BEFORE THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TO THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

DATE: VIA ZOOM 3:30 P.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2025-23

		_
1		
2		
3	INDEX	
4		
5	ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
6	OPEN SESSION	
7	1. CALL TO ORDER	3
8	2. ROLL CALL	3
9	CONSIDERATION OF THE EDUC8 CONCEPT PLAN	4
10	4. CONSIDERATION OF THE EDUC3	28
11	(SPARK) CONCEPT PLAN AMENDMENT	
12	5. UPDATE REGARDING THE CIRM	38
13	6. PUBLIC COMMENT	51
14	7. ADJOURNMENT	53
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	2	

	DETH G. DRAIN, GA GSR NO. 7 132
1	NOVEMBER 21, 2025; 3:30 P.M.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: WHY DON'T WE GO
4	AHEAD AND GET STARTED. SO I'D LIKE TO CALL TO ORDER
5	THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING. AND WITH THAT, IF
6	WE CAN START THE ROLL CALL, SCOTT, THAT WOULD BE
7	GREAT.
8	MR. TOCHER: OKAY. MARIA BONNEVILLE.
9	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: PRESENT.
10	MR. TOCHER: DEBORAH DEAS. MARK
11	FISCHER-COLBRIE.
12	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: HERE.
13	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
14	DR. FLOWERS: PRESENT.
15	MR. TOCHER: JUDY GASSON. VITO
16	IMBASCIANI.
17	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: HERE.
18	MR. TOCHER: PAT LEVITT.
19	DR. LEVITT: HERE.
20	MR. TOCHER: SHLOMO MELMED.
21	DR. MELMED: HERE.
22	MR. TOCHER: CAROLYN MELTZER.
23	DR. MELTZER: PRESENT.
24	MR. TOCHER: CHRIS MIASKOWSKI.
25	DR. MIASKOWSKI: PRESENT.
	3
	3

1	MR. TOCHER: SHAUNA STARK. KAROL WATSON.
2	KEITH YAMAMOTO.
3	DR. YAMAMOTO: HERE.
4	MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANKS VERY MUCH.
5	MARK, WE HAVE A QUORUM.
6	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: GREAT. THANKS,
7	SCOTT. WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO TURN IT OVER TO DAISY
8	FOR A QUICK INTRODUCTION AND FOR CONSIDERATION OF
9	THE EDUC8 CONCEPT PLAN. AND THE DISCUSSION WILL
10	TODAY COVER BOTH THE EDUC8 AND THE EDUC 3 PROGRAMS.
11	WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO TURN THAT OVER TO DAISY'S
12	CAPABLE HANDS.
13	MS. XIN: GREAT. THANKS, MARK. HI,
14	EVERYONE. MY NAME IS DAISY, AND I'M ONE OF THE
15	SCIENCE OFFICERS WITH THE DISCOVERY AND EDUCATION
16	TEAM. SO LET ME JUST SHARE MY DECK. ALL RIGHT.
17	SO I WILL BE SHARING WITH YOU TODAY ON THE
18	EDUC8 AND EDUC3 CONCEPTS. ALL RIGHT. NOW, FIRST,
19	JUST A BRIEF REMINDER THAT THE SAF GOAL 6
20	RECOMMENDATION IS TO BOLSTER CIRM'S GOAL 6 IS TO
21	BOLSTER CIRM'S WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO
22	ADDRESS GAPS AND MEET EVOLVING DEMANDS IN
23	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. AND TO DO THAT, WE'LL BE
24	PROVIDING HIGH-DEMAND TECHNICAL TRAINING VIA THE
25	BRIDGES AND COMPASS PROGRAM UPDATES AS WELL AS

1	DEVELOP PROGRAMMING TO SUPPORT OUTREACH EDUCATION
2	EFFORTS FOR K THROUGH 12 STUDENTS, TEACHERS, AND
3	COMMUNITY MEMBERS VIA COLLABORATIONS.
4	SO I'LL FIRST GO OVER THE EDUC8 CONCEPT.
5	AND WHAT YOU CAN EXPECT FROM THIS PRESENTATION IS A
6	QUICK BACKGROUND, INCLUDING THE OVERVIEW OF OUR
7	MISSION AND EDUC PROGRAMS. I'LL BE FOCUSING IN ON
8	BRIDGES AND COMPASS SPECIFICALLY AND GIVING YOU THE
9	RATIONALE THAT WE HAVE FOR EDUC8. THE REST OF THE
10	PRESENTATION WILL BE ON MORE DETAILS ON THE EDUC8
11	CONCEPT FOLLOWED BY A TIMELINE OF ROLLING OUT THE
12	PROGRAM AND A QUICK SUMMARY AND REQUEST FOR A
13	MOTION.
14	SO AS YOU ALL KNOW, CIRM'S MISSION IS
15	ACCELERATING WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE TO DELIVER
16	TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE TREATMENTS IN
17	AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND
18	WORLD.
19	AND REALLY KEY TO THIS MISSION IS
20	EDUCATION AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT AND TRAINING AND
21	IS REALLY A FOUNDATION ON THIS PYRAMID THAT
22	REPRESENTS CIRM'S INVESTMENT IN TRAINING PROGRAMS
23	ACROSS CALIFORNIA. THAT'S PREPARING THE NEXT
24	GENERATION OF SCIENTISTS, TECHNICIANS, CLINICIANS
25	WHO WILL BE THE ONES DRIVING THE REGENERATIVE
	<u>_</u>

1	MEDICINE ECOSYSTEM.
2	NOW, ON TOP OF THIS FOUNDATION ARE
3	INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS THAT WILL ENHANCE DISCOVERY
4	AS WELL AS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT THROUGH OUR
5	DISC, PDEV, AND CLIN2 PILLARS WHERE THOSE INNOVATIVE
6	DISCOVERIES ACTUALLY TRANSLATE INTO NEW THERAPIES
7	AND TREATMENTS FOR PATIENTS.
8	NOW, THIS PYRAMID IS SUPPORTED BY THE
9	EDUCATION AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS THAT
10	ARE TRAINING PROFESSIONALS WHO ARE THE ONES
11	DESIGNING THE EXPERIMENTS, MANAGING THE DATA, AND
12	PRODUCING THERAPIES, AND THE CARE FOR PATIENTS. AND
13	THIS IS WHERE CIRM'S EDUC PROGRAMS REALLY PLAY A KEY
14	ROLE IN GUIDING TRAINEES TO APPLY AND ADAPT THESE
15	LEARNED SKILLS TO TACKLE FUTURE CHALLENGES, TO
16	CONTRIBUTE VALUABLE RESEARCH AND INSIGHTS THAT DRIVE
17	SCIENTIFIC INNOVATION, AS WELL AS CONTRIBUTE VERY
18	VALUABLE PERSPECTIVES THAT ARE REFLECTING THE
19	BREADTH OF THE CALIFORNIA COMMUNITIES THAT THEY COME
20	FROM.
21	IN MANY WAYS THEY'RE ALSO GIVING BACK TO
22	THEIR COMMUNITIES WITH THEIR KNOWLEDGE. AND IN
23	PARTICULAR, OUR PROGRAMS ALSO EMPHASIZE PATIENT
24	ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNITY OUTREACH SKILLS. AND ALL
25	OF THAT TOGETHER REALLY PROVIDE A HOLISTIC TRAINING

1	THAT DRIVE THAT HOME.
2	SO ONE QUOTE THAT I REALLY LIKE FROM ONE
3	OF OUR RECENT BLOG POSTS ON OUR BLOG, THE STEM
4	CELLAR, I THINK REALLY SPEAK TO THIS MESSAGE. AND
5	THAT'S THAT EDUCATION PROGRAMS BUILD THE
6	BIOTECHNOLOGY WORKFORCE THAT'S NEEDED TO GENERATE
7	NEW CURES.
8	NOW, CIRM'S CURRENT PORTFOLIO OF TRAINING
9	PROGRAMS SPAN A RANGE OF TRAINING LEVELS FOR
10	STUDENTS STARTING FROM HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS ALL THE
11	WAY TO GRADUATE, POST-DOC, FELLOWS AND CLINICAL
12	FELLOWS. AT THE BOTTOM HERE WE FIRST HAVE SPARK
13	WHICH IS OUR PROGRAM THAT PROVIDES SUMMER INTERNSHIP
14	OPPORTUNITIES FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS. WE
15	CURRENTLY HAVE 11 ACTIVE PROGRAMS THROUGHOUT THE
16	STATE. AND SINCE 2012 WE'VE TRAINED OVER 950
17	STUDENTS.
18	NEXT WE HAVE OUR COMPASS PROGRAM, WHICH IS
19	OUR NEWEST PROGRAM, ACTIVE SINCE 2023. AND WE'VE SO
20	FAR TRAINED OVER 300 STUDENTS. THERE'S 54 PERCENT
21	OF COMPASS STUDENTS WHO ARE FIRST-GENERATION COLLEGE
22	STUDENTS. AND THIS IS A PROGRAM THAT IS TARGETED AT
23	TRAINEES WHO ARE PURSUING A BACHELOR'S DEGREE. AND
24	TYPICALLY THESE ARE TRAINEES WHO ARE EARLIER STAGE
25	WHO MAYBE ARE CURIOUS ABOUT BIOLOGY, BUT NOT QUITE
	7
	<i>I</i>

1	SURE OF ALL THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF OPPORTUNITIES
2	THAT ARE AVAILABLE IN THE STEM CELL FIELD. SO THIS
3	PROGRAM IS REALLY GUIDING THOSE STUDENTS TO EXPLORE
4	DIFFERENT TYPES OF OPPORTUNITIES AND CAREERS IN THE
5	FIELD.
6	WE ALSO HAVE OUR BRIDGES PROGRAM, WHICH IS
7	OUR LONGEST RUNNING PROGRAM SINCE 2009. WE'VE
8	TRAINED OVER 2,000 STUDENTS, AND 47 PERCENT OF
9	BRIDGES STUDENTS ARE FIRST-GENERATION COLLEGE
10	STUDENTS. WE HAVE 15 ACTIVE PROGRAMS RIGHT NOW
11	SPREAD OUT IN DIFFERENT CAL STATE UNIVERSITIES AND
12	COMMUNITY COLLEGES THROUGHOUT CALIFORNIA. AND THE
13	BRIDGES PROGRAM IS OFFERING A LONG ONE-YEAR
14	INTERNSHIP, SIX- TO 12-MONTH INTERNSHIP REALLY, FOR
15	STUDENTS WHO ARE MORE COMMITTED TO THE STEM CELL AND
16	BIOLOGY FIELDS. AND THOSE ARE STUDENTS THAT
17	TYPICALLY ARE READY TO JUMPSTART THEIR CAREERS UPON
18	COMPLETION OF THE PROGRAM. AND THIS PROGRAM IS
19	TYPICALLY INTEGRATED INTO ASSOCIATE DEGREES,
20	BACHELOR'S, AND MASTER'S DEGREE PROGRAMS AT
21	INSTITUTIONS.
22	AND LAST BUT NOT LEAST, WE HAVE OUR EDUC4
23	PROGRAM ALSO KNOWN AS SCHOLARS. THERE ARE 18 ACTIVE
24	PROGRAMS ACROSS CALIFORNIA, AND WE'VE TRAINED 1300
25	STUDENTS, OVER 1300 STUDENTS SINCE 2007. AND THIS

1	PROGRAM SUPPORTS GRADUATE STUDENTS, POSTDOCTORAL
2	FELLOWS, AND CLINICAL FELLOWS.
3	AND THE GOAL OF ALL OF OUR PROGRAMS REALLY
4	IS TO BUILD A SKILLED WORKFORCE CAPABLE OF MEETING
5	THE EVOLVING DEMANDS IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. BUT
6	TODAY I'LL BE FOCUSING ON THE BRIDGES AND COMPASS
7	PROGRAMS IN PARTICULAR. AS I MENTIONED, BOTH
8	PROGRAMS TRAIN UNDERGRADUATE AND BRIDGES TRAINEES,
9	MASTER'S STUDENTS AS WELL. THE CURRENT AWARDS WE
10	HAVE SPAN CALIFORNIA, AND THERE ARE ACTUALLY SIX
11	INSTITUTIONS THAT HAVE BOTH BRIDGES AND COMPASS
12	PROGRAMS, AND I'LL COME BACK TO THAT LATER.
13	THE MAJORITY OF TRAINEES THAT WE SEE THAT
14	GO THROUGH OUR BRIDGES AND COMPASS PROGRAMS REMAIN
15	IN STEM FIELDS. SO A NOTE HERE THAT THESE ARE
16	SPECIFIC ALUMNI OUTCOMES SPECIFIC TO THE BRIDGES
17	PROGRAM. SINCE COMPASS IS STILL QUITE NEW, WE DON'T
18	HAVE A LOT OF ALUMNI INFORMATION JUST YET. BUT AS
19	BRIDGES HAS BEEN RUNNING SINCE 2009, WE HAVE QUITE A
20	BIT OF ALUMNI OUTCOME DATA. AND WE SEE THAT 83
21	PERCENT OF STUDENTS ACTUALLY REMAIN IN STEM FIELDS.
22	ABOUT 37 PERCENT OF THEM GO ON TO DO ACADEMIC
23	RESEARCH OF SOME KIND. 31 PERCENT GO INTO THE
24	BIOTECH INDUSTRY, AND ABOUT 24 PERCENT CONTINUE TO
25	PURSUE HIGHER EDUCATION.

