

#### INDEX

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.	
OPEN SESSION		
1. CALL TO ORDER	3	
2. ROLL CALL	3	
3. CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSALS FROM EXECUTIVE SEARCH FIRMS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS	4	
4. PUBLIC COMMENT	NONE	
5. ADJOURNMENT	27	

	BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152
1	JANUARY 19, 2024; 1 P.M.
2	
3	DR. BARRETT: ALL RIGHT. SO WE HAVE TO
4	HAVE A ROLL CALL, RIGHT, CLAUDETTE?
5	MS. MANDAC: YES. SCOTT, DO YOU WANT ME
6	TO TAKE IT?
7	MR. TOCHER: SURE. GO AHEAD.
8	MS. MANDAC: MOHAMED ABOUSALEM.
9	DR. ABOUSALEM: PRESENT.
10	MS. MANDAC: KIM BARRETT.
11	DR. BARRETT: PRESENT.
12	MS. MANDAC: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
13	DR. BLUMENTHAL: HERE.
14	MS. MANDAC: MARIA BONNEVILLE.
15	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: HERE.
16	MS. MANDAC: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
17	ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
18	DR. DULIEGE: HERE.
19	MS. MANDAC: FRED FISHER. JUDY GASSON.
20	DR. GASSON: HERE.
21	MS. MANDAC: VITO IMBASCIANI.
22	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: HERE.
23	MS. MANDAC: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
24	MR. JUELSGAARD: PRESENT.
25	MS. MANDAC: JOE PANETTA.
	3

1	MR. PANETTA: HERE.
2	MS. MANDAC: THANK YOU ALL SO MUCH.
3	DR. BARRETT: AND WE HAVE A QUORUM, I TAKE
4	IT.
5	MS. MANDAC: YOU DO HAVE A QUORUM, YES.
6	DR. BARRETT: OUTSTANDING. SO THANKS,
7	EVERYBODY, FOR COMING ESPECIALLY ON A FRIDAY
8	AFTERNOON. AND GEORGE AND I HAVE DECIDED TO TAKE IT
9	IN TURNS CHAIRING THESE MEETINGS, BUT I WILL
10	DEFINITELY LEAN ON HIM AS WE GO ALONG. HAPPY NEW
11	YEAR TO EVERYBODY, AND THANK YOU AGAIN FOR YOUR
12	SERVICE ON THIS IMPORTANT COMMITTEE.
13	JUST A QUICK REMINDER ABOUT
14	CONFIDENTIALITY. EVEN THOUGH THIS IS A PUBLIC
15	MEETING, AT SOME POINT IN THE PROCESS, WE WILL BE
16	DISCUSSING THINGS THAT ARE CONFIDENTIAL. SO IT'S
17	IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER THAT.
18	SO THE MAIN ORDER OF BUSINESS THAT WE HAVE
19	TODAY IS TO DISCUSS THE SELECTION OF AN EXECUTIVE
20	SEARCH FIRM TO ASSIST US WITH THE SEARCH, ESPECIALLY
21	TO MAKE SURE THAT WE CAN ATTRACT A REALLY ROBUST AND
22	DIVERSE POOL OF CANDIDATES FOR THIS INCREDIBLY
23	IMPORTANT ROLE AND ALSO TO HELP US WITH WHAT WE
24	ANTICIPATE WILL BE A SUBSTANTIAL CANDIDATE POOL THAT
25	WOULD BE VERY UNWIELDY IF WE JUST DEAL WITH THAT AS
	A
	4

1	A COMMITTEE BY OURSELVES. SO WE ARE VERY GRATEFUL
2	THAT WE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO USE AN EXECUTIVE
3	SEARCH FIRM TO SUPPLEMENT THE OUTREACH THAT WE CAN
4	DO INDIVIDUALLY AND AS AN ORGANIZATION TO MAKE SURE
5	THAT THE INFORMATION ABOUT THE POSITION GETS OUT
6	WIDELY AND THEN, AS I SAID, TO HELP US WITH
7	PRIORITIZING THE CANDIDATES TO DEVOTE THE MAJORITY
8	OF OUR ATTENTION TO IN TERMS OF BEING DEEMED THE
9	MOST QUALIFIED.
10	AND AS YOU KNOW, WE DEVELOPED A SET OF
11	CRITERIA THAT WE BELIEVE ARE IMPORTANT ATTRIBUTES OF
12	A FUTURE PRESIDENT. AND THAT WILL BE A STARTING
13	POINT FOR WHICHEVER FIRM WE SELECT TO DEVELOP A
14	DETAILED SORT OF PROSPECTUS OF THE POSITION THAT
15	WILL ALSO ENCOURAGE PEOPLE THAT THIS IS AN
16	EXTRAORDINARY OPPORTUNITY WHICH I KNOW WE ALL
17	BELIEVE IT IS.
18	IN TERMS OF GIVING YOU A LITTLE BIT OF
19	BACKGROUND ON THE PROCESS THAT WE'VE GONE THROUGH IN
20	MONTH OR SO THAT HAS INTERVENED SINCE OUR LAST
21	MEETING, THERE WAS A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS THAT WAS
22	ISSUED TO ENCOURAGE FIRMS TO PUT THEIR HAT IN THE
23	RING FOR THIS ROLE. AND I THINK GEORGE AND SCOTT
24	AND I WERE EXTREMELY PLEASED THAT, EVEN THOUGH THIS
25	WAS OVER THE HOLIDAY SEASON, THERE WAS A VERY ROBUST

5

1	RESPONSE TO THAT WITH 12 QUITE DIVERSE COMPANIES
2	SENDING US PROPOSALS. AND I BELIEVE YOU ALL HAD
3	ACCESS TO THE MATERIALS THAT THEY SUBMITTED.
4	NEXT IN THE PROCESS WE REVIEWED THE
5	PROSPECTUSES AND ALL OF THE SUPPORTING INFORMATION,
6	THE COST OF THE ENGAGEMENT, THE TRACK RECORD IN
7	PREVIOUS SEARCHES WITH AT LEAST SIMILAR
8	CHARACTERISTICS. AND THEN GEORGE AND I
9	INDEPENDENTLY, WITHOUT CONSULTING, SORT OF MADE OUR
10	TOP PICKS. AND IT WAS QUITE REASSURING THAT THERE
11	WAS A HUGE DEGREE OF CONGRUENCE IN THE FIRMS THAT WE
12	CONSIDERED MOST ELIGIBLE FOR THIS ROLE. AND WE
13	DECIDED TO CONDUCT A BRIEF PHONE WELL, NOT PHONE
14	INTERVIEWS, ZOOM INTERVIEWS WITH THE FIRMS. AND
15	THEY VARIED IN WHO THEY BROUGHT TO THE TABLE, BUT IT
16	WAS MOSTLY THE PRINCIPALS AND THE PEOPLE WHO WOULD
17	BE DIRECTLY INVOLVED IN A SEARCH.
18	SO THE FOUR COMPANIES THAT WE ACTUALLY
19	INTERVIEWED IN THAT SETTING WERE AGB SEARCH, KORN
20	FERRY, WITTKIEFFER, AND SRI. THOSE WERE VERY
21	INTERESTING CONVERSATIONS. WE ALLOCATED HALF AN
22	HOUR, WHICH IN RETROSPECT PROBABLY WASN'T ENOUGH.
23	WE DEVELOPED A SHORT, NOT SO SHORT, A LIST OF NINE
24	STANDARDIZED QUESTIONS. AND IN ADDITION TO GEORGE
25	AND I PARTICIPATING IN THOSE MEETINGS AND SCOTT

