

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

BEFORE THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: 685 GATEWAY BLVD.
PRESENTATION ROOM
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA

DATE: JUNE 29, 2023
9 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR
CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO. : 2023-23

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

I N D E X

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER	4
2. ROLL CALL	4
3. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT	8
4. PRESIDENT'S REPORT	15
CIRM FUNDING MODEL	45
CONSENT CALENDAR	69
5. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES FROM MARCH 28 ICOC/ARS MEETING AND APRIL 27 ARS MEETING AND MAY 31 ICOC/ARS MEETING	
6. APPOINTMENT OF SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP	
7. ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE FACILITY WORKING GROUP BYLAWS	
8. ADOPTION OF ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP CONFLICT OF INTEREST REGULATION REGULATION 100006	
9. ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP BYLAWS	
OPEN SESSION	
10. CONSIDERATION OF CIRM ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET FOR FY 23/24	76
11. CONSIDERATION OF CIRM SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH BUDGET FOR FY 23/24	91
12. CONSIDERATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS FROM GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE REGARDING PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT REVIEW FORMS: PRESIDENT & CEO	71

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

I N D E X (CONT'D.)

13. CONSIDERATION OF UPDATE FROM NEURO TASK FORCE OF THE BOARD	101
14. UPDATE ON ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP ROADMAP	119
15. PUBLIC COMMENT	NONE
16. ADJOURNMENT	144

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JUNE 29, 2023; 9 A.M.

CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: GOOD MORNING,
EVERYONE. THIS IS DR. VITO IMBASCIANI, THE CHAIR OF
THE ICOC OF CIRM, AND I'M DELIGHTED TO WELCOME
EVERYONE IN THE ROOM, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MEMBERS
OF THE STAFF, AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC THAT ARE
ATTENDING THIS JUNE 29TH MEETING OF THE CIRM'S
BOARD. AND I'D ALSO LIKE TO WELCOME ANYONE WHO IS
ATTENDING THIS MEETING ON THE TELEPHONE OR BY OTHER
ELECTRONIC MEANS.

AND BEFORE WE START, I'M GOING TO ASK
MR. SCOTT TOCHER TO LEAD US IN THE PLEDGE OF
ALLEGIANCE.

(THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)

CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, SCOTT.
IF YOU WOULD CONTINUE IN YOUR OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND
CALL THE ROLL.

MR. TOCHER: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ. MOHAMED
ABOUSALEM.

DR. ABOUSALEM: PRESENT.

MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL.

MR. BERNAL: PRESENT.

MR. TOCHER: KIM BARRETT.

DR. BARRETT: PRESENT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
2 DR. BLUMENTHAL: PRESENT.
3 MR. TOCHER: MARIA BONNEVILLE.
4 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: PRESENT.
5 MR. TOCHER: MICHAEL BOTCHAN. LINDA
6 BOXER.
7 DR. BOXER: PRESENT.
8 MR. TOCHER: JUDY CHOU.
9 DR. CHOU: PRESENT.
10 MR. TOCHER: LEONDRA CLARK-HARVEY.
11 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: PRESENT.
12 MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
13 DR. DULIEGE: PRESENT.
14 MR. TOCHER: YSABEL DURON.
15 MS. DURON: PRESENT.
16 MR. TOCHER: MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE. FRED
17 FISHER.
18 DR. FISHER: PRESENT.
19 MR. TOCHER: ELENA FLOWERS.
20 DR. FLOWERS: PRESENT.
21 MR. TOCHER: JUDY GASSON.
22 DR. GASSON: PRESENT.
23 MR. TOCHER: LARRY GOLDSTEIN. DAVID
24 HIGGINS.
25 DR. HIGGINS: PRESENT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: VITO IMBASCIANI.
2 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: PRESENT.
3 MR. TOCHER: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
4 MR. JUELSGAARD: PRESENT.
5 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
6 MR. LAJARA: PRESENT.
7 MR. TOCHER: PAT LEVITT.
8 DR. LEVITT: PRESENT.
9 MR. TOCHER: DAVID LO.
10 DR. LO: PRESENT.
11 MR. TOCHER: LINDA MALKAS.
12 DR. MALKAS: PRESENT.
13 MR. TOCHER: SHLOMO MELMED.
14 DR. MELMED: PRESENT.
15 MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
16 DR. MIASKOWSKI: PRESENT.
17 MR. TOCHER: LAUREN MILLER-ROGEN. ADRIANA
18 PADILLA.
19 DR. PADILLA: PRESENT.
20 MR. TOCHER: JOE PANETTA. MARV SOUTHARD.
21 DR. SOUTHARD: PRESENT.
22 MR. TOCHER: MICHAEL STAMOS.
23 DR. STAMOS: PRESENT.
24 MR. TOCHER: KAROL WATSON. KEVIN XU.
25 KEITH YAMAMOTO.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

DR. YAMAMOTO: PRESENT.

MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU. WE HAVE A QUORUM.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. ABDULHAQ: SCOTT, THIS IS HAIFAA. I'M
2 PRESENT.

3 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, SCOTT.

4 I'D LIKE TO CONTINUE WITH A VERY SHORT
5 REPORT FROM THE CHAIR. THIS BEING THE FIRST
6 OFFICIAL MEETING, I'D LIKE TO THANK THE CIRM STAFF
7 PARTICULARLY FOR THE VERY, VERY WARM AND
8 ACCOMMODATING WELCOME THEY'VE GIVEN TO YOUR NEW
9 CHAIR.

10 AND SINCE I'VE TAKEN OVER IN VERY, VERY
11 LATE MARCH, I HAVE BEEN VERY ASSIDUOUSLY GETTING TO
12 KNOW THE BREADTH OF CIRM'S PRESENCE ON THE CELL AND
13 GENE THERAPY STAGE; TO WIT, I HAVE BEEN NOW TO VISIT
14 SIX OF OUR NINE ALPHA CLINICS. I'VE BEEN TO UC
15 DAVIS, UCSF, THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL IN OAKLAND,
16 UCSD AND WITH A SIDE TRIP TO THE SANFORD CONSORTIUM,
17 THE CITY OF HOPE, AND UCLA.

18 THE STAFF OF EACH OF THESE ALPHA CLINICS
19 HAS BEEN EXTRAORDINARY IN THEIR WELCOME OF THE
20 CONTINGENT THAT I BROUGHT WITH ME. AND I WANT TO
21 THANK GEOFF LOMAX AND OTHERS ON HIS TEAM FOR
22 FACILITATING THAT. IT'S NO EASY MATTER. WE WERE
23 QUITE A PERTURBATION IN THE DAILY ACTIVITIES OF ALL
24 THESE CENTERS, BUT THEY CAME OUT IN GREAT NUMBERS,
25 THEY WELCOMED US, THEY TOURED US AROUND. THEY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ADVISED US AT A VERY HIGH LEVEL OF THE SCIENTIFIC
2 ENDEAVORS THAT THEY WERE ENGAGED IN. AND IT WAS
3 REALLY A VERY, VERY WONDERFUL THING, I THINK, FOR
4 CIRM TO DO TO SHOW OUR PRESENCE ON ALL OF THESE
5 CAMPUSES AND TO LEARN WHAT THEY'RE ABOUT. AND I
6 THINK THE BENEFIT WAS MUTUAL.

7 AND I HAVE TO TELL YOU THAT NOT JUST IN
8 CALIFORNIA, BUT IN ALL THE OTHER PLACES I'VE BEEN,
9 OF WHICH I'LL NAME A FEW IN A SECOND, THE PERCEPTION
10 OF CIRM'S PRESENCE AND ITS ACCOMPLISHMENT OVER THE
11 LAST NINETEEN IS REALLY EXTRAORDINARY. PEOPLE ALL
12 OVER THIS COUNTRY, I'VE TOURED YALE'S LABS AND OTHER
13 PEOPLE AND I'VE BEEN TO A NUMBER OF THE NATIONAL
14 MEETINGS, WHERE THE RECOGNITION OF WHAT CIRM HAS
15 CREATED AND CONTINUES TO SUPPORT LEAVES PEOPLE
16 BREATHLESS. I DON'T USE THE ADJECTIVE VERY LIGHTLY.
17 WE ARE THE ENVY OF THE WORLD, WHAT HAS BEEN CREATED
18 BY THE PEOPLE ON THIS BOARD AND IN THIS ROOM AND
19 OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE SERVED WHO ARE NO LONGER ON
20 THE BOARD. SO CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU ALL.

21 JUNE WAS EXTRAORDINARILY RICH IN THE
22 NUMBER OF LARGE MEETINGS OF THE RESEARCH AND
23 THERAPEUTIC COMMUNITIES. IT STARTED ABOUT A MONTH
24 AGO WITH THE ASGCT MEETING AT THE CONVENTION CENTER
25 IN LOS ANGELES. THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE FROM ALL OVER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE WORLD COMING TO GIVE REPORTS ON THEIR SCIENTIFIC
2 INQUIRY AND TO MAKE CONNECTIONS. FOLLOWED BY
3 LABEST, AN EXTRAORDINARILY WELL RUN, BEAUTIFUL
4 MEETING ON THE CAMPUS OF UCLA. AND THEN THE
5 SPRAWLING MEETING IN BOSTON OF THE INTERNATIONAL
6 STEM CELL RESEARCH ORGANIZATION HOSTED BY THE
7 PRESIDENT, HAIFAN LIN, AT WHICH, BY THE WAY, YOUNG
8 ROBERT KLEIN JR. WAS PUBLICLY AWARDED A COMMENDATION
9 FOR HIS WORK IN HEADING UP THE CAMPAIGN THAT
10 RESULTED IN THE SUCCESSFUL PASSAGE OF PROP 14. IT
11 WAS A WELL-DESERVED HONOR, AND IT WAS THE ONLY ONE
12 SUCH HONOR AT THAT MEETING AT THE PRESIDENT'S
13 RECEPTION. AND ROBERT WAS THERE WITH HIS FAMILY TO
14 RECEIVE THAT AWARD.

15 AND FINALLY, A VERY INTERESTING, VERY
16 EXCITING MEETING FOR A NUMBER OF DAYS UP AT LAKE
17 ARROWHEAD IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, WHICH CALLED
18 ITSELF THE FIRST ANNUAL SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
19 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE SYMPOSIUM, WHICH BROUGHT
20 TOGETHER ALL OF THE ENTITIES IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA,
21 EXPANDED TO INCLUDE PLACES THAT DON'T HAVE MEDICAL
22 SCHOOLS, SUCH AS UC SANTA BARBARA AND CALTECH. AND
23 THEY REPORTED ON ALL THE VIBRANT RESEARCH THAT WAS
24 GOING ON IN THEIR CENTERS.

25 AND THEN FINALLY, I MET WITH THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PRESIDENT, OUR CEO, MARIA MILLAN, AND HER ENTIRE
2 LEADERSHIP TEAM INDIVIDUALLY AND THEN SAT IN ON
3 THEIR MEETINGS OF THEIR WHOLE TEAMS, TO MEET THEM
4 ALL, FIND OUT A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT WHAT THEY DO
5 SO I CAN BE A BETTER COMMUNICATOR TO THE PEOPLE OF
6 CALIFORNIA OF WHAT WE ARE AND WHAT WE ARE ABOUT.

7 SO IT'S BEEN A VERY, VERY BUSY MONTH.
8 I'VE STILL GOT A FEW MORE SITES TO VISIT. I THINK
9 THERE ARE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM, I THINK,
10 THAT ARE NEXT ON THE LIST.

11 I WOULD LIKE NEXT TO TELL YOU -- HE'S NOT
12 HERE -- WE HAVE A NEW BOARD MEMBER. HIS NAME IS
13 KEVIN XU. LAST NAME IS SPELLED X-U. HE WAS
14 NOMINATED BY THE SPEAKER OF THE CALIFORNIA ASSEMBLY.
15 AND I'LL TELL YOU SOMETHING ABOUT HIM. HE'S GOING
16 TO JOIN US FOR THE FIRST TIME IN OUR SEPTEMBER BOARD
17 MEETING. HE RECEIVED A BACHELOR OF ARTS IN
18 NEUROSCIENCE IN 2011 FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN
19 CALIFORNIA. HE'S VERY, VERY INVOLVED IN A NUMBER OF
20 NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL BOARDS. I'LL JUST NAME A
21 FEW OF THEM.

22 HE WAS APPOINTED FIRST TO SERVE BY
23 CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR JERRY BROWN TO THE CALIFORNIA
24 CHINA TRADE AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY GROUP. HE'S A
25 MEMBER OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY MEDICAL HEALTH

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 COMMISSION, AND I THINK THAT THAT'S WHAT -- IT'S
2 THAT CREDENTIAL THAT CAUGHT THE SPEAKER'S ATTENTION
3 IN APPOINTING HIM TO BE THE PATIENT ADVOCATE TO THE
4 MENTAL HEALTH COMMUNITY. WE SHOULD KNOW THAT. HE'S
5 INVOLVED IN THE CLINTON GLOBAL INITIATIVE. I'M ONLY
6 GIVING YOU A VERY HIGHLY SELECT RENDERING OF HIS CV.
7 HE'S THE DIRECTOR IN BEIJING OF THE, AND I THINK
8 THIS IS -- WHAT'S THE WORD? -- EPONYMOUS WHEN THE
9 INSTITUTE IS NAMED AFTER YOURSELF, THE RONGXIANG
10 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE LABORATORY, WHICH IS UNDER THE
11 UNITED NATIONS ACADEMIC IMPACT PROGRAM. PRESIDENT
12 OF THE INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY OF REGENERATIVE
13 MEDICINE AND WOUND REPAIR.

14 HE'S ALSO ON MANY ADVISORY BOARDS,
15 INCLUDING THE RONGXIANG XU CENTER FOR REGENERATIVE
16 THERAPEUTICS AT BETH ISRAEL AT HARVARD, CALIFORNIA
17 INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY NEURO TECHNOLOGY CENTER,
18 CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY LOS ANGELES, THE
19 PRESIDENTIAL COUNCIL, THE HARRIS SCHOOL OF PUBLIC
20 POLICY AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, AND THE KEVIN
21 XU INITIATIVE AT THE ROSE TRUST OF OXFORD
22 UNIVERSITY.

23 IN 2014 HE RECEIVED AN IMPACT 100
24 RECOGNITION AT THE UNITED NATIONS AND A FEW YEARS
25 AGO WAS AWARDED USC'S YOUNG ALUMNI MERIT AWARD. SO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 A VERY ACCOMPLISHED YOUNG ADDITION DO THIS BOARD OF
2 DIRECTORS. WE'LL MEET HIM IN PERSON IN SEPTEMBER.

3 THIRD OF MY FOUR POINTS, I VERY CAREFULLY
4 LISTENED TO A NUMBER OF THE COMMENTS MADE OVER THE
5 LAST THREE BOARD MEETINGS BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.
6 THESE ARE QUESTIONS THAT AROSE ABOUT OUR BUDGETING
7 PROCESS AND HOW IT SUPPORTS, HIGHLIGHTS, AND
8 SELECTS, IF YOU WILL, AREAS OF SCIENTIFIC FOCUS.
9 THAT'S A FANCY WAY OF SAYING HOW DO WE PRIORITIZE
10 APPLICATIONS THAT ARE WORTHY OF SUPPORT HERE AT THE
11 BOARD. HOW DO WE PRIORITIZE, SHOULD WE PRIORITIZE
12 ONE AREA OF INQUIRY OVER ANOTHER? HOW DO WE
13 IMPLEMENT THE MANDATE OF PROP 14, SPECIFICALLY THE
14 \$1.5 MILLION THAT ARE EARMARKED, IF YOU WILL, FOR
15 NEUROSCIENCE?

16 THE NEURO TASK FORCE OF THIS BOARD IS
17 PRESENTLY INVOLVED IN EXACTLY THAT ACTIVITY, A VERY
18 PRECISE AND DEEP ANALYSIS THAT IS ESSENTIALLY AN
19 EXERCISE IN PRIORITIZATION. AND THEY WILL BE
20 REPORTING ON THAT AT TODAY'S MEETING. I AM GOING TO
21 SHORTLY WHEN I'M DONE TURN OVER TO PRESIDENT MILLAN
22 WHO IS GOING TO ELABORATE ON THIS VERY TOPIC IN HER
23 REPORT. AND I AM TAKING THE PREROGATIVE OF ASKING
24 THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE TO FORMALLY TAKE UP THIS
25 ISSUE OF PRIORITIZATION BASED ON COMMENTS MADE BY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MANY OF THE MEMBERS OF THIS BOARD, TAKE IT UP AND AT
2 THEIR OWN PACE STUDY IT AND PLEASE REPORT BACK.

3 AND FINALLY, IN ADVANCE -- WE ARE IN OUR
4 19TH YEAR AT CIRM. IN LIGHT OF THE ADVANCES MADE IN
5 BASIC SCIENCE AND CLINICAL MEDICINE, I HAVE A
6 THOUGHT, THAT IT IS NOW AN OPPORTUNE TIME TO
7 CONSIDER EXPANDING OUR GOVERNMENT RELATIONS
8 ACTIVITY, WHICH AT PRESENT ARE LIMITED TO
9 SACRAMENTO, SPEARHEADED BY, AS IT HAS BEEN FOR
10 YEARS, BY OUR NOW FORMER VICE CHAIR ART TORRES. I'M
11 THINKING WE WOULD PROFIT FROM HAVING A WORKING
12 RELATIONSHIP IN THE WASHINGTON, D.C. AREA WITH
13 CLOSER PROXIMITY TO THE WORK OF CONGRESS AS WELL AS
14 THE FEDERAL AGENCIES, INCLUDING THE FDA AND THE NIH.

15 IN TOURING AROUND THE STATE AS PART OF ALL
16 THE ACTIVITIES I'VE MENTIONED EARLY ON, I'VE
17 IDENTIFIED AT ALMOST EVERY CENTER A GOOD NUMBER OF
18 INTERESTED AND ENGAGED STAKEHOLDERS WITH EXPERTISE
19 IN THIS AREA WHO MIGHT LIKE TO CONTRIBUTE TO THAT
20 EFFORT AND WHO CONCUR IN THIS IDEA THAT THE TIME IS
21 RIGHT TO HAVE A MORE ACTIVE INVOLVEMENT IN
22 GOVERNMENT RELATIONS.

23 SO I'LL LEAVE IT AT THAT. THANK YOU VERY
24 MUCH. AND I'M GOING TO PASS THE GAVEL TO PRESIDENT
25 MILLAN.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. DURON: MR. CHAIR.

2 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YSABEL, SORRY.

