE OF
9 THOSE TWO YEARS WE TREATED 15 PATIENTS.

10 WE KNEW THAT THE TREATMENT WAS SAFE. WE
11 KNEW THAT THE TREATMENT WAS SOMEWHAT EFFICACIOUS
12 BECAUSE I STOOD AND PERSONALLY WITNESSED A CHILD
13 THAT WAS MORE SYMPTOMATIC THAT WAS DOSED VERSUS A
14 TRIAL THAT NONSYMPTOMATIC, ASYMPTOMATIC, THAT WAS
15 DOSED. AND SHE'S SITTING HERE WITH ME TODAY STILL
16 EATING BY MOUTH, STILL BEARING WEIGHT, STILL GOING
17 TO SCHOOL EVERY DAY, STILL HAVING VERBAL SKILLS.
18 BUT BECAUSE THAT COHORT WASN'T SEPARATED WITH THE
19 DATA, ALL OF THE DATA WAS LUMPED IN AND PROVEN LOW
20 EFFICACY.

21 THAT TECHNOLOGY, AS YOU MIGHT HAVE KNOWN,
22 WAS TAKEN OVER BY A BIOTECH COMPANY, AMICUS. AND
23 AFTER REVIEW AND, IN MY OPINION, ANSWERING TO WALL
24 STREET, THEY DEEMED THAT THE SMALL ULTRA-RARE
25 PATIENT POPULATION WOULD NOT PROFIT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND SO ULTIMATELY I WAS EXCITED ABOUT THIS
2 BEING TAKEN ON, AND THEN IT SAT ON A SHELF FOR FOUR
3 YEARS. ALL OF THAT HARD WORK AND AFTER SEEING MY
4 DAUGHTER LOSE HER LIFE AFTER 13 AND A HALF YEARS OF
5 FIGHTING, I DIDN'T WANT THAT TO HAPPEN AGAIN. OVER
6 THE GRIEF THAT I EXPERIENCED THROUGH MY DAUGHTER'S
7 DEATH AND LOSS, I WAS CONTINUING TO GET CALLS WITH
8 NEW DIAGNOSED PATIENTS WITH CLN6. AND SO I KNEW
9 THAT I COULD NOT LET THIS JUST SIT ON A SHELF.

10 WE HAVE PATIENTS. THE REASON WE ARE HERE
11 AND WHY WE HAVE MOVED SO AGGRESSIVELY IN OUR
12 TIMELINE IS BECAUSE THE PATIENTS ARE HERE. IN THE
13 MIDDLE OF BURYING HER, I AM ANSWERING CALLS. WE
14 HAVE 17 TO 20 PATIENTS WITHIN THE ENROLLMENT
15 CRITERIA RIGHT NOW. AND I WILL NOT TURN MY BACK ON
16 THIS COMMUNITY. FOR ALL THE CHILDREN THAT HAVE LOST
17 THEIR LIFE TO THIS INSUFFERABLE DISEASE, I WILL NOT
18 STOP UNTIL THERE IS A CURE. PLEASE HELP FUND THE
19 CURE WITH THE EXCESS OF AVAILABLE FUNDS. THEY WOULD
20 BE PUT TO GREAT USE WITH THIS INDICATION, AND WE
21 WOULD --

22 MS. MORALEZ: THANK YOU SO VERY MUCH FOR
23 YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. ARE THERE ANY OTHER PUBLIC
24 COMMENTS? I DON'T SEE ANY MORE HANDS RAISED.

25 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: HELLO.

2 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: NO FURTHER COMMENT
3 FROM ANYONE ON THE BOARD? IN THAT CASE, SCOTT --

4 DR. DULIEGE: ONE QUICK QUESTION. CAN YOU
5 PLEASE CLARIFY WHEN WILL BE THE NEXT TIMELINE FOR
6 RESUBMISSION?

7 DR. SAMBRANO: THAT'S JULY 30TH.

8 DR. DULIEGE: OKAY. THANK YOU.

9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: HELLO. CAN YOU
10 HEAR ME?

11 MR. TOCHER: ALL RIGHT. THE MOTION IS TO
12 NOT FUND THE TWO APPLICATIONS THAT WERE NOT
13 RECOMMENDED BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP AND BY THE
14 TEAM. THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS HAVE A CONFLICT WITH AT
15 LEAST ONE OF THESE APPLICATIONS. SO PLEASE APPEND
16 THAT SENTENCE THAT I'VE GIVEN IN THE PAST. THOSE
17 MEMBERS ARE DURON, FISCHER-COLBRIE, FLOWERS, AND
18 MIASKOWSKI.

19 MARIA BONNEVILLE.

20 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.

21 MR. TOCHER: MARGUERITE CASILLAS.

22 MS. CASILLAS: YES.

23 MR. TOCHER: JUDY CHOU. LEONDRA
24 CLARK-HARVEY.

25 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: SHANNON DAHL.

2 DR. DAHL: YES.

3 MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

4 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

5 MR. TOCHER: YSABEL DURON.

6 MS. DURON: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE WITH
7 WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

8 MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.

9 DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES, EXCEPT FOR
10 THOSE WITH WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

11 MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.

12 DR. FLOWERS: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE WITH
13 WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

14 MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.

15 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.

16 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.

17 MR. LAJARA: YES.

18 MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.

19 DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE
20 WITH WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.

21 MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.

22 DR. PADILLA: YES.

23 MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.

24 DR. SOUTHARD: YES.

25 MR. TOCHER: YAEL WYTE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. WYTE: YES.

2 MR. TOCHER: AND KEVIN XU.

3 MR. XU: YES.

4 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU. THE MOTION
5 CARRIES.

6 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THE MOTION CARRIED.
7 OKAY. NOW WE'RE LEFT WITH THE THREE THAT WERE
8 RECOMMENDED BOTH BY THE GWG AND BY THE CIRM TEAM.
9 AS HAS BEEN OUR TRADITION THAT WE TAKE THE CLINICAL
10 APPLICATIONS ONE AT A TIME. SO WHY DON'T WE START
11 IN ORDER WITH THE ONE ADDRESSING MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS.
12 I'D LIKE A MOTION TO FUND 19848.

13 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SO MOVED.

14 DR. SOUTHARD: SECOND.

15 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GIL WENT THROUGH THE
16 PORTFOLIO REVIEW AND THE PATIENT IMPACT. ARE THERE
17 ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? AND ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC.
18 WE DO HAVE ONE?

19 MS. MORALEZ: I DO SEE THERE ARE SOME
20 HANDS RAISED ON THE ZOOM. IS THERE ANYBODY IN
21 PERSON? AGAIN, THIS IS FOR APPLICATION 19848. THE
22 PHONE NUMBER ENDING IN 8509, WOULD YOU UNMUTE
23 YOURSELF AND STATE YOUR NAME. PRESS STAR SIX TO
24 UNMUTE YOURSELF, THE NUMBER 8509. OKAY. THERE'S
25 ALSO PHONE NUMBER -- OH, GO AHEAD, 8509.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 HEMIDAL: HELLO. HI. GOOD AFTERNOON,
2 BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS HEMIDAL (PHONETIC). I'M
3 CALLING FROM ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA. I'M A MOTHER
4 OF -- MIRIAM WAS SEVEN YEARS OLD. AND MIRIAM CAN
5 STILL SEE, EAT BY MOUTH, USE A GAIT TRAINER TO MOVE.
6 AND MOST IMPORTANTLY, SHE STILL ENJOYS SPENDING TIME
7 WITH US AND --

8 MS. MORALEZ: THANK YOU, MA'AM. THIS IS
9 ONLY FOR APPLICATION 19848.

10 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: IT'S THE MULTIPLE
11 SCLEROSIS APPLICATION.

12 MS. MORALEZ: NO. 9394, IF YOU ARE -- THAT
13 HAND WENT DOWN. OKAY. PHONE NUMBER 4710, IF YOU'RE
14 CALLING ABOUT APPLICATION 19848, PLEASE STATE YOUR
15 NAME AND --

16 MS. GRAY: HI, YOU GUYS. THIS IS KRISTIN
17 GRAY. WE HAVE SEVERAL PEOPLE TRYING TO RAISE THEIR
18 HANDS, AND YOU GUYS SHUT IT DOWN BEFORE THEY WERE
19 ABLE TO SPEAK. I'M ON A TEXT CHAIN WITH EVERYONE.
20 AND THREE PEOPLE I COULD HEAR SAYING HELLO, AND THEN
21 THE PUBLIC COMMENT WAS SHUT DOWN.

22 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: TECHNICAL ADVICE?

23 MS. MORALEZ: WE ARE CURRENTLY --

24 MS. GRAY: THERE WAS THREE PEOPLE.

25 MS. MORALEZ: THANK YOU. WE ARE CURRENTLY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ON APPLICATION 19848. WE'VE ALREADY MOVED PAST THE
2 OTHER ONE. I DON'T SEE ANY HANDS RAISED FOR THIS
3 APPLICATION.

4 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ALL RIGHT. I DON'T
5 THINK THIS A PARLIAMENTARIAN ISSUE. WE'VE TAKEN A
6 VOTE ALREADY ON THAT.

7 MR. TOCHER: SO THE MOTION IS TO FUND
8 APPLICATION CLIN2-19848. THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS HAVE
9 A CONFLICT, SO YOU WILL NOT BE CALLED: YSABEL
10 DURON, ELENA FLOWERS, AND CHRIS MIASKOWSKI.

11 MARIA BONNEVILLE.

12 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.

13 MR. TOCHER: MARGUERITE CASILLAS.

14 MS. CASILLAS: YES.

15 MR. TOCHER: JUDY CHOU. LEONDR
16 CLARK-HARVEY.

17 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.

18 MR. TOCHER: SHANNON DAHL.

19 DR. DAHL: YES.

20 MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

21 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

22 MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.

23 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.

24 MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.

25 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.

2 MR. LAJARA: YES.

3 MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.

4 DR. PADILLA: YES.

5 MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.

6 DR. SOUTHARD: YES.

7 MR. TOCHER: YAEL WYTE.

8 DR. WYTE: YES.

9 MR. TOCHER: KEVIN XU.

10 DR. XU: YES.

11 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU. THAT MOTION
12 CARRIES.

13 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. THE NEXT
14 MOTION SHOULD BE TO FUND 19928. THIS IS FOX G1
15 SYNDROME, A NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISEASE. THE CHAIR
16 WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO FUND.

17 MS. CASILLAS: MOVED.

18 DR. SOUTHARD: SECOND.

19 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: AND MARVIN SECONDED.
20 THANK YOU.

21 ANY DISCUSSION ON THIS APPLICATION FROM
22 BOARD MEMBERS? OR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC? YOU DO
23 NOT SEE ANY HANDS? JUST TAKE A SECOND LOOK. OKAY.
24 GOOD. THANK YOU. NO FURTHER COMMENT, I THINK WE
25 CAN PROCEED.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: MARGUERITE CASILLAS.
2 MS. CASILLAS: YES.
3 MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
4 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
5 MR. TOCHER: SHANNON DAHL.
6 DR. DAHL: YES.
7 MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
8 DR. DULIEGE: YES.
9 MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
10 DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
11 MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
12 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
13 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
14 MR. LAJARA: YES.
15 MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
16 DR. PADILLA: YES.
17 MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
18 DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
19 MR. TOCHER: YAEL WYTE.
20 DR. WYTE: YES.
21 MR. TOCHER: AND KEVIN XU.
22 MR. XU: YES.
23 MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THAT MOTION CARRIED
24 AS WELL.
25 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GOOD. THANK YOU.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THEN LET'S GO TO THE FINAL ONE. I WOULD LIKE TO A
2 MOTION TO FUND 19526. THIS IS THE IMMUNOGENE
3 THERAPY FOR HIGH-GRADE GLIOBLASTOMAS AND OTHER
4 MEMBERS OF THAT FAMILY.

5 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SO MOVED.

6 DR. DAHL: SECOND.

7 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE HAVE A MOTION AND
8 SHANNON SECONDED. THANK YOU. DISCUSSION ON THE
9 LAST APPLICATION FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD IN THE
10 ROOM OR ONLINE? YOU DO NOT SEE ANY? I DON'T SEE
11 HANDS. ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC WANT TO ADDRESS
12 THIS? HEARING NONE, SCOTT, YOU CAN PROCEED TO THE
13 FINAL VOTE.

14 MR. TOCHER: TWO SECONDS. TWO SECONDS.
15 MARIA BONNEVILLE.

16 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.

17 MR. TOCHER: MARGUERITE CASILLAS.

18 MS. CASILLAS: YES.

19 MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.

20 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.

21 MR. TOCHER: SHANNON DAHL.

22 DR. DAHL: YES.

23 MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

24 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

25 MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.

2 MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.

3 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.

4 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.

5 MR. LAJARA: YES.

6 MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.

7 DR. PADILLA: YES.

8 MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.

9 DR. SOUTHARD: YES.

10 MR. TOCHER: YAEL WYTE.

11 DR. WYTE: YES.

12 MR. TOCHER: KEVIN XU.

13 MR. XU: YES.

14 MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANK YOU. THAT
15 MOTION CARRIED AS WELL.

16 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. THANK
17 YOU, SCOTT. THAT CLOSES THAT AGENDA ITEM. WHERE
18 ARE WE --

19 DR. BARRETT: MAY I ASK A QUESTION?

20 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ABSOLUTELY.

21 DR. BARRETT: JUST AS A POINT OF
22 INFORMATION. IT APPEARED THAT THERE WERE MEMBERS OF
23 THE PUBLIC ONLINE WHO FELT THAT THEY WERE NOT ABLE
24 TO MAKE THEIR PUBLIC COMMENT ON A PREVIOUS MOTION.
25 I DON'T KNOW IF WE HAVE A MECHANISM FOR THEIR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 COMMENTS TO BE ENTERED INTO THE RECORD EVEN THOUGH
2 THE MOTION HAS ALREADY BEEN VOTED UPON. BUT I FEEL
3 VERY STRONGLY THAT, AS A PUBLIC BODY, WE SHOULD HAVE
4 AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THESE PEOPLE TO SPEAK.

5 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANKS, KIM. I'M
6 VERY SYMPATHETIC TO THAT. I WAS CAUGHT UP IN THE
7 MOMENT. I DIDN'T HAVE ANY PARLIAMENTARIAN ANSWER TO
8 THAT. BUT I THINK IF WE HAVE CAPTURED THOSE PHONE
9 NUMBERS AND CAN CONTACT THOSE PEOPLE, I THINK ONE
10 SOLUTION WOULD BE TO INCLUDE THEIR INTENDED COMMENTS
11 IN THE RECORD. WOULD THAT SATISFY EVERYONE? DOES
12 THAT SEEM -- I'LL ASK COUNSEL. DOES THAT SEEM
13 UNTOWARD?

14 MR. TOCHER: NO. FROM A COMPLIANCE
15 STANDPOINT OF BAGLEY-KEENE, WE OFFER PUBLIC COMMENT
16 COMMENSURATE WITH THE MOTION THAT IS MADE AND THE
17 DISCUSSION.

18 I'M TOLD THAT THERE HAVE BEEN OCCASIONS
19 WHERE SOMEONE HAS RAISED THEIR HAND AFTER THE VOTING
20 HAS ALREADY PROCEEDED ON AN ITEM. SO IN THOSE
21 CIRCUMSTANCES, WE FULFILLED OUR OBLIGATION TO MAKE
22 PUBLIC COMMENT AVAILABLE.

23 OBVIOUSLY, I CAN'T SPEAK TO ANY OTHER
24 PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES UNLESS I KNOW OTHERWISE.
25 THAT SAID, THERE IS A PUBLIC COMMENT ITEM AT THE END

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF THE AGENDA THAT PEOPLE COULD SPEAK TO AT THAT
2 POINT AS WELL.

3 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THAT'S A VERY GOOD
4 POINT. IF THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO WANTED TO
5 COMMENT ON THAT NOW PASSED APPLICATION, THERE IS A
6 POINT AT THE VERY END OF THE AGENDA OF TODAY'S
7 MEETING WHERE YOU CAN MAKE COMMENT ON ANYTHING THAT
8 WE'VE TALKED ABOUT TODAY AND ANYTHING THAT, IN FACT,
9 THAT WE DIDN'T RAISE TODAY FOR A FUTURE AGENDA ITEM.
10 SO THAT WOULD BE THE WAY TO GO THEN. THAT'S A MORE
11 ELEGANT SOLUTION.

12 MR. TOCHER: THERE ARE TWO BOARD MEMBERS
13 WITH THEIR HANDS RAISED, CAROLYN MELTZER AND THEN
14 LEONDRA.

15 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: LET'S DO THAT IN
16 ORDER THEN.

17 DR. MELTZER: YES. THANK YOU SO MUCH.
18 FOR THOSE OF US WHO ARE ON ZOOM, WE COULD HEAR
19 SOMEONE SAYING HELLO AND TRYING TO PUT IN A COMMENT.
20 AND I DON'T KNOW THAT THEY WERE HEARD BY THOSE IN
21 THE ROOM. SO I DON'T THINK IT WAS AN ISSUE OF BEING
22 PAST COMMENT PERIOD THAT WEREN'T HEARD IN THE ROOM
23 IS WHAT I'M CONJECTURING.

24 MS. GRAY: THOSE OF US ONLINE COULD HEAR
25 MULTIPLE PEOPLE TRYING TO WEIGH IN WITH COMMENTS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: THIS IS LEONDRA. THAT
2 WAS MY COMMENT AS WELL. THERE WERE PEOPLE WE COULD
3 HEAR, BUT YOU COULDN'T HEAR.

4 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: IT SEEMS THAT THAT'S
5 THE CASE.

6 MR. TOCHER: I MAY NOT BE THE RIGHT PERSON
7 TO TALK ABOUT TECHNOLOGY. MY UNDERSTANDING, AT
8 LEAST, IS WE'RE LOOKING -- WE'RE NOT JUST LISTENING.
9 WE'RE LOOKING AT A VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF THOSE
10 FOLKS WHO HAVE CALLED IN AND HAVE RAISED THEIR HAND.
11 SO THAT'S WHY YOU WILL HEAR THE TEAM ASK THOSE AND
12 IDENTIFY THEM BY TELEPHONE NUMBER. I DON'T KNOW HOW
13 THAT MAY OR MAY NOT ADDRESS A PARTICULAR
14 CIRCUMSTANCE. BUT IF THEY HAVEN'T RAISED THEIR
15 HAND, WE WOULD NOT NECESSARILY KNOW THAT THEY WISH
16 TO SPEAK TO THAT ITEM BECAUSE THERE ARE MEMBERS OF
17 PUBLIC WHO DIAL IN WHO HOLD BACK WAITING FOR THEIR
18 ITEM. SO IF WE DON'T SEE A RAISED HAND, WE WON'T
19 ASK THAT NUMBER TO UNMUTE ITSELF.

20 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. WELL, THEN,
21 LET'S KEEP WITH THE --

22 MS. GRAY: I HAVE ONE COMMENT BECAUSE I
23 DON'T THINK YOU'RE SEEING OUR RAISED HANDS. I HAVE
24 MY HAND RAISED, AND THE THREE INDIVIDUALS DID HAVE
25 THEIR HANDS RAISED DURING THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: THIS IS LEONDRA. I SAW
2 THAT AS WELL.

3 DR. MELTZER: YES, I SAW THAT AS WELL.

4 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: SO CONSIDERING IT WAS
5 THE CIRM'S -- IT'S A TECHNICAL THING. IT HAPPENS.
6 IT'S TECHNOLOGY. THE QUESTION IS HOW DO WE MOVE
7 FORWARD TO ENSURE THAT THOSE THAT DID HAVE THEIR
8 HANDS RAISED AND TRIED TO GET THE ATTENTION OF THE
9 BOARD HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO SHARE THEIR PUBLIC
10 COMMENT.

11 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU. IF THE CHAIR
12 WISHES TO TAKE THEIR PUBLIC COMMENT NOW, I WAS TOLD
13 THAT THE HANDS RAISED ONCE I HAD ALREADY STARTED THE
14 ROLL CALL VOTE. SO IF THAT WAS AN OVERSIGHT, I'M
15 NOT SURE. BUT AT LEAST GOING FORWARD, WE CAN HAVE
16 THEIR COMMENT NOW.

17 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I'M HAPPY TO DO THAT
18 AS LONG AS IT --

19 UNIDENTIFIABLE SPEAKER: THE HANDS WERE
20 RAISED BEFORE THE PUBLIC ROLL -- I WOULD JUST LIKE
21 TO CLARIFY THE HANDS WERE CLEARLY RAISED BEFOREHAND,
22 AND THEY HAD BEEN. SO THEY WERE NOT RAISED AFTER
23 THE ROLL WAS CALLED.

24 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: THIS IS CORRECT.

25 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WELL, WE HAVE TWO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OPTIONS. ONE IS TO DO IT NOW. ANOTHER WOULD BE TO
2 PUT IT AT THE END WHERE THERE IS AN AGENDA ITEM
3 TO ACCOMMODATE THIS. THE PROBLEM IS I'M NOT SURE IF
4 THE FIRST OPTION IS LICIT OR LEGAL. JOYCE.

5 DR. SACKY: MY RECOMMENDATION IS THAT WE
6 SHOULD LEAN TOWARDS ACCOMMODATING THE PUBLIC COMMENT
7 GIVEN THE FACT THAT IT SOUNDS LIKE THIS WAS A
8 TECHNICAL FAILURE.

9 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: UH-HUH.

10 DR. MELTZER: I WOULD AGREE.

11 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: AGREE.

12 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YOU WANT TO DO IT
13 NOW? LET'S DO IT NOW. GOOD. OKAY.

14 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO WOULD LIKE TO
15 ADDRESS -- LET ME PULL THIS OUT AGAIN NOW --
16 CLIN2-29114. AM I RIGHT?

17 MS. MORALEZ: IT'S THE CLIN APPLICATION.
18 SO I HAVE A PHONE NUMBER ENDING IN 3925. IF YOU
19 WOULD UNMUTE YOUR PHONE BY PRESSING STAR SIX, YOU
20 HAVE THREE MINUTES.

21 MS. ZARCENO: HELLO. MY NAME IS
22 MAIGUALIDA ZARCENO. I AM THE MOTHER OF CIARA
23 SOPHIA. WE LIVE IN FREMONT, CALIFORNIA. BOTH OF MY
24 KIDS ARE INFECTED WITH BATTEN DISEASE. CIARA IS SIX
25 YEARS OLD. SHE LOVES TO PLAY OUTSIDE AND EXPLORE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 NATURE. SHE STILL HAS SPEECH, VISION, AND THE
2 ABILITY TO WALK, BUT THOSE ABILITIES ARE QUICKLY
3 LEAVING AWAY.

4 DUAIK IS FOUR YEARS OLD AND THE MOST
5 AFFECTIONATE LITTLE BOY. HE RUNS AND STILL PLAYS
6 JUST LIKE ANY OTHER KID HIS AGE, BUT VERY SOON HE
7 WILL START TO EXPERIENCE THE FIRST SYMPTOMS OF THIS
8 TERRIBLE DISEASE JUST LIKE HIS OLDER SISTER. RIGHT
9 NOW HE'S THE YOUNGEST KNOWN WITH BATTEN DISEASE CLN6
10 AND STANDS TO BENEFIT GREATLY FROM TREATMENT. AND
11 HE'S MINIMALLY IMPACTED BY THE DISEASE AT THIS POINT
12 OF THE TIME.

13 I'M HERE TODAY TO GIVE YOU THE CONTEXT OF
14 TIME THAT A SPREADSHEET CANNOT SHOW. THE GWG REPORT
15 SUGGESTS OUR THAT NEEDS CAN BE ADDRESSED IN A FUTURE
16 FUNDING CYCLE AS AN INITIATIVE STANDPOINT. A DELAY
17 UNTIL 2026 SOUNDS NEUTRAL FOR MY CHILDREN. IT IS A
18 PROGRESSIVE, IRREVERSIBLE LOSS OF LIFE.

19 CLN6 BATTEN DISEASE IS (UNINTELLIGIBLE)
20 NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDER. IT DOES NOT PAUSE TO
21 FISCAL QUARTER OF GRANT CYCLES. CHILDREN WITH THIS
22 MUTATION RAPIDLY LOSE THEIR LANGUAGE, THEIR VISION,
23 THEIR ABILITY TO SWALLOW, AND EVENTUALLY THEIR LIFE.
24 A SIX-MONTH DELAY IS NOT A PAUSE IN DEVELOPMENT. IT
25 IS PERMANENT LOSS OF (UNINTELLIGIBLE) THAT CAN NEVER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BE RECOVERED.

2 MY CHILD DOES NOT HAVE UNTIL 2026. IF YOU
3 DIVERT THIS PROGRAM, THIS PROGRAM YOU ARE NOT
4 DELAYING A TRIAL. YOU ARE DECLINING HOW MUCH OF OUR
5 CHILD'S BRAIN (UNINTELLIGIBLE). WE URGE YOU TO LOOK
6 AT THIS PROGRAM WITH THE LIFE OR DEATH URGENCY IN
7 DEMAND TODAY. MY DAUGHTER CIARA IS SIX. SHE TOO
8 CAN QUALIFY FOR THIS GENE THERAPY. SHE'S LOSING HER
9 ABILITIES VERY QUICK, AND THIS IS HER ONLY CHANCE.
10 SO PLEASE TAKE US INTO CONSIDERATION. THANK YOU FOR
11 YOUR TIME.

12 MS. MORALEZ: THANK YOU SO VERY MUCH FOR
13 YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. THE PHONE NUMBER ENDING IN
14 9394, IF YOU'D PRESS STAR SIX AND STATE YOUR NAME,
15 YOU'LL HAVE THREE MINUTES.

16 SIERRA: HELLO. GOOD AFTERNOON. CAN YOU
17 HEAR ME?

18 MS. MORALEZ: YES, WE CAN.

19 SIERRA: CAN YOU HEAR ME? OKAY. GREAT.
20 GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS SIERRA
21 AND I'M CALLING FROM HANG CITY, FLORIDA. AND I'M
22 THE PROUD MOTHER OF AZALA WHO IS FOUR YEARS OLD.
23 AZALA IS FULL OF LAUGHTER AND JOY AND SMILES. SHE
24 IS THE MOST DETERMINED, ON-THE-GO CHILD I KNOW.
25 WE'RE DEFYING ALL ODDS, BUT WE ARE FIGHTING CLN6

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BATTEN DISEASE.

2 I WANT TO DIRECTLY ADDRESS THE REVIEWERS'
3 CONCERN REGARDING OUR TRIAL ELIGIBILITY AND
4 RECRUITMENT FEASIBILITY. THE GWG NOTED A
5 CONTRADICTION IN TARGETING INDEPENDENTLY AMBULATORY
6 PATIENTS WHILE SETTING AN ELIGIBILITY AGE OF FOUR TO
7 SIXTY MONTHS, QUESTIONING IF THESE PATIENTS ACTUALLY
8 EXISTED. I'M CALLING YOU TO TELL YOU WE EXIST. MY
9 CHILD IS WITHIN THE EXACT AGE BRACKET, AND SHE IS
10 AMBULATORY RIGHT NOW. BECAUSE OF HOW FAST THIS
11 DISEASE (UNINTELLIGIBLE) MOTOR SKILLS, THAT
12 THERAPEUTIC WINDOW IS HEARTBREAKINGLY NARROW. SIX
13 MONTHS DOWN THE LINE, IT WILL BE TOO LATE.

14 THE REVIEWERS WORRIED WE WOULDN'T FIND TEN
15 PATIENTS. THE REALITY IS THAT OUR CHILDREN ARE
16 RETAINING THE EXACT SKILLS THIS INVESTIGATIONAL
17 THERAPY IS DESIGNED TO PRESERVE TODAY. IF YOU FORCE
18 US TO WAIT, AZALA AND THE REST OF THESE CHILDREN
19 WILL CROSS THE LINE, BECOME NONAMBULATORY AND LOSE
20 THEIR ELIGIBILITY FOREVER. WE ARE NOT A THEORETICAL
21 POPULATION. WE ARE IDENTIFIED, WE ARE READY, WE ARE
22 HERE, AND OUR CHILDREN ARE RUNNING OUT OF TIME. WE
23 DO NOT HAVE SIX MONTHS TO WAIT. WE MAY NOT EVEN
24 HAVE A MONTH. PLEASE DO NOT SHUT DOWN THE WINDOW ON
25 THEM. THANK YOU.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. MORALEZ: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR
2 PUBLIC COMMENT. THE NUMBER ENDING IN 8207, IF YOU
3 WOULD PRESS STAR SIX TO UNMUTE, YOU HAVE THREE
4 MINUTES.

5 DR. WEIMER: MY NAME IS JILL WEIMER. I'M
6 THE SENIOR DIRECTOR OF THERAPEUTIC DEVELOPMENT AT
7 SANFORD RESEARCH AND THE FORMER CHIEF SCIENCE
8 OFFICER AT AMICUS THERAPEUTICS. I HAVE BEEN
9 INVOLVED WITH THE GRAY FOUNDATION AND THE
10 DEVELOPMENT OF THIS PROGRAM SINCE INCEPTION IN 2015.
11 AND I'VE SPENT THE LAST 25 YEARS WORKING IN THE
12 BATTEN DISEASE RESEARCH SPACE. I HAVE WORKED
13 TIRELESSLY ALONGSIDE A NUMBER FAMILIES, AND I HAVE
14 SEEN THE TRUE VALUE OF THIS PROGRAM.

15 AND AS KRISTIN MENTIONED, THE INITIAL
16 PROGRAM ENROLLED 13 CHILDREN. THOSE CHILDREN, MANY
17 OF THEM WERE LATE IN THEIR ONSET OF THE DISEASE
18 PROGRESSION WHEN THEY WERE TREATED. BUT THERE WERE
19 A NUMBER OF KIDS, HER DAUGHTER INCLUDED, THAT WERE
20 VERY EARLY IN THEIR DISEASE PRESENTATION AND HAVE
21 SHOWN VERY OBVIOUS IMPROVEMENT FOLLOWING TREATMENT.