6

1	BEING THE SORT OF LEGAL AND ADMIN BACKUP TO MAKE
2	SURE THAT WE KEPT THINGS ON TRACK, MARIA ALSO
3	PARTICIPATED IN THOSE CALLS.
4	FROM THAT PROCESS WE DECIDED THAT ONE OF
5	THE FOUR FIRMS REALLY DID NOT MEET THE CRITERIA THAT
6	WE FELT WERE NEEDED TO PURSUE THE SEARCH. BUT OF
7	THE REMAINING THREE, IT IS OUR RECOMMENDATION THAT
8	SRI BE OFFERED THE OPPORTUNITY TO ASSIST US WITH THE
9	SEARCH.
10	THEY ARE NOT PERHAPS ON EVERYBODY'S RADAR
11	SCREEN AS ONE OF THE SORT OF BIG NAMES IN THE FIELD,
12	BUT THEY ARE A VERY WELL-ESTABLISHED COMPANY. THEY
13	HAVE A VERY GLOBAL PRESENCE, BUT ALSO A STRONG
14	PRESENCE IN THE UNITED STATES. AND, IN FACT, WE
15	FOLLOWED UP WITH THEM ON ONE QUESTION ABOUT THEIR
16	ABILITY TO PLACE PEOPLE IN THE U.S. IN GENERAL AND
17	CALIFORNIA IN PARTICULAR. AND WE WERE VERY
18	REASSURED BY THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION. THE THING
19	THAT WAS PARTICULARLY INTRIGUING WAS THEY SEEM TO BE
20	EXTREMELY INSIGHTFUL ABOUT PLACING INDIVIDUALS IN
21	SORT OF PUBLIC OR QUASI-PUBLIC AGENCIES LIKE CIRM.
22	AND THEY HAVE DONE A VARIETY OF SEARCHES, IN FACT,
23	ACROSS THE GLOBE, PLACING PEOPLE IN ROLES THAT WOULD
24	HAVE SOME FEATURES QUITE SIMILAR TO THE CIRM
25	POSITION.

133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864 208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM

7

-	
1	AND SO WHILE THERE WERE THREE FIRMS THAT
2	WE REALLY THOUGHT WERE ELIGIBLE FOR THE POSITION,
3	THIS IS OUR RECOMMENDATION. AND THIS WAS THE
4	UNANIMOUS RECOMMENDATION OF GEORGE, MARIA, AND
5	MYSELF. AND SO WHILE WE ARE MORE THAN HAPPY TO
6	DISCUSS ANY OF THE OTHER FIRMS THAT YOU HAD ACCESS
7	TO IN TERMS OF THE MATERIALS, I'D CERTAINLY INVITE
8	GEORGE AND MARIA AT THIS POINT TO ADD ANY POINTS
9	THAT I'VE MISSED.
10	DR. BLUMENTHAL: SO LET ME JUST QUICKLY
11	SAY I THINK KIM SUMMARIZED THE CONSIDERATIONS
12	EXTREMELY WELL, AND SO I THINK YOU HAVE IT HERE.
13	I JUST WANT TO REMIND ALL OF YOU THAT THIS
14	IS AN OPEN MEETING RIGHT NOW. SO AS WE DISCUSS THIS
15	DECISION, WE HAVE TO DO IT IN THE CONTEXT OF
16	UNDERSTANDING THAT IT IS AN OPEN MEETING.
17	DR. BARRETT: MARIA, DID YOU WANT TO ADD
18	ANYTHING?
19	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I WANTED TO
20	AMPLIFY YOUR COMMENTS AROUND THE FIRM REALLY
21	UNDERSTANDING WHO WE WERE AS AN ORGANIZATION AND THE
22	CHALLENGES THAT THAT CAN BRING IN LOOKING OUTSIDE OF
23	OR LOOKING IN LOOKING AT PERHAPS RECRUITING OUT
24	OF INDUSTRY OR SOME OTHER MORE TRADITIONAL
25	ORGANIZATIONS AND HOW IT CAN BE A CHALLENGE TO COME
	8

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	TO AN ORGANIZATION WITH THINGS LIKE REQUESTS, JUST
2	BUDGETING AND DIFFERENT CHALLENGES THAT THAT CAN
3	BRING. AND I WAS IT WAS REASSURING THAT THEY
4	TOUCHED ON THAT AND HIGHLIGHTED IT AS SOMETHING THAT
5	THEY WERE AWARE OF AND WERE SENSITIVE TO.
6	DR. BARRETT: THEY ALSO SPOKE AT SOME
7	LENGTH WITHIN THE CONFINES OF A 30-MINUTE INTERVIEW
8	ON THE NUANCES OF A SEARCH WHERE THE INCUMBENT WILL
9	HAVE TO WORK VERY CLOSELY WITH A DIVERSE AND LARGE
10	BOARD WITH MANY DIFFERENT STAKEHOLDERS, WHICH WAS
11	ANOTHER APPEALING FEATURE.
12	STEVE, YOU HAVE A COMMENT OR A QUESTION?
13	MR. JUELSGAARD: YEAH, A QUESTION.
14	UNFORTUNATELY I CAN'T FIND THE LIST. I FORGET IF IT
15	WAS PUT INTO DROP BOX OR WHERE IT WAS DISPLAYED.
16	BUT I WAS WONDERING IF SCOTT COULD JUST SEND ME A
17	COPY OR SEND US A COPY REAL QUICKLY OF THE SRI, THE
18	DESCRIPTION THAT THEY HAD FOR THIS SEARCH. I JUST
19	WOULD LIKE TO LOOK AT IT BRIEFLY IF YOU DON'T MIND
20	AGAIN.
21	DR. BARRETT: SCOTT, YOU'RE ON MUTE.
22	MR. TOCHER: THEIR PROPOSAL, STEVE?
23	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES, PLEASE.
24	MR. TOCHER: STAND BY.
25	MR. JUELSGAARD: THANKS.
	9

