BEFORE THE

INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

AND THE

APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO THE

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE

ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE

CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: LAX MARRIOTT

PACIFIC ROOM II AND III 5855 W. CENTURY BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

DATE: SEPTEMBER 25, 2025

8:30 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2025-20

1	
2	INDEX
3	ITEM DESCRIPTION PAGE NO.
4	OPEN SESSION
5	1. CALL TO ORDER
6	2. ROLL CALL
7	CLOSED SESSION
8	3. DISCUSSION OF PERSONNEL [EVALUATION OF ICOC CHAIR, ICOC VICE-CHAIR, AND CIRM PRESIDENT/CEO]
9	(GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIÓN 11126, SUBDIVISIÓN (A); HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 125290.30(F) (3) (D)).
10	
11	OPEN SESSION
12	4. CHAIR'S REPORT
13	5. VICE-CHAIR'S REPORT
14	6. PRESIDENT'S REPORT
15	7. RESOLUTION HONORING DAVID HIGGINS
16	CONSENT CALENDAR
17 18	8. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES FROM THE JUNE 26, 2025, ICOC MEETING
19	9. CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENTS AND REAPPOINTMENTS TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP
20	10. CONSIDERATION OF AN APPOINTMENT TO THE ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP
21	ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP
22	OPEN SESSION
23	11. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO DISCOVERY PROGRAM
24	ANNOUNCEMENTS (DISCO)
25	
	2

1	
2	I N D E X (CONT'D.)
3	12. STRATEGIC ALLOCATION FRAMEWORK UPDATE
4	
5	PUBLIC COMMENT
6 7	13. DISCUSSION OF CIRM'S ACCESS STRATEGY PLAN
8	14. REPORT FROM THE COMMUNICATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING
10	CLOSED SESSION
11	15. DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECTUAL
12	PROPERTY OR WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION DATA, FINANCIAL INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH OR DATA, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INFORMATION
13	RELATING TO APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO DISCOVERY PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENTS (HEALTH & SAFETY
14	CODE 125290.30(F) (3) (B) AND (C)).
15	OPEN SESSION
16	16. GENERAL COMMENTS ON ARS PROCESS
17	17. PUBLIC COMMENT
18	18. ADJOURNMENT
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	3

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1	SEPTEMBER 25, 2025; 8:30 A.M.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GOOD MORNING,
4	EVERYONE, AND WELCOME TO THIS MEETING OF THE
5	INDEPENDENT CITIZENS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE
6	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF CIRM HERE IN LOS
7	ANGELES, CALIFORNIA. I CALL THE MEETING TO ORDER,
8	AND WE ARE GOING TO START WITH THE PLEDGE OF
9	ALLEGIANCE. IF YOU WOULD ALL STAND, I'M GOING TO
10	ASK SCOTT TO LEAD US. THANK YOU.
11	(THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
12	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. OUR
13	FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS IS THE ROLL CALL.
14	MR. TOCHER: EYAD ALMASRI.
15	DR. ALMASRI: PRESENT.
16	MR. TOCHER: KIM BARRETT.
17	DR. BARRETT: PRESENT.
18	MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL. GEORGE
19	BLUMENTHAL.
20	DR. BLUMENTHAL: HERE.
21	MR. TOCHER: MARIA BONNEVILLE.
22	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: PRESENT.
23	MR. TOCHER: MARGUERITE CASILLAS.
24	MS. CASILLAS: PRESENT.
25	MR. TOCHER: JOHN CARETHERS.
	4

	DETTI G. DIMIN, GA GSK NO. 7 132
1	DR. CARETHERS: PRESENT.
2	MR. TOCHER: MONICA CARSON.
3	DR. CARSON: PRESENT.
4	MR. TOCHER: JUDY CHOU. LEONDRA
5	CLARK-HARVEY.
6	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: PRESENT.
7	MR. TOCHER: SHANNON DAHL.
8	DR. DAHL: PRESENT.
9	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
10	DR. DULIEGE: PRESENT.
11	MR. TOCHER: YSABEL DURON.
12	MS. DURON: HERE.
13	MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
14	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: HERE.
15	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
16	DR. FLOWERS: PRESENT.
17	MR. TOCHER: JUDY GASSON.
18	DR. GASSON: HERE.
19	MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
20	DR. HIGGINS: HERE.
21	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
22	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: PRESENT.
23	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
24	MR. LAJARA: PRESENT.
25	MR. TOCHER: PAT LEVITT.
	5
	,

	,
1	DR. LEVITT: HERE.
2	MR. TOCHER: HALA MADANAT. LINDA MALKAS.
3	SHLOMO MELMED.
4	DR. MELMED: PRESENT.
5	MR. TOCHER: CAROLYN MELTZER.
6	DR. MELTZER: PRESENT.
7	MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
8	DR. MIASKOWSKI: PRESENT.
9	MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
10	DR. PADILLA: HERE.
11	MR. TOCHER: JOE PANETTA.
12	MR. PANETTA: HERE.
13	MR. TOCHER: JOYCE SACKEY FOR LINDA BOXER.
14	DR. SACKEY: HERE.
15	MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
16	DR. SOUTHARD: HERE.
17	MR. TOCHER: SHAUNA STARK.
18	DR. STARK: PRESENT.
19	MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON. YAEL WYTE.
20	MS. WYTE: PRESENT.
21	MR. TOCHER: KEVIN XU. KEITH YAMAMOTO.
22	DR. YAMAMOTO: HERE.
23	MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE HAVE
24	A QUORUM.
25	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, SCOTT.
	6
	o

1	SCOTT, YOU CAN STAY ON BASE RIGHT NOW AND TAKE US
2	INTO CLOSED SESSION FOR THE NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS,
3	WHICH IS A DISCUSSION OF PERSONNEL MATTERS.
4	MR. TOCHER: ALL RIGHT. WE WILL BE
5	ADJOURNING INTO CLOSED SESSION FOR DISCUSSION OF
6	PERSONNEL PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS
7	11126(A) AND HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION
8	125290.30(F)(3)(D).
9	AND SO FOR THOSE OF YOU ON THE ZOOM, YOU
10	SHOULD SEE A BREAKOUT ROOM TAB POP UP OPEN. SO
11	PLEASE ENTER THAT TO JOIN THE BREAKOUT ROOM. AND
12	FOR THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND TEAM WHO ARE NOT
13	CRITICAL, INVITE YOU TO STEP OUT. WE'RE GOING TO DO
14	A LITTLE VOLUME CHECK HERE.
15	(THE BOARD THEN WENT INTO CLOSED
16	SESSION, NOT REPORTED NOR HEREIN TRANSCRIBED. AT
17	THE CONCLUSION OF THE CLOSED SESSION, DR. LEVITT
18	REPORTED THAT NO ACTION WAS TAKEN IN THE CLOSED
19	SESSION. A 15-MINUTE BREAK WAS THEN TAKEN FOLLOWED
20	
	BY THE FOLLOWING IN OPEN SESSION.)
21	BY THE FOLLOWING IN OPEN SESSION.) CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WITH THAT, WE ARE
21 22	
	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WITH THAT, WE ARE
22	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WITH THAT, WE ARE BACK IN SESSION. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SCOTT.
22 23	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WITH THAT, WE ARE BACK IN SESSION. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SCOTT. I'M GOING TO START WITH SOME

1	AS A PATIENT ADVOCATE APPOINTED BY THE LIEUTENANT
2	GOVERNOR OF CALIFORNIA.
3	SINCE RETIRING FROM WELLS FARGO IN 2022,
4	MARGUERITE HAS BEEN ACTIVE IN VOLUNTEER ADVOCACY AT
5	THE STATE AND FEDERAL LEVEL FOR THE MULTIPLE
6	SCLEROSIS COMMUNITY, PARTNERING WITH THE NATIONAL
7	MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS SOCIETY AND THE AMERICAN
8	ASSOCIATION OF PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES.
9	WHILE AT WELLS FARGO, SHE INVOLVED HERSELF
10	WITH COMMUNICATIONS ISSUES, STRATEGIC PLANNING,
11	TECHNOLOGY PLATFORM MANAGEMENT, OPERATIONS
12	MANAGEMENT, PROJECT MANAGEMENT, RISK MANAGEMENT, AND
13	RESEARCH AND MEASUREMENT. SHE IS A MEMBER OF THE MS
14	SOCIETY'S CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT RELATIONS ADVISORY
15	COMMITTEE AND OF THE RESEARCH COMMITTEE OF I CONQUER
16	MS, A PATIENT-DRIVEN ADVOCACY GROUP.
17	HER DEGREE IN COMMUNICATIONS IS FROM
18	STANFORD UNIVERSITY, AND SHE HAS A MASTER'S IN
19	DISABILITY STUDIES FROM CUNY. MARGUERITE IS A
20	RESIDENT OF BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA. AND SHE HAS
21	GRACIOUSLY AGREED TO JOIN THE COMMUNICATIONS
22	SUBCOMMITTEE IN ADDITION TO SERVING ON THE GRANTS
23	WORKING GROUP. WELCOME, MARGUERITE. WOULD YOU LIKE
24	TO SAY
25	(APPLAUSE.)

8

1	MS. CASILLAS: THANK YOU, VITO, AND THANK
2	YOU ALL SO MUCH FOR THE WARM WELCOME. IT'S BEEN
3	GREAT TO GET TO KNOW SOME OF YOU LAST NIGHT, AND I
4	LOOK FORWARD TO DOING MORE OF THAT. I'M REALLY
5	HONORED TO BE HERE IN THIS SEAT REPRESENTING THE MS
6	AND ALS COMMUNITIES. BUT I KNOW, LIKE PROBABLY MANY
7	OF US HERE, I'M NOT TOUCHED JUST BY THAT EXPERIENCE.
8	SO I'M REALLY I REALLY RECOGNIZE THE NEED TO
9	REPRESENT ALL OF CALIFORNIA, ALL CALIFORNIANS HERE.
10	I'VE BEEN TOUCHED BY TWO BOUTS OF BREAST
11	CANCER PERSONALLY. WE HAVE PARKINSON'S, LUPUS, LUNG
12	DISEASE ON MY MOM'S SIDE OF THE FAMILY. WE HAVE
13	OTHER INTERESTING THINGS ON MY DAD'S SIDE AS WELL.
14	SO I'M REALLY EXCITED ABOUT THE WORK THAT WE ARE
15	DOING HERE AND REALLY LOOK FORWARD TO JUMPING IN AND
16	HELPING OUR COMMUNITIES THRIVE. THANK YOU.
17	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU,
18	MARGUERITE, AND WELCOME.
19	WE HAVE ANOTHER NEW BOARD MEMBER WITH US
20	TODAY. SHANNON DAHL JOINS THE BOARD AS THE
21	REPRESENTATIVE OF THE BIOTECHNOLOGY INDUSTRY. SHE
22	ALSO IS AN APPOINTEE OF THE LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF
23	CALIFORNIA. HER EARLY STUDIES IN MIT IN MATERIAL
24	SCIENCES AND ENGINEERING WITH A SPECIALIZATION IN
25	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE AND BIOMATERIALS LED HER TO

1	HER DOCTORAL WORK IN BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING AT DUKE
2	UNIVERSITY WHERE SHE LATER WORKED AS A FACULTY
3	MEMBER EXPLORING ISSUES RELATED TO END-STAGE RENAL
4	DISEASE.
5	SHANNON WAS A CO-FOUNDER IN 2004 OF
6	HUMACYTE, A PUBLIC EQUITY COMPANY THAT DEVELOPED
7	THERAPY FOR END-STAGE RENAL, RISING TO ROLE OF VICE
8	PRESIDENT AND SECURING THE FIRST EVER FDA RMAT
9	DESIGNATION TO ACCELERATE THE PATH TO BLA APPROVAL.
10	IN 2018 SHANNON BECAME THE CHIEF
11	SCIENTIFIC OFFICER FOR CELL CARE THERAPEUTICS LOS
12	ANGELES, WHICH IS DEVELOPING AN IMMUNE MODULATED
13	BIOLOGIC TO TREAT INFLAMMATION BARRIER DISRUPTION
14	AND EDEMA IN BOTH EYE AND LUNG. SINCE 2021, AS
15	FOUNDER AND CEO OF CARVE BIO LLC, SHE ASSISTS
16	COMPANIES IN ADVANCING SCIENCE TO THE IND LEVEL,
17	CONSULTS ON REIMBURSEMENT AND PAYOR STRATEGIES, AND
18	ADVISES ON CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROGRESSION. SHE'S
19	ALSO SERVED ON MANY BOARDS AND COMMITTEES ACROSS THE
20	COUNTRY.
21	SHANNON, I SURE HOPE I GOT THAT PART OF
22	YOUR BIO CORRECT, BUT CORRECT ME IF I MADE MISTAKES.
23	THANK YOU AND WELCOME.
24	(APPLAUSE.)
25	DR. DAHL: THANK YOU. I'M REALLY

1	DELIGHTED TO BE HERE. AND WITH MY BACKGROUND IN
2	CONNECTING THE DOTS FROM DISCOVERY ALL THE WAY TO
3	REIMBURSEMENT, HOPING THAT I CAN BRING THE VOICE TO
4	THE BOARD OF HOW WE CAN ACCELERATE AND CONNECT THE
5	DOTS BETWEEN THOSE STAGES OF THE JOURNEY SO WE CAN
6	ALL ACHIEVE THE GOAL OF IMPROVING HEALTHCARE
7	OUTCOMES FOR PATIENTS IN CALIFORNIA AND BEYOND.
8	I LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH ALL OF YOU
9	AND REALLY BRINGING TOGETHER THE RICH PERSPECTIVES
10	THAT WE BRING FROM COMPLEMENTARY EXPERIENCES.
11	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, SHANNON,
12	AND WELCOME.
13	I HAVE SOME GOOD NEWS TO PASS ON TO THE
14	BOARD. ON AUGUST 15TH A SMALL DELEGATION OF US MET
15	IN SACRAMENTO WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE
16	TREASURER, THE STATE CONTROLLER, AND THE DIRECTOR OF
17	THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE. PRESENT WERE CIRM'S
18	CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR, OUR VICE PRESIDENT FOR
19	ADMINISTRATION, JENNIFER LEWIS, OUR ASSOCIATE VICE
20	PRESIDENT FOR BOARD GOVERNANCE, SCOTT TOCHER, AND
21	DIRECTOR OF FINANCE, MICHELLE LEWIS.
22	WE CONVENED AS THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL
23	RESEARCH AND CURES FINANCE COMMITTEE AS DIRECTED BY
24	HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE 125291.40 IN ORDER TO REQUEST
25	THE NECESSARY BOND AUTHORITY FOR THE CONTINUED

1	FINANCIAL SUPPORT OF CIRM'S MISSION AND ACTIVITIES.
2	THE MOTION ON THE TABLE WAS TO APPROVE \$500 MILLION
3	IN BOND AUTHORITY FOR THE NEXT SIX MONTHS. THE VOTE
4	HAPPILY WAS UNANIMOUS IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION.
5	CIRM'S ANNUAL REPORT FALLS UNDER THE
6	CHAIR'S RESPONSIBILITY, BUT YOU KNOW I DO NOT WRITE
7	IT. ALMOST EVERYONE AT CIRM CONTRIBUTES IN SOME
8	WAY, FEATURING STORIES, CONTACTING PATIENTS AND
9	RESEARCHERS, AND HELPS WITH THE EDITING PROCESS.
10	BUT TRUTH BE TOLD, THE VERY LARGE LIFT OF DRAFTING,
11	WRITING, AND EDITING THE ANNUAL REPORT IS PERFORMED
12	BY OUR VERY CAPABLE COMMUNICATIONS TEAM.
13	I CAN REPORT THAT THIS YEAR'S ANNUAL
14	REPORT IS IN THE FINAL STAGES OF EDITING AND
15	PREPARING THE MANUSCRIPT FOR THE PUBLISHER. IT'S
16	BEING CHECKED FOR ACCURACY IN NUMBERS AND DOLLARS.
17	I THINK YOU ALL WILL BE VERY HAPPY WITH THE CONTENT,
18	INCLUDING THE PROGRAMS AND THE PATIENT STORIES THAT
19	ARE BEING HIGHLIGHTED. I FEEL IT CAPTURES THE
20	IMPRESSIVE RANGE OF WORK THAT WE DO AND THE
21	TREMENDOUS IMPACT WE HAVE ON THE LIVES AND WORK OF
22	SO MANY PEOPLE. IT IS BRILLIANTLY ILLUSTRATED. THE
23	SCOPE AND FORMAT WILL BE SIMILAR TO LAST YEAR'S, BUT
24	THE CONTENT IS VERY MUCH UP TO DATE, UP TO THE
25	MOMENT. ITS ANTICIPATED PUBLISHING DATE COMES IN

1	OCTOBER.
2	SOME OTHER SHORT ITEMS. I HAVE BEEN ABLE
3	TO TRAVEL THIS PAST SUMMER TO MEET WITH RECENTLY
4	INSTALLED BOARD MEMBERS IN SAN DIEGO AND NEWPORT
5	BEACH.
6	I ESPECIALLY WANT TO CALL OUT AND THANK
7	DR. KIM BARRETT WHO ARRANGED MEETINGS ON THE UC
8	DAVIS CAMPUS WITH SEVERAL OF OUR SUCCESSFUL GRANTEES
9	AND WHO SQUIRED ME AROUND THE NEW RESEARCH FACILITY
10	ON THE MEDICAL CAMPUS, INCLUDING THE NEW
11	LABORATORIES WHERE CIRM-FUNDED RESEARCH IS BEING
12	CONDUCTED.
13	I HAD THE PLEASURE OF MEETING WITH THE
14	CHAIRWOMAN OF THE SURGERY DEPARTMENT, DR. DIANA
15	FARMER, WHO IS DOING IMPRESSIVE WORK MARRYING FETAL
16	SURGERY WITH ADVANCED STEM CELL TECHNOLOGY TO MAKE
17	DRAMATIC IMPACTS ON CHILDREN BORN WITH SPINA BIFIDA.
18	I WILL BE VISITING OTHER CAMPUSES IN THE
19	CIRM NETWORK TO MEET WITH RESEARCHERS AND CLINIC
20	ADMINISTRATORS.
21	FINALLY, I'VE BEEN INVITED TO BE A
22	PANELIST AT THE UPCOMING ANNUAL PACIFIC COUNCIL ON
23	INTERNATIONAL POLICY TO BE HELD AT THE SKIRBALL
24	CENTER IN LOS ANGELES IN NOVEMBER.
25	THE DAY LONG EVENT BRINGS TOGETHER

1	INDUSTRIALISTS, POLICYMAKERS, FOREIGN SERVICE AND
2	DIPLOMATIC CORPS PERSONNEL, INCLUDING
3	REPRESENTATIVES OF THE MANY FOREIGN CONSULATES IN
4	LOS ANGELES. THE COUNCIL PROMOTES GLOBAL ENGAGEMENT
5	ACROSS L.A. AND CALIFORNIA. IT HIGHLIGHTS THE
6	IMPACT CALIFORNIA HAS ON GLOBAL ISSUES, FOSTERS
7	LOCAL TO GLOBAL CONNECTIONS, AND ENCOURAGING LOCAL
8	CITIZENS TO BECOME GLOBAL AFFAIRS CHAMPIONS BY
9	EXPORTING LOS ANGELES'S LOCAL CITIZEN TALENT TO THE
10	WORLD.
11	MY PANEL WILL FOCUS ON CALIFORNIA'S
12	LEADING ROLE IN THE DOMAIN SPECIFICALLY OF SCIENCE,
13	SUCH AS CLIMATE CHANGE AND HUMAN HEALTH, ESPECIALLY
14	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE.
15	THAT'S MY REPORT. AND I THANK YOU FOR
16	YOUR ATTENTION. I'M GOING TO BE FOLLOWED BY VICE
17	CHAIR BONNEVILLE FOR HER REPORT. THANK YOU, MARIA.
18	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, VITO.
19	I WANTED TO UPDATE EVERYONE ON THE ACCESS
20	AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP ACTIVITIES. EARLIER
21	THIS MONTH THE AAWG MET TO WEIGH IN ON ACCESS PLAN
22	REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE INCLUDED IN CIRM REGULATIONS.
23	CIRM-FUNDED PROGRAMS THAT REACH BLA ARE REQUIRED TO
24	SUBMIT ACCESS PLANS TO CIRM. OUR GENERAL COUNSEL
25	WORKED WITH BLUE RIDGE CONSULTING WHO HAS ALSO

1	HELPED THE SCIENCE PROGRAMS TEAM WITH THE
2	STAGE-APPROPRIATE ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY
3	ACTIVITIES THAT ARE NOW INCLUDED AS PART OF THE PDEV
4	AND CLIN CONCEPT PLANS. RAFAEL WILL TALK ABOUT THE
5	OUTCOME OF THE WORK LATER IN THIS MEETING.
6	COMING IN NOVEMBER TO THE ACCESS AND
7	AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP AND THEN TO THE BOARD,
8	THE PATIENT ACCESS TEAMS ARE PRESENTING AN ACCESS
9	AND AFFORDABILITY STRATEGY FOR INPUT AND
10	RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD. THE TEAMS HAVE BEEN
11	WORKING TO IDENTIFY KEY PROGRAMS THAT CAN HELP CIRM
12	WITH ITS MANDATE AROUND ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY.
13	IN ADDITION TO EXPLORING NEW PROGRAMS, THE
14	TEAM HAS ALSO TAKEN A LOOK AT EXISTING PROGRAMS LIKE
15	THE CLIN CONCEPT PLAN AND THE ALPHA CLINICS TO SEE
16	HOW THOSE PROGRAMS MIGHT BE FURTHER UTILIZED TO
17	ACHIEVE THESE AIMS.
18	THIS STRATEGY WILL COME TO THE BOARD IN
19	DECEMBER AFTER AAWG INPUT. AND AT THAT SAME
20	MEETING, THE ACCESS TEAM WILL GIVE THE BOARD AN
21	UPDATE ON CIRM'S PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM. HAPPY TO
22	ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS. GEORGE.
23	DR. BLUMENTHAL: THANK YOU, MARIA. THIS
24	IS A QUICK QUESTION THAT'S SORT OF OUT OF WHAT YOU
25	WERE TALKING ABOUT. BUT RECENTLY THERE'S BEEN BILLS

1	INTRODUCED IN THE STATE LEGISLATURE, IN PARTICULAR
2	BY SCOTT WEINER IN SAN FRANCISCO, TO HAVE CALIFORNIA
3	PASS A BOND TO FUND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH TO REPLACE
4	SOME OF THE LOST FUNDS THAT HAVE COME FROM THE
5	FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. HAS THERE BEEN ANY OUTREACH TO
6	CIRM WITH REGARD TO COORDINATING ON THIS?
7	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: ABSOLUTELY. WE
8	SPOKE TO SENATOR WEINER'S OFFICE THIS PAST TUESDAY
9	TO OFFER HELP, SUPPORT, IDEAS, AND ALSO TO GET A
10	GENERAL UNDERSTANDING OF WHERE THE BILL IS IN
11	PROCESS. AND THERE'S STILL A LOT OF OUTSTANDING
12	QUESTIONS, BUT THEY WERE VERY RECEPTIVE AND THANKFUL
13	THAT THEY HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO TALK TO US. AND
14	WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE CONVERSATIONS WITH HIS
15	OFFICE AROUND THIS ISSUE. AND I'LL KEEP THE BOARD
16	UPDATED AS THINGS GET MORE SOLIDIFIED.
17	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS
18	FOR THE VICE CHAIR? OKAY. THEN WE'RE GOING TO
19	MOVE JONATHAN THOMAS, IF YOU WILL COME TO THE
20	PODIUM FOR THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT. THANK YOU.
21	DR. THOMAS: MR. CHAIR, MADAM VICE CHAIR,
22	DISTINGUISHED MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, ESTEEMED CIRM
23	COLLEAGUES, AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, I AM PLEASED
24	TO PRESENT TODAY'S PRESIDENT'S REPORT WITH COMMENTS
25	ON SOME OF THE NOTEWORTHY EVENTS THAT HAVE TAKEN

1	PLACE SINCE THE LAST BOARD MEETING IN JUNE.
2	EVER SINCE INCEPTION CIRM HAS BEEN THE
3	OBJECT OF CURIOSITY AND ENVY BY GOVERNMENT
4	OFFICIALS, ACADEMICS, PATIENT ADVOCACY GROUPS, AND
5	OTHERS IN OTHER STATES. I HAVE BEEN ASKED ON MANY
6	OCCASIONS OVER THE YEARS ABOUT HOW CIRM WORKS, HOW
7	IT CAME TO BE, CAN SOMETHING SIMILARLY BE DONE IN
8	OTHER STATES, HOW CIRM CAN COLLABORATE WITH THOSE
9	OUTSIDE THE STATE, AND MANY OTHER SUCH QUESTIONS.
10	SINCE THE PASSAGE OF PROP 71, NO OTHER
11	STATE HAS BEEN ABLE TO ESTABLISH A CIRM EQUIVALENT.
12	THERE ARE A FEW STATE STEM CELL PROGRAMS, BUT THOSE
13	ARE MAGNITUDES SMALLER AND RELY ON ANNUAL
14	LEGISLATIVE APPROPRIATIONS, A CHALLENGE FOR
15	RESEARCHERS THAT NEED MULTIYEAR FUNDING CERTAINTY
16	FOR THEIR TEAMS. A NUMBER OF STATES HAVE BALLOT
17	INITIATIVE PROCESSES SIMILAR TO CALIFORNIA, BUT FOR
18	A VARIETY OF REASONS HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO GET ONE
19	ON THE BALLOT, LET ALONE GET IT PASSED.
20	THERE ARE ALSO A NUMBER OF SMALLER
21	INSTITUTES THAT MAKE GRANTS IN THE REGENERATIVE
22	MEDICINE SPACE, BUT THOSE RELY PRINCIPALLY ON
23	PHILANTHROPY, A CHALLENGE IN TODAY'S DIFFICULT
24	FUNDRAISING ENVIRONMENT. THAT HAS LEFT CIRM AS THE
25	LONE MAJOR STATE FUNDER IN THE COUNTRY DOING WHAT WE

1	DO IN CALIFORNIA, THE UNDISPUTED LEADER IN STEM CELL
2	AND GENETIC RESEARCH FUNDING.
3	THIS PAST JULY I HAD ONE SUCH CONVERSATION
4	THAT WAS INDICATIVE OF THOSE CONVERSATIONS ON CIRM
5	REFERENCED ABOVE. THIS ONE WITH MICHAEL YUDELL,
6	DEAN AND PROFESSOR IN THE COLLEGE OF HEALTH
7	SOLUTIONS AT ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY. DEAN YUDELL
8	IS A PUBLIC HEALTH SCIENTIST WHO FOCUSES ON ETHICS
9	AND HISTORY OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND MEDICINE WITH AN
10	EYE TOWARDS PUBLIC HEALTH POLICY IN THE AREAS OF
11	AUTISM, HEALTH DISPARITIES, AND GENOMICS. HE IS
12	VERY INTERESTED IN HOW ARIZONA MIGHT ADOPT A
13	CIRM-LIKE MODEL, IF POSSIBLE, AND, MORE
14	SPECIFICALLY, WHETHER THERE WOULD BE OPPORTUNITIES
15	FOR RESEARCH AT ASU TO COLLABORATE WITH RESEARCHERS
16	IN THE CIRM ECOSYSTEM. WE HAD A VERY PRODUCTIVE
17	TALK AND ARE EXPLORING WAYS TO FOLLOW UP AS NEXT
18	STEPS.
19	AS BEFORE, I HAVE ATTENDED A NUMBER OF
20	EVENTS REPRESENTING CIRM IN A VARIETY OF CAPACITIES.
21	IN JULY I MODERATED A PANEL ON THE ROLE OF RESEARCH
22	INSTITUTIONS AT THE GREATER LOS ANGELES LIFE SCIENCE
23	FORUM. THAT EVENT HAD ABOUT 200 STAKEHOLDERS FROM
24	ACROSS THE REGION TO EXPLORE THE LATEST DEVELOPMENTS
25	SHAPING L.A.'S LIFE SCIENCE LANDSCAPE.

