BEFORE THE
TASK FORCE ON NEUROSCIENCE AND MEDICINE
OF THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

DATE: JANUARY 23, 2024

11:30 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2024-05

### INDEX

ITE	M DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
OPEN SESSION		
1.	CALL TO ORDER	3
2.	ROLL CALL	3
3.	NEXT STEPS FOR THE NEURO TASK FORCE	4
4.	PUBLIC COMMENT	NONE
5.	ADJOURNMENT	43

	, <b></b>
1	JANUARY 23, 2024; 11:30 A.M.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: BEFORE I DO ANYTHING
4	ELSE, I KNOW THAT I'M SUPPOSED TO HAVE CLAUDETTE
5	CALL THE ROLL. SO, CLAUDETTE, PLEASE.
6	MS. MANDAC: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY. MARIA
7	BONNEVILLE.
8	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: PRESENT.
9	MS. MANDAC: MARL FISCHER-COLBRIE. FRED
10	FISHER. JUDY GASSON.
11	DR. GASSON: HERE.
12	MS. MANDAC: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
13	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: HERE.
14	MS. MANDAC: DAVID HIGGINS.
15	DR. HIGGINS: HERE.
16	MS. MANDAC: VITO IMBASCIANI.
17	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: HERE.
18	MS. MANDAC: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
19	MR. JUELSGAARD: PRESENT.
20	MS. MANDAC: PAT LEVITT. LAUREN
21	MILLER-ROGEN.
22	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: HERE.
23	MS. MANDAC: MARV SOUTHARD. MARV, YOU ARE
24	ON MUTE.
25	MR. JUELSGAARD: HE'S HERE IN SPIRIT. I
	_
	3

1	SEE IT.
2	DR. SOUTHARD: I CAN'T HEAR ANYTHING. CAN
3	YOU NOD YOUR HEAD IF YOU HEAR ME?
4	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES. WE HEAR YOU, YES.
5	DR. SOUTHARD: I WILL LOG IN AND LOG OUT
6	AND LOG IN AND SEE IF I CAN GET SOME SOUND.
7	MS. MANDAC: KEITH YAMAMOTO.
8	DR. YAMAMOTO: HERE.
9	MS. MANDAC: WE HAVE A QUORUM. BACK TO
10	YOU, LARRY.
11	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. GREAT. THANK
12	YOU, EVERYBODY.
13	SO TODAY'S MEETING REALLY IS A PLANNING
14	MEETING FOR HOW WE WANT TO HANDLE SET-ASIDE DOLLARS
15	IN THE NEURODEGENERATION AREA. AND WHAT I'M GOING
16	TO DO IS JUST RUN THROUGH A QUICK, LITTLE MORE SOLID
17	THAN A STRAWMAN, I DON'T KNOW, MAYBE A STRAWMAN WITH
18	GLUE. AND ROSA WILL PERHAPS HAVE SOME REMARKS ABOUT
19	THE STRUCTURE OF THE REMIND PROGRAM SINCE THAT'S THE
20	SORT OF TEMPLATE I'M GOING TO SUGGEST WE USE FOR
21	GRANTS FOR THESE SET-ASIDES.
22	SO THE PROPOSED PLAN FOR THE NEXT THREE
23	MONTHS THAT I'M GOING TO LAY OUT HAS BEEN BASED ON
24	MY SPEAKING TO A NUMBER OF EXPERTS IN THE ALS AREA,
25	THE ALZHEIMER'S AREA, THE PARKINSON'S AREA, AND THE

1	HUNTINGTON'S AREA. THOSE ARE IN A SENSE THE FOUR
2	MOST COMMON SORTS OF NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS
3	THAT, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, MOST OF THE WORK IS
4	HAPPENING.
5	AND THE PEOPLE THAT I SPOKE TO FOR ALS WAS
6	GENE YEO. THE NEXT PERSON I'M GOING TO TRY TO TRACK
7	DOWN IS MERIT CUDOWICZ AT MASS GENERAL. SHE'S MORE
8	OF A CLINICIAN THAN GENE. GENE'S MORE OF A BASIC
9	SCIENTIST. FOR HUNTINGTON'S I SPOKE TO LESLIE
10	THOMPSON WHO HAS A LONG HISTORY WORKING ON THIS
11	DISORDER. AND ROBERT PACIFICI WHO IS HEAD OF ONE OF
12	THE GRANTMAKING PROGRAMS FOR THE HUNTINGTON AREA.
13	FOR PARKINSON'S I SPOKE TO RANDY SCHEKMAN, LORENZ
14	STUDER, AND TODD SCHERER. I GOT QUITE A LOT OF GOOD
15	ADVICE FROM THOSE INDIVIDUALS, AND IT HAD A PRETTY
16	BIG EFFECT ON WHAT I'M GOING TO LAY OUT FOR YOU.
17	AND FOR ALZHEIMER'S, EVEN THOUGH THAT'S AN AREA THAT
18	I WORKED IN, OBVIOUSLY I HAVEN'T HAD AN ACTIVE LAB
19	FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS, SO I'M GOING TO SPEAK ALISON
20	GOATE NEXT WEEK. AND SHE'S ONE OF THE MOST
21	PROMINENT PEOPLE IN THE AREA AND NOT COMPLETELY
22	SOAKED IN AMYLOID.
23	SO WHAT I'M PROPOSING WE DO IS SOMETHING
24	SIMILAR TO THE WAY WE PROCEEDED IN NEUROPSYCHIATRIC.
25	AND SO FOR MARCH, APRIL, AND MAY WE'LL HAVE SOME

1	SPEAKERS WHO WILL EDUCATE US ABOUT SOME OF THESE
2	AREAS. AND I'M GOING TO GIVE THEM STRICT
3	INSTRUCTIONS THAT THEIR JOB IS NOT TO TALK ABOUT THE
4	RESEARCH IN THEIR OWN LAB. WE'RE LOOKING FOR THEM
5	TO GIVE US A SUMMARY OF WHAT'S GOING ON IN THE FIELD
6	AND WHAT ARE THE MOST CUTTING-EDGE SORTS OF PROJECTS
7	AND WHAT AREAS ARE PRETTY WELL SATURATED.
8	JUST AS AN EXAMPLE, IN PARKINSON'S, THERE
9	ARE A LARGE NUMBER OF PROJECTS MAKING DOPAMINERGIC
10	NEURONS AND SURGICALLY IMPLANTING THEM IN THE
11	STRIATUM OR IN THE SUBSTANTIA NIGRA. AND SO WE WANT
12	TO GET A HANDLE ON HOW MANY OF THOSE ARE REALLY
13	GOING ON OUT THERE AND WHETHER THAT'S SOMETHING THAT
14	WE WANT TO CONTINUE TO MAKE AN AGGRESSIVE EFFORT IN
15	OR WHETHER THERE ARE OTHER NEARBY AREAS
16	THAT ACTUALLY LORENZ STUDER GAVE ME A NICE TUTORIAL
17	IN. SO WE WILL COME TO THAT.
18	AND THEN THE HOPE IS THAT BY MAY WE WILL
19	HAVE REACHED SOME SORT OF CONSENSUS ON WHAT TO
20	RECOMMEND FOR THE NEURODEGENERATIVE PART OF THE
21	NEURO SET-ASIDE. AND WE WILL THEN MOVE ON TO WHAT
22	I'M REFERRING TO AS NEURO-INJURY FOR LACK OF A
23	BETTER TERM. THAT INCLUDES STROKE, TBI, TRAUMATIC
24	BRAIN INJURY, AND OTHER SORTS OF PHYSICAL DISORDERS
25	OF THE NERVOUS SYSTEM.

1	I'M GOING TO SUGGEST THAT AT LEAST FOR THE
2	BASIC SCIENCE PART OF THESE GRANTS OR THE EARLY
3	SCIENCE FOR PROPOSALS IN THIS AREA THAT WE USE THE
4	REMIND TEMPLATE THAT ROSA DEVELOPED. AND THE
5	PRINCIPAL REASON FOR DOING THAT IS THAT I THINK WE
6	WANT TO ENCOURAGE THE USE OF INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAMS
7	TO DRIVE NONSTANDARD RESEARCH IN THESE AREAS; THAT
8	IS, PROJECTS THAT AREN'T SORT OF THE STANDARD THINGS
9	THAT COME OVER THE TRANSOM AS INVESTIGATOR
10	INITIATED, BUT WE WANT TO STIMULATE THE FIELD TO TRY
11	TO COME UP WITH NEW IDEAS BY PUTTING PEOPLE FROM
12	DIFFERENT DISCIPLINES TOGETHER. FOR EXAMPLE, A
13	BIOENGINEER AND A MOLECULAR BIOLOGIST AS CO-HEADS OF
14	ONE OF THESE PROJECTS. REMIND ASKS POTENTIAL
15	GRANTEES TO DO THAT, AND I THINK WE OUGHT TO
16	MAINTAIN THAT STRUCTURE FOR THE NON-TRANSLATIONAL
17	AND CLINICAL PARTS. WE CAN TALK ABOUT WHAT WE WANT
18	TO DO ABOUT THE TRANSLATIONAL AND CLINICAL PARTS AS
19	WE PROCEED.
20	AND THEN IN TERMS OF THE DOLLARS, HERE'S
21	WHAT I'M GOING TO SUGGEST AS A TEMPLATE FOR US TO
22	KEEP AS A PROVISIONAL. WE'RE NOT MAKING A
23	COMMITMENT TO DO THIS. SO WE'RE NOT GOING TO VOTE
24	OR HAVE A KNOCK-DOWN-DRAG-OUT FIGHT ABOUT HOW MANY
25	DOLLARS ARE GOING TO COME INTO THIS AREA. BUT SORT