1	DR. BARRETT: JOE.
2	MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. AND THANKS TO
3	YOU AND GEORGE AND MARIA FOR AMAZINGLY HARD WORK AND
4	A GREAT JOB GETTING THIS ALL PREPARED FOR US. AND I
5	DON'T KNOW WHAT I CAN AND CAN'T ASK IN AN OPEN
6	MEETING LIKE THIS, BUT I'LL ASK IT AND TELL ME IF
7	I'M OUT OF LINE.
8	WE DID A COUPLE OF SEARCHES BEFORE. WE
9	DIDN'T USE SRI. I'M IMPRESSED THAT THEY CAME
10	THROUGH ABOVE I DON'T KNOW WHO WE USED BEFORE.
11	I'M GUESSING THAT WE USED KORN FERRY BEFORE. BUT I
12	GUESS YOU MUST HAVE BEEN IMPRESSED GIVEN THAT KORN
13	FERRY HAD THE EXPERIENCE DOING THIS BEFORE, THAT SRI
14	WENT BEYOND WHAT KORN FERRY'S CAPABILITIES WOULD BE.
15	DR. BARRETT: WE WERE INDEED IMPRESSED.
16	AND IN THIS OPEN SETTING, WE DISCUSSED AHEAD OF TIME
17	THAT WE REALLY CAN'T GO INTO DETAILED CATALOGING
18	STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES. BUT SUFFICE TO SAY THAT
19	WE BELIEVE THAT THEY CAME OUT AHEAD OF KORN FERRY,
20	WHO I THINK IT'S A MATTER OF PUBLIC RECORD WAS THE
21	FIRM THAT WAS USED PREVIOUSLY.
22	MR. PANETTA: THANKS.
23	DR. BLUMENTHAL: IF I COULD JUST INTERJECT
24	REALLY QUICKLY, I MIGHT ALSO ADD WE DID TALK TO SOME
25	PEOPLE WHO HAD ADDITIONAL EXPERIENCE WITH SEVERAL OF
	10

1	THE FIRMS AND GOT FEEDBACK FROM THEM ABOUT THEIR
2	EXPERIENCES.
3	DR. DULIEGE: SCOTT, CAN YOU SEND THE SAME
4	RESPONSE FROM SRI TO ALL OF US OR SEVERAL OF US?
5	MR. TOCHER: SURE. YES.
6	DR. DULIEGE: SO WE HAVE THE SAME
7	INFORMATION.
8	DR. ABOUSALEM: ALL OF US WOULD BE
9	HELPFUL.
10	MR. JUELSGAARD: SCOTT, IS THERE AN
11	OPPORTUNITY TO GO INTO CLOSED SESSION IN CASE WE
12	WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS THE ADVANTAGES OF SRI OVER
13	KORN FERRY?
14	MR. TOCHER: STEVE, WE HAVEN'T NOTICED A
15	CLOSED SESSION FOR THIS BECAUSE THE DISCUSSION OF
16	THE PARTICULARS OF THE APPLICATIONS THEMSELVES ARE
17	NOT THE ITEMS IN THE APPLICATIONS THEMSELVES ARE
18	NOT CONFIDENTIAL. AND SO WE ASKED THE FIRMS TO
19	IDENTIFY ANY INFORMATION PURSUANT TO THIS PROCESS,
20	ANY INFORMATION WHICH IS CONFIDENTIAL AND SHOULD NOT
21	BE DISCLOSED AND SEGREGATE THAT. AND NO ONE HAS
22	SEGREGATED THAT INFORMATION.
23	SO IT IS SO WE'RE CONSTRAINED BY THE
24	LIMITS OF BAGLEY KEENE AS TO WHAT ITEMS WE CAN GO
25	INTO CLOSED SESSION FOR. AND THE PRIMARY ROLE OF A
	11

-	
1	CONTRACTOR ISN'T THE SAME AS A PERSONNEL DECISION.
2	MR. JUELSGAARD: SO WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS
3	WE CAN OR CANNOT DISCUSS THE MERITS AND DIFFERENCES
4	OF EACH IN OPEN SESSION?
5	MR. TOCHER: NO. WE CAN DISCUSS THE
6	MERITS AND HOW THEY COMPARE.
7	MR. JUELSGAARD: OKAY. BECAUSE I THINK
8	KIM INDICATED SOMETHING TO THE CONTRARY.
9	DR. BARRETT: WE DECIDED AHEAD OF THE
10	MEETING THAT IT PROBABLY WASN'T APPROPRIATE TO DO A
11	LENGTHY DISCUSSION OF EACH APPLICANT OR PROPOSER,
12	NOT LEAST BECAUSE MOST LIKELY THEY ARE FOLLOWING
13	ALONG WITH THIS MEETING. AND I DON'T THINK IT WOULD
14	BE APPROPRIATE TO DISCLOSE TO ANOTHER FIRM WHAT WE
15	DISCUSSED WITH ONE OF THE COMPETITIVE FIRMS IN THE
16	PHONE INTERVIEWS.
17	MR. JUELSGAARD: I FIND THAT A LITTLE
18	DIFFICULT BECAUSE, IN ESSENCE, YOU'RE ASKING US
19	BASICALLY TO LET YOU TWO BE THE DECISION MAKERS. I
20	MEAN THERE'S HARDLY EVEN A NEED FOR THIS MEETING,
21	RIGHT, BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE WE CAN'T DISCUSS THE
22	MERITS OF ONE AGAINST ANOTHER, WHICH IS, AT LEAST
23	FOR ME, A LITTLE DISCONCERTING TO BE INVOLVED IN
24	THIS PROCESS.
25	DR. BARRETT: I DO HEAR WHERE YOU'RE
	12

1	COMING FROM, WHICH IS WHY WE SENT OUT ALL OF THE
2	MATERIALS AHEAD OF TIME WITH A REQUEST IF YOU
3	WOULD LIKE TO RAISE ANY PARTICULAR QUESTIONS ABOUT A
4	PARTICULAR PROPOSAL, WE'D BE HAPPY TO TRY AND ANSWER
5	THAT. BUT WE RECOGNIZE ALSO THAT THIS ALL HAPPENED
6	OVER THE HOLIDAYS. PEOPLE WEREN'T PAYING AS MUCH
7	ATTENTION TO THEIR EMAIL AS THEY MIGHT OTHERWISE
8	MR. JUELSGAARD: NO. THIS IS MORE THIS
9	IS YOUR ANALYSIS ON A COMPARATIVE BASIS. IT HAS
10	LITTLE TO DO WITH WHAT WE READ IN THOSE PROPOSALS.
11	IT'S, RATHER, HOW YOU GUYS THOUGHT ABOUT IT TO COME
12	TO THE POINT OF VIEW THAT THIS IS THE BETTER
13	APPROACH, TO TAKE SRI AS OPPOSED TO ANYONE ELSE. SO
14	THAT REALLY ISN'T ANYWHERE IN THE MATERIALS THAT
15	WERE SENT TO US. THIS IS, RATHER, A DIFFERENT
16	ISSUE.
17	DR. BARRETT: IT WAS A COMBINATION. IT
18	WAS A COMBINATION OF LOOKING AT THE WRITTEN
19	MATERIALS, BUT THEN ALSO HOW THEY RESPONDED IN THE
20	ZOOM MEETINGS.
21	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I CAN ADD A LITTLE
22	BIT, STEVE. FROM MY VIEWPOINT, I DO THINK ANY OF
23	THE FIRMS THE FIRMS THAT WE INTERVIEWED, THREE OF
24	THEM REALLY ALL WERE GREAT FIRMS. SO IT CAME DOWN
25	TO A CONNECTION VIA THE ZOOM AND HOW WE PERCEIVED
	10

