#### BEFORE THE

INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

AND THE

APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE

OF THE

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE

ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE

CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

**REGULAR MEETING** 

LOCATION: HYATT REGENCY SAN FRANCISCO

AIRPORT, CYPRESS ROOM

DATE: JANUARY 25, 2024

9 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2024-06

#### INDEX

#### ITEM DESCRIPTION

PAGE NO.

#### **OPEN SESSION**

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. ROLL CALL
- 3. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT
- INTERIM PRESIDENT'S REPORT
- UPDATE FROM PRESIDENTIAL SEARCH SUBCOMMITTEE

#### **CONSENT CALENDAR**

- 6. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES FROM SEPTEMBER 28 ICOC/ARS MEETING, OCTOBER 26 ARS MEETING, NOVEMBER 27 ICOC MEETING, NOVEMBER 28 ARS MEETING, AND DECEMBER 14 ICOC MEETING
- 7. CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT OF SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP
- 8. APPROVAL OF REQUESTS TO ATTEND REMOTELY (GOV'T CODE SECTION 11123.2(J)

#### **OPEN SESSION**

- 9. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENTS (CLIN 1 OR 2)
- 10. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENTS (INFR6.1 AND INFR6.2) POSTPONED
- 11. CONSIDERATION OF COMMUNITY CARES CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE CONCEPT PLAN

#### I N D E X (CONT'D.)

12. CONSIDERATION OF FUNDING POLICY REGARDING "N OF 1" PROPOSALS MEMO

#### **CLOSED SESSION**

13. DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OR WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION DATA, FINANCIAL INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH OR DATA, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INFORMATION RELATING TO APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO AGENDA ITEMS 9 AND 10 ABOVE. (HEALTH & SAFETY CODE 125290.30(F) (3) (B) AND (C)).

#### **OPEN SESSION**

- 14. DISCUSSION OF PERFORMANCE AUDIT
- 15. DISCUSSION OF FINANCIAL AUDIT
- 16. DISCUSSION OF CIRM LOGO-POSTPONED
- 17. GENERAL COMMENTS ON ARS PROCESS
- 18. PUBLIC COMMENT
- 19. ADJOURNMENT

	<b>,</b>
1	JANUARY 25, 2024; 9 A.M.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. GOOD
4	MORNING, EVERYONE. GOOD MORNING TO THE MEMBERS OF
5	THE INDEPENDENT CITIZENS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE, THE
6	BOARD FOR CIRM. WELCOME TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC IN
7	ATTENDANCE. I'D LIKE TO CONVENE INTO ORDER TODAY'S
8	BOARD MEETING. AND WE'RE GOING TO START IF SCOTT
9	WOULD PLEASE CALL COULD YOU PLEASE CALL US TO
10	ORDER WITH A ROLL CALL VOTE OF ATTENDANCE.
11	MR. TOCHER: SURE. HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
12	MOHAMED ABOUSALEM. KIM BARRETT.
13	DR. BARRETT: PRESENT.
14	MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL. GEORGE
15	BLUMENTHAL. MARIA BONNEVILLE.
16	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: PRESENT.
17	MR. TOCHER: MICHAEL BOTCHAN.
18	DR. BOTCHAN: PRESENT.
19	MR. TOCHER: JUDY CHOU. LEONDRA
20	CLARK-HARVEY.
21	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: PRESENT.
22	MR. TOCHER: HAL COLLARD.
23	DR. COLLARD: PRESENT.
24	MR. TOCHER: DEBORAH DEAS.
25	DR. DEAS: HERE.
	4

		2211 6. 21441, 61 654 16. 7 162
1		MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
2		DR. DULIEGE: PRESENT.
3		MR. TOCHER: YSABEL DURON.
4		MS. DURON: HERE.
5		MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
6		DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: HERE.
7		MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER.
8		DR. FISHER: PRESENT.
9		MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
10		DR. FLOWERS: PRESENT.
11		MR. TOCHER: JUDY GASSON.
12		DR. GASSON: HERE.
13		MR. TOCHER: LARRY GOLDSTEIN. DAVID
14	HIGGINS.	
15		DR. HIGGINS: PRESENT.
16		MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
17		CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: PRESENT.
18		MR. TOCHER: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
19		MR. JUELSGAARD: HERE.
20		MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
21		MR. LAJARA: PRESENT.
22		MR. TOCHER: PAT LEVITT.
23		DR. LEVITT: PRESENT.
24		MR. TOCHER: LINDA MALKAS.
25		DR. MALKAS: HERE.
		г
		5

1	MR. TOCHER: SHLOMO MELMED.
2	DR. MELMED: HERE.
3	MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
4	DR. MIASKOWSKI: PRESENT.
5	MR. TOCHER: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN. ADRIANA
6	PADILLA.
7	DR. PADILLA: HERE.
8	MR. TOCHER: JOE PANETTA.
9	MR. PANETTA: HERE.
10	MR. TOCHER: JOYCE SACKEY.
11	DR. SACKEY: PRESENT.
12	MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD. SUZANNE
13	SANDMEYER. KEVIN XU. MICHAEL STAMOS.
14	DR. STAMOS: HERE.
15	MR. TOCHER: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
16	DR. BLUMENTHAL: PRESENT.
17	MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
18	DR. SOUTHARD: HERE.
19	MR. TOCHER: WE'RE GOOD TO GO.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	6
	U

1	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, SCOTT.
2	MAY I ASK THE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE HERE
3	TO PLEASE STAND AND FACE THE COLORS.
4	(THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
5	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
6	WE HAVE A JAMPACKED AND VERY INTERESTING MEETING
7	PLANNED FOR YOU TODAY WITH REPORTS ON THE
8	PRESIDENTIAL SEARCH COMMITTEE, AN N OF 1 PROPOSAL,
9	CLINICAL TRIALS, FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDITS,
10	CONCEPT PLAN REGARDING THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF
11	EXCELLENCE, AND PARTICIPATION FROM INTERESTED
12	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.
13	FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO TELL YOU HOW
14	DELIGHTED EVERYONE AT CIRM IS TO WELCOME BACK TO OUR
15	OFFICES AND TO HIS NEW ROLE JONATHAN THOMAS AS
16	INTERIM CEO AND PRESIDENT OF CIRM. HE BEGAN THIS
17	NEW PERFORMANCE OF HIS EARLY IN THE NEW YEAR. HE
18	DOVE RIGHT IN, AND I'M GOING TO ALLOW HIM IN A
19	SECOND IN THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT TO INFORM US ALL OF
20	HIS MANY ACTIVITIES. AS FAR AS I CAN TELL, HE'S
21	VERY, VERY INVOLVED. HE'S GOTTEN A GREAT RECEPTION
22	FROM EVERYONE AND ALL THE TEAMS AT CIRM.
23	I THINK THE BIGGEST CHALLENGE FROM HIM IS
24	THAT I OBSERVED IS WHEN HE GETS OFF THE ELEVATOR NOW
25	ON THE FOURTH FLOOR, HE HAS TO TURN LEFT INSTEAD OF
	7
	<b>,</b>

1	RIGHT TO GO TO HIS NEW OFFICE, BUT EVERYTHING IS
2	GOING WELL. AND AS I PROMISED THE BOARD, I TRY TO
3	BE IN THE OFFICE THE SAME TIMES THAT HE IS THERE.
4	AND WE HAVE REGULAR COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN BOTH
5	SIDES OF THE HOUSE.
6	I'M GOING TO SHARE PART OF MY REPORT WITH
7	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE, WHO WILL SPEAK IN A SECOND,
8	ON ISSUES RELATED TO THE AAWG AND ON GOVERNMENT
9	RELATIONS UPDATE.
10	BUT I DO WANT THE BOARD TO REALIZE WHAT A
11	JAMPACKED MONTH IS COMING UP. ON JANUARY 30TH THE
12	SECOND PART OF GRANTS WORKING GROUP WITH CONVENE.
13	NOTICE IT'S THE SECOND DAY. IT'S TESTIMONY TO HOW
14	MANY APPLICATIONS ARE COMING IN THAT HAVE TO BE
15	REVIEWED BY OUR GRANTS REVIEW TEAM.
16	THERE'S ALSO GOING TO BE A MEETING OF THE
17	MANUFACTURING STEERING COMMITTEE LATE IN JANUARY,
18	PART OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE 5 PROGRAM. YOU WILL
19	RECALL THIS BOARD APPROVED BACK IN DECEMBER NINE
20	BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AWARDS. AND THE AWARDEES, IF
21	YOU WILL, FORM THE STEERING COMMITTEE. AND IT'S
22	THAT COMMITTEE THAT WILL MEET ON JANUARY 30TH.
23	ON FEBRUARY 8TH THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE
24	ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP. ON FEBRUARY
25	9TH AT THE ASILOMAR CONVENTION CENTER, THERE IS A

1	MEETING THAT CIRM HAS FUNDED THAT HAS BEEN ORGANIZED
2	BY THE KEYSTONE SYMPOSIUM SERIES, THE TITLE AND
3	THEME OF WHICH IS "STEM CELL MODELS FOR EMBRYOLOGY."
4	IT'S AN UPDATE ON HUMAN DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY AND
5	THE USE OF HUMAN EMBRYOIDS. AND ATTENDEES ARE
6	COMING TO THIS CIRM-SPONSORED EVENT FROM ALL OVER
7	THE WORLD, AND WE'LL HAVE A DELEGATION IN ATTENDANCE
8	ALSO.
9	IN THE MIDDLE OF FEBRUARY ON THE 15TH AND
10	16TH IS A TWO-DAY GRANTS WORKING GROUP TO DISCUSS
11	FUNDAMENTAL AWARDS IN THE DISC DISCOVERY ZERO
12	PROGRAM THAT WERE PROMPTED BY PROPOSITION 14 FOR THE
13	PURPOSE OF SUPPORTING REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, CELL
14	AND GENE THERAPY.
15	THE ARS AND THE ICOC WILL MEET TO CONSIDER
16	GRANTS ON FEBRUARY 22, AND ON FEBRUARY 23 THE SECOND
17	MEETING THIS YEAR OF THE CONTROLLER'S AUDIT GROUP,
18	IF YOU WILL, THE CFAOC.
19	WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO PASS THE GAVEL TO
20	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE FOR HER REPORT.
21	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, VITO.
22	I JUST WANTED TO UPDATE THE BOARD. IN MARCH, MARCH
23	20TH, VITO AND I WILL BE GOING UP TO SACRAMENTO TO
24	TALK TO A HANDFUL OF LEGISLATORS ABOUT CIRM AND ALL
25	THE GOOD WORK WE'RE DOING AND REMIND THEM THAT WE'RE

1	HERE AND HAVE MADE SO MUCH PROGRESS OVER THE LAST 20
2	YEARS. CAN YOU BELIEVE IT WILL BE 20 YEARS IN
3	NOVEMBER?
4	AS VITO MENTIONED, WE HAVE AN
5	ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP
6	MEETING ON FEBRUARY 8TH WHERE WE WILL BE REVIEWING
7	THE APPLICATIONS FOR THE PATIENT SUPPORT SERVICES
8	PROGRAM. AND THOSE WILL BE COMING TO THE BOARD IN
9	MARCH FOR A VOTE AND APPROVAL.
10	AND WE CONTINUE TALKING TO DIFFERENT
11	POLICY FOLKS AND LOBBYISTS IN DC TO DETERMINE WHAT
12	OUR PRESENCE THERE SHOULD BE. AND WE'LL UPDATE YOU
13	WITH MORE ON THAT ONCE WE HAVE A REQUEST FOR A
14	PROPOSAL AND THEY COME IN. SO THANK YOU.
15	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, VICE
16	CHAIR.
17	SO IT'S WITH GREAT PLEASURE WE GIVE YOU
18	BACK AGAIN JONATHAN THOMAS FOR THE PRESIDENT'S
19	REPORT.
20	DR. THOMAS: MR. CHAIRMAN, MADAM VICE
21	CHAIR, DISTINGUISHED MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, AND ALL
22	THE MEMBERS OF THE CIRM FAMILY, IT IS A DISTINCT
23	PLEASURE, UNEXPECTED, TO BE BACK WITH YOU NOW IN
24	THIS NEW CAPACITY. AS I NOTED AT THE END OF MY
25	CHAIR TENURE IN MARCH, I'VE ALWAYS VIEWED THE

1	OPPORTUNITY TO SERVE THE TAXPAYERS OF CALIFORNIA AS
2	PART OF THE CIRM FAMILY AS THE GREATEST PRIVILEGE OF
3	MY PROFESSIONAL CAREER, AND I WAS DELIGHTED TO BE
4	ASKED TO COME BACK IN THIS NEW CAPACITY TO LEAD THE
5	TEAM AND TO CONTINUE CIRM'S GREAT WORK APACE.
6	I ALSO WANT TO THANK ALL OF YOU FOR THE
7	OPPORTUNITY OF PUTTING ME IN A POSITION NOW TO
8	HAVING OFFICIALLY TO REPORT TO MARIA, WHICH, AS I'VE
9	NOTED TO A NUMBER OF YOU, IS REALLY NO DIFFERENT
10	THAN IT ALWAYS WAS.
11	SO I'D BE REMISS IN MY REMARKS HERE IF I
12	DIDN'T OPEN WITH GIVING THANKS TO MY PREDECESSOR,
13	DR. MARIA MILLAN, WHO SERVED WITH GREAT DISTINCTION
14	FOR MANY YEARS, FIRST AS VP OF THERAPEUTICS AND THEN
15	AS OUR CEO AND PRESIDENT, AND LEADING CIRM TO THE
16	POINT WHERE IT IS TODAY. MARIA WAS A TIRELESS
17	WORKER, A TRUE ADVOCATE OF THE MISSION, WAS A GREAT
18	PRESENCE THROUGHOUT THE NATION ON BEHALF OF CIRM AND
19	THROUGHOUT CALIFORNIA WITH ALL OF OUR MANY GRANTEES
20	OVER THE YEARS, AND REALLY, REALLY DID YEOMAN'S WORK
21	TIRELESSLY IN A FASHION THAT REDOUNDED TO CIRM'S
22	BENEFIT.
23	SO I WANT TO I DON'T KNOW IF MARIA IS
24	WATCHING, BUT IF YOU ARE, THANK YOU FOR EVERYTHING
25	YOU DID FOR CIRM, FOR PATIENTS EVERYWHERE, AND FOR

1	THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA FOR WHOM WE ARE PERFORMING
2	OUR DAILY DUTIES TO ADVANCE OUR WONDERFUL MISSION.
3	SO ONE OF THE NICE THINGS ABOUT COMING
4	INTO THIS JOB FROM MY PREVIOUS JOB IS I WAS ABLE TO
5	HIT THE GROUND RUNNING, NOT A LOT OF EDUCATION
6	NECESSARY TO IDENTIFY WHERE THINGS STAND. AND SO WE
7	SET TO IT IMMEDIATELY WITH THE MEMBERS OF THE
8	LEADERSHIP TEAM AND HAVE SET ABOUT IDENTIFYING
9	ISSUES AND THINGS THAT WE NEED TO ADDRESS TO TAKE
10	WHAT IS ALREADY A TREMENDOUS OPERATION WITH AN
11	A-PLUS PRODUCT TO EVEN GREATER HEIGHTS.
12	AND SO WE HAVE HAD A NUMBER OF
13	DISCUSSIONS, HAVE ZEROED IN ON SEVERAL AREAS WHERE
14	WE COULD USE SOME IMPROVEMENT AND ARE GETTING AFTER
15	IT. AND THOSE TOPICS WILL FORM THE BASIS FOR
16	VARIOUS DISCUSSIONS WITH BOARD MEMBERS. THEY'VE
17	ALREADY STARTED IN VARIOUS SUBCOMMITTEES AND TASK
18	FORCES AND WILL END UP THE SUBJECT MATTER OF
19	DISCUSSIONS THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE HAVING HERE AT
20	THE BOARD IN THE NOT TOO DISTANT FUTURE.
21	BUT THE THING I WANT TO SAY IS AS CHAIR,
22	AS WE ALL RECALL, WOULD TIRELESSLY PAY HOMAGE TO THE
23	WONDERFUL TEAM THAT WE HAVE AT CIRM. AND VIEWING IT
24	FROM A CHAIR'S PERSPECTIVE, THAT WAS ALWAYS MY TAKE.
25	NOW BEING IN THE POSITION OF LEADING THE TEAM AND

1	SEEING THEM AT EVEN CLOSER ACTION, I CAN REPORT TO
2	THE BOARD THAT THEY'RE EVEN BETTER THAN WE THOUGHT
3	VIEWING IT FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF THE BOARD ITSELF.
4	IT'S A WONDERFUL TEAM THAT IS SUPREMELY CAPABLE, AND
5	I'VE SET FORTH TO THEM MY VISION OF HOW WE SHOULD
6	OPERATE AS A COLLABORATIVE UNIT AND HOW I THINK,
7	FROM A CEO'S PERSPECTIVE, THINGS SHOULD PROCEED FROM
8	THIS POINT, AT LEAST HOWEVER LONG I'M IN THIS
9	POSITION.
10	AND I CAN REPORT TO YOU THAT THE RECEPTION
11	HAS BEEN VERY POSITIVE. I THINK THERE'S GREAT
12	ENERGY AMONGST ALL MEMBERS OF THE TEAM. I HAD
13	OCCASION TO SPEAK TO EVERYBODY ORGANIZATIONWIDE AND
14	TO GIVE MY OPENING THOUGHTS. I WILL TELL YOU
15	PARENTHETICALLY I WAS DELIGHTED THAT APRIL ON JENN'S
16	TEAM ACTUALLY ASKED ME, PERHAPS INNOCENTLY, IF THIS
17	MEANT I AM A GIANTS, WARRIORS, AND 49ERS FAN. I
18	NEEDN'T TELL YOU WHAT MY ANSWER WAS. THE SHORT FORM
19	WAS NO. AND THEN, OF COURSE, I TOOK THE OPPORTUNITY
20	TO GO ON AT SOME LENGTH ELABORATING ON WHY THAT WAS
21	THE CASE. BUT SPENT TIME TALKING, WANDERED AROUND,
22	TALKED TO MEMBERS OF THE TEAM. THEY ALL KNOW MY
23	DOOR IS ALWAYS OPEN. THEY ALL KNOW I AM HAPPY TO
24	DISCUSS ANY SUGGESTIONS THAT THEY MAY HAVE. AND I
25	THINK WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO SEE IS A WORK PRODUCT

1	FROM ALL OF US THAT WILL BE SOMETHING FURTHER THAT
2	WE CAN BE PROUD OF AS AN ENTIRE ORGANIZATION.
3	SO DELIGHTED TO BE HERE. I WANT TO SAY
4	THAT, IN ADDITION TO DEALING WITH INTERNAL MATTERS,
5	I'VE ALREADY GOTTEN AFTER IT ON INTERFACING WITH
6	LONGTIME FRIENDS WHO ARE EXPERTS IN THE STEM CELL
7	COMMUNITY AT LARGE. WE HAD, FOR EXAMPLE, A MEETING
8	THE WEEKEND BEFORE JP MORGAN THAT IS AN ANNUAL EVENT
9	DOWN AT SAND HILL ROAD WHICH CONVENES ACADEMICS,
10	INVESTORS, COMPANIES, AND LUMINARIES FROM THE STEM
11	CELL SPACE AT WHICH WAS ABLE TO SPEAK, HAVE SIDE
12	BARS WITH DR. PETER MARKS, THE DIRECTOR OF CEBR, WHO
13	IS A VERY IMPORTANT GUY FROM THE STANDPOINT OF ALL
14	OF THOSE GRANTEES THAT WE FUND, HAD SORT OF AN
15	INTERESTING DISCUSSION ON THE NOTION OF STEM CELL
16	TOURISM AND HAVE AN IDEA I'M PUSHING WITH HIM AND
17	HIS OFFICE. AND WE WILL SEE HOW THAT GOES. I THINK
18	IT COULD VERY POSSIBLY HAVE SOME LEGS.
19	WE HAD DEANS OF MEDICAL SCHOOLS, MANY
20	REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE EAST COAST, IN THE STEM
21	CELL COMMUNITY WHO CONTINUE TO BE HIGHLY ENVIOUS OF
22	CALIFORNIA BECAUSE OF ALL OF THE GREAT TALENT WE
23	HAVE UP AND DOWN THE STATE AND THE GREAT LUXURY OF
24	THE FUNDING TO ENABLE THEM TO DO WHAT THEY DO.
25	THIS PARTICULAR EVENT IS ONE THAT I ENJOY

1	EVERY YEAR. AND THEY ALWAYS SAY THAT EVERY YEAR,
2	AND I ALWAYS GET A BIG SMILE ON MY FACE EVERY YEAR.
3	SO THIS WAS NO EXCEPTION. SO IT WAS GREAT TO SEE
4	THEM.
5	WE'VE HAD JP MORGAN CONFERENCE WHICH
6	FOLLOWED SUBSEQUENTLY, HAD A NUMBER OF MEETINGS
7	THERE, INCLUDING WITH SOME BIG PHARMA, TO TALK ABOUT
8	WHAT CIRM DOES WITH VENTURE FIRMS, TO TALK ABOUT OUR
9	PORTFOLIO, AND HOW WE MIGHT INTERFACE AND GET
10	FURTHER INTRODUCTIONS OF VENTURE PEOPLE TO OUR
11	COMPANIES, WHO ARE, AS YOU KNOW, NOW IN A BIT OF A
12	DIFFICULT TIME RAISING MONEY GIVEN THE ENVIRONMENT
13	FOR BIOTECH IN GENERAL.
14	SO A LOT OF STUFF GOING ON. AND I THINK
15	THAT THE FIRST THREE AND A HALF WEEKS HAS BEEN VERY
16	BUSY. IT'S BEEN VERY REWARDING. I WASN'T SURE HOW
17	I WAS GOING TO VIEW BEING IN THIS POSITION HAVING
18	BEEN ON THE OTHER FOR 12 YEARS, BUT I'M DELIGHTED
19	AND ALREADY CAN SEE THAT WE'RE GOING TO DO GREAT
20	THINGS AND CONTINUE TO DO GREAT THINGS. SO I THANK
21	EVERYBODY FOR THAT OPPORTUNITY.
22	I DO WANT TO GET BACK TO JUST THIS THING
23	WITH MARIA. I'D JUST LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THINGS
24	HAVEN'T CHANGED MUCH AT ALL. SHE SEES ME IN THE
25	HALL, INSTEAD OF SAYING SOMETHING KIND OF NICE LIKE

1	NICE SUIT, SHE SAYS, "YOU'RE WEARING A SUIT. IT'S
2	FREAKING ME OUT." SO SOME THINGS NEVER CHANGE.
3	SO IN CONNECTION WITH JP MORGAN WEEK, THE
4	ALLIANCE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ALWAYS DOES A
5	KICKOFF FIRST THING MONDAY MORNING THAT IS A STATE
6	OF THE UNION SPEECH WITH RESPECT TO WHERE THE
7	INDUSTRY IS AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT IN TIME. AND
8	IT'S ALWAYS INTERESTING TO LISTEN TO BECAUSE YOU GET
9	A PERSPECTIVE OF HOW THAT ALLIANCE IS THE TRADE
10	ASSOCIATION PRINCIPALLY FOR FOR-PROFIT COMPANIES IN
11	THE CELL AND GENE THERAPY SPACE. YOU GET THEIR
12	PERSPECTIVE ON WHERE THINGS STAND. THEY DO A QUICK
13	POWERPOINT THAT I THOUGHT MIGHT BE INTERESTING FOR
14	THE BOARD BECAUSE IT HAS SOME STATS THAT ARE
15	RELEVANT TO THIS ISSUE OF WHERE THINGS STAND. SO IF
16	YOU WOULD BEAR WITH ME FOR A MINUTE, I'D LIKE TO
17	SHOW YOU THESE.
18	THIS PARTICULAR SET OF SLIDES HAPPENS TO
19	HAVE A FAIRLY SINGULAR FOCUS ON RARE DISEASE, WHICH
20	IS, OF COURSE, JUST ONE COMPONENT OF EVERYTHING WE
21	DO. BUT I THINK YOU WILL FIND SOME OF THESE STATS
22	INTERESTING. SO HERE WE GO. PRESENTATION FROM THE
23	ALLIANCE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE.
24	SO THIS WAS THEIR LINEUP. THEIR CEO GAVE
25	THIS TALK THAT I'M ABOUT TO GIVE YOU. IT THEN

1	SWITCHED TO PETER MARKS, WHO I REFERENCED WAS AT THE
2	EVENT THE NIGHT BEFORE, WHO GAVE A TALK ON
3	ACCELERATING THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRODUCT. AND THEN A
4	MOST INTERESTING PANEL CONSISTING OF A MODERATOR AND
5	THE TWO GENTLEMEN YOU SEE THERE WHO ARE THE CEO'S OF
6	CRISPR THERAPEUTICS AND BLUEBIRD BIO, WHICH WITHIN
7	THE TWO WEEKS PREVIOUS HAD JUST GOTTEN APPROVAL FROM
8	THE FDA FOR THEIR SICKLE CELL THERAPIES AND AS SUCH
9	WERE ABLE TO PROVIDE REALLY INTERESTING INSIGHTS
LO	INTO WHERE THEY SEE THE INDUSTRY. AND THEY TALKED,
L1	TO THE EXTENT THEY COULD WITHOUT GIVING ANYTHING
L2	AWAY, ABOUT SORT OF WHAT THEIR PRODUCT IS AND HOW
L3	IT'S DIFFERENTIATED FROM OTHERS.
L4	I WOULD RECOMMEND TO YOU THAT, IF YOU HAVE
L5	THE TIME, I'M SURE THAT PANEL WAS ON YOUTUBE. YOU
L6	CAN GET IT OFF THE ARM SITE, AND IT'S WORTH WATCHING
L7	BECAUSE I THINK YOU GET SOME THESE ARE OBVIOUSLY
L8	PEOPLE AT THE TOP OF THEIR GAME IN THE FIELD WITH
L9	PERSPECTIVES THAT ARE WORTH HEARING.
20	SO THIS IS JUST A LITTLE PIECE OF PR FOR
21	ARM, WHICH YOU CAN SORT OF THUMB THROUGH QUICKLY,
22	WHICH IS 400 PLUS MEMBERS ALL OVER THE WORLD. AND
23	YOU CAN SEE THE THEMES THAT THEY'RE EMBRACING AS
24	THEY GO ABOUT THEIR WORK. THEY'RE A VERY PROMINENT
25	PLAYER IN ADVOCACY IN WASHINGTON, D.C., ON BEHALF OF

1	THE INDUSTRY. AND WE'VE WORKED WITH THEM IN VARIOUS
2	CAPACITIES OVER THE YEARS FROM TIME TO TIME AND HAVE
3	HAD A LOT OF INPUT. OUR VIEWS ON THINGS PERTAINING
4	TO THE SPACE OBVIOUSLY CARRY CONSIDERABLE WEIGHT.
5	THIS IS A PAGE MEANT TO SORT OF SPOOK
6	EVERYBODY. TALK ABOUT ALL THE HEADLINES THAT
7	HIGHLIGHTED THE CHALLENGES OF CELL AND GENE THERAPY
8	WHICH THEY'VE SEEN OVER THE PAST YEAR OR SO DEALING
9	WITH DIFFICULTIES IN DEVELOPING AND THE EXPENSE AND
10	PAYORS AND ALL THAT STUFF. THEN, OF COURSE, THAT
11	TEES UP WHAT THEIR MAIN POINTS WERE, WHICH IS, IN
12	FACT, THAT THINGS ARE PROGRESSING IN THE CELL AND
13	GENE THERAPY SPACE. THEY CALL IT CGT. AND THEY
14	HAVE THREE CATEGORIES OF WAYS THAT THEY WANT TO SHOW
15	THAT THAT IS THE CASE.
16	THE FIRST ONE IS BREAKTHROUGHS ARE
17	BECOMING THE NORM. AND WE GET TO THIS SLIDE WHERE
18	IN FIVE YEARS, FROM 2017 TO 22, THE FDA APPROVED
19	FIVE GENE THERAPIES FOR RARE, AGAIN THIS IS MORE OF
20	AN EMPHASIS ON RARE DISEASE, RARE GENETIC DISEASES.
21	YOU CAN SEE THAT, IN ADDITION TO THAT, THEY HAD
22	PROPOSED THAT THERE WAS GOING TO BE AN EXPONENTIAL
23	EFFECT IN TERMS OF APPROVALS IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS.
24	AND, IN FACT, IN 2023 YOU HAD FIVE ADDITIONAL
25	APPROVALS FOR THE CONDITIONS YOU SEE LISTED THERE

1	JUST IN THAT YEAR ALONE. SO THERE WAS A MARKED
2	ADVANCE IN THIS MARCH TO COMMERCIALIZATION, WHICH IS
3	SOMETHING THAT IS SORT OF THE END GOAL FOR EVERYBODY
4	AND CERTAINLY SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO FOCUS ON AS
5	AN ORGANIZATION. HOW ARE WE FUNDING THINGS THAT ARE
6	GOING TO ULTIMATELY GET TO PATIENTS? SO THIS WAS
7	SORT OF AN INTERESTING STAT.
8	IT LISTS HERE THAT YOU CAN SEE THE
9	CONDITIONS THAT WERE APPROVED, WHICH IS QUITE AN
10	INTERESTING LIST AND GROWING EVERY YEAR. THE BULK
11	OF THESE WERE IN THE U.S., ONE WAS IN EUROPE, BUT
12	YOU CAN SEE THAT THIS LIST A YEAR AGO WAS A LOT
13	SHORTER. AND SO THE MAIN TAKEAWAY IS THAT GREAT
14	WORK IS AT LAST FINALLY MAKING IT TO MARKET WHICH
15	HAS TAKEN A WHILE. STEM CELLS WERE A BRAND NEW
16	INDUSTRY. AND AS WITH ANY NEW INDUSTRY, IT TOOK A
17	NUMBER OF YEARS TO GET TO WHERE YOU CAN
18	COMMERCIALIZE PRODUCTS. SO THIS IS THE FRUIT OF
19	THAT FOR THE YEAR 2023.
20	THEN THEY HAVE THESE STATS SO HOW MANY
21	PRODUCTS ARE IN DEVELOPMENT, HOW MANY IN CLINICAL
22	TRIALS, THE TOTAL INVESTMENT IN THE SECTOR, WHICH
23	LAST YEAR WAS 11.7 BILLION, WHICH IS IMPRESSIVE. BY
24	THE WAY, THE PRINCIPAL FOCUS ON THIS IS IN THE
25	FOR-PROFIT SPACE, BUT OBVIOUSLY IT FACTORS IN OTHER

1	WORK AS WELL.
2	FROM A REGULATORY PERSPECTIVE FOR THIS
3	YEAR, THEY PREDICT UP TO 17 NEW PRODUCTS COULD BE IN
4	THE U.S. AND EUROPE BROUGHT TO MARKET, WHICH WOULD,
5	AGAIN, BE AN EXPONENTIAL JUMP FROM 2023 AND IS SORT
6	OF WHAT YOU EXPECT GOING FORWARD. OBVIOUSLY THERE
7	ARE LOTS OF BUMPS IN THE ROAD THAT CAN OCCUR FOR ANY
8	OF THESE. THEY COULD END UP WELL NOT MAKING IT FOR
9	ONE REASON OR ANOTHER, BUT THESE ARE THE ONES IN THE
10	QUEUE THAT HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO MAKE IT TO MARKET.
11	THEY LIST THESE AS MILESTONES THAT COULD
12	OCCUR IN 2024, WHICH YOU CAN SEE. ONE THAT IS SORT
13	OF REALLY INTERESTING IS THE NOTION OF ALLOGENEIC
14	T-CELL THERAPY, WHICH IS HERETOFORE CAR-T, AND ITS
15	KIN HAVE ALL BEEN IN AUTOLOGOUS SPACE, WHICH HAS ITS
16	CHALLENGES AND EXPENSES, ET CETERA. IF YOU, IN
17	FACT, SUCCEED IN GETTING AN ALLOGENEIC PRODUCT TO
18	MARKET, THAT WILL BE A BIG DEAL BECAUSE NOW YOU'RE
19	TALKING OFF THE SHELF, AND THAT COULD BE A MAJOR
20	ADVANCE.
21	IN CANCER THERAPY AND AT THE SAME TIME
22	BY THE WAY, CAR-T, THEY'RE NOW MAKING A SERIOUS RUN
23	IN ITS APPLICABILITY IN AUTOIMMUNE DISEASE OF
24	VARIOUS KINDS AS WELL. I THINK WE WILL BE HEARING
25	MORE AND MORE ABOUT THAT AS TIME GOES BY.

1	THEN THESE DISEASES THAT THEY'VE HAD
2	PRODUCTS FOR ALREADY THAT THERE ARE ADDITIONAL
3	THERAPIES COMING TO MARKET: HEMOPHILIA, EPIDE
4	SORRY. IT'S ALWAYS A MOUTHFUL. HELP ME OUT,
5	ABLA EPIDERMOLYSIS BULLOSA. THANK YOU. YES.
6	THERE WE GO. REPEAT THAT FIVE TIMES QUICKLY.
7	THEN THERE WAS THIS PREDICTION IN 2019
8	ABOUT HAVING 10 TO 20 THERAPIES PER YEAR APPROVED
9	STARTING IN 2025. WE'RE SORT OF, IF WE HIT THE UP
10	TO 17 NEXT YEAR, WE'RE GOING TO BE WELL WITHIN THAT
11	PREDICTION AND WE WILL SEE. LOOK AT THIS CHART NEXT
12	YEAR AND SEE WHAT THEY HAVE TO SAY ON THAT.
13	THEN THERE'S THE VALUE FOR PATIENTS AND
14	SOCIETY, WHICH IS WHAT IT'S ALL ABOUT. THIS IS KIND
15	OF INTERESTING. DO THESE QUOTES SOUND FAMILIAR?
16	MOST EXPENSIVE DRUG SOLD IN THE U.S. THE PRICE
17	THREATENS TO PUT THIS PROMISING TREATMENT OUT OF
18	REACH OF MANY PATIENTS EVEN THOSE WHO ARE WELL
19	INSURED. YOU HEAR THAT ALL THE TIME ABOUT WHAT
20	WE'RE DEVELOPING. SO WHICH OF THE RECENT TREATMENTS
21	THAT ARE EITHER JUST APPROVED OR WILL BE APPROVED IS
22	THIS REFERRING TO? AND THE ANSWER IS NONE.
23	THIS IS ACTUALLY FROM 1991 WHEN THEY WERE
24	TALKING ABOUT A TREATMENT BY GENZYME FOR GAUCHER'S
25	DISEASE, WHICH AT THAT POINT WAS GIVEN A PRICE TAG

1	OF \$300,000, WHICH BY TODAY'S STANDARDS, GIVEN SOME
2	OF THE PRICES WE'RE HEARING, WAS AN EXTREME BARGAIN
3	EVEN ACCOUNTING FOR INFLATION.
4	BUT THE NOTION IS THIS REFRAIN HAS BEEN
5	AROUND FOR MANY YEARS AND WILL CONTINUE BECAUSE,
6	WHEN YOU CREATE WHAT ARE HOPEFULLY CURATIVE PRODUCTS
7	THAT ARE GOING TO BE ONE TIME IDEALLY, THEY'RE GOING
8	TO BE EXPENSIVE. AND THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE'RE
9	ALL GRAPPLING WITH AT THE MOMENT AND IS FUNDAMENTAL
10	TO, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THE WORKINGS OF OUR
11	ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP AS IT
12	PROCEEDS ALONG IN ITS WORK.
13	ARM'S, THEY HAVE VARIOUS AGAIN, THESE
14	ARE SORT OF TENETS OF THEIR POSITION, THAT THESE
15	TREATMENTS, THOUGH PERHAPS EXPENSIVE, TARGET
16	DEVASTATING, OFTEN DEADLY DISEASES. THEY ARE
17	INCREDIBLY EXPENSIVE DISEASES. THEY ARE HIGHLY
18	EFFECTIVE, WHEN YOU DO AN ANALYSIS, SAVE HEALTHCARE
19	SYSTEMS A GREAT DEAL OF MONEY ONE TIME VERSUS REST
20	OF YOUR LIFE, AND AT THE END OF THE DAY WILL BE
21	AFFORDABLE. THAT OBVIOUSLY IS GOING TO TAKE SOME
22	WORK AND A LOT MORE REVIEW AND THOUGHT BY THE PAYORS
23	WHETHER IT'S THE GOVERNMENT OR PRIVATE SECTOR.
24	HERE, THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF THE LIFESPANS
25	OF PEOPLE WITH THESE HEINOUS DISEASES. YOU CAN SEE,

1	SICKLE CELL, 45 TO 55; A FORM OF CEREBRAL DYSTROPHY,
2	TEN YEARS; AND DUCHENNE'S MUSCULAR DYSTROPHY AT 22.
3	SO THESE PEOPLE WITH THESE CONDITIONS HAVE HALF THE
4	NORMAL LIFESPAN. AND SO THERE'S A LOT OF WORK BEING
5	DONE ON THESE. AND THE LIFETIME COST OF
6	ADMINISTERING THE THERAPIES THAT CURRENTLY EXIST YOU
7	CAN SEE AT THE BOTTOM. AGAIN, THESE ARE SELECTIVE,
8	ARE MANY MILLIONS OF DOLLARS, AND PLACE TREMENDOUS
9	FINANCIAL STRAIN ON THE PATIENTS, THE FAMILIES, THE
10	SYSTEM, ET CETERA. SO WHAT WE'RE ALL ABOUT IS
11	COMING UP WITH STUFF THAT'S GOING TO BE ABLE TO
12	ADDRESS THAT ISSUE.
13	A LOT OF THESE THINGS THAT ARE BEING
14	PRODUCED, HIGHLY EFFECTIVE. AND THE STATS THERE,
15	I'M NOT ENTIRELY SURE WHERE THESE ARE DERIVED FROM
16	SINCE THEY AREN'T FOOTNOTED, BUT SUFFICE IT TO SAY
17	THAT THEY TELL THE STORY THAT THE DRUGS HAVE, IN
18	THIS CASE GENE THERAPIES, SHOULDN'T SAY DRUGS, GENE
19	THERAPIES HAVE A REAL IMPACT IN TERMS OF YIELD AND
20	COST SAVINGS, ET CETERA.
21	HERE WE GO. SYSTEM SAVES A LOT OF MONEY
22	VERSUS LIFETIME EXPENDITURES. THEY ESTIMATE IN
23	SICKLE CELL YOU'LL SAVE 2 MILLION PER PATIENT,
24	HEMOPHILIA, 3 MILLION, VARIOUS OTHER THERAPIES YOU
25	CAN SEE ARE SIMILAR IN SCOPE.

