BEFORE THE

INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

JULY 27, 2023 9:30 A.M. DATE:

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2023-25

INDEX	
ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER	3
2. ROLL CALL	3
ACTION ITEMS	
3. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIASTAGE PROJECTS PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT (
CLOSED SESSION	NONE
4. DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLE OR WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION DATA, INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENTIFIC FORTAL, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INFORMATION APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO ABOVE. (HEALTH & SAFETY CODE 125290.30 AND (C)).	FINANCIAL RESEARCH OR ON RELATING TO AGENDA ITEM 3
DISCUSSION ITEMS	
5 GENERAL COMMENTS ON ARS PROCESS	NONE

5.	GENERAL COMMENTS ON ARS PROCESS	NONE	
6.	PUBLIC COMMENT	NONE	
7.	ADJOURNMENT	42	

	BETH G. DRAIN, GA GSK NO. 7 132
1	JULY 27, 2023; 9:30 A.M.
2	
3	MR. TOCHER: GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE. THIS
4	IS SCOTT AT THE CIRM HEADQUARTERS. I BELIEVE WE
5	HAVE A QUORUM NOW, READY FOR THE APPLICATION REVIEW
6	SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING. VITO, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO
7	KICK THINGS OFF. YOU'RE MUTED, VITO.
8	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. WE CAN
9	START WITH THE ROLL CALL PLEASE.
10	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DAN BERNAL.
11	MARIA BONNEVILLE. JUDY CHOU. LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
12	MS. CLARK-HARVEY: PRESENT.
13	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: ANNE-MARIE
14	DULIEGE. YSABEL DURON. MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
15	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: HERE.
16	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: FRED FISHER.
17	DR. FISHER: HERE.
18	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: ELENA FLOWERS.
19	DR. FLOWERS: PRESENT.
20	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DAVID HIGGINS.
21	DR. HIGGINS: HERE.
22	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: VITO IMBASCIANI.
23	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: HERE.
24	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: STEVE
25	JUELSGAARD.
	3
	<u>-</u>

		-
1	MR. JUELSGAARD: PRESENT.	
2	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA:	RICH LAJARA.
3	MR. LAJARA: PRESENT.	
4	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA:	CHRISTINE
5	MIASKOWSKI.	
6	DR. MIASKOWSKI: PRESENT.	
7	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA:	LAUREN
8	MILLER-ROGEN.	
9	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: HERE.	
10	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA:	ADRIANA PADILLA.
11	DR. PADILLA: PRESENT.	
12	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA:	JOE PANETTA.
13	MARVIN SOUTHARD.	
14	DR. SOUTHARD: HERE.	
15	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA:	KAROL WATSON.
16	DR. WATSON: HERE.	
17	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA:	KEVIN XU.
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	4	
	1	

1	MR. BERNAL: I WAS HAVING PROBLEMS
2	UNMUTING AS WELL.
3	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DAN?
4	MR. BERNAL: YEAH.
5	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: WE CAN PROCEED.
6	MR. TOCHER: VITO, YOU'RE MUTED.
7	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: AGAIN. SORRY. IT
8	WAS ACCIDENTAL. WE CAN START NOW. I DON'T HAVE MY
9	AGENDA IN FRONT OF ME, BUT I THINK AT THIS POINT GIL
10	TAKES OVER.
11	MR. TOCHER: THAT'S RIGHT. GIL, ARE YOU
12	READY TO GO?
13	DR. SAMBRANO: YES. I WILL BE SHARING THE
14	PRESENTATION. TODAY I HAVE WITH ME DR. HAYLEY LAM.
15	SHE IS THE ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR OF THE REVIEW OFFICE.
16	SHE'S GOING TO HELP ME GO THROUGH THE SIX DIFFERENT
17	APPLICATIONS THAT WE HAVE FOR CONSIDERATION TODAY.
18	AND THESE SIX APPLICATIONS, JUST AS WE
19	BEGIN, COVER ACTUALLY TWO DIFFERENT CYCLES OF MAY
20	AND JUNE OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. SO THAT'S
21	JUST SOMETHING TO TAKE NOTE OF.
22	AS ALWAYS, WE START WITH OUR MISSION,
23	WHICH IS TO ACCELERATE WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE TO
24	DELIVER TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
25	TREATMENTS IN AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A DIVERSE
	ς

1	CALIFORNIA AND WORLD.
2	OUR BUDGET FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR, WHICH
3	STARTS IN JULY, WE ARE JUST AT THE BEGINNING OF
4	THIS. SO WE HAD AN ALLOCATION THAT WAS APPROVED BY
5	THE BOARD OF 252 MILLION. THE AMOUNT REQUESTED
6	TODAY IN TOTAL FROM THE SIX APPLICATIONS IS ABOUT 50
7	MILLION, LEAVING, IF APPROVED, ABOUT 200 MILLION
8	BALANCE FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE FISCAL YEAR.
9	THE SCIENTIFIC SCORING SYSTEM THAT'S USED
10	TO GRADE THE APPLICATIONS THAT COME INTO THE
11	CLINICAL PROGRAM USES A SYSTEM OF 1, 2, OR 3. A
12	SCORE OF 1 MEANS THAT AN APPLICATION HAS EXCEPTIONAL
13	MERIT AND WARRANTS FUNDING. AND THAT'S THE CASE FOR
14	ALL THE APPLICATIONS THAT YOU ARE GOING TO BE SEEING
15	TODAY. SOME APPLICATIONS WILL RECEIVE A SCORE OF 2.
16	THOSE TYPICALLY GO BACK TO THE APPLICANT TO ADDRESS
17	CONCERNS FROM THE REVIEW PANEL AND WILL RESUBMIT
18	WITHIN A SHORT TIME. AND THEN THOSE THAT RECEIVE A
19	SCORE OF 3 MEANS THOSE ARE SUFFICIENTLY FLAWED THAT
20	THEY DON'T WARRANT FUNDING, AND THOSE CAN'T BE
21	RESUBMITTED FOR SIX MONTHS.
22	THE SCIENTIFIC REVIEW CRITERIA THAT THE
23	GRANTS WORKING GROUP USES IN ORDER TO COME UP WITH A
24	SCORE IS BASED ON THE FOLLOWING FIVE QUESTIONS:
25	FIRST, DOES THE PROJECT HOLD THE NECESSARY

1	SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT? MEANING WHAT
2	VALUE DOES IT OFFER? IS THIS SOMETHING THAT IS
3	WORTH DOING? DOES IT HAVE A GOOD RATIONALE? IS IT
4	WELL PLANNED AND DESIGNED? AND IS IT FEASIBLE,
5	INCLUDING HAVING THE APPROPRIATE QUALIFIED
6	INDIVIDUALS AND ALL THE RESOURCES TO CARRY OUT THE
7	ACTIVITIES THAT ARE PROPOSED? AND THEN, FINALLY,
8	DOES THE PROJECT UPHOLD THE PRINCIPLES OF DIVERSITY,
9	EQUITY, AND INCLUSION IN THE PROJECT?
LO	THAT LAST COMPONENT, THE DEI, IS BOTH
L1	CONSIDERED BY THE SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS AS JUST
L2	MENTIONED UNDER THE FIFTH REVIEW CRITERION, BUT IT
L3	IS ALSO CONSIDERED SEPARATELY BY OUR BOARD MEMBERS,
L4	PATIENT ADVOCATE MEMBERS, THAT SERVE ON THE GRANTS
L5	WORKING GROUP THROUGH A SEPARATE DEI SCORE. WE
L6	PROVIDE A RUBRIC, WHICH IS SHOWN IN THE IMAGE THAT
L7	WE DON'T EXPECT YOU TO READ, BUT JUST SO YOU ARE
L8	AWARE THAT THAT RUBRIC EXISTS AND HELPS GUIDE THE
L9	SCORING FOR OUR BOARD MEMBERS. AND THE SCORING
20	SYSTEM FOR DEI IS BASED ON A SCALE OF 0 TO 10 WITH
21	10 BEING THE MOST OUTSTANDING RESPONSE OR SCORE.
22	THE COMPOSITION OF THE GRANTS WORKING
23	GROUP ITSELF INCLUDES SEVERAL ROLES. THERE ARE THE
24	SCIENTIFIC GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERS OF WHICH
25	THERE ARE 15. AND THEY PROVIDE SCIENTIFIC

