

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

BEFORE THE
SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

DATE: JANUARY 17, 2024
8 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR
CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2024-03

**133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864
208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM**

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

I N D E X

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER	3
2. ROLL CALL	3
3. CONSIDERATION OF COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE (CCCE) CONCEPT PLAN	4
4. CONSIDERATION OF FUNDING POLICY REGARDING "N OF 1" PROPOSALS	45
5. PUBLIC COMMENT	68
6. ADJOURNMENT	81

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JANUARY 17, 2024; 8:00 A.M.

CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: LET'S START WITH THE
ROLL CALL PLEASE. IS THAT YOU, CLAUDETTE?

MS. MANDAC: I CAN. HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
MARIA BONNEVILLE.

VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: PRESENT.

MS. MANDAC: MONICA CARSON.

DR. CARSON: PRESENT.

MS. MANDAC: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.

MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: HERE.

MS. MANDAC: JUDY GASSON.

DR. GASSON: HERE.

MS. MANDAC: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.

CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: HERE.

MS. MANDAC: DAVID HIGGINS.

DR. HIGGINS: PRESENT.

MS. MANDAC: VITO IMBASCIANI. PAT LEVITT.

DR. LEVITT: HERE.

MS. MANDAC: SHLOMO MELMED. CHRISTINE
MIASKOWSKI.

DR. MIASKOWSKI: PRESENT.

MS. MANDAC: KAROL WATSON.

DR. WATSON: HERE.

MS. MANDAC: KEITH YAMAMOTO.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: VITO STEPPED OUT. HE'S JUST
2 COMING BACK IN, AND WE'LL HAVE A QUORUM.

3 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: WHY DON'T I GO AHEAD
4 AND INTRODUCE THE MEETING. WE HAVE TWO MAJOR TOPICS
5 TODAY. THE FIRST IS TO REVIEW COMMUNITY CARE
6 CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE CONCEPT PLAN. AND THEN THE
7 SECOND IS A TOPIC WITH WHICH I HAVE A CONFLICT OF
8 INTEREST, AND SO IT WILL BE HANDLED BY SCOTT, WHO
9 WILL THEN HAND IT OVER TO MARK FOR MANAGING THE
10 DISCUSSION.

11 SO FIRST UP, COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF
12 EXCELLENCE CONCEPT. WHO IS PRESENTING?

13 DR. LOMAX: THAT WOULD BE ME, GEOFF. LET
14 ME PULL UP MY SCREEN. SO I DID WANT TO CHECK WITH
15 THE WORKING GROUP. THE POSTED DECK, THIS IS A
16 CONTINUATION EFFECTIVELY OF AN ITEM THAT WAS FIRST
17 PRESENTED ON NOVEMBER 30TH. SO I DID -- THE FIRST
18 SIX SLIDES OR SO ARE BACKGROUND ON THE LEAD-UP TO
19 THE CONCEPT PLAN. AND I COULD FOREGO REPEATING THAT
20 SET OF SLIDES IF IT WORKS FOR THE WORKING GROUP AND
21 SORT OF DIVE INTO THE PROGRAMMATIC ELEMENTS, BUT I
22 WANTED TO CHECK FIRST WITH THE WORKING GROUP TO SEE
23 WHAT YOUR PLEASURE IS.

24 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: WHAT'S YOUR TIME
25 ESTIMATE FOR THOSE SIX SLIDES, GEOFF?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. LOMAX: IF I KIND OF SKIP THROUGH THEM
2 QUICKLY, ABOUT SIX TO EIGHT MINUTES.

3 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THEN I SUGGEST YOU DO
4 THAT JUST TO BE SURE EVERYBODY IS LINED UP AND READY
5 TO GO.

6 DR. LOMAX: OKAY. WILL DO. THANK YOU.

7 OKAY. SO THE MISSION STATEMENT:
8 ACCELERATING WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE TO DELIVER
9 TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE TREATMENTS IN
10 AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND
11 WORLD. I THINK THIS PARTICULAR INFRASTRUCTURE
12 PROGRAM REALLY SPEAKS TO THE EQUITY AND DELIVERY
13 ASPECTS OF THIS AS IT'S ABOUT EXTENDING OUR CLINICAL
14 REACH BEYOND THE ALPHA CLINIC NETWORK.

15 I WANTED TO GIVE YOU A SENSE OF THE
16 PROCESS THAT HAS LED UP TO THIS PLAN. STARTING IN
17 OCTOBER 2022, WE INITIATED A NEEDS ASSESSMENT PHASE.
18 THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT INITIALLY CONSISTED OF A SERIES
19 OF LISTENING SESSIONS AT SITES INDICATED HERE, IN
20 THE CENTRAL VALLEY, INLAND EMPIRE, AND COACHELLA
21 VALLEY. THESE LISTENING SESSIONS WERE ATTENDED BY A
22 RANGE OF PARTICIPANTS THAT HAD EXPERIENCE BOTH IN
23 OPERATING CLINICAL CENTERS, INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED IN
24 HEALTH EDUCATION, HEALTH NAVIGATION, COMMUNITY
25 HEALTH WORKERS, COMMUNITY GROUPS, RESEARCHERS, A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 VERY DIVERSE RANGE OF PARTICIPANTS THAT HAVE
2 INTEREST IN THE PROGRAMMATIC ASPECTS OF THIS
3 INITIATIVE AND POTENTIALLY REPRESENTS INDIVIDUALS
4 THAT MAY APPLY ONCE THE APPLICATION BECOMES LIVE.

5 WE THEN IN JUNE OF 2023 SPONSORED A
6 STATEWIDE PUBLIC WORKSHOP. A NUMBER OF YOU
7 PARTICIPATED IN THAT PROGRAM. THE AIM OF THE
8 WORKSHOP WAS TO PULL TOGETHER OUR FINDINGS FROM THE
9 NEEDS ASSESSMENT AND GET FEEDBACK, AGAIN, FROM A
10 SIMILARLY DIVERSE POOL OF STAKEHOLDERS IN TERMS OF
11 IDEAS FOR PROGRAM DIRECTION. AND COMING OUT OF THAT
12 WORKSHOP, WE THEN DEVELOPED THE DRAFT CONCEPT PLAN
13 BASED ON, IN PART, WHAT WE HEARD IN THE WORKSHOP.

14 THAT CONCEPT PLAN HAS BEEN GOING THROUGH A
15 REVIEW PROCESS THAT INCLUDES THE ACCESSIBILITY AND
16 AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP. MEMBERS OF THIS
17 SUBCOMMITTEE HAVE PROVIDED COMMENTS AND FEEDBACK,
18 WHICH I'LL ADDRESS LATER WHEN I HIT ON THE
19 PROGRAMMATIC ASPECTS. AND ACTUALLY YESTERDAY WE
20 PRESENTED PARTICULARLY COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ASPECTS
21 TO THE MEDICAL AND ETHICAL STANDARDS WORKING GROUP
22 TO EXPLORE, PARTICULARLY AROUND IMPLEMENTATION, BEST
23 PRACTICE, ETHICAL PRACTICE, WAYS IN WHICH COMMUNITY
24 ENGAGEMENT COULD OCCUR IN CONCORDANCE WITH HIGH
25 MEDICAL AND ETHICAL STANDARDS WE EXPECT AT CIRM.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROVIDED YOU ALL ARE SUPPORTIVE OF THIS
2 PLAN AND THE BOARD, WE WOULD THEN ENTER AN
3 APPLICATION PHASE LATER THIS YEAR.

4 THE AIM OF THE PROGRAM IS SPELLED OUT TO
5 SOME EXTENT IN PROPOSITION 14. IT'S TO EXPAND THE
6 ALPHA STEM CELL CLINIC PROGRAM, PROMOTE HUMAN
7 CLINICAL TRIALS, AND ACCESS TO THOSE TRIALS IN
8 GEOGRAPHICALLY DIVERSE REGIONS OF THE STATE. AND
9 THE AIM WOULD BE BOTH TO MAKE CLINICAL RESEARCH
10 AVAILABLE TO RESIDENTS AND SUBSEQUENT CURES THAT
11 EMERGE FROM CIRM RESEARCH.

12 IN TERMS OF INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS, ONE
13 OF THE SORT OF QUESTIONS THAT ACTUALLY CAME UP QUITE
14 A BIT ALONG THE PROCESS IS WHAT DO THESE LOOK LIKE.
15 OFTEN WHEN YOU SAY A CENTER, ONE ENVISIONS LARGE
16 BUILDINGS AND SHINY OBJECTS. OUR INFRASTRUCTURE
17 PROGRAM TYPICALLY, WHILE THEY INVOLVE THESE
18 BUILDINGS, WILL BE FUNDING OUR PEOPLE WHO MAINTAIN
19 THE OPERATIONS. AND TO GIVE YOU AN IDEA, THIS IS A
20 TYPICAL TEAM THAT WOULD BE REQUIRED TO DELIVER CELL
21 AND GENE THERAPIES TO PATIENTS AT A MEDICAL CENTER.

22 AND THIS REALLY REFLECTS SORT OF THE
23 BUDGET OF AN ALPHA CLINIC. THESE BUDGETS INCLUDE
24 INDIVIDUALS WHO CAN ENGAGE SPONSORS WHO ARE BRINGING
25 TRIALS INTO THESE SITES, PATIENT EDUCATORS,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 NAVIGATORS, AND RESEARCH COORDINATORS THAT COULD DO
2 EVERYTHING FROM INTRODUCE PATIENTS TO THE TRIAL,
3 CONDUCT THE INFORMED CONSENT, AND THEN FOLLOW THE
4 PATIENT THROUGH THEIR CLINICAL COURSE, INDIVIDUALS
5 WHO PERFORM REGULATORY AND COVERAGE ANALYSIS TO DEAL
6 WITH THE FINANCIAL AND BILLING ASPECTS OF THE TRIAL.
7 THEN WE ALSO TYPICALLY SEE SOME SORT OF SUPPORT FOR
8 PRODUCT MANAGEMENT, PHARMACY, AND MANUFACTURING,
9 ALTHOUGH THE MAJOR PIECES OF THE MANUFACTURING
10 ASPECTS WOULD POTENTIALLY BE HANDLED THROUGH OUR
11 MANUFACTURING INITIATIVE, BUT IT'S A VERY -- THAT'S
12 A TOUCHPOINT BETWEEN OUR DIFFERENT INFRASTRUCTURE
13 PROGRAMS WITH MANUFACTURING AND CLINICAL. AND
14 THERE'S STRONG DATA MANAGEMENT PIECES, FOR EXAMPLE,
15 LOOKING AT PATIENT REGISTRIES TO HELP DEVELOP
16 COHORTS FOR THESE TRIALS.

17 SO WHEN WE LOOK AT -- THIS IS -- NOW, THE
18 AIM IS TO PROVIDE SORT OF THE BIG PICTURE OF HOW OUR
19 DIFFERENT INFRASTRUCTURE AND SUPPORT PROGRAMS WOULD
20 COME TOGETHER TO SUPPORT PATIENTS. THE AIM OF THIS
21 GRAPHIC IS TO REALLY ILLUSTRATE THE STRATEGIC FOCUS
22 TO PUT THE PATIENTS IN THE CENTER OF A SUPPORT
23 SYSTEM. AND THAT SYSTEM CURRENTLY INCLUDES THE
24 ALPHA CLINICS, WHICH I HAVE BEEN REFERRING TO.
25 THERE ARE NINE AWARDS, TEN SITES, AND THEY'RE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SUPPORTING ALMOST ALL THE CIRM-FUNDED CLINICAL
2 TRIALS. THOSE CLINICAL TRIALS, 96 OF WHICH HAVE
3 BEEN FUNDED TO DATE, AS YOU ARE WELL AWARE, WERE
4 FUNDED THROUGH OUR CLINICAL STAGE PROGRAMS. SO
5 WE'VE KIND OF GOT AN AXIS HERE WHERE WE HAVE THOSE
6 CLINICAL TRIALS UP AND INITIATED AT SITES THAT ARE
7 QUITE CAPABLE OF DELIVERING THOSE TREATMENTS. AND
8 THE AIM IS TO FURTHER EXPAND THAT INFRASTRUCTURE TO
9 SUPPORT ADDITIONAL PATIENTS COMING INTO THOSE
10 TRIALS.

11 AGAIN, ANOTHER PROGRAM THAT IS NOW PENDING
12 REVIEW BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP AND ACCESS AND
13 AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP IS OUR PATIENT SUPPORT
14 PROGRAM AIMED AT ADDRESSING FINANCIAL AND LOGISTICAL
15 BARRIERS. THAT'S GOING TO BE AN IMPORTANT PIECE OF
16 THIS PICTURE BECAUSE THAT PROGRAM WILL BE ABLE TO
17 SUPPORT PATIENTS, PARTICULARLY THOSE, AGAIN, WITH
18 FINANCIAL AND LOGISTICAL NEEDS, WHICH, BASED ON OUR
19 NEEDS ASSESSMENT FINDINGS, THAT WAS A STRONG
20 EMPHASIS OF PARTICIPANTS, THAT IN A LOT OF THESE
21 REGIONS, THERE ARE CONSIDERABLE CHALLENGES WITH
22 TRAVEL, CHILDCARE, AND THE RANGE OF DEMANDS THAT ARE
23 PUT ON PATIENTS IN ORDER TO PARTICIPATE IN THESE
24 TRIALS.

25 AGAIN, HERE THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EXCELLENCE, WHICH IS TO PROVIDE THAT PHYSICAL
2 INFRASTRUCTURE FOR THESE CLINICAL PROGRAMS SO THAT
3 THE DEMANDS I ALLUDED TO EARLIER ADDRESSED THROUGH
4 THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM ARE REDUCED OR
5 ATTENUATED BECAUSE CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THE TRIAL OR
6 THE ENTIRETY OF THE TRIAL CAN BE DELIVERED TO THE
7 PATIENT IN GREATER PROXIMITY WITH THE GREATER
8 COMMUNITY SUPPORT, LESS TRAVEL, LESS DEMAND. SO
9 THAT'S THE OVERALL CONCEPT LEVEL WHERE WE'RE GOING
10 WITH THIS ONE.

11 SO I'M NOW GOING TO GET INTO THE MAJOR
12 COMPONENTS OF THE CONCEPT PLAN THAT YOU HAVE BEFORE
13 YOU. AS WITH ANY CLINICAL INFRASTRUCTURE, BECAUSE
14 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT CLINICAL TRIAL, THERE'S A
15 CLINICAL COMPONENT TO THIS. THAT PIECE IS BROKEN
16 INTO TWO PARTS. I'LL ELABORATE IN A BIT MORE DETAIL
17 IN SUBSEQUENT SLIDES. IT'S TO SUPPORT OR CONDUCT
18 CLINICAL TRIALS. SO THERE'S TWO PIECES TO THAT
19 OPTION A OR OPTION B. AND, AGAIN, I'LL ELABORATE.

20 AND ULTIMATELY ALSO POSITION THESE CENTERS
21 TO DELIVER APPROVED REGENERATIVE MEDICINE PRODUCTS.
22 AND IN SOME CASES WHERE THOSE SITES MAY NOT BE
23 POSITIONED IN THE AWARD PERIOD TO SERVE AS A
24 DELIVERY SITE FOR THESE PRODUCTS OR THESE CLINICAL
25 TRIALS, TO THEN SERVE AS A REFERRAL HUB FOR ALPHA

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CLINICS PATIENTS WHERE THEY COULD RECEIVE TREATMENT
2 AT, SAY, AN ALPHA CLINIC SITE, BUT COME BACK TO THE
3 CENTER FOR FOLLOW-UP AND, AGAIN, TRYING TO REDUCE
4 THE OVERALL DEMANDS OF THE TRIAL.

5 ALSO, THERE'S A CAREER DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM
6 EMBEDDED IN THE CONCEPT PLAN. AND THE AIM HERE IS
7 REALLY TO ADAPT AND DEPLOY TRAINING CURRICULA THAT
8 ALREADY EXISTS OR ALREADY BEEN DEVELOPED THROUGH,
9 AGAIN, THE ALPHA CLINICS, CIRM EDUCATION PROGRAMS,
10 AND TO REALLY SERVE AS A PLACEMENT SITE FOR CIRM
11 TRAINEES.

12 I THINK ONE OF THE MOST SORT OF NICEST
13 COMMENTS I PICKED FROM THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT WERE A
14 NUMBER OF THE ALPHA CLINIC DIRECTORS REALLY
15 INDICATING THERE'S A STRONG APPETITE CERTAINLY FOR
16 CLINICAL FELLOWS TO BE ABLE TO BE PLACED IN CENTERS
17 THAT ARE REALLY OUTSIDE SOME OF THESE MAJOR ACADEMIC
18 CENTERS. THERE SEEMS TO BE A STRONG INTEREST THERE.
19 I THINK IT DERIVES FROM A COMMITMENT TO DIVERSITY
20 AND INCLUSION IN CLINICAL RESEARCH. CERTAINLY WAS
21 THE MESSAGE FROM THE DIRECTORS THAT WE'RE FUNDING
22 ALREADY.

23 FINALLY, I THINK THE PIECE THAT'S
24 PARTICULARLY UNIQUE TO THIS PROGRAM ARE THE
25 COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ASPECTS. THE IDEA IS TO ENGAGE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WITH PATIENTS IN COMMUNITIES AND PARTICULARLY TRYING
2 TO LEVERAGE COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS. THAT'S
3 BEEN AN EXTREMELY STRONG MESSAGE THAT WE'VE RECEIVED
4 CONSISTENTLY OVER THE COURSE OF BOTH THE NEEDS
5 ASSESSMENT, SUBSEQUENT MEETINGS, AGAIN RESURFACED AT
6 THE STANDARDS WORKING GROUP MEETING YESTERDAY, THAT
7 FOR CERTAIN POPULATIONS THAT WE HAVE COMMITTED TO
8 PROVIDE ACCESS TO, THERE'S A LEVEL OF TRUST AND
9 ENGAGEMENT THAT, IN ORDER TO SUCCESSFULLY ACHIEVE
10 THOSE OBJECTIVES, THERE'S A COMPELLING CASE THAT
11 THAT ONLY HAPPENS WITH SUPPORT AT THE COMMUNITY
12 LEVEL, COMMUNITY SUPPORT STRUCTURES, WHETHER IT BE
13 FAITH-BASED ORGANIZATIONS OR COMMUNITY-BASED
14 CENTERS, WHERE INDIVIDUALS TURN FOR ADVICE AND
15 SUPPORT. WE HAVE TO BRING SOME OF THOSE ENTITIES IN
16 IN ORDER TO REALLY CAPTURE THAT POPULATION. AND
17 THAT'S, AGAIN, THE FOCUS PARTICULARLY ON THOSE
18 UNDERREPRESENTED POPULATIONS. THEY'RE
19 UNDERREPRESENTED FOR A REASON. OUR HYPOTHESIS IS
20 THAT FUNDING AT THIS LEVEL WILL HELP ADDRESS THAT.