3 MS. DURON: WHILE SHE APPROACHES THE
4 BENCH, I WOULD LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE WELCOMING OF
5 MR. XU, BUT AT THE SAME TIME WOULD LIKE TO SAY THANK
6 YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE SERVICE OF AL ROWLETT, WHO HAS
7 BEEN A CALM, SMART, AND ENGAGED PRESENCE FOR 11
8 YEARS. AND I'M GOING TO MISS HIM DEARLY AS I THINK
9 A LOT OF US WILL, AND I THINK I WOULD LIKE HIM TO
10 KNOW THAT WE APPRECIATED HIS SERVICE. THANK YOU.

11 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU.

12 (APPLAUSE.)

13 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YSABEL, WE DID REACH
14 OUT TO AL. WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MORE FORMALLY
15 HONORED HIM AT THIS PARTICULAR BOARD MEETING. HE'S
16 ASKED THAT WE HOLD OFF ON THAT FOR A LITTLE WHILE.
17 SO IT WILL COME UP AT ANOTHER MEETING. THANK YOU
18 VERY MUCH.

19 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU SO MUCH. MAY I
20 PLEASE HAVE MY SLIDES OR DO I JUST CLICK? PERFECT.

21 THANK YOU, CHAIR IMBASCIANI, MEMBERS OF
22 THE BOARD, MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, AND DEAR
23 COLLEAGUES. TODAY I'LL BE PROVIDING A YEAR-ONE
24 UPDATE ON CIRM'S FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN THAT WAS
25 LAUNCHED SHORTLY AFTER THE PASSAGE OF PROPOSITION

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 14. AS A REMINDER AND A VERY IMPORTANT REMINDER,
2 CIRM'S MISSION IS TO ACCELERATE WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE
3 TO DELIVER TRANSFORMATIVE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
4 TREATMENTS IN AN EQUITABLE MANNER TO A DIVERSE
5 CALIFORNIA AND WORLD. THIS REMAINS OUR NORTH STAR,
6 AND EVERYTHING WE DO REALLY LEVERAGES ON THIS
7 MISSION.

8 SINCE ITS FORMATION, CIRM HAS BEEN AT THE
9 LEADING EDGE OF CREATING A WHOLE NEW FIELD IN
10 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. AND THIS FIELD IS NOW COMING
11 OF AGE. THERE ARE MORE AND MORE PROGRAMS MAKING IT
12 TO THE CLINICS. THERE ARE NOW THOUSANDS OF CLINICAL
13 TRIALS WORLDWIDE. MOST OF THEM ARE STILL IN EARLY
14 STAGES AS THEY ARE IN CIRM'S PORTFOLIO WHERE WE
15 FUNDED 91 CLINICAL TRIALS, 58 OF THEM STILL ACTIVE.
16 THE MAJORITY ARE STILL IN EARLY DEVELOPMENT PHASE 1
17 AND PHASE 2. HOWEVER, OVER THE PAST SEVERAL YEARS,
18 WE HAVE SEEN AN INCREASE IN PROGRAMS THAT HAVE MADE
19 IT ALL THE WAY TO FDA APPROVAL TO MAKE IT TO THE
20 MARKETPLACE. AND TO DATE 29 CELL AND GENE
21 THERAPIES, ACTUALLY 30 AS OF LAST WEEK, HAVE BEEN
22 APPROVED BY THE FDA. I'LL GO INTO THAT IN A LITTLE
23 BIT.

24 AS SHOWN IN THAT PIE CHART THAT REPRESENTS
25 WHAT THE FIELD LOOKED LIKE IN 2022, JUST A YEAR AGO,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CELL AND GENE THERAPY DOES STILL COMPOSE A SMALL
2 PROPORTION OF BIOLOGICS THAT HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY
3 THE FDA. SO IT IS STILL A VERY EARLY FIELD. TODAY
4 I'D LIKE TO GIVE A STATUS UPDATE ON WHERE WE ARE IN
5 THE FIELD, THE CHALLENGES THAT ARE BEFORE US, AND
6 WHAT CIRM IS DOING TO ADDRESS THOSE CHALLENGES IN
7 ITS MISSION.

8 SO TO SPEAK TO RECENT ANNOUNCEMENTS, LAST
9 WEEK SAREPTA, WHICH IS A GENE THERAPY FOR DUCHENNE
10 MUSCULAR DYSTROPHY, WAS THE FIRST GENE THERAPY THAT
11 WAS APPROVED BY THE FDA. WHAT'S REMARKABLE ABOUT
12 THIS EVENT IS THAT PETER MARKS, WHO IS THE HEAD OF
13 THE DIVISION, CBER, THE BIOLOGIC DIVISION,
14 OVERTURNED HIS OWN REVIEWERS' RECOMMENDATION NOT TO
15 APPROVE THIS. WHY IS THIS SIGNIFICANT? HE SIDED
16 WITH THE ADVISORY COUNCIL, WHICH LOOKED AT THE DATA
17 MUCH MORE CLOSELY AND TOOK INTO ACCOUNT THE NATURE
18 OF THIS DISEASE. IT AFFLICTS THE YOUNG. IT IS
19 DEVASTATING. THERE IS NO TREATMENT.

20 SO THEY LOOKED AT THE DATA DESPITE THE
21 FACT THAT THE STUDY INITIAL DESIGNED ENDPOINTS WERE
22 NOT MET AND LOOKED AT THE POST HOC ANALYSIS AND SAW
23 THAT IN FOUR- AND FIVE-YEAR-OLDS THERE WAS AN
24 EFFICACY SIGNAL THAT MATCHED BIOLOGICAL SURROGATES
25 OR ACTUALLY NOT EVEN SURROGATES, REAL MARKERS. IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GENE THERAPY THERE IS THE ENCODING OF A PROTEIN THAT
2 HELPS TO REVERSE THIS DISEASE. AND THEY COULD SEE
3 THAT THE EXPRESSION OF THIS PROTEIN CORRELATED WITH
4 IMPROVEMENT AND FUNCTION IN THE HEALTH OF THESE
5 FOUR- AND FIVE-YEAR-OLDS.

6 SO BECAUSE THIS WAS UNDER ACCELERATED
7 PATHWAY DESIGNATION, DR. MARKS HAD MOVED FORWARD
8 WITH THE APPROVAL OF THIS THERAPY WITH THE PROVISION
9 THAT THERE WOULD BE POST-APPROVAL CONFIRMATORY
10 STUDIES. SO THIS IS CALLED THE ACCELERATED PATHWAY.
11 JUST TO REMIND YOU, DR. ABLA CREASEY, THE HEAD OF
12 THE THERAPEUTICS PROGRAM, HAD UPDATED THE BOARD THAT
13 11 OF OUR PROGRAMS HAVE EXPEDITED PATHWAY
14 DESIGNATIONS THAT WOULD FOLLOW THIS TYPE OF
15 PARADIGM.

16 SO WE KNOW THAT THE REGULATORY PARADIGM IS
17 SHIFTING. SO THE PIPELINE IS GROWING. WE ARE
18 SEEING INNOVATION IN THE REGULATORY ARENA. THIS IS
19 GREAT. FURTHERMORE, OUR PROGRAMS CONTINUE TO MAKE
20 PROGRESS. FOR INSTANCE, ONE OF OUR PROGRAMS, WHICH
21 WAS A SPINOUT OF UCSF, WHICH IS A PLURIPOTENT STEM
22 CELL-DERIVED NEURAL PRODUCT THAT'S BEEN USED TO
23 TREAT INTRACTABLE FOCAL EPILEPSY THAT IS NOT AT ALL
24 RESPONSIVE TO ANY MEDICATION. IT'S BEING CARRIED
25 OUT BY NEURONA, WHICH IS A SPINOUT OF THE UCSF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROGRAM FUNDED BY CIRM. WE FUNDED IT AND SUPPORTED
2 IT THROUGH EARLY STAGES AND TRANSLATION. IT'S NOW
3 IN CLINICAL TRIALS. AND THAT THEY JUST REPORTED AT
4 THE INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR STEM CELL RESEARCH A
5 COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO IN BOSTON A ONE-YEAR FOLLOW-UP
6 ON ONE OF THEIR PATIENTS, AND THERE'S MORE COMING
7 THROUGH, WHERE THERE WAS A 90-PERCENT REDUCTION IN
8 SEIZURE ACTIVITY AND ALL OF THE KIND OF ASSOCIATED
9 STUDIES TO GO WITH THIS.

10 THIS IS JUST THE START. AND WITH CELL
11 THERAPIES, WHICH IS CELL AND GENE THERAPIES, THAT IS
12 WHAT DISTINGUISHES IT FROM TRADITIONAL DRUG
13 DEVELOPMENT. THERE'S EARLY SIGNALS, SMALL STUDIES,
14 EFFECT SIZES LARGE, THE IMPACT IS HUGE, AND IT'S
15 ONE-TIME TREATMENT. JUST BEAR THAT IN MIND. IT'S A
16 COMPLETELY DIFFERENT MODEL THAN WHAT IS OUT THERE
17 FOR TRADITIONAL EVEN BIOLOGICS.

18 SO WITH THAT, WE OURSELVES HAVE BUILT A
19 VERY ROBUST PORTFOLIO AND PIPELINE. DR. IMBASCIANI,
20 CHAIR IMBASCIANI, HAD REFERRED TO THE BOARD'S
21 DISCUSSION OF POTENTIAL PRIORITIZATION WITHIN THIS
22 BROAD PORTFOLIO. SO IT'S A VERY IMPORTANT TOPIC
23 GIVEN THAT THERE IS A MASSIVE INCREASE IN THE TYPES
24 OF PROGRAMS COMING THROUGH OUR PROGRAMS. BUT THE
25 SNAPSHOT OF WHERE WE ARE TODAY, THE CUMULATIVE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROGRAMS THAT HAVE RESULTED FROM OUR GRANTMAKING
2 PROCESS IS THAT BETWEEN 30 AND 35 PERCENT ARE IN THE
3 NEURO FIELD IN GENERAL. YOU'LL HEAR A MUCH MORE
4 PRECISE ANALYSIS OF THIS IN UPCOMING MEETINGS AS LED
5 BY THE NEURO TASK FORCE AND DR. ROSA AVILES, WHO'S
6 OUR VP OF SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMS. BUT ABOUT 10 PERCENT
7 IN CARDIAC, 18 PERCENT IN MALIGNANCIES, 10 PERCENT
8 IN MUSCULOSKELETAL, AND QUITE A BIT IN OTHER
9 DISEASES WITH LARGE TARGETS SUCH AS DIABETES.

10 AND THIS IS DONE THROUGH A PREDICTABLE AND
11 RECURRING PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT, PROGRAM
12 OPPORTUNITIES, WHICH DR. GIL SAMBRANO, OUR HEAD OF
13 PORTFOLIO AND GRANTS REVIEW, WILL BE HIGHLIGHTING IN
14 HIS TALK FOLLOWING MINE. BUT HERE IS WHAT WE HAVE
15 DONE, WHAT CIRM HAS DONE, WHAT THIS BOARD HAS
16 APPROVED TO DATE. SO IN TOTAL, BETWEEN PROPOSITION
17 71 AND THE CURRENT PROPOSITION 14 FUNDS, YOU SEE IN
18 THE TOP ROW, THE CUMULATIVE INVESTMENT INTO
19 DISCOVERY IS OVER \$1 BILLION, SAME WITH CLINICAL
20 STAGE PROGRAMS, OVER HALF A BILLION DOLLARS IN
21 TRANSLATION, WHICH, AGAIN, DISTINGUISHES CIRM FROM
22 OTHER FUNDING AGENCIES. OUR BRAND IS A
23 TRANSLATIONAL FUNDING AGENCY. BUT ALSO SIGNIFICANT
24 INVESTMENT IN EDUCATION NEARING A HALF A BILLION AND
25 OVER HALF A BILLION DOLLARS IN INFRASTRUCTURE, BOTH

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE, BUT ALSO MAJOR PROGRAMMATIC
2 INFRASTRUCTURE, WHICH ARE MOST OF THE PROGRAMS YOU
3 HAVE BEEN SEEING COME THROUGH TO YOU RECENTLY.

4 AND IN THE PROPOSITION 14 ERA, THIS
5 CONTINUES, VERY ROBUST FUNDING ACROSS ALL FIVE
6 PILLARS. AND THEN THE FISCAL YEAR 22/23 WHERE YOU
7 WILL SEE IN MORE DETAIL WHERE POUNEH SIMPSON, OUR
8 HEAD OF FINANCE, WILL GIVE AN UPDATE ON OUR
9 EXPENDITURES WITH WHAT THE BOARD ALLOCATED FOR THIS
10 FISCAL YEAR. BUT YOU WILL SEE THAT THERE HAS ALSO
11 BEEN A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF INVESTMENT IN ALL THE
12 FIVE PILLARS. I WON'T READ THE NUMBERS. YOU ALL
13 HAVE THEM, BUT HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS RELATED
14 TO ANY OF THESE.

15 SO WE ARE FUNDING ALL THESE PROGRAMS.
16 THIS IS AN INTEGRATED APPROACH. WHAT WE STRIVE FOR
17 AT CIRM IS AN END-TO-END SOLUTION, FUNDING
18 PROGRAMMATIC, INFRASTRUCTURE, BUILDING FOR THE
19 FUTURE. WHY? BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT CELL AND GENE
20 THERAPY IS A NEW FIELD. THERE ARE SO MANY PLACES
21 WHERE IT CAN FAIL EVEN IF IT'S USEFUL. I'LL GIVE
22 YOU A USE CASE OF THAT OR A DEMONSTRATION OF THAT
23 SHORTLY. IT'S BEEN CALLED VALLEY OF DEATH WHERE
24 PROGRAMS, EVEN WITH PROMISE, JUST FALL THROUGH THE
25 CRACKS AND ARE NOT ABLE TO MAKE IT TO THE NEXT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 STAGE. THERE ARE TWO VALLEY OF DEATHS ACTUALLY.
2 THERE'S ONE GOING FROM VERY IMPORTANT AND PROMISING
3 SCIENCE AND DISCOVERIES TO BE ABLE TO TRANSLATE THIS
4 INTO SOMETHING WHERE YOU CAN CREATE PREDICTABLY A
5 THERAPEUTIC PRODUCT CANDIDATE. THIS IS A LIVING
6 MEDICINE. SO IT'S A VERY VARIABLE NEW SYSTEM.
7 COMPLETELY NEW TYPES OF TECHNOLOGIES NEED TO BE
8 DEVELOPED. SO IT CAN FALL THROUGH THE CRACKS THERE.

9 IF YOU GET THROUGH THAT PART, YOU CAN GET
10 INTO CLINICAL TRIALS, WHICH IS FANTASTIC. AND WE
11 ARE STARTING TO SEE THAT AS INDICATED BY SOME OF THE
12 PROGRESS REPORTS WE HAVE BEEN PROVIDING. HOWEVER,
13 IT CAN STILL FALL THROUGH THE CRACKS IN MAKING IT
14 ALL THE WAY, EVEN IF IT'S VERY NEAR BEING APPROVED,
15 INTO THE CLINICS. AND THEN, EVEN ONCE APPROVED AND
16 INITIALLY IN THE CLINICS, IT CAN STILL FAIL TO REACH
17 THE PATIENTS IN NEED, ESPECIALLY THE
18 DISPROPORTIONATELY AFFECTED COMMUNITIES THAT ARE
19 UNDERSERVED. SO THESE ARE HUGE CHALLENGES.

20 AND THERE ARE MANY STAKEHOLDERS AND
21 INTERESTED PARTIES IN THIS, BUT WHAT IS CIRM DOING?
22 CIRM LAUNCHED THE STRATEGIC PLAN THAT THIS BOARD HAD
23 APPROVED IN DECEMBER OF 2021, SHORTLY AFTER
24 PROPOSITION 14 WAS PASSED. AND WE ORGANIZED OUR
25 STRATEGIC THINKING AROUND THREE THEMATIC PILLARS OR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THREE THEMES: ADVANCE WORLD-CLASS SCIENCE, DELIVER
2 REAL-WORLD SOLUTIONS, AND PROVIDE OPPORTUNITY FOR
3 ALL. THERE ARE VERY DELIBERATE AND CONCRETE
4 TACTICAL OBJECTIVES UNDER EACH OF THESE PILLARS.
5 AND I'M JUST GOING TO GIVE A VERY HIGH LEVEL
6 ACCOUNTING FOR WHAT WE'VE DONE THIS YEAR TO OPEN UP
7 DISCUSSIONS.

8 SO IN TERMS OF ADVANCING WORLD-CLASS
9 SCIENCE, THERE IS AN OPEN RFA FOR SHARED RESOURCE
10 LABS. YOU'VE HEARD ABOUT THAT FROM DR. UTA
11 GREISHAMMER AND ROSA AVILES IN PAST MEETINGS TO
12 DISCUSS HOW THIS REALLY CAN EMPOWER THE SCIENTIFIC
13 COMMUNITY FOR COLLABORATIVE SCIENCE AND TO BE ABLE
14 TO PROVIDE THOSE RESOURCES TO MORE LABS AND,
15 THEREFORE, ACCELERATE THE SCIENCE THROUGH SYNERGIES.

16 WE FUNDED THE FIRST PROGRAMS IN THE
17 MANUFACTURING NETWORK PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM LED BY DR.
18 SHYAM PATEL WITH HUGE ASSISTANCE FROM DR. SOHEL
19 TALIB FROM THE THERAPEUTICS DEVELOPMENT TEAM AND DR.
20 ROSS OKAMURA. AND THAT PROGRAM IS JUST ABOUT TO
21 LAUNCH. THIS BOARD'S FUNDED THE FIRST OF THOSE, AND
22 THE DESCRIPTION AND THE OBJECTIVES OF THAT WERE
23 DESCRIBED AT PRIOR MEETINGS, BUT HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
24 QUESTIONS ON THAT. AND YOU WILL GET UPDATES, BY THE
25 WAY, ON ALL PROGRAMS AS THEY LAUNCH AND PROGRESS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AS YOU KNOW, THE ALPHA CLINICS DR.
2 IMBASCIANI HAD REFERRED TO, THE EXPANSION OF THAT IS
3 NOW ACROSS CALIFORNIA WITH NINE CENTERS. AND THE
4 PROMISE OF THAT IS NOT JUST THAT THERE ARE
5 FACILITIES AND THERE ARE PEOPLE, BUT IT'S THE
6 AMAZING POTENTIAL THAT CORE COMPETENCIES AND
7 RESOURCES ACROSS THESE PROGRAMS WILL REALLY PROVIDE
8 OPPORTUNITIES TO ADDRESS THESE BARRIERS THAT ARE
9 REPRESENTED IN THE SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM ABOVE.