1	EVALUATION. AND WE PUT TOGETHER PANELS THAT INCLUDE
2	DIVERSE EXPERTISE, INCLUDING DISEASE AREA EXPERTISE,
3	REGULATORY, CMC, PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT, AND SO ON.
4	AND SO THEY PROVIDE THE SCIENTIFIC SCORE THAT YOU
5	SEE. AS ALSO MENTIONED, WE HAVE OUR GRANTS WORKING
6	GROUP BOARD MEMBERS WHO ARE PATIENT ADVOCATE OR
7	NURSE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. THEY CONDUCT THE DEI
8	EVALUATION, PROVIDE A PATIENT PERSPECTIVE ON THE
9	SIGNIFICANCE AND IMPACT OF THESE PROJECTS, AND
10	PROVIDE OVERSIGHT ON THE OVERALL REVIEW PROCESS
11	ITSELF. AND THEN, LASTLY, WE HAVE SCIENTIFIC
12	SPECIALISTS WHO ARE NONVOTING MEMBERS. SO THEY
13	PARTICIPATE ON AN AD HOC BASIS WHENEVER WE NEED
14	ADDITIONAL EXPERTISE. THEY PROVIDE A SCIENTIFIC
15	EVALUATION, BUT THEY DON'T PROVIDE A FINAL
16	SCIENTIFIC SCORE.
17	ALL RIGHT. SO THE FIRST APPLICATION THAT
18	WE'RE GOING TO CONSIDER TODAY AND, JUST TO NOTE,
19	HAYLEY AND I ARE GOING TO GO BACK AND FORTH A LITTLE
20	BIT GIVEN THE ORDER. THE APPLICATIONS WERE ARRANGED
21	BASED ON OUR ABILITY TO HAVE ALL MEMBERS
22	PARTICIPATING AND VOTING ON THESE.
23	SO THE FIRST ONE THAT WE'RE GOING TO
24	CONSIDER IS CLIN2-14068. THIS ONE IS ENTITLED
25	"TREATMENT OF SEVERE APLASTIC ANEMIA BY INDUCTION OF

1	MIXED CHIMERISM USING CD4+ T-CELL DEPLETED
2	HAPLOIDENTICAL DONOR STEM CELL TRANSPLANT."
3	THIS THERAPY IS A DONOR BLOOD STEM CELL
4	TRANSPLANT THAT HAS ITS T-CELLS DEPLETED IN ORDER TO
5	AVOID GRAFT VERSUS HOST DISEASE, AND IT'S COMBINED
6	WITH A LOW TOXIC CONDITIONING REGIMEN. AND THE
7	INDICATION IS FOR PATIENTS WITH SEVERE APLASTIC
8	ANEMIA. AND THEIR GOAL IS TO COMPLETE A PHASE 1
9	FIRST-IN-HUMAN CLINICAL TRIAL. THE FUNDS REQUESTED
10	IS JUST OVER 9 MILLION. NO CO-FUNDING IS REQUIRED
11	FOR THIS APPLICANT.
12	JUST SOME BACKGROUND ON THE SEVERE
13	APLASTIC ANEMIA. THIS IS A LIFE THREATENING DISEASE
14	OF THE IMMUNE SYSTEM IN WHICH THE BLOOD CELLS, BLOOD
15	LYMPHOCYTES SPECIFICALLY, DESTROY OTHER BLOOD CELLS
16	RESULTING IN SEVERE ANEMIA AND BONE MARROW DAMAGE.
17	IT IS, IN EFFECT, AN AUTOIMMUNE DISEASE. THE
18	CONDITION CAN BE CURED WITH A BONE MARROW
19	TRANSPLANT, BUT THE APPROACH IS LESS EFFECTIVE IN
20	OLDER PATIENTS, TYPICALLY OVER 40, WHO EXPERIENCE A
21	HIGHER INCIDENCE OF THE GRAFT FAILURE OR GRAFT
22	VERSUS HOST DISEASE. AND ADDITIONALLY, PATIENTS
23	THAT DON'T HAVE A FULLY MATCHED DONOR, WHICH OFTEN
24	IS THE CASE FOR MANY UNDERSERVED GROUPS, ARE
25	ASSOCIATED WITH INFERIOR SURVIVAL OUTCOMES.

1	SO THE PROPOSED THERAPY OFFERS THE
2	POTENTIAL FOR IMPROVED OUTCOMES BY SIGNIFICANTLY
3	DECREASING THE OCCURRENCE OF GVHD AND ELIMINATING
4	THE NEED FOR A FULLY MATCHED DONOR.
5	WHY IS THIS A STEM CELL OR GENE THERAPY
6	PROJECT? THIS INVOLVES A STEM CELL TRANSPLANT.
7	CURRENTLY WE DON'T HAVE ANYTHING IN OUR
8	ACTIVE AWARDS PORTFOLIO THAT ADDRESSES SEVERE
9	APLASTIC ANEMIA. SO THIS WOULD ADD A NEW PROJECT TO
10	THAT PORTFOLIO.
11	IN TERMS OF PREVIOUS FUNDING BY THE
12	APPLICANT TEAM, THERE IS A RELATED PROJECT BY ONE OF
13	THE TEAM MEMBERS, NOT THE SAME PI, BUT THE TEAM
14	ESSENTIALLY IS LARGELY THE SAME. THIS WAS A CLIN2
15	STAGE PROJECT THAT WAS FOCUSED ON SICKLE CELL
16	DISEASE. THE PROJECT OUTCOME WAS A PHASE 1 CLINICAL
17	TRIAL. THEY HAD SEVERAL MILESTONES. THE PROJECT
18	WAS NOT COMPLETED IN PART BECAUSE OF THE COVID
19	PANDEMIC THAT SEVERELY IMPACTED PATIENT RECRUITMENT.
20	AND I BELIEVE SUBSEQUENTLY THE PI HAS RETIRED. SO
21	THAT PROJECT HAS NOT CONTINUED.
22	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATION
23	FOR THIS APPLICATION IS AS FOLLOWS: THERE WERE 11
24	MEMBERS THAT GAVE THIS A SCORE OF 1, TWO THAT GAVE
25	IT A SCORE OF 2. THE DEI SCORE IS 8. AND THE CIRM

1	TEAM RECOMMENDS FUNDING IN CONCURRENCE WITH THE
2	GRANTS WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATION FOR THE AMOUNT
3	OF JUST OVER 9 MILLION.
4	SO, DR. IMBASCIANI, IT'S BACK TO YOU FOR
5	ANY DISCUSSION OR QUESTIONS.
6	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. I'D LIKE
7	TO OPEN IT UP FIRST FOR COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD
8	MEMBERS. THANK YOU, GIL.
9	MR. TOCHER: IT MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE TO
10	ASK IF THERE IS A MOTION ON THE TABLE TO FUND THE
11	APPLICATION.
12	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THAT'S RIGHT. THANK
13	YOU, SCOTT. SO I NEED A MOTION AND A SECOND, OF
14	COURSE, TO LAUNCH DISCUSSION.
15	DR. SOUTHARD: MOVED.
16	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MARVIN MOVES. DO I
17	HEAR A SECOND?
18	MR. JUELSGAARD: I'LL SECOND.
19	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THAT WAS STEVE
20	JUELSGAARD. THANK YOU. OKAY. DISCUSSION FIRST
21	FROM THE BOARD.
22	MARIANNE, I CAN ONLY SEE A FEW BOARD
23	MEMBERS AT A TIME.
24	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: THERE ARE NONE.
25	THERE ARE NO HANDS WAIT. HOLD ON. THERE ARE NO