21 SO I WANT TO DIG A LITTLE BIT DEEPER INTO
22 THE CORE ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS AND SOME OF THESE
23 ACTIVITIES IN PART BECAUSE THEY ADDRESS QUESTIONS
24 THAT YOU ALL RAISED -- HAVE RAISED PREVIOUSLY. SO
25 THIS GETS TO ISSUES OF QUALITY, ASSURANCE, SAFETY,

1 ETHICS.

2 SO IN TERMS OF CLINICAL OPERATIONS, TO BE
3 ELIGIBLE A FACILITY WOULD HAVE TO BE A LICENSED
4 HEALTHCARE FACILITY WITH A DEMONSTRATED CAPACITY TO
5 SUPPORT HUMAN SUBJECTS PROTOCOLS IN A HEALTH
6 RESEARCH CONTEXT. THAT'S STILL FAIRLY BROAD, BUT IT
7 MEANS THEY'RE REALLY ABLE TO CONDUCT RESEARCH UNDER
8 THE OVERSIGHT STRUCTURES THAT WE HAVE ADOPTED TO
9 GUIDE ALL OF OUR RESEARCH THAT INVOLVES HUMAN
10 SUBJECTS. AND HAVE THE CAPACITY TO BE IN THE
11 PROCESS OF DEVELOPING THE CAPACITY TO SUPPORT
12 CLINICAL PROTOCOLS INVOLVING CELL AND GENE THERAPY
13 OR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. AND THEY DO NOT PRACTICE
14 THE ADMINISTRATION OF UNAUTHORIZED STEM CELL
15 TREATMENTS, AND I'LL COME BACK IN A MOMENT.

16 CAREER DEVELOPMENT, HAVE A DEMONSTRATED
17 CAPACITY TO SUPPORT EDUCATION, TRAINING, CAREER
18 DEVELOPMENT OF PHYSICIANS, NURSES, COMMUNITY
19 COORDINATORS, COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS, AND OTHER
20 HEALTH AND MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS. AGAIN, ON THE
21 OUTREACH AND ENGAGEMENT SIDE, HAVE A TRACK RECORD OF
22 CONDUCTING THIS TYPE OF WORK. AGAIN, THE
23 ORGANIZATIONS THAT WE ENGAGE, THE CLINICAL CENTERS,
24 ARE ALREADY CONDUCTING A LOT OF THESE ACTIVITIES IN
25 DIFFERENT DOMAINS, NOT NECESSARILY REGENERATIVE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MEDICINE. SO THIS IS, I THINK, A STANDARD OF
2 PRACTICE WITHIN THE COMMUNITY MEDICAL CENTERS. AND
3 THE AIM HERE WOULD BE TO EXPAND THAT EXPERTISE TO
4 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE AND CELL AND GENE THERAPY.

5 SO I ALLUDED TO THIS EARLIER. I WANTED TO
6 SORT OF COME BACK TO HOW WE THINK THE CLINICAL
7 OPERATION, HOW WE'RE PROPOSING THE CLINICAL
8 OPERATIONS SIDE IN THE CONCEPT PLAN. AND THIS
9 REALLY REFLECTS WHAT WE SAW IN TERMS OF CAPACITY AND
10 READINESS OF SITES. THERE WAS A DIVIDE OUT THERE.
11 THERE ARE CERTAINLY SITES THAT ARE CAPABLE AND
12 THEY'RE ACTUALLY ALREADY SUPPORTING ACCESS TO
13 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE CLINICAL TRIALS. PARTICULARLY
14 IN ONCOLOGY, WE HAVE A NUMBER OF SITES THAT PROVIDE
15 REFERRALS TO PATIENTS THAT ULTIMATELY GET TREATED AT
16 A LOT -- A NUMBER OF WHICH GET TREATED AT ALPHA
17 CLINICS. SO THAT REFERRAL NETWORK EXISTS ALREADY.

18 BUT A NUMBER OF THOSE SITES DON'T SEE
19 THEMSELVES IN THE POSITION OVER THE AWARD PERIOD OF
20 DEVELOPING THE CAPACITY TO DELIVER REGENERATIVE
21 MEDICINE PRODUCTS. IT MIGHT BE A LONGER TERM AIM,
22 BUT THEY DON'T NECESSARILY SEE THEMSELVES IN A
23 POSITION TO GET TO THAT POINT IN THE NEXT FIVE
24 YEARS.

25 IN CONTRAST, THERE ARE SITES THAT ARE IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE PROCESS OF DEVELOPING THE CAPACITY TO EITHER
2 HANDLE MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS, DEVELOP GMP FACILITIES
3 THAT REALLY COULD SUPPORT AND DELIVER TRIALS. SO WE
4 CREATED TWO OPTIONS THERE. AND THESE OPTIONS, WHEN
5 WE GET TO SORT OF SOME OF THE BUDGET ISSUES, THERE
6 WOULD BE A DIFFERENTIAL BUDGET DEPENDING ON HOW THE
7 APPLICANT ORGANIZATION WANTED TO COME IN THERE.

8 CAREER DEVELOPMENT, ONE OF THE PARTS THERE
9 IS WE ARE REALLY LOOKING FOR THEM TO ADAPT OR
10 OTHERWISE UTILIZE EDUCATION AND CIRM TRAINING
11 RESOURCES. AT THE LAST BOARD MEETING, YOU WERE
12 PROVIDED WITH A DESCRIPTION OF THE EDUCATION PORTAL
13 WHICH IS CURRENTLY UNDER DEVELOPMENT. WE VIEW THOSE
14 SORT OF CROSS-CUTTING PLATFORMS AS TECHNOLOGIES THAT
15 WOULD BE INTEGRATED INTO THIS AWARD FROM THE
16 STANDPOINT OF MAKING THEIR TRAINING OPTIONS VISIBLE
17 ON THESE PLATFORMS, MAKING POSITIONS AND PLACEMENT
18 OPPORTUNITIES VISIBLE TO OTHER CIRM TRAINEES. SO IT
19 GOES PART AND PARCEL WITH SOME OF THE RESOURCES THAT
20 ARE BEING DEVELOPED INTERNALLY AT CIRM.

21 AND ENGAGEMENT AND OUTREACH EXTEND THESE
22 PROGRAMS PARTICULARLY -- AND, AGAIN, I WANT TO
23 EMPHASIZE PARTICULARLY THE ALPHA CLINICS ARE REALLY
24 TAKING THE LEAD AND DEVELOPING COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT
25 CAPACITY, RESOURCES, AND PROGRAM. I THINK THE NEXT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 STEP IS TO THEN EXTEND THE REACH OF SOME OF THESE
2 PROGRAMS BY BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS WITH
3 COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS TO SUPPORT THE AIMS OF
4 THIS CONCEPT PLAN.

5 A LITTLE BIT OF A COMPARE AND CONTRAST
6 HERE BECAUSE THESE ARE NOT NECESSARILY MINI ALPHA
7 CLINICS, ALTHOUGH PERHAPS THEY COULD BE, BUT THE
8 ALPHA CLINICS CHARGE IS REALLY TO CONDUCT CIRM CLIN2
9 ELIGIBLE TRIALS. THAT WAS -- FROM A CLINICAL
10 STANDPOINT, THAT WAS THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA. ON
11 THE COMMUNITY CARE SIDE, IT'S THE CAPACITY TO
12 SUPPORT THOSE PROGRAMS, AGAIN, POTENTIALLY SERVING
13 AS A REFERRAL SITE OR DEVELOP THE CAPACITY TO
14 SUPPORT CLIN2 ELIGIBLE TRIALS. THEY MAY NOT HAVE IT
15 ON DAY ONE, BUT THEY WOULD DEVELOP THAT CAPACITY
16 OVER THE AWARD PERIOD, AGAIN, IN CONTRAST TO THE
17 ALPHA CLINICS THAT HAD TO HAVE THAT CAPACITY AS A
18 CONDITION OF APPLICATION.

19 THE ALPHA CLINICS REALLY HAVE LED THE WAY
20 IN DEVELOPING CLINICAL TRAINING PROGRAMS. AGAIN,
21 THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS WOULD BE APPLYING THESE
22 PROGRAMS AND SERVING AS PLACEMENT SITES FOR TRAINEES
23 IN THE ALPHA CLINICS OR IN OTHER SETTINGS.

24 AND THE ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES, FOR THE
25 MOST PART, OF THE ALPHA CLINICS, ALTHOUGH THIS IS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EVOLVING OVER THE LIFE OF THESE AWARDS, IS FOCUSED
2 PREDOMINANTLY ON CLINICAL TRIAL ENGAGEMENT AND
3 NAVIGATION AND MEETING WITH PATIENT GROUPS; WHEREAS,
4 IN THE CONTEXT OF THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS, THERE
5 WOULD BE CERTAINLY THAT ENGAGEMENT AND NAVIGATION IN
6 CLINICAL TRIALS, BUT ALSO BROADER ENGAGEMENT AROUND
7 CERTAIN ISSUES. FOR EXAMPLE, ONE OF THE ONES THAT
8 COMES UP QUITE FREQUENTLY IS HELPING COMMUNITY
9 MEMBERS REALLY UNDERSTAND THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN
10 CLINICAL RESEARCH, CLINICAL TRIALS THAT ARE AIMED AT
11 REALLY HELPING PATIENTS AND SOME OF THE TREATMENTS
12 OUT THERE THAT REALLY PRESENT FINANCIAL OR MEDICAL
13 TOXICITY TO PATIENTS. SO A BIT OF A BROADER RANGE
14 OF ACTIVITIES, SOME OF WHICH SUPPORT REGENERATIVE
15 MEDICINE, BUT MAY NOT BE CARRIED OUT IN THE CONTEXT
16 OF A SPECIFIC CLINICAL PROTOCOL.

17 AGAIN, COMING BACK TO SOME OF THE
18 QUESTIONS THAT YOU ALL HAVE POSED THAT DESERVE SOME
19 ELABORATION BASED ON YOUR FEEDBACK. THERE WAS SORT
20 OF HOW -- I THINK THE QUESTION WAS AROUND SORT OF
21 HOW WE MAINTAIN THE ETHICS OF THE STANDARDS HERE.
22 AGAIN, I'VE ALLUDED TO THE FACT THAT EXPERIENCE WITH
23 HUMAN SUBJECT PROTOCOLS AND IRB OVERSIGHT WILL BE A
24 CONDITION OF APPLICATION. I ALLUDED TO THIS
25 EARLIER, UNAUTHORIZED STEM CELL TREATMENTS. THERE'S

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ALREADY EXISTING STATUTE IN CALIFORNIA THAT REQUIRES
2 DISCLOSURE TO PATIENTS IF YOU ARE PROVIDING A DIRECT
3 FEE-FOR-SERVICE TREATMENT INVOLVING, QUOTE, UNQUOTE,
4 STEM CELL THERAPIES. AND THAT'S DEFINED UNDER THE
5 STATUTE. AND PARTICULARLY -- WHAT THIS IS AIMED AT
6 DOING IS ALERTING PATIENTS TO TREATMENTS THAT HAVE
7 NOT BEEN FDA AUTHORIZED. AND FDA AUTHORIZATION HAS
8 BEEN A CONDITION OF ALL CIRM CLINICAL PROGRAMS. SO
9 IF YOU'RE PROVIDING THIS WARNING, PLEASE DON'T
10 BOTHER APPLYING TO THIS PROGRAM.

11 RESEARCH ETHICS TRAINING IS SOMETHING,
12 AGAIN, WE SORT OF -- IT'S KIND OF COME UP SUBSEQUENT
13 TO YOUR INITIAL INQUIRY. AND WE'VE GONE OUT AND
14 REALLY LOOKED AT PARTICULARLY WHAT ARE THE TRAINING
15 AND CERTIFICATION OPPORTUNITIES FOR PEOPLE THAT ARE
16 DOING COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT MORE GENERALLY AROUND IN
17 THIS SPACE, AGAIN, AS OPPOSED TO NAVIGATING WITHIN A
18 SPECIFIC CLINICAL TRIAL PROTOCOL, WHICH WOULD BE
19 COVERED UNDER THE IRB. THERE'S A BROADER SET OF
20 TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES THAT ARE ESSENTIALLY BEST
21 PRACTICES IN THE FIELD FOR NAVIGATORS, COMMUNITY
22 HEALTH WORKERS. AND THE PROPOSAL WOULD BE TO
23 PROVIDE FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES TO SUPPORT THE
24 TRAINING AND ACCREDITATION OF ANYONE IN THIS PROGRAM
25 TO ACQUIRE THAT LEVEL OF TRAINING THAT IS BECOMING A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 STANDARD OF PRACTICE FOR THE FIELD.

2 AND AS I MENTIONED, THE STANDARDS WORKING
3 GROUP ALSO REVIEWED THE CONCEPT PLAN. WE HAD A
4 DISCUSSION AROUND THE PATIENT ENGAGEMENT ASPECTS OF
5 THE CONCEPT PLAN. AND THEY'VE GIVEN US A NUMBER OF
6 CONCRETE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR STEPS THAT CAN BE TAKEN
7 AT THE APPLICATION LEVEL TO, AGAIN, FOCUS ON ISSUES
8 LIKE BOTH THE TRAINING AND ACCREDITATION, BUT HOW
9 WILL YOU REIMBURSE ADVISORS, COMMUNITY INDIVIDUALS
10 WHO ARE SUPPORTING THESE PROGRAMS. SO A RANGE OF
11 OPERATIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS THAT REALLY HELP BUILD
12 UP A RESPONSIBLE PROGRAM THAT WE THINK WILL MEET
13 CIRM STANDARDS.

14 AND THEN A COUPLE OF OTHER PIECES THAT,
15 AGAIN, IN RESPONSE TO ISSUES THAT I THINK WERE --
16 THAT CAME FROM BOTH THE ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY
17 WORKING GROUP AND YOURSELVES WAS -- I THINK THE
18 QUESTION CAME UP IN TERMS OF OFTEN PARTNERSHIPS ARE
19 PROPOSED, BUT HOW DO WE KNOW THOSE DOLLARS WILL
20 ACTUALLY FLOW TO, SAY, THOSE GROUPS. WE PROPOSE
21 THAT THE BUDGET WOULD -- THE BUDGET LINE ITEM FOR
22 THESE COMMUNITY-BASED PARTNERSHIPS WOULD BE A
23 SEPARATE LINE ITEM DEDICATED TO THAT PURPOSE SO THAT
24 THOSE FUNDS WOULD FLOW ONCE THOSE PARTNERSHIP
25 AGREEMENTS WERE IN PLACE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THERE WERE QUESTIONS OF SUSTAINABILITY.
2 AND WE'VE BEEN LOOKING AT A NUMBER OF WAYS IN WHICH
3 THE, AGAIN, PARTICULARLY THE NAVIGATION, EDUCATION,
4 AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PIECES CAN TIE IN TO
5 ESTABLISHED REIMBURSEMENT PROGRAMS. AND TWO VERY
6 PROMISING PROGRAMS WHERE WE CAN TRAIN PEOPLE UP AND
7 ALLOW THEM TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR REIMBURSEMENT IS THE
8 COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER CERTIFICATE PROGRAM AND
9 PATIENT NAVIGATION CERTIFICATE PROGRAM. AND WE'VE
10 SPOKEN TO A NUMBER OF ORGANIZATIONS THAT ACTUALLY
11 PROVIDE THESE CERTIFICATIONS AND TRAINING. AND
12 THEY'RE AWARE OF THIS PROGRAM AND WOULD BE VERY
13 INTERESTED IN EXPLORING HOW THEY COULD PARTNER IN.

14 BUILDING COMPETENCY, I THINK ONE OF THE
15 POINTS WAS HOW DO WE HELP DEVELOP BEST PRACTICES AS
16 OPPOSED TO LETTING A THOUSAND FLOWERS BLOOM AND NOT
17 KNOWING WHAT THE RIGHT ANSWER IS. I THINK THE POINT
18 HERE IS THAT CIRM NEEDS TO HELP MEDIATE SOME OF
19 THESE ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES. WE CAN PROVIDE CONTENT
20 AREA EXPERTISE, WE CAN LEVERAGE EXPERTISE THAT'S
21 BEING DEVELOPED IN THE ALPHA CLINICS NETWORK. FOR
22 EXAMPLE, THE ALPHA CLINICS NOW IS FORMING A WORKING
23 GROUP TO WORK ON ENGAGEMENT RESOURCES, IN PARTICULAR
24 EDUCATION MATERIALS. SO WE REALLY SEE AN ACTIVE
25 MANAGEMENT ROLE THAT THE CIRM TEAM CAN PLAY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SPECIFICALLY IN MEDIATING THIS SORT OF PIECE WHERE
2 WE'RE DEVELOPING CONTENT AND MATERIALS AND MAKING
3 SURE THEY'RE BEING EVALUATED AND THEY'RE APPROPRIATE
4 IN TERMS OF THE INFORMATION THEY'RE PROVIDING, THE
5 MESSAGING, ET CETERA.

6 AND I THINK WHAT I'VE SUGGESTED AND,
7 AGAIN, IN CONSULTATION WITH YOU ALL IS THAT THE
8 MEDICAL AFFAIRS TEAM PROPOSES TO BRING IN CAPACITY
9 TO OUR TEAM WITH EXPERTISE IN BOTH PROGRAM PLANNING
10 AND EVALUATION IN A QUANTITATIVE WAY TO REALLY FIELD
11 TEST AND EVALUATE THOSE ACTIVITIES TO PROVIDE YOU
12 WITH METRICS OF PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS.

13 AND I THINK WE COVERED THIS LAST TIME. I
14 DON'T WANT TO GO INTO A LOT OF DETAIL HERE. I JUST
15 WANT TO FINISH. SO, AGAIN, THIS WOULD HAPPEN IN
16 PARTNERSHIPS WITH THE ALPHA CLINICS. AND THE ALPHA
17 CLINICS HAVE BEEN ON BOARD THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS.
18 AND THE MANUFACTURING NETWORK IS ALSO STARTING TO
19 HAVE CONVERSATIONS THAT WOULD TIE IN PARTICULARLY TO
20 CENTERS THAT WANT TO DEVELOP MANUFACTURING CAPACITY.

21 I MENTIONED HOW WE'RE COORDINATING WITH
22 THE EDUCATION PORTAL. AND WE HAVE REACHED OUT TO A
23 NUMBER OF RARE DISEASE GROUPS, ONE OF WHICH
24 PRESENTED YESTERDAY AT THE STANDARDS GROUP, TO HELP
25 UNDERSTAND BEST PRACTICES IN TERMS OF ENGAGING THOSE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PATIENTS.