1	IN ADDITION TO OUR PANEL, FOR WHICH THERE
2	WAS CONSIDERABLE Q AND A FROM THE AUDIENCE, THE
3	FORUM HIGHLIGHTED THE ECONOMIC GOALS OF REGIONAL
4	LEADERS, SHOWCASED EMERGING BIOTECH COMPANIES FROM
5	LOCAL ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS, AND EMPHASIZED THE
6	CRITICAL ROLE OF WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT IN ADVANCING
7	BOTH HEALTH OUTCOMES AND REGIONAL COMPETITIVENESS.
8	TWO WEEKS AGO I ATTENDED THE ANNUAL
9	MEETING OF THE BAY AREA COUNCIL OF WHICH CIRM IS A
10	MEMBER. THAT ORGANIZATION PULLS TOGETHER CIVIC AND
11	INDUSTRY LEADERS AS WELL AS SENIOR REPRESENTATIVES
12	FROM ACADEMIC AND NONPROFIT ENTITIES IN THE AREA.
13	THE EVENT, WHICH WAS HELD IN THE ENEMY
14	TERRAIN IN A CONFERENCE ROOM INSIDE ORACLE PARK,
15	HOME OF THE GIANTS, OFFERED THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK
16	TO A NUMBER OF COUNCILMEMBERS PLUS PRESS IN
17	ATTENDANCE ABOUT CIRM, OUR PROGRAMS, AND OUR
18	IMPORTANCE BOTH TO STAKEHOLDERS IN THE BAY AREA AND
19	THE STAKEHOLDERS THROUGHOUT THE STATE AS A WHOLE.
20	I SHOULD NOTE AS AN ASIDE THAT THE MEETING
21	WAS HELD IN ADVANCE OF A GIANTS GAME WITH PART OF
22	THE MEETING IN A NETTED AREA AT THE BASE OF THE
23	RIGHT FIELD WALL. I'M HAPPY TO REPORT THAT I
24	SCOOPED UP A BATTING PRACTICE BALL THAT ROLLED UNDER
25	THE NETTING WHICH IS PROUDLY ON DISPLAY IN MY OFFICE

1	FOR ANY OF YOU WHO MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN SEEING IT.
2	TWO OF THE MAJOR EVENTS FROM THE PAST
3	COUPLE MONTHS WERE OUR TWO ANNUAL EDUCATION
4	CONFERENCES. FIRST THE TRAINEE NETWORKING
5	CONFERENCE BRINGING TOGETHER PARTICIPANTS IN OUR
6	THREE PROGRAMS FROM THE UNDERGRADUATE LEVEL ON UP
7	AND OUR SPARK PROGRAM FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS.
8	BOTH EVENTS WERE OUTSTANDING AS ALWAYS, SHOWCASING
9	OUR AMAZING STUDENTS WHO WILL BE KEY PLAYERS IN THE
10	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE WORKFORCE OF TOMORROW.
11	DRS. KELLY SHEPARD AND DAISY XIN RUN THESE
12	WONDERFUL PROGRAMS. I HAD ASKED KELLY TO GIVE THE
13	BOARD A BRIEF REPORT ON BOTH OF THOSE CONFERENCES.
14	KELLY IS JOINING US ON ZOOM. KELLY, CAN WE HEAR
15	YOUR REPORT PLEASE?
16	DR. SHEPARD: YES. CAN SOMEONE CONFIRM
17	THAT YOU GUYS CAN HEAR ME?
18	DR. THOMAS: YES, WE CAN. THANK YOU.
19	DR. SHEPARD: WONDERFUL. GOOD AFTERNOON,
20	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, CIRM TEAM, AND ANY MEMBERS OF
21	THE PUBLIC WHO ARE JOINING US EITHER IN PERSON OR
22	VIA ZOOM. AS DR. THOMAS ELABORATED, I'D JUST LIKE
23	TO TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO HIGHLIGHT THE TWO IMPORTANT
24	EVENTS FROM THIS PAST SUMMER THAT REALLY CELEBRATED
25	THE ACHIEVEMENTS OF HUNDREDS OF TRAINEES ACROSS THE

1	STATE WHO PARTICIPATED IN CIRM'S RESEARCH TRAINING
2	PROGRAMS.
3	THESE CONFERENCES, OF COURSE, ARE A PART
4	OF CIRM'S EFFORTS TO BUILD A HIGHLY SKILLED
5	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE WORKFORCE WHILE KEEPING THESE
6	TRAINEES CONNECTED TO THE PATIENT COMMUNITIES WHO
7	INSPIRE THEIR WORK.
8	THE FIRST EVENT I'LL TALK ABOUT IS THE
9	CIRM TRAINING NETWORKING CONFERENCE. THIS TOOK
10	PLACE IN HOLLYWOOD, CALIFORNIA, AT THE END OF JULY.
11	THIS MARKED OUR SECOND TIME BRINGING TOGETHER
12	TRAINEES FROM THREE OF OUR UNDERGRADUATE THROUGH
13	POSTGRADUATE LEVEL PROGRAMS FOR A CHANCE TO NETWORK,
14	SHARE THEIR SCIENTIFIC ACCOMPLISHMENTS, AND ENGAGE
15	WITH ONE ANOTHER IN PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT
16	WORKSHOPS AND OTHER ACTIVITIES OF VALUE.
17	THIS YEAR'S CONFERENCE WAS TITLED "20
18	YEARS OF CIRM" IN HONOR OF OUR 20TH YEAR
19	ANNIVERSARY, WHICH WE FELT WAS A FITTING THEME FOR
20	EXPLORING HOW BOTH SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND PATIENT
21	ADVOCACY HAVE EVOLVED OVER THE PAST TWO DECADES. WE
22	WERE PARTICULARLY HONORED TO HAVE SEVERAL OF THE
23	ALUMNI FROM THE EARLY YEARS OF THESE SAME TRAINING
24	PROGRAMS, MANY OF WHOM HAVE SINCE BECOME MENTORS AND
25	EDUCATORS THEMSELVES. AND THEY COLLABORATED WITH US

1	TO PLAN THIS MEETING OR SERVE AS PANELISTS IN THE
2	MEETING.
3	THROUGHOUT THE TWO AND A HALF DAYS OF THIS
4	CONFERENCE, NEARLY 300 STUDENTS PRESENTED POSTERS
5	AND OVER 40 TRAINEES ACROSS ALL LEVELS DELIVERED
6	ORAL PRESENTATIONS TO THEIR PEERS AND MENTORS.
7	TRAINEES PARTICIPATED IN WORKSHOPS ON TOPICS SUCH AS
8	SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATION, MENTORSHIP, AND MANAGING
9	PROFESSIONAL INTERACTIONS IN THE WORKPLACE. THEY
10	ALSO WERE ABLE TO EXPLORE DIVERSE CAREER PATHS IN
11	SCIENCE LIKE PROJECT MANAGEMENT, MANUFACTURING
12	PROGRAMS, POLICY, AND OUTREACH. SEVERAL TRAINEES
13	ALSO CHAIRED PLENARY SESSIONS WHERE LEADING
14	SCIENTISTS SHARED CUTTING-EDGE RESEARCH ON SUBJECTS
15	LIKE EPILEPSY, MACULAR DEGENERATION, DIABETES, AND
16	WOMEN'S HEALTH.
17	WHILE THE SCIENTIFIC PRESENTATIONS WERE
18	IMPRESSIVE, IT WAS REALLY THE VOICE OF PATIENT
19	ADVOCATES THAT REMINDED THE ATTENDEES OF THE HUMAN
20	IMPACT THAT RESULTS FROM THEIR SCIENTIFIC WORK.
21	ATTENDEES TOLD US THEY WERE ESPECIALLY MOVED BY THE
22	PERSONAL STORIES FROM KRISTIN MACDONALD AND AUDREY
23	PAKRAVAN, WHO SPOKE ABOUT LIVING WITH RETINITIS
24	PIGMENTOSA AND SURVIVING CANCER AS A YOUNG WOMAN
25	RESPECTIVELY.
	2.2

1	IN ADDITION, THEY WERE MOVED BY ADRIENNE
2	SHAPIRO AND JEFFERY RANDALL ALLEN WHO SPOKE OF THEIR
3	DEDICATION AND ADVOCACY ON BEHALF OF THEIR FAMILY
4	MEMBERS WITH SICKLE CELL DISEASE AND CREATINE
5	TRANSPORTER DEFICIENCY. MOMENTS LIKE THESE REALLY
6	SHOW AND REMIND US WHY CIRM'S TRAINING PROGRAMS
7	MATTER. THE SCALE OF PARTICIPATION THIS YEAR
8	REFLECTS JUST HOW FAR REACHING THIS IMPACT HAS
9	BECOME WITH OVER 500 ATTENDEES FROM ACROSS OUR GREAT
10	STATE.
11	ALONG WITH THE TRAINEES WE WELCOMED THEIR
12	PROGRAM DIRECTORS, MENTORS, KEYNOTE SPEAKERS, AND A
13	VARIETY OF PROFESSIONALS AND VOLUNTEERS, INCLUDING
14	SEVERAL MEMBERS OF THE CIRM TEAM AND OUR OWN
15	GOVERNING BOARD.
16	SO IN ADDITION TO THE TRAINEE NETWORKING
17	CONFERENCE, THE SECOND EVENT I WANTED TO SHARE WITH
18	YOU WAS A CELEBRATION OF ANOTHER GROUP OF REMARKABLE
19	YOUNG SCIENTISTS. THIS TOOK PLACE IN LA JOLLA,
20	CALIFORNIA, ON AUGUST 4TH. CIRM'S SPARK PROGRAM
21	INTRODUCES REGENERATIVE MEDICINE AND STEM CELL
22	BIOLOGY TO HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS. AND EVERY YEAR
23	THESE STUDENTS COME TOGETHER AND PARTICIPATE, AFTER
24	PARTICIPATING IN SUMMER INTERNSHIPS, THE CULMINATION
25	OF THE ANNUAL SPARK CONFERENCE WHERE THEY SHARE

1	THEIR RESEARCH WITH PEERS AND MENTORS.
2	OVER A HUNDRED HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS FROM
3	ACROSS THE STATE CAME TOGETHER THIS YEAR. AND IN
4	ADDITION TO PRESENTING THEIR RESEARCH POSTERS, THEY
5	GOT TO HEAR FROM LEADING INDUSTRY AND ACADEMIC
6	EXPERTS AS WELL AS PATIENT ADVOCATES. DESPITE A
7	RELATIVELY SHORT SUMMER INTERNSHIP, IT'S ALWAYS
8	AMAZING TO HEAR HOW MUCH STUDENTS HAVE LEARNED
9	DURING THEIR TIME IN THE LAB. THEIR INSIGHTFUL
10	QUESTIONS THAT THEY HAVE ABOUT THE FIELD AND FUTURE
11	CAREERS ARE A REMINDER OF HOW BENEFICIAL IT IS TO
12	EXPOSE STUDENTS TO THESE OPPORTUNITIES EARLY.
13	WHILE THERE IS A LOT MORE I COULD SHARE,
14	I'LL JUST ACKNOWLEDGE THE GRANTEES WHO HELPED US
15	ORGANIZE THESE CONFERENCES COAST TO COAST IN
16	GENERATION STEAM. AND I'LL STOP HERE AND JUST
17	REMIND YOU THAT IF YOU ARE INTERESTED IN LEARNING
18	MORE ABOUT THESE EVENTS, THERE ARE SEVERAL POSTS
19	FROM THE CIRM TEAM ON OUR BLOG AND LINKEDIN SITES.
20	AND WE WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO SHARE INFORMATION
21	IF YOU'D LIKE TO FOLLOW UP WITH US IN THE NEAR
22	FUTURE. THANK YOU, J.T.
23	DR. THOMAS: THANK YOU, KELLY. YSABEL.
24	MS. DURON: THANK YOU. KELLY, GREAT. I
25	WAS EXCITED TO SPEND SOME TIME AT SAN JOSE STATE

1	UNIVERSITY WITH SOME OF THE ACTUALLY TEAM LEADERS,
2	THE ADULTS. AND WHAT I WAS EXCITED ABOUT, QUITE
3	FRANKLY, KELLY, WAS THAT THEY WERE WORKING TO
4	DEVELOP A COMMUNICATIONS COURSE TO TEACH OUR YOUNG
5	STEM SCIENTISTS HOW TO SPEAK ENGLISH. AND I MEAN TO
6	SPEAK TO THE PUBLIC ABOUT WHAT THEY'RE DOING AND THE
7	MARVELOUS CURES AND/OR AT LEAST ADVANCES THAT CAN BE
8	MADE AS A RESULT OF STEM CELL.
9	SO I LOVE THE IDEA OF THE COMBINATION OF
10	PATIENT ADVOCACY, BUT I ALSO THINK YOU NEED TO
11	INTENTIONALLY CREATE A COMMUNICATIONS STRATEGY TO
12	HELP THESE YOUNG PEOPLE TURN OUT WORD AND BE ABLE TO
13	GO INTO THE PUBLIC VERY DELIBERATELY TO DO THE
14	GOSPEL BECAUSE THERE'S STILL SO MANY PEOPLE WHO
15	DON'T REALLY KNOW WHAT THIS STEM CELL STUFF IS, HOW
16	IT MAKES A DIFFERENCE IN THEIR LIVES, OR COULD IN
17	THE FUTURE, AND HOW IT IS PART OF DEVELOPING OUT
18	LONG-TERM ADVANCES OVER TIME THAT WE HOPE WILL
19	MAKE ACTUALLY CREATE CURES.
20	SO ANYTHING THAT YOU ALL ARE PROMOTING
21	FROM YOUR END TO REALLY CREATE A VERY DELIBERATE
22	COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM FOR ALL OF THE STEM CELL
23	STUDENTS OUT THERE, I THINK IT'S REALLY CRITICAL.
24	DR. SHEPARD: YEAH. THANK YOU FOR THAT
25	COMMENT. I SPOKE TO YOU A LITTLE BIT AT THAT SAN

1	JOSE EVENT ABOUT THIS, AND I TOTALLY AGREE WITH YOU.
2	AND I'M HAPPY THAT AMY ADAMS HAS JOINED US. SHE'S
3	QUITE WELL VERSED AT THIS, AND I'M SURE THAT SHE
4	WILL BE ABLE TO HELP US COME UP WITH SOME CREATIVE
5	IDEAS FOR HOW WE CAN INCORPORATE MORE OF THIS
6	IMPORTANT ACTIVITY IN OUR FUTURE ITERATIONS OF THESE
7	PROGRAMS. THANK YOU.
8	MS. DURON: AND NOW WE HAVE MARGUERITE
9	CASILLAS ALSO WITH EXPERTISE IN COMMUNICATIONS. SO
10	I REALLY DO THINK JOINING US ON THE SUBCOMMITTEE.
11	DR. SHEPARD: WONDERFUL. THAT'S RIGHT.
12	THANK YOU. THANK YOU. I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO
13	WORKING WITH ALL OF YOU.
14	DR. THOMAS: THANK YOU, YSABEL. THANK
15	YOU, KELLY, FOR THAT INSPIRING PRESENTATION. IT
16	DRIVES HOME, AS IT DOES EVERY YEAR, THE IMPORTANCE
17	OF CIRM'S EDUCATION FOCUS AND THE MAJOR ROLE WE
18	CONTINUE TO PLAY IN MAKING OPPORTUNITIES AVAILABLE
19	THROUGHOUT THE STATE FOR STUDENTS INTERESTED IN THE
20	FIELD.
21	THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESIDENT'S REPORT OTHER
22	THAN TO SAY NICE TRY AND BETTER LUCK NEXT YEAR TO
23	GIANTS FANS IN ATTENDANCE AND TO DISPEL THE LONG
24	HELD NOTION THAT I'M THE ONLY DODGER FAN IN THE
25	EXTENDED CIRM FAMILY. THOUGH THEY TRY TO KEEP IT

1	QUIET, THERE ARE, IN FACT, OTHER ARDENT SUPPORTERS
2	IN OUR MIDST. SEE HERE, FOR EXAMPLE.
3	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: THAT'S ME.
4	DR. THOMAS: WITH THAT, TURNING IT BACK
5	OVER TO YOU, MR. CHAIR.
6	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, J.T.
7	WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON. I THINK WE HAVE TIME BEFORE
8	OUR LUNCH TO DO THE CONSENT CALENDAR.
9	MR. TOCHER: ACTUALLY, IF POSSIBLE, WE'D
10	LIKE TO BREAK FOR LUNCH SO THAT WE CAN GET TO
11	DAVID'S RESOLUTION WHEN WE RETURN.
12	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY.
13	MR. TOCHER: SO WE'LL JUST BREAK FOR LUNCH
14	NOW FOR THE NEXT HALF HOUR.
15	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: AND WE'LL START WITH
16	THE RESOLUTION.
17	MR. TOCHER: CORRECT. SO FOR THOSE OF YOU
18	ON THE PHONE, WE'LL RETURN AT 12:40. LUNCH IS IN
19	THE NEXT ROOM, RIGHT HERE. AND YOU CAN EAT IN THERE
20	OR BRING IT BACK TO YOUR DESK, WHATEVER YOU WISH.
21	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: SAY THE RETURN TIME
22	AGAIN.
23	MR. TOCHER: AT 12:40.
24	(A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
25	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. WELCOME,
	27

1	EVERYONE, BACK FROM YOUR LUNCH. AND WE'RE GOING TO
2	CONTINUE WITH THE AGENDA AT AGENDA ITEM NO. 7, AND
3	I'M GOING TO INTRODUCE IT.
4	SO YOU KNOW IT'S A BITTERSWEET TASK
5	WHENEVER WE SAY GOODBYE TO A VALUED BOARD MEMBER AND
6	THANK THEM FOR THEIR SERVICE. AS IS THE CASE TODAY,
7	WE'RE GOING TO RECOGNIZE THE MANY YEARS OF SERVICE
8	OF DAVID HIGGINS.
9	DAVID, WHO WAS APPOINTED TO THIS BOARD BY
10	CALIFORNIA STATE CONTROLLER JOHN CHIANG IN 2014,
11	BEING RECOMMENDED FOR IT BY THE MICHAEL J. FOX
12	FOUNDATION, AND REAPPOINTED BY CONTROLLER BETTY YEE
13	IN 2021. DAVID'S ROLE IS THAT OF PATIENT ADVOCATE
14	REPRESENTING THE PARKINSON'S DISEASE GROUP. DR.
15	HIGGINS IS A WELL-KNOWN ADVOCATE FOR THOSE WITH
16	PARKINSON'S DISEASE AS WELL AS THEIR CARETAKERS AND
17	CARE PARTNERS, RAISING AWARENESS FOR THE SOCIAL,
18	MEDICAL, AND ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF DEVELOPING NEW
19	TREATMENTS AND A CURE FOR THIS CONDITION.
20	DAVID REFERS TO PARKINSON'S AS A FAMILY
21	TRADITION, SADLY, BECAUSE OF HOW MANY CLOSE FAMILY
22	MEMBERS AND RELATIVES HAD TO DEAL WITH IT
23	PERSONALLY. IT MAY NOT BE WELL KNOWN THAT HIS
24	GRANDMOTHER PARTICIPATED IN AND BENEFITED
25	SIGNIFICANTLY FROM EARLY CLINICAL TRIALS OF LEVODOPA

1	THERAPY IN THE 1960S.
2	AS I UNDERSTAND IT, DAVID'S UNCLE WAS
3	LIVING IN GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA, HEARD OF A CLINICAL
4	TRIAL USING LEVODOPA IN A DOUBLE-BLINDED TRIAL. AND
5	HIS UNCLE CAUSED HIS GRANDMOTHER TO RELOCATE
6	TEMPORARILY FROM WEST VIRGINIA WHERE SHE WAS LIVING
7	DOWN TO GAINESVILLE. AND THE DISEASE HAD SEVERELY
8	IMPACTED HER ABILITY TO ARTICULATE VERBALLY, BUT THE
9	LEVODOPA TRIAL MADE A PROFOUND AND DRAMATIC
10	IMPROVEMENT IN THAT SO SHE COULD GO BACK HOME.
11	SO IN A SENSE I TELL YOU THAT STORY
12	BECAUSE YOU COULD LOOK AT IT THAT DAVID IS JUST
13	CONTINUING A LONG FAMILY TRADITION OF SUPPORTING AND
14	PARTICIPATING IN THE ADVANCEMENT OF CLINICAL
15	RESEARCH.
16	AS SUCH, HE HAS SERVED AS THE CALIFORNIA
17	ASSISTANT STATE DIRECTOR OF THE PARKINSON'S ACTION
18	NETWORK AND THE VICE PRESIDENT OF THE BOARD FOR THE
19	PARKINSON'S ASSOCIATION OF SAN DIEGO. HIS DOCTORAL
20	DISSERTATION IN MOLECULAR BIOLOGY AND GENETICS AT
21	THE UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER LED TO A POSTDOCTORAL
22	FELLOWSHIP AT THE NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE FOLLOWED
23	BY DRUG DEVELOPMENT WORK AT COMPANIES INCLUDING
24	INVITROGEN, CHIRON, AND EIDEN PHARMACEUTICALS. HE
25	WAS HEAD OF THE UNITED STATES OPERATIONS FOR

1	BIOMEDICA WHICH WAS DEVELOPING A GENETIC THERAPY TO
2	TREAT PARKINSON'S DISEASE.
3	DAVID SERVED AS AN ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF
4	BIOLOGY AT SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY AND AS AN
5	INSTRUCTOR FOR THE BIOTECH TECHNICIAN TRAINING
6	PROGRAM AT SAN DIEGO CITY COLLEGE. CIRM HAS
7	BENEFITED FROM HIS CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE SCIENCE AND
8	COMMUNICATIONS SUBCOMMITTEES, THE APPLICATION REVIEW
9	SUBCOMMITTEE, AND THE TASK FORCE FOR NEUROSCIENCE
10	AND MEDICINE. HE WAS A REGULAR MEMBER OF THE
11	FACILITIES WORKING GROUP, THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP,
12	AND THE ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP.
13	THIS LEADS ME TO THE RESOLUTION, TO THE
14	RESOLVED PART OF THE RESOLUTION, THAT THIS GOVERNING
15	BOARD OF CIRM, ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
16	OF CALIFORNIA, WISHES TO EXPRESS ITS DEEPEST
17	GRATITUDE TO DAVID HIGGINS FOR HIS SERVICE ON THIS
18	BOARD AND FOR HIS DEDICATION TO THE ADVANCEMENT OF
19	STEM CELL RESEARCH AND TO THE MISSION OF CIRM.
20	DAVID, THIS IS A REWARD THIS RESOLUTION
21	IS THE LEAST THAT WE CAN DO TO RECOGNIZE YOUR MANY
22	YEARS OF SERVICE TO US. AND I THANK YOU PERSONALLY
23	AS DOES THE BOARD. AND I WILL OPEN THE FLOOR.
24	(APPLAUSE.)
25	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I JUST WANTED TO
	20

1	ADD A COUPLE OF THINGS. I'VE KNOWN DAVID MY HIGH
2	WHOLE CAREER AT CIRM. HE'S ALWAYS BEEN CIRM'S
3	CHEERLEADER. HE'S THE FIRST TO THANK THE STAFF FOR
4	THEIR HARD WORK FOR A GREAT PRESENTATION AND FOR ALL
5	THEY DO TO KEEP CIRM HUMMING ALONG. HE'S ALWAYS
6	BEEN UPBEAT AND POSITIVE, TRULY EXCITED TO
7	PARTICIPATE AND GIVE BACK TO THE PEOPLE OF
8	CALIFORNIA, AND HE HAS BEEN A HUGE GIFT TO US. AND
9	I'LL MISS YOUR AMAZING CONTRIBUTIONS, DAVID, BUT
10	THANK YOU FOR SPENDING ALL OF THESE YEARS WITH US.
11	DR. THOMAS: MR. CHAIR, IF I MIGHT.
12	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES, J.T.
13	DR. THOMAS: DAVID, FIRST OF ALL, I WANT
14	TO SAY A WORD ABOUT YOUR DISTINGUISHED CAREER. WHEN
15	WE DEAL WITH EACH OTHER AS CIRM COLLEAGUES, WE, AS
16	ONE WOULD EXPECT, FOCUS ON PARTICULAR ROLES THAT ONE
17	PLAYS AS PART OF THE AGENCY FAMILY, IN YOUR CASE,
18	PATIENT ADVOCATE FOR PARKINSON'S DISEASE. WHAT WE
19	TEND TO FORGET IS THE INCREDIBLE STRING OF
20	ACCOMPLISHMENTS THAT PRECEDED ONE'S CIRM DAYS THAT
21	FULLY DEFINE WHAT A BOARD MEMBER HAS DONE LEADING UP
22	TO JOINING OUR TEAM.
23	LOOKING AT YOUR RESOLUTION, I AM REMINDED
24	OF THAT FACT ONCE AGAIN. WHAT YOU'VE DONE OVER THE
25	COURSE OF YOUR CAREER HAS BEEN NOTHING SHORT OF

1	AMAZING. WHETHER IT WAS YOUR ROLE IN MULTIPLE
2	BIOTECH COMPANIES DEVELOPING A VARIETY OF DRUGS, IN
3	ACADEMIA TEACHING IN MULTIPLE UNIVERSITY SETTINGS,
4	SERVING ON NUMEROUS BOARDS, BRINGING YOUR EXPERTISE
5	TO BEAR, OR AS AN ADVISOR TO MANY IN THE SAN DIEGO
6	AREA, YOU'VE SHARED YOUR SKILLS AND PERSPECTIVES FOR
7	THE BENEFIT OF SO MANY.
8	SO TOO HAS IT BEEN DURING YOUR LONG TENURE
9	ON THE CIRM BOARD. THERE IS MANY DESCRIPTORS THAT
10	COME TO MIND WHEN I REFLECT BACK ON YOUR YEARS OF
11	SERVICE: PASSIONATE PATIENT ADVOCATE, EXEMPLARY
12	COLLEAGUE, INSIGHTFUL PEER REVIEWER, MODEL
13	AMBASSADOR FOR CIRM, OR EVEN MORE SPECIFICALLY
14	SINGLE WORDS THAT REFLECT WHAT I THINK WHEN I THINK
15	OF YOU: DEDICATION, OPTIMISM, COLLEGIALITY, AND
16	ABOVE ALL GOODNESS.
17	THROUGHOUT YOUR TIME AT CIRM, YOU'VE
18	EMBODIED THE BEST OF WHAT CIRM IS ABOUT AT ALL
19	TIMES. YOU HAVE BROUGHT A CHEERFUL ZEST TO THE
20	BOARD AND OUR TEAM THAT INSPIRED AND BUOYED US AS WE
21	WENT ABOUT OUR SERIOUS BUSINESS OF ENABLING RESEARCH
22	THAT WE HOPE WILL ONE DAY YIELD THERAPIES AND CURES
23	FOR A HOST OF THE WORLD'S MOST DEBILITATING DISEASES
24	AND CONDITIONS. YOU HAVE ANSWERED THE CALL FOR ALL
25	THAT CIRM ASKS OF BOARD MEMBERS, HAVING SERVED ON

1	SEEMINGLY EVERY COMMITTEE AND TASK FORCE AT ONE TIME
2	OR ANOTHER OVER THE YEARS, AND HAVE DONE SO WITH
3	UTMOST ENTHUSIASM AND PROFESSIONALISM.
4	AT ALL TIMES, DAVID, IT HAS BEEN A TRUE
5	PRIVILEGE TO WORK WITH YOU THESE MANY YEARS. THANK
6	YOU FOR ALL THAT YOU HAVE DONE FOR CIRM AND FOR THE
7	PATIENTS OF CALIFORNIA AND THE WORLD. WE WISH YOU
8	NOTHING BUT THE BEST AS YOU CONTINUE ALONG THE NEXT
9	PHASE OF LIFE UNDOUBTEDLY IN CONTINUED SERVICE OF
10	OTHERS WHO WILL BE SO LUCKY TO HAVE YOU CROSS THEIR
11	PATH.
12	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, J.T.
13	THAT WAS BEAUTIFUL. MARVIN.
14	DR. SOUTHARD: SO, DAVID, I JUST WANTED TO
15	SAY WE HAVE SERVED ON NUMEROUS REVIEW PANELS
16	TOGETHER. AND I HAVE REALLY BENEFITED FROM LEARNING
17	HOW TO DO A GOOD REVIEW FROM YOU WATCHING YOU. I
18	CAN'T ADD ANYTHING TO YOUR SCIENTIFIC KNOWLEDGE
19	BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE THAT, BUT THE WAY YOU CAREFULLY
20	LOOK AT EACH PROPOSAL AND ARE ABLE TO PEEL OUT THE
21	STRONG POINTS AND THE WEAK POINTS BOTH FROM A
22	COMMUNITY AND FROM A SCIENTIFIC PERSPECTIVE HAS
23	REALLY BEEN INSPIRING. SO I THANK YOU FOR TEACHING
24	ME.
25	MS. DURON: FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO THANK

1	J.T. FOR THAT VERY POETIC DESCRIPTION. IT WAS QUITE
2	BEAUTIFUL. IT REFLECTED, IN FACT, A LOT OF THINGS I
3	WOULD SAY, BUT HE SAID THEM FOR ME.
4	WHAT I WANT TO SAY, DAVID, ON A VERY
5	PERSONAL NOTE IS THAT ARRIVING AT THIS DISTINGUISHED
6	BOARD AS A PATIENT ADVOCATE CAN BE VERY DAUNTING AS
7	WE TRY TO LEARN THE SCIENCE ALONG WITH THE
8	RELATIONSHIPS. AND I REALLY APPRECIATED THAT FROM
9	THE GET-GO YOU WERE VERY SUPPORTIVE OF OTHER PATIENT
10	ADVOCATES, BUT PARTICULARLY ME AND MAKING ME FEEL
11	WELCOME SO THAT AND WATCHING MY BACK SO THAT I
12	HAD THE CHUTZPAH TO SAY, TO TALK, TO ENGAGE. AND I
13	HOPE I TAKE AWAY FROM THAT THAT AS PATIENT
14	ADVOCATES OUR VOICES ARE POWER. WHO WE REPRESENT IS
15	CRITICAL. AND YOU ARE THE PERFECT MODEL FOR HOW
16	THAT SHOULD BE, NOT JUST BY TALKING OUT, BUT
17	SUPPORTING OTHERS, THE WIND AT OUR BACK. SO REALLY
18	APPRECIATE THAT I COULD DEPEND ON DAVID TO HAVE MY
19	BACK. AND SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR BEING THAT
20	WIND. THANK YOU.
21	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: I CAN'T HOPE TO
22	MATCH THE ELOQUENCE OF WHAT MARV AND YSABEL JUST
23	SAID. BUT HAVING SPENT COUNTLESS HOURS TOGETHER ON
24	GRANT WORKING GROUP, FACILITY WORKING GROUP, I MADE
25	SURE TO BE EXTRA CAREFUL LISTENING TO YOUR FEEDBACK

1	AND COMMENTS BECAUSE YOUR ABILITY TO PARSE OUT AND
2	DISTIL THE ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS OF BOTH THE
3	SCIENCE AND THE COMMUNITY WERE SO COMPELLING THAT IT
4	WAS VERY IMPORTANT TO BE ABLE TO TAKE YOUR
5	INFORMATION AND BE ABLE TO COMPREHEND IT.
6	SO YOUR DILIGENCE, YOUR THOUGHTFULNESS,
7	YOUR PROACTIVE, POSITIVE INTERACTIONS ALL
8	CONTRIBUTED MIGHTILY TO THOSE DISCUSSIONS. AND SO
9	PERSONALLY I WANT TO VERY MUCH THANK YOU FOR YOUR
10	HARD WORK IN PREPARING FOR ALL THAT BECAUSE YOU HAD
11	A DEFINITE IMPACT ON ME. I KNOW YOU HAD AN IMPACT
12	ON MANY OTHERS. SO THANK YOU.
13	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, MARK.
14	WHILE KEEPING THE FLOOR OPEN, THE CHAIR WILL
15	ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ACCEPT THIS RESOLUTION IN
16	HONOR OF DAVID HIGGINS.
17	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SO MOVED.
18	DR. SOUTHARD: SECOND.
19	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: DID YOU CAPTURE
20	THAT? THANK YOU. OKAY. IS THERE ANY COMMENT
21	COMING FROM OUTSIDE THE ROOM? OKAY. THAT INCLUDES
22	THE PUBLIC, OF COURSE. SO I GUESS
23	MS. MANDAC: NO PUBLIC COMMENT.
24	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: NO PUBLIC COMMENTS.
25	I THINK WE SHOULD CLOSE THE FORMALITY PART OF THIS.