10 AS YOU KNOW, SOME OF THE FIRST PROGRAMS WE
11 LAUNCHED WERE EDUCATION PROGRAMS TO TRAIN THE
12 WORKFORCE OF TOMORROW, TO BUILD THE DIVERSE
13 CULTURALLY SENSITIVE AND SKILLFUL WORKFORCE IN ALL
14 ASPECTS OF DEVELOPMENT OF EDUCATION AND OF MEDICINE
15 AND HEALTHCARE ADVOCACY, ALL ASPECTS.

16 SO WHAT ELSE IS BEING SET UP THIS YEAR?
17 THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM, WHICH YOU HEARD
18 DESCRIBED IN PRIOR MEETINGS, THE RFA FOR THAT IS NOW
19 OPEN. THAT'S UNDER DEVELOPMENT BY THE TEAM. AND
20 YOU WILL HEAR MORE ABOUT SOME OF THIS IN THE ROADMAP
21 PRESENTATION BY SEAN TURBEVILLE.

22 THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS CONCEPT
23 DEVELOPMENT HAS BEEN UNDER WAY. AND AS PARTNER TO
24 THAT, THERE HAVE BEEN A SERIES OF LISTENING SESSIONS
25 WHICH MANY OF YOU HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING IN. THANK

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 YOU VERY MUCH. AND THE MOST RECENT CULMINATED IN A
2 STATEWIDE LISTENING SESSION IN SACRAMENTO LAST WEEK
3 WHICH WAS AMAZINGLY SUCCESSFUL, JUST SO RICH WITH
4 INSIGHT AND PROBLEM SOLVING AND DISCUSSION. MEMBERS
5 OF THE BOARD WERE THERE, AND I'M SURE THAT AT SOME
6 POINT THEY WILL BE ABLE TO SHARE. WE WERE VERY
7 FORTUNATE THAT WE HAD MEMBERS ALSO LEAD THE PANEL,
8 SUCH AS MARIA BONNEVILLE, YSABEL DURON. AND SO
9 WE'RE REALLY FORTUNATE FOR THAT LEVEL OF ENGAGEMENT.
10 FANTASTIC.

11 SO LATER ON YOU WILL HEAR THE INITIAL
12 DRAFT OF THE ROADMAP FOR ACCESSIBILITY AND
13 AFFORDABILITY, WHICH WILL BE INTRODUCED BY THE CHAIR
14 OF OUR AAWG WORKING GROUP AND THEN PRESENTED BY SEAN
15 TURBEVILLE.

16 ALL RIGHT. SO HERE'S A LITTLE BIT MORE
17 KIND OF DEMONSTRATION CASES. WE'VE PRESENTED, SHYAM
18 PATEL, OUR HEAD OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AND ALLIANCE
19 MANAGEMENT, HAS PRESENTED AT MANY PRIOR BOARD
20 MEETINGS AND ALSO AT BIO. HE AND I AND MEMBERS OF
21 THE LEADERSHIP TEAM HAVE PRESENTED ALL AROUND THE
22 COUNTRY, DESCRIBING THE CIRM FUNDING MODEL AND HOW
23 IT DERISKS PROGRAMS AND ARE ABLE TO GET THEM THROUGH
24 THIS VALLEY OF DEATH. AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT, AS
25 DR. IMBASCIANI HAD POINTED OUT, IS THE ENVY OF MANY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND ALSO A DESIRE TO PARTNER WITH US BECAUSE OF THIS
2 OPPORTUNITY.

3 THE MOST RECENT OF THESE, ESPECIALLY
4 NOTABLE IN THIS CURRENT FINANCIAL CLIMATE, IS A
5 PROGRAM OUT OF UCSD LED BY DR. STEPHANIE CHERQUI AS
6 THE PI IN A RARE DISEASE CALLED CYSTINOSIS. AND IT
7 UTILIZES A GENE THERAPY PLATFORM WHICH WAS DEVELOPED
8 BY DR. DON KOHN AT UCLA. SO IT WAS A COLLABORATION
9 THAT WAS ACTUALLY ENABLED BY THE ALPHA CLINICS
10 NETWORK AND JUST THIS ECOSYSTEM THAT WE HAVE. AND
11 DR. CHERQUI WAS ABLE TO BRING IT TO A POINT THAT IT
12 RECEIVED INDUSTRY ATTENTION. AVROBIO HAS LICENSED
13 IT, AND MOST RECENTLY NOVARTIS HAD ACQUIRED IT FOR
14 \$88 MILLION SUBJECT TO MILESTONES, ET CETERA. THIS
15 ADDS TO THE TOTAL OF OVER \$24 BILLION IN INDUSTRY
16 INVESTMENT INTO OUR PROGRAMS. SO, AGAIN, JUST
17 HIGHLIGHTING CIRM'S VALUE PROPOSITION AND ROLE.
18 EVEN IN TIMES OF ECONOMIC CHALLENGES, IT'S A SOURCE
19 OF RELIABLE SUPPORT AND FUNDING FOR HIGH IMPACT,
20 SCIENTIFICALLY MERITORIOUS PROGRAMS SUCH AS THIS.

21 NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. HOWEVER, AGAIN
22 SPEAKING TO THE POINT THAT WE CAN OVERCOME ALL THESE
23 THINGS BUT THEN REALITY SETS IN, WE STILL HAVE MANY
24 CHALLENGES AHEAD OF US. AND THIS IS SOMETHING I PUT
25 AS INITIAL SUCCESS IS ALSO A PROBLEM STATEMENT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THIS IS A VERY LOUD, UNDERLINED, BOLD PROBLEM
2 STATEMENT. YOU WILL RECOGNIZE EVIE ON THIS SLIDE.
3 SHE'S NOW OVER TEN YEARS OLD YEAR. THIS IS JUST A
4 YEAR OR TWO AGO. SHE AS AN INFANT WAS TREATED WITH
5 DR. KOHN'S -- UNDER DR. KOHN'S TRIAL FOR THIS
6 CONDITION CALLED ADA-SCID, ADENOSINE DEAMINASE
7 DEFICIENCY, AN ENZYME THAT LEADS TO INABILITY TO
8 FORM AN IMMUNE SYSTEM, ALSO CALLED BUBBLE BABY
9 DISEASE. SHE'S BEEN CURED OF THIS FOR OVER TEN
10 YEARS.

11 FURTHERMORE, IN THAT SAME TRIAL, 50 BABIES
12 HAVE BEEN TREATED WITH A HUNDRED PERCENT SURVIVAL
13 AND 90-PERCENT EFFICACY. ONE-TIME TREATMENT.
14 PARADIGM SHIFTING. REMARKABLE.

15 ORCHARD THERAPEUTICS WAS FORMED WITH THIS
16 AS THEIR LEAD PROGRAM. THEY SHELVED THIS PROGRAM IN
17 JUNE 2021. BECAUSE OF CIRM FUNDING AND PATIENT
18 ADVOCACY AND ALL THE EFFORTS TOWARD IT, THERE WAS
19 AGREEMENT BY THE COMPANY TO RETURN THE PROGRAM TO
20 UCLA SO DR. KOHN CAN CONTINUE THIS PROGRAM. THERE'S
21 NOW AN ACTIVE IND THAT THEY WERE ABLE TO PROVIDE
22 UNDER PREAPPROVAL PATHWAYS THROUGH THE FDA. AND THE
23 FIRST FEW PATIENTS HAVE NOW BEEN TREATED UNDER A
24 TREATMENT PROTOCOL. THE PROBLEM IS STILL NOT
25 SOLVED, BUT ONE OF THE THINGS, A KEY THEME THAT WE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ARE HEARING, AND WE'VE HEARD IT AT AAWG MEETINGS AND
2 ELSEWHERE, IS THAT FOR CONDITIONS SUCH AS THIS THERE
3 IS NO OTHER TREATMENT, THERE IS NO OTHER CURE.
4 WELL, THERE'S TREATMENT, BUT IT'S SYMPTOMATIC, AND
5 IN SOME CASES THERE ARE NO TREATMENTS. WHAT DO YOU
6 DO?

7 SOMETIMES THESE ARE THE BEST TREATMENTS
8 THAT EVEN INVOLVEMENT IN A CLINICAL TRIAL, IN THIS
9 CASE, IT'S AN EXTREME CASE WHERE ACTUALLY THEY
10 ALREADY HAD BENEFIT FROM IT DURING THE TRIAL. NOT
11 SAYING THAT'S IN EVERY TRIAL. BUT IF THEY'RE NOT
12 ACCESSIBLE, THEN THERE'S NO CHANCE OF THAT.

13 SO WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO ABOUT THAT?
14 FIRST OFF, JUST A REMINDER, THAT OVER HALF OF OUR
15 DEVELOPMENT PORTFOLIO IS IN RARE DISEASE. IT'S IN
16 VERY CONDITION THAT IS PROBABLY THE MOST CHALLENGING
17 OF THE CELL AND GENE THERAPY. CELL AND GENE THERAPY
18 AS A SPACE HAS A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF CHALLENGES.
19 EVEN CAR-T THERAPIES THAT HAD BEEN LICENSED TO
20 BIOPHARMA, IT'S BEEN RECOGNIZED THAT THERE WERE
21 CHALLENGES IN MANUFACTURING AND EVERYTHING ELSE, AND
22 IT'S STILL UNDER CONSIDERATION AS TO WHETHER THE
23 BIOPHARMA MODEL IS THE APPROPRIATE PLACE IN GENERAL,
24 AT LEAST IN THE EARLY PHASES, FOR THESE THERAPIES TO
25 BE DEVELOPED AND DISTRIBUTED. HOWEVER, ONE OF THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROBLEMS IS, BECAUSE FOR EACH INDICATION THERE ARE
2 SO FEW PATIENTS, THE FINANCES DON'T WORK OUT. IT
3 DOESN'T FIT INTO BUSINESS PLANS. IT DOESN'T FIT
4 INTO THE TYPICAL COMMERCIALIZATION MODELS AND
5 PATHWAY. WHAT DO WE DO?

6 RARE DISEASE IN AGGREGATE IS SIGNIFICANT
7 IN TERMS OF DISEASE BURDEN. AS YOU CAN SEE THE
8 NUMBERS HERE, EVEN THOUGH EACH ONE MAY AFFECT LESS
9 THAN 200,000, IN ULTRA CASES EVEN FEWER,
10 CUMULATIVELY IN AGGREGATE RARE DISEASES AFFECTS 30
11 MILLION AMERICANS. IT'S SIGNIFICANT. SO ONE OF THE
12 OPPORTUNITIES WITH CELL AND GENE THERAPY, ESPECIALLY
13 THESE TECHNOLOGY PLATFORMS, IS THAT, ALTHOUGH YOU'RE
14 DEVELOPING A PROGRAM FOR A SPECIFIC RARE DISEASE,
15 FOR INSTANCE, ONE CAN IMAGINE AN APPROACH WHERE IT
16 ACTUALLY COULD BE APPLIED IN A PLATFORM TECHNOLOGY
17 ACROSS DISEASES AND EVEN INTO MORE COMMON DISEASES.
18 SO THOSE ARE THE OPPORTUNITIES. NOT ONLY COULD THEY
19 ADDRESS UNMET MEDICAL NEED, BUT DEVELOP TECHNOLOGY
20 PLATFORMS THAT CAN BE APPLIED MORE BROADLY AS WELL
21 AS DEVELOP THE PARALLEL NEEDS IN TERMS OF THE
22 HEALTHCARE DELIVERY, COVERAGE, AND INFRASTRUCTURE
23 THAT'S NEEDED FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPY IN GENERAL.
24 SO IT'S ALMOST LIKE THE FIRST CHILD GOING OUT THERE
25 IN THE WORLD.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO MUCH OF WHAT OUR DISCUSSIONS AND OUR
2 ACTIVITIES, IN THIS PAST YEAR ESPECIALLY, BUT HAS
3 BEEN PERCOLATING THROUGHOUT HAVE BEEN IN LARGE
4 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT IN POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS.
5 WE'VE SPOKEN TO THOSE FOUNDATIONS AND PATIENT-DRIVEN
6 INITIATIVES THAT HAVE ACTUALLY RUN N OF 1 TRIALS
7 WITH REGULATORY SUPPORT. BECAUSE IN THOSE CASES
8 SOMETIMES THAT'S THE ONLY CHILD THAT HAS BEEN
9 IDENTIFIED. AND SO THAT PLATFORM IS SOMETHING THAT
10 IS NOT GOING TO GO INTO A COMMERCIAL MODEL.
11 HOWEVER, A LOT HAS BEEN LEARNED FROM THAT, AND
12 THERE'S A NEED FOR IT.

13 FYODOR URNOV, WHO'S ONE OF THE PIONEERS IN
14 THE FIELD OF CELL AND GENE THERAPY, HAD WRITTEN AN
15 OPINION PIECE IN THE *NEW YORK TIMES* AT THE END OF
16 LAST YEAR SPEAKING TO THIS PROBLEM STATEMENT OF WE
17 HAVE INCREDIBLE POTENTIAL, ESPECIALLY WITH CRISPR
18 EDITING AND NEXT GENERATION BASE EDITING, ET CETERA,
19 FOR ACTUALLY CURING DISEASE. AGAIN, SINGLE
20 TREATMENTS, SIMILAR TO THE SCENARIOS WE MENTIONED.
21 HOW DO YOU DO THAT THOUGH?

22 SOME OF THE INVOLVEMENT THAT WE HAVE IN
23 PARTNERSHIPS, ABLA CREASEY AND SHYAM PATEL ARE
24 PICTURED HERE. THEY ARE OUR REPRESENTATIVES TO THE
25 BESPOKE GENE THERAPY CONSORTIA, WHICH INVOLVES THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FDA, THE FOUNDATION FOR THE NIH, AND THE NIH, NCATS,
2 MAJOR GROUPS TRYING TO DETERMINE WHETHER A BESPOKE
3 PLATFORM-BASED APPROACH COULD ADDRESS BROADLY ACROSS
4 INDICATIONS. AND ABLA AND SHYAM LED A DISCUSSION AT
5 THE RECENT AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR CELL AND GENE
6 THERAPY IN L.A.

7 AND THEN WE WERE INVITED TO A THINK TANK
8 IN WASHINGTON, D.C. CONVENED BY CONCERNED SCIENTISTS
9 WHO SEE THE PROMISE OF THEIR WORK, BUT KNOW THAT
10 THERE'S NOWHERE TO KIND OF RECEIVE IT ON THE
11 DOWNSTREAM. AND WE, ALONG WITH NIH, THE DIRECTOR OF
12 ARPA-H AND CIRM, SCIENTISTS, FDA, WE DISCUSSED NOVEL
13 REGULATORY APPROACHES, COLLABORATIONS THAT COULD
14 ENABLE PLATFORM APPROACHES. DISCUSSED MODELS SUCH
15 AS POINT-OF-CARE MANUFACTURING, WHICH IS SOMETHING
16 THAT CAN BE SUPPORTED BY OUR MANUFACTURING
17 INITIATIVE, FOR INSTANCE. AND EVEN NONPROFIT MODELS
18 AND ACADEMIC-BASED MODELS THAT MAY SUPPLANT A
19 BIOPHARMA TYPE MODEL AT LEAST INITIALLY. SO THOSE
20 ARE STILL UNDER DISCUSSION, AND WE HOPE TO BE ABLE
21 TO BRING BACK MORE INFORMATION ON SOME OF THESE FOR
22 CONSIDERATION.

23 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I WANTED TO SAY IS
24 THAT CIRM IS UNIQUELY POSITIONED. WE GET INVITED TO
25 ALL THESE DISCUSSIONS BECAUSE OUR FUNDING MODEL, OUR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 INFRASTRUCTURE, OUR ECOSYSTEM, OUR PROJECTS
2 THEMSELVES, WE HAVE A VERY UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY TO,
3 AGAIN, REMAIN ON THE LEADING EDGE OF WHERE WE ARE IN
4 THE CHALLENGES WITH CELL AND GENE THERAPY.

5 AND WE DO THIS IN PARTNERSHIP. SO I
6 WANTED TO ACKNOWLEDGE NOT EVERYBODY IS UP HERE
7 BECAUSE WE'VE HEARD ABOUT SOME OTHER ACCOLADES AND
8 AWARDS, BUT OUR BOARD, OUR PATIENT ADVOCATES, OUR
9 GWG REVIEWERS, AND OUR SCIENTISTS ARE ALL
10 WORLD-RENOINED LEADERS IN THE FIELD. SO I JUST
11 WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT A FEW. DAVID WILLIAMS, WHO WAS
12 FUNDED UNDER OUR SICKLE CELL CURE PARTNERSHIP WITH
13 THE NIH FROM BOSTON CHILDREN'S, WHO PARTNERS WITH
14 UCLA AND UCSF IN OUR ALPHA CLINICS ON THE SICKLE
15 CELL PROGRAM, WAS AWARDED THE ASGCT FOUNDERS AWARD
16 SEVERAL WEEKS AGO. RAYNE ROUCE WHO'S AN INCREDIBLE
17 GWG MEMBER, KUDOS TO GIL AND TEAM FOR BUILDING SUCH
18 AN AUGUST GWG TEAM REALLY TO REVIEW OUR
19 PROGRAMS THAT CAN BE BROUGHT TO YOU. RAYNE HAS BEEN
20 A LEADER IN ADVANCING DEI BROADLY ACROSS THE
21 COMMUNITY. SHE'S A PEDIATRIC ONCOLOGIST AT BAYLOR
22 AND TEXAS CHILDREN'S. AND, OF COURSE, YOU RECOGNIZE
23 RIGHT UNDERNEATH THE PICTURE, OUR BELOVED BOARD
24 MEMBER AND LEADER, YSABEL DURON, WHO BASICALLY WAS
25 THE REASON FOR THE CALL TO ACTION DURING THE COVID

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TIMES THAT LED TO WHERE WE ARE WITH OUR DEI
2 INITIATIVE, AGAIN WITH THE LEADERSHIP FROM FORMER
3 BOARD MEMBER AL ROWLETT. WE'RE GREATLY INDEBTED TO
4 YOUR LEADERSHIP FOR THAT.

5 SO YSABEL, AS YOU KNOW, HAS BEEN APPOINTED
6 TO THE NATIONAL CANCER ADVISORY BOARD BY PRESIDENT
7 BIDEN. THIS HAS BROUGHT A WEALTH OF INFORMATION AND
8 CONNECTIVITY TO US AS WE CONSIDER OUR PROGRAMS.

9 JOY CAVAGNARO, WHO'S BEEN A LONG-TERM,
10 AGAIN, GWG MEMBER IN THE ARENA OF REGULATORY
11 SCIENCES AND DEVELOPMENT, RECEIVED THE ASGCT
12 CATALYST AWARD. AND OTHER CALIFORNIA SCIENTISTS
13 SUCH AS HELEN BLAU, CRYSTAL MACKALL AND OTHERS WERE
14 FEATURED SPEAKERS AT THE ASGCT, AND IN THIS CASE
15 HELEN BLAU AT THE ISSCR PRESIDENTIAL PLENARY WHERE
16 SHE REPORTED ON THE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE PROGRAM
17 SHE HAD FUNDED BY CIRM FOR MUSCLE REGENERATION.