2 THIS IS DIRECTLY FROM THE CONCEPT PLAN.
3 AGAIN, A BUDGET ALLOCATION PROPOSED OF 60.2 MILLION,
4 AGAIN, TO SUPPORT CORE OPERATIONS, COMMUNITY
5 PARTNERSHIPS. AND THERE IS EQUIPMENT -- THERE ARE
6 FACILITIES FUNDS IN THIS AWARD, AND WE WOULD HAVE --
7 THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR SITES, PARTICULARLY
8 THOSE WANTING TO DEVELOP THE CAPACITY TO DELIVER
9 TREATMENTS, TO APPLY FOR FACILITIES FUNDING.

10 AND IF YOU -- WE ARE JUST PROJECTING THIS
11 OUT IN TERMS OF THE LANDSCAPE I'VE DESCRIBED. THIS
12 BUDGET CONCEIVABLY COULD SUPPORT THREE SITES THAT
13 WOULD APPLY TO BOTH SUPPORT AND DELIVER CLINICAL
14 TRIALS AT ROUGHLY A LEVEL OF ABOUT 10 MILLION PER
15 YEAR. THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH AN ALPHA CLINIC AWARD.
16 AND SUPPORT AWARDS COMING IN AT ABOUT 25 PERCENT
17 LESS, AT ABOUT 7.5 MILLION PER YEAR, MAINLY BECAUSE
18 OF REDUCED STAFF DEMANDS AROUND THE CLINICAL TRIAL
19 SUPPORT.

20 I THINK WITH THAT, I WILL PUT FORWARD OUR
21 REQUEST THAT YOU APPROVE THIS CONCEPT PLAN AND OPEN
22 IT UP FOR DISCUSSION.

23 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. SO LET ME
24 LEAD THIS OFF, GEOFF. WHO DO YOU IMAGINE WOULD BE
25 PI ON ONE OF THESE APPLICATIONS? AND WHAT SORT OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE DO YOU IMAGINE FOR THESE
2 ORGANIZATIONS?

3 DR. LOMAX: SO TYPICALLY IN A CLINICAL,
4 AGAIN, ALPHA CLINICS BEING THE MODEL, FUNDAMENTALLY
5 THERE'S STILL A CLINICAL COMPONENT TO THESE AWARDS.
6 AND TRADITIONALLY IT'S BEEN A LICENSED MEDICAL
7 DIRECTOR LEVEL INDIVIDUAL AT THE APPLICANT
8 INSTITUTION. I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT FOR A NUMBER
9 OF REASONS. FIRST OF ALL, BECAUSE WE'RE DEALING
10 WITH THE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE ULTIMATELY IN CLINICAL
11 RESEARCH, THAT LEVEL OF CREDENTIAL IS ALMOST
12 ESSENTIAL. BUT ALSO, AGAIN, AT THE DIRECTOR LEVEL,
13 WE FIND THAT THOSE INDIVIDUALS ARE AN AGENCY WITHIN
14 THE APPLICANT ORGANIZATION ITSELF. AND I THINK THAT
15 AGENCY IS REALLY CRITICAL TO MOVING THESE PROGRAMS.
16 THIS FUNDING REQUIRES AN INDIVIDUAL WHO CAN NAVIGATE
17 AND WORK, SAY, WITH A CANCER CENTER, WHICH THERE MAY
18 BE SOME PAIN INITIALLY COMING IN BECAUSE CANCER
19 CENTERS ARE WELL ESTABLISHED AND THEY ALSO TOUCH ON
20 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE TREATMENTS.

21 SO I THINK IT'S THAT SOMEONE AT THAT LEVEL
22 WITH THAT, AGAIN, CLINICAL CREDENTIALS, BUT ALSO AT
23 A LEVEL WITHIN THE APPLICANT ORGANIZATION TO
24 SUCCESSFULLY IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAM.

25 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: FOR GOVERNANCE DO YOU

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IMAGINE SOME SORT OF STEERING COMMITTEE COMPOSED
2 OF --

3 DR. LOMAX: SORRY. SO WE HAVE HAD A VERY
4 SUCCESSFUL TRACK RECORD OF USING STEERING
5 COMMITTEE-TYPE PROCESSES ACROSS OUR INFRASTRUCTURE
6 PROGRAMS. IT'S CERTAINLY A MODEL THAT -- MY
7 RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE WE REPLICATE THAT IN THE
8 CONTEXT OF THIS AWARD. I THINK THE QUESTION
9 BECOMES, AND AGAIN THIS GOES BACK TO THE LANGUAGE OF
10 PROPOSITION 14, THERE'S A CONNECTION OR A
11 COLLABORATION WITHIN THE ALPHA CLINICS THAT'S SORT
12 OF, I WON'T SAY IMPLIED, BUT SUGGESTED BY THE
13 PROPOSITION. AND THE ALPHA CLINICS HAVE ALREADY
14 BEEN -- A NUMBER OF SITES HAVE ALREADY BEEN WORKING
15 WITH POTENTIAL APPLICANTS TO START SOME OF THESE
16 PROCESSES. SO I THINK THE QUESTION BECOMES, BEYOND
17 THE SORT OF PROGRAMS IN THIS AWARD, HOW THAT
18 RELATIONSHIP THEN MAY OR MAY NOT CONNECT UP WITH,
19 SAY, THE ALPHA CLINICS IS AN OPEN QUESTION THAT'S
20 WORTH EXPLORING.

21 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: QUESTIONS FROM OTHER
22 MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE?

23 MS. MANDAC: PAT AND THEN CHRIS HAD THEIR
24 HANDS RAISED.

25 DR. LEVITT: THANKS, GEOFF.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TWO THINGS I WANTED TO EMPHASIZE. GEOFF
2 AND I HAVE HAD CONVERSATIONS OVER A SUPPOSED BREAK,
3 I GUESS, IN ACTIVITY DURING THE HOLIDAYS. AND
4 THERE'S SEVERAL THINGS THAT I BROUGHT UP. ONE WAS
5 THAT THE PROGRAM IS HIGHLY DEPENDENT UPON REFERRALS.
6 AND THE PATIENT NAVIGATORS TYPICALLY HAVE THE JOB OF
7 FACILITATING THE ABILITY OF A REFERRED PATIENT TO
8 GET TO THE CLINIC TO PARTICIPATE IN ORDER TO GET
9 CLINICAL CARE OR TO PARTICIPATE IN THE CLINICAL
10 TRIAL. BUT THE DISPARITIES IN TERMS OF REFERRALS,
11 AND THERE ARE A NUMBER OF PUBLICATIONS ON THIS, ARE
12 PRETTY SUBSTANTIAL. AFRICAN-AMERICAN PATIENTS,
13 PATIENTS WHO ARE ON MEDICAID, AND OTHER
14 UNDERREPRESENTED POPULATIONS HAVE DIFFERENT REFERRAL
15 RATES FOR TREATMENTS OF VARIOUS KINDS. IF YOU'RE
16 INTERESTED IN THE PAPERS, I'VE SHARED SOME OF THEM
17 WITH GEOFF.

18 IN FACT, I HAVE A CIRM-FUNDED
19 UNDERGRADUATE IN THE TRAINING PROGRAM HERE THAT IS
20 WORKING ON THIS, WHICH IS HOW WE DISCOVERED A NUMBER
21 OF THESE PAPERS.

22 I'M NOT A CLINICIAN, I'M NOT AN EXPERT,
23 BUT THE CONCERN I RAISED WAS FIGURING OUT A WAY TO
24 ENSURE THAT THE CCCE'S WERE GOING TO HAVE, AND
25 PERHAPS THE ALPHA CLINICS, WERE GOING TO PUT INTO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THEIR PROPOSALS SPECIFIC WAYS IN WHICH THEY WERE
2 GOING TO IMPACT REFERRAL. BECAUSE I THINK WITHOUT
3 THAT, THE REFERRALS ARE LEFT TO THEIR OWN DEVICES,
4 AND WE'LL BE IN THE SAME SITUATION THAT WE'RE IN NOW
5 IN TERMS OF OUR HEALTHCARE SYSTEM. AND THAT'S NOT
6 INCLUSION. SO THAT'S ONE THING.

7 AND SO I'M GLAD THAT, GEOFF, IN YOUR
8 LANGUAGE YOU TALKED ABOUT A PURPOSEFUL COMPONENT TO
9 THIS, WHICH I THINK IS INCLUDING THAT AS PART OF THE
10 REQUIREMENT FOR APPLICATION. HOW ARE YOU GOING TO
11 IMPACT REFERRALS? BECAUSE WITHOUT REFERRALS,
12 NOTHING ELSE FOLLOWS. AND THERE'S A REFERRAL
13 COMPONENT THAT SHOWS DISPARITIES, BUT THERE'S ALSO A
14 COMPLETION OF A VISIT THAT SHOWS EVEN WORSE
15 DISPARITIES. SO A PATIENT MAY BE REFERRED, BUT THEY
16 MAY NOT GO.

17 SO THESE ARE ISSUES THAT I THINK ARE
18 REALLY CENTRAL TO WHETHER THIS PROGRAM IS GOING TO
19 SUCCEED OR NOT. AND I THINK THAT THE APPLICANTS
20 NEED TO PUT THEIR HEADS TOGETHER AND FIGURE OUT HOW
21 TO IMPACT THAT IN A WAY THAT NO ONE ELSE HAS DONE
22 BEFORE.

23 THE OTHER THING THAT, WHICH I THINK YOU'VE
24 INCLUDED, GEOFF, WHICH I THINK IS REALLY IMPORTANT,
25 IS CIRM HAS TO MONITOR THIS, IN MY OPINION, A LOT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MORE CAREFULLY THAN YOU'RE MONITORING A DISCOVERY
2 GRANT PROJECT OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT AND THEN HAVE
3 THE ABILITY FOR THE CCCE'S TO ADAPT AND ADJUST.
4 MESSAGE TESTING, DETERMINING WHETHER THE EDUCATION
5 PART IS ACTUALLY IMPACTING OR HAVING -- THERE'S
6 UPTAKE IN SPECIFIC COMMUNITIES. AS WE KNOW, THAT
7 VARIES QUITE A BIT IN TERMS OF WHETHER MESSAGING
8 WORKS OR NOT. I THINK THAT HAS TO BE A COMPONENT AS
9 WELL RATHER THAN LEAVING IT FOR ANNUAL PROGRESS
10 REPORTS WHERE THE NUMBERS LOOK SAD.

11 AND I'M NOT -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE TIME
12 FRAME IS IN TERMS OF HOW OFTEN ONE WANTS TO LOOK AT
13 THIS. BUT SOMEBODY WHO HAS PUBLIC HEALTH EXPERIENCE
14 BROUGHT INTO CIRM TO LOOK AT THIS. BECAUSE THIS IS
15 A HUGE HEAVY LIFT, NOT IN TERMS OF MONEY, BUT IN
16 TERMS OF THE VISIBILITY OF THIS. I THINK HAVING
17 SOMEBODY WHO HAS THAT EXPERTISE, WHOSE SOLE JOB IS
18 TO MONITOR HOW THE INTERFACE IS OCCURRING BETWEEN
19 THE CCCE'S AND THE ALPHA CLINICS, THE MESSAGING, THE
20 PROGRESS THAT EACH OF THESE SITES ARE MAKING, THAT
21 TO ME IS A REALLY IMPORTANT COMPONENT OF THIS AS
22 WELL. I'LL STOP THERE.

23 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GEOFF, I THINK PAT
24 HAS RAISED SOME REALLY SERIOUS ISSUES. IS THERE
25 SOME WAY TO LINK THE CALL FOR APPLICATIONS TO THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DISCUSSION FROM THIS GROUP BECAUSE I THINK THEY MAY
2 FIND IT HELPFUL?

3 DR. LOMAX: YEAH. I CERTAINLY -- I THINK
4 WE DO HAVE THE INTENTION OF LINKING -- A RESOURCE
5 PAGE THAT WILL LINK TO A NUMBER OF THESE
6 CONVERSATIONS. SO ABSOLUTELY BECAUSE THERE'S HIGH
7 VALUE THERE.

8 I THINK THE REFERRAL, THE DISPARITY IN
9 REFERRALS WILL, AGAIN, GIVEN THAT IS SOMETHING THAT
10 REALLY COULD BE LAID OUT AS A KIND OF CENTRAL
11 PROBLEM STATEMENT IN THE FRAMING OF THE APPLICATION.
12 AND THEN ABSOLUTELY THE GWG WOULD BE LOOKING AT
13 EVALUATING THE QUALITY OF THOSE PROPOSALS IN TERMS
14 OF WHAT THEY PERCEIVE TO BE THE EFFICACY OF BEING
15 ABLE TO ADDRESS THAT GAP.

16 ONE PARTICULAR PIECE THAT I DID FAIL TO
17 MENTION IN TERMS OF FOLLOW-UP ON THAT ISSUE, TO WHAT
18 EXTENT, AND I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THIS, THAT
19 PRIMARY PHYSICIANS CAN PLAY A ROLE IN BRIDGING THAT
20 GAP. THERE ARE ALREADY, AGAIN, WITHIN THE ALPHA
21 CLINICS NETWORK SOME VERY TARGETED PROGRAMS THAT AIM
22 TO MAKE CLINICAL RESEARCH VISIBLE TO PRIMARY CARE
23 PHYSICIANS. LITERALLY THINGS LIKE POSTERS ON THE
24 WALL AND MATERIALS LIKE THAT THAT HAVE GONE THROUGH
25 EXTENSIVE FIELD TESTING. SO TRYING TO BRIDGE THESE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 RESOURCES INTO THIS PROGRAM, I THINK, ARE
2 OPPORTUNITIES.

3 AND OUR CHALLENGE IS GOING TO BE MAKING
4 THOSE CONNECTIONS OVER THE COURSE OF THE APPLICATION
5 PERIOD AND CONNECTING KNOWN RESOURCES THAT HAVE THE
6 POTENTIAL TO ADDRESS THE PROBLEMS WE'VE IDENTIFIED
7 WITH APPLICANTS AND FACILITATE SOME OF THOSE
8 PARTNERSHIPS.

9 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: TERRIFIC. CHRISTINE.

10 DR. MIASKOWSKI: THANKS SO MUCH. GEOFF, I
11 REALLY APPRECIATE ALL THE HARD WORK THAT WENT INTO
12 THIS PROPOSAL, AND I AGREE WITH SOME OF THE COMMENTS
13 THAT PAT MADE.

14 I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. THE FIRST
15 ONE, I JUST MAY HAVE MISSED IT. WHAT'S THE
16 DEFINITION OF A COMMUNITY CARE CENTER? IS IT
17 GEOGRAPHY? IS IT RELATIONSHIP OR DISTANCE TO AN
18 ALPHA CLINIC? IS IT BEING CENTERED IN ETHICALLY
19 DIVERSE COMMUNITIES? SO I'D LIKE TO HEAR A LITTLE
20 BIT MORE ABOUT THAT, WHETHER THAT WAS CONSIDERED OR
21 NOT.

22 AND THEN THE SECOND QUESTION MAYBE FITS
23 WITH WHAT PAT WAS SAYING. YOU WERE SPEAKING ABOUT
24 THE STAFF THAT WOULD NEED TO BE HIRED IN THESE
25 SITES. I WAS WONDERING IF YOU CONSIDERED IN THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONCEPT PLAN SOME MINIMUM THRESHOLD FOR PATIENT
2 REFERRALS THAT COULD BE LISTED IN THE APPLICATION
3 THAT WOULD PROVE TO BE COST-EFFECTIVE. THERE WAS
4 QUITE A LONG LIST OF PEOPLE THAT ARE NEEDED TO RUN
5 THESE CENTERS AND/OR PROVIDE CARE. I THINK WE NEED
6 TO HAVE SOME ESTIMATE OR EDUCATED GUESS MAYBE
7 PERHAPS AT THIS POINT, OR YOU NEED TO WORK OUT WHAT
8 WOULD BE THE MINIMUM THRESHOLD OF SERVICE THAT WOULD
9 NEED TO BE PROVIDED TO MAKE THE BUDGET
10 COST-EFFECTIVE.

11 I DON'T KNOW IF YOU HAD THOUGHT ABOUT THAT
12 OR NOT.

13 AND THEN THE OTHER PERSON I WOULD LIST,
14 BASED ON THE CONVERSATION WE HAD YESTERDAY, WHICH
15 WAS WONDERFUL ACTUALLY, SOCIAL WORKERS ON THAT LIST
16 OF PEOPLE WHO PROBABLY NEED TO BE HIRED.

17 DR. LOMAX: ON THE FIRST QUESTION, I THINK
18 THERE WERE INITIALLY CONCERNS THAT -- WE DID GET
19 QUESTIONS FROM SITES SAYING IS GEOGRAPHY GOING TO --
20 IS THERE SORT OF SOME KIND OF CALCULATION ON
21 GEOGRAPHY GOING BE DETERMINANT OF WHO CAN APPLY. WE
22 CURRENTLY DO NOT HAVE THAT. THE COUNTER ARGUMENT TO
23 THAT OR THE COUNTER POINT TO JUST LOOKING AT A MAP
24 AND SAYING HOW CLOSE OR HOW PROXIMATE OR DISTANCE
25 THESE CENTERS ARE, THE EXAMPLE WAS GIVEN OF PARTS OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SOUTH CENTRAL LOS ANGELES WHERE YOU HAVE INCREDIBLE
2 POPULATION DENSITY THAT ARGUABLY, DESPITE THE
3 PRESENCE OF MULTIPLE ALPHA CLINICS SITES, AT LEAST
4 APPARENTLY ON A MAP, STILL REPRESENTS AN
5 UNDERSERVED, UNDERRESOURCED COMMUNITY THAT IS NOT
6 BEING REPRESENTED IN THESE TRIALS. AND THAT THERE
7 ARE LOCATIONS THAT ARE UNIQUELY POSITIONED IN THAT
8 SPACE TO CHANGE THAT.

9 SO GIVEN THAT DYNAMIC, WE DIDN'T -- WE
10 REMAINED SILENT. IF YOUR RECOMMENDATION IS WE
11 SHOULD DO OTHERWISE, THEN OBVIOUSLY WE WOULD ACT ON
12 THAT RECOMMENDATION. BUT THOSE WERE THE TWO, I
13 THINK, COMPETING BODIES OF EVIDENCE THAT WE WERE
14 CONFRONTING DURING THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT THAT LED US
15 TO REMAIN SILENT, IF THAT MAKES SENSE.