	2211 0.211111, 0.2 0011101. 202
1	MR. TOCHER: I'LL DO A VOICE VOTE IN THE
2	ROOM. AND I MUST POLL THE MEMBERS INDIVIDUALLY WHO
3	ARE PARTICIPATING VIA ZOOM.
4	SO ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. THOSE
5	OPPOSED? ANY ABSTENTIONS? AND I'LL POLL THE
6	MEMBERS ON THE PHONE.
7	DAN BERNAL.
8	MR. BERNAL: ENTHUSIASTIC AYE.
9	MR. TOCHER: MONICA CARSON.
10	DR. CARSON: AYE.
11	MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
12	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: AYE.
13	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
14	DR. DULIEGE: AYE.
15	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
16	MR. LAJARA: AYE.
17	MR. TOCHER: CHRIS MIASKOWSKI.
18	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
19	MR. TOCHER: AND JOE PANETTA.
20	MR. PANETTA: VERY BIG AYE.
21	MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU. CONGRATULATIONS,
22	DAVID.
23	(APPLAUSE.)
24	DR. HIGGINS: THANK YOU ALL FOR NOT JUST
25	TODAY AND THIS BUT FOR 13 YEARS OF THIS. I FEEL
	26

36

1	HUMBLED AND APPRECIATIVE. AND THEY SAY THAT THE
2	FIRST TIME YOU MEET A PERSON WITH PARKINSON'S IS THE
3	SAME AS ANY OTHER TIME YOU'LL EVER MEET THEM. IT'S
4	A CONFOUNDING, VICIOUS DISEASE THAT CIRM IS POISED,
5	I THINK, TO CONTRIBUTE SIGNIFICANTLY TO A CURE.
6	THERE ARE RUMORS OF CLINICAL TRIALS GOING ON IN
7	HUMANS AS WE SPEAK YET TO BE CONFIRMED.
8	AS YOU HEARD FROM VITO, I HAVE A
9	PARTICULARLY RICH BACKGROUND IN PARKINSON'S. I
10	THINK I WAS IT WAS SORT OF FATE FROM THE
11	BEGINNING BECAUSE IT COULD ALWAYS PUT YOU TO WORK
12	DOING SOMETHING. I APPRECIATE BEING INCORPORATED
13	INTO THE CIRM FAMILY, AND I REGRET THAT I AM
14	LEAVING. BUT MEDICALLY MY TIME HAS COME AND IT WILL
15	BE BETTER OFF WITH MY HUSBAND BATTLING THE NUANCES
16	OF THIS DISEASE FROM AFAR. SO WITH THAT, THANK YOU
17	VERY MUCH.
18	(APPLAUSE.)
19	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU AGAIN,
20	DAVID, AND WE WISH YOU VERY, VERY WELL.
21	OKAY. FROM THE SUBLIME TO THE LESS THAN
22	SUBLIME, WE WILL MOVE TO THE CONSENT AGENDA. THERE
23	ARE THREE ITEMS. I CAN TAKE YOU THROUGH THAT VERY
24	QUICKLY. THERE IS A CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES
25	FROM THE LAST MEETING, JUNE 26TH. I PERUSED THE

1	MINUTES. I CAN'T FIND ANYTHING THAT NEEDS
2	CORRECTION. THERE ARE SEVERAL APPOINTMENTS AND
3	REAPPOINTMENTS TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. THE
4	APPOINTMENT IS OF OUR NEWEST BOARD MEMBER,
5	MARGUERITE CASILLAS, THE PATIENT ADVOCATE FOR THE
6	MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS PATIENT GROUP. AND REAPPOINTMENT
7	OF THREE MEMBERS TO THEIR THIRD SIX-YEAR TERM:
8	MARTIN PARA FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE
9	AUSTRALIA. RAJA RAJIV FROM GLAXOSMITHKLINE, AND
10	STEVEN RUSSELL, BOTH OF BETA BIONICS AND HARVARD
11	MEDICAL SCHOOL.
12	INCIDENTALLY, OUR VICE PRESIDENT FOR
13	PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT AND REVIEW, GIL SAMBRANO, HAS
14	PUT TOGETHER IN YOUR MEETING NOTES A REFERENCE BY
15	URL TO A LIST OF ALL 269 MEMBERS OF THE GRANTS
16	WORKING GROUP. THERE WAS SOME CURIOSITY AT THE LAST
17	MINUTE OF WHO THESE PEOPLE ARE, WHAT THEIR FIELDS OF
18	EXPERTISE ARE. HE'S VERY GENEROUSLY COMPILED THAT
19	INTO A LIST THAT IS ACCESSIBLE TO YOU.
20	AND THE THIRD ITEM IN THE CONSENT AGENDA
21	IS THE CONSIDERATION OF THE APPOINTMENT TO THE
22	ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP OF THE
23	GENTLEWOMAN TO MY LEFT, YAEL WYTE.
24	SO THE WAY CONSENT AGENDAS WORK IS IF YOU
25	WANT TO DISCUSS OR ARGUE OR DEBATE ANY PART OF THAT,
J	

1	PLEASE EXTRACT IT FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA.
2	OTHERWISE, I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOVEMENT TO ACCEPT IT IN
3	TOTO AND WE WILL VOTE ON IT. ARE THERE ANY
4	EXTRACTIONS? HEARING NONE
5	DR. BARRETT: I MOVE ACCEPTANCE OF THE
6	CONSENT AGENDA.
7	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. AND A
8	SECOND?
9	DR. CARETHERS: I SECOND.
10	JOHN CARETHERS SECONDS. THANK YOU.
11	ANY DISCUSSION OR COMMENT FROM THE PUBLIC?
12	HEARING NONE, SCOTT, I THINK YOU CAN PROCEED.
13	MR. TOCHER: ALL THOSE IN FAVOR IN THE
14	ROOM SAY AYE. THOSE OPPOSED OR ABSTAIN? AND I'LL
15	POLL THE MEMBERS ON THE PHONE.
16	DAN BERNAL.
17	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
18	MR. TOCHER: MONICA CARSON.
19	DR. CARSON: AYE.
20	MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
21	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: AYE.
22	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
23	DR. DULIEGE: AYE.
24	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
25	MR. LAJARA: AYE.
	39

1	MR. TOCHER: CHRIS MIASKOWSKI.
2	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
3	MR. TOCHER: AND JOE PANETTA.
4	MR. PANETTA: YES.
5	MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU. THE MOTION
6	CARRIES.
7	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, SCOTT.
8	WE'RE GOING TO MOVE NOW TO AGENDA ITEM NO.
9	11, CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN
10	RESPONSE TO OUR DISCOVERY PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT KNOWN
11	AS DISC-0. AND I WILL ASK OUR VICE PRESIDENT FOR
12	PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT AND REVIEW TO COME FORWARD,
13	GIL SAMBRANO.
14	DR. SAMBRANO: GOOD AFTERNOON, MEMBERS OF
15	THE BOARD, MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, COLLEAGUES. TODAY
16	I'M GOING TO PRESENT TO YOU THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM
17	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP RELATED TO THE DISC-0
18	FOUNDATION AWARDS.
19	THE PROGRAM ALWAYS STARTS WITH A STATEMENT
20	OF OUR MISSION. THIS IS SOMETHING WE DO AT THE
21	GRANTS WORKING GROUP. WE DO THIS AT THE BOARD.
22	IT'S AN IMPORTANT REMINDER TO ALL OF US WHY WE ARE
23	HERE WHICH IS TO ACCELERATE WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE TO
24	DELIVER TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
25	TREATMENTS IN AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A DIVERSE
	40
	40

1	CALIFORNIA AND WORLD.
2	THE DISCOVERY PROGRAM ITSELF AT CIRM IS
3	FOCUSED WITH A GOAL OF FUNDING EARLY STAGE
4	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE SCIENCE AND TO ACCELERATE THE
5	DEVELOPMENT OF POTENTIAL THERAPIES AND BIOMARKERS.
6	THE DISC-0 OPPORTUNITY ITSELF, ITS GOAL IS
7	TO ACHIEVE A DISCOVERY OR TECHNOLOGY THAT ADDRESSES
8	A KNOWLEDGE GAP THAT CAN ADVANCE ANY OF THESE FOUR
9	ITEMS. THAT IS, UNDERSTANDING THE BIOLOGY OF STEM
10	CELLS THAT'S RELEVANT TO HUMAN BIOLOGY AND DISEASE,
11	THE APPLICATION OF GENETIC RESEARCH RELEVANT TO
12	HUMAN BIOLOGY OR DISEASE, AS WELL AS THE DEVELOPMENT
13	OF HUMAN STEM CELLS AS A TOOL FOR BIOMEDICAL
14	INNOVATION AND THE GREATER APPLICABILITY OF
15	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE DISCOVERIES TO ALL AFFECTED
16	POPULATIONS.
17	THE STRUCTURE OF THE DISC-0 OPPORTUNITY IS
18	IN THIS TABLE. SO FOR THIS PARTICULAR ROUND, THIS
19	HAS NOT ALWAYS BEEN THE CASE FOR DISC-0, BUT FOR
20	THIS ROUND WE HAD TWO TRACKS. WE HAD A SINGLE PI
21	TRACK AND A TEAM TRACK. BOTH OF THEM OFFER AWARDS
22	FOR THREE YEARS, THE BUDGET BEING COMMENSURATE WITH
23	THE FACT THAT THE SINGLE TRACK SUPPORTS A SINGLE PI
24	AND THEIR TEAM TO CONDUCT WORK; WHEREAS, THE TEAM
25	TRACK PROVIDES UP TO 3 MILLION FOR THE PI PLUS UP TO

1	TWO ADDITIONAL CO-INVESTIGATORS WHO ARE INTENDED TO
2	BE COMPLEMENTARY TO THE WORK OF THE MAIN PI.
3	THE ALLOCATION FOR THIS ROUND OF DISC-0
4	AND THIS IS THE LAST ROUND OF DISC-0. SO JUST A
5	NOTE. WE ARE REPLACING THIS WITH WHAT WILL BE
6	COMING, THE DISC5 PROGRAM. FOR THIS ROUND WE HAD
7	74.2 MILLION ALLOCATED TO SUPPORT UP TO 20 TO 25
8	AWARDS. NOW, I'LL REMIND YOU THAT THIS ALLOCATION
9	WAS DOUBLED BACK IN JUNE IN ORDER TO ALLOW MORE
10	MERITORIOUS PROJECTS TO BE FUNDED. IT WAS
11	ORIGINALLY AT 37.1 MILLION.
12	SO A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE REVIEW PROCESS
13	ITSELF. THERE ARE FOUR STEPS THAT LIE BETWEEN THE
14	SUBMISSION OF AN APPLICATION AND THE FINAL APPROVAL
15	FOR FUNDING. IT BEGINS WITH AN ELIGIBILITY SCREEN
16	AND DETERMINING BY CIRM STAFF WHETHER AN APPLICATION
17	CAN BE REVIEWED, WHETHER IT HAS ALL THE APPROPRIATE
18	ELEMENTS. FOR COMPETITIONS LIKE THIS ONE WHERE
19	THERE IS A VERY HIGH DEMAND OF APPLICATIONS, AND
20	I'LL SHOW YOU THAT IN A MINUTE, WE GO THROUGH A
21	POSITIVE SELECTION PROCESS TO NARROW THE POOL OF
22	APPLICATIONS TO THOSE THAT WILL ADVANCE TO A FULL
23	DISCUSSION BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. AND THE
24	GRANTS WORKING GROUP THEN CONDUCTS A DISCUSSION.
25	AND THE OUTCOME OF THAT IS A SCORING AND

1	RECOMMENDATION OF THOSE APPLICATIONS, AND WE BRING
2	THOSE TO THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE
3	BOARD FOR FINAL DECISION-MAKING ON THOSE.
4	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP PANEL ITSELF IS
5	COMPOSED OF DIFFERENT MEMBERS AND DIFFERENT ROLES.
6	SO WE HAVE THE SCIENTIFIC GRANTS WORKING GROUP
7	MEMBERS WHO SERVE ON THE PANEL. THEY PARTICIPATE IN
8	THE POSITIVE SELECTION PROCESS. SO THEY ARE THE
9	MAIN DETERMINANTS OF WHAT ADVANCES. THE SCIENTIFIC
10	EVALUATION IS BASED ON THE BROAD SUBJECTIVE AREA OR
11	METHODS AND EXPERTISE THAT THEY BRING TO THE TABLE.
12	AND THEY ALL ENTER A FINAL SCORE. SO THE SCORES YOU
13	SEE ON THE APPLICATIONS HAVE ALL COME FROM THE
14	SCIENTIFIC GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERS.
15	PART OF THE GROUP ALSO INCLUDES OUR GRANTS
16	WORKING GROUP BOARD MEMBERS, MEANING BOARD MEMBERS
17	FROM THE ICOC, PATIENT ADVOCATE OR NURSE MEMBERS.
18	THEY ALSO PARTICIPATE IN THE POSITIVE SELECTION
19	PROCESS AND BRING THE PATIENT PERSPECTIVE ON THE
20	SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL IMPACT OF PROJECTS TO THE
21	TABLE AS WELL AS OVERSIGHT ON THE REVIEW ITSELF.
22	THEY DO NOT ENTER SCORES.
23	AND THEN, LASTLY, WE HAVE VISITING
24	SPECIALISTS. SO THE SPECIALISTS HELP AUGMENT THE
25	EXPERTISE THAT WE HAVE ON THE PANEL. SCIENTIFIC

1	EVALUATION BASED ON SPECIALIZED AREAS, AND THEY
2	PROVIDE RECOMMENDED SCORES, BUT THEY DO NOT PROVIDE
3	A FINAL SCORE. ONLY THE SCIENTIFIC GWG MEMBERS CAN
4	DO THAT.
5	ALL RIGHT. SO A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE
6	NUMBERS AND HOW IT WENT FOR THIS CYCLE. WE STARTED
7	WITH 372 APPLICATIONS THAT WERE SUBMITTED FOR THE
8	CYCLE. THREE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE WERE DEEMED
9	ELIGIBLE, AND THOSE WENT THROUGH THE POSITIVE
10	SELECTION PROCESS. WE ACTUALLY RAN TWO CYCLES. SO
11	THE WAY POSITIVE SELECTION WORKS, YOU CAN DO
12	MULTIPLE ITERATIONS. AND WE GOT DOWN TO 51 THAT
13	WERE SELECTED BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.
14	I WANT TO NOTE HERE THAT FOR THIS
15	PARTICULAR CYCLE WE TRIED A COUPLE OF NEW THINGS.
16	ONE OF THEM WAS THAT WE RECRUITED 31 SCIENTISTS TO
17	PARTICIPATE IN THE POSITIVE SELECTION PROCESS.
18	NORMALLY WE USE SIMPLY THE 15 THAT COMPOSE THE PANEL
19	OF THE DISCUSSION GROUP. BUT THIS TIME WE DECIDED,
20	GIVEN THE LARGE DEMAND AND NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS,
21	TO ENSURE THAT WE HAD AN APPROPRIATE BALANCE AND
22	GOOD REPRESENTATION OF EXPERTISE, WE EXPANDED THE
23	GROUP TO WHAT WE COULD, WHICH WAS 31.
24	SO FOLLOWING THE SELECTION, WE ENDED UP
25	WITH 51 SELECTED AND THEN TEN THAT BYPASSED

1	SELECTION. AND THE BYPASS MEANS THAT THE APPLICANTS
2	HAD SCORED BETWEEN 80 AND 85 IN THE PREVIOUS ROUND.
3	SO THAT SCORE ALLOWS THEM TO BYPASS THE POSITIVE
4	SELECTION AND GO DIRECTLY TO THE FULL MERIT REVIEW.
5	SO IN TOTAL 61 ADVANCED TO DISCUSSION BY
6	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. AND THEN 25 WERE
7	RECOMMENDED BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP FOR FUNDING.
8	AND I'LL GIVE YOU MORE DETAILS ON THAT.
9	THE OTHER THING I WANT TO TELL YOU ABOUT
10	IS THE SECOND THING WE TRIED IS THAT WE THOUGHT IT
11	WOULD BE HELPFUL TO EXPAND THE EXPERTISE OF THE
12	GRANTS WORKING GROUP, WHICH IS WHAT WE CALL A
13	TRIPARTITE PANEL. AND SO THIS IS A PILOT AND A NEW
14	APPROACH TO ASSEMBLING A GRANTS WORKING GROUP PANEL.
15	UNDER PROP 71 AND 14, IT LIMITS US IN
16	TERMS OF THE NUMBER OF SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS THAT CAN
17	BE ON THE SCORING PANEL TO 15. AND SO WE DEVISED A
18	WAY THAT WE CAN HAVE A PANEL THAT STILL RETAINS 15
19	SCORING MEMBERS FOR EACH APPLICATION, BUT EXPANDS
20	THE OVERALL PANEL TO ALLOW FOR ADDITIONAL EXPERTISE.
21	SO THE WAY THIS WORKS IS THE FULL PANEL IS
22	22 INDIVIDUAL SCIENTISTS, 21 REVIEWERS PLUS THE
23	CHAIR. AND WE DIVIDE THE MEETING UP INTO DIFFERENT
24	SESSIONS. AND SO THE CHAIR MODERATES THE ENTIRE
25	MEETING FROM START TO FINISH ACROSS ALL THREE

1	SESSIONS. AND THE PANELISTS ARE PARSED INTO
2	DIFFERENT GROUPS, A, B, OR C, EACH HAVING ABOUT
3	SEVEN MEMBERS. AND SO EVERY APPLICATION HAS 15
4	SCORING PANELISTS.
5	SO THE CHAIR AND THEN, FOR EXAMPLE, IN
6	SESSION ONE THE MEMBERS OF GROUP A AND B. AND THEN
7	FOR SESSION 2 THE CHAIR PLUS GROUPS B AND C AND SO
8	ON. AND SO THIS ALLOWED US TO EXPAND THE GROUP TO
9	22 INSTEAD OF 15.
10	AND I'LL TELL YOU LOGISTICALLY IT WAS A
11	LITTLE MORE CHALLENGING TO DO THIS, BUT I THINK
12	OVERALL IT WAS SUCCESSFUL. I THINK IT DID ALLOW US
13	TO EXPAND EXPERTISE, AND I THINK IT IS SOMETHING
14	THAT WE WILL CONTINUE TO MOVE FORWARD AND EVOLVE AS
15	WE MOVE FORWARD WITH OTHER FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES.
16	SO THE SCORING SCHEME FOR THE REVIEWERS
17	THAT THEY USE TO SCORE THE APPLICATION IS ON A SCALE
18	OF 1 TO 100. THE FINAL SCORE FOR AN APPLICATION IS
19	THE MEDIAN. AND SO SCORES BETWEEN 85 AND 100 MEANS
20	THAT THE APPLICATION HAS EXCEPTIONAL MERIT AND
21	WARRANTS FUNDING. SCORES BELOW 85 MEAN THAT THE
22	APPLICATION DOES NOT WARRANT FUNDING. AND BECAUSE
23	THIS IS THE LAST DISC-O COMPETITION, ANYTHING THAT
24	SCORES BETWEEN AN 80 AND AN 84 IN THIS CASE WILL NOT
25	BYPASS A FUTURE ROUND OF POSITIVE SELECTION.

1	ALL RIGHT. THESE ARE THE REVIEW CRITERIA
2	THAT THE PANEL USES TO SCORE ON WHICH THEY APPLY THE
3	SCORES. DOES THE PROJECT HOLD THE NECESSARY
4	SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT? MEANING WHAT
5	VALUE DOES IT OFFER? AND IS IT SOMETHING THAT'S
6	WORTH DOING? IN THIS CASE, SINCE WE HAVE THE TEAM
7	TRACK, WE ALSO WANTED TO ENSURE THAT THERE WAS
8	SYNERGY OR AN ADVANTAGE THAT IS PROVIDED BY THE TEAM
9	COLLABORATION IN THESE PROJECTS SO THAT WAS AN
10	ADDITIONAL ELEMENT THAT WAS ASSESSED. IS THE
11	RATIONALE SOUND? IS THE PROJECT WELL PLANNED AND
12	DESIGNED? IS IT FEASIBLE? IT DOES THE PROJECT
13	INCLUDE CONSIDERATION FOR MAXIMIZING THE IMPACT OF
14	SUCCESSFUL OUTCOMES ACROSS AFFECTED POPULATIONS?
15	OKAY. SO HERE IS A SUMMARY OF THE
16	RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. OF
17	THE 61 APPLICATIONS, AS MENTIONED EARLIER, THERE
18	WERE 25 TOTAL APPLICATIONS THAT RECEIVED A SCORE OF
19	85 OR ABOVE, MAKING THEM RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING.
20	THAT TOTAL APPLICANT REQUEST IS 78.9 OR SO MILLION,
21	WHICH IS ABOVE THE FUNDS AVAILABLE, WHICH ARE 74.2
22	THAT WERE ALLOCATED FOR THIS ROUND.
23	SO AS A RESULT, THE CIRM TEAM SPENT SOME
24	TIME LOOKING AT THESE APPLICATIONS. AND I WILL SHOW
25	YOU IN THE SPREADSHEET, WHEN WE GET TO THAT, BUT I

1	WILL EXPLAIN KIND OF HOW WE FOCUSED IN ON WHICH
2	APPLICATIONS TO CONSIDER TO RECOMMEND AND WHICH ONES
3	NOT TO.
4	SO LOOKING AT THE RANK ORDER, THE TOP 22
5	APPLICATIONS HAD AT LEAST A TWO-THIRDS MAJORITY
6	RECOMMENDATION FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. THERE
7	ARE TWO COLUMNS THERE, ONE THAT HAS A YES OR A NO IN
8	TERMS OF THE NUMBER OF GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERS
9	WHO SCORED 85 OR ABOVE AND THOSE THAT SCORED BEFORE.
10	SO IT GIVES YOU AN INDICATION OF THE RELATIVE NUMBER
11	OF MEMBERS THAT FELT THIS WAS A MERITORIOUS
12	APPLICATION OR NOT.
13	SO WITH THAT, THE TOP 22 HAD A TWO-THIRDS
14	MAJORITY. AND THE NEXT ONES, APPLICATIONS 23
15	THROUGH 25, HAD SPLIT RECOMMENDATIONS. SO THE 23D
16	HAD EIGHT RECOMMENDING TO FUND, SEVEN NOT, AND THE
17	OTHER TWO WERE ACTUALLY EVENLY SPLIT WITH SEVEN
18	MEMBERS EACH VOTING TO FUND AS WELL AS NOT TO FUND.
19	SO THE FOLLOWING ASSESSMENT BY THE PROGRAM
20	TEAMS THAT'S LED BY DR. ROSA CANET-AVILES AND KELLY
21	SHEPARD, THE TEAM LOOKED AT THESE APPLICATIONS. AND
22	THEIR RECOMMENDATION IN TERMS OF WHICH APPLICATION
23	TO FUND WAS DISC-0 17507. AND THERE ARE SEVERAL
24	REASONS FOR THE RATIONALE BEHIND SUPPORTING THIS
25	PARTICULAR APPLICATION.

1	THIS REPRESENTS A FIRST-TIME CIRM PI,
2	WHICH BRINGS A NEW INVESTIGATOR INTO THE PORTFOLIO.
3	IT ADDRESSES A DISEASE OF THE CNS, PARTICULARLY
4	ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE, AND FOCUSES ON TAU PATHOLOGY,
5	AND PROVIDES A FEASIBLE BUDGET. OF THE APPLICATIONS
6	WE CONSIDERED, GIVEN THE ASSUMPTION THAT WE WOULD
7	FUND EVERYTHING DOWN TO IN FULL RANK, SO THE TOP 22
8	APPLICATIONS, THAT LEAVES US WITH 3 MILLION. AND SO
9	THE SUM OF THOSE APPLICATIONS EXCEEDED THAT BUDGET.
10	SO EVEN BY VIRTUE OF THE BUDGET, WE WOULD NOT BE
11	ABLE TO FUND THOSE. THERE WERE TWO THAT WE COULD.
12	AND SO THIS WAS ONE OF THEM. WHEN I SHOW YOU THE
13	SPREADSHEET, I'LL POINT OUT THESE THINGS IN MORE
14	DETAIL.
15	SO I WANT TO ALSO MENTION MINORITY REPORTS
16	BECAUSE WE HAD ONE APPLICATION THAT QUALIFIED FOR
17	ONE. SO WHAT A MINORITY REPORT IS, UNDER PROP 14,
18	ANY APPLICATION THAT'S NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING
19	BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP, BUT HAS 35 PERCENT OR
20	MORE OF THE MEMBERS SCORE TO FUND THE APPLICATION
21	MUST INCLUDE A MINORITY REPORT. SO THAT MEANS WE
22	PUT TOGETHER A SUMMARY THAT WE ENSURE REVIEWERS FEEL
23	REPRESENTS THEIR PERSPECTIVE ON THAT PARTICULAR
24	APPLICATION. AND SO THAT IS INCLUDED WITHIN THE
25	REVIEW SUMMARY FOR THOSE APPLICATIONS.

1	SO THE ONE APPLICATION THAT QUALIFIED WAS
2	DISC-0 17822, THE ROLE OF STEM CELL-LIKE T-CELLS IN
3	AUTOIMMUNE DISEASES, AND THAT RECEIVED A SCORE OF
4	83. AND THE SPLIT BETWEEN THE RECOMMENDED AND NOT
5	RECOMMENDED WAS SEVEN THAT SCORED TO FUND AND EIGHT
6	THAT SCORED NOT TO FUND. AND SO THAT APPLICATION
7	WAS AMONG THE ONES THAT WERE CONSIDERED BY THE
8	PROGRAMS TEAM IN TERMS OF DETERMINING WHICH ONES TO
9	RECOMMEND.
10	AND SO WE'RE GOING TO TRANSITION OVER TO
11	THE SPREADSHEET. THANK YOU.
12	MR. TOCHER: JUST TO THE MEMBERS, THIS
13	SHEET IS ALSO AVAILABLE IN YOUR BOARDABLE. SO IF
14	YOU FIND IT AS FUN AS I DO TO TRY TO READ THAT.
15	DR. SAMBRANO: YEAH. I APOLOGIZE.
16	THERE'S A LOT OF DATA AND INFORMATION HERE. BUT THE
17	REASON TO SHOW IT IS JUST TO SHOW YOU THE RANK ORDER
18	OF THESE APPLICATIONS AND REALLY TO FOCUS IN ON
19	PARTICULARLY THESE LAST THREE. BECAUSE YOU WILL
20	NOTICE HERE IN THE COLUMN I WAS MENTIONING IN TERMS
21	OF THE NUMBER OF GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERS THAT
22	VOTED OR THAT SCORED 85 OR ABOVE VERSUS THOSE THAT
23	DID NOT, THERE WAS A CLEAR TWO-THIRDS MAJORITY ABOVE
24	THESE THREE, BUT YOU HAVE EIGHT VERSUS SEVEN AND
25	THEN EVEN SPLITS HERE, SEVEN AGAINST SEVEN.

1	ALSO, IF YOU LOOK AT THE BUDGET REQUEST,
2	WITH 3 MILLION LEFT, ASSUMING EVERYTHING IS ACCEPTED
3	DOWN TO THE 22D RANKING APPLICATION, THEN YOU HAVE
4	THESE THREE. THESE TWO, THE 17507 AND 17954, WOULD
5	FIT WITHIN THE BUDGET. 1753 WOULD NOT, NOR WOULD
6	THE ONE WITH THE MINORITY REPORT I APOLOGIZE FOR
7	IT GOING BACK AND FORTH A LITTLE BIT IS THIS ONE
8	HERE AT 4.6 MILLION. PART OF THE REASON AND
9	DIFFERENCE IN THE AWARD AMOUNTS IS WHETHER THEY ARE
10	A TEAM TRACK OR SINGLE TRACK AWARD. SO THE TEAM
11	TRACK TEND TO BE THE MORE EXPENSIVE AND SEEM TO BE
12	AT THE RANGE OF ABOUT 3 TO 4 MILLION; WHEREAS, THE
13	SINGLE TRACK DO NOT.
14	SO, MR. CHAIRMAN, I WILL STOP HERE AND
15	TAKE ANY QUESTIONS.
16	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GREAT. THANK YOU SO
17	MUCH, GIL, FOR THAT REPORT. APPRECIATE IT. I'M
18	JUST GOING TO, FIRST OF ALL, ASK SCOTT TO MAKE SOME
19	COMMENTS MAYBE ON CONFLICT OF INTEREST. BECAUSE
20	THIS IS A LITTLE BIT CHOREOGRAPHED, I'M GOING TO ASK
21	FOR A SERIES OF MOTIONS IN A PARTICULAR ORDER.
22	SCOTT, WOULD YOU GO FIRST?
23	MR. TOCHER: SURE. AS MANY OF YOU MAY BE
24	FAMILIAR, WHEN PROGRAMS ARE WHAT WE WOULD CALL OVER
25	SUBSCRIBED, MEANING THERE ARE MORE APPLICATIONS

1	PENDING A REQUEST FOR FUNDING THAN THERE IS A BUDGET
2	TO COVER, WE CONSIDER THAT A MEMBER WITH CONFLICT AS
3	TO ANY SINGLE APPLICATION IS IN CONFLICT AS TO THE
4	ENTIRETY OF THE APPLICATIONS. SO UNTIL SUCH TIME AS
5	THAT THE NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS PENDING IS WHITTLED
6	DOWN TO A NUMBER THAT IS COVERED BY THE AVAILABLE
7	BUDGET, SUCH MEMBERS WITH A CONFLICT SHOULD NOT
8	PARTICIPATE IN ANY DISCUSSION OR ATTEMPT TO MAKE ANY
9	MOTION. AND I WILL NOT CALL ON YOU FOR ANY
10	SUBSEQUENT VOTES.
11	SO AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME, I WILL LET
12	MEMBERS KNOW WHO HAVE A CONFLICT THAT IT'S OKAY TO
13	PROCEED. SO I WILL JUST READ OFF THE NAMES OF THE
14	VOTING MEMBERS OF THE APPLICATION REVIEW
15	SUBCOMMITTEE WHO ARE SUBJECT TO THIS PROHIBITION
16	RIGHT NOW. SO THOSE ARE MEMBERS MIASKOWSKI, BERNAL,
17	FLOWERS, DURON, AND DAHL. SO THOSE MEMBERS SHOULD
18	REFRAIN AS I INDICATED.
19	FOR THE NONVOTING MEMBERS WHO WOULD
20	PARTICIPATE IN A DISCUSSION PERHAPS, THOUGH NOT
21	VOTING OR MAKING MOTIONS, I WILL READ OFF THAT LIST.
22	THIS IS NOT IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER. I'M SORRY
23	BECAUSE I WAS GOING OFF THE SPREADSHEET THAT'S UP.
24	SO LISTEN CAREFULLY PLEASE. ALMASRI YAMAMOTO,
25	MELMED, CARETHERS, BARRETT, SACKEY, GASSON, STARK,

1	MELTZER, BLUMENTHAL, LEVITT, AND CARSON. THERE WAS
2	PROBABLY A SHORTER WAY OF DOING THAT ALTERNATIVELY.
3	SO WITH THAT
4	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WITH THAT, THANK
5	YOU, SCOTT. SO BECAUSE OF THE RUBRIC THAT HE JUST
6	ELUCIDATED, LET ME JUST RECAP IN JUST A COUPLE OF
7	SENTENCES THE COLORIMETRIC SCHEME THAT WE WERE JUST
8	PRESENTED WITH. TWENTY-FIVE APPLICATIONS THAT HAVE
9	SOME COLOR ATTACHED TO THEM ON THAT SPREADSHEET WERE
10	RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.
11	HOWEVER, THAT TOTAL ASK IS 4 POINT SOME ODD MILLIONS
12	OF DOLLARS ABOVE BUDGET. THIRTY-TWO IN WHITE WERE
13	NOT RECOMMENDED, BUT THEN THE TEAM WENT BACK AND
14	LOOKED, AS GIL VERY EXPERTLY EXPLAINED, USING THE
15	CRITERIA THAT HE STATED, CHOSE TWO MORE OF THE 25
16	THAT WERE PRESENTED BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP TO
17	BE INCLUDED IN THE NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING,
18	WHICH PUTS US IN BUDGET AND GIVES US THE MAXIMUM
19	NUMBER OF FUNDABLE PROJECTS.
20	SO THE FIRST MOTION THE CHAIR WOULD VERY
21	MUCH LIKE TO HEAR WOULD BE IS THERE ANYONE WHO WOULD
22	LIKE TO CONSIDER FUNDING ANY APPLICATION THAT WAS
23	NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE TEAM FOR FUNDING? LET ME
24	TRANSLATE THAT. IS THERE ANYTHING IN THE WHITE OR
25	ORANGE SECTION THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO IN A SENSE

1	ABSTRACT AND DISCUSS AND CONSIDER FOR FUNDING?
2	PLEASE LOOK AT THE ZOOM.
3	SO OKAY, NOT HEARING ANY ABSTRACTION FROM
4	THAT, CAN I HAVE A MOTION TO NOT FUND ALL THE I
5	WANT TO WORD THIS CORRECTLY TO NOT FUND ANY OF
6	THE APPLICATIONS LET ME SAY IT AGAIN.
7	MR. TOCHER: CAN I OFFER A SUGGESTION?
8	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: PLEASE. THANK YOU.
9	MR. TOCHER: PERHAPS THE NEXT WOULD BE IS
10	THERE ARE THERE ANY APPLICATIONS IN THE FUND
11	TERRITORY, THE GREEN, THAT ANYONE WOULD WISH TO MOVE
12	DOWN BASICALLY INTO THE NOT FUND CATEGORY? RIGHT
13	NOW THE TEAM'S RECOMMENDATION, AS YOU HEARD, IS TO
14	NOT FUND
15	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: SO BASICALLY SCOTT'S
16	SUGGESTING DO YOU WANT TO REMOVE SOMETHING FROM THE
17	RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING CATEGORY TO THE NOT
18	RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING?
19	DR. CARETHERS: MAY I ASK A QUESTION?
20	MR. TOCHER: YOU DO HAVE A CONFLICT. IS
21	IT JUST A PROCESS POINT?
22	DR. CARETHERS: JUST A GENERAL QUESTION.
23	THAT IS, WILL THERE BE ANOTHER ROUND OF DISCOVERY?
24	THAT'S THE QUESTION I WANT TO ASK, OR IS THIS THE
25	LAST ONE?