1	AND THIS IS SORT OF AN INTERESTING
2	PERSPECTIVE OF HOW THESE ARE AFFORDABLE. THEY TALK
3	ABOUT NEWDIGS, BY THE WAY, A TUFTS MEDICAL SCHOOL
4	INITIATIVE. IT'S SOME ACRONYM. I'M NOT QUITE SURE
5	WHAT IT IS. IT'S ONE OF THOSE ACRONYMS THAT PULLS
6	LETTERS FROM THE MIDDLE OF WORDS INSTEAD OF THE
7	BEGINNING, BUT WHATEVER. SO THEY THINK THAT
8	REVENUES JUST FROM GENE THERAPY ARE GOING TO REACH
9	7.5 BILLION IN 2030.
10	NOW, IT BEGS THE QUESTION, WHICH WE'RE IN
11	THE MIDDLE OF ANALYZING RIGHT NOW, IS ULTIMATELY HOW
12	MANY OF THESE THERAPIES ARE GOING TO MAKE IT TO
13	COMMERCIALIZATION? HOW MANY ARE GOING TO HAVE
14	SUCCESSFUL COMPANIES SET UP THAT CAN DEAL WITH THE
15	ECONOMICS AND WHAT IT COSTS TO GET TO
16	COMMERCIALIZATION, ET CETERA? SO THIS IS I THINK
17	THERE ARE A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS THAT REMAIN TO BE
18	SORTED OUT THAT AREN'T REPRESENTED IN THESE STATS.
19	BUT YOU CAN SEE HERE THAT THE POSITION IS
20	THAT THIS IS GOING TO BE ULTIMATELY A MUCH MORE
21	EFFICIENT, CHEAPER WAY TO GO AND MORE PRODUCTIVE FOR
22	PATIENTS, WHICH IS, AFTER ALL, WHAT WE REALLY CARE
23	ABOUT.
24	HERE'S ANOTHER STUDY DONE DEMONSTRATING
25	COST OFFSETS, HOW IT'S MUCH MORE EFFICIENT AND

1	CHEAPER TO ULTIMATELY HAVE THERAPIES THAT ARE ONE
2	TIME AS OPPOSED TO OVER ONE'S LIFE.
3	AND I THINK I WENT BACK HERE. HOW DID
4	THAT HAPPEN? I KNEW I'D HAVE SOME TECHNOLOGICAL
5	CHALLENGE HERE AND THERE IT WAS. OKAY.
6	SO IT TALKS ABOUT U.S. HEALTHCARE SYSTEMS
7	ARE GRAPPLING TO COME UP WITH HOW TO DEAL WITH ALL
8	THIS STUFF. AND THIS IS AN EFFORT TO TALK ABOUT HOW
9	CENTER FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID INNOVATIONS CGT
10	ACCESS MODEL IS EVALUATING THE SITUATION RIGHT NOW.
11	AND THIS IS, I THINK, A REAL OPPORTUNITY FOR CIRM
12	BECAUSE, AS SORT OF THE WORLD'S LEADER IN FUNDING
13	STEM CELL RESEARCH, WE HAVE A REAL CHANCE HERE TO
14	GIVE INPUT INTO ALL OF THESE CONSIDERATIONS. AND
15	MEMBERS OF OUR TEAM ARE BUSILY AT WORK AND HAVE BEEN
16	CONTACTED VARIOUSLY BY DIFFERENT PEOPLE TO TALK
17	ABOUT THAT.
18	WE JUST SUBMITTED A RESPONSE. GEOFF LOMAX
19	WAS THE LEAD ON IT. IT WAS A REQUEST FOR
20	INFORMATION ON THE SPACE, VARIOUS QUESTIONS
21	PERTAINING TO THE SPACE BY THE SENATOR FROM
22	LOUISIANA WHO'S TRYING TO GET A HANDLE ON THIS NEW
23	INDUSTRY AS IT'S COMING INTO PLAY. I THINK IT WAS
24	ALL MEMBERS OF THE TEAM HAD INPUT INTO THAT. I
25	THINK IT WAS A VERY GOOD DOCUMENT, AND IT, AMONG
	3.5

1	OTHER THINGS, WILL DEMONSTRATE, AS THEY READ THROUGH
2	IT, THAT WE ARE PEOPLE THAT SHOULD BE IN THE
3	DISCUSSION BECAUSE WE HAVE A REAL HANDLE ON THE
4	GROUND ON WHAT'S GOING ON.
5	FDA IS PREPARING FOR THE WAVE THAT'S
6	COMING. THIS IS JUST A BIT OF MINUTIAE ON THE
7	HIGHLY QUALIFIED PEOPLE THAT ARE THERE AND THAT THEY
8	KNOW THAT THIS IS, LIKE MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES BEFORE
9	IT, IT'S SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE WORKED INTO THE
10	OVERALL FDA FRAMEWORK. AND, AGAIN, WE ARE HAVING A
11	REAL SAY IN THAT.
12	AND THIS IS JUST A THING ABOUT HOW ARM,
13	ANOTHER LITTLE PR PIECE FOR ARM, ON BEHALF OF THE
14	INDUSTRY. THE FDA ARE COLLABORATING TO PREPARE FOR
15	THE FUTURE AND ALL OF THE ELEMENTS THAT INVOLVES.
16	YOU CAN SEE THAT THERE. BY THE WAY, THIS WEBSITE,
17	ARM HAS STUFF LIKE THIS ON THEIR WEBSITE ALL THE
18	TIME. AGAIN, I WOULD RECOMMEND IT TO YOU.
19	SPECIFICALLY WITH RESPECT TO SICKLE CELL,
20	BECAUSE THAT'S THE SUBJECT OF GREAT FANFARE AND
21	GREAT NEWS WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE TWO RECENT
22	THERAPIES WHICH WERE APPROVED IN DECEMBER, THIS IS
23	JUST A LITTLE BIT OF COMMENTARY ON THAT. IT'S THE
24	FIRST TIME, BY THE WAY, CRISPR HAS EVER BEEN USED IN
25	A PRODUCT THAT IS NOW APPROVED FOR

1	COMMERCIALIZATION, WHICH IS A BIG DEAL AS THAT WAS
2	OBVIOUSLY ONE OF THE SEMINAL MEDICAL RESEARCH
3	DEVELOPMENTS OF OUR TIME. IT'S NOW BEEN OVER TEN
4	YEARS SINCE CRISPR WAS DISCOVERED, WHICH IS PRETTY
5	IMPRESSIVE. HARD TO BELIEVE, BUT TRUE.
6	THEN THEY CLOSED WITH SOME GREAT QUOTES
7	WITH RESPECT TO SICKLE CELL IN PARTICULAR WHICH YOU
8	CAN SEE. ONE INTERVIEWEE IN THE NEW YORK TIMES
9	COMMENTING IT MEANT A NEW BEGINNING. "IT IS MORE
10	THAN I EVER DREAMED OF FOR EVERYTHING, THE SYMPTOMS
11	TO BE GONE." SECOND, IN 2018 TALKING ABOUT THE
12	PATIENT ON THE RIGHT RECEIVED AN AUTOLOGOUS GENE
13	THERAPY TRANSPLANT. SHE WENT FROM EXPERIENCING
14	DAILY PAIN AND SOMETIMES LIFE-THREATENING CONDITIONS
15	TO HAVING MINIMAL OR NO PAIN. THIS IS THE PROMISE
16	OF OUR TECHNOLOGY AND EVERYTHING WE COLLECTIVELY ARE
17	STRIVING TO DO.
18	SO THAT WAS THE END OF THE ARM
19	PRESENTATION. DON'T WANT TO GO BACK TO THE
20	BEGINNING. IN ANY EVENT, I HOPE THAT WAS
21	INTERESTING SORT OF AS A SET OF FRAMING STATEMENTS
22	FOR ALL OF YOU ON WHERE WE ARE. SO THAT CONCLUDES
23	MY PRESIDENT'S REPORT. SO HAPPY TO BE HERE, SEE
24	EVERYBODY, AND REALLY LOOKING FORWARD TO PROCEEDING
25	HERE AND DOING WHAT WE DO, WHICH IS GREAT WORK. SO

1	THANKS, EVERYBODY.
2	(APPLAUSE.)
3	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, INTERIM
4	PRESIDENT AND CEO JONATHAN THOMAS. GREAT
5	PRESENTATION.
6	WE'RE NOW GOING TO LOOK AT AGENDA ITEM 5
7	IF YOU WILL DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION THERE. KIM
8	BARRETT, BOARD MEMBER BARRETT, IS GOING TO, AS
9	CO-CHAIR OF THE PRESIDENTIAL SEARCH COMMITTEE, IS
10	GOING TO LEAD THE DISCUSSION ON THE NEXT ITEM.
11	THANK YOU.
12	DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, CHAIR
13	IMBASCIANI. IT IS MY GREAT HONOR TO BE CO-CHAIRING
14	THE SEARCH SUBCOMMITTEE FOR THE NEXT PRESIDENT AND
15	CEO WITH GEORGE BLUMENTHAL. AND I WANT TO PROVIDE A
16	BRIEF UPDATE AND PROGRESS THAT WE'VE MADE SINCE THE
17	LAST BOARD MEETING.
18	SO YOU WILL REMEMBER AT THE LAST BOARD
19	MEETING WE APPROVED A SET OF CHARACTERISTICS OF THE
20	DESIRED NEXT PRESIDENT AND CEO. AND WITH THAT IN
21	HAND, WE WERE THEN ABLE TO GO OUT AND ISSUE A
22	REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FROM EXECUTIVE SEARCH FIRMS TO
23	ASSIST WITH THE SEARCH. AND EVEN THOUGH THIS TOOK
24	PLACE OVER THE HOLIDAYS, WE WERE EXTREMELY PLEASED
25	THAT THERE WAS A VERY ROBUST RESPONSE FOR THE RFP

1	WITH 12 FIRMS THAT TENDERED PROPOSALS. GEORGE AND
2	I, WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF SCOTT AND MARIA, NARROWED
3	THAT DOWN. ACTUALLY WE BOTH LOOKED AT THE FIRMS'
4	PROPOSALS INDEPENDENTLY AND CAME UP WITH AN ALMOST
5	IDENTICAL SHORT LIST.
6	OVER THE COURSE OF THE NEXT COUPLE OF
7	WEEKS, WE INTERVIEWED FOUR OF THE FIRMS, ALSO
8	FOLLOWED UP WITH THE FIRMS TO CLARIFY SOME
9	ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS. I WILL SAY THAT THE TOP FIRMS
10	WERE PROBABLY THREE OF THE FOUR THAT WE
11	INTERVIEWED ALL COULD HAVE DONE THE JOB WELL, BUT IN
12	THE END WE SELECTED THE FIRM SRI EXECUTIVE,
13	ORIGINALLY FOUNDED IN IRELAND, I THINK, 27 YEARS
14	AGO, TO HELP WITH SEARCHES IN THE LIFE SCIENCES
15	SECTOR AND THE NOT-FOR-PROFIT SECTOR, BUT NOW
16	SIGNIFICANTLY HAS BROADENED THEIR PRACTICE BOTH IN
17	TERMS OF THE SECTORS THAT THEY COVER AND ALSO THEIR
18	GLOBAL REACH. THEY ARE TRULY A WORLDWIDE FIRM.
19	I THINK THE POINTS THAT CHARACTERIZED THEM
20	AS BEING VERY STRONG FOR THIS SEARCH INCLUDED THEIR
21	DEEP KNOWLEDGE OF THE NOT-FOR-PROFIT AND LIFE
22	SCIENCE SECTORS, INCLUDING BIOTECH AND PHARMA, BUT
23	ALSO THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE WORKED EXTENSIVELY WITH
24	GOVERNMENT BODIES, WITH FUNDING BODIES, AND HAVE
25	PLACED INDIVIDUALS FROM THE PRIVATE SECTOR IN PUBLIC

1	ORGANIZATIONS AND NGO'S. AND DURING OUR
2	CONVERSATIONS WITH THEM, THEY OBVIOUSLY HAD A VERY
3	NUANCED UNDERSTANDING BOTH OF CIRM, THE SPECIAL
4	CONSIDERATIONS THAT APPLY FOR SOMEBODY TO WORK IN A
5	STATE ORGANIZATION, AND INDEED PUBLIC AND
6	QUASI-PUBLIC ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL. THEY GAVE US
7	SOME VERY HELPFUL AND COMPELLING EXAMPLES OF THEIR
8	UNDERSTANDING OF THAT AREA, AND THEY ALSO HAVE A
9	VERY EXTENSIVE NETWORK AND REACH THAT I THINK WILL
10	BE VALUABLE IN SURFACING A REASONABLY LARGE, BUT
11	MANAGEABLE BODY OF HIGHLY QUALIFIED CANDIDATES.
12	WE PRESENTED OUR RECOMMENDATION FOR SRI
13	EXECUTIVE TO THE SEARCH SUBCOMMITTEE AT THE MOST
14	RECENT MEETING, AND THE SEARCH SUBCOMMITTEE
15	CONCURRED WITH THAT SELECTION. AND SO THAT IS HOW
16	WE ARE MOVING FORWARD, AND WE HAVE ALREADY HAD A
17	KICKOFF MEETING WITH LEAD PRINCIPALS. THE PRIMARY
18	SEARCH CONSULTANT WILL BE DAN PEREZ, WHO IS BASED IN
19	WASHINGTON, D.C., BUT IS CLEARLY VERY FAMILIAR WITH
20	THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENT AS WELL. AND HE WILL BE
21	ASSISTED BY, AS IS TYPICAL OF THESE FIRMS, A VARIETY
22	OF PEOPLE WITH MORE SPECIALIZED EXPERTISE,
23	PARTICULARLY IN THIS FIRST PHASE THAT THEY CALL THE
24	SEARCH READINESS PROCESS, RESEARCHERS AND OTHER
25	CONSULTANTS.

1	SO THE NEXT STEPS THAT WILL BE COMING UP
2	IN VERY SHORT ORDER WILL BE TO DO STAKEHOLDER
3	INTERVIEWS. AND WE'VE IDENTIFIED A LIST OF, I
4	THINK, ABOUT 20 PEOPLE THAT WE WOULD LIKE THE
5	CONSULTANTS TO TALK WITH. THEY WILL, OF COURSE,
6	TAKE THE LIST OF CHARACTERISTICS THAT WE'VE ALREADY
7	IDENTIFIED AS DESIRABLE AND WILL DERIVE FROM THAT
8	LIST AND THEIR DETAILED INTERVIEWS AND PERHAPS SOME
9	WRITTEN COMMENTS, IF THEY CAN'T SCHEDULE ALL OF THE
10	INTERVIEWS IN TIME, A SPECIFICATION PROFILE FOR THIS
11	AND ALSO AN EVALUATION METRICS WHICH WILL BE HELPFUL
12	DOWN THE ROAD.
13	SO MANY OF THE PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM AND
14	ONLINE ARE LIKELY TO BE RECEIVING A REQUEST TO MEET
15	WITH THE SEARCH CONSULTANTS TO BEGIN THAT PROCESS.
16	BUT WE FEEL THAT WE CAN WORK WELL, THAT THE
17	SUBCOMMITTEE WILL BE ABLY ASSISTED BY THIS COMPANY.
18	AND OUR HOPE IS TO MOVE FORWARD TO PRESENT THE FINAL
19	DOCUMENTS AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING IN FEBRUARY. SO
20	THAT'S MY UPDATE, AND I'D BE HAPPY TO TAKE ANY
21	QUESTIONS.
22	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: DO WE HAVE ANY
23	QUESTIONS FROM BOARD MEMBERS REMOTE? DO WE HAVE
24	PUBLIC COMMENT? NO. OKAY.
25	BOARD MEMBER BARRETT, THANK YOU SO MUCH

1	FOR YOUR PRESENTATION AND FOR YOUR GOOD WORK TO
2	DATE.
3	DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU. AND I ALSO WANT
4	TO ACKNOWLEDGE BOTH FANTASTIC SUPPORT FROM SCOTT
5	TOCHER AND ALSO THE SEARCH SUBCOMMITTEE, WHICH IS
6	A I THINK WE ARE REALLY WELL SERVED BY THE
7	ENGAGEMENT, THE PASSION, AND THE INPUT FROM OUR
8	COLLEAGUES.
9	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU.
10	CONTINUING ON, THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS THE
11	CONSENT AGENDA. WE HAVE THREE SUBSECTIONS. I
12	PRESUME YOU'VE ALL LOOKED AT THE MINUTES FROM FIVE
13	MEETINGS OF VARIOUS COMMITTEES, INCLUDING OF THIS
14	BOARD.
15	ITEM NO. 7 IN THE CONSENT AGENDA IS THE
16	CONSIDERATION OF NEW APPOINTMENTS TO THE GRANTS
17	WORKING GROUP OF DR. OR PROFESSOR BHOJ, ELIZABETH
18	BHOJ, CHRISTOPHER MECOLI, AND REAPPOINTMENTS OF DR.
19	RITA PERLINGEIRO, JUAN-CARLOS ZUNIGA-PFLUCKER, AND
20	SHOULHRAT MITALIPOV.
21	AND THE LAST ITEM IN THE CONSENT AGENDA IS
22	THE REQUEST TO ATTEND REMOTELY FROM TWO BOARD
23	MEMBERS, FRED FISHER AND LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
24	DOES ANYONE ON THE BOARD WANT TO ABSTRACT
25	ANYTHING FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA? IF NOT, WE

1	WILL DOES THIS REQUIRE A VOTE? IT DOES. OKAY,
2	SCOTT, THEN I THINK YOU CAN
3	MAY I HAVE A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE CONSENT
4	AGENDA AS IS?
5	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SO MOVED.
6	DR. GASSON: SECOND.
7	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. WE HAVE A
8	MOTION AND A SECOND. SCOTT, YOU CAN CALL THE ROLL.
9	THANK YOU.
10	MR. TOCHER: ALL THOSE IN THE ROOM IN
11	FAVOR SAY AYE. ANY OPPOSED? ANY ABSTENTIONS? I
12	HAVE TO DO ROLL CALL FOR THOSE ON THE PHONE.
13	HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
14	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
15	MR. TOCHER: MOHAMED ABOUSALEM.
16	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
17	MR. TOCHER: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
18	DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
19	MR. TOCHER: MICHAEL BOTCHAN.
20	DR. BOTCHAN: YES.
21	MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
22	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
23	MR. TOCHER: HAL COLLARD.
24	DR. COLLARD: YES.
25	MR. TOCHER: DEBORAH DEAS.
	33

	DEIN G. DRAIN, GA C5K NO. 7152
1	DR. DEAS: YES.
2	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE. FRED
3	FISHER.
4	DR. FISHER: YES.
5	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
6	MR. LAJARA: YES.
7	MR. TOCHER: LINDA MALKAS.
8	DR. MALKAS: YES.
9	MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
10	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
11	MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
12	DR. PADILLA: YES.
13	MR. TOCHER: JOE PANETTA.
14	MR. PANETTA: YES.
15	MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
16	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
17	MR. TOCHER: AND MICHAEL STAMOS.
18	DR. STAMOS: YES.
19	MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANK YOU. THE
20	MOTION CARRIES.
21	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, MR.
22	TOCHER.
23	WE MAY NOW MOVE ON TO AGENDA ITEM NO. 12,
24	WHICH IS A POLICY CONSIDERATION OF FUNDING
25	PROPOSALS N OF 1 PROPOSALS. AND THAT DISCUSSION
	34

1	WILL BE LED BY BOARD MEMBER MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
2	MR.FISCHER-COLBRIE: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN.
3	AND WE WERE PRESENTED WITH A VERY INTERESTING
4	SITUATION WITH RESPECT TO A SPECIFIC TERM OF N OF 1
5	IN TERMS OF FUNDING THAT AROSE FROM THE STANDARD
6	PROCESS OF PROVIDING AN APPLICATION, SUBMITTING IT
7	TO GRANTS WORKING GROUP, AND THE GRANTS WORKING
8	GROUP RECOMMENDED APPROVAL FOR FUNDING FOR THAT
9	PROGRAM.
10	AND TO GIVE A LITTLE BIT OF A CONTEXT, N
11	OF 1 IS A SPECIFIC TERM OF ART THAT HAS BEEN DEFINED
12	RELATED TO A THERAPY THAT HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO
13	ADDRESS A PARTICULAR PATIENT GIVEN THE
14	CHARACTERISTICS THAT ARE UNDERLYING THE POTENTIAL
15	TREATMENT FOR THAT INDIVIDUAL. AND WITH THAT IN
16	MIND, IT OPENED UP THE UNDERLYING QUESTION OF HOW
17	MIGHT CIRM ADDRESS THESE PARTICULAR CASES IN THE
18	CONTEXT THAT, WHEREAS, THIS APPLICATION WAS
19	RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL TO GO FORWARD, JUST ONE
20	ORGANIZATION ALONE HAS ANOTHER 99 APPLICATIONS THAT
21	COULD COME IN BEHIND THAT, AND THAT'S JUST FROM ONE
22	GROUP.
23	AND SO WHAT IT DID IS IT THEN LED TO A
24	DISCUSSION AT THE ARS IN TERMS OF HOW MIGHT CIRM
25	CONSIDER THESE TYPES OF APPLICATIONS GOING FORWARD

1	IN THE FUTURE. AND THE CONSENSUS WAS TO ALLOW AND
2	RECOMMEND FOR APPROVAL FOR THE BOARD TO GO AHEAD AND
3	CLEAR AND APPROVE THE SUBMISSION THAT WAS MADE WITH
4	RESPECT TO THIS PARTICULAR APPLICATION; HOWEVER, TO
5	TAKE UNDER CONSIDERATION WHAT A POLICY MIGHT BE
6	RELATED TO THESE CLINICAL TRIALS ESSENTIALLY OF A
7	SPECIFIC INDIVIDUAL. AND, THEREFORE, WHAT SHOULD
8	THAT RECOMMENDATION BE TO THE BOARD FOR DISCUSSION
9	OF GOING FORWARD GIVEN THE CONTEXT OF THE UNDERLYING
LO	PHENOMENON OF WHAT ALL THESE PARTICULAR APPLICATIONS
L1	THAT MIGHT COME DOWN THE ROAD AND THE ASSOCIATED
L2	COSTS AND WHAT THAT MIGHT MEAN TO THE BUDGET AND
L3	ALLOCATION OF DOLLARS AND HOW THAT ALL TIES TO A
L4	BROADER DISCUSSION OF FOCUS AREAS NEEDS MORE
L5	CONSIDERATION AND MORE EVALUATION.
L6	AND SO THE RECOMMENDATION WAS TO HAVE THE
L7	SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE KICK OFF THAT INTERNAL REVIEW
L8	AND DISCUSSION TO WORK WITH STAFF AND TO FURTHER
L9	COME UP WITH MATERIALS AND INFORMATION TO HAVE THAT
20	BROADER DISCUSSION AS WE GO FORWARD. SO THAT'S THE
21	UNDERLYING PHENOMENON THEREFOR, TWOFOLD. ONE IS A
22	RECOMMENDATION FOR THIS PARTICULAR APPLICATION TO GO
23	FORWARD AND, SECONDLY, THE OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE
24	DISCUSSION, BROADER INPUT FOR DETERMINATION OF HOW
25	MIGHT CIRM CONSIDER HANDLING THESE APPLICATIONS

1	GOING FORWARD. AND SO THAT IS THE WHAT'S ON THE
2	TABLE FOR DISCUSSION TODAY.
3	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE'RE OPEN TO
4	DISCUSSION FROM BOARD MEMBERS. SO WE HAVE SHLOMO.
5	DR. MELMED: IT WASN'T CLEAR FROM THE
6	CORRESPONDENCE. IS THIS DISTINGUISHED FROM THE
7	COMPASSIONATE USE PROGRAM, OR IS IT REDUNDANT OR
8	OVERLAPPING? ARE THERE TWO DIFFERENT PROGRAMS? IT
9	WASN'T REALLY CLEAR.
10	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: I'M NOT AS FAMILIAR
11	WITH THE DISCUSSION, BUT MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT IT
12	IS DIFFERENT THAN SPECIFIC COMPASSIONATE USE. IT'S
13	ON ITS OWN PARTICULAR TRACK, BUT THERE ARE OTHERS
14	WHO ARE MORE KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT THAT THAN I AM.
15	DR. MELMED: SO THE COMPASSIONATE USE
16	PROGRAM, USUALLY THE SPONSOR PAYS FOR THE TREATMENT.
17	DR. CREASEY: IT IS NOT COMPASSIONATE USE.
18	THIS IS A REQUEST TO PROCEED FORWARD. THEY HAVE
19	GENERATED ENOUGH DRUG. THEY NEEDED MATERIAL. THEY
20	NEEDED ESSENTIALLY RESOURCES FOR OPERATIONS OF
21	TAKING CARE OF THE PATIENT, PAYING THE PHYSICIAN
22	WHO'S GOING TO TAKE CARE OF THE PATIENT. SO THIS IS
23	NOT COMPASSIONATE USE. THIS WILL BE THEY CAN
24	APPLY AGAIN AND AGAIN FOR THE 99 OTHERS
25	AND GET A MILLION DOLLARS FROM CIRM. AND WE'RE

1	NOT IT'S NOT UNDER THE COMPASSIONATE USE
2	AUSPICES.
3	WE HAVE NOT YET PUBLISHED THE FACT THAT WE
4	ARE ACCEPTING COMPASSIONATE USE. BUT WAS THAT IN
5	RELATIONSHIP ALSO TO A CLIN2, AND THAT WOULD HAVE
6	HAD IN OUR PIPELINE THAT COULD HAVE THE
7	PERMISSION TO DO COMPASSIONATE USE.
8	DR. MELMED: I'M STILL NOT CLEAR ON THE
9	DISTINCTION. WHY DO WE NEED BOTH? COULDN'T THE
10	COMPASSIONATE USE PROGRAM COVER ALL PATIENTS WHO
11	NEED THIS?
12	DR. CREASEY: WE INCLUDED COMPASSIONATE
13	USE IN THE CLIN2 ONLY IN CASES WHEN THE TRIAL HAS
14	ENDED, THE FDA IS CONSIDERING APPROVING IT. AND AS
15	A RESULT OF THAT, IF THERE'S A HIGH NEED, THAT WAS
16	THE MAIN REASON, BUT NOT FOR THIS PURPOSE.
17	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: DR. CREASEY, MAY I
18	INTERRUPT FOR A SECOND? I JUST WANT TO REMIND THE
19	BOARD MEMBERS THAT THE PURPOSE OF THIS DISCUSSION IS
20	NOT TO TALK ABOUT THE APPLICATION, THIS SPECIFIC
21	APPLICATION, BUT THE
22	DR. MELMED: DEFINITION.
23	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THAT'S WHY I THINK
24	THAT'S APPROPRIATE. DR. THOMAS.
25	DR. THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. SO
	38

1	THE ISSUE OF N OF $1$ WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING AT THE LT
2	LEVEL, AND IT'S REALLY A SUBSET ISSUE OF RARE
3	DISEASE IN GENERAL AND THE ROLE THAT CIRM HAS IN
4	THAT PARTICULAR AREA AND HOW WE WANT TO HANDLE THAT
5	GOING FORWARD.
6	SO WHAT I'VE TALKED TO OUR TEAM ABOUT IS
7	TO, AT THE SUGGESTION OF THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE,
8	IS TO DEVELOP A RARE DISEASE STRATEGY THAT WILL BE
9	BROUGHT FORWARD TO THE BOARD IN A NUMBER OF WEEKS.
10	DR. CREASEY IS TAKING THE LEAD ON PUTTING THAT
11	TOGETHER WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF THE TEAM. SO I THINK
12	THAT, BASED ON THE FACT THAT WE'RE IN THE PROCESS OF
13	DEVELOPING THAT STRATEGY, THAT THE IMPLICATIONS FOR
14	THE MOMENT ARE THAT WE SHOULD HAVE A STRETCH HERE
15	WHERE WE DON'T ENTERTAIN APPLICATIONS IN RARE
16	DISEASE BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW ULTIMATELY HOW THAT'S
17	GOING TO FACTOR INTO THE BOARD'S GAME PLAN.
18	SO I JUST WANTED TO MAKE THAT POINT FOR
19	THOSE WHO ARE LISTENING, THAT THAT IS WHERE WE ARE
20	AT THE MOMENT. THANK YOU.
21	DR. CREASEY: IF I CAN JUST ADD ALSO THE N
22	OF 1 IS REALLY PART OF A CLINICAL TRIAL, BUT
23	COMPASSIONATE USE IS PROVIDED WHEN YOU ALREADY KNOW
24	THE POTENTIAL SAFETY AND EFFICACY OF A DRUG.
25	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU FOR THAT

1	CLARIFICATION. OTHER BOARD MEMBER COMMENT? I DON'T
2	SEE ANY. IS THERE PUBLIC COMMENT?
3	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I'D LIKE TO MAKE A
4	MOTION TO ACCEPT THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE
5	RECOMMENDATION TO PAUSE ACCEPTANCE OF N OF 1
6	APPLICATIONS UNTIL THERE IS FURTHER DISCUSSION FOR
7	THE TEAM AND A PLAN IS BROUGHT FORWARD AND TO ALLOW
8	THE ARS TO CONSIDER THE APPLICATION THAT IS
9	CURRENTLY ON THE TABLE. THANK YOU.
LO	MR. JUELSGAARD: SECOND.
L1	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE HAVE A MOTION AND
L2	WE HAVE MULTIPLE SECONDS.
L3	MR. TOCHER: I HAVE STEVE JUELSGAARD AS
L4	THE SECOND.
L5	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: DISCUSSION ON THE
L6	MOTION NOW THAT WE HAVE A FORMAL MOTION. I SEE
L7	NONE. INVITE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO MAKE A
L8	COMMENT ON THE MOTION. I SEE NONE. OKAY.
L9	DR. GLEESON: IS IT OKAY IF I MAKE A
20	PUBLIC COMMENT?
21	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I'M SORRY. WHO
22	SPOKE?
23	DR. GLEESON: THIS IS JOSEPH GLEESON. I'M
24	A PROFESSOR AT UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO
25	AND CO-PI ON THE APPLICATION THAT'S BEING DISCUSSED.

1	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I SEE YOU, DR.
2	GLEESON. OKAY. YES, THE FLOOR IS YOURS.
3	MR. TOCHER: JUST A SECOND. DR. GLEESON,
4	JUST A PROCESS POINT. YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES. AND,
5	SECONDLY, THE CONSIDERATION OF YOUR APPLICATION WILL
6	COME UP AT THE ARS PORTION OF THIS MEETING LATER,
7	BUT FEEL FREE TO MAKE A COMMENT NOW IF YOU WISH.
8	DR. GLEESON: I JUST WANT TO MAKE THE
9	POINT, I'VE BEEN LISTENING TO THE DISCUSSION, THAT
10	CIRM IS ALREADY INTO RARE DISEASE. A LOT OF THE
11	CLINICAL TRIALS THAT ARE GOING ON ARE IN THE RARE
12	DISEASE SPACE. THAT'S DEFINED AS LESS THAN 200,000
13	PATIENTS WITH THE CONDITION. SO THIS APPLICATION
14	AND RARE DISEASE IS ALREADY QUITE WELL REPRESENTED
15	AT CIRM.
16	THIS TRIAL IN PARTICULAR THAT IS BEING
17	DISCUSSED ISN'T WHAT'S CALLED AN N OF 1, BUT IT'S
18	PROBABLY REALLY BETTER REFERRED TO AS AN N OF FEW.
19	THERE'S OVER 500 PATIENTS LISTED IN THE CLINVAR
20	DATABASE WITH SCN2A MUTATIONS. THIS IS ONE OF THEM.
21	THE REASON THAT THIS APPLICATION IS BEING
22	PUT FORWARD AS AN N OF 1 REALLY REFLECTS MORE THE
23	FDA'S POSITION, THAT IT'S ALLOWING NOVEL
24	THERAPEUTICS TO BE USED IN PATIENTS IF THE PATIENTS
25	ARE SEVERELY DEBILITATED OR LIFE-THREATENING WHERE
	4-1

1	THERE'S NO OTHER OPPORTUNITY FOR THERAPY. THAT HAS
2	TWO DIFFERENT BENEFITS. ONE IS IT ALLOWS PHYSICIAN
3	RESEARCHERS TO ASSESS IF THAT DRUG BENEFITS THE
4	PATIENT.
5	AND WE'VE SEEN JUST THIS WEEK AN N OF 1
6	THERAPY FOR HEARING WHERE A CHILD WHO WAS COMPLETELY
7	DEAF IS NOW HEARING. YOU CAN SAY THAT'S NOT A FULL
8	CLINICAL TRIAL, BUT I THINK WE LEARNED SOMETHING
9	ALREADY FROM THAT. YES, THE PATIENT HAS BENEFITED
10	AND THAT'S WONDERFUL, BUT WE'VE LEARNED SO MUCH FROM
11	A SINGLE PATIENT. AND WHAT WE'RE SEEING NOW IS A
12	WHOLE WAVE OF NOVEL THERAPEUTICS THAT ARE VERY MUCH
13	TARGETED TO THE MUTATION DRIVEN BY ALL THE
14	INFORMATION COMING IN WITH GENETICS. AND THAT'S
15	REALLY THE ESSENCE OF THIS APPLICATION.
16	SO I HOPE YOU WOULD CONSIDER THIS TO BE AN
17	EXPERIMENT. WE'RE GOING TO LEARN A HUGE AMOUNT.
18	THIS IS NOT ABOUT TREATMENT. THIS IS NOT GETTING
19	THIS PATIENT IN A DIFFERENT CLINICAL STATUS. WHAT
20	WE'RE LOOKING FOR IS WHETHER THIS DRUG IS REALLY
21	WORKING, AND I THINK THAT CIRM COULD SUPPORT THAT
22	CLINICAL TRIAL. THANK YOU.
23	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU FOR YOUR
24	COMMENT, DR. GLEESON. IS THERE ANY OTHER MEMBERS
25	WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT? I DON'T HEAR ANY.

1	MR. TOCHER: THE MOTION IS TO APPROVE THE
2	RECOMMENDATION OF THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE TO PAUSE
3	FURTHER ACCEPTANCE FOR APPLICATIONS FOR N OF 1 WHILE
4	A POLICY IS DEVELOPED TO ADDRESS THOSE IN A RARE
5	DISEASE STRATEGY AND TO ALLOW THE APPLICATION REVIEW
6	SUBCOMMITTEE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH ITS CONSIDERATION
7	OF THE N OF 1 APPLICATION THAT GAVE RISE TO THIS
8	DISCUSSION.
9	MS. DURON: MR. CHAIR, I DON'T MEAN TO BE
10	OUT OF ORDER. MAY I MAKE A COMMENT IN RESPONSE TO
11	DR. GLEESON?
12	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
13	MS. DURON: I'M VERY EXCITED ABOUT WHAT HE
14	JUST CLARIFIED FOR SOMEONE LIKE MYSELF WHO IS NOT
15	OBVIOUSLY DEEP INTO THE SCIENCE OF IT. BUT ONE OF
16	THE THINGS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO SAY IS WE REALLY
17	NEED, WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HUMANS, AND WE'RE
18	TALKING TO THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA, WE NEED TO BE
19	SURE THAT OUR VOCABULARY IS NOT FRIGHTENING. AND SO
20	WHEN YOU SAY WE'RE EXPERIMENTING HERE, THAT HAS SOME
21	REALLY NEGATIVE CONNOTATIONS FOR SOME PEOPLE.
22	SO I APPRECIATE WHAT HE'S DOING, BUT I
23	HOPE THAT WE ALL THINK ABOUT THESE THINGS BECAUSE
24	WE'VE HEARD THESE THINGS AND FRIGHTENED A GOOD MANY
25	PEOPLES WHO HAVE FELT LIKE THEY'VE BEEN EXPERIMENTED

1	ON. AND SO I JUST WANTED TO ADD THAT BECAUSE I
2	HEARD IT AND I SAID, OH, NO. AND THIS IS NOT JUST
3	FOR DR. GLEESON. THIS IS FOR EVERYBODY AND ALL OF
4	US. THANK YOU.
5	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, BOARD
6	MEMBER DURON. MR. JUELSGAARD.
7	MR. JUELSGAARD: JUST A POINT OF
8	CLARIFICATION ON THE MOTION. SO THE APPLICATION
9	CLIN2-15085, WHICH WAS JUST SPOKEN TO A FEW MOMENTS
10	AGO, IS NOT PART OF THE PAUSE; IS THAT RIGHT, OR IS
11	THIS PART OF THE PAUSE?
12	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: THAT'S CORRECT.
13	IT'S UP FOR CONSIDERATION NEXT. SO THE MOTION
14	WAS HOWEVER THE ARS WOULD LIKE TO VOTE ON THAT
15	APPLICATION IS ACCEPTABLE AND WE SHOULD CONSIDER
16	THAT ONE SINCE IT'S OPEN AND HAS GONE THROUGH
17	REVIEW.
18	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: NO OTHER COMMENT ON
19	THE FLOOR? WE CAN PROCEED TO A VOTE, MR. TOCHER.
20	MR. TOCHER: ALL THOSE IN THE ROOM IN
21	FAVOR SAY AYE. ANY OPPOSED? ABSTENTIONS? I'LL
22	TAKE ROLL FOR THOSE ON THE PHONE.
23	HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
24	DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
25	MR. TOCHER: MOHAMED ABOUSALEM.
	44

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1		DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
2		MR. TOCHER: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
3		DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
4		MR. TOCHER: MICHAEL BOTCHAN.
5		DR. BOTCHAN: YES.
6		MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
7		DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
8		MR. TOCHER: HAL COLLARD.
9		DR. COLLARD: YES.
10		MR. TOCHER: DEBORAH DEAS.
11		DR. DEAS: YES.
12		MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE. FRED
13	FISHER.	
14		DR. FISHER: YES.
15		MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
16		MR. LAJARA: YES.
17		MR. TOCHER: LINDA MALKAS.
18		DR. MALKAS: YES.
19		MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
20		DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
21		MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
22		DR. PADILLA: YES.
23		MR. TOCHER: JOE PANETTA.
24		MR. PANETTA: YES.
25		MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
		45
		45

	DETTI G. DIGTIN, GA GOR NO. 7 152
1	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
2	MR. TOCHER: AND MICHAEL STAMOS.
3	DR. STAMOS: YES.
4	MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANK YOU. THE
5	MOTION CARRIES.
6	MR. BERNAL: YOU MISSED DAN BERNAL HERE
7	TOO ALSO. AYE.
8	MR. TOCHER: GOOD MORNING, DAN. THANK
9	YOU.
10	MR. BERNAL: GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU.
11	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. THANK YOU,
12	EVERYONE.
13	MOVING ON NOW TO AGENDA ITEM 9. THESE ARE
14	THE CONSIDERATIONS OF THE APPLICATIONS FOR THE
15	CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS. AND GIL SAMBRANO
16	WILL LEAD THE DISCUSSION FROM THE PODIUM. THANK
17	YOU.
18	DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU AND GOOD MORNING
19	TO EVERYONE. I'M GOING TO PRESENT TO YOU THE
20	RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP RELATED
21	TO SOME OF THE LATEST ROUNDS OF THE CLIN PROGRAM.
22	THIS GOES BACK ACTUALLY TO THE NOVEMBER CYCLE THAT
23	WE HAD, THE NOVEMBER REVIEW, AS WELL AS ONE FROM
24	OCTOBER.
25	AS ALWAYS, WE BEGIN OUR PRESENTATIONS WITH

1	OUR MISSION STATEMENT, AND WE DO THIS NOT JUST WITH
2	THE BOARD, BUT ALSO WITH OUR GWG AND ALL OUR
3	STAKEHOLDERS TO REMIND US OF WHAT IT IS THAT WE'RE
4	DOING AND WHAT WE'RE STRIVING FOR. AND, OF COURSE,
5	WHAT WE'RE STRIVING FOR IS TO ACCELERATE WORLD-CLASS
6	SCIENCE TO DELIVER TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE
7	MEDICINE TREATMENTS IN AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A
8	DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND WORLD.
9	I WANT TO PROVIDE A REMINDER OF WHERE WE
10	ARE ON OUR BUDGET. WE HAD AN ALLOCATION OF 252
11	MILLION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 23/24. THE AMOUNT THAT
12	HAS BEEN APPROVED THUS FAR UNDER THIS BUDGET IS
13	SHOWN IN ORANGE, THE 79.6 MILLION. THE AMOUNT
14	REQUESTED TODAY, IF YOU CHOOSE TO FUND ALL OF THE
15	APPLICATIONS THAT ARE BEFORE YOU, WOULD BE AN
16	ADDITIONAL 41 MILLION. THAT WOULD LEAVE AN UNUSED
17	BALANCE OF 131.4 MILLION.
18	THE SCIENTIFIC SCORING SYSTEM THAT'S USED
19	BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP TO ASSIGN MERIT TO THE
20	APPLICATIONS IS A SCORE OF 1, A 2, OR A 3. A SCORE
21	OF 1 MEANS IT HAS EXCEPTIONAL MERIT AND WARRANTS
22	FUNDING. A SCORE OF 2 MEANS IT NEEDS IMPROVEMENT.
23	AND FOR THESE APPLICATIONS, WE PROVIDE A DETAILED
24	SET OF CONCERNS AND ISSUES OR CLARIFICATIONS THAT
25	THEY NEED TO ADDRESS, AND THOSE TYPICALLY GO BACK TO

1	THE GWG. A SCORE OF 3 MEANS THAT THE APPLICATION IS
2	SUFFICIENTLY FLAWED, THAT IT DOES NOT WARRANT
3	FUNDING AND THE SAME PROJECT CAN'T BE RESUBMITTED
4	FOR AT LEAST SIX MONTHS.
5	THE CRITERIA THAT ARE USED TO DERIVE THE
6	SCORE IS BASED ON THESE FIVE KEY QUESTIONS. DOES
7	THE PROJECT HOLD THE NECESSARY SIGNIFICANCE AND
8	POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT, BASICALLY ASKING WHAT IS THE
9	VALUE PROPOSITION THAT IT IS OFFERING AND IS IT
10	SOMETHING THAT IS WORTH DOING. DOES IT HAVE A SOUND
11	RATIONALE? IS IT WELL PLANNED AND DESIGNED? AND IS
12	IT FEASIBLE, MEANING THEY HAVE THE APPROPRIATE TEAM
13	AND RESOURCES IN PLACE TO CARRY OUT THE PROJECT?
14	AND LASTLY, DOES THE PROJECT UPHOLD THE PRINCIPLES
15	OF DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION IN ITS
16	ACTIVITIES AND ITS CONSIDERATION OF DEVELOPING THE
17	PROPOSED THERAPY?
18	WE ALSO INCLUDE, IN ADDITION TO THIS, A
19	DEI SCORE THAT IS GIVEN BASED ON THE EVALUATION BY
20	THE PATIENT ADVOCATE MEMBERS OF THE GWG. THE DEI
21	SORE IS ON A SCALE OF ZERO TO TEN WITH TEN BEING THE
22	BEST POSSIBLE SCORE. SO YOU WILL SEE TWO SCORES FOR
23	EACH APPLICATION, THE SCIENTIFIC SCORE, WHICH DOES
24	INCLUDE A DEI ELEMENT FROM THE SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS,
25	AND THEN A SEPARATE DEI SCORE FROM THE PATIENT

1	ADVOCATE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.
2	AND JUST TO REVIEW THE COMPOSITION OF
3	GRANTS WORKING GROUP, WE HAVE 15 SCIENTIFIC GRANTS
4	WORKING GROUP MEMBERS WHO PROVIDE THE SCIENTIFIC
5	EVALUATION. WE BRING IN A DIVERSITY OF EXPERTISE TO
6	THE TABLE TO HELP US EVALUATE APPROPRIATELY THE
7	MERIT OF THESE APPLICATIONS. SO THEY PROVIDE THE
8	SCIENTIFIC SCORE ON ALL OF THE APPLICATIONS. WE
9	HAVE GRANTS WORKING GROUP BOARD MEMBERS WHO ARE
10	PATIENT ADVOCATE OR NURSE MEMBERS OF THE ICOC. THEY
11	CONDUCT THE DEI EVALUATION, PROVIDE A PATIENT
12	PERSPECTIVE ON THE SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL
13	IMPACT, AND PROVIDE OVERSIGHT ON THE PROCESS. SO,
14	AGAIN, THE DEI SCORE THAT YOU WILL SEE IS FROM OUR
15	PATIENT ADVOCATE MEMBERS. THEY MAY PROVIDE A
16	SUGGESTED SCIENTIFIC SCORE DURING THE MEETING.
17	WE ALSO AS PART OF THE GROUP BRING IN
18	SCIENTIFIC SPECIALISTS AS NEEDED TO PROVIDE
19	ADDITIONAL EXPERTISE WHETHER THERE MAY BE KNOWLEDGE
20	GAPS IN THE PANEL OR WHERE WE FEEL THEY ADD OVERALL
21	EXPERTISE AND BACKGROUND TO THE REVIEW.
22	SO WE ARE GOING TO GO INTO DISCUSSION OF
23	EACH OF THE INDIVIDUAL APPLICATIONS. SO THERE ARE
24	FIVE OF THEM. WE'RE GOING TO START WITH
25	CLIN1-14840. SO AS A CLIN1, THIS IS AN

1	IND-ENABLING, NOT A CLINICAL TRIAL. THE TITLE OF
2	THIS IS "PREVENTION OF GVHD IN PATIENTS RECEIVING
3	HLA MISMATCHED RELATED OR UNRELATED ALLOGENEIC HSCT
4	FOR THE TREATMENT OF HEMATOLOGIC MALIGNANCIES." SO
5	THESE ARE BLOOD CANCERS.
6	THE THERAPY IS AN ALLOGENEIC REGULATORY
7	T-CELL PRODUCT. THE INDICATION IS FOR PATIENTS THAT
8	HAVE THESE BLOOD CANCERS AND ARE AT RISK FOR GRAFT
9	VERSUS HOST DISEASE THAT CAN RESULT FROM A STEM CELL
10	TRANSPLANT.
11	THEIR GOAL FOR THIS STUDY IS TO COMPLETE
12	PRE-IND ENABLING ACTIVITIES AND FILE AN IND AT THE
13	END OF THE AWARD PERIOD.
14	THE FUNDS REQUESTED ARE 4 MILLION. THEY
15	PROVIDE A CO-FUNDING AMOUNT OF 1 MILLION, WHICH IS
16	THE 20 PERCENT REQUIRED UNDER THIS CATEGORY.
17	SOME BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE
18	INDICATION. HEMATOLOGIC MALIGNANCIES SUCH AS ACUTE
19	LEUKEMIAS AND LYMPHOMAS ARE THE MOST COMMON TYPE IN
20	CHILDREN AND YOUNG ADULTS. THE CURRENT STANDARD OF
21	CARE FOR HIGH RISK OR REFRACTORY CANCERS IS
22	TYPICALLY CHEMOTHERAPY OR ALLOGENEIC HEMATOPOIETIC
23	STEM CELL TRANSPLANTS. THERE IS, HOWEVER, A LACK OF
24	MATCHED DONORS AND ALSO A HIGH RISK OF REJECTION OR
25	GRAFT VERSUS HOST DISEASE WHERE THE TRANSPLANT

1	ACTUALLY ATTACKS THE IMMUNE SYSTEM OR OTHER ASPECTS
2	OF THE PATIENT TISSUE.
3	THE PROPOSED THERAPY OFFERS THE
4	OPPORTUNITY TO GREATLY IMPROVE OUTCOMES FOR PATIENTS
5	UNDERGOING THESE TYPES OF TRANSPLANTS. AND WHY THIS
6	QUALIFIES AS A STEM CELL PROJECT OR GENE THERAPY
7	PROJECT, THE THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATE IS MANUFACTURED
8	FROM CD4 POSITIVE T-CELL PROGENITOR CELLS AND IT IS
9	COMBINED WITH A HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELL TRANSPLANT.
10	SIMILAR PORTFOLIO PROJECTS THAT WE HAVE,
11	THERE IS ONE OTHER THAT IS VERY MUCH RELATED. IT IS
12	A CLIN2 PHASE 1 CLINICAL TRIAL THAT WE SUPPORTED
13	THAT INCLUDES SOME OF THE SAME TEAM MEMBERS. IT IS
14	ALSO FOR HEMATOLOGIC MALIGNANCIES, AND IT TAKES A
15	SIMILAR APPROACH WITH A T-CELL IMMUNOTHERAPY WITH
16	THE GOAL OF DEVELOPING A REGULATORY T-CELL PRODUCT
17	THAT DECREASES GRAFT VERSUS HOST DISEASE RELATED TO
18	STEM CELL TRANSPLANT. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE
19	TWO PROJECTS, THIS PROJECT IS MORE OF AN AUTOLOGOUS
20	OR IT INCLUDES AUTOLOGOUS COMPONENTS THAT ARE MORE
21	CHALLENGING TO MANUFACTURE. THE NEW PROJECT IS
22	FULLY ALLOGENEIC. AND SO THE EXPECTATION IS THAT
23	THE MANUFACTURING AND THE PROCESSING AND THE
24	AVAILABILITY TO PATIENTS WILL BE MUCH GREATER.
25	SO PREVIOUS CIRM FUNDING TO THE APPLICANT

1	TEAM, SO THIS IS ESSENTIALLY THE SAME AWARD THAT I
2	JUST SHOWED YOU. THAT PROJECT IS A PHASE 1 TRIAL
3	WHICH IS EXPECTED TO COMPLETE IN OCTOBER OF 2025.
4	THIS IS A SUMMARY OF THE RECOMMENDATION
5	FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. WE HAD A UNANIMOUS
6	SCORE OF 1 FROM ALL OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP
7	MEMBERS. THE DEI SCORE WAS 7.5 FROM THE PATIENT
8	ADVOCATE MEMBERS. THE CIRM RECOMMENDATION IS TO
9	FUND THIS CLIN1 AWARD FOR IND-ENABLING STUDIES FOR
10	THE AMOUNT OF 4 MILLION. MR. CHAIRMAN.
11	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. THANK
12	YOU, GIL.
13	I JUST WANT TO ADD AN EDITORIAL COMMENT TO
14	REMIND THE BOARD MEMBERS THAT WE ARE ENTERING, FOR
15	FURTHER DISCUSSION OF THESE FIVE APPLICATIONS, THE
16	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE PART OF THE BOARD
17	MEETING. AND THAT WILL BECOME MORE CLEAR WHEN SCOTT
18	CALLS ROLL OF ONLY 20 BOARD MEMBERS.
19	SO DISCUSSION FROM BOARD MEMBERS FIRST ON
20	THIS APPLICATION AS PRESENTED BY MR. SAMBRANO.
21	MS. DURON: A NONSCIENTIFIC QUESTION, GIL.
22	CAN YOU TELL ME WHAT WAS THE ISSUE IN REGARDS TO DEI
23	IN WHICH IT GOT ONLY A 7.5 EVEN THOUGH WE KNOW THAT
24	THAT'S ABOVE THE 6? TO ME THEY OUGHT TO COME IN
25	PERFECT. SO TELL ME WHAT WERE SOME OF THE CONCERNS