18 SO JUST TO RECAP, CIRM'S FUNDING MODEL HAS
19 BUILT A ROBUST AND DIVERSE PORTFOLIO AND PIPELINE,
20 THERAPEUTICS DEVELOPMENT, INFRASTRUCTURE AND
21 EDUCATION PROGRAMS. OUR FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN
22 HAS KICKED OFF. WE'VE BEEN VERY HAPPY WITH HOW
23 WE'VE DONE ON THIS PLAN FOR THE FIRST YEAR. THAT'S
24 ALL SEEDING THE PIECES, PUTTING THE PIECES IN PLACE,
25 WITH THE MISSION OF ADVANCING -- WITH THE OBJECTIVE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF ADVANCING OUR MISSION OF DELIVERING
2 TRANSFORMATIVE SCIENCE TO CLINICAL TRIALS AND
3 THERAPIES AND MAKING THEM ACCESSIBLE.

4 AND THIS FUNDING MODEL AND STRATEGY ARE
5 ADAPTABLE TO REAL-TIME ADVANCEMENTS IN THE FIELD,
6 EMERGING PRIORITIES, PROGRAMMATIC DIRECTION FROM
7 THIS BOARD, AND OPPORTUNITIES TO ADVANCE CIRM'S
8 MEETINGS IN AREAS SUCH AS RARE DISEASE, CNS, TOPICS
9 THAT WILL BE DISCUSSED TODAY, AS WELL AS OTHER
10 POTENTIAL PRIORITIES. AND SO I'LL TAKE QUESTIONS,
11 AND THEN I'D LIKE TO HAND IT OVER TO DR. GIL
12 SAMBRANO WHO WILL LAY DOWN KIND OF THE HOW WE DO IT
13 IN TERMS OF THE FUNDING, WHAT THE ELIGIBILITY IS,
14 HOW THIS PIPELINE WAS BUILT THROUGH OUR MACHINERY,
15 AND THAT HOPEFULLY WILL PROVIDE SOME INITIAL
16 PERSPECTIVE IN TERMS OF WHAT IS POSSIBLE AS THE
17 BOARD ENGAGES IN CONTINUED PROGRAMMATIC
18 CONSIDERATIONS.

19 SO WITH THAT, CHAIR IMBASCIANI, I'LL TURN
20 IT OVER TO YOU. AND I'M HAPPY TO TAKE ANY
21 QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.

22 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MEMBER JUELSGAARD.

23 MR. JUELSGAARD: YES, MARIA. I'D LIKE TO
24 TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE SLIDE THAT'S HEADED
25 INITIAL SUCCESS IN CGT IS ALSO OUR PROBLEM

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 STATEMENT, AND THAT'S THE DON KOHN THERAPEUTIC AREA
2 OF SCID.

3 SO LET'S ASSUME FOR A MOMENT THAT THERE'S
4 NEVER A COMMERCIAL PARTNER TO BE FOUND FOR THAT
5 PARTICULAR DISEASE, YET WE HAVE A VERY SUCCESSFUL
6 TREATMENT. WHAT'S OUR THOUGHT PROCESS ABOUT WHERE
7 WE GO IN THAT SET OF CIRCUMSTANCES? AND I WANT TO
8 USE THAT AS A POTENTIAL MODEL FOR OTHERS THAT MAY
9 FIT THAT BECAUSE THERE ARE A NUMBER OF COMMERCIAL
10 ISSUES THAT COME UP WITH THESE SORTS OF DISEASES.
11 THE FIRST ARE THE NUMBER OF PATIENTS THAT ARE
12 INVOLVED. THE SMALLER THE NUMBER, THE MORE
13 DIFFICULT IT IS COMMERCIALY FOR WHAT IT IS THAT IS
14 INVOLVED IN THE TREATMENT, THE COST OF ACTUALLY
15 PREPARING THE TREATMENT FOR ADMINISTRATION, A NUMBER
16 OF OTHER ISSUES. LET'S JUST ASSUME FOR A MOMENT
17 THERE IS NO COMMERCIAL PARTNER. HOW HAVE WE THOUGHT
18 ABOUT THAT?

19 DR. MILLAN: SO THOSE ARE -- IT'S A VERY
20 IMPORTANT TOPIC. AND NOT ONLY IS IT IMPORTANT, IT'S
21 ACTUALLY IN FRONT OF US, THAT WE ARE -- IN ORDER FOR
22 US TO ACTUALLY CONTINUE TO BRING THESE PROGRAMS
23 FORWARD, WE NEED TO ACTUALLY COME UP WITH SOLUTIONS
24 TO THAT IN REAL TIME BECAUSE WE ACTUALLY HAVE THEM
25 IN FRONT OF US TODAY.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ONE OF THE THINGS I SHOULD POINT OUT IS
2 THAT I MENTIONED THERE ARE SOME PROGRAMS THAT ARE
3 ALREADY ON THE DOCKET FOR APPROVAL THIS YEAR IN
4 SICKLE CELL, FOR INSTANCE. THERE ARE TWO PROGRAMS,
5 ONE OUT OF VERTEX, ONE OUT OF BLUEBIRD, THAT ARE FOR
6 CONSIDERATION IN DECEMBER. MENTIONED THE ONE FOR
7 DUCHENNE MUSCULAR DYSTROPHY. ONE IN HEMOPHILIA IS
8 COMING UP, I THINK ANOTHER ONE IN DUCHENNE MUSCULAR
9 DYSTROPHY. SO SOME OF THESE ARE ACTUALLY BEING
10 BROUGHT FORWARD BY COMMERCIAL ENTITIES. SO WE WILL
11 LEARN FROM THAT. WE'RE ALSO LEARNING FROM NOVARTIS,
12 GILEAD, KITE IN TERMS OF WHAT THEY'VE DONE WITH
13 CAR-T'S THAT WILL INFORM WHAT INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS
14 HAVE BEEN CREATED IN THAT CONTEXT. AND SO THAT IT
15 NEEDS TO BE DETERMINED WHETHER THERE IS AN ADAPTOR
16 SOLUTION THAT WILL BE ABLE TO TAKE OUR ACADEMIC
17 PROGRAMS AND THEN BE ABLE TO MAKE THEM READY FOR
18 THIS. SOME OF THE THINGS WE'RE PUTTING IN PLACE
19 SUCH AS THE MANUFACTURING NETWORK AND THE
20 PARTNERSHIPS ARE INTENDED TO HELP CREATE THAT
21 ADAPTOR.

22 THERE ARE SOME CASES, AND MANY HAVE TALKED
23 ABOUT IT, WHERE IT MAY BE THAT THEY NEVER GO INTO
24 THESE PROGRAMS. SPEAKING WITH THE HEAD OF THE RARE
25 DISEASE PROGRAMS AT MANY OF THESE COMPANIES,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 NOVARTIS INCLUDED, WHICH THEY DID TAKE UP OUR
2 PROGRAM, THEY HAVE SAID THERE ARE SOME PROGRAMS THEY
3 WOULD LIKE TO PURSUE. THEY HAVE IN-HOUSE PEOPLE
4 WORKING ON IT, BUT THEY'RE NOT GOING TO TAKE IT TO
5 COMMERCIALIZATION. I ASSUME THEY'RE ALSO WORKING ON
6 SOLUTIONS, AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO BE IN TOUCH WITH
7 THEM.

8 SO WHERE DOES THAT LEAVE US? IT LEAVES US
9 WITH A PARADIGM THAT'S VERY SIMILAR, NOT EXACTLY THE
10 SAME, TO SOMETHING I'M FAMILIAR WITH, WHICH IS ORGAN
11 TRANSPLANTATION WHERE IT WAS A LIFESAVING
12 ADVANCEMENT WHERE INFRASTRUCTURE AND POLICY,
13 NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDED TO BE PUT BEHIND
14 BEING ABLE TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN. IT REMAINED WITHIN
15 THE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE WITH STANDARDS PUT IN
16 PLACE, BUT IT'S VERY MUCH ACADEMIC BASED. THERE ARE
17 INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS FUNDED BY THE HHS FOR THE
18 ORGAN PROCUREMENT ORGANIZATIONS AND HOW THAT'S RUN
19 THROUGH THE UNOS, FOR INSTANCE, AND THEN LOCAL
20 PROCUREMENT ORGANIZATIONS. INDUSTRY HAS BEEN BUILT
21 UP FOR IMMUNOSUPPRESSION MEDICATIONS, ORGAN
22 PRESERVATION SOLUTIONS. SO THERE'S KIND OF THE
23 SO-CALLED PICKS AND SHOVELS INDUSTRY THAT GO AROUND
24 THAT TO SUPPORT IT. THAT'S ANOTHER POTENTIAL MODEL.

25 WE DON'T HAVE THE ANSWER YET, BUT WE ARE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ENGAGED ACTIVELY WITH TESTING SOME OF THESE MODELS.
2 ONE OF THEM IS THIS BESPOKE GENE THERAPY NETWORK,
3 FOR INSTANCE. ABLA AND SHYAM IN UPCOMING MEETINGS
4 WILL DESCRIBE SOME PROGRESS ON THAT. THE IDEA
5 BEHIND THAT IS THAT NIH, FDA, CIRM, OTHER COMPANIES,
6 PATIENT GROUPS ARE TRYING TO DETERMINE WHETHER WE
7 CAN BRING IN EFFICIENCY TO EVEN THE NUTS AND BOLTS
8 OF HOW YOU BRING THESE THERAPIES FORWARD. SO, FOR
9 INSTANCE, BUILDING THE BEST PLATFORM FOR THE VECTOR,
10 FOR THE GENE DELIVERY VECTOR, THAT THEN LINKS WITH
11 IT AN EVIDENCE BASE THAT THE FDA CAN BE VERY
12 COMFORTABLE WITH TO FACILITATE ACCELERATED APPROVAL
13 OR EXPEDITED PATHWAYS, BUT THEN HAVING AN AGREEMENT
14 FROM THE COMMUNITY WE WILL USE THAT PLATFORM. IT
15 KIND OF TAKES OUT SOME OF THE COMPETITIVE ASPECTS OF
16 WHAT TYPICAL DRUG DEVELOPMENT IS, THE SECRET SAUCE.
17 NO. EVERYBODY GETS THE SAUCE BECAUSE THAT'S GOING
18 TO ALLOW YOU TO COME UP WITH YOUR END RESULT.

19 AND SO THERE'S A WHOLE NEW PARADIGM THAT'S
20 GOING TO NEED TO FORM IN A COMPANY FOR THAT TO TAKE
21 HOLD, BUT THERE IS PROGRESS. AND WE'LL CONTINUE TO
22 BRING FORWARD JUST KIND OF THE HOW-TOS IN THAT. AND
23 WE DO HAVE OPPORTUNITIES BETWEEN CIRM FUNDING
24 MODELS, OUR REQUIREMENTS, OUR ABILITY TO CONVENE,
25 AND TO PROMOTE THIS TYPE OF COLLABORATIVE APPROACH.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IT'S NOT AN ANSWER BECAUSE NOBODY HAS AN ANSWER YET,
2 BUT IT'S THE APPROACH THAT I HOPE I BROUGHT SOME
3 LIGHT TO. THANK YOU.

4 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MEMBER CHOU.

5 DR. CHOU: I HAVE A RELATED FOLLOW-UP
6 QUESTION ON THIS. SO I THINK YOU MENTIONED ABOUT
7 FOR BIG PHARMA THE MODEL OF CELL/GENE THERAPY
8 ACTUALLY MAY NOT BE THE RIGHT FIT. FROM WHAT I CAN
9 SEE IN THE INDUSTRY, I THINK THIS TREND IS COMING
10 THAT WAY. AND I USED TO RUN ALSO A BIG
11 ORGANIZATION, HELD THE P&L RESPONSIBILITY. I WOULD
12 SAY THAT'S REALLY HARD TO INCORPORATE THE CELL/GENE
13 THERAPY MODEL.

14 SO IN A SENSE, ALSO, I THINK THE THOUGHT
15 HAS BEEN FROM THE INDUSTRY POINT OF VIEW GOING MORE
16 TOWARDS THIS IS GOING TO BE THE MEAT OF MORE SITES
17 OF THE PHARMA COMPANY MODEL MAY FIT BETTER. SO MY
18 QUESTION, THEN, IS FROM CIRM ANGLE, ARE WE -- ARE WE
19 DOING SOMETHING TO BUILD THAT PARTNERSHIP WITH THE
20 BIG PHARMA? AND ARE WE CHANGING THAT TO MORE LIKE
21 TARGET MID-SIZE OR SMALL SIZE OF COMPANY TO MAKE
22 SURE THERE'S A WAY TO GET THE MEDICINES TO THE
23 PATIENTS?

24 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU SO MUCH. SHYAM
25 PATEL IS OUR HEAD OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT, AND HE'S

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BUILT QUITE A SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRY ALLIANCE PROGRAM
2 WHERE WE DO HAVE PARTNERSHIPS WITH DEVELOPERS AS
3 WELL AS MANUFACTURERS AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES
4 IN THIS SPACE FOR THAT VERY REASON. THEY SEE THE
5 VALUE IN THE CIRM DERISKING. THEY SEE THE VALUE IN
6 OUR ROBUST PORTFOLIO. PART OF THE -- ONE OF THE
7 BASIC PRINCIPLES INFORMING THE MANUFACTURING NETWORK
8 IS THAT THIS WOULD PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR EARLY
9 ENGAGEMENT AND INFLUENCE BY INDUSTRY PARTNERS ALONG
10 THE WAY SO THAT, WHEN POTENTIAL PROGRAMS ARE READY
11 TO BE PICKED UP, EITHER WITH MANUFACTURERS AS A
12 PARTNER, OR EVEN TAKE IT UP ALTOGETHER, THAT THOSE
13 PROGRAMS ARE MORE READY FOR THAT. SO IT'S BOTH COST
14 EFFICIENCIES AND THE SUITABILITY FOR TRANSFERRING.

15 AND I'M SORRY. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT
16 ANSWERED YOUR QUESTION, JUDY.

17 DR. CHOU: I THINK MY QUESTION IS THEN SO
18 WHEN YOU MENTIONED ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP WE ARE
19 BUILDING, I WOULD ASSUME THAT'S PROBABLY WITH MUCH
20 MORE ESTABLISHED BIG PHARMA CURRENTLY; IS THAT
21 CORRECT?

22 DR. MILLAN: ACTUALLY NO. WE HAVE A LOT
23 OF RELATIONSHIPS WITH INVESTORS WITH SMALLER
24 COMPANIES AS WELL AS LARGER COMPANIES. SO SOME OF
25 THE LARGER COMPANIES THAT ARE IN OUR INDUSTRY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ALLIANCE PROGRAM ARE BAYER, FOR INSTANCE, WHICH HAS
2 WITH IT ASKBIO AND BLUEROCK WHICH RECENTLY REPORTED
3 ON THEIR PARKINSON'S PHASE 1 ENCOURAGING PROGRAM,
4 AND NOVO NORDISK. THEIR ENGAGEMENT WITH US IS NOT
5 ONLY ON THE PROGRAMS, BUT IN CAPACITY AND
6 INFRASTRUCTURE. AND THEY SEE THE VALUE IN
7 PARTNERSHIP. AND SO SHYAM HAD PRESENTED SEVERAL
8 MONTHS AGO, AND HE'LL BE READY TO GIVE AN UPDATE ON
9 MANY ASPECTS OF THESE BUSINESS ALLIANCES, BUT WE'RE
10 ALSO HAPPY TO HAVE KIND OF A SMALLER GROUP RECAP.
11 AND I KNOW THEY'RE EXTREMELY BUSY, BUT WHEN YOU HAVE
12 TIME, WE CAN SCHEDULE THAT.

13 DR. CHOU: THANK YOU. IF I CAN ASK A
14 TOTALLY SEPARATE QUESTION JUST FOR CLARIFICATION.
15 YOU MENTIONED ABOUT CIRM, WE BRAND YOURSELF AS A
16 TRANSLATIONAL INSTITUTE TO GET THE SCIENCE TO THE
17 BENCH. I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY ABOUT WITH THAT KIND
18 OF BRANDING, WHEN WE LOOK AT THE FIVE PILLAR OF THE
19 FUNDING DISTRIBUTION, IS THAT HAVING THE IMPACT OF
20 ANYTHING WE PREFER TO FUND, OR WE'RE STILL KIND OF
21 ACROSS THE BOARD FOR ALL THE FIVE PILLARS?

22 DR. MILLAN: SO I THINK THAT THAT IS GOING
23 TO BE THE SUBJECT OF WHAT DR. IMBASCIANI HAD
24 RECOMMENDED, THAT THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE LEAD A
25 DISCUSSION IN TERMS OF PRIORITIZATION, WHETHER IT BE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TYPES OF PROGRAMS, BY DISEASE AREAS, OR OTHER
2 PROGRAMMATIC DISCUSSION. THE CIRM FUNDING MODEL AND
3 ALL OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE AND EVERYTHING WE SET UP
4 IS ADAPTABLE TO THE DIRECTION, WHETHER IT BE BY
5 PRIORITIES OR PROGRAMS, WHICH IS -- I THINK WE HAVE
6 REALLY PROBABLY AN OPPORTUNE TIME AND IMPORTANT TIME
7 BECAUSE GIL WILL PROBABLY TELL YOU THAT THERE'S BEEN
8 A HUGE INFLUX OF GRANT APPLICATIONS IN ALL THE
9 PILLARS. SO IT'S VERY TIMELY TO HAVE THAT TYPE OF
10 DISCUSSION AT THE BOARD. THANK YOU SO MUCH, DR.
11 CHOU.

12 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MEMBER ABOUSALEM.

13 DR. ABOUSALEM: GOOD MORNING AND THANK YOU
14 FOR THE PRESENTATION. JUST AN OPERATIONAL QUESTION.
15 SO I APPRECIATE ALL THESE EFFORTS, FORWARD LOOKING
16 SORT OF CONVERSATIONS, EXPLORATORY CONVERSATIONS FOR
17 NEW OPPORTUNITIES AND NEW WAYS TO EXPAND CIRM'S
18 IMPACT IN AREAS THAT WE MIGHT HAVE NOT BEEN ALREADY.
19 THE QUESTION IS DO YOU HAVE PROVISIONS IN THE 23/24
20 BUDGET THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE LOOKING AT TODAY FOR
21 ANY OF THESE SORTS OF CONVERSATIONS BECOMING --
22 GETTING TO A CONCLUSIVE PROGRAM OR A PROCESS OR
23 EXPANDING EXISTING PROGRAMS TO ACCOMMODATE THE
24 FINDINGS FROM THIS?