22 THE REVIEW PANEL RAISED A NUMBER OF
23 CONCERNS ABOUT MODIFICATIONS TO OUR MANUFACTURING
24 AND CMC PROCESSES. AND I WANTED TO JUST SPEAK TO
25 THOSE IN THE PUBLIC COMMENT FORUM. MANY OF THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHOICES THAT WE'VE MADE DURING THIS PROCESS HAVE
2 REALLY BEEN BUILT UPON LEARNINGS OVER THE LAST
3 DECADE OF THIS PROGRAM TO REALLY IMPROVE THE
4 MANUFACTURING PROCESSES AND TO MODERNIZE THEM AS THE
5 ANALYTICAL ASSAYS AND THE MANUFACTURING WORKSTREAMS
6 FOR GENE THERAPY MANUFACTURING AND AAV MANUFACTURING
7 HAVE IMPROVED OVER THAT TIME. SO WE'RE NOT PLANNING
8 TO MAKE MAJOR CHANGES TO THE ACTUAL TTP OR TO THE
9 ACTUAL FINAL PRODUCT, BUT REALLY JUST MODERNIZING
10 PROCESSES FROM PIVOTING FROM ADHERENT TRIPLE
11 TRANSECTION TO SUSPENSION. WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO GO
12 FROM MANUFACTURING PROCESSES NATIONWIDE --

13 MS. MORALEZ: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU SO
14 MUCH FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. THE NUMBER ENDING IN
15 8509, IF YOU WOULD PRESS STAR SIX TO UNMUTE
16 YOURSELF, YOU'LL HAVE THREE MINUTES.

17 HEMIDAL: GOOD AFTERNOON. CAN YOU HEAR
18 ME?

19 MS. MORALEZ: YES, WE CAN HEAR YOU. THANK
20 YOU.

21 HEMIDAL: MY NAME IS HEMIDAL (PHONETIC).
22 I'M CALLING FROM ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA. I HAVE A
23 SEVEN YEARS OLD DAUGHTER. HER NAME IS MIRIAM WHO
24 STILL CAN SEE, EAT BY MOUTH, STILL CAN WALK
25 ASSISTED. AND SHE STILL CAN ENJOY HER TIME WITH US,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BUT SHE'S STILL FIGHTING CLN6 BATTEN DISEASE, AND
2 THAT'S SLOWLY TAKING A TOLL ON HER LITTLE BODY.

3 SINCE SHE GOT DIAGNOSED, OUR FAMILY
4 EXPERIENCED, LIKE, FINANCIAL BURDEN, THINGS LIKE SHE
5 NEEDED TO BE WATCHED 24 HOURS. FOR YEARS FAMILIES
6 LIKE OURS HAVE CARRIED THE BURDEN OF FUNDING THE
7 RESEARCH, AND THAT'S WHAT THE FOUNDATION HAS DONE
8 FOR SO MANY YEARS.

9 A FAMILY LIKE MINE HELPED FUND-RAISING
10 AFTER FUNDRAISING ASKING COMMUNITY FOR HELP.
11 FUNDING THIS CLINICAL TRIAL WILL BE A GREAT HELP FOR
12 FAMILY LIKE MINE. WE ARE WILLING TO TRAVEL FROM
13 WHERE WE ARE TO CALIFORNIA TO TREAT OUR DAUGHTER.
14 THANK YOU.

15 MS. MORALEZ: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR
16 PUBLIC COMMENT. IS THERE ANYBODY ELSE IN THE ROOM
17 OR ON THE PHONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC
18 COMMENT? ON THE PHONE, PLEASE PRESS STAR NINE TO
19 RAISE YOUR HAND. I DON'T SEE ANY HANDS RAISED.

20 MR. TOCHER: ARE ANY OF OUR MEMBERS WHO
21 ARE PARTICIPATING BY PHONE ABLE TO HEAR OR SEE
22 ANYTHING THAT WE HERE IN THE ROOM DO NOT?

23 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: THIS IS LEONDRA
24 CLARK-HARVEY. I DO NOT SEE ANY HANDS RAISED, NOR DO
25 I HEAR ANYONE VOCALIZING THE NEED TO SPEAK AT THIS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TIME.

2 MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANKS, LEONDRA.

3 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, LEONDRA,
4 FOR THAT HELP.

5 OKAY. I'M GOING TO ASK WHERE ARE WE ON
6 OUR AGENDA AS FAR AS A BREAK?

7 MR. TOCHER: WE ARE WELL PAST IT. SO IF
8 WE COULD MAYBE TAKE FIVE MINUTES, WHICH I KNOW MEANS
9 TEN, THEN WE CAN GET BACK. OUR LUNCH IS SOMEWHAT
10 CONSTRAINED AT THE BACK END. SO AS SOON AS WE CAN
11 GET BACK AND GET ON WITH THE --

12 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: HERE WE GO. WE'RE
13 ON RECESS FOR FIVE MINUTES.

14 MR. TOCHER: 11:40 HOPEFULLY.

15 (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

16 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WELCOME BACK,
17 EVERYONE, FROM OUR LITTLE RECESS. WE'RE NOW AT
18 AGENDA ITEM NO. 14. OUR DIRECTOR OF COMMUNICATIONS
19 IS AT THE PODIUM. AMY ADAMS IS GOING TO INTRODUCE
20 US TO OUR RECURRENT, FELICITOUSLY RECURRENT, SECTION
21 OF THE AGENDA CLOSER TO CURES. AMY.

22 (THE CLOSER TO CURES PRESENTATIONS
23 WERE THEN HEARD, NOT REPORTED NOR HEREIN
24 TRANSCRIBED.)

25 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: AND WE ARE NOW GOING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO ADJOURN FOR LUNCH, AND WE ARE DUE BACK IN THIS
2 ROOM AT --

3 MR. TOCHER: WE'RE DUE BACK AT 1:30.
4 THEY'LL SHUT DOWN LUNCH.

5 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, THERE ARE RESOURCES
6 IN THE MEZZANINE. FOR THE REST OF THE TEAM AND
7 BOARD, IF WE COULD ASK THAT THE BOARD MEMBERS GET
8 FIRST CRACK AT THE LINE SO THAT WE CAN MAKE SURE
9 THAT WE CAN RESUME AT 1:30 AND THEN THE TEAM, THAT
10 WOULD BE GREAT. THANK YOU. WE'LL RESUME AT 1:30.

11 (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

12 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY, EVERYONE.
13 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, BEFORE
14 WE PROCEED WITH THE NEXT AGENDA ITEM, WE'D JUST LIKE
15 TO BACKTRACK A SECOND. AFTER SOME RECONSIDERATION
16 OF WHAT HAPPENED EARLIER, I WANTED TO SAY SOMETHING
17 ABOUT THE VOTE WE TOOK ON THE CLIN2 APPLICATION, THE
18 ONE ESPECIALLY THAT DID NOT HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO
19 HEAR ALL REMARKS FROM THE PUBLIC. AND I THINK I'D
20 LIKE TO HAVE ENTERED -- THIS DISCUSSION ENTERED INTO
21 THE RECORD. THE BEST WAY TO DO THAT WOULD BE TO ASK
22 IS THERE ANY MEMBER HERE, MEMBER OF THE APPLICATION
23 REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE, THE ARS, THE PEOPLE WHO CAN
24 VOTE ON THESE APPLICATIONS, WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A
25 MOTION TO RECONSIDER OUR VOTE? I'M NOT NECESSARILY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ASKING FOR YOU TO DO THAT; BUT IF THERE'S ANYONE
2 THAT WOULD LIKE TO DO THAT.

3 IS THERE ANY MEMBER OF THE ARS NOT IN THE
4 ROOM BUT ONLINE? AND ARE THERE ARE NO HANDS. OKAY.
5 THERE IS NO MOTION ON THE TABLE. I'M GOING TO
6 PROCEED WITH THE AGENDA THEN, SCOTT. OKAY. THANK
7 YOU.

8 SO NEXT I WANT TO INTRODUCE A RESOLUTION
9 TO HONOR THE MANY YEARS OF SERVICE TO CIRM GIVEN BY
10 OUR DEDICATED AND TALENTED TRANSCRIPTIONIST BETH
11 DRAIN. BETH IS OUR DOYENNE OF DIGITAL DEXTERITY.
12 YOU SEE WHERE THIS IS GOING. IT WOULD TAKE A
13 THESAURUS TO NAME ALL THE NOUNS THAT DESCRIBE HER.
14 STENOGRAPHER DOES NOT BEGIN TO DO HER JUSTICE. SHE
15 IS OUR ESCRITOIRE PAR EXCELLENCE, THE AMANUENSIS OF
16 OUR WORDS AND DEEDS.

17 TITLES LIKE COPIEST, PENMAN, OR
18 CALLIGRAPHER WOULD HAVE ONCE BEEN APPROPRIATE, BUT
19 LONG AGO ARE THE DAYS OF QUILL PENS, INKWELLS, AND
20 SHEEPSKIN. BETH, INSTEAD, USES ALL THE MODERN AUDIO
21 AND VISUAL MODALITIES TO BE OUR TRUTHFUL REGISTRAR
22 OF WORDS. HER SHORTHAND KEYBOARD CHRONICLES OUR
23 HISTORY. HER COMPUTER SCREEN IS OUR MODERN
24 POLEMICEST ON WHICH SHE SCRIBES THE ARGUMENTS FOR
25 AND AGAINST, AND NOTARIZES OUR VOTES FOR POSTERITY.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SHE IS OUR SCRIVENER, OUR TRANSCRIBER, OUR
2 TYPIST, RECORDER, AND OUR CLERK OF RECORD, AND SHE
3 DOES THIS IN PERSON. EVEN THOUGH THAT MEANS, SINCE
4 2012, SHE HAS TO COMMUTE TO OUR MEETINGS FROM THE
5 GREAT STATE OF IDAHO. AND LIKE SOME SPIRITUAL
6 DOULA, BETH WAS THERE AT THE BIRTH OF CIRM,
7 ATTENDING THE EARLIEST MEETINGS OF THIS BOARD
8 STARTING IN 2005. SHE HAS TRANSCRIBED 228 MEETINGS
9 OF THE ICOC, MANY OF THEM HELD CONJOINTLY WITH THE
10 APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE, BUT SHE ALSO
11 COVERED ALL THE OTHER SUBCOMMITTEES OF THIS BOARD
12 FROM THEIR BEGINNINGS: GOVERNANCE, FINANCE,
13 STANDARDS, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, AND PRESIDENTIAL
14 SEARCH COMMITTEES.

15 SHE EXPANDED HER DOMAIN EARLIER IN THIS
16 DECADE WITH THE ADVENT OF THE ACCESSIBILITY AND
17 AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP AND THE COMMUNICATIONS
18 SUBCOMMITTEE, NOT TO MENTION THE TASK FORCE ON
19 NEUROSCIENCE AND MEDICINE. IN TOTAL, BETH HAS
20 TRANSCRIBED 52,039 PAGES. THAT'S 11,771,189 WORDS
21 OF OPEN SESSION HEARINGS.

22 CLEARLY FOR BETH NO TABULA IS EVER LEFT
23 TABULA RASA FOR VERY LONG. BETH HAS BEEN EYEWITNESS
24 TO CIRM'S GENESIS AND EVOLUTION, DOCUMENTARIAN OF
25 ITS HISTORY. SHE IS IN A REAL SENSE A CORNERSTONE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF THIS INSTITUTE. ON OCCASION SHE WOULD HAVE ONLY
2 AN AUDIO RECORDING TO WORK FROM WITHOUT BENEFIT OF
3 VIDEO, BUT SHE HAD COME TO KNOW ALL OUR VOICES, OUR
4 VERBAL TICS, OUR SYNTACTIC IDIOSYNCRASIES, AND SHE
5 WOULD GLOSS OVER OUR HEMS AND HAWS, SQUELCH THE ERS,
6 AWS, AND UMS, AND PRODUCE WORKS OF FLAWLESS
7 ACCURACY.

8 ON PAPER IT MIGHT SEEM TO A READER THAT WE
9 ALL THINK AND SPEAK IN POLISHED PARAGRAPHS. AND SHE
10 DID THIS ALL WITH PROFOUND KINDNESS AND WARMTH. HER
11 ROUTINE PROFESSIONAL INTERACTIONS HAVE GROWN INTO
12 LASTING PERSONAL CONNECTIONS THAT HAVE LEFT AN
13 INDELIBLE MARK OF CARE AND COMMUNITY UPON CIRM.

14 SO, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE
15 GOVERNING BOARD OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR
16 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE OF
17 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, WISHES TO EXPRESS ITS
18 DEEPEST GRATITUDE TO BETH C. DRAIN FOR HER SERVICE
19 TO CIRM AND FOR HER DEDICATION TO THE ADVANCEMENT OF
20 STEM CELL RESEARCH AND TO OUR MISSION. THANK YOU,
21 BETH.

22 (APPLAUSE.)

23 DR. DAHL: MOTION TO ACCEPT THE
24 RESOLUTION.

25 MS. MIASKOWSKI: SECOND.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ROOM, INCLUDING GIL AND SCOTT AND TRICIA, IF YOU'RE
2 LISTENING, EVERYBODY. YOU'VE BEEN HERE SINCE
3 INCEPTION. YOUR SKILLSET I'VE ALWAYS FELT IS WAY
4 BEYOND MY COMPETENCY LEVEL. I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU DO
5 WHAT YOU DO. IT'S A REAL TREAT THAT SOMEBODY CAN
6 HANDLE THAT TASK AND BE THE SCRIBE AND THE HISTORIAN
7 WHO WILL FOREVER BE ETCHED IN PRINT AND ACCESSIBLE
8 TO ALL OF THOSE WHO GO BACK AS CIRM PROCEEDS THROUGH
9 ITS PROCESS, HOWEVER LONG THAT LASTS. AND WE'LL
10 HAVE THE RECORD OF EVERYTHING IN REAL-TIME AS IT
11 HAPPENED, WHICH IS CRITICAL TO, NOT JUST HERE, BUT
12 ANYWHERE ELSE THAT YOU HAVE WORKED WITH AS WELL.

13 SO ON BEHALF OF THE TEAM, I WANT TO THANK
14 YOU FOR EVERYTHING YOU'VE DONE FOR ALL THESE MANY
15 YEARS. IT'S BEEN A REAL PLEASURE TO HAVE YOU AS A
16 COLLEAGUE IN ALL OF OUR MEETINGS, AND WE'LL MISS YOU
17 VERY MUCH. SO THANK YOU.

18 (APPLAUSE.)

19 MR. TOCHER: I THINK I'LL BE BRIEF. I'M
20 NOT KNOWN FOR THAT, BUT JUST BECAUSE EVERYTHING
21 EVERYONE ELSE HAS SAID ABSOLUTELY CAPTURES WHAT I
22 WOULD WANT TO SAY.

23 COUPLE THINGS. I HAD SOME FREE TIME. ALL
24 THOSE WORDS, THAT'S 14 COPIES OF *WAR AND PEACE* THAT
25 YOU DID, 67 24-HOUR DAYS OF NONSTOP SPEECH YOU

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 RECORDED. SO DOES IT FEEL LIKE IT?

2 I JUST WANTED TO THANK YOU, BETH, BECAUSE
3 EVER SINCE THAT WINTER IN '05 AT CITY OF HOPE, WHICH
4 WAS MY FIRST MEETING, YOU'VE ALWAYS BEEN HERE ON MY
5 LEFT SIDE. IT WILL BE VERY STRANGE TO GO FORWARD
6 WITHOUT YOU HERE, AND I WILL MISS YOU VERY MUCH.

7 (APPLAUSE.)

8 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: BETH, WE HAVE THIS
9 TOKEN OF OUR APPRECIATION FOR YOU AND ALL YOUR YEARS
10 OF SERVICE. SO THANK YOU. THIS IS FOR YOU.

11 MS. DRAIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK
12 YOU VERY MUCH. IT'S A BITTERSWEET MOMENT FOR ME.
13 I'VE BEEN A REPORTER FOR OVER 40 YEARS NOW. AND IT
14 JUST REALLY DAWNED ON ME IN THE LAST FEW MONTHS THAT
15 I'M 78 YEARS OLD, AND I SHOULDN'T HAVE TO BE
16 WORKING.

17 SO EVEN THOUGH I WON'T BE HERE IN PERSON,
18 I'LL STILL THINK OF YOU ALL ON A REGULAR BASIS EVEN
19 THOUGH YOUR FACES CHANGE OVER TIME. AND I HOPE THAT
20 I'LL BE ABLE TO STILL CONTRIBUTE IN MAYBE EDITING
21 YOUR AI TRANSCRIPTS. WE'LL WORK THAT OUT. THEN I
22 WON'T HAVE TO TRAVEL SINCE MY HUSBAND IS OLDER THAN
23 I AM AND NOT WELL. I'M VERY UNCOMFORTABLE LEAVING
24 HIM AT HOME ALONE EVEN THOUGH I'LL MISS YOU.

25 THANK YOU FOR HAVING GIVEN ME THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OPPORTUNITY ALL THOSE YEARS AGO WHEN I FIRST MET MR.
2 KLEIN AND MELISSA KING THAT THEN LED TO THE VERY
3 BEGINNINGS OF CIRM WHEN YOU DID YOUR FIRST -- I
4 CAN'T EVEN REMEMBER THE NAME OF THE GROUP NOW --
5 BEST, WHAT WAS THAT, BEST -- I CAN'T REMEMBER THE
6 NAME OF IT NOW. I CAN'T REMEMBER THAT'S HOW LONG IT
7 IS. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO LET'S GET BACK TO
8 WORK SO WE CAN HAVE THAT GLASS OF WINE AT THE END.

9 (APPLAUSE.)

10 MS. DRAIN: BEST PRACTICES.

11 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: IF THERE ARE ANY
12 OTHER COMMENTS.

13 DR. SOUTHARD: I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT
14 YOU WERE SEATED NEXT TO ME A FEW TIMES WHEN WE WERE
15 HERE, AND I WAS IMPRESSED BY YOUR DIGITAL PROWESS,
16 BUT ALSO BY YOUR ABILITY TO WITHSTAND THE
17 DISTRACTIONS THAT I POSED. SO THANK YOU.

18 MR. TOCHER: MR. CHAIR, WE ACTUALLY NEED
19 TO TAKE A VOTE.

20 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I WAS JUST
21 THINKING -- OH, WAIT. MR. JENSEN. HE'S AT THE
22 MICROPHONE. PUBLIC COMMENT BEFORE THE VOTE.

23 MR. JENSEN: MY NAME IS DAVID JENSEN.
24 I'VE BEEN WRITING ABOUT THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL
25 AGENCY FOR MORE THAN 20 YEARS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. CARSON: AYE.
2 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA. CAROLYN
3 MELTZER.
4 DR. MELTZER: AYE.
5 MR. TOCHER: SHANNA STARK.
6 MS. STARK: AYE.
7 MR. TOCHER: KEVIN XU.
8 MR. XU: AYE.
9 MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE. GREAT.
10 THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE MOTION CARRIES.
11 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU AGAIN,
12 BETH.
13 WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON TO AGENDA ITEM NO.
14 16. THIS IS THE INFRACTURE9 PROGRAM, DATA
15 SCIENCE AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING CONCEPT PLAN.
16 JANIE BYRUM IS GOING TO LEAD THE PRESENTATION.
17 THANK YOU, JANIE.
18 DR. BYRAM: GREAT. THANKS. MY NAME IS
19 JANIE BYRUM, AND IT'S MY PLEASURE TO PRESENT THE
20 DATA SCIENCE AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING AWARDS CONCEPT
21 PLAN TO YOU ON BEHALF OF THE CIRM TEAM TODAY.
22 AND OUR MISSION, WE START WITH OUR
23 MISSION. IT DRIVES US. AND CIRM'S MISSION IS TO
24 ACCELERATE WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE TO DELIVER
25 TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE TREATMENTS TO A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND THE WORLD -- IN AN EQUITABLE
2 MANNER TO A DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND THE WORLD.

3 AND SO TODAY WE WILL SHARE THE CONCEPT
4 PLAN THAT IS DESIGNED TO MAXIMIZE THE VALUE OF
5 CIRM'S INITIAL INVESTMENT IN ITS RESEARCH AWARDS AND
6 ACCELERATE WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE THROUGH THE
7 DEVELOPMENT OF NEW TOOLS.

8 SO FOR TODAY'S CONCEPT, WE'LL BEGIN WITH
9 SOME BACKGROUND INFORMATION, AND THEN I'LL MOVE INTO
10 THE ELEMENTS OF THE CONCEPT.

11 SO I WANT TO BEGIN BY EMPHASIZING THAT
12 LIFE SCIENCES, INCLUDING REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, HAS
13 SEEN MASSIVE DATA GROWTH IN THE LAST 20 YEARS. AND
14 WE NEED TRANSFORMATIVE TOOLS TO HELP RESEARCHERS
15 CAPITALIZE ON THAT DATA. AND THIS IS DUE TO SEVERAL
16 FACTORS: TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCEMENT, INCLUDING IN
17 THE LIFE SCIENCES AND ADVANCES IN COMPUTATION,
18 INCREASED INVESTMENT IN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT,
19 AND MORE DATA ACCESSIBILITY DUE TO DATA SHARING. SO
20 DATA GENERATION IS DOUBLING EVERY TWO TO THREE
21 YEARS, AND WE NEED SOFTWARE TOOLS TO CAPITALIZE ON
22 THE VOLUME AND VARIETY OF DATA. AND SO THESE DATA
23 ARE RICH, BUT THEY ARE UNDERUTILIZED, AND THEY MUST
24 BE INTEGRATED TO ALLOW FOR COMPARISONS ACROSS
25 DATASETS, ACROSS MODELS, AND ACROSS DISCIPLINES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO WE NEED TOOLS TO INTEGRATE DATA AT A
2 LARGE SCALE AND BE ABLE TO INTEGRATE DIFFERENT TYPES
3 OF DATA. SO I'LL ELABORATE ON THAT IN THE NEXT FEW
4 SLIDES.

5 THIS IS A QUOTE BY FRANCIS COLLINS, THE
6 FORMER HEAD OF THE NIH, ADDRESSING AN HHS HOUSE
7 SUBCOMMITTEE ABOUT THE FUTURE OF BIOMEDICAL
8 RESEARCH. AND HE SAYS, "THE CHALLENGE IS HOW TO
9 STORE, RETRIEVE, INTEGRATE, AND ANALYZE THIS
10 MOUNTAIN OF COMPLEX DATA." AND IT UNDERSCORES THAT
11 WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO LEVERAGE ALL THE DATA THAT
12 WE'RE GENERATING SO THAT WE CAN REVEAL MEANINGFUL
13 INSIGHTS.

14 AND CIRM HAS A GOAL OF MAXIMIZING THE
15 VALUE OF THIS DATA, AND THIS IS FOR TWO PRIMARY
16 REASONS. IF THE DATA REMAINED SILOED, THEN WE'RE
17 LEAVING INSIGHTS ON THE TABLE, AND SCIENCES WILL BE
18 SLOWER. AND IT'S ALSO INEFFICIENT. BEING ABLE TO
19 SQUEEZE THE JUICE OUT OF INDIVIDUAL DATASETS BY
20 SHARING THEM AND COMBINING THEM WITH OTHER DATASETS
21 REDUCES THE AMOUNT OF DATA GENERATION THAT NEEDS TO
22 BE FUNDED IN THE FIRST PLACE.

23 RECENTLY, IN 2023, CIRM BEGAN TRACKING
24 RESEARCH DATASETS GENERATED BY OUR AWARDEES. AND WE
25 SEE THAT CIRM RESEARCHERS GENERATE VAST AMOUNTS OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DIVERSE DATA. SO ILLUSTRATED HERE IS THE VARIETY OF
2 DATASETS FROM DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES OR APPROACHES.
3 AND THESE DATASETS WERE TRACKED USING CIRM DATA
4 SHARING AND MANAGEMENT PLANS. AND YOU CAN LEARN
5 MORE ABOUT THESE DATASETS AT CIRM DATA EXPLORER AT
6 DATAHUB.CIRM.CA.GOV.

7 AND THE GOAL OF CIRM DATA EXPLORER IS TO
8 PROMOTE THE FINDABILITY OF CIRM-FUNDED RESEARCH TO
9 OTHER RESEARCHERS SO THAT THEY CAN INCORPORATE THESE
10 INTO THEIR RESEARCH PROGRAMS. SO SOME OF THESE
11 DATASETS ARE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE, AND SOME OF THESE
12 DATASETS ARE STILL IN PROGRESS. AND THIS IS
13 PRIMARILY DATA FROM DISCOVERY STAGE AWARDS, BUT WE
14 HAVE RECENTLY IMPLEMENTED OUR DATA SHARING AND
15 MANAGEMENT PLANS FOR OUR PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL
16 AWARDS, AND WE'LL BE TRACKING THOSE OUTPUTS AS WELL.

17 SO I WANT TO EMPHASIZE HERE THE VARIETY OF
18 DATA TYPES AND THE VOLUME. EACH CIRCLE IS
19 PROPORTIONAL TO THE NUMBER OF DATASETS GENERATED
20 USING THAT TECHNIQUE. AND YOU CAN SEE WE HAVE A LOT
21 OF TRANSCRIPTOMICS, FOR EXAMPLE. AND ADDITIONALLY,
22 WITHIN EACH TECHNIQUE CATEGORY, THERE ARE
23 SUBAPPROACHES. SO EACH OF THE SMALLER CIRCLES --
24 I'M NOT SURE IF IT'S SHOWING VERY WELL ON THE TV --
25 BUT EACH OF THE CIRCLES SHOWN WITHIN THE LARGER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CATEGORIES IS A DIFFERENT FLAVOR OF A BROADER
2 CATEGORY. AND THEIR SIZES ARE ALSO PROPORTIONAL TO
3 THE NUMBER OF DATASETS.

4 SO THESE DATA ARE GENERATED USING A LOT OF
5 DIFFERENT APPROACHES, AND IT'S A HUGE CHALLENGE TO
6 COMPARE DATA ACROSS TECHNIQUES. AND THAT'S LIMITING
7 WHAT WE CAN LEARN FROM THESE DATASETS.

8 SO IN ADDITION TO THE DIVERSITY OF DATA
9 ACROSS TECHNIQUES, DATA IS ALSO GENERATED ACROSS
10 SCALES AND MODELS; FOR EXAMPLE, ANIMAL MODELS,
11 CELLULAR AND MOLECULAR DATA, ELECTRONIC HEALTH
12 RECORDS, AND PATIENT DATA FROM TRIALS. SO BEING
13 ABLE TO BRING THESE DATA TOGETHER WILL ENABLE
14 RESEARCHERS TO DEVELOP MORE ACCURATE AND MORE
15 COMPLEX BIOLOGICAL MODELS, AND THEY CAN ASK MORE
16 NUANCED QUESTIONS. AND, IN GENERAL, MORE
17 CONNECTIONS EQUALS MORE POTENTIAL TO FIND TARGETS OR
18 BIOMARKERS BECAUSE THERE'S A FULLER PICTURE OF WHAT
19 IS INTERPRETABLE BY RESEARCHERS.

20 SO HOW CAN WE INTEGRATE AND ANALYZE THE
21 MOUNTAIN OF COMPLEX DATA? WE CAN BUILD SOFTWARE
22 TOOLS TO INTEGRATE DATA, AND THIS CAN ACCELERATE
23 RESEARCH. SO DURING THE STRATEGIC ALLOCATION
24 FRAMEWORK, CIRM DEFINED AN AMBITIOUS GOAL TO
25 CATALYZE THE IDENTIFICATION AND VALIDATION OF AT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 LEAST FOUR NOVEL TARGETS AND BIOMARKERS, ENSURING
2 INTEGRATION INTO PRECLINICAL OR CLINICAL RESEARCH
3 FOR DISEASES IN CALIFORNIA.

4 AND THERE WAS A RECOMMENDATION AT THE TIME
5 TO ENABLE DATA SCIENCE COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS VIA
6 DEDICATED GRANTS. AND SO TO INCREASE THE
7 PROBABILITY THAT CIRM REALIZES THIS GOAL, WE CAN
8 ADDRESS RESEARCH BOTTLENECKS BY SUPPORTING SOFTWARE
9 PROJECTS THAT HELP THE RESEARCHERS MAKE CONNECTIONS
10 BETWEEN DIFFERENT TYPES OF DATA, ENABLING MORE
11 IMPACTFUL DATA SCIENCE. AND I'LL OUTLINE SOME OF
12 THESE RESEARCH BOTTLENECKS IN THE NEXT SLIDE.

13 SO MULTIMODAL DATA, INCLUDING PATIENT DATA
14 AND DATA ACROSS SCALES, DISEASE AREAS, AND
15 BIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS, THEY NEED TO BE INTEGRATED TO BE
16 LEVERAGED FOR BIOLOGICAL INSIGHT, AND THIS REQUIRES
17 SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENT. SOFTWARE TOOLS ARE NEEDED
18 TO ACCELERATE STEM CELL-BASED AND GENETIC RESEARCH.
19 AND ADDITIONALLY, DEDICATED FUNDING IS LACKING FOR
20 THE DEVELOPMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF OPEN-SOURCE
21 SOFTWARE THAT'S CRUCIAL FOR THE DISCOVERY OF
22 POTENTIAL THERAPEUTICS.

23 SO WE SURVEYED OUR REMIND AWARDEES AT THE
24 REMIND ANNUAL MEETING, AND THE BIGGEST BOTTLENECKS
25 TO EMPLOYING CUTTING-EDGE DATA SCIENCE IN THEIR LABS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WAS FUNDING FOR A DATA SCIENTIST AND ALSO SOFTWARE
2 TOOLS THAT ARE USER FRIENDLY AND DON'T REQUIRE A LOT
3 OF COMPUTATIONAL EXPERTISE. SO THOSE BOTTLENECKS
4 CAN BE ADDRESSED THROUGH A PROGRAM THAT DEVELOPS AND
5 SUSTAINS OPEN-SOURCE SOFTWARE THAT DRIVES
6 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE RESEARCH AND CAN CATALYZE DATA
7 SCIENCE RESEARCH TO INTEGRATE AND EXPAND THE IMPACT
8 OF BOTH CIRM-FUNDED DATASETS AND ALSO OTHER DATASETS
9 IN THE RESEARCH COMMUNITY.

10 SO WHAT IS OPEN-SOURCE SOFTWARE?

11 OPEN-SOURCE SOFTWARE IS SOFTWARE WHOSE CODE IS
12 PUBLICLY AVAILABLE, ALLOWING ANYONE TO VIEW, MODIFY,
13 ENHANCE IT, AND REDISTRIBUTE IT. AND I WANTED TO
14 GIVE AN EXAMPLE OF AN EXAMPLE OF OPEN-SOURCE
15 SOFTWARE THAT'S BEEN ESSENTIAL IN THE LIFE SCIENCES.