1	AND CAUTIONS, AND WOULD THEY BE SENT BACK TO THEM SO
2	THAT THEY CAN DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT?
3	DR. SAMBRANO: SO I THINK WITH THIS
4	THERE'S A COUPLE OF THINGS WITH THIS SCORE. SO THIS
5	WAS REVIEWED MORE THAN ONCE. AND SOME OF THE
6	REVIEWERS UNFORTUNATELY DIDN'T HAVE ACCESS TO THEIR
7	PREVIOUS REVIEWS. SO THEY ASSUMED A SCORE THAT WAS
8	LOWER THAN WHAT THEY HAD ORIGINALLY GIVEN IT. SO
9	THAT'S JUST ONE ELEMENT THAT MAY HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO
10	THE LOWER SCORE.
11	THE OTHER, THOUGH, IN TERMS OF JUST WHAT
12	MADE IT LESS THAN A PERFECT DEI IS THE APPLICANTS
13	ARE RELYING A LOT ON THE INSTITUTION. AND SO WE SEE
14	THIS IN MANY CASES WHERE THE APPLICANT TEAM MAY SAY,
15	WELL, OUR INSTITUTION DOES A GREAT JOB WITH DEI, SO
16	WE'RE RELYING ON THEM TO DO IT. I THINK WITH THE
17	GRANTS WORKING GROUP AND THE PATIENT ADVOCATE
18	MEMBERS IN THIS CASE ARE LOOKING FOR IS MORE
19	ACTIONABLE, SPECIFIC THINGS THAT THEY CAN DO
20	SPECIFICALLY FOR THIS PROJECT AND NOT SIMPLY TO RELY
21	ON THE INSTITUTION ITSELF TO BE THEIR BACKUP OR BE
22	THE ANSWER NECESSARILY TO ALL THE DEI. SO THAT WAS
23	ONE ELEMENT.
24	THEY DID HAVE SPECIFIC ELEMENTS THAT WERE
25	DESCRIBED, BUT I THINK FOR THE WORKING GROUP SEEING

1	A LITTLE MORE ROBUSTNESS IN THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN
2	APPRECIATED. CERTAINLY SOME OF THE PATIENT ADVOCATE
3	MEMBERS ARE HERE. THEY MAY WANT TO SPEAK TO WHAT
4	THEIR THOUGHTS ARE ON THIS AS WELL.
5	MS. DURON: MAY I FOLLOW UP? SO IN THE
6	FUTURE ARE WE REQUIRING THEY MEET CERTAIN MILESTONES
7	AND SHOW A GREATER SUCCESS IN IN FACT CREATING THEIR
8	OWN DEI PLAN AS OPPOSED TO DEPENDING ON THEM OVER
9	THERE
10	DR. SAMBRANO: YES.
11	MS. DURON: SO THAT THEY BECOME BETTER
12	AT THIS? AND I'M JUST WONDERING IF WE'RE ASKING
13	THEM TO STEP UP AND DO THE WORK SO WE CAN SEE THEM
14	IMPROVE THEIR INCLUSION.
15	DR. SAMBRANO: SO ONE OF THE THINGS, THE
16	WAY WE APPROACH PARTICULARLY THE DEI, AND WE WANT DO
17	THIS WITH ALL OUR APPLICANTS AND EVENTUAL AWARDEES,
18	IS THAT THIS IS AN EDUCATIONAL PROCESS OVERALL. THE
19	FIRST STEP IS THE EVALUATION THAT THEY GET SO THAT
20	THEY CAN UNDERSTAND WHERE THERE MAY BE DEFICIENCIES.
21	THAT ALLOWS US TO THEN TAKE ACTION AND WORK WITH THE
22	TEAM IN ORDER TO MAKE IMPROVEMENTS.
23	PART OF THAT MAY BE WHAT HAPPENS WITH
24	THEIR ADVISORY PANELS. ALSO IT MAY BE WHAT HAPPENS
25	DIRECTLY WITH CIRM BECAUSE, AS PART OF THE

1	APPLICATION, WE ASK THEM TO LAY OUT MILESTONES THAT
2	ALIGN WITH THEIR DEI ACTIVITIES AND GO THROUGHOUT
3	THE PROJECT. SO WE WORK WITH THEM IN ORDER TO
4	ENSURE THAT THEY'RE ACHIEVING THESE MILESTONES AS
5	THEY GO ALONG.
6	WE'VE IMPLEMENTED THIS OVER THE LAST
7	COUPLE OF YEARS. SO IT IS ALSO A WORK IN PROGRESS
8	FOR US, AND WE WILL BE COLLECTING INFORMATION ABOUT
9	HOW THIS WORKS AND WHERE IT WORKS WELL AND WHERE IT
10	MAY NOT. SO IT IS AN ONGOING PROCESS FOR US. BUT,
11	YES, OUR EXPECTATION IS WE'RE GOING TO BE WORKING
12	CLOSELY WITH THE APPLICANTS TO IMPROVE THEIR DEI
13	PLANS.
14	MS. DURON: SO AT SOME POINT IN TIME WE
15	CAN HEAR A REPORT BACK FROM YOU ABOUT THE CHANGE
16	OVER TIME AND WHAT WOULD BE CONSIDERED THE BEST
	OVER TIME AND WHAT WOULD BE CONSIDERED THE BEST STEPS FOR MOST APPLICANTS OR BEST PRACTICES.
16	
16 17	STEPS FOR MOST APPLICANTS OR BEST PRACTICES.
16 17 18	STEPS FOR MOST APPLICANTS OR BEST PRACTICES.  DR. SAMBRANO: YOU WILL HEAR FROM US,
16 17 18 19	STEPS FOR MOST APPLICANTS OR BEST PRACTICES.  DR. SAMBRANO: YOU WILL HEAR FROM US,  PARTICULARLY DR. CREASEY AND JENNIFER LEWIS, WHO ARE
16 17 18 19 20	STEPS FOR MOST APPLICANTS OR BEST PRACTICES.  DR. SAMBRANO: YOU WILL HEAR FROM US,  PARTICULARLY DR. CREASEY AND JENNIFER LEWIS, WHO ARE  SPECIFICALLY WORKING ON THIS POSTAWARD ELEMENT.
16 17 18 19 20 21	STEPS FOR MOST APPLICANTS OR BEST PRACTICES.  DR. SAMBRANO: YOU WILL HEAR FROM US,  PARTICULARLY DR. CREASEY AND JENNIFER LEWIS, WHO ARE  SPECIFICALLY WORKING ON THIS POSTAWARD ELEMENT.  CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. WE HAVE
16 17 18 19 20 21	STEPS FOR MOST APPLICANTS OR BEST PRACTICES.  DR. SAMBRANO: YOU WILL HEAR FROM US,  PARTICULARLY DR. CREASEY AND JENNIFER LEWIS, WHO ARE  SPECIFICALLY WORKING ON THIS POSTAWARD ELEMENT.  CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. WE HAVE  COMMENT FIRST FROM BOARD MEMBER FRED FISHER FOLLOWED
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STEPS FOR MOST APPLICANTS OR BEST PRACTICES.  DR. SAMBRANO: YOU WILL HEAR FROM US,  PARTICULARLY DR. CREASEY AND JENNIFER LEWIS, WHO ARE  SPECIFICALLY WORKING ON THIS POSTAWARD ELEMENT.  CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. WE HAVE  COMMENT FIRST FROM BOARD MEMBER FRED FISHER FOLLOWED  BY STEVE JUELSGAARD.

1	BASIS FOR THESE SCORES AND FOR WHAT WE'RE DOING TO
2	OVERALL HELP APPLICANTS UNDERSTAND THE IMPORTANCE OF
3	INTEGRATING DEI INTO THEIR ORGANIZATIONS AND NOT
4	DEPENDING ON THE SITE DEI PLAN TO COVER THAT BASE.
5	SO THANK YOU, GIL, FOR DESCRIBING THAT SO WELL.
6	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: STEVE.
7	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES. I DON'T PROPOSE
8	THAT WE GET INTO THIS OR RESOLVE THIS NOW, BUT JUST
9	A COUPLE OF COMMENTS. AND THIS IS ALL PROMPTED BY
10	AN ARTICLE THAT DAVID JENSEN, WHO'S HERE ON THE
11	PUBLIC SIDE, WROTE A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO ABOUT THE
12	CONFIDENTIALITY OF OUR DEI ARRANGEMENTS, WHICH I
13	UNDERSTAND WHERE IT STEMS FROM BECAUSE APPLICATIONS
14	ARE CONSIDERED CONFIDENTIAL UNDER PROP 14.
15	HAVING SAID THAT, A COUPLE OF THOUGHTS.
16	ONE IS GIVING MORE GUIDANCE TO APPLICANTS ABOUT WHAT
17	WE THINK GOOD DEI LOOKS LIKE. SO THAT INSTEAD OF
18	SAYING, WELL, WAIT A MINUTE, YOU DIDN'T DO ENOUGH OR
19	WHATEVER, GIVING THEM SOME PARAMETERS TO WORK WITH
20	SO THAT THEY KNOW WHAT IS EXPECTED FROM OUR SIDE I
21	THINK WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL IN WORKING ON THAT. I'M
22	PARTICULARLY FOCUSED ON THE CLINICAL TRIAL AREA
23	BECAUSE THAT'S A BROADER AREA TO DEAL WITH.
24	AND THE SECOND IS, AND THIS IS PROBABLY
25	MORE IN THE AREA OF INCLUSION, IN SOME OF THESE, TO

1	BE ABLE TO BE MORE INCLUSIVE IN THE CLINICAL TRIAL
2	AREA MEANS A GREATER ECONOMIC COST TO THE CLINICAL
3	TRIAL SITES OR THE SPONSOR OF THE CLINICAL TRIAL.
4	AND IF WE'RE GOING TO ASK THEM TO DO MORE IN TERMS
5	OF DEI, THEN IT SEEMS TO ME PERHAPS WE COULD OFFER
6	SOME ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE SEPARATE AND APART FROM THE
7	CLINICAL TRIAL COSTS TO HELP THEM WITH THAT INSTEAD
8	OF LAYING THAT COST JUST OFF ON THEM AS PART OF THE
9	WHOLE CLINICAL TRIAL. AND THAT WAY THEY CAN APPLY
10	FOR NOT ONLY THE CLINICAL TRIAL COST, BUT THEN A
11	SUPPLEMENT FOR DEI WHICH CAN REALLY HELP THEM DO
12	WHAT WE WANT THEM TO DO.
13	SO ANYWAY, AGAIN, THIS WAS PROMPTED MORE
14	BY DAVID'S ARTICLE ON THE CONCERN ABOUT
15	CONFIDENTIALITY OF DEI AND REALLY PEOPLE OUTSIDE OF
16	THIS ROOM REALLY DON'T UNDERSTAND VERY MUCH ABOUT
17	WHAT IT IS THAT WE'RE UP TO.
18	DR. SACKEY: THANK YOU, CHAIR. I WONDER
19	WHETHER CIRM COULD ALSO REQUIRE, IN ADDITION TO AN
20	INDEPENDENT OR THE PLAN, DEI PLAN, FROM THE
21	INVESTIGATORS, TO ACTUALLY PUSH FURTHER TO HAVE THE
22	INSTITUTIONAL PLAN FOR DEI BECAUSE ULTIMATELY IF WE
23	WANT TO TRANSFORM THE INSTITUTIONS, I THINK IT'S
24	GOING TO BE AWFULLY HARD TO JUST WORK AT THE
25	INDIVIDUAL LEVEL.

1	SO I GUESS MY QUESTION IS WHETHER WE
2	COULD, AS PART OF THIS PROCESS, REQUEST NOT ONLY A
3	PLAN FROM THE APPLICANTS, BUT ALSO THE EXTENT TO
4	WHICH THE INSTITUTION WILL BE SUPPORTING THE
5	IMPLEMENTATION OF A DEI PLAN.
6	DR. SAMBRANO: SO I JUST WANT TO PROVIDE A
7	COUPLE OF CLARIFICATIONS TO BOTH SETS OF COMMENTS.
8	SO ONE IS THAT OUR APPLICANTS ARE ABLE TO IN THEIR
9	BUDGET INCLUDE AN AMOUNT FOR THEIR DEI ACTIVITIES.
10	SO THAT IS SOMETHING THAT NOT EVERYONE MAY BE CLEAR
11	ON. BUT I THINK AS DR. CREASEY PRESENTED REGARDING
12	THE UPDATES THAT WE WANT TO MAKE TO THE PROGRAM
13	ANNOUNCEMENTS, WE WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR IF YOU ARE
14	APPLYING TO CIRM FOR A CLINICAL TRIAL OR ANY
15	CLINICAL ACTIVITIES OR ACTUALLY FOR ANY AWARD TYPE,
16	THAT YOU'RE GOING TO PROPOSE DEI ACTIVITIES, YOU CAN
17	DEFINE A SPECIFIC PART OF THAT BUDGET TO BE FOR DEI
18	ACTIVITIES. SO THAT IS AVAILABLE.
19	I THINK THE OTHER THING AS IT RELATES TO
20	THE PLANS THEMSELVES, THERE IS A LOT OF COMPLEXITY
21	THAT COMES WITH THESE PLANS, INCLUDING HOW THEY
22	INTEGRATE SPECIFICALLY WITH THE OVERALL PLAN. SO A
23	DEI PLAN, AN IDEAL DEI PLAN, MAY BE DIFFICULT TO
24	COME UP WITH BECAUSE AN IDEAL PLAN FOR A RARE
25	DISEASE TRIAL WHERE THERE ARE VERY FEW PATIENTS
	Γ0

1	VERSUS ONE WHERE THERE'S A LOT OF PATIENTS MAY BE
2	QUITE DIFFERENT. AND A LOT DEPENDS ON THE VERY
3	SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES THEY'RE DOING.
4	NEVERTHELESS, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE
5	ARE TRYING TO DO IS AT LEAST SET SOME EXAMPLES OF
6	WHAT THINGS WOULD BE VALUED IN A GOOD DEI PLAN AND
7	WHAT THINGS MAY NOT GO SO FAR. SO WE JUST SPOKE TO
8	THE EXAMPLE OF RELYING ON THE INSTITUTION VERSUS
9	HAVING MORE SPECIFIC ACTIONABLE ITEMS. I THINK
10	THAT'S ONE GENERAL BIT OF ADVICE THAT WE COULD
11	CERTAINLY PROVIDE AS WE DEVELOP THIS. BUT I DO
12	AGREE, AND I THINK WE ARE STRIVING TO DEVELOP
13	SOMETHING THAT WOULD PROVIDE GUIDANCE FOR OUR
14	APPLICANTS.
15	IN TERMS OF BRINGING IN THE INSTITUTION, I
16	THINK THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT. PART OF THE PLAN THAT
17	WE EXPECT TO BE INCLUDED IS HOW THEIR PROJECT FITS
18	IN WITHIN THE INSTITUTION ITSELF. THEY MAY HAVE A
19	CLINICAL SITE THERE, BUT ALSO EVEN THE OTHER
20	INSTITUTIONS THAT MAY BE PARTICIPATING. SO
21	ESPECIALLY IF YOU HAVE A MULTISITE TRIAL THAT IS
22	UTILIZING OTHER INSTITUTIONS, WHAT IS THEIR TRACK
23	RECORD, THEIR EXPERIENCE IN PROMOTING DEI AND
24	ENROLLING A DIVERSITY OF PATIENTS. SO THIS IS
25	SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD EXPECT TO BE PART OF THEIR

1	PLAN ALREADY. AND TO THE EXTENT THAT WE CAN
2	ENCOURAGE THEM TO DEVELOP AN INSTITUTIONAL PLAN THAT
3	THEY CAN INCLUDE IS GOOD. WE JUST WANT TO SEE MORE
4	DETAIL ON WHATEVER THAT INSTITUTIONAL PLAN RELATES
5	TO THE SPECIFIC PROJECT.
6	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, GIL. I'M
7	GOING TO RECOGNIZE BOARD MEMBER LEVITT AND THEN
8	JUELSGAARD.
9	DR. LEVITT: GIL, YOU TOUCHED UPON
10	SOMETHING. SO WHEN YOU WRITE RESOURCE AND
11	ENVIRONMENT PAGES FOR ANY GRANT, IT INCLUDES THE
12	INSTITUTIONAL COMPONENTS THAT ARE EXPECTED. IN
13	FACT, INCLUDING, IF YOU ARE DOING A TRAINING GRANT,
14	FOR EXAMPLE, LETTERS OF SUPPORT FROM THOSE AT THE
15	INSTITUTION THAT DESCRIBE EXACTLY WHAT THEY'RE GOING
16	TO DO IN THE CONTEXT OF A FUNDED PROJECT. SO
17	WHETHER IT'S A DISCOVERY RESEARCH, TRANSLATIONAL
18	RESEARCH, OR CLINICAL RESEARCH, THE RESOURCE
19	ENVIRONMENT COMPONENT DESCRIBING WHAT THE
20	INSTITUTION IS DOING AND THEN A LETTER OF SUPPORT
21	FROM AN INSTITUTIONAL OFFICIAL TO VALIDATE THAT
22	THESE ARE THE THINGS THAT THEY'RE GOING TO PROMOTE,
23	I THINK, IS REQUIRED AND NOT A CHOICE.
24	SO IF THERE ARE ISSUES AROUND A PLAN IN
25	WHICH IT'S NOT CLEAR HOW THE PARTICULARS OF A STUDY

1	ARE GOING TO LEVERAGE WHAT IS GOING ON AT THE
2	INSTITUTION OR THE INSTITUTION IS WEAK IN THAT AREA,
3	THEN IT NEEDS TO BE A CONSIDERATION IN TERMS OF
4	REVIEW. IT'S CERTAINLY DONE AT THE FEDERAL TRAINING
5	GRANT LEVEL. IF YOU DON'T HAVE STRONG INSTITUTIONAL
6	SUPPORT AND STRONG INSTITUTIONAL LETTERS THAT
7	CLEARLY SPELL OUT, FOR EXAMPLE, DEI PLANS FOR
8	RECRUITING UNDERREPRESENTED STUDENTS, THEN YOU DON'T
9	GET THE TRAINING GRANT EVEN IF YOU HAVE THE GREATEST
10	PLAN IN THE WORLD IN TERMS OF YOUR CURRICULUM AND
11	EVERYTHING ELSE. SO I THINK MAYBE THAT NEEDS TO
12	BE I'M SPEAKING FROM IGNORANCE NOW IN TERMS OF
13	WHAT IS ACTUALLY DESCRIBED IN THE INSTRUCTIONS IN
14	TERMS OF WHAT IS EXPECTED, BUT THAT CAN THAT'S
15	EASILY DONE. I'M NOT SAYING AT ALL INSTITUTIONS.
16	IT'S NOT EASY TO GET INSTITUTIONS TO COMMIT. THAT'S
17	ONE OF THE PROBLEMS, BUT THAT'S THE ISSUE THAT THEY
18	HAVE TO DEAL WITH. AND THERE SHOULD BE A SUPPORT
19	LETTER FROM AN INSTITUTIONAL OFFICIAL TO VERIFY WHAT
20	THE INFRASTRUCTURE IS FOR THIS.
21	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU.
22	MR. JUELSGAARD: SO I WANT TO GO BACK TO
23	SPEAKING ABOUT THE FUNDING FOR DEI EFFORTS. SO WE
24	HAVE IN PARTICULAR CASE A LIMIT OF \$4 MILLION FOR
25	THIS GRANT. THAT \$4 MILLION WAS ESTABLISHED BEFORE

1	WE INCLUDED DEI AS A REQUIREMENT OF AN APPLICATION.
2	SO WE ADDED SOMETHING TO THE APPLICATION THAT WILL
3	COST ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS OF MONEY.
4	AND SO MY CONCERN IS IS BY TAKING PART OF
5	THE GRANT THAT'S SPECIFIED HERE AND USING IT FOR DEI
6	ACTUALLY CUTS BACK ON THE SCIENCE PART OF THE GRANT.
7	AND THAT'S WHY I THINK HAVING A SEPARATE BUCKET FOR
8	DEI EFFORTS THAT PEOPLE CAN APPLY TO, YES, I'M
9	APPLYING FOR \$4 MILLION FOR WHATEVER SCIENCE WORK
10	I'M GOING TO DO, BUT THEN I'M ALSO GOING TO APPLY
11	FOR 500,000 OR WHATEVER IT IS FOR THE DEI WORK
12	THAT'S VERY WELL LAID OUT AND WHY IT'S GOING TO COST
13	ME THAT, ET CETERA. I'M LAYING THAT OUT AS
14	SOMETHING WE CAN MAYBE THINK ABOUT OR COGITATE OR
15	COME BACK TO AT SOME POINT. I DON'T MEAN TO SOLVE
16	IT HERE, BUT I THINK WE SHOULD SUPPORT INSTITUTIONS
17	IF WE EXPECT DEI OUT OF THEM.
18	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GOOD. THANK YOU,
19	BOARD MEMBERS, FOR YOUR COMMENTS. DR. THOMAS.
20	DR. THOMAS: I DEFER TO BOARD MEMBER
21	DURON. ALWAYS HAVE.
22	MS. DURON: THE SECOND MARIA IN HIS LIFE,
23	BUT I DON'T DO BASEBALL.
24	ANYWAY, I ALMOST FEEL LIKE I'M HAVING TO
25	TAKE OFF MY ONE HAT AND PUT ON ANOTHER. BUT I NEED

1	TO SAY AND THANK EVERY SINGLE MEMBER OF THIS BOARD
2	AND THE ORGANIZATION FOR HAVING TAKEN UP THIS TOPIC
3	AND DONE ITS BEST TO MAKE IT ITS BEST AND TO
4	CONTINUE TO DO SO. AND THANK VERY MUCH ALL OF THE
5	BOARD MEMBERS WHO HAVE ALSO TAKEN THIS VERY
6	SERIOUSLY AND OFFERED WAYS TO REFINE THIS ISSUE
7	WHICH IS SO CRITICALLY IMPORTANT IN THIS DAY AND AGE
8	BECAUSE IT IS BECOMING POLITICIZED. AND I HAVE
9	ADVOCATE FRIENDS AND COLLEAGUES AND ACADEMICS AND
10	OTHERS CONCERNED THAT, AS A RESULT OF THAT, WE'RE
11	SEEING SOME REAL STEP BACK AND CORPORATIONS AND
12	OTHERS STEPPING BACK ON THIS ISSUE OF DEI.
13	SO I'M VERY GLAD THAT WE ARE MARCHING
14	FORWARD, CONTINUING, AND NOT ALLOWING ANY NEGATIVITY
15	ABOUT WHAT IS BEING SAID ABOUT DEI AND PROCEEDING.
16	I REALLY, REALLY WANT TO THANK YOU ALL FOR
17	SUPPORTING THIS AND FOR RECOGNIZING THE VALUE ADD
18	THAT IT MEANS NOT JUST IN TERMS OF SCIENCE AND IN
19	TERMS OF LEARNING, BUT ALSO IN TERMS OF COMMUNITIES
20	WHO HAVE CONTINUED TO HAVE TO HOPE THAT SOMEONE WILL
21	INDEED INCLUDE THEM WITHIN THESE REALLY IMPORTANT
22	MEASURES. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
23	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, YSABEL,
24	FOR THAT VERY GRACIOUS COMMENT.
25	SO DO YOU WANT TO GO BACK, JONATHAN?

1	DR. THOMAS: SURE. SO I JUST WANTED TO
2	INFORM THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD AS A SORT OF FYI ON
3	THE DEI TOPIC THAT AT THE RECENT GWG MEETING, THERE
4	WAS A SESSION IN ADVANCE OF THE ACTUAL PEER REVIEW
5	IN WHICH OUR CONSULTANT ON DEI PRESENTED TO THE GWG
6	A PRESENTATION WHICH DEFINED OUR DEI PROGRAM AND
7	EMPHASIZED THE INTEGRAL NATURE OF DEI INTO
8	EVERYTHING WE DO TO DRIVE HOME THE SIGNIFICANCE AND
9	TO EDUCATE THEM FURTHER ABOUT WHAT THE PARAMETERS
10	WERE THAT WE VIEW AS PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT IN THE
11	DEI SPACE.
12	I NOTED IN A COMMENT TO THAT GROUP, THIS
13	IS SORT OF FOR THE BENEFIT OF MORE RECENT BOARD
14	MEMBERS, THAT SEVERAL YEARS AGO WE HAD AN
15	APPLICATION THAT HAD A TIER I RECOMMENDATION FROM
16	THE GWG, BUT A DEI SCORE OF 5, WHICH SORT OF TESTED
17	THE WATERS OF HOW MUCH VALUE WE PLACE ON DEI. AND I
18	RECOMMENDED AT THAT BOARD MEETING THAT, BECAUSE OF
19	THAT LOW DEI SCORE, NOTWITHSTANDING THE TIER I
20	SCORE, THAT THAT APPLICATION BE SENT BACK TO WORK TO
21	IMPROVE THE DEI ELEMENT AND AS A MESSAGE TO THE
22	COMMUNITY AT LARGE ABOUT JUST HOW SERIOUSLY WE
23	CONSIDER DEI TO BE CENTRAL TO EVERYTHING WE DO.
24	I'LL NOTE PARENTHETICALLY THAT THE
25	APPLICATION WAS RESUBMITTED, IT NOT ONLY UPPED ITS

1	GAME ON THE DEI FRONT, BUT INTERESTINGLY ENOUGH THE
2	SCIENCE WAS IMPROVED. EVEN THOUGH THEY REACHED A
3	TIER I RESULT BEFORE, THEY HAD AN EVEN BETTER
4	PROJECT COMING BACK. SO I THINK THAT WAS A MESSAGE
5	TO THE COMMUNITY THAT WAS WELL TAKEN BY THAT
6	APPLICANT AND SOMETHING THAT ALL APPLICANTS NEED TO
7	KEEP IN MIND AS THEY PROPOSE GOING FORWARD. THANK
8	YOU.
9	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
10	I DO NOT SEE ADDITIONAL COMMENTS COMING FROM THE
11	BOARD. AM I MISTAKEN ON THAT, SCOTT OR CLAUDETTE?
12	NO. ARE THERE ANY COMMENTS ON THIS APPLICATION FROM
13	THE PUBLIC?
14	MR. TOCHER: WE NEED A MOTION.
15	DR. FISHER: WE DON'T HAVE A MOTION YET,
16	AND I'M HAPPY TO MAKE IT.
17	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU FOR
18	REMINDING ME.
19	DR. SOUTHARD: I WILL SECOND.
20	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: IS THERE ADDITIONAL
21	COMMENT FROM THE BOARD ON THE MOTION? OKAY.
22	COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC? HEARING NONE, I THINK
23	WE'RE READY FOR THE VOTE.
24	MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
25	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: AYE.

	2211 6.21411, 6.1 651116.7 102
1	MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER.
2	DR. FISHER: AYE.
3	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
4	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
5	MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
6	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
7	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
8	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
9	MR. TOCHER: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
10	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
11	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
12	MR. LAJARA: YES.
13	MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
14	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
15	MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
16	DR. PADILLA: YES.
17	MR. TOCHER: JOE PANETTA.
18	MR. PANETTA: YES.
19	MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL.
20	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
21	MR. TOCHER: MARIA BONNEVILLE.
22	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.
23	MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
24	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
25	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
	66

	2211 0.211111, 0.2 0011101. 202
1	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
2	MR. TOCHER: YSABEL DURON.
3	MS. DURON: YES.
4	MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANK YOU. AND THE
5	MOTION CARRIES.
6	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MOVE ON TO THE
7	SECOND APPLICATION, CLIN2-15085.
8	DR. SAMBRANO: OKAY. THANK YOU.
9	SO THIS IS CLIN2-15085. SO THIS IS A
10	CLINICAL TRIAL APPLICATION. THE TITLE IS
11	"PERSONALIZED ANTISENSE OLIGONUCLEOTIDE THERAPY FOR
12	RARE PEDIATRIC GENETIC DISEASE."
13	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: APOLOGIES. WE CANNOT
14	HEAR YOU ONLINE. IT SIMPLY LOOKS LIKE THE MIC HAS
15	BEEN MUTED. SO IF SOMEONE CAN UNMUTE.
16	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: START AGAIN, GIL,
17	AND JUST TRY IT.
18	DR. SAMBRANO: CAN YOU HEAR ME NOW?
19	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: OH, GOOD.
20	DR. SAMBRANO: SO THIS IS CLIN2-15085.
21	THIS IS A CLINICAL TRIAL APPLICATION. THE TITLE IS
22	"PERSONALIZED ANTISENSE OLIGONUCLEOTIDE THERAPY FOR
23	RARE PEDIATRIC GENETIC DISEASE SCN2A." SO THIS A
24	PERSONALIZED ANTISENSE OLIGONUCLEOTIDE DRUG. SO
25	THIS IS AN N OF $1$ , AND THIS IS THE ONE THAT I THINK
	67

1	WAS REFERENCED DURING THE POLICY DISCUSSION.
2	THE INDICATION IS FOR SCN2A ASSOCIATED
3	GENETIC DISORDER. THE GOAL OF THIS IS TO COMPLETE
4	THE FIRST-IN-HUMAN TRIAL FOR THIS DRUG.
5	THE FUNDS REQUESTED ARE 985,713. THERE IS
6	NO CO-FUNDING REQUIRED FOR THIS APPLICATION.
7	SOME BACKGROUND ON THIS DISEASE
8	INDICATION. THE SCN2A-RELATED DISORDERS ARE CAUSED
9	BY MUTATIONS IN THE RESPECTIVE GENE. SUCH DISORDERS
10	RESULT IN A RANGE OF NEURODEVELOPMENTAL CONDITIONS
11	MAINLY CHARACTERIZED BY THE SEVERITY OF EPILEPSY.
12	AND SEVERE FORMS OF THE DISORDER CAN CAUSE SEIZURES
13	BEGINNING IN INFANCY, AND ANTISEIZURE MEDICATIONS
14	ARE TYPICALLY NOT EFFECTIVE FOR THESE PATIENTS.
15	THE VALUE PROPOSITION OF THIS PROPOSED
16	THERAPY IS THAT IT WOULD TREAT A SINGLE PATIENT WITH
17	SEVERE EPILEPSY AND SEVERE DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY. IF
18	IT IS SUCCESSFUL, OTHERS WITH SIMILAR DISORDERS
19	COULD BENEFIT FROM EQUIVALENT PRECISION THERAPIES.
20	WHY THIS IS A STEM CELL OR GENE THERAPY
21	PROJECT, THIS IS AN ASO THAT IS INTRODUCED. SO IT
22	QUALIFIES AS A GENE THERAPY UNDER OUR DEFINITION OF
23	GENE THERAPIES.
24	THERE ARE NO OTHER PROJECTS IN OUR
25	PORTFOLIO IN TRAN OR CLIN THAT ARE CURRENTLY

1	ADDRESSING SCN2A-RELATED DISORDERS.
2	THE APPLICANT TEAM HAS HAD A PREVIOUS
3	DISC2 STAGE PROJECT IN NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISEASES.
4	THIS IS A STAGE FOR CANDIDATE DISCOVERY. THE AWARD
5	ENDS JULY OF THIS YEAR. SEVEN MILESTONES WERE
6	PROPOSED, TWO ARE COMPLETED WITH SOME DELAY, THREE
7	ON TRACK, AND TWO HAVE NOT BEEN STARTED ON THAT
8	PROJECT.
9	THESE ARE THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE
10	GRANTS WORKING GROUP RELATED TO THIS PARTICULAR
11	APPLICATION. WE HAD EIGHT MEMBERS WHO SCORED THIS A
12	1, WE HAD SIX MEMBERS WHO SCORED IT A 2, AND NONE
13	THAT SCORED IT A 3. THE OVERALL SCORE
14	RECOMMENDATION, THEREFORE, IS A 1. THE DEI SCORE IS
15	AN 8.5 AND THE CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDATION HAS BEEN TO
16	FUND THIS APPLICATION FOR THE AWARD AMOUNT SHOWN.
17	MR. CHAIRMAN.
18	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. AT ANY
19	POINT WE CAN ENTERTAIN A MOTION FOR THIS.
20	DR. SACKEY: SO MOVED.
21	MR. TOCHER: IS THAT A MOTION TO APPROVE
22	FUNDING?
23	DR. SACKEY: MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE
24	FUNDING.
25	MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU.
	69

	,
1	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: DO WE HAVE A SECOND?
2	MR. JUELSGAARD: SECOND.
3	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ONE SECOND. WE'RE
4	CONSIDERING A POSSIBLE CONFLICT. DO WE HAVE?
5	MR. TOCHER: SORRY, JOYCE. IT NEEDS TO BE
6	A MEMBER OF THE ARS.
7	MR. JUELSGAARD: I'LL MAKE THE MOTION.
8	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MEMBER JUELSGAARD
9	HAS MOVED TO ACCEPT.
10	DR. FLOWERS: SECOND.
11	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: I WILL SECOND.
12	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. THANK YOU.
13	SO WE CAN OPEN THE FLOOR TO DISCUSSION BY BOARD
14	MEMBERS ON THIS APPLICATION. I DO NOT SEE ANY.
15	OKAY. SO WE CAN ARE THERE ANY MEMBERS OF THE
16	PUBLIC WHO ARE GOING TO SPEAK ON THIS?
17	DR. GLEESON: MAY I SPEAK? THIS IS JOSEPH
18	GLEESON, PROFESSOR AT UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN
19	DIEGO AND RADY CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL. MY CAMERA WON'T
20	TURN ON. BUT I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT WE APPRECIATE
21	THE COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD'S LAST CONSIDERATION OF
22	THIS APPLICATION. AND WE UPLOADED A DETAILED
23	REBUTTAL AND WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT WE FEEL THAT WE
24	VERY MUCH APPRECIATE THE CONSIDERATION OF THE BOARD.
25	AND WE VERY CAREFULLY CONSIDER DEI ISSUES AND SCORED
	70

1	8.5 AS YOU CAN SEE HERE.
2	SOME OF THE QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD AT
3	THE LAST MEETING WERE ABOUT DEI, AND WE WERE CAREFUL
4	TO ADDRESS THOSE, AND SOME ISSUES RELATED TO N OF 1
5	THERAPY. AND AS I MENTIONED IN MY LAST COMMENTS A
6	COUPLE MINUTES AGO, THIS IS REALLY MORE N OF A FEW
7	TRIAL.
8	I DON'T THINK MORE COMMENTS ARE NECESSARY;
9	BUT IF THERE ARE OTHER QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD,
10	WE'RE HAPPY TO ANSWER THEM.
11	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, DR.
12	GLEESON. ANY OTHER MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WANT TO
13	MAKE A COMMENT BEFORE WE PROCEED TO A VOTE?
14	MR. TOCHER, WOULD YOU PLEASE, BEFORE WE
15	PROCEED TO A VOTE, WOULD YOU CLARIFY FOR THE BOARD
16	MEMBERS WHAT A YES VOTE MEANS AND WHAT A NO VOTE
17	MEANS ON THIS ITEM?
18	MR. TOCHER: THE MOTION IS TO FUND
19	APPLICATION CLIN 15085. SO THE CONSEQUENCE WOULD BE
20	AN AYE VOTE IS TO APPROVE FUNDING. A NO VOTE WOULD
21	BE TO NOT APPROVE FUNDING.
22	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. THAT
23	SOUNDS SIMPLE, BUT IN THE CONTEXT OF DISCUSSIONS WE
24	HAVE HAD, I THINK IT WAS IMPORTANT TO STATE THAT.
25	THANK YOU. LET'S PROCEED TO A VOTE.

	,
1	MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
2	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
3	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
4	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: NO.
5	MR. TOCHER: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
6	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
7	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
8	MR. LAJARA: YES.
9	MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
10	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
11	MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
12	DR. PADILLA: YES.
13	MR. TOCHER: JOE PANETTA.
14	MR. PANETTA: YES.
15	MR. TOCHER: MARV SOUTHARD.
16	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
17	MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL.
18	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
19	MR. TOCHER: MARIA BONNEVILLE.
20	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: NO.
21	MR. TOCHER: JUDY CHOU. LEONDRA
22	CLARK-HARVEY.
23	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: AYE.
24	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
25	DR. DULIEGE: ABSTAIN.
	72
	/ 4

i	ŕ
1	MR. TOCHER: YSABEL DURON.
2	MS. DURON: YES.
3	MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
4	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
5	MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER.
6	DR. FISHER: NO.
7	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
8	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
9	MR. TOCHER: STAND BY. THE MOTION
10	CARRIES.
11	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, MR.
12	TOCHER.
13	THE REPORTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY WE HAVE A
14	TIMEOUT, PLEASE?
15	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
16	(A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
17	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: COULD THE BOARD
18	MEMBERS PLEASE RESUME, COME BACK TO THEIR SEATS.
19	THANK YOU.
20	OKAY. WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE WITH OUR
21	CONSIDERATION OF CLINICAL APPLICATIONS TO THE ARS.
22	GIL, YOU'D LIKE TO PRESENT THE THIRD CASE.
23	DR. SAMBRANO: YES. THANK YOU.
24	THE THIRD APPLICATION IS CLIN2-15395.
25	THIS IS A CLINICAL TRIAL APPLICATION. IT'S ENTITLED
	73

1	"A PHASE 2B-STUDY OF THE EFFICACY OF A NOVEL
2	PRO-NEUROGENESIS/PRO-PLASTICITY DRUG FOR BIPOLAR
3	DEPRESSION USING A PRECISION PSYCHIATRY APPROACH."
4	THE THERAPY IS A SMALL MOLECULE THAT HAS
5	NEUROGENIC PROPERTIES, MEANING IT HELPS NEURONS
6	DIVIDE. IT IS FOR BIPOLAR DEPRESSION, AND THEIR
7	GOAL IS TO COMPLETE A PHASE 2B CLINICAL TRIAL.
8	THE FUNDS REQUESTED ARE 15 MILLION. THE
9	CO-FUNDING PROVIDED BY THE APPLICANT IS 13.2, WHICH
10	IS THE 40 PERCENT THAT'S REQUIRED FOR THIS CATEGORY
11	OF AWARD.
12	THE BACKGROUND, BIPOLAR DISORDER,
13	PARTICULARLY THE DEPRESSIVE PHASE, BDD, IS A SEVERE,
14	LIFELONG PSYCHIATRIC CONDITION THAT'S ASSOCIATED
15	WITH A VERY HIGH BURDEN OF ILLNESS AND THE RISK OF
16	SUICIDE. AND THE APPROVED TREATMENTS THAT ARE
17	AVAILABLE ARE LIMITED TO ANTIPSYCHOTIC DRUGS WITH
18	LIMITED EFFICACY AND OFTEN POOR TOLERABILITY.
19	THE VALUE PROPOSITION, THE PROPOSED
20	THERAPY COULD PROVIDE A NOVEL THERAPEUTIC OPTION
21	THAT, UNLIKE AVAILABLE ANTIPSYCHOTICS, ADDRESSES
22	DISEASE-RELATED PATHOPHYSIOLOGY. IT OFFERS BETTER
23	TOLERABILITY AND INCLUDES A DIAGNOSTIC APPROACH TO
24	IDENTIFY THOSE PATIENTS WHO ARE MOST LIKELY TO
25	BENEFIT.