25 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 REGARDING OUR ABILITY WITH THE PROPOSED 23/24 BUDGET
2 TO BE ABLE TO ACCOMMODATE DIRECTION FROM THE BOARD,
3 FOR INSTANCE, OR ANY OPPORTUNITIES THAT MAY ARISE
4 FROM THESE DISCUSSIONS, WE DO BELIEVE WE HAVE, JUST
5 KIND OF LOOKING AT WHAT THOSE COULD LOOK LIKE, WE DO
6 BELIEVE THAT WITHIN THE PILLAR BUDGETARY REQUESTS,
7 THOSE COULD BE SHAPED TO SUPPORT SOME OF THE
8 OPPORTUNITIES. AND THEN WE WOULD BRING, OF COURSE,
9 THE RATIONALE BEHIND THAT AND WHAT THE SHAPING
10 INITIATIVES WOULD BE IN UPCOMING MEETINGS. THANK
11 YOU SO MUCH.

12 DR. ABOUSALEM: THANK YOU.

13 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. I CANNOT
14 THINK OF A BETTER SCRIPTED SEGUE, CONSIDERING
15 BUDGET.

16 DR. MILLAN: I THINK THAT DR. YAMAMOTO.

17 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: SORRY. DR.
18 YAMAMOTO.

19 DR. YAMAMOTO: I JUST WANT TO EXTEND THE
20 QUESTION AND POINT THAT STEVE JUELGAARD RAISED
21 ABOUT THE CHALLENGE THAT WE ARE FACING, TWO POINTS.
22 THE FIRST IS THAT THE VERY FACT THAT WE ARE FACING
23 THIS PROBLEM IS A CREDIT TO WHAT CIRM HAS DONE. NO
24 ONE ELSE HAS GOTTEN THE COUNTRY'S ATTENTION TO THIS
25 PROBLEM BECAUSE NOBODY ELSE HAS CARRIED THE BALL FAR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ENOUGH THAT IT'S REALLY BECOME EVIDENT. AND SO
2 WHILE IT'S PART OF THE PROBLEM STATEMENT NOW, IT
3 SHOULD ALSO BE SOMETHING THAT WE RECOGNIZE IS A
4 REALLY UNIQUE CONTRIBUTION, ONE OF MANY, BUT
5 CERTAINLY A UNIQUE CONTRIBUTION THAT CIRM IS MAKING.

6 THE SECOND IS THAT I THINK AT THE END OF
7 THE DAY, THERE'S GOING TO BE NOTHING THAT CAN
8 REPLACE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT STEPPING IN TO CARRY
9 THE BALL TO THE NEXT STEP. I THINK IT'S THE REASON
10 I WAS REALLY HAPPY TO HEAR THE CHAIRMAN CALL FOR
11 CIRM'S INCREASED PRESENCE ON THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
12 RELATION STAGE TO REALLY DRIVE THESE MESSAGES HOME.
13 SO THIS IS THE FIRST EXAMPLE, BUT ONE OF MANY IF
14 CIRM CAN CONTINUE TO DRIVE SUCCESSES TO THIS POINT
15 WHERE THE ISSUE BECOMES REALLY EVIDENT. WE ALL KNOW
16 THAT, AT THE END OF THE DAY, THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN
17 NO COMPANY THAT WOULD HAVE STARTED MANUFACTURING
18 HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOSES OF THE VACCINE BEFORE
19 APPROVAL WITHOUT THE GOVERNMENT STEPPING IN AND
20 SAYING, HERE'S A BUNCH OF MONEY. GO AHEAD.

21 SO MAKING THAT -- I THINK AT THE END OF
22 THE DAY, THE GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO HAVE TO MAKE
23 THESE KINDS OF BETS. AND A PART OF IT WILL BE TO
24 RECOGNIZE THAT WHAT CIRM IS DOING IS MOVING THE BALL
25 TO MAKING THESE PROBLEMS EVIDENT AND DOING IT IN A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WAY THAT HAS A CHANCE OF BEING ABLE TO ESTABLISH
2 PLATFORM APPROACHES THAT WILL BE RELEVANT TO
3 MULTIPLE DISEASES. AND I THINK IF THE FEDERAL
4 GOVERNMENT CAN RECOGNIZE THAT, THERE WILL
5 INCREASINGLY BE EXAMPLES IN WHICH THEY WILL STEP IN
6 AND SAY, OKAY. WE'LL LET YOU CARRY THE BALL TO THE
7 NEXT ENABLING STEP. NO COMPANY CAN TAKE THIS ON,
8 BUT WE'RE GOING TO ENABLE IT TO HAPPEN. SO I THINK
9 THAT'S PROBABLY HOW THIS PROBLEM IS GOING TO BE
10 SOLVED, AND IT'S THE REASON THAT CIRM REALLY NEEDS
11 TO BE IN WASHINGTON MAKING THESE POINTS VERY
12 STRONGLY.

13 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

14 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. THANK
15 YOU FOR THOSE COMMENTS.

16 TYING TOGETHER A BUDGET PORTFOLIO AND THE
17 FUNDING TO ACHIEVE OUR STRATEGIC GOALS, THE BOARD
18 WILL NOW HEAR FROM DR. SAMBRANO. THANK YOU.

19 DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU, DR. IMBASCIANI,
20 DR. MILLAN. IT'S ALWAYS A PRIVILEGE TO STAND BEFORE
21 YOU AND HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT. TODAY I
22 WANT TO TALK ABOUT OUR CIRM FUNDING MODEL. AND WHAT
23 WE MEAN BY THAT IS WHAT THE PROCESS AND THE
24 PRINCIPLES ARE THAT UNDERLIE THIS AND HOW IT IS THAT
25 WE'VE GOTTEN TO DEVELOP THE PORTFOLIO OF GRANTS THAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WE CURRENTLY HAVE. SO I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT THAT
2 IN A FEW SLIDES.

3 YOU'VE SEEN THIS ALREADY. WE KNOW THAT
4 EVERYTHING THAT WE FUND AT CIRM IS CATEGORIZED
5 WITHIN THESE FIVE PILLARS OF INFRASTRUCTURE,
6 EDUCATION, DISCOVERY, TRANSLATION, AND CLINICAL.
7 BUT WE CAN LOOK AT THESE A LITTLE DIFFERENTLY
8 BECAUSE THEY ARE INTENDED TO BE CONNECTED, AND THERE
9 ARE RELATIONSHIPS AMONG THEM THAT ARE VERY IMPORTANT
10 TO SEE. IN SOME OF THESE SCREENS YOU CAN SEE IT
11 BETTER THAN IN OTHERS.

12 THE DISCOVERY, TRANSLATION, AND CLINICAL
13 PILLARS ARE CONNECTED ALONG THE THERAPEUTIC
14 DEVELOPMENT PIPELINE. THE IDEA BEHIND THIS IS THAT
15 WE WANT TO SUPPORT PROJECTS THAT COME AT ANY STAGE
16 BETWEEN GENERATING A NEW IDEA AND SUPPORTING A
17 CLINICAL TRIAL THAT ULTIMATELY GETS TO AN APPROVED
18 THERAPY, THAT A PROJECT CAN COME IN AT ANY OF THESE
19 TIME POINTS AND MOVE FORWARD.

20 WE HAVE ALSO VERY SPECIFICALLY SET UP
21 MILESTONES ALONG THAT PATH. SO IDENTIFY THINGS SUCH
22 AS ACHIEVING A SINGLE PRODUCT CANDIDATE. SO A
23 DISCOVERY AWARD WOULD LEAD AN APPLICANT TO ACHIEVE
24 AND CHARACTERIZE A CANDIDATE THAT THEN IS THE
25 PREREQUISITE FOR GETTING A TRANSLATION AWARD. THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TRANSLATION AWARD WOULD ALLOW AN APPLICANT TO MOVE
2 FORWARD THROUGH -- AWARDEE, EXCUSE ME, THROUGH THE
3 STAGES OF TRANSLATION TO ACHIEVE A PRE-IND MEETING
4 OR OTHER PRESUBMISSION MEETING. AND THEN THAT IS
5 THE PREREQUISITE FOR A CLINICAL PROGRAM.

6 THE IDEA BEHIND THIS IS THAT WE'VE CREATED
7 THE RUNGS ON A LADDER THAT ALLOW A PROJECT TO
8 PROCEED IN AN APPROPRIATE WAY THROUGH THIS PATH.
9 BUT THEN WE ALSO HAVE OUR INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS,
10 SUCH AS ALPHA CLINICS, LET'S SAY, THAT SUPPORTS OUR
11 CLINICAL PROGRAMS. SO THE ALPHA CLINICS PROVIDES
12 CLINICAL TRIAL SUPPORT THROUGH CLINICAL TRIAL
13 COORDINATION, THROUGH ENROLLMENT AND RECRUITMENT
14 HELP. AND SO THAT HELPS SUPPORT THE ACTIVITIES THAT
15 HAPPEN IN THE CLINICAL PROGRAM. WE HAVE OTHER
16 INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS SUCH AS THE MANUFACTURING,
17 SHARED LABS, AND SO ON THAT ARE INTENDED TO DO THE
18 SAME THING.

19 WE HAVE OUR EDUCATION PROGRAM THAT
20 PROVIDES IN MANY WAYS THE WORKFORCE THAT IS UTILIZED
21 WITHIN ALL OF THE RESEARCH PROGRAMS WE HAVE, BUT
22 WITH THE INTENT TO CREATE A DIVERSE COHORT OF
23 INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE ALSO SKILLED AND KNOWLEDGED IN
24 CELL AND GENE THERAPY.

25 SO LOOKING AT IT THIS WAY, WE SEE THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONNECTIONS AND CAN APPRECIATE THE RELATIONSHIPS
2 BETWEEN THEM A LITTLE BETTER.

3 THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES THAT ARE TIED,
4 THEN, TO EACH OF THESE PILLARS HAPPEN THROUGH A VERY
5 SPECIFIC APPLICATION AND REVIEW PROCESS, AND I'LL
6 DESCRIBE THAT BRIEFLY. EVERYTHING THAT WE ISSUE
7 REQUIRES APPLICANTS TO APPLY, TO SUBMIT A PROPOSAL
8 TO CIRM THAT WE CAN LOOK AT AND ASSESS FOR MERIT.
9 SO OUR FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES ARE DESCRIBED IN WHAT
10 WE CALL EITHER A REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS, AN RFA,
11 OR A PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT, A PA. SO THESE DOCUMENTS
12 PROVIDE ALL THE REQUIREMENTS AND ALL OF THE
13 NECESSARY ELEMENTS THAT ARE APPROPRIATE FOR THAT
14 PROGRAM INCLUDING WHAT'S ELIGIBLE AND WHAT'S NOT.

15 AND JUST TO GIVE YOU A BETTER SENSE OF THE
16 TWO TYPES OF SOLICITATIONS THAT WE ISSUE, ONE IS A
17 RECURRING FUNDING OPPORTUNITY WHICH WE CALL PROGRAM
18 ANNOUNCEMENTS. AND SO THAT USUALLY IS APPLIED TO
19 OUR CORE RESEARCH FUNDING. AND THE IDEA BEHIND A
20 RECURRING FUNDING OPPORTUNITY IS THAT IT IS
21 ESSENTIALLY THE SAME OPPORTUNITY THAT'S AVAILABLE
22 MULTIPLE TIMES PER YEAR. AND EACH CYCLE IS LARGELY
23 THE SAME AS THE PREVIOUS ONE. AND SO ONE GOOD
24 EXAMPLE OF THAT IS OUR CLINICAL PROGRAM. THE
25 CLINICAL PROGRAM HAS 12 CYCLES THAT WE RUN PER YEAR,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND THERE'S AN APPLICATION DEADLINE AT THE END OF
2 EVERY MONTH. AND SO IT IS ONGOING AND CONTINUES TO
3 ACCEPT PROJECTS INTO OUR CLINICAL PROGRAM.

4 WE ALSO HAVE NONRECURRING FUNDING
5 OPPORTUNITIES WHICH WE GENERALLY APPLY TO THOSE
6 OPPORTUNITIES THAT WE MAY OFFER ONLY ONCE. SO THE
7 ALPHA CLINICS, MANUFACTURING NETWORK ARE SOMETHING
8 THAT WE WILL RUN ONCE, HOPE TO ESTABLISH THESE
9 PROGRAMS, PUT THEM IN PLACE, BUT IT IS NOT AN
10 OPPORTUNITY THAT WE WOULD NECESSARILY BRING UP
11 AGAIN.

12 WE ALSO DO THIS FOR OUR EDUCATION PROGRAMS
13 SIMPLY BECAUSE THOSE HAVE A TIME SPAN OF ABOUT FIVE
14 YEARS. WE ISSUE FUNDING FOR A COHORT OF PROGRAMS,
15 AND WE WON'T DO IT AGAIN FOR FOUR OR FIVE YEARS.
16 AND TYPICALLY THOSE ARE A LITTLE DIFFERENT EACH TIME
17 WE OFFER THE OPPORTUNITY.

18 AND SO THE RECURRING AND NONRECURRING
19 OPPORTUNITIES ARE BOTH PART OF OUR TOOLKIT THAT WE
20 CURRENTLY USE IN ORDER TO MAKE THESE SOLICITATIONS
21 AND GET APPLICATIONS TO COME IN.

22 NOW, REGARDLESS OF THE PROGRAM TYPE, WHAT
23 PILLAR IT BELONGS TO, THEY ALL HAVE TO GO THROUGH A
24 VERY SPECIFIC APPLICATION AND REVIEW PROCESS. AND
25 THAT IS BEST DESCRIBED IN THIS IMAGE, WHICH IS A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THREE-STEP PROCESS. SO APPLICATIONS THAT COME TO
2 CIRM FIRST GO THROUGH AN ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT.
3 AND THE ELIGIBILITY IS DONE INTERNALLY BY THE CIRM
4 TEAM. WE LOOK AT VERY SIMPLE THINGS, OBJECTIVE,
5 CRITERIA, IF YOU WILL, OF WHETHER AN APPLICATION IS
6 COMPLETE, IF IT'S A COMPANY, WHETHER IT'S SOLVENT,
7 WHETHER IT MEETS THE CRITERIA THAT WE HAVE
8 ESTABLISHED IN THE PA OR RFA FOR A THERAPEUTIC
9 CANDIDATE. AND THOSE THAT ARE APPROPRIATE AND
10 ACCEPTED FOR REVIEW THEN GO ON TO THE MERIT REVIEW,
11 WHICH IS TYPICALLY CONDUCTED BY THE GRANTS WORKING
12 GROUP.

13 AND SO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP'S TASK IS
14 TO ASSESS THE APPLICATIONS BASED ON THE SCIENTIFIC
15 MERIT, AND THOSE CRITERIA ARE LAID OUT IN OUR RFA'S
16 AND PA'S SO THAT IT IS CLEAR TO THE APPLICANTS WHAT
17 IT IS THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP REVIEWERS WILL LOOK
18 AT. AND BEYOND THE SCIENTIFIC ASSESSMENT, WE ALSO
19 DO, AS YOU KNOW, THE DEI ASSESSMENT AT THIS STAGE.
20 SO OUR PATIENT ADVOCATE MEMBERS OF OUR GRANTS
21 WORKING GROUP PARTICIPATE IN SCORING FOR THOSE THAT
22 ARE TRANSLATIONAL AND CLINICAL APPLICATIONS,
23 PARTICIPATING IN DISCUSSION THAT HELPS US BETTER
24 ASSESS THE DEI ELEMENTS AS WELL.

25 AND THEN THE OUTCOME OF THESE REVIEWS IS A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 RECOMMENDATION TO THE CIRM BOARD. IN MOST CASES IT
2 IS TO THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE THAT MAKES
3 THE FINAL DETERMINATION, BUT IN SOME CASES, SUCH AS
4 WITH THE AAWG, THE ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING
5 GROUP, IT IS THE FULL BOARD. THE IDEA IS THESE
6 RECOMMENDATIONS THAT ARE COMING TO THE BOARD ARE
7 ONLY PART OF THE EQUATION THAT THE ICOC OR THE
8 APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE MAY USE IN MAKING
9 THAT FUNDING DECISION. SO THERE'S THOSE
10 RECOMMENDATIONS, BUT THERE ARE ALSO CIRM TEAM
11 RECOMMENDATIONS THAT MAY COME TO YOU. THERE ARE
12 ALSO PUBLIC COMMENT AND OTHER FACTORS THAT MAY
13 CONTRIBUTE TO DETERMINING WHETHER CIRM SHOULD FUND A
14 GIVEN PROJECT OR SET OF PROJECTS. AND PART OF THAT
15 MAY BE STRATEGY, PORTFOLIO, OTHER ELEMENTS THAT COME
16 INTO PLAY. AND SO THESE THREE STEPS IS SOMETHING
17 THAT WE UTILIZE FOR ALL OUR PROGRAMS.

18 NOW, WHAT WE FUND, WHAT ACTUALLY COMES IN
19 AND GOES THROUGH THIS PROCESS IS LARGELY DETERMINED
20 BY THE MANDATE UNDER PROP 71 AND/OR PROP 14. AND
21 IT'S PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD AND SIMPLE, AND I'VE
22 ACTUALLY ATTEMPTED TO SUMMARIZE THAT IN FOUR
23 BULLETS. THE MAIN ONE BEING RESEARCH USING STEM AND
24 PROGENITOR CELLS AND NOW UNDER PROP 14 WHAT WE
25 DEFINE AS GENETIC THERAPIES. AND SO THAT HAS BEEN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FROM THE ONSET AN IMPORTANT ELEMENT. BUT ALSO
2 RESEARCH THAT GENERALLY THE NIH AND THE FEDERAL
3 GOVERNMENT DO NOT SUPPORT OR DOES NOT SUPPORT
4 SUFFICIENTLY.

5 AND SO THE CASE THAT WE HAVE MADE, EVEN
6 THOUGH IT IS TRUE THAT NIH FUNDS STEM CELL RESEARCH,
7 THEY CERTAINLY HAVE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE PROGRAMS
8 AND RESEARCH THAT INVOLVES STEM CELLS, IS THAT WE
9 HAVE A VERY UNIQUE FUNDING MODEL. SO WE TEND TO
10 FUND RESEARCH AT LATER STAGES, PARTICULARLY THAT
11 VALLEY OF DEATH, THE TRANSLATIONAL AND CLINICAL
12 STAGES. WE TEND TO SUPPORT RESEARCH AND ACTIVITIES
13 THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND NIH DO NOT. AND WE
14 ALSO PROVIDE A LEVEL OF SUPPORT FOR AWARDEES THAT
15 GENERALLY IS UNPRECEDENTED IN OTHER FUNDING
16 AGENCIES. AND SO THOSE ARE OUR KEY ELEMENTS THAT DO
17 DISTINGUISH US FROM NIH AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

18 ALSO IMPORTANTLY PART OF OUR MANDATE IS
19 THE DEVELOPMENT OF THERAPIES AND CURES. SO ALL OF
20 OUR WORK, ALL OF THE RESEARCH THAT WE DO, ALL OF OUR
21 PROGRAMS ARE DIRECTED IN SOME WAY TOWARDS THAT GOAL.
22 NOW, UNDER PROP 14 TO ALSO MAKE THEM AFFORDABLE AND
23 ACCESSIBLE, AND THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE STILL HAVE
24 TO TACKLE.