1	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: IT WAS STATED THIS
2	IS THE LAST DISCOVERY 0.
3	DR. CANET-AVILES: DISC-0 AS IT WAS, NO.
4	HOWEVER, WE ARE DEVELOPING THE NEW DISC5, WHICH IS
5	GOING TO BE FOUNDATIONAL BIOLOGY, THAT'S GOING TO
6	COVER THE SCOPE OF WHAT THESE AWARDS IN THIS PROGRAM
7	WAS DOING. SO THERE'S GOING TO BE OPPORTUNITIES TO
8	APPLY AT SMALL ONE-PERSON PI TRACK AS WELL AS A
9	COUPLE TRACKS.
10	DR. CARETHERS: THANK YOU.
11	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. I THINK WE
12	ARE AT A POINT WHERE I THINK THE CHAIR WOULD LIKE
13	TO
14	MS. MANDAC: JOE HAS HIS HAND RAISED.
15	MR. PANETTA: THANK YOU. SO I GUESS THIS
15 16	MR. PANETTA: THANK YOU. SO I GUESS THIS IS KIND OF A HYBRID QUESTION IF I CAN ASK IT AT THIS
16	IS KIND OF A HYBRID QUESTION IF I CAN ASK IT AT THIS
16 17	IS KIND OF A HYBRID QUESTION IF I CAN ASK IT AT THIS POINT. TELL ME IF I CAN'T. I'M LOOKING AT THE DISC
16 17 18	IS KIND OF A HYBRID QUESTION IF I CAN ASK IT AT THIS POINT. TELL ME IF I CAN'T. I'M LOOKING AT THE DISC THAT ENDS IN 507 VERSUS 513. AND I'M TRYING TO
16 17 18 19	IS KIND OF A HYBRID QUESTION IF I CAN ASK IT AT THIS POINT. TELL ME IF I CAN'T. I'M LOOKING AT THE DISC THAT ENDS IN 507 VERSUS 513. AND I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHY WE HAVE REMOVED ONE THAT RECEIVED
16 17 18 19 20	IS KIND OF A HYBRID QUESTION IF I CAN ASK IT AT THIS POINT. TELL ME IF I CAN'T. I'M LOOKING AT THE DISC THAT ENDS IN 507 VERSUS 513. AND I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHY WE HAVE REMOVED ONE THAT RECEIVED MORE GWG VOTES AND ARE KEEPING ONE, AND I KNOW IT'S
16 17 18 19 20 21	IS KIND OF A HYBRID QUESTION IF I CAN ASK IT AT THIS POINT. TELL ME IF I CAN'T. I'M LOOKING AT THE DISC THAT ENDS IN 507 VERSUS 513. AND I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHY WE HAVE REMOVED ONE THAT RECEIVED MORE GWG VOTES AND ARE KEEPING ONE, AND I KNOW IT'S RELATIVELY SMALL DIFFERENCE, BUT BOTH HAD A SCORE OF
16 17 18 19 20 21	IS KIND OF A HYBRID QUESTION IF I CAN ASK IT AT THIS POINT. TELL ME IF I CAN'T. I'M LOOKING AT THE DISC THAT ENDS IN 507 VERSUS 513. AND I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHY WE HAVE REMOVED ONE THAT RECEIVED MORE GWG VOTES AND ARE KEEPING ONE, AND I KNOW IT'S RELATIVELY SMALL DIFFERENCE, BUT BOTH HAD A SCORE OF 85, AND THE CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDED WE NOT APPROVE
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IS KIND OF A HYBRID QUESTION IF I CAN ASK IT AT THIS POINT. TELL ME IF I CAN'T. I'M LOOKING AT THE DISC THAT ENDS IN 507 VERSUS 513. AND I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHY WE HAVE REMOVED ONE THAT RECEIVED MORE GWG VOTES AND ARE KEEPING ONE, AND I KNOW IT'S RELATIVELY SMALL DIFFERENCE, BUT BOTH HAD A SCORE OF 85, AND THE CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDED WE NOT APPROVE 513. I'D JUST LIKE TO UNDERSTAND JUST BRIEFLY WHY

1	MR. TOCHER: YES.
2	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
3	MR. PANETTA: THANK YOU.
4	DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION.
5	SO THE MAIN REASON IS ACTUALLY DUE TO THE BUDGET.
6	SO YOU WILL NOTICE THAT IF WE FUND EVERYTHING DOWN
7	TO 18038, WHICH IS THE ONE ABOVE 17513, THAT LEAVES
8	US WITH 3 MILLION. SO THE NEXT ONE, WHICH IS THE
9	513, EXCEEDS THE BUDGET. THE NEXT TWO DO NOT. AND
10	SO THOSE, AT LEAST JUST BASED SIMPLY ON THE BUDGET,
11	ALLOWS US TO FUND ONE OR THE OTHER.
12	THERE WAS ALSO AN ASSESSMENT
13	SCIENTIFICALLY BY THE PROGRAM TEAMS IN TERMS OF
14	LOOKING AT THE DIFFERENCE BENEFITS OF THESE. AND AS
15	I MENTIONED EARLIER, THE 17507 HAS A PI THAT WOULD
16	BE NEW TO THE CIRM PORTFOLIO. AND IT ALSO IS AN
17	APPLICATION THAT ADDRESSES ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE. SO
18	IT IS CENTRAL TO THE PRIORITY OF CNS INDICATIONS.
19	SO THOSE ARE THE REASONS FOR WHY THESE WERE
20	SELECTED.
21	MR. PANETTA: THANKS.
22	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: JOE, DID THAT
23	SATISFY?
24	MR. PANETTA: YES. THANK YOU.
25	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. SO I
	56

1	THINK
2	MS. MANDAC: WE ALSO HAVE ANNE-MARIE.
3	DR. DULIEGE: JUST ONE CLARIFICATION.
4	ROSA, I THINK I HEARD YOU SAYING THAT FOR THOSE
5	APPLICATIONS THAT ARE NOT SELECTED THIS TIME, THEY
6	MAY HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT AGAIN OR TO APPLY
7	AGAIN FOR DISC5 IN THE NEAR FUTURE; IS THAT CORRECT?
8	DR. CANET-AVILES: YES. AND IT'S COMING
9	VERY SOON. SO THERE'S THE OPPORTUNITY FOR DISC5
10	WHICH WILL BE PAIRS OF INVESTIGATORS.
11	ONLY AS A CLARIFICATION, CAN I ADD THE
12	CLARIFICATION? IS THAT OKAY? DID I ANSWER YOUR
13	QUESTION, ANNE-MARIE?
14	DR. DULIEGE: I DID. I HAVE ANOTHER
15	QUESTION, BUT PLEASE GO WITH THE CLARIFICATION
16	FIRST.
17	DR. CANET-AVILES: YOU ARE A BOARD MEMBER.
18	YOU GO FIRST.
19	DR. DULIEGE: IT DOESN'T MATTER SO MUCH.
20	BACK TO GIL, THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE LAST TWO
21	COLOR, IT'S THE 507 AND 954. ONE WAS ACCEPTED, THE
22	OTHER ONE NOT. THEY STILL, HOWEVER, BOTH FIT INTO
23	THE BUDGET ENVELOPE THAT WE HAVE. THE REASONS WHY
24	ONE WAS ACCEPTED AND THE OTHER ONE NOT WERE NOT
25	SCIENTIFIC IN NATURE. NOT BASED ON THE MERIT OF THE

1	APPLICATION, BUT RATHER ONE IS IN THE NEUROLOGICAL
2	TYPE OF SCIENTIFIC AREAS.
3	IT BOTHERS ME A LITTLE BIT THAT THIS IS
4	NOT BASED ON SCIENTIFIC MERIT BETWEEN THESE TWO. DO
5	YOU HAVE COMMENTS?
6	DR. SAMBRANO: SO IT ISN'T ON SCIENTIFIC
7	MERIT.
8	DR. CANET-AVILES: IT WAS PORTFOLIO.
9	DR. SAMBRANO: CORRECT. I THINK ROSA CAN
10	EXPAND ON THE RATIONALE FOR THOSE TWO.
11	DR. CANET-AVILES: CAN YOU TELL ME? IT
12	WAS 507 AND THE OTHER ONE 954?
13	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
14	DR. CANET-AVILES: SO THE DIFFERENCE
15	THERE WERE THREE CRITERIA THAT WE LOOKED AT THAT
16	WERE OBJECTIVE CRITERIA. WE WERE NOT REVIEWING
17	ANYTHING. ONE WAS PORTFOLIO. SO THE ALZHEIMER'S,
18	ALSO THE APOE, NEITHER OF THEM ARE IN THE PORTFOLIO,
19	SO THEY COULD HAVE COME IN FOR THAT REASON IF WE HAD
20	THE MONEY FOR BOTH OF THEM.
21	SECOND CRITERIA WAS AN AMOUNT OF FUNDING.
22	AND THEY BOTH HAD THE THIRD CRITERIA WAS WHETHER
23	THIS WAS THE FIRST CHANCE FOR A PI, THAT WAS IT WAS
24	THE FIRST TIME THAT THEY APPLIED TO CIRM, AND THIS
25	WAS A FIRST-TIME PI AT CIRM. THAT'S WHAT

1	DIFFERENTIATED THEM BOTH.
2	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU. I UNDERSTAND
3	THAT. ONE HAS TO FIND CRITERIAS. BUT THEY BOTH
4	WERE OF EQUAL SCIENTIFIC MERIT OR NEARLY EQUAL
5	SCIENTIFIC MERIT.
6	DR. SAMBRANO: CORRECT. BASED ON WHAT THE
7	GRANTS WORKING GROUP PROVIDED, YES.
8	DR. DULIEGE: OKAY. THANK YOU.
9	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. THANK YOU,
10	ROSA AND GIL.
11	SO I THINK I'D LIKE TO DO TWO MOTIONS. WE
12	COULD MOVE TO NOT FUND THOSE NOT RECOMMENDED, OR WE
13	CAN GO DIRECTLY TO FUND THOSE RECOMMENDED FOR
14	FUNDING BY THE TEAM. CAN WE GO DIRECTLY TO THE LAST
15	OPTION?
16	MR. TOCHER: I WOULD GO TO ACTUALLY THE
17	CONVERSE. IT WOULD ALLOW ONE MORE MEMBER TO
18	PARTICIPATE. SO NOT FUND THOSE THAT THE TEAM HAS
19	RECOMMENDED FOR NOT FUNDING.
20	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GOOD. THAT'S WHAT I
21	WAS PREPARED TO DO.
22	MR. TOCHER: AND THAT MOTION CAN ONLY BE
23	MADE AND SECONDED BY A MEMBER WHO HAS NO CONFLICT AS
24	TO ANY OF THEM.
25	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: SO YOU ALL HEARD

1	THAT. SO I WOULD LOVE TO HEAR A MOTION TO NOT FUND
2	THOSE APPLICATIONS RECOMMENDED BY THE TEAM NOT TO BE
3	FUNDED.
4	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: SO MOVED.
5	DR. SOUTHARD: SECOND.
6	MR. TOCHER: I DIDN'T CATCH THE FIRST.
7	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I DIDN'T EITHER.
8	MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
9	MR. TOCHER: AND THE SECOND WAS MARV?
10	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THAT'S THE MOTION ON
11	THE FLOOR. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION FROM BOARD
12	MEMBERS? OR FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC?
13	MS. MANDAC: THERE ARE NO HANDS RAISED.
14	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THERE ARE NOT.
15	SCOTT, YOU MAY PROCEED TO A VOTE.
16	MR. TOCHER: MARGUERITE CASILLAS.
17	MS. CASILLAS: AYE.
18	MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
19	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: AYE.
20	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
21	DR. DULIEGE: AYE.
22	MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
23	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: AYE.
24	MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
25	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
	60

	<u> </u>
1	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
2	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: AYE.
3	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
4	MR. LAJARA: AYE.
5	MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA. JOE
6	PANETTA.
7	MR. PANETTA: YES.
8	MR. TOCHER: MARV SOUTHARD.
9	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
10	MR. TOCHER: YAEL WYTE.
11	MS. WYTE: YES.
12	MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THAT
13	MOTION CARRIES.
14	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. THANK YOU.
15	NOW THE CHAIR WOULD LIKE TO HEAR A MOTION
16	RECOMMENDING FOR FUNDING ALL THOSE APPLICATIONS
17	RECOMMENDED BY THE TEAM TO BE FUNDED.
18	DR. SOUTHARD: SO MOVED.
19	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THAT WAS MARVIN.
20	AND?
21	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: SECOND.
22	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: IS THERE ANY
23	DISCUSSION ON THIS ITEM? AND NOTHING FROM THE
24	PUBLIC? WE WOULD LOVE TO HEAR. CLAUDETTE, CAN YOU
25	NAVIGATE THAT?
	61

1	MS. MANDAC: YES. SO WE DO HAVE A MEMBER
2	IN PERSON AS WELL AS MEMBERS ON ZOOM WHO WISH TO
3	MAKE PUBLIC COMMENT. WE WILL START WITH THE MEMBER
4	WHO IS ATTENDING IN PERSON, WHO'S AUDREY. YOU CAN
5	MAKE YOUR WAY TO THE MICROPHONE. NEXT UP WILL BE
6	ZOE ON ZOOM. SO ALL OF OUR PUBLIC COMMENTATORS, YOU
7	WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES. WE WILL KEEP TIME. WE
8	WILL MUTE YOU OUT OF FAIRNESS ONCE WE REACH THE
9	THREE MINUTES. AUDREY, YOUR TIME STARTS NOW.
10	MS. DAVIDAU: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR HAVING
11	ME. I'M SO GRATEFUL TO BE IN THE ROOM WITH SO MANY
12	INCREDIBLE PEOPLE AND SCIENTISTS DEVOTED TO REALLY
13	MAKING A DIFFERENCE. I'M ALSO GRATEFUL, SO GRATEFUL
14	TO BE FROM THE GREAT STATE OF CALIFORNIA WHERE
15	SOMETHING AS MEANINGFUL AND IMPACTFUL AS CIRM CAN
16	EXIST.
17	MY NAME IS AUDREY DAVIDAU. I'M THE
18	PRESIDENT OF THE PITT HOPKINS RESEARCH FOUNDATION
19	AND ABOVE ALL THE MOTHER OF A 14-YEAR-OLD SON WITH
20	PITT HOPKINS SYNDROME. THIS RARE NEURODEVELOPMENTAL
21	DISORDER SHAPES EVERY MOMENT OF OUR LIVES. MY SON
22	CANNOT SPEAK OR WALK AND LACKS THE MOTOR CONTROL TO
23	EVEN TURN A PAGE OR USE THE REMOTE CONTROL.
24	EVERYTHING, FEEDING, DRESSING, DIAPER CHANGES, AND
25	FLUSHING HIS STOMACH SO HE CAN HAVE A BOWEL MOVEMENT

1	MUST BE DONE FOR HIM.
2	BUT DON'T MISTAKE HIS DEPENDENCE FOR LACK
3	OF AWARENESS. HE IS BRIGHT AND DEEPLY PRESENT, BUT
4	WITHOUT THE MOTOR AND SPEECH ABILITIES TO SHOW IT.
5	THAT MISMATCH CAUSES OVERWHELMING ANXIETY AND
6	FRUSTRATION, AND LATELY HE'S BEEN PUNCHING HIS FACE
7	ALL DAY LONG OUT OF SHEER DISTRESS.
8	PITT HOPKINS HAS BEEN DESCRIBED AS AUTISM,
9	EPILEPSY, SEVERE GUT AND BREATHING DISORDERS,
10	CEREBRAL PALSY, AND PROFOUND ANXIETY ROLLED INTO A
11	CHILD WHO CANNOT SPEAK. LIKE SO MANY FAMILIES IN
12	OUR COMMUNITY, WE WITH THE DESPERATION OF KNOWING
13	THERE IS NO MEDICINE DESIGNED TO HELP HIM.
14	THAT'S WHY I'M HERE TODAY TO STRONGLY
15	SUPPORT THE IRIS MEDICINE DISC-0 17998 APPLICATION.
16	THE IRIS TEAM IS PARTNERING WITH DR. GENE YU'S RNA
17	LAB AT UC SAN DIEGO TO APPLY THEIR NOVEL RNA
18	TECHNOLOGY TO GENE ACTIVATION. THEIR PLATFORM,
19	SMALL BINDING RNA, SBRNA, OFFERS A SAFE, EFFECTIVE,
20	AND DURABLE WAY TO BOOST EXPRESSION OF GENES THAT
21	ARE NOT FUNCTIONING PROPERLY. IRIS HAS ALREADY
22	SHOWN PROGRESS TOWARD THE CLINIC FOR REPEAT
23	EXPANSION DISORDERS, AND PITT HOPKINS COULD BE NEXT.
24	COMPARED TO VIRAL GENE THERAPY, SBRNA
25	OFFERS CLEAR ADVANTAGES. IT DOES NOT USE VIRUSES,
	offers ceefac Abraco 11 boes not ose vinoses,

1	ALLOWING FOR A LESS INVASIVE ROUTE. INTRATHECAL
2	DOSING TWICE A YEAR INSTEAD OF DIRECT BRAIN
3	INJECTION.
4	A SECOND ADVANTAGE IS THAT SBRNA DRIVES
5	EXPRESSION FROM THE BODY'S ENDOGENOUS PROMOTER.
6	THIS IS PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT FOR PITT HOPKINS
7	BECAUSE IT MEANS THE THERAPY COULD RESTORE ALL
8	NATURAL TCF ISOFORMS, AND THERE ARE A LOT OF TCF
9	ISOFORMS; WHEREAS, VIRAL GENE THERAPY WOULD
10	GENERALLY PRODUCE ONLY ONE. THAT NUANCE COULD BE
11	CRITICAL FOR FULLY RESTORING TCF DIVERSE BRAIN
12	FUNCTIONS.
13	FOR RARE CONDITIONS LIKE PITT HOPKINS,
14	CIRM IS REALLY OUR ONLY HOPE. AND IMPORTANTLY, THIS
15	APPROACH HAS BROADER IMPLICATIONS. IT COULD EXTEND
16	TO OTHER HAPLO INSUFFICIENCIES, INCLUDING SEVERE
17	EPILEPSY, SUCH AS SYNGAP, DRAVET, AND OTHER CERTAIN
18	CARDIOMYOPATHIES. FOR MY SON AND THOUSANDS OF
19	OTHERS, EVERY DAY WITHOUT MEDICINE IS A DAY LOST. A
20	THERAPY THAT CAN RESTORE TCF4 EXPRESSION WOULDN'T
21	JUST EASE SYMPTOMS, IT WOULD CHANGE LIVES. I URGE
22	YOU TO SUPPORT THIS APPLICATION AND HELP BRING SBRNA
23	TECHNOLOGY TO FAMILIES LIKE MINE. THANK YOU. AND
24	IF I HAVE A LITTLE EXTRA TIME
25	MS. MANDAC: I'M SORRY. PERFECT TIMING,

1	AUDREY. THANK YOU SO MUCH.
2	NEXT WE HAVE ZOE BAILEY FROM THE SYNGAP
3	RESEARCH FOUNDATION SPEAKING FOR THE SAME
4	APPLICATION, DISC-0 17998. SO YOU HAVE THE FLOOR.
5	MS. BAILEY: HI, EVERYBODY. THANK YOU SO
6	MUCH FOR BEING HERE, AND I WANT TO ECHO WHAT THE
7	PREVIOUS PRESENTER SAID. AND IT'S JUST AN HONOR TO
8	BE HERE IN CALIFORNIA DURING THESE TIMES.
9	SO MY NAME IS ZOE BAILEY. I JUST WANT TO
10	SHOW YOU THE SWEET LITTLE PICTURE OF MY DAUGHTER.
11	THIS IS KAIA BAILEY. SHE'S MY BEAUTIFUL,
12	ADVENTUROUS, FUNNY FIVE-YEAR-OLD DAUGHTER, AND SHE
13	WAS DIAGNOSED WITH SYNGAP1 RELATED DISORDER ON MARCH
14	23, 2023, A DAY ETCHED IN MY MEMORY FOREVER. LIFE
15	BEFORE THAT DATE FEELS ABSOLUTELY UNRECOGNIZABLE.
16	THAT SINGLE DIAGNOSIS INTRODUCED US TO THE WORLD OF
17	RARE DISEASE, A WORLD I DON'T WISH ON ANYONE. THIS
18	WORLD IS FULL OF HEARTBREAK, GRIEF, AND EXHAUSTED
19	PARENTS. IT ALSO IS A WORLD THAT'S UNDERFUNDED AND
20	UNDERREPRESENTED.
21	I ALREADY KNEW MY DAUGHTER WOULD FACE
22	BARRIERS THAT HER WHITE PEERS WOULD NOT, BUT NOTHING
23	PREPARED ME FOR THE REALITY THAT KAIA'S OPPORTUNITY
24	WOULD ALSO BE LIMITED BY THE WAY HER BODY AND BRAIN
25	FUNCTION. SYNGAP1 HAS RESHAPED EVERY PART OUR

1	FAMILY'S LIFE. KAIA EXPERIENCES INTENSE EMOTIONAL
2	OUTBURSTS, SEIZURES, AGGRESSIVE BEHAVIORS,
3	INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, HYPOTONIA, JUST TO NAME A
4	FEW. SHE'S NOT POTTY TRAINED, CANNOT ADEQUATELY
5	DRESS OR FEED HERSELF, IS MINIMALLY VERBAL, AND
6	DOESN'T UNDERSTAND DANGER.
7	SHE'S OFTEN EXTREMELY HAS AGGRESSIVE
8	OUTBURSTS TOWARDS HER YOUNGER SISTER WHO, DESPITE
9	BEING TWO YEARS YOUNGER, HAS ALREADY SURPASSED HER
10	DEVELOPMENTALLY. SHE WILL ALWAYS BE HER BIG LITTLE
11	SISTER. AND IF KAIA DOES NOT RECEIVE EFFECTIVE
12	THERAPEUTICS, WE WILL ONE DAY DEPEND ON HER TO BE
13	KAIA'S CAREGIVER. THAT IS A WEIGHT THAT NO MOTHER
14	OR CHILD SHOULD EVER HAVE TO CARRY.
15	AND THE TRUTH IS SYNGAP1 IS NOT JUST A
16	CHILD DISORDER. WITHOUT EFFECTIVE TREATMENT KAIA
17	WILL NEVER LIVE INDEPENDENTLY. HER SEIZURES, WHICH
18	WE KNOW WILL WORSEN OVER TIME AND CREATE RISKS, WILL
19	WORSEN OVER TIME AS WILL THE RISKS. SHE WILL
20	REQUIRE 24-HOUR CARE FOR THE REST OF HER LIFE.
21	EVERYDAY LIFE ALREADY TAKES EVERYTHING WE
22	HAVE. IN JUST THE LAST TWO WEEKS, KAIA HAS SPENT 24
23	HOURS IN THE HOSPITAL FOR AN EEG, HAS DRIVEN NEARLY
24	TWO HOURS BACK AND FORTH FOR ORTHOTICS TO SUPPORT
25	HER UNSTEADY GAIT, AND WE LOGGED 20 HOURS OF

1	SPECIALIZED THERAPEUTICS ON TOP OF THE DAILY
2	STRUGGLES OF TANTRUMS, TRANSITIONS, AND EMOTIONAL
3	DYSREGULATION.
4	BALANCING MY MARRIAGE, MY CAREER, AND MY
5	OWN WELL BEING OFTEN FEELS IMPOSSIBLE. YET WE ARE
6	CONSIDERED LUCKY BECAUSE KAIA'S DIAGNOSIS CAME EARLY
7	BECAUSE WE HAD ACCESS TO EXCELLENT MEDICAL CARE AND
8	RESOURCES. AS A LICENSED CLINICAL SOCIAL WORKER
9	MYSELF, I KNEW THE URGENCY OF EARLY INTERVENTION AND
10	WAS RELENTLESS IN SEEKING ANSWERS.
11	WE ARE SO LUCKY TO ALSO HAVE FOUND AN
12	AMAZINGLY STRONG PATIENT ADVOCACY GROUP, THE SYNGAP
13	RESEARCH FUND, WHICH IS FIGHTING EVERY DAY FOR A
14	BETTER FUTURE. I NOW VOLUNTEER WITH THIS
15	ORGANIZATION BECAUSE THERE IS NOTHING MORE IMPORTANT
16	THAN WORKING TOWARDS GIVING KAIA AND OTHER KIDS LIKE
17	HER THE BEST CHANCE. STILL TOO MANY FAMILIES NEVER
18	GET THIS CHANCE. TOO MANY CHILDREN GO UNDIAGNOSED,
19	AND TOO MANY PARENTS ARE LEFT
20	MS. MANDAC: THANK YOU SO MUCH, ZOE, FOR
21	SHARING YOUR STORY ABOUT KAIA.
22	WE ARE CHECKING JUST BECAUSE ONE PERSON
23	JUST ENTERED THE WAITING ROOM TO SEE IF ANY MORE
24	HANDS ARE RAISED. ALL RIGHT. SEEING NONE, NO MORE
25	PUBLIC COMMENT.