1	HANDS RAISED AT THE MOMENT.
2	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. ARE THERE ANY
3	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ATTENDING THE MEETING THAT
4	WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT?
5	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: IF THERE ARE,
6	PRESS STAR NINE TO GET PUT IN THE QUEUE AND THEN
7	STAR SIX TO UNMUTE. I DO NOT SEE ANY POPPING UP.
8	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ALL RIGHT. AND,
9	SCOTT, COULD YOU PLEASE TAKE A ROLL CALL VOTE.
10	MR. TOCHER: SURE. SO THIS IS TO FUND
11	APPLICATION 14068.
12	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
13	MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL.
14	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
15	MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
16	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
17	MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER.
18	DR. FISHER: AYE.
19	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
20	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
21	MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
22	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
23	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
24	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
25	MR. TOCHER: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
	12

	DETTI G. DIGTIN, GA GSK NO. 7 132
1	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
2	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
3	MR. LAJARA: YES.
4	MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
5	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
6	MR. TOCHER: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
7	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
8	MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
9	DR. PADILLA: YES.
10	MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
11	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
12	MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON.
13	DR. WATSON: YES.
14	MR. TOCHER: SORRY, LEONDRA. CALL YOUR
15	NAME. LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY. LEONDRA, ARE YOU
16	MUTED? I'M SORRY. WE SHOW YOU MUTED.
17	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. THANK YOU
18	VERY MUCH. GIL, WE CAN PROCEED WITH THE SECOND
19	APPLICATION.
20	DR. SAMBRANO: SO THE SECOND APPLICATION
21	HAS TWO RECUSALS, MARIA BONNEVILLE AND STEVE
22	JUELSGAARD, JUST TO BE AWARE.
23	THIS APPLICATION IS CLIN2-14748. THE
24	TITLE IS "EVALUATION OF SAFETY AND FEASIBILITY OF
25	CYTOMEGALOVIRUS-SPECIFIC ANTI-HIV CHIMERIC ANTIGEN
	12

1	RECEPTOR T-CELLS IN PEOPLE WITH HIV."
2	SO THE THERAPY ITSELF IS A CMV-SPECIFIC
3	T-CELL THAT EXPRESSES A CHIMERIC ANTIGEN RECEPTOR,
4	SO IT'S A CAR-T, AND IT TARGETS HIV-INFECTED CELLS.
5	THE INDICATION IS FOR PEOPLE LIVING WITH HIV/AIDS.
6	THE GOAL IS COMPLETION OF A PHASE 1 FIRST-IN-HUMAN
7	CLINICAL TRIAL. THE FUNDS REQUESTED IS 11.3
8	MILLION, AND NO CO-FUNDING IS REQUIRED FOR THIS
9	SPECIFIC PHASE AND APPLICANT TYPE.
10	BACKGROUND INFORMATION: HIV, AS WE KNOW,
11	SEVERELY WEAKENS THE IMMUNE SYMPTOM AND INFECTED
12	INDIVIDUALS BECOME SUSCEPTIBLE TO OTHER INFECTIONS
13	AND SOME TYPES OF CANCER. ANTIRETROVIRAL THERAPY OR
14	ART IS A LIFELONG TREATMENT THAT IS USED TO MANAGE
15	HIV INFECTION, BUT IT IS NOT A CURE AND IT IS OFTEN
16	ASSOCIATED WITH HIGH MORBIDITY AND COSTS. AND SO
17	MORE EFFECTIVE POTENTIAL CURATIVE TREATMENTS ARE
18	NEEDED.
19	PEOPLE LIVING WITH HIV MAINTAIN A PRETTY
20	HIGH LEVEL OF T-CELLS THAT ARE SPECIFIC TO THE
21	CYTOMEGALOVIRUS, AND THIS IS A VERY COMMON
22	INFECTION. BY THE TIME ONE REACHES THE AGE OF 40,
23	ABOUT HALF OF THE POPULATION HAS BEEN EXPOSED TO
24	CMV. AND SO IN PEOPLE WITH HIV T-CELLS THAT ARE
25	SPECIFIC TO THIS VIRUS CONTINUE TO BE QUITE

1	FUNCTIONAL. AND SO THE PROPOSED APPROACH LEVERAGES
2	THAT FACT TO CREATE A POTENTIALLY CURATIVE CAR-T
3	CELL THERAPY THAT CAN RECOGNIZE AND DESTROY
4	HIV-INFECTED CELLS AND THEN REMAIN ACTIVELY VIGILANT
5	BY WAY OF THAT CMV SIGNALING.
6	WHY IS THIS A STEM CELL OR GENE THERAPY
7	PROJECT? THE THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATE CONTAINS BLOOD
8	PROGENITOR CELLS AND INVOLVES GENE MANIPULATION.
9	OTHER PROJECTS IN OUR PORTFOLIO THAT ARE
10	SIMILAR, WE HAVE THREE OTHER CLINICAL STAGE PROJECTS
11	THAT ARE FOR HIV/AIDS AS AN INDICATION, IN ONE CASE
12	FOCUSED ON AIDS LYMPHOMA. THESE CANDIDATES AMONG
13	THE THREE ARE VERY DIFFERENT APPROACHES. ONE IS A
14	GENE THERAPY, THE OTHER IS A CAR-T CELL THAT'S
15	SIMILAR TO THIS PROJECT, AND THEN THE LAST ONE
16	UTILIZES GENETICALLY MODIFIED BLOOD STEM CELLS WHERE
17	THE GOAL IS TO REPLACE THE IMMUNE SYSTEM WITH
18	HIV-RESISTANT CELLS. ANOTHER HAS A SIMILAR
19	APPROACH, BUT DOES NOT USE THE CMV ELEMENT AS A
20	COMPONENT TO TRY TO MAKE THE THERAPY PERPETUAL AS IS
21	DONE IN THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT THAT WE ARE
22	CONSIDERING TODAY.
23	THE APPLICANT HAS HAD A LONG HISTORY OF
24	FUNDING FROM CIRM. SO I INCLUDED IN THIS TABLE WHAT
25	COULD FIT, BUT THERE IS ONE ADDITIONAL CIRM AWARD

1	BEYOND THAT. THE APPLICANT HAS HAD A GOOD HISTORY
2	IN TERMS OF PERFORMANCE WITH MILESTONES THAT ARE
3	PROPOSED HAVING BEEN APPROPRIATELY ACCOMPLISHED,
4	SOME WITH SOME MINOR DELAYS AND ONE THAT WAS
5	SPECIFICALLY DELAYED BECAUSE OF THE COVID-19
6	PANDEMIC, BUT OTHERWISE GENERALLY VERY GOOD
7	PERFORMANCE ON ALL CIRM AWARDS.
8	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATION
9	FOR THIS PROJECT IS A SCORE OF 1 WITH 12 MEMBERS
10	GIVING IT A SCORE OF 1. THERE WAS ONE MEMBER WHO
11	GAVE IT A SCORE OF 2. NOBODY GAVE IT A SCORE OF 3.
12	THE DEI SCORE WAS A VERY HIGH SCORE OF 10. AND CIRM
13	TEAM RECOMMENDATION IS TO FUND THIS PROJECT FOR THE
14	AWARD AMOUNT OF 11.3 MILLION.
15	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, GIL.
16	GREAT PRESENTATION. LOOKS LIKE A GREAT APPLICATION.
17	OPEN NOW TO I NEED A MOTION AND A SECOND TO
18	MR. BERNAL: SO MOVED.
19	DR. FISHER: SECOND.
20	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, DAN. WHO
21	SECONDED?
22	DR. FISHER: FRED FISHER.
23	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: FRED FISHER. GREAT.
24	THANK YOU.
25	SO, BOARD MEMBERS, COMMENTS?