16 SO THIS IS SCIKIT-LEARN, WHICH IS A
17 MACHINE LEARNING LIBRARY FOR A PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE
18 CALLED PYTHON. AND THE NAME COMES FROM SCIENTIFIC
19 TOOLKIT FOR MACHINE LEARNING. AND THIS TOOLKIT IS
20 THE MOST POPULAR MACHINE LEARNING FRAMEWORK IN A
21 SURVEY OF OVER 24,000 PEOPLE. OVER 80 PERCENT OF
22 RESPONDENTS USE THIS PACKAGE.

23 AND ON GITHUB, WHICH IS A CODE REPOSITORY,
24 OVER 65,000 PEOPLE HAVE LIKED IT OR GIVEN IT STARS.
25 AND THE ORIGINAL PAPER THAT INTRODUCED THIS PACKAGE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 HAS BEEN CITED OVER 130,000 TIMES. SO IT'S VERY
2 WELL USED, BUT WHAT DOES IT DO? IT'S A SOFTWARE
3 TOOLKIT FOR SCIENTISTS THAT ARE NOT EXPERTS IN
4 CODING, AND IT CAN BE USED TO LEARN FROM A DATASET
5 AND HELP WITH PREDICTIONS AND CLASSIFICATION AND
6 CLUSTERING.

7 SO BASED ON THIS DATASET AND THE DATASETS
8 THAT THE MODEL WAS TRAINED ON, WHAT HAPPENS NEXT?
9 AND ALSO, IF YOU HAVE A NUMBER OF CELLS, DO THEY
10 BELONG TO AN INDIVIDUAL THAT HAS A DISEASE OR A
11 HEALTHY INDIVIDUAL, THAT'S CLASSIFICATION OR
12 CLUSTERING? CAN WE PUT CELLS THAT HAVE SIMILAR
13 PHENOTYPES TOGETHER? SO THIS HAS STARTED AS A VERY
14 SMALL SOFTWARE PACKAGE, BUILT ONE SUMMER BY A PH.D.
15 STUDENT IN A GOOGLE SUMMER OF CODE. BUT SINCE IT
16 WAS AN OPEN-SOURCE PROJECT AND BECAUSE THERE WAS A
17 NEED FOR IT IN THE COMMUNITY, IT'S BEEN DEVELOPED
18 OVER TIME INTO WHAT IT IS TODAY. AND IT HAS MADE
19 DATA SCIENCE ACCESSIBLE TO RESEARCHERS AND IS NOW AN
20 ESSENTIAL OPEN-SOURCE SOFTWARE FOR SCIENTISTS.

21 SO I JUST TOLD YOU THAT COMPUTATIONAL
22 SOFTWARE AND INFRASTRUCTURE ARE INTEGRAL TO
23 SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH. AND THE RESEARCH ENTERPRISE IS
24 INCREASINGLY RELYING ON OPEN-SOURCE SOFTWARE. AND
25 TODAY WE'RE PROPOSING A CONCEPT PLAN FOR DATA

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SCIENCE AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING AWARDS TO SUPPORT
2 OPEN-SOURCE SOFTWARE THAT HAS A SPECIFIC SCOPE OF
3 SOLVING DATA INTEGRATION BARRIERS IN REGENERATIVE
4 MEDICINE.

5 AND SO CIRM CAN SUPPORT REUSABLE, SCALABLE
6 SOFTWARE TOOLS TO LINK DIVERSE DATASETS. AND THIS
7 WILL ACCELERATE RESEARCH IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
8 AND ULTIMATELY MAXIMIZE THE POTENTIAL OF CIRM-FUNDED
9 DATA.

10 DURING THE DEVELOPMENT OF THIS CONCEPT,
11 WE'VE RECEIVED FEEDBACK THAT WE ALREADY SUPPORT DATA
12 SCIENCE PROJECTS IN OUR DISCOVERY AWARDS. AND HERE
13 I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT THAT THE SOFTWARE TOOLS COMING
14 OUT OF INFRACTURE9 WOULD BE DISTINCT FROM THOSE
15 THAT ARE RESULTING FROM OUR EXISTING DISCOVERY
16 PROGRAMS. TOOLS SUPPORTED BY OUR DISCOVERY PROGRAMS
17 ARE GENERALLY ANCILLARY TO RESEARCH PROJECTS, AND
18 THEY HAVE NO REQUIREMENTS FOR SOFTWARE ENGINEERING
19 STANDARDS AND BEST PRACTICES. AND THEY'RE ALSO
20 HIGHLY SPECIALIZED FOR A SPECIFIC RESEARCH QUESTION,
21 OFTEN WITHOUT A PLAN FOR DEPLOYMENT OR COMMUNITY USE
22 AND MAINTENANCE. AND SO THE MAINTENANCE TENDS TO
23 END WHENEVER THE PAPER IS PUBLISHED OR THE TRAINEE
24 LEAVES THE LAB.

25 AND SO INFRACTURE9 PROJECTS WOULD

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FOLLOW OPEN-SOURCE BEST PRACTICES, RESULTING IN
2 TOOLS WITH ENHANCED SCALABILITY, REUSABILITY, AND
3 REPRODUCIBILITY. AND THROUGH A DEDICATED GRANT
4 MECHANISM WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE TAILORED FOR
5 SOFTWARE TOOLS, WE CAN HAVE A COLLECTION OF TOOLS
6 THAT ARE BUILT FOR EXTENSION AND COMMUNITY USE IN
7 MIND WITH A PLAN FOR MAINTENANCE.

8 SO NOW WE'LL MOVE INTO THE SCOPE AND
9 STRUCTURE OF THE CONCEPT FOR THE DATA SCIENCE AND
10 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING AWARDS. AND I'LL START BY
11 PREVIEWING THE PROPOSED GUIDING PRINCIPLES. SO AS A
12 REMINDER, GUIDING PRINCIPLES HELP CIRM SHAPE ITS
13 PORTFOLIO. AND THE INFRASTRUCTURE9 PORTFOLIO WILL
14 ADDRESS BARRIERS TO INTEGRATING MULTIMODAL DATA IN
15 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE AND INNOVATE THROUGH OPEN AND
16 COLLABORATIVE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT TO ACCELERATE
17 RESEARCH AND TO CREATE BROADLY APPLICABLE AND
18 VALIDATED TOOLS THAT MAXIMIZE THE VALUE OF
19 CIRM-FUNDED DATA.

20 AND THESE GUIDING PRINCIPLES WILL INFORM
21 THE SELECTION AND REVIEW CRITERIA AS WELL AS CIRM
22 TEAM RECOMMENDATIONS POST GRANTS WORKING GROUP.

23 MOVING INTO THE OBJECTIVE AND APPROACH.
24 SO THE OVERARCHING OBJECTIVE OF THIS PROGRAM IS TO
25 SUPPORT THE DEVELOPMENT, MAINTENANCE, AND/OR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EXTENSION OF INNOVATIVE OPEN-SOURCE SOFTWARE FOR
2 INTEGRATION OF DISPARATE DATA TYPES TO ACCELERATE
3 RESEARCH IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. AND THE EXPECTED
4 OUTCOME IS THE DEVELOPMENT AND DEPLOYMENT OF AN
5 OPEN-SOURCE SOFTWARE TOOL TO SOLVE A MULTIMODAL DATA
6 INTEGRATION BOTTLENECK IN STEM CELL-BASED AND
7 GENETIC THERAPY RESEARCH THAT HAS WIDE APPLICABILITY
8 AND SCALABLE IMPACT. AND THE APPROACH IS
9 INVESTIGATOR PAIRS LEADING INTERDISCIPLINARY
10 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING PROJECTS.

11 WE PROPOSE THAT THE SCOPE SHOULD FOCUS ON
12 THE SOFTWARE TOOLS; THAT IS, COMPUTATIONAL TOOLS,
13 PIPELINES, OR RESOURCES TO ADDRESS DATA INTEGRATION
14 BOTTLENECKS THAT CATALYZE DATA SCIENCE IN
15 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE RESEARCH. AND THE SCOPE WOULD
16 BRING ABOUT TOOLS THAT ACCELERATE THE IDENTIFICATION
17 OR VALIDATION OF NOVEL TARGETS AND BIOMARKERS. AND
18 WE FOCUS THE SCOPE TO SUPPORTING THE STAGE OF
19 RESEARCH WHERE WE KNOW THERE'S A NEED FOR THIS
20 PROGRAM WHICH IS OUR DISCOVERY STAGE.

21 SO SOME EXAMPLES OF TYPES OF APPROACHES
22 THAT COULD BE EMPLOYED IN THIS AWARD PROGRAM WOULD
23 BE AI, ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, OR MACHINE LEARNING
24 AI OR ML APPROACHES FOR IN SILICO SCREENING, PROTEIN
25 DESIGN, MOLECULE GENERATION, CELL AND GENE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ENGINEERING, ETC. OR KNOWLEDGE GRAPHS FOR BRIDGING
2 STRUCTURES, BEHAVIOR ASSAYS, FUNCTIONAL GENOMICS
3 DATA, SPATIAL DATA, AND PATHWAY OR NETWORK DATA. SO
4 A KNOWLEDGE GRAPH IS A FRAMEWORK FOR CONNECTING
5 DIVERSE DATA SOURCES, AND THEY'RE USED FOR MODELING
6 COMPLEX NETWORKS TO MAP RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN GENES,
7 PATHWAYS, DRUG TARGETS, TREATMENTS, ETC.

8 SO HOW COULD THESE APPROACHES BE APPLIED?
9 SOME SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF APPLICATIONS COULD INCLUDE
10 A CELL ANALYTIC SOFTWARE TO READ OUT PRESENT AND
11 FUTURE CELL STATES WITHOUT HAVING TO DESTRUCT THE
12 CELLS. SO READOUT BEHAVIOR WITHOUT HAVING TO
13 DESTROY ANY CELLS. OR A NEW OMICS ANALYSIS TOOL TO
14 INTEGRATE SPATIAL TRANSCRIPTOMICS DATA WITH
15 MULTI-ELECTRODE ARRAY DATA TO LINK SPATIAL GENE
16 EXPRESSION AND ELECTRICAL SIGNALING TO UNDERSTAND
17 DISEASE MECHANISMS AND IDENTIFY NEW TARGETS.

18 SO THIS PROGRAM WOULD HAVE AN ANNUAL CALL
19 WITH AN AWARD DURATION OF UP TO TWO YEARS. AND THE
20 AWARD AMOUNT IS UP TO \$500,000 TOTAL COSTS, FUNDING
21 AROUND 15 TO 20 AWARDS PER CALL WITH AN ANNUAL
22 BUDGET OF \$10 MILLION.

23 IN TERMS OF ELIGIBILITY, THE APPLICANT
24 MUST MEET CIRM'S DEFINITION OF A CALIFORNIA
25 ORGANIZATION. WE'D HAVE TWO CALIFORNIA-BASED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 INVESTIGATORS, AND THE CO-I MUST NOT BE A PART OF
2 THE SAME LAB AS THE PI. AND THERE WILL BE
3 REQUIREMENTS FOR BOTH REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
4 EXPERTISE AND COMPUTATIONAL EXPERTISE ON THE CORE
5 APPLICANT TEAM. AND THE EFFORT FOR BOTH
6 INVESTIGATORS WOULD BE 5-PERCENT MINIMUM EFFORT.

7 FOR APPLICATION AND REVIEW PROCESSES,
8 INFRASTRUCTURE9 WILL ADOPT A GWG SELECTION PROCESS
9 TO ALLOW THE GWG TO SELECT APPLICATIONS THAT ARE
10 MOST RESPONSIVE TO THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY AND HOLD
11 THE GREATEST POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT, AND ALSO ALLOW
12 CIRM PREPLANNING FOR IMPROVED SCIENTIFIC AND
13 TECHNICAL REVIEW.

14 INFRASTRUCTURE9 WOULD ALSO ADOPT A 1 TO
15 100 NUMERICAL GWG SCORING SYSTEM TO ALIGN ACROSS
16 CIRM PROGRAMS AND IMPROVE GRANULARITY AND VISIBILITY
17 FOR THE SCORE-DRIVING DECISIONS.

18 AND THE SELECTION AND REVIEW CRITERIA
19 WOULD BE DEVELOPED IN ALIGNMENT WITH THE GUIDING
20 PRINCIPLES THAT I PREVIEWED EARLIER.

21 I'LL WRAP UP BY SHARING OUR TIMELINE AND
22 OUTREACH. TODAY WE'RE AT THE JUNE ICOC MEETING
23 PRESENTING THE CONCEPT, AND IN SEPTEMBER WE COULD
24 OPEN THE PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT WITH APPLICATIONS DUE
25 IN NOVEMBER -- WITH APPLICATIONS OPEN IN NOVEMBER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND DUE IN JANUARY WITH A LONGER WINDOW TO THE
2 GRANTS WORKING GROUP REVIEW IN MAY TO ALLOW FOR GWG
3 SELECTION AND THEN ARS MEETING IN JUNE 2027.

4 WE HAVE AN OUTREACH PLAN IN DEVELOPMENT TO
5 ENSURE THAT THE POTENTIAL APPLICANTS ARE MADE AWARE
6 OF THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY. SO IN ADDITION TO
7 TARGETING OUR EXISTING AWARDEE PORTFOLIO, WE INTEND
8 TO TARGET OUTREACH TO NON-UC'S, COMMUNITY COLLEGES,
9 AND CAL STATE UNIVERSITIES. WE THINK THIS PROGRAM
10 HAS THE POTENTIAL TO BE MORE ACCESSIBLE TO THESE
11 INSTITUTIONS RELATIVE TO R&D AWARDS BECAUSE THE
12 PROGRAM REQUIRES LESS CAPITAL EQUIPMENT AND PHYSICAL
13 LAB SPACE. AND THE AWARD CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED WITH
14 COMPUTATIONAL RESOURCES AND PERSONNEL. AND WE ALSO
15 PLAN TO REACH OUT TO PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND
16 DATA SCIENCE AND INFORMATICS NETWORKS AND ACADEMIC
17 DEPARTMENTS TO SPREAD THE WORD.

18 AND BELOW ARE SOME OF THE TOOLS THAT WE
19 CAN USE IN COLLABORATION WITH OUR COMMUNICATIONS
20 DEPARTMENT TO GET THE WORD OUT.

21 AND I'M VERY KEEN TO HEAR YOUR FEEDBACK,
22 AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION OF THIS
23 CONCEPT. AND I'LL STOP HERE FOR DISCUSSION, BUT
24 ULTIMATELY WE'D LIKE TO REQUEST THAT ICOC APPROVE
25 THE DATA SCIENCE AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING CONCEPT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PLAN. THANK YOU.

2 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, JANIE,
3 FOR THE PRESENTATION. THIS IS A REQUEST OF THE
4 BOARD TO APPROVE THE CONCEPT PLAN. WE NEED A MOTION
5 TO CONSIDER AND START THE DISCUSSION.

6 DR. BLUMENTHAL: SO MOVED.

7 DR. SOUTHARD: SECOND.

8 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE HAVE MOVEMENT AND
9 A SECOND. THANK YOU, GENTLEMEN.

10 SO DISCUSSION IS OPEN FIRST TO MEMBERS OF
11 THE BOARD. JUDY.

12 DR. CHOU: MY QUESTION MAY NOT BE DIRECTLY
13 RELATED TO THE PROGRAM, BUT JUST CURIOUS ABOUT HAVE
14 WE STRATIFIED THE DATA WE HAVE NOW IN THE STAGE OF
15 DISCOVERY, PDEV, AND CLINICAL AND SEE HOW THE
16 APPLICANT, WHAT KIND OF SOURCE OF DATA? YOU HAVE
17 THIS WHOLE SUMMARY ABOUT OVERALL, RIGHT? FOR US
18 PARTICULARLY INTRIGUED LIKE YOU SEE THAT EVOLVEMENT
19 ABOUT AND REACH CERTAIN DATA, AND THAT'S HOW WE WANT
20 TO ENCOURAGE THE APPLICANTS. SO THAT'S MY QUESTION
21 NO. 1.

22 THE QUESTION NO. 2 IS, THEN, WHEN WE LOOK
23 AT THE APPLICANTS, HAVE WE DONE SOMETHING LIKE THE
24 HIGH SCORE VERSUS THE LOW SCORE? WHAT PARTICULAR
25 DATA SOURCE THEY HAVE BEEN USING. AND THIS MAY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ACTUALLY BE A USEFUL TOOL TO GUIDE OUR APPLICANTS
2 ABOUT WHAT WE ARE LOOKING FOR.

3 DR. BYRAM: I'M NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND YOUR
4 SECOND QUESTION. IF YOU COULD REPHRASE IT.

5 DR. CHOU: SO WE HAVE REVIEW AND APPROVED
6 SO MANY PROJECTS BY NOW, RIGHT? SO THERE ARE SOME
7 SUCCESS CASES. I WOULDN'T CALL FAILURE CASE. BUT
8 MORE OR LESS DIDN'T MAKE IT TO GET FUNDED. IN THEIR
9 SUBMISSION, THEY ALL HAVE SUPPORTING DATA, TYPICAL
10 SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE. DO WE SEE ANY (UNINTELLIGIBLE)
11 ABOUT THE SUCCESS CASE TENDS TO BE HAVING THOSE
12 AMOUNT OF DATA WILL DRIVE FOR THAT BECAUSE I THINK
13 THAT'S NOT NECESSARY FOR OUR APPROVAL. I TRUST OUR
14 REVIEW GROUP HAS BEEN DOING A NICE JOB, BUT MORE
15 ABOUT TO GIVE THE APPLICANTS SOME GUIDANCE ABOUT
16 WHAT WE ARE LOOKING FOR.

17 DR. BYRAM: THAT'S A GREAT QUESTION. I
18 ACTUALLY -- SO I HAVEN'T DONE THAT ANALYSIS ABOUT
19 WHETHER APPLICATIONS THAT PROPOSE TO DO LARGER DATA
20 GENERATION OR MORE SOPHISTICATED COMPUTATIONAL
21 ANALYSIS, WHETHER THEY DO TEND TO PERFORM BETTER AT
22 REVIEW VERSUS THOSE THAT DO NOT. IS THAT THE HEART
23 OF YOUR QUESTION?

24 DR. CHOU: THAT'S THE SECOND PART OF THE
25 QUESTION. I THINK THAT WILL BE INTERESTING NOW OUR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SAMPLE SIZE IS BIG ENOUGH.

2 DR. BYRAM: YES. I AM NOT SURE ABOUT
3 THAT.

4 IN REGARDS TO YOUR FIRST QUESTION, WHETHER
5 WE'VE DONE THE STRATIFICATION OF DISCOVERY,
6 PRECLINICAL, AND CLINICAL DATA, SO WE HAVE BEEN
7 TRACKING OUR DISCOVERY STAGE AWARDS SINCE 2023. AND
8 WE JUST IMPLEMENTED THE DATA SHARING AND MANAGEMENT
9 PLANS FOR OUR PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL AWARDS IN
10 JANUARY. SO WE DON'T HAVE DATA TO COMPARE TO FOR
11 THOSE PROGRAMS OF WHAT THEY'RE GENERATING AND WHERE
12 THEY'RE SHARING IT AND WHETHER THERE'S AN ABUNDANCE
13 OF THAT DATA OUT IN THE WORLD THAT CAN BE USED FOR
14 THESE TYPES OF PROGRAMS. AND SO THAT'S WHY WE'RE
15 FOCUSING THE SCOPE ON DISCOVERY RIGHT NOW BECAUSE WE
16 HAVE THE DATA FOR THE DISCOVERY PROGRAMS. WE KNOW
17 THAT THEY HAVE BEEN SHARING, AND IT'S BEEN A
18 REQUIREMENT OF OUR PROGRAMS FOR SEVERAL YEARS NOW.

19 DR. CHOU: MY THOUGHT IS THEN WHY WE ARE
20 ESTABLISHING THIS INFRASTRUCTURE. IT WILL BE A GOOD
21 IDEA SEE WHERE IT'S GOING. SO THEN MAKES
22 INFRASTRUCTURE MUCH MORE APPLICABLE ALL THE WAY DOWN
23 TO THE WHOLE LIFE CYCLE OF PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT.

24 DR. CANET-AVILES: IF I MAY, DR. CHOU,
25 THIS IS THE GOAL. AND I'M NOT TRYING TO -- I'M JUST

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 COMPLEMENTING THE GREAT RESPONSE FROM JANIE. SO THE
2 GOAL RIGHT NOW -- WE HAD INITIALLY VERY EARLY ON
3 PRECALLS WITH THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE. THE GOAL
4 WAS VERY AMBITIOUS TO TACKLE DISCOVERY, PRECLINICAL,
5 CLINICAL. WE ALSO REALIZED WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH
6 CATEGORIZED DATA BECAUSE THE DATA SHARING MANAGEMENT
7 PLANS FOR PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL HAVE BEEN
8 IMPLEMENTED IN THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS. THERE IS
9 NOT ENOUGH UNDERSTANDING AND ENOUGH POWER ON THAT
10 DATA.

11 AND THE FOCUS IS ON THE DISCOVERY,
12 ESPECIALLY ON THE NETWORKS THAT I MENTIONED EARLIER
13 ON, WITH THE REMIND DISC4. AND NOW THAT WE ARE
14 GOING TO HAVE ENOUGH POWER, WE'D LIKE TWO ROUNDS OF
15 CALLS OF THIS \$87 MILLION FUNDING OR INVESTMENT FROM
16 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA INTO THESE NETWORKS HOW CAN
17 WE EXPONENTIALLY LEVERAGE. AND THE FOCUS IS
18 DISCOVERY OF NOVEL TARGETS, BIOMARKERS.

19 AND AS WE LEARN, AND ALSO THE INCOMING
20 POWER OF AI AND EVERYTHING, AS WE LEARN ALL OF THIS,
21 OUR INTENTION IS TO MOVE INTO POTENTIALLY
22 PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL, AND THERE IS A BUDGET ITEM
23 THAT IS IN LATER YEARS THAT COULD IMPLEMENT -- TAKE
24 CARE OF THIS. HOPEFULLY THAT ANSWERS.

25 DR. CHOU: THANK YOU. SO DOES THAT MEAN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WE HAVE AI PLATFORM ALREADY?

2 DR. CANET-AVILES: NO. NO. NO. WHAT I
3 MEANT WITH MY COMMENT WAS THAT THERE ARE NEW
4 TECHNOLOGIES, AI INCLUDED, THAT ARE COMING UP. AND
5 WE ARE JUST LEARNING AND WE ARE EVOLVING. THERE
6 MIGHT BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR CIRM TO LEVERAGE THIS,
7 BUT I'M NOT SAYING WE HAVE THAT AT ALL.

8 DR. CHOU: THANK YOU.

9 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ARE THERE ANY OTHER
10 QUESTIONS? YES, PAT.

11 DR. LEVITT: THAT WAS GREAT. SO WE HEARD
12 THIS MORNING ABOUT A REBALANCING, WHATEVER THE RIGHT
13 WORD IS, THE BUDGET THAT CHANGES THINGS A LITTLE
14 BIT. I'M WONDERING. SO YOU SHOWED US THE TIMELINE,
15 AND I CAN'T REMEMBER. WE WENT THROUGH THIS BEFORE.
16 THIS IS -- THAT'S PHASE 1. IS THERE A CONSIDERATION
17 THAT THIS IS GOING TO CONTINUE?

18 DR. BYRAM: YES. WE HAVE BUDGET
19 PROJECTIONS FOR THE LIFETIME OF CIRM. HOWEVER,
20 ANNUALLY THE BOARD WOULD DETERMINE WHETHER THAT IS
21 SOMETHING THAT YOU WANT TO FUND. AND THERE'S
22 OPPORTUNITY FOR EVOLUTION OF THIS PROJECT AS WELL.

23 DR. CANET-AVILES: WHAT DR. LEVITT IS
24 ASKING IS ALSO THAT GAP THAT IS CREATED BY ONE GAP
25 YEAR OF THE DISC4, WHETHER IT'S SUPPLEMENTED BY THIS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND THE RESPONSES. YES. THAT'S HOW WE HAVE
2 DESIGNED IT. SO NOW IT WILL START -- BY THE TIME
3 THAT THESE ARE OUT IS WHEN THE GAP OF DISC4 WILL
4 HAPPEN. AND WE WILL BE ABLE -- THESE TEAMS ARE THE
5 ONES THAT WE HOPE THAT THEY WILL COME TO US. AND
6 THROUGH THE NETWORK THAT WE HAVE, WE HAVE YEARLY
7 MEETINGS, THE HOPE IS THAT THERE WILL BE QUESTIONS
8 THERE THAT WILL GENERATE, LIKE, THE TYPE OF
9 SOLUTIONS THAT WE HOPE TO FUND WITH THIS.

10 DR. LEVITT: SO THE OTHER QUESTION I HAVE
11 IS, BECAUSE THIS IS AN AREA OF TECHNOLOGY THAT'S
12 CHANGING BY THE HOUR, RIGHT?

13 DR. BYRAM: ABSOLUTELY.

14 DR. LEVITT: AND SO THE REASON WHY
15 PYTHON IS PYTHON BECAUSE IT WAS ACTUALLY BUILT TO BE
16 PYTHON. IT WAS BUILT TO BE A FOUNDATION UPON WHICH
17 THOUSANDS OF COMPUTATIONAL FOLKS OR THOSE WHO CODE
18 COULD ACTUALLY USE IT AS TOOL AS A STARTING POINT.
19 IS THIS GOING TO BE AN AREA OF EMPHASIS WHEN YOU
20 DESCRIBE THE KINDS OF PROJECTS THAT YOU WANT TO SEE,
21 RIGHT? AND THEY'RE GOING TO WANT TO KNOW, BECAUSE
22 YOU CAN ALSO DESIGN VERY SPECIFIC PIPELINES FOR
23 SPECIFIC PROJECTS THAT MAY NOT HAVE THAT KIND OF
24 FLEXIBILITY AND, THEREFORE, MAY BE DATED IN A
25 RELATIVELY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. SO WHAT ARE YOUR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THOUGHTS ABOUT THAT?

2 DR. BYRAM: YEAH. THAT'S A GREAT
3 QUESTION. SO THE REVIEW CRITERIA THAT THE GRANTS
4 WORKING GROUP WILL USE TO EVALUATE THE APPLICATIONS
5 WILL CONSIDER HOW BROADLY APPLICABLE THESE TOOLS
6 WILL BE TO THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY AND ALSO WHAT
7 THEIR PLANS FOR MAINTENANCE OR SUSTAINABILITY ARE IN
8 TERMS OF HOW USER ADOPTION, AS IT CHANGES, WHETHER
9 THERE WILL BE A CONTRIBUTOR COMMUNITY THAT HAS
10 APPETITE FOR BUILDING ON THESE TOOLS AND DEVELOPING
11 THEM FURTHER. AND ALSO WITH THEM BEING TWO-YEAR
12 AWARDS, THEY CAN ALSO COME BACK FOR REINVESTMENT AS
13 WELL SO THAT THEY CAN CONTINUE TO BE SUPPORTED IF IT
14 TURNS THAT THEY ARE A SUCCESSFUL, NEEDED TOOL IN THE
15 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE COMMUNITY.

16 MS. CASILLAS: HI. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR
17 THIS. AND I THINK THIS IS SO IMPORTANT. SO I'M
18 REALLY EXCITED TO SEE YOU ALL TACKLING THIS. I HAVE
19 SOME EXPERIENCE WITH SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, NOT OPEN
20 SOURCE AND NOT IN SCIENCE. SO JUST A COUPLE
21 QUESTIONS. AND I THINK A LOT OF THIS DISCUSSION HAS
22 BEEN REALLY HELPFUL, THAT PEOPLE WILL BE ABLE TO
23 COME BACK FOR MORE MONEY IF THEY NEED TO MAINTAIN
24 BECAUSE YOU ALWAYS NEED TO MAINTAIN. RIGHT? ALL
25 RIGHT. THAT'S GREAT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE GWG WILL BE REVIEWING THESE
2 APPLICATIONS. WILL THERE BE SOME, LIKE, TECHNOLOGY
3 REVIEWERS ADDED TO HELP US?

4 DR. BYRAM: YES. IT IS A GAP IN OUR
5 CURRENT REVIEW PANEL THAT WE WILL HAVE TO OUTREACH
6 AND FIND SUITABLE REVIEWERS TO BUILD A PANEL THAT
7 WILL BE ABLE TO ACCURATELY, VIGOROUSLY REVIEW THESE.
8 AND IT WILL BE A MIX OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
9 EXPERTISE AS WELL AS COMPUTATIONAL EXPERTISE AND
10 PEOPLE WITH OPEN-SOURCE BACKGROUND, DEVELOPMENT
11 BACKGROUND, IN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING.

12 MS. CASILLAS: OKAY. THAT SOUNDS GREAT.

13 DR. BYRAM: AND ONE OTHER NOTE ON THAT IS
14 THAT WE HAVE THIS NEED FOR THESE REVIEWERS, THE
15 COMPUTATIONAL EXPERTS, IN OUR OTHER PROGRAMS AS
16 WELL. AND SO THEY WILL BE ABLE TO SERVE ON IDEALLY
17 MULTIPLE REVIEW PANELS FOR OUR DISCOVERY PROGRAMS AS
18 WELL.

19 MS. CASILLAS: COOL. I'M JUST CURIOUS.
20 DOES -- OH, DID YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING?

21 DR. CANET-AVILES: I JUST WANTED TO ADD
22 SOMETHING ABOUT THE MAINTENANCE. THE GOAL IS NOT
23 SUSTAINABILITY. AND WE NEED TO BE CAREFUL WITH THAT
24 BECAUSE ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE NEED TO PROMOTE
25 WITH INSTITUTIONS IS, ONCE THERE IS THE FUNDING FROM

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CIRM TO DEVELOP THESE TOOLS, IS FINDING WAYS, NOT
2 MAYBE IN TWO YEARS, BUT MAYBE IN THREE, TO FIGURE
3 OUT WAYS FOR SUSTAINABILITY IN THE LONG TERM
4 BECAUSE, AS WE SAID, WE ARE FINITE. RIGHT? SO I
5 JUST WANT TO CLARIFY THIS POINT.