1	WHY THIS IS A STEM CELL OR GENE THERAPY
2	PRODUCT. THE THERAPY IS A SMALL MOLECULE DRUG THAT
3	ACTS ON NEUROPROGENITOR CELLS AND CAUSES
4	NEUROGENESIS.
5	SIMILAR PROJECTS IN OUR PORTFOLIO, CIRM
6	CURRENTLY DOESN'T HAVE ANY ACTIVE TRAN OR CLIN
7	AWARDS ADDRESSING BIPOLAR DEPRESSION. AND THIS
8	APPLICANT HAS NOT PREVIOUSLY RECEIVED A CIRM AWARD.
9	THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP ARE
10	SUMMARIZED HERE. THERE WERE TEN MEMBERS THAT GAVE
11	THIS A SCORE OF 1, TWO MEMBERS THAT GAVE IT A SCORE
12	OF 4. THE DEI SCORE IS A 9. AND THE CIRM TEAM
13	RECOMMENDATION IS TO FUND THIS APPLICATION FOR 15
14	MILLION.
15	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, MR.
16	SAMBRANO. SO I'D LIKE TO OPEN THE FLOOR TO
17	DISCUSSION AND/OR A MOTION TO APPROVE.
18	DR. SOUTHARD: I WOULD MOVE TO APPROVE.
19	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, BOARD
20	MEMBER SOUTHARD. DO WE HAVE A SECOND?
21	DR. HIGGINS: SECOND.
22	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: SECOND FROM DAVID
23	HIGGINS. THANK YOU. COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS ON
24	THIS?
25	DR. MELMED: THANK YOU. MAYBE I'M MISSED

	2211 0.211111, 0.2 0011101. 202
1	IT IN THE DESCRIPTOR. CAN YOU JUST ELUCIDATE FOR US
2	WHAT THE STEM CELL BIOLOGY IS?
3	DR. SAMBRANO: YES. SO THIS IS A SMALL
4	MOLECULE THAT ACTS ON NEUROPROGENITORS. AND SO IT
5	LEADS TO NEUROGENESIS, AND SO IT FALLS INTO THE
6	PROGENITOR STEM CELL ALLOWANCE THAT WE HAVE.
7	DR. MELMED: GOT YOU. THANKS.
8	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OTHER COMMENTS FROM
9	BOARD MEMBERS?
10	MS. DURON: MR. CHAIR, I'M SITTING HERE
11	WONDERING IF I SHOULD ASK GIL TO EXPLAIN THAT IN
12	ENGLISH FOR THOSE OF US WHO DON'T HAVE THIS
13	BACKGROUND.
14	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: EXCELLENT
15	SUGGESTION. THANK YOU, YSABEL.
16	DR. DURON: SO SORRY.
17	DR. SAMBRANO: THAT'S ABSOLUTELY FINE.
18	ALWAYS STOP ME IF YOU FEEL YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND
19	SOMETHING. SO I'M HAPPY TO EXPLAIN.
20	MS. DURON: I'M STILL IN THE LEARNING
21	CURVE.
22	DR. SAMBRANO: SO CAN YOU LEAD ME WITH A
23	QUESTION?
24	MS. DURON: WELL, SHLOMO JUST ASKED YOU
25	AND I'M TRYING TO REINTERPRET HOW THIS WORKS USING

1	STEM CELLS.
2	DR. SAMBRANO: I SEE. SO THIS IS A SMALL
3	MOLECULE DRUG. SO THIS IS SOMETHING THAT SOMEBODY
4	WOULD TAKE AS A PILL, ENTERS THE SYSTEM, AND IT ACTS
5	ON A PARTICULAR AREA IN THE BRAIN IN THE
6	HIPPOCAMPUS, SO THIS PARTICULAR AREA THAT'S THOUGHT
7	TO BE IN CERTAIN PATIENTS THE RESULT OF WHAT LEADS
8	TO THE DEPRESSION. SO THE IDEA IS TO INCREASE THE
9	NUMBER OF CELLS THAT EXIST THERE. AND SO
10	NEUROGENESIS JUST SIMPLY MEANS THAT YOU ARE TAKING
11	EARLY PROGENITOR CELLS THAT THEN MATURE AND BECOME
12	THE RIGHT TYPE OF CELL IN THAT AREA OF THE BRAIN.
13	SO THE DRUG BASICALLY STIMULATES THE
14	REPRODUCTION OF THOSE CELLS, INCREASES THE VOLUME IN
15	THAT HIPPOCAMPAL AREA OF THE BRAIN IN ORDER TO
16	ALLEVIATE THE DEPRESSION THAT OCCURS AS A RESULT.
17	AT LEAST THAT BASICALLY IS THE IDEA BEHIND THE USE
18	OF THE DRUG.
19	MS. DURON: SO IT INCREASES GOOD CELLS TO
20	FIGHT THE BAD CELLS? AM I WRONG?
21	DR. SAMBRANO: NO. THERE'S NO BAD CELLS.
22	THIS IS JUST
23	MS. DURON: IT SOUNDS LIKE THERE IS AN
24	ENEMY WITHIN.
25	DR. SAMBRANO: THIS IS A CONDITION WHERE
	77
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

1	WHAT THEY OBSERVE IS THE HIPPOCAMPUS DOES NOT HAVE
2	THE RIGHT AMOUNT OF VOLUME. SO IT IS CORRECTING
3	WHAT THEY SEE AS A PROBLEM THAT IS LINKED TO THE
4	DEPRESSION. AND SO THEY'RE CORRECTING IT BY
5	CREATING MORE CELLS.
6	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. I'M
7	REMINDED THAT LEONARD BERNSTEIN EXPLAINED MUSIC TO
8	THE LAY PUBLIC, AND I THINK GIL SAMBRANO IS THE
9	LEONARD BERNSTEIN OF NEUROGENESIS. THANK YOU FOR
10	YOUR ANSWER, GIL.
11	DR. SAMBRANO: I TRY. I DON'T KNOW THAT I
12	ALWAYS SUCCEED. THANK YOU.
13	DR. THOMAS: HE'S BEEN CALLED A LOT OF
14	THINGS OVER THE YEARS, BUT NEVER THAT.
15	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. COMMENTS
16	FROM OTHER BOARD MEMBERS? I'M LOOKING. I DO NOT
17	SEE ANY. SO I'M GOING TO ASK IF THERE ARE COMMENTS
18	ON THIS APPLICATION FROM THE PUBLIC. AND SEEING
19	NONE THERE, I'M GOING TO ASK SCOTT TO CALL THE ROLL
20	THEN. THANK YOU.
21	MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
22	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
23	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
24	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
25	MR. TOCHER: YSABEL DURON.
	78

	DETTI G. DIATIN, CA GSK NO. 7 132
1	MS. DURON: YES.
2	MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
3	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
4	MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER.
5	DR. FISHER: YES.
6	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
7	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
8	MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
9	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
10	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
11	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
12	MR. TOCHER: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
13	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
14	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
15	MR. LAJARA: YES.
16	MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
17	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
18	MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
19	DR. PADILLA: YES.
20	MR. TOCHER: JOE PANETTA.
21	MR. PANETTA: YES.
22	MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL.
23	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
24	MR. TOCHER: MARIA BONNEVILLE.
25	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.
	79
	<i>1</i> 3

1	MR. TOCHER: ARE THERE ANY MEMBERS OF THE
2	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE WHOSE NAME I HAVEN'T
3	CALLED? GREAT. THANKS VERY MUCH. THE MOTION
4	CARRIES.
5	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. MR. SAMBRANO,
6	COULD YOU PLEASE TAKE US INTO DISCUSSION OF NO. 4?
7	DR. SAMBRANO: OKAY. THE NEXT APPLICATION
8	IS CLIN1-15450. SO THIS IS AN IND-ENABLING STAGE
9	APPLICATION, NOT A TRIAL YET. THE TITLE IS "HUMAN
10	EMBRYONIC STEM CELL-DERIVED NEURAL STEM CELLS FOR
11	SEVERE SPINAL CORD INJURY."
12	THE THERAPY IS HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM
13	CELL-DERIVED NEURAL STEM CELLS. THE INDICATION IS
14	FOR SUBACUTE SPINAL CORD INJURY. AND THEIR GOAL IS
15	TO COMPLETE IND-ENABLING STUDIES AND FILE AN IND AT
16	THE END OF THE AWARD PERIOD.
17	THE FUNDS REQUESTED ARE 6 MILLION. NO
18	CO-FUNDING IS REQUIRED FOR THIS APPLICANT.
19	SO THE BACKGROUND, WE HAVE MORE THAN HALF
20	A MILLION AMERICANS CURRENTLY LIVING WITH SPINAL
21	CORD INJURY, AND THERE ARE NO APPROVED THERAPIES FOR
22	PROMOTING RECOVERY OF LOST FUNCTION AFTER THE INJURY
23	THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE. THE SPINAL CORD INJURY CAN
24	RESULT IN LOSS OF MOVEMENT, SENSATION, BOWEL AND
25	BLADDER FUNCTION, BUT IT CAN ALSO LEAD TO CHRONIC

1	NEUROPATHIC PAIN AND DISABLING BOUTS OF AUTONOMIC
2	DYSREFLEXIA WHICH LEAD TO DANGEROUS ELEVATIONS OF
3	BLOOD PRESSURE AND RISK OF CEREBRAL HEMORRHAGE.
4	THE VALUE PROPOSITION FOR THIS PROPOSAL IS
5	THAT THE PROPOSED THERAPY OFFERS AN OPPORTUNITY TO
6	RESTORE FUNCTION IN PATIENTS WITH SPINAL CORD INJURY
7	BY IMPLANTING NEURAL STEM CELLS AT THE INJURY SITE
8	AND TO REGENERATE AND REPAIR THE DAMAGED AXONS. THE
9	APPLICANTS NOTE THIS IS A FUNDAMENTAL DIFFERENCE
10	FROM OTHER APPROACHES THAT MAY SIMPLY AIM TO
11	REMYELINATE THE SPARED HOST AXONS ON EITHER END OF
12	THE INJURY.
13	WHY THIS IS A STEM CELL OR GENE THERAPY
14	PROJECT. THE THERAPY IS COMPOSED OF HUMAN EMBRYONIC
15	NEURAL STEM CELLS.
16	THE SIMILAR PROJECTS THAT WE HAVE IN OUR
17	PORTFOLIO, WE HAVE ONE OTHER PROJECT AT THE
18	TRANSLATIONAL STAGE FOR SPINAL CORD INJURY. THEIR
19	GOAL TO ACHIEVE A PRE-IND MEETING BY OCTOBER 2025.
20	THEIR CANDIDATE IS ALSO NEURAL STEM CELLS. THIS
21	INVOLVES THE INTEGRATION OF THE TRANSPLANTED CELLS
22	TO FORM NEW OLIGODENDROCYTES WHICH INCREASE REPAIR
23	AND IMPROVE LOCOMOTOR FUNCTION. SO ONE PROJECT THAT
24	IS SIMILAR.
25	PREVIOUS CIRM FUNDING TO THE APPLICANT

1	TEAM. SO THE APPLICANT TEAM HAS HAD SEVERAL
2	PROJECTS SUPPORTED BY CIRM OVER THE YEARS THAT
3	INCLUDE A TRANSLATIONAL PROJECT, A TRAN1, A DISC2
4	DISCOVERY, AND THE ANOTHER DISCOVERY LEVEL PROJECT
5	ALL FOR SPINAL CORD INJURY. ALL PROJECTS HAD
6	MULTIPLE MILESTONES THAT WERE ALL ACHIEVED ON TIME.
7	THIS IS A SUMMARY OF THE RECOMMENDATION
8	FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. THERE WERE 13
9	MEMBERS THAT UNANIMOUSLY GAVE THIS A SCORE OF 1.
10	THE DEI SCORE IS AN 8. AND CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDATION
11	IS TO FUND THIS PROJECT FOR THE REQUESTED AMOUNT OF
12	6 MILLION.
13	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, GIL. MAY
14	I HAVE A MOTION TO ACCEPT THIS APPLICATION?
15	MR. JUELSGAARD: SO MOVED.
16	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: SECOND.
17	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE HAVE A MOTION
18	FROM MEMBER JUELSGAARD, SECONDED BY MARK
19	FISCHER-COLBRIE. THANK YOU. BOARD MEMBERS, OPEN TO
20	DISCUSSION.
21	DR. BARRETT: I HAVE A QUESTION. SO I
22	THINK THIS IS AN INCREDIBLY EXCITING PROJECT AND
23	REALLY FILLS AN UNMET NEED. BUT I HAVE A QUESTION
24	ABOUT THE DEI SECTION APROPOS OF THE COMMENTS
25	EARLIER. THIS RECEIVED A SCORE OF 8, BUT I DON'T

1	SEE A SINGLE NEGATIVE COMMENT AND IN FACT COMMENTS
2	TO THE EFFECT THAT IT IS A COMPREHENSIVE JOB.
3	THEY'RE PROVIDING TRAVEL REIMBURSEMENTS FOR
4	CAREGIVERS, THEY'RE HAVING SENSITIVITY TRAINING.
5	THEY'RE HAVING ONGOING MONITORING BY A STUDY PANEL
6	FOR THE DEI ASPECTS. WHAT MORE COULD HAVE BEEN DONE
7	TO RAISE THIS ABOVE A SCORE OF 8?
8	DR. SAMBRANO: THAT'S A GREAT QUESTION.
9	HARD FOR ME TO ANSWER HONESTLY. I THINK, AS
10	MENTIONED, SOME OF THE PATIENT ADVOCATE MEMBERS ARE
11	HERE. MAYBE THEY CAN SPEAK TO SOME OF THE
12	DEFICIENCIES. BUT IN TERMS OF THE COMMENTS THAT
13	WERE PROVIDED, I AGREE THERE WAS NOT MUCH THAT WOULD
14	BE CONSTRUED AS BEING A NEGATIVE COMMENT OR
15	SOMETHING THAT THEY COULD IMPROVE UPON.
16	DR. BARRETT: AND THEY SPECIFICALLY ARE
17	RECRUITING IN AREAS WITH HIGH MINORITY
18	REPRESENTATION AND HAVE SORT OF PROPOSED
19	OVERSAMPLING FOR AFRICAN-AMERICANS, I BELIEVE. SO I
20	JUST THINK WE HAVE TO THINK ABOUT THE CALIBRATION OF
21	THESE SCORES IF WE'RE REALLY GOING TO USE THEM
22	EFFECTIVELY, PARTICULARLY IN LIGHT OF J.T.'S COMMENT
23	THAT A POOR SCORE, EVEN IN THE FACE OF AN EXCELLENT
24	SCIENTIFIC RATING, SHOULD BE GROUNDS TO SEND
25	SOMETHING BACK. IF WE CAN'T GET THIS RIGHT, THEN

1	THE CREDIBILITY IS AN ISSUE.
2	DR. SAMBRANO: I THINK THE ONLY OTHER
3	THING I WOULD ADD IS THAT IN TERMS OF THE STAGE OF
4	THIS PROJECT, IT IS NOT YET AT THE CLINICAL TRIAL
5	STAGE. SO A LOT OF THESE ARE ASPIRATIONAL ASPECTS
6	THAT WERE PRESENTED AND NOT NECESSARILY YET
7	SOMETHING THAT THEY'RE GOING TO TAKE ON EVEN DURING
8	THE COURSE OF THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT. TO SOME
9	EXTENT, THAT MAY IMPACT ON THE SCORE.
10	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MEMBER DURON.
11	MS. DURON: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. AND I
12	THINK THAT WHEN YOU'RE LOOKING AT QUALITY OF THAT
13	DEI PLAN, THE FIRST THING YOU WANT TO SEE IS THAT
14	THEY UNDERSTAND THE DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN FOR ALL OF
15	THOSE PATIENTS WHO ARE IMPAIRED AND THAT THEY HAVE
16	PLANS TO REACH OUT TO ALL OF THOSE KINDS OF GROUPS
17	AND TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY'RE CULTURALLY AND
18	LINGUISTICALLY APPROPRIATE MATERIALS SO THEY CAN DO
19	SO AND WHO THOSE COMMUNITY PARTNERS ARE WITH WHOM
20	THEY WILL BE WORKING IN ORDER TO, IN FACT, ENSURE
21	THE SUCCESS OF THIS PLAN.
22	SO THERE ARE THOSE KINDS OF THINGS THAT I
23	WOULD LOOK AT IN A PLAN TO BE SURE BECAUSE JUST
24	BECAUSE THEY CHECKED THE BOX DOESN'T MEAN THEY HAVE
25	IT SOLVED. AND SO THANK YOU, KIM, FOR ASKING THAT

1	QUESTION BECAUSE I THINK IT'S REALLY CRITICAL FROM
2	THE GIT-GO. IF THEY DON'T KNOW THE AUDIENCE OUT
3	THERE THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO BE SERVING, THEN IT'S PIE
4	IN THE SKY NUMBERS.
5	DR. BARRETT: BASED ON THE COMMENTS, THEY
6	DO DO ALL THOSE THINGS. THAT'S WHY I'M ASKING WHY
7	IT WAS ONLY AN EIGHT.
8	MS. DURON: I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY WHO
9	WAS THE PATIENT ADVOCATE WHO SCORED IT.
10	MR. JUELSGAARD: WELL, JUST TWO COMMENTS.
11	FIRST OF ALL, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, IT'S THE WORK
12	THAT'S LEADING UP TO AN IND OR IND-ENABLING STUDY.
13	SO WE'RE NOWHERE NEAR GETTING INTO THE HUMAN
14	POPULATION AT THIS POINT. WE'VE GOT TO GET AN IND
15	APPROVED BY THE FDA. SO YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE
16	STEP AFTER THIS ONE.
17	I JUST WANTED TO REFLECT BECAUSE I THINK
18	THIS IS EMBLEMATIC OF STEM CELL DEVELOPMENT. IN
19	THAT ONE SLIDE THAT GIL PRESENTED, THIS BEGAN WITH
20	DISCOVERY IN 2012. THIS IS 12 YEARS LATER AND WE'RE
21	JUST GETTING TO WANTING TO APPLY FOR AN IND, DOING
22	THE IND-ENABLING STUDIES. TWELVE YEARS AND WE'VE
23	STILL GOT THE WHOLE CLINICAL ADVENTURE TO GO. SO
24	VERY REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DIFFICULTY OF THE PROCESS
25	FOR HOW THINGS PROCEED, THE TIMELINES, ET CETERA, IN

1	AREAS LIKE THIS.
2	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, STEVE.
3	GOOD COMMENT. MARK, YOU'RE NEXT.
4	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: I'M OKAY.
5	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YOU'RE GOOD. JUST
6	LOOKING OVER, I DON'T SEE ANY OTHER BOARD MEMBERS
7	WITH THEIR HANDS RAISED. WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE
8	FLOOR? YES. IS THERE ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC WHO
9	WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON THIS APPLICATION? NOTHING.
10	OKAY. I THINK WE CAN PROCEED THEN TO A VOTE.
11	MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
12	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
13	MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
14	DR. PADILLA: YES.
15	MR. TOCHER: JOE PANETTA.
16	MR. PANETTA: YES.
17	MR. TOCHER: MARV SOUTHARD.
18	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
19	MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL.
20	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
21	MR. TOCHER: MARIA BONNEVILLE.
22	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: AYE.
23	MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
24	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: AYE.
25	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE. YSABEL
	86

	DETH G. DRAIN, GA GSR NO. 7 132
1	DURON.
2	MS. DURON: YES.
3	MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
4	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: AYE.
5	MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER.
6	DR. FISHER: AYE.
7	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
8	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
9	MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
10	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
11	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
12	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
13	MR. TOCHER: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
14	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
15	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
16	MR. LAJARA: YES.
17	MR. TOCHER: I'LL CALL AGAIN FOR
18	ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE. OKAY. THANK YOU. THE MOTION
19	CARRIES.
20	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, MR.
21	TOCHER. GIL, WE'RE ON THE LAST APPLICATION.
22	DR. SAMBRANO: AND JUST A REMINDER FOR ONE
23	CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH THIS APPLICATION,
24	CLIN2-15607. SO THIS IS A CLINICAL TRIAL PROPOSAL.
25	THE TITLE IS "PHASE 3 PIVOTAL CLINICAL TRIAL FOR
	87

1	SPG50." THE THERAPY IS A GENE THERAPY THAT UTILIZES
2	ADENO-ASSOCIATED VIRUS ENCODING A CODON-OPTIMIZED
3	HUMAN AP4M1 TRANSGENE. SO THIS IS BASICALLY A
4	CORRECTION OF THE GENE.
5	THE INDICATION IS FOR SPASTIC PARAPLEGIA
6	TYPE 50, ABBREVIATED AS SPG50. THE GOAL IS TO
7	COMPLETE A PHASE 3 CLINICAL TRIAL. THE FUNDS
8	REQUESTED ARE 15 MILLION. THE CO-FUNDING THAT'S
9	PROVIDED BY THE APPLICANT IS 10.1 MILLION, WHICH IS
10	THE 40 PERCENT REQUIRED UNDER THIS CATEGORY.
11	SO FOR BACKGROUND, THE SPG50 IS AN ULTRA
12	RARE GENETIC NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASE THAT'S CAUSED
13	BY A MUTATION IN THE ADAPTOR PROTEIN COMPLEX 4 OF
14	AP4. THE DISEASE IS CHARACTERIZED BY THE GRADUAL
15	ONSET OF SPASTIC PARAPLEGIA DURING THE INITIAL
16	DECADE OF LIFE THAT ESCALATES INTO QUADRIPLEGIA
17	DURING ADOLESCENCE OR EARLY ADULTHOOD. AND THERE
18	ARE ABOUT 16 INDIVIDUALS IN NORTH AMERICA THAT ARE
19	AFFECTED BY THIS VERY SPECIFIC DISORDER.
20	THE VALUE PROPOSITION OF THIS THERAPY IS
21	THAT IT OFFERS THE POTENTIAL TO CORRECT THE GENE
22	MUTATION FOR SPG50 PATIENTS AND TO DEVELOP A
23	FRAMEWORK FOR APPLYING THIS APPROACH TO OTHER ULTRA
24	RARE MONOGENIC DISEASES.
25	WHY IS THIS A STEM CELL OR GENE THERAPY

	89
25	MS. DURON: SO MOVED.
24	CIRM WORKING GROUP.
23	MOTION WOULD BE TO ACCEPT THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE
22	LIKE TO ENTERTAIN A MOTION FROM A BOARD MEMBER. THE
21	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, GIL. I'D
20	MR. CHAIR.
19	FUNDING THE APPLICATION FOR THE REQUESTED AMOUNT.
18	IS TO CONCUR WITH THE GWG RECOMMENDATION OF NOT
17	DEI SCORE IS A 7. AND THE CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDATION
16	2 AND TEN MEMBERS THAT GAVE THIS A SCORE OF 3. THE
15	OF 1. THERE WERE FOUR MEMBERS THAT GAVE A SCORE OF
14	SCORE OF 3. THERE WERE NO MEMBERS THAT GAVE A SCORE
13	WORKING GROUP. SO THIS APPLICATION WAS GIVEN A
12	THIS IS THE RECOMMENDATION FROM THE GRANTS
11	YET SINCE IT IS IN THE PROGRESS OF LAUNCHING.
10	SO THEY HAVE SIX MILESTONES, BUT THERE'S NO PROGRESS
9	IS TO FILE AN IND. THIS PROJECT HAS JUST LAUNCHED,
8	AN ULTRA RARE DISEASE. THE OUTCOME OF THAT PROJECT
7	SO THE APPLICANT HAS A CLIN1 FOR SMT4J WHICH IS ALSO
6	PREVIOUS FUNDING BY THE CIRM APPLICANT.
5	PORTFOLIO THAT ARE ADDRESSING SPG50 SPECIFICALLY.
4	SIMILAR, THERE ARE NONE IN OUR TRAN OR CLIN
3	CIRM PORTFOLIO PROJECTS THAT MIGHT BE
2	THEREFORE QUALIFIES.
1	PROJECT? IT IS A GENE THERAPY APPROACH AND

1	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE HAVE YSABEL DURON
2	MAKING A MOVE.
3	MR. JUELSGAARD: I'LL SECOND.
4	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: AND STEVE JUELSGAARD
5	SECONDING. THANK YOU. BOARD MEMBERS, OPEN TO
6	DISCUSSION. JUST GOING TO WAIT FIVE SECONDS. I
7	DON'T SEE ANY HANDS RAISED. OKAY.
8	SO I'M GOING TO OPEN THE FLOOR TO COMMENTS
9	ON THIS MOTION FROM THE PUBLIC. WE DO HAVE YES.
10	PLEASE COME FORWARD AND STATE YOUR NAME.
11	MR. PIROVOLAKIS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MY
12	NAME IS TERRY PIROVOLAKIS. THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING
13	ME THE TIME TO SPEAK TO YOU TODAY. MANY OF YOU HAVE
14	HEARD MY STORY BEFORE, BUT FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO
15	HAVEN'T, MY NAME IS TERRY PIROVOLAKIS, AND MY SON
16	MICHAEL WAS DIAGNOSED WITH SPG50, A TERRIBLE
17	DEVELOPMENTAL NEUROMUSCULAR DISEASE CAUSING COMPLETE
18	PARALYSIS AND SEVERE DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY.
19	I CANNOT ACCEPT THIS FATE FOR MY CHILD.
20	AND AFTER THREE YEARS AND 4.5 MILLION IN DONATIONS,
21	WE WERE ABLE TO MAKE, TEST, AND GIVE HIM THIS
22	LIFESAVING GENE THERAPY. WE WERE FORTUNATE TO HAVE
23	TWO MORE DOSES AFTER TREATING MICHAEL, BUT NO ONE
24	WANTED TO TAKE OUR PROGRAM ON OR FUND THE TREATMENT
25	FOR THESE CHILDREN. SO I CREATED ELPIDA
	00

1	THERAPEUTICS, A CALIFORNIA-BASED SOCIAL PURPOSE
2	CORPORATION TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE.
3	WE FINALLY FOUND AN AVENUE THROUGH A
4	PROGRAM AT THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF HEALTH CALLED
5	THE BESPOKE GENE THERAPY CONSORTIUM OF WHICH OUR
6	PROGRAM WAS SELECTED BY THE WORLD EXPERTS AS THE
7	LEAD PROGRAM OF 63 APPLICANTS.
8	SUBSEQUENTLY WE CREATED A PARTNERSHIP
9	BETWEEN ELPIDA, CIRM, AND THE NIH TO DEVELOP A
10	TREATMENT FOR SPG50 AND ANOTHER ULTRA RARE CONDITION
11	CALLED CMT4J. WE WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THIS
12	OPPORTUNITY TO EXPRESS OUR DEEPEST GRATITUDE TO THE
13	BOARD FOR FUNDING THAT PROGRAM.
14	BETWEEN THE TIME THAT WE APPLIED TO THE
15	BGTC ALMOST ONE AND A HALF YEARS HAVE PASSED, BUT WE
16	CONTINUE TO PROGRESS AND TREATED THREE MORE PATIENTS
17	IN A PHASE $1/2$ STUDY AT UTSW MEDICAL CENTER, ONE OF
18	WHICH WAS AN INFANT AND, TO OUR KNOWLEDGE, THE
19	YOUNGEST HUMAN BEING TO RECEIVE INTRATHECAL GENE
20	THERAPY AT FIVE MONTHS OLD. THIS PROGRAM MADE
21	SIGNIFICANT MILESTONES IN THE FIELD OF GENE THERAPY
22	AND PAVED THE PATH FORWARD FOR OTHER RARE DISEASES.
23	THROUGH THE PROCESS, WE REALIZED THAT THE
24	ONLY WAY FOR THIS TREATMENT TO REACH ALL THE
25	CHILDREN, IT NEEDED TO BE APPROVED BY THE FDA. WE

1	DELAYED OUR CLIN2 APPLICATION TO CIRM BY SIX MONTHS
2	IN AN EFFORT TO ENSURE WE HAD A FORMAL PIVOTAL
3	APPROVAL FROM THE FDA, WHICH WE RECEIVED ON NOVEMBER
4	10TH. IT MUST BE NOTED THAT THIS IS NOT A TYPICAL
5	PATH FOR THE FDA, AND THE FLEXIBILITY AFFORDED TO US
6	IN AN EFFORT TO PROVIDE A TEMPLATE AND PATH FORWARD
7	FOR OTHERS TO ALLOW THE RARE DISEASE FIELD TO
8	CONTINUE. TO DATE NO INTRATHECAL GENE THERAPY HAS
9	MADE IT TO A PIVOTAL TRIAL. OUR PROGRAM WILL BE THE
10	FIRST.
11	THE GWG COMMITTEE HAD VARIED COMMENTS, BUT
12	OVERALL DENIED OUR APPLICATION. I WILL NOT GO INTO
13	THE FULL DETAILS AS WE PROVIDED A REPLY TO THE
14	COMMENTS. AND ALL THE COMMENTS ARE ADDRESSABLE
15	EXCEPT FOR ONE MAIN FACT. ONE OF THE CONCERNS
16	EXPRESSED BY THE REVIEWERS AROUND THE PRECLINICAL
17	STUDIES, BIODISTRIBUTION AND NUMBER OF CELLS
18	TRANSDUCED IN THE BRAIN AND THE ANIMAL STUDIES.
19	UNFORTUNATELY THIS REFLECTS THE LIMITATIONS OF GENE
20	THERAPY TECHNOLOGY AVAILABLE TO US TODAY AND ALL CNS
21	DISORDERS APPLYING FOR GENE THERAPY IN GENERAL.
22	DESPITE THIS, IN OUR HUMAN STUDIES THERE
23	IS EVIDENCE TO SUGGEST A POSITIVE TREATMENT
24	IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR THESE PATIENTS.
25	ONE REVIEWER'S COMMENTS HIGHLIGHTS WHY WE ARE

APPEALING THE GWG DECISION, AND I QUOTE. IF THIS
STUDY INCLUSIVE OF A MANUFACTURING PLAN AND CLINICAL
PROTOCOL IS ALLOWED TO PROCEED BY THE FDA, IT WOULD
PROVIDE A SIGNIFICANT INFORMATION NOT ONLY FOR THIS
INDICATION, BUT FOR OTHER RARE DISEASES CAUSED BY A
SINGLE GENE DEFECT. CIRM SUPPORT WOULD BENEFIT NOT
ONLY PATIENTS WITH THIS CONDITION, BUT ALL PATIENTS
WITH SIMILAR CONDITIONS.
THIS PROJECT DEFINITELY ADVANCES CIRM'S
MISSION. TO DATE WE HAVE ALL THE ELIGIBLE PATIENTS
IDENTIFIED, THE MAJORITY U.S. BASED, AND WE'LL BEGIN
MANUFACTURING NEXT WEEK. WE WILL BE TREATING
PATIENTS IN JULY ALL BASED ON THIS CRITICAL FUNDING.
IF WE REVOKE OUR APPLICATION AND REAPPLY IN SIX
MONTHS, WE'RE AT SIGNIFICANT RISK OF DELAYING THIS
PROGRAM BY 18 MONTHS AND CHILDREN WILL BE EXCLUDED.
MR. TOCHER: PLEASE WRAP UP.
MR. PIROVOLAKIS: ONE SECOND. I'M SORRY.
I IMPLORE THIS COMMITTEE TO PLEASE FUND OUR PROGRAM
AND PROVIDE US A PATH FORWARD TO ALLOW US TO SAVE
THESE CHILDREN. WITH YOUR SUPPORT, WE CAN CHANGE
THE LIVES OF THESE CHILDREN LIVING WITH THIS RARE
DISEASE. THANK YOU. SORRY FOR GOING OVER. I
APOLOGIZE.
MR. TOCHER: ADDITIONAL COMMENT ON THE
0.3

1	PHONE?
2	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. WE HAVE
3	A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC ON THE TELEPHONE. PLEASE
4	IDENTIFY YOURSELF.
5	DR GRAY: IS THAT DIRECTED TO ME? THIS
6	IS STEVEN GRAY.
7	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES, MR. GRAY, WE
8	CAN HEAR YOU. MAYBE SPEAK A LITTLE CLOSER TO YOUR
9	MICROPHONE.
10	DR. GRAY: THIS IS STEVEN GRAY. I'M
11	CALLING FROM UT SOUTHWESTERN MEDICAL CENTER. IT'S
12	MY LAB THAT CONDUCTED THE IND-ENABLING PRECLINICAL
13	STUDIES THAT SUPPORTED THE INITIAL CLINICAL TRIAL
14	FOR SPG50.
15	I JUST WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO AGAIN
16	THANK CIRM FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION, BUT ADDRESS
17	REALLY THE VARY SPECIFIC CONCERN THAT WAS RAISED BY
18	THE REVIEWERS OF A SKEPTICISM THAT THE, I GUESS, THE
19	PRECLINICAL DATA DOES NOT SUPPORT THE NOTION THAT
20	PATIENTS WOULD RECEIVE A BENEFIT. AND THAT CONCERN
21	WAS REALLY AROUND SOME BIODISTRIBUTION DATA THAT WAS
22	PROVIDED WHERE THE REVIEWERS CONCLUDED THAT THE
23	BIODISTRIBUTION WAS BASICALLY INSUFFICIENT TO
24	WARRANT ANY POSSIBILITY OF BENEFIT TO THE PATIENTS.
25	AND THAT CONCLUSION WAS DRAWN BY LOOKING AT

1	PRECLINICAL DATA SHOWING TRANSIENT RNA EXPRESSION
2	ACROSS THE BRAIN, AND THESE WERE NC2 HYBRIDIZATION
3	HISTOLOGY IMAGES. AND UNFORTUNATELY THE REVIEWERS
4	INCORRECTLY INTERPRETED THAT DATA TO THINK THAT ONLY
5	ONE IN 10,000 CELLS RECEIVED THE TRANSGENE. BUT
6	THEY BASICALLY INCORRECTLY READ THE Y AXIS OF THOSE
7	GRAPHS. AND THIS WAS PRETTY CLEARLY EXPLAINED IN
8	OUR PUBLICATION IN THE JOURNAL OF CLINICAL
9	INVESTIGATION. AND IN REALITY I THINK IT'S A MUCH
10	HIGHER NUMBER OF CELLS THAT ARE TRANSDUCED.
11	AND I THINK THAT A LOT OF THE CRITICISM
12	UNDERLYING THIS PROPOSAL WAS REALLY BASED ON, I
13	APOLOGIZE FOR SAYING THIS, BUT KIND OF FLAWED
14	EVALUATION OF THE PRECLINICAL DATA.
15	AND SO THE INVASIVE THE BACKGROUND OF
16	THIS IS THAT THE GENE TRANSFER APPROACH THAT'S BEING
17	USED IN THIS CLINICAL TRIAL FOR SPG50 MIRRORS AND
18	MIMICS KIND OF A LARGE BODY OF PRECLINICAL EVIDENCE
19	ACROSS A VARIETY OF DISEASES. I THINK THERE'S OVER
20	TWO DOZEN DISEASES THAT ARE USING A SIMILAR APPROACH
21	THAT ARE IN CLINICAL TRIALS NOW. AND THERE'S A
22	WEALTH OF PRECLINICAL DATA THAT'S PUBLISHED THAT'S
23	SHOWING FAIRLY SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNTS OF TRANSDUCTION
24	ACROSS THE BRAIN WITH THIS VECTOR, THIS ROUTE OF
25	DELIVERY, AND THIS DOSE.

1	SO THOSE ARE REALLY THE POINTS THAT I
2	WANTED TO RAISE TODAY TO, I GUESS, REQUEST THAT CIRM
3	CONSIDER ALLOWING ELPIDA TO REAPPLY FOR THIS WITHOUT
4	IT WAITING FOR SIX MONTHS. I THINK THAT THIS WAS A
5	FAIRLY EASY, BUT A SIMPLE MISTAKE THAT WAS MADE BY
6	THE REVIEWERS THAT REALLY CLOUDED, GREATLY CLOUDED
7	THEIR ENTHUSIASM FOR THE OVERALL PROPOSAL. I'LL END
8	THERE. THANK YOU.
9	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU FOR YOUR
10	COMMENT, DR. GRAY. GIL, DO YOU HAVE A COMMENT ON
11	THAT?
12	DR. MESSAHEL: I ALSO HAVE A COMMENT. CAN
13	YOU HEAR ME OKAY?
14	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: LET'S SEE.
15	MR. TOCHER: YES, WE CAN. PLEASE CONFINE
16	YOUR COMMENTS TO THREE MINUTES. THANK YOU.
17	DR. MESSAHEL: I'M SOUAD MESSAHEL FROM
18	ELPIDA THERAPEUTICS AND THE PI OF THE CLIN2 GRANT.
19	I'D LIKE TO PLAY A VOICE RECORDING FROM A PARENT
20	WITH CHILDREN WITH SPG50 DISEASE WHO HAVE ASKED ME
21	TO SHARE THIS WITH YOU TODAY. I HOPE YOU CAN HEAR
22	THIS.
23	HELLO, BOARD MEMBERS OF THE CALIFORNIA
24	INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. MY NAME IS
25	REBECCA LOCKERT, AND I AM MOM OF NAOMI AND JACK. I

1	SPEAK TO YOU TODAY TO HUMBLY REQUEST YOU PROVIDE			
2	ELPIDA WITH A GRANT TO CONTINUE THEIR WORK TREATING			
3	CHILDREN WITH SPG50 DISEASE.			
4	MY CHILDREN ARE WONDERFUL, HAPPY KIDS, AND			
5	IN MANY WAYS THEY ARE TYPICAL. NAOMI IS TWO AND A			
6	HALF AND LOVES CHICKEN NUGGETS AND NURSERY RHYMES.			
7	JACK IS SEVEN MONTHS OLD AND ENJOYS EATING SWEET			
8	POTATO AND HE LOVES ROLLING AROUND. BUT THEIR			
9	DIFFERENCES ARE NOTABLE BECAUSE OF THEIR SPG50			
10	DIAGNOSIS. NAOMI CANNOT WALK OR TALK AND IS JUST			
11	NOW LEARNING TO CRAWL. JACK WAS EVALUATED AT THREE			
12	MONTHS OLD FOR EARLY INTERVENTION THERAPIES, AND HE			
13	WAS ALREADY MONTHS BEHIND HIS PEERS.			
14	FOR A LONG TIME WE WONDERED WHY NAOMI			
15	WASN'T MEETING MILESTONES AS SHE CONTINUED TO LAG			
16	FURTHER BEHIND HER PEERS DESPITE NUMEROUS			
17	INTERVENTIONS. ON FRIDAY, MAY 12, 2023, AFTER MANY			
18	MONTHS OF TESTING AND EVALUATIONS, WE RECEIVED			
19	NAOMI'S GENETIC TEST RESULTS. AND I WILL NEVER			
20				
_	FORGET THE PANIC THAT SET IN WHILE I WAITED THE HOUR			
21	FORGET THE PANIC THAT SET IN WHILE I WAITED THE HOUR  AND A HALF FOR A NEUROLOGIST TO CALL AND EXPLAIN THE			
21	AND A HALF FOR A NEUROLOGIST TO CALL AND EXPLAIN THE			
21 22	AND A HALF FOR A NEUROLOGIST TO CALL AND EXPLAIN THE RESULTS.			
21 22 23	AND A HALF FOR A NEUROLOGIST TO CALL AND EXPLAIN THE RESULTS.  WHEN WE LEARNED THE SEVERITY OF THE			

1	AND OUR SON, SCHEDULED TO BE BORN IN EXACTLY FOUR
2	WEEKS, ALSO HAD A 25-PERCENT CHANCE OF BEING
3	AFFECTED. WE FOUND TERRY AND ELPIDA THAT VERY
4	NIGHT. WITHIN A DAY HE MADE TIME TO SPEAK TO US AND
5	HELP US CONNECT TO THE EXPERTS AND OTHER FAMILIES.
6	MORE IMPORTANTLY, HE AND ELPIDA PROVIDED HOPE FOR
7	US. WE SPENT MANY NIGHTS CRYING OURSELVES TO SLEEP
8	WITH THE THOUGHT OF WHAT WOULD HAPPEN TO OUR
9	BEAUTIFUL DAUGHTER. BUT THE HOPE ELPIDA GAVE US
10	HELPED US GET UP THE NEXT MORNING AND DO WHAT NEEDED
11	TO BE DONE. THERE WAS A TREATMENT THAT EXISTED. IT
12	WAS POSSIBLE FOR US TO CHANGE NAOMI'S LIFE.
13	AFTER JACK WAS BORN, WE SENT HIS DNA TEST
14	OFF IMMEDIATELY. FOR WEEKS WE AGONIZED OVER HIS
15	EVERY MOVEMENT, AND AT 27 DAYS OLD HE LANDED IN THE
16	CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL DUE TO FORMULA ASPIRATION. AS
17	WE WATCHED HIM STRAIN TO BREATHE EVEN WITH
18	SUPPLEMENTAL OXYGEN, HIS GENETIC TEST RESULTS WERE
19	RELEASED TO US. THE TEST WAS POSITIVE FOR SPG50.
20	I SAT SOBBING IN HIS HOSPITAL ROOM LETTING
21	FAMILY AND PROFESSIONALS KNOW THE DIAGNOSIS. TERRY
22	CALLED ME IMMEDIATELY AND AGAIN PROVIDED HOPE ON OUR
23	DARKEST DAY. ELPIDA WOULD DO EVERYTHING IN ITS
24	POWER TO TRY AND SAVE MY SON. AND THEY DID JUST
25	THAT.

1	THE TEAM AT ELPIDA MADE INCREDIBLE EFFORTS			
2	TO TREAT MY BABY. JACK RECEIVED THE GENE THERAPY			
3	TREATMENT ON DECEMBER 6TH, 2023, JUST A FEW DAYS SHY			
4	OF HIS SIX-MONTH BIRTHDAY. HE'S DOING FANTASTIC.			
5	WE SEE A DIFFERENCE ALREADY. FOR EXAMPLE, MOST			
6	BABIES SMILE AT PEOPLE BY FIVE WEEKS, BUT JACK WAS			
7	NEVER ABLE TO CONNECT WITH US ANY ON SOCIAL LEVEL			
8	BEFORE TREATMENT. NOW, INSTEAD OF LOOKING THROUGH			
9	US, HE SMILES AT US, HE TALKS TO US, AND HE			
10	INTERACTS WITH US. AS A MOM, I CAN'T TELL YOU HOW			
11	GOOD THAT FEELS.			
12	MR. TOCHER: EXCUSE ME. YOUR TIME IS UP.			
13	IS THIS ABOUT TO CONCLUDE? THE TIME LIMIT OF THREE			
14	MINUTES IS UP.			
15	DR. MESSAHEL: IT JUST WRAPPED UP. THANK			
16	YOU VERY MUCH FOR CONSIDERATION OF OUR APPEAL.			
17	THANK YOU.			
18	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK THE MEMBERS OF			
19	THE PUBLIC FOR THEIR COMMENTS. ARE THERE ANY			
20	OTHERS? YES, WE HAVE ANOTHER.			
21	DR. IANNACCONE: HELLO.			
22	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES, HELLO. WE CAN			
23	HEAR YOU. PLEASE SPEAK CLOSE TO YOUR MICROPHONE.			
24	THANK YOU.			
25	DR. IANNACCONE: THANK YOU SO MUCH. THIS			

1	IS SUSAN IANNACCONE, AND I'M A PEDIATRIC NEUROLOGIST
2	AT UT SOUTHWESTERN AND THE SITE PI FOR THIS PHASE 1
3	STUDY OF THAT SPG50. AND I JUST WANT TO GIVE YOU AN
4	UPDATE ON THIS PHASE 1 STUDY.
5	WE HAVE ENROLLED THREE PATIENTS. THE
6	FIRST PATIENT WAS FIVE YEARS OLD AT DOSING AND IS
7	COMING UP AT 12 MONTHS AFTER THIS INFUSION. PATIENT
8	TWO WAS THREE YEARS OLD AT THE TIME OF DOSING AND IS
9	NOW NINE MONTHS OUT FROM THAT TREATMENT. AND
10	PATIENT THREE, AS YOU JUST HEARD, WAS FIVE MONTHS
11	OLD AT DOSING AND IS NOW APPROACHING TWO MONTHS
12	AFTER THE TREATMENT. ALL OF THE PATIENTS ARE DOING
13	EXTREMELY WELL AT THIS TIME IN TERMS OF GENERAL
14	MEDICAL STATUS.
15	THE FIRST PATIENT HAD SOME DIFFICULTY WITH
16	EMESIS AFTER THE DOSING WHICH IS VERY COMMON AFTER
17	AAV9 AND SUBSEQUENTLY THE OTHER TWO PATIENTS DID
18	MUCH BETTER. THERE'S NO EVIDENCE OF OBJECTIVE
19	IMPROVEMENT IN NEUROLOGIC STATUS RIGHT NOW, BUT THE
20	CHILDREN ARE ALL STABLE FROM THE NEUROLOGIC
21	STANDPOINT. THANK YOU.
22	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, DOCTOR.
23	WE HAVE A BOARD MEMBER FISCHER-COLBRIE COMMENT.
24	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: I REALIZE THERE'S A
25	MOTION ON THE TABLE. WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT
	100

1	THE CONSIDERATION MIGHT BE TO PROVIDE A SCENARIO OF
2	ALLOWING FOR RESUBMISSION AND GOING IN EFFECT WITH A
3	SCORE OF 2 FOR THIS. I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE PROTOCOL
4	IS FOR PROVIDING THAT.
5	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GIL, CAN I ASK YOU
6	TO ELABORATE?
7	DR. SAMBRANO: SURE. SO THIS APPLICATION
8	HAD A SCORE OF 3. ALL APPLICANTS ARE ABLE TO
9	RESUBMIT AND ADDRESS CONCERNS THAT COME UP FROM THE
10	GRANTS WORKING GROUP. AND AS YOU KNOW, THE GRANTS
11	WORKING GROUP IS VERY KEEN ON UNDERSTANDING WHAT THE
12	DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A 1, 2, AND 3 IS. AND THEY'RE
13	VERY DELIBERATE IN TERMS OF HOW THEY APPROACH THAT.
14	OFTEN THEY SEE SOMETHING THAT A SCORE OF 2
15	IS SIMPLY NEEDING SIMPLE CLARIFICATION AND
16	IMPROVEMENT THAT CAN READILY COME BACK. I THINK IN
17	THIS PARTICULAR APPLICATION, THERE WERE A GROUP OF
18	FOUR THAT THOUGHT THAT MIGHT BE THE CASE, BUT WE HAD
19	TEN THAT THOUGHT OTHERWISE, THAT THERE WERE MORE
20	SIGNIFICANT CONCERNS THAT NEEDED TO BE ADDRESSED
21	
<b>Z T</b>	THAT WOULD PROBABLY NEED THE SIX-MONTH PERIOD BEFORE
	THAT WOULD PROBABLY NEED THE SIX-MONTH PERIOD BEFORE  THEY COULD COME BACK.
22	
22 23 24	THEY COULD COME BACK.
22 23	THEY COULD COME BACK.  SOME OF THOSE ARE RELATED TO THE

1	AND HEARING BACK FROM THE FDA ON SOME OF THE			
2	SPECIFIC ELEMENTS, SUCH AS THE CMC AND THE REDESIGN			
3	OF THEIR PLAN. SO CERTAINLY WE WOULD WELCOME THE			
4	APPLICANT TO REVISE THE APPLICATION AND RESUBMIT AT			
5	THE SIX-MONTH PERIOD AS WAS RECOMMENDED BY THE			
6	GRANTS WORKING GROUP.			
7	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, GIL.			
8	DR. MELMED: CAN YOU REPEAT			
9	MR. TOCHER: YOU HAVE A RECUSAL.			
10	DR. MELMED: I CAN'T ASK A QUESTION?			
11	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANKS ANYWAY.			
12	DAVID.			
13	DR. HIGGINS: I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR.			
14	THERE'S TWO SCENARIOS HERE. ONE IS THAT A MISTAKE			
15	WAS MADE, AND IT CAUSED A DIFFERENT INTERPRETATION			
16	OF DATA. THE OTHER IS THAT A MISTAKE WASN'T MADE,			
17	AND THE STATE OF THE CLINICAL TRIAL WAS APPROPRIATE.			
18	CAN YOU SORT OF ASSURE US THAT THERE WASN'T JUST			
19	SIMPLY A MISTAKE IN ONE PIECE OF DATA, THAT IF IT			
20	HAD BEEN INTERPRETED DIFFERENTLY OR FLIPPED OR			
21	WHATEVER, THAT IT WOULD RESULT IN MORE ONES, I			
22	GUESS?			
23	DR. SAMBRANO: SO I THINK AN IMPORTANT			
24	THING TO CONSIDER IS THAT THESE SCORES ARE NOT BASED			
25	ON ONE SINGULAR ITEM. SO IN THIS CASE IT WAS NOT			

1	SIMPLY THE PRECLINICAL DATA. BUT, NEVERTHELESS, THE			
2	WAY WE ENCOURAGE APPLICANTS TO DO THIS IS BY			
3	REVISING THEIR APPLICATION, ADDRESSING THE CONCERNS			
4	SO THAT THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP CAN SEE IT, AND			
5	CORRECT ANY MISINTERPRETATIONS OR PROVIDE ADDITIONAL			
6	INFORMATION THAT MAY CLARIFY WHAT IT IS THAT THEY			
7	MAY HAVE NOT SEEN THE WAY APPLICANTS WERE TRYING TO			
8	CONVEY.			
9	SO TO THE PROCESS OF HAVING A 3 SIMPLY			
10	MEANS THEY CAN REVISE, ADDRESS THOSE CONCERNS, AND			
11	COME BACK IN SIX MONTHS.			
12	DR. HIGGINS: AND THE SIX MONTHS IS NOT A			
13	DEADLINE. IT'S JUST			
14	DR. SAMBRANO: IT'S NOT A DEADLINE. IT'S			
15	JUST THE MINIMUM AMOUNT OF TIME BASED ON WHAT THE			
16	GRANTS WORKING GROUP THOUGHT THE APPLICATION NEEDS			
17	IN ORDER TO COME BACK.			
18	DR. HIGGINS: GREAT. THANKS, GIL.			
19	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, BOARD			
20	MEMBER HIGGINS. ANY OTHER COMMENT FROM BOARD			
21	MEMBERS?			
22	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: GIL, JUST AS A			
23	REMINDER FOR EVERYBODY, IF YOU CAN REMIND PEOPLE			
24	WHAT A 2 DOES IN TERMS OF RESUBMISSION AND			
25	REAPPLICATION AND THE TIME PERIOD.			