16 IN TERMS OF, I THINK, KIND OF THIS
17 PERFORMANCE METRIC APPROACH AND MINIMUM STANDARDS,
18 AGAIN, THOSE ARE CERTAINLY THINGS WE HAVE -- THEY
19 ECHO HOW WE WOULD MILESTONE AND ADMINISTER PROGRAMS.
20 BUT FROM THE STANDPOINT OF KNOWING KIND OF WHAT THAT
21 METRIC IS, AT THE MOMENT I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO
22 THAT. BUT I APPRECIATE THE IDEA THAT WE NEED TO --
23 IF I UNDERSTAND THE POINT, WE SHOULD HAVE SOME
24 REASONABLE ESTIMATE OF WHAT A REASONABLE PERFORMANCE
25 STANDARD SHOULD BE AND THEN HOLD PEOPLE TO THAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 STANDARD. OTHERWISE WE'RE NOT ACHIEVING THE AIMS OF
2 THE PROGRAM. AND I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ASK COLLEAGUES
3 TO HELP DEVELOP THAT, OF GETTING THAT RIGHT.

4 AGAIN, I THINK FROM THE STANDPOINT OF LIKE
5 SOCIAL WORKERS, THOSE GROUPS, AGAIN, SOCIAL WORKERS,
6 COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS HAVE BEEN ENGAGED ALONG
7 THIS WHOLE PROCESS, AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO ENGAGE
8 THEM. I ENVISION THE STRUCTURE OF THIS IS REALLY
9 DEVELOPED IN A WAY TO INCENTIVIZE APPLICANTS TO
10 BRING THOSE SOCIAL WORKERS IN BECAUSE THE WEIGHT OF
11 EVIDENCE TELLS US, ABSENT THEIR PARTICIPATION, WE'RE
12 NOT GOING TO GET THERE. I THINK WE'RE PRETTY
13 DEFINITIVE IN THAT POINT AT THIS STAGE.

14 I HOPE I COVERED, THAT'S RESPONSIVE.

15 DR. MIASKOWSKI: THANKS. I GUESS I WOULD
16 LIKE TO SEE, EVEN IF WE DON'T HAVE AN ABSOLUTE
17 METRIC, SOME ESTIMATION IN THE APPLICATION OF WHO
18 THEY THINK THEY'RE GOING TO SERVE IN TERMS OF
19 NUMBERS. BECAUSE I THINK FOR THE GRANTS WORKING
20 GROUP IT'S GOING TO BE A CHALLENGE IF WE GET 15
21 APPLICATIONS AND WE DON'T HAVE A SENSE OF THE
22 POTENTIAL IMPACT, I GUESS.

23 DR. LOMAX: I LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH
24 THE TEAM TO SORT OF -- I THINK THAT IS SOMETHING
25 THAT'S VERY RIPE FOR BEING IN THE APPLICATION AND

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 HOW TO GET THAT RIGHT. I WOULD CALL FOR HELP TO DO
2 IT, BUT ALL IN ON MAKING IT HAPPEN.

3 DR. LEVITT: IF I CAN JUST FOLLOW UP JUST
4 BRIEFLY ON THAT. SO WHEN YOU SUBMIT AN NIH GRANT,
5 THERE ARE TABLES YOU HAVE TO PREPARE. AND THIS IS
6 EXACTLY THE DATA THAT YOU HAVE TO PUT INTO THE
7 GRANT. IT'S REQUIRED. WOMEN, UNDERREPRESENTED
8 POPULATIONS, AND NUMBERS. YOU HAVE TO PUT IN
9 NUMBERS LITERALLY, LIKE 27, 140, WHATEVER. AND YOU
10 HAVE TO PUT IN THE GEOGRAPHIC COMPONENTS IN TERMS OF
11 WHAT COMMUNITIES WHICH YOU ARE GOING TO RECRUIT AND
12 HOW YOU'RE GOING TO RECRUIT. SO THOSE ARE ALREADY
13 IN NIH GRANTS. AND SO YOU DON'T HAVE TO REINVENT
14 THE WHEEL. YOU CAN LITERALLY USE THAT KIND OF
15 APPROACH, MODIFY IT IF YOU WANT. BUT YOU CAN GET --
16 WHAT CHRISTINE SAID IS RIGHT. YOU CAN GET THOSE
17 NUMBERS IN EVERY GRANT.

18 DR. MIASKOWSKI: JUST TO FOLLOW UP ON PAT.
19 IN THE CURRENT GRANT APPLICATIONS ACROSS THE
20 DIFFERENT CIRM INITIATIVES, THOSE TABLES EXIST FOR
21 THE RECRUITMENT OF PATIENTS FOR THE TRIALS. SO I
22 THINK THEY COULD BE MODIFIED TO ADJUST TO TYPE OF A
23 SITUATION WHERE YOU'RE ANTICIPATING WHO THEY'RE
24 GOING -- THE GEOGRAPHIC -- GEOGRAPHY AND ETHNIC
25 DISTRIBUTION OF THE CATCHMENT AREA THEY PURPORT TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SERVE. SO I DON'T THINK YOU HAVE TO REINVENT THE
2 WHEEL, GEOFF. I THINK IF YOU TALK TO THE OTHER
3 PEOPLE. GIL, FOR EXAMPLE, HE CAN HELP YOU WITH
4 THAT.

5 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GOOD. SO, GEOFF, I
6 WOULD JUST ECHO WHAT PAT AND CHRISTINE HAVE BROUGHT
7 UP. IT SEEMS LIKE AN ESSENTIAL ELEMENT OF ANY OF
8 THESE PROPOSALS.

9 DEBORAH.

10 DR. DEAS: THANK YOU SO MUCH, GEOFF. IT'S
11 REALLY A GREAT PRESENTATION. I'M VERY EXCITED ABOUT
12 THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE.

13 AS WE STARTED ON THIS JOURNEY WITH THE
14 LISTENING SESSIONS AND AREAS THAT ARE UNDERSERVED,
15 SUCH AS THE INLAND EMPIRE AND THE CENTRAL VALLEY,
16 WHAT I WAS THINKING, AND I THINK TO A LARGE EXTENT,
17 WE WERE FOCUSING ON THOSE AREAS BECAUSE WE KNEW THAT
18 THEY WERE UNDERREPRESENTED. AND WE WANTED MANY OF
19 THOSE PATIENTS TO HAVE ACCESS TO SOME OF THE
20 TREATMENTS AND TO THE CLINICAL TRIALS.

21 AS I THINK ABOUT THESE COMMUNITY CARE
22 CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE, HOPEFULLY APPLICATIONS FROM
23 THOSE AREAS, ONE OF THE CRITERIA, AND YOU'VE
24 MENTIONED SOMETHING THAT MADE ME RECOGNIZE THAT YOU
25 ARE SENSITIVE TO IT, THAT CRITERIA DEVELOPING THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CAPACITY TO DELIVER INVESTIGATION OR REGULATORY
2 MEDICATIONS DURING THE AWARD PERIOD.

3 DEPENDING ON WHERE THESE CENTERS ARE AND
4 THE EXPERIENCE, I BELIEVE THAT THAT MAY BE DIFFICULT
5 FOR SOME OF THESE AREAS BECAUSE THEY'RE JUST GETTING
6 IN THE GAME, SO TO SPEAK. SO WE DEFINITELY WOULD
7 NEED TO CONSIDER THAT AND NOT HAVE THAT AS A
8 NEGATIVE IN THE APPLICATION PROCESS IF THEY DON'T
9 APPEAR TO HAVE THAT POTENTIAL.

10 GOING BACK TO WHAT PAT STATED ABOUT THE
11 REFERRAL, I BELIEVE TOO IT'S CERTAINLY KEY. AND PAT
12 ELOQUENTLY HIGHLIGHTED THE DISPARITIES IN REFERRALS
13 FOR UNDERREPRESENTED POPULATIONS, THE NEED TO, NOT
14 ONLY EDUCATE THE COMMUNITIES, BUT ALSO WORK WITH
15 CLINICIANS WHO ARE DOING THE REFERRALS. SO I REALLY
16 BELIEVE THAT THERE SHOULD BE SOME DEMONSTRATION THAT
17 ONE CAN DO THAT AS WELL AS AN INDICATION THAT THAT
18 IS A PART OF WHAT THE CENTER IS PROPOSING TO DO AND
19 HOW THEY MIGHT LOOK AT THE OUTCOME WITH WORKING WITH
20 THESE CLINICIANS.

21 I ALSO BELIEVE THAT, WITH THE MENTION OF
22 SOCIAL WORKERS, SOCIAL WORKERS ARE KEY. AND I ALSO
23 BELIEVE THAT WHEN WE'RE WORKING, ESPECIALLY IN THIS
24 AREA OF RESEARCH WHERE UNDERREPRESENTED POPULATIONS
25 HAVE, RIGHTFULLY SO, SOME NEGATIVE PERCEPTIONS, THAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WE HAVE TO WORK HARD TO SORT OF MITIGATE THAT. AND
2 IT MIGHT BE IMPORTANT TOO TO INCLUDE SOME BEHAVIORIAL
3 HEALTH CLINICIANS. WE CERTAINLY CAN HAVE THAT
4 THROUGH SOCIAL WORKERS, BUT OTHER BEHAVIORIAL HEALTH
5 WORKERS TO WORK WITH PATIENTS WHO MAY BE POTENTIAL
6 CANDIDATES FOR REFERRAL MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE AS
7 WELL.

8 DR. LOMAX: THANKS FOR THAT. I BELIEVE IF
9 WE, AGAIN, FRAME THIS AS WHAT'S EMERGING FROM THIS
10 WORKING GROUP'S RECOMMENDATIONS IS THE REFERRAL GAP
11 AS A PROBLEM STATEMENT IN THE APPLICATION THAT
12 PRESUMABLY EXPERIENCE OR SITES THAT HAVE REALLY
13 THOUGHT THIS THROUGH WOULD INCLUDE A BEHAVIORIAL
14 HEALTH COMPONENT. IT NEEDS TO GO PART AND PARCEL
15 WITH HOW WE'RE TRYING TO MITIGATE THIS PROBLEM.

16 THERE'S BOTH A SOCIAL DETERMINANT ASPECT
17 OF IT AS WELL AS TO SOME EXTENT THERE'S AN UNMET
18 MEDICAL NEED. WE HAVE TRIED IN ALL ASPECTS TO
19 PROVIDE FOR THAT FLEXIBILITY IN TERMS OF HOW WE
20 WOULD THEN STRUCTURE -- I THINK THAT'S REFLECTED IN
21 THE CONCEPT PLAN, AND WE'D CARRY THAT FORWARD TO THE
22 APPLICATION.

23 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: INTERESTING. GEOFF,
24 WHAT DO YOU IMAGINE ABOUT THE FREQUENCY OR NUMBER OF
25 CALLS FOR APPLICATIONS YOU ARE GOING TO SET UP?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CLEARLY YOU WILL HAVE A FIRST CALL. THERE WILL BE
2 UNSUCCESSFUL APPLICANTS. WILL THERE BE A SECOND
3 CALL A YEAR OR TWO LATER THAT ALLOW UNSUCCESSFUL
4 APPLICANTS IN THE FIRST ROUND TO IMPROVE THEIR
5 APPLICATIONS, DEAL WITH PROBLEMS SUCH AS WHAT WAS
6 JUST DESCRIBED, AND COME IN FOR A SECOND CRACK AT
7 THE APPLE?

8 DR. LOMAX: WELL, TO NOT GET -- I DON'T
9 WANT TO GET OVER MY SKIS IN TERMS OF HAVING NOT -- I
10 DON'T THINK WE'VE HAD THIS DISCUSSION WITHIN THE
11 LEADERSHIP TEAM. BUT JUST LOOKING AT OUR PRECEDENT
12 WITH THESE INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS, AND WE'VE TENDED
13 TO HAVE A MODEL THUS FAR WHERE THERE'S BEEN AN
14 APPLICATION PHASE WITH THE OPPORTUNITY FOR
15 IMPROVEMENT AND SORT OF A SECOND SHOT ON GOAL, IF
16 YOU WILL. SO I'D PREFER TO DEFER TO THE OVERALL
17 CIRM TEAM BEFORE SETTING EXPECTATIONS IN TERMS OF
18 EXACTLY HOW WE WOULD MANAGE THAT APPLICATION
19 PROCESS. BUT IF PRECEDENT IS A GUIDE, IT'S SIMILAR
20 TO, I THINK, WHAT YOU JUST DESCRIBED, WHICH IS IT
21 WOULDN'T BE ONE AND DONE, BUT SOME SORT OF PROCESS
22 WHERE SITES COULD COME BACK AND ADDRESS ANY SORT OF
23 WEAKNESS OR IMPROVE THOSE APPLICATIONS SO THAT
24 THEY'RE DEEMED MERITORIOUS.

25 DR. THOMAS: GEOFF, COULD I JUST ADD. SO,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 LARRY, I WOULD ENVISION -- HELLO, EVERYBODY, BY THE
2 WAY. I WOULD ENVISION SOMETHING SIMILAR TO WHAT WE
3 DID WITH THE ALPHA CLINICS, WHICH WAS HAD THE FIRST
4 ROUND, THEY GOT UP AND RUNNING, AND SUBSEQUENT TO
5 THAT, IT WAS A REAPPLICATION PROCESS, WHICH IN THE
6 ALPHA CLINICS EXPANDED THE SITES FROM FIVE TO NINE.

7 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: THERE'S ALSO A
8 BASIC SCIENCE BUDGET IN PROP 14 FOR THE COMMUNITY
9 CARE CENTERS. AND THIS CONCEPT PROPOSAL DOES NOT
10 ACTUALLY GO TO THE MAXIMUM OF WHAT THE BUDGET IS.
11 SO THERE WILL BE MONEY TO APPLY TOWARDS CCCE'S IN
12 THE FUTURE OR THEN HOWEVER WE WANT TO ADMINISTER
13 THAT.

14 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THIS ALL SEEMS
15 PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT GIVEN THAT THIS IS A BRAND
16 NEW PROGRAM THAT WE'VE NEVER DONE, AND WE DON'T KNOW
17 WHAT THE APPLICATIONS ARE GOING TO LOOK LIKE AND HOW
18 THEY'RE GOING TO GET REVIEWED. SO I'M GLAD TO HEAR
19 THAT THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR SOME REFINEMENT AND
20 IMPROVED PERFORMANCE.

21 DR. LOMAX: JUST TO ADD TO WHAT CO-CHAIR
22 BONNEVILLE SAID, MY UNDERSTANDING, AND I'M SURE THE
23 LAWYERS IN THE ROOM WILL CORRECT ME IF I'M SPEAKING
24 OUT OF TURN, IS THAT THE ALLOCATION IN THE
25 PROPOSITION REPRESENTS A FLOOR. AND THE BOARD DOES

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 HAVE THE DISCRETION TO RESOURCE THESE PROGRAMS AS
2 THEY SEE NECESSARY. SO WE'RE BELOW THE FLOOR, SO TO
3 SPEAK, AND THERE'S FLEXIBILITY MOVING FORWARD
4 DEPENDING ON THE DETERMINATIONS OF THE BOARD.

5 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I'LL JUST REMIND THE
6 GROUP THAT WHEN THESE APPLICATIONS GET REVIEWED AND
7 RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL OR NOT, THIS GROUP WILL SEE
8 THEM BEFORE THEY GO TO THE BOARD FOR FINAL SIGN-OFF.
9 SO THAT WILL GIVE US SOME SENSE OF WHAT SUCCESSFUL
10 APPLICATIONS LOOK LIKE AND WHAT REVISIONS MIGHT LOOK
11 LIKE IF WE WERE TO ORGANIZE A SECOND ROUND.

12 OTHER QUESTIONS OR DISCUSSION POINTS FOR
13 GEOFF ON THIS TOPIC? ANYBODY ON THE PHONE? SO
14 PUBLIC COMMENT?

15 MR. TOCHER: LARRY, WE JUST NEED A --
16 WAITING FOR A MOTION, I BELIEVE.

17 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. COULD SOMEBODY
18 MAKE A MOTION?

19 DR. GASSON: SO MOVED.

20 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SECOND.

21 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. MARK
22 FISCHER-COLBRIE.

23 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: JUST A NUMBER OF
24 CLARIFICATIONS ON THE MOTION. I THINK THE
25 UNDERLYING FUNDAMENTAL PROGRAM AND EFFORT IS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OUTSTANDING. BUT WANTED TO CLARIFY THERE WERE A
2 NUMBER OF VERY COGENT COMMENTS THAT WERE MADE THAT
3 WOULD SEEM TO ANTICIPATE IMPROVING THIS TO THE NEXT
4 LEVEL. SO JUST WANTED TO UNDERSTAND ARE THOSE
5 COMMENTS EFFECTIVELY EMBEDDED IN A WAY THAT THEY'RE
6 CLEAR AND THIS IS FUNCTIONALLY PART OF THE APPROVAL
7 OF THE MOTION? SO JUST WANTED TO CORRELATE THE
8 EXCELLENT REMARKS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE TO ENSURE THAT
9 THOSE ARE INCORPORATED IN WHAT WE'RE APPROVING HERE.

10 MR. TOCHER: FROM A PROCESS STANDPOINT,
11 THE MOTION CAN BE STATED AS TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF
12 THE CONCEPT PLAN INCORPORATING CHANGES AND
13 IMPROVEMENTS BASED ON THE DISCUSSION TODAY.

14 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: ARE THOSE
15 IMPROVEMENTS SUFFICIENTLY DELINEATED THAT WE KNOW
16 WHAT THOSE ARE, OR IS THERE ENOUGH SENSE FROM THE
17 NOTETAKING FROM THE DISCUSSION THAT WE SHOULD FEEL
18 CONFIDENT THAT THAT WILL GET CARRIED FORWARD, OR
19 WHAT'S YOUR THOUGHT THERE?

20 MR. TOCHER: I THINK WHAT WE CAN DO IS WE
21 CAN CONSIDER THE MOTION AS PHRASED, AND THEN WE WILL
22 REVISE THE PROPOSAL TO INCORPORATE THOSE
23 SUGGESTIONS, ENSURE THAT IT CAPTURES IT IN THE
24 MEANTIME BEFORE IT COMES TO THE FULL BOARD ON THE
25 25TH.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: EXCELLENT. THANK
2 YOU. THANKS FOR THE CLARIFICATION. APPRECIATE IT.

3 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: DEBORAH.

4 DR. DEAS: THAT ANSWERED MY QUESTION
5 BECAUSE I JUST WANTED TO KNOW WHETHER THOSE
6 SUGGESTIONS WOULD BE DELINEATED AND WE WOULD KNOW
7 EXACTLY WHAT THEY WERE, BUT HE STATED THAT THEY WILL
8 COME WHEN WE HAVE THE FULL BOARD MEETING FOR
9 APPROVAL.

10 MR. TOCHER: CORRECT.

11 DR. DEAS: THANK YOU.

12 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: NOTHING FURTHER FROM
13 THE COMMITTEE IN ATTENDANCE. SCOTT, MAY I NOW GO TO
14 PUBLIC COMMENT?