1	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THERE IS NONE.
2	THANK YOU SO MUCH, CLAUDETTE, FOR MANAGING THAT.
3	SCOTT, NO FINAL COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS, WE'RE
4	GOING TO PROCEED TO A VOTE.
5	MR. TOCHER: ALL RIGHT. SO A LITTLE
6	CHANGE. FOR THOSE VOTING MEMBERS WHO HAD A CONFLICT
7	AND HAD TO REFRAIN FROM PARTICIPATING, WHEN I CALL
8	YOUR NAME FOR THIS FINAL VOTE, YOU CAN INDICATE AYE
9	OR NAY, WHATEVER YOUR VOTE IS, AND THEN JUST ADD
10	EXCEPT FOR THOSE APPLICATIONS WITH WHICH I HAVE A
11	CONFLICT OR SOME VERSION OF THAT. AS A REMINDER,
12	THOSE MEMBERS ARE BERNAL, DURON, DAHL, FLOWERS, AND
13	MIASKOWSKI.
14	DAN BERNAL.
15	MR. BERNAL: AYE, EXCEPT FOR THOSE WITH
16	WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.
17	MR. TOCHER: MARIA.
18	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.
19	MR. TOCHER: MARGUERITE CASILLAS.
20	MS. CASILLAS: AYE.
21	MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
22	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: AYE.
23	MR. TOCHER: SHANNON DAHL.
24	DR. DAHL: AYE, EXCEPT FOR THOSE
25	APPLICATIONS WITH WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.
	68

	2211 6.211111, 61 0511101, 102
1	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
2	DR. DULIEGE: AYE.
3	MR. TOCHER: YSABEL DURON.
4	MS. DURON: AYE, EXCEPT FOR THOSE
5	APPLICATIONS WITH WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.
6	MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
7	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: AYE.
8	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
9	DR. FLOWERS: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE
10	APPLICATIONS WITH WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.
11	MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
12	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
13	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
14	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
15	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
16	MR. LAJARA: YES.
17	MR. TOCHER: CHRIS MIASKOWSKI.
18	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE
19	APPLICATIONS WITH WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT.
20	MR. TOCHER: JOE PANETTA.
21	MR. PANETTA: YES.
22	MR. TOCHER: MARV SOUTHARD.
23	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
24	MR. TOCHER: YAEL WYTE.
25	MS. WYTE: YES.
	69

1	MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANKS VERY MUCH.
2	THE MOTION CARRIES.
3	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WELL, THANK YOU.
4	THANK YOU, SCOTT. A LITTLE COMPLICATED, BUT WE GOT
5	THROUGH IT. THANK YOU.
6	WE CAN NOW MOVE ON TO AGENDA ITEM
7	QUESTION FROM DR. BARRETT. YES.
8	DR. BARRETT: FOR GIL. SO ONE OF THE
9	THINGS THAT STRUCK ME IN YOUR VERY WELL-CRAFTED
10	PRESENTATION WAS THE SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF
11	APPLICATIONS THAT WERE DEEMED NOT ELIGIBLE FOR
12	REVIEW, ALMOST 50. I WANTED TO KNOW I DON'T
13	REMEMBER SEEING THOSE DATA BEFORE FOR OTHER
14	COMPETITIONS. I WANTED TO KNOW IF THAT WAS NORMAL.
15	AND IF IT IS NORMAL, DO WE NEED TO BE DOING
16	SOMETHING MORE TO INFORM POTENTIAL APPLICANTS OF THE
17	PRECISE CRITERIA FOR ELIGIBILITY BECAUSE EACH OF
18	THOSE, I THINK, 47 APPLICATIONS WILL HAVE
19	REPRESENTED A LOT OF WORK ON THE PART OF
20	INVESTIGATORS AND PRESUMABLY PASSED MUSTER WITH A
21	SPONSORED PROJECT'S OFFICE SUBMISSION IN THE FIRST
22	PLACE.
23	DR. SAMBRANO: THAT'S A GREAT QUESTION.
24	SO IT DEPENDS ON THE COMPETITION. FOR DISCOVERY WE
25	TEND TO GET MORE BECAUSE PART OF THE REQUIREMENT IS
	70

1	BEING AT THE STAGE OF READINESS THAT'S APPROPRIATE
2	FOR THIS. AND I THINK THAT'S WHERE A LOT OF THE
3	PROJECTS GET HUNG UP.
4	SO ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE ENCOURAGE IS
5	FOR THEM TO SET UP A CONSULTATION WITH THE PROGRAM
6	TEAM, SO WITH ROSA AND HER TEAM AND KELLY SHEPARD,
7	TO GO OVER THE PROJECT AND ENSURE THAT IT'S LIKELY
8	TO BE FUNDED.
9	SO THERE ARE SOME WHO DO THAT, MANY THAT
10	DON'T. BUT I THINK THAT IS PROBABLY THE BIGGEST
11	CULPRIT FOR THAT NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS THAT DID NOT
12	MAKE IT THROUGH ELIGIBILITY.
13	DR. BARRETT: COULD WE MAKE THAT A
14	REQUIREMENT FOR SUBMISSION?
15	DR. SAMBRANO: YEAH, WE COULD. IT'S
16	SOMETHING WE'VE CONSIDERED, BUT AT THE SAME TIME WE
17	ALSO DON'T WANT TO PREVENT SOMEBODY WHO HAS THE
18	OPPORTUNITY LAST MINUTE OR IF WE DON'T HAVE THE
19	BANDWIDTH OR SCHEDULE TO DO IT TO STILL BE ABLE TO
20	APPLY. SO I THINK AT THIS POINT WE WOULD JUST
21	HIGHLY ENCOURAGE FOLKS TO REACH OUT AS PROBABLY THE
22	BEST AVENUE.
23	DR. SACKEY: FOLLOW UP ON THAT. IS IT
24	POSSIBLE TO PERHAPS INSTITUTE A LETTER OF INTENT
25	WHICH ALLOWS YOU THEN TO PREEMPT SOME OF THE ONES

1	THAT YOU THINK MIGHT BE OFF THE MARK AND MAYBE OFFER
2	THE CONSULTATION THAT WAY AS OPPOSED TO HAVING
3	PEOPLE DECIDE THE LAST FEW WEEKS BEFORE IT'S DUE TO
4	DECIDE TO REACH OUT?
5	DR. SAMBRANO: RIGHT. THAT'S A GREAT
6	SUGGESTION. WE CAN CERTAINLY DO THAT HERE IN THIS
7	TYPE OF PROGRAM. IN SOME OF THE OTHER PROGRAMS, WE
8	HAVE INSTITUTED A PRESUBMISSION PROCESS WHICH IS
9	SIMILAR WITH THE IDEA OF CAPTURING INITIALLY WHAT IT
10	IS THAT THEY INTEND TO DO AND INVITE THOSE THAT
11	REALLY MAKE THE CUT AND QUALIFY. SO THANK YOU FOR
12	THAT SUGGESTION.
13	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. GREAT.
14	JONATHAN, OUR PRESIDENT, IS GOING TO GIVE US AN
15	UPDATE ON STRATEGIC ALLOCATION FRAMEWORK, AGENDA
16	ITEM NO. 12.0.
17	DR. THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. LAST
18	YEAR, AS YOU WILL RECALL, THIS BOARD APPROVED A
19	STRATEGIC ALLOCATION FRAMEWORK OR SAF WHICH DEFINES
20	HOW CIRM WILL ALLOCATE OUR REMAINING FUNDS TO BEST
21	MEET THE GOAL OF ADVANCING REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
22	THERAPIES TO THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA AND THE WORLD.
23	TODAY I WANT TO UPDATE YOU ON WHERE WE ARE
24	ON THE SAF. I WILL SHOW YOU OUR BUDGET FORECAST FOR
25	ALL OUR PROGRAMS, AND I WILL HIGHLIGHT STEPS TAKEN

1	TO DATE ON IMPLEMENTING THE SAF AS WELL AS WHAT'S
2	COMING IN THE NEXT YEAR.
3	AS PART OF THAT, I WILL DISCUSS OUR SAF
4	GOALS AND PREFERENCES WITHIN OUR PROGRAMS THAT HELP
5	ENSURE OUR LIMITED FUNDS ARE DIRECTED TOWARDS
6	REACHING THOSE GOALS. WHEN I'M DONE, GIL WILL SHOW
7	YOU AN EXAMPLE OF HOW THE TEAM IMPLEMENTED
8	PREFERENCES IN ASSESSING CLIN2 APPLICATIONS.
9	I WANT TO START BY REMINDING YOU WHY WE
10	DEVELOPED THE SAF. OVER THE PAST FEW YEARS, WE HAVE
11	SEEN A SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN NUMBERS OF
12	APPLICATIONS THAT WE RECEIVE FOR ALL OUR PROGRAMS.
13	AT THE END OF 2023, SEVERAL OF YOU ON THE BOARD
14	REQUESTED THAT THE TEAM DEVELOP A STRATEGY FOR
15	ENSURING CIRM'S REMAINING PUBLIC FUNDS ARE DEPLOYED
16	WHERE THEY CAN HAVE THE GREATEST NEAR-TERM IMPACT
17	FOR PATIENTS.
18	THE CIRM TEAM, LED BY DR. ROSA
19	CANET-AVILES, TALKED EXTENSIVELY TO STAKEHOLDERS AND
20	REVIEWED CIRM'S PORTFOLIO TO DATE AS WELL AS THE
21	LANDSCAPE OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE RESEARCH, THERAPY
22	DEVELOPMENT, PATIENT ACCESS, AND WORKFORCE
23	DEVELOPMENT. THEN THE TEAM DEVELOPED A FUNDING
24	STRATEGY WITH A COORDINATED SET OF FUNDING PROGRAMS.
25	THE PROGRAMS FOLLOW A DEFINED CADENCE THAT

1	ALLOWS PROJECTS TO MOVE FROM DISCOVERY TO CLINICAL
2	PHASES IN A COORDINATED WAY TO ENSURE THE PORTFOLIO
3	ADVANCES AS A WHOLE.
4	AS PART OF THE SAF, THE TEAM DEFINED FOUR
5	CATEGORIES WITH CLEARLY DEFINED GOALS INTENDED TO
6	MAXIMIZE CIRM'S IMPACT. YOU'VE SEEN A VERSION OF
7	THIS SLIDE NUMEROUS TIMES. THE GOALS SHOWN HERE ARE
8	THE HIGH LEVEL RESULT OF A VERY DEEP AND CAREFUL
9	ANALYSIS BY THE CIRM TEAM. ALL THESE GOALS AND THE
10	DERIVED RECOMMENDATIONS HAVE VERY CLEAR MILESTONES
11	FOR MEASURING THEIR SUCCESS. WE INCLUDED
12	PREFERENCES IN OUR NEW PROGRAMS WHICH WE PRESENTED
13	IN MARCH THAT SELECT FOR APPLICATIONS MOST LIKELY TO
14	HELP US ACHIEVE THOSE GOALS.
15	THE PREFERENCES ARE ANCHORED IN
16	LONG-STANDING CIRM OBJECTIVES AND NEW OBJECTIVES
17	MANDATED BY PROP 14, SUCH AS ADVANCING STEM CELL AND
18	GENETIC THERAPIES, SUPPORTING CALIFORNIA-BASED
19	APPLICANTS, PRIORITIZING PROJECTS THAT BUILD ON
20	PRIOR CIRM FUNDING, EMPHASIZING CNS INDICATIONS, AND
21	ENSURING ACCESS TO THERAPIES FOR PATIENTS ACROSS
22	CALIFORNIA, AND FOCUSING ON PROJECTS CLOSEST TO
23	CLINICAL TRANSLATION.
24	AS GIL HAS DESCRIBED IN PREVIOUS
25	PRESENTATIONS AND WILL REVIEW AGAIN AFTER I TALK,

1	THE TEAM HAS STARTED EVALUATING APPLICATIONS
2	ACCORDING TO THESE PREFERENCES AS A WAY OF FILTERING
3	WHICH APPLICATIONS MOVE FORWARD TO FULL GRANTS
4	WORKING GROUP REVIEW. THE INTENDED EFFECT OF THESE
5	PREFERENCES IS TO ENSURE THAT OUR PUBLIC FUNDS ARE
6	TRANSLATED INTO PROJECTS THAT ARE MOST LIKELY TO
7	GENERATE THERAPIES THAT CAN REACH PATIENTS.
8	I WANT TO REMIND YOU THAT THE SAF AND THE
9	PREFERENCES THAT WILL HELP US ACHIEVE THE IMPACT
10	GOALS ARE NOT INTENDED TO BE STATIC. THEY ARE
11	DESIGNED TO ADAPT BASED ON CIRM'S INTERNAL PORTFOLIO
12	AND DEVELOPMENTS IN THE FIELD. IN JANUARY THE CIRM
13	TEAM WILL PRESENT A PORTFOLIO REVIEW. THE BOARD
14	WILL BE ABLE TO REVIEW OUTCOMES, EXAMINE THE EFFECT
15	OF PREFERENCES, AND GUIDE ANY MODIFICATIONS BEFORE
16	THE NEXT PROGRAM CYCLES LAUNCH.
17	AS I SAID, THE SAF INCLUDES A COORDINATED
18	SET OF FUNDING PROGRAMS: CLINICAL PROGRAMS,
19	PRECLINICAL, DISCOVERY, EDUCATION, AND
20	INFRASTRUCTURE. THIS BUDGET PROJECTION SHOWN ON THE
21	SCREEN SHOWS FUNDING FOR THE NEXT SIX YEARS ACROSS
22	THESE PROGRAMS. ACCORDING TO THIS, WE'LL SPEND JUST
23	UNDER \$3.5 BILLION OVER THE COURSE OF THE NEXT SIX
24	YEARS, WHICH WILL EXPEND OUR RESEARCH BUDGET.
25	A FEW THINGS TO NOTE. FIRST, THERE'S SOME

1	ROUNDING. SO DON'T BREAK OUT YOUR CALCULATORS.
2	SECOND, THIS PLAN ALSO DOES NOT INCLUDE FUNDS SET
3	ASIDE FOR ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY GRANTS AND
4	ANY PLANNED ACCESSIBILITY PROGRAMS, SUCH AS
5	COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE SUPPORT. THE
6	STRATEGY AND FINANCIAL PLAN OF THESE AWARDS WILL BE
7	BROUGHT TO THE BOARD LATER THIS YEAR.
8	I'M NOT GOING TO TALK YOU THROUGH THE
9	EXACT FUNDING LEVELS FOR EACH PROGRAM TODAY.
10	INSTEAD, I WANT YOU TO SEE HOW THE BUDGETS FOR EACH
11	OF THESE PROGRAMS ARE INTERRELATED. IF WE MAKE
12	CHANGES TO A PROGRAM'S BUDGET DUE TO CHANGE IN THE
13	SCIENTIFIC OR POLICY LANDSCAPE, WE'LL NEED TO
14	RETHINK THE ENTIRE FRAMEWORK. WE'LL BE SHOWING YOU
15	A VERSION OF THIS SLIDE PERIODICALLY SO YOU
16	UNDERSTAND WHERE WE ARE WITH OUR RESEARCH BUDGET.
17	HERE YOU CAN SEE THE TIMELINE FOR THE
18	FIRST TWO YEARS OF SAF IMPLEMENTATION. THE BOARD
19	APPROVED THE SAF IN SEPTEMBER OF '24. THE TEAM
20	BROUGHT THE FIRST SET OF FUNDING CONCEPTS TO YOU IN
21	MARCH '25. AND WE BEGAN WELCOMING APPLICATIONS TO
22	THE FIRST SET OF PROGRAMS THROUGHOUT THIS PAST
23	SPRING AND SUMMER. RIGHT NOW WE ARE DEVELOPING THE
24	NEXT SET OF CONCEPTS WHICH WILL BE BROUGHT TO YOU IN
25	DECEMBER AND JANUARY. WE WILL BEGIN ACCEPTING

1	APPLICATIONS TO THE SECOND SET OF CONCEPTS FOR
2	APPLICATIONS STARTING WINTER AND SPRING OF '26.
3	THIS SLIDE GIVES A BIT MORE DETAIL INTO
4	WHAT THE REST OF WHAT 2025 AND 2026 WILL LOOK LIKE.
5	AT THE DECEMBER BOARD MEETING, THE TEAM WILL BRING
6	YOU CONCEPTS FOR TWO EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND AN
7	INFRASTRUCTURE CONCEPT. THEN IN JANUARY WE WILL
8	BRING TO YOU A RARE DISEASE PILOT PROGRAM AS WELL AS
9	A DATA INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM. YOU CAN ALSO SEE
10	HERE THAT IN JANUARY WE WILL ALSO PRESENT THE
11	AFOREMENTIONED THOROUGH PORTFOLIO REVIEW.
12	BEFORE I TAKE QUESTIONS, I'D LIKE TO TURN
13	IT OVER TO GIL WHO'S GOING TO SHOW AN EXAMPLE OF HOW
14	PREFERENCES HAVE BEEN USED TO DETERMINE WHICH
15	APPLICATIONS WILL MOVE TO FULL REVIEW FOR THE CLIN2
16	PROGRAM. WE CAN BOTH TAKE QUESTIONS AFTER GIL'S
17	PRESENTATION. GIL.
18	DR. SAMBRANO: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY
19	MUCH, J.T. AS MENTIONED, I WANT TO SHOW YOU AN
20	EXAMPLE. WE JUST STARTED, ALSO AS MENTIONED, WITH A
21	LOT OF THESE NEW PROGRAM REVIEWS AND IMPLEMENTING
22	PREFERENCES. AND I WANT TO SHOW YOU HOW THE
23	QUALIFICATION PROCESS INCORPORATED THESE AND WHAT
24	THE OUTCOMES OF THOSE WERE.
25	THE REVIEW OF THIS FIRST ROUND ISN'T

1	ACTUALLY YET DONE, MEANING IT HASN'T GONE THROUGH
2	THE ENTIRE PROCESS TO FUNDING DECISION YET, BUT IT
3	HAS GONE THROUGH THE QUALIFICATION STEP, WHICH MEANS
4	IT GIVES YOU AND GIVES US ENOUGH INFORMATION TO
5	ASSESS HOW THAT HAS WORKED SO FAR.
6	SO THIS, AGAIN, IS JUST A REMINDER OF THE
7	PROCESS AND HOW IT WORKS. WE GO THROUGH
8	ELIGIBILITY. WE INSERTED THIS QUALIFICATION
9	PROCESS, AND WE INSERTED THIS ACTUALLY OVER A YEAR
10	AGO. AND IT WAS PUT IN PLACE ORIGINALLY TO HELP
11	MANAGE THE LARGE NUMBER OF CLIN APPLICATIONS THAT
12	WERE COMING IN, AND WE REFERRED TO IT AT THE TIME AS
13	A FLOW CONTROL SOLUTION TO THE APPLICATIONS. AND SO
14	SOME OF YOU MAY REMEMBER THAT.
15	SO FOR THIS QUALIFICATION PROCESS, WHAT
16	HAPPENS IS THE SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS OF THE CIRM
17	INTERNAL REVIEW TEAM APPLY THE PREFERENCES
18	OBJECTIVELY TO IDENTIFY IN ADVANCE THE TOP SEVEN
19	APPLICATIONS. AND SO THE NUMBER ADVANCED IS BASED
20	ON WHAT IT IS THAT WILL ENSURE AN IN-DEPTH REVIEW OF
21	EACH OF THESE CLINICAL TRIAL APPLICATIONS, THE
22	EXPECTED SUCCESS RATE, AS WELL AS ITS ALIGNMENT WITH
23	THE BUDGET THAT'S AVAILABLE IN ORDER TO FUND THE
24	NUMBER OF PROJECTS WE ARE SEEKING. AND JUST FOR
25	REFERENCE, WE HAVE CLIN2 ON A QUARTERLY BASIS NOW,

1	SO FOUR TIMES PER YEAR. AND OUR INTENT FOR THE
2	NUMBER OF CLINICAL TRIALS WE WOULD HOPE TO FUND IN A
3	YEAR IS BETWEEN NINE AND FIFTEEN.
4	ALL RIGHT. SO THESE ARE THE PREFERENCES
5	THAT WERE DEVELOPED AND APPROVED AS A MECHANISM TO
6	PRIORITIZE AND DIRECT THE CIRM FUNDS TOWARDS
7	PROJECTS THAT MOST ALIGN WITH OUR SAF GOALS. SO,
8	AGAIN, DR. ROSA CANET-AVILES AND DR. JOE GOLD AND
9	THE CLINICAL TEAM ALL PROPOSED THESE OBJECTIVE
10	PREFERENCES THAT WERE PRESENTED IN MARCH AFTER
11	CAREFUL ANALYSIS AND CONSIDERATION OF THE PRIORITIES
12	THAT ARE SET FORTH IN PROP 14 AND THE SAF. AND YOU
13	WILL SEE JUST A BULLETED RATIONALE NEXT TO EACH OF
14	THOSE CONCEPT PREFERENCES. THOSE WERE DISCUSSED IN
15	MORE DETAIL PREVIOUSLY.
16	THE PREFERENCES WERE POSTED WITH THE
17	PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT AND WERE THEN UTILIZED IN THE
18	QUALIFICATION STEP. LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT HAPPENS IN
19	TERMS OF THIS FIRST ROUND. WE HAD 23 APPLICATIONS
20	THAT WERE SUBMITTED FOR THE FIRST ROUND OF CLIN.
21	AND AS YOU'LL SEE, THIS SHOWS AGAINST EACH CRITERIA
22	HOW MANY APPLICATIONS MET THAT PARTICULAR
23	PREFERENCE. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, ALMOST ALL OF THEM, 21
24	OUT OF THE 23, WERE CALIFORNIA ORGANIZATIONS. ABOUT
25	HALF OF THEM REPRESENTED A PROGRESSION FROM A

1	PREVIOUS CIRM AWARD. SO THAT'S A DIRECT PROGRESSION
2	EVENT. AND THEN JUST OVER A THIRD TARGETED A CNS
3	INDICATION. SO EIGHT OUT OF THE 23.
4	ALL RIGHT. SO NOW THE NUMBER OF
5	PREFERENCES THAT WERE MET BY EACH PROJECT, SO I
6	SHOWED YOU JUST IN GENERAL HOW MANY MET EACH OF
7	THOSE PREFERENCES, BUT, OF COURSE, EACH INDIVIDUAL
8	PROJECT MAY HAVE MET ONE, TWO, THREE, OR MORE OF
9	THOSE PREFERENCES THEMSELVES. AND SO THAT'S WHAT
10	THIS GRAPH SHOWS. SO WHAT YOU SEE AS THE NUMBER OF
11	CRITERIA FROM 0 TO 4, THOSE ARE HOW MANY THE
12	PROJECTS MET. AND SO IT ALSO SHOWS A COMPARISON OF
13	THE SEVEN PROJECTS THAT ADVANCED VERSUS THOSE THAT
14	DID NOT. SO THOSE THAT ARE IN BLUE ARE THE ONES
15	THAT ADVANCED.
16	SO WE WILL OBSERVE A FEW THINGS. FIVE
17	PROJECTS MET AS MANY AS FOUR DIFFERENT PREFERENCES.
18	FIVE OTHER PROJECTS MET THREE DIFFERENT PREFERENCES.
19	AND TWO OF THOSE ADVANCED AND THREE DID NOT. AND
20	THE REASON FOR THAT IS THAT THERE IN THE POINT
21	SYSTEM IS A TIE. WHEREVER THERE IS A TIE, WE THEN
22	HAVE THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP DO A MORE SUBJECTIVE
23	ASSESSMENT BASED ON VALUE PROPOSITION AND THEY
24	SELECT WHICH ONES ADVANCE. SO THOSE TWO WERE
25	ADVANCED BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.

1	ALL RIGHT. HERE'S ANOTHER WAY TO LOOK AT
2	IT. AND THIS IS BECAUSE WE HAD AN INTERESTING
3	OBSERVATION HERE. ALL SEVEN APPLICATIONS THAT
4	ADVANCED IN THIS INITIAL ROUND TARGET DISEASES OF
5	THE CNS. SO THE QUESTION IS WHY DID THAT HAPPEN.
6	DOES THAT MEAN THAT THE CNS PREFERENCE FOR SOME
7	REASON OVERSELECTS OR IS MAKING IT DIFFICULT OR
8	IMPOSSIBLE FOR OTHERS THAT ARE NOT CNS TO COME IN.
9	SO IF WE EXAMINE ALL OF THE APPLICATIONS,
10	SO THE 23 APPLICATIONS IN THE POOL THAT TARGET THE
11	CNS, AND COMPARE IT TO ALL THE NON-CNS. SO AT THE
12	TOP YOU THE CNS APPLICATIONS AND THEN THE
13	NON-CNS BELOW THAT. IT HAPPENS THAT THE CNS
14	APPLICATIONS ALSO MET OTHER PREFERENCES TO A GREATER
15	EXTENT THAN THE NON-CNS APPLICATIONS.
16	SO TO PROVIDE A LITTLE MORE DETAIL ON
17	THIS, IF YOU LOOK AT THE TOP SEVEN APPLICATIONS, ALL
18	OF THEM FROM CALIFORNIA, AND ALL HAPPENED TO TARGET
19	CNS, THERE ARE EIGHT CNS APPLICATIONS. AMONG THOSE
20	EIGHT, SIX ALSO HAPPENED TO BE A PLURIPOTENT STEM
21	CELL OR IN VIVO GENE THERAPY. AND HALF OF THEM HAVE
22	AN ADVANCED DESIGNATION WITH THE FDA.
23	IF YOU LOOK AT THE NON-CNS APPLICATIONS,
24	OF WHICH THERE ARE 15, THERE'S ONLY THREE THAT HAD A
25	PLURIPOTENT STEM CELL OR IN VIVO GENE THERAPY, AND

1	ONLY THREE HAD AN ADVANCED DESIGNATION.
2	SO FROM LOOKING AT THIS DATA, ALTHOUGH THE
3	SEVEN TOP APPLICATIONS ALL TARGET THE CNS, THE FACT
4	THAT THESE APPLICATIONS ALSO MET ADDITIONAL
5	CONCOMITANT PREFERENCES CONTRIBUTED TO THEIR
6	SELECTION. IN FACT, IF WE LOOK AT AND REMOVE THE
7	CNS AS A CRITERION AND REASSESS WHICH ONES COME TO
8	THE TOP, YOU END UP WITH EIGHT APPLICATIONS. AND
9	FIVE OF THOSE ARE THE CNS, AND THE SAME ONES THAT
10	WERE SELECTED. SO, IN EFFECT, THERE IS A PREFERENCE
11	FOR CNS. WE ARE DELIBERATELY NOTING THAT AS A
12	PREFERENCE, BUT IT DOESN'T APPEAR, AT LEAST, THAT IT
13	IS OVERSELECTING AS WE POTENTIALLY FEARED.
14	SO THE OTHER THING I WANT TO NOTE IS THE
15	CRITERIA THAT I SHOWED ARE VERY SIMILAR ALSO TO ONE
16	OF OUR OTHER PROGRAMS, THE PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT
17	OR PDEV, BUT IT USES A VERY DIFFERENT PROCESS. IT
18	GOES THROUGH PRESUBMISSIONS. IT DOESN'T USE THIS
19	PARTICULAR QUALIFICATION PROCESS, BUT THE
20	PREFERENCES ARE SIMILAR. AND WE ALSO OBSERVED THERE
21	THAT IN THAT CASE THE PRESUBS ALSO REQUIRED MEETING
22	THREE TO FOUR DIFFERENT CRITERIA IN ORDER TO BE
2.2	AMONG THOSE THAT GOT INVITED. HOWEVER, IN THAT CASE
23	,
24	THE PERCENTAGE OF SELECTED PRESUBMISSIONS THAT ARE

1	SO IT APPEARS THAT IT PROBABLY REALLY MORE
2	DEPENDS ON THE PARTICULAR BATCH AND THE RELATIVE
3	QUALITIES AND CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PROJECTS THAT
4	COME IN. SO WE DON'T KNOW WHAT WILL COME IN IN THE
5	NEXT ROUND, BUT IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE ALSO HOPE TO
6	ASSESS. AND WE WILL, OF COURSE, SHARE THAT WITH YOU
7	IN TERMS OF WHAT THE NUMBERS ARE LOOKING LIKE IN
8	THESE DIFFERENT PROGRAMS.
9	SO IN SUMMARY, AS MENTIONED BY J.T., CIRM
10	IS APPLYING THESE PREFERENCES IN THE SELECTION OF
11	PROJECTS TO ENSURE ALIGNMENT WITH THE SAF.
12	GENERALLY THE PROJECTS REQUIRE POSSESSING MULTIPLE
13	PREFERENCES IN ORDER TO BE SELECTED. AND ALTHOUGH A
14	PREFERENCE FOR TARGETING DISEASES OF THE CNS IS
15	APPLIED, PROJECTS ADDRESSING ANY DISEASE AREA CAN
16	ULTIMATELY BE SELECTED. AND AS I MENTIONED ALSO, WE
17	HAVE ONLY COMPLETED ONE ROUND AT THIS POINT FOR
18	CLIN2 AND THE OTHER PROGRAMS. SO ADDITIONAL DATA ON
19	THE USE OF THESE PREFERENCES WILL CONTINUE TO BE
20	COLLECTED AND SHARED WITH THE BOARD. AND THIS WILL
21	CULMINATE ALSO IN JUST PROVIDING YOU MORE
22	INFORMATION ON WHETHER THE PREFERENCES ARE GETTING
23	WHAT WE NEED, WHAT WE WANT, AND WHAT YOU WOULD LIKE
24	US TO SELECT FOR.
25	AGAIN REPEATING WHAT J.T. SAID, THESE
	0.7

1	PREFERENCES ARE NOT STATIC. SO WE WILL BE
2	PRESENTING AN OVERVIEW OF THE PORTFOLIO OF FUNDED
3	PROJECTS EACH YEAR TO INFORM ANY CHANGES TO THOSE
4	PREFERENCES THAT THE BOARD MAY CHOOSE TO ADOPT. SO
5	THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
6	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, GIL.
7	J.T., YOU HAVE A COMMENT?
8	DR. THOMAS: NO. I'M JUST STANDING HERE
9	ANTICIPATING QUESTIONS.
10	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MARK.
11	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: GIL, THANKS FOR THE
12	EXCELLENT PRESENTATION AND GREAT EXPLANATION FOR THE
13	PROCESS AND THE DATA.
14	JUST ONE ITEM FOR REFERENCE GIVEN THE
15	DIFFERENT CRITERIA THAT WERE LISTED. THE INHERENT
16	PRESUMPTION IS THAT THERE'S EQUAL WEIGHTING FOR EACH
17	ONE OF THOSE TO SOME EXTENT.
18	DR. SAMBRANO: YES.
19	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: OR IT MAY NOT BE
20	OBVIOUS THAT THERE'S AN OVERWEIGHTING FOR A
21	PARTICULAR CRITERION POINT.
22	DR. SAMBRANO: RIGHT. SO IN TERMS OF THE
23	POINT SYSTEM FOR CLIN2, THEY ALL GET ONE. THE ONLY
24	CRITERION THAT GETS TWO POINTS IS IF IT'S A PIVOTAL
25	TRIAL.

1	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: GREAT. FANTASTIC.
2	AND THEN IN ADDITION TO THE PREFERENCES, I EXPECT
3	THAT WE'LL BE CONTINUING TO EVALUATE THE PROCESS AND
4	SEE IF THERE ARE TWEAKS THAT MIGHT NEED FURTHER
5	CONSIDERATION. BUT THAT'S SOMETHING THAT YOU WILL
6	BE BRINGING TO THE BOARD FOR DISCUSSION AND REVIEW
7	AS PART OF OUR PREFERENCE SETTING PROCESS. IS THAT
8	AN ACCURATE?
9	DR. SAMBRANO: YES.
10	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: OKAY. GREAT. THANK
11	YOU.
12	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: PATRICK.
13	DR. LEVITT: TWO QUESTIONS. ONE FOR YOU,
14	GIL. WHAT WAS THE RATIONALE FOR THE GOAL OF
15	ADVANCING SEVEN APPLICATIONS AND NOT SIX OR NINE
16	APPLICATIONS?
17	DR. SAMBRANO: SO WE HAD TO PICK A NUMBER,
18	AND IT WAS BASED ON THE NUMBER OF TARGETED CLINICAL
19	TRIALS. SO THE GOAL FOR THE YEAR IS BETWEEN NINE
20	AND SIXTEEN. SO BASED ON THE SUCCESS RATE, AND THE
21	SUCCESS RATE WE HAVE HAD IN THE PAST IS BETWEEN 60
22	AND 70 PERCENT. I DOUBT IT WILL BE THAT HIGH IN
23	THIS CASE, BUT IT COULD BE. AND THE FACT THAT WE DO
24	THIS FOUR TIMES A YEAR WAS WHERE WE LANDED ON THAT
25	NUMBER. BUT THAT IS ALSO NOT A STATIC NUMBER,

1	MEANING AS WE GO THROUGH THIS ROUND AND THE NEXT
2	ROUND AND THE NEXT, WE WILL GET A BETTER FEEL FOR
3	WHAT THE TRUE SUCCESS RATE IS THAT WILL GET US THE
4	TARGETED NUMBER OF PROPOSALS.
5	DR. LEVITT: OKAY. AND THE SECOND
6	QUESTION IS FOR J.T. SO WHEN I READ THE STRATEGIC
7	IMPACT GOALS, I COME AWAY WITH A CERTAIN
8	UNDERSTANDING. AND THEN I LOOK AT THE FINANCIAL
9	PLAN WHERE THERE'S ESSENTIALLY EQUIVALENT
10	DISTRIBUTIONS FOR CLIN, PDEV, AND DISC. I ACTUALLY
11	DON'T INTERPRET THE GOALS AS HAVING THAT KIND OF A
12	DISTRIBUTION WHERE DISCOVERY THERE'S A CLEAR
13	EMPHASIS HERE. I'M NOT CRITICIZING THE EMPHASIS AT
14	ALL. I'M JUST TRYING TO UNDERSTAND THE ALMOST
15	EQUIVALENT DISTRIBUTION ACROSS THOSE THREE PROGRAMS
16	GIVEN WHAT THE GOALS ARE, WHICH IS VERY HEAVY ON
17	ACCELERATING DEVELOPMENT FOR TECHNOLOGIES FOR
18	DISTRIBUTION OF TREATMENTS, ADVANCING FOUR TO SEVEN
19	RARE DISEASE PROJECTS TO CLINICAL APPLICATION, ET
20	CETERA.
21	DR. THOMAS: SO I THINK THE GENERAL
22	ANSWER, PAT, IS THAT THE TEAM GAVE A GREAT DEAL OF
23	THOUGHT TO EXACTLY WHAT WAS GOING TO GO INTO EACH OF
24	THE THREE DIFFERENT PROGRAM LEVELS. AND THE FUNDING
25	AMOUNT IS WHAT WE DEEM OPTIMAL TO REALIZE THE

1	GREATEST BENEFIT ACROSS THE THREE DIFFERENT PILLARS.
2	THE FACT THAT THEY ARE SIMILAR IN SIZE IS NOT DONE
3	BY DESIGN. IT'S THE CAREFUL RESULT OF A GREAT DEAL
4	OF THOUGHT ON HOW TO ACHIEVE OUR GREATEST BENEFIT.
5	I'LL ASK ROSA IF SHE HAS ANY COMMENT TO
6	ELABORATE ON THAT.
7	DR. CANET-AVILES: I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER
8	THAT. SO IF WE LOOK AT THE PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT,
9	THE PDEV, THAT INVOLVES SEVERAL PROGRAMS. IT COULD
10	BE THE PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT THAT COULD GO FROM
11	PRE-IND UP TO IND-ENABLING, AND IT SHIFTS. THERE
12	ARE SEVERAL PROGRAMS INCLUDED THERE. SO THAT'S ONE
13	OF THEM. THAT ONE, THE PROJECTIONS, THE WAY THAT
14	THEY MADE, THAT WE COULD BE FUNDING MORE PRE-IND,
15	WHETHER WE WOULD BE FUNDING MORE FIRST IN HUMAN TYPE
16	OF STUDIES TOWARDS THE LATER STAGES.
17	THERE IS THE TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AS
18	WELL, WHICH WILL BE FOR PLATFORM TYPE OF PROGRAMS
19	FOR DELIVERY AND MANUFACTURING, ET CETERA. THAT'S
20	IN THE MIDDLE. WE WILL BE STARTING IT PROPOSING
21	IT NEXT YEAR. SO THAT FILLED INTO THE MIDDLE.
22	AND THEN THERE WAS ANOTHER PROGRAM, THE
23	RARE DISEASE PILOT PLATFORM, THAT WAS GOING TO COME
24	ALSO IN THE MIDDLE BECAUSE THAT COULD BE INVESTING
25	MORE PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT AT EARLY CLINICAL.