1	DR. SAMBRANO: SURE. FOR A SCORE OF 2, IT				
2	IS UP TO THE APPLICANT TO DETERMINE WHEN THEY ARE				
3	READY TO COME BACK. BUT TYPICALLY, IN TERMS OF HOW				
4	IT'S GIVEN BY THE GWG, THE ASSUMPTION IS THEY CAN				
5	COME BACK WITHIN ABOUT A TWO-MONTH PERIOD. AND SO				
6	WHAT WE DO, WE PROVIDE A SUMMARY AS YOU'VE SEEN,				
7	LIKE THIS, THAT PROVIDES ALL OF THE COMMENTS AND				
8	HIGHLIGHTS SPECIFICALLY THE CONCERNS THAT WERE				
9	RAISED BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP FOR THEM TO				
10	ADDRESS. WHAT COMES BACK FOR A 2 IS ESSENTIALLY THE				
11	SAME APPLICATION WITH REVISIONS ON IT AND				
12	CORRECTIONS AND A STATEMENT FOR WHAT IT IS THAT THEY				
13	DID.				
14	FOR A SCORE OF 3, THEY RESTART AN				
15	APPLICATION, BUT THEY SIMILARLY ADDRESS THE CONCERNS				
16	THAT ARE RAISED BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. AND				
17	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP HAS AN AWARENESS OF THE				
18	FACT THAT THIS CAME IN BEFORE.				
19	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. ANY				
20	OTHER BOARD MEMBERS WISH TO MAKE A COMMENT?				
21	DR. FLOWERS: I HAVE ALSO A CLARIFYING				
22	QUESTION. IF WE DO NOT VOTE IN FAVOR OF THE				
23	RECOMMENDATION TO NOT FUND THE GRANT, WHAT IS THE				
24	OUTCOME?				
25	DR. SAMBRANO: SO THEN THEY CAN COME BACK				
	104				
	104				

1	IN SIX MONTHS WITH A NEW APPLICATION.		
2	DR. FLOWERS: SO THAT MAKES ME FEEL LIKE		
3	EITHER WAY IT'S SIX MONTHS.		
4	DR. SAMBRANO: I'M SORRY. NO. WELL, IF		
5	YOU VOTE IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION, WHICH IS TO NOT		
6	FUND, THAT MEANS THAT THEY HAVE THE OPTION TO COME		
7	BACK IN SIX MONTHS BECAUSE THEY GOT A SCORE OF 3.		
8	DR. FLOWERS: WHAT IF WE VOTE AGAINST THE		
9	MOTION?		
10	MR. TOCHER: WE WOULD NEED ANOTHER MOTION.		
11	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. OKAY.		
12	DR. THOMAS: SO I HAVE A COUPLE OF		
13	COMMENTS. ONE IS I'LL PREFACE THIS BY SAYING I		
14	WASN'T ON BOARD WHEN THIS GWG WAS HELD. BUT I CAN		
15	REPRESENT, HAVING SAT IN ON VIRTUALLY EVERY ONE FOR		
16	THE 12 YEARS I WAS CHAIR, THAT THE LEVEL OF		
17	COMPETENCE OF THE REVIEWERS IS, IN GENERAL,		
18	EXTREMELY HIGH. AND IF YOU WERE TO LISTEN TO THE		
19	DEGREE OF DETAIL THAT THEY GIVE TO THE STUDY OF EACH		
20	OF THE APPLICATIONS AND THE COMMENTARY THEY GIVE AND		
21	THE EXPERTISE THEY BRING TO THE TABLE, GENERALLY		
22	SPEAKING, IT IS HARD TO BELIEVE THAT THEY MADE A		
23	FATAL MISTAKE IN THEIR EVALUATION OF SOMETHING. I'M		
24	NOT SAYING IT CAN'T HAPPEN, BUT I JUST WANTED TO LAY		
25	THAT OUT AS A GENERAL STATEMENT ABOUT THE GWG.		

1	ANOTHER THING, POINT I'D LIKE TO MAKE, AND
2	I COMPLETELY APPRECIATE THE CIRCUMSTANCES HERE THAT
3	THE FAMILY IS ENDURING, WHICH WE ALL FEEL TERRIBLE
4	ABOUT FOR SURE. BUT IN TERMS OF CIRM'S PROCESS, WE
5	HAVE THE SCORE OF 3 IS GIVEN FOR A REASON, AND
6	THIS WASN'T A CLOSE CALL ON THAT NUMBER, AND THAT IT
7	HAS NOT BEEN OUR PRACTICE THAT I'M AWARE OF TO EVER
8	HAVE TAKEN A TIER III RECOMMENDATION AND TURNED THAT
9	INTO ANYTHING BUT A TIER III RESULT, WHICH IS TO,
10	GIL, YOU CAN CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG ON THAT.
11	DR. SAMBRANO: YOU'RE CORRECT.
12	DR. THOMAS: AND THAT THE ASK HERE IS NOT
13	FOR APPROVAL, ALTHOUGH I'M SURE THAT WOULD BE
14	APPRECIATED, BUT FOR A HYBRID APPROACH, WHICH IS TO
15	COME BACK IN A LESSER TIME PERIOD, BUT TOO HAS NEVER
16	BEEN DONE PROCEDURALLY FOR ANY APPLICATION THAT
17	RECEIVED A TIER III.
18	SO JUST TO LET THE BOARD KNOW THAT AND
19	THEY HAVE A PROCESS CONCERN, THAT IF WE START DOING
20	THAT, THAT WILL OPEN UP THE FLOODGATES FOR A SERIES
21	OF TIER III APPEALS AND LIKE SUGGESTIONS, ET CETERA,
22	WHICH IS NOT SOMETHING THAT CONFORMS TO WHAT WE'VE
23	DONE HISTORICALLY. SO WITH ALL DUE RESPECT TO THE
24	TIMING HERE, AND, AGAIN, WITH GREAT APPRECIATION FOR
25	WHAT THE FAMILY IS DEALING WITH, I JUST WANTED THE

1	BOARD TO KNOW THOSE TWO POINTS.
2	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, DR.
3	THOMAS. I DON'T SEE ANY OTHER COMMENTS. I'M
4	GOING TO ASK SCOTT TO TAKE THE ROLL THEN.
5	DR. HIGGINS: MR. CHAIRMAN, ONE QUICK
6	COMMENT. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT TO SAY
7	PUBLICLY THAT I WAS NOT FOR A MOMENT CHALLENGING
8	YOUR COMPETENCE OR THE END PRODUCT THAT YOU GIVE.
9	WE RESPECT YOU BEYOND ANY STANDARD THAT ANYBODY ELSE
10	COULD STAND UP THERE AND MAKE.
11	DR. SAMBRANO: WE APPRECIATE THE
12	QUESTIONS, AND THAT'S PART OF THE PROCESS. AND SO
13	THEY'RE ALWAYS WELCOME. THANK YOU, DAVID.
14	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: SCOTT, BEFORE YOU
15	CALL THE ROLL, FOR REASONS SIMILAR TO THE LAST TIME,
16	I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO REPEAT THE MOTION AND WHAT
17	IT MEANS.
18	MR. TOCHER: THE MOTION IS TO ACCEPT THE
19	RECOMMENDATION OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP AND TO
20	NOT FUND THE APPLICATION AND NOT ALLOW RESUBMISSION
21	FOR SIX MONTHS. SO A YES VOTE IS TO FOLLOW THE
22	RECOMMENDATION AND ALLOW RESUBMISSION ONLY AFTER SIX
23	MONTHS.
24	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
25	NOW CALL THE ROLL. THANK YOU.

1		MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
2		DR. CLARK-HARVEY: YES.
3		MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE. YSABEL
4	DURON.	
5		MS. DURON: WITH HEAVY HEART, I SAY YES.
6		MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
7		MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
8		MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER.
9		DR. FISHER: YES.
10		MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
11		DR. FLOWERS: YES.
12		MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
13		DR. HIGGINS: YES.
14		MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
15		CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
16		MR. TOCHER: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
17		MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
18		MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
19		MR. LAJARA: YES.
20		MR. TOCHER: CHRIS MIASKOWSKI.
21		DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
22		MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
23		DR. PADILLA: YES.
24		MR. TOCHER: JOE PANETTA.
25		MR. PANETTA: YES.
		108

	2211 0.211111, 0.2 0011101. 202
1	MR. TOCHER: MARV SOUTHARD.
2	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
3	MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL.
4	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
5	MR. TOCHER: I'LL TRY ONE MORE TIME FOR
6	ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE. THANK YOU. THE MOTION CARRIES.
7	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, MR.
8	TOCHER. AND THANK YOU, BOARD MEMBERS, FOR WHAT WAS
9	A DIFFICULT CONVERSATION, I KNOW. I'D LIKE TO MOVE
10	TO
11	DR. THOMAS: MR. CHAIR, MAY I ADD A
12	COMMENT HERE? I'VE SORT OF CHOSEN THIS POINT IN THE
13	AGENDA TO MAKE AN FYI FOR THE BOARD, WHICH I THINK
14	YOU'LL APPRECIATE. SO WE'VE JUST HAD APPROVAL OF
15	SEVERAL APPLICATIONS, MOST OF WHICH HAPPENED TO BE
16	IN THE NEURO SPACE. WE HAD VERY RECENTLY AS THE
17	BOARD RECALLS, YOU ALL APPROVED A FUNDING PLAN FOR
18	NEUROPSYCH AT A RECENT BOARD MEETING. AND THAT WAS
19	SORT OF THE KICKOFF TO HOW WE'RE GOING TO GO ABOUT
20	DEPLOYING THE BILLION FIVE AUTHORIZED FOR NEURO
21	CONDITIONS IN PROP 14.
22	THAT, OF COURSE, ONCE THAT WAS APPROVED,
23	BEGGED THE QUESTION OF BECAUSE IT WAS A HUNDRED PLUS
24	MILLION DOLLARS ALLOCATED, HOW WERE WE GOING TO
25	ALLOCATE THE BALANCE OF THE 1.4 BILLION. AND SO

1	THAT WAS THE QUESTION SQUARELY BEFORE THE NEURO TASK
2	FORCE IN A RECENT MEETING THAT IT HAD IN WHICH
3	CHAIRMAN OF THE TASK FORCE, WHICH WAS LARRY, DID AN
4	EXPERT JOB IN GUIDING THE GROUP THROUGH A DISCUSSION
5	WHICH CONTEMPLATED A FUNDING PLAN FOR NOT JUST
6	ADDITIONAL NEUROPSYCH PROJECTS, BUT AS WELL FOR
7	NEURODEGENERATIVE PROJECTS AND NEURO-INJURY
8	PROJECTS.
9	AND THE UPSHOT OF THE DISCUSSION WAS A
10	GAME PLAN FOR HOW TO PROCEED COMPREHENSIVELY GOING
11	FORWARD TO DEPLOY THAT REMAINING FUNDING WE HAVE FOR
12	THAT VERY IMPORTANT SET OF CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN
13	THE PROPOSITION.
14	SO THIS WAS A DIDN'T WANT TO LET THIS
15	GO WITHOUT MENTIONING THIS. THIS IS A MAJOR
16	DEVELOPMENT FOR CIRM TO NOW HAVE THIS COMPREHENSIVE
17	GAME PLAN. AND I WOULD LIKE TO SINGLE OUT ROSA
18	SPECIFICALLY WHO WAS THE, ROSA AND HER TEAM, WHO
19	DEVELOPED A VERY COMPREHENSIVE BACKGROUND PIECE THAT
20	WAS THE UNDERPINNING OF THE ENTIRE NEURO TASK FORCE
21	DISCUSSION THAT WE HAD. AND JUST TO COMMEND ROSA
22	FOR HER EXCELLENT WORK AND HER TEAM IN DOING THAT.
23	SO DIDN'T WANT TO LET THIS OPPORTUNITY PASS. I
24	THOUGHT, AFTER HAVING ENTERTAINED THESE NEURO
25	APPLICATIONS, IT WAS A GOOD TIME TO INFORM THE

1	BOARD. SO THANK YOU.
2	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, J.T., FOR
3	YOUR COMMENTS. AND, GIL, THANK YOU AND YOUR WHOLE
4	TEAM FOR ALL THE WORK ON THE PRESENTATION.
5	APPRECIATE IT.
6	I'D LIKE INVITE TO THE PODIUM OUR
7	FINANCIAL OFFICER, POUNEH SIMPSON FOR A DISCUSSION
8	OF THE FINANCIAL AUDIT. THIS IS AGENDA ITEM 15.
9	MS. SIMPSON: MR. CHAIR, MADAM VICE CHAIR,
10	AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. I'M POUNEH SIMPSON,
11	SENIOR DIRECTOR OF FINANCE. THANK YOU FOR GIVING ME
12	AN OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT THE FISCAL YEAR 21/22
13	FINANCIAL AUDIT TODAY.
14	AS BACKGROUND, STATE AGENCIES ARE REQUIRED
15	TO PROVIDE THEIR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS TO THE STATE
16	CONTROLLER'S OFFICE EVERY YEAR AND THEY'RE DONE.
17	CIRM HAS ADDITIONAL LAYERS OF AUDITING AND REVIEW.
18	SPECIFICALLY, PROP 71 AND PROP 14 REQUIRE THAT CIRM
19	HAVE AN ADDITIONAL FINANCIAL AUDIT PERFORMED BY AN
20	INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTING FIRM BEFORE IT IS AUDITED BY
20	INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTING FIRM BEFORE IT IS AUDITED BY THE STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE. THIS MAKES CIRM ONE
21	THE STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE. THIS MAKES CIRM ONE
21	THE STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE. THIS MAKES CIRM ONE OF THE MOST AUDITED STATE AGENCIES IN THE STATE OF
21 22 23	THE STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE. THIS MAKES CIRM ONE OF THE MOST AUDITED STATE AGENCIES IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

1	BEFORE YOU. THE AUDIT LOOKS AT OUR PERFORMANCE WITH
2	RESPECT TO OUR MANDATE AND MISSION. SO I WILL START
3	BY READING OUR MISSION. ACCELERATING WORLD-CLASS
4	SCIENCE TO DELIVER TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE
5	MEDICINE TREATMENTS IN AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A
6	DIVERSE CALIFORNIA AND WORLD.
7	TO PROVIDE SOME CONTEXT WITH REGARDS TO
8	THIS AUDIT, I WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT PROP 14
9	WAS ON THE BALLOT IN NOVEMBER OF 2020. SO IN
10	JANUARY OF 2021, CIRM HAD A SIX-MONTH RELAUNCH.
11	DURING THAT RELAUNCH, WE SOLD OUR VERY FIRST PROP 14
12	BOND, WHICH WAS AN INFLUX OF REVENUE THAT WAS
13	REPRESENTED IN OUR FINANCIAL AUDIT THAT WAS BEFORE
14	YOU LAST YEAR. BECAUSE IT WAS A SHORT SIX-MONTH
15	RELAUNCH, WE DIDN'T SPEND ALL THE REVENUE AVAILABLE
16	TO US.
17	SO WITH REGARDS TO THE AUDIT THAT'S BEFORE
18	YOU TODAY, WHICH IS FISCAL YEAR 21/22 ENDING IN JUNE
19	30TH OF '22, WE HAD REVENUES CARRIED FORWARD FROM
20	THE PRIOR YEAR. THEREFORE, NO ADDITIONAL BONDS WERE
21	SOLD. BUT WE HAD EXPENDITURES OF \$138 MILLION
22	APPROXIMATELY THAT ARE REFLECTED IN THE AUDIT BEFORE
23	YOU. THIS LEAVES A NET BALANCE OF NEGATIVE 90
24	MILLION BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE REVENUE COMING IN.
25	WE HAD THE CARRY-OVER.

1	THIS IS SOMETHING THAT IRONS OUT OVER THE
2	YEARS AS THE INFLOW OF REVENUE AND THE OUTPUT OF
3	EXPENDITURES EVENS OUT WITH OUR PROGRAM. AND YOU
4	WILL SEE THAT IN FUTURE FISCAL AUDITS.
5	I WANTED TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE
6	AUDIT AND WHAT IT WAS LOOKING AT. IT WAS TESTING
7	THE FAIR REPRESENTATION OF OUR FINANCIAL DATA AND
8	LOOKING AT OUR INTERNAL CONTROLS TO ENSURE THAT WE
9	WERE NOT MISREPRESENTING ANY FINANCIAL DATA DUE TO
10	ERROR OR FRAUD.
11	I'M HAPPY TO REPORT THAT, ONCE AGAIN,
12	THERE WERE NO AUDIT FINDINGS IN OUR FINANCIAL AUDIT.
13	THE AUDIT WAS CERTIFIED BY THE STATE CONTROLLER'S
14	OFFICE SUBSEQUENT TO THE COMPLETION BY OUR
15	INDEPENDENT AUDITING FIRM. OF SIGNIFICANT NOTE IS
16	THAT IN THIS AUDIT YOU SEE THE FIRST LARGE INCREASE
17	IN REVENUE TO FUND 1031, WHICH IS OUR PATIENT
18	SUPPORT FUND. THIS IS THE VEHICLE BY WHICH WE'RE
19	FUNDING THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM THAT YOU'VE
20	HEARD SO MUCH ABOUT.
21	WE HAVE CRAIG HARNER, THE ASSURANCE
22	PARTNER WITH MGO, WITH US TODAY WHO WILL GO OVER IN
23	DETAIL THE FINANCIAL AUDIT AND ANSWER YOUR
24	QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.
25	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, POUNEH.
	112

1	I PRESUME THE PRESENTATION IS COMING IN REMOTELY,
2	YES?
3	MR. HARNER: YEAH. THIS IS CRAIG. I'M
4	HERE REMOTE. SO I'LL SHARE MY SCREEN AND BRING UP
5	THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. THANK YOU FOR THAT
6	INTRODUCTION, POUNEH. AND THANK YOU TO THE MEMBERS
7	OF THE ICOC FOR ALLOWING US TO PRESENT THE RESULTS
8	OF OUR AUDIT TODAY.
9	POUNEH SUMMED UP THE SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF
10	THE AUDIT VERY WELL. AS SHE NOTED, CIRM IS ONE OF
11	THE MOST, IF NOT THE MOST AUDITED ENTITY IN THE
12	WHOLE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. AND ON TOP OF THE AUDIT
13	THAT THE STATE CONTROLLER DOES AND THAT WE DO, AFTER
14	WE ISSUE OUR AUDIT REPORTS, JUST SO THE ICOC IS
15	AWARE, THE STATE CONTROLLER THEN COMES IN AND
16	REVIEWS OUR AUDIT AND OUR AUDIT WORKPAPERS AND MAKE
17	SURE THAT WE PERFORMED THE AUDIT IN ACCORDANCE WITH
18	THE APPROPRIATE AUDITING STANDARDS AND CALIFORNIA
19	LAW, REGULATIONS, AND CONTRACTS. SO THERE'S ANOTHER
20	LAYER ON TOP OF THIS AS WELL.
21	SO POUNEH MENTIONED WE PERFORMED THE AUDIT
22	FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022. AND I
23	HAVE AND AS PART OF OUR AUDIT, WE ISSUE THREE
24	REPORTS, AND THOSE THREE REPORTS ARE CONTAINED IN
25	TWO DOCUMENTS WHICH I'LL GO OVER WITH YOU TODAY.

1	THE FIRST DOCUMENT I HAVE AND SHARING ON
2	THE SCREEN CONTAINS OUR INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S
3	REPORT, IT CONTAINS THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, AND
4	THEN ALSO A SECTION CALLED MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND
5	ANALYSIS. AND WHAT THIS IS IS MEANT TO BE READ IN
6	CONJUNCTION WITH THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS THAT
7	MANAGEMENT PREPARES. THEY GO THROUGH AND THEY
8	EXPLAIN ANY SIGNIFICANT CHANGES YEAR OVER YEAR.
9	SO THE VERY FIRST SECTION OF THE FINANCIAL
10	STATEMENTS CONTAINS OUR INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S
11	REPORT. AND THE FIRST SECTION HERE CONTAINS OUR
12	AUDIT OPINION. AND SO WE'RE HAPPY TO REPORT THAT WE
13	ISSUED AN UNMODIFIED OPINION ON CIRM'S FINANCIAL
<b>L</b> 4	STATEMENTS. AND, AGAIN, UNMODIFIED OPINION IS THE
15	HIGHEST LEVEL OF ASSURANCE THAT AN INDEPENDENT
16	AUDITOR CAN GIVE AN ORGANIZATION REGARDING THE FAIR
17	PRESENTATION OF THEIR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.
18	AS WE GO DOWN, WE PROVIDE OUR BASIS FOR
19	OUR OPINIONS, WHICH IS HOW WE'RE ABLE TO CONCLUDE
20	THAT WE CAN SUPPORT AN UNMODIFIED OPINION. AND WE
21	TALK ABOUT HOW WE PERFORMED THE AUDIT IN ACCORDANCE
22	WITH THE GENERALLY ACCEPTED AUDITING STANDARDS AND
23	ALSO GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS. THAT ADDS AN
24	ADDITIONAL LAYER ONTO THE SCOPE OF WORK WE HAVE TO
25	DO, AND THERE'S A SPECIAL REPORT FOR THAT WHICH I'LL
	44-

1	GET TO IN A FEW MINUTES.
2	THERE'S ANOTHER REPORT THAT GOES THROUGH
3	THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF MANAGEMENT, WHICH POUNEH
4	SUMMED UP VERY NICELY, AND THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF
5	THE AUDITING FIRM. AND SO THIS GOES THROUGH ALL OUR
6	REQUIREMENTS THAT WE HAVE TO DO IN PERFORMING AN
7	AUDIT. WE HAVE TO EXERCISE PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT,
8	MAINTAIN PROFESSIONAL SKEPTICISM THROUGHOUT THE
9	AUDIT, WHICH MEANS WE'RE CONSTANTLY ASKING QUESTIONS
10	AND THE LIKE. WE ALSO HAVE TO MAINTAIN AND REMAIN
11	INDEPENDENT OF MANAGEMENT AND THE ENTITY. AND THEN
12	ALSO WE EVALUATE THE APPROPRIATENESS OF ALL THE
13	ACCOUNTING POLICIES THAT ARE USED BY CIRM, ANY
14	SIGNIFICANT JUDGMENTS OR ESTIMATES MADE BY
15	MANAGEMENT, AND THEN WHETHER THERE'S ANY CONDITIONS
16	OR EVENTS THAT CAUSE US TO BE BELIEVE THAT THERE'S A
17	SUBSTANTIAL DOUBT ABOUT A GOING CONCERN, WHICH THERE
18	WERE NOT.
19	AND THEN THE LAST PAGE OF OUR INDEPENDENT
20	AUDITOR'S REPORT IS WHERE WE SIGN AND WE DATED THE
21	REPORT. YOU WILL SEE THAT WE ISSUED OUR REPORT ON
22	NOVEMBER 4, 2022, AND, AGAIN, WE ISSUED A MODIFIED
23	OPINION.
24	THE NEXT SECTION IS THIS ND&A. THIS
25	SECTION IS NOT, YOU WILL NOTICE, IT'S NOT AUDITED,

1	IT'S UNAUDITED; HOWEVER, WE DO READ THROUGH IT AND
2	MAKE SURE THERE'S NO MATERIAL INCONSISTENCIES WITH
3	ANY OF THE NUMBERS AND AMOUNTS AND DISCUSSIONS WITH
4	THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS THEMSELVES, AND WE MAKE
5	SURE THAT MANAGEMENT'S EXPLANATIONS MAKE SENSE.
6	THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS THEMSELVES ARE
7	WHAT WE AUDIT. WE HAVE THE BALANCE SHEET WHICH
8	CONTAINS WHAT'S CALLED THE STEM CELL FUND AND WHAT
9	WE CALL STATEMENT OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES, WHICH
10	IN THIS CASE IS JUST MADE UP OF ONE FUND, THE STEM
11	CELL FUND.
12	AND THEN THE SECOND PAGE IS THE INCOME
13	STATEMENT, WHICH IS A STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND
14	THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES
15	IN FUND BALANCES. THIS SHOWS THE CHANGES FOR THE
16	YEAR, THE REVENUES THAT CAME IN, AND THE
17	EXPENDITURES THAT WERE MADE.
18	AND THEN THE FINAL SECTION THAT THE AUDIT
19	CONTAINS IS NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. SO
20	THESE CONTAIN MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE BALANCES
21	THAT ARE IN THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS THEMSELVES AND
22	THE ACCOUNTING POLICIES THAT ARE USED.
23	THIS YEAR, BECAUSE OF THE AFOREMENTIONED
24	PROP 14 PASSING AND THE NEW FUND CREATED FOR THE
25	2020 OR PROP 14 EXPENDITURES AND BOND MONIES, WE

1	RECOMMENDED THAT MANAGEMENT INCLUDE WHAT'S CALLED A
2	COMBINING STATEMENT AS SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.
3	THE PURPOSE OF THIS IS JUST TO PROVIDE MORE DETAILED
4	INFORMATION ABOUT THE TOTAL STEM CELL FUND AND
5	WHAT'S MADE OF IT.
6	SO YOU CAN SEE IT'S BEEN BROKEN OUT INTO
7	THREE SUBFUNDS, IF YOU WILL. THE FIRST ONE WAS THE
8	STEM CELL FUND OF 2004, WHICH IS THE PROP 71 FUND,
9	AND THE NEW STEM CELL FUND OF 2020, WHICH IS THE NEW
LO	PROP 14 FUND, AND ALSO THE LICENSING AND ROYALTIES
L1	FUND WHICH IS FOR THE PATIENT SERVICES.
L2	AND SO WHEN WE ADD UP THESE THREE FUNDS
L3	HERE, WE GET TO THE TOTAL STEM CELL FUND THAT WAS
L4	PRESENTED ON THE BALANCE SHEET EARLIER. AS WELL AS
L5	THE SAME WITH THE INCOME STATEMENT, IT SHOWS THE
L6	DIFFERENT REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES BROKEN OUT BY
L7	EACH OF THE DIFFERENT FUNDS. WHAT WE'LL START
L8	EXPECTING IS THAT AS THE PROP 71 FUNDS WIND DOWN,
L9	THESE EXPENDITURES AND THE MONIES COMING IN WILL
20	START TO DIMINISH OVER THE NEXT FEW YEARS WHILE THE
21	ACTIVITIES IN THE STEM CELL FUND OF 2020 OR PROP 14
22	FUNDS WILL START TO INCREASE SUBSTANTIALLY.
23	THE LAST REPORT IN THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT
24	DOCUMENT IS WHAT WE CALL OUR INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S
25	REPORT OVER INTERNAL CONTROLS AND ON COMPLIANCE WITH

1	OTHER MATTERS WHERE WE PERFORM AN AUDIT IN
2	ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS. SO
3	AS I MENTIONED, THE GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS
4	ADDS AN ADDITIONAL LAYER OF THINGS THAT WE HAVE TO
5	DO AS PART OF OUR AUDIT. ONE IS OBTAIN AN
6	UNDERSTANDING OF CIRM'S INTERNAL CONTROLS. AND
7	WHILE WE DON'T PROVIDE ANY OPINION ON THE INTERNAL
8	CONTROLS IN PLACE, IF WE DO COME ACROSS ANY INTERNAL
9	CONTROLS DEFICIENCIES THAT RISE TO A SIGNIFICANT
10	DEFICIENCY LEVEL OR MATERIAL WEAKNESS LEVEL, WE'RE
11	REQUIRED TO REPORT THAT TO THE ICOC IN THIS LETTER.
12	AND WE'RE HAPPY TO REPORT, AS POUNEH MENTIONED, THAT
13	THERE WERE NO INTERNAL CONTROL DEFICIENCIES TO BE
14	REPORTED.
15	AND THEN THE LAST SECTION DEALS WITH
16	COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS, REGULATIONS, CONTRACTS AND
17	GRANT AGREEMENTS. SO AS PART OF OUR AUDIT WE
17 18	GRANT AGREEMENTS. SO AS PART OF OUR AUDIT WE CONSIDER THE LAWS, REGULATIONS, ANY CONTRACTS, GRANT
18	CONSIDER THE LAWS, REGULATIONS, ANY CONTRACTS, GRANT
18 19	CONSIDER THE LAWS, REGULATIONS, ANY CONTRACTS, GRANT AGREEMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A MATERIAL IMPACT ON THE
18 19 20	CONSIDER THE LAWS, REGULATIONS, ANY CONTRACTS, GRANT AGREEMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A MATERIAL IMPACT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IF THERE WERE NONCOMPLIANCE.
18 19 20 21	CONSIDER THE LAWS, REGULATIONS, ANY CONTRACTS, GRANT AGREEMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A MATERIAL IMPACT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IF THERE WERE NONCOMPLIANCE.  SO, FOR EXAMPLE, WHAT THE TWO BIGGER AREAS WE TEND
18 19 20 21 22	CONSIDER THE LAWS, REGULATIONS, ANY CONTRACTS, GRANT AGREEMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A MATERIAL IMPACT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IF THERE WERE NONCOMPLIANCE.  SO, FOR EXAMPLE, WHAT THE TWO BIGGER AREAS WE TEND TO LOOK AT ARE THE TWO LAWS THAT CREATED CIRM AND
18 19 20 21 22 23	CONSIDER THE LAWS, REGULATIONS, ANY CONTRACTS, GRANT AGREEMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A MATERIAL IMPACT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IF THERE WERE NONCOMPLIANCE.  SO, FOR EXAMPLE, WHAT THE TWO BIGGER AREAS WE TEND TO LOOK AT ARE THE TWO LAWS THAT CREATED CIRM AND WHAT THOSE GRANT EXPENDITURES CAN BE USED FOR. SO

1	THERE WERE NO SUCH INSTANCES OF NONCOMPLIANCE THAT
2	WOULD BE REQUIRED TO REPORT HERE.
3	AND OUR LAST DOCUMENT THAT WE ISSUE IS A
4	REPORT DIRECTED TO THE INDEPENDENT CITIZENS
5	OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OR THE ICOC. WE CALL THIS
6	IT'S KNOWN AS SAS 14 LETTER, WHICH IS THE AUDITING
7	STANDARDS THAT'S REQUIRED AT THE END OF ANY AUDIT.
8	THE AUDITOR PROVIDES A SUMMARY TO THOSE CHARGED WITH
9	GOVERNANCE. SO WHAT THIS REPORT DOES, IT SUMMARIZES
10	BASICALLY WHAT HAPPENED DURING THE AUDIT. IT TALKS
11	ABOUT, AGAIN, OUR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE FINANCIAL
12	STATEMENT AUDIT, OUR PLAN, SCOPE, AND TIMING, AND
13	THEN WE MENTION AGAIN THAT WE'VE COMPLIED AS A FIRM
14	WITH ALL OF THE RELEVANT ETHICAL REQUIREMENTS AND
15	INDEPENDENCE REGULATIONS THAT WE ARE REQUIRED TO
16	FOLLOW.
17	WE MAKE A REFERENCE TO CERTAIN QUALITATIVE
18	ASPECTS OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES.
19	MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBLE TO SELECT AND USE THE
20	APPROPRIATE ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND THEN PROVIDE
21	NOTE DISCLOSURES ABOUT THOSE. SO A SUMMARY OF THE
22	SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES IS THE FIRST NOTE OR
23	NOTE TWO IN THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. AND FOR THE
24	FISCAL YEAR 2022, THERE WERE NO NEW ACCOUNTING
25	POLICIES IMPLEMENTED AND THERE WERE NO CHANGES TO
	120

1	EXISTING POLICIES DURING THE YEAR. AND THEN MORE
2	IMPORTANTLY, THERE WERE NO MATTERS THAT CAME TO OUR
3	ATTENTION, THAT MANAGEMENT IS USING CONTROVERSIAL
4	POLICIES. EVERYTHING WAS IN ACCORDANCE WITH WHAT WE
5	CALL GAP.
6	ALSO, WE HAD NO DIFFICULTIES WITH
7	MANAGEMENT IN RELATION TO THE PERFORMANCE OF THE
8	AUDIT, AND THEY WERE RESPONSIVE TO US, PROVIDING US
9	WITH THE INFORMATION THAT WE ASKED FOR AND
10	RESPONDING TO ALL OF OUR INQUIRIES. WE DIDN'T HAVE
11	ANY DISAGREEMENTS WITH THEM. AND ALSO, WE DIDN'T
12	HAVE ANY UNCORRECTED MISSTATEMENTS OR ANY CORRECTED
13	MATERIAL MISSTATEMENTS. SO WITH THAT, I'M HAPPY TO
14	ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.
15	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MR. HARNER, THANK
16	YOU VERY, VERY MUCH FOR YOUR PRESENTATION AND YOUR
17	GOOD WORK ON THIS REPORT. DO BOARD MEMBERS HAVE ANY
18	QUESTIONS OF OUR AUDITOR OR COMMENTS? MR.
19	FISCHER-COLBRIE.
20	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: FIRST OF ALL,
21	COMMENT. OUTSTANDING REPORT, JUST FANTASTIC ACROSS
22	THE BOARD. SO KUDOS TO EVERYBODY WITH RESPECT TO
23	THAT. UNBELIEVABLE, GREAT.
24	AND JUST WANT TO CONFIRM TYPICAL TIMING
25	FOR THE CYCLE BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF LAYERS TO AN

1	AUDIT AS WAS DESCRIBED. OF COURSE, THERE'S THE
2	CONSIDERATION FOR NOT JUST THE AUDIT, BUT THE STATE
3	CONTROLLER REVIEW OF THE AUDIT. THERE'S ALSO A
4	FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE THAT NEEDS TO REVIEW
5	ACTIVITIES. I JUST WANT TO GET CONFIRMATION THAT
6	THIS IS A TYPICAL TIMING PATTERN RELATED TO THE
7	RELEASE OF THE REPORT TO THE BOARD AND RECONFIRM
8	THAT.
9	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. I THINK
10	THAT WOULD BE A QUESTION FOR POUNEH SIMPSON.
11	MS. SIMPSON: THANK YOU FOR THAT QUESTION.
12	SO TYPICALLY IT'S A YEAR CYCLE. BUT BECAUSE OF THE
13	EFFECTS OF THE PANDEMIC AND SOME OF THE BACKLOG THAT
14	WAS CREATED AT THE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE, THE CYCLE
15	TOOK A LITTLE BIT LONGER. SO YOU'RE NOW SEEING THE
16	21/22 AUDIT, WHICH IS NOW TWO YEARS BEHIND US.
17	WE'RE HOPING THAT THAT BACKLOG IS REDUCED
18	IN FUTURE YEARS AND THAT WE CAN BRING THE AUDITS TO
19	YOU QUICKER.
20	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: THANK YOU.
21	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, POUNEH.
22	ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS OR QUESTIONS
23	TO OUR AUDITOR? I DO NOT SEE ANY. OKAY.
24	SO AT THIS HAPPY MOMENT, WE'RE GOING TO
25	TAKE A RECESS FOR LUNCH. AND I WOULD LUNCH IS IN

1	THE ROOM RIGHT BEHIND ME. AND CAN I ASK ALL THE
2	BOARD MEMBERS TO PLEASE RETURN SO WE CAN RECONVENE
3	20 MINUTES TO ONE. THAT'S 12:40 P.M.
4	(A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
5	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. LADIES AND
6	GENTLEMEN OF THE BOARD, WE'VE COME BACK FROM OUR
7	RECESS. AND WE HAVE REACHED THE POINT OF AGENDA
8	ITEM NO. 14, THE DISCUSSION OF OUR PERFORMANCE
9	AUDIT. AND WE HAVE WHERE ARE TAMMY WHO'S
10	PRESENTING? TERRY. AND SHE'S DOING THIS FROM THE
11	PODIUM.
12	MS. LOHR: GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYONE.
13	THANK YOU FOR HAVING ME. I'M DELIGHTED TO BE HERE
14	IN THE FLESH. WE'RE GOING TO GO AHEAD AND GET
15	STARTED WITH THE 2023 PERFORMANCE AUDIT RESULTS. MY
16	NAME IS TAMMY LOHR. I'M A SENIOR MANAGER WITH
17	MOSS-ADAMS. I HAVE BEEN WORKING ON CIRM'S
18	PERFORMANCE AUDITS FOR THE LAST THREE AUDITS THAT
19	YOU HAD. AND I'M DELIGHTED TO BE HERE TODAY TO TALK
20	A LITTLE BIT ABOUT OUR RESULTS AND COMMENDATIONS.
21	SO TODAY I'LL COVER OUR SCOPE AND
22	METHODOLOGY, COMMENDATIONS, AND OUR PERFORMANCE
23	AUDIT RESULTS. AS A BRIEF REMINDER, CIRM IS
24	REQUIRED BY PROPOSITION 14 TO CONDUCT A TRIENNIAL
25	PERFORMANCE AUDIT TO ENSURE THAT YOUR OPERATIONS

1	COMPLY WITH THE PROPOSITION LANGUAGE. SO IN ORDER
2	TO DO THAT, WE TAKE A LOOK AT HOW CIRM'S POLICIES
3	AND PROCEDURES COMPLY WITH THE PROPOSITION LANGUAGE,
4	HOW CIRM IS ACTUALLY OPERATIONALIZING AND FOLLOWING
5	THESE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES. AND THEN WE ALSO
6	IDENTIFY OPPORTUNITIES TO INCREASE THE EFFICIENCY
7	AND EFFECTIVENESS OF OPERATIONS, LOOKING AT THE
8	UTILIZATION OF YOUR AVAILABLE RESOURCES.
9	TO DO THAT, WE PERFORM INTERVIEWS WITH
10	STAFF AND LEADERSHIP ACROSS CIRM. MANY BOARD
11	MEMBERS WERE INTERVIEWED AS PART OF THIS PROCESS.
12	WE ALSO TAKE A LOOK AT A LARGE VOLUME OF DOCUMENTS,
13	INCLUDING YOUR POLICIES AND PROCEDURES, REPORTS,
14	GUIDES, AND OTHER INFORMATION. WE PERFORM A PROCESS
15	WALK-THROUGH WITH YOUR GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TO
16	UNDERSTAND THE INS AND OUTS OF THAT SYSTEM AND
17	PROCESSES. AND THEN IN THIS YEAR'S PERFORMANCE
18	AUDIT, WE CONDUCTED DETAILED TESTING. SO WE LOOKED
19	AT 25 GRANTS, 20 GRANT APPLICATIONS AND REVIEWS, AND
20	35 CONTRACTS TO EVALUATE FOR COMPLIANCE.
21	I WANT TO START OFF BY SHARING SOME OF THE
22	GREAT NEWS THAT WE OBSERVED FROM CIRM OVER THE
23	COURSE OF OUR AUDIT. SO ONE OF THE HALLMARKS OF
24	WORKING WITH AUDIT IS THAT YOU HAVE VERY RESILIENT
25	AND MISSION-DRIVEN EMPLOYEES. WE'VE ALSO FOUND THAT

1	THROUGHOUT THE AUDIT PERIOD THERE WAS AN INCREASED
2	FOCUS ON INCREASING THE OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS OF
3	THE ORGANIZATION. SO WE SAW ENHANCED PROJECT
4	MANAGEMENT SUPPORT, SOME INTERNAL SERVICE DEPARTMENT
5	RESTRUCTURING, AS WELL AS AUTOMATION AND REPORTING
6	PROCESSES.
7	CIRM HAS ALSO INCREASED ITS EMPHASIS ON
8	DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION PRACTICES. THAT
9	WAS GOING ON PRIOR TO THE PROPOSITION EVEN THOUGH
10	PROPOSITION 14 HAS SOME REQUIREMENTS IN THERE ABOUT
11	THE DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION PRACTICES. AND
12	WE ALSO NOTED VERY STRONG GRANT MANAGEMENT
13	PRACTICES.
14	SO THIS PERFORMANCE AUDIT HAS ISSUED
15	FINDINGS, BUT THERE ARE NO COMPLIANCE FINDINGS. AND
16	POUNEH WAS HERE PRESENTING ON A FINANCIAL AUDIT
17	REPORT WHERE FINDINGS ARE A BAD THING TO HAVE IN THE
18	EVENT OF A PERFORMANCE AUDIT. IT JUST INDICATES
18 19	
	EVENT OF A PERFORMANCE AUDIT. IT JUST INDICATES
19	EVENT OF A PERFORMANCE AUDIT. IT JUST INDICATES THAT THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR IMPROVEMENT.
19 20	EVENT OF A PERFORMANCE AUDIT. IT JUST INDICATES  THAT THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR IMPROVEMENT.  SO I'LL BE COVERING OUR PERFORMANCE AUDIT
19 20 21	EVENT OF A PERFORMANCE AUDIT. IT JUST INDICATES  THAT THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR IMPROVEMENT.  SO I'LL BE COVERING OUR PERFORMANCE AUDIT  RESULTS. WE HAVE 13 FINDINGS IN THIS YEAR'S
19 20 21 22	EVENT OF A PERFORMANCE AUDIT. IT JUST INDICATES  THAT THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR IMPROVEMENT.  SO I'LL BE COVERING OUR PERFORMANCE AUDIT  RESULTS. WE HAVE 13 FINDINGS IN THIS YEAR'S  PERFORMANCE AUDIT. SO THOSE FALL UNDER THE
19 20 21 22 23	EVENT OF A PERFORMANCE AUDIT. IT JUST INDICATES  THAT THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR IMPROVEMENT.  SO I'LL BE COVERING OUR PERFORMANCE AUDIT  RESULTS. WE HAVE 13 FINDINGS IN THIS YEAR'S  PERFORMANCE AUDIT. SO THOSE FALL UNDER THE  CATEGORIES OF LEADERSHIP, OPERATIONS, PLANNING, AND

1	WE LOOKED AT FISCAL YEAR 2022 TO 2023. SO LAST TIME
2	THAT WE WERE HERE CIRM WAS SORT OF THINKING ABOUT
3	RAMPING DOWN, WAS UNSURE IF PROPOSITION 14 WAS GOING
4	TO PASS. SINCE THEN, YOU'VE HAD PROPOSITION 14
5	PASS. YOU'VE HAD TO REVITALIZE YOUR OPERATIONS AND
6	ALSO EXPAND YOUR MISSION. SO A LOT OF SORT OF ROOT
7	CAUSE OF SOME OF THESE FINDINGS IS DUE TO THAT.
8	OUR FIRST TWO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
9	RELATED TO LEADERSHIP. SO THE FIRST FINDING IS THAT
10	AT THE TIME THAT WE WERE PERFORMING THIS ANALYSIS,
11	ALL 11 LEADERSHIP POSITIONS AT CIRM REPORTED
12	DIRECTLY TO THE CEO. THAT'S A VERY HIGH NUMBER.
13	TYPICALLY WE LIKE TO SEE THAT NUMBER AT ABOUT FOUR
14	TO SIX DIRECT REPORTS. AND USUALLY THERE'S A HIGH
15	LEVEL LEADERSHIP ROLE THAT REALLY ENDS UP FOCUSING
16	ON INTERNAL OPERATIONS, LIKE I.T., HR, AND FINANCE,
17	SO THAT YOUR CEO CAN FOCUS ON PROGRAMS, SERVICE
18	DELIVERY, AND EXTERNAL RELATIONS.
19	WITH THE TRANSITION OF THE CEO AND THE
20	INTERIM CEO, WE ALSO JUST WANTED TO REVISIT A PAST
21	AUDIT FINDING AND REVISIT THIS RECOMMENDATION TO
22	MAKE SURE THAT ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES BETWEEN
23	THE INCOMING CEO AND THEN THE BOARD CHAIR AND VICE
24	CHAIR ARE VERY CLEAR TO HELP ENSURE THAT THOSE
25	RELATIONSHIPS CAN BE STRONG AND HIGHLY

1	COLLABORATIVE.
2	THE NEXT FINDING THAT RELATED TO
3	LEADERSHIP HAD TO DO WITH THE SIZE OF THE ICOC. SO
4	YOU'RE NOW UP TO 35 MEMBERS. AND AS WE ARE DOING
5	TODAY, YOU HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE HYBRID
6	PARTICIPATION IN YOUR BOARD MEETINGS. BASED ON OUR
7	INTERVIEWS AND OUR OBSERVATIONS, WE FOUND THAT
8	PARTICIPATION AMONG THE ICOC MEMBERS IN THIS HYBRID
9	ENVIRONMENT DOES SEEM TO BE GOING PRETTY WELL. BUT
10	THESE TWO FACTORS OF HAVING A LARGE BOARD AND HAVING
11	HYBRID ENVIRONMENT JUST PRESENTS SOME INHERENT RISKS
12	IN MAKING SURE THAT ALL BOARD MEMBERS ARE VERY
13	ACTIVELY ENGAGED.
14	SO WE'VE PROVIDED SOME BEST PRACTICES
15	AROUND WORKING IN THIS HYBRID ENVIRONMENT THAT
16	REALLY BALANCES THE NEED FOR EVERYONE'S INSIGHT AND
17	PARTICIPATION ALONGSIDE TIME MANAGEMENT TO MAKE GOOD
18	USE OF YOUR MEETING TIME TOGETHER.
19	ONE OF THE WAYS THAT CIRM IS USING ITS
20	MEMBERS REALLY EFFECTIVELY IS THROUGH THE USE OF
21	BOARD COMMITTEES. SO WE HAVE A RECOMMENDATION TO
22	CONTINUE USING YOUR BOARD COMMITTEES, DOING THAT
23	DEEP DIVE ANALYSIS WITHIN THOSE COMMITTEES, AND THEN
24	BEING ABLE TO MAKE SURE YOU'RE USING THE BEST TIME
25	POSSIBLE TOGETHER AS A FULL BOARD.

