

C I R M


CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR
REGENERATIVE MEDICINE

Patient Perspective Score Proposal

GWG Reviews

*Gil Sambrano, Vice President, Portfolio Development &
Review*

May 29, 2026



To accelerate world-class science and deliver transformative regenerative medicine treatments in an equitable manner to a diverse California and the world

Purpose of Patient Perspective Score

- Provide patient advocate and nurse members of the Grants Working Group (GWG) a mechanism to formally voice their viewpoint of applications under review.
- Focus the review on application elements that are most relevant to patient advocate and nurse members.
- Enhance the role of patient advocates and nurse members on the GWG.

Overview

- Only patient advocate and nurse members of the GWG would provide this score.
- A patient perspective score would be used in funding opportunities where formal assignments are made such as Clinical (CLIN) and Preclinical (PDEV) programs, *not* Discovery (DISC).
- A specific set of review criteria focused on patient-centered elements would be used.

Review Criteria for Patient Perspective Score

- **Relevance:** Does the project address a real and significant problem for patients?
- **Patient Benefit:** If successful, would the therapy make a meaningful difference to patients?
- **Patient Centered:** Is the proposal designed with the affected patient population in mind?
- **Patient Engagement:** Is the patient perspective included and used to inform therapeutic objectives?
- **California Benefit:** How beneficial will the therapy be for people in California?



Score Methodology

Proposed Likert-like Scale

Numerical Score	Meaning
5	Exceptional patient value
4	Strong patient value
3	Moderate patient value
2	Limited patient value
1	Inadequate patient value

Application Review Subcommittee Discussion

Proposed Process

- Define the fundable pool of applications (e.g., Scientific Score of 85 and above).
- Prioritize applications with a high Scientific Score and Patient Perspective Score.
- Require discussion of any application with a high Scientific Score and a low Patient Perspective Score (2 or less) to justify funding.
- Key points from GWG discussion on any differences in score will be reflected in the review summary.

Example Scenario

APP #	BUDGET REQ	Scientific Score	Patient Score
PDEV-39145	\$9,486,864	92	5
PDEV-38641	\$12,714,480	91	4
PDEV-39200	\$10,571,220	90	2
PDEV-38500	\$13,000,000	90	4
PDEV-39123	\$7,423,504	88	3



Next Steps

Timeline and Communication Plan

	MAY	JUN	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR
CLIN	◆ Finance/ Science Mtg.	◆ ICOC/ ARS Mtg.	◆ Revised PA Posted			◆ GWG Review		◆ ICOC/ARS Mtg.			
PDEV	◆ Finance/ Science Mtg.	◆ ICOC/ ARS Mtg.	◆ Revised PA Posted			◆ Applications Due				◆ GWG Review	◆ ICOC/ARS Mtg.

Inform **applicants** through program announcements, application instructions, and consultations.
 Inform **reviewers** through orientations, review guidelines, meeting with review panel chair, etc.

• v

C I R M

Thank you!



Visit us at CIRM.ca.gov