6 MS. CASILLAS: OKAY. YEAH. THAT'S
7 IMPORTANT. HOW MUCH CLEANING DOES SCIENTIFIC DATA
8 REQUIRE?

9 DR. BYRAM: CLEANING?

10 MS. CASILLAS: YEAH. I RAN INTO THAT A
11 LOT WITH COMMUNICATIONS DATA COMING FROM
12 DIFFERENT --

13 DR. BYRAM: YES. I THINK IT DEPENDS ON
14 THE DATA TYPE, BUT I THINK ALL DATA TYPES REQUIRE
15 SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF CLEANING AND HARMONIZING AND
16 WRANGLING SO THAT YOU CAN ACTUALLY INTEGRATE THESE
17 DISPARATE DATA TYPES. AND SO THAT IS SOMETHING THAT
18 WOULD BE AN ALLOWABLE ACTIVITY IS GETTING DATA INTO
19 THE SHAPE THAT IT NEEDS TO BE TO INTERFACE WITH
20 OTHER DATA TYPES.

21 MS. CASILLAS: THAT'S SOMETHING ALSO,
22 THEN, THAT'S ONGOING THAT WILL NEED TO BE DONE AS
23 EVERY PROJECT GENERATES ALL THIS TONS OF DATA.

24 I THOUGHT THERE WAS ONE OTHER QUESTION I
25 HAD. SO, YEAH. IS THE CIRM TEAM GOING TO BE KIND

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF MONITORING PROGRESS AND CREATING MILESTONES? AND
2 SO DO YOU ALL HAVE THE TECHNOLOGY EXPERTISE THAT YOU
3 NEED?

4 DR. BYRAM: YES. SO WE DO HAVE SOME OF
5 THAT EXPERTISE IN-HOUSE, AND WE ALSO CAN RELY ON AN
6 EXPERT NETWORK THAT WE CAN DEVELOP TO HAVE ADVISING,
7 BUT THEY WILL BE MILESTONED AWARDS AND HAVE PROGRESS
8 REPORTS THAT THEY REPORT ON SEMIANNUAL INTERVALS AND
9 BE REVIEWED BY SCIENCE OFFICERS WITH APPROPRIATE
10 EXPERTISE.

11 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: DR. CARETHERS.

12 DR. CARETHERS: EXCITING, VERY EXCITING.
13 COULD ONE OF THE GOALS BE -- I KNOW WHEN DATA IS
14 GENERATED BY MANY OF THE DIFFERENT GRANTEES, AND THE
15 PURPOSE IS TO TRY TO GET INTO, I ASSUME, SOME
16 STANDARD FORMAT FOR OTHER BECAUSE IT SHOULD BE
17 PUBLIC ACCESSIBLE, IS THE GOAL OR COULD THE GOAL BE
18 THAT THERE'S, I'M NOT SAYING A CENTRAL REPOSITORY,
19 BUT A CENTRAL FRONT DOOR THAT COULD BE THROUGH CIRM
20 OR CIRM'S SUCCESSOR OR WHATEVER THAT, IF I'M A
21 25-YEAR-OLD RESEARCHER, WANTED TO SEE SOME FORMER
22 GENE THERAPY DATA, HOW WOULD I FIND THAT? I WOULD
23 THINK IT'S FIRST PROBABLY CIRM VERSUS WAS IT THIS
24 PERSON IN THIS PLACE AND THIS THING. SO I DON'T
25 KNOW IF THAT'S ONE OF THE GOALS OR COULD THAT BE ONE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF THE GOALS, THAT AT LEAST THERE'S A FRONT DOOR TO
2 ACCESS THE DATA ONCE ALL THIS IS DONE?

3 DR. BYRAM: YES. WONDERFUL QUESTION. SO
4 WE ACTUALLY HAVE A PLATFORM THAT IS NEW IN THE LAST
5 YEAR CALLED CIRM DATA EXPLORER THAT IS FOR TRACKING
6 WHERE CIRM-FUNDED DATA HAS BEEN STORED. IT IS, AS
7 YOU SAY, MORE LIKE A FRONT DOOR THAN AN ACTUAL
8 REPOSITORY. PEOPLE CAN BROWSE THE DATASETS TO FIND
9 WHERE IF THEY'RE NGO, IF THEY'RE IN DB GAP, WHERE
10 THEY HAVE BEEN DEPOSITED AND THEIR ACCESSION NUMBER
11 SO THAT A RESEARCHER CAN GO AND ASK A QUESTION. IS
12 THERE DIABETES-RELATED RESEARCH IN THIS AREA USING
13 THIS DATA TYPE, AND WHERE CAN I FIND THAT DATA?

14 DR. CANET-AVILES: JANIE, I JUST WANT TO
15 TAKE A STEP BACK BECAUSE THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE
16 PLAN TO BRING TO THE BOARD TO EXPLAIN IT. AND IT'S
17 BEEN ACTUALLY JANIE. SO THE DATA INFRASTRUCTURE
18 PART OF OUR PROGRAMS IS SOMETHING FAIRLY NEW THAT WE
19 CREATED, AND JANIE IS LEADING THIS. AND WE HIRED A
20 SCIENCE OFFICER WITH DATA EXPERTISE THAT WORKS WITH
21 JANIE DIRECTLY, AND THEY ARE VERY COORDINATED WITH
22 THE DISCOVERY TEAM. BUT WE ALSO DEVELOPED THIS DATA
23 EXPLORER THAT ALSO TOOK THE LEGACY DATA FROM THE
24 GENOMICS AND EVERYTHING. AND ALL THE DATA, WE'VE
25 WORKED WITH RANCHO BIOSCIENCE TO BRING IT IN THERE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WE HAVEN'T DONE GOOD JOB TO COMMUNICATE
2 THAT. YOU JUST ASKED THE QUESTION. RIGHT? SO
3 THAT'S SOMETHING THAT'S IN OUR RADAR, AND WE WANT TO
4 POLISH AND ALSO COMMUNICATE IT BETTER. BUT I JUST
5 WANT TO ALSO GIVE KUDOS TO JANIE THAT TOOK THIS, AND
6 SHE HAS BEEN WORKING VERY HARD TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE.

7 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. LET'S SEE. I
8 DON'T SEE. I DON'T SEE -- ANYONE ON THE ZOOM? NO.
9 OKAY. IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM? IT
10 DOESN'T SEEM TO BE IN THE ROOM AND NOTHING ONLINE.
11 ANY FURTHER COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS? IF NOT, WE
12 CAN GO TO A VOTE ON THIS REQUEST TO APPROVE THE
13 CONCEPT PLAN FOR INFRACTURE9.

14 MR. TOCHER: OKAY. THANK YOU. AND,
15 AGAIN, IT WILL BE VOICE VOTE IN THE ROOM, AND I'LL
16 POLL THE MEMBERS ON THE ZOOM. ALL THOSE IN THE ROOM
17 IN FAVOR SAY AYE. THOSE OPPOSED SAY NAY. ANY
18 ABSTENTIONS? AND ON THE PHONE: LEONDRA
19 CLARK-HARVEY.

20 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: AYE.

21 MR. TOCHER: MONICA CARSON.

22 DR. CARSON: AYE.

23 MR. TOCHER: CAROLYN MELTZER.

24 DR. MELTZER: AYE.

25 MR. TOCHER: SHANNA STARK.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. STARK: AYE.

2 MR. TOCHER: KEVIN XU.

3 MR. XU: AYE.

4 MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

5 CHAIR.

6 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. NEXT I'M
7 GOING TO INVITE DR. SAMBRANO.

8 MR. TOCHER: ACTUALLY. SORRY. LITTLE
9 DISCUSSION WHILE THAT OTHER ITEM WAS GOING ON FOR
10 PROCESS FOR VOTING, MAKING SURE WE CAN PRESERVE
11 QUORUM, WE'RE ACTUALLY GOING TO, IF IT IS GOOD WITH
12 THE GROUP, TO PROCEED TO THE VOTING ITEMS ON THE
13 BUDGET AND THE CONTRACT, AND THEN WE'LL COME BACK TO
14 THE INFORMATIONAL ITEM.

15 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: SMART. GREAT. SO
16 SHOULD WE GO TO 18, THE RESEARCH BUDGET?

17 MR. TOCHER: PLEASE.

18 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: AND THAT WOULD BE --
19 THERE SHE COMES. JEN LEWIS, VICE PRESIDENT FOR
20 OPERATIONS.

21 MS. LEWIS: THANK YOU, DR. IMBASCIANI AND
22 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD AND CIRM TEAM AND PUBLIC. MY
23 NAME IS JENNIFER LEWIS, AND I'M HERE TODAY TO
24 PRESENT THE 26/27 RESEARCH BUDGET TO YOU.

25 AND SO TODAY I'M GOING TO GO OVER THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AWARDED FUNDS OVERVIEW TO GIVE YOU A SNAPSHOT OF
2 WHERE WE ARE IN OUR RESEARCH FUNDING. YOU HEARD MY
3 COLLEAGUE ROSA THIS MORNING. BUT I'LL GIVE A MORE
4 IN DEPTH RELATED TO PROP 14, THE ACTUALS FROM THE
5 25/26 RESEARCH BUDGET, AND 26/27 PROPOSED RESEARCH
6 BUDGET.

7 SO THIS FIRST SLIDE SHOWS THE TOTAL FUNDS
8 AVAILABLE AS OF APRIL 30, 2026. THE 7.7 BILLION
9 COVERS BOTH PROPOSITIONS AND ANY RETURN OF FUNDS
10 THAT CIRM HAS HAD OVER ITS LIFESPAN. THAT INCLUDES
11 LOANS AND INTEREST PAYMENTS I'LL TALK ABOUT A LITTLE
12 BIT MORE.

13 AS YOU CAN SEE, WE'VE ENCUMBERED JUST OVER
14 50 PERCENT OF THAT PORTFOLIO, AND WE HAVE ROUGHLY
15 JUST A LITTLE OVER 3 BILLION REMAINING TO ALLOCATE
16 OVER THE NEXT SEVERAL YEARS.

17 THIS NEXT SLIDE I WANT TO PAUSE FOR A
18 MOMENT, AND THIS IS A NEW SLIDE THAT WE ADDED IN
19 RESPONSE TO BOARD MEMBER DURON IN PREVIOUS MEETINGS
20 ASKING FOR MORE CLARITY ABOUT THE RETURN OF FUNDS
21 THAT COME BACK TO CIRM IN THOSE DIFFERENT AREAS. SO
22 I'D LIKE TO WALK YOU THROUGH THIS SLIDE AND SHARE
23 WITH YOU A LITTLE BIT MORE.

24 THIS IS AS OF THE LAST QUARTER. TO DATE
25 CIRM HAS AWARDED OVER \$5 BILLION. AWARDED MEANING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THIS BOARD HAS APPROVED ALL TIME OVER \$5 BILLION.
2 BIGGER NUMBER. AND WHAT THAT MEANS IS THERE'S
3 SEVERAL WAYS THAT WE GET FUNDS BACK TO THE STATE TO
4 REDEPLOY. THE FIRST IS WE AWARD GRANTS FROM OUR
5 RESEARCH BUDGET. AND DURING THE LIFETIME OF OUR
6 AWARD MANAGEMENT, WE RECOVER FUNDS. AND WHAT DOES
7 THAT MEAN? WE RECOVER FUNDS FROM -- DURING THE TIME
8 THAT WE'RE CONTRACTING, AS WE'RE NEGOTIATING
9 MILESTONES AND LOOKING AT ALLOWABLE AND NONALLOWABLE
10 COSTS, WE HAVE UNOBLIGATED FUNDS AT THE END OF AN
11 AWARD PERIOD, OR FUNDS THAT PERHAPS WERE EARMARKED
12 FOR SPECIFIC THINGS LIKE EQUIPMENT THAT PERHAPS
13 AREN'T USED AND THEN WE REDEPLOY.

14 WE ALSO HAVE TIMES WHERE AWARDS ARE
15 TERMINATED OR DECLINED. AND THAT'S WHERE WE RECOVER
16 FUNDS BACK TO REDEPLOY TO GIVE OUT MORE GRANTS. SO
17 TO DATE AT ALL TIME CIRM HAS RECOVERED 572 MILLION.

18 IN ADDITION, OVER CIRM'S LIFESPAN, WE'VE
19 HAD TWO DIFFERENT LOAN POLICIES. WITH THAT HAS
20 RESULTED IN OVER 77 MILLION IN LOAN RETURN
21 REPAYMENTS AND INTEREST FROM THOSE LOANS BACK, WHICH
22 WE DEPLOY BACK TO THE RESEARCH BUDGET AND CAN
23 ALLOCATE FOR MORE GRANTS.

24 ADDITIONALLY, AS YOU CAN IMAGINE, AS WE
25 FUND RESEARCH, WE DO RECEIVE ROYALTIES FROM THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 RESEARCH WE FUNDED. TO DATE WE'VE RECEIVED 17.6
2 MILLION. AND THIS NOW GOES TO THE PATIENT SUPPORT
3 PROGRAM THAT IS MANAGED BY SHYAM AND TEAM ON THE
4 PATIENT ACCESS TEAM TO SUPPORT PATIENTS IN LODGING,
5 TRANSPORTATION NEEDS.

6 AND LASTLY, WE HAVE GENERAL USE, WHICH
7 I'LL SAY IS MORE TO SAY THAT WE GET INTEREST EARNED.
8 SO ANY OF THE BOND PROCEEDS THAT WE RECEIVE AND
9 WE'RE HOLDING IN OUR ACCOUNT WE EARN INTEREST ON, AS
10 WELL AS WE HAVE RECEIVED DONATIONS. SO INTEREST
11 EARNED TO DATE IS 69.3 MILLION, AND WE HAVE RECEIVED
12 DONATIONS OVER THE LIFESPAN OF CIRM. GENERALLY
13 THESE ARE OF GENERAL USE. THERE HAS BEEN CASES THAT
14 THEY HAVE BEEN EARMARKED FOR PARTICULAR THINGS,
15 WHICH IS 12 MILLION.

16 SO MOVING ON, THE NEXT SLIDE IS SHOWING
17 PROP 14 RESEARCH BUDGET ALLOCATION. AS YOU KNOW,
18 THERE ARE CERTAIN EARMARKS IN THE PROPOSITION AND
19 HOW MUCH WE CAN ALLOCATE TO CERTAIN AREAS. OF THE
20 3.43 MILLION FOR RESEARCH THERAPY DEVELOPMENT AND
21 THERAPY DELIVERY, WE HAVE COMMITTED JUST OVER 1
22 BILLION TO DATE. FOR DISEASES OF THE BRAIN AND
23 CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM, WE HAVE 1.38 BILLION, AND TO
24 DATE WE HAVE ALLOCATED 655 MILLION.

25 AND LASTLY, FOR ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THERE'S 96 MILLION IN PROP 14, AND WE HAVE ALLOCATED
2 JUST OVER 2 MILLION TO DATE.

3 AND THEN LASTLY, IN THE RESEARCH THERAPY
4 AND DEVELOPMENT BUCKET, WE ALSO ARE ABLE TO BUILD
5 AND EQUIP AND OPERATE SHARED RESOURCE LABS AND
6 COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE. AND SO TO
7 DATE THIS BOARD HAS APPROVED 21 MILLION TOWARDS THE
8 26 MILLION FOR SHARED RESOURCE LABS AND 27 MILLION
9 TOWARDS THE 78 MILLION FOR COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF
10 EXCELLENCE.

11 AND THEN THIS IS JUST SINCE PROP 14 WAS
12 INITIATED IN JANUARY OF 2021. THIS IS GIVING A
13 LIFESPAN SHOWING HOW MUCH HAS BEEN COMMITTED BY THE
14 BOARD AND UNCOMMITTED. AS YOU CAN SEE, WHAT I'D
15 LIKE TO FOCUS ON IS IN THIS PAST YEAR IN 25/26, WHAT
16 YOU WILL SEE IS OUR UNCOMMITTED BALANCE AFTER THE
17 APPROVALS THAT WERE MADE TODAY IS ROUGHLY 14
18 PERCENT. HISTORICALLY, SINCE 2021, WE'VE BEEN AT AN
19 AVERAGE OF 25 PERCENT. AND SO I ATTRIBUTE THAT TO
20 THIS TEAM'S WELL PLANNING AND MANAGING OF TIMING OF
21 OUR APPLICATION REVIEWS AND DEPLOYMENT THAT HAS
22 ALLOWED US TO ENSURE THAT WE CAN ALLOCATE ALL THE
23 FUNDS APPROPRIATELY.

24 SO HERE IS A REVIEW OF THE 25/26 APPROVED
25 RESEARCH BUDGET AND ACTUALS. THIS FIRST COLUMN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SHOWS THE BUDGET THAT YOU APPROVED LAST JUNE, WHICH
2 WAS A TOTAL OF 505.7 MILLION. THAT WAS THE LARGEST
3 BUDGET WE HAVE APPROVED TO DATE. AS OF THIS
4 MEETING, THE BOARD HAS COMMITTED 373 MILLION TOWARDS
5 EACH OF THE PILLARS. AND WITH THE APPROVALS OF THE
6 PDEV AWARDS TODAY AND THE CLINICAL² TRIAL AWARDS
7 TODAY, WE WILL END THE YEAR AT \$434 MILLION.

8 THERE IS A VARIANCE, AS YOU CAN SEE, OF 14
9 PERCENT OF 71 MILLION. AND I WANT TO GO IN A LITTLE
10 BIT DEEPER OF WHAT THE DRIVERS ARE OF THOSE MAIN
11 CATEGORIES OF CLINICAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE.

12 SO FOR CLINICAL, THAT 30-PERCENT VARIANCE
13 IS DUE TO AT THE BEGINNING OF THE FISCAL YEAR, WE
14 HAD PLANNED TO HAVE FOUR CLINICAL REVIEWS. AS THIS
15 BOARD KNOWS, DURING THIS FISCAL YEAR, WE IMPLEMENTED
16 NEW CHANGES TO THE REVIEW PROCESS. AND THOSE
17 CHANGES STARTED A LITTLE BIT LATER THAN ANTICIPATED.
18 SO THERE WAS ONE CYCLE THAT DID NOT HAPPEN DURING
19 THIS FISCAL YEAR. AS YOU WILL SEE IN THE PROPOSED
20 BUDGET FOR NEXT YEAR, THE PLANS FOR FOUR REVIEWS
21 WILL OCCUR. SO THAT \$40 MILLION BALANCE IS DUE TO A
22 CYCLE OF REVIEWS THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN AND APPROVALS
23 THAT DIDN'T COME TO THE APPLICATION REVIEW
24 SUBCOMMITTEE.

25 FOR INFRASTRUCTURE, I'M GOING TO JUMP

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DOWN. THE FIRST LARGEST IS 47 PERCENT. THE
2 VARIANCE IS DUE TO TWO FACTORS. SO AS YOU SAW FROM
3 DR. PATEL EARLIER TODAY, THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS
4 OF EXCELLENCE ARE UP AND RUNNING. THOSE WERE
5 APPROVED IN THIS FISCAL YEAR. HOWEVER, THE BOARD
6 HAS OPENED AN ADDITIONAL APPLICATION FOR NORTHERN
7 CALIFORNIA AS WE SAW A GAP IN THE APPLICATIONS THAT
8 WERE APPROVED. SO THERE'S 9 MILLION IN THIS BUDGET
9 THAT WAS AN ADDITIONAL COMMUNITY CARE CENTER OF
10 EXCELLENCE REMAINING BALANCE THAT YOU WILL SEE
11 ALLOCATED INTO NEXT FISCAL YEAR.

12 IN ADDITION, THERE WAS 50 MILLION PLANNED
13 TO DEPLOY A SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR THE COMMUNITY CARE
14 CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE. THIS WAS FOCUSED ON POSSIBLY
15 TARGETING COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS. DUE TO THE
16 STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT THAT HAPPENED IN THE ACCESS AND
17 AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP AND THE PLAN THAT WAS
18 APPROVED IN DECEMBER AND NOW WITH PERMANENT
19 LEADERSHIP IN THE PATIENT ACCESS TEAM, THOSE PLANS
20 ARE STILL GOING TO HAPPEN. HOWEVER, THAT 50
21 MILLION, WE NEVER BROUGHT A CONCEPT FOR THAT BECAUSE
22 AS WE WERE STILL DEPLOYING THE COMMUNITY CARE
23 CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE. SO YOU WILL SEE THAT IN
24 FUTURE YEARS, NOT IN NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET.

25 SO NOW I'LL REVIEW THE 26/27 PROPOSED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 RESEARCH BUDGET THAT WAS BROUGHT TO THE SCIENCE
2 SUBCOMMITTEE LAST MONTH. THE MAJOR DRIVERS OF THIS
3 RESEARCH BUDGET ARE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
4 APPROVALS OF GRANT APPLICATIONS FOR OUR REGULAR
5 DEVELOPMENT PIPELINE OF PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT, THE
6 INAUGURAL ROUND OF THE RAPID PROGRAM, AND REVIEWS
7 AND APPROVALS OF THE DISC4 AND DISC5 PROGRAMS, THE
8 EARLY STAGE RESEARCH. THERE WILL BE NEW CONCEPTS
9 WHICH YOU JUST HEARD FROM JANIE BYRUM FOR THE DATA
10 SCIENCE AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING CONCEPT APPROVAL.
11 AND THOSE AWARDS WILL BE APPROVED DURING THIS YEAR.
12 AND LATER THIS YEAR, DR. ROSA CANET-AVILES WILL BE
13 BRINGING A CLIN2 AMENDMENT THAT WILL LOOK AT HOW TO
14 INCORPORATE LATE STAGE BLA-RELATED ACTIVITIES. SO
15 THAT ALSO IS CONSIDERED INTO THIS BUDGET.

16 LASTLY, THERE'S A SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENT
17 IN EDUCATION. THE BRIDGES, COMPASS EDUC8 PROGRAM
18 THAT WAS APPROVED BY THE BOARD EARLY THIS YEAR, THE
19 NEW CONCEPT, YOU WILL SEE FUNDS ALLOCATED FOR
20 APPROVALS IN THIS FISCAL YEAR. A RENEWAL OF THE
21 SPARK PROGRAM, THE EDUC3 PROGRAM. WE'VE ALLOCATED
22 FUNDS FOR A RENEWAL OF THE CIRM SCHOLARS PROGRAM
23 THAT DR. THOMAS MENTIONED EARLIER THIS YEAR FOR THE
24 POST-DOC, PRE-DOC, AND CLINICAL FELLOWS PROGRAM, AS
25 WELL AS AN ALLOCATION FOR CONFERENCE GRANTS. AND

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I'LL GET INTO THE DETAILS ON THE NEXT SLIDE.

2 AND LASTLY IS THERE ARE FUNDS ALLOCATED
3 FOR A NORTHERN CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY CARE OF
4 EXCELLENCE APPROVAL THIS YEAR.

5 SO THIS SLIDE SHOWS THE DISCOVERY,
6 PRECLINICAL, AND CLINICAL BUDGETS. THE TOTAL
7 REQUEST FOR THE DISCOVERY BUDGET IS 136.5 MILLION.
8 THIS INCLUDES 84 MILLION FOR SIX DISC4 AWARDS AND
9 52.5 MILLION FOR 21 DISC5 AWARDS. FOR THE
10 PRECLINICAL BUDGET, WE ARE REQUESTING 146 MILLION.
11 THAT IS 91 MILLION FOR THE PDEV PROGRAM, WHICH WILL
12 YIELD ROUGHLY SEVEN TO TWELVE AWARDS DEPENDING ON
13 WHICH STAGE THOSE AWARDS COME IN AT. AND THE
14 INAUGURAL ROUND OF 55 MILLION FOR THE RAPID PROGRAM,
15 WHICH COULD BE ROUGHLY TWO TO THREE AWARDS.

16 AND LASTLY, WE ARE REQUESTING 160 MILLION
17 FOR THE CLINICAL2 PROGRAM, WHICH WILL BE ROUGHLY 11
18 TO 20 AWARDS, AGAIN, DEPENDING ON WHICH PHASE AND
19 STAGE THAT THOSE PROGRAMS COME IN AT.

20 AND THEN AS MENTIONED, WE HAVE A
21 SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENT IN EDUCATION THIS YEAR OF
22 200.5 MILLION. AND I WANTED TO ACKNOWLEDGE, DR.
23 BLUMENTHAL, YOUR QUESTION EARLIER DURING THE
24 PRESIDENT'S REPORT. ONE OF THE REASONS THAT THIS
25 INVESTMENT LOOKS SO SIGNIFICANT HERE IS BECAUSE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THESE ARE FIVE-YEAR AWARDS. SO THE EDUC8 AND THE
2 EDUC3 PROGRAM ARE FIVE-YEAR AWARDS, AND THESE WOULD
3 BE A ONETIME RFA FOR ANOTHER FIVE YEARS AS YOU SAW
4 EARLIER THIS YEAR. AND THOSE WILL LAST ROUGHLY
5 THROUGH 32/33. PROBABLY GET US TO 31/32. SO THAT
6 INVESTMENT WILL SUSTAIN OUR PROJECTIONS AT THIS
7 TIME.

8 WE HAVE 90 MILLION FOR AN EXTENSION OR A
9 RENEWAL FOR THE EDUC4 PROGRAM. CURRENTLY WE HAVE 18
10 AWARDS, AND THAT CONCEPT WILL COME IN SEPTEMBER TO
11 THE BOARD FOR YOUR REVIEW AND APPROVAL. AND THEN
12 ALLOCATING 2.5 MILLION FOR CONFERENCE GRANTS. SO
13 THESE WOULD BE CONTRACTING WITH ONE PROVIDER TO
14 EXECUTE ROUGHLY SEVEN TO TEN CONFERENCES THROUGH THE
15 FISCAL YEAR TO SUPPORT OUR GRANTEE WORK.

16 AND THEN LASTLY, WE ARE REQUESTING 19
17 MILLION THAT IS FOR ONE AWARD IN THE COMMUNITY CARE
18 CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE DELIVERY IN NORTHERN
19 CALIFORNIA RFA FOR 9 MILLION. AND THEN, AS JANIE
20 JUST PRESENTED, 10 MILLION FOR DATA SCIENCE AND
21 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING, WHICH WOULD BE ROUGHLY 15 TO
22 20 AWARDS.

23 AND SO WITH THAT, THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE
24 ENDORSED APPROVAL OF THIS 26/27 RESEARCH BUDGET AND
25 REQUESTS ADOPTION BY THE ICOC OF A TOTAL BUDGET OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 662 MILLION. AND, DR. IMBASCIANI, I'LL HAND IT OVER
2 TO YOU.

3 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, JEN.
4 THAT WAS VERY SUCCINCT. SO THIS WENT THROUGH THE
5 COMMITTEE AND GOT A GOOD RECOMMENDATION. SO IF WE
6 HAVE A MOTION, WE CAN DISCUSS.

7 DR. DULIEGE: I MOVE.

8 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ANNE-MARIE MOVES.
9 AND THEN HALA HAS THE SECOND. THANK YOU.
10 DISCUSSION ON OUR RESEARCH BUDGET OPEN TO THE FLOOR.

11 DR. LEVITT: FABULOUS.

12 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. JUST AS
13 A HISTORICAL NOTE, IS THIS THE HIGHEST BUDGET?

14 MS. LEWIS: THIS WILL BE THE HIGHEST
15 BUDGET THAT CIRM HAS EVER APPROVED. SO THIS IS A
16 LANDMARK, I THINK, MOMENT FOR THIS BOARD.

17 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GOOD. IS THERE ANY
18 MEMBER? NO. ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC THAT MIGHT
19 WANT TO SPEAK TO THE BUDGET? THEN WITHOUT DELAY,
20 SCOTT, LET'S VOTE ON IT.

21 MR. TOCHER: IN ONE SECOND. THIS WILL BE
22 BY VOICE VOTE IN THE ROOM AND ROLL CALL FOR THOSE ON
23 THE ZOOM. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR IN THE ROOM SAY AYE.
24 THOSE OPPOSED TO SAY NAY. ANY ABSTENTIONS? AND ON
25 THE PHONE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: AYE.
2 MR. TOCHER: MONICA CARSON.
3 DR. CARSON: AYE.
4 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA. CAROLYN
5 MELTZER.

6 DR. MELTZER: AYE.
7 MR. TOCHER: SHANNA STARK.
8 MS. STARK: AYE.

9 MR. TOCHER: AND KEVIN XU.
10 MR. XU: AYE.

11 MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANK YOU. THE
12 BUDGET IS ADOPTED.

13 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THE BUDGET. GOOD.
14 SET FOR ANOTHER YEAR.

15 LET'S MOVE ON WITH THE NUMBERS TO OUR
16 ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET THAT'S GONE THROUGH OUR
17 FINANCE COMMITTEE. MICHELLE LEWIS, ARE YOU READY TO
18 MAKE A PRESENTATION? THANK YOU.

19 MS. LEWIS: I'M A LITTLE BIT SHORTER.
20 GOOD AFTERNOON, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, CIRM TEAM, AND
21 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. MY NAME IS MICHELLE LEWIS,
22 AND I AM THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE. AND TODAY WE'RE
23 GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET, A
24 LITTLE BIT LESS THAN THE RESEARCH BUDGET.

25 AS ALWAYS, WE HAVE OUR MISSION IN MIND

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WHEN WE'RE CRAFTING OUR BUDGET. TODAY WE'RE GOING
2 TO DO A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF PROPOSITION 14. WE'RE
3 GOING TO REVIEW THE RESULTS OF THE 25/26 FISCAL YEAR
4 AND THEN DISCUSS THE PROPOSED 26/27 BUDGET.

5 SO THIS GRAPH SHOWS OUR \$5.5 BILLION
6 PROPOSITION, AND THESE ARE THE CATEGORIES WHERE THE
7 FUNDS ARE ALLOTTED. AS YOU JUST HEARD, THERE'S \$4.9
8 BILLION ALLOTTED FOR GRANTS. AND THE OTHER THREE
9 CATEGORIES I'LL DISCUSS ON THE NEXT SLIDE.