1	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: THERE ARE NONE
2	CURRENTLY.
3	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THERE ARE NONE.
4	THIS INVESTIGATOR MUST HAVE MUST GO BACK VERY FAR
5	IN TIME TO HAVE THAT MUCH EXPERIENCE WITH CIRM. A
6	GREAT TRACK RECORD.
7	SO NO COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD MEMBERS. IS
8	THERE ANYONE RESPONDING FROM THE PUBLIC, MARIANNE?
9	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: CHECKING. AND
10	FOR PUBLIC, IF YOU DO HAVE A COMMENT, STAR NINE.
11	AND I DON'T SEE ANY.
12	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. WE CAN
13	PROCEED TO A VOTE ON THE APPLICATION NO. 14748.
14	SCOTT, CAN YOU TAKE THE ROLL.
15	MR. TOCHER: SURE.
16	DAN BERNAL.
17	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
18	MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY. MARK
19	FISCHER-COLBRIE.
20	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
21	MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER.
22	DR. FISHER: AYE.
23	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
24	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
25	MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
	47
	17

	DETTI G. DIATIN, CA CON NO. 7 132
1	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
2	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
3	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
4	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
5	MR. LAJARA: YES.
6	MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
7	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
8	MR. TOCHER: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
9	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
10	MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
11	DR. PADILLA: YES.
12	MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
13	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
14	MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON.
15	DR. WATSON: YES.
16	MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU. AND I'LL CALL
17	LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY. JUST A MINUTE. I'M JUST
18	DOING A LITTLE MATH. GREAT. THAT'S 12 AYES AND NO
19	NOS. SO THE MOTION CARRIES.
20	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
21	SCOTT.
22	GIL, WE CAN NOW PROCEED TO THE THIRD OF
23	THE SIX APPLICATIONS.
24	DR. SAMBRANO: HAYLEY WILL PRESENT THIS
25	NEXT ONE.
	18
	10

1	DR. LAM: GOOD MORNING. SO THIS
2	APPLICATION FOR DISCUSSION IS CLIN2-14787. AND THE
3	TITLE OF THIS PROJECT IS "A PHASE 2B, RANDOMIZED,
4	ASSESSOR-MASKED CLINICAL TRIAL TO ASSESS THE SAFETY
5	AND EFFICACY OF A RETINAL PIGMENTED EPITHELIAL
6	IMPLANT IN SUBJECTS WITH GEOGRAPHIC ATROPHY." AND
7	THE PRODUCT ITSELF IS A PATCH WITH A LAYER OF STEM
8	CELL-DERIVED RETINAL PIGMENTED EPITHELIAL CELLS ON A
9	MATRIX. AND THE INDICATION THIS PRODUCT IS AIMING
10	TO TREAT IS GEOGRAPHIC ATROPHY WHICH IS A LATE-STAGE
11	FORM OF AGE-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION, AMD.
12	THE GOAL OF THIS PROJECT IS THE COMPLETION
13	OF A PHASE 2B CLINICAL TRIAL. FUNDS REQUESTED ARE
14	12.37 MILLION, AND CO-FUNDING IS PROVIDED OF 8.25
15	MILLION, AND 40-PERCENT CO-FUNDING IS REQUIRED FOR
16	THIS CATEGORY OF TRIAL AND APPLICANT.
17	SO A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION
18	ON AMD. SO IT'S A LEADING CAUSE OF VISION LOSS IN
19	THE DEVELOPED WORLD. AS MENTIONED BEFORE, THE
20	GEOGRAPHIC ATROPHY IS A LATE-STAGE FORM OF AMD, DRY
21	AMD, WHERE THE SUPPORTIVE CELLS, THESE ARE RETINAL
22	PIGMENTED EPITHELIAL CELLS, DEGENERATE OVER TIME.
23	AND THIS DEGENERATION OF THESE CELLS OVER TIME
24	CONTRIBUTES TO THE DEATH OF THE PHOTORECEPTORS THAT
25	THEY SUPPORT WITHIN THE RETINA AND THEN LEADS TO

1	VISUAL IMPAIRMENT AND SOMETIMES BLINDNESS IN THE
2	LATE STAGES OF DISEASE.
3	SO THE PROPOSED THERAPY HERE WOULD BE
4	POTENTIALLY A SCALABLE APPROACH TO REPLACE THE
5	DISEASED PORTION OF THE EYE THAT IS DAMAGED AND
6	PROMOTE SURVIVAL AND FUNCTION OF THE SUPPORTIVE RPE
7	CELLS AND, THUS, PROTECT THE EYE FROM DISEASE
8	PROGRESSION AND VISION LOSS, AND IN SOME CASES
9	POTENTIALLY, IN FACT, IMPROVING VISION WITH THIS
10	TREATMENT. SO THIS PROJECT IS A COMBINATION THERAPY
11	WITH SUPPORTIVE MATRIX THAT ALSO CONTAINS STEM
12	CELL-DERIVED RPE.
13	CURRENT CIRM PORTFOLIO PROJECTS THAT ARE
14	ACTIVE, THERE'S ONE TRANSLATIONAL STAGE. THIS IS
15	PRECLINICAL. AND ANOTHER THAT IS A CLIN1
16	PRECLINICAL STAGE. AND BOTH ARE SLIGHTLY EARLIER
17	STAGE THAN THIS ONE, WHICH WOULD BE A CLINICAL
18	TRIAL.
19	PRIOR APPLICANT FUNDING FROM CIRM IS
20	RELATED TO SOME OF THE KEY PERSONNEL THAT ARE ALSO
21	ON THIS PROJECT WHO HAVE RECEIVED FUNDING FOR THE
22	DEVELOPMENT OF THIS PROJECT ESSENTIALLY THROUGH TWO
23	DISEASE TEAM AWARDS FOR THE EARLIER STAGE
24	IND-ENABLING AND PHASE 1 STUDY OF THE SAME PRODUCT.
25	FINALLY, THE GWG RECOMMENDATION FOR THIS
	20

1	APPLICATION IS TO RECOMMEND FOR FUNDING WITH NINE
2	VOTES AS A SCORE OF 1, FIVE VOTES AS A SCORE OF 2,
3	AND NO VOTES FOR A SCORE OF 3, AND THE DEI SCORE OF
4	9.5. THE CIRM TEAM CONCURS WITH THIS RECOMMENDATION
5	AND FOR THE SAME AWARD MENTIONED EARLIER, 12.4
6	MILLION.
7	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANKS, VERY MUCH
8	FOR THE PRESENTATION. MAY I HAVE A MOTION, PLEASE,
9	FROM THE BOARD TO COMMENCE DISCUSSION?
10	DR. SOUTHARD: SO MOVED.
11	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MARVIN MOVES.
12	MR. JUELSGAARD: SECOND.
13	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: SECOND.
14	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, MARK, FOR
15	THE SECOND.
16	SO COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD ON THIS
17	PROPOSAL 14787?
18	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: THERE ARE NO
19	HANDS RAISED.
20	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: NO HANDS ARE RAISED.
21	WE ARE ALL STUNNED BY THE BRILLIANCE OF THE
22	SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY.
23	ANYONE FROM THE PUBLIC CARE TO COMMENT?
24	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: VITO, ADRIANA
25	RAISED HER HAND.