1	NOW, WITH BOTH BRIDGES AND COMPASS, OUR
2	TRAINEES ALSO HELP GROW AND PARTICIPATE IN THE
3	WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT NETWORK OVERALL. AND THROUGH
4	THESE PROGRAMS WE'VE SEEN OVER 90 INTERNSHIP HOST
5	SITES AND LABS THAT SUPPORT AND GUIDE OUR STUDENTS,
6	49 OF WHICH ARE BIOTECH INDUSTRY HOSTS. AND
7	ALTOGETHER SO FAR WE HAVE HAD OVER 800 MENTORS
8	HELPING MENTOR AND GUIDE THESE STUDENTS THROUGH
9	THESE PROGRAMS.
10	NOW, TRAINEES ARE ALSO MAKING AN IMPACT
11	ALONG THE ENTIRE PIPELINE FROM DISCOVERY ALL THE WAY
12	TO CLINICAL AND ACTUALLY INNERVATING AND EXPANDING
13	THE FIELD IN MANY WAYS. WITH BOTH BRIDGES AND
14	COMPASS, WE HAVE A LOT OF GREAT ANECDOTES. SO WE'VE
15	HEARD FROM MENTORS OVER THE YEARS. THIS ONE IN
16	PARTICULAR IS FROM KATHY IVEY WHO IS A VP AT TENAYA
17	AND ACTUALLY HERSELF IS A CIRM SCHOLAR ALUM. SO
18	THAT'S REALLY COOL. BUT SHE SHARED WITH US THAT A
19	TRAINEE HELPED SEED THEIR HISTOLOGY CORE AND GET IT
20	OFF THE GROUND.
21	WE'VE ALSO HEARD FROM OTHER PI'S. THIS IS
22	FROM IT KAREN ABOODY, WHO IS A PI AT CITY OF HOPE,
23	SHARED WITH US THAT TRAININGS HAVE IMPACTED THE
24	DESIGN OF THEIR CLINICAL PROTOCOL AND PROGRESS FOR
25	IND SUBMISSION.

1	NOW, OVERALL WITH THESE PROGRAMS, WE'VE
2	SEEN OVER 500 PUBLICATIONS IN PEER REVIEW JOURNALS
3	THAT WERE ENABLED THROUGH THIS TRAINING. THIS IS
4	REPORTED TO US BY PROGRAM DIRECTORS IN THEIR ANNUAL
5	REPORT TO CIRM.
6	NOW, THE IMPACT OF THESE PROGRAMS ARE
7	REALLY GREAT AND CONTINUING THEM IS ESSENTIAL. AS
8	MANY OF YOU MAY KNOW, THE CURRENT BRIDGES PROGRAM IS
9	EXPIRING IN THE FALL OF 2026, AND COMPASS WILL BE
10	EXPIRING A YEAR AFTER THAT. AND SUSTAINING THESE
11	PROGRAMS REALLY IS CRUCIAL TO ACHIEVING CIRM'S
12	MISSION. AT THIS TIME OF FUNDING INSTABILITY
13	ESPECIALLY, SUPPORT IS VERY CRITICAL.
14	SO WE ARE PROPOSING TO RELAUNCH THESE
15	PROGRAMS WITH SOME UPDATES BASED ON THE EXPERIENCE
16	WE'VE HAD MANAGING THESE PROGRAMS.
17	WE WANT TO PRESERVE PROGRAM CONTINUITY IN
18	ORDER TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURES
19	THAT ARE ALREADY IN PLACE, TO BE ABLE TO RESPOND TO
20	FUNDING CHALLENGES AS WELL, AND TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY
21	TO IMPLEMENT SOME IMPROVEMENTS BASED ON THE FEEDBACK
22	WE'VE GOTTEN OVER THE PAST 15 YEARS.
23	SOME KEY INSIGHTS THAT WE'VE GOTTEN FROM
24	ACTIVE BRIDGES AND COMPASS PROGRAMS SO FAR IS THAT
25	THERE ARE EVER EVOLVING DEMANDS OF THE FIELD,

1	ESPECIALLY IN THE CURRENT FUNDING CLIMATE. AND SO
2	WE'LL BE OFFERING AN EXPANDED ARRAY OF INTERNSHIP
3	OPPORTUNITIES AS WELL AS ENCOURAGING DEVELOPMENT OF
4	HYBRID AND MULTIDISCIPLINARY SKILLSETS FOR STUDENTS.
5	AS I MENTIONED BRIEFLY BEFORE, THAT THERE
6	ARE CURRENTLY SIX INSTITUTIONS THAT HAVE BOTH THE
7	BRIDGES AND COMPASS PROGRAMS. AND SO THERE'S SOME
8	OPPORTUNITIES HERE TO HELP INCREASE EFFICIENCIES FOR
9	THESE EXISTING PROGRAMS AND OPTIMIZE THAT TRAINING
10	EXPERIENCE. THERE'S ALSO AN OPPORTUNITY TO
11	INTEGRATE WITH CIRM'S INFRASTRUCTURE AND SCIENTIFIC
12	PROGRAMS AS WELL.
13	AND FINALLY, BASED ON SOME FEEDBACK WE'VE
14	GOTTEN FROM AWARDEES OVER THE YEARS, THERE'S SOME
15	OPPORTUNITIES TO NOT ONLY MAINTAIN THE CURRENT
16	PROGRAMS, BUT ALSO LEVERAGE EXISTING SYNERGIES.
17	THERE WILL BE AREAS THAT WE CAN IMPLEMENT ADDITIONAL
18	FLEXIBILITIES AND ALSO WAYS THAT WE CAN SIMPLIFY
19	ADMINISTRATIVE BURDENS FOR BOTH THE AWARDEE
20	INSTITUTION AS WELL AS CIRM INTERNAL MANAGEMENT OF
21	THESE PROGRAMS.
22	AND SO THAT BRINGS ME TO THE EDUC8
23	UMBRELLA PROGRAM THAT WE ARE INTRODUCING TO YOU
24	TODAY. SO EDUC8 IS AN UMBRELLA MECHANISM TO
25	SUPPORT, UPDATE, AND IMPROVE THE BRIDGES AND COMPASS

1	PROGRAMS. AND THERE ARE THREE DIFFERENT PATHS THAT
2	AN INSTITUTION, AN APPLICANT CAN TAKE. SO THE
3	COMPASS, BRIDGES, OR DUAL PATH, WHICH I WILL EXPLAIN
4	IN DETAIL IN A COUPLE MORE SLIDES.
5	THE OBJECTIVE OF EDUC8 IS TO PREPARE
6	UNDERGRADUATE THROUGH MASTER'S LEVEL STUDENTS FOR
7	CAREERS IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE BY IDENTIFYING AND
8	SUPPORTING UNTAPPED TALENT AND DEVELOPING
9	WELL-TRAINED, ADAPTABLE, AND COMMITTED PROFESSIONALS
10	FOR THE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE WORKFORCE.
11	THE APPROACH EDUC8 TAKES WILL BE FAMILIAR
12	TO MANY. SIMILAR TO COMPASS AND BRIDGES RIGHT NOW,
13	PROGRAMS WILL BE INTEGRATED INTO AN INSTITUTION'S
14	SPECIFIC DEGREE OR CERTIFICATE PROGRAM. THERE WILL
15	BE STRUCTURED MENTORSHIP AND PROFESSIONAL GUIDANCE.
16	SO TRAINEES WILL BE PARTICIPATING IN VERY SPECIFIC
17	COURSEWORK, VERY STRUCTURED MENTORING, AND
18	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT GUIDANCE.
19	OF COURSE, KEY TO THESE PROGRAMS IS THE
20	HANDS-ON EXPERIENCE IN THE FORM OF PAID INTERNSHIPS,
21	ACTIVITIES IN PATIENT AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, AS
22	WELL AS CIRM CONFERENCE ATTENDANCE.
23	NOW, THE HIGH LEVEL GOAL HERE IS PROMOTE
24	EXPLORATION AND EXPAND ACCESS TO CAREERS IN
25	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE FOR TRAINEES WHO ARE CURIOUS

1	ABOUT BIOLOGY AND WANT TO EXPLORE THE OPPORTUNITIES
2	IN THESE FIELDS. ALSO IT'S TO PROMOTE EFFICIENT
3	TRANSITION TO CAREERS IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE FOR
4	TRAINEES WHO ARE MORE COMMITTED TO A CERTAIN FIELD
5	AND WANT TO DIVERT AND TO START THEIR CAREERS.
6	OKAY. SO WHAT WE ARE KEEPING WITH EDUC8,
7	THESE ARE SOME REQUIRED ELEMENTS AND ACTIVITIES THAT
8	ARE IN THE CURRENT BRIDGES AND COMPASS PROGRAMS THAT
9	ARE WORKING GREAT. TRAINEE ACTIVITIES, TRAINEES
10	WILL STILL BE PARTICIPATING IN PATIENT/HEALTHCARE
11	ENGAGEMENT, COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND EDUCATION. AND
12	WE ARE NOW REQUIRING SCIENCE COMMUNICATION TO THE
13	PUBLIC AS AN ADDITIONAL WORKSHOP OR COURSE.
14	MENTORING AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AS WELL AS
15	PARTICIPATION IN A CIRM-RELATED TRAINEE CONFERENCE.
16	SOME ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITIES THAT WE ARE KEEPING
17	ARE THE INNOVATIVE AND STRATEGIC TRAINING
18	RECRUITMENT PLANS, ALUMNI TRACKING AND ENGAGEMENT,
19	MENTOR TRAINING, AND BEST PRACTICES, AS WELL AS
20	REQUIRED KNOWLEDGE AND RESOURCE SHARING BETWEEN
21	INSTITUTIONS AS WELL AS TO CIRM.
22	SOME OF THE ELEMENTS THAT WE ARE ADDING TO
23	EDUC8, SO FIRST, AS I MENTIONED, AN EXPANDED SCOPE
24	OF TYPES OF INTERNSHIPS. THIS IS CERTAINLY NOT A
25	COMPREHENSIVE LIST OF EXAMPLES, BUT SOME THESE