10 SO THESE ARE THE MAJOR FUNDING CATEGORIES
11 OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET. AND THESE FIGURES ARE
12 AS OF APRIL 30, 2026. OUR FIRST CATEGORY IS
13 CAPITALIZED INTEREST AND COST OF ISSUANCE, WHICH ARE
14 COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS TO FUND
15 OUR ACTIVITIES.

16 FIRST, THE PROPOSITION STATES THAT ALL
17 INTEREST ON ANY BONDS ISSUED THROUGH THE FIFTH FULL
18 CALENDAR YEAR FROM THE START OF THE BOND WILL BE
19 PAID FROM BOND PROCEEDS. THIS IS CALLED CAPITALIZED
20 INTEREST.

21 SECOND, THERE ARE FEES THAT ARE PAID TO
22 PROFESSIONALS ASSOCIATED WITH THE SALE OF BONDS, AND
23 THOSE ARE CALLED COST OF ISSUANCE. BOTH OF THESE
24 REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF BOND PROCEEDS THAT WE ARE
25 ALLOWED TO SPEND. THE PROPOSITION DOESN'T STIPULATE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 A SET AMOUNT THE BOND AUTHORITY CAN BE REDUCED.
2 CIRM HAD ESTIMATED THAT THESE WOULD BE A HUNDRED
3 MILLION, AND THAT'S WHAT WAS BUDGETED. WE ARE NOW
4 PAST THE FIVE-YEAR MARK, AND CIRM'S AUTHORITY HAS
5 BEEN REDUCED BY 85 MILLION FOR THOSE TWO PURPOSES.

6 OUR NEXT CATEGORY IS DIRECT GRANT
7 ADMINISTRATION. THERE ARE THREE COMPONENTS TO THAT,
8 GRANT COMPLIANCE, AND \$18.5 MILLION OF THE 100
9 MILLION HAS BEEN SPENT AS OF APRIL. ACCESS AND
10 AFFORDABILITY, 5.5 MILLION OF THE 55 MILLION HAS
11 BEEN SPENT. AND FOR GRANT ADMINISTRATION, 35.7 OF
12 THE 165 MILLION HAS BEEN SPENT.

13 OUR FINAL CATEGORY IS GENERAL
14 ADMINISTRATION, AND 45.4 OF THE 192.5 MILLION HAS
15 BEEN SPENT.

16 THIS SLIDE SHOWS OUR HISTORICAL ADMIN
17 BUDGET PERFORMANCE. SO THIS IS THE BUDGET TO
18 ACTUALS FOR THE ADMIN BUDGET. WE'RE HISTORICALLY
19 RUNNING BETWEEN ABOUT 17 PERCENT, WHICH IS WHAT WE
20 ARE AT THIS YEAR. OUR OUTLIER WOULD BE THAT FIRST
21 YEAR, WHICH WAS 40 PERCENT. AND THAT'S DUE TO
22 COMING BACK FROM WIND-DOWN AND STAFF VACANCIES.

23 NOW WE'LL GO OVER THE RESULTS OF THE 25/26
24 FISCAL YEAR. SO JUST FOR SOME BUDGET CONTEXT,
25 RECRUITMENT CONTINUED DUE TO NEW AND INCREASED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BUSINESS ACTIVITIES. WE RECRUITED SEVEN VACANT
2 POSITIONS WHICH GAVE US A HEAD COUNT OF 68, AND WE
3 HAVE FOUR POSITIONS CURRENTLY IN RECRUITMENT.

4 WE COMPLETED THE FIRST YEAR OF AWARDING
5 GRANTS UNDER THE NEW STRATEGIC ALLOCATION FRAMEWORK
6 WITH THE TEAM FOCUSED ON STRENGTHENING INTERNAL
7 BUSINESS OPERATIONS AND CROSS-FUNCTIONAL ALIGNMENT.

8 THESE ARE THE RESULTS, PROJECTED RESULTS,
9 OF THE 25/26 FISCAL YEAR. IN THAT FIRST COLUMN
10 YOU'LL SEE THAT THE 25/26 APPROVED BUDGET WAS 34.8
11 MILLION. WE ARE ESTIMATING THAT WE WILL FINISH AT
12 28.8 MILLION. AND THAT VARIANCE IS 5.9 MILLION FOR
13 17 PERCENT. AND THAT MEETS OUR AVERAGE, AND IT WAS
14 4-PERCENT IMPROVEMENT OVER LAST YEAR.

15 THE MAJOR DRIVERS OF THAT BUDGET VARIANCE
16 WERE TRAVEL AND TRAINING. DUE TO OUR INCREASED
17 BUSINESS OPERATIONS, IT DIDN'T ALLOW FOR THE TIME
18 FOR PLANNED TRAVEL OR TRAINING.

19 FOR OUR REVIEWS, THE PROGRAM EXPERT
20 REVIEWERS FOR AWARD MANAGEMENT WERE BUDGETED AS A
21 CONTINGENCY. THE ROLLOUT OF THE REVIEWERS LAUNCHED
22 LATER THAN ANTICIPATED. HOWEVER, THE PDEV TEAM
23 RECENTLY LAUNCHED THEIR PROGRAM, AND THEY'RE
24 EXPECTING TO SPEND APPROXIMATELY \$6,000 IN THIS
25 FISCAL YEAR AND AN INCREASE IN NEXT FISCAL YEAR.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE 26/27
2 PROPOSED BUDGET. I HAVE TWO VIEWS FOR YOU THIS
3 YEAR. LAST YEAR WE ONLY ONE VIEW. THIS FIRST VIEW
4 IS A BUDGET-TO-BUDGET COMPARISON. SO WE HAVE OUR
5 PROPOSED BUDGET FOR THE 26/27 FISCAL YEAR AT 36.2
6 MILLION COMPARED TO THE APPROVED 25/26 BUDGET WHICH
7 WAS 34.8 MILLION. AND THAT IS AN INCREASE OF 1.4
8 MILLION FOR A 4-PERCENT INCREASE AS OPPOSED TO LAST
9 YEAR WHICH WAS A 10-PERCENT INCREASE.

10 THIS NEXT SLIDE IS OUR BUDGET TO ACTUAL.
11 SO THIS IS OUR PROPOSED BUDGET VERSUS OUR ESTIMATED
12 TO FINISH. SO OUR PROPOSED BUDGET, AGAIN, IS 36.2
13 MILLION. WE ESTIMATE TO FINISH AT 28.8 MILLION,
14 WHICH LEAVES A VARIANCE OF 7.4 MILLION OR 20
15 PERCENT.

16 SOME MAJOR BUDGET DRIVERS FOR THIS NEW
17 BUDGET ARE MEMBERSHIPS AND TRAINING. SO WE
18 ANTICIPATE THAT TRAINING EXPENDITURES ARE GOING TO
19 CONTINUE AT A LOWER RATE. THEREFORE, WE'VE ADJUSTED
20 THE BUDGET TO MORE CLOSELY REFLECT THE RECENT
21 ACTUALS. SO WE DID DO A REDUCTION IN THE TRAINING
22 BUDGET.

23 JUST TO NOTE, THE TRAVEL BUDGET STAYED THE
24 SAME. WE HAD A VERY MINIMAL INCREASE IN EXTERNAL
25 SERVICES, AND WE ACTUALLY DECREASED FACILITIES BY 5

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PERCENT DUE TO THE COMPLETION OF SOME SPECIAL
2 PROJECTS.

3 WE ARE HAVING AN INCREASE IN SOFTWARE FOR
4 I.T. SOFTWARE TO SUPPORT GROWTH IN STAFFING AND NEW
5 PROJECTS.

6 AND THEN WE DO PROPOSE AN INCREASE IN
7 EMPLOYEE EXPENSES, AND THERE ARE THREE COMPONENTS TO
8 THAT. THE FIRST BEING THE SALARY INCREASE WHICH
9 INCLUDES A PERFORMANCE-BASED MERIT OF 2 PERCENT FOR
10 ELIGIBLE STAFF AND A 3-PERCENT COST OF LIVING
11 ADJUSTMENT.

12 JUST TO NOTE THAT THE COLA AND MERIT
13 APPLIES TO THE CHAIR, VICE CHAIR, AND PRESIDENT.
14 THIS MAKES THE INCREASE AVAILABLE IN THE BUDGET
15 SHOULD THE BOARD CHOOSE TO AWARD AS PART OF THEIR
16 LEADERSHIP EVALUATION PROCESS.

17 OUR SECOND COMPONENT IS THE UPDATED LEAVE
18 BUYOUT FOR EMPLOYEE DEPARTURES OR RETIREMENT. JUST
19 AS A REMINDER, LEAVE BUYOUT IS VACATION HOURS. ALL
20 STAFF RECEIVE VACATION HOURS THAT ARE THEIR
21 PROPERTY. WE ARE REQUIRED TO CASH OUT THOSE HOURS
22 SHOULD THEY DECIDE TO LEAVE THE AGENCY. SO OUR
23 CALCULATION RESERVES THE AMOUNT EQUAL TO ONE STAFF
24 MEMBER FROM EACH COST CENTER AS WE CAN'T PREDICT WHO
25 WILL LEAVE EXCEPT FOR THE ONE CONFIRMED RETIREMENT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT WE KNOW ABOUT. THIS ALLOWS THE COST CENTERS TO
2 BACKFILL THEIR POSITIONS WITHOUT IMPACTING THEIR
3 BUDGETS.

4 AND THEN OUR FINAL COMPONENT WILL BE THE
5 REQUEST OF ONE FULL-TIME POSITION.

6 THE RISK FACTORS FOR THE BUDGET, AS
7 ALWAYS, ARE RECRUITMENT AND PERSONNEL GROWTH AND
8 INCREASED COST OF GOODS AND SERVICES DUE TO
9 INFLATION. SO THOSE ARE DIFFICULT TO CONTROL, BUT
10 WE'RE ACTIVELY MANAGING THOSE COSTS AND WE'RE
11 CONSTANTLY EVALUATING THEM.

12 AND IN MAY WE RECEIVED FINANCE
13 SUBCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION TO APPROVE, AND WE ARE
14 ASKING FOR BUDGET APPROVAL FOR \$36.2 MILLION. CHAIR
15 IMBASCIANI.

16 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MICHELLE, THAT WAS
17 GREAT. THANK YOU. VERY SUCCINCT. AND CHAIR WILL
18 ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ACCEPT.

19 DR. BLUMENTHAL: SO MOVED.

20 DR. GASSON: SECOND.

21 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GEORGE. AND
22 SECONDED FROM JUDY. THANK YOU. DISCUSSION ON OUR
23 ADMIN BUDGET? YES, DR. BARRETT.

24 DR. BARRETT: JUST WANTED TO COMMEND THE
25 WHOLE TEAM FOR REALLY RUNNING A VERY LEAN OPERATION

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WITH OUTSTANDING RESULTS. SO THIS IS A VERY
2 IMPRESSIVE PERCENTAGE.

3 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. ANYONE
4 ELSE HAVE ANY COMMENTS? IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT
5 ON THIS BUDGETARY ITEM? YOU DON'T SEE ANY, YES,
6 LANA? OKAY. SCOTT, WE CAN PROCEED TO THE FINAL
7 BUDGET VOTE.

8 MR. TOCHER: THIS WILL BE, AGAIN, A VOICE
9 VOTE WITH A ROLL CALL OF THOSE ON THE ZOOM. ALL
10 THOSE IN THE ROOM IN FAVOR SAY AYE. AND THOSE
11 OPPOSED SAY NAY. ANY ABSTENTIONS? AND ON THE
12 PHONE: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.

13 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: AYE.

14 MR. TOCHER: MONICA CARSON.

15 DR. CARSON: AYE.

16 MR. TOCHER: CAROLYN MELTZER.

17 DR. MELTZER: AYE.

18 MR. TOCHER: SHANNA STARK.

19 MS. STARK: AYE.

20 MR. TOCHER: AND KEVIN XU.

21 MR. XU: AYE.

22 MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANK YOU. THE
23 BUDGET IS ADOPTED.

24 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ADOPTED. MUSIC.

25 MR. TOCHER: JUST A POINT OF ORDER. WE'RE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GOING TO GO VERY QUICKLY TO A GOVERNANCE
2 SUBCOMMITTEE ITEM, AND THEN WE'LL HAVE A TEN-MINUTE
3 BREAK TO FOLLOW BEFORE WE RESUME WITH THE REMAINDER.

4 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GOVERNANCE
5 SUBCOMMITTEE IS THIS CONTRACT ISSUE.

6 MR. TOCHER: THAT'S CORRECT.

7 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES. OKAY. BECAUSE
8 THE NEXT ACTION ITEM IS NO. 20, CONSIDERATION OF A
9 CONTRACT. JUDY.

10 DR. GASSON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND
11 BACK TO YOU, MICHELLE, TO DESCRIBE THIS CONTRACT.

12 MS. LEWIS: SO WE'RE REQUESTING THE
13 GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE'S APPROVAL TO CONTINUE A
14 TECHNICAL SERVICES CONTRACT WITH ENCLOUD SYSTEMS IN
15 THE AMOUNT OF \$270 TO SUPPORT THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT
16 SYSTEM. THIS SYSTEM IS A CORE OPERATIONAL PLATFORM,
17 AND CONTINUED ENGINEERING IS NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN
18 SYSTEM STABILITY, MODERNIZATION, AND ENSURE
19 ALIGNMENT WITH CURRENT TECHNOLOGY STANDARDS.

20 AS THIS CONTRACT IS OVER \$250,000,
21 GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE APPROVAL IS REQUIRED PER THE
22 PURCHASING POLICY.

23 DR. LEVITT: YOU SAID \$270.

24 MS. LEWIS: I DID?

25 DR. GASSON: PAT AND I WILL ENTERTAIN A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MOTION TO APPROVE THIS CONTRACT REQUEST.

2 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SO MOVED.

3 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: SECOND.

4 DR. GASSON: THANK YOU. ANY DISCUSSION IN
5 THE ROOM? ON THE PHONE? HEARING NONE, SCOTT, WILL
6 YOU PLEASE CALL THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ONLY.

7 MR. TOCHER: YES. FOR THOSE MEMBERS,
8 YOU'RE ALL IN THE ROOM. CONGRATULATIONS. SO THIS
9 WILL BE SIMPLE. ALL THOSE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE
10 MEMBERS IN THE ROOM IN FAVOR SAY AYE. THOSE OPPOSED
11 SAY NAY. ABSTENTIONS? VERY GOOD. THE CONTRACT IS
12 APPROVED.

13 DR. GASSON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. BACK TO
14 YOU.

15 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, JUDY.

16 MR. TOCHER: NOW WE'D LIKE TO TAKE A
17 TEN-MINUTE BREAK IF POSSIBLE. THOSE ON THE PHONE,
18 WE'LL COME BACK AT 2:55.

19 (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

20 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. WE'RE GOING
21 TO START UP AGAIN WITH THE LAST TWO AGENDA ITEMS,
22 NO. 17. DR. SAMBRANO IS GOING TO TALK TO US ABOUT
23 THE PATIENT PERSPECTIVE SCORE IN THE REVIEW PROCESS.

24 DR. SAMBRANO: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
25 GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYONE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO I WANT TO SHARE WITH YOU A PROPOSAL
2 THAT WE HAVE TO IMPLEMENT A PATIENT PERSPECTIVE
3 SCORE INTO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP REVIEWS.

4 THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE'VE PRESENTED TO
5 THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE.
6 AND I WANT TO START FIRST WITH OUR MISSION BECAUSE I
7 THINK THIS IS KIND OF CORE TO WHAT WE DO AND WHY WE
8 DO IT. AND BECAUSE OF HOW WE'VE STRUCTURED CIRM TO
9 BE INCLUSIVE OF PATIENTS AND PATIENT ADVOCATES IN
10 BOTH OUR ACTIVITIES AND OUR PROCESS, THAT'S WHAT
11 ALLOWS US TO ACHIEVE THIS MISSION.

12 AND IN OUR CONVERSATIONS THINKING ABOUT
13 HOW IT IS THAT WE CAN IMPROVE OR ENHANCE THE ROLE OF
14 OUR PATIENT ADVOCATE AND NURSE MEMBERS, PARTICULARLY
15 THOSE ON THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP, ONE OF THE IDEAS
16 THAT ACTUALLY CAME FROM CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI IN ONE
17 OF THOSE OF CONVERSATIONS WAS TO DEVELOP A PATIENT
18 PERSPECTIVE SCORE.

19 SO WE TOOK THAT IDEA AND DEVELOPED THAT
20 INTO SOMETHING THAT WE THINK WILL BE VERY BENEFICIAL
21 BOTH TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP IN TERMS OF WHAT IT
22 DOES, BUT ALSO PROVIDE A MORE MEANINGFUL AND
23 ENHANCED ROLE FOR OUR PATIENT ADVOCATE AND NURSE
24 MEMBERS.

25 SO WHEN IT COMES TO THE PURPOSE OF THIS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PATIENT PERSPECTIVE SCORE, THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT IT IS
2 INTENDED TO DO, PROVIDE PATIENT ADVOCATE AND NURSE
3 MEMBERS OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP A MECHANISM THAT
4 ALLOWS TO FORMALLY VOICE THE VIEWPOINTS OR
5 EVALUATIONS OF APPLICATIONS THAT ARE UNDER REVIEW.
6 BEFORE THIS IDEA, OUR PATIENT ADVOCATE AND NURSE
7 MEMBERS OFTEN SUGGESTED A SCIENTIFIC SCORE. AND IT
8 WAS WASN'T ONE AND ISN'T ONE THAT GETS OFFICIALLY
9 RECORDED.

10 AND SO BY VIRTUAL OF CREATING A DIFFERENT
11 SCORE THAT IS REFLECTIVE OF THE PATIENT PERSPECTIVE,
12 IT ALLOWS TO FORMALLY RECOGNIZE THAT ROLE AND THAT
13 VIEWPOINT.

14 OF COURSE, THE FOCUS OF THE REVIEW ON
15 APPLICATIONS THAT ARE MOST RELEVANT TO PATIENT
16 ADVOCATE AND NURSE MEMBERS IS ALSO ONE OF THE
17 BENEFITS BECAUSE WE CAN TAILOR THE SCORE TO FOCUS ON
18 THOSE ELEMENTS. AND OVERALL, AS MENTIONED, ENHANCES
19 THE ROLE OF THE PATIENT ADVOCATE AND NURSE MEMBERS
20 ON THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.

21 AND SO IN TERMS OF HOW THIS WOULD WORK
22 JUST VERY HIGH LEVEL TO BEGIN WITH, IT'S ONLY THE
23 PATIENT ADVOCATE AND NURSE MEMBERS OF THE GRANTS
24 WORKING GROUP THAT WOULD PROVIDE THIS SCORE. AND SO
25 THIS IS SOMEWHAT AKIN TO THE DEI SCORE THAT SOME OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 YOU MAY RECALL WE UTILIZED FOR A FEW YEARS IN THAT
2 THAT WAS ONLY PROVIDED BY OUR PATIENT ADVOCATE AND
3 NURSE MEMBERS. SO IT FOLLOWS THAT EXAMPLE. AND
4 THAT HAS ALSO ALLOWED US TO PUT THIS TOGETHER IN
5 TERMS OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT WE HAVE IN THE
6 GRANTS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MORE EFFECTIVELY AND
7 SMOOTHLY.

8 THE PATIENT PERSPECTIVE SCORE WOULD ONLY
9 BE USED IN FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES WHERE WE HAVE
10 FORMAL ASSIGNMENTS THAT ARE LIKE OUR CLINICAL
11 PROGRAM OR PRECLINICAL PROGRAM, SO CLIN AND PDEV,
12 BUT NOT THE DISCOVERY PROGRAMS. THE NUMBER OF
13 APPLICATIONS IN THOSE ARE JUST WAY TOO MANY TO MAKE
14 IT PRACTICAL.

15 AND, OF COURSE, TO FOLLOW, WE WOULD HAVE A
16 SET OF SPECIFIC REVIEW CRITERIA THAT I'LL GO OVER
17 THAT ARE FOCUSED ON PATIENT-CENTERED ELEMENTS THAT
18 WE WOULD USE TO BASE THE SCORE ON.

19 AND SO THAT'S THIS SLIDE HERE. THESE ARE
20 THE PROPOSED PATIENT PERSPECTIVE SCORE CRITERIA.
21 AND IN THINKING ABOUT WHAT THESE CRITERIA SHOULD BE,
22 I LOOKED UPON OTHER ORGANIZATIONS THAT INCLUDE
23 PATIENTS AND PATIENT ADVOCATES IN THEIR REVIEWS AND
24 IN THEIR PROCESS. ONE IN PARTICULAR, WHICH IS
25 PCORI, IT'S THE PATIENT CENTERED OUTCOMES RESEARCH

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 INSTITUTE IN D.C. AND SO THEY ARE A GOOD EXAMPLE,
2 AMONG OTHERS, INCLUDING ALSO EVEN CPRIT IN TEXAS.
3 AND SO FROM THOSE COME WHAT ARE
4 ESSENTIALLY VERY COMMON ELEMENTS IN TERMS OF THESE
5 CRITERIA. AND SO WE TALKED THESE THROUGH WITH OUR
6 PATIENT ADVOCATE MEMBERS ON THE BOARD TO DISCUSS
7 WHETHER THESE RESONATE, WHETHER THESE SEEM
8 APPROPRIATE, AND I THINK THEY DO. AND SO THESE
9 INCLUDE RELEVANCE, ASKING WHAT IS IT THAT THE
10 PROJECT -- WHETHER THE PROJECT ADDRESSES A REAL AND
11 SIGNIFICANT PROBLEM FOR PATIENTS; WHETHER THE
12 PROJECT OFFERS THE PATIENT BENEFIT, MEANING IF IT'S
13 SUCCESSFUL, WOULD THE THERAPY MAKE A MEANINGFUL
14 DIFFERENCE TO PATIENTS? IS IT PATIENT CENTERED?
15 ASKING WHETHER THE PROPOSAL IS DESIGNED WITH THE
16 AFFECTED POPULATION IN MIND. PATIENT ENGAGEMENT, IS
17 THE PATIENT PERSPECTIVE INCLUDED AND USED TO INFORM
18 THE THERAPEUTIC OBJECTIVES? AND LASTLY, THE
19 CALIFORNIA BENEFIT. HOW BENEFICIAL WOULD THIS
20 THERAPY BE FOR THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA?
21 AND HERE WE WERE ALSO CAREFUL TO NOTE, AND
22 WE WANT TO NOTE IT JUST FOR THE PUBLIC, THAT OUR
23 INTENT WITH THIS LAST ONE ISN'T TO ADVANTAGE
24 NECESSARILY THERAPIES THAT ARE FOR MORE PREVALENT
25 DISEASES AS OPPOSED TO RARE DISEASES, THAT WE VALUE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BOTH. THE INTENT IS TO LOOK AT IT IN A MORE BROAD
2 AND HOLISTIC WAY IN TERMS OF THE BENEFIT TO THE
3 CALIFORNIA PATIENTS.

4 THIS IS THE PROPOSED SCORING METHODOLOGY.
5 AND HERE WE ARE PROPOSING TO USE A LIKERT-LIKE SCALE
6 FROM 1 TO 5 THAT ALLOWS US TO HAVE BOTH A SIMPLE
7 NUMERICAL SCORE THAT WE CAN ASSIGN MEANING, AND IN
8 THIS CASE PATIENT VALUE. AND IF WE THINK OF PATIENT
9 VALUE AS ENCOMPASSING THE CRITERIA THAT I JUST
10 DESCRIBED, YOU CAN IMAGINE HAVING APPLICATIONS THAT
11 WOULD SHOW EXCEPTIONAL PATIENT VALUE, MAYBE SOME
12 MODERATE, OTHERS THAT MIGHT BE INADEQUATE. AND SO
13 THIS WOULD BE THE MEASURE THAT WE WOULD USE IN
14 SCORING THE APPLICATIONS AGAINST THOSE CRITERIA.

15 AND SO IN BRINGING, THEN, THAT PATIENT
16 SCORE, ALONG WITH THE SCIENTIFIC SCORE, FROM THE
17 GRANTS WORKING GROUP, WE NOW HAVE TWO DIFFERENT
18 ELEMENTS THAT WILL DESCRIBE THE MERIT OF AN
19 APPLICATION. AND SO IN THAT PROPOSED PROCESS, IN
20 TERMS OF HOW DO WE MANAGE HAVING THESE TWO SCORES
21 THAT WE BRING TO THE APPLICATION REVIEW
22 SUBCOMMITTEE, WE WOULD DO THE FOLLOWING: FIRST IS
23 WE DEFINE THE FUNDABLE POOL OF APPLICATIONS, MEANING
24 THOSE THAT SCORE 85 OR ABOVE BECAUSE ULTIMATELY WE
25 WANT THE SCIENTIFIC MERIT TO BE THE CORE ELEMENT OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WHAT WE ULTIMATELY FUND. AND WE WOULD PRIORITIZE
2 APPLICATIONS THAT HAVE BOTH A HIGH SCIENTIFIC SCORE
3 OR, FIRSTLY, A HIGH SCIENTIFIC SCORE AS WELL AS A
4 HIGH PATIENT PERSPECTIVE SCORE BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT
5 WE'RE LOOK FOR IDEALLY, HAVING A HIGH SCIENTIFIC AND
6 PATIENT PERSPECTIVE SCORE.

7 NOW, IN THE CASE WHERE YOU HAVE AN
8 APPLICATION THAT RECEIVES A LOW PATIENT PERSPECTIVE
9 SCORE, BUT IS OTHERWISE DEEMED TO BE SCIENTIFICALLY
10 MERITORIOUS, WE WANT TO TRIGGER A DISCUSSION TO HELP
11 JUSTIFY WHY WE WOULD FUND SOMETHING THAT FROM THE
12 PATIENT PERSPECTIVE SEEMS IT TO, AT LEAST BY THE
13 SCORE, NOT OFFER A GOOD PATIENT VALUE.

14 AND THE KEY POINTS FROM THE GRANTS WORKING
15 GROUP DISCUSSION ON ANY DIFFERENCES BECAUSE WE
16 EXPECT AND WE WANT TO ORGANIZE THE GRANTS WORKING
17 GROUP REVIEW TO BRING OUT ANY POTENTIAL DIFFERENCES
18 BETWEEN THE SCIENTIFIC SCORE AND PATIENT PERSPECTIVE
19 SCORE, THAT WE INVITE THAT DISCUSSION AT THE GRANTS
20 WORKING GROUP MEETING. SO THEN WE CAN SHARE WITH
21 YOU THE KEY POINTS FROM THAT DISCUSSION ABOUT WHY
22 ANY DIFFERENCES, IF THEY EXIST, ARE THERE OR
23 POTENTIALLY RESOLVE ANY DIFFERENCES THAT ARE BROUGHT
24 TO THE TABLE FROM THE SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS AND THE
25 PATIENT ADVOCATE MEMBERS ON THAT REVIEW.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND HERE'S JUST AN EXAMPLE SCENARIO OF
2 WHAT WILL COME. AND I'M JUST USING SOME IMAGINARY
3 PDEV APPLICATIONS AS AN EXAMPLE. YOU WOULD NOW HAVE
4 BOTH THE SCIENTIFIC SCORE AND PATIENT PERSPECTIVE
5 SCORE THAT WOULD BE COMING YOUR WAY. AND IN THIS
6 SCENARIO WE HAVE FIVE APPLICATIONS THAT ARE ALL
7 HIGHER THAN 85. SO THIS IS OUR DEFINED GROUP OF
8 MERITORIOUS APPLICATIONS WITH VARIABLE PATIENT
9 PERSPECTIVE SCORES. SO THE ONE IN THE MIDDLE, THE
10 THIRD ONE DOWN, HAS A PATIENT PERSPECTIVE SCORE OF
11 2. WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING IS THAT THAT APPLICATION
12 WOULD MERIT DISCUSSION TO UNDERSTAND WHY THERE IS
13 THAT DIFFERENCE. OF COURSE, WE WOULD HAVE AS
14 REFERENCE THE DISCUSSION POINTS FROM THE GRANTS
15 WORKING GROUP MEETING TO HELP WITH THAT.

16 THE OTHER EXAMPLE WHERE THIS WOULD BE
17 HELPFUL, AS YOU KNOW, WE OFTEN HAVE NOW MORE
18 APPLICATIONS RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING THAN WE CAN
19 AFFORD TO FUND. AND SO LET'S PRETEND IN THIS
20 EXAMPLE THAT WE CAN ONLY FUND THREE OUT OF THESE
21 FIVE. AND SO KNOWING THAT YOU HAVE HIGH SCIENTIFIC
22 MERIT, YOU HAVE A RANK SCORE FROM THE SCIENTIFIC
23 MEMBERS, BUT THEN YOU HAVE THE PATIENT PERSPECTIVE
24 SCORE THAT ADDS ANOTHER LAYER OF CONSIDERATION THAT
25 CAN BE INCLUDED IN WHAT WE MAY DEBATE OR THINK ABOUT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IN TERMS OF WHAT ULTIMATELY GETS FUNDED. SO THAT
2 THIRD ONE MAY, IN FACT, NOT BE FUNDED IN FAVOR OF
3 FUNDING THE ONE BELOW IT.

4 AND IN TERMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE PATIENT
5 PERSPECTIVE SCORE, OUR INTENT IS TO DO THIS FOR THE
6 CLIN AND PDEV CYCLES THAT ARE UPCOMING. SO
7 FOLLOWING THIS BOARD MEETING, ASSUMING WE HAVE
8 AGREEMENT, WE WILL REVISE THE PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT
9 FOR CLIN2 AND POST THAT. AND APPLICATIONS COMING IN
10 AT THE END OF JULY WOULD THEN BE SUBJECT TO THAT
11 PATIENT PERSPECTIVE SCORE. THOSE WILL HAVE THE
12 GRANTS WORKING GROUP REVIEW IN OCTOBER AND COME TO
13 THE BOARD IN DECEMBER. AND THE REVISED PROGRAM
14 ANNOUNCEMENT IS GOING TO INCLUDE THE PROCESS BY
15 WHICH THE PATIENT PERSPECTIVE SCORE IS APPLIED ALONG
16 WITH THE CRITERIA THAT WE UTILIZE SO THAT APPLICANTS
17 ARE CLEAR ON THE FACT THAT THIS IS BEING IMPLEMENTED
18 AND WHAT THE BASIS FOR THAT SCORING IS.