1	THEN IF WE LOOK AT THE CLINICAL
2	DEVELOPMENT, AND THAT'S ABOUT A BILLION DOLLARS
3	OVERALL, AND IT'S WEIGHTED MORE EARLY AND THEN MORE
4	TOWARDS THE FIRST-IN-HUMAN CLINICAL TRIALS.
5	FOR THE CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT, THE WAY THAT
6	WE PLANNED IT WAS WE ARE PLANNING CONSISTENTLY, AND
7	THAT ONE, THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE BUDGET NOW AND
8	BEFORE IS THAT NOW IT'S FOCUSED ONLY ON CLINICAL
9	TRIALS. BEFORE IT HAD CLIN1 WAS FOR PRECLINICAL
10	DEVELOPMENT TYPE OF WORK. SO THAT THROUGHOUT OUR
11	LIFE BECAUSE WE WANT TO HIT FOUR TO SEVEN BLA'S AND
12	THE 14, 15 CLINICAL TRIALS. SO THAT'S GOING TO BE
13	CONSTANT UNTIL THE END OF OUR PROJECTION.
14	AND THEN FOR DISCOVERY, WITH DISCOVERY THE
15	GOAL IS FOUR TO SEVEN TARGETS, BIOMARKERS, THAT WILL
16	GET INTO THE PIPELINE. AND THAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN
17	NOW, BUT ALSO TOWARDS THE END. SO IT'S CONSTANT AS
18	WELL. SO THAT ONE IS NEARLY A BILLION DOLLARS, BUT
19	IT'S GOING TO BE THE LEGACY OF CIRM IS NOT ONLY
20	HITTING THE BLA, BUT ALSO PROVIDING THE RESOURCES,
21	TOOLS, BIOMARKERS, AND TARGETS THAT WILL GO INTO THE
22	PIPELINE IN A WAY THAT, IF CIRM HAD NOT EXISTED AND
23	LEVERAGED MULTIDISCIPLINARY TYPE OF WORK THAT YOU
24	PROPOSE DURING SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETINGS, THAT
25	WE COULD

1	DR. LEVITT: OF COURSE, MOST OF MY WORK IS
2	IN BASIC SCIENCE. SO I'M NOT CRITICIZING THE FACT
3	THAT WE HAVE A DISC PROGRAM. THAT'S FINE.
4	DR. CANET-AVILES: FOUR OF THEM. AND
5	WE'VE ALWAYS BEEN
6	DR. LEVITT: THE REASON I RAISE THIS IS
7	BECAUSE WHEN WE SAW THE DISTRIBUTION IN TERMS OF
8	WHAT GIL WAS DESCRIBING, AND THERE WERE TWO
9	APPLICATIONS THAT MET THREE CRITERIA THAT WERE NOT
10	ADVANCED. THOSE ARE CLIN. THOSE HAVE VERY DEFINED
11	CLIN GOALS, WHICH FITS REALLY WELL INTO THE GOALS
12	HERE. AND SO WE CAN MAYBE TALK ABOUT THIS IN
13	JANUARY IN TERMS OF WHETHER WE UNDERSTAND THE
14	SPECIFICS OR NOT. BUT I GET A LITTLE QUEASY WHEN WE
15	SEE SOMETHING HAS ACHIEVED HIT AT LEAST THREE OF
16	THOSE GOALS, BUT IS NOT GOING TO BE ADVANCED BECAUSE
17	OF POSSIBLY A DISTRIBUTION MODEL OF THE MONEY.
18	DR. CANET-AVILES: AND IT WAS CRITERIA.
19	ALSO TO THOSE CRITERIA WE ADDED TWO VERY IMPORTANT
20	CRITERIA THAT YOU ACTUALLY PROPOSED THEM AS WELL
21	AND JUDY BACK DURING THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE ONE
22	WAS NOVELTY, AND THE OTHER ONE WAS THAT IT DID
23	NOT THAT WE DID NOT HAVE IT REPRESENTED IN OUR
24	CURRENT CLIN2 PORTFOLIO. SO ALL THOSE HAVE BEEN
25	TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT WHEN WE MADE THOSE PREFERENCES.

1	BUT I THINK THAT IF WE HAVE IT'S ALL
2	ABOUT DATA AT THE END OF THE DAY. AND WHAT WE ARE
3	TRYING TO DO IS WE'VE HAD THE FIRST ROUND. IT'S
4	BEEN PRESUBMISSIONS. THIS IS THE QUALIFICATION
5	PERIOD. BUT THE OTHER ONE, DISC4 AND PDEV, ARE
6	PRESUBMISSIONS. ONCE WE GET TO JANUARY, WE WILL
7	HAVE THE DISC4, WE WILL HAVE TWO PDEV'S, AND WE WILL
8	HAVE THE TWO CLIN2S IN TERMS OF DATA OF HOW THIS HAS
9	WORKED. AND THEN IT'S TO THE BOARD'S PREROGATIVE TO
10	DECIDE WHETHER THIS IS HITTING WHAT THE BOARD COULD
11	WANT FOR CALIFORNIA OR NOT. RIGHT.
12	NOW, THERE IS A REALITY, WHICH DR. SACKEY
13	AND DR. BARRETT MENTIONED EARLIER, IN TERMS OF IF WE
14	COULD HAVE CONSULTATIONS FOR ELIGIBILITY AND ALL
15	THAT. THE REALITY IS THAT THE AMOUNT, THE AVALANCHE
16	OF APPLICANTS, THERE'S A LOT OF PAIN OUT THERE AND
17	WE KNOW WHY. SO THE AVALANCHE THAT WE ARE GETTING
18	IS TO SUCH AN EXTENT THAT WE HAVE TO FIGURE OUT WHAT
19	MECHANISMS ARE FAIR AND ALSO THAT WE CAN HANDLE WITH
20	OUR RESOURCES IN TERMS OF PERSONNEL, ET CETERA.
21	AND THE WAY THAT WE THOUGHT ABOUT IT WAS
22	BY DEVELOPING THE PREFERENCES IN COLLABORATION WITH
23	THE BOARD AND BEING TRANSPARENT, AS TRANSPARENT AS
24	WE COULD. AND WE'VE DONE A PILOT. WE WILL BE EVEN
25	MORE TRANSPARENT NOW. THE PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENTS

1	WILL HAVE THE WEIGHT OF THINGS, ET CETERA.
2	BUT THE SECOND THING WAS BY ALSO FIGURING
3	OUT HOW TO LESSEN THE BURDEN OF APPLICANTS. AND
4	THAT'S WHY THE PRESUBMISSIONS, RIGHT? THE ONLY ONE
5	THAT HAS FULL APPLICATIONS IS THE CLIN2, BUT FOR
6	PDEV, WHICH ARE VERY BURDENSOME APPLICATIONS. AND
7	FOR DISC4 WE DECIDED THAT PRESUBMISSIONS WERE THE
8	MOST OPTIMAL.
9	NOW, IF WE REMOVE PREFERENCES, WE'LL HAVE
10	TO DO SOME KIND OF POSITIVE SELECTION, WHICH WILL BE
11	A BURDEN TO THE APPLICANTS BECAUSE THEY WILL HAVE TO
12	COME WITH THE FULL THING, RIGHT, AND THEY WILL STILL
13	HAVE TO BE SELECTED SOMEHOW. SO THAT'S THE KIND OF
14	CONUNDRUM WE ARE IN. HOPEFULLY THAT WAS HELPFUL.
15	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: J.T. FOLKS, DON'T
16	GO AWAY. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE CAROLYN, AND IT'S
17	GOING TO BE FOLLOWED BY JOYCE AND THEN BY MARIA.
18	DR. MELTZER: SO I JUST WANTED TO MAKE A
19	BRIEF COMMENT. I THINK THE FACT THAT A MINORITY OF
20	THE GRANTS MATCHED THE PREFERENCE AREA SUGGESTS WE
21	DID IT JUST RIGHT. BECAUSE I REMEMBER A LONG
22	DISCUSSION OF WORRYING THAT WE WOULD MISS HAVING
23	APPLICATIONS THAT WERE TIMELY IN TERMS OF THEIR
24	SCIENCE, BUT DID NOT FIT. SO I THINK IT WAS A GOOD
25	BALANCE.

1	DR. SACKEY: THANK YOU FOR A REALLY
2	COMPREHENSIVE AND THOROUGH PROCESS. THIS IS REALLY
3	IMPRESSIVE.
4	I'M LOOKING AT YOUR STRATEGIC IMPACT
5	GOALS. THEY'RE JUST WONDERFUL. AND I'M STRUCK BY
6	THE FACT THAT THERE DIDN'T SEEM TO BE ANY
7	APPLICATIONS AT ALL AROUND YOUR STRATEGIC IMPACT
8	GOAL NO. 4. I THINK IN THIS CASE IT'S ACTUALLY NO.
9	6 SINCE A COUPLE OF THEM HAVE TWO. SO DIVERSE
10	WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT, PARTICULARLY IN THIS
11	ENVIRONMENT WHERE PEOPLE ARE LOSING TRAINING GRANTS
12	FROM NIH LEFT AND RIGHT, I'M STRUCK BY THE FACT THAT
13	YOU DIDN'T GET ANYTHING AT ALL AROUND WORKFORCE
14	DEVELOPMENT. AND I WONDERED IF YOU MIGHT COMMENT ON
15	THE VISIBILITY THAT PEOPLE HAVE INTO YOUR STRATEGIC
16	IMPACT GOALS. I KNOW THEY'VE BEEN RECENTLY
17	DEVELOPED, BUT HOW MUCH OPPORTUNITY IS THERE TO MAKE
18	POTENTIAL APPLICANTS MORE AWARE?
19	DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU, DR. SACKEY.
20	THAT'S A VERY RELEVANT QUESTION. AND I THINK YOU
21	WILL BE HAPPY TO HEAR THAT WE ARE COMING WITH A NEW
22	PROGRAM CONCEPT. SO ALL THE FIVE PROGRAMS WELL,
23	FOUR PROGRAMS THAT WE HAVE IN WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
24	THAT DR. SHEPARD COULD TALK THROUGH IN HER SLEEP
25	PROBABLY ARE ALL RIGHT NOW IN THE MIDDLE OF THEIR

1	THEY ARE FIVE-YEAR PROGRAMS. AND A COUPLE OF THEM
2	ARE ENDING. SO WE ARE REVAMPING SOME OF THE
3	PROGRAMS WITH SOME CHANGES THAT WE'VE LEARNED FROM
4	PROGRAM DIRECTORS FROM THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE OF OUR
5	WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM. THERE'S A LOT OF
6	SUFFERING OUT THERE.
7	SO WE HAVE TAKEN A LOT OF THE INPUT FROM
8	OUR PROGRAM DIRECTORS. IN FACT, WE HAD DURING THE
9	TRAINEE CONFERENCE, THE PAN TRAINEE CONFERENCE,
10	THERE WAS A THREE-HOUR MEETING WITH ALL PROGRAM
11	DIRECTORS OF ALL THE PROGRAMS SO WE COULD FIGURE OUT
12	WHAT ARE THE THINGS. AND WE'VE ALSO SURVEYED. ALL
13	THIS IS COMING IN DECEMBER. KELLY AND HER TEAM WILL
14	BE PRESENTING. I THINK YOU WILL BE VERY HAPPY.
15	SO THERE'S A WHOLE SERIES OF PROGRAMS THAT
16	RESPOND TO THIS. AND ALSO THOSE PROGRAMS WILL ALSO
17	INTERACT WITH SOME OF THESE. AND THAT'S COMING IN
18	DECEMBER. WE HAD TO COME ONE AT A TIME. WE'VE BEEN
19	VERY FAST, BUT THIS IS NOT PRESENTED TODAY. THANK
20	YOU.
21	DR. SACKEY: THAT'S FANTASTIC. THANK YOU.
22	DR. CARETHERS: JUST A VERY QUICK
23	QUESTION. AND I DON'T KNOW IF THIS CAME UP BEFORE,
24	BUT THERE WAS A POINT MADE THAT THE NEURAL DISEASES
25	TARGETED THIS WAY. I WANT TO KNOW IN GENERAL. IN

1	THE PORTFOLIO OF DISEASES, I ASSUME WE WERE BEHIND
2	AND THAT'S WHY IT WAS DONE. AND I WAS JUST
3	WONDERING WITH THE CURRENT PROPOSAL HOW DOES THAT
4	EITHER EQUALIZE IT OR SHIFT THINGS?
5	DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU, DR.
6	CARETHERS. SO THE NEURO EXPENDITURE AT CIRM SO
7	PROPOSITION 14 ESTABLISHED EARMARKS, \$1.5 BILLION,
8	FOR NEURO, DISEASES OF THE BRAIN, CNS. AND THERE
9	WAS A NEURO TASK FORCE DEVELOPED, AND THAT LED TO A
10	STRATEGY FOR INVESTING SOME OF THAT MONEY AT THE
11	DISCOVERY LEVEL. AT THE TIME WE WERE ALREADY
12	SPENDING ABOUT A THIRD OF OUR FUNDING FOCUSED ON
13	NEURO, BUT THERE WAS NO STRATEGY AROUND THAT.
14	SO WHAT WE'VE DONE, ESPECIALLY AT THE
T4	
15	DISC4 LEVEL, IN ORDER TO GET TO SOME KIND OF
	DISC4 LEVEL, IN ORDER TO GET TO SOME KIND OF MEANINGFUL RESULT, YOU HAVE BEEN ABLE TO LEVERAGE
15	
15 16	MEANINGFUL RESULT, YOU HAVE BEEN ABLE TO LEVERAGE
15 16 17	MEANINGFUL RESULT, YOU HAVE BEEN ABLE TO LEVERAGE FUNDING THROUGH SOME TYPE OF FOCUS. THAT'S WHY THE
15 16 17 18	MEANINGFUL RESULT, YOU HAVE BEEN ABLE TO LEVERAGE FUNDING THROUGH SOME TYPE OF FOCUS. THAT'S WHY THE FIRST REMIND PROGRAM WAS FOCUSED ON
15 16 17 18 19	MEANINGFUL RESULT, YOU HAVE BEEN ABLE TO LEVERAGE FUNDING THROUGH SOME TYPE OF FOCUS. THAT'S WHY THE FIRST REMIND PROGRAM WAS FOCUSED ON NEUROPSYCHIATRIC, NEURODEVELOPMENTAL PROGRAMS. AND
15 16 17 18 19 20	MEANINGFUL RESULT, YOU HAVE BEEN ABLE TO LEVERAGE FUNDING THROUGH SOME TYPE OF FOCUS. THAT'S WHY THE FIRST REMIND PROGRAM WAS FOCUSED ON NEUROPSYCHIATRIC, NEURODEVELOPMENTAL PROGRAMS. AND THEN THE NEXT ROUND THE BOARD DECIDED THAT THE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MEANINGFUL RESULT, YOU HAVE BEEN ABLE TO LEVERAGE FUNDING THROUGH SOME TYPE OF FOCUS. THAT'S WHY THE FIRST REMIND PROGRAM WAS FOCUSED ON NEUROPSYCHIATRIC, NEURODEVELOPMENTAL PROGRAMS. AND THEN THE NEXT ROUND THE BOARD DECIDED THAT THE PREFERENCES COULD BE ALL NEURO, NOTHING ELSE, YOU
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MEANINGFUL RESULT, YOU HAVE BEEN ABLE TO LEVERAGE FUNDING THROUGH SOME TYPE OF FOCUS. THAT'S WHY THE FIRST REMIND PROGRAM WAS FOCUSED ON NEUROPSYCHIATRIC, NEURODEVELOPMENTAL PROGRAMS. AND THEN THE NEXT ROUND THE BOARD DECIDED THAT THE PREFERENCES COULD BE ALL NEURO, NOTHING ELSE, YOU COULD COME WITH SOMETHING ELSE, BUT MOST OF WHAT WE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MEANINGFUL RESULT, YOU HAVE BEEN ABLE TO LEVERAGE FUNDING THROUGH SOME TYPE OF FOCUS. THAT'S WHY THE FIRST REMIND PROGRAM WAS FOCUSED ON NEUROPSYCHIATRIC, NEURODEVELOPMENTAL PROGRAMS. AND THEN THE NEXT ROUND THE BOARD DECIDED THAT THE PREFERENCES COULD BE ALL NEURO, NOTHING ELSE, YOU COULD COME WITH SOMETHING ELSE, BUT MOST OF WHAT WE GOT WAS NEURO. AND THEN FOR PRECLINICAL AND

1	INTERESTED IN NEURO. SO THAT'S ONE CRITERIA THAT WE
2	ARE ADDING THERE THAT WILL GIVE SOME ADVANTAGE TO
3	NEURO.
4	BUT I THINK THE EXPENDITURES ON NEURO WE
5	WILL SEE WHEN WE COME BACK IN JANUARY. IF THE BOARD
6	SAYS WE ARE SPENDING 50 PERCENT AND IT'S TOO MUCH,
7	THEN WE CAN RE-GUIDE A LITTLE BIT THE PREFERENCES AT
8	THE PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT.
9	DR. THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, ROSA.
10	JOHN, I'D JUST ADD TO THAT. THE
11	PERCENTAGE MANDATED IN NEURO BY PROP 14 HAPPENS BY
12	HAPPENSTANCE TO BE VERY SIMILAR TO THE PERCENTAGE
13	WE'VE BEEN PUTTING INTO NEURO SINCE INCEPTION. SO
14	IT'S NOT A MATTER OF BEING SHORT. IT IS SOMETHING
15	THAT LED TO THE RESULT WE SEE WITH THE PROJECTS GIL
16	HAS DESCRIBED. SO WE THINK WE ARE RIGHT ON SCHEDULE
17	EXCEPT WE'VE NOW SUPERIMPOSED THE PRIORITY SYSTEM
18	THROUGH THE PREFERENCES TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE A
19	GOOD STRATEGY FOR WHAT EXACTLY GOES INTO THAT.
20	THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION.
21	DR. CARETHERS: THANKS FOR THE
22	EXPLANATION.
23	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MARIA AND THEN
24	SHLOMO.
25	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I WAS HOPING

1	YOU PUT UP THE FINANCIAL PLAN. AND I WAS HOPING IN
2	A FUTURE MEETING PERHAPS TO COME BACK TO LET US KNOW
3	WHAT'S INTENDED FOR THOSE YEARS WITH THAT SPEND. I
4	ASSUME THERE ARE SOME PROGRAMS THAT WILL PROBABLY BE
5	GOING AWAY OR SOME PROGRAMS THAT WE'LL JUST SEE
6	RAMPED UP ACTIVITY. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR THE
7	BOARD TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THAT LOOKS LIKE AND FOR THE
8	FIELD TO UNDERSTAND WHAT WON'T BE THERE FOR THEM
9	PERHAPS. SO THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT.
10	DR. THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11	DR. MELMED: FIRSTLY, CONGRATULATIONS.
12	THIS IS AN EXCELLENT ANALYSIS, AND A LOT OF WORK
13	WENT INTO IT, AND IT'S VERY THOUGHTFUL. AND I ALSO
14	RECOGNIZE THE VOTERS' WISH THAT WE DO ALLOCATE A
15	NEURO COMPONENT. HOWEVER, I'M A LITTLE BIT
16	CONCERNED THAT WE ARE DISCOUNTING CANCER. FOR THOSE
17	OF US INVOLVED IN THE CLINICAL CARE AND
18	EPIDEMIOLOGY, CANCER IS GROWING DRAMATICALLY. I'M
19	WONDERING IF WE ARE NOT DOING A DISSERVICE TO OUR
20	CANCER APPLICANTS WHO FEEL THAT MAYBE THEY DON'T
21	HAVE A CHANCE AT CIRM AS MUCH AS THEIR NEURO
22	COLLEAGUES.
23	AND GIVEN THE EPIDEMIOLOGY, ESPECIALLY IN
24	TERMS OF TREATING CELLULAR MECHANISMS, INCLUDING
25	STEM CELLS, SOLID TUMORS TODAY SO SUCCESSFULLY AND

1	SOME NEW BREAKTHROUGHS, I'M WONDERING IF CANCER
2	ITSELF DOESN'T DESERVE A SIMILAR TASK FORCE WE DID
3	FOR NEURO BECAUSE OF THE AGING POPULATION, AT LEAST
4	IN L.A. COUNTY THAT I'M AWARE OF, SHOWS THAT WE ARE
5	LOOKING AT 6 TO 8 PERCENT ANNUAL INCREASE, HUGE
6	INCREASES. AND THE BREAKTHROUGHS ARE REALLY
7	TANTALIZING AND EXCITING, AND I WOULD NOT WISH TO
8	COMPROMISE THE PASSION OF ALL THE INVESTIGATORS TO
9	COME TO CIRM FOR HELP IN CANCER RESEARCH. AND THIS
10	MAY, IN FACT, PUT A DAMPER ON THEM. ALREADY PEOPLE
11	ARE APPROPRIATELY, AND IT'S A GOOD THING BELIEVING
12	THAT CIRM IS A GOOD PLACE FOR NEURO RESEARCH TO BE
13	FUNDED, AND IT'S GREAT, BUT LET'S NOT NEGLECT
14	CANCER.
15	SO I'M PUTTING IN A PLUG FOR PERHAPS BEING
16	MORE FOCUSED ON LOOKING AT A NEXT STEP IN TERMS OF
17	OVERALL DISEASES AND CANCER AS A STRATEGIC PROJECT.
18	DR. THOMAS: SHLOMO, THANK YOU VERY MUCH
19	FOR THAT COMMENT. I DO WANT TO JUST NOTE FOR THE
20	RECORD THAT THE WAY THAT THE PREFERENCES ARE SET UP
21	ARE NOT MEANT TO MAKE IT SO ANY PARTICULAR DISEASE
22	AREA CAN'T QUALIFY.
23	DR. MELMED: I GET THAT. BUT I'M JUST
24	GIVING THE PERCEPTION, AND THESE NUMBERS SORT OF
25	ATTEST TO THAT.

1	DR. THOMAS: SURE. AND WE UNDERSTAND
2	THAT; BUT AS GIL LAID OUT, THE PROJECTS THAT MADE IT
3	THROUGH IN HIS EXAMPLES WERE THOSE THAT HAPPENED TO
4	HIT MULTIPLE NUMBERS OF PREFERENCES. AND THERE
5	DIDN'T HAPPEN TO BE ONE IN CANCER THAT DID THAT TO
6	THE SAME EFFECT OF THE OTHERS THAT DID MAKE IT
7	THROUGH, WHICH ISN'T TO SAY THAT IN A FUTURE ROUND
8	THAT WOULDN'T BE THE CASE AT ALL, BUT DULY NOTED ON
9	YOUR GENERAL COMMENT. THANK YOU.
10	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I ALSO THINK WHEN
11	WE TALKED ABOUT PREFERENCES, PERHAPS THE BOARD HAD A
12	DIFFERENT UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE HOW IT WOULD
13	TURN OUT OR WHAT PREFERENCES MEANT EXACTLY HOW IT
14	WAS BEING APPLIED. SO THIS IS A WONDERFUL STEP IN
15	EDUCATING ALL OF US AS TO WHERE WE ARE WITH
16	PREFERENCES AND WHAT IT MEANS. AND AS ROSA
17	MENTIONED, THERE WILL BE MORE DATA FOR US IN
18	JANUARY, AND I WOULD WE GOT A GLIMPSE OF THE CLIN
19	TODAY, BUT THERE IS THE DISCOVERY AND THERE IS THE
20	PDEV, WHICH IS IMPORTANT. BUT AS ROSA MENTIONED, WE
21	MAY WALK AWAY FROM THE JANUARY MEETING SAYING WE
22	DON'T WANT TO USE PREFERENCES ANYMORE OR PREFERENCES
23	AREN'T WORKING THE WAY WE THOUGHT THEY WERE GOING TO
24	WORK. I THINK THAT'S AN IMPORTANT CONVERSATION TO
25	HAVE, AND I'VE BEEN ENCOURAGING THAT INTERNALLY FOR
	0.0

1	ALL OF US TO TALK ABOUT.
2	DR. CANET-AVILES: YEAH. AND THERE WILL
3	BE A CURRENT ANALYSIS OF WHAT WE'VE DONE, AND THE
4	FORMAL ANALYSIS WITHOUT PREFERENCES AS WELL AS WE
5	DID BEFORE, AND THEN THE BOARD WILL BE ABLE TO MAKE
6	DECISIONS BASED UPON THAT.
7	WE ALSO NEED TO REMEMBER THAT OUR MANDATE
8	IS ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY, AND A LOT OF THE
9	WAY THAT WE HAVE BEEN ALIGNING THE PREFERENCES IS
10	WITH A VIEW THAT WE WILL GET THESE BLA'S AND WE WILL
11	BE ABLE TO HELP WITH THE NEXT STEPS, WHICH IS
12	SOMETHING WE ARE WORKING ON.
13	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, MR.
14	PRESIDENT.
15	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE HAS HER HAND
16	RAISED.
17	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ANNE-MARIE HAS A
18	QUESTION. I DIDN'T SEE THAT.
19	DR. DULIEGE: YES. SO, FIRST, ROSA, THANK
20	YOU SO MUCH TO YOU AND YOUR TEAM AT LARGE FOR THIS
21	UPDATE OF THE STRATEGIC GOALS AND FRAMEWORK.
22	ONE OF THE IMPORTANT PREFERENCES IS MOVING
23	EXPERIMENTAL TREATMENTS TO BLA STAGE. HOW
24	OPTIMISTIC ARE YOU THAT WE WILL GET THERE? CAN YOU
25	UPDATE US ON THE NUMBER ROUGHLY THE NUMBER OF
	99

1	PHASE 2 OR PHASE 3 TRIALS THAT ARE SUPPORTED BY CIRM
2	AND ARE MOVING IN THAT DIRECTION? I WELCOME YOUR
3	PERSPECTIVE ON THIS ONE. THANK YOU.
4	DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU, ANNE-MARIE.
5	I DON'T KNOW IF I CAN TALK ABOUT THIS. FROM THE TOP
6	OF MY HEAD, YES, WE ACTUALLY HAD A RECENT ANALYSIS
7	BECAUSE WE ARE LOOKING AT TWO THINGS. THIS IS JUST
8	A LITTLE APPETIZER BEFORE WE COME TO THE BOARD. BUT
9	WE OBVIOUSLY NEED TO FIGURE OUT HOW ARE WE GOING TO
10	HELP REACH THE BLA. AND I KNOW THAT THERE ARE A LOT
11	OF GRANTEES OR POTENTIAL APPLICANTS OUT THERE THAT
12	ARE WAITING FOR US TO SAY, OKAY, WE HAVE PIVOTAL
13	TRIAL. HOW ARE WE GOING TO MAKE THE JUMP TO GET TO
14	THE BLA AND WE NEED A LITTLE BIT OF HELP. SO WE ARE
15	WORKING ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THIS PROGRAM WITH DR.
16	JOE GOLD AND DR. SHYAM PATEL.
17	AND THEN THERE'S GOING TO BE SO FOR
18	THAT, WHAT WE'VE DONE IS AN ANALYSIS OF WHAT'S IN
19	PIPELINE FROM CIRM THAT COULD BE BLA-LIKE OR MORE
20	COMPASSIONATE USE OF AND HOW WE WOULD DIFFERENTIATE
21	THOSE PROGRAMS. ARE THEY GOING TO BE ABLE TO MOVE
22	ON THEIR OWN AFTER THEY GET THE BLA? DO THEY HAVE
23	PARTNERS? ARE THEY GOING TO BE ABLE TO BE
24	COMMERCIALIZED? DO THEY NEED HELP? AND HOW ARE WE
25	GOING TO THINK ABOUT SOME KIND OF INSTRUMENT OR

1	SOMETHING THAT WE WILL HELP THEM MOVE FORWARD?
2	SO THERE ARE PROGRAMS. I THINK I CAN COME
3	TO THE BOARD IN THE FUTURE WITH A LIST OF THOSE
4	PROGRAMS SO WE CAN EVALUATE THAT TOGETHER. THAT
5	WILL ALSO BE, I THINK IT WAS, ABOUT 15, 14 OR 15
6	PROGRAMS. AND THAT WAS BASED ON WHEN WE DID THE
7	FOUR TO SEVEN BLA'S THAT WAS HOPING THAT WE COULD
8	GET FOR SURE THOSE PROGRAMS. SO WHAT ELSE WAS I
9	GOING TO SAY?
10	YES, THIS IS IN LINE ALSO WITH THE
11	ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY STRATEGY THAT WE ARE
12	DEVELOPING UNDER MARIA BONNEVILLE'S, OUR CO-CHAIR'S,
13	LEADERSHIP AND THE CHAIR OF THE ACCESSIBILITY AND
14	AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP.
15	DID I ANSWER YOUR QUESTION? I THINK I
16	WENT A LITTLE LONG WITH IT.
17	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU.
18	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU,
19	ANNE-MARIE. YES.
20	DR. DAHL: THANK YOU FOR THIS. VERY NICE.
21	I HAVE A CLARIFYING QUESTION ON GOAL 3 WHERE IT SAYS
22	ADVANCE FOUR TO SEVEN RARE DISEASE PROJECTS TO BLA.
23	ARE THERE ANY THAT AREN'T RARE DISEASE? ARE WE
24	INCLUDING OPPORTUNITIES FOR NON-RARE DISEASE, OR IS
25	THE FOCUS REALLY TO BE ON RARE DISEASE?