1	WORKING WITH THEM LONG TERM IN THIS SEARCH PROCESS.
2	ONE THING I REALLY LIKED ABOUT SRI IS HOW
3	THEY TALKED VERY DEEPLY ABOUT CULTURAL FIT AND
4	MAKING SURE THAT THE PERSON COMING IN, THAT THEY
5	THEMSELVES HAD A DEEP UNDERSTANDING ABOUT WHO WE
6	WERE AS AN ORGANIZATION, WHAT OUR LT, THE LEADERSHIP
7	STYLES, AND ALIGNMENT FROM THE BOARD AS TO WHAT THEY
8	WERE LOOKING FOR, AND THEN WHO TO TALK TO IN
9	GATHERING THIS INFORMATION AND THEN PUTTING TOGETHER
10	AN UNDERSTANDING FOR THEMSELVES IN GOING OUT TO
11	SEARCH FOR THIS PERSON. SO I LIKED HOW THEY
12	HIGHLIGHTED THAT, AND THEY SPOKE A LOT ABOUT FINDING
13	THE RIGHT PERSON BASED ON A CULTURAL FIT WITH THE
14	THE BIGGEST LINE OBVIOUSLY BEING THAT THEY MET OUR
15	CRITERIA, THAT THEY WERE THAT THEY WERE SOMEONE
16	THAT WE WOULD FIND EXCEPTIONAL, BUT THEN ALSO GOING
17	TO THAT EXTRA LEVEL OF THE PERSONALITY AND THE
18	CULTURAL FIT.
19	AND THEN, AGAIN, I REALLY DID GO BACK TO
20	THEY HIGHLIGHTED JUST UNDERSTANDING, AGAIN, HOW
21	DIFFICULT IT WOULD BE NOT TO FIND SOMEONE, BUT HOW
22	DIFFICULT IT CAN BE TO COME INTO AN ORGANIZATION AND
23	NOT UNDERSTAND WHAT IT'S LIKE TO WORK FOR AN
24	INSTITUTION LIKE OURS OR AN ORGANIZATION LIKES OURS
25	AND THE CHALLENGES THAT COULD POSE AND MAKING SURE

1	THAT THEY WERE ABLE TO FERRET THAT OUT FROM THE
2	DIFFERENT INDIVIDUALS WHO WOULD BE CONSIDERED FOR
3	THIS POSITION.
4	ALSO, THE TIMELINES WERE ALSO I LOOKED
5	AT THEIR TIMELINE. AND I KNOW IF WE DON'T HAVE THE
6	RIGHT PEOPLE, IT'S OKAY IF WE GO BEYOND A CERTAIN
7	TIMELINE. BUT THEIR TIMELINES DEFINITELY FIT WITHIN
8	OUR TIMELINES. SO THINGS SEEMED TO REALLY ALIGN
9	WELL WITH THEM. THAT WAS MY VIEWPOINT.
10	DR. BLUMENTHAL: IF I COULD JUST JUMP IN
11	AND ADD ONE THING, STEVE, TO WHAT MARIA JUST SAID,
12	WHICH IS WE ALSO HAD TO JUDGE HOW WE FELT ABOUT
13	WORKING WITH THESE PEOPLE BECAUSE WE ARE GOING TO BE
14	WORKING WE AS A COMMITTEE ARE GOING TO BE WORKING
15	CLOSELY WITH WHATEVER FIRM WE CHOOSE OVER THE NEXT
16	SEVERAL MONTHS. AND IT'S GOING TO BE REALLY
17	IMPORTANT TO HAVE CLEAR AND GOOD COMMUNICATIONS. SO
18	FOR ME, AT LEAST, THIS WAS AN IMPORTANT
19	CONSIDERATION IN THINKING ABOUT HOW TO EVALUATE
20	THOSE INTERVIEWS.
21	MR. JUELSGAARD: THANK YOU, SCOTT, FOR
22	SENDING. THE ONE THING THAT I WONDER ABOUT, THE
23	LAST TIME WE DID A SEARCH, WE WENT WITH THIS I'M
24	SPEAKING FOR MYSELF NOW AN EXCEPTIONAL PRESIDENT
25	IN RANDY MILLS, WHO REALLY SET THIS ORGANIZATION ON
	15

1	THE PATH THAT WE'RE ON TODAY. AND RANDY CAME FROM
2	INDUSTRY. AND I THINK INDUSTRY CAN BE AN IMPORTANT
3	SOURCE FOR LEADERSHIP IN THIS ORGANIZATION, SOMEBODY
4	WHO KNOWS HOW TO MANAGE AND RUN A STAFF LIKE WE HAVE
5	AND HAS A DIFFERENT SET OF IDEAS THAN NECESSARILY
6	COMING FROM THE RESEARCH OR ACADEMIA AREA.
7	AND I'M JUST LOOKING AT SRI IN DEALING
8	WITH WHAT THEY CALL PHARMACEUTICALS AND RELATED
9	ORGANIZATIONS. TO BE QUITE FRANK, FOR ME IT'S NOT
10	THAT IT'S NOT THAT IMPRESSIVE, CERTAINLY LESS
11	IMPRESSIVE THAN KORN FERRY HAD IN MY REVIEW OF THESE
12	AHEAD OF TIME.
13	SO, ANYWAY, JUST I WANT TO CITE THAT AS A
14	NOTE OF CONCERN ON MY PART. DO THEY REALLY HAVE THE
15	ABILITY TO SEARCH BROADLY, INCLUDING WITHIN
16	INDUSTRY, FOR SOMEBODY WHO MIGHT FILL THE ROLE OF
17	PRESIDENT? THAT'S, AGAIN, BASED UPON MY EXPERIENCE.
18	I'VE BEEN WITH THIS ORGANIZATION NOW FOR 12 YEARS,
19	BEEN THROUGH THREE PRESIDENTS. SO I'VE SEEN SOME
20	DIFFERENT WAYS OF HANDLING THE ORGANIZATION OVER
21	THAT PERIOD OF TIME AND NOTE THAT THERE'S BEEN ONE
22	REALLY STRONG PRESIDENT AMONGST THOSE THREE, AT
23	LEAST IN MY VIEW.
24	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I BELIEVE, AND
25	SCOTT CAN SPEAK TO THIS, I BELIEVE WE DID FOLLOW UP
	16