1	OF A WAY TO THINK ABOUT IT THAT I THOUGHT WAS
2	SENSIBLE IS IF WE TAKE ONE AND A HALF BILLION
3	SET-ASIDE FOR NEURO-RELATED PROJECTS OVER THE NEXT
4	COMING EIGHT YEARS OR SO, IF WE TAKE A THIRD OF THAT
5	AND DEDICATE THAT TOWARDS SPECIAL PROJECTS, THAT
6	STILL LEAVES A BILLION OR MORE TO FUND
7	INVESTIGATOR-INITIATED PROJECTS; THAT IS, PROJECTS
8	THAT AREN'T NECESSARILY RESPONSIVE TO A SPECIFIC RFA
9	THAT WE WILL PUT OUT.
10	AND I'M THINKING JUST FOR CONVENIENCE AT
11	THE MOMENT THAT IF WE'RE USING 500 MILLION FOR
12	SPECIAL PROJECT CALLS THAT WE DEVELOP IN THE WAY WE
13	DEVELOPED THE REMIND PROGRAM FOR NEUROPSYCHIATRIC
14	DISORDERS, A SIMPLE WAY TO THINK ABOUT IT IS A THIRD
15	WOULD GO TO NEUROPSYCHIATRIC, A THIRD TO
16	NEURODEGENERATIVE, AND A THIRD TO NEURO-INJURY WHICH
17	IS SOMEWHERE ON THE ORDER OF 150 TO \$200 MILLION FOR
18	EACH OF THESE SPECIFIED AREAS.
19	NOW, I'M ALSO GOING TO SUGGEST THAT WE
20	TAKE A LOOK AT SOME OF THE WORK THAT'S GOING ON IN
21	RARE NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS TO GIVE US SOME
22	THOUGHTS AND APPROACHES THAT WE DON'T NECESSARILY
23	PICK UP FROM WORK ON WHAT I SOMETIMES THINK OF AS
24	THE BIG FOUR, ALZHEIMER'S, PARKINSON, HUNTINGTON,
25	AND ALS. AND THE TWO OR THREE THAT SEEMED

1	REASONABLE FOR ME TO SUGGEST WE BRING IN TO EDUCATE
2	US ARE FTD, WHICH IS FRONTAL TEMPORAL DEMENTIA, IT'S
3	A NEUROPATHIC CYSTINOSIS, WHICH IS THE ONE THAT
4	STEPHANIE CHERQUI HAS MADE REAL PROGRESS ON BY USING
5	THE DON KOHN APPROACH OF TAKING HEMATOPOIETIC STEM
6	CELLS, ADDING A WILD TYPE COPY OF THE GENE, AND
7	CYSTINOSIS IS BASICALLY A RECESSIVE DISORDER, AND
8	PUTTING THEM BACK INTO THE PATIENT.
9	TALKING TO STEPHANIE, I LEARNED THAT THERE
10	MAY BE SOME NEURONAL PROBLEMS IN CYSTINOSIS ARE NOT
11	NECESSARILY CURED BY THE APPROACH OR MAY BE BECAUSE
12	THERE IS A BIT OF A BREAKDOWN IN THE BLOOD BRAIN
13	BARRIER, SHE THINKS. AND SHE'S PUBLISHED AT LEAST
14	ONE PAPER IN THIS AREA THAT'S FAIRLY PROVOCATIVE.
15	AND THE FINAL ONE THAT I THINK WE CONSIDER
16	DOWN THE LINE TAKING A LOOK AT IS NEIMANN-PICK
17	DISEASE, WHICH IS A DISORDER APPARENTLY OF
18	CHOLESTEROL METABOLISM AND SORTING, BUT DEVELOPS
19	ALZHEIMER'S-LIKE FEATURES AND IS A RECESSIVE
20	DISORDER.
21	SO THAT'S HOW I'M SUGGESTING WE PROCEED.
22	I'M GOING TO TURN THE FLOOR OVER TO ROSA JUST TO
23	REMIND US WHAT IS THE STRUCTURE CURRENTLY OF THESE
24	REMIND PROGRAMS THAT WE'RE ASKING FOR AND THEN I'LL
25	THROW IT OPEN FOR GENERAL DISCUSSION. I KNOW STEVE,

1	AT LEAST, HAD SOMETHING ON HIS MIND THAT HE WANTED
2	TO TALK ABOUT.
3	DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU, LARRY. JUST
4	GIVE ME A SECOND. I'M GOING TO SHARE THE SCREEN.
5	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SURE. YES, THAT'S
6	FINE.
7	DR. CANET-AVILES: SO I HAVE FOUR SLIDES.
8	THIS IS JUST TWO INTRODUCTORY SLIDES TO SHOW THE
9	VISION OF THE PROGRAM THAT WAS INFORMED BY LAST
10	YEAR'S NEURO TASK FORCE EFFORTS AND THE GOAL OF THE
11	PROGRAM. AS WE ALL KNOW, IT IS TO ACCELERATE THE
12	DISCOVERY OF MECHANISMS UNDERLYING NEURO DISORDERS
13	LEADING TO THE IDENTIFICATION AND VALIDATION OF
14	NOVEL TARGETS, BIOMARKERS WITH THE GOAL ULTIMATELY
15	TO PROVIDE NEW AVENUES AND RIGOROUS FOUNDATIONS FOR
16	FUTURE TRANSLATIONAL AND CLINICAL INVESTIGATIONS.
17	AND THE THREE OBJECTIVES ARE HERE. I'M
18	GOING TO GO INTO THE NEXT SLIDE TO SHOW THAT THE
19	OVERALL VISION WAS NOT JUST NARROWED INTO JUST THIS
20	PROGRAM STRUCTURE OF REMIND-L AND REMIND-X, BUT THE
21	WAY WE SAW THIS PROGRAM WAS AS PART OF AN ECOSYSTEM
22	WHERE WE COULD LEAD TO LEVERAGING OTHER PROGRAMS
23	SUCH AS THE DISCOVERY PILLAR PROGRAMS. AND IT COULD
24	BE COMPLEMENTARY TO THAT. SO ANY NEURO RESEARCH
25	THAT COMES INTO DISCOVERY THAT FITS THIS PROGRAM

1	COULD BE LEVERAGED AS WELL. AND ULTIMATELY WE COULD
2	ALSO LEVERAGE OTHER CIRM INFRASTRUCTURE ELEMENTS
3	LIKE THE SHARED RESOURCE LABS AND THE DATA
4	COORDINATION AND MANAGEMENT WHICH WE HAVE ALREADY
5	SOME ELEMENTS THAT ARE NOT PART OF ANY PROGRAM, BUT
6	THEY ARE ELEMENTS THAT WE HAVE IMPLEMENTED. AND
7	FURTHER, IF WE HAVE A PROGRAM FOR A DATA COORDINATED
8	MANAGEMENT CENTER, THAT COULD ALSO BE UNDER PART OF
9	THIS. AND EXTERNAL CONSORTIA, WHICH WE ARE ALREADY
10	WORKING WITH IN THE PSYCHIATRIC SPACE WE'VE ALREADY
11	BEEN TALKING AND WE ARE DECIDING TO LEVERAGE SOME OF
12	THE DATA THAT WILL COME FROM THOSE CONSORTIA. SO
13	THAT'S JUST THE OVERALL END GOAL OF THE PROGRAM
14	STRUCTURE THAT I'M GOING TO SHOW WILL LEAD TO.
15	NOW, WHAT IS THE PROGRAM STRUCTURE? AS A
16	REMINDER, THE STRUCTURE INCLUDES TWO FUNDING
17	OPPORTUNITIES WITH DISTINCT AWARD STRUCTURES. AND
18	IT COULD BE OFFERED THROUGH TWO INDEPENDENT RFA'S.
19	THE FIRST STRUCTURE IS THE REMIND-L. L STANDING FOR
20	CROSS-DISCIPLINARY STUDIES LED BY LARGE
21	COLLABORATIVE TEAMS APPLYING A RANGE OF TECHNOLOGIES
22	AND APPROACHES THAT COULD EVENTUALLY LEAD TO NOVEL
23	BIOLOGICAL INSIGHTS AND FURTHER OUR CURRENT
24	UNDERSTANDING OF DISEASE MECHANISMS. ALSO ANOTHER
25	OF THE GOALS OF THIS REMIND-L IS TO EXPAND RESEARCH

Τ	TO INCLUDE THE STUDY OF DIVERSE HUMAN POPULATIONS.
2	DIVERSITY, AS WE KNOW, IS VERY IMPORTANT IN
3	ADVANCING OUR UNDERSTANDING OF SCIENCE.
4	AND THE THIRD GOAL COULD BE TO IDENTIFY OR
5	VALIDATE NOVEL THERAPEUTIC HYPOTHESIS TARGETS AND/OR
6	BIOMARKERS.
7	THE BASE COMPONENT, SO THE AWARD STRUCTURE
8	IS FOR FOUR YEARS. THE BASE COMPONENT HAS A DIRECT
9	COST OF \$2 MILLION PER YEAR WITH A TOTAL OF \$8
10	MILLION FOR FOUR YEARS. AND WE COULD EXPECT, WITH
11	THE FUNDING FOR THE FIRST REMIND-L, WE BUDGETED FOR
12	UP TO SIX AWARDS.
13	NOW, THERE WAS, AND I JUST REALIZED THAT
14	THE ANIMATION FOR THIS I DID NOT PUT IN THIS SLIDE,
15	BUT AS YOU SAW IN THE PAST PRESENTATION, WE HAD
16	MATCHING FUNDS FOR THIS PROGRAM. SO WE HAVE UP TO
17	HALF A MILLION DOLLARS PER YEAR THAT COULD BE ADDED
18	TO THE BASE COMPONENT, MAKING IT UP TO \$2.5 MILLION
19	PER YEAR IF THE RESEARCHER, IF THE TEAM BRINGS AT
20	LEAST HALF A MILLION DOLLARS OF EXTERNAL FUNDING TO
21	THIS PROGRAM. SO THIS AWARD IS A TOTAL OF \$10
22	MILLION OVER FOUR YEARS THAT THE APPLICANTS CAN BE
23	APPLYING TO.
24	NOW, THE OTHER PROGRAM OF THIS STRUCTURE
25	IS THE RFA FOR THE REMIND-X. THE REMIND-X RFA IS A