1	EXAMPLES LISTED HERE WOULD BE PROJECT MANAGEMENT,
2	REGULATORY OR MANUFACTURING DESIGNS, PROCESS
3	DEVELOPMENT, DATA SCIENCE, AND COMPUTATIONAL
4	BIOLOGY.
5	WE'LL BE INSTILLING KEY SKILLSETS AND
6	COMPETENCIES, AND SOME OF THESE EXAMPLES LISTED HERE
7	INCLUDE TIME MANAGEMENT, PUBLIC SCIENTIFIC
8	COMMUNICATION, DATA SHARING, BIOETHICS, AND RESEARCH
9	ETHICS AS WELL.
10	WE'LL BE LEVERAGING INTER-PROGRAM
11	EFFICIENCIES. SO FOR INSTITUTIONS WHERE THIS IS
12	APPLICABLE, SOME EXAMPLES INCLUDE HAVING JOINT
13	ACTIVITIES FOR EARLY AND LATE STAGE TRAINING AND
14	OPPORTUNITIES FOR COLLABORATION WITH CIRM'S
15	INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS, SUCH AS THE ALPHA CLINICS,
16	COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE, AND SHARED
17	RESOURCE LABS.
18	FINALLY, ADMINISTRATIVE EFFICIENCIES. SO
19	SOME EXAMPLES HERE ARE THAT UNDER THIS UMBRELLA
20	MECHANISM THERE WILL BE MORE UNIFIED GUIDANCE,
21	FASTER PROGRAM EXECUTION, AND LOWER ADMINISTRATIVE
22	BURDEN FOR BOTH THE AWARDEES AS WELL AS FOR CIRM'S
23	MANAGEMENT OF THESE PROGRAMS.
24	OKAY. SO THE OVERVIEW OF WHAT THE EDUC8
25	STRUCTURE LOOKS LIKE, IT IS MEANT TO ENABLE

1	CONTINUOUS SUPPORT FOR BRIDGES AND COMPASS PROGRAMS
2	WITH AN ADDED OPPORTUNITY TO CREATE A FLEXIBLE AND
3	ACCELERATED PATH DEPENDING ON THE APPLICANT
4	INSTITUTION PROPOSAL. SO ON THE LEFT SOME OF THE
5	THINGS THAT AN APPLICANT MIGHT CONSIDER IS THE
6	TRAINEE TARGET. SO THIS WOULD BE THE EDUCATION
7	LEVEL AND THE TRAINING NEEDS OF THE TRAINEE
8	POPULATION. AND, OF COURSE, THE INSTITUTION'S
9	AVAILABLE RESOURCES AND ASSETS. AND ALL OF THAT
10	TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION WILL HELP DETERMINE THE
11	PATH THAT ONE CAN TAKE UNDER THE EDUC8 MECHANISM.
12	SO ON THE RIGHT YOU'LL SEE THREE PATHS:
13	COMPASS, BRIDGES, AND A DUAL PATH. I'LL EXPLAIN IN
14	THE NEXT SLIDE WHAT THAT LOOKS LIKE.
15	OKAY. SO FOR COMPASS, COMPASS WILL
16	REMAIN WE'LL STILL BE SUPPORTING EARLY STAGE,
17	UNTAPPED TALENT. SO THIS REALLY IS TARGETED TO
18	STUDENTS WHO ARE CURIOUS ABOUT BIOLOGY BUT DON'T
19	QUITE KNOW WHAT THE OPPORTUNITIES THERE ARE. THESE
20	WILL BE TWO- TO THREE-MONTH INTERNSHIPS OVER
21	MULTIYEAR APPOINTMENTS, AND APPLICANT INSTITUTIONS
22	WILL BE THOSE WITH BACHELOR'S PROGRAMS.
23	THE BRIDGES PATH WILL CONTINUE TO SUPPORT
24	LATE STAGE, MORE CAREER-READY TRAINEES. THESE
25	TYPICALLY ARE TRAINEES WHO KNOW THEY WANT TO BE IN

1	THE STEM AND BIOLOGY SPACE AND WANT TO GET SOME
2	EXPERIENCE IN ORDER TO JUMPSTART THEIR CAREERS UPON
3	GRADUATION. THESE TYPICALLY ARE SIX- TO 12-MONTH
4	INTERNSHIPS THAT ARE HELD EXTERNAL TO THE HOST
5	INSTITUTION. AND APPLICANT INSTITUTIONS ARE THOSE
6	WITHOUT A CIRM MAJOR FACILITY OR REGENERATIVE
7	MEDICINE RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE. SO THESE WOULD BE
8	CAL STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COMMUNITY COLLEGES.
9	NOW, THE DUAL PATH PROGRAM IS AN ADDED
10	OPPORTUNITY THAT CAN POTENTIALLY SUPPORT OR THAT CAN
11	SUPPORT, NOT POTENTIALLY, BOTH COMPASS AND BRIDGES
12	TYPE TRAINEES. BUT THERE IS A POTENTIAL HERE FOR
13	TRAINEES TO TRANSITION FROM A COMPASS TO BRIDGES
14	TYPE PROGRAM UNDER ONE MECHANISM. AS I MENTIONED
15	BEFORE, THE SIX INSTITUTIONS THAT CURRENTLY SUPPORT
16	BOTH COMPASS AND BRIDGES PROGRAMS, DEPENDING ON THE
17	SPECIFICS OF THE APPLICANT PROPOSAL, THERE COULD BE
18	AN OPPORTUNITY HERE TO STREAMLINE ANY DESIRE TO HAVE
19	TRAINEES START IN A COMPASS TYPE PROGRAM AND GO ON
20	INTO A BRIDGES TYPE PROGRAM WHERE THEY'RE MORE
21	TRANSITIONING TO A JOB-READY POSITION.
22	OKAY. THIS IS WHAT THE MAXIMUM AWARD
23	BUDGETS LOOK LIKE BASED ON CHOSEN PATH. SO ON THE
24	LEFT WE'RE LOOKING AT TOTAL AWARD COSTS OVER FIVE
25	YEARS OF THE GRANT. AND THE COLUMNS ARE BROKEN DOWN

1	BY PATH. SO BRIDGES PATH, COMPASS PATH, AND DUAL
2	PATH. AND THESE NUMBERS ARE BASED ON A MAXIMUM OF
3	TEN TRAINEES PER YEAR OVER FIVE YEARS. NOW, THE
4	DIRECT COSTS FOR THE BRIDGES PATH WILL BE ABOUT 4.2
5	MILLION, FOR COMPASS JUST UNDER 3 MILLION, AND FOR
6	THE DUAL PATH ABOUT 6.4 MILLION. WITH OVERHEAD, THE
7	TOTAL AWARD AMOUNT FOR THE BRIDGES PATH COMES OUT TO
8	ABOUT 4.6 MILLION, COMPASS ABOUT 3.2 MILLION, AND
9	DUAL PATH ABOUT 6.9 MILLION.
10	THE PER TRAINEE INVESTMENT PER YEAR, AND
11	THIS IS LOOKING AT A MAXIMUM OF TEN TRAINEES PER
12	YEAR. KEEP IN MIND THAT, DEPENDING ON THE APPLICANT
13	PROPOSAL, THEY MAY NOT HIT THAT MAXIMUM OF TEN
14	BECAUSE IT'S JUST BASED ON THE PROJECTION OF A MAX
15	OF TEN TRAINEES PER YEAR. FOR BRIDGES, IT WOULD BE
16	91,000, COMPASS ABOUT 64,000, AND THE DUAL PATH,
17	DEPENDING ON THE PROPOSAL, WILL FALL SOMEWHERE
18	BETWEEN THOSE TWO RANGES. AND ALL OF THIS IS BASED
19	ON OUR GRANTS MANAGEMENT TEAM ANALYSIS OF TRUE
20	CATEGORICAL EXPENDITURE GROWTH AND CUMULATIVE CPI
21	PERCENT INCREASE SINCE 2021. AND THERE IS A
22	20-PERCENT INCREASE HERE FOR THE EDUC8 BUDGET
23	COMPARED TO THE CURRENT BRIDGES AND COMPASS AWARDS.
24	ALL RIGHT. SO THE TEAM AND INSTITUTION
25	ELIGIBILITY FOR EDUC8 LOOKS LIKE THIS. FIRST, FOR

1	THE BRIDGES PATH, CAL STATE UNIVERSITIES AND
2	COMMUNITY COLLEGES WITH AN ACCREDITED CERTIFICATE,
3	UNDERGRADUATE, OR MASTER'S PROGRAMS IN A
4	BIOLOGY-RELEVANT DISCIPLINE. FOR THE COMPASS PATH,
5	ANY CALIFORNIA ACADEMIC INSTITUTION WITH AN
6	ACCREDITED BACHELOR'S DEGREE PROGRAM. WE ARE
7	REQUIRING THAT THE PROGRAM DIRECTOR MUST COMMIT AT
8	LEAST 5 PERCENT EFFORT. AND THE REQUIRED EXPERTISE
9	IS IN OUTREACH AND RECRUITMENT AS WELL AS
LO	MENTORSHIP.
L1	FOR DUAL PATH PROGRAMS, WE WILL BE
L2	REQUIRING A CO-DIRECTOR WHO MUST ALSO COMMIT AT
L3	LEAST 5 PERCENT EFFORT. AND THIS REALLY IS TO
L4	ENSURE THAT DUAL PATH PROGRAMS ARE ABLE TO SMOOTHLY
L5	RETAIN BOTH ELEMENTS OF TRAINEE TYPES AND
L6	TRAINEE-TYPE ACTIVITIES. EACH INSTITUTION OR
L7	APPLICANT MAY ONLY APPLY FOR ONE EDUC8 AWARD PER
L8	CYCLE. AND WHAT I MEAN BY THAT IS WE ARE PROPOSING
L9	TO RUN EDUC8 IN TWO CYCLES, ONE IN 2026 WHICH WILL
20	COVER THE OUTGOING BRIDGES PROGRAMS, AND AGAIN IN
21	2027 WHICH WILL COVER THE OUTGOING COMPASS PROGRAMS.
22	AND TWO CYCLES REALLY WILL CAPTURE UPDATES AND
23	IMPROVEMENTS FOR THESE EXISTING BRIDGES AND COMPASS
24	PROGRAMS, BUT ALSO PROVIDE A LONGER RUNWAY FOR ANY
25	NEW APPLICANT PROGRAMS TO COME IN.

1	FINALLY, THERE'S ALSO A POSSIBILITY HAVING
2	TWO CYCLES WILL GIVE THE POSSIBILITY OF RESUBMISSION
3	FOR PROGRAMS THAT NEED IMPROVEMENT.
4	AND IN BREAKING DOWN, WE'RE LOOKING CLOSER
5	AT ONE CYCLE TIMELINE. PENDING BOARD APPROVAL IN
6	DECEMBER, APPLICATIONS WILL BE AVAILABLE EARLY
7	MARCH, DUE IN EARLY APRIL, THE APPLICATIONS WILL GO
8	TO THE GWG FOR REVIEW, AND AWARD CONTRACTING WILL
9	TAKE PLACE IN THE FALL OF 2026 FOR CYCLE ONE.
10	ALL RIGHT. SO IN SUMMARY, THE EDUC8
11	UMBRELLA PROGRAM WILL OFFER THREE DIFFERENT TYPES OF
12	PATHS: COMPASS TYPE, BRIDGES, OR DUAL PATH TRAINING
13	PROGRAM. IT WILL BE ANNUAL FOR TWO CYCLES IN 2026
14	AND 27. THE DURATION OF THE AWARD IS FIVE YEARS.
15	AND THE APPLICANTS ARE CALIFORNIA UNDERGRADUATE AND
16	MASTER'S INSTITUTIONS. THE LEADERSHIP AND EXPERTISE
17	REQUIRED IS A PROGRAM DIRECTOR AND MENTORSHIP AND
18	OUTREACH FACILITATORS. AND FOR DUAL PATH PROGRAMS,
19	A REQUIREMENT FOR A CO-DIRECTOR AS WELL. THE MAX
20	AWARD COST FOR BRIDGES PATH IS UP TO 4.6 MILLION,
21	FOR COMPASS UP TO 3.2 MILLION, AND FOR A DUAL PATH
22	UP TO 6.9 MILLION. THE NUMBER OF AWARDS PER YEAR
23	WILL BE 15 TO 18 AWARDS PER CYCLE. AND WE'RE
24	ANTICIPATING 1500 TO 2500 TRAINEES TO GO THROUGH
25	THESE PROGRAMS. THE MAX PROJECTION THAT WE'RE
	20