15 MR. TOCHER: ABSOLUTELY. IT LOOKS LIKE WE
16 HAVE ONE MEMBER WITH THEIR HAND RAISED, STEPHANIE
17 FARRELL.

18 DR. FARRELL: HI, THIS IS STEPHANIE
19 FARRELL FROM EISENHOWER. AND I SUPPORT CLINICAL
20 TRIALS IN A COMMUNITY HOSPITAL SETTING. AND I'M
21 WONDERING IF WE'VE LOOKED AT THE CLINICAL TRIALS
22 THAT THE COMMUNITY CENTERS WOULD BE EXPECTED TO
23 OPERATIONALIZE. PARTICULARLY WHEN YOU'RE DOING
24 CLINICAL TRIALS WITH AN INVESTIGATIONAL DRUG,
25 THERE'S A SITE QUALIFICATION PROCESS AND A SITE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE THAT'S FILLED OUT JUST TO
2 MAKE SURE THAT YOU HAVE THE RESOURCES AND STAFF.
3 AND I'M WONDERING IF WE'VE LOOKED AT THAT FOR THE
4 COMMUNITY CENTERS.

5 WHEN THE IRB LOOKS AT THESE AT STARTING
6 THE CLINICAL TRIAL AT YOUR SITE, THEY WANT TO KNOW
7 THAT THE INVESTIGATOR, THE DOCTOR'S EXPERIENCED, AND
8 THEY HAVE TRAINED STAFF. SO HAVE WE LOOKED AT THAT
9 FOR THE COMMUNITY CENTERS?

10 DR. LOMAX: I'M HAPPY TO RESPOND TO THAT
11 IF YOU LIKE, DR. GOLDSTEIN.

12 SO THE NUMBER OF SITES THAT, AGAIN,
13 PARTICIPATED IN THE WORKSHOP AND THE NEEDS
14 ASSESSMENT HAVE GONE THROUGH SITE QUALIFICATION
15 PROCEDURES. THEY'VE, AGAIN, DEMONSTRATED CAPACITY
16 TO SERVE CLINICAL TRIALS, NOT NECESSARILY IN CELL
17 AND GENE THERAPY EXCLUSIVELY, BUT THEY HAVE THAT
18 CAPACITY. SO THE SHORT ANSWER IS YES, AND WE THINK
19 THAT THAT IS WHY THAT LANGUAGE IS ACTUALLY WRAPPED
20 INTO THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA, IS THERE SUCH NUMBER
21 OF SITES THAT HAVE THAT CAPACITY AT A LEVEL WHICH
22 WOULD BE SATISFACTORY, I THINK, TO CIRM IN TERMS OF
23 EXPECTATIONS AND QUALITY. AND SO THERE ARE SITES
24 THAT HAVE DONE THOSE PROCESSES.

25 AGAIN, I CITE ONCOLOGY WHICH IS AN AREA

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WHERE I THINK THERE'S THE MOST EXPERIENCE. AND I
2 THINK ONCOLOGY IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE IT DOES TOUCH ON
3 A LOT OF THE WORK GOING ON IN CELL AND GENE THERAPY
4 SPACE. SO IT'S A GOOD INDICATOR OF SITE READINESS.

5 DR. FARRELL: THANK YOU.

6 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT
7 ON THE LINE?

8 MS. MANDAC: THERE ARE NO OTHER HANDS
9 RAISED.

10 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: ANY FINAL SUGGESTIONS
11 OR QUESTIONS FROM THE SUBCOMMITTEE? IF NOT, SCOTT,
12 MAY I CALL THE QUESTION AND GET US TO VOTE?

13 MR. TOCHER: ABSOLUTELY. MARIA
14 BONNEVILLE.

15 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.

16 MR. TOCHER: MONICA CARSON.

17 DR. DEAS: SHE LEFT. YES.

18 MR. TOCHER: SORRY, DEBORAH.

19 MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.

20 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.

21 MR. TOCHER: JUDY GASSON.

22 DR. GASSON: YES.

23 MR. TOCHER: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.

24 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YES.

25 MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DR. HIGGINS: YES.
MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
MR. TOCHER: PAT LEVITT.
DR. LEVITT: YES.
MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON.
DR. WATSON: YES.
MR. TOCHER: KEITH YAMAMOTO.
DR. YAMAMOTO: YES.
MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE
MOTION CARRIES.

CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: CAN I JUST TAKE A
MOMENT TO CONGRATULATE GEOFF, MARIA, AND ANYBODY
ELSE WHO WORKED ON THIS. THIS IS A NEW AREA OF
ENDEAVOR. I THINK YOU GUYS HAVE DONE A GOOD JOB OF
SETTING IT UP. OF COURSE, WE DON'T REALLY KNOW
WHAT'S GOING TO COME IN, BUT YOU'VE POSITIONED THIS,
I THINK, FOR SUCCESS. AND SO CONGRATULATIONS.

DR. LOMAX: THANKS SO MUCH. I'D LIKE TO
JUST GIVE A QUICK SHOUT-OUT TO EMILY REYES. WITH
THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT, SHE WAS A REAL MOVER AND
SHAKER IN TERMS OF MAKING THAT HAPPEN. SO THANK
YOU.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO STATED. OKAY. I
2 NOW RECUSE MYSELF AND HAND THE FLOOR OVER TO SCOTT.

3 MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANKS, LARRY.
4 BEFORE I HAND THIS TO MARK WHO WILL LEAD THE
5 SUBSTANTIVE DISCUSSION, I JUST WANT TO PROVIDE A
6 COMMENT ON THE BOUNDARIES AND EXPECTATIONS FOR THIS
7 NEXT AGENDA ITEM.

8 AS YOU CAN SEE FROM THE BRIEFING MEMO,
9 THIS IS A POLICY DISCUSSION THAT AROSE BASED ON THE
10 APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE'S CONSIDERATION OF
11 AN APPLICATION AT ITS LAST MEETING IN NOVEMBER. AND
12 THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE HAS PAUSED ITS
13 CONSIDERATION OF THAT APPLICATION PENDING THE POLICY
14 DISCUSSION TO OCCUR TODAY AND THEN BASED ON THIS
15 DISCUSSION, AT THE FULL BOARD MEETING ON JANUARY 25.
16 AT THAT TIME THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE,
17 WHICH IS CHARGED WITH REVIEWING RECOMMENDATIONS FROM
18 THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP AND MAKING FUNDING
19 DECISIONS, WILL RESUME ITS DELIBERATIONS ON THAT
20 PARTICULAR AWARD.

21 SO THE PURPOSE OF THIS MEETING AND THIS
22 DISCUSSION IS NOT TO DETERMINE THE MERITS OF THE
23 APPLICATION THAT GIVES RISE TO THE DISCUSSION TODAY,
24 THAT WILL BE THE PURVIEW OF THE ARS, BUT RATHER TO
25 ENGAGE IN THE POLICY DISCUSSION AND GUIDANCE ON THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SUBJECT. SO WITH THAT, I HOPE THAT'S HELPFUL. TURN
2 IT OVER TO MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.

3 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: THANK YOU, SCOTT.
4 AND, AGAIN, JUST TO REITERATE, WHEREAS THIS CAME
5 INITIALLY FROM AN INITIAL PROPOSAL, WE'RE VERY MUCH
6 INTERESTED IN TRYING TO DISCUSS AND REVIEW WHAT
7 SHOULD BE UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR A MORE FORMAL
8 POLICY BY CIRM. AND WITHIN THAT CONTEXT, THAT HAS
9 TO DO WITH THE RUBRIC, IF YOU WILL, OF N OF 1
10 TRIALS, WHICH IS A LITTLE BIT OF A MISNOMER, BUT IS
11 IMPORTANT FOR CONSIDERATION IN THE CONTEXT THAT,
12 WITH VARIOUS DEFECTS, IF YOU WILL, THERE'S THE
13 OPPORTUNITY FOR MATERIALS OF ANTISENSE
14 OLIGONUCLEOTIDES TO BE ABLE TO OBTAIN THE
15 UNDERLYING PROBLEMS CAUSE OF DISEASE FOR A
16 PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL.

17 WITHIN THAT CONTEXT, WE'RE GENERALLY
18 TALKING EXTREMELY RARE CONDITIONS IN THE CONTEXT
19 THAT ESSENTIALLY THIS BECOMES NEARLY PATIENT
20 SPECIFIC WITH ONE OR A VERY SMALL HANDFUL OF
21 PATIENTS THAT THAT PARTICULAR APPROACH FOR A THERAPY
22 MIGHT BE APPLIED.

23 AND SO JUST TO FRAME THAT A LITTLE BIT,
24 AND THIS IS A SITUATION FROM THE MATERIALS PROVIDED,
25 YOU CAN SEE ONE NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION THAT HAS,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FOR EXAMPLE, EVALUATED 240 PATIENTS. THEY'VE DONE A
2 PARTICULAR SCREENING AROUND GENOTYPE AND PHENOTYPE
3 THAT HAS LED TO THE PROSPECT OF A HUNDRED PATIENTS
4 THAT MIGHT BENEFIT FROM DEVELOPMENT OF THE ANTISENSE
5 OLIGONUCLEOTIDES OR ASO'S. AND THAT'S IN THE
6 CONTEXT THAT THE CURRENT COST FOR GIVING THAT IS
7 \$1.5 MILLION. AND WHEREAS, THAT IS RELATIVELY
8 INEXPENSIVE COMPARED TO A DRUG DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.
9 IT'S ALSO A CONDITION WHERE OBVIOUSLY THE NUMBER OF
10 PEOPLE THAT CAN BENEFIT FROM THAT ARE LIMITED.

11 AND YOU CAN SEE THE SITUATION OF, WELL, IF
12 THERE'S AN INITIAL WAVE OF A HUNDRED PATIENTS AT 1.5
13 MILLION EACH AND THERE COULD BE MANY, MANY MORE
14 WAVES OF THAT, THE OVERALL COST WOULD AGGREGATE TO
15 ONE THAT WOULD SWAMP THE OVERALL ALLOCATION OF
16 FUNDING FROM CIRM.

17 SO IT LED TO THE DISCUSSION, THEN, ABOUT
18 WHAT ARE CONSIDERATIONS THAT CIRM MIGHT WANT TO TAKE
19 IN MIND RELATED TO PROPOSALS THAT COME IN AROUND
20 ASO'S AND HOW CIRM MIGHT SUPPORT THOSE OR NOT
21 DEPENDING ON THE UNDERLYING CONDITIONS. THE
22 MATERIALS PROVIDED, THERE ARE UNDERLYING BENEFITS
23 LISTED IN TERMS OF THE ABILITY TO HAVE A BETTER
24 UNDERSTANDING OF MECHANISMS OF ACTION OF DISEASE
25 THAT, IN TURN, COULD HAVE A MORE FAVORABLE OUTCOME

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FOR ASSESSMENT OF OTHER DISEASE CONDITIONS, THE
2 ABILITY TO HAVE SELECTION OF PATIENTS THAT THERE
3 MIGHT BE TRUE CLINICAL BENEFITS.

4 IN OTHER WORDS, IN SOME CASES THIS
5 PARTICULAR THERAPY, IF YOU WILL, DOESN'T ACTUALLY --
6 WHEREAS, IT WILL CURE THE UNDERLYING PROCESS OR
7 MITIGATE THE UNDERLYING PROCESS OF DISEASE, IN SOME
8 CASES THE PATIENTS WON'T GET A TREMENDOUS CLINICAL
9 BENEFIT BECAUSE THEY ALREADY HAVE THE CONSEQUENCES
10 OF THE UNDERLYING CONDITION OVERALL. BUT OBVIOUSLY
11 THERE'S AN ATTEMPT TO HAVE SELECTION OF PATIENTS FOR
12 WHICH THERE IS THE OPPORTUNITY FOR STRONG CLINICAL
13 EFFECT.

14 THERE ARE OTHER ADVANTAGES LISTED. ONE
15 GROUP INDICATES THAT THEY HAVE A CLIN2 APPROACH FROM
16 CIRM IN TERMS OF TESTING PRECLINICAL EFFICACY OF AN
17 ASO TO HELP POTENTIALLY EVEN SPEED THE PROCESS
18 FURTHER FROM 18 MONTHS AS WELL AS REDUCE THE COST TO
19 700,000, WHICH IS THEIR TARGET. SO THERE ARE
20 DEFINITE ADVANTAGES AROUND THIS, AND THEN THERE ARE
21 CONCERNS. AND THERE IS RECOGNITION THAT WE DON'T
22 REALLY HAVE A GOOD HANDLE ON HOW TO THINK ABOUT
23 THESE THINGS, HOW WE MIGHT EVALUATE THEM, HOW DOES
24 THAT FIT INTO THE OVERALL SCHEMA FOR FUNDING
25 ALLOCATION AND HENCE PROVIDE THIS INFORMATION TO THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND BE ABLE TO HAVE A
2 DISCUSSION AROUND ISSUES AND OPPORTUNITIES
3 ASSOCIATED WITH THIS THAT THEN, IN TURN, MIGHT BE
4 FORMULATED INTO A MORE STRUCTURED POLICY
5 DETERMINATION OR NOT DEPENDING ON WHAT THE OUTCOME
6 IS.

7 SO THIS IS A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND ON
8 KIND OF WHAT'S ON THE TABLE. ANYBODY ELSE LIKE TO
9 AMPLIFY THAT OR CLARIFY MY COMMENTS BEFORE WE GET
10 INTO THE DISCUSSION IF THERE ARE ANY OTHER COMMENTS
11 TO THAT SET OF CIRCUMSTANCES. OKAY. NOT HEARING
12 ANY, I THINK -- SO RIGHT NOW WE'D LIKE TO THROW IT
13 OUT TO THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND GET FEEDBACK AND
14 DISCUSSION AROUND CONSIDERATIONS AROUND WHAT MIGHT
15 BE DONE FROM THE POLICY PERSPECTIVE OR, AGAIN, NOT
16 JUST EVALUATE EVERY PROPOSAL AS IT COMES IN THROUGH
17 THE NORMAL REVIEW PROCESS. SO IF PEOPLE WOULD LIKE
18 TO COMMENT ON THE COMMITTEE, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

19 DR. GLEESON: IF YOU'D LIKE, MY NAME IS
20 JOSEPH GLEESON. I'M A PROFESSOR AT THE UNIVERSITY
21 OF CALIFORNIA HERE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS. I'D BE
22 HAPPY TO PROVIDE ANY MORE CONTEXT, BUT DON'T WANT TO
23 INTERRUPT ANY OF YOUR NORMAL PROCEEDINGS.

24 MS. MANDAC: SORRY, DR. GLEESON. WE'RE
25 NOT QUITE READY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT YET.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: DR. GLEESON IS
2 ONLINE. I THINK ALSO I SAW EARLIER ANOTHER DOCTOR,
3 PROBABLY NOT GOING TO PRONOUNCE THE NAMES RIGHT, BUT
4 MIGNON IS ALSO ON THE LINE. I SEE YOU'VE ALSO
5 PROVIDED SOME INFORMATION. SO I SUSPECT WE CAN ASK
6 THEM, BUT MARIA.

7 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I THINK THE ISSUE
8 AT HAND IS ARE WE READY TO MOVE FORWARD FUNDING
9 THESE SORTS OF PROGRAMS THAT COME IN WITHOUT AN
10 OVERALL STRATEGY HOW WE'RE GOING TO DEAL WITH RARE
11 DISEASE AND N OF 1 SPECIFICALLY. AND WITHOUT MORE
12 INFORMATION FROM OUR INTERNAL TEAM AND ESPECIALLY AS
13 WE MOVE FORWARD WITH PRIORITIZATION AS A WHOLE, I
14 THINK IT'S DIFFICULT FOR US TO MAKE A FUNDING
15 DECISION WITHOUT MORE CLARITY AS TO THE OVERALL
16 GOALS OF CIRM LEADERSHIP. AND WAITING FOR THEM TO
17 BRING A STRATEGY TO US, I THINK, IS THE BEST COURSE
18 MOVING FORWARD SO THAT WE CAN UNDERSTAND HOW THIS
19 ALL FITS TOGETHER.

20 SO I WOULD SAY THAT THAT'S MY VIEWPOINT.
21 IT'S NOT THAT I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THESE AREN'T
22 VALUABLE PROGRAMS THAT ARE COMING FORWARD, AND
23 OBVIOUSLY THEY HELP, SO ULTIMATELY THAT IS WHAT
24 WE'RE ALL STRIVING FOR. I JUST THINK WE NEED TO PUT
25 A PAUSE MOMENTARILY IN ORDER TO HAVE THE TEAM BRING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FORWARD A STRATEGY THAT WE CAN ADOPT.

2 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: THANKS, MARIA.

3 J.T., I SEE YOUR HAND UP.

4 DR. THOMAS: FURTHER TO WHAT MARIA JUST
5 SAID, I'VE ALREADY IDENTIFIED THE BROADER ISSUE OF
6 HOW WE'RE GOING TO BE APPROACHING RARE DISEASE GOING
7 FORWARD AS A MATTER TO BE TAKEN UP BY THE LEADERSHIP
8 TEAM IN A VERY NEAR-TERM MEETING. SO PRECISELY WHAT
9 SHE'S TALKING ABOUT IS SOMETHING WE'RE GOING TO BE
10 FOCUSING ON AND PREPARED TO BRING BACK TO THIS
11 SUBCOMMITTEE THE UPSHOT OF THOSE DISCUSSIONS.

12 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: THANKS, J.T. I
13 THINK THAT'S EXTREMELY IMPORTANT CONTEXT BECAUSE IT
14 CALLS INTO QUESTION THE VERY NARROW CONSIDERATION OF
15 N OF 1 AND LEADS RIGHT TO THE QUESTION OF WHAT ABOUT
16 AN N OF 10? WHAT IF -- WHERE IS THE CUTOFF, IF YOU
17 WILL, IF THERE ARE POLICY DECISIONS, N OF 50 OR HOW
18 THAT MIGHT COME INTO PLAY IN WHAT ARE CONSIDERATIONS
19 AROUND FOCUS AREAS THAT SHOULD HAVE FURTHER
20 EMPHASIS. SO THANK YOU FOR THOSE CLARIFYING
21 COMMENTS TO GIVE THE OVERALL LANDSCAPE BY OPENING UP
22 THE APERTURE FOR THE VERY BROAD DISCUSSION AROUND
23 FOCUS AREAS.