1	BIT DIFFERENT, AND THIS WAS DESIGNED TO SUPPORT HIGH
2	RISK AND EXPLORATORY STUDIES THAT ARE LED BY SMALL
3	MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAMS. AND THIS IS EXPECTED
4	THE GOAL OF THIS PROGRAM IS EXPECTED TO LEAD TO
5	INITIAL VALIDATION OF PROOF OF CONCEPT OF NOVEL
6	MODELS, TOOLS, TECHNOLOGIES, OR HYPOTHESES THAT
7	COULD BE USED BY THE REMIND-L EVENTUALLY AS WELL.
8	NOW, IN TERMS OF FUNDING, THE REMIND-X
9	WILL FUND PROJECT COSTS OF UP TO \$1 MILLION PER
10	AWARD FOR A TOTAL OF UP TO TWO YEARS OF DURATION.
11	SO THAT'S HALF A MILLION DOLLARS PER YEAR.
12	NOW, IN THIS SLIDE WE CAN SEE THE
13	ELIGIBILITY. FOR THE REMIND-L SORRY BOTH
14	NON-PROFIT AND FOR-PROFIT CALIFORNIA RESEARCH
15	ORGANIZATIONS ARE ELIGIBLE TO APPLY IN BOTH CASES,
16	REMIND-L AND REMIND-X. IN TERMS OF THE PRINCIPAL
17	INVESTIGATOR, IN BOTH CASES THE PI WILL MANAGE THE
18	PROJECT AND SERVE AS THE PRIMARY ADMINISTRATIVE
19	CONTACT FOR CIRM AND ANY AWARD PARTNERS. THE
20	MINIMUM PERCENT EFFORT FOR THE PI IN THE REMIND-L IS
21	15 PERCENT; WHEREAS, FOR THE CO-INVESTIGATORS IT'S
22	10 PERCENT. AND WE WOULD HAVE A MINIMUM OF FOUR OR
23	MORE CO-INVESTIGATORS. AND IN THE REMIND-X, THE PI
24	AND CO-INVESTIGATORS HAVE THE SAME REQUIREMENT OF A
25	MINIMUM OF 5 PERCENT COMMITMENT. AS WE MENTIONED,

1	THE TEAM SIZE IS FIVE MINIMUM WITH ONE PI AND FOUR
2	CO-INVESTIGATORS IN THE REMIND-L. AND FOR REMIND-X,
3	TWO MINIMUM WITH ONE PI AND ONE CO-INVESTIGATOR.
4	FINALLY, IN TERMS OF TEAM COMPOSITION, WE
5	ASK THAT AT LEAST ONE MEMBER OF THE OVERALL REMIND-L
6	HAVE RELEVANT CLINICAL EXPERTISE AND ONE MEMBER HAS
7	RELEVANT COMPUTATIONAL OR RELATED EXPERTISE. AND
8	THE REASON FOR THAT WAS BECAUSE WE WANT TO BE ABLE
9	TO LEVERAGE AND COLLABORATE WITH THE DATA. THE
10	CLINICAL EXPERTISE IS BECAUSE WE WANT TO HAVE A FOOT
11	INTO THE TRANSLATABILITY OF THE FINDINGS. WE DON'T
12	WANT TO BE JUST FOCUSED ON DISCOVERY. WE WANTED TO
13	GIVE RELEVANCE. AND WE WANT TO HAVE THE CONNECTION
14	WITH THE PATIENTS AS WELL.
15	FOR REMIND-X WE ENCOURAGE THE APPLICATIONS
16	FROM INVESTIGATORS WHO CAN BRING NEW TECHNOLOGIES,
17	RESOURCES, OR FRAMEWORKS TO THE STUDY OF THE NEURO
18	DISORDER OF IN VITRO MODELS OF THE HUMAN CNS.
19	AND THIS WAS THE OVERALL STRUCTURE. AS
20	YOU KNOW, OUR FIRST REMIND PROGRAM HAS BEEN FOCUSED
21	ON NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS. AND WE HAVE THESE
22	MECHANISMS, AND WE HAVE AS THE APPLICATION DEADLINE
23	MAY 24TH. WE POSTED THE APPLICATION. THE RFA AND
24	THE APPLICATIONS ARE ALREADY ON OUR WEBSITE. WE
25	ALSO HAVE A REMIND WEB PAGE, AND WE HOSTED THE

1	WEBINAR FOR APPLICANTS SO THAT COULD BE TRANSLATABLE
2	TO ANY OTHER PROGRAMS THAT USE THIS STRUCTURE.
3	WITH THAT, IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS, I'M
4	HAPPY TO ANSWER.
5	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU, ROSA. IF
6	YOU WOULD STOP SCREEN SHARING, THAT WILL BRING
7	PEOPLE'S
8	DR. CANET-AVILES: FACES.
9	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO, YES, DAVID.
10	DR. HIGGINS: QUICK QUESTION, KIND OF A
11	BROAD QUESTION. ONE OF THE THINGS WE HEARD FROM THE
12	NEUROPSYCH SPEAKERS WHO CAME IN TO TALK TO US WAS
13	THAT WE HAD A REPUTATION OF BEING SLOW, SLOW TO
14	REVIEW AND TO FUND. IS THERE SOMETHING FIRST OF
15	ALL, MAYBE YOU DON'T BUY THAT, AND THAT IS PERFECTLY
16	FINE. BUT IF YOU DO, AND WE CAN ALWAYS GO FASTER,
17	THAT'S ALWAYS GOOD, HOW DO THESE SEQUENTIAL PROGRAMS
18	FIT INTO THERE? AND WHAT CHANGES ARE THEY MAKING IN
19	THOSE SEQUENTIAL PROGRAMS IN THE REMIND-X, FOR
20	EXAMPLE, THAT MIGHT ADDRESS THAT CRITICISM?
21	DR. CANET-AVILES: SO I GUESS THE QUESTION
22	IS FOR ME. I DON'T KNOW I DON'T THINK WE ARE
23	SLOW. AND I THINK GIL COULD ANSWER THIS QUESTION.
24	BUT WE HAVE VERY QUICK TURNOVER SINCE WE POST THE
25	RFA. SO THE RFA RIGHT NOW HAS BEEN POSTED, AND WE

1	GAVE THREE MONTHS TO THE APPLICANTS TO APPLY BECAUSE
2	THESE ARE COMPLEX, LARGE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAMS.
3	WE'VE BEEN HOLDING CONSULTATIONS. WE'VE HAD THE
4	WEBINAR. AND THEN ONCE THE RFA IS REVIEWED
5	SORRY ONCE THE APPLICATION HAS BEEN THE
6	APPLICATIONS ARE RECEIVED, I THINK WE HAVE ABOUT A
7	MONTH FOR THE REVIEW. SO I DON'T THINK WE COULD GO
8	FASTER. AND IF WE COMPARE TO OTHER FUNDING
9	ORGANIZATIONS, I DON'T THINK THAT CAN GO ANY FASTER
LO	REALLY. SO THAT WOULD BE MY ANSWER.
L1	IN TERMS OF THE OH, SORRY, J.T.
L2	DR. THOMAS: NO.
L3	DR. CANET-AVILES: IN TERMS OF THE
L4	PROGRAM, WE HAD STARTED, AND I DON'T HAVE THE SLIDE
L5	HERE, BUT WE HAD THE REMIND-L IN THE FIRST QUARTER
L6	OF THIS YEAR, AND THEN THE REMIND-X COULD COME THE
L7	SECOND HALF OF THE YEAR. AND THEN IF WE HAVE
L8	NEURODEGENERATION OR NEURO-INJURY, THE SAME THING
L9	COULD HAPPEN NEXT YEAR. WE COULD HAVE
20	NEURODEGENERATION THE FIRST HALF, NEURODEGENERATION,
21	REMIND-X THE SECOND HALF AND SO ON. BUT THAT'S
22	SOMETHING FOR J.T.
23	DR. THOMAS: DAVID, JUST AS A GENERAL
24	STATEMENT, THIS PARTICULAR REVIEW AND SET OF AWARDS
25	HAS TO BE VIEWED IN THE CONTEXT OF EVERYTHING WE'VE

1	GOT GOING ON HERE. AND WE HAVE A VOLUMINOUS NUMBER
2	OF DIFFERENT APPLICATIONS, SUBMISSIONS, REVIEWS, AND
3	EVERYTHING ELSE. SO THIS IS NOT IN A VACUUM. AND I
4	THINK I WOULD ECHO WHAT ROSA HAS SAID, WHICH IS THE
5	TIME FRAME THAT WE VIEW GETTING THROUGH THIS WHOLE
6	THING IS AGGRESSIVE IF ANYTHING. AND SO I DON'T
7	FEEL THAT WE'RE REALLY SUBJECT TO THAT CRITICISM AT
8	ALL. I THINK I CAN UNDERSTAND IT IF YOU'RE A
9	STAKEHOLDER WHO WANTS THINGS FASTER, BUT WE'RE
10	MOVING WITH ALL DELIBERATE SPEED AS STEVE WOULD SAY.
11	DR. HIGGINS: THANK YOU.
12	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I WOULD JUST ADD TO
13	THAT, DAVID. I WAS A GRANTEE FOR MANY YEARS BEFORE
14	I SEMIRETIRED AND BECAME A BOARD MEMBER. I THOUGHT
15	THE TURNAROUND TIMES WERE PRETTY GOOD. DIDN'T
16	ALWAYS AGREE WITH THE DECISIONS, OF COURSE. THAT'S
17	JUST THE GRANT BUSINESS.
18	DR. HIGGINS: I APPRECIATE THAT. THAT'S A
19	CONSISTENT ANSWER FROM ALL THREE OF YOU.
20	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO THEN JUST TO
21	SUMMARIZE, THE BASIC PLAN MOVING FORWARD WILL BE TO
22	GET EDUCATED BY SOME EXPERTS, DO A BIT OF A
23	PORTFOLIO REVIEW TO FIGURE OUT WHAT WE'RE CURRENTLY
24	FUNDING AND HAVE BEEN FUNDING SO THAT WE HAVE AN
25	IDEA OF, IF WE'RE GOING TO DO SOMETHING NOVEL AND