19 FOR PDEV IT WILL FOLLOW JUST BEHIND OR IN
20 PARALLEL. THOSE APPLICATIONS ARE DUE A LITTLE BIT
21 LATER IN OCTOBER, BUT WE WOULD REVISE AND POST THAT
22 PA NEXT MONTH AS WELL.

23 AND SO THAT IS THE PROPOSAL, AND SO I WILL
24 GLADLY TAKE ANY QUESTIONS.

25 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: JUDY.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. CHOU: YOU MIGHT HAVE SAID. IT'S JUST
2 NOT CLEAR TO ME ABOUT WHAT DO WE ANTICIPATE
3 APPLICANTS SHOULD INCLUDE IN THEIR APPLICATIONS TO
4 DEMONSTRATE THE PATIENT PERSPECTIVE.

5 DR. SAMBRANO: NO. THAT'S A GREAT
6 QUESTION. AND LET ME GO BACK TO THE CRITERIA
7 BECAUSE I FAILED TO MENTION THAT ASPECT OF IT. SO
8 WHEN WE WERE LOOKING AT THESE CRITERIA IN TERMS OF
9 DETERMINING WHAT WE WOULD USE AS A BASIS, THESE
10 ACTUALLY ALIGN VERY WELL WITH THE EXISTING CRITERIA
11 THAT WE ALSO HAVE FOR THE VALUE PROPOSITION THAT THE
12 SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS USE. SO WHETHER THE PROJECT
13 ADDRESSES A REAL AND SIGNIFICANT PROBLEM FOR
14 PATIENTS. WOULD THE THERAPY MAKE A MEANINGFUL
15 DIFFERENCE TO PATIENTS? IS PART OF THE VALUE
16 PROPOSITION AND ELEMENTS THAT APPLICANTS ALREADY
17 INCLUDE IN THE APPLICATION? SOMETHING THAT FROM THE
18 SCIENTIFIC PERSPECTIVE IS ALSO BEING LOOKED AT.

19 IN TERMS OF THE PATIENT-CENTERED AND
20 PATIENT ENGAGEMENT, WHETHER THE PROPOSAL IS DESIGNED
21 WITH THE AFFECTED POPULATION IN MIND, AND THE
22 PATIENT ENGAGEMENT AND OTHER RELATED ACTIVITIES IS
23 ALSO CURRENTLY PART OF THE EXISTING APPLICATION.
24 AND THAT FOLDS INTO THE POPULATION IMPACT CRITERION
25 THAT WE UTILIZE FOR THE SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS. SO,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AGAIN, THAT INFORMATION IS IN THE APPLICATION
2 ALREADY. AND SO FOR THE MOST PART, I THINK THIS
3 ALREADY IS COVERED.

4 DR. CHOU: THANK YOU FOR ANSWERING THAT.
5 JUST TO MAKE SURE I COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND, SO IN A
6 SENSE IF AN APPLICATION COMES ALONG AND WE ACTUALLY
7 SEE THAT IT HAS QUITE A BIT OF THE PATIENT
8 PERSPECTIVE TOGETHER WITH THE SCIENTIFIC PERSPECTIVE
9 WILL BE VALUABLE EVEN IF THE APPLICANT DOESN'T
10 INCLUDE THAT. SOMEHOW WE'RE GOING --

11 DR. SAMBRANO: WELL, WE WOULD EXPECT THAT
12 THEY WOULD INCLUDE IT GIVEN THAT WE ARE ASKING THESE
13 QUESTIONS IN THE APPLICATION ALREADY AND ARE
14 INCORPORATED INTO THE SCIENTIFIC SCORES BY THE
15 SCIENTISTS. AND SO RIGHT NOW THE PATIENT ADVOCATE
16 MEMBERS DON'T HAVE A FORMAL MECHANISM BY WHICH THEY
17 CAN ESSENTIALLY VOICE THEIR OPINION ABOUT THESE VERY
18 SAME THINGS. AND BY HAVING THIS PATIENT PERSPECTIVE
19 SCORE THEY WOULD AND YOU GET TO SEE IT.

20 DR. CHOU: THAT'S GREAT. THANK YOU.

21 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: KIM.

22 DR. BARRETT: YEAH. I'M VERY SUPPORTIVE
23 OF THIS CONCEPT. I THINK IT REALLY IS A HUGE
24 STRENGTH OF THE CIRM PROGRAM. BUT I'M CURIOUS WITH
25 THESE FIVE CRITERIA HOW REVIEWERS WILL NECESSARILY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GENERATE A SINGLE SCORE BECAUSE PRESUMABLY THEY'RE
2 NOT SCORING EACH OF THESE, THEY NOT GOING TO GIVE AN
3 AVERAGE. THEY'VE JUST GOT TO COME HOLISTICALLY UP
4 WITH SOMETHING FROM THAT. AND ARE THESE VARIOUS
5 CRITERIA WEIGHTED IN ANY WAY? I MEAN IF THE FIRST
6 FOUR WERE FANTASTIC AND YET IT WAS NOT NECESSARILY
7 SPECIFICALLY OF BENEFIT TO PEOPLE IN CALIFORNIA, HOW
8 WOULD SOMEBODY SCORE THAT?

9 DR. SAMBRANO: YEAH. THAT'S A GOOD
10 QUESTION. BUT IT IS A HOLISTIC APPROACH TO DOING
11 THIS MUCH THE SAME WAY AS WE DO WITH THE SCIENTIFIC
12 SCORE. AND THE REASON FOR DOING THAT IS THAT IN
13 SOME CASES ONE OF THESE CRITERION MAY OUTWEIGH ALL
14 THE OTHERS DEPENDING ON HOW DEFICIENT IT IS. IF WE
15 WERE TO ASSIGN, SAY, AN EQUAL NUMBER OF POINTS TO
16 EACH, THEN IT SORT OF GIVES YOU AN ARTIFICIAL NUMBER
17 THAT MAY NOT BE REFLECTIVE OF TRULY WHAT YOU WANT TO
18 DO WITH IT.

19 SO WHAT WE WANT TO DO IS CONTINUE TO --
20 WELL, TO TRY THIS AND I THINK ALSO LEARN FROM IT
21 BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S GOING TO BE ONE OF THE
22 IMPORTANT ELEMENTS IN IMPLEMENTING ANYTHING NEW LIKE
23 THIS AND CONTINUE OUR CONVERSATIONS WITH OUR PATIENT
24 ADVOCATE AND NURSE MEMBERS TO SEE IS IT WORKING?
25 ARE WE -- IS THERE ANYTHING THAT WE NEED TO CHANGE?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DO WE NEED TO RESTRUCTURE THE SCORING IN ANY WAY?

2 SORRY. THE OTHER THING I FAILED TO
3 MENTION IS THAT EVEN THE DISCUSSIONS WE'VE HAD SO
4 FAR, I THINK THERE IS GENERAL AGREEMENT THAT
5 ANYTHING THAT'S A TWO OR BELOW IS SORT OF THE
6 THRESHOLD, I THINK, IN JUST OUR CONVERSATIONS OF
7 WHAT MERITS A DISCUSSION AT THE ARS.

8 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GOOD. THANK YOU.
9 THE ORDER OF SPEAKERS WILL BE HALA, JOHN, CHRISTINE,
10 AND YAEL. OKAY. START WITH HALA.

11 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: AND ME.

12 DR. MADANAT: I WANTED TO FOLLOW UP ON
13 JUDY'S COMMENT. I STILL FEEL LIKE SCIENTIFIC REVIEW
14 IS VERY DIFFERENT. I MEAN SCIENCE, YOU HAVE PATIENT
15 ADVOCATES TRYING TO DECIPHER THAT FROM A PATIENT
16 PERSPECTIVE. AND I FEEL LIKE IT IS JUST EMBEDDED
17 SOMEHOW. AND THEY HAVE TO GO LOOKING FOR IT IS VERY
18 DIFFERENT THAN ASKING PEOPLE TO RESPOND SPECIFICALLY
19 TO THESE CRITERIA IN A SINGLE SECTION, WHETHER IT'S
20 HALF A PAGE OR WHATEVER IT IS, THAT WOULD ALLOW THEM
21 TO ACTUALLY BE MUCH CLEARER AND OBVIOUSLY ALLOWING
22 YOUR RESEARCH COMMUNITY ITSELF TO WRITE IT
23 APPROPRIATELY FOR THE PATIENT AND THE ADVOCATES TO
24 BE ABLE TO REVIEW IT AND UNDERSTAND IT.

25 SO I DON'T KNOW THAT JUST USING THE FORMAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WE HAVE WILL GIVE US THE ABILITY TO DO THIS VERY
2 WELL .

3 DR. SAMBRANO: I APPRECIATE THE POINT
4 BECAUSE WE DEBATED THAT AND CONTINUE TO DEBATE
5 WHETHER WE NEED A SPECIFIC SECTION FOR THIS. AND WE
6 HAVE HAD SOME EXPERIENCE WITH THE DEI SCORING WHERE
7 WE ALSO HAD A SEPARATE SECTION WHICH WE THEN
8 INCORPORATED INTO THE APPLICATION ACROSS DIFFERENT
9 SECTIONS TO AVOID APPLICANTS REPEATING INFORMATION
10 THAT WAS GOING TO BE LOOKED AT ANYWAY. SO I THINK,
11 AT LEAST WHERE WE'RE STARTING, IS TO POINT OUR
12 PATIENT ADVOCATE MEMBERS TO THE PLACES IN THE
13 APPLICATION WHERE THIS EXISTS. AND THIS IS
14 SOMETHING WHERE WE'VE BEEN POINTING TO THEM ALREADY
15 IN TERMS OF THE ROLE THEY PLAY CURRENTLY. BUT I
16 THINK IT IS SOMETHING THAT WE WANT TO KEEP IN MIND
17 IN TERMS OF IF THAT DOESN'T WORK, WE MAY NEED TO
18 CREATE A SPECIFIC SECTION FOR IT.

19 DR. CARETHERS: I HAD A SIMILAR KIND OF
20 QUESTION TO DR. BARRETT. I WAS THINKING IT WAS JUST
21 GOING TO BE A COMPONENT SCORE OF THESE AREAS AND
22 THEN THAT'S AVERAGED. OR IF NOT, HOW MANY PATIENT
23 OR PATIENT ADVOCATES WILL SCORE THIS? BECAUSE THE
24 SCIENTIFIC SCORE IS A SUMMATION OF MULTIPLE SCORES.
25 SO IT'S AN AVERAGE SO IT TAKES CARE OF THE OUTLIERS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO IS THIS GOING TO BE ONE PERSON, TWO PERSON, OR
2 WHOLE PANEL? GO AHEAD AND ANSWER.

3 DR. SAMBRANO: YEAH. IT'S GOING TO
4 FUNCTION THE SAME WAY IN TERMS OF BEING THE MEDIAN.
5 SO IT WILL BE THE MEDIAN OF THE PATIENT ADVOCATE
6 MEMBERS SERVING ON THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP OF WHICH
7 WE HAVE SEVEN.

8 DR. CARETHERS: SEVEN. OKAY. AND THEN
9 THE OTHER THING IS I GUESS, KIND OF GETTING TO
10 HALA'S QUERY ABOUT THIS SCORE IS NOT REALLY ADDING
11 TO THE SCIENTIFIC WEIGHT, BUT IT'S MORE OF AN
12 INFLUENCE AFTER THE SCIENTIFIC REVIEW; IS THAT
13 CORRECT? IT'S NOT A -- I MEAN I ALWAYS THOUGHT THE
14 SCIENCE WAS DRIVING THE RESEARCH. OF COURSE, IT'S
15 GOT TO HELP WITH PATIENTS. THAT'S A BIG COMPONENT
16 OF CIRM. SO IT'S REALLY MORE OF A SCORE THAT
17 INFLUENCES THE POST SCIENTIFIC REVIEW VERSUS ADDING
18 WEIGHT. LIKE, A WEIGHT MEANS IT'S 5 OR 10 PERCENT
19 OF THE SCIENTIFIC SCORE, WHICH WHAT I'M THINKING I'M
20 HEARING, MAYBE I'M WRONG, IS THAT THE SCIENTIFIC
21 SCORE IS A HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE WEIGHT AND THE
22 SCORE IS AN INFLUENCE ONCE THAT'S SCORE IS DONE.

23 DR. SAMBRANO: SO LET ME CLARIFY. SO THE
24 SCIENTIFIC SCORE IS WHAT DETERMINES THE FUNDING
25 RECOMMENDATION. AND SO THAT'S NOT CHANGING. WE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WILL STILL USE 1 TO A 100, 85 OR ABOVE RECOMMENDED
2 FOR FUNDING, BELOW THAT IT'S NOT RECOMMENDED FOR
3 FUNDING.

4 SO WHAT THE PATIENT PERSPECTIVE SCORE
5 PROVIDES IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR A COUPLE OF THINGS.
6 AT THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP, TO HAVE A MECHANISM BY
7 WHICH PATIENT ADVOCATES CAN SHARE AND SAY I THINK
8 THIS EITHER OFFERS GREAT PATIENT VALUE OR IT
9 DOESN'T, AND THAT ITSELF SHOULD ENGENDER DISCUSSION
10 BETWEEN SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS, PATIENT ADVOCATES TO
11 IDEALLY AGREE THAT THIS OFFERS A GOOD OVERALL
12 PATIENT VALUE, ALSO VALUE PROPOSITION BECAUSE, AS
13 MENTIONED, A LOT OF THESE ELEMENTS ARE THE VALUE
14 PROPOSITION WHICH EXISTS IN THE SCIENTIFIC REVIEW
15 CRITERIA.

16 SO I THINK OUR EXPECTATION IS THAT IN MOST
17 CASES THERE WILL BE THAT AGREEMENT. WE OFTEN HAVE
18 THESE DISCUSSIONS ALREADY IN THE GRANTS WORKING
19 GROUP, BUT THERE'S JUST NO, AGAIN, FORMAL MECHANISM
20 FOR US TO RECORD THE PATIENT PERSPECTIVE PART IN
21 THAT BECAUSE ONLY THE SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS SCORE. AND
22 SO OUR HOPE IS THAT THAT CONVERSATION IS, IF
23 ANYTHING, ENHANCED AT THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP AND
24 THAT WE BRING IT HERE TOO. AND IF WE GET TO THE
25 POINT WHERE WE ACTUALLY STILL HAVE A DIFFERENCE, WE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CAN HAVE FURTHER DISCUSSION HERE.

2 DR. SOUTHARD: SO JUST RELATED TO THAT,
3 LET'S SAY THE SCORE WAS 84 AND THE PATIENT
4 PERSPECTIVES WERE FIVE, WOULD THERE THEN ENSUE A
5 DISCUSSION IN WHICH THOSE FIVES COULD RAISE IT FROM
6 84 TO 85? WOULD THAT BE PERMISSIBLE?

7 DR. SAMBRANO: NO. SO THE 84 WOULD STILL
8 BE A NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING, BUT IT STILL
9 OFFERS A PERSPECTIVE FROM THE PATIENT ADVOCATES OF
10 WHAT THEY FELT THE PATIENT VALUE FROM THAT
11 APPLICATION WAS. AND SO IF THE BOARD WOULD WANT TO
12 CONSIDER THAT APPLICATION, YOU HAVE NOW TWO ELEMENTS
13 YOU CAN USE IN YOUR CONSIDERATION, THE SCIENTIFIC
14 SCORE AS WELL AS THAT PATIENT PERSPECTIVE SCORE.

15 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES, CHRIS.

16 DR. MIASKOWSKI: I'D LIKE TO REITERATE A
17 COUPLE OF POINTS THAT GIL MADE. ALL OF THESE
18 CRITERIA ARE IN THE APPLICATION NOW. THEY'RE THERE
19 IN DIFFERENT SECTIONS. AND THE NURSE MEMBERS AND
20 PATIENT ADVOCATES WHO HAVE BEEN REVIEWING GRANTS FOR
21 A NUMBER OF YEARS KNOW WHERE THEY ARE.

22 I'M NOT SURE I SHOULD BE GIVEN ATTRIBUTION
23 FOR DEVELOPING THIS, BUT THANK YOU, GIL. WE'LL SEE
24 IF IT WORKS OUT. IF IT DOESN'T, THEN WE CAN COME
25 BACK TO ME.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WHAT I SEE THIS IS DOING, AND GIL SAID
2 THIS, IT'S GIVING A FORMAL VOICE TO THE NURSE
3 MEMBERS AND PATIENT ADVOCATES. WE ARE SAYING THIS
4 OUT LOUD IN THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP ALREADY, BUT IT
5 GIVES US AN OPPORTUNITY TO PUT A NUMERIC VALUE TO
6 IT. AND IT'S NO DIFFERENT THAN WHAT WE DID WITH THE
7 DEI SCORE. IT WAS A GESTALT SCORE. AND IF IT'S
8 THERE, YOU KNOW IT'S THERE. IF IT'S NOT THERE, YOU
9 KNOW IT'S NOT THERE.

10 I'LL USE AN EXAMPLE. THERE WAS A
11 PEDIATRIC GRANT THAT DIDN'T HAVE A PEDIATRICIAN ON
12 IT. WELL, HOW IS THAT PATIENT CENTERED? IT WAS A
13 CLINICAL GRANT THAT DIDN'T HAVE A PEDIATRICIAN ON
14 IT. THAT WAS ABSURD TO ME, ALL RIGHT, IN TERMS OF
15 HAVING INPUT FROM PROVIDERS. THERE HAVE BEEN GRANTS
16 THAT WERE DEVELOPED WITH NOBODY TALKING TO A PATIENT
17 OR A COMMUNITY ADVISORY GROUP, AND THAT WAS REALLY
18 OBVIOUS. THE GRANTS THAT DO IT REALLY, REALLY WELL,
19 EVERYBODY AGREES. THE ONES THAT ARE BORDERLINE, WE
20 ARE HAVING DISCUSSIONS NOW.

21 I'VE BEEN ON THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP, I
22 DON'T KNOW, FIVE YEARS, I GUESS, NOW. I'VE SEEN A
23 MARKED CHANGE IN THE SCIENTIFIC REVIEWERS IN TERMS
24 OF THE ENGAGEMENT IN TALKING WITH ALL OF US ABOUT
25 THE RELEVANCE, THE BENEFIT. IS THE ROUTE OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ADMINISTRATION GOING TO BE SOMETHING THAT'S SO
2 ARDUOUS THAT NO PATIENT'S GOING TO SIGN UP FOR THIS
3 OR A FAMILY MEMBER CAN'T SUPPORT IT?

4 SO I THINK THIS IS GOING TO PROVIDE A
5 REALLY, REALLY VALUABLE CONTRIBUTION TO OUR
6 DISCUSSIONS. AND I'M WITH GIL. WE'LL SEE HOW IT
7 GOES. WHERE THERE MAY BE DISCREPANCIES, I THINK WE
8 CAN TALK ABOUT THEM.

9 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YAEL.

10 MS. WYTE: I JUST WANT TO REALLY ECHO WHAT
11 YOU JUST SAID. I THINK AS A PATIENT ADVOCATE, IT
12 DOES GIVE ME AN OPPORTUNITY TO RAISE MY VOICE AND
13 CONTRIBUTE TO THE APPLICATION PROCESS. AND I DO
14 THINK THAT OVERALL THERE HAS BEEN CONTINUITY IN IT'S
15 A GREAT APPLICATION OR IT'S NOT AND THEY HAVEN'T
16 THOUGHT ABOUT THE COMMUNITY IN GENERAL. SO I THINK
17 IT'S IN THERE. SOME PEOPLE DO IT BETTER THAN
18 OTHERS, BUT I APPRECIATE THE VOICE WHICH I CAN SPEAK
19 TO.

20 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I WAS ALSO GOING
21 TO MENTION THIS PROVIDES AN OPPORTUNITY FOR AT THE
22 ARS ANOTHER FACTOR TO CONSIDER IN APPLICATIONS THAT
23 WE FUND OR DON'T FUND. BECAUSE AS OUR DOLLARS
24 DWINDLE AND THE APPLICATIONS CONTINUE TO RISE, WE
25 WILL BE FACED WITH MORE APPLICATIONS THAT WERE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MERITORIOUS TO FUND THAN WE HAVE MONEY FOR. WE SAW
2 THAT TODAY. SO YOU CAN IMAGINE A PATIENT
3 PERSPECTIVE SCORE ALSO ADDS TO A DETERMINATION THE
4 ARS CAN MAKE ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT TO FUND AN
5 APPLICATION.

6 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ELENA.

7 DR. FLOWERS: THANKS. I JUST DON'T WANT
8 TO BELABOR THE POINTS, BUT I AGREE WITH ALL THE
9 MERITS THAT HAVE BEEN BROUGHT FORWARD BY THE OTHER
10 PATIENT ADVOCATE, NURSE ADVOCATE MEMBERS. AND I
11 FELT SO SORT OF RELIEVED IN A WAY WHEN GIL BROUGHT
12 FORWARD THIS IDEA THAT HAD COME FROM OTHER PATIENT
13 ADVOCATES BECAUSE I FEEL THAT THIS IS ACTUALLY
14 CREATING SIGNIFICANT MORE RIGOR IN OUR ROLE IN THE
15 GRANT REVIEW PROCESS. AND I THINK TO THE POINT OF
16 WHERE THE CONTENT IS, I THINK MANY OF US IN THE ROOM
17 HAVE BEEN REVIEWING THESE GRANTS FOR MANY YEARS, AS
18 CHRIS MENTIONED, AND WE DO KNOW WHERE TO GO AND FIND
19 IT. AND I DO THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT IT IS
20 EMBEDDED SO THAT THE SCIENTIFIC REVIEWERS CAN ALSO
21 TAKE THIS INFORMATION INTO CONSIDERATION BECAUSE
22 THEY SHOULD.

23 THE ONE THING I JUST REALLY WANTED TO
24 DRIVE HOME IS THAT I THINK THIS IS ADDING A
25 TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF RIGOR TO OUR GRANT REVIEW

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROCESS FOR AN ORGANIZATION THAT HAS CHOSEN TO HAVE
2 PATIENT ADVOCATE AND NURSE MEMBERS AS A PART OF
3 THEIR GRANT REVIEW.

4 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GREAT. THANK YOU.
5 YES, YSABEL.

6 MS. DURON: I'M ACTUALLY A LITTLE BIT
7 DISAPPOINTED BECAUSE I THOUGHT THAT THAT WAS WHAT WE
8 WERE DOING WHEN WE ADDED DEI SEVERAL YEARS AGO, TO
9 ADD RIGOR TO BRING IN THE PATIENT VOICE AND TO TRY
10 TO MOVE SCIENCE TOWARDS CHANGE. WHEN YOU TELL ME
11 THAT THE SCIENCE 100 PERCENT SCORE IS ALL THAT
12 MATTERS OR THAT'S THE WINNING NUMBER, I KEEP
13 THINKING OF THE WONDERFUL PROJECT THAT WAS IN HERE
14 TODAY ON HIV AND WE BEING TOLD THAT IT WAS TOO MUCH
15 TROUBLE TO INCLUDE WOMEN. THAT'S THE BOTTOM LINE
16 THAT I HEARD. THAT'S NOT SCIENTIFIC RIGOR.

17 AND IF YOU HAD A PATIENT ADVOCATE AT THE
18 TABLE WHO WOULD SCORE THAT AND SAY WOMEN GET HIV
19 TOO. WHERE ARE THEY INCLUDED IN THIS? SEND IT BACK
20 AND WHEN YOU CAN COME BACK WITH A PLAN, NO MATTER
21 HOW MUCH WORK IT IS TO INCLUDE ANOTHER GROUP, AN
22 UNDERREPRESENTED GROUP, I CALL IT LABOR INTENSIVE,
23 BUT THOSE ARE THE GROUPS THAT ARE MISSING REGULARLY
24 AND HISTORICALLY FROM RESEARCH. AND THEY'RE NOT AT
25 THE TABLE, AND THEY'RE NOT HEARD, AND IT DOESN'T

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 COUNT AGAINST SCIENTIFIC RIGOR, THEN I'M
2 DISAPPOINTED BECAUSE I THOUGHT THAT THAT'S WHERE WE
3 STARTED AND WERE HEADED WHEN WE PUT DEI INTO THE
4 MIX.

5 WHAT I'D LIKE TO KNOW IS IF THE NEW, I'M
6 GOING TO SAY IT'S A NEW APPLICATION WILL NOW SAY,
7 PERHAPS IN A BOX, WE HAVE ESTABLISHED THIS PATIENT
8 ADVOCATE OR PATIENT -- WHAT DO WE CALL IT? --
9 PERSPECTIVE SCORE WHICH WE WILL TAKE VERY SERIOUSLY
10 AND CONSIDER THIS, THIS, AND THIS. AND I
11 APPRECIATE, CHRISTINE, THAT, IN FACT, OR ELENA, THAT
12 IN FACT YOU'RE SEEING SHIFTS. I THOUGHT WE STARTED
13 TO SEE THEM FOUR OR FIVE YEARS AGO WHEN WE PUT DEI
14 IN, THAT THE SCIENCE WAS RECOGNIZING, YEAH, WE GOT
15 TO LISTEN TO THE PATIENTS AND THE PATIENT ADVOCATES.

16 SO I'M GLAD WE'RE GOING HERE AGAIN. I
17 WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF WE'RE GOING TO SEE A WAY IN
18 WHICH THIS MAKES A DIFFERENCE. METRICS, REMEMBER,
19 GIL, WE TALKED METRICS. SO I'M HOPING THAT WE'RE
20 GOING TO SEE THIS, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THAT THE
21 PATIENT ADVOCACY AND THE PATIENT'S OPINION AND
22 CONCERN COUNTS AS MUCH AS SCIENTIFIC RIGOR.
23 OTHERWISE, WHAT'S SCIENCE WITHOUT THE PEOPLE?

24 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
25 YSABEL. I'M GOING -- BECAUSE ALMOST EVERYONE IN THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ROOM HAS SPOKEN, I'M GOING TO OPEN IT UP. IS THERE
2 ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC THAT WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS
3 THIS ISSUE? NO ONE IN THE ROOM AND NO ONE WITH
4 THEIR HAND RAISED. ANY FINAL COMMENTS ON THIS?
5 THIS IS NOT AN ACTION ITEM. SO WE'RE NOT VOTING ON
6 THIS. NO FINAL COMMENTS? OKAY. GOOD. GIL, THANK
7 YOU VERY, VERY MUCH. YOU CLEARLY ENGENDERED THE
8 MOST DISCUSSION OF THE DAY.

9 I'M GOING TO INVITE OUR DIRECTOR OF
10 COMMUNICATIONS UP, AMY ADAMS, AGAIN FOR HER UPDATE
11 ON COMMUNICATIONS.

12 MS. ADAMS: MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MY
13 ESTEEMED COLLEAGUES ON THE CIRM TEAM, AND ANY MEMBER
14 OF THE PUBLIC WHO'S STILL WITH US, IT'S AN HONOR TO
15 BE HERE TODAY.

16 THIS IS YOUR AFTERNOON OF DATA AND, WELL,
17 METRICS AND BUDGET BECAUSE I'M GOING TO PRESENT
18 METRICS AND BUDGET OF THE COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM.

19 SO WHEN I CAME AND PRESENTED MY BUDGET TO
20 YOU SIX OR SO MONTHS AGO, I SAID THERE WERE FOUR
21 STEPS TO MY STRATEGY. THE FINAL PART OF THAT
22 STRATEGY IS MEASURE THE IMPACT. AND I KEPT SAYING
23 I'LL COME BACK TO YOU WITH THAT. STOP ASKING ME. I
24 NEED TO GET THE PROGRAM UP AND RUNNING BEFORE I CAN
25 MEASURE ANYTHING. SO YOU'RE GOING TO GET ALL OF

1 THAT TODAY.

2 SO FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO START WITH THE
3 COMMUNICATIONS AND OUTREACH MISSION, WHICH IS
4 DRIVING AWARENESS OF AND SUPPORT FOR CIRM'S PROGRAMS
5 AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS. THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT FOR
6 THIS PARTICULAR PRESENTATION BECAUSE WE HAVE TO KNOW
7 WHERE WE'RE TRYING TO GO SO WE UNDERSTAND WHAT WE'RE
8 TRYING TO MEASURE. AND IN THIS CASE I'M MEASURING
9 MORE THE AWARENESS OF AND LESS SUPPORT FOR. WE'LL
10 GET THERE, BUT FOR RIGHT NOW LET'S JUST SEE WHO
11 KNOWS US. SO WE'RE REALLY LOOKING AT VOLUME.
12 VOLUME IS THE POINT OF THIS PRESENTATION.

13 OKAY. SO THIS IS THE OLDEN DAYS, THE WAY
14 THE COMMUNICATIONS ECOSYSTEM USED TO WORK AND THE
15 WAY I HAVE ALWAYS GIVEN PRESENTATIONS ON
16 COMMUNICATIONS METRICS, THE WAY MANY OF YOU HAVE
17 HEARD MANY OF THESE PRESENTATIONS IN YOUR OWN
18 ORGANIZATIONS, FROM THIS ORGANIZATION, AND THESE
19 OLDEN DAYS ENDED MAYBE SIX MONTHS AGO. AND WE'LL
20 TALK ABOUT WHY.

21 SO IN THESE -- IN THIS GLORIOUS PAST, THE
22 WEBSITE WAS KIND OF A REAL FOCUS FOR COMMUNICATIONS.
23 YOU WERE REALLY TRYING TO DRIVE PEOPLE TO THE
24 WEBSITE. AND THE WEBSITE IS WHERE YOU TOLD A BIGGER
25 STORY ABOUT YOURSELF. AND YOU HAD EMAIL NEWSLETTERS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND SOCIAL MEDIA AND OTHER THINGS. YOU'RE LOOKING
2 AT THE METRICS OF HOW MUCH YOUR SOCIAL MEDIA IS
3 DRIVING PEOPLE TO YOUR WEBSITE. AND THEN YOU'RE
4 LOOKING AT HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE GETTING INFORMATION
5 FROM YOUR WEBSITE. SO YOU'RE REALLY LOOKING AT THE
6 WEBSITE, AND YOU'RE HOPING TO SEE A LINE THAT GOES
7 UP AND TO THE RIGHT IN TERMS OF THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE
8 GOING TO YOUR WEBSITE. AND THE WAY PEOPLE WERE
9 GETTING TO YOUR WEBSITE WAS SEARCH. CERTAINLY IN
10 THE LAST DECADE, PEOPLE PUT THOUSANDS, HUNDREDS OF
11 THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS INTO OPTIMIZING THEIR WEBSITES
12 SO THEY WERE EASY TO SEARCH.