1	OUR NEXT TWO FINDINGS RELATE TO
2	OPERATIONS. SO IN THIS AUDIT WE LOOKED AT YOUR
3	CONTRACTING PROCESSES. SO WE TOOK A LOOK AT SOME OF
4	YOUR SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS, AND WE FOUND THAT ABOUT
5	25 PERCENT OF THE AGREEMENTS DURING THE FISCAL YEAR
6	THAT WERE OVER \$100,000 WERE SOLE SOURCE AGREEMENTS.
7	ALL OF THOSE AGREEMENTS FULLY COMPLIED WITH CIRM'S
8	POLICIES AND PROCEDURES. WE DID NOTE THAT THERE
9	WERE SOME DIFFERENCES IN HOW THEY WERE RECORDED IN
10	THE SYSTEM DUE TO SYSTEM LIMITATIONS. SO WE
11	PROVIDED A RECOMMENDATION TO JUST MAKE SURE THAT THE
12	RECORDING OF THOSE SOLE SOURCES IS CONSISTENT.
13	AND THEN WE HAVE A RECOMMENDATION TO ALSO
14	HAVE THE RESPONSIBLE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL, WHICH
15	IS THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE, HAVE A BIANNUAL
16	REPORTING PROCESS OF THE SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS TO
17	THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE AND THEN JUST ONCE A YEAR
18	REPORTING TO THE ICOC TO ENHANCE THE TRANSPARENCY
19	AROUND THOSE SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS.
20	WE ALSO TOOK A LOOK AT CIRM'S LOAN
21	POLICIES, AND WE FOUND SOME OUTDATED REFERENCES TO
22	HOW CIRM WOULD DETERMINE AN INTEREST RATE ON A
23	POTENTIAL LOAN. SO CURRENTLY POLICIES REFERENCE
24	LIBOR WHICH CEASED TO EXIST AS OF LAST JULY. AND SO
25	WE JUST NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT THOSE POLICIES ARE
	120

1	UPDATED TO REFLECT THE APPROPRIATE BENCHMARK FOR
2	DETERMINING INTEREST RATE FOR A POTENTIAL LOAN.
3	OUR NEXT FINDING RELATES TO GRANTEE
4	COMPLIANCE WITH TECHNOLOGY DISCLOSURES. WE'VE
5	TALKED ABOUT THIS IN PAST AUDITS. BECAUSE UNDER
6	PROPOSITION 14 ANY REVENUES ASSOCIATED WITH
7	ROYALTIES ARE NOW GOING INTO THE PATIENT SUPPORT
8	FUND, THIS MONITORING PROCESS HAS BECOME EVEN MORE
9	IMPORTANT FOR CIRM TO COMPLETE. TO DATE MOST OF THE
10	COMPLIANCE MONITORING HAS BEEN CONDUCTED ON AN AD
11	HOC BASIS IN RESPONSE TO THE 20/21 PERFORMANCE
12	AUDIT. CIRM ISSUED A DISCLOSURE SURVEY FOR ALL OF
13	ITS TRANSLATION AND CLINICAL GRANTS IN THE SPRING OF
14	2023, AND STAFF REPORTED THAT THAT WAS A VERY
15	PRODUCTIVE PROCESS AND THAT THEY WERE ABLE TO
16	IDENTIFY ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES THROUGH THAT
17	PROCESS.
18	SO WE WOULD RECOMMEND THAT CIRM IMPLEMENT
19	THAT EVERY THREE YEARS, GO AHEAD AND ISSUE THAT
20	SURVEY, AND ALSO CONSIDER DEVELOPING A RISK-BASED
21	AUDIT PROGRAM TO BE ABLE TO MAKE SURE THAT GRANTEES
22	ARE COMPLYING WITH THOSE REQUIREMENTS.
23	SPEAKING OF THE PATIENT SUPPORT FUND AND
24	THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM, DURING OUR INTERVIEWS
25	WE HEARD SOME CONCERNS ABOUT UNCERTAINTY THAT IS

1	RELATED TO THE PROGRAM AND ITS FINANCIAL
2	SUSTAINABILITY BASED ON WHAT REVENUES ARE COMING
3	INTO THAT AND HOW MANY PATIENTS WE WOULD BE ABLE TO
4	SERVE THROUGH THE PROGRAM. THIS IS A NEW PROGRAM
5	FOR CIRM. SO THERE'S NOT A LOT OF DATA THAT'S
6	CURRENTLY AVAILABLE TO ANSWER THOSE QUESTIONS. SO,
7	INSTEAD, WE'VE PROVIDED A RECOMMENDATION FOR STAFF
8	TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY'RE REPORTING THAT INFORMATION
9	UP TO THE ICOC AND THEN USING THAT DATA TO CREATE
10	PROJECTIONS SO THAT YOU CAN UNDERSTAND THE FINANCIAL
11	SUSTAINABILITY OF THE PROGRAM AND WHETHER ADDITIONAL
12	FUNDING MAY BE NECESSARY IN THE FUTURE.
13	WE ALSO HAVE A FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION
14	TO HELP CIRM TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE VAST AMOUNTS OF
15	DATA THAT YOU COLLECT THROUGH YOUR GRANTEES TO HELP
16	ADVANCE AND SUPPORT YOUR MISSION. YOU'VE A LOT OF
17	DATA AND INFORMATION THAT WOULD BE VERY USEFUL TO
18	OTHER STEM CELL AND REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
19	RESEARCHERS. IN ORDER TO ORGANIZE AND SHARE THAT
20	DATA, YOU HAVE TO START PUTTING TOGETHER A DATA
21	GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORK AND STRUCTURE TO HELP
22	FACILITATE THAT DATA SHARING CAPABILITY. SO WE HAVE
23	SOME RECOMMENDATIONS WITHIN THE REPORT TO HELP CIRM
24	REALLY START TO PUT TOGETHER THAT FRAMEWORK, AND WE
25	KNOW IT'S BEEN A TOPIC OF DISCUSSION FOR THE

1	ORGANIZATION FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS.
2	THE NEXT TWO FINDINGS RELATE TO CIRM'S
3	EXPANDED OPERATIONS UNDER PROPOSITION 14 AND, AGAIN,
4	THAT RAMP-UP PERIOD THAT YOU'VE BEEN IN FOR THE LAST
5	COUPLE OF YEARS. BECAUSE YOUR OPERATIONS WERE
6	RAMPING UP, IT WAS SOMETIMES HARD TO GET STAFFING
7	SUPPORT AT THE RIGHT LEVEL AT THE RIGHT TIME. SO WE
8	HAVE SOME RECOMMENDATIONS TO PERFORM A WORKLOAD
9	ANALYSIS TO MAKE SURE THAT TEAMS HAVE THE RIGHT
10	STAFFING IN ORDER TO COMPLETE THEIR WORK, WHICH THEN
11	ENHANCES EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS IN OPERATIONS.
12	WE ALSO HAVE SOME RECOMMENDATIONS AROUND
13	CHANGE MANAGEMENT. SO THERE WAS JUST A LOT GOING ON
14	DURING THE LAST FEW YEARS AND A LOT OF CHANGES. AND
15	SO DEVELOPING A CHANGE MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK WILL
16	HELP MAKE SURE THAT EVERYONE IS REALLY AWARE OF THE
17	CHANGES THAT ARE UP AND COMING AND THAT THEY
18	UNDERSTAND HOW THAT FITS INTO CIRM'S OVERALL MISSION
19	AS WELL AS THEIR TO DAY-TO-DAY WORK.
20	OUR LAST SET OF FINDINGS RELATES TO HR
21	OPERATIONS. SO CIRM HAS RELIED QUITE A BIT ON
22	MANUAL PRACTICES HISTORICALLY TO COMPLETE ITS HR
23	TRANSACTIONS, ANYTHING FROM HIRING TO UPDATING
24	EMPLOYEE ADDRESSES, PERFORMING LEAVE REQUESTS. AND
25	HR RECENTLY IMPLEMENTED A SYSTEM CALLED BAMBOO HR TO

1	HELP SUPPORT AUTOMATION AND ALLOW EMPLOYEES TO HAVE
2	MORE SELF-SERVICE OPTIONS. AND THAT'S FANTASTIC.
3	SO LET'S JUST KEEP IMPLEMENTING THAT AND POTENTIALLY
4	EXPANDING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THAT SYSTEM TO
5	ENHANCE THAT AUTOMATION.
6	ALONGSIDE THAT, WE'D LIKE TO SEE
7	ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION OF HR POLICIES AND
8	PROCEDURES. THOSE WEREN'T ALWAYS DOCUMENTED, WHICH
9	SOMETIMES CREATED DELAYS OR INCONSISTENCIES IN HOW
10	PROCESSES, PARTICULARLY HIRING AND ONBOARDING AND
11	PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT, WERE REALLY TAKING PLACE.
12	BECAUSE YOU WERE IN THE PROCESS OF HIRING A LOT OF
13	NEW EMPLOYEES TO HELP SUPPORT YOUR OPERATION, THIS
14	WAS REALLY FELT BY THE TEAM DURING THE AUDIT PERIOD
15	THAT WE EVALUATED.
16	THE LAST TWO FINDINGS WERE RECOMMENDATIONS
17	THAT WE HAVE. SO ALSO RELATED TO HR, WE HEARD
18	CONCERNS ABOUT PAY EQUITY BETWEEN TENURED EMPLOYEES
19	AND NEW EMPLOYEES THAT CAME ONTO THE ORGANIZATION
20	RECENTLY. THIS IS A PHENOMENON THAT A LOT OF
21	AGENCIES HAVE BEEN EXPERIENCING OVER THE LAST FEW
22	YEARS BECAUSE THE EMPLOYMENT MARKET WAS VERY HIGHLY
23	COMPETITIVE. SO A NEW EMPLOYEE HAD TO BE DRAWN TO
24	THE ORGANIZATION AND PAID A HIGHER AMOUNT THAN SOME
25	OF YOUR EXISTING EMPLOYEES IN ORDER TO GET SOMEONE

1	TO FILL THAT ROLE. HR IS AWARE OF THIS CONCERN, AND
2	THEY HAVE BEEN WORKING TO UPDATE CIRM'S COMPENSATION
3	POLICY TO HELP MITIGATE THIS RISK IN THE FUTURE.
4	ONCE THAT POLICY IS APPROVED BY THE ICOC, HR CAN
5	THEN TAKE THE NEXT STEP OF PERFORMING AN EQUITY
6	ANALYSIS AND THEN RECTIFYING THOSE COMPENSATION
7	INEQUITIES.
8	THE LAST FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION
9	RELATES TO CIRM'S HYBRID WORK POLICY WITH SOME
10	DISCUSSION, I UNDERSTAND, THAT THIS IS ALREADY SORT
11	OF IN THE WORKS OF BEING LOOKED AT. THIS IS, AGAIN,
12	SOMETHING THAT A LOT OF AGENCIES ARE GRAPPLING WITH
13	RIGHT NOW. THIS IS NOT UNIQUE TO CIRM, BUT IT
14	REALLY DOES IMPACT CIRM'S EMPLOYEES AND THEIR
15	EMPLOYEE EXPERIENCE.
16	SO BASED ON THE ENGAGEMENT SURVEY FROM
17	LAST YEAR, IT SEEMED LIKE A LOT OF EMPLOYEES WERE
18	REALLY QUESTIONING IF THE WORK FROM HOME POLICY WAS
19	INCREASING PRODUCTIVITY AND SUPPORTING THEIR
20	WORK-LIFE BALANCE BECAUSE OF THE LONG COMMUTE TIMES
21	TO GET INTO THE OFFICE. THERE WAS ALSO SOME
22	PERCEPTION ISSUES WHERE SOME TEAMS WERE FOLLOWING
23	THE WORK FROM HOME POLICY AND SOME TEAMS MAY NOT
24	HAVE BEEN FOLLOWING IT TO THE SAME LETTER. SO WE
25	HAVE SOME RECOMMENDATIONS HERE AND BEST PRACTICES
	122

1	AROUND HOW TO THINK THROUGH THE HYBRID WORK POLICY
2	AND BE ABLE TO MAKE EXCEPTIONS WHEN THAT MAKES SENSE
3	FOR YOUR EMPLOYEES.
4	OUR LAST SLIDE JUST SHOWS PROGRESS TOWARD
5	PRIOR AUDIT IMPLEMENTATION. SO ALL OF THE AUDIT
6	FINDINGS PRIOR TO THE 2019 TO 2020 AUDIT HAVE BEEN
7	IMPLEMENTED. WITHIN THE 2018 TO 2020 AUDIT, FIVE
8	RECOMMENDATIONS ARE IN PROGRESS. MOST OF THOSE
9	RELATE TO I.T. SYSTEMS, AND WE ALREADY KNOW THAT
10	THERE ARE PLANS TO BE ABLE TO ADDRESS THOSE THAT ARE
11	IN PLACE RIGHT NOW. AND THEN THREE RECOMMENDATIONS
12	WERE COMPLETED, AND ONE RECOMMENDATION WAS CLOSED
13	BECAUSE IT WAS NO LONGER RELEVANT TO YOUR
14	OPERATIONS.
15	THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
16	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
17	TAMMY, FOR THAT EXCELLENT OVERVIEW AND LIST OF
18	RECOMMENDATIONS. THE FLOOR IS NOW OPEN TO COMMENT
19	FROM BOARD MEMBERS OR QUESTIONS.
20	MR. AGUIRRE-SACASA: THANK YOU, CHAIR AND
21	BOARD MEMBERS HERE. ON BEHALF OF THE LEADERSHIP
22	TEAM OF CIRM, I'M HERE TO REPORT THAT WE ARE
23	PREPARING THE MANAGEMENT RESPONSE. IT WILL BE GOING
23 24	THROUGH THE FEBRUARY GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND

1	TO EACH OF THESE FINDINGS. AND WE THANK MOSS-ADAMS
2	FOR THEIR PARTNERSHIP ON THIS. THANK YOU.
3	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, RAFAEL.
4	PAT LEVITT.
5	DR. LEVITT: CAN YOU PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT
6	MORE DETAIL ABOUT WHAT YOUR RECOMMENDATION IS IN
7	TERMS OF DEALING WITH WORKFORCE ISSUES ON THE VERY
8	LARGE NUMBER OF PROJECTS THAT ARE ONGOING WITHIN
9	CIRM AND WHAT YOUR RECOMMENDATION IS FOR HOW THE
10	LEADERSHIP CAN KEEP UP WITH THAT SO THAT WE DON'T
11	EXPERIENCE THESE GAPS IN STAFFING THAT MAKE IT
12	REALLY DIFFICULT TO MEET THE GOALS OF A PARTICULAR
13	AREA? WHAT'S THE RECOMMENDATION FOR KEEPING UP WITH
14	THAT?
15	MS. LOHR: ABSOLUTELY. GREAT QUESTION.
16	SO WE HAVE PROVIDED SOME INFORMATION WITHIN THE
17	REPORT THAT INCLUDES A RECOMMENDATION, DETAIL, AS
18	WELL AS AN ACTUAL SAMPLE WITH AN APPENDIX ON HOW TO
19	
	PERFORM A WORKLOAD ANALYSIS. SO USING DATA TO
20	PERFORM A WORKLOAD ANALYSIS. SO USING DATA TO ACTUALLY TRACK INDIVIDUAL'S TIME AND ANTICIPATE
21	ACTUALLY TRACK INDIVIDUAL'S TIME AND ANTICIPATE
21 22	ACTUALLY TRACK INDIVIDUAL'S TIME AND ANTICIPATE THOSE NEEDS DURING ANNUAL OPERATING PLANNING IS THE
20 21 22 23 24	ACTUALLY TRACK INDIVIDUAL'S TIME AND ANTICIPATE THOSE NEEDS DURING ANNUAL OPERATING PLANNING IS THE RECOMMENDATION THAT WE WOULD HAVE. AND THEN AS NEW
21 22 23	ACTUALLY TRACK INDIVIDUAL'S TIME AND ANTICIPATE THOSE NEEDS DURING ANNUAL OPERATING PLANNING IS THE RECOMMENDATION THAT WE WOULD HAVE. AND THEN AS NEW INITIATIVES COME UP OR THE FOCUS OF THE ORGANIZATION

1	BE ABLE TO ACCOMPLISH THIS OR DO WE NEED TO ADD
2	STAFF, IN PARTICULAR GIVEN THE STAFFING CAP THAT YOU
3	HAVE.
4	DR. LEVITT: I DON'T KNOW THIS. I SHOULD
5	KNOW THIS AS A BOARD MEMBER. WHAT'S THE RATIO OF
6	EXEMPT COMPARED TO NONEXEMPT EMPLOYEES? THEY'RE ALL
7	EXEMPT? EVERYBODY IS EXEMPT AT ANY LEVEL.
8	INTERESTING.
9	WHAT IS YOUR I JUST SAID IT WAS
10	INTERESTING. I'M INTERESTED IN THE EXPERT'S OPINION
11	ABOUT HAVING WHAT ARE THE CHALLENGES AND THE
12	OPPORTUNITIES IF YOU HAVE A FULLY EXEMPT WORKFORCE?
13	MS. LOHR: SURE. SO TYPICALLY YOUR
14	NONEXEMPT STAFF WOULD BE ASSISTANT LEVEL INDIVIDUALS
15	AND FOLKS LIKE THAT. THE BENEFIT OF HAVING EVERYONE
16	BE EXEMPT IS THAT THEY CAN WORK AS MANY HOURS AS
17	REQUIRED TO GET THE JOB DONE. AND IN OUR EXPERIENCE
18	WITH CIRM, NO ONE IS WORKING LESS THAN 40 HOURS PER
19	WEEK.
20	AND SO THAT'S THE ADVANTAGE. I'M NOT AN
21	EXPERT IN THE CLASSIFICATION OR HR LAW ON WHAT FALLS
22	UNDER EXEMPT OR NONEXEMPT.
23	MS. DURON: SO AT LEAST 40 HOURS A WEEK.
24	IS THERE A MECHANISM TO ALLOW THEM TO SAY I'M BURNED
25	OUT AND I NEED SOME HELP? CUT TO THE CHASE.

1	DR. LEVITT: IT'S ESSENTIALLY HOW DO
2	YOU HOW IS THE LEADERSHIP TEAM GOING TO
3	IDENTIFY IT RELATES TO THIS ISSUE ABOUT HAVING
4	ENOUGH TEAM MEMBERS TO COMPLETE A PROJECT. IF
5	YOU'VE GOT A SMALLER NUMBER TRYING TO GET TO THAT
6	GOAL, HOW ARE THEY GOING TO MANAGE THE BURNOUT OR
7	GETTING TEAM MEMBERS TO EVEN SAY THAT THEY'RE
8	FEELING COMPLETELY OVERWHELMED?
9	DR. THOMAS: SO I'LL TAKE THAT ONE, PAT.
10	SO WE'RE DEALING WITH THAT ISSUE IN THE LT RIGHT NOW
11	IN REAL TIME DUE TO A VERY DRAMATIC INCREASE,
12	SPECIFICALLY WITH RESPECT TO THE REVIEW TEAM,
13	DRAMATIC INCREASE IN APPLICATIONS OVER THE LAST FEW
14	MONTHS. AND SO THIS AN ABSOLUTELY RIPE ISSUE. AND
15	SO WE'RE GRAPPLING WITH THAT, HOW TO GIVE GIL THE
16	SUPPORT HE NEEDS. WE'RE NOT CLEAR IF THIS IS A NEW
17	NORMAL OR NOT. BUT IN THE EVENT THAT IT IS, SO, FOR
18	EXAMPLE, ABLA HAS TWO MEMBERS OF THE THERAPEUTICS
19	TEAM WHO ARE NOW WORKING ON LOAN, IF YOU WILL, WITH
20	THE REVIEW TEAM TO HELP. AND GIL IS ALSO IN THE
21	PROCESS OF INTERVIEWING AND BRINGING ON ADDITIONAL
22	STAFF THAT WILL BE DEALING WITH THESE ISSUES. BUT
23	MORE BROADLY, THE FORM AND THE LT IS THERE TO
24	DISCUSS EXACTLY WHAT YOU ARE ASKING ABOUT.
25	AND SO AT THE MOMENT WE'RE DEALING WITH IT

1	CASE BY CASE BECAUSE THIS HAPPENS TO BE THE CASE
2	BEFORE US, BUT IT IS SOMETHING WE'RE ACUTELY AWARE
3	OF AND WILL BE TRACKING.
4	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: QUICK COMMENT. I
5	THINK SOMETHING TAMMY MENTIONED WAS THAT THERE'S AN
6	OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO TAKE A LOOK AT ALL THE TEAMS
7	AND UNDERSTAND WHAT THE WORKLOAD IS PER TEAM AND
8	AUDIT THAT WORKLOAD AND WHETHER OR NOT THE TEAMS ARE
9	WELL STAFFED. THERE ARE TEAMS AT CIRM THAT ARE WELL
10	STAFFED. THERE ARE TEAMS THAT ARE UNDERSTAFFED. SO
11	BEING ABLE TO SIT DOWN AND MAKE THAT DETERMINATION
12	AND COME BACK WITH A PLAN AND MAKE ADJUSTMENTS AS
13	NECESSARY IS REALLY IMPORTANT. SO I HIGHLY
14	ENCOURAGE YOU, J.T. AND RAFAEL, TO START THAT
15	PROCESS.
16	DR. THOMAS: DULY NOTED.
17	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. WE HAVE ANY
18	OTHER COMMENTS? I DON'T SEE THE BOARD.
19	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: ONE OTHER THING.
20	I KNOW WHEN YOU MENTIONED THE SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS,
21	WE DO SEND A REPORT, NOT WE, A REPORT IS SENT TO US
22	NOW SIX MONTHS, EVERY SIX MONTHS TO THE GOVERNANCE,
23	ONCE A YEAR TO THE BOARD THAT HAS A LIST OF
24	CONTRACTS. IT COULD BE VERY EASY TO JUST ADD A
25	COLUMN THERE WITH AN S THAT SAYS SOLE SOURCE. GO
	120

1	THAT WAY INSTEAD OF CREATING AN ENTIRE NEW REPORT.
2	SO THAT CAN BE VERY EASILY ACCOMPLISHED PRETTY
3	IMMEDIATELY.
4	MR. AGUIRRE-SACASA: WE TALKED ABOUT THAT.
5	THANK YOU.
6	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: THANK YOU. I JUST WANT
7	TO SAY FIRST I APPRECIATE THE REVIEW AND THE
8	ASSESSMENT OF PRACTICES, ET CETERA. AND I JUST WANT
9	TO SAY OUT LOUD THAT AS A CEO I ALSO APPRECIATE THAT
10	THE BOARD'S JOB ISN'T NECESSARILY TO MICROMANAGE THE
11	DECISIONS OF SENIOR STAFF AS THEY WORK WITH THE TEAM
12	THAT IS HIRED. I DO BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE A NUMBER
13	OF HIGHLY EDUCATED AND PROFESSIONAL STAFF PERSONS.
14	SO THE JUSTIFICATION AROUND EXEMPT VERSUS NONEXEMPT
15	I'M NOT NECESSARILY INTERESTED IN. I DO TRUST THE
16	BOARD LEADERSHIP WILL MAKE THE BEST DETERMINATION
17	THERE. AND I DO THINK THAT WHAT'S BEEN DETERMINED
18	TO DATE MAKES SENSE CONSIDERING THE CALIBER OF THE
19	LEVEL OF THE INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE WORKING ON THE
20	CIRM TEAM THERE. SO I JUST WANTED TO SHARE THAT.
21	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. J.T.
22	DR. THOMAS: MR. JUELSGAARD GO FIRST AND
23	I'LL FOLLOW.
24	MR. JUELSGAARD: ACTUALLY JUST A QUESTION.
25	SO WHAT ARE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES WE HAVE

1	PLUS OPEN POSITIONS? SO IF WE WERE FULLY EMPLOYED
2	AT THIS POINT, HOW MANY EMPLOYEES WOULD WE HAVE?
3	66? SO WE'RE FOUR SHORT OF THE MAXIMUM OF 70. 85,
4	15 OF THOSE ARE VERY SPECIFICALLY DEDICATED TO
5	ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY.
6	MS. SIMPSON: OF THE 66 THAT ARE
7	AUTHORIZED BY THE ICOC, SIX ARE RELATED TO THE
8	ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY, 60 ARE RELATED TO THE
9	OTHER AREAS OF CIRM. AND OF THOSE 66, 62 ARE
10	FILLED. SO FOUR ARE VACANT.
11	DR. THOMAS: SO, FIRST OF ALL, TAMMY,
12	THANK YOU AGAIN FOR YOUR GREAT WORK ON THESE AUDITS.
13	REALLY APPRECIATE IT.
14	I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT FOR THE BOARD, WHILE
15	WE HAVE 13 FINDINGS, THIS IS, IN EFFECT, AN AUDIT TO
16	REALLY CELEBRATE. JENN HAS FRAMED VERY NICELY AN
17	LT, AND I'D LIKE TO ASK HER TO COME UP TO CONVEY
18	THAT SENTIMENT IN MORE DETAIL.
19	MS. LEWIS: THANK YOU, J.T. J.T. WANTED
20	ME TO SHARE WHAT I SHARED WITH HIM WHEN HE CAME ON
21	BOARD AS WELL AS THE LEADERSHIP TEAM. THAT IS, FOR
22	CIRM STAFF, WE REALLY CELEBRATE THIS AUDIT FOR A
23	YEAR OF TREMENDOUS GROWTH. GRANTS MANAGEMENT
24	DOUBLED IN SIZE. SO THE AMOUNT OF TRAINING AND
25	ONBOARDING THAT WENT OUT THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE

1	ORGANIZATION THIS TIME WAS TREMENDOUS. AND TO HAVE
2	NO COMPLIANCE FINDINGS IS REALLY GREAT AND SHOWS A
3	TESTAMENT TO THE PROCESSES WE HAVE AS AN AGENCY. SO
4	JUST WANTED TO SHARE THAT. AND THANK YOU, J.T., FOR
5	THE OPPORTUNITY TO SHARE THAT.
6	MS. LOHR: WE'D LIKE TO ECHO THAT
7	SENTIMENT, THAT THIS REALLY IS A REPORT TO
8	CELEBRATE. THERE ARE NO COMPLIANCE FINDINGS.
9	EVERYTHING NOTED WITHIN THE REPORT ARE JUST
10	OPPORTUNITIES TO CONTINUE IMPROVING CIRM, AND IT'S A
11	VERY-HIGH FUNCTIONING ORGANIZATION AS IT IS.
12	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU AGAIN,
13	TAMMY. ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?
14	(APPLAUSE.)
15	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. I'D LIKE
16	TO MOVE ON TO AGENDA ITEM NO. 11. THIS IS GOING TO
17	BE A PRESENTATION OF CONCEPT PLAN ON THE COMMUNITY
18	CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE. AND OUR ASSOCIATE
19	DIRECTOR OF MEDICAL AFFAIRS, GEOFF LOMAX, IS GOING
20	TO MAKE THE PRESENTATION FROM THE PODIUM.
21	DR. LOMAX: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, CHAIRS
22	AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.
23	WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS DESCRIBE TO YOU A
24	PROPOSED INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM THAT REALLY WILL BE
25	FUNDAMENTAL TO SUPPORTING THE DELIVERY OF TREATMENTS

1	IN CALIFORNIA.
2	SO THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF
3	EXCELLENCE, THE CONCEPT PLAN BEFORE YOU HAS BEEN IN
4	PROCESS FOR ABOUT A YEAR AND A HALF. AND I'D LIKE
5	TO DESCRIBE TO YOU THE PROCESS WE'VE GONE THROUGH TO
6	GET TO THE DOCUMENT YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU TODAY.
7	WE STARTED WITH A SERIES OF LISTENING
8	SESSIONS MOSTLY IN THE CENTRAL PART OF THE STATE.
9	AND THOSE LISTENING SESSIONS INCLUDED PARTICIPATION
10	FROM BOTH CIRM LEADERSHIP AND THIS BOARD, AND THAT
11	PARTICIPATION WAS REALLY FUNDAMENTAL TO SHAPING THIS
12	PROPOSAL.
13	WITHIN THESE SESSIONS WE BROUGHT IN NEW
14	STAKEHOLDERS, WE BROUGHT IN NEW POTENTIAL PARTNERS.
15	WE THEN BROUGHT THOSE PARTNERS TO A STATEWIDE
16	WORKSHOP IN SACRAMENTO IN JUNE OF 2023. WITHIN THAT
17	WORKSHOP THAT REALLY PRESENTED AN OPPORTUNITY FOR
18	TEAMS TO COME TOGETHER AND NETWORK, BOTH POTENTIAL
19	APPLICANTS AND OUR ALPHA CLINICS TEAMS. SO WHAT WE
20	HAVE AT THAT STAGE IS A CONCEPT AND THEN TEAMS
21	COMING TOGETHER TO REALLY WORK AROUND HOW THEY COULD
22	DEVELOP THAT PROGRAM.
23	AFTER THE SACRAMENTO WORKSHOP, WE SET
24	FORWARD ON DEVELOPING A CONCEPT PLAN AND WORKING
25	THAT CONCEPT PLAN THROUGH THE VARIOUS BOARD

1	COMMITTEES. AND WE'VE RECEIVED A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT
2	OF BOARD GUIDANCE BOTH FROM THE ACCESS AND
3	AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP, THE SCIENCE
4	SUBCOMMITTEE, AND VARIOUS BOARD MEMBERS ALONG THE
5	WAY. AND THAT DOCUMENT NOW IS, AGAIN, WHAT YOU HAVE
6	BEFORE YOU TODAY. WITH YOUR APPROVAL, WE WOULD THEN
7	MOVE TO THE APPLICATION, AND THAT WOULD BEGIN THE
8	APPLICATION STAGE WOULD BEGIN EARLY THIS SPRING.
9	JUST AS A REMINDER, THE COMMUNITY CARE
10	CENTERS, AGAIN, ARE INFRASTRUCTURE INTENDED TO
11	SUPPORT ACCESS. AND THEY'RE DESCRIBED IN
12	PROPOSITION 14. SO THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO IMPLEMENT
13	A PROGRAM THAT'S FUNDAMENTAL TO THE PROPOSITION.
14	AND GOING BACK TO OUR MISSION STATEMENT AGAIN, IF
15	WE'RE DEVELOPING REGENERATIVE MEDICINE TREATMENTS
16	FOR A DIVERSE CALIFORNIA, THIS INFRASTRUCTURE IS
17	REALLY FUNDAMENTAL TO REACHING THE DIVERSE
18	POPULATION OF OUR STATE. AND ONE OTHER REMINDER,
19	WITHIN THE PROPOSITION THERE IS EXPRESSED A
20	FIVE-YEAR TIMELINE FOR THIS PROGRAM. SO CURRENTLY
21	WHERE WE STAND TODAY WE'RE ON TRACK TO HIT THAT
22	FIVE-YEAR TIMELINE WITH THE PROPOSED PLAN MOVING
23	FORWARD WITH APPLICATIONS FOR THE FIRST HALF OF THIS
24	YEAR, REVIEW TOWARDS THE END OF THE YEAR, AND BY THE
25	END OF THE YEAR BEING ABLE TO ANNOUNCE THIS PROGRAM.

1	SO THERE IS A TIMING ELEMENT TO THIS THAT I WANTED
2	TO REMIND YOU ALL OF.
3	SO A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHAT A CLINICAL
4	INFRASTRUCTURE LOOKS LIKE BECAUSE THIS CAME UP QUITE
5	A BIT BOTH AMONG BOARD MEMBERS AND AMONG
6	STAKEHOLDERS. THE BASIC QUESTION IS WHAT IS A
7	COMMUNITY CARE CENTER. SO REALLY THAT MODEL
8	EMANATES FROM OUR ALPHA CLINICS. AND IN THIS CASE
9	WE'RE REALLY LOOKING AT PEOPLE AS OPPOSED TO
10	BUILDINGS, ALTHOUGH THERE IS A FACILITIES ASPECT OF
11	THIS PROGRAM. SO IT'S TEAMS. AND SO WHAT DO THOSE
12	TEAMS LOOK LIKE?
13	SO IN THE CELL AND GENE THERAPY SPACE, IF
14	WE'RE PERFORMING CLINICAL RESEARCH OR ULTIMATELY THE
15	DELIVERY OF THOSE THERAPEUTICS, IT TAKES A TEAM THAT
16	HAS EXPERTISE WITH THE UNIQUE ISSUES THAT EXIST IN
17	THAT SPACE. IF YOU LOOK AT THE STAFFING PROFILES OF
18	THE ALPHA CLINICS, WE HAVE INDIVIDUALS THAT HAVE TO
19	SORT OF ENGAGE WITH SPONSORS AND HAVE THOSE
20	DISCUSSIONS ABOUT IS THIS MEDICAL CENTER GOING TO
21	DELIVER ON WHAT YOU NEED. SO THERE'S THAT INITIAL
22	ENGAGEMENT WITH THE SPONSOR.
23	THERE ARE TEAMS THAT ARE INVOLVED IN
24	PATIENT EDUCATION, PATIENT NAVIGATION. SO WHEN THE
25	PATIENT ARRIVES AT THAT FACILITY, THEY'RE REALLY

1	UNDERSTANDING AND GETTING THE TREATMENT THEY NEED TO
2	GO THROUGH THIS PATIENT JOURNEY.
3	THERE'S A WHOLE LAYER OF REGULATORY AND
4	COVERAGE ANALYSIS. AND THIS GETS TO THE FINANCING
5	OF THE TREATMENT AND THE FINANCING OF THE TRIAL.
6	THERE'S PRODUCT MANAGEMENT ISSUES. THESE
7	ARE POTENTIALLY THERAPIES THAT EITHER HAVE TO BE
8	MANUFACTURED OR HAVE TO GO THROUGH SOME CRITICAL
9	PROCESSING PHASE OF THE FACILITY. SO A LOT OF OUR
10	AWARDS INCLUDE SUPPORT FOR LABORATORY TECHNICIANS
11	AND PHARMACISTS.
12	AND THERE'S A DATA MANAGEMENT PIECE. THAT
13	MIGHT BE THE RESEARCH COORDINATORS OR PEOPLE WHO
14	HAVE TO DEAL WITH THE INFORMATION SYSTEMS.
15	SO OUR AWARDS REALLY SUPPORT ACROSS THAT
16	SPECTRUM, THAT STAFFING SPECTRUM. AND, AGAIN, IT'S
17	THE GENE AND CELL THERAPY EXPERTISE WHICH IS SO
18	IMPORTANT. SO THIS IS ESSENTIALLY A HORIZONTAL
19	INTEGRATION ACROSS THE CENTER. YOU MIGHT HAVE
20	DEPARTMENTS THAT HAVE LITTLE OR NO EXPERIENCE WITH
21	CELL AND GENE THERAPY. SOME OF THE AWARDS TODAY,
22	FOR INSTANCE, IN NEURO SPACE, MAYBE THE NEUROLOGISTS
23	JUST HAVEN'T HAD THE EXPERIENCE OF WORKING WITH A
24	PRODUCT LIKE THAT. THESE TEAMS CAN REALLY
25	FACILITATE THAT TRIAL FROM OPENING UP.
	145

1	I'D LIKE TO DESCRIBE THIS PROGRAM IN THE
2	CONTEXT OF OUR BROADER SET OF PROGRAMS THAT ARE
3	DESIGNED TO SUPPORT CLINICAL RESEARCH BECAUSE
4	THERE'S REALLY A SYSTEM HERE AND THIS IS A CRITICAL
5	PIECE TO THAT DELIVERY SYSTEM.
6	THE ALPHA CLINICS IN THE TOP LEFT CORNER
7	OF THIS SLIDE, THERE ARE NINE AWARDS, TEN MEDICAL
8	CENTERS. THEY'RE REALLY SUPPORTING ESSENTIALLY THE
9	CIRM PORTFOLIO. IF YOU LOOK AT THE CIRM CLINICAL
10	TRIAL PORTFOLIO, THEY'RE BEING SUPPORTED. THESE
11	SITES, THEY PROVIDE THE EXPERTISE. THESE SITES ALSO
12	ARE PART OF OUR MANUFACTURING NETWORK. SO WE HAVE
13	AT THAT LEVEL A SYSTEM TO DELIVER THESE TREATMENTS
14	TO PATIENTS.
15	IF YOU LOOK AT THE LOWER RIGHT-HAND
16	CORNER, AGAIN, WE'VE GOT OUR PORTFOLIO. IT'S
17	SOMEWHERE ON THE ORDER OF 50 PLUS TRIALS ACTIVE AT
18	THE MOMENT. AGAIN, THE MAJORITY, ALMOST ALL OF THEM
19	SUPPORTED BY THE ALPHA CLINICS NETWORK.
20	THE LOWER LEFT CORNER, THE PATIENT SUPPORT
21	PROGRAM. AGAIN, AS NOTED, THE ACCESS AND
22	AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP WILL BE REVIEWING THOSE
23	PROPOSALS BEGINNING OF FEBRUARY. SO THAT PROGRAM IS
24	IN PLACE SPECIFICALLY, AS A REMINDER, TO SUPPORT THE
25	FINANCIAL AND LOGISTICAL BARRIERS ENCOUNTERED BY
	146

1	PATIENTS, PARTICULARLY PATIENTS OF MORE LIMITED
2	MEANS. SO IT'S A WAY OF ADDRESSING, I THINK, SOME
3	OF THE ISSUES THAT WERE DISCUSSED DURING THE
4	APPLICATION REVIEW PORTION OF THIS MEETING. AGAIN,
5	THAT INFRASTRUCTURE WILL BE COMING ONLINE. WE'LL BE
6	DEVELOPING IT WITH THE ALPHA CLINICS, AND WE WILL
7	EXPECT THAT THAT INFRASTRUCTURE WILL BE FED INTO THE
8	COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS PROPOSAL, WHICH, AGAIN,
9	BRINGS ME TO THE TOP RIGHT CORNER OF THIS SLIDE.
10	AND, AGAIN, THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS ARE
11	SORT OF AN INTEGRATING COMPONENT HERE WHERE THEY CAN
12	BRING THOSE CLINICAL TRIALS CLOSER TO THE
13	COMMUNITIES AND IN PARTICULAR HAVE A UNIQUE FOCUS ON
14	THE SOCIAL DETERMINANTS THAT WILL IMPACT PATIENT
15	PARTICIPATION IN OUR TRIALS. SO THIS KIND OF ROUNDS
16	OUT A REALLY HOLISTIC AND COMPLETE PICTURE OF
17	GETTING PATIENTS INTO TRIALS.
18	I WANT TO WALK THROUGH JUST KIND OF
19	CONCEPTUALLY, AND THIS GOES BACK TO SORT OF THE
20	WORKSHOPS AND HOW WE THIS WAS SORT OF DESCRIBED
21	AS HOW THIS SYSTEM CAN AND SHOULD WORK. WHEN WE GET
22	TO THE SPECIFICS OF THE CONCEPT PLAN, WE ACTUALLY
23	PROPOSED TWO TYPES OF CENTERS, ONE THAT COULD SERVE
24	AS A SITE THAT WOULD FACILITATE ACCESS TO CLINICAL
25	TRIALS, AND THE SECOND OPTION IS A SITE THAT COULD

1	BOTH DELIVER CLINICAL TRIALS AND SUPPORT ACCESS.
2	SO I'M GIVING YOU THE ACCESS FACILITATOR
3	EXAMPLE HERE. SO AS YOU SEE, WE ANTICIPATE A STRONG
4	FOCUS, AND I'LL SPEAK TO THAT IN A BIT MORE DETAIL
5	LATER IN THE PRESENTATION, OF COMMUNITY-CENTERED
6	ENGAGEMENTS. SO REALLY ENGAGING PATIENTS, AGAIN,
7	THAT TYPICALLY ARE NOT REPRESENTED IN TRIALS OR HAVE
8	HISTORICALLY BEEN UNDERREPRESENTED IN SOME OF THIS
9	WORK. THERE'S AN ACTIVE PROGRAM, THERE'S A RESOURCE
10	ENGAGEMENT PROGRAM. AND THROUGH THAT ENGAGEMENT, WE
11	CAN THEN CONNECT THE PATIENT TO THE COMMUNITY CARE
12	CENTER OF EXCELLENCE. SO THEY GET CONNECTED UP.
13	AT THAT STAGE THEY CAN BE REFERRED TO A
14	TRIAL IN AN ALPHA CLINIC. THERE MAY EVEN BE SOME
15	WORK UPFRONT TO DETERMINE IF THAT PATIENT IS RIGHT
16	FOR THAT TRIAL. AND THAT'S WHERE THE NAVIGATION
17	PIECE COMES IN. AND THEN THE PATIENT WILL BE
18	TREATED, AND OPTIMALLY THE FOLLOW-UP OF THAT
19	PATIENT, BECAUSE MANY OF THESE PROTOCOLS INVOLVE
20	MULTIPLE, MULTIPLE VISITS, IDEALLY THE FOLLOW-UP
21	COULD THEN OCCUR IN THE COMMUNITY, WHICH, AGAIN,
22	BASED ON ALL THE EVIDENCE, THE DATA, THE NEEDS
23	ASSESSMENT, IT'S THAT ASPECT OF PROXIMITY WHICH
24	REALLY WILL MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF PATIENTS
25	BEING ABLE TO COMPLETE THESE EXTREMELY DEMANDING

1	PROTOCOLS.
2	ON TOP OF THAT, WE SORT OF OVERLAY THE
3	PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM WHICH CAN SUPPORT PATIENTS
4	AT ANY STAGE THROUGH THAT JOURNEY.
5	I DO WANT TO HIGHLIGHT, AGAIN, WHAT THIS
6	SLIDE REPRESENTS. IT'S FOUR PLUS PROGRAMS HAVING TO
7	COME TOGETHER AND COORDINATE AND OPERATE. SO WE'VE
8	ALSO, WITH DR. THOMAS TAKING THE LEAD HERE, WE'RE
9	REALLY STARTING SOME INTERNAL DISCUSSIONS TO REALLY
10	THINK ABOUT HOW WE REALLY INTEGRATE AN INTERNAL
11	MANAGEMENT PLAN. THAT WAS ALSO A RECOMMENDATION
12	COMING FROM THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND OTHER
13	COMMITTEES. I THINK THE COMMENT THAT STICKS OUT IS
14	YOU HAVE A LOT OF MOVING PIECES HERE. WE DO, AND WE
15	ARE WORKING ON A PLAN TO COORDINATE THOSE PIECES IN
16	A MANNER THAT WILL RESULT IN THE SUCCESS OF THIS
17	PROGRAM.
18	SO I'M GOING TO TRANSITION NOW AND JUST
19	BREAK DOWN THE THREE CORE ELEMENTS OF THE CONCEPT
20	PLAN ITSELF. THESE ARE THE THREES PIECES THAT
21	REALLY DESCRIBE WHAT AN APPLICANT WILL BE PROPOSING
22	TO DO. THEY MUST PROPOSE A THEY MUST BE A
23	CLINICAL SITE AND PROPOSE A PLAN TO EITHER SUPPORT
24	OR SUPPORT AND CONDUCT CLINICAL TRIALS. AGAIN, I'LL
25	DESCRIBE THAT IN A BIT MORE DETAIL ON ANOTHER SLIDE.