25 AND THEN, FINALLY, SOMETHING THAT WE'VE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TALKED ABOUT EARLIER TODAY AND IN OTHER MEETINGS IS
2 THE ALLOCATION THAT HAS BEEN SPECIFICALLY SET FOR
3 DISEASES OF THE BRAIN. AND SO HOW THAT IS INCLUDED
4 AND ACCOUNTED FOR WITHIN THE MANDATE IS SOMETHING
5 THAT WE WILL ALSO CONTINUE TO TALK ABOUT.

6 BUT OTHER THAN THAT, THERE IS NO SPECIFIC
7 DIRECTIVE TOWARDS A SPECIFIC DISEASE, CONDITION, ANY
8 SCIENTIFIC DISCIPLINES THAT MUST BE ACCOUNTED FOR OR
9 ANY STAGE OF RESEARCH THAT WE MUST TAKE ON. SO
10 THERE IS STILL WITHIN OUR MANDATE QUITE A BIT OF
11 FLEXIBILITY IN WHAT WE DO.

12 AND I'LL SHOW YOU ONE MORE SLIDE AS IT
13 RELATES TO THE SCOPE OF WHAT IT IS THAT WE DO JUST
14 BECAUSE IT'S A GOOD EXAMPLE OF HOW IT IS THAT WE
15 DETERMINE WHAT COMES IN AS AN APPLICATION. AND
16 OFTENTIME THIS RELATES TO THE SUPPORT OF DIFFERENT
17 THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATE TYPES. SO OVER THE YEARS THIS
18 HAS CHANGED A LITTLE BIT HERE AND THERE, BUT
19 GENERALLY WE HAVE SUPPORTED CELL THERAPIES WHERE A
20 STEM OR PROGENITOR CELL IS A COMPONENT OF THAT
21 THERAPY OR IS USED TO MANUFACTURE IT. WE ALSO,
22 BEYOND THE ACTUAL CELL THERAPY, WHICH IS WHAT MOST
23 PEOPLE THINK ABOUT WITH RESPECT TO REGENERATIVE
24 MEDICINE, ARE SMALL MOLECULES OR BIOLOGICS. THESE
25 ARE JUST SMALL MOLECULE DRUGS THAT ACT ON A STEM

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CELL, WHETHER IT'S AN ENDOGENOUS STEM CELL OR A
2 CANCER STEM CELL, TO EXERT A THERAPEUTIC EFFECT. WE
3 ALSO ALLOW FOR, FOR EXAMPLE, ANY BIOLOGIC THAT CAN
4 MODIFY A STEM CELL THERAPY, SO AN IMAGING AGENT, FOR
5 EXAMPLE, OR WHERE A STEM CELL IS NECESSARY TO
6 MANUFACTURE IT. SO THESE ARE EXTRACELLULAR
7 VESICLES, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT CAN BE GENERATED.

8 SO ALL OF THOSE DIFFERENT TYPES OF
9 THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATES AND MODALITIES QUALIFY FOR
10 CIRM FUNDING. SO IT IS A PRETTY BROAD WINDOW. AND
11 NOW UNDER PROP 14 WE HAVE ALSO ADDED THE GENETIC
12 THERAPY. AND SO THIS WE HAVE DEFINED QUITE BROADLY
13 AS WELL. THIS IS BEYOND JUST THE GENE THERAPY THAT
14 MANY PEOPLE KNOW, WHICH IS ENCOMPASSED UNDER
15 ANYTHING THAT ALTERS THE GENOMIC SEQUENCE OF CELLS.
16 IT IS ALSO ANY THERAPEUTIC THAT INTRODUCES OR
17 DIRECTLY MANIPULATES NUCLEIC ACIDS. SO NOW WE HAVE
18 M-RNA'S, ANTISENSE OLIGOS, AND SO ON THAT ARE PART
19 OF THE SCOPE OF WHAT WE CAN FUND.

20 AND SO ALL OF THIS BACKGROUND I'M GIVING
21 TO YOU IS PART OF A WAY OF INFORMING YOU WHAT IT IS
22 THAT WE DO, BUT ALSO HOPING TO INSPIRE YOU TO THINK
23 ABOUT, AS WE MOVE FORWARD, AND THINK ABOUT
24 PRIORITIZATION, HOW THAT MODEL THAT WE HAVE BEEN
25 USING EITHER COULD BE TWEAKED, CHANGED, MODIFIED, OR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONTINUE TO BE USED IN A WAY THAT IS BETTER FOCUSED
2 ON US ACCOMPLISHING OUR MISSION. SO TOWARDS THAT
3 END, THERE'S A SERIES OF QUESTIONS THAT I'LL GO OVER
4 VERY BRIEFLY, BUT ARE NOT INTENDED FOR US TO DISCUSS
5 HERE, BUT MAYBE ARE AN INSPIRATION FOR WHAT THE
6 SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND DR. IMBASCIANI WAS
7 REQUESTING THAT COULD BE TAKEN ON.

8 AND ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT QUESTIONS IS
9 HOW IS IT THAT CIRM CAN MAKE THE GREATEST IMPACT ON
10 ITS MISSION? NOW, THIS QUESTION, AS WELL AS ALL
11 THESE OTHER QUESTIONS THAT ARE I'M GOING TO GO
12 THROUGH ARE ONES THAT WE'RE NOT JUST ASKING NOW.
13 WE'VE BEEN ASKING THESE ALL ALONG THE WAY. THIS HAS
14 BEEN ESSENTIAL IN DEVELOPING THE STRATEGIC PLAN THAT
15 WE HAVE AS WELL AS THE STRATEGIC PLANS THAT WE HAVE
16 THAT HAVE COME BEFORE THAT. BUT IT IS SOMETHING
17 THAT IS AN ONGOING PROCESS. WE NEED TO CONTINUOUSLY
18 BE ASKING THESE QUESTIONS TO SEE WHETHER WE ARE
19 STILL ON TASK.

20 AND SO HOW IS IT THAT WE CAN MAKE THE
21 GREATEST IMPACT? WE HAVE A VERY GENEROUS AMOUNT OF
22 FUNDS TO WORK WITH, BUT IT'S ALSO NOT UNLIMITED.
23 AND SO HOW WE CHOOSE TO FOCUS AND BRING THAT
24 TOGETHER IN ORDER TO MAKE AN IMPACT IS A KEY
25 QUESTION. HOW NARROW OR HOW BROAD SHOULD OUR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PORTFOLIO BE AS IT RELATES TO DIFFERENT ELEMENTS?
2 DISEASE REPRESENTATION, FOR EXAMPLE, IS ONE THAT
3 OFTEN COMES UP. SHOULD WE SEEK TO HAVE AS MANY
4 DISEASES AS POSSIBLE REPRESENTED WITHIN OUR
5 PORTFOLIO? OR IS IT OKAY TO MAYBE FOCUS IN ON THOSE
6 THAT ARE MOST LIKELY TO BE IMPACTED BY CELL AND GENE
7 THERAPY APPROACHES?

8 QUALIFYING CANDIDATES. AS I SHOWED YOU,
9 WE HAVE A VERY BROAD ARRAY OF WHAT QUALIFIES FOR
10 CIRM FUNDING. IN SOME CASES THE LINE CAN GET A
11 LITTLE BIT BLURRY EVEN AT THE ELIGIBILITY STAGE.
12 FOR EXAMPLE, WHAT DETERMINES WHAT IS A CANCER STEM
13 CELL? EVEN IN THE FIELD, IT ISN'T ALWAYS ACCEPTED
14 THAT A GIVEN CELL TYPE IS A CANCER STEM CELL OR WHAT
15 MAY BE A PROGENITOR CELL OR WHAT SHOULD BE
16 INCORPORATED WITHIN THE DEFINITION OF A GENETIC
17 THERAPY. WE CREATED A DEFINITION, BUT THAT CAN
18 CHANGE DEPENDING ON WHAT WE THINK OUR PRIORITIES
19 MIGHT BE. OR HOW DO WE ADDRESS HAVING SIMILAR
20 THERAPEUTIC APPROACHES? CAR-T COMES UP AS AN
21 EXAMPLE BECAUSE WE SEE THAT A LOT. THAT COMES QUITE
22 OFTEN, BUT THERE ARE OTHERS. IS IT OKAY TO TAKE ON
23 THE SAME APPROACH? AND HOW MANY PROJECTS ARE
24 SUFFICIENT TO SAY THAT WE'VE COVERED THAT ARENA?
25 WHICH LEADS TO THE NEXT QUESTION: HOW

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MUCH FUNDING SUPPORT IS ACTUALLY NECESSARY WITHIN A
2 GIVEN THERAPEUTIC APPROACH OR WITHIN A STAGE OF
3 RESEARCH OR A DISEASE FOR US TO HAVE A MEANINGFUL
4 IMPACT? AND THAT IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE THERE MAY BE
5 CASES WHERE WE MAY HAVE ONLY TWO PROJECTS IN A GIVEN
6 DISEASE AREA OR THAT USE AN APPROACH. HOW MUCH OF
7 AN IMPACT OVER OUR TIME FRAME WILL THAT MAKE?

8 AND THEN, LASTLY, WHAT IS OR WHAT ARE THE
9 MOST IMPORTANT OUTCOMES FOR CIRM IN TEN YEARS?
10 LOOKING INTO THE FUTURE AND THINKING OF WHAT WE'D
11 LIKE TO ACCOMPLISH, WHERE WE WOULD LIKE TO BE, WHAT
12 WOULD WE HAVE TO DO TODAY IN ORDER TO ACCOMPLISH
13 THOSE ELEMENTS? AND, AGAIN, THESE ARE QUESTIONS NOT
14 FOR TODAY, BUT HOPEFULLY TO INSPIRE YOUR THINKING
15 AND HOPEFULLY THAT IT DOES. SO THAT IS MY
16 PRESENTATION, AND I THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

17 (APPLAUSE.)

18 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE'RE GOING TO START
19 WITH LEONDRA.

20 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: THANK YOU FOR YOUR
21 PRESENTATION. I REALLY APPRECIATE IT. AND I KNOW
22 YOU SAID THE QUESTIONS AREN'T FOR TODAY, BUT I'M
23 DYING TO HAVE A FEW COMMENTS TODAY. IS THAT OKAY?
24 IS THAT OUT OF ORDER?

25 I LOVE THE SLIDE THAT YOU HAD THAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 REMINDED US OF OUR SCOPE. AND I THINK ONE OF THE
2 STRENGTHS OF CIRM IS THE ABILITY TO GO OUTSIDE
3 WHAT'S TYPICALLY FUNDED AND TYPICALLY SUPPORTED. I
4 THINK THAT JUST LEADS TO DIVERSITY AND THOUGHT AND
5 INNOVATION. AND THAT'S REALLY, I THINK, A VALUE OF
6 CIRM.

7 AND IN LINE WITH THAT, YOUR QUESTION
8 AROUND SHOULD WE STAY NARROW, SHOULD WE GO BROAD, I
9 KNOW GOING NARROW MAY SEEM MORE FOCUSED AND
10 STRATEGIC IN SOME WAYS, BUT I THINK THE ABILITY TO
11 STAY BROAD IN SOME WAYS DOES CORRELATE WITH THE
12 SCOPE OF THINKING OUTSIDE THE BOX AND INNOVATION IN
13 DIFFERENT WAYS AND ALSO REALLY VALUING THE
14 DIVERSITY. I THINK ABOUT THE STORIES THAT WERE
15 SHARED THIS MORNING BY MARIA AND THE FACT THAT THESE
16 INNOVATIONS WERE SUPPORTED AND CONTINUE TO BE
17 SUPPORTED.

18 SO I WOULD HATE TO DO SOMETHING THAT WOULD
19 POTENTIALLY PUT US IN A SPACE WHERE WE'RE NOT ABLE
20 TO FUND SOMETHING THAT COMES UP THAT IS REALLY
21 INNOVATIVE AND IMPORTANT WORK. AND SO I WOULD, EVEN
22 THOUGH YOU DIDN'T ASK FOR QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES
23 RIGHT NOW, I REALLY AM LEANING INTO WHAT'S ATYPICAL,
24 WHICH IS BEING PRETTY BROAD AND OPEN TO THE
25 DIVERSITY OF DIFFERENT APPROACHES. WANTED TO SHARE

1 THAT.

2 AND IN TEN YEARS, I REALLY DO HOPE THAT
3 WE'RE ABLE TO SAY THAT WE DID THAT. JUST TODAY AS
4 FOLKS ARE REPORTING ON THE WONDERFUL THINGS THAT WE
5 DID BECAUSE WE STEPPED OUTSIDE THE BOX, I WANT TO BE
6 ABLE TO SAY THAT IN TEN YEARS AS WELL. UNSOLICITED
7 INPUT.

8 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
9 I THINK NEXT IS MEMBER DULIEGE.

10 DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU. WE'RE ALL GOING
11 TO AGREE, PROBABLY NOT ALL. I SHOULDN'T SAY THAT,
12 BUT I DO AGREE. GREAT PRESENTATION.

13 CAN YOU GIVE US A FEW EXAMPLES OF SOME OF
14 THE CHALLENGES THAT THE CIRM TEAM HAS ALREADY FACED
15 WHEN REVIEWING THE APPLICATION INITIALLY, THE FIRST
16 SCREEN BEFORE IT GOES TO GWG, AND SPECIFICALLY ABOUT
17 THE DEFINITION, NOT SO MUCH ABOUT THE QUALITY, THE
18 DEFINITION.

19 AND YOUR SECOND POINT HERE, HOW HAS THE
20 TEAM ADDRESSED THAT, FACED THAT BECAUSE IT'S A REAL
21 CHALLENGE. YOU REALLY ELOQUENTLY DESCRIBED HOW
22 DEFINITIONS CAN BE BLURRIER THAN WHAT WE THINK.

23 DR. SAMBRANO: THAT'S RIGHT. IT CONTINUES
24 TO BE CHALLENGING BECAUSE IT SEEMS ANYWHERE WE TRY
25 TO DRAW A LINE, THERE IS ALWAYS GOING TO BE A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROJECT THAT JUST STRADDLES THAT LINE. AND WHAT WE
2 DO IS WE HAVE GROUP DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THESE, AND WE
3 ATTEMPT TO BE CONSISTENT IN OUR INTERPRETATION. AND
4 SO WE MAKE SURE THAT IF WE SAY, OKAY, SOMETHING THAT
5 WAS STRADDLING THE LINE THAT WE DECIDED WAS
6 ELIGIBLE, THAT IF WE SEE ANYTHING THAT LOOKS LIKE
7 THAT AGAIN, THAT WE TREAT IT THE SAME WAY. AND IT
8 DOES TAKE EFFORT AND TIME, AND I THINK THAT'S THE
9 CHALLENGE.

10 IN AN IDEAL WORLD, WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A
11 VERY CLEAR DELINEATION THAT ALLOWS US TO SAY, OKAY,
12 THIS PROJECT IS IN, THIS PROJECT IS OUT, BUT IT JUST
13 HAS BEEN THE CASE THAT IT'S NOT THAT EASY. FOR SOME
14 THINGS IT IS SIMPLE; BUT WHERE A FIELD CONTINUES TO
15 MOVE AND EVOLVE AND DEFINITIONS WITHIN A FIELD
16 CHANGE AND OPINIONS CHANGE, IT IS SORT OF THE MOVING
17 TARGET THAT WE JUST HAVE TO KEEP UP WITH.

18 AND I'M NOT SURE, OTHER THAN WHAT WE ARE
19 DOING, WHAT ELSE WE CAN DO.

20 DR. DULIEGE: I WAS ASKING IF YOU HAD ONE
21 OR TWO SPECIFIC EXAMPLES.

22 DR. SAMBRANO: I'M SORRY. SO THE ONES
23 THAT OFTEN COME UP ARE A SMALL MOLECULE THAT TARGETS
24 A CANCER STEM CELL OF SOME TYPE. AND THERE WE OFTEN
25 LOOK TO SEE IS THERE EVIDENCE THAT THIS TUMOR TYPE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ACTUALLY INVOLVES OR HAS CANCER CELLS, WHAT DATA
2 DOES THE APPLICANT PROVIDE AND SHOW TO SAY THAT THEY
3 HAVE AN ACTUAL CANCER STEM CELL THAT THEY ARE
4 TARGETING. SO THAT'S ONE EXAMPLE OF WHAT WE DO.
5 AND IT'S SIMILAR WITH PROGENITOR CELLS. SOMETIMES
6 IN CERTAIN DISCIPLINES SOMEBODY IDENTIFIES AN
7 ENDOGENOUS STEM CELL TYPE THAT ARGUABLY MAY OR MAY
8 NOT BE ONE. WE ALSO HAVE A VERY BROAD DEFINITION OF
9 WHAT A PROGENITOR IS. THE QUALIFIER IS THAT IT MUST
10 BE NOT A COMPLETELY MATURE CELL IN THAT IT HAS THE
11 CAPACITY TO DIVIDE. AND THERE ARE CELLS THAT MAYBE
12 IN THE FIELD IT WOULD NOT BE ACCEPTED AS A
13 PROGENITOR, BUT IT STILL MEETS THE DEFINITION. SO
14 IN SOME CASES WE WOULD ALLOW IT.

15 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MEMBER YAMAMOTO.

16 DR. YAMAMOTO: STEPPING BACK FROM THESE
17 CHALLENGES OF HAVING TO MAKE CHOICES ABOUT SPECIFIC
18 DISEASE AREAS OR PROJECTS, I THINK ONE OF THE
19 STRIKING THINGS ABOUT WHAT CIRM HAS DONE
20 HISTORICALLY IS THE BALANCE THAT IT HAS REALLY
21 EXERCISED IN SUPPORTING THE DIFFERENT RESEARCH
22 APPROACHES. I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY REASON TO TRY
23 TO BE SLAVISH ABOUT THIS. DIFFERENT KINDS OF
24 RESEARCH COST DIFFERENT AMOUNTS OF MONEY. BUT
25 NEVERTHELESS, IT IS REALLY INTERESTING, AS MARIA

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SHOWED IN ONE OF HER GRAPHICS, THAT HISTORICALLY
2 CIRM HAS REALLY SUPPORTED BASIC RESEARCH,
3 TRANSLATIONAL, AND CLINICAL REALLY ACROSS THE BOARD
4 ROBUSTLY.