1	SEEING IS 99 MILLION PER CYCLE.
2	ALL RIGHT. SO THAT BRINGS ME TO THIS. WE
3	ARE REQUESTING THAT THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE
4	RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED EDUC8 TRAINING
5	PROGRAM TO THE ICOC WITH AN ALLOCATION OF 198
6	MILLION TO SUPPORT UP TO 36 AWARDS OVER TWO FUNDING
7	CYCLES. THIS WILL BE MAX 99 MILLION PER CYCLE. AND
8	IF THERE ARE UNSPENT FUNDS FROM CYCLE ONE, TO ROLL
9	OVER TO CYCLE TWO. WE'RE EXPECTING 1500 TO 2500
10	TRAINEES TO GO THROUGH THESE PROGRAMS. AND ABOUT
11	3.2 MILLION TO 6.9 MILLION PER INDIVIDUAL AWARD.
12	THAT IS WHAT I HAVE FOR EDUC8, AND I'M
13	HAPPY TO TAKE ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS BEFORE I
14	JUMP INTO EDUC3.
15	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: WITH THAT, I
16	THINK WE SHOULD ASK IF THERE'S ANY IF WE CAN GET
17	THIS APPROVED FOR A NOMINATION AND SECONDING.
18	ANYBODY?
19	VICVE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SO MOVED.
20	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: WITH THAT,
21	LET'S OPEN UP FOR DISCUSSION AMONGST THE COMMITTEE
22	AND THE TEAM.
23	MR. TOCHER: EXCUSE ME, MARK. I DON'T
24	BELIEVE I HEARD A SECOND.
25	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I SECOND.

1	MR. TOCHER: AND WHO WAS THE MAKER?
2	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MARIA.
3	MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU, MARK.
4	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: THANK YOU.
5	WITH THAT, LET'S OPEN UP FOR QUESTIONS AND
6	DISCUSSION. I CAN'T SEE NECESSARILY ALL THE NAMES
7	THAT MIGHT HAVE THEIR HANDS RAISED. SO IF SOMEONE
8	CAN CLAUDETTE, IF YOU CAN TELL ME IF SOMEBODY'S
9	GOT THEIR HAND RAISED, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.
10	MR. TOCHER: WE DON'T SEE ANY HANDS AT THE
11	MOMENT.
12	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: NO QUESTIONS
13	FROM THE SCIENCE COMMITTEE? OKAY. WITH THAT,
14	QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC OR COMMENTS.
15	MS. MANDAC: FOR ALL MEMBERS OF THE
16	PUBLIC, YOU WILL EACH HAVE THREE MINUTES TO SPEAK.
17	WE DO KEEP TIME. AND WE WILL MUTE YOU AS SOON AS
18	THE THREE MINUTES IS UP. SO THE CLOCK STARTS NOW.
19	DR. BHATNAGA: SO THIS IS PARIJAT
20	BHATNAGA. I HAVE A QUESTION OR COMMENT REGARDING
21	THE EDUC8 PROGRAM AND THE ELIGIBILITY INSTITUTES.
22	IT WAS MENTIONED THAT ELIGIBLE INSTITUTES ARE THE
23	INSTITUTES OF HIGHER EDUCATION FOR THE BRIDGES AND
24	COMPASS. AND AT SOME POINT IT WAS ALSO MENTIONED
25	THAT BRIDGES IS ALSO THERE ARE CERTAIN ASPECTS
	22

1	THAT MAY NOT BE REQUIRED FOR, FOR EXAMPLE, TO BRIDGE
2	THE STUDENTS WHO MIGHT BE GETTING THE EXPERTISE AND
3	BRIDGING THEM FOR A JOB IN INDUSTRY OR SOMETHING
4	LIKE THAT.
5	SO IS THERE A REASON WHY AN INSTITUTE OF
6	HIGHER EDUCATION IS REQUIRED? ONLY THE ELIGIBLE
7	INSTITUTES ARE THE INSTITUTES OF HIGHER EDUCATION
8	AND NOT NECESSARILY ANOTHER PLACE LIKE MAYBE ANOTHER
9	NONPROFIT OR ANOTHER INDUSTRY THAT DO NOT EDUCATE,
10	DO NOT PROVIDE AN EDUCATION, BUT PROVIDE AN
11	OPPORTUNITY FOR THE STUDENTS TO GET THE TRAINING?
12	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: OKAY. DAISY,
13	DO YOU WANT TO TAKE THAT QUESTION?
14	MS. XIN: YEAH. SO THE ELIGIBILITY IS FOR
15	CALIFORNIA-BASED INSTITUTIONS OR NONPROFIT
16	INSTITUTIONS LET ME JUST PAUSE MY SCREEN. ONE
17	SECOND. SORRY ABOUT THAT. I'M HAVING SOME
18	TECHNICAL ISSUES OVER HERE. I DON'T KNOW, KELLY, DO
19	YOU WANT TO QUICKLY ANSWER HIS QUESTION WHILE I'M
20	FIGURING OUT THE SCREEN?
21	DR. SHEPARD: I CAN STEP IN. SURE. SO
22	THE BRIDGES PROGRAM IS TARGETED AT CAL STATE
23	UNIVERSITIES AND COMMUNITY COLLEGES WHICH CAN
24	INCLUDE JUNIOR COLLEGES. BUT WHAT'S REQUIRED IS
25	THAT THERE IS A CERTIFICATE PROGRAM OR SOME KIND OF

1	DEGREE PROGRAM, FOR EXAMPLE, A BACHELOR'S,
2	ASSOCIATE, OR MASTER'S, THAT THE BRIDGES TRAINING
3	CAN BE INTEGRATED WITHIN. SO THAT MEANS THERE'S
4	ALREADY A BIOLOGY CERTIFICATE OR DEGREE PROGRAM.
5	AND THEN THE BRIDGES PROGRAM PROVIDES FUNDING TO
6	SUPPORT THE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE COMPONENTS, AND IT
7	SUPPORTS THE PATIENT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES, BUT MOST
8	IMPORTANTLY, IT SUPPORTS A PAID INTERNSHIP WHICH IN
9	THE BRIDGES PROGRAM HAS TO BE EXTERNAL TO THE
LO	INSTITUTION BECAUSE CAL STATE UNIVERSITIES AND
L1	COMMUNITY COLLEGES DON'T HAVE HIGHLY FUNDED FEDERAL
L2	RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE OR CIRM-FUNDED RESEARCH
L3	INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT THE MOST CUTTING-EDGE GENE
L4	THERAPY AND STEM CELL TREATMENTS AND BIOLOGY.
L5	SO THE BRIDGES PROGRAM PROVIDES A BRIDGE
L6	OR OPPORTUNITY TO CONNECT THOSE STUDENTS IN THESE
L7	OTHER PLACES TO THESE WORLD-CLASS LABS WHICH ARE AT
L8	THE MAJOR RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS AROUND THE STATE,
L9	INCLUDING SOME OF THOSE WITH MEDICAL SCHOOLS. SO
20	THAT IS WHY BRIDGES PROGRAMS ARE TARGETED TO THOSE
21	INSTITUTIONS.
22	COMPASS PROGRAMS ARE TARGETED TO ANY
23	INSTITUTION THAT HAS AN ACCREDITED BACHELOR'S DEGREE
24	PROGRAM. AND THAT'S BECAUSE THAT PROGRAM IS REALLY
25	TRYING TO TARGET STUDENTS WHO HAVE AN APTITUDE AND

1	INTEREST IN SCIENCE BUT MAY NOT HAVE HAD THE
2	RESOURCES IN ORDER TO KNOW OF ALL THE POSSIBLE
3	CAREERS THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE TO THEM AND MAY NOT
4	HAVE HAD EXPOSURE TO AN ENVIRONMENT THAT CAN PROVIDE
5	THOSE SKILLSETS. AND SO THOSE THAT IS WHY WE
6	REQUIRE A BACHELOR'S PROGRAM AT THOSE INSTITUTIONS.
7	BUT COMPASS PROGRAMS ALSO HAVE TO BE ABLE
8	TO PROVIDE KIND OF THE WORLD-CLASS RESEARCH TRAINING
9	OPPORTUNITY FOR INTERNSHIPS AS WELL, WHICH ARE FOUND
10	IN THOSE MAJOR UNIVERSITIES THAT OFFER PH.D.
11	PROGRAMS AND HAVE MEDICAL SCHOOLS. SO THEY DON'T
12	NECESSARILY HAVE TO GO SOMEWHERE ELSE TO DO THEIR
13	INTERNSHIP, WHICH IS WHAT YOU DO HAVE TO DO WITH A
14	BRIDGES GRANT.
15	DID THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?
16	DR. BHATNAGA: MAYBE I CAN ASK A MORE
17	DIRECT QUESTION ON THE ELIGIBILITY. IT DOES ANSWER
18	THE QUESTION, BUT IT DOESN'T VERIFY THE INTENTION
19	HOW THE REASONING BEHIND CREATION OF THESE
20	PROGRAMS. SO JUST LIKE THE MORE DIRECT QUESTION IS
21	THAT I HAVE STARTED A NONPROFIT RESEARCH
22	ORGANIZATION, AND I HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY FUNDED BY
23	NIH AND DARPA FOR LAST TEN YEARS, AND I HAVE
24	PUBLISHED IN WORLD-CLASS JOURNALS. AND SINCE THE
25	NONPROFIT IS NEW, I DON'T HAVE THE FUNDS TO I'M

1	NOT AFFILIATED WITH AN EDUCATION INSTITUTE, BUT
2	UNDER MY MENTORSHIP ANY STUDENT WOULD GET A
3	WORLD-CLASS OPPORTUNITY TO GET TRAINING IN
4	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, GENE THERAPY, CELL THERAPY.
5	SO IS THERE ANY REASON WHY A NONPROFIT
6	THAT IS NOT AFFILIATED WITH AN EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE
7	THAT DOESN'T HAVE A DEGREE PROGRAM IS INELIGIBLE TO
8	PROVIDE SUCH TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES TO THE STUDENTS?
9	IF SOMETHING LIKE THIS IS MADE AVAILABLE TO A
10	NONPROFIT LIKE MINE, IT WILL BE A GREAT OPPORTUNITY
11	FOR THE STUDENTS AS WELL AS IT WILL BE A GREAT
12	OPPORTUNITY FOR A NONPROFIT TO DO CUTTING-EDGE
13	RESEARCH WITH NEW MANPOWER.
14	DR. SHEPARD: NONPROFIT RESEARCH
15	INSTITUTIONS CAN HOST TRAINEES FROM THESE PROGRAMS.
16	YOU WOULD NEED TO PARTNER WITH ONE OF THEM.
17	DR. BHATNAGA: OKAY. SO EDUCATIONAL
18	PARTNERING AND EDUCATION
19	DR. SHEPARD: DO I NEED TO MOVE ON, OR
20	SHOULD I FINISH ANSWERING?
21	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: FINISH THE
22	THOUGHT.
23	DR. SHEPARD: OKAY. THE APPLICANT
24	INSTITUTION, THE ONE WHO HOLDS THE GRANT, HAS TO BE
25	AN ACCREDITED EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION. BUT THE

1	INTERNS, FOR EXAMPLE, BRIDGES INTERNS, THEY GO AND
2	WORK IN LABS AT OTHER PLACES. AND IF YOU HAVE AN
3	APPROPRIATE ENVIRONMENT, YOU CAN CONNECT WITH AN
4	EXISTING BRIDGES PROGRAM OR A FUTURE BRIDGES
5	PROGRAM. AND IF YOU HAVE THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF
6	MENTORSHIP THAT CAN BE PROVIDED AND APPROPRIATE
7	RESOURCES AND STABILITY, THEN YOU CAN DISCUSS WITH
8	THOSE DIRECTORS THE OPTION OF TRAINING STUDENTS
9	THERE IN YOUR FACILITY.
10	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: GREAT. LET'S
11	GO ON TO THE NEXT PERSON. THANK YOU, KELLY, FOR
12	THAT COGENT ANSWER. CLAUDETTE, IS THERE ANOTHER
13	COMMENT?
14	MS. MANDAC: NO, THERE ARE NO ADDITIONAL
15	HANDS RAISED.
16	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: OKAY. WITH
17	THAT, WHY DON'T WE PROCEED TO A VOTE.
18	MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANKS VERY MUCH.
19	MARIA BONNEVILLE.
20	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.
21	MR. TOCHER: MONICA CARSON.
22	DR. CARSON: YES.
23	MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
24	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
25	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.