1	INTERESTING, IT'S NOT SOMETHING WE'VE BEEN DOING
2	THAT WE DON'T KNOW ABOUT OR THAT WE'VE ALREADY
3	SATURATED GRANTS IN THAT AREA. I WANT TO BE SURE
4	THAT WE COME UP WITH SOME NOVEL THINGS TO DO BECAUSE
5	THIS IS KIND OF AN UNPRECEDENTED OPPORTUNITY TO
6	REALLY LEAVE WHERE THE MAINSTREAM OF THE FIELDS ARE,
7	BUT WHERE SORT OF TIGHTLY CLOISTERED THINKING IS
8	LOCATED.
9	QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS? KEITH.
10	DR. YAMAMOTO: JUST A QUESTION ABOUT YOUR
11	ADVICE TO THE PEOPLE THAT YOU ARE GOING BE BRINGING
12	IN TO ADVISE US. I'M NOT SURE WHAT YOU DID IN THE
13	NEUROPSYCHIATRIC CASE. BUT ARE YOU SPECIFICALLY
14	GOING TO BE ASKING THEM TO ILLUMINATE THEIR THOUGHTS
15	ABOUT APPROACHES USING STEM CELLS OR THERAPEUTIC
16	CELLS, ENGINEERED CELLS TO GO AFTER THESE PROBLEMS?
17	OR DO YOU REALLY WANT A BROAD SUMMARY OF WHERE THEY
18	KIND OF HIGHLIGHT WHERE THE BIG QUESTIONS ARE AND
19	LET THE REST OF IT FALL WHERE THEY MAY? HOW
20	SPECIFIC IS THE ADVICE THAT WE'RE ASKING OF THEM IN
21	TERMS OF STEM CELL APPROACHES THEMSELVES?
22	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THAT'S A GOOD
23	QUESTION, KEITH. SO I THINK SORT OF GOING THROUGH
24	IT STEP BY STEP, A, THE MOST IMPORTANT IS WE DON'T
25	WANT A HALF-HOUR SEMINAR ABOUT THEIR OWN PROJECTS.

1	THAT'S REALLY KEY. B, WE'LL TRY OUR BEST TO GET
2	PEOPLE WHO DO HAVE SOME VISION AND HAVE SOME
3	THOUGHTS ABOUT WHAT'S COMING DOWN THE PIKE, AND ONE
4	OF THE MOST IMPORTANT UNRESOLVED QUESTIONS THAT MAY
5	NOT BE GETTING ATTACKED BY CONVENTIONAL GRANTING
6	MECHANISMS, AND TO TELL US WHERE THEY THINK THERE IS
7	SATURATION IN THE FIELD AND WHERE THERE ARE NEW
8	OPPORTUNITIES WHERE USING STEM CELLS OR GENE THERAPY
9	APPROACHES, WE CAN MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN EITHER
10	UNDERSTANDING OF SOME OF THESE DISORDERS, BECAUSE I
11	THINK FOR PARKINSON'S AND ALZHEIMER'S, THERE ARE
12	STILL A LOT OF MYSTERIES, FOR EXAMPLE, SAME FOR ALS,
13	AND WHERE THEY THINK THERE MIGHT BE SOME OPPORTUNITY
14	BECAUSE THE HERD HASN'T YET MOVED THERE.
15	AND SO DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION,
16	KEITH?
17	DR. YAMAMOTO: YEAH. I THINK THAT I HEARD
18	YOU SAY THAT YOU WILL ASK THEM TO COMMENT
19	SPECIFICALLY ON ANY APPROACHES THAT THEY THINK WOULD
20	BE PARTICULARLY HELPFUL BY STEM CELLS OR THERAPEUTIC
21	CELLS.
22	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: ABSOLUTELY. I THINK
23	WE WANT TO BE GRANTING WAYS THAT ARE UNIQUE, NOT
24	LIKE ALL THE OTHER FOUNDATIONS. ALTHOUGH I'LL JUST
25	POINT OUT THE STRUCTURE OF REMIND IS VERY MUCH LIKE

1	THE STRUCTURE OF ASAP FOR THE PARKINSON'S GROUP.
2	AND I THINK THAT'S BEEN A PRETTY GOOD RETURN ON
3	THAT.
4	DR. YAMAMOTO: GOOD.
5	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES. SO A COUPLE OF
6	THINGS. ONE ACTUALLY WANT TO PICK UP ON SOMETHING
7	THAT DAVID HIGGINS RAISED AND FOLLOW UP ON IT. I'VE
8	BEEN THINKING ACTUALLY SINCE THE BEGINNING OF ALL
9	THIS. AND THIS SORT OF GETS TO WHAT J.T. WAS SAYING
10	ABOUT BEING OVERWHELMED BY APPLICATIONS.
11	I WONDER, AND THESE ARE JUST THOUGHTS,
12	THEY'RE NOT NECESSARILY RECOMMENDATIONS, BUT JUST
13	MAYBE THINGS WE CAN DISCUSS IF PEOPLE ARE INTERESTED
14	IN DISCUSSING. THE FIRST IS TO CREATE A SPECIAL
15	GWG, A GRANTS WORKING GROUP, THAT REALLY IS FOCUSED
16	ON NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS, WHETHER THEY BE
17	NEURODEGENERATIVE, NEURO-INJURY, NEUROPSYCHIATRIC.
18	BUT BASICALLY THEY MEET SEPARATELY FROM ANY OF THE
19	OTHER GWG'S AND THEY MEET TO ADDRESS APPLICATIONS
20	THAT ARE COMING IN THAT ARE IN THE NEUROLOGICAL
21	AREA. THERE WOULD BE, IN MY VIEW, A GROUP OF THEM,
22	A BASE GROUP, THAT WOULD ALWAYS MEET. SO THERE
23	WOULD BE A COMMON THREAD THROUGH ALL THESE MEETINGS
24	OF A GROUP OF PEOPLE THAT CAN JUDGE THESE ONE AFTER
25	ANOTHER, ET CETERA, AND THEN BRINGING IN EXPERTS AS

1	NECESSARY FOR SPECIFIC AREAS WHERE EXPERTS, CERTAIN
2	DISEASE EXPERTISE OR INJURY EXPERTISE WOULD BE
3	HELPFUL.
4	AND THEN THEY COULD MEET SEPARATE AND
5	APART FROM THE OVERWHELMING NUMBER OF CLINICAL
6	APPLICATIONS THAT WE HAVE. THEY WOULD MEET
7	SEPARATELY AND WE WOULD CONSIDER THEM SEPARATELY AT
8	THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE. AND THAT WOULD
9	EXPEDITE THE REVIEW OF THESE THINGS BECAUSE THEY GET
10	PULLED OUT OF ALL THE OTHER APPLICATIONS THAT WE
11	HAVE. SO THAT'S ONE THOUGHT, ONE IDEA WHICH, IF
12	ANYBODY WANTS TO DISCUSS IT, I THINK THAT MIGHT BE
13	WORTHWHILE.
14	THE SECOND
15	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I'LL JUST INTERJECT,
16	STEVE. I THINK IT'S A TERRIFIC IDEA.
17	MR. JUELSGAARD: THANK YOU. AT LEAST I
18	GOT ONE AND JUDY SHAKING HER HEAD. SO THERE'S THREE
19	OF US. I'LL TAKE THAT.
20	AND THEN THE SECOND IS WE HAVE A PORTFOLIO
21	RIGHT NOW. SO I'M THINKING OF THIS AS IF I WERE IN
22	THE INDUSTRY FOR A MOMENT. WE HAVE A PORTFOLIO OF
23	CLINICAL TREATMENT INDICATIONS THAT ARE THERAPEUTIC
24	TREATMENTS THAT ARE BEING THEY'RE IN CLINICAL
25	TRIALS. AND SO ANOTHER THOUGHT IS WE TAKE A SERIOUS

1	LOOK AT ALL OF THOSE AND SEE HOW THEY'RE PROCEEDING
2	AND SEE WHICH ONES SEEM TO BE MEETING WITH SOME
3	SUCCESS AND PROCEEDING, WHETHER, AGAIN, IT'S
4	NEURODEGENERATIVE, WE'LL LEAVE NEUROPSYCH OUT OF IT
5	BECAUSE THERE'S REALLY NOT MUCH IN THAT AREA, OR
6	LET'S SAY STROKE AND NEURO-INJURY, SOMETHING LIKE
7	THAT, AND WHETHER THERE IS SOMETHING WE COULD DO TO
8	ENCOURAGE MOVING THOSE THINGS ALL ALONG A LITTLE
9	FASTER. WE HAVE THE ECONOMIC WHEREWITHAL TO BE ABLE
10	TO DO THAT, BUT ARE THERE WAYS WE CAN ENCOURAGE
11	SPEEDING UP THE CLINICAL TRIAL PROCESS FOR THOSE
12	THAT SEEM TO BE SHOWING SOME PROMISE?
13	SO IT'S TALK ABOUT ALL THESE MECHANISMS OF
14	ACTION KIND OF RELATED STUDIES, BUT I'D LIKE TO I
15	THINK IT MIGHT BE NICE TO COMPLEMENT THOSE WITH
16	STUDIES THAT ARE REALLY TRYING TO FIND ACTUAL
17	POTENTIAL TREATMENTS FOR DISEASES. AND THE ONLY WAY
18	WE CAN DO THAT IS LOOKING AT WHAT CLINICAL WORK IS
19	GOING ON AND WHERE WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO MOVE THE
20	NEEDLE A LITTLE BIT. SO THAT WAS THE SECOND.
21	THE THIRD, WHICH CAME UP JUST AS DR.
22	YAMAMOTO WAS TALKING, IS THE PROVENCE OF THIS
23	ORGANIZATION. SO WE STARTED WAY BACK WHEN, WAY BACK
24	IN 2004 IN THE WORLD OF EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS. THAT
25	WAS THE GENESIS OF THIS ORGANIZATION, BUT WE'VE