1	WITH TWO OF THE FIRMS AGAIN, AS SCOTT HAD MENTIONED,
2	TO GET A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF THE DEPTH BOTH IN
3	INDUSTRY AS WELL AS GEOGRAPHICALLY TO MAKE SURE THAT
4	THAT WAS COVERED.
5	MR. JUELSGAARD: OKAY.
6	DR. BARRETT: AND I'LL JUST ADD TO THAT.
7	I THINK ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I WAS PARTICULARLY
8	IMPRESSED BY WITH SRI WAS THE THOUGHTFUL WAY THEY
9	TALKED ABOUT FINDING CANDIDATES FROM MANY DIFFERENT
10	STREAMS, INCLUDING INDUSTRY, INCLUDING ACADEMIA, BUT
11	OTHER TYPES OF CANDIDATES THAT THEY HAVE ENCOUNTERED
12	ALONG THE COURSE OF PLACING INDIVIDUALS IN
13	GOVERNMENT OR PUBLIC OR QUASI-PUBLIC POSITIONS. AND
14	THEY CAME FORWARD WITH SOME VERY SPECIFIC EXAMPLES
15	OF WHAT THEY'VE BEEN ABLE TO ACCOMPLISH IN THAT
16	SPHERE.
17	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: THIS IS JUST TO
18	ADD TO THIS. ONCE THE SEARCH FIRM HAS BEEN CHOSEN,
19	I THINK THAT SOMETHING THEY ALL MENTIONED IN THEIR
20	INTERVIEWS WAS, AGAIN, BOARD ALIGNMENT AND HOW
21	HAVING THAT IS VERY IMPORTANT TO THE SUCCESS OF A
22	CEO THAT'S COMING INTO THE ORGANIZATION. AND I
23	BELIEVE THAT WHATEVER SEARCH FIRM WE CHOOSE, THAT
24	REALLY HAS TO BE A PRIMARY FOCUS FOR US AS A BOARD
25	AND STARTING WITH THE WORK OF THE PRESIDENTIAL

-	
1	SEARCH COMMITTEE AND THEN MOVING ON TO THE BOARD IS
2	CONFIRMING THAT WE HAVE THAT ALIGNMENT AND THAT WE
3	ARE IN LOCK STEP ABOUT WHAT WE NEED IN THE
4	ORGANIZATION AND THE PRIORITIES.
5	DR. BARRETT: MOHAMED.
6	DR. ABOUSALEM: THANK YOU. I JUST WANT TO
7	MAKE A COMMENT, AND I'M PROBABLY IT'S OBVIOUS,
8	BUT I'M HOPING THAT THE CO-CHAIRS IN YOUR
9	CONSIDERATION, IT'S NOT JUST THE PARTNER OR THE LEAD
10	OF THE FIRM WHO'S GOING TO BE LEADING THE SEARCH,
11	AND THEY VARY WIDELY WITHIN THE SAME FIRM. SO EVEN
12	OUR EXPERIENCE IN THE PAST WITH ONE FIRM IS NOT
13	NECESSARILY REPRESENTATIVE OF A REPEATED EXPERIENCE
14	WITH A DIFFERENT PARTNER. I JUST WANTED TO BRING
15	THAT UP.
16	DR. BARRETT: AGREED. ANY OTHER
17	MR. TOCHER: I WAS JUST GOING TO JUMP IN.
18	SORRY, KIM. SOMEONE HAD POSED THE QUESTION AT THE
19	BEGINNING OF THE MEETING, WHAT WERE THE PRIOR FIRMS
20	WE'VE USED. THE FIRST TWO SEARCHES WERE PERFORMED
21	BY SPENCER STUART. AND AS STEVE NOTED, KORN FERRY
22	PERFORMED OUR MOST RECENT SEARCH IN 2013, 2014.
23	JUST WANTED TO ANSWER THAT.
24	DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU, SCOTT.
25	WHAT ARE OUR OPTIONS MOVING FORWARD FROM
	18

1	HERE, SCOTT? WOULD WE ENTERTAIN A MOTION AND THEN
2	HAVE FURTHER DISCUSSION?
3	MR. TOCHER: THAT WOULD BE MY
4	RECOMMENDATION, YES.
5	DR. BARRETT: WOULD ANYBODY LIKE TO MAKE A
6	MOTION?
7	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SO MOVED.
8	DR. DULIEGE: I SECOND THEN. MARIA, YOU
9	ARE ALWAYS FIRST AND I'M ALWAYS SECOND.
10	DR. BARRETT: WOULD YOU STATE YOUR MOTION
11	FOR THE RECORD, MARIA?
12	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SORRY. TO MOVE
13	FORWARD WITH SRI AS THE SEARCH FIRM FOR THE
14	PRESIDENTIAL SEARCH.
15	DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU. SO PROPOSED BY
16	MARIA AND SECONDED BY ANNE-MARIE.
17	MR. TOCHER: CAN I JUST INTERRUPT WITH A
18	PROCESS POINT? CAN I MAKE A RECOMMENDATION FOR THE
19	MOTION THAT WE ALSO HAVE A SECOND OPTION, A PLAN B,
20	IN CASE SOMETHING FALLS THROUGH IN THE CONTRACTING
21	PROCESS, THAT WE HAVE A SECOND CHOICE THAT THE TEAM
22	WOULD BE ABLE TO PIVOT TO SO THAT WE DON'T WASTE ANY
23	TIME. OTHERWISE WE WOULD HAVE TO WAIT ANOTHER TEN
24	DAYS FOR CONVENING THE COMMITTEE AGAIN TO DO
25	SOMETHING WHICH WE COULD COVER HERE.