	DETH G. DRAIN, GA GSR NO. 7 132
1	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
2	MR. TOCHER: JUDY GASSON.
3	DR. GASSON: YES.
4	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
5	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
6	MR. TOCHER: PAT LEVITT.
7	DR. LEVITT: YES.
8	MR. TOCHER: SHLOMO MELMED. SORRY,
9	SHLOMO? I'LL COME BACK. CAROLYN MELTZER.
10	DR. MELTZER: YES.
11	MR. TOCHER: CHRIS MIASKOWSKI.
12	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
13	MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON.
14	DR. WATSON: YES.
15	MR. TOCHER: AND KEITH YAMAMOTO.
16	DR. YAMAMOTO: YES.
17	MR. TOCHER: GREAT. I UNDERSTAND SHLOMO
18	HAS DROPPED FROM THE CALL FOR NOW. SO THE MOTION
19	CARRIES. THANK YOU, MARK.
20	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: THANK YOU,
21	SCOTT. WITH THAT, LET'S MOVE ON TO THE NEXT AGENDA
22	ITEM, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF THE EDUC3 SPARK
23	PROGRAM. AND, DAISY, IF YOU COULD LEAD THE
24	DISCUSSION ON THAT, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.
25	MS. XIN: SOUNDS GOOD. LET'S JUMP OVER TO
	28

1	SPARK. AND I'M STILL SCREEN SHARING, RIGHT? I'M
2	HAVING SLIGHT TECHNICAL ISSUES, BUT HOPEFULLY THIS
3	IS GOING THROUGH FOR EVERYONE.
4	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: WE CAN SEE YOUR
5	SCREEN.
6	MS. XIN: EXCELLENT. ALL RIGHT. SO THE
7	EDUC3 SPARK CONCEPT PRESENTATION, LET'S JUMP RIGHT
8	INTO IT. SO SIMILARLY, WHAT YOU CAN EXPECT IS A
9	BRIEF BACKGROUND OF THE SPARK PROGRAM, DETAILS ABOUT
10	THE EDUC3 CONCEPT, ENDING WITH SIMILAR TIMELINE AND
11	AWARD SUMMARY AND REQUEST FOR MOTION.
12	SO ONCE AGAIN, THE SAF GOAL 6
13	RECOMMENDATION, ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS IS TO
14	DEVELOP PROGRAMMING IN ORDER TO SUPPORT OUTREACH
15	EDUCATION EFFORTS FOR K THROUGH 12 STUDENTS,
16	TEACHERS, AND COMMUNITY MEMBERS VIA COLLABORATION.
17	AND TO THIS END WE ARE PROPOSING TO RELAUNCH THE
18	EDUC3 OR SPARK PROGRAM.
19	NOW, THE SPARK PROGRAM IS A PROGRAM FOR
20	HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS THAT INTEGRATES WITH AN
21	EXISTING SUMMER HIGH SCHOOL PROGRAM AT CALIFORNIA
22	INSTITUTIONS ACROSS THE STATE. AND IT PROVIDES PAID
23	SUMMER INTERNSHIPS, TYPICALLY SIX TO TEN WEEKS IN
24	LENGTH, IN THE STEM CELL AND GENE THERAPY RESEARCH
25	LABS AT THESE INSTITUTIONS. STUDENTS ALSO

1	PARTICIPATE IN PREP COURSES AND WORKSHOPS THAT ARE
2	RELEVANT TO THEIR INTERNSHIPS. THEY ALSO ARE A PART
3	OF ACTIVITIES IN PATIENT ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNITY
4	OUTREACH AND PARTICIPATE IN AN ANNUAL SPARK
5	CONFERENCE. AND THESE PICTURES ARE ACTUALLY FROM
6	OUR SPARK TRAINING CONFERENCE THIS YEAR IN SAN
7	DIEGO.
8	SO AS I MENTIONED, SPARK TRAINS HIGH
9	SCHOOL STUDENTS. AND THE CURRENT AWARDS, WE HAVE 11
10	ACTIVE PROGRAMS ACROSS CALIFORNIA LISTED HERE. AND
11	SPARK STUDENTS REALLY ARE QUITE AMAZING IN THE SENSE
12	THAT THEY'RE HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS, BUT THEY REALLY
13	GET A LOT OUT OF THESE INTERNSHIPS. AND THIS IS
14	JUST AN EXAMPLE OF ONE OF MANY STORIES THAT WE HEAR.
15	THIS IS SARANYA. SHE DID AN INTERNSHIP AT SANFORD
16	BURNHAM. AND ORIGINALLY SHE WAS MOTIVATED BY FAMILY
17	HEALTH CHALLENGES. SHE HAD ENTERED THE PROGRAM WITH
18	UNCERTAINTY, BUT NOW SHE'S ACTUALLY PURSUING
19	IMMUNOLOGY AND NEUROSCIENCE.
20	THE HIGH LEVEL IMPACT THAT WE'VE SEEN IN
21	SPARK OVER THE YEARS, WE'VE HAD OVER 950 STUDENTS
22	THAT HAVE BEEN TRAINED THROUGH THE SPARK PROGRAM.
23	AND OF THOSE TRACKED, 93 PERCENT OF THEM REPORT
24	PURSUING STEM PATH AFTER CONCLUSION OF THE PROGRAM.
25	THE OBJECTIVES OF EDUC3 OR SPARK IS TO

1	INSPIRE, EDUCATE, AND MOTIVATE HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS
2	TO BECOME INVOLVED IN CIRM'S MISSION AND ALSO TO
3	PROVIDE SUMMER TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES AND BROAD
4	PARTICIPATION IN THE STEM CELL, GENE THERAPY, AND
5	RELATED RESEARCH SPACE TO HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS,
6	ESPECIALLY THOSE WHO MIGHT NOT OTHERWISE HAVE
7	OPPORTUNITIES FOR THESE TYPES OF INTERNSHIPS DUE TO
8	SOCIAL, GEOGRAPHIC, OR OTHER CONSTRAINTS.
9	NOW, THE SCOPE AND STRUCTURE OF SPARK
10	IS EACH PROGRAM WILL STILL BE LED BY A QUALIFIED
11	PROGRAM DIRECTOR WHO WILL BE MANAGING AND
12	COORDINATING ALL ACTIVITIES SUPPORTED BY THE
13	PROGRAM. WE ARE KEEPING THESE COMPONENTS. SO, OF
14	COURSE, THE MAIN SUMMER INTERNSHIP WHERE TRAINEES
15	WILL BE PARTICIPATING IN HANDS-ON REGENERATIVE
16	MEDICINE RESEARCH IN HOST LABS AT THE APPLICANT
17	INSTITUTION OR A PARTNERING ORGANIZATION. THEY WILL
18	ALSO BE PARTICIPATING IN AUXILIARY EDUCATIONAL
19	ACTIVITIES AS THESE ARE ADDITIONAL CONTENT OR
20	CLASSES THAT SUPPORT THE RESEARCH INTERNSHIP.
21	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
22	MS. XIN: ALL RIGHT. I'M GOOD.
23	ADDITIONALLY, THEY WILL STILL BE PARTICIPATING IN
24	PATIENT AND HEALTHCARE ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES,
25	COMMUNITY OUTREACH, AND EDUCATION. WE WILL STILL BE

1	REQUIRING AN ALUMNI TRACKING PLAN, AND TRAINEES WILL
2	ALSO PARTICIPATE IN A CIRM-RELATED CONFERENCE.
3	WHAT WE ARE ADDING, THERE WILL BE AN
4	EXPANDED SCOPE OF INTERNSHIP POSSIBILITIES. SO SOME
5	EXAMPLES ARE IN THE MANUFACTURING SPACE, QUALITY,
6	DATA SCIENCE OR BIOINFORMATICS, AND OTHER
7	DISCIPLINES THAT ARE RELEVANT. WE WILL BE
8	ENCOURAGING AND LEVERAGING PARTNERSHIPS WITH CIRM
9	INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS AND REQUIRING RESOURCE AND
10	KNOWLEDGE SHARING.
11	THE INSTITUTION ELIGIBILITY ARE CALIFORNIA
12	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES OR COLLEGES OR PRIVATE NONPROFIT
13	ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS ACCREDITED BY THE WESTERN
14	ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES. OTHER
15	INSTITUTIONS WITH A DOCUMENTED TRACK RECORD OF
16	DELIVERING LAB-BASED RESEARCH TRAINING TO HIGH
17	SCHOOL STUDENTS.
18	DR. CANET-AVILES: DAISY, COULD YOU ASK
19	KELLY TO CONTINUE? WOULD THAT BE OKAY? CAN YOU
20	CONTINUE?
21	MS. XIN: YEAH.
22	DR. CANET-AVILES: I'M FEELING BAD FOR
23	YOU. KELLY, CAN YOU CONTINUE?
24	DR. SHEPARD: SURE. AS DAISY JUST
25	MENTIONED, THE ELIGIBLE INSTITUTIONS, IN ADDITION TO

1	THOSE OTHER CALIFORNIA INSTITUTIONS THAT HAVE A
2	DOCUMENTED TRACK RECORD OF SUCCESSFULLY DELIVERING
3	LABORATORY-BASED RESEARCH TRAINING TO HIGH SCHOOL
4	STUDENTS WOULD ALSO BE ELIGIBLE AND WELCOME TO
5	APPLY. WE DO ASK THAT PROGRAMS HAVE AN EXISTING
6	HIGH SCHOOL INTERNSHIP OR HAVE HAD ONE WITHIN THE
7	PAST TWO YEARS AT THE TIME OF APPLICATION SO THAT
8	THIS PROGRAM CAN BE INTEGRATED WITHIN THAT.
9	THE PROGRAM DIRECTOR SHOULD HAVE RELEVANT
10	EXPERIENCE TO LEADING A PROGRAM OF THIS NATURE. IF
11	AN APPLICANT CHOOSES TO WORK WITH A PARTNERING
12	ORGANIZATION THAT CAN HOST TRAINEES, THAT PARTNERING
13	SITE MUST HAVE APPROPRIATE PERSONNEL AND FACILITIES
14	TO ACCOMMODATE HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS AND WORK AROUND
15	THEIR NEEDS. AND SIMILARLY WITH THE EDUC8 PROGRAM,
16	AN APPLICANT MAY SUBMIT A SINGLE EDUC3 APPLICATION.
17	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
18	AND THIS IS JUST HOW THE AWARDS ARE
19	BUDGETED. SO SIMILARLY TO WHAT WE DISCUSSED WITH
20	EDUC8, THE AWARD CAPS WERE ADJUSTED RELATIVE TO OUR
21	CURRENT PROGRAM BASED ON OUR GRANTS MANAGEMENT
22	TEAM'S ANALYSIS OF CATEGORICAL EXPENDITURE GROWTH
23	AND CUMULATIVE CPI INCREASE. SO THE TRAINEE
24	STIPENDS WOULD BE \$5500. THEY'RE PROVIDED A TRAVEL
25	ALLOWANCE TO THE ANNUAL CONFERENCE. SO IN OTHER