1	MOVED PAST THAT AS TIME HAS GONE ON. AND THAT IS
2	NOTED NOW IN PROPOSITION 14 IN THE AREAS OF VITAL
3	RESEARCH THAT WERE PUT TO LOOKING TOWARDS. AND SO
4	OBVIOUSLY GENE THERAPY HAS BECOME ONE OF THOSE.
5	I DON'T DISAGREE THAT WE NEED TO SEE WHAT
6	WE CAN DO TO INCREASE OR INVOLVE THE WORK THAT GOES
7	ON IN THE STEM CELL AREA. BUT HAVING SAID THAT, BY
8	THE SAME TOKEN, I DON'T WANT TO IGNORE THE OTHER
9	AREAS WHERE WE HAVE REACH THAT MAY PROVE PROMISING.
10	SO JUST MY THREE QUICK COMMENTS. THANK YOU.
11	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: STEVE, WHAT'S AN
12	EXAMPLE OF THE THIRD ISSUE YOU RAISED WHERE YOU
13	THINK WE MIGHT HAVE TOO MUCH DEPTH GOING ON OR HOW
14	WE GO ABOUT PICKING OUR WAY THROUGH THERE?
15	MR. JUELSGAARD: WELL, I JUST THINK GENE
16	THERAPY, AS AN EXAMPLE. SO LET ME JUST GET TO THIS
17	FOR A MOMENT. SO WE HAD WE WERE SUPPORTING A
18	PHASE 1/2 STUDY FOR I'M SORRY. THAT'S NOT THE
19	EXAMPLE BECAUSE THAT IS ACTUALLY A STEM CELL EXAMPLE
20	IN CYSTINOSIS. BUT IN THOSE AREAS WHERE WE'RE
21	PUTTING ASIDE STEM CELLS WHERE YOU CAN EITHER INSERT
22	A GENE THAT DOES WHAT YOU WANT IT TO DO OR SILENCE A
23	GENE THAT'S DOING WHAT YOU DON'T WANT IT TO DO,
24	WHICH DOESN'T NECESSARILY INVOLVE STEM CELLS, BUT,
25	RATHER, TRANSFECTION OR GENE REMOVAL AND THOSE SORT

1	OF THERAPIES. THAT'S WHAT COMES TO MIND FOR
2	DISEASES WHERE THE GENES ARE AMISS.
3	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. THANK YOU.
4	KEITH.
5	DR. YAMAMOTO: I DON'T WANT STEVE TO THINK
6	THAT EVERYBODY AGREES WITH EVERYTHING HE'S SAYING,
7	SO I'LL JUST MAKE A COUPLE COMMENTS.
8	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: TAKE A CHUNK OUT OF
9	MY HIDE IF YOU WANT.
10	DR. YAMAMOTO: SO YEARS AGO A GROUP THAT I
11	HAVE CHAIRED FOR A LONG TIME, THE COALITION FOR LIFE
12	SCIENCES, TOOK ON A PROBLEM THAT HAROLD VARMUS, WHO
13	WAS AT THAT TIME NCI DIRECTOR, BROUGHT TO MY
14	ATTENTION. AND THAT WAS A DISEASE ADVOCACY GROUP,
15	THE PANCAN, PANCREATIC CANCER ACTION NETWORK, HAD
16	CONVINCED A COUPLE OF CONGRESS PEOPLE TO WRITE SOME
17	LEGISLATION THAT WOULD TAKE MONEY OUT OF THE NCI
18	BUDGET AND GIVE IT TO A SERIES OF PANCREATIC CANCER
19	INVESTIGATORS, MOSTLY CLINICIANS, WITH CONTENTION
20	THAT THE NCI AND THE NIH IN GENERAL HAD MADE NO
21	PROGRESS IN CURING PANCREATIC CANCER. AND SO IT WAS
22	TIME TO TAKE THAT MONEY AWAY FROM THEM AND GIVE IT
23	TO PEOPLE WHO REALLY WORK ON THE DISEASE.
24	AND SO WE WERE ABLE TO FIGHT THAT BACK.
25	IT WAS ACTUALLY QUITE INTERESTING. I WROTE A LETTER

1	TO THE TWO MEMBERS OF CONGRESS WHO WROTE THIS
2	LEGISLATION AND INTENTIONALLY TRIED TO KEEP IT AWAY
3	FROM PANCAN BECAUSE I THOUGHT THEY WOULD THEY
4	WERE A VERY, VERY AGGRESSIVE GROUP. AND I THOUGHT
5	THEY WOULD TRACK ME DOWN AND BURN MY HOUSE DOWN OR
6	SOMETHING. THEY FIRST GOT THE LETTER. AND WHAT MY
7	LETTER SAID WAS THAT LACK OF PROGRESS ON THESE
8	RECALCITRANT MEDICAL PROBLEMS IS NOT DUE TO THE NIH
9	NOT DOING THE RIGHT THING, BUT REALLY DUE TO THE
10	FACT THAT THERE ARE SOME DISEASES WHERE THE
11	RESEARCHERS JUST CAN'T GET A HANDLE ON WAYS TO
12	APPROACH THEM. AND THAT WHAT WAS REALLY NEEDED WAS
13	THAT, INSTEAD OF GIVING MONEY JUST TO SOME
14	PANCREATIC CANCER RESEARCHERS, IT WOULD BE IMPORTANT
15	INSTEAD TO MAKE IT MORE BROADLY AVAILABLE TO THE
16	RESEARCH COMMUNITY WHERE THE BLOCKING POINTS ARE.
17	WHAT NEEDS TO BE FIGURED OUT IN ORDER TO TRY TO GET
18	A GRIP ON WHAT'S GOING ON?
19	AND THAT REALLY JUST SAYS SUPPORT BASIC
20	SCIENCE AND, IN FACT, TRY TO UNDERSTAND MECHANISMS
21	BECAUSE IT'S MY CONTENTION THAT REAL PROGRESS IS
22	GOING TO BE MADE BY KIND OF AN OPEN CONVERSATION
23	BETWEEN BASIC SCIENTISTS AND THE CLINICS RELEVANT TO
24	WHAT STEVE SAID AT THE END OF HIS COMMENTS.
25	SO I'M TELLING SO WE WERE ACTUALLY ABLE

1	TO STOP THIS THING. AND I'M MAKING THE TELLING
2	THAT STORY BECAUSE, WHILE I DON'T AT ALL DISAGREE
3	WITH STEVE'S IDEA TO HAVE THIS SPECIALIZED GWG FOR
4	NEUROLOGICAL DISEASES AND SYNDROMES, INJURIES, I
5	THINK WE NEED TO BE JUST CONSCIOUS OF HOW WE
6	POPULATE THAT WORKING GROUP, GRANT REVIEW GROUP. TO
7	NOT SIMPLY HAVE DETAILED CONTENT EXPERTS IN THESE
8	AREAS, BUT TO HAVE GENERALISTS WHO COULD RECOGNIZE
9	AN IDEA THAT IS NOT IN THE MAINSTREAM WITHIN THAT
10	FIELD, BUT CAN REALLY HAVE BIG IMPACT.
11	AND SO I THINK WE NEED TO BE SO WHILE I
12	DON'T DISAGREE WITH THE IDEA AT ALL OF HAVING A
13	SPECIALIZED GROUP, I THINK WE NEED TO BE VERY
14	CAREFUL ABOUT HOW WE POPULATE THE GROUP SO THAT WE
15	ENSURE THAT IT'S NOT WE DON'T JUST HAVE A BUNCH
16	OF PEOPLE WHO ARE THINKING NARROWLY IN THE
17	SPECIALIZED AREAS IN WHICH THEY WORK AND WILL NOT
18	RECOGNIZE AN OFF-BRAND IDEA THAT COULD ACTUALLY HAVE
19	BIG IMPACT.
20	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT POINTS, KEITH.
21	I THINK I AGREE WITH EVERYTHING YOU SAID ACTUALLY.
22	SO I SEE A HAND UP FROM THE GROUP IN THE
23	CONFERENCE ROOM, BUT DON'T KNOW WHICH PERSON IT IS.
24	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: MARIA. I JUST
25	WANTED TO ASK HOW DO YOU SEE THE WORK THAT'S BEING

1	DONE HERE AND THE AREAS OF FOCUS INTERTWINED WITH
2	THE PRIORITIZATION WORK THAT WE WILL UNDERGO BECAUSE
3	I THINK THEY OBVIOUSLY HAVE A NEXUS WITH EACH OTHER.
4	AND I'M NOT SURE I THINK THERE'S JUST SOME
5	COORDINATING WE'LL NEED TO DO BASED ON THE WORK THAT
6	COMES OUT OF THIS GROUP AND THEN HOW WE INTEGRATE
7	THAT INTO THE PRIORITIZATION WORK THAT THE SCIENCE
8	COMMITTEE AND THE INTERNAL TEAM WILL TAKE UP.
9	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YEAH. THAT'S A GREAT
10	POINT, MARIA. SO IT TURNS OUT I'VE ALREADY CALLED
11	MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE AND ASKED HIM IF HE WOULD WORK
12	WITH ME TO THINK ABOUT PRIORITIZATION BOTH WITHIN
13	OUR CURRENT PORTFOLIO AND IN NEW RFA'S TO MAKE SURE
14	THAT I DON'T WANT TO KEEP USING PARKINSON'S AS AN
15	EXAMPLE. BUT THERE IS QUITE A BIT OF WORK IN
16	PARKINSON'S ON MAKING DOPAMINERGIC NEURONS AND
17	TRANSFORMING THEM OR PUTTING THEM IN SOMEWHERE, AND
18	WE'RE CURRENTLY MISSING OUT ON SOME OTHER
19	OPPORTUNITIES IN PARKINSON'S THAT I LEARNED ABOUT
20	TALKING TO LORENZ STUDER. FOR EXAMPLE, THERE'S SOME
21	THOUGHT THAT CHOLINERGIC NEURO POPULATIONS ARE
22	ACTUALLY IMPORTANT IN THE DEMENTIA WHICH IS
23	FREQUENTLY SEEN IN PARKINSON'S AND IN SOME WAYS IS A
24	LOT MORE SERIOUS CLINICAL PROBLEM FOR PATIENTS WHO
25	HAVE THAT AS OPPOSED TO MOVEMENT DISORDERS, IF I