24 SO, CHRISTINE, COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?

25 DR. MIASKOWSKI: THANK YOU. I WOULD LIKE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO SUPPORT MARIA AND J.T.'S SUGGESTION. I READ THE
2 MATERIALS THAT WERE PROVIDED. AND IN MY OWN MIND, I
3 WAS GOING THROUGH KIND OF THE RISK/BENEFITS TO THE
4 INDIVIDUAL WHO'S SUFFERING WITH THIS AND THEIR
5 FAMILY MEMBER AND THEN OUR ORGANIZATION. AND I
6 REALLY BELIEVE WE NEED A CAREFUL LOOK AT THIS IN
7 TERMS OF THE WHOLE PICTURE.

8 I'D BE INTERESTED TOO WHAT OUR PREVIOUS
9 EXPERIENCE HAS BEEN FUNDING THESE N OF 1 TRIALS AND
10 WHAT'S BEEN THEIR SUCCESS OR NOT. IT TAKES A LITTLE
11 MORE STUDY FROM MY PERSPECTIVE.

12 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: GREAT. PAT.

13 DR. LEVITT: I DON'T HAVE TO REPEAT WHAT
14 CHRISTINE JUST SAID BECAUSE I WAS GOING TO SAY THE
15 SAME THING, THAT THERE'S JUST COMPONENTS OF THIS
16 THAT ARE REALLY COMPLICATED FROM MY PERSPECTIVE.
17 AND I NEED MORE INFORMATION TO SORT OF THINK THROUGH
18 THIS. THERE ARE ETHICAL, PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS.
19 THERE ARE CONSIDERATIONS AROUND PRIORITIES FOR THE
20 ORGANIZATION. AND INTEGRATING THOSE RIGHT NOW IN MY
21 BRAIN IS NOT HAPPENING.

22 SO THIS MEETING THAT J.T. REFERRED TO, I
23 THINK, IS REALLY IMPORTANT IN TERMS OF GETTING SOME
24 OF THIS INFORMATION TO THE SUBCOMMITTEE TO MAKE SOME
25 SORT OF RECOMMENDATION.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: OKAY. OTHER
2 COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OR ANYBODY ON THE CALL OTHER
3 THAN THE PUBLIC RIGHT NOW BECAUSE WE NEED TO
4 FORMALLY OPEN THAT SUBSEQUENTLY. SO KEY MEMBERS OR
5 STAFF, ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS?

6 SO TO SUMMARIZE, MY SENSE IS THAT THERE'S
7 NO PARTICULAR DECISION OR DETERMINATION TODAY WITH
8 RESPECT TO POLICY, THAT THERE'S UPCOMING STAFF AND
9 OTHER MEETINGS THAT IS EXPECTED TO HELP LEAD TO A
10 BROADER DISCUSSION AROUND FOCUS AREAS AND HOW
11 DIFFERENT ELEMENTS OF A VARIETY OF TRIALS CAN FIT
12 INTO THE OVERALL SCHEMA FOR WHAT NEEDS TO GET
13 ACCOMPLISHED.

14 SO WITH THAT, MY ASSUMPTION IS THERE'S NO
15 PARTICULAR MOTION ON THE TABLE CURRENTLY FOR REVIEW;
16 BUT IN ANY CASE, WE WANT TO ALLOW THE PUBLIC TO MAKE
17 COMMENTS ALONG THE WAY. SO I THINK THE NEXT STEP
18 WOULD BE TO ALLOW PUBLIC COMMENTS.

19 MR. TOCHER: WE HAVE A COMMENT FROM MARIA
20 BONNEVILLE FIRST.

21 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: MARK, I THINK PART
22 OF TALKING TODAY WAS BEING ABLE TO GUIDE THE ARS
23 MOVING FORWARD AS TO HOW WE WOULD APPROACH
24 APPLICATIONS LIKE THIS THAT COME TO THE GWG AND/OR
25 WHETHER OR NOT THEY WOULD -- WE WOULD EVEN BRING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THEM UP AS A POLICY MATTER, NOT THE POLICY, BUT WHAT
2 A RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO THE APPLICATION REVIEW
3 SUBCOMMITTEE MOVING FORWARD IF THESE APPLICATIONS
4 WERE TO COME TO THEM. ARE WE WAITING FOR A BIGGER
5 STRATEGY AND A BIGGER POLICY TO COME FORWARD FROM
6 THE TEAM IN ORDER TO THEN MOVE FORWARD WITH FUNDING
7 DECISIONS OR NOT? I THINK THAT'S THE GUIDANCE THAT
8 WE SHOULD PROVIDE FOR THE APPLICATION REVIEW
9 SUBCOMMITTEE.

10 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YEAH, MARIA. THANK
11 YOU FOR THE CLARIFICATION. SO INHERENTLY THAT IS
12 THEN THE PHENOMENON OF BEING ABLE TO THINK ABOUT
13 WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO WITH PROPOSALS AS THEY COME
14 THROUGH THE PROCESS CURRENTLY. BEFORE WE COMMENT ON
15 THAT, GIL, I SEE YOU'VE GOT YOUR HAND UP.

16 DR. SAMBRANO: YEAH. IT WAS JUST AN
17 EXPANSION ON THAT COMMENT, THAT I THINK IT WOULD BE
18 GREAT TO HAVE SOME GUIDANCE IN TERMS OF WHAT WE DO
19 AT CIRM IN TERMS OF ACCEPTING THESE APPLICATIONS.
20 THERE'S A LOT OF WORK THAT GOES INTO THESE ON THE
21 PART OF THE APPLICANT TO PUT AN APPLICATION
22 TOGETHER. AND IF ULTIMATELY THE ARS OR THE BOARD IS
23 NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO CONSIDER IT, WE WOULD RATHER
24 LET THEM KNOW AHEAD OF TIME BEFORE COMING IN.

25 SO IF THERE'S A DECISION OR SOME GUIDANCE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT COULD BE PROVIDED IN TERMS OF WHETHER WE ACCEPT
2 SUCH APPLICATIONS FOR THE TIME BEING UNTIL WE
3 DEVELOP A PROPOSAL OF EXACTLY WHAT TO DO, THAT MAY
4 BE HELPFUL. THANK YOU.

5 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: OKAY. WE WILL THROW
6 THAT OUT TO THE COMMITTEE FOR DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT
7 MIGHT BE DONE WITH THE THINGS EITHER -- NOT IN THE
8 HOPPER, BUT GENERICALLY THINGS THAT COME DOWN THE
9 PIPE HERE IN THE INTERIM WHILE THERE ARE
10 CONSIDERATIONS BEING MADE FOR OVERALL FOCUS AREAS
11 AND DISCUSSIONS. SO IF THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE
12 WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ABOUT THAT. PAT.

13 DR. LEVITT: YEAH. I THINK IF THERE'S A
14 CONSENSUS FOR ASKING FOR INFORMATION FROM A NUMBER
15 OF DOMAINS THAT WILL HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE
16 RECOMMENDATION THAT'S GOING TO BE MADE, THEN I WOULD
17 RECOMMEND WE MAINTAIN STATUS QUO. OTHERWISE, WE'RE
18 GOING TO BE MAKING A CHANGE WITHOUT THE INFORMATION
19 THAT A NUMBER OF US FEEL IS IMPORTANT. SO I DON'T
20 SEE ANY REASON TO MAKE A CHANGE NOW. I'M NOT
21 COMFORTABLE WITH MAKING A CHANGE WITHOUT THAT
22 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND CONVERSATION THAT GOES ON
23 WITH THE TEAM AND THE MEETING THAT'S GOING TO OCCUR.

24 SO I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT WE DON'T CHANGE
25 ANYTHING RIGHT NOW. SO IF THAT MEANS WE'RE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ACCEPTING APPLICATIONS, WE'RE ACCEPTING
2 APPLICATIONS, FROM MY PERSPECTIVE. I DON'T KNOW
3 WHAT ELSE TO DO BECAUSE THEN YOU'RE ASKING US TO
4 MAKE A POLICY DECISION WITHOUT THE INFORMATION.

5 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: THERE'S ALSO THE
6 SCENARIO OF SAYING, HEY, SOME OF THESE APPLICATIONS
7 CAN FUNCTIONALLY BE DELAYED, NOT DELAYED, BUT COULD
8 BE ESSENTIALLY DELAYED WHILE THERE'S THE OVERALL
9 DISCUSSION. BUT THAT'S A WELL-TAKEN POINT WITH
10 RESPECT TO, IN THE ABSENCE OF A POLICY
11 CONSIDERATION, THEN BUSINESS AS USUAL CAN MAKE
12 SENSE.

13 I THINK I SAW CLAUDETTE.

14 MS. MANDAC: IT'S OKAY. THERE'S A HAND
15 RAISED IN THE ROOM, BUT IT'S BEEN SAID. CHRISTINE
16 HAS HER HAND RAISED THOUGH.

17 DR. MIASKOWSKI: I AGREE WITH PAT. I
18 THINK WE SHOULD CONTINUE THE WAY WE ARE UNTIL THERE
19 IS A FORMAL DISCUSSION. PEOPLE HAVE PUT WORK INTO
20 THESE APPLICATIONS, AND WE SHOULD CONSIDER THEM
21 UNTIL WE MAKE A FORMAL ANNOUNCEMENT REGARDING OUR
22 POLICY.

23 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: MARIA.

24 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: MY PRIMARY CONCERN
25 IS THAT WE WOULD MOVE FORWARD AND PERHAPS FUND N OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 1 APPLICATIONS AND THEN SET A PRECEDENT FOR HAVING
2 DONE SO AND THEN GO TO A RARE DISEASE STRATEGY THAT
3 PERHAPS APPROACHES THINGS DIFFERENTLY. THAT'S THE
4 CONCERN.

5 IF THE GROUP DECIDES THAT THAT'S OKAY, I
6 ACCEPT THAT DECISION. FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, WITHOUT
7 HAVING A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT OUR STRATEGY IS
8 SPECIFICALLY FOR N OF 1 APPLICATIONS, I DON'T KNOW
9 HOW TO MOVE FORWARD WHEN THESE APPLICATIONS COME
10 FORWARD AND ARE RECOMMENDED.

11 DR. LEVITT: CAN SOMEBODY, MAYBE GIL, SO
12 WHAT'S IN THE HOPPER IN TERMS OF APPLICATIONS THAT
13 HAVE COME IN? I'M NOT ASKING FOR SPECIFICS OF THE
14 APPLICATIONS, BUT LIKE ARE THERE TEN, ARE THERE TWO?
15 AND WHEN WAS THE ANNOUNCEMENT POSTED FOR ACCEPTING
16 THESE APPLICATIONS?

17 DR. SAMBRANO: RIGHT. SO WE HAVE
18 CURRENTLY ONE IN PROCESS. WE MAY GET MORE, BUT WE
19 DON'T KNOW. WE'VE SEEN AN UPTICK IN APPLICATIONS.
20 SPECIFICALLY N OF 1, THERE'S ONLY ONE THAT'S UNDER
21 CONSIDERATION AT THE MOMENT. AND THERE'S NO
22 SPECIFIC -- WE DIDN'T MAKE ANY SPECIFIC ANNOUNCEMENT
23 TO ACCEPT OR NOT. THEY JUST FIT WITHIN WHAT OUR
24 CURRENT ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA ARE.

25 DR. LEVITT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO, MARIA, YOU'RE ASKING FOR --
2 ESSENTIALLY WHAT YOU'RE SAYING -- I DON'T SEE HOW WE
3 CAN CONSIDER THE ONE THAT'S ALREADY THERE THAT'S IN
4 THE HOPPER THAT IS GOING TO UNDERGO SCIENTIFIC
5 REVIEW. BUT THEN YOU'RE ASKING US TO CONSIDER
6 PAUSING ACCEPTING THEM? THAT WOULD TAKE AN
7 ANNOUNCEMENT TO THE COMMUNITY, TO THE SCIENTIFIC
8 COMMUNITY TO PAUSE. RIGHT?

9 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: MY UNDERSTANDING
10 FROM THE INTERNAL TEAM, AND PERHAPS ABLA CAN SPEAK
11 TO THIS, IS THAT THERE ARE PLANS FOR MORE OF THESE
12 TO COME IN. SHE'S HEARD FROM THE COMMUNITY. SO MY
13 CONCERN WOULD THEN BE THAT WE HAVE AN INFLUX OF
14 APPLICATIONS, WHICH, AGAIN, I'M NOT SUGGESTING
15 NOBODY -- THAT THESE AREN'T VALUABLE, IMPORTANT.

16 DR. LEVITT: I UNDERSTAND.

17 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SO IT'S JUST ALL
18 OF A SUDDEN WE GET TEN IN A MONTH, WHAT DO WE DO?
19 AND SO I GUESS I'M UNDERSTANDING FROM THE INTERNAL
20 TEAM, BECAUSE I HAVE HEARD CONCERNS FROM THEM ABOUT
21 THIS, I THINK WOULD BE HELPFUL.

22 DR. CREASEY: THANK YOU, MARIA B. SO AS
23 FAR AS THE CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT IS CONCERNED,
24 THERAPEUTICS DEVELOPMENT, WE HAVE HAD A NUMBER OF
25 INQUIRIES ABOUT N OF 1, WHETHER IT'S FOR ASO'S OR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OTHERWISE. SO FOR THAT PURPOSE, REMEMBER WE
2 CONDUCTED A RARE DISEASE WORKSHOP ON NOVEMBER 15TH
3 IN WHICH WE BROUGHT IN EXPERTS FROM DIFFERENT AREAS.
4 AND WE ARE ASSESSING THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM ALL
5 THE OTHER EXPERTS THAT ARE WORKING IN THE AREA. AND
6 I HAVE RECOMMENDED TO THE LT THAT WE WAIT UNTIL WE
7 ACTUALLY HAVE PUT TOGETHER THE STRATEGY FOR RARE
8 DISEASES. WE'RE WORKING ON IT. IT'S NOT READY, BUT
9 IT'S IMPORTANT THAT, IF WE'RE GOING TO CONSIDER AN N
10 OF 1, WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT IT'S NOT RESTRICTED
11 TO ASO'S AND THERE ARE OTHER POTENTIAL TECHNOLOGIES
12 THAT CAN BE USED.

13 SO FOR THAT PURPOSE, IT'S PREMATURE TO SAY
14 WE'RE JUST GOING TO FUND N OF 1 WITHOUT KNOWING
15 REALLY HOW WE'RE GOING TO ALLOCATE OUR RESOURCES FOR
16 THAT EFFORT. I JUST WOULD LIKE THE ATTENDEES TO BE
17 AWARE OF THE FACT THAT WE ARE DILIGENTLY WORKING ON
18 IT. WE HAVE A NUMBER OF RECOMMENDATIONS. THERE ARE
19 VERY EXCITING AND INTERESTING TECHNOLOGIES OTHER
20 THAN ASO'S. WE JUST, AGAIN, NEED TO FIGURE OUT DO
21 WE SEGMENT THE RARE DISEASE POPULATION. HOW DO WE
22 THEN FUND 100 VERSUS N OF 10 VERSUS N OF 1? ALL
23 THAT IS PART OF OUR CURRENT THINKING.

24 I DON'T WANT TO SAY THAT WE ALREADY HAVE A
25 STRATEGY. WE'RE WORKING ON IT. AND ONCE WE HAVE A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DRAFT, WE WILL SHARE IT WITH THE SCIENCE
2 SUBCOMMITTEE. MOST IMPORTANT IS FOR PEOPLE TO
3 RECOGNIZE THE FACT THAT WE APPRECIATE THEIR
4 COMPASSION IN THE AREA OF N OF 1. GIVEN, THOUGH,
5 OUR MANDATE AND BUDGET AND ALL OF THAT, WE HAVE TO
6 MAKE SURE THAT WE ALLOCATE OUR RESOURCES IN A MANNER
7 THAT ARE COMMENSURATE WITH OUR UNDERSTANDING OF ALL
8 CAPABILITIES THAT COME TO AN N OF 1 OR OTHERWISE IN
9 THE AREA OF RARE DISEASE.

10 SO I'M A PROPONENT OF THE FACT THAT WE
11 WAIT TILL WE HAVE A STRATEGY. AND IT MAY BE -- MY
12 RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE THAT WE ACTUALLY PUT A HOLD
13 ON ACCEPTING N OF 1S TILL WE'VE HAD ACTUAL
14 DISCUSSION OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN FOR RARE DISEASE.
15 AND THEN IT MAKES SENSE THAT WE REMOVE THE HOLD.

16 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: PAT, WE COULD
17 CONSIDER OR RECOMMEND TO THE APPLICATION REVIEW
18 SUBCOMMITTEE THAT THEY CONSIDER WHATEVER HAS BEEN
19 SUBMITTED THUS FAR AND PAUSE ON ACCEPTING OTHERS
20 MOVING FORWARD SO THAT THE HARD WORK PEOPLE HAVE PUT
21 IN HAVE GONE THROUGH REVIEW IS CONSIDERED BY THE
22 APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE, BUT OTHER
23 APPLICATIONS PERHAPS WE PAUSE ON THEM. THAT COULD
24 BE SOMETHING --

25 DR. LEVITT: I UNDERSTAND THE RATIONALE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I DON'T DISAGREE WITH A PAUSE SINCE WE ARE TRYING
2 REALLY HARD TO DEVELOP A POLICY. BUT THERE NEEDS TO
3 BE SOME COMMUNICATION WITH THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY.
4 AND THEN THAT MEANS YOU HAVE TO DEFINE WHAT YOU MEAN
5 BY N OF 1. RIGHT? THERE ARE LOTS OF DISEASES THAT
6 ARE DEFINED AS RARE, RIGHT, WHICH ARE NOT
7 NECESSARILY N OF 1. SO I'M NOT A PROPONENT OF USING
8 THE WORD "RARE." AND I THINK IF -- I'M NOT AN
9 EXPERT IN THIS. I'M JUST SAYING LIKE THERE ARE A
10 LOT OF PEDIATRIC DISEASES THAT ARE DEFINED AS RARE.
11 AND SO DO THEY ALL GET PUT ON HOLD BECAUSE THEY'RE
12 RARE? AND THE POPULATION MAY BE IN ANY PARTICULAR
13 YEAR TEN NATIONALLY OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

14 SO I'M JUST CONFUSED ABOUT HOW TO DEFINE
15 THIS. AND MAYBE THERE ARE EXPERTS HERE THAT CAN
16 SPEAK TO THIS AND WHAT YOUR RECOMMENDATION IS TO THE
17 LEADERSHIP TO HOW YOU WOULD DEFINE IT BECAUSE
18 SOMEBODY HAS GOT TO DEFINE THE PAUSE. WE ARE
19 ACCEPTING OR NOT -- WE'RE PAUSING ON ACCEPTING
20 APPLICATIONS THAT HAVE X NUMBER OF SUBJECTS, RIGHT,
21 THAT WOULD BE THE CLINICAL TRIAL, SOMETHING LIKE
22 THAT.