1	DR. PADILLA: I JUST WANTED TO ASK THE
2	TEAM, CAN THEY DISCUSS WHY THERE WAS FIVE ON A SCORE
3	OF 2 JUST FOR OUR REVIEW?
4	DR. LAM: I CAN BRIEFLY MENTION THAT THERE
5	WERE SOME QUESTIONS MAINLY AROUND SO THERE WAS
6	MAYBE TWO THINGS. ONE WAS THAT THE TECHNIQUE FOR
7	THE IMPLANTATION ITSELF, THEY THOUGHT, MIGHT BE
8	CHALLENGING. SO THERE WAS SOME CONCERNS THAT MAYBE
9	THERE WOULD BE SOME VARIABILITY ACROSS THE DIFFERENT
10	TRIAL SITES. I DON'T THINK ANY OF THESE CONCERNS
11	WERE SORT OF MAJOR CONCERNS, BUT THINGS THAT WERE
12	BROUGHT UP BY THE REVIEWERS.
13	AND THEN THE OTHER CONCERN BY ONE OF THE
14	PANEL MEMBERS WAS REGARDING THE PLACEBO GROUP, WHICH
15	IT WASN'T CLEAR FROM THE STATISTICS THAT WERE
16	PROPOSED BY THE GROUP ON HOW THE PLACEBO GROUP WOULD
17	BE INTEGRATED INTO THE ANALYSIS OF THE TOTAL
18	PATIENTS OR PEOPLE, PARTICIPANTS, WITHIN THE TRIAL
19	ITSELF AND HOW THAT WOULD FEED INTO POTENTIALLY A
20	PHASE 3 TRIAL.
21	SO I DON'T THINK THERE WERE ANY CONCERNS
22	THAT NECESSARILY COULDN'T BE ADJUSTED IF THERE
23	WERE IF IT CAME BACK AS A TIER II.
24	DR. SAMBRANO: I THINK ONE OTHER THING TO
25	ADD IS TO REMEMBER THAT THE SCORE OF 2, TYPICALLY

1	REVIEWERS GIVE A SCORE OF 2 WHERE THEY WANT
2	CLARIFICATION OR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. SO IT
3	DOESN'T NECESSARILY INDICATE THAT THEY WOULD NOT
4	WANT THE PROJECT FUNDED; BUT, RATHER, THAT THEY'RE
5	LOOKING FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TYPICALLY.
6	DR. PADILLA: WAS THAT GIVEN TO THE
7	REVIEWERS?
8	DR. SAMBRANO: NO. I THINK REVIEWERS WILL
9	TYPICALLY COME DOWN ON SCORING BETWEEN A 1 OR A 2.
10	SO IF THEY SCORE A 2, THEY WILL PROVIDE COMMENTS
11	WHERE THEY FEEL THEY WOULD HAVE LIKED ADDITIONAL
12	INFORMATION OR CLARIFICATION, SO TO POINTS THAT
13	HAYLEY RAISED. BUT THE MAJORITY FELT THAT THE
14	PROJECT WAS FINE AS IT IS, THAT IT DIDN'T NEED TO
15	COME BACK TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.
16	SO WHEN QUESTIONS MAY BE LEFT OPEN LIKE
17	THAT IN TERMS OF HAVING SOME OF THE GRANTS WORKING
18	GROUP MEMBERS HAVING A QUESTION, WE USUALLY WILL
19	FOLLOW UP WITH THEM.
20	DR. PADILLA: THAT'S WHAT I WANTED TO
21	KNOW.
22	DR. SAMBRANO: SO WE WILL FOLLOW UP AS
23	PART OF THE PROCESS PRIOR TO FUNDING TO MAKE SURE AT
24	LEAST THOSE ARE POINTS THAT ARE CONSIDERED.
25	DR. PADILLA: PERFECT. THANK YOU.
	23

1	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ANY OTHER COMMENTS
2	FROM THE BOARD MEMBERS? IF NOT, MARIANNE, JUST TAKE
3	A LOOK FOR THE PUBLIC COMMENT.
4	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: VITO, WE DO HAVE
5	ONE IN THE ROOM. YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES FOR YOUR
6	COMMENT.
7	DR. LEBKOWSKI: YES. THIS IS JANE
8	LEBKOWSKI. I'M ACTUALLY THE PI AND APPLICANT ON
9	THIS APPLICATION. JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY A COUPLE
10	OF QUESTIONS THAT WERE BROUGHT UP ABOUT THE
11	DIFFICULTY OF THE SURGERY. IN THE PHASE 1/2A
12	CLINICAL TRIAL, WE SUCCESSFULLY IMPLANTED 15
13	DIFFERENT PATIENTS. AND NOW WE ARE LOOKING IN THIS
14	NEXT CLINICAL TRIAL, ONE OF THE MAJOR OBJECTIVES OF
15	THE TRIAL GOING FORWARD IS TO LOOK AT HAVING MORE
16	SURGEONS IMPLANT TO ESTABLISH THAT, IN FACT, THIS
17	PROCEDURE CAN, IN FACT, BE ESTABLISHED ACROSS A WIDE
18	GROUP OF RETINAL SURGEONS. WE DON'T BELIEVE THAT
19	THAT WILL HAVE A THERE WILL BE A PROBLEM, BUT
20	THAT IS PART OF THE OBJECTIVE OF THIS PARTICULAR
21	GRANT.
22	THE SECOND QUESTION WAS ABOUT THE PLACEBO
23	GROUP. WE ARE SORRY, ONE OF THE IT APPEARS THAT
24	MAYBE ONE OF THE REVIEWERS HAD A DIDN'T
25	UNDERSTAND THE ROLE OF THE PLACEBO GROUP. BUT WE

1	ARE GOING TO COMPARE BOTH THE SAFETY AND EFFICACY,
2	COMPARE THE RESULTS FROM THE TREATMENT GROUP VERSUS
3	THE PLACEBO GROUP.
4	I THINK ONE OTHER QUESTION THAT WAS
5	BROUGHT UP DURING THE REVIEW WAS WHAT IS THE ROLE OF
6	A NATURAL HISTORY GROUP. WE ARE GOING TO IN THIS
7	PROGRAM LOOK AT ALSO COMPARING THE DATA FROM A VERY
8	BROAD RANGE OF CLINICAL TRIALS WHERE THE NATURAL
9	HISTORY OR SHAM CONTROLLED GROUPS IN OTHER PEOPLE'S
10	CLINICAL TRIALS. WE WILL ALSO COMPARE DATA FROM OUR
11	TRIAL TO THOSE OF CONTROL GROUPS IN OTHER CLINICAL
12	STUDIES.
13	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: THANK YOU.
14	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU,
15	PROFESSOR, FOR YOUR EXPLANATORY COMMENTS.
16	ARE THERE ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM THE
17	PUBLIC?
18	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: AND A REMINDER,
19	STAR NINE IF YOU DO WANT TO BE PLACED IN THE QUEUE.
20	AND I SEE NONE, VITO.
21	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. THANK YOU
22	VERY MUCH, EVERYONE, FOR YOUR COMMENTS.
23	DISCUSSION IS CLOSED. SCOTT, WE CAN
24	PROCEED TO A ROLL CALL VOTE ON THIS APPLICATION.
25	MR. TOCHER: AGAIN, JUST TO RESTATE, THE

	- ,
1	MOTION IS TO FUND APPLICATION 14787.
2	DAN BERNAL.
3	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
4	MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
5	ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE. MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
6	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: AYE.
7	MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER.
8	DR. FISHER: AYE.
9	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
10	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
11	MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
12	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
13	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
14	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
15	MR. TOCHER: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
16	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
17	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
18	MR. LAJARA: YES.
19	MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
20	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
21	MR. TOCHER: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
22	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
23	MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
24	DR. PADILLA: YES.
25	MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
	26

	DETTI G. DIGTIN, GA GOR NO. 7 152
1	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
2	MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON.
3	DR. WATSON: YES.
4	MR. TOCHER: MARIA BONNEVILLE.
5	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.
6	MR. TOCHER: THAT WORKED. THANK YOU,
7	MARIA.
8	AND THE MOTION CARRIES 14 TO 0.
9	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WONDERFUL. GREAT.
10	SO GIL'S TEAM, WHOEVER IS GOING TO PRESENT THE NEXT
11	ONE, THE FOURTH APPLICATION.
12	DR. LAM: THAT WILL BE ME. SO THE NEXT
13	APPLICATION IS CLIN2-15087. TITLE OF THIS
14	APPLICATION IS "PHASE 1 STUDY OF CHIMERIC ANTIGEN
15	RECEPTOR ENGINEERED T-CELLS TARGETING CD33 FOR THE
16	TREATMENT OF RELAPSED REFRACTORY ACUTE MYELOID
17	LEUKEMIA."
18	THIS TREATMENT IS IMMUNE T-CELLS FROM A
19	PATIENT'S TRANSPLANT DONOR ENGINEERED FOR TARGETED
20	LEUKEMIA KILLING. THE INDICATION IS RELAPSED
21	REFRACTORY AML. THE GOAL IS COMPLETION OF A PHASE 1
22	CLINICAL TRIAL. AND THEY ARE REQUESTING JUST UNDER
23	12 MILLION WITH NO CO-FUNDING REQUIRED FOR THIS
24	APPLICATION.
25	SOME BACKGROUND INFORMATION, THE CLINICAL