1	MIGHT SPECULATE A LITTLE BIT ON THAT.
2	SO MARK AND I WILL WORK ON THAT TOGETHER.
3	HAVEN'T EXACTLY FIGURED OUT EXACTLY HOW WE'LL GO
4	ABOUT DOING IT, BUT IT MIGHT ALSO GIVE HIM ENOUGH
5	EXPERIENCE THAT HE CAN THEN TURN TO THE LARGER
6	PROBLEM OF THE BROAD PRIORITIES WITHIN CIRM, NOT
7	JUST NEURO. SO WE'LL SEE. MAYBE WE'RE A DEMO
8	PROJECT.
9	SORRY, MARIA. PLEASE.
10	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: THIS IS DIFFERENT,
11	BUT I DO WANT TO CREATE AN AVENUE FOR PATIENT
12	ADVOCATE ORGANIZATIONS TO COME TO US AND TALK TO US
13	AND AS A MEANS OF JUST EXPRESSING INTEREST OR
14	ADVOCATING FOR SORT OF THEIR OWN WHAT THEY SEE AND
15	HOW TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THAT. SO I KNOW THAT THEY
16	CAN COME TO ANY OF THESE MEETINGS. I JUST WANT TO
17	MAKE SURE THAT THERE IS SORT OF THAT AVENUE FOR
18	THEM. AND THAT'S JUST AN ASIDE.
19	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: DO YOU HAVE ANY
20	THOUGHTS ON HOW WE WOULD ACHIEVE THAT?
21	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I THINK INTERNALLY
22	WE DO HAVE SOME OF OUR COMMUNICATIONS TEAM WHO WORK
23	WITH PATIENT ADVOCATE ORGANIZATIONS. SO PERHAPS WE
24	CAN JUST MAKE SURE THAT THEY ALERT THEM TO WHEN
25	THESE MEETINGS ARE OCCURRING AND WHAT THE AGENDA

1	TOPICS ARE AND IF THEY HAVE INPUT, THAT THEY CAN
2	JOIN AND SHARE THEIR INPUT.
3	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. SOUNDS
4	REASONABLE. WE'LL PUT IT ON THE DOCKET TO THINK
5	ABOUT IT.
6	DR. THOMAS: LARRY, WHILE WE'RE IN THE
7	CONFERENCE GROUP, COUPLE THOUGHTS. ONE IS I TOTALLY
8	AGREE WITH WHAT MARIA SAID. I DO THINK THAT NEURO
9	IS A LITTLE BIT UNUSUAL IN THE FOCUSED DISCUSSION
10	BECAUSE IT'S THE ONLY THING THAT HAS A DESIGNATED
11	AMOUNT CARVED OUT TO GO TO IT. AND WITHIN THE
12	LARGER CONTEXT OF FOCUS, IT'S REALLY THIS GROUP, AS
13	ADVISED BY THE KOL'S, ET CETERA, THAT IS GOING TO BE
14	ABLE TO BEST, IN MY OPINION, ADVISE MARK ON THE
15	LARGER EFFORT OF WHERE THE NEURO PROJECTS SHOULD BE
16	ALLOCATED. SO, YES, ABSOLUTELY IT NEEDS TO BE
17	INTEGRATED BY THIS GROUP BECAUSE OF THAT 1.5 SITTING
18	THERE AS SORT OF A KEY RESPONSIBILITY TO DELINEATE.
19	WITH RESPECT TO A COUPLE OF STEVE'S
20	COMMENTS, I DO THINK YOUR IDEA OF A SPECIAL GWG
21	MAKES A LOT OF SENSE AS SUPPLEMENTED BY WHAT KEITH
22	SAID. I THINK THIS IS, AGAIN, SORT OF A SUI GENERIS
23	GROUP HERE THAT WE WANT TO HAVE A PARTICULAR FOCUSED
24	PROTOCOL ON.
25	ON YOUR COMMENT, STEVE, ABOUT WHICH
	29

1	TREATMENTS ARE GETTING CLOSEST TO THE CLINIC THAT
2	WE'RE FUNDING, ET CETERA, I'VE ALREADY ASKED ABLA TO
3	PUT TOGETHER A PRESENTATION FOR THE BOARD WHICH IS
4	GOING TO SHOW THE CONTINUUM OF OUR 40 SOME ODD
5	ONGOING CLINICAL TRIALS IN TERMS OF WHICH IS CLOSEST
6	TO THE CLINIC ALL THE WAY TO WHICH IS FURTHEST. SO
7	WE WILL GET AN IDEA OF WHERE THINGS ARE PROGRESSING.
8	AND WITHIN THAT, YOU'LL BE ABLE TO CARVE OUT THE
9	NEURO PROJECTS TO ADDRESS THE SPECIFIC QUESTION YOU
10	ANSWERED. I THINK THAT THAT WILL BE A VERY
11	INFORMATIVE THING TO HAVE. SO THAT'S COMING AT A
12	FUTURE BOARD MEETING.
13	ON THE SUBJECT OF WHAT CAN WE DO TO
14	INCREASE, TO ACCELERATE THE PACE OF OUR FUNDED
15	PROJECTS, I'VE SORT OF CAST THAT SLIGHTLY
16	DIFFERENTLY AS WE'RE GOING TO DEVOTE AN LT SESSION
17	TO THE TOPIC OF WHAT ISSUES ARE COMING UP THAT ARE
18	SLOWING DOWN THE TRIALS, WHETHER IT'S MANUFACTURING
19	OR SOMETHING ELSE, AND TO GET ALL THOSE ISSUES
20	ACROSS OUR PORTFOLIO ON THE TABLE FOR DISCUSSION TO
21	DEVELOP SOLUTIONS WHERE THERE ARE THINGS THAT WE CAN
22	ACTUALLY IMPACT. SOME THINGS WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO
23	IMPACT, BUT WHERE WE CAN, I THINK WE WANT TO DO
24	THAT. AND THAT WILL ACHIEVE THE SORT OF THING THAT
25	YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT, WHICH IS HOW TO ACCELERATE

1	BETTER TO GET THESE PROJECTS FURTHER ALONG.
2	SO I JUST WANTED TO MAKE ALL THOSE
3	COMMENTS. THANK YOU.
4	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. STEVE, YOUR
5	HAND WAS UP AND NOW IT'S GONE. ARE YOU
6	MR. JUELSGAARD: I DON'T WANT TO BELABOR
7	ALL THIS CONVERSATION. SO JUST REAL QUICKLY, I
8	COMPLETELY AGREE WITH WHAT DR. YAMAMOTO SAID. I
9	THINK WE DO NEED TO BE VERY CAREFUL HOW WE POPULATE
10	SUCH A GWG, ASSUMING WE WERE TO GO IN THAT
11	DIRECTION.
12	MY IDEA ABOUT LOOKING AT OUR NEURO
13	PROJECTS RIGHT NOW WAS NOT ONLY TO IDENTIFY WHICH
14	ARE FURTHEST ALONG, BUT WHICH LOOK THE MOST
15	PROMISING BASED ON THE DATA THAT'S BEING DEVELOPED,
16	AND THEN LOOK AT POTENTIALLY GOING TO THOSE PEOPLE
17	AND SAYING WHAT MORE CAN WE DO TO HELP YOU? DO YOU
18	HAVE BOTTLENECKS OR ROADBLOCKS THAT WE CAN ASSIST
19	YOU IN TO TRY AND EXPEDITE, MOVE THOSE THROUGH USING
20	OUR JUDGMENT AS TO WHAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO DO. SO
21	IT'S MORE OF THAT, AND IT'S REALLY FOCUSED JUST ON
22	THE NEURO AREA BECAUSE I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IF WE CAN
23	MAKE CLINICAL PROGRESS, EVEN IF IT'S JUST ON ONE
24	SIGNIFICANT NEUROLOGICAL DISEASE WHERE THERE'S A
25	CHANCE IN THE NEARER TERM, AND BY THAT MAYBE IN THE

1	FIVE YEARS THAT WE ACTUALLY COME OUT WITH A
2	THERAPEUTIC THAT REALLY WAS FUNDED BY CIRM THAT
3	MAKES A DIFFERENCE IN THE LIVES OF PEOPLE WITH THAT
4	PARTICULAR NEUROLOGICAL PROBLEM.
5	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: WELL SAID. GOOD
6	POINTS. GREAT. ABLA, I THINK THAT'S ACTUALLY A
7	GOOD LEAD-IN TO YOU.
8	DR. CREASEY: THANK YOU, LARRY. I JUST
9	WANTED TO ASK, IT'S NOT REALLY RELATED TO WHAT STEVE
10	MENTIONED, BUT YOU MENTIONED THREE RARE DISEASES,
11	NEUROLOGICAL DISEASES, THAT YOU ARE CONTEMPLATING
12	BRINGING TO THE DISCUSSION, THE FTD, CYSTINOSIS, AND
13	NIEMANN-PICK DISEASE. WILL YOU PLEASE SHARE WITH US
14	THE CRITERIA FOR SELECTING THOSE BECAUSE, AS YOU
15	KNOW, I'VE BEEN ASKED TO PUT TOGETHER A RARE DISEASE
16	STRATEGY. AND I JUST WANT TO MAKE THAT WE'RE KIND
17	OF SPEAKING THE SAME LANGUAGE AND HARMONIZE IF WE
18	CAN.
19	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YEAH. TO BE HONEST,
20	THOSE WERE JUST THREE EXAMPLES OFF THE TOP OF MY
21	HEAD ABOUT THE SORTS OF DISEASES WE MIGHT WANT TO
22	LOOK AT. THEY'RE NOT COMMITMENTS TO THOSE THREE IN
23	PARTICULAR. I THINK WHAT YOU JUST SAID IS A GOOD
24	REMINDER. WE WANT TO BE SURE THAT WE PICK THESE IN
25	CONSULTATION WITH YOU AS YOU DEVELOP THIS RARE