23 DR. CREASEY: IF I CAN SAY SOMETHING, PAT.
24 THE FDA SET UP A GUIDANCE DOCUMENT ON THE N OF 1.
25 WE CAN FOLLOW THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT. IT CLEARLY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DEFINES WHAT AN N OF 1 IS. AND SO IF WE CAN PUT
2 THAT TOGETHER OR CAN SHARE IT WITH THE COMMITTEE FOR
3 YOU TO LOOK AT IT AND IF IT SATISFIES BECAUSE NOT
4 ALL RARE DISEASES ARE N OF 1. AND THIS IS WHY THE
5 FDA HAS ACTUALLY CATEGORIZED N OF 1 AS ONE CATEGORY.

6 DR. LEVITT: OKAY. THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL,
7 SURE. THAT'S GREAT. YEAH.

8 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: JUDY. J.T. SORRY.
9 YOU WERE AHEAD, SO GO AHEAD, J.T.

10 DR. THOMAS: I WOULD JUST LIKE TO FOLLOW
11 AGAIN WHAT MARIA SAID. I THINK THAT GIVEN THAT WE
12 HAVE ONE APPLICATION WE'RE DEALING WITH HERE AND NOT
13 CURRENTLY AN INFLUX OF MANY, THAT WOULD MAKE IT
14 DIFFICULT TO PROCEED WITHOUT A POLICY IN PLACE, THAT
15 WE EVALUATE THIS ONE IN THE ORDINARY COURSE. AND
16 THEN HAVE THE LT GET BACK TO THE SCIENCE
17 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ALL THESE DEFINITIONAL ISSUES THAT
18 WILL INFORM ANY SORT OF PAUSE THAT MIGHT BE
19 RECOMMENDED GOING FORWARD.

20 DR. CREASEY: I JUST WANT TO ALSO MENTION
21 THAT THE WORKSHOP, THERE WAS A RECOMMENDATION FOR A
22 PILOT FOR AN N OF 1 THAT INCLUDES SEVERAL OTHER
23 TECHNOLOGIES. I JUST WOULD LIKE YOU TO MAKE SURE
24 YOU'RE AWARE OF ALL THAT. THE FDA ITSELF ALREADY
25 AGREES TO THE FACT THAT MAKING ALL THESE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TECHNOLOGIES AVAILABLE TO THE N OF 1 IS SOMETHING WE
2 NEED -- THE WHOLE FIELD NEEDS TO RECOGNIZE.

3 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: JUDY.

4 DR. GASSON: I WOULD LIKE TO SUPPORT THE
5 PAUSE OF CONSIDERATION OF N OF 1 TRIALS AS DEFINED
6 BY THE FDA BASED UPON THE DISCUSSION SO FAR AND THE
7 AMOUNT OF WORK THAT'S INVOLVED IN PREPARING,
8 SUBMITTING, AND REVIEWING THESE APPLICATIONS.

9 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: MARIA, YOUR HAND IS
10 UP. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU ALREADY COMMENTED OR NOT.

11 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I WAS GOING TO
12 COMMENT THE SAME AS JUDY. SO THANK YOU.

13 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: SO IT SOUNDS LIKE
14 THE QUESTION ON THE TABLE IS INHERENTLY THE
15 RECOMMENDATION TO PROVIDE WITH RESPECT TO WHAT MIGHT
16 BE DONE WITH AN APPLICATION OR APPLICATIONS IN THE
17 PROCESS FROM A RECOMMENDATION PERSPECTIVE. AND SO
18 THEN I'VE HEARD A COUPLE DIFFERENT VIEWPOINTS ON
19 WHETHER TO ALLOW THAT TO GO FORWARD. KEITH.

20 DR. YAMAMOTO: I DON'T DISAGREE WITH THIS
21 RECOMMENDATION TO PAUSE ON THE N OF 1S AS DEFINED BY
22 THE FDA. BUT I DON'T KNOW HOW THE FDA DEFINES THE N
23 OF 1. AS PAT HAS ALREADY POINTED OUT, IF IT REALLY
24 IS N OF 1, WE HAVE THE SAME -- THE SAME ISSUES ARISE
25 WHEN IT'S N OF 10 OR N OF 50. AND SO I DON'T WANT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO BE TOO RESTRICTIVE ABOUT THIS. I THINK SETTING
2 THE POLICY IF -- SETTING A PAUSE FOR THE CURRENT N
3 OF 1 THAT FOLLOWS THE FDA RECOMMENDATION IS A FINE
4 THING. I THINK THAT THE WORKING GROUP THAT'S GOING
5 TO DEVELOP A RECOMMENDATION FOR POLICY IS GOING TO
6 HAVE TO CONSIDER THESE RARE DISEASES MORE BROADLY
7 THAN N OF 1 BECAUSE THE IMPLICATIONS FOR THE
8 APPROPRIATION OF CIRM FUNDS FOR THESE STUDIES ARE
9 VERY EXTENSIVE DOWNSTREAM AS WE'VE ALREADY
10 EXPERIENCED, SORT OF ORCHARD THERAPEUTICS.

11 QUESTIONS ARISE WHETHER THIS IS THE RIGHT
12 USE OF CIRM FUNDS. I'VE SAID IN PREVIOUS MEETINGS
13 THAT I THINK IT IS, BUT I THINK THIS IS AN IMPORTANT
14 DEBATE TO HAVE. SO I DON'T WANT TO EXTEND THIS ANY
15 MORE THAN SIMPLY TO SAY THAT -- JUST REMIND US ALL
16 THAT THE POLICY RECOMMENDATION THAT WE EVENTUALLY
17 ARRIVE AT IS GOING TO EXTEND -- NECESSARILY WILL
18 HAVE TO EXTEND TO STUDIES THAT ARE MORE THAN N OF 1
19 IF THE FDA IS DEFINING THIS IN THAT HIGHLY
20 RESTRICTIVE MODE OF REALLY BEING A NUMBER OF REALLY
21 BEING ONE.

22 DR. THOMAS: KEITH, THAT'S PRECISELY THE
23 SCOPE OF THIS DISCUSSION. IT'S GOING TO GO BEYOND N
24 OF 1 MORE BROADLY TO WHAT WE'LL CALL RARE DISEASE
25 FOR THE MOMENT. SO WE WILL BE GETTING BACK WITH A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SORT OF BROADER VIEW OF THE ISSUE.

2 DR. YAMAMOTO: GREAT.

3 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: IT SOUNDS LIKE THE
4 PROPOSAL ON THE TABLE CURRENTLY, THEN, IS TO, AGAIN,
5 I'VE HEARD A COUPLE OF COUNTERVAILING VIEWS, BUT
6 DELAY THE CURRENT APPLICATION OR MAKE A
7 RECOMMENDATION NOT TO DELAY THE APPLICATION, BUT
8 MAKE A RECOMMENDATION THAT WE GET MORE INFORMATION
9 AROUND STRATEGY AND APPROACHES AROUND N OF 1
10 SPECIFICALLY IN ORDER TO CONSIDER FURTHERANCE OF
11 APPLICATIONS. SO ABLA.

12 DR. CREASEY: I JUST WANT TO MENTION TO
13 ALSO KEITH THAT AN N OF 1 IS ACTUALLY A SYNONYM OF
14 INDIVIDUALIZED THERAPY. SO EACH PATIENT WILL GET
15 THEIR OWN DRUG. THAT'S WHAT THE FDA ALSO
16 SUPPORTS IN THEIR DESCRIPTION OF THE GUIDANCE. SO
17 EVERY PATIENT WILL HAVE THEIR OWN ASO OR THEIR OWN
18 CRISPR OR THEIR OWN WHATEVER TECHNOLOGY PLATFORM
19 THAT IS BEING USED. THAT DRUG WILL BE ONLY FOR THE
20 BENEFIT OF THAT ONE PATIENT. SO INDIVIDUALIZED.

21 MR. TOCHER: SORRY TO INTERRUPT. I WAS
22 GOING TO SUGGEST WHEN THE TIME IS READY, I CAN MAKE
23 A STAB AT STATING WHAT I THINK THE MOTION IS AS YOU
24 HAVE STATED IT WHEN TIME IS APPROPRIATE.

25 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: I THINK IT WOULD BE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GOOD TO DO THAT NOW FOR CLARIFICATION BECAUSE WE'VE
2 HAD A COUPLE OF COMMENTS ALONG THE WAY HERE. SO
3 JUST TO BE CRYSTAL CLEAR, I THINK IT WOULD BE GREAT
4 TO STATE IT.

5 MR. TOCHER: THE MOTION WOULD BE, IF MADE,
6 WOULD BE TO PAUSE ACCEPTING N OF 1 APPLICATIONS AS
7 THAT TERM IS DEFINED BY FDA GUIDANCE UNTIL SUCH TIME
8 AS THE TEAM CAN BRING TO THE BOARD A BROADER
9 GUIDANCE ON THE ISSUE OF N OF 1, BUT TO ALLOW THE
10 CURRENT APPLICATION UNDER CONSIDERATION BY THE
11 APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO CONTINUE TO BE
12 CONSIDERED AT THE JANUARY 25TH MEETING.

13 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: OKAY. OTHER
14 COMMENTS ABOUT THAT? IF NOT, WE CAN ENTERTAIN --
15 VITO.

16 DR. IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. I WANT TO
17 FOLLOW UP ON WHAT ABLA JUST SAID, THAT REFINING THE
18 DEFINITION OF N EQUALS 1 TO IDENTIFY THE CREATION OF
19 A PATIENT-SPECIFIC INDIVIDUAL MEDICATION. SO FOR
20 ME, WHO'S NOT INVOLVED IN CLINICAL TRIALS, THIS
21 DISTINCTION BETWEEN A SCIENTIFIC EXPERIMENT AND A
22 CLINICAL THERAPY. SO I MAKE THIS COMMENT FOR THE
23 BENEFIT OF THE LEADERSHIP TEAM, THAT WHEN THEY
24 CONVENE TO DISCUSS THIS CONCEPT, COULD THEY KEEP
25 THAT DISTINCTION IN MIND AND MAYBE SHED MORE LIGHT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ON THAT TO HELP THOSE OF US ON THE BOARD MAKE A
2 DECISION ONE WAY OR THE OTHER AS TO WHETHER WE'RE
3 GOING TO SUPPORT N OF 1 AS A SCIENTIFIC EXPERIMENT
4 OR AS A CLINICAL THERAPY.

5 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: GREAT COMMENT. AND
6 THAT WAS ALSO IN ONE OF SUPPORTING LETTERS IN THE
7 CONTEXT THAT THIS APPROACH HAS OTHER POTENTIAL
8 BENEFITS AS A CONSEQUENCE.

9 DR. IMBASCIANI: I'D LIKE TO COMPLIMENT
10 THE AUTHOR OF THAT LETTER FOR REALLY STATING THAT
11 DISTINCTION VERY CLEARLY. HE HELPED EDUCATE ME.
12 THANK YOU.

13 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: OKAY. SO THERE'S A
14 TENTATIVE MOTION ON THE TABLE AS OUTLINED BY SCOTT.
15 KEITH, YOUR HAND WAS RAISED. IF YOU COULD GO AHEAD.

16 DR. YAMAMOTO: JUST A QUICK COMMENT. I
17 SUPPORT THE MOTION FOR A PAUSE. BUT JUST TO REMIND
18 US ALL THAT THAT FDA DEFINITION, VERY INTERESTING, I
19 THINK IS VERY REASONABLE, IS THAT THE POPULATION OF
20 PATIENTS THAT WILL QUALIFY AS N OF 1 IS GOING TO
21 EXPAND RAPIDLY AS WE BETTER UNDERSTAND MECHANISMS OF
22 DISEASE. AND THAT AS WE UNDERSTAND THOSE
23 MECHANISMS, WE WILL FIND IT'S SOMETHING THAT WAS
24 EMBEDDED IN A MESSAGE THAT I GAVE IN A TALK TO THE
25 RARE DISEASE FOUNDATION SOME YEARS AGO WHERE I

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 POINTED OUT THAT PEOPLE WORKING ON RARE DISEASES ARE
2 REALLY AHEAD OF THE GAME BECAUSE, AS WE BETTER
3 UNDERSTAND MECHANISMS OF DISEASE, PRECISION MEDICINE
4 MOVES FORWARD. ALL DISEASE WILL BECOME RARE
5 DISEASES. AND THEY WILL ALL BE DISTINGUISHED
6 SPECIFICALLY BY THE COMBINATION OF FACTORS THAT COME
7 TOGETHER THAT GIVE RISE TO THE DISEASE IN A GIVEN
8 INDIVIDUAL. HOW MANY OF THOSE WILL BE TREATABLE BY
9 SOME OF THE CURRENT TECHNOLOGIES OBVIOUSLY LESS
10 CLEAR, BUT IT'S GOING TO INCREASE.

11 SO THIS POLICY DECISION THAT WE'RE GOING
12 TO BE COMING TO, IF WE USE THE FDA DEFINITION, WE
13 JUST NEED TO BE AWARE THAT IT'S GOING TO BE AN
14 EXPANDING GROUP THAT WILL QUALIFY UNDER THOSE
15 CONDITIONS.

16 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: THANK YOU, KEITH.
17 WITH THAT, CAN I ENTERTAIN -- GET A CONSIDERATION
18 FOR A -- FOR THE MOTION AND A SECOND?

19 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SO MOVED.

20 DR. IMBASCIANI: I'LL SECOND.

21 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: AND THEN WE OPEN IT
22 UP FOR PUBLIC COMMENT; IS THAT CORRECT?

23 MR. TOCHER: WE CAN OPEN IT UP ACTUALLY
24 FOR BOARD COMMENT. AND THEN AFTER BOARD COMMENT,
25 WE'LL MOVE TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: THANK YOU. SO BOARD
2 COMMENT ON THE MOTION? NOT SEEING ANY. GIVEN THAT,
3 WE CAN OPEN UP FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

4 DR. GLEESON: I APOLOGIZE FIRST. I DIDN'T
5 MEAN TO SPEAK EARLIER. SO MY NAME IS JOSEPH
6 GLEESON. I'M A PROFESSOR AT THE UNIVERSITY OF
7 CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO AND RADY CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL
8 AND ALSO INVOLVED IN A CALIFORNIA-BASED NON-PROFIT
9 NAMED N-LOREM, WHICH WAS FOUNDED BY THE FORMER --
10 THE FOUNDER OF IONIS PHARMACEUTICAL, A PUBLICLY
11 TRADED COMPANY AND THE LEAD IN CREATING ANTISENSE
12 OLIGONUCLEOTIDES FOR A RANGE OF MEDICAL CONDITIONS.

13 I'VE BEEN REALLY -- IT'S REALLY AN HONOR
14 TO SPEAK HERE TODAY OR ADDRESS YOU. AND I'VE GOT A
15 REALLY GOOD PERSPECTIVE ON WHERE THIS GROUP IS
16 COMING FROM.

17 I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY A COUPLE THINGS,
18 AND I'LL BE HAPPY TO ANY ANSWER QUESTIONS. FIRST,
19 THIS GRANT ALREADY -- THIS IS A GRANT FROM OLIVIA
20 KIM-MCMANUS, WHO'S ACTUALLY ON THE CALL TODAY, A
21 COLLEAGUE OF MINE AT CHILD NEUROLOGY DIVISION AT
22 RADY CHILDREN'S. AND IT ALREADY UNDERWENT REVIEW BY
23 ARS AND RECEIVED A PERFECT SCORE, INCLUDING A GREAT
24 DIVERSITY SCORE. IT WAS QUITE WELL ADDRESSED THE
25 MISSION OF CIRM, WHICH IS ACCELERATE WORLD-CLASS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SCIENCE TO DELIVER TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE
2 MEDICINE TREATMENTS IN AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A
3 DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND WORLD.

4 THE APPLICATION MET ALL THE CRITERIA.
5 OLIVIA HOLDS AN IND. SHE HAS A DRUG. SHE HAS A
6 PATIENT READY TO BE DOSED. SO I THINK THE QUESTION
7 THAT I'M HEARING THAT'S KIND OF CRYSTALLIZING FROM
8 THE COMMITTEE IS WHAT IS AN N OF 1? IS IT A TRIAL
9 OR IS IT A THERAPY? AND THE WAY I THINK ABOUT IT
10 IT'S ACTUALLY TWO SIDES OF THE SAME COIN. IT IS A
11 TRIAL, AND THE PATIENTS ARE RECEIVING A DRUG. AND
12 AT THE END OF THE YEAR, WE LEARN IF THE DRUG WORKED
13 OR NOT BECAUSE THERE ARE QUANTITATIVE OUTCOME
14 MEASURES.

15 THE ADDITIONAL BENEFIT IS THAT THE PATIENT
16 CAN IMPROVE POTENTIALLY. AND, OF COURSE, THAT'S
17 ALSO THE CASE IN STANDARD CLINICAL TRIALS. ONLY
18 HALF THE PATIENTS GENERALLY RECEIVE THE ACTIVE
19 COMPOUND.

20 AND THESE ARE CALLED N OF 1S, BUT REALLY
21 THE FDA CALLS THEM N OF FEW. IF WE HAVE A DRUG, IF
22 ANYONE HAS A DRUG THAT CAN BE USED IN LESS THAN 30
23 INDIVIDUALS, IT CAN FALL UNDER THIS SPECIAL FDA
24 CONSIDERATION. AND ALTHOUGH WE CALL THIS PATIENT N
25 OF 1, THERE'S ALMOST A THOUSAND PATIENTS THAT HAVE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SCN2A MUTATIONS. THIS IS NOT A RARE DISEASE. IT'S
2 A RARE DISEASE AS DEFINED BY THE NIH, LESS THAN
3 200,000, BUT IT IS NOT AN N OF 1 DISEASE. THESE
4 SAME ASO'S, IF THEY PROVE POSITIVE IN ONE PATIENT,
5 WILL OPEN UP A WHOLE WORLD OF SCIENCE IN THE FUTURE
6 THAT WILL ALLOW US TO BOTH ADMINISTER THIS SAME DRUG
7 TO OTHER PATIENTS AS LONG AS THERE'S UNDER 30, AS
8 WELL AS WE CREATE OTHER ANTISENSE DRUGS THAT ARE
9 SPECIFIC FOR OTHER MUTATIONS.

10 CALIFORNIA IS IN AN AMAZING POSITION HERE
11 BECAUSE WE HAVE CIRM. WE HAVE N-LOREM HERE BASED IN
12 CALIFORNIA. AND WE HAVE A NUMBER OF FANTASTIC
13 GENOMICS ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE LEADING THE CHARGE
14 IN DIAGNOSIS THAT ARE JUST GOING TO CREATE THIS WAVE
15 OF NEW DISCOVERY AND OPPORTUNITY FOR NEW DRUGS AND
16 IMPROVING THE LIVES OF CALIFORNIANS.