1	DR. CANET-AVILES: MOST OF OUR PORTFOLIO
2	IS RARE DISEASES. THE ONES THAT ARE SOME OF
3	THEM, LIKE SOME OF THE RARE DISEASES, THEY IMPACT
4	LIKE SEVERAL HUNDRED OR THOUSAND OF PATIENTS, BUT
5	MOST OF OUR PORTFOLIO IS RARE. IF WE GET FOR A
6	PREVALENT DISEASE, DEFINITELY WE COULD BE LIKE
7	HELPING WITH THAT. BUT USUALLY THOSE THAT ARE GOING
8	FOR A PREVALENT DISEASE WILL HAVE MORE HELP FROM
9	INDUSTRY. SO THAT AND IT WAS BASED ON WHAT WE
10	HAVE IN THE PORTFOLIO, WHICH IS MORE THE PART OF
11	CELL AND GENE THERAPIES.
12	DR. THOMAS: SHANNON, ROUGHLY 50 PERCENT
13	OF OUR PORTFOLIO IS IN RARE DISEASE RIGHT NOW. SO A
14	MAJOR EMPHASIS.
15	DR. DAHL: THANK YOU.
16	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: J.T., THANK YOU.
17	AND GIL, ROSA, THANK YOU FOR THE UPDATE ON THE SAF.
18	I'D LIKE TO INVITE NOW OUR GENERAL COUNSEL TO COME
19	UP FOR A DISCUSSION OF CIRM'S ACCESS STRATEGY PLAN.
20	MR. AGUIRRE-SACASA: BOARD MEMBERS,
21	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, CIRM COLLEAGUES, GOOD
22	AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS RAFAEL AGUIRRE-SACASA, AND
23	I'M THE GENERAL COUNSEL FOR CIRM. AND IT'S MY
24	PLEASURE TODAY TO WALK YOU THROUGH CIRM'S ACCESS
25	PLAN REQUIREMENTS, AN IMPORTANT COMPONENT OF OUR

1	BROADER MANDATE, TO ENSURE EQUITABLE AND AFFORDABLE
2	ACCESS TO CELL AND GENE THERAPIES FOR ALL
3	CALIFORNIANS.
4	BEFORE I BEGIN, I WANT TO TAKE A QUICK
5	PAUSE TO LEVEL-SET AND DRAW A DISTINCTION BETWEEN
6	FOUR RELATED BUT SEPARATE EFFORTS. FIRST ARE THE
7	STATUTORY ACCESS PLAN REQUIREMENTS WHICH WE'LL
8	REVIEW MOMENTARILY. THESE STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS
9	LEGALLY OBLIGATE COMMERCIALIZING ENTITIES TO SUBMIT
10	ACCESS PLANS TO CIRM FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL ONCE
11	THEIR THERAPIES HAVE BEEN APPROVED.
12	THESE STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS ARE DIFFERENT
13	FROM THE ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY EFFORTS LED BY
14	CIRM'S PROGRAM TEAM WHICH REQUIRE APPLICANTS TO
15	DISCLOSE THEIR ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY STRATEGIES
16	AT THE APPLICATION STAGE, ALLOWING CIRM TO EVALUATE
17	ACCESS PLANNING THROUGHOUT THE AWARD LIFE CYCLE VIA
18	CONTRACTUAL MILESTONES.
19	THIRD IS THE BLUE RIDGE RESEARCH WHICH WE
20	WILL DISCUSS LATER IN THE PRESENTATION. THIS
21	RESEARCH IDENTIFIES INDUSTRY BENCHMARKS FOR HOW
22	COMPANIES MIGHT STRUCTURE THEIR OWN PATIENT
23	ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.
24	AND LAST, SEPARATE FROM THESE
25	COMMERCIALIZING OBLIGATIONS, CIRM'S OWN PATIENT

1	SUPPORT PROGRAM FUNDED THROUGH A 2023 AWARD TO
2	EVERSANA, WHICH IS DESIGNED TO ASSIST PATIENTS
3	PARTICIPATING IN CIRM-FUNDED CLINICAL TRIALS BY
4	PROVIDING NAVIGATION AND SUPPORT SERVICES.
5	MR. TOCHER: I BELIEVE THE SLIDES ARE NOT
6	ADVANCING.
7	MR. AGUIRRE-SACASA: I DIDN'T MEAN TO.
8	LET ME START WITH THE AGENDA, SCOTT, IF THAT'S OKAY.
9	FIRST, I'LL PROVIDE YOU WITH SOME
10	BACKGROUND ON CIRM'S STATUTORY ACCESS PLAN
11	REQUIREMENTS AND HOW THEY FLOW FROM OUR INTELLECTUAL
12	PROPERTY REGULATIONS. NEXT I'LL EXAMINE SPECIFIC
13	ACCESS PLAN OBLIGATIONS THAT APPLY TO
14	COMMERCIALIZING ENTITIES. I'LL THEN EXPLAIN HOW
15	THESE REQUIREMENTS DOVETAIL WITH THE ACCESSIBILITY
16	AND AFFORDABILITY INITIATIVE DEVELOPED EARLIER THIS
17	YEAR BY THE PROGRAMS TEAM. WE'LL ALSO REVIEW
18	INSIGHTS FROM OUR CONSULTANTS AT BLUE RIDGE LIFE
19	SCIENCES, WHO BENCHMARKED PATIENT ASSISTANCE
20	PROGRAMS NATIONWIDE AND PROVIDED US WITH A FRAMEWORK
21	FOR EVALUATING ACCESS PLANS. FINALLY, WE'LL CLOSE
22	WITH A DISCUSSION OF NEXT STEPS AND OPEN THE FLOOR
23	TO QUESTIONS AND FEEDBACK.
24	WITH THE ROADMAP IN MIND, LET'S START WITH
25	THE FOUNDATION, CIRM'S STATUTORY ACCESS PLAN

1	REQUIREMENTS. CIRM'S ACCESS PLAN REQUIREMENTS STEM
2	DIRECTLY FROM OUR IP REGULATIONS. THESE REGULATIONS
3	REQUIRE THAT ANY COMMERCIALIZING ENTITY SELLING A
4	DRUG DEVELOPED WITH CIRM FUNDS MUST SUBMIT AN ACCESS
5	PLAN TO CIRM THAT AFFORDS ACCESS TO CALIFORNIANS WHO
6	OTHERWISE HAVE NO MEANS TO PURCHASE THE THERAPY.
7	SPECIFICALLY, DRUGS DEVELOPED WITH CIRM FUNDING MUST
8	BE MADE AVAILABLE IN CALIFORNIA AT THE BENCHMARK
9	PRICE ESTABLISHED BY THE CALIFORNIA DISCOUNT
10	PRESCRIPTION DRUG PROGRAM OR ANY SUCCESSOR PROGRAM.
11	WHEN WE SAY NO OTHER MEANS, WE MEAN
12	PATIENTS WITHOUT PRESCRIPTION DRUG BENEFITS AND
13	WHOSE FAMILY INCOMES FALL BELOW 300 PERCENT OF THE
14	FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL.
15	TIMING IS ALSO CRITICAL. ACCESS PLANS
16	MUST BE SUBMITTED TO CIRM WITHIN TEN BUSINESS DAYS
17	OF FDA APPROVAL. EXTENSIONS ARE POSSIBLE, AND I'LL
18	RETURN TO THOSE SHORTLY.
19	SO ADDITIONALLY, THERE'S ALSO A WAIVER
20	OPTION. A COMMERCIALIZING ENTITY MAY PETITION THE
21	ICOC FOR A WAIVER OF THE ACCESS PLAN REQUIREMENT
22	WHICH CAN ONLY BE GRANTED AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING.
23	SO ONCE THE PLAN IS SUBMITTED, A WAIVER IS SOUGHT,
24	WHAT EXACTLY HAPPENS NEXT? LET'S WALK THROUGH THE
25	CIRM REVIEW PROCESS NOW.
	105

1	ONCE SUBMITTED, ACCESS PLANS ARE SUBJECT
2	TO CIRM APPROVAL FOLLOWING A PUBLIC HEARING. THAT
3	PROCESS INCLUDES A PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD WHICH MAY
4	INCLUDE WRITTEN OR ORAL TESTIMONY. HERE'S HOW THE
5	TIMING WORKS. NONCONFIDENTIAL PORTIONS OF A PLAN
6	ARE POSTED ONLINE, A SEVEN-DAY PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD
7	FOLLOWS, AND THEN CIRM MUST RENDER A DECISION WITHIN
8	FIVE BUSINESS DAYS AFTER THE CLOSE OF THE PUBLIC
9	COMMENT PERIOD. IMPORTANTLY, CIRM'S APPROVAL CANNOT
10	BE UNREASONABLY WITHHELD AND CANNOT REQUIRE THAT
11	ACCESS PLANS EXCEED INDUSTRY STANDARDS AT THE TIME
12	OF COMMERCIALIZATION FOR THE ENTITY. EXTENSIONS OF
13	UP TO 30 BUSINESS DAYS ARE AVAILABLE IF THE ENTITY
14	FOLLOWS THE PROPER PROCESS.
15	TO SUMMARIZE, AN ACCESS PLAN MUST ALIGN
16	WITH INDUSTRY STANDARDS AT THE TIME OF
17	COMMERCIALIZATION. IT MUST REFLECT THE RESOURCES OF
18	THE ENTITY. LARGE OR WELL-CAPITALIZED COMPANIES
19	WILL BE EXPECTED TO DO MORE THAN SMALLER BIOTECH
20	FIRMS. FINALLY, THE PLAN MUST BE APPROVED BY CIRM
21	FOLLOWING A PUBLIC HEARING.
22	AS I NOTED EARLIER, COMPANIES CAN PETITION
23	THE ICOC FOR A WAIVER. SUCH PETITIONS MUST ALSO BE
24	SUBMITTED WITHIN TEN BUSINESS DAYS OF FDA APPROVAL
25	UNLESS THE CHAIR GRANTS AN EXTENSION. THE ICOC MAY

1	GRANT THE WAIVER IF AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING IT
2	DETERMINES THAT THE ABSENCE OF SUCH A WAIVER WOULD
3	UNREASONABLY HINDER DRUG DEVELOPMENT AND DELIVERY OR
4	IF THE WAIVER ITSELF WOULD PROVIDE EQUAL OR GREATER
5	BENEFITS TO THE STATE THAN THE SUBMISSION OF AN
6	ACCESS PLAN.
7	CONFIDENTIALITY IS ALSO A MAJOR
8	CONSIDERATION. BOTH PROPOSITION 71 AND 14 EXPRESSLY
9	PROTECT FROM DISCLOSURE ANY DOCUMENTS CONTAINING
10	CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OR WORK PRODUCT.
11	COMMERCIALIZING ENTITIES MAY DESIGNATE PORTIONS OF
12	THEIR ACCESS PLANS AS CONFIDENTIAL AND MUST EXPLAIN
13	WHY THE INFORMATION SHOULD BE PROTECTED UNDER
14	APPLICABLE LAW.
15	CIRM'S LEGAL TEAM WILL THEN REVIEW THESE
16	CONFIDENTIALITY REQUESTS TO ENSURE THEY FALL WITHIN
17	STATUTORY PROTECTIONS, INCLUDING THE PUBLIC RECORDS
18	ACT, AND REQUESTS THAT DON'T COMPLY MAY BE WITHDRAWN
19	AND RESUBMITTED. IN ADDITION, THE ICOC MAY REVIEW
20	PROPRIETARY MATERIAL IN CLOSED SESSIONS, AND NOTHING
21	HERE PREEMPTS STRICTER STATE OR MATERIAL
22	CONFIDENTIALITY REQUIREMENTS.
23	WITH CONFIDENTIALITY ADDRESSED, LET'S STEP
24	BACK AND SEE HOW THESE STATUTORY OBLIGATIONS CONNECT
24 25	BACK AND SEE HOW THESE STATUTORY OBLIGATIONS CONNECT TO THE PROGRAMMATIC WORK ALREADY UNDER WAY. THESE

1	STATUTORY PLAN OBLIGATIONS JUST REVIEWED ARE
2	DESIGNED TO COMPLEMENT THE PROGRAMMATIC EFFORTS THAT
3	ROSA'S TEAM PRESENTED IN APRIL. THE PROGRAM TEAM'S
4	STRUCTURED REVIEW AND ENGAGEMENT PROCESS, AS
5	OUTLINED IN ITS APRIL 30, 2025, PRESENTATION TO THE
6	AAWG, INTRODUCES ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY
7	CONSIDERATIONS AT THE EARLIEST STAGES OF CIRM
8	FUNDING. CHECKLIST ITEMS AT BOTH APPLICATION AND
9	MILESTONE PHASES ENSURE BUILD PATIENT ACCESS INTO
10	EVERY STAGE OF THE AWARD CYCLE. THIS STAGED
11	APPROACH PROVIDES EARLY VISIBILITY INTO POTENTIAL
12	BARRIERS AND ESTABLISHES MEASURABLE COMMITMENTS THAT
13	CAN BE TRACKED AND REFINED OVER TIME. IN DOING SO,
14	APPLICANTS ARE GUIDED TOWARD DEVELOPING A FEASIBLE,
15	EQUITY-FOCUSED ACCESS STRATEGY WELL BEFORE THEIR
16	THERAPY REACHES COMMERCIALIZATION.
17	IN SUMMARY, CIRM'S INTEGRATED APPROACH
18	FROM APPLICATION TO COMMERCIALIZATION CREATES
19	ACCOUNTABILITY AND CONSISTENCY, EMBEDDING ACCESS AND
20	AFFORDABILITY AS CORE EXPECTATIONS OF EVERY AWARD
21	RATHER THAN THE LEAVING THEM AS AFTERTHOUGHTS.
22	THOSE STATUTORY AND PROGRAMMATIC EFFORTS GIVE US THE
23	FRAMEWORK.
24	NOW LET'S LOOK AT HOW REAL-WORLD
25	BENCHMARKS CAN GUIDE THE IMPLEMENTATION. TURNING

108

1	NOW TO THE BENCHMARKING WORK CONDUCTED BY BLUE RIDGE
2	LIFE SCIENCES, THE TEAM CARRIED OUT BOTH PRIMARY AND
3	SECONDARY RESEARCH COMBINING A REVIEW OF PUBLIC
4	DOCUMENTS WITH EXPERT INTERVIEWS IN THE PATIENT
5	ASSISTANCE FIELD. THESE FINDINGS INFORMED THE
6	DISTILLATION OF BEST PRACTICES FOR ACCESS PLANS IN
7	THE CELL AND GENE THERAPY SPACE.
8	WHAT I WILL SHARE TODAY IS A CONDENSED
9	SUMMARY OF THEIR RESEARCH WITH A FULL ANALYSIS
10	INCLUDED IN THE APPENDIX TO THIS PRESENTATION. THE
11	OBJECTIVE IS TO HIGHLIGHT THE COMMON COMPONENTS AND
12	BEST PRACTICES THAT CAN GUIDE CIRM'S REVIEW OF
13	SUBMITTED ACCESS PLANS. BY REVIEWING THE PATIENT
14	ASSISTANCE PROGRAM WEBSITES OF 14 FDA-APPROVED CELL
15	AND GENE THERAPY PRODUCTS, BLUE RIDGE IDENTIFIED
16	EIGHT CORE ATTRIBUTES THAT CONSISTENTLY APPEAR
17	ACROSS SUCCESSFUL ACCESS PLANS. THEY'RE LISTED
18	RIGHT THERE. TOGETHER THESE ATTRIBUTES PROVIDE A
19	PRACTICAL FRAMEWORK FOR ENSURING THAT PATIENTS CAN
20	ACCESS, NAVIGATE, AND BENEFIT FULLY FROM ADVANCED
21	THERAPIES.
22	AS A BASELINE, MOST PATIENT ASSISTANCE
23	PROGRAMS ARE A COMMON SET OF ELIGIBILITY
24	REQUIREMENTS. THESE TYPICALLY INCLUDE U.S.
25	CITIZENSHIP OR LEGAL RESIDENCY, A VALID PRESCRIPTION

1	OFTEN WITH PRIOR AUTHORIZATION, AND A CONFIRMED
2	DIAGNOSIS, AND INCOME THRESHOLDS RANGING FROM 200 TO
3	600 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL. MANY
4	PROGRAMS ALSO EXCLUDE COVERED PATIENTS BY MEDICARE,
5	MEDICAID, VA OR TRICARE SINCE THOSE INDIVIDUALS
6	ALREADY HAVE ACCESS TO GOVERNMENT-SPONSORED
7	BENEFITS. INSTEAD, PAP'S ARE PRIMARILY DESIGNED TO
8	SUPPORT THE COMMERCIALLY INSURED AND UNDERINSURED
9	POPULATIONS WHO MAY FACE SIGNIFICANT GAPS IN
10	COVERAGE.
11	BEST PRACTICES AND PATIENT SUPPORT
12	PROGRAMS EMPHASIZE THE ROLE OF DEDICATED CASE
13	MANAGERS OR NAVIGATORS WHO PROVIDE WHITE GLOVE
14	END-TO-END GUIDING PATIENTS THROUGH EACH STAGE OF
15	CARE. THESE PRACTICES INCLUDE CONNECTING PATIENTS
16	WITH ACCESS PROFESSIONALS WHO CAN ASSIST WITH
17	BENEFITS INVESTIGATIONS, SUCH AS VERIFYING INSURANCE
18	COVERAGE, RESPONDING TO DENIALS, AND MANAGING
19	APPEALS. THEY ALSO HIGHLIGHT THE VALUE OF
20	ELIGIBILITY TOOLS AND ENABLE PROVIDERS TO QUICKLY
21	DETERMINE WHETHER PATIENTS QUALIFY FOR ASSISTANCE.
22	SUPPORT IS FURTHER REINFORCED BY EXTENDING BEYOND
23	TREATMENT ADMINISTRATION TO INCLUDE ADHERENCE
24	MONITORING, MILESTONE TRACKING AND POST-TREATMENT
25	FOLLOW-UP. COLLECTIVELY THESE APPROACHES ESTABLISH

1	A ONE-STOP SHOP, ENABLING PATIENTS AND CAREGIVERS TO
2	NAVIGATE WHAT WOULD OTHERWISE BE A HIGHLY COMPLEX
3	AND FRAGMENTED JOURNEY.
4	FINANCIAL AND LOGISTICAL SUPPORT ARE
5	EQUALLY CRITICAL THOUGH IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT
6	NOT ALL PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS PROVIDE DIRECT
7	FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE. SOME LIMIT THEIR ROLE TO
8	NAVIGATIONAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES ONLY. WHERE
9	FINANCIAL COVERAGE IS COVERED, PAP'S TYPICALLY FOCUS
10	ON DRUG COSTS, COPAY ASSISTANCE, AND ADMINISTRATIVE
11	FEES. INDIRECT SUPPORT MAY EXTEND TO TRAVEL,
12	LODGING, MEALS, AND CHILDCARE. MANY PROGRAMS ALSO
13	COVER CAREGIVER EXPENSES AND PROVIDE TAILORED
14	LOGISTICAL SERVICES SUCH AS COORDINATING COLD CHAIN
15	SHIPMENT FOR PRODUCT DELIVERY AND ARRANGING ACCESS
16	FOR SPECIALIZED TREATMENT SITES. TOGETHER THESE
17	MEASURES HELP REMOVE PRACTICAL BARRIERS THAT MIGHT
18	OTHERWISE PREVENT PATIENTS, ESPECIALLY THOSE OF
19	LIMITED MEANS, FROM RECEIVING THE THERAPY.
20	BEYOND FINANCIAL AND LOGISTICAL SUPPORT,
21	MANY PROGRAMS ALSO EXTEND THE ASSISTANCE DIRECTLY TO
22	PROVIDERS AND PATIENTS AFTER TREATMENT. LET'S TAKE
23	A CLOSER LOOK. BLUE RIDGE'S RESEARCH FOUND THAT
24	SOME PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS EXTEND SUPPORT TO
25	HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS, INCLUDING PROGRAM

1	ACCESSIBILITY RESOURCES, PROVIDER TRAINING, AND THE
2	DEVELOPMENT OF PRACTICAL TOOLS. IN ADDITION,
3	CERTAIN PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS OFFER VARYING
4	LEVELS OF POST-TREATMENT SUPPORT SUCH AS REGULAR
5	CHECK-INS, FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR FOLLOW-UP CARE,
6	ADHERENCE TRACKING, AND MILESTONE MONITORING, AS I
7	MENTIONED EARLIER. COLLECTIVELY, THESE EFFORTS AIM
8	TO REDUCE TO REINFORCE CONTINUOUS COMPLIANT
9	PATIENT CARE AND HELP ENSURE SUCCESSFUL THERAPEUTIC
10	OUTCOMES.
11	IN ADDITION TO PROVIDING POST-TREATMENT
12	SUPPORT, ANOTHER KEY ELEMENT IS ACCESSIBILITY,
13	ENSURING THAT PATIENTS, PARTICULARLY THOSE FROM
14	UNREPRESENTED AND UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES, CAN
15	READILY FIND AND ENROLL IN THESE PROGRAMS.
16	THE BENCHMARKING ANALYSIS HIGHLIGHTED THAT
17	PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS EMPHASIZE PROGRAM
18	ACCESSIBILITY, OFTEN INCORPORATING EQUITY-ORIENTED
19	ELEMENTS. THESE EFFORTS INCLUDE REDUCING THE TIME
20	TO FIRST PATIENT CONTACT, SIMPLIFYING ENROLLMENT,
21	AND OFFERING MULTIPLE CHANNELS OF INTERACTION, SUCH
22	AS PHONE, EMAIL, OR ONLINE PORTALS, TO MAKE
23	PARTICIPATION AS CONVENIENT AS POSSIBLE. DESPITE
24	THESE MEASURES, OUR RESEARCH INDICATES THAT NEARLY
25	HALF OF PATIENTS REMAIN UNAWARE OF THE EXISTENCE OF

1	THESE PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.
2	TO ADDRESS THIS GAP, ACCESSIBILITY
3	STRATEGIES ALSO EXTEND TO OUTREACH EFFORTS TARGETING
4	UNDERSERVED AND UNDERREPRESENTED COMMUNITIES,
5	INCLUDING MULTILINGUAL SUPPORT AND LOCALIZED
6	RESOURCES FOR REMOTE POPULATIONS.
7	ACCESSIBILITY IS ONE PIECE, BUT HOW
8	COMPANIES ACTUALLY DELIVER THESE PROGRAMS IS
9	ANOTHER. LET'S TURN TO THE ROLE OF OUTSOURCING.
10	BLUE RIDGE FOUND THAT ROUGHLY 88 PERCENT
11	OF MANUFACTURERS OUTSOURCE AT LEAST SOME PATIENT
12	ASSISTANCE SERVICES. THE REASONS ARE
13	STRAIGHTFORWARD. PROVIDING 24/7 END-TO-END SUPPORT
14	REQUIRES INFRASTRUCTURE AND EXPERTISE THAT MANY
15	COMPANIES LACK. LARGE PHARMACEUTICAL FIRMS OFTEN
16	ADOPT HYBRID MODELS, RETAINING CRITICAL QUALITY
17	CONTROL FUNCTIONING IN-HOUSE WHILE OUTSOURCING CALL
18	CENTERS OR COMPLIANCE HEAVY SERVICES. SMALLER
19	BIOTECH COMPANIES, BY CONTRAST, TEND TO RELY MORE
20	HEAVILY ON OUTSOURCING, PARTICULARLY FOR
21	REIMBURSEMENT, FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE, AND LOGISTICS.
22	A LIST OF POTENTIAL HUB SERVICE PROVIDERS, I.E.,
23	OUTSOURCING ENTITIES, IS INCLUDED IN THE APPENDIX
24	FOR AWARDEES TO CONSIDER.
25	TAKEN TOGETHER, THESE PATTERNS ILLUSTRATE

1	WHY HYBRID MODELS HAVE EMERGED AS A PRACTICAL AND
2	SUSTAINABLE APPROACH ACROSS THE INDUSTRY.
3	DESPITE THE EMERGENCE OF THESE BEST
4	PRACTICES, IMPORTANT GAPS STILL REMAIN. KEY
5	QUESTIONS INCLUDE WHAT IS THE MOST EFFECTIVE
6	ADMINISTRATIVE MODEL: IN-HOUSE, HYBRID, OR FULLY
7	OUTSOURCED? HOW TRANSPARENT SHOULD ELIGIBILITY
8	CRITERIA BE MADE TO PATIENTS AND PROVIDERS? HOW CAN
9	COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT BE BALANCED AGAINST COST AND
10	SCALABILITY CONSTRAINTS?
11	AS NOTED EARLIER, AWARENESS REMAINS A
12	CRITICAL GAP WITH STUDIES SHOWING THAT NEARLY HALF
13	OF ELIGIBLE PATIENTS REMAIN UNINFORMED ABOUT THESE
14	PROGRAMS.
15	WITH THOSE CHANGES IN MIND, HERE'S HOW
16	CIRM INTENDS TO MOVE FORWARD. LOOKING AHEAD, OUR
17	NEXT STEP WILL FOCUS ON THREE PRIORITIES:
18	DEVELOPING TOOLS AND CHECKLISTS TO SCORE ACCESS
19	PLANS AND SYSTEMATICALLY TRACK THE INFORMATION
20	DISCUSSED TODAY, IDENTIFYING AND ONBOARDING
21	CONSULTANT EXPERTS TO STRENGTHEN THE REVIEW PROCESS,
22	ESTABLISHING AN ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION PLAN
23	FOR AWARDEES APPROACHING BLA SUBMISSION. TOGETHER
24	THESE ACTIONS WILL HELP ENSURE THAT AWARDEES RECEIVE
25	THE GUIDANCE AND SUPPORT NEEDED TO DELIVER ROBUST,
	THE GOLDANCE AND SOLLOW NEEDED TO DELIVER RODOST,

1	COMPLIANT ACCESS PLANS.
2	THAT CONCLUDES MY PREPARED REMARKS. I'D
3	BE HAPPY TO TAKE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS.
4	MS. DURON: VITO?
5	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES, PLEASE, YSABEL.
6	MS. DURON: A COUPLE OF THINGS CAME UP FOR
7	ME. ONE OF THEM WAS PERHAPS LANGUAGE GAPS FOR
8	ACCESSIBILITY THAT AREN'T ON PAPER HERE. REALLY,
9	THAT 50 PERCENT YOU TALK ABOUT IS, IN FACT, THAT
10	HUGE GAP FOR MANY PATIENTS AND THEIR FAMILIES EVEN
11	KNOWING THAT THEY CAN BE PART OF SOMETHING. SO THAT
12	IS ALSO A COMMUNICATION PLAN.
13	AND I ALSO WONDERED IF IN THE SCHEME OF
14	THINGS SOMETHING NEEDS TO BE NOTED WITHIN THIS THAT
15	ALL ATTEMPTS WILL BE MADE TO PROTECT ALL APPLICANTS
16	WHO MIGHT HAVE SOME CONCERNS ABOUT GOVERNMENT
17	INTERFERENCE? OR HOW DO WE SAY IT IN A WAY IN WHICH
18	THEY LOOK AT US AND SAY YOU'RE GOVERNMENT AND I
19	CAN'T TRUST GOVERNMENT ANYMORE? WE'RE TALKING ABOUT
20	SOME OF THE MOST VULNERABLE WHO ARE BARELY GETTING
21	ACCESS. AND NOW WE'RE TRYING TO PULL THEM INTO THIS
22	OPPORTUNITY. AND I THINK WE NEED TO FIND A WAY TO
23	CLEARLY MAKE THOSE WHO HOLD THESE CONTRACTS
24	ACCOUNTABLE TO ASSISTING THOSE POTENTIAL PATIENTS.
25	MR. AGUIRRE-SACASA: ABSOLUTELY. AND I

1	THINK THAT THAT COMBINATION OF THE PROGRAMMATIC
2	EFFORTS ON THE APPLICATION SIDE, WHICH WILL START
3	GETTING PEOPLE TO THINK ON THESE THINGS, WILL GIVE
4	US AN OPPORTUNITY TO HELP INFLUENCE THOSE DECISIONS
5	AS THEY GET THROUGH SO THAT WHEN THEY DO COME TO US
6	WITH THE ACCESS PLAN AT THE END POINT, THEY WILL
7	HAVE TAKEN ALL OF THOSE THINGS INTO CONSIDERATION.
8	LIKE I SAID, THE BLUE RIDGE RESEARCH IS
9	BEST PRACTICES, AND THAT DOES CONTAIN
10	EQUITY-ORIENTED COMPONENTS WHICH ARE VERY IMPORTANT.
11	OBVIOUSLY THAT IS PART OF OUR CENTRAL MISSION HERE
12	AT CIRM. AND HONESTLY, PEOPLE DON'T TRUST US. WE
13	HAVE TO DO BETTER. WE HAVE TO DO OUTREACH. WE HAVE
14	TO BUILD UP CONFIDENCE WITH THEM, AND WE HAVE TO
15	SHOW THEM THAT WE MEAN WHAT WE SAY. MARK.
16	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: THANKS. I HAVE
17	SEVERAL QUESTIONS. IS THERE ANY COMMERCIAL SIZE
18	LIMITATION IN THE CONTEXT THAT SOME OF THIS IS CELL
19	AND GENE THERAPY THAT MIGHT ONLY BE GOING TO A
20	HANDFUL OF PATIENTS? SO IS THERE A PATIENT
21	ASSISTANCE PROGRAM REQUIRED FOR PROGRAMS WHERE IT'S
22	COMMERCIAL, BUT IT'S NOT
23	MR. AGUIRRE-SACASA: IT HAS TO TAKE THE
24	SIZE OF THE COMPANY ITSELF. SO DEPENDING ON THE
25	RESOURCES AND CHARACTERISTICS OF THE COMPANY, AS I

1	MENTIONED SMALLER BIOTECHS WOULD HAVE A DIFFERENT
2	APPROACH AND WE WOULD BE REQUIRED TO TAKE THAT INTO
3	CONSIDERATION. LARGER BIOTECHS, WE WOULD EXPECT
4	MORE ROBUST PROGRAM AND THINGS LIKE THAT. IS THAT
5	WHAT YOU WERE ASKING ABOUT?
6	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YEAH. THAT'S PART
7	OF IT.
8	THE OTHER ONE IS I WOULDN'T BE SURPRISED
9	THAT MOST COMPANIES LOSE SIGHT OF THE FACT WITHIN
10	TEN DAYS OF COMMERCIALIZATION THAT THEY HAVE TO HAVE
11	A FORMAL REVIEW DONE BY CIRM. AND FROM THAT
12	PERSPECTIVE, I WOULD ENCOURAGE US, AS PEOPLE GET TO
13	NEAR THAT TIME HORIZON, THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE TO BE
14	REMINDED BECAUSE THIS WILL JUST DROP OUT OF THEIR
15	RADAR MAP IN ITS ENTIRETY.
16	MR. AGUIRRE-SACASA: WE DO ACTUALLY
17	PROACTIVELY REACH OUT TO OUR AWARDEES THAT ARE
18	APPROACHING BLA AS SOON AS WE FIND OUT OBVIOUSLY.
19	AND WE HAVE A LETTER THAT WE SEND TO THEM, AND WE
20	ENCOURAGE THEM TO ENGAGE WITH US SOONER RATHER THAN
21	LATER.
22	OBVIOUSLY THE PROGRAMMATIC EFFORTS WILL
23	FIX THAT MOVING FORWARD BECAUSE THAT IS TO DATE.
24	MOVING FORWARD, THAT WILL ALLOW US TO GET IN THERE
25	SOONER TO INFLUENCE THOSE DECISIONS.