1	THE CLINICAL SITES MUST DELIVER APPROVED
2	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE PRODUCTS. AGAIN, I'LL EXPAND
3	ON THAT MOMENTARILY. AND THEY CAN SERVE AS A
4	REFERRAL HUB FOR BOTH OUR ALPHA CLINICS AND THE
5	PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM.
6	CONSISTENT WITH OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE
7	PROGRAMS, THERE'S A CAREER DEVELOPMENT COMPONENT TO
8	THIS CONCEPT. THE MAIN THRUST HERE IS TO REALLY
9	ADAPT AND DEPLOY CURRICULA THAT HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED
10	AND EXIST. SO IT'S REALLY TRYING TO LEVERAGE OUR
11	INVESTMENT IN EDUCATION. IT'S ALSO INTENDED TO
12	SERVE AS A PLACEMENT SITE FOR CIRM TRAINEES. I
13	THINK, AGAIN, THROUGH BOTH THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT
14	PROCESS AND PARTICULARLY THE STATEWIDE WORKSHOP,
15	THERE WAS A LOT OF ENTHUSIASM AMONGST THE EXISTING
16	ALPHA CLINICS TO BRING PEOPLE OUT TO SITES OUTSIDE
17	OF THE ACADEMIC CENTERS. THERE WAS A LOT OF
18	ENTHUSIASM FOR THAT. THAT WAS VIEWED AS A VERY
19	USEFUL WAY OF EXPANDING THE PERSPECTIVE OF
20	CLINICIANS AND OTHER RESEARCHERS AT THESE SITES.
21	AND ONE AREA IN PARTICULAR WE FOCUSED ON
22	IS SUPPORT FOR COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER AND PATIENT
23	NAVIGATOR PROGRAMS. AGAIN, I'LL COME TO THAT IN A
24	MOMENT IN A BIT MORE DETAIL IN A FUTURE SLIDE.
25	AND THEN, FINALLY, THE PIECE THAT'S UNIQUE
	150

1	OR REALLY DEFINES THIS PROGRAM AS OPPOSED TO OUR
2	OTHER CLINICAL INFRASTRUCTURE IS THE COMMUNITY
3	ENGAGEMENT PIECE, TO ENGAGE PATIENTS IN COMMUNITIES,
4	TO HAVE ACTIVE PARTNERSHIPS WITH COMMUNITY-BASED
5	ORGANIZATIONS, AND THE COMMITMENT TO RESOURCING
6	THAT, AND TO FOCUS THOSE ENGAGEMENT EFFORTS ON
7	UNDERREPRESENTED POPULATIONS CONSISTENT WITH OUR DEI
8	OBJECTIVES.
9	SO I WANTED TO CALL OUT JUST THREE PARTS
10	OF THE CONCEPT PLAN BECAUSE, AGAIN, THESE ARE POINTS
11	THAT WERE QUESTIONS THAT CAME TO US FROM THE VARIOUS
12	BOARD COMMITTEES. IF THE OTHER COMMITTEES HAD
13	QUESTIONS, I THINK PERHAPS THE FULL BOARD MIGHT HAVE
14	SOME OF THE SAME QUESTIONS.
15	SO THE FIRST POINT HERE, THE QUESTION THAT
16	WAS COMING FROM BOARD MEMBERS IS THERE'S AN
17	IMPORTANT ROLE FOR SOCIAL WORKERS IN THIS EFFORT IN
18	SUPPORTING THE PATIENT JOURNEY. AND SO HOW ARE WE
19	INCORPORATING THAT INTO THIS PROGRAM? AND I THINK
20	FUNDAMENTALLY THE FRAMING AROUND THE NEED TO ADDRESS
21	SOCIAL DETERMINANTS AS A GUIDING STATEMENT FOR THE
22	CONCEPT PLAN, SORT OF THAT'S THE STARTING POINT,
23	THAT SOCIAL DETERMINANTS ARE CRITICAL TO THE SUCCESS
24	OF ADDRESSING THIS PARTICIPATION GAP IN CLINICAL
25	RESEARCH. SO IT'S FUNDAMENTAL TO THE FRAMING.

1	AND THEN, AGAIN, IN TERMS OF THEN HOW DO
2	WE REALIZE THAT OPPORTUNITY, WE REALLY VIEW
3	COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS AND PATIENT NAVIGATORS AS
4	KEY PLAYERS IN MAKING THIS OPPORTUNITY REAL IN PART,
5	FIRST OF ALL, THEY ARE FRONTLINE COMMUNITY HEALTH
6	WORKERS. THEY HAVE THE TOUCH THAT AS OF NOW OUR
7	CLINICAL RESEARCH PROGRAMS DON'T. IN ADDITION, I
8	THINK THERE'S A REAL OPPORTUNITY TO DEVELOP AT THAT
9	LEVEL, IN PART BECAUSE THERE ARE REIMBURSEMENT
10	PROGRAMS FOR BOTH COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS AND
11	PATIENT NAVIGATORS, AND IF WE GET THIS PROGRAM
12	RIGHT, THAT PROVIDES AN OPPORTUNITY REALLY TO MAKE
13	THIS SUSTAINABLE BECAUSE THEY'RE NO LONGER DEPENDENT
14	SOLELY ON A CIRM AWARD TO CONDUCT THESE ACTIVITIES.
15	BUT WE CAN UTILIZE ESTABLISHED REIMBURSEMENT
16	MECHANISMS TO CONTINUE THOSE ACTIVITIES.
17	AND THEN, FINALLY, I THINK THIS WAS REALLY
18	CREDIT TO THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE FOR HELPING US
19	REALLY THINK THIS THROUGH. A LOT OF QUESTIONS CAME
20	UP AROUND WHAT WILL OUR MEASURES OF SUCCESS BE? HOW
21	WILL WE KNOW IF WE ACHIEVED, ACCOMPLISHED SOMETHING
22	HERE? AND SO WE'VE ADDED AS A MAJOR OBJECTIVE,
23	WHICH WILL THEN INFORM, THE APPLICANTS HAVE TO
24	RESPOND TO THIS, IS PROPOSED TARGETED INTERVENTIONS
25	AIMED AT REDUCING DISPARITIES IN REFERRALS TO

1	CLINICAL TRIALS WITH THE OVERALL AIM OF INCREASING
2	PARTICIPATION RATES. AND TO ME THAT WAS A REALLY
3	INSIGHTFUL COMMENT BECAUSE ONE CAN SEPARATE YOU
4	CAN REFER SOMEONE TO AN ALPHA CLINIC, TO A PATIENT
5	NAVIGATOR. IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN THEY'RE
6	GOING TO ENROLL IN THE TRIAL BECAUSE THESE ARE
7	COMPLEX TRIALS. AND WHEN THE PATIENT PROCESSES THAT
8	INFORMATION AND MAKES THEIR BEST DECISION, THE BEST
9	DECISION FOR THEM MIGHT BE I DON'T WANT TO ENROLL.
10	BUT THE NOTION THAT REFERRAL RATES IN
11	THEMSELVES ARE IMPORTANT MEASURES OF SUCCESS, I
12	THINK TO ME WAS REALLY A LIGHT BULB WENT OFF. SO I
13	WANT TO SORT OF ACKNOWLEDGE THAT WE WILL BE BUILDING
14	THOSE TYPES OF APPLICANTS WILL HAVE TO RESPOND
15	WITH STRATEGIES FOR HOW THEY WOULD ATTEMPT TO SORT
16	OF GO AT THOSE METRICS.
17	SO, AGAIN, I'M GOING TO KIND OF SHIFT A
18	LITTLE BIT NOW TO A LITTLE BIT MORE ON THE DETAILS.
19	I'VE KIND OF GIVEN YOU THE CONCEPTUAL OVERVIEW.
20	THESE ARE AREAS OF THE APPLICATION. AGAIN, WE WILL
21	HAVE TO HAVE THE FIRST ONE ON THE CLINICAL
22	OPERATIONS, IT'S BOTH THE ACTIVITIES, BUT THE CORE
23	ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS. AND I WANT TO EMPHASIZE
24	THE ELIGIBILITY ASPECTS HERE BECAUSE THAT'S
25	IMPORTANT FROM A STANDPOINT OF MAKING SURE WE GET

1	THE BEST APPLICANTS.
2	SO FIRST OF ALL, THEY HAVE TO HAVE A
3	LICENSED AND CERTIFIED HEALTHCARE FACILITY WITH A
4	DEMONSTRATED CAPACITY TO SUPPORT HUMAN SUBJECTS
5	PROTOCOLS IN A HEALTH RESEARCH CONTEXT. THE HUMAN
6	SUBJECTS PIECE IS CRITICAL THERE. THAT MEANS THAT
7	WHATEVER THEY'RE DOING IS GOING TO BE REVIEWED BY AN
8	IRB. WE GET THE ETHICS RIGHT. AND, AGAIN, THIS
9	CAPACITY TO SUPPORT CLINICAL RESEARCH PROTOCOLS IN
10	CELL AND GENE THERAPY. SO THEY HAVE TO REALLY WANT
11	TO DEVELOP THAT SPACE.
12	AGAIN, WE REACHED OUT TO A NUMBER OF
13	INSTITUTIONS IN THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT THAT ARE
14	EXTREMELY INTERESTED IN DEVELOPING THIS SPACE. I
15	THINK THE SPIRIT OF THE CONVERSATION WAS WE'VE BEEN
16	WAITING A LONG TIME TO REALLY GET INTO THE CIRM
17	ECOSYSTEM. AND THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY WE'VE REALLY
18	BEEN LOOKING FORWARD TO. SO THERE ARE LOT OF
19	CENTERS OUT THERE THAT ARE NOT MAJOR ACADEMIC
20	CENTERS, BUT THEY DO HAVE THE CAPACITY TO SUPPORT US
21	IN OUR WORK, AND THEY'RE REALLY EAGER TO DO THAT
22	WORK.
23	FROM A CAREER DEVELOPMENT STANDPOINT,
24	AGAIN, THE CAPACITY TO SUPPORT EDUCATION, TRAINING,
25	AND CAREER DEVELOPMENT. AGAIN, MANY OF THE CENTERS
	154
	エノサ

1	ARE DOING THIS ALREADY. THEY ARE VERY INTERESTED IN
2	BUILDING THAT OUT TO INCLUDE THE CELL AND GENE
3	THERAPY FOCUS.
4	AND THEN IN TERMS OF OUTREACH AND
5	ENGAGEMENT, A TRACK RECORD OF CONDUCTING AND
6	COORDINATING HEALTH EDUCATION IN A COMMUNITY
7	SETTING. AND, AGAIN, THAT IS SOMETHING THAT A LOT
8	OF THESE SITES DO THEY'RE GOING TO NEED HELP WITH.
9	AND WHERE THIS NETWORK WILL REALLY HELP IS IN TERMS
10	OF, AGAIN, THE CELL AND GENE THERAPY EXPERTISE.
11	SO I MENTIONED THIS EARLIER. I JUST WANT
12	TO COME BACK. ON THE CLINICAL SIDE, I THINK THIS IS
13	AN AREA WHERE WE SAW THE LARGEST SPREAD IN TERMS OF
14	CAPACITY. I THINK THERE ARE SITES THAT TODAY
15	THEY'RE ALMOST INDISTINGUISHABLE FROM AN ALPHA
16	CLINIC OR THEY'RE VERY CLOSE TO BEING ALPHA
17	CLINIC-LIKE. THEY COULD REALLY DELIVER
18	INVESTIGATIONAL PRODUCTS TO PATIENTS.
19	THERE ARE OTHER SITES THAT AREN'T THERE OR
20	CERTAINLY REALLY WON'T BE ABLE TO GET THERE WITHIN
21	THE FIVE-YEAR AWARD PERIOD AND MAY NOT EVER NEED TO
22	GET THERE, BUT THEY CAN SUPPORT PATIENTS, THEY CAN
23	NAVIGATE PATIENTS, THEY CAN SCREEN PATIENTS, AND
24	THEY CAN ENGAGE POPULATIONS, AGAIN, THAT WE ARE
25	TRYING TO REACH.

1	SO WE'VE BROKEN THE CLINICAL LANE, IF YOU
2	WILL, INTO SORT OF PROPOSING TWO AREAS. AGAIN, A
3	SITE THAT CAN, OVER THE AWARD PERIOD, WOULD SIMPLY
4	BE SUPPORTING TRIALS AND WORKING WITH THE ALPHA
5	CLINICS MAINLY AS REFERRAL SITES OR THEY CAN BE
6	SUPPORT AND DELIVERY SITES WHERE THEY'RE GOING TO BE
7	DELIVERING THE CAPACITY TO HANDLE INVESTIGATIONAL
8	PRODUCTS. AND JUST, AGAIN, TO SORT OF GIVE YOU A
9	SENSE OF READINESS OR EAGERNESS, THERE ARE ALREADY
10	DISCUSSIONS GOING ON WITH POTENTIAL APPLICANTS AND
11	ALPHA CLINICS TO REALLY DESCRIBE THAT PROCESS. SO
12	THEY'RE IN PROCESS, AND THIS AWARD WOULD REALLY
13	ACCELERATE THE COMPLETION OF THAT PROCESS.
	ACATN CAREER DEVELORMENT T THINK THE
14	AGAIN, CAREER DEVELOPMENT. I THINK THE
14 15	MAIN ASPECT HERE, YOU HEARD DR. KELLY SHEPARD AT THE
15	MAIN ASPECT HERE, YOU HEARD DR. KELLY SHEPARD AT THE
15 16	MAIN ASPECT HERE, YOU HEARD DR. KELLY SHEPARD AT THE LAST MEETING, I BELIEVE. WE'RE REALLY PUTTING A LOT
15 16 17	MAIN ASPECT HERE, YOU HEARD DR. KELLY SHEPARD AT THE LAST MEETING, I BELIEVE. WE'RE REALLY PUTTING A LOT OF FOCUS ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION IN DEVELOPING OUR
15 16 17 18	MAIN ASPECT HERE, YOU HEARD DR. KELLY SHEPARD AT THE LAST MEETING, I BELIEVE. WE'RE REALLY PUTTING A LOT OF FOCUS ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION IN DEVELOPING OUR EDUCATION PLATFORMS AND SYSTEMS. THE AIM THERE IS
15 16 17 18 19	MAIN ASPECT HERE, YOU HEARD DR. KELLY SHEPARD AT THE LAST MEETING, I BELIEVE. WE'RE REALLY PUTTING A LOT OF FOCUS ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION IN DEVELOPING OUR EDUCATION PLATFORMS AND SYSTEMS. THE AIM THERE IS TO BRING TOGETHER THOSE PROGRAMS IN A STRUCTURED
15 16 17 18 19	MAIN ASPECT HERE, YOU HEARD DR. KELLY SHEPARD AT THE LAST MEETING, I BELIEVE. WE'RE REALLY PUTTING A LOT OF FOCUS ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION IN DEVELOPING OUR EDUCATION PLATFORMS AND SYSTEMS. THE AIM THERE IS TO BRING TOGETHER THOSE PROGRAMS IN A STRUCTURED WAY SO THAT THE PROGRAM DIRECTORS, THE PROGRAM
15 16 17 18 19 20	MAIN ASPECT HERE, YOU HEARD DR. KELLY SHEPARD AT THE LAST MEETING, I BELIEVE. WE'RE REALLY PUTTING A LOT OF FOCUS ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION IN DEVELOPING OUR EDUCATION PLATFORMS AND SYSTEMS. THE AIM THERE IS TO BRING TOGETHER THOSE PROGRAMS IN A STRUCTURED WAY SO THAT THE PROGRAM DIRECTORS, THE PROGRAM MANAGERS CAN TAKE THOSE RESOURCES AND REALLY SHARE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MAIN ASPECT HERE, YOU HEARD DR. KELLY SHEPARD AT THE LAST MEETING, I BELIEVE. WE'RE REALLY PUTTING A LOT OF FOCUS ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION IN DEVELOPING OUR EDUCATION PLATFORMS AND SYSTEMS. THE AIM THERE IS TO BRING TOGETHER THOSE PROGRAMS IN A STRUCTURED WAY SO THAT THE PROGRAM DIRECTORS, THE PROGRAM MANAGERS CAN TAKE THOSE RESOURCES AND REALLY SHARE THEM, WHETHER IT'S SHARED CURRICULUM, WHETHER IT'S
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MAIN ASPECT HERE, YOU HEARD DR. KELLY SHEPARD AT THE LAST MEETING, I BELIEVE. WE'RE REALLY PUTTING A LOT OF FOCUS ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION IN DEVELOPING OUR EDUCATION PLATFORMS AND SYSTEMS. THE AIM THERE IS TO BRING TOGETHER THOSE PROGRAMS IN A STRUCTURED WAY SO THAT THE PROGRAM DIRECTORS, THE PROGRAM MANAGERS CAN TAKE THOSE RESOURCES AND REALLY SHARE THEM, WHETHER IT'S SHARED CURRICULUM, WHETHER IT'S HERE WE'RE TRYING TO PLACE PEOPLE, THE WHOLE RANGE

1	STRUCTURED WAY SO THAT WE CAN MAKE THESE PROGRAMS
2	VISIBLE AND SUCCESSFUL. AND THE AIM HERE WOULD BE
3	TO TIE INTO THAT INTERNAL INFRASTRUCTURE TO PROMOTE
4	THESE EDUCATION OPPORTUNITIES.
5	AND, AGAIN, THE OUTREACH AND ENGAGEMENT
6	PIECE, I THINK THAT'S WHAT'S REALLY DIFFERENT HERE.
7	AND SO WE WOULD REALLY EXTEND THE OUTREACH AND
8	ENGAGEMENT TO INCLUDE COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS
9	TO SUPPORT CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT TO SUPPORT CAREER
10	DEVELOPMENT IN THIS AREA. AND I THINK WHAT WE WOULD
11	ANTICIPATE, A LOT OF OUR INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS
12	INCLUDE STEERING COMMITTEES AND COORDINATING
13	COMMITTEES THAT CUT ACROSS DIFFERENT PROGRAMS. AND
14	I WOULD IMAGINE WE WOULD REALLY WANT TO APPLY THAT
15	STEERING COMMITTEE STRATEGY SPECIFICALLY AROUND
16	OUTREACH AND ENGAGEMENT TO THIS PROGRAM BECAUSE THIS
17	IS THE PROGRAM WHERE THE RESOURCES WILL BE THERE,
18	THE EXPERIENCE WILL BE THERE, AND TO REALLY USE THAT
19	STEERING COMMITTEE PROCESS TO DISSEMINATE LESSONS
20	LEARNED, STRATEGIES, MATERIALS, ET CETERA. SO IT'S,
21	I THINK, A REAL OPPORTUNITY WITHIN THIS PROGRAM TO
22	DEVELOP THAT.
23	ANOTHER QUESTION THAT I WAS GOING TO
24	CREDIT THE AAWG WITH THIS ONE. HOW DOES A COMMUNITY
25	CARE CENTER, HOW IS IT DIFFERENT THAN AN ALPHA

1	CLINIC? AND HERE'S KIND OF AT LEAST THE SORT OF
2	TECHNICAL ANSWER, IF YOU WILL. THE ALPHA CLINICS,
3	IN ORDER TO COME IN, HAD TO BE ABLE TO DELIVER CELL
4	AND GENE THERAPY PRODUCTS. THEY HAD TO BE ABLE TO
5	SERVICE OUR CLIN2 AWARDS FROM DAY ONE. COMMUNITY
6	CARE CENTER IS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT. AGAIN, WE
7	WANT THEM TO BE ABLE TO SUPPORT THOSE TRIALS. AND
8	IF THEY'RE INTERESTED IN DEVELOPING THE CAPACITY TO
9	CONDUCT THEM, THEN THIS AWARD WOULD SUPPORT THAT
LO	DEVELOPMENT PROCESS, BUT THAT'S NOT A REQUIREMENT.
L1	THE ALPHA CLINICS, IN TERMS OF TRAINING
L2	REALLY DEVELOPED A LOT OF DE NOVO TRAINING PROGRAMS.
L3	AGAIN, COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS, THE AIM IS TO APPLY
L4	THOSE PROGRAMS, APPLY THOSE CURRICULUM, AND SERVE AS
L5	SITES FOR PLACEMENT AND CROSSTALK.
L6	FROM THE ALPHA CLINIC SIDE, I DON'T WANT
L7	TO IMPLY THEY'RE NOT DOING COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT
L8	BECAUSE THEY ARE, BUT THEY TEND TO REALLY FOCUS ON
L9	ENGAGEMENT IN THE CONTEXT OF CLINICAL PROTOCOLS AND
20	THEY DO THAT VERY WELL. THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS,
21	AGAIN, MORE OF THE SAME IN THE SENSE OF WE STILL
22	NEED CLINICAL TRIAL ENGAGEMENT AND NAVIGATION THAT'S
23	PROTOCOL SPECIFIC, BUT ALSO BROADER ENGAGEMENT
24	AROUND REGENERATIVE MEDICINE.
25	ONE OF THE STAKEHOLDERS EVEN TOOK US A

1	STEP FURTHER BACK AND SAID THERE'S A HUGE NEED JUST
2	TO EDUCATE PEOPLE ON WHAT'S A CLINICAL TRIAL. WHAT
3	DOES IT MEAN TO ME? WHAT DOES IT MEAN TO MY
4	COMMUNITY? THOSE SORTS OF ISSUES. THIS IS REALLY,
5	I THINK, AN OPPORTUNITY TO DEVELOP THAT LITERACY.
6	AGAIN, I THINK THESE WERE QUESTIONS
7	LARGELY COMING OUT OF THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE. WE
8	WANTED TO SORT OF POINT TO SOME OF THE ETHICS POLICY
9	GUARDRAILS THAT ARE BAKED INTO THE CONCEPT PLAN. I
10	NOTED THIS EARLIER. IT'S HUMAN SUBJECTS. YOU HAVE
11	TO BE COMPETENT IN HUMAN SUBJECTS RESEARCH SO THAT
12	WHATEVER YOU'RE DOING IS OVERSEEN BY AN IRB.
13	THERE'S A PROVISION IN STATE LAW THAT REQUIRES
14	PRACTITIONERS THAT ARE PROVIDING STEM CELL
15	THERAPIES, AND STEM CELL THERAPIES ARE DEFINED UNDER
16	THE LAW, IF THEY'RE NOT APPROVED BY THE FDA OR THEY
17	DO NOT HAVE AN IND, THEY HAVE TO PROVIDE A WARNING
18	TO THE PATIENTS THAT THESE ARE NOT APPROVED
19	TREATMENTS. IF YOU'RE DOING THAT, THEN YOU'RE NOT
20	ELIGIBLE FOR THIS PROGRAM. IF YOU'RE PROVIDING THAT
21	WARNING IN YOUR CLINICAL PRACTICE, PLEASE DON'T
22	APPLY.
23	RESEARCH ETHICS TRAINING, THIS WAS A BIG
24	THEME WHEN WE ENGAGED PROGRAMS THAT HAVE DONE
25	ENGAGEMENT AROUND CLINICAL RESEARCH, THAT THE

1	INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE DOING THE OUTREACH AND
2	ENGAGEMENT NEED TO UNDERSTAND SORT OF THE ETHICAL
3	ASPECTS OF THAT WORK. AND THERE ARE A LOT OF
4	TRAINING PROGRAMS AND OPPORTUNITIES OUT THERE. SO
5	WE'D LIKE TO BRING THAT IN. APPLICANTS WOULD
6	BASICALLY BE ABLE TO BUDGET FOR THOSE TYPES OF
7	ACTIVITIES AND ASKED TO DO THAT.
8	AND, AGAIN, THE RESEARCH ETHICS PIECE SORT
9	OF GOES BACK TO WE HAD THIS DISCUSSION WITHIN THE
LO	STANDARDS WORKING GROUP AND, AGAIN, THIS IDEA OF
L1	ACCREDITATION AND TRAINING WAS REINFORCED BY THE
L2	STANDARDS WORKING GROUP.
L3	LEVERAGE, AGAIN, IF WE GO BACK TO THAT
L4	FOUR-PIECE, THAT FOUR-PART CIRCLE EARLY ON WHERE I
L5	WAS TRYING TO DESCRIBE THE CONNECTIONS TO OTHER CIRM
L6	INFRASTRUCTURE AND OTHER CIRM PROGRAMS. AGAIN, JUST
L7	TO REITERATE HOW WE'RE LEVERAGING OUR ASSETS. THIS
L8	IS A WAY OF TAKING WHAT WE DO WELL AT THE CLINICAL
L9	LEVEL, BUT REALLY EXPANDING IT OUT BEYOND OUR
20	EXISTING REACH. AGAIN, POINTING TO THE COMMUNITY
21	BASED THE ROLE FOR COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS
22	IN THIS AWARD. THEY WOULD HELP US AND PARTNER WITH
23	US TO REACH POPULATIONS THAT ARE LESS SERVED OR
24	UNDERSERVED BY OUR CURRENT PROGRAMS.
25	THERE'S A SUSTAINABILITY ASPECT. I

1	REFERRED TO THAT AND I JUST REITERATED IT HERE, THAT
2	WE'RE REALLY LOOKING TO TAP INTO EXISTING
3	REIMBURSEMENT MECHANISMS. OR IF THOSE REIMBURSEMENT
4	MECHANISMS CURRENTLY AREN'T PROVIDING REIMBURSEMENT
5	FOR THIS WORK, HOW CAN WE USE THIS PROGRAM TO GET
6	REIMBURSEMENT FOR THAT TYPE OF WORK. SO WE'RE
7	WORKING ON LOOKING AT THOSE FUNDING STREAMS FOR,
8	AGAIN, COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS AND PATIENT
9	NAVIGATORS.
10	THE FOCUS, AGAIN, COMING BACK TO THAT
11	POINT THAT WE'RE REALLY LOOKING AT BOTH DISPARITIES
12	AND REFERRAL RATES AND PARTICIPATION RATES. I THINK
13	THAT WILL BE A MAJOR AIM OF THE PROGRAM, AND WE WILL
14	ASK APPLICANTS TO PROPOSE HOW THEY'RE GOING TO DO
15	THAT. AND THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WILL BE ASKED TO
16	SCORE THEM ON THE EFFICACY OR THE PERCEIVED EFFICACY
17	OF WHAT THEY'RE PROPOSING. AND, AGAIN, REALLY TO
18	BUILD COMPETENCY, WE REALLY TO WANT DEVELOP
19	CIRM-MEDIATED ENGAGEMENT NETWORKS. SOME OF THIS
20	WORK IS ALREADY GOING ON, AGAIN, WITHIN THE
21	ORGANIZATION. AND AS I ALLUDED TO, WE WOULD DEVELOP
22	A STEERING COMMITTEE SPECIFICALLY AROUND ENGAGEMENT
23	PRACTICE, BEST PRACTICE, AND HOW TO DO THAT
24	EFFECTIVELY.
25	AND ALSO, AGAIN BACK TO THE CONVERSATION A

1	FEW MINUTES AGO, I THINK DURING THIS PROCESS IT WAS
2	INDICATED THAT WE'RE REALLY TRYING TO DO A LOT HERE
3	IN TERMS OF THIS PROGRAM IN RELATION TO OUR STAFFING
4	RESOURCES. SO I'VE BEEN SPEAKING WITH DR. THOMAS
5	AND OTHERS. WE REALLY WILL PROPOSE SOME ADDITIONAL
6	STAFFING. WE THINK IT WOULD BE PARTICULARLY USEFUL
7	IN THIS PROGRAM TO HAVE INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE
8	EXPERIENCE WITH BOTH PROGRAM PLANNING AND EVALUATION
9	IN A SORT OF HEALTH EDUCATION CONTEXT TO SUPPORT THE
10	ENGAGEMENT WORKING GROUP. SO THAT'S ONE PARTICULAR
11	AREA WHERE I WOULD I'M ADVOCATING INTERNALLY TO
12	SORT OF BUILD SOME RESOURCES TO MAKE SURE THIS GOES
13	SMOOTHLY.
_	
14	AGAIN, THIS IS A LITTLE BIT REDUNDANT.
	AGAIN, THIS IS A LITTLE BIT REDUNDANT. I'LL TICK THROUGH IT VERY QUICKLY. SO BUILDING
14	
14 15	I'LL TICK THROUGH IT VERY QUICKLY. SO BUILDING
14 15 16	I'LL TICK THROUGH IT VERY QUICKLY. SO BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS WITH ALPHA CLINICS. AGAIN, THAT'S
14 15 16 17	I'LL TICK THROUGH IT VERY QUICKLY. SO BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS WITH ALPHA CLINICS. AGAIN, THAT'S HAPPENED THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS. THERE ARE VERY
14 15 16 17 18	I'LL TICK THROUGH IT VERY QUICKLY. SO BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS WITH ALPHA CLINICS. AGAIN, THAT'S HAPPENED THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS. THERE ARE VERY SPECIFIC PROPOSALS THAT ARE BEING DEVELOPED THAT
14 15 16 17 18	I'LL TICK THROUGH IT VERY QUICKLY. SO BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS WITH ALPHA CLINICS. AGAIN, THAT'S HAPPENED THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS. THERE ARE VERY SPECIFIC PROPOSALS THAT ARE BEING DEVELOPED THAT POTENTIAL APPLICANTS WILL BE PROPOSING. THE
14 15 16 17 18 19	I'LL TICK THROUGH IT VERY QUICKLY. SO BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS WITH ALPHA CLINICS. AGAIN, THAT'S HAPPENED THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS. THERE ARE VERY SPECIFIC PROPOSALS THAT ARE BEING DEVELOPED THAT POTENTIAL APPLICANTS WILL BE PROPOSING. THE MANUFACTURING NETWORK, TO THE EXTENT WE HAVE SITES
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'LL TICK THROUGH IT VERY QUICKLY. SO BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS WITH ALPHA CLINICS. AGAIN, THAT'S HAPPENED THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS. THERE ARE VERY SPECIFIC PROPOSALS THAT ARE BEING DEVELOPED THAT POTENTIAL APPLICANTS WILL BE PROPOSING. THE MANUFACTURING NETWORK, TO THE EXTENT WE HAVE SITES THAT WANT TO GET INVOLVED IN MANUFACTURING, AND
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'LL TICK THROUGH IT VERY QUICKLY. SO BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS WITH ALPHA CLINICS. AGAIN, THAT'S HAPPENED THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS. THERE ARE VERY SPECIFIC PROPOSALS THAT ARE BEING DEVELOPED THAT POTENTIAL APPLICANTS WILL BE PROPOSING. THE MANUFACTURING NETWORK, TO THE EXTENT WE HAVE SITES THAT WANT TO GET INVOLVED IN MANUFACTURING, AND THERE ARE CERTAINLY ONES OUT THERE THAT OUR
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'LL TICK THROUGH IT VERY QUICKLY. SO BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS WITH ALPHA CLINICS. AGAIN, THAT'S HAPPENED THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS. THERE ARE VERY SPECIFIC PROPOSALS THAT ARE BEING DEVELOPED THAT POTENTIAL APPLICANTS WILL BE PROPOSING. THE MANUFACTURING NETWORK, TO THE EXTENT WE HAVE SITES THAT WANT TO GET INVOLVED IN MANUFACTURING, AND THERE ARE CERTAINLY ONES OUT THERE THAT OUR MANUFACTURING NETWORK CAN SUPPORT THAT, THE

1	SHEPARD. AND WE'VE ALSO BEEN REACHING OUT TO SOME
2	RARE DISEASE ORGANIZATIONS AND PROVIDING VISIBILITY
3	TO THIS PROGRAM. SO THEY HAVE A POTENTIAL TO
4	PARTNER IN WITH OUR APPLICANTS.
5	SO FINAL STAGE, THIS IS BASICALLY THE ASK.
6	THE ALLOCATION WE'RE REQUESTING IS 60.2 MILLION.
7	AND JUST AS A GUIDEPOST, PROPOSITION 14 ACTUALLY
8	AUTHORIZED UP TO 78 MILLION FOR THIS PROGRAM.
9	THAT'S A FIGURE THAT WAS PRESENTED IN JANUARY OF
10	2021 WHEN YOU RECEIVED A BROAD OVERVIEW OF
11	PROPOSITION 14. SO WE'RE REFERENCING THAT FIGURE.
12	IT MEANS WE HAVE SOME HEADROOM IN TERMS OF IF WE
13	WANT TO HAVE A SECOND ROUND OR ADD SITES. JUST AS A
14	REMINDER, THAT'S THE PROPOSITION 14 ALLOCATION. IF
15	FOR WHATEVER REASON THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT THIS
16	PROGRAM WOULD BENEFIT FROM FURTHER RESOURCES, YOU
17	HAVE THE DISCRETION TO ADD RESOURCES AT YOUR
18	DISCRETION.
19	AGAIN, THE BUDGET IS DESIGNED TO SUPPORT
20	CORE OPERATIONS. THAT'S THE CLINICAL ASPECTS,
21	COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS. AND IN ADDITION, THERE'S,
22	AS I ALLUDED TO EARLIER, THERE'S FACILITIES FUNDING
23	IN THERE. AND FACILITIES IS BUILDING, RENOVATION,
24	AND FACILITIES OVER THE FIVE YEARS OF THE AWARD. SO
25	WE WILL WORK WITH WE MAY OR MAY NOT NEED TO BRING

1	IN THE FACILITIES WORKING GROUP. THAT'S TO BE
2	DETERMINED DEPENDING ON THE FINAL APPLICATION.
3	AND AS WE MODEL THIS OUT, WE THINK THAT
4	THIS COULD SUPPORT THREE SITES THAT WOULD PROPOSE TO
5	BOTH SUPPORT AND DELIVER CLINICAL TRIALS. SO,
6	AGAIN, SITES THAT WOULD BE AKIN TO AN ALPHA CLINIC.
7	THAT'S 10 MILLION PER AWARD. THAT'S RIGHT AROUND
8	THE SAME BUDGET ALLOCATION AS THE CURRENT ALPHA
9	CLINICS AWARD. AND THEN THE SUPPORT SITE AWARDS
10	WOULD BE COMING IN AT 7.5 MILLION AS A PROPOSED
11	BUDGET. SO THAT'S THE BREAKDOWN ON THE DIFFERENCES
12	BETWEEN THE TWO SITES.
13	AND I THINK THAT'S IT. THANK YOU FOR YOUR
14	TIME AND ATTENTION.
15	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YOU WERE THINKING
16	ABOUT THANKING US. OKAY. I LIKE THAT. THANK YOU,
17	GEOFFREY. THAT WAS VERY COMPREHENSIVE AND WELL
18	ORGANIZED.
19	SO IN A SENSE YOU'RE LOOKING AT WHAT I'M
20	HOPING TO SEE WILL BE A MOTION FROM THE BOARD TO
21	ACCEPT THIS CONCEPT PLAN AND ITS BUDGET ALLOCATION.
22	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SO MOVED.
23	MR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: SECOND IT.
24	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE HAVE A SECOND
25	FROM MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE, AND MARIA MADE THE

1	PRIMARY MOVEMENT. SO THE BOARD IS OPEN FOR
2	DISCUSSION. YSABEL, YOU CAN GO FIRST.
3	MS. DURON: I KNOW WE'RE INCHING UP INTO SIESTA
4	TIME. BUT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I DIDN'T WANT TO
5	INTERRUPT YOU ON, GEOFF, BUT WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT
6	PATIENT EDUCATION AT THE VERY BEGINNING AND IT WAS
7	MIXED IN WITH THE OTHER PARTS THAT I THOUGHT WERE
8	DEALING WITH THOSE WHO WOULD BE THE APPLICANTS, I
9	WASN'T SURE WHO THAT WAS AIMED AT. AND I THINK THAT
10	THOSE OF US IN THE ADVOCACY WORLD LIKE TO THINK OF
11	PATIENT EDUCATION THAT IS NECESSARY FOR THE
12	APPLICANTS AS WELL. SO IT WORKS BOTH WAYS. SO THEY
13	UNDERSTAND THAT PATIENTS ARE NOT WIDGETS, PATIENTS
14	ARE NOT DATA BITS, BUT THAT, IN FACT THEY UNDERSTAND
15	THE COMMUNITIES WITH WHOM THEY ARE TRYING TO PARTNER
16	AND TO GET THEM TO PARTICIPATE.
17	SO THAT I THINK THE PART OF PATIENT
18	EDUCATION FOR THE APPLICANTS IS THAT THEY SHOULD
19	KNOW, AND THIS MIGHT BE PART OF A CORE CURRICULUM
20	THAT YOU'RE DEVELOPING OR ONE THAT YOU SAY ALREADY
21	EXISTS, AND THAT IS THAT THEY SHOULD UNDERSTAND THE
22	FOOTPRINT OF THE DEMOGRAPHICS AND WHERE THEY
23	OPERATE. WHO ARE THESE PEOPLE THAT WE HOPE TO BRING
24	INTO OUR SPACE? AND UNDERSTAND THEM ON A CULTURAL
25	LEVEL, ON A RACIAL LEVEL, ON AN ETHNIC LEVEL, ON AN

1	EXPERIENTIAL LEVEL FOR THEIR LIVED EXPERIENCE. A
2	LOT OF TRAUMA IN SOME OF OUR COMMUNITIES
3	CONTINUALLY.
4	SO WHEN YOU'RE TRYING TO BRING THEM INTO
5	SOMETHING LIKE SCIENCE, WHICH THERE'S STILL
6	MISINFORMATION OUT THERE, YOU HAVE TO BE SUPER
7	SENSITIVE TO ALL OF THIS. AND I THINK THAT
8	SOMETIMES WHEN YOU'RE LOOKING AT IT FROM A VERY HIGH
9	LEVEL, YOU'RE THINKING YOU'RE DOING GOOD, BUT IN
10	REALITY, UNTIL YOU ADDRESS PEOPLE WHERE THEY LIVE
11	AND HOW THEY FEEL AND WHAT THEY THINK AND WHAT THEY
12	KNOW, I DON'T THINK YOU GET THE SAME KIND OF BUY-IN.
13	AND WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT BRINGING SCIENCE TO
14	COMMUNITY AND COMMUNITY TO SCIENCE, WE NEED TO MAKE
15	SURE BOTH SIDES ARE EDUCATED.
16	DR. LOMAX: THAT MESSAGE CAME IF YOU GO
17	BACK, WE DID PRODUCE SOME SUMMARIES OF LISTENING
18	SESSIONS AND TRIED TO RANK ORDER I WON'T SAY RANK
19	ORDER. IT'S A BIT STRONG BUT GIVE WEIGHT TO THE
20	MESSAGES WE HEARD. SO WHEN WE LOOKED AT THE
21	MESSAGES FROM INDIVIDUALS WHO WORK WITH THE
22	COMMUNITIES THAT YOU DESCRIBE OR THAT ARE AS CLOSE
23	TO THE COMMUNITIES YOU DESCRIBE, IT WAS THOSE THEMES
24	OF TRUST, RESPECT, RECIPROCITY, BUILDING UP. AND SO
25	IN TERMS OF RELATING THAT BACK TO THE CONCEPT PLAN,

1	WE DID TRY TO EMBRACE THEM IN THE PLAN. I THINK
2	THAT'S THE AIM, AT LEAST, OF MANDATING THAT THERE
3	ARE THESE COMMUNITIES-BASED PARTNERSHIPS AND THEY'RE
4	IN THE APPLICATION ON THE FRONT END AND THAT THOSE
5	CONVERSATIONS THE CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN THE
6	COMMUNITY PARTNERS AND THE APPLICANTS WOULD NEED TO
7	BE TOWARDS A DIRECTED AIM AS YOU DESCRIBE.
8	LINKING IT BACK TO THE METRICS, I THINK
9	IT'S HOW DO WE OUR ISSUES LIKE REFERRAL RATES.
10	AND SO I KNOW IT MOVES VERY QUICKLY BACK INTO SORT
11	OF METRICS OF MEDICAL METRICS; BUT I THINK IN ORDER
12	TO DO THAT, YOU DO HAVE TO SORT OF ADDRESS THE
13	ISSUES OF TRUST AND THOSE SORTS OF THINGS. SO I
14	HOPE WE'VE GOT THAT RIGHT. WE WERE ENCOURAGED,
15	AGAIN, BY THE BOARD TO BUILD IT AROUND THINGS WE CAN
16	MEASURE. SO I THINK IT'S THAT, BUT IT'S
17	FUNDAMENTAL. IT'S THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE AIMS
18	AND THEN THAT PARTNERSHIP AND THE APPLICANTS COMING
19	IN WITH A DEFINED PLAN, NOT SIMPLY SAY WE'RE GOING
20	TO PARTNER WITH GROUP X. TOWARDS WHAT END? WHAT
21	POPULATIONS ARE YOU INTERESTED IN? WHAT'S THE
22	DEMOGRAPHIC?
23	THAT'S HOW I SEE WE CAN GET AT THAT
24	THROUGH THE APPLICATION PROCESS. I HOPE THAT'S
25	SUFFICIENT TO ADDRESS, BUT I DON'T KNOW. BUT I

1	HOPE THAT'S THE THINKING.
2	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, GEOFF.
3	WE HAVE COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBER ABOUSALEM
4	FOLLOWED BY DULIEGE.
5	DR. ABOUSALEM: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
6	THANK YOU FOR THIS PRESENTATION. I'M REALLY PLEASED
7	TO SEE THAT THIS PROGRAM IS TAKING SHAPE AND YOU'RE
8	COMING TODAY WITH THIS PROPOSAL.
9	I HAVE A QUESTION OR COMMENT AND MAYBE A
10	RECOMMENDATION AROUND ONE OF THE OBJECTIVES OF THE
11	PROGRAM. AND I'M LOOKING AT THE DOCUMENT AND I'M
12	LOOKING AT THE OBJECTIVE NO. 5. IT'S BULLET NO. 5,
13	WHICH IS PROPOSED TARGETED INTERVENTIONS AIMED AT
14	REDUCING DISPARITIES AND REFERRALS TO CLINICAL
15	TRIALS AND THE REST. I'M WONDERING WHY THIS
16	OBJECTIVE. TO ME IT SEEMS IT'S A LITTLE AMBIGUOUS
17	AND TOO SOFT IN THE SENSE THAT IT'S NOT CLEAR WHO'S
18	PROPOSING TO WHOM. AND ALSO TO WHAT END? THE
19	PROPOSAL IS JUST A VERY SOFT ACTION. AND IF IT IS
20	TO A THIRD PARTY OR IF THIS IS GOING TO BE PART OF
21	THE APPLICATION, WHY IS IT NOT SIMILAR TO THE OTHER
22	OBJECTIVES LIKE CONDUCT TARGETED OR PLAN AND CONDUCT
23	TARGETED INTERVENTIONS?
24	AND I WANT TO SAY EVEN FURTHERMORE, WHEN
25	YOU LOOK AT THE CORE PROGRAM ACTIVITIES, I COULD NOT

1	PUT MY FINGER ON ANY ACTIVITY THAT DIRECTLY ALIGNS
2	WITH THIS OBJECTIVE. SO I FEEL THAT THIS OBJECTIVE
3	MAY GET LOST, AND WE WON'T BE ABLE TO MEASURE HOW
4	WE'RE DOING ON THIS ONE OBJECTIVE. SO IF YOU CAN
5	TALK ABOUT THAT, AND HOPEFULLY YOU WILL CONSIDER
6	IMPROVING THE LANGUAGE AND THE ALIGNMENT WITH THE
7	CORE ACTIVITIES.
8	DR. LOMAX: THANK YOU FOR THAT COMMENT.
9	YES. SO WE ALWAYS HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF A STRUGGLE
10	AT THE CONCEPT LEVEL BECAUSE IT IS CONCEPTUAL WHERE
11	THE REAL I THINK WHERE WE CAN DRIVE INTO THE
12	SPECIFICITY IS WHEN WE GET INTO THE QUEST FOR
13	APPLICATIONS. THE NEXT STEP WILL BE TO ADD
14	SPECIFICITY AND THAT LEVEL OF DETAIL.
15	I THINK ONE OF THE THINGS, AND, AGAIN,
16	I'VE TALKED TO DR. THOMAS ABOUT THIS ALREADY, WOULD
17	LIKE TO BE ABLE TO, AS WE GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS OF
18	EXPANDING AND ELABORATING THE CONCEPTUAL BULLETS TO
19	ASKS WITHIN THE APPLICATION, THAT WE CAN ACTUALLY
20	COME BACK TO SOME OF YOU ALL TO SEE DOES THIS
21	ADDRESS YOUR CONCERN OR DID WE GET IT RIGHT.
22	BECAUSE I THINK IT'S THAT NEXT STAGE WHERE WE GET
23	THAT LEVEL OF SPECIFICITY THAT IS REALLY IMPORTANT
24	TO, I THINK, WHAT YOU'RE ASKING. SO THAT'S ONE
25	THING, AGAIN, WE'VE SORT OF ALREADY PROPOSED. I
	160

1	DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S CHANGE AT THE CONCEPT LEVEL
2	THAT WE COULD DO IN THE NEARER TERM THAT WOULD
3	ADDRESS THAT.
4	DR. ABOUSALEM: SO I'D JUST LIKE TO
5	COMMENT ON THAT. I WANT TO PUSH BACK A LITTLE BIT
6	ON THAT. WE ARE ASKED TO APPROVE A PROGRAM BASED ON
7	A CONCEPT. AND LOT OF THE OBJECTIVES YOU HAVE ARE
8	DEFINITIVE ENOUGH ABOUT WHAT THE GOAL IS, EVEN HOW
9	YOU CAN MEASURE IT, YOU CAN EXTRAPOLATE, AND THE
10	ACTIVITIES THAT ARE GOING TO BE ALIGNED WITH IT. TO
11	ME IT'S A NICE GOAL TO PROPOSE TARGETED
12	INTERVENTIONS, BUT DOESN'T DO MUCH. SO I APPRECIATE
13	WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, THIS IS THE CONCEPT PHASE, BUT
14	EVERYTHING ELSE IS REALLY WHAT YOU EXPECT THE
15	ACTIVITY TO ACHIEVE AND THE APPLICANT TO
16	DEMONSTRATE. AND IT'S ONLY SOFT ON THIS ONE, AND WE
17	SHOULD REALLY FINE-TUNE THIS ONE UPFRONT. THANK
18	YOU.
19	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. MEMBER
20	DULIEGE.
21	DR. DULIEGE: HI. I'M GOING A LITTLE BIT
22	IN LINE OF WHAT YOU SAID, MOHAMED. IN FACT, I HAVE
23	A COMMENT, A SUGGESTION FOR CLARIFICATION, A
24	QUESTION AND, FINALLY, A RECOMMENDATION. SO BEAR
25	WITH ME, AND I'LL TRY TO BE FAIRLY QUICK ON THAT.