17 MS. MANDAC: WE'RE AT TIME, DR. GLEESON.

18 DR. GLEESON: THANK YOU.

19 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: OTHER PUBLIC
20 COMMENT? DR. MIGNON.

21 DR. MIGNON: HI. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, DR.
22 FISCHER-COLBIRE.

23 MY NAME IS DR. MIGNON, AND I LEAD CLINICAL
24 DEVELOPMENT AT THE N-LOREM FOUNDATION, LIKE JOE
25 SAID, A CALIFORNIA-BASED NON-PROFIT. I'M ALSO BASED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IN CALIFORNIA MYSELF.

2 I DID SUBMIT A LETTER THAT I WILL NOT READ
3 RIGHT NOW BECAUSE I JUST ALSO WANT TO ADDRESS A
4 COUPLE OF POINTS FROM WITHIN THE LETTER, BUT ALSO
5 ADDRESS SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT WERE POSED DURING
6 THE DISCUSSION RIGHT NOW.

7 SO FIRST I JUST WANTED TO SAY THESE ARE
8 REALLY TRULY UNDERREPRESENTED PATIENTS. AND SO
9 FINANCIAL MEANS DOES NOT COME INTO PLAY WHEN A
10 PATIENT SUBMITS THE APPLICATION TO N-LOREM. WE WILL
11 DEVELOP THE MEDICINE FOR THEM FOR FREE, AND WE'LL
12 PROVIDE IT TO THEM FOR LIFE IF THE MEDICINE SEEMS TO
13 WORK IN THESE PATIENTS. SO I THINK THIS IS VERY
14 IMPORTANT.

15 SO IN TERMS OF DIVERSITY AND EQUITY, WE
16 WILL PROVIDE THE DRUG TO ANYBODY WHO WILL COME AND
17 SUBMIT AN APPLICATION AND WHO ACTUALLY IS ACCEPTED,
18 MEANING THAT THE MUTATION CAN BE TREATED WITH AN ASO
19 AND WE BELIEVE THAT WE CAN HAVE BENEFIT.

20 I ALSO WANTED TO MENTION THAT EVEN THOUGH
21 WE TALK ABOUT AN N OF 1, THE N OF 1 OF TODAY WILL
22 CERTAINLY BECOME THE N OF MANY TOMORROW, ESPECIALLY
23 WITH MORE GENOME SEQUENCING BEING DONE AS DR.
24 YAMAMOTO WAS SAYING. SO EVEN THOUGH WE MAY THINK OF
25 THIS AS WE'RE ONLY FOCUSING ON ONE PATIENT AND WHAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IS THE BENEFIT TO THAT, I THINK THE BENEFIT IS THAT
2 WE HAVE A HUGE POSSIBILITY OF UNDERSTANDING BIOLOGY
3 AND MECHANISM OF ACTION AND MECHANISM OF DISEASE.
4 WE ARE REALLY TARGETING THE MOLECULAR MECHANISM OF
5 DISEASE OF THESE PATIENTS. AND SO BY UNDERSTANDING
6 THAT BIOLOGY, WE'LL BE ABLE TO APPLY THIS BIOLOGY
7 FROM THIS DISEASE TO MANY MORE DISEASES AND TO
8 COMPLEX DISEASES AND SO FORTH.

9 SO I THINK WE SHOULD NOT JUST THINK OF IT
10 AS THAT WE'RE ONLY TREATING ONE PATIENT. AND TO
11 GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE, OUR FIRST PATIENT WE STARTED TO
12 TREAT A YEAR AGO THAT HAS A KIF1A MUTATION, A VERY
13 SPECIFIC KIF1A MUTATION. WE DEVELOPED A SELECTIVE
14 ASO FOR THAT PATIENT. HER SEIZURES HAVE
15 DRAMATICALLY DROPPED. SHE HAS BEEN ABLE TO GAIN IN
16 DOMAINS THAT WE DIDN'T THINK SHE COULD GAIN AGAIN.
17 AND WE HAVE ALSO FOUND THAT THAT SPECIFIC ASO, EVEN
18 THOUGH IT WAS SPECIFICALLY DEVELOPED FOR HER BASED
19 ON A SPECIFIC SNP IN HER GENOME, WE'LL ABLE TO USE
20 IN OTHER PATIENTS WITH KIF1A.

21 SO I THINK WE THINK WE WILL LEARN OVER
22 TIME THAT, AGAIN, WE START TREATING ONE PATIENT, BUT
23 WE'LL BE ABLE TO TREAT MORE PATIENS AND REALLY
24 UNDERSTAND HOW WE CAN AFFECT THE GENETIC MUTATION.

25 SO ALSO WANTED TO SAY THAT THE FDA IS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 UPDATING THEIR GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS, AND WE'VE HAD
2 REALLY GOOD DISCUSSIONS WITH THEM OF SPECIFICALLY
3 WHAT HAPPENS TO THE N OF A FEW. AND SO I THINK THIS
4 IS A ROADMAP THAT IS BEING DEVELOPED. BUT IN THE
5 MEANTIME I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT FOR US TO FOCUS
6 ON THE PATIENTS AT HAND AND PATIENTS IN FRONT OF US
7 AND THE PATIENTS THAT DR. KIM-MCMANUS IS TRYING TO
8 TREAT THAT HAS AN ASO DEVELOPED FOR THEM, AND WE
9 NEED FUNDING TO BE ABLE TO START THE CLINICAL TRIAL.
10 SO THIS KID IS JUST WAITING FOR TREATMENT TO START.
11 AND BECAUSE WE STARTED TREATING ANOTHER SCN2A
12 PATIENT AT ANOTHER UNIVERSITY, WE KNOW THAT SUCH
13 THERAPY AND TARGETING THE MOLECULAR BASIS OF THIS IS
14 WORKING BECAUSE IT'S WORKING IN THIS OTHER PERSON,
15 ALSO AGAIN REDUCING THEIR SEIZURES.

16 SO WITH THAT, I JUST WANTED TO BRING OUT
17 THOSE POINTS AND JUST LET YOU KNOW WHERE WE THINK
18 THE FUTURE IS, THAT WE'LL BE ABLE TO REALLY
19 UNDERSTAND DISEASE BIOLOGY, MECHANISM.

20 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU, DR. MIGNON. YOUR
21 THREE MINUTES IS UP. I KNOW WE WANT TO GET TO THE
22 OTHERS WHO HAVE THEIR HANDS RAISED. THANK YOU.

23 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: I SEE DR. WILLIFORD.

24 DR. WILLIFORD: YES. DR. AMY WILLIFORD.
25 I ALSO WORK WITH DR. MIGNON AT THE N-LOREM

1 FOUNDATION.

2 I JUST WANT TO REITERATE SOME POINTS THAT
3 SHE HAD MADE AND ALSO JUST KIND OF PROVIDE A LITTLE
4 BIT OF UNDERSTANDING ON HOW THE SPECIFIC PROPOSAL
5 THAT WE HAVE ON THE TABLE COULD REALLY HELP BRIDGE
6 THE GAP BETWEEN WHAT WE'RE DOING HERE A N-LOREM AS A
7 DRUG DISCOVERY AND NON-PROFIT DRUG DISCOVERY GROUP
8 TO SUPPORT THE PHYSICIANS WHO ARE HELPING THESE
9 PATIENTS, WHO HAVE THESE PATIENTS COME IN AND THEY
10 NEED SUPPORT TO HELP WITH THE CLINICAL COST OF CARE.

11 AND SO THERE WAS A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT I
12 THINK, WHEN YOU'RE THINKING ABOUT N OF 1, WHICH WE
13 THINK OF AS N OF FEW, I THINK AS LAURY MENTIONED,
14 THAT WE HAVE FOUND OTHER PATIENTS THAT CAN BENEFIT
15 FROM DRUGS THAT WE HAVE DEVELOPED. AND I THINK AS
16 WE GET MORE AND MORE DRUGS DEVELOPED FOR A SINGLE
17 PATIENT, WE'RE GOING TO FIND MORE AND MORE PATIENTS
18 THAT COULD BENEFIT FROM THAT SINGLE DRUG.

19 AND SO WHAT WE'RE REALLY LOOKING FOR IS A
20 WAY TO SUPPORT THE PHYSICIANS THAT ARE WILLING TO
21 TREAT THESE PATIENTS THAT HAVE WORKED WITH US IN THE
22 DEVELOPMENT, THE DISCOVERY, WORKED WITH US IN
23 IDENTIFYING MEASURES THAT WE CAN LOOK AT HOW TO
24 ASSESS THE BENEFIT OF THESE DRUGS AND SUPPORTING
25 THESE PHYSICIANS AND THEIR PATIENTS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I THINK WE'RE IN THE EARLY DAYS OF
2 UNDERSTANDING HOW SINGLE-GENE MUTATIONS CAN AFFECT
3 SORT OF THE OVERALL HEALTH OF THE PATIENT. AND WHAT
4 WE LEARNED FROM OUR KIF1A PATIENTS AND OUR SCN2A
5 PATIENTS WILL HELP THAT ENTIRE GROUP OF PATIENTS
6 WHETHER THEY HAVE THAT SPECIFIC GENE MUTATION OR
7 NOT. WE THINK THAT THESE EXPERIMENTS ARE REALLY
8 IMPORTANT TO PROVIDE BROADER UNDERSTANDING FOR THAT
9 DISEASE AND WILL HELP ALL OF THOSE PATIENTS.

10 AND THEN I JUST WANTED TO ALSO REITERATE
11 HOW UNDERSERVED THESE PATIENTS ARE. AND SO IN MY
12 ROLE, I DEAL WITH A LOT OF THE PATIENTS THAT COME
13 INTO N-LOREM. AND THESE PATIENTS ARE KIND OF AT THE
14 END OF THEIR ROAD. AND SO THEY'VE HAD A LONG
15 DIAGNOSTIC JOURNEY. THEY'VE HAD NO HELP FROM THE
16 HEALTHCARE INDUSTRY ASIDE FROM GETTING A DIAGNOSIS
17 AND UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE'S NO MEDICINE THAT CAN
18 HELP THESE PATIENTS. AND SO THEY REALLY ARE, WHEN
19 YOU THINK ABOUT AN UNDERSERVED PATIENT POPULATION,
20 THEY ARE THE MOST UNDERSERVED POPULATION THAT WE
21 HAVE. A LOT OF THEM DO NOT HAVE THE FINANCIAL MEANS
22 TO PAY FOR THEIR CLINICAL CARE.

23 AND SO I THINK IT'S A HEALTHCARE
24 RESPONSIBILITY THAT WE HELP THESE PATIENTS EVEN
25 THOUGH THEY'RE NOT A PATIENT THAT WOULD HAVE, LIKE,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DIABETES OR A VERY LARGE DISEASE. THEY CAN STILL BE
2 ABLE TO ACCESS THE CARE THAT THEY NEED AND THE
3 MEDICINES THAT THEY NEED TO HAVE A QUALITY OF LIFE.

4 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: CLAUDETTE, I DON'T
5 KNOW IF THERE ARE ANY OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT. I DON'T
6 SEE ANY. IF YOU SEE ANY THERE.

7 DR. KIM-MCMANUS: WILL I BE ABLE TO SPEAK
8 AT THIS POINT? I'M SO SORRY. I DIDN'T RAISE MY
9 HAND. MY NAME IS OLIVIA KIM-MCMANUS. I'M THE PI
10 ON THE GRANT THAT WAS SUBMITTED, THE SINGLE
11 APPLICATION THAT IS PROMPTING THIS DISCUSSION.

12 JUST AS BACKGROUND, I DO WORK AT UC SAN
13 DIEGO, RADY CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL IN ASSOCIATION WITH
14 THE CHILDREN'S INSTITUTE OF GENOMIC MEDICINE. I
15 APPRECIATE THE SUPPORT AND THE EFFORT THAT IS GIVEN
16 TO MY N OF 1 APPLICATION. ULTIMATELY, LIKE DR.
17 YAMAMOTO SAID, THESE ARE NOT REALLY N OF 1 DISEASES,
18 AND THERE ARE GOING TO BE MORE DISEASES THAT ARE
19 DIAGNOSED IN THE FUTURE IN CALIFORNIA. SPECIFICALLY
20 NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISEASE IMPACTS MORE THAN ONE OUT
21 50 CALIFORNIANS WITH EPILEPSY AND AUTISM AND MORE
22 THAN 75,000 CHILDREN AT BIRTH THROUGH TWO, TWO DOZEN
23 YEARS AGO WERE ELIGIBLE FOR EARLY INTERVENTION AND
24 MANY OF THESE ARE BIOGENETIC ETIOLOGIES. WHEN THESE
25 GENETIC THERAPIES AS THEY ARE COMING DOWN THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PIPELINE, WHETHER THROUGH ASO OR GENE REPLACEMENT,
2 AND THEY ARE TAILORED TO THE ETIOLOGY OF THOSE
3 SYMPTOMS, THIS IS GOING TO BE VERY IMPORTANT IN
4 IMPROVING THE CLINICAL CARE FOR THESE PATIENTS, BUT
5 ALSO FOR US TO HELP UNDERSTAND THE PATHOPHYSIOLOGY
6 OF GENETIC DISEASE SO THAT WE CAN OPTIMIZE
7 (UNINTELLIGIBLE) BETTER SCIENCE AS WELL.

8 AND SO THESE KIDS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL
9 EPILEPTIC ENCEPHALOPATHIES DUE TO GENETIC MUTATIONS
10 ARE ACTUALLY MORE COMMON THAN SMA; BUT BECAUSE OF
11 THE HETEROGENEITY, THERE'S NO ONE COMMON TREATMENT
12 OR COHESIVE EFFORT. AND SO THIS IS EXACTLY WHY
13 THESE PATIENTS WITH RARE MUTATIONS ARE AN
14 UNDERSERVED DEMOGRAPHIC THAT SPECIFICALLY SPEAKS TO
15 CIRM'S MISSION FOR SUPPORT BECAUSE THEY ARE UNABLE
16 TO GET ANY SORT OF COMMERCIAL EFFORTS TOWARDS DRUG
17 DEVELOPMENT BECAUSE OF THE RARITY OF DISEASE.

18 THE QUESTION IS NOT REALLY ABOUT WHETHER
19 SHOULD WE SUPPORT THIS MISNOMERED, PERHAPS, N OF 1,
20 AND WE REFER TO THAT CURRENTLY, BUT HOPEFULLY IN THE
21 FUTURE, AS THESE OTHER THERAPIES COMING DOWN THE
22 PIPELINE PROMPT MORE AND MORE GENETIC TESTING,
23 EARLIER DIAGNOSIS, AND POTENTIAL FOR INTERVENTIONAL
24 THERAPIES, WE WILL LEARN WHETHER OR NOT BECAUSE THIS
25 IS A SCIENTIFIC EXPERIMENT. IT'S NOT A CLINICAL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THERAPY OR TREATMENT. THIS IS A SCIENCE AND THIS IS
2 WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT IN TERMS OF ONE PATIENT AS A
3 PHASE 1 OR PHASE 2 THAT WE CAN'T DO IN A STANDARD
4 CLINICAL TRIAL FORMAT, BUT THAT HAS VALIDITY AND
5 RELEVANCE FOR NOT JUST THIS ONE DISEASE, BUT FOR
6 MANY GENETIC DISEASES BECAUSE THERE ARE SO MANY THAT
7 ARE JUST NOT TESTED.

8 THE QUESTION IS NOT WHETHER WE DELIVER IT
9 TO THIS ONE PATIENT, BUT HOW WILL THIS FURTHER OUR
10 OPPORTUNITY TO GET MORE GENETIC TESTING AVAILABLE
11 ACROSS THE BOARD FOR ALL CALIFORNIANS BECAUSE THERE,
12 FOR SURE, ARE OTHER PATIENTS WHO ARE NOT DIAGNOSED
13 AND NEED TO HAVE ACCESS TO GENETIC THERAPY AND
14 DIAGNOSIS, NOT JUST THE THERAPY, BUT DIAGNOSIS. AND
15 SO THIS IS WHERE CIRM IS UNIQUELY POSITIONED. I
16 AGREE THAT CIRM SHOULD NOT -- IT DOESN'T HARM THE
17 CIRM MANDATE TO FUND EVERY SINGLE TRIAL OUT THERE,
18 BUT WHEN THERE IS --

19 MR. TOCHER: DR. MCMANUS, TIME IS UP I'M
20 AFRAID.

21 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: OKAY. OTHER PUBLIC
22 COMMENT, QUESTIONS?

23 MS. MANDAC: THERE ARE NO HANDS RAISED
24 VIRTUAL OR IN PERSON.

25 MR. TOCHER: I THINK WE'RE READY TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROCEED TO A VOTE WHEN YOU ARE.

2 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES. LET'S PROCEED
3 WITH THE VOTE. ROLL CALL PLEASE.

4 MR. TOCHER: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.

5 DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.

6 MR. TOCHER: MARIA BONNEVILLE.

7 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.

8 MR. TOCHER: DEBORAH DEAS.

9 DR. DEAS: YES.

10 MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.

11 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.

12 MR. TOCHER: JUDY GASSON.

13 DR. GASSON: YES.

14 MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.

15 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

16 MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.

17 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.

18 MR. TOCHER: PAT LEVITT.

19 DR. LEVITT: YES.

20 MR. TOCHER: CHRIS MIASKOWSKI.

21 DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.

22 MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON.

23 DR. WATSON: YES.

24 MR. TOCHER: KEITH YAMAMOTO.

25 DR. YAMAMOTO: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE
2 MOTION CARRIES. MARK.

3 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: OKAY. THAT WRAPS UP
4 THE DISCUSSION FOR THIS POINT, AND I'LL TURN IT OVER
5 TO THE RIGHT PERSON TO CLOSE THE MEETING OUT.

6 MR. TOCHER: AT THIS POINT WE'LL CALL FOR
7 PUBLIC COMMENT ON ANY MATTER NOT ON THE AGENDA. SO,
8 CLAUDETTE, CAN YOU LET US KNOW IF ANYONE ELSE HAS
9 FURTHER COMMENT?

10 MS. MANDAC: THERE ARE NO HANDS RAISED
11 VIRTUAL OR PHYSICAL.

12 MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANK YOU. MARK AND
13 LARRY, YOU CAN ADJOURN THE MEETING IF YOU HAVE NO
14 FURTHER COMMENTS.

15 MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: NONE FOR ME. LARRY,
16 I DON'T KNOW IF YOU HAVE OTHER COMMENTS TO WRAP UP.

17 DR. GOLDSTEIN: NO. LET'S CLOSE IT
18 UP.

19 (THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 9:58 A.M.)
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON JANUARY 17, 2024, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152
133 HENNA COURT
SANDPOINT, IDAHO
(208) 920-3543