13 AND THEN AFTER THE SITE HERE I HAVE BOTH
14 PR AND YOUTUBE. THEY WERE DIRECT CONDUITS TO
15 PEOPLE. THOSE WERE LESS CONDUITS TO THE WEBSITE.
16 AND THAT HAS CHANGED. AND I'M UNABLE TO CLICK THIS
17 AND TELL YOU WHY. IT'S NOT CHANGING. IT HAS
18 CHANGED. YAY. ALL RIGHT. NOW WE'RE WITH THE
19 MODERN ERA.

20 AND YOU WILL NOTICE IT LOOKS SUPER
21 COMPLICATED AND THAT'S BECAUSE IT IS. IT'S REALLY A
22 CHANGING ECOSYSTEM. IT'S NOT GOING TO BE THIS MESSY
23 FOREVER, BUT RIGHT NOW THINGS ARE A LITTLE
24 CONFUSING. AND I WANT TO TALK IT TO YOU ABOUT WHY.
25 AS WITH ABSOLUTELY EVERY INDUSTRY EVERYWHERE, IT IS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AI THAT IS DISRUPTING THINGS. SO LET'S TALK ABOUT
2 WHAT THAT MEANS.

3 THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT CIRM IS USING AI TO
4 PUSH INFORMATION OUT. WE'RE NOT DOING ANYTHING
5 DIFFERENT. BUT WHAT YOU'LL NOTICE, YOU SEE ALL
6 THOSE HAPPY PEOPLE UP THERE AT THE TOP OF THE
7 SCREEN, ON THAT LAST SLIDE THEY WERE BEING DRIVEN TO
8 THE WEBSITE. THAT'S WHERE THEY WERE GOING FOR
9 INFORMATION. AND NOW WHAT WE'RE FINDING PEOPLE
10 REFER TO THIS AS GOOGLE ZERO WHERE NO ONE IS COMING
11 TO THE WEBSITE TO RESEARCH. I'M ALSO HEARING THE
12 TERM ZERO CLICK SEARCHES. SO PEOPLE DO A SEARCH AND
13 THEY DON'T CLICK ON ANYTHING, AND THAT'S BECAUSE
14 THEY DID A SEARCH, AND AI JUST GAVE THEM AN ANSWER.
15 SO THAT'S THE NEW WORLD THAT I'M LOOKING IS HOW DO I
16 GET MY INFORMATION TO PEOPLE USING SORT OF THEIR AI
17 AS A TOOL. SO I'M NOT USING AI. THEY'RE GOING TO
18 WHATEVER, GOOGLE, AND THEY'RE DOING A GOOGLE SEARCH,
19 AND THEY PROBABLY DON'T EVEN KNOW THAT THEY'RE USING
20 GOOGLE IN AI MODE.

21 OKAY. SO LET'S TALK ABOUT WHAT THAT MEANS
22 FOR ME AND ALSO WHAT THAT MEANS FOR THE METRICS YOU
23 ARE GOING TO SEE TODAY AND WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO
24 GOING FORWARD.

25 OKAY. SO A LOT OF OUR EFFORT NOW IS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THINKING ABOUT IF PEOPLE ARE GETTING INFORMATION
2 FROM AI, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? HOW DO WE GET THEM
3 THE INFORMATION ABOUT CIRM THAT WE WANT THEM TO
4 HAVE? SO THINK BACK TO THE STORY THAT I PRESENTED
5 IN THE PAST. WE'RE STILL TRYING TO TELL THAT STORY
6 OF THIS ORGANIZATION THAT IS DOING RESEARCH IN A
7 DATA DRIVEN WAY AND PROVIDING THERAPIES TO PEOPLE.
8 IT'S THE SAME STORY. WE JUST WANT AI TO DELIVER
9 THAT STORY. HERE'S HOW WE DO THAT. AI IS SCRAPING
10 THE WEBSITE. AI IS READING ALL OF SOCIAL MEDIA. AI
11 IS READING THE NEWSPAPER, GATHERING INFORMATION, AND
12 THEN DELIVERING IT TO PEOPLE.

13 SO NOW MY WHOLE TEAM IS REALLY PIVOTING TO
14 THINKING ABOUT HOW DO WE FEED AI THE RIGHT
15 INFORMATION. A LOT OF THAT IS GOING TO HINGE ON THE
16 WEBSITE. AND WE'RE GOING TO TALK MORE ABOUT THAT IN
17 THIS PRESENTATION.

18 SO I'VE CIRCLED THE THINGS I'M GOING TO
19 MOSTLY TALK ABOUT TODAY. I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT
20 THE WEBSITE BECAUSE UNTIL SIX MONTHS AGO, IT WAS
21 REALLY IMPORTANT TO US. I'M GOING TO TALK A BIT
22 ABOUT THE BLOG. I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT PR. I'M
23 GOING TO TALK ABOUT THAT LITTLE PODIUM AT THE TOP
24 THERE IS COMMUNITY OUTREACH. WHAT DO YOU SEE THAT'S
25 NOT CIRCLED? IT'S THAT GIGANTIC AI IN THE MIDDLE,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 RIGHT? THE THING I'M TELLING YOU IS SO IMPORTANT,
2 I'M GOING TO TALK A LOT ABOUT AI, BUT NOT IN TERMS
3 OF METRICS.

4 AND THE REASON FOR THAT IS BECAUSE AT THIS
5 POINT THERE ISN'T A GREAT TOOL FOR MEASURING WHAT AI
6 IS DELIVERING TO PEOPLE. THERE ARE SOME TOOLS
7 COMING ALONG. AND I THINK BY NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET, I
8 WILL BE ASKING FOR MONEY TO DO THAT.

9 I WANT TO ADD ONE THING HERE. AND THAT IS
10 I'M TELLING ALL OF YOU THIS, AND MAYBE THIS IS NEW
11 NEWS TO SOME OF YOU. ALL OF YOUR ORGANIZATIONS ARE
12 DEALING WITH THIS SAME THING. SO YOU'RE GOING TO BE
13 HEARING THIS FROM OTHER PEOPLE, AND ALL OF YOUR
14 COMMUNICATIONS TEAMS ARE HAVING THE SAME, LIKE, OH,
15 NO, AI MOMENT.

16 SO WHAT THIS ALL MEANS, I KIND OF JUST
17 WENT THROUGH THIS. I THINK THE KEY THING HERE TO
18 KNOW IS THAT I SHOWED YOU THAT AI IS SCRAPING OR
19 MEDIA, THE NEWSPAPER. SO WE HAVE HIRED A PR AGENCY,
20 AND PR IS GOING TO BECOME INCREASINGLY A FOCUS FOR
21 MY TEAM BECAUSE IT IS INCREASINGLY A FOCUS FOR AI.
22 SO THAT IS SOMETHING YOU WILL SEE IN MY BUDGET THAT
23 I DO HAVE -- MY SLIDES JUST DID SOMETHING. WE'RE
24 GOING TO BE OPTIMIZING THE WEBSITE TO BE BETTER
25 SCRAPED BY AI. THERE ARE TOOLS OUT THERE TO HELP ME

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WITH THIS. EVERYONE ON MY TEAM OR MOST PEOPLE ON MY
2 TEAM WE ARE TAKING CLASSES IN HOW TO BETTER LEVERAGE
3 THIS NEW WORLD. SO YOU WILL ALSO SEE THAT IN MY
4 BUDGET, THAT I DO HAVE A LINE ITEM FOR A WEB VENDOR.
5 AND WE WILL BE DOING SOME THINGS TO IMPROVE THE
6 WEBSITE.

7 I'M GOING TO MOVE ON. OKAY. THE METRICS,
8 I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE WEBSITE, THE BLOG,
9 SOCIAL MEDIA, AND OUTREACH.

10 OKAY. THIS IS -- LET ME DEMYSTIFY THIS
11 SLIDE A BIT. SO HERE'S WHAT WE'RE SEEING. I
12 MENTIONED THAT IN THE PAST WITH THE WEBSITE, WE'RE
13 LOOKING FOR A LINE THAT GOES UP AND TO THE RIGHT,
14 RIGHT? WE WANT MORE PEOPLE COMING TO THE WEBSITE
15 OVER TIME. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE WEBSITE TRAFFIC
16 WITH A BLIND EYE, WHICH I DID A COUPLE MONTHS AGO,
17 IT GOES UP AND TO THE RIGHT, WHICH IS AWESOME. AND
18 THAT'S THE KIND OF BLUE DOTS. AND THEN I LOOKED
19 DEEPER, AND WHAT I FOUND IS THAT WE HAD A
20 2,000-PERCENT INCREASE -- THAT WAS 2,000-FOLD
21 INCREASE IN TRAFFIC FROM ONE PARTICULAR REGION OF
22 CHINA. AND WE USED TO HAVE NO TRAFFIC FROM THAT
23 REGION OF CHINA. SO I DID A LITTLE -- I ASKED AI
24 WHAT WAS GOING ON.

25 SO THIS IS A WORLDWIDE GLOBAL PHENOMENON

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT STARTED ABOUT ROUGHLY SEPTEMBER OF LAST YEAR,
2 THAT THERE ARE -- IT'S ESSENTIALLY, FROM WHAT I
3 UNDERSTAND, AI SCRAPING PLACES. AND IT'S
4 BOMBARDING. ALL OF YOUR WEBSITES ARE DOING THIS.
5 IT'S HARDER TO SEE WHO THE HUMANS ARE. THESE ARE
6 ALL BOTS. THEY'RE NOT DOING ANYTHING BAD. THEY'RE
7 JUST SCRAPING OUR WEBSITE FOR CONTENT JUST LIKE AI
8 BOTS ARE SUPPOSED TO DO. WHAT IT MEANS IS THIS IS
9 PROBABLY THE LAST TIME I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU A SLIDE
10 OF OUR WEBSITE TRAFFIC BECAUSE I DON'T THINK IT'S
11 GOING TO BE MEANINGFUL ANYMORE.

12 THE ORANGE LINE IS MY BEST ATTEMPT TO WEED
13 OUT WHO THE ACTUAL HUMANS ARE. IT GOES UP A LITTLE
14 BIT, BUT NOT MUCH. IN THE PAST YOU REALLY LOOKED AT
15 THAT TRAFFIC TO GO UP. I THINK IT'S GOING TO GO
16 DOWN. I THINK WE'RE GOING TO GET LESS AND LESS
17 TRAFFIC TO THE WEBSITE AND MORE AND MORE TRYING TO
18 DO THE SAME KIND OF MONITORING THROUGH AI. SO YOU
19 CAN QUOTE ME ON THIS. I AM BETTING THAT THIS IS THE
20 LAST TIME I SHOW YOU THIS SLIDE.

21 OKAY. WE'RE GOING TO PIVOT TO THE BLOG,
22 AND THIS IS SOMETHING I KNOW IS NOT APPARENT WHEN
23 YOU LOOK AT THE WEBSITE. THE BLOG IS ACTUALLY A
24 DIFFERENT PLATFORM. WHEN YOU GO, IF ANY OF YOU HAVE
25 LOOKED AT THE BLOG ON OUR WEBSITE, IT LOOKS LIKE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IT'S ON OUR WEBSITE BECAUSE YOU CLICK ON THINGS ON
2 OUR WEBSITE AND THERE'S THE BLOG. IT'S NOT. IT'S
3 TWO COMPLETELY DIFFERENT PLATFORMS, AND WE'VE JUST
4 KIND OF SET IT UP SO THAT THE BLOG LOOKS LIKE IT'S
5 ON THE WEBSITE, BUT IT'S NOT. SO ANY OPTIMIZING WE
6 DO TO THE WEBSITE IS NOT GOING TO HELP OUR BLOG.
7 AND THE BLOG IS THE MAIN SOURCE OF OUR STORYTELLING.

8 SO THIS IS BLOG TRAFFIC OVER THE LAST
9 YEAR. EVERYTHING I'M SHOWING YOU FOR A YEAR ENDS IN
10 APRIL, AND THAT'S BECAUSE I PUT THIS PRESENTATION
11 TOGETHER IN MAY BECAUSE I FIRST DELIVERED IT AT THE
12 BEGINNING OF JUNE. SO WE ONLY LOOKED THROUGH APRIL.
13 I'LL JUST TELL YOU THE TREND CONTINUES THROUGH MAY,
14 BUT WE'RE JUST GOING TO TALK ABOUT APRIL.

15 OKAY. A COUPLE OF THINGS ABOUT BLOG
16 TRAFFIC. I JUST FINISHED TELLING YOU THAT YOU CAN
17 NO LONGER TRUST METRICS AROUND WHO'S COMING TO YOUR
18 WEBSITE, AND I TOLD YOU THAT THE BLOG IS A SEPARATE
19 WEBSITE. SO CAN YOU TRUST ANY OF THIS? THE BLOG
20 RIGHT NOW, I THINK BECAUSE IT'S SEPARATE AND NOT
21 TERRIBLY OPTIMIZED, GETS SO LITTLE TRAFFIC THAT EVEN
22 THE BOTS DON'T CARE. SO WE'RE HOPING TO CHANGE
23 THAT; BUT IN THE MEANTIME, I THINK THOSE ARE ACTUAL
24 HUMANS ON THOSE LITTLE CHARTS THERE. SO LET'S TALK
25 ABOUT WHAT YOU'RE SEEING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THIS IS TRAFFIC TO OUR BLOG, WHICH IS OUR
2 MAIN SOURCE OF STORYTELLING OVER THE LAST YEAR. AND
3 I MADE THEM BLUE AND YELLOW JUST TO INDICATE BLUE IS
4 THE FIRST HALF OF THE YEAR, YELLOW IS THE SECOND
5 HALF OF THE YEAR. RIGHT? AND WHAT WE SEE IS SECOND
6 HALF OF THE YEAR OR THE FIRST HALF OF THE YEAR,
7 122-PERCENT INCREASE IN VIEWS. AND JUST FOR THOSE
8 OF YOU PAYING VERY CAREFUL ATTENTION TO YOUR MATH,
9 IN THE MEMO MY TEAM PUT TOGETHER, I THINK WE SAID
10 SOMETHING LIKE THERE'S A 60-ISH PERCENT INCREASE
11 YEAR OVER YEAR. WE WERE LOOKING AT JUST APRIL OVER
12 APRIL. THIS IS LOOKING AT SIX MONTHS OVER SIX
13 MONTHS. I THINK IT DEPENDS ON HOW YOU SLICE AND
14 DICE. IT'S ABOUT DOUBLE IN THE LAST YEAR. RIGHT?
15 SO WE FEEL GOOD ABOUT THAT GOING TO SAY.

16 AND THEN THE RED LINES HERE ARE THE DATES
17 WHEN I PRESENTED MY EVOLVING STRATEGY TO YOU. I
18 WILL SAY THAT AS THAT STRATEGY EVOLVED, WE WERE
19 MAKING CHANGES TO THE BLOG. SO IF YOU LOOK AT THIS
20 AS WE ROLLED OUT THE STRATEGY, THE BLOG WENT UP.
21 AND I COULD STAND RIGHT HERE AND SAY WE'RE DOING
22 AWESOME AND YOU SHOULD GIVE US LOTS OF MONEY IN OUR
23 BUDGET. THE STORY IS ACTUALLY MORE COMPLEX AND
24 ACTUALLY REALLY INTERESTING AND DOES POINT TO SOME
25 OF OUR ASKS IN THE BUDGET.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND I'M GOING TO TURN IT OVER HERE -- DO I
2 HAVE SCOTT HERE? SO SCOTT HADLEY IS OUR NEW
3 DIRECTOR OF CONTENT STRATEGY. SO HE FROM NOW ON IS
4 GOING TO BE OVERSEEING OUR STORYTELLING AND OUR
5 SOCIAL MEDIA. SO I'M GOING TO LET HIM TALK TO YOU
6 ABOUT SOME OF THOSE METRICS AND ALSO WHAT THEY MEAN
7 IN TERMS OF WHAT WE'LL BE DOING GOING FORWARD.

8 MR. HADLEY: THANK YOU SO MUCH, AMY.
9 APPRECIATE IT. I WAS HIDING BEHIND THE FLAG. I
10 DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S A METAPHOR FOR SOMETHING. BUT
11 THIS IS ALSO MY FIRST RODEO WITH YOU. SO APOLOGIES
12 OFF THE BAT.

13 SO THESE NUMBERS ARE REALLY SMALL, RIGHT,
14 WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE BIG PICTURE, BUT THEY'RE STILL
15 INTERESTING. AND WE DID LOOK AT WHETHER THIS WAS
16 RELATED TO BOTS. BY THE WAY, NOT ALL BOTS ARE BAD.
17 AND AS A MATTER OF FACT, YOU KIND OF WANT YOUR
18 WEBSITE TO BE SCRAPED BY BOTS BECAUSE THAT MEANS
19 THAT CONTENT IS BEING USED. AND IT'S NOT REALLY FOR
20 US. WE'RE NOT TRYING TO AGGREGATE PEOPLE TO COME TO
21 OUR SITE AND BUY SOMETHING. RIGHT? WE WANT OUR
22 INFORMATION DISSEMINATED IN THE BEST WAY. SO WE
23 WANT TO ENCOURAGE THAT.

24 THE BLOG IS INTERESTING BECAUSE THAT IS A
25 PLACE WHERE WE CAN DO MORE FULLY FORMED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 STORYTELLING, AND WE CAN EXPERIMENT A LITTLE BIT,
2 AND WE CAN DO IT QUICKLY. SO I'M GOING TO LOOK AT
3 VERY SMALL DIMENSIONS OF SOME OF THIS STUFF WE'RE
4 DOING IN COMMUNICATIONS. SO LET'S JUST LOOK AT THAT
5 BLOG TRAFFIC.

6 WE'RE WONDERING WHY IS IT THAT WE SAW THAT
7 INCREASE. IT'S A SIMPLE QUESTION. SO IF WE -- WE
8 SPECULATED THAT BECAUSE OF THE WAY STAFFING HAD
9 WORKED, OUR FREQUENCY OF POSTING ON THE BLOG VARIED
10 OVER THE LAST YEAR, AND THERE WERE A COUPLE MONTHS
11 WHERE WE DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING. SO WE WONDERED IS
12 THIS JUST RELATED TO FREQUENCY. YOU HAVE MORE SHOTS
13 ON GOAL, MORE TRAFFIC. SO WE LOOKED AT THAT. WE
14 HAD TWO POSTS IN SEPTEMBER, WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY POSTS
15 IN NOVEMBER, AND THEN WE HAD ONE IN FEBRUARY.
16 CLEARLY THAT'S NOT PLAYING A ROLE.

17 BUT MAYBE A BETTER WAY OF THINKING ABOUT
18 THIS IS NOTHING THAT WE DO IN COMMS IN THESE
19 INDIVIDUAL CHANNELS IS DONE IN A VACUUM. THERE'S
20 OTHER THINGS THAT ARE GOING ON. AND SO YOU ARE ALL
21 WELL AWARE THAT SOME THINGS WERE HAPPENING TOWARDS
22 THE END OF LAST YEAR THAT RELATED TO CIRM AND THE
23 BEGINNING OF THIS YEAR. SO WE PLOT SOME OF THOSE
24 THINGS, AND THESE WOULD BE MENTIONS IN SOCIAL MEDIA
25 AND MENTIONS IN PRINT OR OTHER TRADITIONAL MEDIA.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO I'M JUST OVERLAYING THESE THREE SPECIFIC EVENTS
2 OR MOMENTS, I SHOULD SAY.

3 SO THE OPENINGS OF THE CCCE'S, THE RAPID
4 ANNOUNCEMENT, AND THE ROCKET ANNOUNCEMENT, THOSE
5 WERE COVERED IN THE MEDIA. IF YOU LOOK AT SOCIAL
6 MEDIA MENTIONS, I THINK RAPID AND ROCKET WERE THE
7 FIRST OR THE HIGHEST AND THE THIRD HIGHEST
8 IMPRESSION RATES FOR SOCIAL MEDIA DURING THE TIME.

9 WHY AM POINTING THIS OUT? IT SEEMS SORT
10 OF OBVIOUS. I THINK WHY WE'RE POINTING THIS OUT IS
11 THAT, AS WE IMPROVE OUR MESSAGING AND COORDINATE ALL
12 THE DIFFERENT CHANNELS THAT WE'RE USING, WE'RE
13 EMPOWERING OURSELVES TO IMPROVE HOW THAT MESSAGE
14 GETS OUT.

15 AND THAT'S GOING TO TAKE US TO SOCIAL
16 MEDIA WHERE THERE'S A LOT OF OPPORTUNITIES THERE AND
17 MAYBE OPPORTUNITIES WE HAVEN'T YET TAPPED. MAYBE
18 YOU ARE AWARE, BUT OUR STRONGEST PLATFORM RIGHT NOW
19 IS LINKEDIN. IT SORT OF MAKES SENSE BECAUSE OF WHO
20 WE'RE COMMUNICATING WITH. WE'RE COMMUNICATING WITH
21 PEOPLE WHO WANT TO KNOW ABOUT OUR GRANTMAKING,
22 EITHER THEIR OWN OR OPPORTUNITIES, AND ALSO MORE
23 PROFESSIONALS MAYBE ARE LOOKING THERE. AND SO
24 THAT'S THAT BIG SWATH OF BLUE THAT YOU SEE THERE.

25 I JUST WANTED TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TWO OTHERS. THOSE WERE THE ORANGE AND THE -- IS
2 THAT RED? I'M SORRY. I'M A LITTLE COLOR BLIND. SO
3 THAT'S INSTAGRAM AND YOUTUBE. I THINK SOMEONE IS
4 GOING TO BRING UP TIKTOK, BUT WE CAN GET TO THAT
5 LATER. WHY AM I BRINGING TO YOUR ATTENTION THAT?
6 BECAUSE WHAT THIS IS SHOWING IS AN ENGAGEMENT, WHICH
7 IS JUST A MEASURE OF HOW OFTEN SOMEONE CLICKS ON A
8 POST OR INTERACTS OR SHARES WITH IT IN SOME WAY.
9 AND WHAT YOU SEE IS LIKE AN WHAT UPTIC IN INSTAGRAM
10 AND YOUTUBE. IF I LOOK AT JUST VIDEO VIEWS, IT'S
11 MUCH MORE PROFOUND. I THINK THE INCREASE IN YOUTUBE
12 WAS LIKE 26 PERCENT. DOESN'T SOUND LIKE A LOT, BUT
13 THAT'S A LOT. AGAIN, THESE ARE SMALL NUMBERS. WHY
14 AM I SHOWING THIS IS BECAUSE THE OPPORTUNITY IS
15 THERE. RIGHT?

16 WE SHOULD MAINTAIN THAT PRESENCE ON
17 LINKEDIN, BUT THE AUDIENCE ON YOUTUBE, THE AUDIENCE
18 ON INSTAGRAM, THE AUDIENCE ON TIKTOK IS A LITTLE
19 DIFFERENT. AND WHAT WE COMMUNICATE THERE IS
20 DIFFERENT. AND SO WHAT WE SEE IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO
21 EXPAND WHO WE'RE COMMUNICATING TO THROUGH THOSE
22 PLATFORMS. IT'S GOING TO MAYBE CHANGE SOME OF THE
23 FORM IN WHICH WE COMMUNICATE. AND SO WE HAVE A
24 GREAT SOCIAL MEDIA MANAGER, CHRISTINA, AND SHE HAS
25 BEEN BUSY DOING A LOT OF OTHER THINGS UP TO THIS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 POINT, AND NOW SHE'S EXPERIMENTING MORE WITH
2 SHORT-FORM VIDEO ON THOSE PLATFORMS AND
3 EXPERIMENTING AND SEEING HOW THAT WORKS. AND SO NOW
4 I WILL TURN IT BACK TO YOU.

5 MS. ADAMS: OKAY. I'VE BEEN THINKING A
6 BIT ABOUT ALL THIS DATA THAT MY TEAM HAS BEEN
7 COLLECTING. AND I FEEL LIKE IT'S SORT OF A RISING
8 TIDE LIFTS ALL BOATS. WE'RE NOT EXACTLY SURE WHICH
9 THING IS EXACTLY WORKING, BUT WE ARE SEEING AN
10 OVERALL INCREASE IN VIEWS TO OUR VARIOUS PIECES OF
11 CONTENT. SO IT'S A LITTLE FRUSTRATING THAT WE CAN'T
12 PINPOINT A THING THAT WORKED, BUT WE'RE SEEING A
13 RISING TIDE, WHICH SEEMS LIKE A GOOD SIGN.

14 OKAY. I'M PIVOTING NOW TO OUR OUTREACH
15 WORK. THIS IS THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS YOU CAN
16 SEE. THE BLUE DOTS ARE THE PLACES WHERE OUR
17 OUTREACH TEAM TOUCHED THIS YEAR. THE SIZE OF THE
18 DOT REFLECTS THE NUMBER OF EVENTS IN THOSE
19 LOCATIONS, NOT THE SIZE OF THE EVENTS. YOU KNOW
20 WHAT'S NOT ON HERE ARE THINGS LIKE YOU HEARD TODAY
21 THAT OUR CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR DID SOME REALLY
22 INTERESTING PRESENTATIONS IN THE PAST MONTH OR SO.
23 THAT'S SO IMPORTANT FOR US TO BE DOING. AND THAT'S
24 NOT ON HERE.

25 I HAPPEN TO KNOW THAT AT LEAST ONE OF OUR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EDUCATION TRAINEES DID A ROTARY CLUB PRESENTATION
2 RECENTLY AND HAD REACHED OUT TO US. THAT'S NOT ON
3 HERE. SO THERE'S A LOT OF THINGS GOING ON THAT
4 AREN'T HERE, AND THAT WILL ONLY GROW AS OUR CCCE'S
5 ARE DOING MORE AND MORE OUTREACH. SO I THINK AS A
6 DATA VISUALIZATION, THIS IS MY KINDERGARTEN STARTER
7 VERSION. BUT I THINK OVER TIME WHAT I'D LIKE TO
8 REPRESENT IS MORE HOLISTIC, NOT JUST WHERE MY
9 OUTREACH PEOPLE WERE AND COORDINATED, BUT MORE OF
10 LIKE WHERE IS CIRM.

11 ALL THAT SAID, EVEN IF YOU SHOWED EVERY
12 SINGLE THING EVERYONE HAD DONE, THERE ARE SOME
13 GIGANTIC EMPTY YELLOW SPACES ON THAT MAP, AND I
14 THINK IT'S A HUGE MISSED OPPORTUNITY. SO ONE OF THE
15 THINGS THAT YOU WILL SEE IN MY BUDGET IS -- I
16 CURRENTLY HAVE ONE FULL-TIME OUTREACH PERSON ON MY
17 TEAM, AND THEN THERE IS ONE CONTRACTOR WHO WORKS IN
18 L.A. THAT'S WHY YOU SEE SO MANY DOTS IN SAN
19 FRANCISCO AND L.A. IT'S BECAUSE THAT'S WHERE THEY
20 ARE.

21 IN MY BUDGET I WANT TO HIRE TWO ADDITIONAL
22 OUTREACH CONTRACTORS. AND WE WANT THOSE PEOPLE TO
23 BE IN SOME OF THOSE YELLOW SWATHS OF THE STATE SO WE
24 CAN BE REACHING OUT MUCH MORE BROADLY.

25 SO THE KEY TAKEAWAYS BEFORE I TURN TO THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BUDGET. WE ARE GOING TO BE SPENDING TIME THIS YEAR
2 OPTIMIZING ALL OF OUR MATERIALS, ESPECIALLY THE
3 WEBSITE, FOR AI. THAT'S GOING TO BE A LOT OF
4 BACKGROUND WORK, BUT I THINK IT'S INCREDIBLY
5 IMPORTANT. WE ARE GOING TO BE MOVING THE BLOG TO
6 THE WEBSITE. I THINK THAT WILL BOTH OPTIMIZE THAT
7 STORYTELLING AND OPTIMIZE EVERYTHING FOR AI.

8 AND I JUST WANT TO THANK MARGUERITE
9 CASILLAS HAS VERY GRACIOUSLY AGREED TO BE PART OF
10 THE TEAM THAT'S GOING TO PROVIDE FEEDBACK AS WE MOVE
11 THAT OVER. SHE MADE THE DIRE MISTAKE AT A RECENT
12 MEETING OF SPEAKING UP ABOUT THE BLOG. YOU SPEAK
13 UP, YOU GET VOLUNTOLD.

14 WE ARE GOING TO HAVE A REALLY CONSISTENT
15 FOCUS ON MESSAGING ACROSS CHANNELS. I THINK THAT'S
16 PART OF THE RISING TIDE IS WE ARE BEING VERY
17 CONSISTENT ACROSS CHANNELS NOW, AND I THINK IT'S
18 HELPING. WE ARE GOING TO DO -- CONTINUE TO DO A
19 PUSH WITH PR BOTH BECAUSE IT IS A DIRECT WAY OF
20 REACHING PEOPLE IN COMMUNITIES WE DON'T ALWAYS REACH
21 AND BECAUSE IT'S GOOD FOR AI. VIDEO, AS SCOTT
22 POINTED OUT, IS A REAL MISSED OPPORTUNITY, AND I'M
23 REALLY EXCITED ABOUT SOME OF THE WORK CHRISTINA
24 SMITH HAS BEEN DOING. AND OUR OUTREACH EFFORTS NEED
25 TO BE BEEFED UP.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO WITH THOSE TAKEAWAYS, I'M GOING TO TRY
2 TO CLICK THROUGH THE BUDGET. OKAY. SO NOW I DOUBT
3 ANY OF YOU CAN READ THIS ON THE SCREEN, BUT YOU
4 SHOULD ALL HAVE IT IN THE MEMO AND IN THE SLIDES IN
5 FRONT OF YOU. AND WHAT I'VE INDICATED IS JUST THE
6 LINE ITEMS ON MY BUDGET AND THE ONES THAT ARE
7 INCREASING OR STAYING THE SAME. AND FOR RIGHT NOW,
8 I'M JUST GOING TO TALK ABOUT A COUPLE THAT ARE
9 INCREASING INSTEAD GOING THROUGH EVERYTHING.