1	MY COMMENT IS IT'S AN EXCELLENT
2	PRESENTATION, VERY WELL THOUGHT OUT, EXTREMELY
3	CLEAR. AND I PARTICULARLY APPRECIATE THE
4	CLARIFICATION OF THE ROLE, THE IMPORTANT ROLE OF
5	COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS. I WILL SAY CBO FROM
6	NOW ON. TWO POINTS I WANTED TO MENTION ABOUT THAT.
7	THE ENROLLMENT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE
8	INVESTIGATOR OF THE ALPHA CLINIC. HOWEVER, USUALLY
9	MOST TEAMS DON'T HAVE A LOT OF TIME TO HELP PATIENTS
10	REALLY TRULY UNDERSTAND WHAT MIGHT BE GOING ON
11	THERE, AND THAT'S WHERE A CBO WOULD BE PARTICULARLY
12	USEFUL. LIKEWISE, A PATIENT MAY WANT TO BE IN A
13	TRIAL AND AT THE LAST MINUTE HE OR SHE IS ELIGIBLE.
14	THERE IS A HUGE DISAPPOINTMENT THERE, HUGE. AND,
15	AGAIN, THE TEAM AT THE CLINIC MAY NOT HAVE THE TIME
16	TO ACCOMPANY THIS PERSON DURING THIS DIFFICULT
17	PHASE. AND A CBO WOULD HAVE MORE TIME AND PROBABLY
18	BE BETTER AT THAT. SO THAT'S GREAT.
19	WHAT ISN'T CLEAR TO ME IS THE ROLE THAT
20	YOU'RE THINKING ABOUT THE CLINICAL OPERATIONS. SO
21	WHEN YOU'RE SAYING SUPPORT AND DELIVERY SITES
22	DEVELOP, THAT WASN'T CLEAR. THE SITES ARE CURRENTLY
23	BEING GIVEN MONEY TO BEING TRAINED TO BECOME
24	ENROLLMENT SITES OR ENROLLING SITES. IF YOU CAN
25	CLARIFY THAT BECAUSE YOU'RE A SITE OR YOU'RE NOT.

1	THERE IS A NEED FOR MORE SITES OR THERE IS NOT. AND
2	A SITE TRAINING FOR ENROLLMENT, KNOWING HOW MUCH
3	INFRASTRUCTURE IS NEEDED TO BECOME AN ENROLLING PER
4	SE IS A QUESTION FOR ME.
5	BUT MY TRUE QUESTION THAT WAS REALLY MORE
6	OF A CLARIFICATION IS THAT THE VAST MAJORITY OF
7	GRANTS WE SUPPORT ARE FOR VERY RARE DISEASE, ULTRA
8	RARE DISEASES FOR WHICH THE REFERRAL COMES
9	ESSENTIALLY FROM THE HOSPITAL SETTING AND HOSPITAL
10	NETWORK OF SPECIALISTS AND PARTICULARLY THE GENETIC
11	CENTERS. I CAN'T THINK ABOUT A PATIENT THAT YOU
12	WOULD FIND NEAR A COMMUNITY THAT YOU FIND THERE
13	BECAUSE HE'S NOT BEEN SEEN BY A DOCTOR, AND SOME
14	WILL GET HIM OR HER TO A STEM CELL CLINICAL TRIAL.
15	SO THAT IS CLEAR. AND FOR THAT MATTER, I'M
16	CONCLUDING HERE, I WONDER, \$60 MILLION IS STILL A
17	LARGE AMOUNT OF MONEY EVEN IF WE STARTED WITH DEEP
18	POCKETS, IF IT WILL BE WISE TO GO IN A STEPWISE
19	APPROACH, TEST A FEW THINGS OF WHICH YOU'RE
20	PROPOSING, EVALUATE IT, SHARE THIS EVALUATION WITH
21	THE BOARD, AND THEN MOVE ON TO A FULL USE OF THE \$60
22	MILLION. THAT'S ONLY A RECOMMENDATION ON MY PART.
23	OVER.
24	DR. LOMAX: YES. THANK YOU. AND THANKS
25	FOR THAT. THE ONE I CAN I'M JUST GOING TO START

1	HERE BECAUSE I THINK IT HOPEFULLY GETS AT A
2	SUBSTANTIAL PART OF THAT COMMENT. SO WE DID GO
3	WE ACTUALLY VISITED A NUMBER OF SITES. AND SO IN
4	TERMS OF THE PATIENT PIECE OR THE REFERRAL PIECE,
5	THERE ARE SITES THAT WERE ENGAGED IN THIS PROCESS
6	THAT ARE ALREADY SERVING AS REFERRAL SITES FOR THE
7	ALPHA CLINICS. THEY'RE ALREADY SUPPORTING PATIENTS,
8	THEIR PATIENTS GETTING TREATMENTS, SAY, AT AN ALPHA
9	CLINIC SITE. THIS IS PRIMARILY ONCOLOGY.
10	SO I THINK YOUR POINT ABOUT RARE GENETIC
11	DISEASE IS WELL TAKEN. BUT IN TERMS OF PARTICULARLY
12	THE ASPECTS OF OUR PORTFOLIO THAT ARE IN ONCOLOGY,
13	THESE RELATIONSHIPS ALREADY EXIST. AND WHAT WE
14	RECEIVED THROUGH THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT PROCESS WAS
15	HERE'S HOW WE COULD EXPAND THIS IN A WAY THAT WOULD
16	HELP YOU ACHIEVE YOUR AIM. SO I THINK, AGAIN,
17	ANOTHER AREA SIMILAR TO ONCOLOGY IS IN SICKLE CELL.
18	THERE ARE CENTERS THAT ARE ENGAGED IN THIS PROCESS
19	THAT DO MANAGE THOSE PATIENTS AND COULD SUPPORT WORK
20	IN SICKLE CELL AND THOSE RELATED DISORDERS.
21	SO AT LEAST IN THAT CLINICAL SPACE, THERE
22	SEEMED TO BE A SUBSTANTIAL PATIENT POPULATION THAT
23	WOULD BE WITHIN THE REACH OF THESE CENTERS. AGAIN,
24	THAT DOESN'T NECESSARILY ADDRESS THE RARE AND ULTRA
25	RARE. I THINK, AGAIN, THAT WAS A POINT THAT CAME UP

1	WITHIN THE SUBCOMMITTEE CONTEXT. AND I THINK THERE
2	ARE ONE OF THE AREAS WE'VE LOOKED AT IN TERMS OF,
3	AGAIN, COLLABORATION WITH THE ALPHA CLINICS AND WHAT
4	CAN THEY BRING IN HERE, THERE ARE PATIENT
5	REGISTRIES, THERE IS THE ABILITY TO INTERROGATE
6	MEDICAL RECORDS. AND, AGAIN, IF WE CAN IDENTIFY
7	THOSE PATIENTS, WOULD A FIRST LINE OF INTERACTION BE
8	WITHIN A COMMUNITY SETTING? SO, AGAIN, BUILDING
9	THOSE. SO, AGAIN, THEY SERVE AS A REFERRAL SITE AND
10	POTENTIALLY A PLACE WHERE, IF THE PATIENT WERE TO
11	COME IN AND LEARN ABOUT A CLINICAL TRIAL OR GET THAT
12	NAVIGATION, THAT THAT WOULD BE INITIATED CLOSER TO
13	HOME.
14	SO SOME OF THE SCENARIOS AT LEAST WERE
15	DESCRIBED THROUGH THIS PROCESS. I DON'T KNOW IF
16	THAT GETS AT ALL OF YOUR QUESTION, BUT CERTAINLY IT
17	INFORMED CONCEPTUALLY, THEN, THIS NOTICE BETWEEN
18	REFERRAL SITE AND TREATMENT SITE OR SORT OF REFERRAL
19	SITE OR LET ME USE THE CORRECT TERMINOLOGY
20	SUPPORT SITE OR SUPPORT AND TREATMENT SITE.
21	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU. I'M WONDERING IF
22	YOU HAVE COMMENTS OR CLARIFICATION ABOUT THE SITES
23	THAT ARE BEING TRAINED TO BECOME ENROLLMENT SITES.
24	IT'S UNDER CLINICAL OPERATIONS POINT NO. 2.
25	AND THEN FINALLY, WHAT DID YOU THINK OF MY

1	RECOMMENDATION, GIVEN IT'S PRETTY LARGE, TO GO IN A
2	STEPWISE APPROACH AND START A FEW OF THE STEPS AND
3	THEN REEVALUATE FOR EFFICIENCY?
4	DR. LOMAX: ON SOME LEVEL I ALMOST DEFER
5	TO THE BOARD ON THAT. WE BROUGHT FORWARD A
6	PROPOSAL. THAT'S CERTAINLY I THINK WHEN THE
7	ALPHA CLINICS PROGRAM STARRED, WE STARTED WITH
8	WELL, YES, WE ORIGINALLY STARTED WITH THREE AWARDS
9	AND NOW WE'RE UP TO TEN. SO THAT IS A MODEL THAT WE
10	HAVE DEPLOYED IN THE PAST. I THINK THE DOWNSIDE
11	POTENTIALLY TO THAT APPROACH IS WE DON'T KNOW THE
12	FUTURE OF THE ALPHA CLINIC AWARDS. THEY'RE GOING TO
13	BE MOVING INTO YEAR THREE OF THEIR FIVE-YEAR AWARDS.
14	THE ALPHA CLINICS ARE COMMITTED OR HAVE MADE
15	SUBSTANTIAL COMMITMENTS TO SUPPORTING POTENTIAL
16	APPLICANTS TO THIS PROGRAM. IF THE TIME HORIZON FOR
17	THIS PROGRAM STRETCHES BEYOND THE ALPHA CLINIC
18	AWARDS, WE POTENTIALLY HAVE A RISK THAT WE DON'T
19	HAVE THE ALPHA CLINICS AT THE SAME WITH THE SAME
20	RESOURCE TO SUPPORT THIS THAT WE OTHERWISE WOULD IF
21	WE FUNDED MORE SITES AT THIS TIME.
22	SO I THINK THERE'S A BALANCING THERE.
23	AGAIN, AT A CERTAIN LEVEL I WOULD DEFER TO THE BOARD
24	AS THE DECIDER, BUT THESE ARE SOME OF THE CHALLENGES
25	IN TERMS OF TIMING AND NUMBER OF AWARDS THAT SORT OF

1	ONE HAS TO THINK THROUGH IN TERMS OF A FINAL
2	DECISION.
3	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU.
4	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: BOARD MEMBER SACKEY.
5	DR. SACKEY: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. I HAVE
6	A COUPLE OF COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS. SO FIRST ONE
7	IS, FIRST OF ALL, I THINK THIS IS A WONDERFUL
8	PRESENTATION OF SOMETHING THAT IS VERY MUCH NEEDED
9	TO REALLY FULFILL THE OVERALL AIMS OF PUSHING ACCESS
10	TO CLINICAL TRIALS INTO THE COMMUNITY.
11	GIVEN THE FACT THAT ENROLLMENT OF MEMBERS
12	OF COMMUNITIES THAT ARE TYPICALLY NOT REPRESENTED IN
13	CLINICAL TRIALS, IT'S SO CONNECTED WITH BUILDING
14	TRUST WITH THE COMMUNITY. I WOULD HOPE THAT WE
15	WOULD TAKE ADVANTAGE, CIRM WILL TAKE ADVANTAGE OF
16	THIS TO HAVE SOME VISIBILITY INTO THE TEAM THAT IS
17	ACTUALLY GOING TO BE PUT TOGETHER TO DO THAT
18	OUTREACH BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT REPRESENTATION
19	MATTERS. AND TO THE EXTENT THAT THE COMMUNITY WE
20	ARE TRYING TO DO OUTREACH TO SEES ON THE TEAM
21	MEMBERS THAT LOOK LIKE THEM, IT WOULD ENHANCE THE
22	CHANCES THAT WE CAN INCREASE ENROLLMENT, NOT JUST
23	THE REFERRAL RATE, BUT ACTUALLY SUCCESSFULLY
24	ENROLLING PEOPLE IN CLINICAL TRIALS. THAT'S ONE
25	COMMENT I THINK IT WOULD BE IMPORTANT TO SORT OF
	. = -

1	THINK OF EVEN HAVING A METRIC FOR THE LEVEL OF
2	DIVERSITY OF THE WORKFORCE ITSELF.
3	MY SECOND COMMENT, AND I'M NOW GOING TO
4	WEAR THE OTHER HAT AS SOMEBODY WHO'S BEEN AN
5	ACADEMIC PHYSICIAN ALL MY PROFESSIONAL LIFE, I HAVE
6	APPRECIATED VERY MUCH THE POWER OF HAVING COMMUNITY
7	HEALTH WORKERS AND PATIENT NAVIGATORS, PARTICULARLY
8	IN THE GLOBAL SETTING. THERE'S ACTUALLY MANY
9	EXAMPLES OF EFFECTIVELY INCORPORATING COMMUNITY
10	HEALTH WORKERS IN INTERNATIONAL SETTINGS TO REALLY
11	HAVING A VERY SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME.
12	IT IS A CONCEPT THAT I THINK ACADEMIC
13	MEDICAL CENTERS HAVE BEEN SLOW IN PICKING UP. SO I
14	WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THIS REQUIREMENT FOR HAVING
15	NOT ONLY COMMUNITY WORKERS AND PATIENT NAVIGATOR
16	TRAINING, BUT GOING TO THE NEXT LEVEL OF HAVING
17	CERTIFICATION DOES NOT HAVE THE UNINTENDED
18	CONSEQUENCES OF ACTUALLY DISADVANTAGING ACADEMIC
19	MEDICAL CENTERS. I TOTALLY GET IF WE WANT TO TIP
20	THE SCALE TOWARDS MAYBE COMMUNITY HOSPITALS AND
21	CENTERS; BUT I WOULD HOPE THAT, SINCE WE ALSO WANT
22	AN ORGANIZATION THAT HAS THE CAPACITY TO CONDUCT
23	CLINICAL TRIALS AS WELL AS DO TRAINING, THAT WE
24	WOULD NOT DISADVANTAGE ACADEMIC MEDICAL CENTERS.
25	PART OF THE LAST THING I'LL SAY THERE IS

1	THAT THIS IS A CONVERSATION WE'RE ACTUALLY HAVING AT
2	MY INSTITUTION. AND ONE POTENTIAL ROADBLOCK COULD
3	BE SOME INSTITUTIONS HAVE HAD TO DEAL WITH STRIKES
4	FROM NURSING COLLEAGUES. AND, IN GENERAL, I'M NOT
5	PICKING I'M NOT ATTEMPTING TO PICK ON NURSES, BUT
6	I THINK THAT COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS AND SOME OF
7	THE FUNCTIONS THEY'VE CONDUCTED SOMEWHERE ELSE
8	SOMETIMES CAN OVERLAP WITH SOME OF THE NURSING
9	TASKS. AND THAT MAY THEN BECOME A PROBLEM FROM SOME
10	AMS'S WHO ARE TRYING TO DO CERTIFICATION FOR THIS
11	GROUP. JUST A COUPLE OF COMMENTS. OTHERWISE,
12	EXCELLENT CONCEPT.
13	DR. LOMAX: TO YOUR FIRST POINT IN
14	RELATION TO WHAT MAKES AN EFFECTIVE MESSENGER,
15	THERE'S A PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE THAT SUGGESTS
16	TO REINFORCE WHAT YOU DESCRIBE. SO I THINK, AGAIN,
17	THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO USE THE QUEST FOR
18	APPLICATION TO REALLY CITE THAT BODY OF EVIDENCE.
19	AND THE APPLICANT THEN NEEDS TO SPEAK IF THEY
20	DON'T ADDRESS IF THEY DON'T COME UP WITH AN
21	APPROACH THAT IS CONSISTENT WITH THAT, THAT'S GOING
22	TO POTENTIALLY RAISE QUESTIONS ABOUT HOW EFFECTIVE
23	THIS PROGRAM CAN BE. SO WE HAVE TO BE EXPLICIT AT
24	THE APPLICATION LEVEL OF REALLY LAYING OUT WHAT THE
25	EVIDENCE IS AS WE KNOW IT. BUT I THINK THAT IS A

1	FAIRLY WELL THIS IS SOMETHING FROM THE NEEDS
2	ASSESSMENT TO THE LITERATURE. SO I THINK IT'S A
3	QUESTION OF GIVING VISIBILITY TO THAT IN THE
4	APPLICATION, AND WE CAN DO THAT.
5	IN TERMS OF THAT WORK DYNAMIC, I THINK ONE
6	OF THE BIGGEST CHALLENGES HERE IS ACTUALLY WE HAVE
7	TO BE VERY CAREFUL ABOUT THE HANDOFF, IF YOU WILL,
8	PASSING THE BATON FROM AN ENGAGEMENT OR EDUCATION OF
9	AN INDIVIDUAL TO A CLINICAL PROTOCOL BECAUSE THAT'S
10	A VERY IMPORTANT STEP. AND I THINK THAT'S WHERE
11	THERE'S POTENTIALLY, I GUESS, FOR WANT OF A BETTER
12	TERM, A KIND OF BUFFERING IF I UNDERSTOOD THE POINT
13	CORRECTLY BECAUSE WE REALLY NEED ONCE YOU'RE IN
14	THE CLINICAL PROTOCOL, THAT'S IN A VERY DEFINED
15	SPACE AND THERE'S A SET OF PEOPLE. THAT'S PROBABLY
16	NOT GOING TO BE THE COMMUNITY WORKER. IT MAY BE THE
17	NAVIGATOR, BUT IT WON'T BE THE COMMUNITY HEALTH
18	WORKER.
19	AGAIN, WHEN WE'RE ALLUDING TO TRAINING AND
20	CERTIFICATION, IT'S AN APPRECIATION AND
21	UNDERSTANDING OF THE INDIVIDUALS CONDUCTING THAT
22	ACTIVITY AND WHERE THOSE LINES ARE, WHERE THOSE
23	BOUNDARIES ARE, AND HOW WE HAVE BOUNDARY INTEGRITY.
24	I HOPE THAT GETS AT IT. AT LEAST THAT'S THE
25	HYPOTHESIS, BUT, AGAIN, SUBJECT TO TESTING.
	4=0

1	DR. SACKEY: I THINK YOU ARE GETTING TO IT
2	EXACTLY. MY CAUTION IS THAT TO EXPECT THE
3	APPLICANTS TO ALSO SATISFY COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS
4	MAY REQUIRE SOME INTERNAL CONVERSATION ABOUT TURF
5	WARS THAT
6	DR. LOMAX: THERE'S EXISTING PROGRAMS. MY
7	SENSE WOULD BE THAT THE KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERTISE OF
8	OUR COLLECTIVE NETWORK, CLINICAL NETWORKS, COULD
9	INFORM THE EXISTING CERTIFICATION PROGRAMS, THINGS
10	LIKE A REGENERATIVE MEDICINE MODULE. AND THIS WOULD
11	BE BEYOND CLINICAL TRIALS. THIS WOULD BE HERE'S
12	WHAT SNAKE OIL IS. IF SOMEONE IS TELLING YOU ABOUT
13	THIS, YOU COULD BE HARMED PHYSICALLY OR FINANCIALLY.
14	SO IT'S A VERY BROAD SORT OF SET OF CONTENT AROUND
15	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE THAT INFORMS THOSE ACTIVITIES.
16	BUT I DON'T THINK AGAIN, WE IDENTIFIED PROGRAMS
17	THAT ALREADY EXIST. WE'D LIKE TO BUILD INTO THOSE
18	AND NOT CREATE DE NOVO PROGRAMS JUST BECAUSE OF
19	OPPORTUNITY COSTS AND REDUNDANCY.
20	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: PAT LEVITT IS NEXT
21	THEN.
22	DR. LEVITT: FIRST, I JUST WANT TO
23	CONGRATULATE YOU AND YOUR SCRAPPY TEAM BECAUSE YOU
24	PUT IN A TON OF WORK. AND THE CONCEPT PLAN HAS GONE
25	FROM, I THINK, FROM A DEVELOPMENTAL PERSPECTIVE

1	CRAWLING TO WALKING TO NOW RUNNING. SO IT'S REALLY
2	FANTASTIC. YOU'VE INCORPORATED SO MANY
3	RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE VARIOUS GROUPS THAT YOU'VE
4	ENGAGED WITH.
5	I DO WANT TO GET ONE THING TO BE MORE
6	EXPLICIT ABOUT, AND WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THIS, THAT
7	REFERRAL BIASES IN GENERAL FROM A GENERALIST TO A
8	SPECIALIST ARE THE DATA ARE PRETTY GRIM IN TERMS OF
9	UNDERREPRESENTED LATINO INDIGENOUS POPULATIONS,
10	AFRICAN-AMERICANS, AND THE POOR. THOSE WHO ARE ON
11	MEDICAID, THEIR REFERRAL RATES FOR JUST SPECIALIST
12	CARE IS LOWER.
13	THIS PROGRAM IN THE END IS GOING TO DEPEND
14	COMPLETELY ON THE START OF THE RACE WHICH IS
15	REFERRAL. AND SO IN YOUR COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT
16	COMPONENT, I REALLY WOULD LIKE TO SEE A CALL-OUT
17	THAT THE CCCE'S ARE IN A POSITION TO NOT ONLY ENGAGE
18	WITH PATIENTS AND COMMUNITIES, BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT
19	KNOCKING ON DOORS AND SAYING WOULD YOU LIKE TO JOIN
20	A CLINICAL TRIAL. THEY'RE GOING TO BE DEPENDENT
21	UPON COMMUNITY PHYSICIANS, HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS.
22	AND THE ENGAGEMENT NEEDS TO BE WITH THEM JUST AS
23	MUCH AS IT NEEDS TO BE WITH THOSE WHO MAY
24	PARTICIPATE. SO I THINK IF YOU CALL THAT OUT, IT
25	MEANS THAT THEY HAVE TO HAVE A PLAN WHICH MAY

1	ADDRESS THIS ISSUE ABOUT PROPOSED TARGETED
2	INTERVENTIONS AIMED AT REDUCING DISPARITIES AND
3	REFERRALS TO CLINICAL TRIALS. HAVE THE CONNECTION
4	DIRECT. AND THEN THERE ARE METRICS THAT ONE CAN
5	THEN USE TO DETERMINE WHETHER WHATEVER THEIR PLANS
6	ARE ARE SUCCESSFUL OR NOT. BUT I THINK IF YOU CALL
7	THAT COMPONENT OF THE OUTREACH OUT, I THINK YOU
8	REALLY HAVE IT, AT LEAST IN TERMS OF WHAT YOU ARE
9	ASKING THEM TO PROPOSE.
LO	THE ISSUE AROUND SUSTAINABILITY IS A GIANT
L1	ONE WHICH WILL BE FOR ANOTHER CONVERSATION AT
L2	ANOTHER TIME, BUT THAT'S A BIG ISSUE.
L3	DR. LOMAX: CAN I JUST MAKE ONE JUST TO
L4	BUILD ON THAT. THIS IS, AGAIN, WE REALLY LOOK
L5	FORWARD TO COMING BACK AND GETTING CONSULTING
L6	WITH BOARD MEMBERS. ONE GROUP I FAILED TO MENTION,
L7	BUT, AGAIN, THIS WAS IN THE CONVERSATION. WE DID
L8	MEET WITH PRIMARY CARE GROUPS, GROUPS THAT REPRESENT
L9	PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS. WE DO HAVE TEAMS WITHIN THE
20	ALPHA CLINICS THAT HAVE DEVELOPED LITERALLY POSTERS
21	THAT GO INTO PRIMARY CARE. HAVE YOU CONSIDERED A
22	CLINICAL TRIAL, THESE VERY SPECIFIC TYPES OF VISUAL
23	THINGS TO PROMPT THAT CONNECTION. SO THAT IS AN
24	AREA, I THINK, THAT PROBABLY WE WOULDN'T SAY
25	EVERYONE HAS TO HAVE A PRIMARY CARE PROGRAM

1	NECESSARILY, BUT ADD THAT AS A GROUP, A COMMUNITY,
2	IF YOU WILL, THAT IS IMPORTANT TO ENGAGE WITHIN THE
3	CONTEXT OF THIS APPLICATION AND PUT THAT OUT THERE.
4	AGAIN, IT'S THAT GRANTSMANSHIP OR APPLICATIONSHIP
5	WHERE YOU SORT OF GIVE PEOPLE THINGS THAT WE THINK
6	ARE GOING TO BE REALLY IMPORTANT TO MAKING THIS
7	HAPPEN WITHOUT TELLING THEM THEY HAVE TO DO
8	EVERYTHING BECAUSE IF THEY TRY TO BOIL THE OCEAN,
9	THAT WON'T WORK EITHER. SO HOW DO WE GET IT RIGHT?
10	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, GEOFF.
11	YSABEL.
12	MS. DURON: THANK YOU. I WANTED TO SORT
13	OF PICK UP ON THE STATEMENT OF JOYCE. I THINK IT'S
14	ALSO VERY IMPORTANT, GEOFF, TO ACTUALLY CLARIFY
15	AMONGST YOURSELVES THE LANGUAGE BECAUSE WHEN YOU
16	TALK ABOUT COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS, AKA PROMOTORES
17	IN SPANISH, AND YOU TALK ABOUT PATIENT NAVIGATORS,
18	TO THEM SOMETIMES THEY ARE ONE AND THE SAME. THEY
19	ARE NOT DIFFERENT WITHIN COMMUNITIES BECAUSE THEY'RE
20	HELPING PATIENTS, THEY'RE MOVING. AND THE SECOND
21	THING YOU CAN TALK ABOUT THEM AS BEING BRIDGES,
22	BRIDGES INTO SYSTEMS.
23	IT IS A DIFFICULTY SOMETIMES WITH THE
24	PROFESSIONAL CLASS THAT THEY'RE NOT BEING DISPLACED.
25	EVEN WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT CERTIFICATION, IT'S

1	DIFFERENT TYPE OF LEVEL OF CERTIFICATION. SOME OF
2	IT IS LEARNING THE LANGUAGE SO THAT YOU CAN TALK
3	ACROSS THESE SYSTEMS, BUT SOME OF IT IS OTHER WAYS
4	IN WHICH THEY MUST BE AND LEARN IN ORDER TO OCCUPY
5	THAT COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER SPACE. AND I SEE IN
6	THE WORK WE'VE DONE THEY'RE VERY EAGER TO LEARN AND
7	TO BE PART OF. THEY DON'T ASSUME THAT THEY'RE THE
8	SOLUTION. THEY'RE PART OF THE TEAM. AND I THINK
9	IT'S REALLY CRITICAL IN THAT LANGUAGE SPACE TO
10	REMIND THE PROFESSIONAL CLASSES THAT THESE PEOPLE
11	WILL BECOME A PART OF YOUR TEAM. THEY'RE NOT HERE
12	TO TAKE OVER YOUR JOB OR EVEN ASSUME THAT THEY CAN.
13	SO WHAT WE HAVE SEEN WORK, AT LEAST IN THE
14	PUBLIC HEALTHCARE SETTING, WITH THE CANCER CENTER
15	WAS THAT WE PILOTED ONE COMMUNITY HEALTHCARE WORKER
16	IN A CANCER CENTER IN A PUBLIC CARE SYSTEM. AND THE
17	NURSES ALWAYS TURNED TO HER TO HELP THEM. SHE WAS
18	WORKING STRICTLY WITH SPANISH SPEAKING. AND SHE'S
19	NAVIGATED OVER TIME A THOUSAND SPANISH-SPEAKING
20	PATIENTS. AND SHE BECAME INDISPENSABLE TO THE
21	DOCTORS, THE CLINICIANS, AND THE NURSES.
22	BUT WHEN I ASKED THE PATIENT WHAT THE MOST
23	IMPORTANT THING THIS WOMAN HAS DONE FOR YOU, THIS
24	WAS A TERMINAL COLON CANCER PATIENT, SHE THOUGHT
25	ABOUT IT AND SHE SAID, "SHE SPOKE MY LANGUAGE." SO

1	WE KNOW THAT LANGUAGE IS CRITICAL TO THIS BRIDGING.
2	AND I DON'T EVEN TALK ABOUT THE LANGUAGE
3	OF SPANISH. I'M TALKING ABOUT SPEAKING SOMETHING I
4	UNDERSTAND. WHAT THE HECK ARE YOU SAYING? WHAT ARE
5	YOU TELLING ME? AND THAT IS WHY THAT COMMUNITY
6	HEALTHCARE WORKER WHO COMES FROM THERE, UNDERSTANDS
7	THE CULTURAL REFERENCES, CAN BE CREATING CAN BE
8	THAT TRANSLATOR FOR THE DOCTOR WHO MAKES NO SENSE TO
9	THEM OR WHO CAN'T TELL THEM WHAT THEY NEED TO KNOW.
10	SO I JUST THINK THERE ARE DIFFERENT
11	INTERPRETATIONS, AND I THINK WE NEED TO BE VERY
12	CLEAR ABOUT THOSE WHEN WE START ASKING FOR
13	CERTIFICATIONS AND WE START NAMING TITLES AND SO ON
14	AND SO FORTH. SO EVEN WHEN YOU'RE SPEAKING WITH THE
15	APPLICANT, AND WE'LL GET THERE, THAT WE'RE CLEAR ON
16	WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO SAY TO THEM. I SEE COMMUNITY
17	HEALTH WORKERS AS BRIDGES BETWEEN SYSTEMS AND
18	COMMUNITY.
19	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, YSABEL.
20	I WANT TO GO BACK TO ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
21	YOU'RE STILL WITH US, RIGHT? YOU ASKED A THIRD
22	QUESTION. I'M NOT SURE THAT GOT COMPLETELY
23	ANSWERED, AND I DON'T WANT TO USURP THE PREROGATIVE
24	OF SPEAKING FOR THE BOARD. SHE ASKED A QUESTION
25	ABOUT INCREMENTALISM, WHETHER THIS CONCEPT SHOULD BE

1	ABSORBED AS A PIG THROUGH A PYTHON OR WHETHER IT
2	SHOULD BE BROUGHT BACK AT VARIOUS STAGES. AND GEOFF
3	LOMAX IN HIS ANSWER TO ANNE-MARIE VERY CORRECTLY
4	FOCUSED ON THAT PIE THAT'S CUT INTO FOUR PIECES
5	WHERE THE ALPHA CLINICS ARE ONE PART OF THAT. AND
6	BEING IN YEAR THREE OF A FIVE-YEAR, ACTUALLY YEAR
7	EIGHT OF TEN YEARS OF SUPPORT, FIVE YEARS OF THE
8	GRANT, THEY'RE A CRITICAL PART OF THE PUZZLE AND HOW
9	WILL EVERYTHING ALIGN.
10	SO, ANNE-MARIE, MAYBE YOU WOULD LIKE TO
11	ASK THAT QUESTION AGAIN, AND I'D INVITE BOARD
12	COMMENT.
13	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU, VITO, BECAUSE I
14	THOUGHT ABOUT HOW TO SIMPLIFY MY QUESTION. I TRUST
15	THE CIRM TEAM. THEY HAVE ALWAYS DONE THE RIGHT
16	THING IN TERMS OF STARTING A PROJECT AND THEN
17	REPORTING REGULARLY TO THE BOARD BECAUSE THAT'S
18	THEIR ROLE AND THEIR RESPONSIBILITY. SO I DON'T
19	NEED TO FOCUS SO MUCH ON AMENDING THIS MOTION. BUT
20	AS LONG AS, GEOFF, YOU AND THE CIRM TEAM HAVE A PLAN
21	TO IMPLEMENT THAT IN A STEPWISE MANNER AND THAT,
22	PARTICULARLY IN THE BEGINNING, YOU REPORT THAT TO
23	THE BOARD SO THAT WE'RE REALLY CONVINCED, TOGETHER
24	WITH YOU AND THE TEAM, THAT THIS IS MONEY WELL
25	SPENT. IT'S A NEW EFFORT. THE FIRST CAREFUL STEP

1	MIGHT BE USEFUL. THAT'S ALL I'M SAYING.
2	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. ELENA.
3	DR. FLOWERS: THIS WOULD BE TAKING US IN A
4	DIFFERENT DIRECTION IN CASE THERE'S FOLLOW-UP.
5	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: JUST AS A
6	REMINDER, WHEN WE DID THE FIRST ALPHA CLINICS
7	AWARDS, IT WAS TO FUND UP TO FIVE AWARDS AT 55
8	MILLION. WE FUNDED THREE BECAUSE NOT ALL GOT
9	RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING. SO IT'S UNLIKELY THAT ALL
10	THE AWARDS THAT COME IN FOR THIS PROGRAM WOULD BE
11	RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING, ALTHOUGH YOU NEVER KNOW.
12	AND THE TEAM DID SORT OF SCAN THE LANDSCAPE OF WHO
13	WOULD BE ELIGIBLE TO APPLY UNDER EACH CATEGORY AND
14	SORT OF DEVELOPED IT FROM THERE UNDERSTANDING THAT
15	THE UNIVERSE IS FAIRLY SMALL AT THIS MOMENT.
16	SO I THINK JUST BY THE NATURE OF THAT, IT
17	WILL BE A LIMITED PROGRAM. WE'VE ALSO TALKED
18	INTERNALLY A LOT ABOUT MAKING SURE THAT THE RFA IS
19	FLEXIBLE ENOUGH THAT WE CAN PIVOT AT ANY POINT IF
20	SOMETHING IS NOT WORKING AND LOOKS LIKE SOMETHING
21	ELSE COULD WORK AND THAT VERY CLOSE MANAGEMENT OF
22	THESE GRANTEES WILL BE NECESSARY IN ORDER TO BE ABLE
23	TO MAKE IT A VERY SUCCESSFUL PROGRAM.
24	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU.
25	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. ANY

1	OTHER COMMENT ON THAT QUESTION?
2	DR. FLOWERS: WELL, THAT ACTUALLY THANK
3	YOU. MARIA SAID IT WELL TO MY COMMENTS, WHICH ARE
4	THAT I THINK WELL, THE POINTS ARE VERY WELL TAKEN
5	ABOUT SORT OF THE SPECIFIES AROUND PROMOTORES VERSUS
6	IMPRIMERES AND THE STRUCTURES OF THESE PROGRAMS AND
7	THAT WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE'S FIDELITY TO
8	OUR ULTIMATE GOALS. I THINK THAT WE CAN ALSO TRUST
9	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP PROCESS A LITTLE BIT IN
10	THAT A LOT OF THIS WILL GET FLESHED OUT IN THE
11	REVIEW. I WANT TO CAUTION US TO NOT TAKE QUITE SUCH
12	A TOP-DOWN, ONE SIZE FITS ALL APPROACH TO HOW THIS
13	WILL LOOK IN DIFFERENT COMMUNITY SETTINGS. I THINK
14	THAT'S ACTUALLY KIND OF IN OPPOSITION WITH WHAT OUR
15	GOALS ARE TO REALLY BE EMBEDDED IN THE ACTUAL
16	COMMUNITIES AND ADDRESSING THEIR NEEDS.
17	SO I THINK I'M MORE IN FAVOR OF NOT KIND
18	OF BEING QUITE SO DRILLED DOWN AT THIS CONCEPT POINT
19	AND, AGAIN, LIKE REALLY TRUSTING IN OUR GRANT AND
20	PEER REVIEW PROCESS FOR THE APPLICATIONS.
21	DR. LOMAX: AGAIN, JUST TO EMPHASIZE
22	SOMETHING I HOPE CAME OUT DURING THE CONVERSATION.
23	THE EVOLUTION OF THIS SORT OF TWO DIFFERENT
24	APPLICANT OPPORTUNITIES FROM THE CLINICAL SIDE
25	REALLY REFLECTS THE HETEROGENEITY I THINK YOU'RE
	100

	-
1	ALLUDING TO. THAT'S WHY YOU DO NEEDS ASSESSMENTS.
2	THE QUOTE THAT REALLY STOOD OUT TO ME, A SITE, A
3	GROUP THAT WOULD WILL LOVE TO COME IN, PROBABLY HAS
4	AN AMAZING POTENTIAL TO SERVE THIS COMMUNITY, SAID,
5	WE'RE NEVER GOING TO GET TO THAT STAGE, AND THEY
6	WERE POINTING TO A FULL-BLOWN ALPHA CLINIC. THANK
7	YOU.
8	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. I DON'T SEE
9	ANY OTHER HANDS FROM COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS.
10	SO ARE THERE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC THAT WOULD LIKE
11	TO COMMENT ON THE MOTION? I'M LOOKING AT THE BACK
12	TABLE. THERE ARE NONE. ALL RIGHT. MR. TOCHER, I
13	THINK WE'RE GOOD TO GO FOR A VOTE.
14	MR. TOCHER: FOR ALL THOSE IN THE ROOM IN
15	FAVOR SAY AYE. ANY OPPOSED? ANY ABSTENTIONS? AND
16	I'LL POLL THE MEMBERS ON THE PHONE.
17	MOHAMED ABOUSALEM.
18	DR. ABOUSALEM: YES.
19	MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL.
20	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
21	MR. TOCHER: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL. MICHAEL
22	BOTCHAN. LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
23	DR. CLARK-HARVEY: AYE.
24	MR. TOCHER: HAL COLLARD.
25	DR. COLLARD: YES.
	189

1 MR. TOCHER: MONICA CARSON.	
I MR. TOCHER. MONICA CARSON.	
DR. CARSON: YES.	
3 MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.	
4 DR. DULIEGE: YES.	
5 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.	
6 MR. LAJARA: YES.	
7 MR. TOCHER: LINDA MALKAS.	
8 DR. MALKAS: YES.	
9 MR. TOCHER: CHRIS MIASKOWSKI.	
DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.	
MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA. JOE	
12 PANETTA.	
MR. PANETTA: YES.	
MR. TOCHER: MARV SOUTHARD.	
DR. SOUTHARD: YES.	
MR. TOCHER: SUZANNE SANDMEYER.	
DR. SANDMEYER: I THINK DEAN STAMOS MAY BE	
18 VOTING. I WILL VOTE YES IF HE'S NOT PRESENT.	
MR. TOCHER: MICHAEL STAMOS, ARE YOU ON?	
SUZANNE, WE'RE CONFIRMED IT'S YOU.	
DR. SANDMEYER: YES.	
MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU. GREAT. THANKS	
VERY MUCH. THE MOTION CARRIES.	
CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU SO MUCH.	
25 OKAY. GREAT.	
190	

1	DR. LOMAX: CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI, CAN I
2	JUST ASK EMILY REYES TO STAND UP FOR A MOMENT
3	PLEASE?
4	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OF COURSE.
5	DR. LOMAX: EMILY REALLY DROVE THE NEEDS
6	ASSESSMENT AND IS GOING TO BE A PART OF THE CORE
7	TEAM. WE DON'T GET A LOT OF CAREER OPPORTUNITIES IN
8	OUR LIFETIME, AND THIS IS ONE OF THEM. SO THANK YOU
9	FOR THAT. AND THANKS TO EMILY FOR HER WORK.
10	(APPLAUSE.)
11	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WELL DESERVED.
12	THANK YOU, GEOFF, FOR A GREAT PRESENTATION.
13	WE NOW ENTER THE FINAL PHASE OF THE
14	MEETING, WHICH IS WHERE WE OPEN UP TO PUBLIC
15	COMMENT. I'D LIKE TO DIVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT FIRST
16	INTO COMMENTS ON ITEMS THAT WERE PART OF TODAY'S
17	AGENDA, INCLUDING ANY OF THE APPLICATIONS. AND IF
18	THERE ARE NONE, I'LL OPEN THE FLOOR TO COMMENTS FROM
19	THE PUBLIC ON ANY SUBJECT MATTER THAT WAS NOT ON
20	TODAY'S AGENDA. IF NOT, OKAY. SCOTT, COULD YOU
21	TELL US WHEN THE NEXT BOARD MEETING IS?
22	MR. TOCHER: WELL, WE'RE LOOKING TO
23	SCHEDULE ONE CONCURRENT WITH THE EXISTING FEBRUARY
24	22D ARS MEETING. SO I BELIEVE WE'RE IN THE MIDST OF
25	POLLING FOR THAT. SO IF YOU HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE

	_
1	YET TO REPLY TO CLAUDETTE, I'M SURE SHE WOULD LOOK
2	FORWARD TO THAT REPLY. WE'RE REALLY HOPEFUL TO GET
3	SOME IMPORTANT BUSINESS DONE THAT DAY.
4	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU FOR THAT.
5	IN THAT CASE, THANK YOU, BOARD MEMBERS FOR YOUR
6	ATTENTION, YOUR PRESENCE TODAY. THE MEETING IS
7	ADJOURNED.
8	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 2 P.M.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	192

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON JANUARY 25, 2024, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543