19

1DR. BARRETT: SO WOULD YOU LIKE TO AMEND2YOUR MOTION, MARIA, SINCE YOU WERE PART OF OUR3DISCUSSION PROCESS? SO I THINK YOU KNOW THE WILL OF4AT LEAST GEORGE AND MYSELF.5VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I BELIEVE THE6SECOND CHOICE WAS WITTKIEFFER IF THAT IS IF I7REMEMBER CORRECTLY. IT WASN'T THAT LONG AGO. IT8WAS ABOUT TEN HOURS AGO. BUT, YES, I DO BELIEVE IT9WAS WITTKIEFFER. SO THE MOTION WOULD INCLUDE THAT10THE SECOND WOULD BE WITTKIEFFER TO CONSIDER.11DR. BARRETT: YES, THAT'S MY RECOLLECTION,12AND I SAW GEORGE NODDING.13SO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT'S14ON THE TABLE? JOE.15MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST16RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG17THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR18MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,19FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY20CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.21ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?22DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,23ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH24WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO25DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE		
3DISCUSSION PROCESS? SO I THINK YOU KNOW THE WILL OF4AT LEAST GEORGE AND MYSELF.5VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I BELIEVE THE6SECOND CHOICE WAS WITTKIEFFER IF THAT IS IF I7REMEMBER CORRECTLY. IT WASN'T THAT LONG AGO. IT8WAS ABOUT TEN HOURS AGO. BUT, YES, I DO BELIEVE IT9WAS WITTKIEFFER. SO THE MOTION WOULD INCLUDE THAT10THE SECOND WOULD BE WITTKIEFFER TO CONSIDER.11DR. BARRETT: YES, THAT'S MY RECOLLECTION,12AND I SAW GEORGE NODDING.13SO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT'S14ON THE TABLE? JOE.15MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST16RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG17THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR18MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,19FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY20CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.21ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?22DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,23ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH24WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO25DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE	1	DR. BARRETT: SO WOULD YOU LIKE TO AMEND
4AT LEAST GEORGE AND MYSELF.5VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I BELIEVE THE6SECOND CHOICE WAS WITTKIEFFER IF THAT IS IF I7REMEMBER CORRECTLY. IT WASN'T THAT LONG AGO. IT8WAS ABOUT TEN HOURS AGO. BUT, YES, I DO BELIEVE IT9WAS MITTKIEFFER. SO THE MOTION WOULD INCLUDE THAT10THE SECOND WOULD BE WITTKIEFFER TO CONSIDER.11DR. BARRETT: YES, THAT'S MY RECOLLECTION,12AND I SAW GEORGE NODDING.13SO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT'S14ON THE TABLE? JOE.15MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST16RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG17THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR18MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,19FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY20CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.21ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?22DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,23ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH24WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO25DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE	2	YOUR MOTION, MARIA, SINCE YOU WERE PART OF OUR
5VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I BELIEVE THE6SECOND CHOICE WAS WITTKIEFFER IF THAT IS IF I7REMEMBER CORRECTLY. IT WASN'T THAT LONG AGO. IT8WAS ABOUT TEN HOURS AGO. BUT, YES, I DO BELIEVE IT9WAS WITTKIEFFER. SO THE MOTION WOULD INCLUDE THAT10THE SECOND WOULD BE WITTKIEFFER TO CONSIDER.11DR. BARRETT: YES, THAT'S MY RECOLLECTION,12AND I SAW GEORGE NODDING.13SO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT'S14ON THE TABLE? JOE.15MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST16RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG17THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR18MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,19FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY20CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.21ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?22DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,23ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH24WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO25DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE	3	DISCUSSION PROCESS? SO I THINK YOU KNOW THE WILL OF
<ul> <li>SECOND CHOICE WAS WITTKIEFFER IF THAT IS IF I</li> <li>REMEMBER CORRECTLY. IT WASN'T THAT LONG AGO. IT</li> <li>WAS ABOUT TEN HOURS AGO. BUT, YES, I DO BELIEVE IT</li> <li>WAS WITTKIEFFER. SO THE MOTION WOULD INCLUDE THAT</li> <li>THE SECOND WOULD BE WITTKIEFFER TO CONSIDER.</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: YES, THAT'S MY RECOLLECTION,</li> <li>AND I SAW GEORGE NODDING.</li> <li>SO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT'S</li> <li>ON THE TABLE? JOE.</li> <li>MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST</li> <li>RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG</li> <li>THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR</li> <li>MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,</li> <li>FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY</li> <li>CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.</li> <li>ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,</li> <li>ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	4	AT LEAST GEORGE AND MYSELF.
<ul> <li>REMEMBER CORRECTLY. IT WASN'T THAT LONG AGO. IT</li> <li>WAS ABOUT TEN HOURS AGO. BUT, YES, I DO BELIEVE IT</li> <li>WAS WITTKIEFFER. SO THE MOTION WOULD INCLUDE THAT</li> <li>THE SECOND WOULD BE WITTKIEFFER TO CONSIDER.</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: YES, THAT'S MY RECOLLECTION,</li> <li>AND I SAW GEORGE NODDING.</li> <li>SO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT'S</li> <li>ON THE TABLE? JOE.</li> <li>MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST</li> <li>RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG</li> <li>THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR</li> <li>MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,</li> <li>FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY</li> <li>CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.</li> <li>ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,</li> <li>ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	5	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I BELIEVE THE
<ul> <li>WAS ABOUT TEN HOURS AGO. BUT, YES, I DO BELIEVE IT</li> <li>WAS WITTKIEFFER. SO THE MOTION WOULD INCLUDE THAT</li> <li>THE SECOND WOULD BE WITTKIEFFER TO CONSIDER.</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: YES, THAT'S MY RECOLLECTION,</li> <li>AND I SAW GEORGE NODDING.</li> <li>SO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT'S</li> <li>ON THE TABLE? JOE.</li> <li>MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST</li> <li>RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG</li> <li>THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR</li> <li>MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,</li> <li>FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY</li> <li>CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.</li> <li>ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,</li> <li>ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	6	SECOND CHOICE WAS WITTKIEFFER IF THAT IS IF I
<ul> <li>WAS WITTKIEFFER. SO THE MOTION WOULD INCLUDE THAT</li> <li>THE SECOND WOULD BE WITTKIEFFER TO CONSIDER.</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: YES, THAT'S MY RECOLLECTION,</li> <li>AND I SAW GEORGE NODDING.</li> <li>SO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT'S</li> <li>ON THE TABLE? JOE.</li> <li>MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST</li> <li>RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG</li> <li>THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR</li> <li>MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,</li> <li>FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY</li> <li>CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.</li> <li>ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,</li> <li>ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	7	REMEMBER CORRECTLY. IT WASN'T THAT LONG AGO. IT
<ul> <li>10 THE SECOND WOULD BE WITTKIEFFER TO CONSIDER.</li> <li>11 DR. BARRETT: YES, THAT'S MY RECOLLECTION,</li> <li>12 AND I SAW GEORGE NODDING.</li> <li>13 SO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT'S</li> <li>14 ON THE TABLE? JOE.</li> <li>15 MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST</li> <li>16 RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG</li> <li>17 THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR</li> <li>18 MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,</li> <li>19 FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY</li> <li>20 CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.</li> <li>21 ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?</li> <li>22 DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,</li> <li>23 ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>24 WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>25 DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	8	WAS ABOUT TEN HOURS AGO. BUT, YES, I DO BELIEVE IT
11DR. BARRETT: YES, THAT'S MY RECOLLECTION,12AND I SAW GEORGE NODDING.13SO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT'S14ON THE TABLE? JOE.15MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST16RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG17THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR18MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,19FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY20CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.21ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?22DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,23ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH24WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO25DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE	9	WAS WITTKIEFFER. SO THE MOTION WOULD INCLUDE THAT
12AND I SAW GEORGE NODDING.13SO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT'S14ON THE TABLE? JOE.15MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST16RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG17THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR18MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,19FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY20CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.21ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?22DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,23ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH24WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO25DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE	10	THE SECOND WOULD BE WITTKIEFFER TO CONSIDER.
<ul> <li>SO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT'S</li> <li>ON THE TABLE? JOE.</li> <li>MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST</li> <li>RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG</li> <li>THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR</li> <li>MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,</li> <li>FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY</li> <li>CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.</li> <li>ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,</li> <li>ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	11	DR. BARRETT: YES, THAT'S MY RECOLLECTION,
<ul> <li>ON THE TABLE? JOE.</li> <li>MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST</li> <li>RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG</li> <li>THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR</li> <li>MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,</li> <li>FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY</li> <li>CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.</li> <li>ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,</li> <li>ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	12	AND I SAW GEORGE NODDING.
<ul> <li>MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST</li> <li>RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG</li> <li>THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR</li> <li>MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,</li> <li>FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY</li> <li>CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.</li> <li>ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,</li> <li>ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	13	SO FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT'S
<ul> <li>16 RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG</li> <li>17 THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR</li> <li>18 MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,</li> <li>19 FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY</li> <li>20 CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.</li> <li>21 ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?</li> <li>22 DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,</li> <li>23 ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>24 WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>25 DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	14	ON THE TABLE? JOE.
<ul> <li>17 THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR</li> <li>18 MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,</li> <li>19 FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY</li> <li>20 CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.</li> <li>21 ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?</li> <li>22 DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,</li> <li>23 ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>24 WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>25 DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	15	MR. PANETTA: THANKS, KIM. THAT JUST
<ul> <li>MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,</li> <li>FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY</li> <li>CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.</li> <li>ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,</li> <li>ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	16	RAISES A QUESTION WITH ME. I'M NOT AWARE HOW BIG
<ul> <li>FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY</li> <li>CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.</li> <li>ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,</li> <li>ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	17	THE SPREAD WAS BETWEEN THESE TWO FIRMS IN YOUR
<ul> <li>CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.</li> <li>ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?</li> <li>DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,</li> <li>ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	18	MINDS. SO IF WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO A SECOND CHOICE,
ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE? DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE	19	FOR ME A SECOND CHOICE WOULD MEAN PRETTY CLOSELY
DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE	20	CAPABLE TO THE FIRST CHOICE OF MEETING THE CRITERIA.
<ul> <li>ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH</li> <li>WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	21	ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THAT'S THE CASE?
<ul> <li>WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO</li> <li>DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE</li> </ul>	22	DR. BARRETT: FROM MY PERSPECTIVE,
25 DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE	23	ABSOLUTELY. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH
	24	WITTKIEFFER. IN FACT, WE HAD TWO MEETINGS TO
20	25	DISCUSS HOW TO MAKE THIS FINAL SELECTION. SO WE'VE
20		20