1	BACKGROUND. SO RELAPSED REFRACTORY AML IS A TYPE OF
2	LEUKEMIA THAT'S EITHER RETURNED AFTER PRIOR
3	TREATMENT OR HASN'T RESPONDED TO PRIOR TREATMENT.
4	AND AVAILABLE TREATMENTS RIGHT NOW ARE NOT VERY
5	EFFECTIVE, AND THIS TYPE OF LEUKEMIA IS KNOWN TO
6	HAVE POOR OUTCOMES. SO THE PROPOSED THERAPY, GIVEN
7	THE THERAPY OPTIONS ARE LIMITED, NEW OPTIONS FOR
8	PATIENTS ARE NEEDED. AND THE PROPOSED THERAPY USES
9	A TARGETED APPROACH TO KILL THE CANCER CELLS AND
10	HOPEFULLY LEAD TO IMPROVED OUTCOMES FOR PATIENTS.
11	THIS IS A GENE-MODIFIED CELL THERAPY.
12	AND SIMILAR CIRM PORTFOLIO PROJECTS THAT
13	ARE ACTIVE RIGHT NOW, THERE IS CURRENTLY A CLIN2
14	PHASE 1 TRIAL USING A MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY. AND
15	THERE'S A CLIN1 IND PRECLINICAL PROJECT THAT IS A
16	VACCINE CANDIDATE. AND THIS PARTICULAR APPLICANT
17	TEAM HAS NOT RECEIVED PRIOR CIRM FUNDING.
18	SO THE RECOMMENDATION FROM THE GRANTS
19	WORKING GROUP IS TO RECOMMEND FUNDING WITH 13 VOTES
20	IN THE SCIENTIFIC SCORE OF 1, TWO VOTES IN
21	SCIENTIFIC SCORE OF 2, AND NO VOTES FOR SCORE OF 3.
22	THE DEI SCORE RECEIVED BY THIS TEAM WAS A 10. AND
23	THE CIRM TEAM CONCURS WITH THE RECOMMENDATION TO
24	FUND THIS APPLICATION FOR JUST UNDER 12 MILLION.
25	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH

1	FOR THE PRESENTATION AND THE RECOMMENDATION. MAY I
2	ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO DISCUSS?
3	DR. SOUTHARD: MOVED.
4	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, MARVIN.
5	DR. MIASKOWSKI: SECOND.
6	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: DID YOU GET THAT,
7	MARIANNE?
8	MR. TOCHER: WHO WAS THE SECOND?
9	DR. MIASKOWSKI: CHRIS.
10	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I COULDN'T TELL.
11	THANK YOU. OKAY.
12	THE FLOOR IS OPEN FOR DISCUSSION FROM
13	BOARD MEMBERS.
14	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: NO HANDS ARE
15	RAISED, VITO.
16	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. ARE YOU
17	SCANNING FOR PUBLIC COMMENT?
18	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: I AM. REMINDER
19	FOR PUBLIC COMMENT STAR NINE. I DON'T CURRENTLY SEE
20	ANY.
21	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. IF THERE'S
22	ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC OUT THERE WHO'S STRUGGLED
23	WITH MAKING A COMMENT, WE CAN ALWAYS COME BACK AT
24	THE END OF THE MEETING. NO. I GUESS WE CAN'T AFTER
25	THE VOTE IS HELD. SORRY. LET'S PROCEED TO A ROLL
	29
	ا ۷۶

	DETH G. DRAIN, CA COR NO. 7 132
1	CALL VOTE, SCOTT.
2	MR. TOCHER: AND TO RESTATE THE MOTION,
3	IT'S TO FUND APPLICATION 15087.
4	DAN BERNAL.
5	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
6	MR. TOCHER: MARIA BONNEVILLE.
7	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.
8	MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
9	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
10	MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER.
11	DR. FISHER: YES.
12	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
13	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
14	MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS. DAVID, I'M
15	NOT HEARING YOU. ARE YOU MUTED? HE'S LOOKING FOR
16	IT, I THINK.
17	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: DAVID, YOU'RE STILL
18	MUTED.
19	MR. TOCHER: HE'S LOOKING FOR IT. I'LL
20	COME BACK.
21	VITO IMBASCIANI.
22	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
23	MR. TOCHER: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
24	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
25	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
	30

	,
1	MR. LAJARA: YES.
2	MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
3	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
4	MR. TOCHER: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
5	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
6	MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
7	DR. PADILLA: YES.
8	MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
9	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
10	MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON.
11	DR. WATSON: YES.
12	MR. TOCHER: AND DAVID HIGGINS.
13	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
14	MR. TOCHER: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU, DAVID.
15	AND THE MOTION CARRIES 14 TO 0.
16	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. THANK YOU
17	VERY MUCH.
18	SO, GIL, I'M GOING TO TURN IT OVER AGAIN
19	NOW FOR THE FIFTH APPLICATION FOR CONSIDERATION.
20	DR. SAMBRANO: OKAY. FOR THIS NEXT
21	APPLICATION, JUST TO NOTE, MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE IS
22	RECUSED.
23	THE APPLICATION IS CLIN1-14607. THE TITLE
24	IS "CANCER STEM CELL INTERCEPTION WITH A SMALL
25	MOLECULE SPLICING INHIBITOR." THIS THERAPY IS A
	21
	31

1	SMALL MOLECULE DRUG OF SPLICING THAT SELECTIVELY
2	ERADICATES THERAPY-RESISTANT CANCER STEM CELLS.
3	THE INDICATION THAT IS SOUGHT HERE IS TO
4	TREAT SECONDARY ACUTE MYELOID LEUKEMIA OR SAML OR
5	HIGH-RISK MYELOFIBROSIS. THE GOAL IS TO COMPLETE
6	IND-ENABLING STUDIES AND FILE AN IND WITH THE FDA
7	THAT WILL ALLOW THEM TO BEGIN A CLINICAL TRIAL.
8	FUNDS REQUESTED ARE 3.2 MILLION. CO-FUNDING IS
9	800,000, WHICH IS 20 PERCENT, THAT'S REQUIRED UNDER
10	THIS PROJECT.
11	BACKGROUND ON SECONDARY ACUTE MYELOID
12	LEUKEMIA, THIS IS A CANCER THAT CAN DEVELOP FROM
13	PRE-EXISTING HIGH-RISK MYELOFIBROSIS, WHICH ITSELF
14	IS A BLOOD CANCER THAT DISRUPTS THE BODY'S NORMAL
15	PRODUCTION OF BLOOD CELLS. THE FIVE-YEAR SURVIVAL
16	RATE FOR PATIENTS WITH SECONDARY AML IS ONLY 26
17	PERCENT. AND STANDARD OF CARE THERAPIES ARE
18	GENERALLY NOT CURATIVE AND CAN RESULT IN SIGNIFICANT
19	MORBIDITY.
20	A KEY FACTOR FOR THE SEVERITY AND
21	RECURRENCE OF MANY OF THESE CANCERS AND OTHER BLOOD
22	CANCERS AS WELL IS THE PERSISTENCE OF CANCER STEM
23	CELLS OF THE TUMOR. SO IN TERMS OF THE VALUE
24	PROPOSITION, THIS PROPOSED THERAPY BLOCKS A KEY
25	RNA-MODIFYING ENZYME THAT TENDS TO PROMOTE CANCER