1	WORDS, THE DIRECT COST PER TRAINEE IS 6500. THERE'S
2	A PROGRAM ADMIN ALLOWANCE ALSO CALCULATED ON A PER
3	TRAINEE BASIS. WITH THE OVERHEADS, THIS MAKES FOR A
4	TOTAL AWARD AMOUNT OF \$704,000. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
5	AND THIS WOULD BE THE TIMELINE. SO THE
6	CURRENT SPARK PROGRAMS HAVE SUFFICIENT FUNDING TO
7	PROVIDE SUPPORT FOR ONE MORE SUMMER. SO THEY WILL
8	BE RUNNING THROUGH THE SUMMER OF 2026. HOWEVER, IN
9	ORDER FOR CONTINUITY AND FOR NEW PROGRAMS TO BEGIN
10	IN SUMMER OF 2027, THE AWARDS WOULD NEED TO BE
11	INITIATED AT THE END OF 2026. SO THE PROPOSED
12	TIMELINE HERE FOLLOWING THE CONCEPT APPROVAL THAT WE
13	WOULD HOPE WOULD HAPPEN IN DECEMBER, APPLICATIONS
14	WOULD BECOME AVAILABLE IN EARLY MARCH. AND
15	APPLICATIONS WOULD BE DUE IN THE SPRING OR SO, AND
16	THE REVIEW WOULD BE HAPPENING IN THE SUMMER WITH THE
17	ARS MEETING HAPPENING IN OCTOBER OR FALL. NEXT
18	SLIDE PLEASE.
19	SO IN SUMMARY, WE ARE ASKING FOR SUPPORT
20	OF AN UPDATED EDUC3 SPARK CONCEPT. IT WOULD RECUR
21	ONE CYCLE. SO NOT RECUR. SO IT WOULD BE OFFERED AS
22	A SINGLE CYCLE IN 2026. IT WOULD SUPPORT PROGRAMS
23	FOR FIVE YEARS. IT'S OFFERED TO CALIFORNIA-BASED
24	INSTITUTIONS. EACH AWARD IS CAPPED AT \$704,000
25	TOTAL. WE ANTICIPATE MAKING ABOUT 12 AWARDS WHICH
	2.4

1	WOULD FACTOR INTO APPROXIMATELY 720 ADDITIONAL HIGH
2	SCHOOL STUDENTS THAT COULD BE TRAINED THROUGH THIS
3	PROGRAM. SO THE AMOUNT OF FUNDING THAT WOULD BE
4	REQUIRED TO SUPPORT 12 NEW PROGRAMS WOULD BE \$8.5
5	MILLION.
6	AND FOR THIS LAST SLIDE, CIRM RESPECTFULLY
7	REQUESTS THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE RECOMMEND APPROVAL
8	OF THE PROPOSED SPARK TRAINING PROGRAM TO THE ICOC
9	WITH AN ALLOCATION OF \$8.5 MILLION WHICH WOULD
10	SUPPORT UP TO 12 SPARK AWARDS, EACH WITH A FIVE-YEAR
11	DURATION. THIS WOULD PROVIDE SUPPORT FOR 720
12	TRAINEES TO HAVE SUMMER INTERNSHIPS, AND THE AWARD
13	AMOUNT PER INSTITUTION WOULD BE \$704,000.
14	AND WITH THAT, I'M HAPPY TO TAKE QUESTIONS
15	OR IF DAISY IS READY TO STEP IN.
16	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: LET'S START
17	WITH A REQUEST FOR A MOTION AND A SECOND. CAN I GET
18	A MOTION FOR APPROVAL?
19	DR. YAMAMOTO: SO MOVED.
20	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: KEITH HAS
21	MOVED. COULD SOMEBODY STEP IN FOR A SECOND?
22	DR. MELTZER: SECOND.
23	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: THANK YOU,
24	CAROLYN. WITH THAT, LET'S OPEN IT UP FOR ANY
25	QUESTIONS BY THE COMMITTEE OR COMMENTS. I'M NOT

1	SEEING ANY.
2	MR. TOCHER: KEITH HAD HIS HAND RAISED,
3	KEITH YAMAMOTO.
4	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: THANK YOU.
5	KEITH.
6	DR. YAMAMOTO: THANKS FOR THIS, KELLY AND
7	DAISY. I HAD A QUESTION ABOUT THE ADMINISTRATION
8	COSTS. SO THE ADMINISTRATION COSTS OF 4167 AND
9	INDIRECT COSTS AS WELL. SO WHAT'S THE DISTINCTION
10	BETWEEN ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS AND INDIRECT COSTS?
11	AND WHAT IS THE HOW WAS THAT ADMINISTRATIVE COST
12	CALCULATED? WHAT DO YOU GET FROM THAT BECAUSE THE
13	TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS OR THE TOTAL COSTS IN ADDITION
14	TO THE DIRECT COSTS ARE QUITE SUBSTANTIAL?
15	DR. SHEPARD: RIGHT. SO THE ADMIN PROGRAM
16	AND ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS ARE THE COST THAT
17	SUPPORTS THE IT PROVIDES SALARY SUPPORT FOR SOME
18	OF THE ADMINISTRATORS WHO ARE RUNNING THE AWARDS.
19	IT'S SUPPORTING THE PATIENT ENGAGEMENT AND THE
20	COMMUNITY OUTREACH ACTIVITIES THAT THEY'RE DOING.
21	SO IT'S DIRECT PROJECT COSTS AS WELL. IT'S JUST NOT
22	TIED TO AN INDIVIDUAL TRAINEE. SO THE STIPENDS, AND
23	THESE ARE UP-TO AMOUNTS, NOT ALL PROGRAMS PROVIDE
24	THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT, BUT SOME DO. AND SO THE
25	INDIRECT COSTS ARE MORE TRADITIONAL WHAT YOU THINK

	DETTI G. DIATIN, GA GSK NO. 7 132
1	OF AS IDC'S THAT GO TO THE INSTITUTION. AND THAT'S
2	10 PERCENT.
3	DR. YAMAMOTO: GOT IT. GOOD. THANK YOU.
4	DR. SHEPARD: UH-HUH.
5	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: ARE THERE
6	QUESTIONS FROM THE COMMITTEE? OKAY. WITH THAT,
7	LET'S OPEN IT UP TO ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FROM
8	THE PUBLIC.
9	MS. MANDAC: THERE ARE NO HANDS RAISED,
10	MARK.
11	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: GREAT. SCOTT,
12	IF WE COULD PROCEED WITH A VOTE.
13	MR. TOCHER: SURE. MARIA BONNEVILLE.
14	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.
15	MR. TOCHER: MONICA CARSON.
16	DR. CARSON: YES.
17	MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
18	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
19	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
20	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
21	MR. TOCHER: JUDY GASSON.
22	DR. GASSON: YES.
23	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
24	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I'M YES.
25	MR. TOCHER: PAT LEVITT.
	37

	DETTI C. DICHIN, CA CSR NO. 7 132
1	DR. LEVITT: YES.
2	MR. TOCHER: SHLOMO MELMED. CAROLYN
3	MELTZER.
4	DR. MELTZER: YES.
5	MR. TOCHER: CHRIS MIASKOWSKI.
6	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
7	MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON.
8	DR. WATSON: YES.
9	MR. TOCHER: AND KEITH YAMAMOTO.
10	DR. YAMAMOTO: YES.
11	MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MARK.
12	THE MOTION CARRIES.
13	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: GREAT. WITH
14	THAT, I'M GOING TO TURN THE MEETING OVER TO LIZ.
15	AND LIZ, IF YOU COULD INTRODUCE YOURSELF AND BRING
16	UP THE NEXT AGENDA ITEM.
17	DR. NOBLIN: YEAH, OF COURSE. THANK YOU
18	SO MUCH, MARK. IF I COULD JUST GET A CONFIRMATION
19	THAT THE SLIDES ARE COMING THROUGH.
20	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
21	DR. NOBLIN: FANTASTIC. SO GOOD
22	AFTERNOON, EVERYONE. MY NAME IS LIZ NOBLIN. I'M A
23	CIRM FELLOW FOR PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT. AND TODAY I'M
24	GOING TO BE SHARING WITH YOU AN UPDATE ON HOW THE
25	CIRM TEAM STRUCTURES AND PRESENTS FUNDING
	38

1	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE APPLICATION REVIEW
2	SUBCOMMITTEE.
3	SO WHY IS THIS COMING TODAY? FIRST,
4	ACKNOWLEDGING THAT MANY OF THE MEMBERS HERE ALSO DO
5	SERVE ON THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE, AND
6	THAT COMMITTEE VERY SOON ON DECEMBER 11TH IS GOING
7	TO BE SEEING THE FIRST ROUND OF APPLICATIONS THAT
8	HAVE COME THROUGH THE UPDATED CLIN2 PROGRAM AND THE
9	NEWLY LAUNCHED PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.
10	SO TODAY WE WANTED TO PRESENT SOME
11	INFORMATION TO HELP YOU ANTICIPATE WHAT YOU WILL SEE
12	AT THAT DECEMBER 11TH MEETING.
13	AND THEN FINALLY, I'D JUST LIKE TO
14	ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THIS UPDATE HAS BEEN A CIRM-WIDE
15	COLLABORATION UNDER THE DIRECTION OF GIL AND ROSA,
16	BUT WITH CRITICAL INPUT FROM PROGRAM TEAM AND REVIEW
17	TEAM COLLEAGUES AND ALSO OUR BOARD GOVERNANCE
18	COLLEAGUES.
19	SO WITH THAT IN THIS BRIEF PRESENTATION
20	TODAY WE'LL COVER THREE MAIN TOPICS. THE FIRST IS
21	WHAT ARE OUR GOALS AND OBJECTIVES FOR REALLY
22	REFINING BEST PRACTICES AND THE STRUCTURE FOR
23	DELIVERING CIRM RECOMMENDATIONS. THEN WE'LL GO OVER
24	WHAT ARE THE CIRCUMSTANCES AND THE PARAMETERS ON
25	WHICH CIRM WOULD BASE RECOMMENDATIONS. AND THEN,

1	FINALLY, A LOOK AHEAD TO THE RESOURCES AND THE
2	FORMAT OF HOW THESE WILL BE PRESENTED TO THE
3	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE FOR YOUR FUNDING
4	DECISIONS.
5	SO TO REITERATE, WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO SEE
6	TODAY IS REALLY A REFINEMENT OF EXISTING AND
7	HISTORICAL PRACTICES THAT CIRM HAS USED IN MANY
8	INSTANCES TO MAKE FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS IN
9	ADDITION TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT YOU SEE FROM
10	GRANTS WORKING GROUP OR OTHER REVIEW WORKING GROUPS.
11	SO TODAY, AGAIN, THIS IS IN ANTICIPATION OF THE
12	FIRST ROUND OF SCIENTIFIC APPLICATIONS THAT ARE
13	GOING TO BE COMING THROUGH. WE HAVE DEVELOPED MORE
14	STANDARDIZED GUIDELINES FOR FORMING THOSE
15	RECOMMENDATIONS AS WELL AS STANDARDIZED TEMPLATES
16	FOR SHARING THAT INFORMATION WITH THE ARS TO AID IN
17	MAKING WELL-INFORMED FUNDING DECISIONS.
18	SO THE FRAMEWORK TODAY HAS TWO MAIN AIMS.
19	THESE ARE TO STANDARDIZE GUIDELINES AND OUTPUTS
20	BASED ON THESE HISTORICAL BEST PRACTICES, AND IN A
21	WAY THAT ALIGNS WITH THE NEW AND UPDATED PROGRAM
22	OBJECTIVES THAT HAVE BEEN COMING THROUGH IN OUR
23	STRATEGIC ALLOCATION FRAMEWORK ALIGNED PROGRAMS.
24	SO OUR GOAL IS TO USE THIS FRAMEWORK FOR
25	THE UPCOMING CLIN2 AND PDEV OR PRECLINICAL