5 THAT'S NOT TRUE IN THE RECENT
6 EXPENDITURES. I THINK THAT WAS THE OTHER STRIKING
7 THING THAT I TOOK AWAY FROM THAT SLIDE IS THAT IN
8 THE REALLY RECENT HISTORY, WHAT WE'VE SEEN IS A MUCH
9 STRONGER LEVEL OF SUPPORT IN TERMS OF DOLLARS THAT
10 HAVE GONE TO CLINICAL RESEARCH. I'M ASSUMING THAT
11 DOESN'T REPRESENT A TREND OR A DECISION. IT'S JUST
12 A POINT IN TIME. BUT IF YOU COULD SPEAK TO THAT
13 POINT SPECIFICALLY, I THINK WOULD BE HELPFUL.

14 DR. SAMBRANO: IN MANY WAYS THE DEMAND, IF
15 YOU WILL, THAT IS OUT THERE, AS WAS MENTIONED, HAS
16 INCREASED OVERALL FOR ALL PROJECTS. BUT AS YOU ALSO
17 INDICATED, FOR SOME PROJECTS IT'S GREATER COST,
18 PARTICULARLY WHEN YOU'RE LOOKING AT CLINICAL TRIALS.
19 SO WE ARE FACED WITH THE QUESTION OF HOW MUCH CAN
20 CIRM ACTUALLY HANDLE WHEN WE DEAL WITH THIS DEMAND.
21 IN SOME AREAS IT IS REASONABLE, WE HAVE MECHANISMS
22 IN PLACE TO DO IT, BUT WE HAVE A CONTINUINGLY
23 GROWING NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS THAT CONTINUE TO COME
24 TO US THAT WOULD BENEFIT FROM US HAVING A BETTER
25 UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WE WANT TO EITHER PRIORITIZE,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AS WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT, OR CHOOSE TO FUND OVER
2 OTHERS. BECAUSE IT'S NOT AN ISSUE OF MERIT WHERE I
3 THINK WHERE WE STARTED IT WAS LET THE SCIENCE
4 DETERMINE WHAT WE FUND BECAUSE THE MERIT ALONE WAS
5 ENOUGH TO KEEP THE NUMBER OF PROJECTS AND THE AMOUNT
6 OF FUNDS THAT WE WOULD ISSUE TO THEM TO BE ALIGNED.

7 NOW WE MAY BE GETTING TO A POINT WHERE THE
8 NUMBER OF MERITORIOUS PROJECTS EXCEEDS WHAT WE MAY
9 WANT TO ACTUALLY EXPEND ON AN ANNUAL BASIS. AND SO
10 THEN COMES THE QUESTION OF THEN WHICH ONES DO WE
11 CHOOSE. AND AMONG A SET OF ALL MERITORIOUS
12 PROJECTS, WHICH ONES ARE THE MOST LIKELY TO HELP US
13 ACHIEVE OUR MISSION OR HAVE AN IMPACT? AND SO I
14 KIND OF TWIRLED AROUND TRYING TO ANSWER YOUR
15 QUESTION, BUT IT MAYBE GIVES A LITTLE CONTEXT AS TO
16 HOW WE'RE THINKING ABOUT IT IN TERMS OF THAT
17 PERSPECTIVE.

18 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. MEMBER
19 JUELSGAARD AND THEN WE'LL JUST CONTINUE AROUND THE
20 TABLE.

21 MR. JUELSGAARD: THANKS, AGAIN, GIL, FOR
22 THE PRESENTATION. SO THE LAST SLIDE POSED FOUR
23 STRATEGIC QUESTIONS. I ASSUME THE REASON FOR POSING
24 THE QUESTIONS IS TO DEVELOP ANSWERS, AND THOSE
25 ANSWERS WILL BE DISCUSSED HERE IN THIS ROOM OR A

1 ROOM LIKE THIS AT SOME POINT.

2 I'M GOING TO FOCUS FOR A MOMENT ON THE
3 THIRD ONE BECAUSE THAT'S THE ONE THAT FOR ME,
4 ANYWAY, BASED UPON BEING ON THE NEURO TASK FORCE AND
5 SOME OF THE THINGS THAT WE'VE HEARD, PARTICULARLY
6 ANECDOTALLY, IS WHETHER WE HAVE ENOUGH FUNDING
7 SUPPORT. SO OUR FUNDING SUPPORT, IF YOU GO BACK, I
8 LOOKED BACK, WHAT, IN 2016 I THINK THE AWARD LEVELS
9 WERE THE SAME IN 2016 AS THEY ARE TODAY. WE HAVEN'T
10 CHANGED THE AMOUNT OF AWARDS WE'RE MAKING, AND YET
11 I'M SURE MANY OF US ARE AWARE OF THE IMPACT OF
12 INFLATION AND PARTICULARLY MORE RECENTLY.

13 AND SO IS THERE A -- HOW MUCH THOUGHT IS
14 BEING GIVEN TO REALLY LOOK AT OUR FUNDING LEVELS?
15 AND THIS FOLLOWS DR. YAMAMOTO'S QUESTION
16 PARTICULARLY IN THE AREA OF DISCOVERY AND OF
17 TRANSLATIONAL WORK TO SAY WHETHER OR NOT THEY'RE
18 SUFFICIENT AND EVEN WITH SPECIFIC AREAS THE
19 ANECDOTAL ISSUE I REFERRED TO IS NEUROPSYCHIATRIC
20 RESEARCH WHICH INVOLVES A LOT OF GENETIC RESEARCH
21 WHICH IS NOT SIMPLE AND NOT INEXPENSIVE.

22 AND SO, ANYWAY, I'M JUST HOPEFUL THAT WE
23 TAKE A GOOD LOOK AT THAT AND WHETHER WE OUGHT TO
24 THINK ABOUT MAKING SOME CHANGES AT LEAST IN SOME
25 SPECIFIC AREAS. THANK YOU.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. SAMBRANO: YES. AND WE ARE
2 SPECIFICALLY LOOKING AT THE BUDGET CAPS THAT WE HAVE
3 FOR EACH OF THE PROGRAMS TO ENSURE THAT THEY'RE
4 APPROPRIATE. BUT AS YOU KNOW, THERE IS QUITE A BIT
5 OF VARIABILITY AMONG PROJECTS AND THE DIFFERENT
6 ACTIVITIES THAT THEY CONDUCT, THAT IN SOME CASES
7 JUSTIFY A GREATER AMOUNT AND IN OTHER CASES MAYBE
8 NOT.

9 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, GIL.
10 MEMBER BARRETT.

11 DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU, GIL, VERY MUCH
12 FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. I REALLY WANTED IN SOME WAYS
13 TO ECHO WHAT LEONDRA SAID, THAT IT IS IMPORTANT
14 WITHIN THE CONSTRAINTS OF WHAT THE AGENCY WAS SET UP
15 TO DO TO REMAIN AS BROAD AS POSSIBLE BECAUSE HISTORY
16 HAS SHOWN US THAT WE ARE PRETTY FOOLISH IF WE THINK
17 THAT WE CAN TRULY PREDICT WHAT IS GOING TO BE THE
18 MOST IMPACTFUL. LETTING THINGS BUBBLE UP FROM THE
19 SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY, MAKING SURE THAT WE DO
20 CONTINUE TO SUPPORT DISCOVERY RESEARCH EVEN THOUGH
21 THE IMPACT OF THAT MAY BE A LONG WAY DOWN THE ROAD
22 AND PERHAPS BEYOND MANY OF OUR LIFE SPANS DOESN'T
23 MEAN THAT WE SHOULDN'T BE SUPPORTING IT.

24 SO A BALANCE BETWEEN THE QUICK WINS AND
25 THE BLUE SKY RESEARCH THAT MAY BE EXTREMELY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IMPACTFUL IF JUDGED TO BE HIGHLY MERITORIOUS. BUT I
2 DO NOT PERSONALLY SEE, OTHER THAN THE CONSTRAINTS OF
3 THE PROPOSITION LEGISLATION, THAT WE SHOULD BE
4 TARGETING SPECIFIC DISEASE STATES. THERE'S A LOT OF
5 SUFFERING TO GO AROUND.

6 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I'LL TAKE THAT AS A
7 COMMENT RATHER THAN A QUESTION. SO MEMBER SOUTHARD.

8 DR. SOUTHARD: I ALSO WANTED TO BUILD ON
9 THE REMARKS ALREADY MADE ABOUT THE THINGS THAT WE'VE
10 BEEN TALKING ABOUT IN THE NEURO TASK FORCE
11 PARTICULARLY ON NEUROPSYCH WHERE IT'S CLEARLY AN
12 AREA THAT WE HEAR. WE DON'T GET PROPOSALS BECAUSE
13 THE PROPOSALS TO DO REAL ACTION WOULD BE VERY
14 EXPENSIVE, AND YET THEY'RE AT THE VERY BEGINNING
15 STAGES. SO IN THE TEN-YEAR AREA, I WOULD HOPE THAT
16 WE ARE ABLE TO AT LEAST BEGIN TO START TO MAKE AN
17 EFFECT ON THE ISSUES OF SEVERE MENTAL ILLNESS AND
18 ADDICTION AS ONE OF THE THINGS THAT BECOMES OUR
19 HALLMARK. THAT WOULD BE THE HOPE OF MANY OF US
20 HERE.

21 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
22 ANY OTHER COMMENTS IN THE ROOM? VICE CHAIR.

23 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I ALSO WANTED TO
24 COMMENT ON CAPACITY FOR OUR REVIEW TEAM AND HOW MANY
25 REVIEWS WE CAN HAVE A YEAR. THAT REALLY ALSO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DETERMINES HOW MUCH MONEY IS PUT OUT IN DIFFERENT
2 AREAS. SO IT ALSO -- FOR DISCOVERY, HOW MANY ROUNDS
3 DO WE HAVE OF DISCOVERY VERSUS HOW MANY ROUNDS DO WE
4 HAVE OF CLIN VERSUS HOW MANY ROUNDS WE HAVE OF
5 TRANSLATION. SO THAT'S ALSO SOMETHING TO TAKE INTO
6 CONSIDERATION, KEITH, WITH YOUR QUESTION. SO IT'S
7 NOT JUST DOLLARS ALLOCATED, BUT IT'S WHAT THE
8 CAPACITY IS AND WHAT THE BOARD THINKS IS BEST.

9 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MEMBER DURON.

10 MS. DURON: GIL, YOU SAID IT'S NOT ALWAYS
11 EASY, BUT I THINK THAT'S WHAT CIRM IS ALL ABOUT. IT
12 IS A MAVERICK. IT WAS MADE TO EXIST BECAUSE THE
13 FEDS WOULDN'T DO IT. AND SO WE ARE ALWAYS REACHING
14 HIGHER THAN PERHAPS PEOPLE THINK IS REASONABLE.
15 WE'RE SHOOTING FOR THE STARS HERE. WE ARE SHOOTING
16 FOR THE HOPES AND DREAMS OF PEOPLE WHO ARE ILL, AS
17 KIM SAID. TO EVERY PERSON WHO'S ILL LOOKING FOR AN
18 ANSWER, THIS IS WHAT WE ARE ABOUT. SO I DON'T --
19 I NEVER -- I ALWAYS WANT TO DO RISK, AND I ALWAYS
20 WANT TO SHOOT AS HIGH AS WE CAN. AND, YES, THERE
21 ARE REASONABLE PEOPLE WHO MIGHT HELP US KEEP SOME
22 FEET ON THE GROUND, BUT I THINK THAT WHAT CIRM IS
23 ALL ABOUT IS ABOUT SHOOTING FOR THE HIGHEST AND
24 HOPING FOR THE BEST RESULTS WE CAN GET AND THEN
25 LEADING, HELPING LEAD THE SCIENCE. AND SO I'M NOT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FOR CLIPPING WINGS. I'M FOR ADDING NEW OPPORTUNITY.
2 SO THANK YOU. PERHAPS WITHIN THE WORK WE'VE ALREADY
3 DONE, THEY'RE STARTING TO SHOW US SOME ANSWERS THAT
4 WE CAN LOOK AT TO SEE WHAT ARE THE GOOD OUTCOMES,
5 HOW LONG IT TAKES TO GET TO THESE GOOD OUTCOMES, ET
6 CETERA, ET CETERA, SO WE CAN START THERE WITH SOME
7 BASELINE DATA.

8 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, BOARD
9 MEMBERS, FOR YOUR COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS. GIL,
10 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR A GREAT PRESENTATION. I
11 HAVE A FEELING, WHEN THE BOARD DISCUSSES THIS TOPIC
12 IN THE MONTHS AND MONTHS TO COME, THEY'RE GOING TO
13 REFER BACK. REMEMBER WHAT GIL SAID ON JUNE 29TH.
14 SO THIS WAS VERY IMPORTANT FOR US TO HEAR. I THINK
15 IMPORTANT FOR BOARD MEMBERS, REGARDLESS OF HOW MANY
16 YEARS OF TENURE ON THE BOARD, ESPECIALLY IF YOU'RE
17 NEW, TO HAVE HAD A REALLY FUNDAMENTAL REVIEW OF OUR
18 WHOLE FUNDING PROCESS. SO IT WAS A DOUBLE WHAMMY.
19 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

20 I AM GOING TO DO ONE MORE THING AND
21 PROCEED TO THE CONSENT CALENDAR AND THEN WE'LL TAKE
22 A SHORT BREAK. BEFORE WE GO TO THE CONSENT, LET ME
23 ADD AN ADDENDUM TO THE CHAIR'S REPORT. I STARTED BY
24 SAYING I HAD TOURED THE STATE AND VISITED SIX OF OUR
25 NINE ALPHA CLINICS. AND THEN WHEN I WAS DONE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SPEAKING, I ONLY TICKED OFF FIVE ON MY FINGERS. I
2 CREDIT IT TO THE NERVOUSNESS OF A MAIDEN VOYAGE, IF
3 YOU WILL. I THINK I LEFT OUT ONE, AND I THINK IT
4 WAS UC IRVINE. I DID NOT MEAN TO SLIGHT ANYONE BY
5 OVERSIGHT. SO I APOLOGIZE. BUT THE RECEPTION THERE
6 WAS EQUAL IN ENTHUSIASM AND COMPLETENESS AS ALL THE
7 OTHERS. SO THANK YOU.

8 SO THE CONSENT CALENDAR, YOU KNOW HOW THIS
9 WORKS. THIS IS SOMETHING THE BOARD LEADERSHIP HAS
10 DETERMINED IT PROBABLY CONTAINS NONCONTROVERSIAL
11 ITEMS WHICH CAN BE VOTED ON UNLESS ANYONE AT THE
12 TABLE, ANY BOARD MEMBER, WHETHER YOU'RE HERE IN THE
13 ROOM OR NOT, HAS AN ISSUE WITH ANY ONE, THEN YOU
14 WOULD EXCERPT IT. AND IF YOU DO TAKE IT OUT OF
15 CONSENT, WE WILL DISCUSS THE REASONS WHY YOU WANT TO
16 CONSIDER IT SEPARATELY AT A SLIGHTLY LATER POINT IN
17 THE AGENDA.

18 SO UNDERSTANDING THAT, I WOULD LIKE TO
19 KNOW ARE THERE ANY -- DOES ANY BOARD MEMBER HAVE ANY
20 DESIRE TO REMOVE ANY ITEM FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA?
21 HEARING NONE, I WOULD LIKE A MOTION AND A SECOND TO
22 APPROVE ALL THE REMAINING ITEMS ON THIS CONSENT
23 CALENDAR WHICH ARE ALL OF THEM.

24 DR. BARRETT: SO MOVED.

25 DR. SOUTHARD: SECOND.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE HAVE A MOVE AND A
2 SECOND. SO IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS
3 BEFORE WE PROCEED TO A VOTE?

4 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: THERE ARE NONE.

5 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. THEN, SCOTT,
6 I CAN GO TO A VOTE THEN, I PRESUME. ALL THOSE IN
7 FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. THOSE OPPOSED SAY NAY.

8 SCOTT, WOULD YOU TAKE A ROLL CALL OF THOSE
9 MEMBERS ON THE PHONE?

10 MR. TOCHER: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ. I'LL COME
11 BACK. DAN BERNAL. I'LL COME A BACK. GEORGE
12 BLUMENTHAL.

13 DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.

14 MR. TOCHER: LINDA BOXER.

15 DR. BOXER: YES.

16 MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER. JUDY GASSON.

17 DR. GASSON: YES.

18 MR. TOCHER: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.

19 DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.

20 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.

21 MR. LAJARA: YES.

22 MR. TOCHER: DAVID LO.

23 DR. LO: YES.

24 MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.

25 DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: MICHAEL STAMOS.

2 DR. STAMOS: YES.

3 MR. TOCHER: I'LL CALL THE OTHERS AGAIN.

4 HAIFAA.

5 DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.

6 MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL. FRED FISHER.

7 THE MOTION CARRIES.

8 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANKS VERY MUCH.

9 OKAY. THE BOARD WILL NOW ENJOY A SHORT RECESS.

10 (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

11 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I THINK WE ARE ABOUT

12 TO CONVENE BACK INTO REGULAR ORDER HERE. THANK YOU

13 VERY MUCH.

14 SO CHAIR'S DISCRETION, BECAUSE OF SOME OF

15 THE EXIGENCIES OF SOME OF OUR BOARD MEMBERS, I'M

16 GOING TO MOVE UP ITEM NO. 12 IN THE AGENDA.

17 EVERYTHING ELSE WILL KEEP ITS ORDER. THIS IS THE

18 CONSIDERATION OF FROM THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE,

19 AND I'M GOING TO ASK DR. LEVITT TO MY LEFT TO TAKE

20 IT FROM HERE.

21 DR. LEVITT: I WAS GOING TO ASK DR. GASSON

22 ON MY RIGHT TO DO IT, BUT SHE'S NOT HERE AND YOU'RE

23 SILENCED AS WELL.

24 SO LET ME PREFACE A FEW REMARKS ABOUT THE

25 PROCESS. THIS IS TO TAKE UP THE CORE OF THE REVIEW

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF THE PRESIDENT AND CEO. AND YOU HAD IN YOUR
2 BRIEFING BOOK THE SURVEY, WHICH WILL BE THE ITEM TO
3 VOTE ON.

4 JUST TO PREFACE, THE GOVERNANCE
5 SUBCOMMITTEE MET ON JUNE 19TH. PRIOR TO JUNE 19TH,
6 THE BOARD CHAIR, VICE CHAIR, AND THE CO-CHAIRS OF
7 THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MET TO DISCUSS A PROCESS
8 THAT WE WOULD USE FOR THE RETROSPECTIVE ASSESSMENT
9 OF DR. MILLAN THAT WOULD ENCOMPASS FY '23. SO THIS
10 IS A RETROSPECTIVE REVIEW.