1	STEM CELL PERSISTENCE. AS A SMALL MOLECULE DRUG,
2	THE APPROACH, IF SUCCESSFUL, COULD PROVIDE A VERY
3	PRACTICAL AND FEASIBLE OPTION FOR PATIENTS WITH THIS
4	DISEASE.
5	WHY IS THIS A STEM CELL OR GENE THERAPY?
6	THE CANDIDATE IS A SMALL MOLECULE THAT ACTS ON
7	CANCER STEM CELLS.
8	IN TERMS OF OTHER PROJECTS IN OUR
9	PORTFOLIO OF ACTIVE PROGRAMS, WE HAVE A CLIN2 THAT'S
10	ADDRESSING AML AND CMML, IT'S A MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY,
11	AND CLIN1 THAT'S A VACCINE FOR AML. THESE ARE THE
12	SAME PROJECTS THAT HAYLEY MENTIONED REGARDING THE
13	OTHER AML PROJECT.
14	IN TERMS OF PREVIOUS CIRM FUNDING FOR THE
15	APPLICANT TEAM, WE HAVE ANOTHER PERSON WHO HAS ALSO
	APPLICANT TEAM, WE HAVE ANOTHER PERSON WHO HAS ALSO HAD A LONG HISTORY OF CIRM FUNDING AND MIGHT EVEN
15	
15 16 17	HAD A LONG HISTORY OF CIRM FUNDING AND MIGHT EVEN
15 16	HAD A LONG HISTORY OF CIRM FUNDING AND MIGHT EVEN OUTDO THE PREVIOUS ONE WITH THREE PROJECTS THAT ARE
15 16 17 18	HAD A LONG HISTORY OF CIRM FUNDING AND MIGHT EVEN OUTDO THE PREVIOUS ONE WITH THREE PROJECTS THAT ARE NOT ON THIS SLIDE. IN ALL CASES THESE PROJECTS,
15 16 17 18 19	HAD A LONG HISTORY OF CIRM FUNDING AND MIGHT EVEN OUTDO THE PREVIOUS ONE WITH THREE PROJECTS THAT ARE NOT ON THIS SLIDE. IN ALL CASES THESE PROJECTS, WHICH HAVE VARIED FROM INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS, SUCH
15 16 17 18 19 20	HAD A LONG HISTORY OF CIRM FUNDING AND MIGHT EVEN OUTDO THE PREVIOUS ONE WITH THREE PROJECTS THAT ARE NOT ON THIS SLIDE. IN ALL CASES THESE PROJECTS, WHICH HAVE VARIED FROM INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS, SUCH AS THE ALPHA CLINICS, TRANSLATIONAL DISEASE TEAM,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	HAD A LONG HISTORY OF CIRM FUNDING AND MIGHT EVEN OUTDO THE PREVIOUS ONE WITH THREE PROJECTS THAT ARE NOT ON THIS SLIDE. IN ALL CASES THESE PROJECTS, WHICH HAVE VARIED FROM INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS, SUCH AS THE ALPHA CLINICS, TRANSLATIONAL DISEASE TEAM, AND EARLY STAGE CANDIDATE DISCOVERY, HAVE BEEN ALL
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	HAD A LONG HISTORY OF CIRM FUNDING AND MIGHT EVEN OUTDO THE PREVIOUS ONE WITH THREE PROJECTS THAT ARE NOT ON THIS SLIDE. IN ALL CASES THESE PROJECTS, WHICH HAVE VARIED FROM INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS, SUCH AS THE ALPHA CLINICS, TRANSLATIONAL DISEASE TEAM, AND EARLY STAGE CANDIDATE DISCOVERY, HAVE BEEN ALL COMPLETED ON TIME WITH FEW, IF ANY, DELAYS IN THE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	HAD A LONG HISTORY OF CIRM FUNDING AND MIGHT EVEN OUTDO THE PREVIOUS ONE WITH THREE PROJECTS THAT ARE NOT ON THIS SLIDE. IN ALL CASES THESE PROJECTS, WHICH HAVE VARIED FROM INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS, SUCH AS THE ALPHA CLINICS, TRANSLATIONAL DISEASE TEAM, AND EARLY STAGE CANDIDATE DISCOVERY, HAVE BEEN ALL COMPLETED ON TIME WITH FEW, IF ANY, DELAYS IN THE PROJECT. SO THEY HAVE A VERY GOOD TRACK RECORD WITH

1	FOR THIS PROJECT IS TO FUND WITH A SCORE OF 1, AND
2	IT RECEIVED A UNANIMOUS SCORE BY 15 MEMBERS. THERE
3	WERE NO SCORES THAT WERE A 2 OR A 3. THE DEI SCORE
4	IS A SCORE OF 9. AND CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDS TO FUND
5	IN CONCURRENCE WITH THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP
6	RECOMMENDATION FOR THE AMOUNT OF 3.2 MILLION. DR.
7	IMBASCIANI.
8	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES. THANK YOU,
9	GIL. I'M GOING TO OPEN THE FLOOR TO A MOTION TO
10	CONSIDER DISCUSSION OF 14607.
11	DR. FISHER: SO MOVED.
12	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, FRED.
13	DR. DULIEGE: I'M HAPPY TO SECOND.
14	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU,
15	ANNE-MARIE.
16	DR. DULIEGE: HELLO TO EVERYBODY, BY THE
17	WAY.
18	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. THE FLOOR IS
19	OPEN TO DISCUSSION. WAS THERE A HAND, ANNE-MARIE?
20	DR. DULIEGE: NO.
21	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: NO HANDS RAISED.
22	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MARIANNE. I HAD IT
23	BACKWARDS.
24	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: NO HANDS RAISED.
25	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: NO HANDS ARE RAISED.
	2.4

	,
1	ANY COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC?
2	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: STAR NINE FROM
3	THE PUBLIC IF YOU WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT. I DON'T
4	SEE ANY.
5	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. THAT BEING
6	THE CASE, SCOTT, WE CAN PROCEED TO A ROLL CALL VOTE
7	ON CLIN1-14607.
8	MR. TOCHER: AND THE MOTION IS TO FUND
9	14607.
10	DAN BERNAL.
11	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
12	MR. TOCHER: MARIA BONNEVILLE.
13	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.
14	MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER.
15	DR. FISHER: YES.
16	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
17	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
18	MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
19	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
20	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
21	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
22	MR. TOCHER: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
23	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
24	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
25	MR. LAJARA: YES.
	35

	,
1	MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
2	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
3	MR. TOCHER: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
4	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
5	MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
6	DR. PADILLA: YES.
7	MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
8	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
9	MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON.
10	DR. WATSON: YES.
11	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
12	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
13	MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THE MOTION CARRIES 14
14	то 0.
15	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MOTION CARRIES.
16	THANK YOU VERY MUCH. OKAY.
17	GIL OR HAYLEY, I DON'T KNOW WHO'S GOT THE
18	LAST PRESENTATION.
19	DR. LAM: JUST A NOTE, THAT THERE'S A
20	CONFLICT WITH ONE MEMBER OF THE ARS, FRED FISHER.
21	AND TO MOVE ON TO THE APPLICATION ITSELF, I BELIEVE
22	THIS IS THE LAST ONE. SO CLIN1-14933, THE TITLE OF
23	THIS APPLICATION IS "MANUFACTURING OF AN ANTISENSE
24	OLIGONUCLEOTIDES FOR A PHASE 1/2 CLINICAL TRIAL FOR
25	AMYOTROPHIC LATERAL SCLEROSIS." AND THE THERAPY IS
	36