1	DISEASE FRAMEWORK. SO DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR
2	QUESTION, I GUESS, IS THE
3	DR. CREASEY: YEAH. I'LL STAY IN
4	DISCUSSION WITH YOU AS WE PROGRESS, BUT I'M AIMING
5	TO GET A RARE DISEASE STRATEGY AT LEAST IN DRAFT IN
6	THE NEXT SIX, SEVEN WEEKS. OKAY.
7	BUT THE QUESTION THAT STEVEN RAISED FOR DO
8	WE HAVE ANY CLINICAL NEURO GRANTS THAT ARE LIKE IN
9	PHASE 1/2 THAT WE CAN ACCELERATE, I'M GOING TO BE
10	INCLUDING AT LEAST MY IMPRESSION OF ALL OF THOSE IN
11	THE CLOSED SESSION DISCUSSION WE WILL HAVE WHEN THAT
12	IS GOING TO HAPPEN.
13	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. THANK YOU,
14	ABLA. VERY HELPFUL.
15	LET'S SEE. ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR
16	CRITICISMS OR REFINEMENTS THAT FOLKS WANT TO BRING
17	UP? I DO WANT TO BE SURE THAT AS WE MOVE FORWARD,
18	THAT THERE'S GENERAL SUPPORT FROM THIS GROUP. SO I
19	THINK IF THERE IS SPECIFIC DISSENSION WITH WHAT
20	WE'RE PROPOSING TO DO HERE, THIS IS THE TIME TO
21	REGISTER IT BECAUSE TWO MONTHS FROM NOW WE'RE GOING
22	TO BE PRETTY DEEP INTO EDUCATING OURSELVES AND
23	THINKING ABOUT WHAT A UNIQUE GWG MIGHT LOOK LIKE,
24	WHAT SORTS OF PROJECTS WE THINK ARE OUT THERE THAT
25	ARE NOVEL AND SORT OF UNDERSTUDIED.

1	I DO THINK THIS NOTION OF CHOLINERGIC
2	POPULATIONS IN PARKINSON'S IS PARTICULARLY
3	INTRIGUING. WE SHOULD LEARN SOME MORE ABOUT THAT
4	AND SO ON. SO SOMEBODY IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM,
5	PLEASE.
6	DR. THOMAS: THANK YOU, CLAUDETTE. SO
7	FIRST A QUESTION ON THOSE THREE RARE DISEASES AGAIN
8	THAT YOU CITED. ARE YOU PLANNING ON OR
9	CONTEMPLATING THOSE BEING WITHIN THE 500 MILLION
10	SPECIAL PROJECT CATEGORY OR ARE THEY ON THE OUTSIDE
11	OF THAT?
12	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I THINK THE RARE
13	NEURO DISEASES, IF WE ISSUE SPECIAL CALLS FOR
14	APPLICATIONS THAT WOULD INCLUDE THEM, YEAH, THAT
15	WOULD FALL WITHIN THE \$500 MILLION SET-ASIDE FOR
16	MORE TARGETED RESEARCH THAN INVESTIGATOR INITIATED.
17	DR. THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU.
18	AND THEN A SECOND QUESTION IS I THINK IT
19	MIGHT BE AN IDEA TO SEE, YOU HAVE A GREAT LIST OF
20	KOL'S YOU HAVE PLANNING TO COME IN TO SPEAK. DO
21	OTHER MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE HAVE ADDITIONAL
22	SPEAKERS THAT ANY OF YOU THINK WOULD BE GREAT TO ADD
23	TO THAT?
24	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO THAT'S A GREAT
25	POINT, J.T. I'LL JUST SAY THAT MY EMAIL IS ALWAYS

1	ON. SO IF PEOPLE HAVE SUGGESTIONS OR STRONG
2	FEELINGS ABOUT PEOPLE WE SHOULD BRING IN, LET ME
3	KNOW ABOUT THEM. SORRY, ROSA.
4	DR. CANET-AVILES: SOMETHING WHEN WE WERE
5	TALKING ABOUT THE CYSTINOSIS AND FTD, I THINK
6	SOMETHING TO TAKE IN MIND IS ONE OF THIS
7	CROSS-DISEASE ANALYSIS. SO WE COULD HAVE A CALL
8	WITH FOCUS ON NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES, BUT WITH A
9	PRIORITIZATION OF CERTAIN RARE DISEASES, AND TRY TO
10	LEVERAGE AND ENCOURAGE DISCUSSIONS ON HOW INSIGHTS
11	FROM ONE NEURODEGENERATIVE EMPHASIS CAN BE APPLIED
12	TO ANOTHER AND BUILDING COMMON PATHWAYS. SO THAT'S
13	ANOTHER THING THAT WE COULD BE THINKING ABOUT.
14	AND THEN IN TERMS OF THE NEXT ROUND OF
15	DISCUSSIONS AND THINKING OF HOW DO WE BUILD RFA, SO
16	THE STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM FOR REMIND IS ALREADY
17	BUILT. AND SOMETHING THAT WE MIGHT WANT TO DO IS
18	THINK ABOUT THE KIND OF QUESTIONS, THAT WE PUT
19	TOGETHER A DRAFT OF QUESTIONS THAT WE COULD FOCUS IN
20	THE BRIEFING THAT WE SHARED ONLINE AS A PREVIEW FOR
21	THIS MEETING, BUT THE ACTIVITIES THAT WE WILL FUND.
22	WE HAVE SOME EXAMPLES, FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE CURRENT
23	RFA FOR REMIND-L. MAYBE WE COULD DEPART FROM THAT
24	AND THINK ABOUT HOW DO WE WANT TO REFINE AS WE HEAR
25	THE NEW INPUT THAT WE WILL GET SPECIFICALLY FOCUSED

1	ON NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES. IT'S JUST AN IDEA.
2	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO WHAT'S THE ACTION
3	ITEM ASSOCIATED WITH THAT, ROSA?
4	DR. CANET-AVILES: COULD BE TO DESIGN THE
5	QUESTIONS FOR THE UPCOMING SPEAKERS WITH A FOCUS ON
6	THE TYPE OF ACTIVITIES THAT THE REMIND-L PROGRAM FOR
7	NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES COULD BE FOCUSING UNDER
8	THE CALL. THAT WILL HELP US BECAUSE WE WANT TO GET
9	THE OUTCOME OF ALL THESE DISCUSSIONS WILL BE WHAT IS
10	GOING TO BE WHAT ARE GOING TO BE THE ACTIVITIES
11	THAT CIRM WILL FUND UNDER THIS CALL, RIGHT, THAT WE
12	WILL HAVE PRIORITIZED THROUGH THESE MEETINGS. SO
13	IT'S JUST A WAY TO LOOK AT IT FROM THIS PERSPECTIVE.
14	I'M HAPPY TO WORK WITH YOU ON THAT.
15	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. THANK YOU.
16	YES, WE SHOULD DO THAT. PAT.
17	DR. LEVITT: FOR SOME REASON THE ZOOM IS
18	DROPPING MY HAND SAYING I'M FINISHED TALKING AFTER
19	LIKE SIX SECONDS. SOMEBODY THERE MUST HAVE SET THIS
20	UP.
21	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: HAS A BETTER
22	MEMORY THAN YOUR COMPUTER APPARENTLY.
23	DR. LEVITT: SO I'M CURIOUS, LARRY. WHAT
24	IS YOUR SENSE OF THE TIME FRAME FOR START TO FINISH
25	IN TERMS OF THE EXPERTS COMING IN? THAT WAS ONE

1	QUESTION.
2	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO THE ANSWER TO THAT
3	IS I'M THINKING IN TERMS OF THREE TO FOUR MONTHS
4	BECAUSE I THINK WE CAN DO IT IN TWO-HOUR MEETINGS,
5	WHICH IS HOW WE DO THE NEUROPSYCH. WE COULD HAVE AT
6	LEAST TWO EXPERTS, POSSIBLY A THIRD, AND THEN STILL
7	HAVE SOME TIME FOR DISCUSSION. YOU KNOW HOW THIS
8	GOES. WE'RE ASKING A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO ARE ALREADY
9	REALLY BUSY. ON THE OTHER HAND, THIS IS A REALLY
LO	IMPORTANT THING TO DO. AND SO I'D LIKE TO MOVE IT
L1	ALONG PRETTY QUICKLY AS WE DID FOR NEUROPSYCH.
L2	I THINK WE HAVE A CONSENSUS AT THIS TIME
L3	ON HOW TO PROCEED THAN WE DID FOR NEUROPSYCH.
L4	DR. LEVITT: I THINK THERE IS CONSENSUS.
L5	I DON'T NEED TO REPEAT WHAT EVERYONE SAID. I AGREE
L6	WITH THEM ALL. JUST A REMINDER. THIS IS NOT
L7	THIS IS A CHALLENGE TO DO BECAUSE IT'S NOT JUST THE
L8	DISEASES. THEY ARE EXTRAORDINARILY CHALLENGING.
L9	BUT ALSO, DEPENDING UPON THE DISEASE AND THE FIELD,
20	AS YOU KNOW, BECAUSE YOU'VE BEEN HIP DEEP IN IT OR
21	FURTHER UP, THERE'S A LOT OF POLARIZATION IN SOME OF
22	THESE FIELDS WHERE THERE ARE VERY STRONG OPINIONS
23	THAT MAKE IT MORE CHALLENGING TO COME TO SOME
24	CONSENSUS. SO YOU WILL HAVE TO WORK YOUR MAGIC
25	ABOUT FIGURING OUT WHO IS NOT GOING TO BE WHO