1	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: AND THEN THERE'S A
2	LOT OF DISCUSSION ABOUT DRUGS HERE, BUT LATER ON
3	CELL AND GENE THERAPY IS INCLUDED. SO I IMAGINE
4	DRUG IS MEANT TO RELATE TO CELL AND GENE THERAPY AS
5	WELL.
6	MR. AGUIRRE-SACASA: THAT'S CORRECT.
7	THAT'S CORRECT. I USED THE TERM "DRUG" BECAUSE
8	THAT'S WHAT THE IP REGULATIONS FOCUS ON THERE.
9	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YEAH. I JUST WANTED
10	TO MAKE SURE BECAUSE THAT'S A DISTINCTION THAT MANY
11	PEOPLE MIGHT THINK A DRUG IS SOMETHING DIFFERENT
12	THAN A CELL AND GENE THERAPY APPROACH.
13	MR. AGUIRRE-SACASA: THANK YOU FOR THE
14	CLARIFICATION. JOYCE.
15	DR. SACKEY: THANK YOU FOR YOUR
16	PRESENTATION. I'M STRUCK BY THAT 50-PERCENT GAP IN
17	KNOWLEDGE OF PEOPLE WHO COULD BENEFIT FROM THESE
18	RESOURCES NOT BEING AWARE OF IT. I ALSO KNOW THAT
19	THERE'S A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PATIENTS WHO QUALIFY
20	FOR STUDIES, BUT ARE TYPICALLY UNAWARE OF IT.
21	CLINICIANS MAY BE AWARE OF IT, BUT IT TAKES A LOT TO
22	HAVE A 20-MINUTE VISIT AND SEE A PATIENT AND DO
23	EVERYTHING AND SAY, BY THE WAY, THERE ARE THESE
24	TRIALS THAT I THINK YOU QUALIFY FOR IT.
25	AND I WANT TO OFFER A TOOL, AN ASSISTANCE

1	THAT WE HAVE NOT TALKED ABOUT TODAY WHICH IS AI. SO
2	AT STANFORD WE ARE ACTUALLY UTILIZING AI TO HELP
3	HAVE THE EPIC ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD PRESELECT
4	PATIENTS WHO QUALIFY FOR ALL THE DIFFERENT TRIALS
5	THAT ARE ONGOING. SO THE ASSIGNMENT IS ACTUALLY
6	BEING DONE BY AI, AND THAT ALLOWS THE PROVIDER THEN,
7	RATHER THAN HAVE A CHECKLIST OF ALL THE STUDIES THAT
8	THEY MIGHT QUALIFY FOR, TO BASICALLY HAVE YOUR
9	PATIENT QUALIFIES FOR THE FOLLOWING TRIALS AND THEN
10	MAKE THAT CONNECTION WHICH POTENTIALLY CAN TAKE SOME
11	OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE BURDEN DOWN.
12	MY HOPE IS THAT SOME OF YOUR APPLICANTS
13	ARE DEPLOYING TOOLS LIKE THAT THAT WILL ACCELERATE
14	MEETING THAT 50-PERCENT GAP OF PEOPLE WHO ARE NOT
15	AWARE OF THE STUDIES OR NOT AWARE OF THE FINANCIAL
16	RESOURCES THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO THEM.
17	MR. AGUIRRE-SACASA: I TOO AM INTERESTED
18	TO SEE HOW AI IS GOING TO COME INTO PLAY. I DON'T
19	KNOW IF ANYONE CAN COMMENT ON WHETHER ANY OF OUR
20	APPLICATIONS.
21	DR. MELMED: IT'S A GREAT IDEA. WE ALSO
22	HAVE THAT PROGRAM. THERE'S ONE IMPORTANT
23	CONSIDERATION IS THAT THE DOCTOR, THE PRIMARY CARE
24	PHYSICIAN, WHO ACTUALLY TAKES CARE OF THE PATIENT
25	MAY RESENT IT IF BIG BROTHER COMES IN AND SAYS I'M

1	TAKING YOUR PATIENT FOR A CLINICAL TRIAL. SO IT
2	WILL BE VERY SENSITIVE TO THE DOCTOR OF RECORD.
3	WE'VE LEARNED THAT THE HARD WAY, AND YOU CAN CORRECT
4	THAT. BUT IT'S A GREAT, GREAT SUGGESTION, AND CIRM
5	SHOULD LOOK INTO THAT FOR STEM CELL TRIALS. I THINK
6	IT WOULD BE FANTASTIC. WE'RE DOING IT VERY
7	SUCCESSFULLY FOR INTERNAL TRIALS.
8	MR. AGUIRRE-SACASA: I BELIEVE IN THE
9	POWER AND THE OPPORTUNITY WITH AI AND HOPE THAT IT
10	HELPS REACH OUR UNDERSERVED AND UNDERREPRESENTED
11	COMMUNITIES. HONESTLY I THINK THAT'S ONE OF THE
12	BEST THINGS ABOUT THE TECHNOLOGY. IT MIGHT BE ABLE
13	TO HELP US. GOING ONCE.
14	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ANY COMMENT FROM
15	ANYONE OUTSIDE THE ROOM? NO. OKAY. RAFAEL, THANK
16	YOU VERY, VERY MUCH FOR YOUR PRESENTATION AND THE
17	APPENDICES.
18	MOVING ON, AMY ADAMS IS GOING TO GIVE US A
19	REPORT, THE HEAD OF OUR COMMUNICATIONS DEPARTMENT, A
20	REPORT FROM THE COMMUNICATION SUBCOMMITTEE.
21	MS. ADAMS: THANK YOU, MEMBERS OF THE
22	BOARD, MEMBERS OF THE CIRM TEAM, AND MEMBERS OF THE
23	PUBLIC IN PERSON AND ON THE CALL. I'M HERE TO
24	REPORT ON THE RECENT COMMUNICATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE
25	MEETING AND TO DISCUSS A FEW PROJECTS THAT WE HAVE

1	STARTED BASED ON FEEDBACK FROM THE LAST BOARD
2	MEETING. I'M GOING TO SAY FOR THE FIRST TIME IN MY
3	ENTIRE LIFE I'M LOWERING THE MICROPHONE.
4	AT THE JUNE BOARD MEETING I TOLD YOU THAT
5	I WANTED TO SAY FOR OUR NEW GOING OFF SCRIPT
6	FOR OUR NEW BOARD MEMBERS, JUNE WAS MY FIRST BOARD
7	MEETING. I STARTED IN JUNE AND WITHIN DAYS WAS TOLD
8	THAT I WAS PRESENTING TO THE BOARD. SO THAT WAS MY
9	FIRST PRESENTATION, AND THIS IS AN EARLY UPDATE FROM
10	THAT.
11	AT THE JUNE BOARD MEETING, I TOLD YOU THAT
12	IF CIRM IS GOING TO BE SUCCESSFUL IN TELLING OUR
13	STORY, WE NEED TO KNOW WHAT THAT STORY IS.
14	ESSENTIALLY WE ALL NEED TO BE SINGING THE SAME SONG
15	ABOUT CIRM. AND BY WE, I MEAN ALL THE PEOPLE WHO
16	MIGHT TALK ABOUT CIRM, OFFICIAL CIRM COMMUNICATIONS,
17	MEMBERS OF THE TEAM TALKING WITH FAMILY AND FRIENDS,
18	OUR SCIENCE TEAMS SPEAKING AT CONFERENCES, ALL OF
19	YOU TALKING TO FAMILY, FRIENDS, AND COLLEAGUES,
20	SCIENTISTS TALKING TO THEIR FAMILY AND FRIENDS.
21	OF COURSE, ANY SONG HAS MANY VERSIONS.
22	THERE'S THE STUDIO RECORDING, THE DANCE REMIX, THE
23	MUZAK VERSION FOR THE ELEVATOR. THE VERSIONS ARE
24	APPROPRIATE FOR DIFFERENT SITUATIONS OR AUDIENCES,
25	BUT ARE RECOGNIZABLY THE SAME. SIMILARLY, WE MIGHT
	121

1	ALL USE DIFFERENT LANGUAGE WHEN TELLING CIRM'S STORY
2	TO DIFFERENT AUDIENCES. BUT ALL THE VERSIONS OF
3	CIRM'S STORY SHOULD BE DESCRIBING THE SAME
4	ORGANIZATION.
5	TWO BIG QUESTIONS FOR MY TEAM BEYOND
6	DEFINING THAT STORY, WHICH I'LL GET TO, IS
7	UNDERSTANDING WHO WE ARE TELLING THE STORY TO AND
8	WHY. I KNOW THERE'S A GENERAL CONSENSUS THAT WE
9	SHOULD BE COMMUNICATING MORE BROADLY. BUT UNLESS WE
10	KNOW WHY AND TO WHOM, WE CAN'T MEASURE OUR SUCCESS.
11	MY TEAM CAME UP WITH FOUR PRIMARY
12	AUDIENCES, AND THOSE ARE THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC,
13	PATIENTS AND PATIENT ADVOCATES, SCIENTISTS, AND
14	LEGISLATORS. OBVIOUSLY THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC IS
15	VERY BROAD AND INCLUDES EACH OF THE OTHER AUDIENCES.
16	REALISTICALLY WE'RE NOT GOING TO REACH ALL 40
17	MILLION PEOPLE IN THE STATE. WHERE WE CAN BE MORE
18	NARROW WE WILL BECAUSE WE ALL KNOW THAT THE PEOPLE
19	OF CALIFORNIA ARE NOT A MONOLITH. BUT MANY OF THE
20	WAYS WE GET OUR STORIES OUT, INCLUDING SOCIAL MEDIA,
21	NEWSLETTERS, THE WEBSITE, DON'T PROVIDE GEOGRAPHIC
22	OR AUDIENCE RESOLUTION. FOR MANY CHANNELS WE DO
23	HAVE TO CONSIDER THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC AS A WHOLE.
24	ALSO THERE ARE MANY AUDIENCES WHO WE THINK
25	ABOUT BUT AREN'T LISTED HERE. STUDENTS ARE A GREAT

1	EXAMPLE. WE THINK THOSE NEST WITH THESE FOUR FOR
2	MOST PURPOSES.
3	MY TEAM ALSO DISCUSSED WHAT WE WANT FROM
4	THESE AUDIENCES BECAUSE WE CAN'T MEASURE THE SUCCESS
5	OF OUR COMMUNICATIONS IF WE DON'T KNOW WHAT WE ARE
6	TRYING TO ACHIEVE. THESE OUTCOMES INCLUDE THINGS
7	LIKE PATIENTS TRUSTING US AND PARTICIPATING IN OUR
8	CLINICAL TRIALS, LEGISLATORS SUPPORTING OUR
9	RECOMMENDATIONS AROUND ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY, AND
10	THE PUBLIC SUPPORTING GOVERNMENT FUNDING OF
11	RESEARCH. A LIST OF THOSE OUTCOMES REQUIRES
12	STIRRING THE RIGHT EMOTIONS BECAUSE WE ALL KNOW THAT
13	MOST PEOPLE, WITH THE OBVIOUS EXCEPTION OF THE
14	PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM, DON'T NECESSARILY TAKE ACTION
15	BASED ON FACTS. THEY TAKE ACTIONS BASED ON HOW THEY
16	FEEL.
17	GETTING PEOPLE TO TAKE ACTION ON CLIMATE
18	CHANGE OR GET VACCINES ARE TWO GREAT EXAMPLES OF HOW
19	THE WAY PEOPLE FEEL RATHER THAN FACTS DRIVES HOW
20	PEOPLE BEHAVE.
21	WE'RE STARTING TO FEATURE PROFILES OF OUR
22	BOARD MEMBERS AND TEAM AS A WAY OF SHOWING THE
23	PEOPLE I'M OUT OF SEQUENCE HERE BECAUSE WE ARE
24	DOING THAT. WE ARE DOING THAT, BUT WE ARE NOT DOING
25	THAT YET IN THIS TALK. MAYBE WE ARE.

1	WE'RE STARTING TO FEATURE PROFILES OF OUR
2	BOARD MEMBERS AND OUR TEAM AS A WAY OF SHOWING THE
3	PEOPLE AND THE PASSION BEHIND THE INSTITUTION.
4	THESE STORIES ARE ONE WAY OF BUILDING TRUST. HERE
5	WE GO. THOSE ARE EMOTIONS. THAT'S WHAT I WAS
6	SUPPOSED TO CLICK ON. I'M GOING TO DO A LITTLE
7	ASIDE HERE.
8	AT THE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING, I SHOWED
9	SOMETHING LIKE THIS, BUT IT LACKED THE WORD "AWE."
10	AND IT WAS YSABEL WHO SUGGESTED THAT, AND I ADDED IT
11	BECAUSE I THOUGHT IT WAS A REALLY NICE ADDITION.
12	THIS ISN'T QUITE THE WORD CLOUD YOU ASKED FOR,
13	YSABEL, BUT IT IS SOMETHING. IT'S GETTING THERE.
14	THANK YOU.
15	TYING THIS BACK TO THE EMOTIONS WE WANT TO
16	ELICIT, THESE PROFILES ALSO CONVEY EXCITEMENT,
17	PRIDE, AND PERHAPS OTHER EMOTIONS DEPENDING ON THE
18	PERSON BEING PROFILED. IN ADDITION, WE'VE ENGAGED
19	WITH AN AGENCY CALLED VALVE SPRING, WHICH SOME OF
20	YOU MIGHT KNOW FROM PREVIOUS WORK WITH CIRM.
21	THEY'RE HELPING US REFINE HOW WE TALK ABOUT THE
22	AGENCY, OUR MISSION, AND OUR PRIORITIES. THEY'LL
23	PLAY A KEY ROLE IN HELPING US DEFINE OUR SONG AS IT
24	WERE, AND THAT WORK WILL FEED INTO ADDITIONAL
25	STORYTELLING.

1	WE'VE ALSO STARTED RESEARCHING VENDORS WHO
2	CAN HELP US LEARN WHAT THE PEOPLE IN CALIFORNIA ARE
3	INTERESTED IN HEARING ABOUT. AND THAT'S SOMETHING
4	THAT MEMBERS OF THIS BOARD HAVE REALLY ENCOURAGED.
5	I'LL BE ABLE TO SAY MORE ABOUT THAT WORK AT A FUTURE
6	BOARD MEETING.
7	THERE WE GO. FIRST I'M GOING TO TALK
8	ABOUT THE STORYTELLING, WHICH CAN LEAD TO THESE
9	EMOTIONS. WHEN I TALK ABOUT STORIES, I MEAN A RANGE
10	OF DIFFERENT KINDS OF STORIES. WE WRITE PRESS
11	RELEASES, BLOG ENTRIES, WE PRODUCE VIDEOS, HOLD
12	WEBINARS, GIVE PRESENTATIONS. ALL OF THOSE INVOLVE
13	STORYTELLING. WE HAVE SOME PROJECTS IN PROGRESS
14	ALREADY TO HELP US WITH THIS WORK.
15	FIRST, WE'VE HIRED A PART-TIME WRITING
16	CONTRACTOR TO HELP US WITH WRITTEN STORIES, AND
17	WE'VE STARTED SOME NEW FORMS OF STORYTELLING. OUR
18	CONTRACT WRITER HOLLY MACCORMICK HAS ALREADY STARTED
19	WORKING ON SOME PROFILES OF BOARD MEMBERS THIS IS
20	THE BULLET POINT I WAS LOOKING FOR EARLIER THE
21	FIRST OF WHICH PUBLISHED RECENTLY BECAUSE I WANTED
22	TO BRAG ABOUT THIS. THE FIRST ONE WAS WITH KIM
23	BARRETT. IT WAS REALLY WELL RECEIVED BOTH ON OUR
24	BLOG AND THROUGH SOCIAL MEDIA. THERE ARE MANY MORE
25	COMING. WE'VE ALREADY DONE A FEW ADDITIONAL

1	INTERVIEWS, AND WE'RE HOPING TO GET TO ALL BOARD
2	MEMBERS BECAUSE WE THINK THESE PROFILES OF THE BOARD
3	MEMBERS AND OF THE TEAM WILL HELP SHOW THE PEOPLE
4	AND THE PASSION BEHIND WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO ACHIEVE.
5	AS SOMEONE MENTIONED AT THE JUNE BOARD
6	MEETING, THE STORIES ARE ONLY AS GOOD AS THE
7	DELIVERY MECHANISM. WE'RE MAKING SURE THESE
8	CAREFULLY CRAFTED STORIES MAKE IT INTO THE EMAIL,
9	SOCIAL MEDIA CHANNELS, WEBSITE, PRESENTATIONS,
10	FLIERS, OR ONE-ON-ONE CONVERSATIONS WHERE THEY'RE
11	MOST LIKELY TO REACH THE INTENDED AUDIENCE.
12	ON THIS FRONT WE'RE WORKING ON HIRING A PR
13	FIRM TO HELP US PLACE STORIES AND OP-EDS IN MEDIA
14	OUTLETS BECAUSE TRADITIONAL MEDIA REMAINS AN
15	EXCELLENT WAY OF REACHING OUR AUDIENCES. AS PART OF
16	EVALUATING PR AGENCIES, WE ARE LOOKING AT WHETHER
17	THOSE AGENCIES CAN HELP US WITH COMMUNITY-BASED,
18	MULTICULTURAL, AND MULTILANGUAGE PUBLICATIONS IN
19	ADDITION TO LARGER OUTLETS. I'LL BE ABLE TO TALK
20	ABOUT THE OUTCOME OF THAT PROCESS AT A FUTURE BOARD
21	MEETING.
22	ANOTHER GREAT CHANNEL FOR REACHING MANY
23	AUDIENCES IS ALL OF YOU. AS SEVERAL OF YOU BROUGHT
24	UP AT THE LAST MEETING, YOU ARE ALL EMBEDDED IN
25	COMMUNITIES THAT NEED TO KNOW ABOUT CIRM. WE'LL BE

1	REACHING OUT TO THIS GROUP TO FIND OPPORTUNITIES FOR
2	YOU TO TELL CIRM'S STORY.
3	I ALSO WELCOME FEEDBACK FROM ANY OF YOU IF
4	YOU KNOW ABOUT EVENTS YOU WANT TO ATTEND. WE CAN
5	HELP ARM YOU WITH PRINTED MATERIALS AND POWERPOINTS
6	TO HELP YOU TALK ABOUT CIRM.
7	ONE BOARD MEMBER IS ALREADY HELPING TELL
8	OUR STORY, AND THAT'S OUR CHAIR. MR. CHAIRMAN,
9	VITO, JOINED ADITI DESAI ON MY TEAM WHO OVERSEES OUR
10	COMMUNITY OUTREACH EFFORTS AT A ROTARY CLUB
11	PRESENTATION RECENTLY. GOING BACK TO THE EMOTIONS
12	WE'RE HOPING TO ELICIT, HAVING THOSE TWO PRESENT TO
13	THAT AUDIENCE ON TRUST, CONFIDENCE, AND ALSO PRIDE
14	BECAUSE THEY TALKED ABOUT THE WAY CIRM IS BENEFITING
15	CALIFORNIA.
16	AS PART OF OUR INCREASED FOCUS ON
17	STORYTELLING, I WANT TO SHARE A FEW RECENT STORIES.
18	AS I MENTIONED, WE'RE STARTING TO PROFILE BOARD
19	MEMBERS. THE IMAGE ON THE RIGHT IS TAKEN FROM A
20	PROFILE OF KIM BARRETT. AND I WANT TO SAY THIS
21	PHOTO TIES INTO VITO'S PRESENTATION WHERE HE TALKED
22	ABOUT GOING UP AND GETTING A TOUR WITH DR. BARRETT
23	AND MEETING SOME OF THE RESEARCHERS WORKING ON SPINA
24	BIFIDA. AND THIS PHOTO SHOWS THOSE FOUR PEOPLE. IN
25	THAT PROFILE SHE TELLS A REALLY MOVING STORY ABOUT
	107

1	THE IMPORTANCE OF THAT RESEARCH.
2	IN ADDITION, A PATIENT ADVOCATE SPEAKER AT
3	THE RECENT TRAINEE CONFERENCE WAS A BIG HIT ON OUR
4	BLOG AND SOCIAL CHANNELS, AND HE'S FEATURED OVER ON
5	THE LEFT. THAT'S JEFFERY RANDALL ALLEN WHO WON A
6	REALITY SHOW THAT I'M SURE YOU'RE ALL AWARE OF
7	CALLED "THE BEAST GAMES." I WAS NOT AWARE, BUT IT
8	IS A BIG DEAL. HE CONTRIBUTED HIS WINNINGS TO
9	RESEARCH INTO A RARE DISEASE THAT HIS YOUNGER SON
10	HAS. HIS HIGH PROFILE AND EXTREMELY HIGH SOCIAL
11	MEDIA FOLLOWING HELPED AMPLIFY OUR STORY ABOUT THE
12	NEED TO FOCUS ON FINDING CURES FOR RARE DISEASES AND
13	HELPING KIDS.
14	WE ALSO PRODUCED A WELL-RECEIVED VIDEO
15	FROM THE SAME CONFERENCE. AND THAT'S SORT OF ON THE
16	LOWER CENTER AND FEATURED A PROFILE OF COMPASS
17	SCHOLAR MAYA SINGH WHO HAS SINCE GRADUATED AND IS IN
18	GRADUATE SCHOOL.
19	AT FUTURE BOARD MEETINGS I LOOK FORWARD TO
20	SHARING OUR PROGRESS AND TO SHARING INFORMATION
21	ABOUT OUR ONGOING STORYTELLING. I AM, OF COURSE,
22	WILLING TO TAKE ANY QUESTIONS, BUT I'M INTERESTED IN
23	HEARING YOUR THOUGHTS ON WHAT WE MIGHT BE MISSING AS
24	WE THINK ABOUT OUR STORY AND OUR AUDIENCES. AND
25	I'LL TAKE QUESTIONS.

1	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: GREAT. REALLY HAPPY
2	ABOUT THE WORK AND THE PROGRESS. SO KUDOS. AND
3	SUPER CURIOUS WITH THE CONTINUED SHIFT TO INSTAGRAM
4	AND TIKTOK AND TWITTER WHERE ALMOST NOBODY IS
5	READING ANYTHING ANYMORE. WHEN WE TALK ABOUT
6	PRODUCING VIDEOS, ARE WE DISTRIBUTING THROUGH
7	MECHANISMS? I SEE GREAT THINGS ON LINKEDIN AND
8	OTHER AREAS, BUT I'M KIND OF CURIOUS WHAT OUR
9	THOUGHTS ARE AROUND THOSE ELEMENTS BECAUSE THEY'RE
10	SO CRITICAL.
11	MS. ADAMS: THEY'RE ABSOLUTELY CRITICAL.
12	THEY'RE CRITICAL, THEY'RE FRAGMENTED, AND THEY'RE
13	CHANGING ALL THE TIME. SO IT'S AN EXCITING TIME OUT
14	THERE.
15	SO PERIODICALLY CHRISTINA SMITH ON MY
16	TEAM, WHO MANAGES OUR DIGITAL CHANNELS, SHE'LL COME
17	AND GIVE PRESENTATIONS. AND SHE CAN GIVE A LOT MORE
18	DETAIL ON THIS. BUT THE SHORT ANSWER IS, YES, WE'RE
19	ON ALL OF THOSE CHANNELS AND CONSTANTLY EVALUATING
20	WHICH OF THOSE CHANNELS, WHICH CONTENT SEEMS TO BE
21	DOING WELL ON WHICH CHANNEL, WHO WE SEEM TO BE
22	REACHING ON WHICH CONTENT. AND TO THE BEST OF OUR
23	ABILITY, AND THIS IS HARD, BUT FIGURING WHO'S
24	READING WHICH CHANNEL AND MAKING SURE THE RIGHT
25	CONTENT IS GOING TO THAT CHANNEL. YEAH, IT'S
	120

1	CRITICAL.
2	MS. DURON: AMY, WONDERFUL. I LIKE THE
3	FEEL OF THIS WHICH I THINK IS REALLY CRITICAL. WHAT
4	I WANT TO SEE MORE OF IS THAT YOU'RE ACTUALLY
5	REACHING THROUGH MULTILINGUAL ORGANIZATIONS AND
6	MEDIA TO SHOW THAT, THAT WE ARE FINALLY BREAKING
7	THROUGH THAT LANGUAGE BARRIER AND BEING ABLE TO
8	IDENTIFY FOR OUR CALIFORNIA IS A MINORITY/
9	MAJORITY STATE WHEN YOU ADD UP OUR DIFFERENT
10	DEMOGRAPHICS. AND I'M REALLY EXCITED TO SEE THAT
11	OUR EXCITEMENT, OUR AWE IS BEING CARRIED OVER INTO
12	THOSE COMMUNITIES SO THAT THEY BEGIN TO UNDERSTAND
13	BOTH THE OBJECTIVES OF STEM CELL RESEARCH AND ALSO
14	HOW IT IMPACTS THEIR OWN LIVES, HOW THEY'VE
15	PRESENTED WITH SOMEONE WHOM IT'S IMPACTED, A FAMILY
16	MEMBER, ET CETERA, ET CETERA. SO I LOOK FORWARD TO
17	THAT PROGRESS.
18	MS. ADAMS: I VERY MUCH LOOK FORWARD TO
19	TALKING WITH YOU ABOUT THE PR AGENCY WHEN WE GET
20	THEM HIRED BECAUSE THAT'S A CRITERIA WE ARE USING.
21	WE'RE THINKING DEFINITELY SOME OF OUR STUDENTS MAKE
22	GREAT STORIES. SOME OF OUR PATIENTS AND PATIENT
23	ADVOCATES MAKE FANTASTIC STORIES IN THOSE
24	COMMUNITIES, AND WE COULD REALLY USE HELP BREAKING
25	THROUGH. SO I LOOK FORWARD TO TALKING WITH YOU MORE

1	ABOUT THAT.
2	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ANY QUESTIONS OR
3	COMMENTS FOR AMY? OR ON ZOOM? NO?
4	MS. ADAMS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
5	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: AMY, THANK YOU.
6	OKAY. THE OPPORTUNITY FOR MEMBERS OF THE
7	PUBLIC EITHER IN THE ROOM OR CONNECTED BY THE
8	INTERNET TO MAKE ANY COMMENTS ON THE PROCESS OF OUR
9	APPLICATION REVIEW. IF YOU CAN RAISE YOUR HAND, YOU
10	WILL BE RECOGNIZED. AND, CLAUDETTE, WILL YOU
11	MONITOR ALL OF THIS? THERE IS A DR. TOSCANO, I
12	PRESUME.
13	MS. MANDAC: YES. WE DO HAVE ONE MEMBER
14	ON ZOOM FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. DR. TOSCANO, YOU WILL
15	HAVE THREE MINUTES. THERE WILL BE A TIMER THAT
16	YOU'LL BE ABLE TO SEE ON THE TOP RIGHT-HAND CORNER
17	OF YOUR ZOOM. WE WILL MUTE YOU AS SOON AS THE THREE
18	MINUTES ARE UP. SO IF YOU COULD WATCH CAREFULLY.
19	THE CLOCK STARTS NOW.
20	DR. TOSCANO: THANK YOU FOR THE
21	OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK. I'M A PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR
22	ON DISC-O PROPOSAL 17579 THAT WE SUBMITTED LAST
23	FALL. AND THAT PROPOSAL GOT AN EXCELLENT SCORE TWO
24	POINTS AWAY FROM FUNDING. SOME OF THE REVIEWS
25	SUGGESTED IT WAS POTENTIALLY TRANSFORMATIVE FOR

1	CELLULAR THERAPY THAT WOULD APPLY TO MANY, MANY
2	DIFFERENT DISEASE STATES. WE'RE VERY EXCITED ABOUT
3	THAT, EXCELLENT REVIEWS. WE INVESTED QUITE BIT OF
4	TIME ADDRESSING ALL OF THE CRITICISMS VERY, VERY
5	THOROUGHLY. WE HAD TO WAIT AN ENTIRE YEAR TO
6	RESUBMIT THE PROPOSAL, RESUBMITTED IT, ENSURED
7	EVERYTHING WAS ADDRESSED. AND THEN REVIEWS THAT WE
8	GOT BACK DID NOT AT ALL ADDRESS THE CRITICISMS, DID
9	NOT COMMENT ON ALL OF THE WORK TO ADDRESS THOSE
10	CRITICISMS. IT, IN FACT, BROUGHT UP THINGS THAT
11	WERE NOT EVEN RELEVANT TO THE PROPOSAL, SUGGESTING
12	THAT THEY DIDN'T EVEN READ THE PROPOSAL.
13	SO I'M VERY CONCERNED NOT ONLY FOR THIS
14	PROPOSAL, BUT FOR OTHER PROPOSALS THAT REALLY DON'T
15	GET EVEN CLOSE TO ADEQUATE REVIEW. I'M VERY, VERY
16	CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. AND I HEARD SOME COMMENTS
17	REGARDING TRUST IN THE PROGRAM. I DON'T KNOW IF
18	HOW YOU CAN ESTABLISH TRUST UNLESS THERE'S EQUITABLE
19	AND FAIR REVIEWS OF THE PROPOSALS, ESPECIALLY
20	PROPOSALS THAT WERE THOUGHT TO BE POTENTIALLY
21	TRANSFORMATIVE.
22	SO I'M VERY, VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THIS.
23	AND THERE'S NO OPPORTUNITY TO RESUBMIT BECAUSE THE
24	DISC-0 WILL BE ELIMINATED. SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO
25	DO FROM HERE, BUT IT'S VERY, VERY DISCOURAGING. AND

1	I THINK THAT THE CIRM BOARD AND THE PUBLIC NEEDS TO
2	UNDERSTAND THIS. I'LL STOP THERE.
3	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU FOR YOUR
4	COMMENTS, DR. TOSCANO.
5	THIS IS THE OPPORTUNITY FOR MEMBERS OF THE
6	PUBLIC TO MAKE ANY COMMENTS OR RAISE ANY QUESTIONS
7	FOR FUTURE DISCUSSION ON ITEMS THAT WERE NOT ON
8	TODAY'S AGENDA. HEARING NONE, SO WE HAVE COME TO
9	THE END OF THE AGENDA. THE MEETING IS ABOUT TO BE
10	CLOSED. I INVITE YOU ALL TO JOIN US AGAIN FOR THE
11	NEXT MEETING OF THE ICOC WHICH WILL BE BY VIRTUAL
12	MEETING ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 11, STARTING AT 9
13	O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING. SO THANK YOU, EVERYONE, FOR
14	YOUR ATTENDANCE.
15	(THE MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED AT 3:09 P.M.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	133
	<u> </u>

1	
2	
3	
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	
6	
7	
8	I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT
9	THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND
10	THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN
11	THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON SEPTEMBER 25, 2025, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS
12	IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE
13	REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE
14	AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	
16	
17	BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT
18	SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	134