1	AN ANTISENSE OLIGONUCLEOTIDE, AND THE INDICATION IS
2	ALS. AND THE GOAL OF THIS IS TO COMPLETE THE
3	MANUFACTURING ACTIVITIES AND FILE AN IND WITH THE
4	FDA. FUNDS REQUESTED ARE JUST UNDER 2.2 MILLION AND
5	WITH A 20-PERCENT CO-FUNDING THAT'S REQUIRED OF JUST
6	OVER HALF A MILLION, AND THE MAXIMUM FUNDS FOR THIS
7	CATEGORY IS 4 MILLION.
8	SO A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION.
9	ALS IS A NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASE AND RESULTS IN
10	THE DEATH OF NERVE CELLS IN THE BRAIN AND SPINAL
11	CORD, CAUSING THE MUSCLES IN THE BODY TO GRADUALLY
12	WEAKEN AND EVENTUALLY LEADING TO THE LOSS OF LIMB
13	FUNCTION, DIFFICULTY BREATHING, PARALYSIS, AND
14	EVENTUALLY DEATH. SO THERE ARE MEDICATIONS
15	CURRENTLY THAT CAN SLOW DOWN THE PROGRESSION, BUT NO
16	CURE FOR THIS DISEASE. PROPOSED PRODUCT WOULD OFFER
17	AN OPPORTUNITY TO TREAT ALS PATIENTS WITH VARIOUS
18	CAUSES, UNDERLYING CAUSES, AND POTENTIALLY HAVE A
19	GREATER IMPACT ON PATIENT QUALITY OF LIFE THAN THE
20	CURRENT STANDARD OF CARE. AND THE THERAPEUTIC
21	CANDIDATE IS A GENE THERAPY.
22	SO CURRENT CIRM PORTFOLIO PROJECTS, THERE
23	IS A CLIN2 PHASE 1 CLINICAL TRIAL RUNNING RIGHT NOW
24	FOR THE SAME INDICATION. AND THIS IS A DIFFERENT
25	APPROACH WITH GENETICALLY ENGINEERED STEM CELLS.

1	THE APPLICANT DOES NOT HAVE PRIOR CIRM FUNDING.
2	AND, FINALLY, THE SCIENTIFIC RECOMMENDATION FROM THE
3	GRANTS WORKING GROUP WAS A RECOMMENDATION TO FUND
4	WITH 13 VOTES FOR TIER I, ONE VOTE FOR TIER II, AND
5	NONE FOR TIER III, WITH A DEI SCORE OF 7. AND THE
6	CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDATION IS TO FUND THE APPLICATION
7	FOR THE AMOUNT OF 2.2 MILLION.
8	DR. IMBASCIANI.
9	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES. GREAT. THANK
10	YOU. SO FOR THIS FINAL APPLICATION, COULD WE HAVE A
11	MOTION TO DISCUSS?
12	DR. DULIEGE: I CAN MAKE THE MOTION TO
13	DISCUSS.
14	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ANNE-MARIE MOVES.
15	DR. SOUTHARD: SECOND.
16	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MARVIN SECONDS.
17	THANK YOU.
18	COMMENTS NOW FROM BOARD MEMBERS.
19	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: THERE ARE NO
20	HANDS RAISED. HOLD ON. THERE'S ADRIANA.
21	DR. PADILLA: CAN THE TEAM JUST SUMMARIZE.
22	WHAT WERE SOME OF THE ISSUES REGARDING THE DEI SCORE
23	OF 7, PLEASE?
24	DR. LAM: I THINK THE MAIN CONCERNS WERE
25	THAT IT DIDN'T SEEM LIKE THERE WAS A LOT OF EARLY

1	ENGAGEMENT, DEI ENGAGEMENT COMMITTEES TO BE
2	CONDUCTED UNDER THIS SPECIFIC AWARD. AND IT WASN'T
3	CLEAR FROM THE EXPLANATION OF THE APPLICANT HOW THIS
4	THERAPY WOULD POTENTIALLY IMPACT UNDERSERVED AND
5	DISPROPORTIONATELY AFFECTED COMMUNITIES.
6	DR. PADILLA: DOES THE TEAM GO BACK AND
7	ASK THE APPLICANT TO ADDRESS THOSE ISSUES?
8	DR. LAM: SO I THINK THAT THE CIRM TEAM
9	CAN WORK WITH THE AWARDEE, IF FUNDED, TO IMPROVE
10	THOSE DEI PLANS AS PART OF AWARD ADMINISTRATION HERE
11	AT CIRM.
12	DR. PADILLA: OKAY. THANK YOU.
13	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ADRIANA, THANK YOU.
14	THOSE WERE THE QUESTIONS I WAS HOPING WOULD BE
15	ASKED. ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS?
16	DR. DULIEGE: JUST MAYBE A CLARIFICATION
17	ON MY PART. AND I APOLOGIZE BECAUSE I HAVEN'T HAD A
18	CHANCE TO REALLY LOOK AT THE MOST RECENT EXCHANGE OF
19	EMAILS IN GREAT DETAIL. BUT IS THERE ANY ISSUE
20	HERE? WHAT I SEE IS UNANIMITY, AT LEAST FROM A
21	SCIENTIFIC PERSPECTIVE, IF I'M CORRECT, AS WELL AS
22	THE CIRM TEAM CONCURS WITH THE GWG. SO DO I MISS
23	SOMETHING IS MY QUESTION?
24	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GIL.
25	DR. SAMBRANO: NO. NOT THAT I CAN TELL.

1	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU.
2	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: SCANNING ONCE MORE
3	FOR THE PUBLIC, STAR NINE.
4	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: THERE ARE NONE.
5	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. THERE ARE
6	NONE.
7	SCOTT, WE CAN PROCEED THEN TO A VOTE ON
8	THIS FINAL APPLICATION.
9	MR. TOCHER: AND THE MOTION IS TO FUND
10	APPLICATION 14933.
11	DAN BERNAL.
12	MR. BERNAL: AYE.
13	MR. TOCHER: MARIA BONNEVILLE.
14	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES.
15	MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
16	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
17	MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
18	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: YES.
19	MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
20	DR. FLOWERS: YES.
21	MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
22	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
23	MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
24	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.
25	MR. TOCHER: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
	40
	" "

	ŕ
1	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
2	MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
3	MR. LAJARA: YES.
4	MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
5	DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
6	MR. TOCHER: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN.
7	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: YES.
8	MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA.
9	DR. PADILLA: YES.
10	MR. TOCHER: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
11	DR. SOUTHARD: YES.
12	MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON.
13	DR. WATSON: YES.
14	MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE
15	MOTION CARRIES 14 TO 0.
16	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GOOD. THANK YOU,
17	SCOTT.
18	JUST AN EDITORIAL COMMENT FROM THE CHAIR.
19	I WANT TO COMPLIMENT THE APPLICANTS ON THE QUALITY
20	OF THEIR APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED TO THIS SESSION OF
21	THE BOARD TO JUDGE BY THE NEAR UNANIMITY OF PEOPLE
22	DOING THE EVALUATIONS AND, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE
23	LAST, THE SIXTH APPLICATION, THE HIGH SCORES IN THE
24	DEI CATEGORY. I DON'T HAVE A LONG INSTITUTIONAL
25	MEMORY. I THINK THIS QUESTION IS RHETORICAL, BUT I
	41
	41

1	WONDER IF WE'VE EVER HAD A MEETING WITH SO MANY HIGH
2	SCORING DEI'S PRESENTED AT THE SAME TIME. I'M SURE
3	SCOTT OR GIL WILL TELL ME THAT ANSWER LATER.
4	THANK YOU, EVERYONE. IS THERE ANY OTHER
5	BUSINESS FOR THE BOARD MEMBERS, SCOTT?
6	MR. TOCHER: NO, THERE ISN'T. THAT
7	CONCLUDES THE BUSINESS OF THE ARS UNLESS THERE'S ANY
8	OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT REGARDING AN ITEM NOT ON THE
9	AGENDA.
10	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: THERE ARE NONE.
11	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THERE ARE NONE. CAN
12	WE ADJOURN, OR DO WE NEED A MOTION?
13	MR. TOCHER: YOU CAN ADJOURN.
14	CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE CAN ADJOURN.
15	THANK YOU, EVERYONE. THIS WAS A VERY, VERY
16	PRODUCTIVE MEETING UNDER AN HOUR. I APPRECIATE YOUR
17	PARTICIPATION. THANK YOU.
18	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 10:24 A.M.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON JULY 27, 2023, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543