10 FORS MARSH, THAT'S THE PR TEAM. WE HIRED
11 THEM IN OCTOBER OF LAST YEAR. AND SO THEIR CONTRACT
12 RAN FROM OCTOBER TO THE END OF JUNE. AND SO NEXT
13 YEAR INCREASES, BUT IT'S JUST A SCALING INCREASE TO
14 REFLECT THE FACT THAT THEY'RE STARTING IN JULY
15 INSTEAD OF OCTOBER.

16 WE ARE DOING SOME FOCUS GROUPS AROUND
17 CALIFORNIA. THIS IS WITH THE, VERY RIGHTFUL, THE
18 URGING OF PAT WHO HAS SOME GREAT IDEAS ON THAT. SO
19 WE WILL BE DOING FOCUS GROUPS TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE
20 PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA ARE INTERESTED IN AND WHAT KIND
21 OF MESSAGING RESONATES WITH THEM. SO THAT'S A
22 ONETIME BUDGET ITEM. WE ARE ADDING TWO OUTREACH
23 CONTRACTORS. THAT IS AN INCREASE THAT I HOPE IS
24 LONG TERM.

25 AND THEN THERE'S A SMALL INCREASE IN THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BUDGET ITEM THAT WE USE TO PAY FOR OUTREACH EVENTS.
2 SO IF ONE OF OUR OUTREACH PEOPLE GOES TO AN EVENT OF
3 SOME KIND AND THEY HAVE TO PAY FOR A BOOTH, THAT
4 COSTS MONEY. IF WE HAVE TWO ADDITIONAL CONTRACTORS,
5 THEY'RE GOING TO PAY FOR ADDITIONAL BOOTHS, ENTRY
6 FEES, OR WHATEVER THOSE THINGS ARE. SO THAT'S AN
7 INCREASE.

8 AND THEN WE HAVE A TEMPORARY INCREASE IN
9 PERSONNEL. I'VE GOT A PERSON ON MY TEAM NOW WHO IS
10 ON A FIXED-TERM CONTRACT WHO'S REALLY THINKING
11 DEEPLY AND I THINK DOING TERRIFIC WORK HELPING US
12 COMMUNICATE MORE EFFECTIVELY ABOUT OUR PROGRAMS AND
13 POLICIES AND PROCESSES WITH THE AWARDEES AND
14 POTENTIAL AWARDEES. THAT SEEMED LIKE A REAL NEED.
15 SO WE'RE FILLING THAT NEED WITH A SHORT-TERM
16 POSITION.

17 AND WITH THAT, I SAY THANK YOU. AND DO
18 YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?

19 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: JUDY.

20 DR. CHOU: MAYBE WAY BACK WHEN WE TALKED
21 ABOUT THIS, PLEASE REMIND ME ABOUT HOW DO WE DEFINE
22 OUR KPI, THE KEY PERFORMANCE INDEX. WHAT DO WE CALL
23 THE SUCCESS OF THE EFFORTS PACED TO HELP PEOPLE
24 UNDERSTAND CIRM? CAN YOU ELABORATE THAT MORE?

25 MS. ADAMS: YEAH. SO I DON'T HAVE MY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 STRATEGY IN FRONT OF ME, BUT I'VE GOT KPI'S IN THE
2 STRATEGY DOCUMENT. IT MIGHT BE EASIEST IF I SHARE
3 THAT WITH BECAUSE OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD I DON'T
4 REMEMBER ALL THE KPI'S. BUT THE KPI'S ARE THINGS
5 LIKE -- WELL, I USED TO HAVE A KPI AROUND INCREASED
6 VISITS TO THE WEBSITE, BUT I'M GOING TO MODIFY THAT
7 ONE. BUT IT'S YEAR-OVER-YEAR INCREASE IN ENGAGEMENT
8 ON SOCIAL MEDIA. YEAR-OVER-YEAR INCREASE -- I LOOK
9 AT THINGS LIKE ARE PEOPLE REQUESTING OUR PRESENCE AT
10 EVENTS. BECAUSE THAT'S SORT OF AN INDICATOR, NOT
11 JUST WERE WE THERE, BUT ALSO AN INDICATOR THAT
12 PEOPLE KNOW ABOUT US AND THEY WANT US TO COME TO THE
13 EVENT. SO THERE'S KPI'S LIKE THAT.

14 DR. CHOU: AND THAT'S WHY I'M THINKING
15 ABOUT NOW WITH THIS EVOLVING ENVIRONMENT, WE REALLY
16 NEED TO ADAPT THAT. IF YOU LOOK AT THE CALIFORNIA
17 MAP, NO SURPRISE, THE CONCENTRATION WILL BE WHERE
18 PEOPLE DO USE SOCIAL MEDIA. BUT WE ACTUALLY WANT TO
19 REACH OUT TO THE PLACE PEOPLE DON'T TYPICALLY LOOK
20 AT THEIR PHONE TO CHECK ON THOSE INFORMATION.

21 SO I FEEL LIKE WE NEED TO REDEFINE THE KPI
22 A LITTLE BIT ABOUT MULTIPLE DIFFERENT OF KIND OF
23 MEDIA. MAYBE CERTAIN PLACE -- EMPHASIZING SOCIAL
24 MEDIA, I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANYTHING WRONG. BUT
25 MAYBE FOR CERTAIN AREA, BASED ON THE DEMOGRAPHIC

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BACKGROUND, WE JUST NEED TO DO THAT DIFFERENTLY,
2 MAYBE EVEN TRADITIONAL WAY IS THE KEY.

3 MS. ADAMS: YEAH. I THINK KPI'S SHOULD BE
4 EVALUATED EVERY YEAR. YEAH, I AGREE.

5 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YSABEL.

6 MS. DURON: AMY, I WAS ACTUALLY HOPING TO
7 SEE A BUDGET YEAR TO YEAR AND TO SEE AN INCREASE --
8 HOW IT INCREASES IN STAFFING IN THE PARTICULAR
9 PLACES SO WE CAN MEASURE OVER YEAR OVER YEAR IF, IN
10 FACT, THE INCREASES IN BUDGET MADE A DIFFERENCE IN
11 THE WORK WE HAD TO DO. SO IT WOULD BE NICE IF YOU
12 COULD SEND US ONE.

13 MS. ADAMS: I CAN DO THAT. ABSOLUTELY.

14 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. PAT.

15 DR. LEVITT: SO THE MAP THAT YOU SHOWED
16 WHERE THE EVENTS, THE PERSONAL CONTACT, LOOKS
17 EXACTLY LIKE THE MAP OF WHERE CIRM FUNDED
18 INVESTIGATORS LIVE. IT LOOKS IDENTICAL. SMALLER
19 NUMBER OF CIRCLES, BUT THEY'RE EXACTLY AND
20 GEOGRAPHICALLY IN THE SAME PLACE. THAT WAS JUST A
21 COMMENT BECAUSE THAT'S WHERE PEOPLE GO. AND IT'S
22 CHALLENGING TO THINK ABOUT A STRATEGY THAT WOULD
23 REALLY BROADEN IT EXCEPT INCLUDING -- NOW INCLUDING
24 MORE DOMAINS THAT INCLUDE CCCE'S AND OTHER
25 ACTIVITIES THAT ARE NOT NECESSARILY RELATED TO WHERE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 A GRANTEE IS, WHERE A GRANT RECEIVER IS LOCATED. SO
2 THAT'S ONE THING.

3 THE OTHER IS THAT YOU'RE DEVELOPING
4 STORIES. AND WHEN WE'RE HEARING STORIES, IT MIGHT
5 BE HELPFUL FOR BOARD MEMBERS WHO ARE SOMETIMES ASKED
6 TO TALK ABOUT CIRM TO ACTUALLY HAVE A RESOURCE THAT
7 TELLS A COMMON STORY SO THAT THEY DON'T HAVE TO
8 SPEND TIME FIGURING OUT WHAT STORY THEY SHOULD TELL
9 OR DO SCREEN CAPTURES OFF OF THE WEBSITE, WHICH IS
10 WHAT A LOT OF PEOPLE DO, WHICH IS NOT FABULOUS. BUT
11 HAVING A RESOURCE OR A TOOLKIT THAT WOULD -- AND
12 HAVE IT DISTRIBUTED RATHER THAN JUST, WELL, YOU CAN
13 GO TO THE WEBSITE AND FIND IT, AND YOU JUST CLICK
14 FIVE TIMES AND THEN YOU CAN FIND IT.

15 IT'S GOING TO DEVELOP BECAUSE THE STORIES
16 ARE DEVELOPING. AND THOSE STORIES IN PARTICULAR,
17 LIKE, MOST OF US CAN TELL THE STORIES THAT WE HEARD
18 ABOUT TODAY. WE MAY NOT INCLUDE ALL THE DATA. WE
19 MAY INCLUDE JUST THE PUNCHLINES ABOUT A GRANT THAT
20 GOT FUNDED IN A DISEASE THAT IS X, AND THIS IS WHERE
21 IT HAS TRANSFORMED HOPE KIND OF THING. SO THAT
22 WOULD BE HELPFUL. MAYBE IT EXISTS AND I JUST DON'T
23 KNOW.

24 MS. ADAMS: YEAH. I MEAN WHAT'S GOING
25 THROUGH MY MIND. WE HAVE A TOOLKIT, WE HAVE A DECK

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WITH A NARRATIVE. WELL, THAT'S WHAT I WAS JUST
2 THINKING, LIKE WE MEANING LIKE ME AND MY TEAM KNOW
3 WHERE IT IS. SO I THINK I NEED TO WORK WITH --

4 DR. LEVITT: UNWILLING TO SHARE.

5 MS. ADAMS: I'LL SHARE. NO. I THINK
6 THAT'S A REALLY GOOD POINT THOUGH. I MEAN WE HAVE
7 ALL OF THOSE TOOLS. AND I THINK I LINKED TO THEM
8 ONE PRESENTATION AGO, MAYBE TWO PRESENTATIONS AGO.
9 THEY'RE LINKED TO AN AGENDA THAT YOU CAN'T PROBABLY
10 FIND ANYMORE. BUT WHY DON'T I WORK WITH CLAUDETTE
11 AND JUST MAKE SURE THAT THOSE RESOURCES ARE
12 SOMEWHERE WHERE YOU GUYS CAN FIND THEM BECAUSE
13 THERE'S A LOT OF MATERIALS AVAILABLE AND MORE
14 COMING.

15 MS. DURON: PAT, CAN I SAY IN AMY'S
16 DEFENSE THAT, IN FACT, SHE DID HAVE A PRESENTATION
17 WHICH SHE SENT TO ME WHEN I WENT TO THE OAKLAND
18 ROTARY, BUT I ADAPTED IT BOTH FOR ME, BUT ALSO FOR
19 THINGS I THOUGHT WERE CRITICAL IN TALKING TO A
20 BUSINESS GROUP. SO IT IS THERE. I THINK THE BASICS
21 ARE THERE, AMY.

22 DR. LEVITT: ONE OF THE THINGS THAT'S
23 CHANGED QUITE A BIT IS THE BOARD HEARING STORIES
24 NOW, WHICH ARE REALLY POWERFUL. AND THE
25 COMMUNICATIONS GROUP COULD TAKE THOSE BECAUSE YOU

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SEE THOSE SLIDES AND EXTRACT WHAT YOU THINK WOULD BE
2 PALPABLE AND UNDERSTANDABLE INFORMATION THAT WOULD
3 ALLOW US TO TELL ONE OR TWO OF THOSE STORIES IN
4 ADDITION TO THE CORE STORY ABOUT WHAT CIRM IS,
5 RIGHT, IN HELPING PEOPLE UNDERSTAND WHAT CIRM DOES.
6 AS MORE AND MORE OF THOSE COME, THEY GIVE US MORE
7 AND MORE OPPORTUNITIES TO TELL STORIES THAT RESONATE
8 WITH FOLKS WITHOUT HAVING TO HAVE THE SAME
9 SCIENTIST. THEY'RE NOT GOING TO GO AROUND THE
10 STATE.

11 MS. ADAMS: I THINK THAT'S A GREAT IDEA,
12 AND I'LL WORK WITH CLAUDETTE TO GET THAT DONE.

13 DR. PADILLA: I HAVE A COMMENT. JUST FOR
14 THOSE FOLKS IN RURAL AREAS, INTERNET ACCESS IS VERY
15 LIMITED AND VERY COSTLY. I THINK PEOPLE UTILIZE
16 RADIO DISCUSSIONS AND TV. I'M NOT SURE IF YOU HAVE
17 A PLAN FOR THAT.

18 MS. ADAMS: YEAH. YEAH. THEY USE
19 WHATSAP. IT'S HARD TO GET INTO THAT. NO. I THINK
20 YOU'VE HIT ON A REALLY HARD POINT. IT'S HARD TO
21 REACH THOSE PEOPLE. I THINK A COUPLE THINGS WE TRY
22 TO DO, WE HAVE BEEN TRYING TO PITCH STORIES IN
23 SMALLER REGIONAL PUBLICATIONS BECAUSE I THINK PEOPLE
24 DO READ THEIR -- IN SMALL COMMUNITIES, THEY READ
25 THEIR LOCAL PAPER. SO LIKE AROUND -- THAT'S

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 INTERESTING BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME FREE ONES THAT WE
2 HAVE TRIED TO GET INTO.

3 DR. PADILLA: I WOULD USE RADIO OR TV,
4 ESPECIALLY IN THE AREAS WHERE THE CCE'S ARE COMING
5 UP. JUST A BLIP OF A CLIP IN BETWEEN A SPANISH TV
6 STATION OR SOMETHING ABOUT A CURE FOR SOMETHING
7 BASICALLY. JUST ONE LITTLE CLIP. THAT'S ALL IT
8 TAKES TO HAVE PEOPLE START THINKING ABOUT IT.

9 MS. ADAMS: WE DID GET ONE SPANISH
10 LANGUAGE RADIO PICK UP A STORY OF OURS, AND I WAS
11 REALLY EXCITED ABOUT THAT. IT WOULD BE FUN TO GET
12 MORE. NO. I THINK THAT MAP OF CALIFORNIA, IT'S
13 EXACTLY WHAT WE ALL EXPECT. RIGHT? THERE'S NO
14 SURPRISE ON THAT MAP, BUT IT IS PRETTY STARK. IT
15 REALLY MAKES YOU THINK WE'VE GOT TO FIGURE OUT HOW
16 TO REACH SOME OF THOSE OTHER REGIONS, AND IT'S A LOT
17 EASIER TO REACH L.A. AND SAN FRANCISCO, I'LL SAY.

18 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: AMY, I KNOW WE'RE
19 ADDING TWO OUTREACH, TWO ADDITIONAL OUTREACH
20 CONSULTANTS. YOU KNOW OUTREACH IS NEAR AND DEAR TO
21 MY HEART, AS YOU KNOW. SO I THINK IN LOOKING AT
22 THAT MAP, I WOULD HOPE, AND I CAN'T REMEMBER. I
23 KNOW I SAW THE STATEMENT OF WORK, BUT I DO NOT
24 REMEMBER, BUT I HOPE THAT THE CONSULTANTS WOULD BE
25 IN THOSE AREAS SO THAT THAT'S THE WORK THAT COULD BE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DONE IN THOSE AREAS, WHICH WOULD HELP, ADRIANA, SOME
2 OF WHAT YOU WERE REFERRING TO ABOUT HOW DO WE GET
3 INTO PLACES WHERE SOMEONE MIGHT NOT HAVE INTERNET
4 ACCESS OR BE ABLE TO GET ONLINE OR WHATEVER IT MIGHT
5 BE, AND THAT THAT WOULD BRIDGE A GAP BY DOING THE
6 EVENTS THERE AND GOING TO ESTABLISHED GROUPS WHERE
7 WE WOULD DO THE OUTREACH.

8 MS. ADAMS: YEAH. EXACTLY. WE'RE NOT
9 SPECIFYING -- WE'RE NOT DESIGNATING WHERE WE WANT
10 THE PEOPLE TO BE. I THINK WE'RE HOPING TO GET THE
11 APPLICATIONS AND TRY TO MAP OUT WHO THE BEST PEOPLE
12 ARE AND WHERE THOSE PEOPLE ARE AND TRY TO GET THE
13 BEST PEOPLE IN THE BEST PLACES RATHER THAN, LIKE,
14 PREDETERMINING WHERE THEY'RE GOING TO BE.

15 I WILL SAY -- I HOPE IF ADITI IS
16 LISTENING, I HOPE I'M NOT GETTING TOO FAR AHEAD, BUT
17 I THINK WE MIGHT SEND THE STATEMENT OF WORK OUT TO
18 THE TRAINEES AS WELL BECAUSE WE'VE GOT A BUNCH OF
19 STUDENTS OUT THERE, MANY OF WHOM HAVE GRADUATED,
20 HAVE HAD AN EDUCATION IN HOW TO DO OUTREACH, LIKE
21 SMART YOUNG PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN REMOTE PARTS OF THE
22 STATE. SO I THINK THEY COULD BE GOOD OPTIONS.
23 YEAH, MARIA, EXACTLY.

24 AND THEN THE ONE THING -- I WAS LOOKING AT
25 YOU EARLIER. I'M THINKING I HAVE TO HAVE MORE ROOM

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO SAY THIS. IN THE STATEMENT OF WORK FOR THOSE
2 CONTRACTORS, WE HAVE A LINE THAT SAYS SOMETHING LIKE
3 MULTILINGUAL -- A PREFERENCE FOR MULTILINGUAL.
4 WE'RE NOT SPECIFYING WHAT LANGUAGE. WE DON'T KNOW
5 WHAT WE'RE GOING TO GET, BUT WE HAVE SPECIFICALLY
6 PUT THAT IN.

7 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GOOD. DR. BARRETT.

8 DR. BARRETT: THANKS VERY MUCH. AS
9 ALWAYS, YOUR PRESENTATIONS ARE VERY CLEAR AND
10 INFORMATIVE. AND JUST TO RESPOND TO WHAT PAT SAID,
11 I DID GO AND GIVE A PRESENTATION TO THE ROTARY CLUB
12 AND FELT EXTREMELY WELL SUPPORTED BY ADITI WITH A
13 VERY USEFUL POWERPOINT WITH STORIES AND EVERYTHING.
14 AS IT TURNED OUT, THEY COULDN'T CONNECT TO MY
15 LAPTOP, SO IT DIDN'T WORK ANYWAY. BUT IT WAS A GOOD
16 TEMPLATE FOR ME TO THEN TALK TO THE GROUP, AND IT
17 WAS A SMALL GROUP, SO IT WAS INFORMAL. AND I THINK
18 WE CAN ALL DO MORE OF THAT.

19 BUT I HAVE NO ANSWER TO THIS WHATSOEVER.
20 BUT BEYOND THE METRICS THAT YOU'VE TALKED ABOUT, THE
21 SOCIAL MEDIA AND STUFF, HOW ARE WE ACTUALLY
22 MEASURING UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WE DO? BECAUSE IN
23 MY ONE ANECDOTAL, VERY LIMITED EXPERIENCE AT 7
24 O'CLOCK ON WHATEVER MORNING IT WAS WITH SOME REALLY
25 DELIGHTFUL GENTLEMEN IN THE ROTARY CLUB, THEY DIDN'T

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT CIRM EVEN THOUGH I WAS THERE
2 TALKING ABOUT CIRM. THEY DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT
3 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. EVEN THOUGH TWO OF THEM HAD
4 FAMILY MEMBERS WITH RARE DISEASES, THEY DIDN'T KNOW
5 THAT CELL AND GENE THERAPY WAS AN OPTION AND THAT
6 PEOPLE WERE ACTIVELY DOING RESEARCH TO TRY AND CURE
7 RARE DISEASES WITH THESE MODALITIES.

8 SO WE'VE GOT -- AND THAT'S IN SACRAMENTO.
9 SO WE'VE GOT A REAL UPHILL BATTLE, AND I DON'T KNOW
10 HOW WE MEASURE THIS, WHETHER WE'RE MOVING THE
11 NEEDLE. BUT JUST READING STUFF DOESN'T MEAN THAT
12 PEOPLE UNDERSTAND IT.

13 MS. ADAMS: YEAH. I AGREE COMPLETELY.
14 THAT'S WHY I KIND OF WEIGHT THINGS. I'VE BEEN
15 THINKING ABOUT MY MAP A LOT, LIKE HOW DO I BETTER
16 DISPLAY WHAT'S REALLY GOING ON BECAUSE I THINK THOSE
17 OF US WHO HAVE DONE SORT OF ROTARY CLUB
18 PRESENTATIONS, RIGHT, IT SEEMS LIKE A REALLY SMALL
19 AUDIENCE. ARE WE REALLY ACHIEVING MUCH? BUT
20 THEY'RE SUPER ENGAGED. YOU'VE GOT THEM FOR 20, 25
21 MINUTES, AND I THINK WE COME OUT THE DOOR WITH A
22 GLIMMER. SO THAT'S GREAT, BUT IT'S SMALL.

23 AND THEN THERE'S OTHER TIMES WHERE WE GO
24 TO THESE HUGE EVENTS, AND SOMEONE COMES BY OUR BOOTH
25 AND THEY GRAB A FLIER THAT THEY THEN THROW AWAY.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND IT'S HUNDREDS OF PEOPLE AND DO WE REALLY MOVE
2 THE NEEDLE? I THINK THAT QUESTION -- THE QUESTION
3 ABOUT KPI'S WAS FANTASTIC. MOST OF MY KPI'S, I PUT
4 A LOT OF THOUGHT INTO LIKE WHAT'S NOT JUST
5 PERFORMATIVE. RIGHT? YOU CAN CHEAT AND DO BETTER
6 ON SOCIAL MEDIA. THERE'S A FEW TOPICS I CAN THINK
7 OF. SO YOU CAN CHEAT AND INCREASE THOSE NUMBERS.
8 I'M TRYING TO THINK OF KPI'S THAT AREN'T CHEATING,
9 BUT THAT ACTUALLY REFLECT SOMETHING. BUT I DON'T
10 THINK MY KPI'S ARE PERFECT, AND I WELCOME IDEAS
11 BECAUSE IT'S TOUGH.

12 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YSABEL, YES.

13 MS. DURON: YOU KNOW ONE OF THE THINGS
14 THAT MIGHT HELP, AMY, IS EVEN WHETHER IT'S A FAIR OR
15 A TABLE, IF SOMEONE STOPS BY, YOU DO GIVE THEM A
16 LITTLE SURVEY. BUT ALSO AT THE ROTARY CLUB, WHERE I
17 EQUALLY HAD SOME TECHNICAL ISSUES, IT WOULD BE NICE
18 PERHAPS AT THE VERY END TO GIVE THEM FIVE QUESTIONS.
19 WHAT DID YOU LEARN? CAN YOU RECOMMEND SOMEBODY WE
20 SHOULD TALK TO FROM HERE? JUST FIVE QUESTIONS, BUT
21 A SENSE OF STARTING TO GET THAT KIND OF DATA ABOUT,
22 OH, OKAY. I'M SMARTER NOW. SO YOU ADD IT AT THE
23 END OF THE PRESENTATION SO THEY CAN DO IT RIGHT
24 THERE.

25 MS. ADAMS: I THINK THAT'S GREAT IDEA. I

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WILL SAY -- I'M GOING TO SPEAK TO SCOTT, NOT BEHIND
2 HIS BACK BECAUSE HE'S RIGHT HERE. WHEN I WAS
3 LOOKING TO HIRE HIM, ONE OF THE THINGS I REALLY
4 LOVED ABOUT HIM THAT MADE ME WANT TO HIRE HIM IS HE
5 KIND OF CAME AT ME WITH ALL THESE QUESTIONS. WHY
6 AREN'T WE DOING THINGS? AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT
7 HE'S COME UP WITH THEN AND SINCE THEN IS WHAT ARE
8 YOU DOING TO MEASURE? HOW ARE YOU FIGURING OUT? IS
9 THERE A SURVEY? IS THERE A THING? IS THERE
10 SOMETHING -- IS THERE A THING THAT YOU MAKE THEM DO
11 TO INDICATE THAT SOMETHING HAPPENED? AND I LOVED
12 THAT THINKING, AND I WANTED THAT THINKING ON MY
13 TEAM.

14 DR. CHOU: IF I MAY JUST ADD ONE POINT TO
15 CLARIFY WHY I ASK KPI. IT'S NOT SO MUCH ABOUT IF
16 YOU OR YOUR TEAM PERFORMING OR NOT. I REALLY THINK
17 WE WANT TO BE FOCUSED WHAT DO WE WANT TO ACHIEVE
18 FIRST BECAUSE OTHERWISE WE COVER TOO MUCH. SO
19 REALLY IS IS THAT THE PATIENT GROUP? IS THAT
20 CERTAIN AGE GROUP? EVEN I PERSONALLY WILL BE FINE
21 ABOUT IF SOCIAL MEDIA IS WHERE WE ARE GOING AND WE
22 TARGET THE GENERATION KNOWING THIS SO THEY CAN HELP
23 THEIR FAMILY WHO DO NOT USE CELL PHONE. THAT'S ALSO
24 FINE. I JUST WORRY ABOUT WE GOT TOO AMBITIOUS AND
25 MAKE YOU NOT BE ABLE TO ACHIEVE WHAT CIRM REALLY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 NEED TO AS A TOOL FOR OUTREACH.

2 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GOOD. THANK YOU
3 VERY MUCH. THANK YOU, AMY. THANK YOU, SCOTT, FOR
4 YOUR PRESENTATION. I DON'T SEE ANY MORE COMMENTS.
5 SO I WOULD LIKE TO -- THANK YOU. ARE THERE ANY --
6 THIS IS THE PART OF THE AGENDA AT THE END HERE, ANY
7 GENERAL COMMENTS ON OUR REVIEW PROCESS, THE ARS,
8 FROM EITHER BOARD MEMBERS OR THE PUBLIC?

9 IS THERE SOMEONE FROM THE PUBLIC WHO WOULD
10 LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT OF ANY KIND WHETHER IT IS ON
11 THE AGENDA OR NOT? GOOD. THE MICROPHONE IS YOURS.
12 PLEASE TELL US WHO ARE YOU ARE FOR THE RECORD.

13 MS. MORALEZ: AND YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES.

14 MS. ZARCENO: MY NAME IS MAIGUALIDA
15 ZARCENO. I'M THE MOTHER OF CIARA SOPHIA ZARCENO AND
16 DUAIK. MY TWO KIDS, WHAT I NOTICE LAST YEAR, THEY
17 BOTH HAVE BATTEN DISEASE CLN6. CIARA IS SIX YEARS
18 OLD. MY SON DUAIK IS FOUR YEARS OLD. AND THEY ARE
19 OUTSIDE. I WOULD FOR YOU GUYS TO MEET.

20 SO BASICALLY THIS DISEASE TAKE AWAY FROM
21 OUR KIDS THEY SIGHT, THE ABILITY TO SWALLOW, WALK.
22 CIARA HAS BEEN LOSING PARTIAL. SHE STILL CAN SEE.
23 SHE STILL CAN WALK SOME DAYS.

24 THIS GENE THERAPY IS THE ONLY CHANCE THAT
25 SHE HAVE TO LIVE LONGER. ACCORDING TO WHAT WE KNOW

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IS THEY CAN ONLY LIVE UNTIL 8, 10, 12 YEARS OLD.
2 CIARA CAN STILL JOIN THE GENE THERAPY. I WILL
3 KNOW -- WE LIVE ACROSS THE BRIDGE IN FREMONT. I
4 WOULD LIKE ASK FOR SOME -- I DON'T KNOW IF YOU GUYS
5 HAVE ANY KIDS, GRANDKIDS. IF THEY ARE HEALTHY,
6 THAT'S GOOD. BUT I HAVE TWO KIDS WHO ARE AFFECTED,
7 AND THIS IS OUR ONLY CHANCE TO GET THIS GRANT, THIS
8 MONEY, FOR HER BECAUSE IN SIX MONTHS, HER LIFE CAN
9 CHANGE COMPLETELY. AND SIX MONTHS CAN BE TOO LATE
10 FOR HER. MY SON IS FOUR YEARS OLD. BUT THIS IS
11 CIARA. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK FOR YOU GUYS TO
12 RECONSIDERING THE GRANT FOR THE GENE THERAPY FOR
13 BATTEN DISEASE CLN6.

14 AND WE SEND LETTERS 48 HOURS AGO. I DON'T
15 KNOW IF YOU GUYS TAKE A LOOK OF ALL THE MOMS THAT WE
16 STRUGGLE WITH OUR KIDS. CIARA CANNOT SLEEP. THERE
17 IS MANY NIGHT THAT WE HAVE TO WAKE UP AT 1, 2, 3, 4
18 A.M. AND MAKE SURE. SHE NEEDS ASSISTANCE. SHE
19 WANTS TO WALK, BUT SOMETIMES SHE'S FALLING BECAUSE
20 HER BODY IS NOT RESPONDING. THIS IS HER ONLY CHANCE
21 THAT SHE CAN HAVE. THIS IS -- SHE'S NOT THE ONLY
22 ONE. WE HAVE OTHER 15, 17 KIDS WHO CAN JOIN THIS
23 GENE THERAPY. SO LIKE I SAY, IF YOU GUYS HAVE ANY
24 KIDS, GRANDKIDS, WOULD LIKE FOR YOU GUYS TO TAKE IN
25 MY PLACE AND RECONSIDER THIS GRANT. THANK YOU.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH
2 FOR YOUR COMMENT AND FOR COMING OVER FROM FREMONT.
3 SO WE'VE REACHED THE END OF OUR AGENDA.
4 I'D LIKE TO TELL THE BOARD MEMBERS THAT WE WILL
5 CONVENE OUR NEXT MEETING OF THIS BOARD IN SAN DIEGO.
6 DO NOT COME TO SAN FRANCISCO. WE'LL BE IN SAN DIEGO
7 ON THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 4TH. WE WILL MEET -- 24TH.
8 I'M SORRY. SEPTEMBER 24TH WE'LL MEET AT THE
9 MANCHESTER GRAND HYATT, AND WE WILL START AT NINE IN
10 THE MORNING. AND THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR
11 ATTENDANCE AND PARTICIPATION. THIS MEETING IS
12 ADJOURNED.

13 (THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 4:13 P.M.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE AND THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON JUNE 26, 2026, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152
133 HENNA COURT
SANDPOINT, IDAHO
(208) 920-3543