

Public Comments to REGULAR MEETING OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZENS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE Organized Pursuant To The CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH, TREATMENTS, AND CURES INITIATIVE OF 2020 on Feb. 22, 2020

Xuejun Parsons, PhD, <https://www.sdrmi.org>
Jparsons001@san.rr.com; parsons@sdrmi.org, parsons@plurixcel.com

Dear ICOC and ARS,

Sorry for the late comments.

First of all, I support CIRM leadership proposed changes to CIRM CLIN Programs according to CIRM current strained budget. Those CIRM CLIN awards, including those CLIN applications considered in this meeting, are even worse than CIRM previous awards to Geron, Stem Cell, Forty Seven, and a long list of CIRM CLINs, which are all failed. CA taxpayers did not vote Prop. 14 for CIRM to test the failure rate of all sorts of clinical trials, which is extremely high even according to CIRM CLIN award data so far, but to find treatments or cures for major unmet medical needs. Why would CIRM spend the money you do not have on those CIRM CLIN awards we know they are going to fail, on those trivial clinical trials of CIRM CLIN awards even investors would not care.

Secondly, I would like to bring ICOC and ARS's attention to CLIN2-15547, Phase 1/2a Dose Escalation Study of Autologous Neuron Replacement in Sporadic Parkinson Disease of Aspen Neuroscience. In CIRM CLIN2-15547, my former mentor Jean Loring has used her plagiarized monkey study data generated from the hESC products of my proposals to obtain millions of CIRM grants and this CLIN2 award for iPSC and ~\$300 million of private investment for her Company Aspen Neuroscience, even though Jean Loring has absolutely no data no protocol no publication to show she could turn iPSC into DA neurons, even though Jean Loring has no data no protocol no publication to show she has any iPSC-derived DA progenitor or product that is Nurr1 positive and could generate those primate study data she used in CIRM CLIN2-15547 and for FDA approval for their iPSC product. Previously, Jean Loring's student and Jeffrey Kordower have also used their plagiarized monkey study data from the hESC products of my proposal to obtain ~\$4 million of CIRM CLIN1-14300 award for their Company Ryne Bio (please see my previous comments below, more on my website at <https://www.sdrmi.org>). Also, it is a shame that many of CIRM reviewers' comments are scientifically false, such as the reviewers said iPSC or autologous therapy are better than ESC, because iPSC has no immune-rejection, which is false, there are many publications showed iPSC have even worse immune-rejection than hESC. I appreciate it if CIRM or ICOC could investigate the plagiarism and scientific misconduct of Jean Loring, Jean Loring's student, Aspen Neuroscience, and Ryne Bio in CIRM CLIN2-15447 and CLIN1-14300. Sorry I wrote this in a hurry. Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

“Ironically, CIRM President/CEO and General Counsel even demonstrated COI themselves after they had completely denied any COI for our appeal in front of ICOC by having ICOC approving funding for Ryne Bio’s CLIN1-14300, titled “Allogeneic iPSC derived Dopaminergic [DA] Drug Product for Parkinson’s disease [PD]”, which has severely compromised the scientific integrity of CIRM. Part of our large primate study data of the hESC therapeutic product of my hESC proposal CIRM DISC2-14071 have been held by my former mentor, Jean Loring of Scripps Research Institute, the founder of Aspen Neurosciences. Both Aspen Neurosciences and Ryne Bio have absolutely no patent, no technology, no scientific publication to show they could turn iPSC into DA neurons, and Aspen Neurosciences and Ryne Bio have identical iPSC product with different names. I personally prepared those cells, hESC product of DISC2-14071, for the monkey study, not iPSC product of Jean Loring/Aspen Neuroscinece/Ryne Bio, and Jean Loring absolutely knows that and she also knows it is scientific misconduct to use animal study data of hESC for iPSC (do you think why she has never really done anything herself for over a decade?). And Jean Loring’s student --- Ryne Bio’s founder --- was never involved in the large primate study, and has committed serious scientific misconduct to use our hESC animal data in his iPSC proposal and CIRM award to scam CA taxpayer money with the intention to continue to lie to FDA, with the complicit of CIRM GWG reviewers and ICOC. It is serious COI for CIRM President/CEO and General Counsel to award Ryne Bio’s iPSC using our hESC animal data they had no part of it while denying any COI and appeal for us who actually did the study and own the patent.”