1	DEFINITELY GIVEN IT CONSIDERABLE ATTENTION AMONGST
2	THE THREE OF US.
3	ANNE-MARIE.
4	DR. DULIEGE: VERY BRIEFLY, I REALLY WANT
5	TO ACKNOWLEDGE YOUR CONCERN, STEVE, BECAUSE AS I
6	LOOKED AT THE TRACK RECORD OF SRI, THEIR RECORD ON
7	INDUSTRY IS NOT EXTENSIVE, AND IT DOESN'T RING
8	THROUGH SO MUCH SEA LEVEL EXECUTIVE POSITION, CMO,
9	CO'S. IT'S MOSTLY SORT OF MIDDLE SLASH SENIOR
10	MANAGEMENT. THAT BEING SAID, I LOOK AT THE BALANCE
11	OF THEIR TRACK RECORD IN INDUSTRY VERSUS THAT IN
12	NON-PROFITS/FOUNDATION AS WELL AS IN ACADEMIA. AND
13	IT'S THAT BALANCE OF HAVING A PRETTY BROAD
14	EXPERIENCE ON THESE THREE TERRITORIES THAT REASSURES
15	ME THAT IT'S A GOOD CHOICE. AND I HAVE NO DOUBT
16	THAT IF THEY OPERATE AT THE LEVEL OF A CP, ET
17	CETERA, IN NON-PROFIT, THEY CAN EASILY TACKLE CEO'S
18	OF BIOPHARMA COMPANIES.
19	DR. BARRETT: THAT'S A VERY PERCEPTIVE
20	COMMENT, ANNE-MARIE. I THINK WE WOULD AGREE IT WAS
21	THE BALANCED PORTFOLIO THAT THEY BROUGHT TO THE
22	TABLE AND THE WAY THAT THEY TALKED ABOUT THAT IN THE
23	VERBAL COMMENTS.
24	I DON'T SEE ANY ADDITIONAL HANDS RAISED.
25	SO IF THERE ARE NO FURTHER DISCUSSIONS, I GUESS WE
	21

_	
1	SHOULD CALL THE QUESTION ON THE MOTION ON THE TABLE,
2	WHICH IS TO AWARD THE CONTRACT TO SRI WITH
3	WITTKIEFFER AS A BACKUP IN CASE THERE ARE ANY ISSUES
4	IN AWARDING THE CONTRACT.
5	DR. BLUMENTHAL: KIM, WE NEED TO ASK FOR
6	PUBLIC COMMENT.
7	DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU. ARE THERE ANY
8	PUBLIC COMMENTS?
9	MR. TOCHER: IT DOESN'T APPEAR SO.
10	CLAUDETTE?
11	MS. MANDAC: NO, THERE ARE NO HANDS
12	RAISED.
13	DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU. SO IN THAT CASE
14	WE CAN MOVE TO VOTE ON THE MOTION.
15	MR. TOCHER: MOHAMED ABOUSALEM.
16	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
17	MR. TOCHER: KIM BARRETT.
18	DR. BARRETT: YES.
19	MR. TOCHER: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
20	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
21	MR. TOCHER: MARIA BONNEVILLE.
22	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.
23	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
24	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
25	MR. TOCHER: JUDY GASSON.
	22

1	DR. GASSON: YES.
2	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
3	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
4	MR. TOCHER: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
5	MR. JUELSGAARD: PRESENT.
6	MR. TOCHER: JOE PANETTA.
7	MR. PANETTA: YES.
8	MR. TOCHER: THANKS VERY MUCH. AND THE
9	MOTION CARRIES EIGHT AYE VOTES, ONE PRESENT.
10	DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU.
11	DR. DULIEGE: WHAT DOES PRESENT MEANS?
12	MR. TOCHER: IT'S NEITHER A YES NOR A NO
13	VOTE.
14	DR. DULIEGE: SO IT'S ABSTAIN; IS THAT
15	RIGHT, STEVE?
16	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES. IT'S THE EQUIVALENT
17	OF ABSTAIN.
18	DR. DULIEGE: OKAY. THANK YOU.
19	DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU.
20	DR. DULIEGE: ABSTAIN IS CLEARER, BY THE
21	WAY, BECAUSE WE ALL SEE YOU'RE PRESENT. I THINK WE
22	GOT IT.
23	DR. BARRETT: WELL, I THINK, AS WAS
24	COMMENTED IN A PREVIOUS A MEETING, I THINK THAT CIRM
25	IS GOING TO BE INCREDIBLY WELL SERVED BY THIS
	23