1	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
2	MEETINGS. AND AS WE RECEIVE FEEDBACK AND AS WE MOVE
3	INTO APPLICATIONS FROM OTHER PROGRAMS, WE'LL MAKE
4	ADJUSTMENTS AS NEEDED.
5	SO THIS FRAMEWORK STARTS WITH DELINEATING
6	THE CIRCUMSTANCES, AND IN THIS CASE THAT MEANS THE
7	GWG OUTCOMES, THAT WOULD PROMPT CIRM TO DEVELOP
8	FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS. SO FIRST I'M GOING TO GO
9	THROUGH THE THREE OUTCOMES THAT WE CONSIDER HERE.
10	AND THEN IN THE NEXT SLIDE I'LL TALK THROUGH WHAT
11	ARE THE PARAMETERS OR THE DATA ON WHICH THOSE
12	RECOMMENDATIONS MIGHT BE BASED.
13	SO THE FIRST GWG OUTCOME IS AN APPLICATION
14	THAT RECEIVES A MINORITY REPORT. JUST AS A
15	REMINDER, A MINORITY REPORT IS ISSUED WHEN 35
16	PERCENT OR MORE OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERS
17	SCORE AN APPLICATION WITH A SCORE OF 85 OR ABOVE.
18	SO IN THE FUNDING RANGE. SO IN THAT SITUATION CIRM
19	WILL TAKE A LOOK AT THE REPORT AND AT THE
20	APPLICATION AND MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE
21	ARS ALIGNING WITH EITHER THE MAJORITY OR THE
22	MINORITY POSITION OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.
23	THE SECOND OUTCOME THAT WOULD PROMPT CIRM
24	TO EXAMINE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE APPLICATIONS THAT
25	RECEIVE A MEDIAN GWG SCORE OF 80 OR ABOVE. AND SO

1	THIS 80 RANGE REALLY REFLECTS WHERE WE THINK THAT
2	THE GWG HAS INDICATED FEASIBILITY AND POTENTIAL
3	MERIT SO THAT IF THERE'S A BUDGET CIRCUMSTANCE OR A
4	COMPELLING JUSTIFICATION BASED ON THE RECOMMENDATION
5	PARAMETERS, WHICH I'LL SHOW IN A MOMENT, CIRM MAY
6	MAKE A FUNDING RECOMMENDATION THAT COULD DIFFER FROM
7	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.
8	AND THEN THE FINAL OUTCOME OR CIRCUMSTANCE
9	WOULD BE TAKING A LOOK AT THE PROGRAM BUDGET. SO IN
10	A CIRCUMSTANCE WHERE THE GWG RECOMMENDS AWARDS THAT
11	EITHER EXCEED THE AVAILABLE FUNDS OR IF CONSIDERING
12	GWG RECOMMENDATIONS ADDITIONAL FUNDS REMAIN IN AN
13	ANNUAL PROGRAM BUDGET, CIRM MAY MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS
14	THAT MATCH UTILIZATION OF THAT BUDGET.
15	ALL RIGHT. AND THEN THE PARAMETERS OR THE
16	DATA THAT CIRM WILL USE IN FORMING A RECOMMENDATION
17	ARE THESE THREE KEY POINTS HERE. THE FIRST IS
18	PORTFOLIO BALANCE. SO WE'LL EXAMINE OUR EXISTING
19	R&D PORTFOLIO. SO IN THIS CASE THIS WOULD BE
20	PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL AWARDS. AND THEN PRESENT
21	DATA ON WHETHER THE AREA ADDRESSED IN THE
22	APPLICATION UNDER CONSIDERATION MIGHT BE RELATIVELY
23	NOVEL IN OUR PORTFOLIO OR IS IN AN AREA WHERE WE
24	ALREADY HAVE A STRONG REPRESENTATION.
25	IN ADDITION TO LOOKING AT OUR OWN
	42

1	PORTFOLIO, WE'LL ALSO CONSIDER THE EXTERNAL
2	LANDSCAPE. SO IN THIS CASE, ESPECIALLY WITH A FOCUS
3	ON TREATMENTS THAT ARE ALREADY AVAILABLE TO PATIENTS
4	OR THOSE THAT ARE IN LATE STAGE TRIALS AND MIGHT
5	SOON BE AVAILABLE, WE WOULD EXAMINE WHETHER THERE
6	ARE ANY RELEVANT EXTERNAL PROGRAMS THAT MAY HAVE AN
7	INFLUENCE ON THE VALUE PROPOSITION OR THE IMPACT OF
8	THE APPLICATION UNDER CONSIDERATION.
9	AND THEN THE THIRD CATEGORY, WHICH WE CALL
10	OTHER FACTORS, IS AN INSTANCE WHERE WE MIGHT PRESENT
11	RELEVANT INFORMATION THAT WE'RE AWARE OF EITHER
12	CONCERNING THE APPLICANT INVESTIGATOR OR INSTITUTION
13	THAT MIGHT BE RELEVANT EITHER TO CIRM'S MISSION, TO
14	PROGRAM OBJECTIVES, OR TO THEIR ABILITY TO CARRY OUT
15	THE ACTIVITIES IN AN APPLICATION AS PROPOSED.
16	AND SO FINALLY, I'LL JUST END WITH AN
17	OVERVIEW OF HOW THIS INFORMATION WOULD BE PRESENTED
18	TO THE ARS FOR ARS FUNDING DECISIONS. I'LL GO
19	THROUGH THE FOUR KEY RESOURCES HERE WITH A FOCUS ON
20	WHAT WILL BE NEW COMING ON THAT DECEMBER 11TH
21	ARS MEETING. AND THOSE NEW RESOURCES ARE
22	HIGHLIGHTED IN BLUE BOXES HERE.
23	SO THE FIRST RESOURCE IS THE PRESENTATION
24	THAT'S GIVEN BY MEMBERS OF OUR REVIEW TEAM WHICH
25	WILL INCLUDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAM OR FUNDING

1	OPPORTUNITY, A REMINDER OF THE REVIEW PROCESS THAT
2	WAS FOLLOWED, AND THEN INFORMATION ON THE PROGRAM
3	BUDGET, APPLICATIONS THAT RECEIVED MINORITY REPORTS,
4	AND A SUMMARY OF ANY APPLICATIONS WHERE THE GWG
5	RECOMMENDATION AND THE CIRM RECOMMENDATION DIFFER.
6	IN ADDITION TO THAT PRESENTATION, THE
7	ARS WILL ALSO HAVE A COMPLETE SCORE SPREADSHEET.
8	THIS IS TYPICALLY AN EXCEL FILE THAT HAS COMPLETE
9	GWG SCORE INFORMATION FOR ALL OF THE APPLICATIONS
10	UNDER CONSIDERATION AS WELL AS LISTING FOR EACH
11	APPLICATION WHAT IS THE FINAL GWG AND CIRM
12	RECOMMENDATION.
13	ESPECIALLY CRITICAL FOR THESE FUNDING
14	DECISIONS ARE THE GWG SUMMARIES THEMSELVES. THESE
15	ARE COMMENTS THAT ARE PROVIDED BY OUR GRANTS WORKING
16	GROUP REVIEWERS AND PATIENT ADVOCATES. AND OUR
17	REVIEW TEAM CRAFTS THESE INTO A SUMMARY THAT HAS
18	APPLICATION INFORMATION BUT IN A DEIDENTIFIED FORMAT
19	AND WITH THE GWG COMMENTS GROUPED BY REVIEW
20	CRITERION.
21	SOMETHING NEW THAT WILL BE INCLUDED IN
22	THESE SUMMARIES STARTING IN DECEMBER IS A RECAP OF
23	THE KEY STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES THAT THE GWG FELT
24	AN APPLICATION HELD. AND THIS IS INTENDED TO HELP
25	FOCUS IN ON RATIONALE FOR A GWG RECOMMENDATION TO

1	FUND OR NOT FUND AN APPLICATION.
2	AND THEN THE FINAL RESOURCE WHICH WILL BE
3	NEW STARTING IN DECEMBER IS WHAT WE'RE CALLING A
4	RECOMMENDATION MEMO. AND THIS WILL BE THE DOCUMENT
5	PROVIDED TO THE ARS THAT PRESENTS THE ANALYSIS AND
6	RATIONALE FOR CIRM RECOMMENDATIONS WHEN THOSE
7	RECOMMENDATIONS DIFFER FROM THE GRANTS WORKING
8	GROUP. SO WE CALL IN SOME OF THE PARAMETERS AND THE
9	ANALYSIS THAT WOULD LEAD TO A RECOMMENDATION. THE
10	MEMO WOULD INCLUDE RELEVANT INFORMATION FROM CIRM'S
11	PORTFOLIO AS WELL AS THE EXTERNAL LANDSCAPE THAT
12	PROVIDE A RATIONALE FOR THE CIRM TEAM
13	RECOMMENDATION.
14	SO THAT CONCLUDES THE UPDATE. I'D JUST
15	LIKE TO THANK MY COLLEAGUES WHO HAVE HELPED DEVELOP
16	THESE PRACTICES AND THIS FRAMEWORK. WE LOOK FORWARD
17	TO PRESENTING THIS INFORMATION TO THE ARS IN
18	DECEMBER. AND I'M HAPPY TO TAKE ANY QUESTIONS OR
19	COMMENTS FROM THE SUBCOMMITTEE.
20	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: ANY QUESTIONS
21	OF LIZ?
22	MR. TOCHER: PAT LEVITT HAS HIS HAND
23	RAISED.
24	DR. NOBLIN: YES. GO AHEAD, PAT.
25	DR. LEVITT: HI, LIZ. SEVERAL THINGS, BUT
	45

1	FIRST AS A GENERAL QUESTION. YOU KNOW THE NIH LAST
2	YEAR OR THE YEAR BEFORE MODIFIED A BIT THE REVIEW
3	CRITERIA THAT INCLUDED THREE DOMAINS. ONE IS
4	IMPORTANCE OF RESEARCH WHICH SORT OF REPLACES
5	SIGNIFICANCE. EXPERTISE AND RESOURCES, CAN THE
6	GROUP PULL IT OFF. AND THEN RIGOR AND FEASIBILITY,
7	LIKE IS THE PLAN DETAILED ENOUGH TO KNOW THAT
8	THERE'S RIGOR AND THEY CAN ACTUALLY DO IT. BUT THEN
9	THAT, OF COURSE, SPEAKS TO PRELIMINARY DATA THEY MAY
10	INCLUDE AS WELL AS ANALYTICAL PLANS.
11	SO SINCE I'VE NEVER APPLIED FOR A CIRM
12	GRANT AND DON'T REVIEW CIRM GRANTS, CIRM
13	APPLICATIONS, I'M JUST CURIOUS WHETHER
14	THOSE WHETHER THE VARIOUS COMPONENTS THAT ARE IN
15	TERMS OF INSTRUCTIONS THAT ARE PROVIDED ABOUT HOW TO
16	REVIEW A GRANT, WHETHER IT'S BEEN LOOKED AT AND
17	MODIFIED IN SOME WAY, AM I BETTER WITH NIH OR
18	WHETHER YOU FEEL THAT CIRM'S CRITERIA ARE ACTUALLY
19	BETTER THAN THE NIH CRITERIA. THESE CRITERIA WERE
20	CHANGED, I THINK, IN 20 IT WAS ANNOUNCED, I
21	THINK, IN 2023 OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. SO I'M JUST
22	WONDERING THAT. AND THEN I HAVE A FEW FOLLOW-UP
23	QUESTIONS SPECIFICALLY.
24	DR. NOBLIN: THANKS FOR THAT QUESTION. I
25	THINK FOR THE FIRST QUESTION, THAT WOULD PROBABLY BE