1	WILL BE LESS WILL BE MORE PLASTIC AND LESS RIGID
2	ABOUT A VIEW ON A PARTICULAR NEUROLOGICAL DISEASE.
3	NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES IN GENERAL ARE REALLY
4	DIFFICULT FROM THAT PERSPECTIVE, AS YOU KNOW.
5	THAT'S IT.
6	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YES. THERE ARE A
7	COUPLE OF AREAS WHERE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO TREAD
8	CAREFULLY. BUT I THINK ALZHEIMER'S SPECIFICALLY,
9	WHERE HIP DEEP WAS AN UNDERSTATEMENT, THERE'S LOTS
10	OF WORK ON AMYLOID. SO IT'S NOT OBVIOUS TO ME THAT
11	WE NEED TO DO A LOT MORE WORK ON AMYLOID. IF
12	SOMEBODY COMES IN WITH A NOVEL IDEA ABOUT IT, WE
13	OUGHT TO TAKE A LOOK AT IT.
14	DR. LEVITT: SURE. OKAY. THANK YOU.
15	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YEAH, SURE. ABLA.
16	DR. CREASEY: WOULD YOU CONSIDER BRINGING
17	IN EXPERTS LIKE HEADS OF PHARMA THAT SPENT YEARS
18	TRYING TO DEVELOP DRUGS IN THIS AREA AND MOST OF
19	THEM HAVE FAILED? SO WE CAN LEARN LESSONS FROM THEM
20	AS POTENTIAL ALSO EXPERTS TO TELL US WHAT THEY'VE
21	DONE RIGHT AND WHAT THEY THINK HAD NOT DONE RIGHT?
22	THERE ARE SUCH PEOPLE WHO ARE NOW EXPERTS, AND
23	THEY'RE PROFESSORS AT VARIOUS UNIVERSITIES WHO USED
24	TO BE PART OF THE PHARMA GROUPS THAT SPENT A NUMBER
25	OF BILLIONS ON THIS AREA AND HOW TO WHAT DO YOU

1	LEARN FROM THEM? WOULD YOU CONSIDER SUCH EXPERTS?
2	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I THINK THAT'S A
3	GREAT POINT. AS WE THINK ABOUT THESE AREAS, WE WANT
4	TO LOOK AT WHERE THERE ARE OPPORTUNITIES, BUT I
5	THINK IT'S ALSO WORTH KNOWING, AT LEAST TO SOME
6	DEGREE, HOW WERE FAILURES OF THE PAST DEALT WITH AND
7	WHAT LED TO THEM. ALTHOUGH I MUST SAY MY OPINION IS
8	THAT AN AWFUL LOT OF IT IS JUST SIMPLY A LACK OF
9	FULL UNDERSTANDING OF HOW THE BRAIN WORKS AND THEN
10	WHICH PATHWAYS WERE ALTERED. BUT IT'S A GOOD POINT.
11	IF YOU'VE GOT THOUGHTS ABOUT WHO WOULD BE GOOD,
12	PLEASE SEND THEM MY WAY.
13	IN FACT, LET ME OPEN THIS UP. LOOK, GUYS.
14	I THINK IF YOU HAVE SUGGESTIONS FOR PEOPLE WHO YOU
15	THINK MATCH THE CRITERIA I'VE OUTLINED, POSSIBLY
16	PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO BREAK WITH PRECEDENT AND
17	GIVE SOME MORE IDEAS THAN JUST THE PARTY LINE IN
18	THESE VARIOUS DISEASES, BECAUSE I THINK THERE IS A
19	PARTY LINE IN MOST OF THEM, AND WE WANT TO GET AWAY
20	FROM THAT A LITTLE BIT UNLESS THERE'S A GOOD REASON.
21	SO PLEASE SEND ME SUGGESTIONS. I HAVE SOME THOUGHTS
22	IN MY MIND OF MY OWN. AND I THINK WE WILL I GOT
23	TO GET GOING ON THIS BECAUSE THERE'S GOING TO BE A
24	MARCH MEETING COMING UP PRETTY FAST. OKAY.
25	CONFERENCE ROOM.

1	DR. CANET-AVILES: SO IN LINE WITH DR.
2	CREASEY AND MR. JUELSGAARD'S COMMENTS, IN THE
3	BRIEFING DOCUMENT THAT WE POSTED, WE ALSO HAD A
4	COMMENT ABOUT THAT IT MIGHT BE USEFUL TO HEAR FROM
5	INDUSTRY GROUPS THAT ARE CURRENTLY FUNDED, LIKE
6	NEURONA THERAPEUTICS, FOR EXAMPLE, TO HEAR ABOUT THE
7	SAME POINTS THAT THEY HAVE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF
8	THEIR PROGRAM BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT IS IT THAT WE
9	CAN HELP TO ADVANCE THOSE PROGRAMS AND WHETHER THERE
10	ARE ANY INNOVATION TOOLS THAT COULD BE A GAME
11	CHANGER THAT WE SHOULD INVEST IN THE NEXT THREE- TO
12	EIGHT-YEAR HORIZON, FOR EXAMPLE. AND THEN INVITING
13	COMPANIES THAT ARE WORKING ON DIFFERENT CNS
14	TARGETING MODALITIES LIKE ASO'S AND SIRNA'S OR GENE
15	EDITING. THAT MIGHT BE ALSO SOMETHING TO THINK AND
16	MIX THEM. IF WE HAVE TWO, WE CAN MIX. MAYBE HAVE
17	ONE OF THESE COMPANIES COME IN AND HAVE IT IN THE
18	MIX.
19	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I HAVE A QUESTION FOR
20	MARIA, SCOTT, AND VITO, WHICH IS THIS NOTION OF
21	RECRUITING A SPECIAL BRANCH OF THE GWG. IS THERE
22	ANYTHING IN THE RULES THAT MAKE IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR US
23	TO DO THAT OR IS IT AN AVENUE WE CAN ACTUALLY GO
24	DOWN?
25	MR. TOCHER: IT'S CERTAINLY WITHIN THE
	40
	40

1	POWER TO CONSTRUCT A FOCUSED GWG IN LIGHT OF THE
2	STRATEGIC GOALS OF THE APPLICATION OR RFA. SO IT'S
3	ABSOLUTELY DOABLE. AND, IN FACT, I MAY BE DOING A
4	LITTLE MIND READING FROM GIL, BUT TO A LESSER
5	DEGREE, IT'S ACTUALLY SORT OF THE FOCUS OF EVERY
6	REVIEW, THAT IT'S RFA SPECIFIC TO TRY TO CONSTRUCT
7	THE EXPERTISE OF A GIVEN REVIEW AND EVEN DOWN TO A
8	GIVEN APPLICATION TO ENSURE THAT THE PROPER
9	EXPERTISE IS AT HAND FOR EACH REVIEW.
10	SO EVEN SOMETHING MORE FOCUSED, I THINK
11	HOWEVER THAT ENDS UP LOOKING, IS ABSOLUTELY WITHIN
12	OUR ABILITY.
13	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. THANK YOU.
14	DR. CANET-AVILES: FOR THE REMIND-L
15	PROGRAM, AS YOU KNOW, IN ORDER TO GET SOMEONE TO THE
16	GRANTS WORKING GROUP, MANY TIMES WE START WITH A
17	SPECIALIST. AND WE TEST THOSE SPECIALISTS IN THE
18	PROGRAMS LIKE, SAY, DISC-0 OR DISC2. SO THE TEAM
19	HAS BEEN WORKING ON A LIST FOR THE REMIND-L WITH
20	SOME GENERALISTS, SOME NEUROPSYCHIATRIC, DIFFERENT
21	TYPE OF COMPETENCIES TO BRING THEM TO THE REMIND-L
22	AND BEING TESTED AS BEST WE CAN IN THE EARLIER
23	PROGRAMS AS A SPECIALIST. I'M SURE THAT GIL CAN
24	SAY, HE'S NOT HERE, BUT HE WOULD SAY IT'S A
25	CHALLENGE, BUT THAT'S WHAT WE ARE DOING.

1	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. GREAT. SO I'M
2	CONSCIOUS OF THE TIME. WE SHOULD BE TRYING TO WRAP
3	THIS UP HERE. DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT? OKAY.
4	WE'RE GOOD ON THAT. ANYBODY WANT TO MAKE ANY
5	LAST-MINUTE SUGGESTIONS THAT THEY AREN'T COMFORTABLE
6	JUST EMAILING TO ME DIRECTLY? OKAY.
7	SO I'M GOING TO SUGGEST THAT, IN THE
8	INTEREST OF TIME, WE CLOSE THIS UP. THANK YOU ALL
9	FOR YOUR TIME TODAY. I THINK WE'VE GOTTEN SOME
10	USEFUL SUGGESTIONS THAT WILL MODIFY HOW WE PROCEED.
11	AND I'M GOING TO GET TO WORK ON MARCH.
12	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	42
	! <b>=</b>

1	
2	DEDONTED IS SERTIFICATE
3	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
4	
5	
6	I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT
7	THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE NEURO TASK FORCE ON NEUROSCIENCE AND
8	MEDICINE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR
9	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON JANUARY 23, 2024, WAS HELD AS HEREIN
10	APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS
11	TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS
12	TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.
13	
14	
15	
16	BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT
17	SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	43