1	COMMITTEE BECAUSE OF THE DIVERSITY OF POINTS,
2	DIVERSITY OF EXPERTISE, AND THE WILLINGNESS TO
3	ACTUALLY BRING THAT TO THE TABLE. SO THIS WILL BE
4	INCREDIBLY VALUABLE AS WE MOVE FORWARD WITH
5	ASSESSING CANDIDATES THAT ARE BROUGHT FORWARD TO US
6	AND THEN THE ROBUST DISCUSSION THAT WE WILL
7	DOUBTLESS NEED TO HAVE TO MAKE DECISIONS.
8	BUT AS MARIA COMMENTED, IT IS GOING TO BE
9	VERY IMPORTANT THAT WE MAKE SURE WE'VE DONE SOME
10	OF THIS WORK ALREADY, BUT WE DEFINITELY NEED TO BE
11	IN ALIGNMENT BOTH AMONGST OURSELVES AND WITH THE
12	BOARD AT LARGE AS TO WHAT IT IS WE ARE LOOKING FOR.
13	BECAUSE I CAN'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHICH FIRM IT WAS
14	RIGHT NOW, BUT TOLD THIS ANECDOTE ABOUT BASICALLY
15	HAVING TO SUSPEND A SEARCH BECAUSE THE BOARD
16	FUNDAMENTALLY AT THE END OF THE DAY COULD NOT AGREE
17	ON WHAT THEY WANTED. AND SO THEY HAD 50 PERCENT OF
18	THE BOARD IN STRONG SUPPORT OF A GIVEN CANDIDATE AND
19	50 PERCENT FUNDAMENTALLY OPPOSED. AND IT WASN'T
20	REALLY A REFLECTION ON THE QUALIFICATIONS OF THE
21	CANDIDATE. IT WAS BECAUSE THEY HADN'T DONE THAT
22	WORK BEFOREHAND.
23	THE OTHER THING I CAN REPORT IS THAT ALL
24	OF THE FIRMS THAT WE SPOKE WITH SAID THAT THEY ARE
25	IN A POSITION TO OFFER UNCONSCIOUS BIAS TRAINING.
	24
	6 T

1	SO WE WILL MOVE FORWARD WITH ARRANGING FOR THAT AND
2	MAKE SURE THAT WE GET THAT ON THE BOOKS AS WELL. I
3	THINK EVERYBODY AGREED THAT THAT WAS AN IMPORTANT
4	PREPARATORY THING. AND THOSE OF US WHO PROBABLY
5	HAVE DONE MULTIPLE UNCONSCIOUS BIAS TRAININGS IN
6	ACADEMIC SETTINGS, IT DEFINITELY IS A GOOD WAY TO
7	THINK ABOUT HOW TO APPROACH THE PROCESS.
8	AND I BELIEVE THAT WAS THE ENTIRE AGENDA,
9	CLAUDETTE, UNLESS I'M MISSING SOMETHING. GEORGE.
10	DR. BLUMENTHAL: JUST BRIEFLY, I'LL
11	OUTLINE WHAT WILL HAPPEN GOING FORWARD. THE FIRST
12	THING WE HAVE TO DO IS FINALIZE A CONTRACT WITH THE
13	FIRM OF CHOICE. AND ONCE THAT'S DONE, THEY WILL
14	WANT TO MEET WITH US, AND WE WILL MEET WITH THEM AS
15	A COMMITTEE TO TALK ABOUT THE CRITERIA FOR THE JOB,
16	THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE JOB. WE AS A BOARD, LET ME
17	REMIND YOU, AND AS A COMMITTEE, CREATED A STATEMENT
18	OF QUALIFICATIONS WHICH THEY HAVE. THEY WILL WANT
19	TO DISCUSS THAT WITH US. THEY'LL WANT TO ASK US
20	PROBABLY A BUNCH OF ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS ABOUT OUR
21	THOUGHTS ABOUT THE SEARCH. AND SO, ANYWAY,
22	ARRANGING A MEETING WITH US AS A COMMITTEE IS
23	PROBABLY ONE OF THE FIRST STEPS THAT WILL GO FORWARD
24	SUBSEQUENT TO THIS.
25	I THINK THAT THE SEARCH FIRM WILL ALSO
	25

1	WANT TO MEET WITH THE ENTIRETY OF THE BOARD AT SOME
2	POINT, BUT NOT NECESSARILY IMMEDIATELY. THAT'S
3	SOMETHING TO BE DISCUSSED.
4	BUT, ANYWAY, THE NEXT STEP IS TO REFINE
5	THAT STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS INTO WHAT IS
6	BASICALLY GOING TO BE THE ADVERTISEMENT FOR THE
7	POSITION. AND THEN THE NEXT STEP IS TO ACTUALLY GO
8	OUT AND FIND PROSPECTS AND CANDIDATES, ET CETERA.
9	DR. BARRETT: BUT IT IS DEFINITELY OUR
10	INTENTION TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS AS EXPEDITIOUSLY
11	AS POSSIBLE CONSISTENT WITH THE DUE DILIGENCE NEEDED
12	TO FIND THE BEST CANDIDATE SO THAT WE CAN TRY TO
13	STAY AS FAR AS POSSIBLE ON SCOTT'S AMBITIOUS BUT
14	IMPORTANT TIMELINE.
15	ANY OTHER COMMENTS, OTHER QUESTIONS? IF
16	NOT, I GUESS I CAN ENTERTAIN A MOTION FOR
17	ADJOURNMENT. SCOTT.
18	MR. TOCHER: YOU CAN JUST ADJOURN.
19	DR. BARRETT: I CAN JUST ADJOURN. TOO
20	MUCH ACADEMIA IN MY BLOOD, ROBERTS RULES.
21	MR. TOCHER: I'M HAPPY TO TAKE ANOTHER
22	ROLL CALL.
23	DR. BARRETT: THAT'S OKAY. WE WILL GIVE
24	PEOPLE BACK SOME OF THEIR FRIDAY AFTERNOON. SO GO
25	OFF AND DO WHATEVER YOU ENJOY ON THE FRIDAY
	26

1	AFTERNOON. WE'RE GETTING READY FOR A MAJOR STORM
2	HERE IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA.
3	DR. DULIEGE: KIM AND GEORGE AND MARIA,
4	THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GREAT PROCESS. GREAT REALLY.
5	APPRECIATE IT.
6	DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU.
7	DR. DULIEGE: AND, JUDY, I'M SURE YOU ARE
8	DELIGHTED NOT TO BE AT THE FOREFRONT, GATHERING ALL
9	OF US AND SEEING ALL THE GOOD JOB THAT OTHERS ARE
10	DOING THANKS TO YOUR INCREDIBLE LEADERSHIP AND
11	EXAMPLE. SO GOOD FOR YOU. YOU'VE SUFFERED ENOUGH.
12	DR. GASSON: THAT WAS MY THOUGHT.
13	DR. DULIEGE: IT'S ON YOUR FOREHEAD. HAVE
14	A GOOD WEEKEND.
15	DR. BARRETT: HAVE A GREAT WEEKEND.
16	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 1:35 P.M.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	27
	133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE PRESIDENTIAL SEARCH SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON JANUARY 19, 2024, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543