1	BEST SUITED FOR GIL TO ADDRESS FROM THE PURVIEW OF
2	THE REVIEW TEAM. GIL, DO YOU MIND COMMENTING?
3	DR. SAMBRANO: SURE. NO PROBLEM. THANKS,
4	PAT, FOR THE QUESTION.
5	SO WHAT WE DO IS WE ACTUALLY TAILOR THE
6	REVIEW CRITERIA FOR EACH OF THE OPPORTUNITIES THAT
7	WE HAVE. SO THE REVIEW CRITERIA, FOR EXAMPLE, FOR
8	OUR CLINICAL PROGRAM ARE GOING TO BE QUITE A BIT
9	DIFFERENT FROM THOSE FOR THE DISCOVERY PROGRAM. AT
10	A HIGH LEVEL THEY DO INCLUDE THE BASICS OF OVERALL
11	SIGNIFICANCE AND IMPACT, THE RATIONALE, THEIR PLAN
12	AND DESIGN, FEASIBILITY, AND THEN, OF COURSE, WE
13	INCLUDE POPULATION IMPACT AS ONE OF THE KEY
14	ELEMENTS. SO AT A VERY HIGH LEVEL, WE TRY TO KEEP
15	IT CONSISTENT, BUT WE FINE-TUNE IT FOR EACH OF THE
16	PROGRAMS BECAUSE THEY'RE LOOKING FOR DIFFERENT
17	THINGS.
18	INNOVATION, FOR EXAMPLE, COMES INTO PLAY
19	MORE SPECIFICALLY IN THE EARLY DISCOVERY PROGRAMS
20	WHERE THAT MIGHT BE LESS THE CASE AT THE CLINICAL
21	STAGE, FOR EXAMPLE.
22	DR. LEVITT: OKAY. THANKS. THREE
23	QUESTIONS BASED ON WHAT YOU PRESENTED, LIZ.
24	EXTERNAL PROGRAM INFLUENCE ON, WHICH
25	SPEAKS TO SETTING PRIORITIES, IS THAT FOCUSED ON

1	WHAT'S HAPPENING IN CALIFORNIA OR IF THERE'S A
2	CLINICAL TRIAL GOING ON IN NEW YORK, THAT WOULD
3	INFLUENCE THE DECISION ABOUT WHETHER IT'S A HIGH OR
4	LOWER PRIORITY?
5	DR. NOBLIN: YEAH. THANKS FOR THE
6	QUESTION, PAT. SO FOR THIS SPECIFIC PROCESS, WHAT
7	WE WOULD REALLY BE PROVIDING IS SORT OF A COUNT AND
8	AN ANALYSIS, THE POTENTIALLY RELEVANT EXTERNAL
9	PROGRAMS COMPARED TO THE APPLICATION UNDER
10	CONSIDERATION. SO WE ARE CONSIDERING THINGS THAT
11	ARE ON A PATH TO U.S. APPROVAL WITH THE ASSUMPTION
12	THAT THOSE WOULD BE THE THERAPIES THAT WOULD ALSO BE
13	AVAILABLE TO CALIFORNIANS.
14	DR. LEVITT: OKAY. THE UTILIZATION OF THE
15	BUDGET, I UNDERSTAND YOU TALK ABOUT TWO
16	COMPONENTS. ONE IS IF THE RECOMMENDED FUNDING IS
17	OVER WHAT THE ALLOCATED BUDGET IS AND THEN ONE IS IF
18	IT'S UNDER.
19	DR. NOBLIN: UH-HUH.
20	DR. LEVITT: I GUESS YOU TALKED ABOUT
21	MATCHING UTILIZATION. I PRESUME THAT WOULD BE IF
22	IT'S UNDER AND THERE WOULD BE AN OPPORTUNITY TO USE
23	RESIDUAL FUNDS THERE. BUT WHAT IS THE WHAT
24	IS IS THERE ANY CHANGE IN TERMS OF IF IT'S OVER?
25	BECAUSE IF IT'S OVER, THEN THERE'S A DECISION NOT TO

1	FUND GRANTS THAT WERE RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING
2	BECAUSE IT'S OVER THE ALLOCATED ANNUAL BUDGET FOR
3	THAT CATEGORY.
4	DR. NOBLIN: YEAH. SO I THINK THERE HAVE
5	BEEN A COUPLE OF INSTANCES OF THIS IN CIRM'S HISTORY
6	WHERE IF A ROUND IS, I THINK WE USED THE TERM,
7	OVERSUBSCRIBED, CIRM WOULD PROVIDE OUR
8	RECOMMENDATIONS. IF THE ARS WISHED TO STAY WITHIN
9	THE ANNUAL BUDGET, THIS IS HOW WE MIGHT RECOMMEND
10	ACCOMPLISHING THAT. BUT THE ULTIMATE DECISION OF
11	BUDGET UTILIZATION IS WITH THE ARS.
12	DR. LEVITT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THEN THE
13	THIRD THING IS SOMETHING THAT RELEVANT INFORMATION
14	OUTSIDE OF APPLICATION LIKE SOMETHING ABOUT, I
15	SUPPOSE, THE APPLICANT OR THE INSTITUTION IN WHICH
16	THE WORK WAS GOING TO DO. HOW IS THAT INFORMATION
17	VALIDATED? LIKE THERE'S INFORMATION AND THERE'S
18	INFORMATION, RIGHT? YOU KNOW WHAT I MEAN. THERE'S
19	INFORMATION AND THEN THERE'S THINGS YOU HEAR ABOUT
20	THAT MAY OR MAY NOT BE VALIDATED AS CONCERNS. SO
21	I'M CURIOUS ABOUT HOW YOU'RE GOING HOW THE GROUP
22	IS GOING TO GO ABOUT VALIDATING THAT KIND OF
23	INFORMATION THAT'S OUTSIDE OF THE APPLICATION
24	ITSELF.
25	DR. NOBLIN: YEAH. I THINK IT'S A GREAT

1	CALL-OUT, THAT THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE A VERY WELL
2	VETTED PIECE OF INFORMATION. ROSA, GO AHEAD IF YOU
3	WANT TO JUMP IN.
4	DR. CANET-AVILES: YEAH. THANK YOU, PAT.
5	THAT'S A VERY RELEVANT QUESTION. AND THE TEAM
6	THROUGH THE TEAM LEADS, THEY COORDINATE VALIDATING
7	ANY OF THIS INFORMATION DIRECTLY WITH THE APPLICANTS
8	IN BETWEEN THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATION
9	AND THE ARS. AND IF IT'S NOT VALIDATED DIRECTLY
10	WITH SOURCES THAT CAN CONFIRM THAT, WE COULD NOT
11	MAKE A RECOMMENDATION.
12	DR. LEVITT: OKAY. THAT'S ALL I HAVE.
13	THANKS.
14	DR. NOBLIN: THANK YOU, ROSA. THANK YOU,
15	PAT.
16	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: OTHER QUESTIONS
17	OR DISCUSSION POINTS?
18	DR. LEVITT: I ASKED EVERYBODY'S
19	QUESTIONS, I THINK, MARK.
20	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: WITH THAT, I
21	BELIEVE WE'VE REACHED THE END OF THE AGENDA; IS THAT
22	CORRECT, SCOTT?
23	MR. TOCHER: THAT'S RIGHT. THERE'S JUST
24	ONE MORE REGARDING PUBLIC COMMENT ITEMS THAT ARE NOT
25	ON THE AGENDA.

1	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: OKAY. GREAT.
2	THANK YOU. SO WITH THAT, IF ANYBODY FROM THE PUBLIC
3	HAVE COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS ON AN OVERALL BASIS?
4	MS. MANDAC: WE HAVE ONE, YOUR CLOCK
5	STARTS NOW.
6	DR. BHATNAGA: I'M PARIJAT BHATNAGA. I
7	HAVE A SPECIFIC QUESTION OR A COMMENT REGARDING THE
8	PROGRAM PDEV. AS I MENTIONED PREVIOUSLY, I STARTED
9	A NONPROFIT, BUT THERE IS A CERTAIN CLAUSE IN THE
10	ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA THAT IS MAKING US INELIGIBLE.
11	MY NONPROFIT IS ELIGIBLE FOR DARPA, FOR NIH AND
12	THAT'S WHERE WE ARE APPLYING FUNDING. AS YOU ALL
13	KNOW, IT'S HARD TO GET FUNDING NOW FROM FEDERAL
14	SOURCES, BUT CALIFORNIA FUNDS ARE THERE. I WAS
15	HOPING THAT I COULD APPLY FOR THE NONPROFIT. BUT
16	ONE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA IN THE NONPROFIT FOR
17	PDEV AND SOME OF THE PROGRAMS IS THAT THEY NEED TO
18	BE AT LEAST ONE W-2 EMPLOYEE. AND WE DON'T HAVE
19	THAT. AND I WANTED TO ASK IF CIRM WOULD RECONSIDER
20	IF IT IS REALLY REQUIRED TO HAVE A W-2 EMPLOYEE.
21	I AM THE CEO, ACTING CEO OF NONPROFIT. WE
22	HAVE THREE BOARD MEMBERS INCLUDING ME, BUT NONE OF
23	US ARE BEING PAID RIGHT NOW BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE
24	FUNDING. OUR PLAN HAS BEEN THAT WE ARE WRITING
25	PROPOSALS TO NIH AND DARPA HOPEFULLY TO CIRM AS WELL

1	IF THE CRITERIA IS RELAXED.
2	IF WE GET THE FUNDING, THEN WE WILL HIRE
3	PEOPLE AND CONDUCT OUR RESEARCH. WE HAVE ALREADY
4	BEEN ALLOTTED SPACE IN INCUBATORS AND ALSO WE HAVE
5	COLLABORATORS IN DIFFERENT UNIVERSITIES WHERE WORK
6	WILL BE CONDUCTED.
7	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: I THINK WHAT
8	WOULD BE MOST HELPFUL IS TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE COMMENT
9	AND THE QUESTION. I THINK THE MOST EFFICIENT USE OF
10	TIME WOULD BE FOR OUR TEAM TO GET WITH YOU OFFLINE
11	TO FOLLOW UP WITH YOUR PARTICULAR QUESTIONS BECAUSE
12	THAT WAY THERE COULD BE A MORE FULSOME DISCUSSION.
13	AND I'M SURE YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE FOLLOW-UP
14	QUESTIONS. SO IF YOU DON'T MIND, I'D LIKE TO
15	DR. BHATNAGA: ABSOLUTELY.
16	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: HAVE YOU
17	TALK TO OUR TEAM.
18	DR. BHATNAGA: THANK YOU. YEAH. MY
19	FEELING IS THAT WHEN THIS CRITERIA WAS CREATED, IT
20	MUST SUCH A SITUATION MIGHT NOT HAVE BEEN THOUGHT
21	OF. A NONPROFIT IS THERE WITHOUT ANY EMPLOYEES, BUT
22	IT IS A LEGAL ENTITY IN CALIFORNIA, REGISTERED. AND
23	SO IF THIS SUGGESTION COULD BE CONSIDERED AND
24	ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA COULD BE MADE MORE RELAXED,
25	THAT WOULD REALLY BE A BIG HELP TO US.

_	
1	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: THANK YOU FOR
2	THE COMMENT. AND WE ACKNOWLEDGE IT AND LOOK FORWARD
3	TO HAVING OUR TEAM DISCUSS IT WITH YOU ON A MORE
4	FULSOME BASIS.
5	DR. BHATNAGA: THANK YOU.
6	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: OTHER COMMENTS,
7	OR QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC?
8	MR. TOCHER: IT DOESN'T APPEAR SO, MARK.
9	CHAIRMAN FISCHER-COLBRIE: OKAY. WITH
10	THAT, WE CAN ADJOURN THE MEETING. THANK YOU,
11	EVERYBODY.
12	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 4:39 P.M.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	53

1	
2	
3	
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	
6	
7	I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN
8	AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS
9	BEFORE THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA
10	INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON NOVEMBER 21, 2025, WAS
11	HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT
12	APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I
13	ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.
14	
15	
16	
17	BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT
18	SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	54