11 I DO WANT TO SAY THAT WE'VE HAD REALLY
12 PRODUCTIVE CONVERSATIONS ABOUT THE GOVERNANCE
13 COMMITTEE TAKING UP, AFTER THIS REVIEW, TO REVIEW
14 THE PROCESS OF THE REVIEW AND TO WORK WITH THE
15 PRESIDENT AND CEO AND THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR OF
16 THE BOARD ABOUT MODIFYING THE PROCESS IN A VERY
17 PRODUCTIVE WAY. SO WE HAVE HAD SOME VERY EXCITING
18 CONVERSATIONS. AND SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF THE
19 GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE, I THINK THEY'RE EXCITED
20 ABOUT THIS AS WELL. HOPEFULLY I'M NOT EXAGGERATING.
21 MARIA IS VERY EXCITED ABOUT THIS.

22 AND SO THE REVIEW PERIOD I'VE ALREADY
23 MENTIONED. THE STAKEHOLDERS THAT ARE GOING TO
24 COMPLETE THE SURVEY ARE THE BOARD CHAIR AND VICE
25 CHAIR, THE CO-CHAIRS OF GOVERNANCE, THE CO-CHAIRS OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE OTHER BOARD SUBCOMMITTEES, AND THE DIRECT
2 REPORTS TO THE PRESIDENT AND CEO. THERE WAS AN
3 INDEPENDENT CONSULTANT WHO WAS ENGAGED, SUSAN WHITE,
4 TO LOOK AT -- THE DECISION WAS MADE TO BRING TO THE
5 GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ESSENTIALLY THE SAME SURVEY
6 THAT WAS DONE IN THE LAST REVIEW WITH SOME MINOR
7 MODIFICATIONS THAT WERE MADE. IT'S NOT A 360. I
8 WANT TO POINT THAT OUT. IT'S A SURVEY, AND WE'RE
9 GOING TO TAKE UP A NUMBER OF THESE ISSUES PROBABLY
10 AROUND SEPTEMBER.

11 SO WE HAD THE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING TO
12 DISCUSS THE PROCESS SURVEY AND THE TIMELINE, AND
13 THAT WAS ALL APPROVED. THE PROCESS INVOLVES THE
14 SURVEY, IT ALSO INVOLVES VIRTUAL INDIVIDUAL
15 INTERVIEWS WITH THE BOARD CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR AND
16 THE CO-CHAIRS OF THE MANY SUBCOMMITTEES FOR THIS
17 BOARD, AND THEN SOME OF THE DIRECT REPORTS THAT WERE
18 INVITED TO DO A VIRTUAL INTERVIEW. I THINK IT'S UP
19 TO THEM TO DO THAT. THERE WILL BE THE SAME, IF YOU
20 READ IT BEFORE THIS MEETING, THE COMPETENCY AREAS,
21 THE REVIEW AREAS. WE ARE HAVING THIS MEETING TODAY
22 ON THE 29TH TO APPROVE THAT SURVEY. THE BOARD CHAIR
23 WILL COMMUNICATE TO DR. MILLAN, THE BOARD, AND THE
24 DIRECT REPORTS TO INTRODUCE SUSAN WHITE AS THE
25 INDEPENDENT CONSULTANT. THERE WILL BE AN EMAIL THAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GETS SENT OUT JULY 11TH WITH REQUESTS FOR RESPONSE
2 BY THE 25TH. GIVEN THAT DR. YAMAMOTO IS ALWAYS
3 LATE, WE'RE GOING TO ADD ANOTHER DAY OR TWO TO MAKE
4 SURE THAT YOU GET YOUR SURVEY IN. THAT WAS JUST
5 SPONTANEOUS. MOST BASIC SCIENTISTS ARE ALWAYS LATE.
6 ABSOLUTELY.

7 SO THERE WILL BE A LATE RESPONSE WINDOW,
8 AND THEN THE CONSULTANT WILL -- YOU ASKED ME TO DO
9 THIS. THE CONSULTANT WILL COMPILE THE RESULTS,
10 CREATE A PERFORMANCE REVIEW SUMMARY. WRITTEN
11 PERFORMANCE SUMMARY WILL GO TO THE BOARD CHAIR AND
12 VICE CHAIR AND THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE'S CO-CHAIRS.
13 SUSAN WHITE WILL BE AVAILABLE TO MEET WITH US TO
14 DISCUSS THE PERFORMANCE SUMMARY SOMETIME IN AUGUST
15 LIKELY. AND THEN THERE WILL BE A BOARD MEETING IN
16 SEPTEMBER IN WHICH THE PERFORMANCE REVIEW SUMMARY
17 WILL BE DISCUSSED. SO THAT'S THE TIMELINE.

18 JUDY, DID I LEAVE ANYTHING CRITICAL OUT?

19 DR. GASSON: YOU DID NOT. IT WAS PERFECT.

20 DR. LEVITT: PERFECT. TELL MY FAMILY
21 THAT. I NEVER HEAR THAT.

22 SO I THINK THAT WAS MY PREFACE, THAT WE
23 ARE NOT GOING TO REVIEW THE QUESTIONS. WE HAVE TO
24 HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND IN ORDER TO DISCUSS.

25 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THAT'S RIGHT. OKAY.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THANK YOU FOR THAT INTRODUCTION. MAY I HAVE A
2 MOTION TO APPROVE THE FORM RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL
3 BY THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE?

4 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SO MOVED.

5 DR. ABOUSALEM: SECOND.

6 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE HAVE A SECOND.
7 COMMENTS IN THE BOARD? GOING ONCE. IS THERE ANY
8 PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS TOPIC?

9 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: THERE ARE NO
10 PUBLIC COMMENTS.

11 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THERE ARE NO
12 COMMENTS. ALL RIGHT. SO OKAY. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR
13 OF THIS RECOMMENDATION FROM THE GOVERNANCE
14 SUBCOMMITTEE PLEASE SAY AYE. THOSE OPPOSED SAY NO.
15 SCOTT, WILL YOU PLEASE POLL THE PEOPLE ON THE LINE.

16 MR. TOCHER: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ. I'LL COME
17 BACK. DAN BERNAL. I'LL COME A BACK. GEORGE
18 BLUMENTHAL.

19 DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.

20 MR. TOCHER: LINDA BOXER.

21 DR. BOXER: YES.

22 MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER. JUDY GASSON.

23 DR. GASSON: YES.

24 MR. TOCHER: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.

25 DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
2 MR. LAJARA: YES.
3 MR. TOCHER: DAVID LO.
4 DR. LO: YES.
5 MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
6 DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
7 MR. TOCHER: MICHAEL STAMOS.
8 DR. STAMOS: YES.
9 MR. TOCHER: I'LL CALL THE OTHERS AGAIN.

10 HAIFAA.

11 DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.

12 MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL. FRED FISHER.

13 THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY.

14 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, MR.
15 TOCHER. OKAY. WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON NOW TO
16 REGULAR ORDER HERE. ITEM NO. 10, WE HAVE TWO BUDGET
17 ITEMS TO CONSIDER TODAY. THIS IS THE FIRST,
18 CONSIDERATION OF CIRM'S ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET FOR
19 FISCAL YEAR 23/24. I'M GOING TO INVITE POUNEH
20 SIMPSON TO PRESENT THE ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET.

21 MS. SIMPSON: GOOD MORNING, CHAIR AND
22 BOARD MEMBERS. I AM POUNEH SIMPSON, THE SENIOR
23 DIRECTOR OF FINANCE, AND, LIKE THE CHAIR SAID, I
24 WILL BE MAKING TWO PRESENTATIONS TODAY.

25 THE FIRST WILL BE THE ADMINISTRATIVE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BUDGET. AND IN OUR PRESENTATIONS, WE ALWAYS START
2 WITH OUR MISSION. AS DR. MILLAN SAID, OUR MISSION
3 IS THE NORTH STAR, AND WE BUILT OUR BUDGET BASED ON
4 THE MISSION AND OUR GOALS FOR THIS NEXT YEAR.

5 TO GO OVER THE AGENDA FOR THE FIRST
6 PRESENTATION, I'M GOING TO ADD SOMETHING THAT I
7 HAVEN'T IN PREVIOUS YEARS, WHICH IS THE OVERVIEW OF
8 PROP 14. THEN I WILL SHARE WITH YOU WHERE WE ARE AT
9 IN THIS FISCAL YEAR, FISCAL YEAR 22/23, AND THEN
10 PRESENT THE 23/24 PROPOSED BUDGET FOR YOUR APPROVAL.

11 SO TO START WITH THE OVERVIEW OF PROP 14,
12 WE HAVE SHOWN IN THIS GRAPH EVERYTHING THAT'S IN
13 THAT \$5.5 BILLION PROPOSITION. AND WE'VE BROKEN IT
14 OUT INTO FOUR DIFFERENT BUCKETS, THE LARGEST BUCKET
15 BEING THE RESEARCH AT \$4.9 BILLION. THE DISCUSSION
16 ON THAT BUCKET WILL BE LATER IN THE SECOND
17 PRESENTATION. THE OTHER THREE BUCKETS ARE THE GRANT
18 ADMINISTRATION AND COMPLIANCE, WHICH EQUAL \$320
19 MILLION, THE ADMINISTRATION AND OVERHEAD, WHICH IS
20 \$192.5 MILLION, AND A BUCKET THAT'S CONTROLLED BY
21 THE TREASURER'S OFFICE FOR THE SALE OF OUR BONDS FOR
22 \$100 MILLION.

23 SO I WANT TO BREAK OUT THE THREE BUCKETS
24 ON THE RIGHT IN THE NEXT SLIDE AND SHOW YOU WHERE WE
25 ARE AT AS IT RELATES TO EXPENDITURES IN THE LAST

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THREE YEARS THAT WE HAVE BEEN OPERATING WITH PROP 14
2 FUNDS.

3 SO THE LARGEST OF THOSE IS THE 3.5 PERCENT
4 THAT'S ALLOWED FOR GENERAL ADMINISTRATION. THE
5 OTHER THREE BUCKETS THAT I HAD COMBINED INTO GRANT
6 ADMINISTRATION AND COMPLIANCE ARE REALLY THREE
7 INDIVIDUAL SECTIONS CALLED OUT IN THE PROPOSITION.
8 THE FIRST BEING 3 PERCENT FOR GRANT ADMINISTRATION,
9 THE SECOND BEING 1 PERCENT FOR ACCESS AND
10 AFFORDABILITY, AND THE LAST BEING A POT OF \$100
11 MILLION SET ASIDE FOR GRANT COMPLIANCE. AND
12 FINALLY, THE HUNDRED MILLION THAT THE TREASURER'S
13 OFFICE CONTROLS.

14 SO HAVING SHARED THAT OVERVIEW OF THE
15 PROPOSITION AND WHERE THE ADMINISTRATIVE BUCKETS
16 ARE, I WANT TO TALK ABOUT WHERE WE'VE BEEN IN THIS
17 FISCAL YEAR, IN FISCAL YEAR 22/23. THIS WAS ANOTHER
18 YEAR OF RAMPING FOR US, AND WE INCREASED OUR
19 STAFFING LEVELS DUE TO THE INCREASED WORKLOAD. AS A
20 RESULT OF THE HARD WORK OF OUR HR EXPERTS AND THE
21 LEADERSHIP TEAM, WE WELCOMED 16 NEW MEMBERS THAT ARE
22 TALENTED AND HAVE BEEN CONTRIBUTING TO THE NEW
23 MISSIONS OF OUR ORGANIZATION.

24 WE STILL HAVE FOUR POSITIONS IN
25 RECRUITMENT, ONE OF WHICH WILL LIKELY GET FILLED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THIS FISCAL YEAR. SO WE WILL BE REQUESTING THREE IN
2 MY PRESENTATION LATER WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE 23/24
3 BUDGET. AND THE REASON WE DO THAT IS IF POSITIONS
4 ARE LEFT VACANT, WE EVALUATE THEIR NEED AND WHETHER
5 WE NEED TO CONTINUE THEM OR RETURN THE FUNDS TO THE
6 BIG BUCKET. SO YOU WILL SEE THOSE THREE IN A FEW
7 SLIDES.

8 WE ALSO COMPLETED OUR MOVE TO THE SOUTH
9 SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE, AND WE HAVE HAD PARTIAL
10 RESUMPTION OF OUR TRAVEL AND PRE-PANDEMIC ACTIVITIES
11 THAT HAVE SHAPED SOME OF THE EXPENDITURES FOR THIS
12 FISCAL YEAR.

13 IN THE NEXT CHART WE KIND OF SUMMARIZE
14 WHAT WAS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AND HOW MUCH WE
15 SPENT. SO IN THE SECOND COLUMN WE HAD A BUDGET
16 APPROVED BY THE ICOC OF \$26.2 MILLION. WE ESTIMATE
17 THAT WE'RE GOING TO FINISH THIS YEAR AT \$21.7
18 MILLION, AND THE VARIANCE IS 4.5 MILLION.

19 I WANT TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THAT
20 VARIANCE IN THE NEXT FEW SLIDES AND EXPLAIN SOME OF
21 THE FACTORS THAT CONTRIBUTED TO IT. BEFORE I MOVE
22 ON, I WANTED TO REMIND EVERYBODY. FUNDS NOT SPENT
23 IN A GIVEN YEAR ARE NOT LOST. THEY'RE RETURNED TO
24 THE BIG BUCKET OF AVAILABLE FUNDS FOR FUTURE USE.

25 THERE WERE FOUR CATEGORIES THAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONTRIBUTED TO THAT \$4.5 MILLION VARIANCE, THE
2 LARGEST ONE BEING EMPLOYEE EXPENSE. WE WERE UNDER
3 BUDGET BY THREE MILLION, AND THAT WAS MAINLY BECAUSE
4 OF THE DELAYS IN HIRING THOSE 16 POSITIONS AND THE
5 FOUR VACANT ONES THAT ARE STILL BEING WORKED ON
6 ACTIVELY, SOME STAFF TURNOVER, AND SOME TRANSIT AND
7 PER DIEM SAVINGS. WE HAD BUDGETED MORE THAN WHAT
8 ACTUALLY HAPPENED.

9 WE ALSO HAD SAVINGS IN THE AREA OF
10 REVIEWS, MEETINGS, AND WORKSHOPS. WE WERE UNDER
11 BUDGET BY \$551,000. THIS WAS PRIMARILY BECAUSE
12 IN-PERSON MEETINGS BECAME VIRTUAL OR WERE POSTPONED.
13 WE HAD SOME SAVINGS IN OFFICE EXPENSES, AND THAT HAD
14 TO DO WITH SOME OF OUR MOVE COSTS BEING LOWER DUE TO
15 THE DILIGENT WORK OF THE STAFF TO BRING IN
16 COMPETITIVE BIDS FOR THE VARIOUS ASPECTS OF OUR
17 MOVE.

18 FINALLY, WE HAD SOME SAVINGS IN RENT
19 BECAUSE WE DIDN'T MOVE INTO THIS BUILDING UNTIL THE
20 FIFTH MONTH OF THE FISCAL YEAR. SO WE HAD A SAVINGS
21 OF \$600,000. ALTOGETHER THESE COST SAVINGS ARE A
22 RESULT OF THE HARD WORK OF THE TEAM MANAGING
23 EXPENSES AND KEEPING COSTS DOWN WHILE ADDRESSING THE
24 CHANGING NEEDS OF OUR PROGRAM.

25 I WANT TO TALK A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EMPLOYEE EXPENSES BECAUSE IT IS A LARGE NUMBER, AND
2 I WANT TO BREAK THAT DOWN A LITTLE BIT MORE. THE
3 VACANCIES AND THE DELAYED HIRING ATTRIBUTED TO 2.3
4 MILLION OF THE VARIANCE THAT WE HAD. A LOT OF THOSE
5 POSITIONS ARE FILLED NOW. SO WHEN I PRESENT THE
6 23/24 BUDGET, I'M ACCOUNTING FOR THE FULL-YEAR COSTS
7 NOW THAT THEY'RE ON BOARD. BUT I HAD TO SHOW THE
8 SAVINGS HERE BECAUSE IT WAS DOLLARS NOT SPENT.

9 THERE WAS ALSO SOME UNDER-UTILIZATION OF
10 TEMP HELP AND THE ACTUALS FOR OUR PATIENT ADVOCATE
11 BOARD MEMBER PER DIEM COMING IN LOWER THAN WE HAD
12 BUDGETED.

13 FINALLY, I MENTIONED THE TRANSIT SAVINGS.
14 ALTOGETHER THERE WAS A \$3 MILLION VARIANCE BETWEEN
15 WHAT WE HAD REQUESTED AND WHAT WE HAD SPENT. THIS
16 \$3 MILLION IS GOING TO COME UP IN A LATER SLIDE. SO
17 I WANT YOU TO REMEMBER THAT BECAUSE IT WILL COME UP
18 WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE 23/24 BUDGET.

19 I ALSO WANTED TO POINT OUT THE HIGHER
20 EXPENSES. SO THERE WAS LOT OF SAVINGS IN DIFFERENT
21 CATEGORIES. THERE WAS A CATEGORY WHERE WE HAD
22 HIGHER EXPENSES. SO THIS HAD TO DO WITH EXTERNAL
23 SERVICES, AND THERE'S TWO REASONS WHY WE HAD HIGHER
24 EXPENSES IN THIS CATEGORY. THE FIRST WAS WE NEEDED
25 CONSULTANTS FOR SOME OF OUR NEW INITIATIVES. THEY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 INCLUDED THE IP AND INDUSTRY ACTIVITIES FOR BUSINESS
2 DEVELOPMENT, THE ROADMAP DISCUSSION FOR ACCESS AND
3 AFFORDABILITY, THE FACILITIES WORKING GROUP
4 CONSULTANT TO HELP US GET THOSE GRANTS UNDER WAY.
5 AND, FINALLY, IN FINANCE WE HAD A CONSULTANT THAT
6 HELPED US WITH THE AUTOMATION OF FINANCIAL
7 PROCESSES.

8 THERE WAS ALSO ANOTHER REASON FOR THIS
9 INCREASE, AND IT HAD TO DO WITH A CORRECTION WE MADE
10 IN THE BUDGET. WE FELT THERE WERE SOME ITEMS IN
11 OFFICE EXPENSES THAT WERE MORE ACCURATELY PRESENTED
12 AS EXTERNAL SERVICES. THEY WERE MORE CONTRACTS THAN
13 THEY WERE SERVICES. SO WE MOVED THEM UP. AND AN
14 EXAMPLE IS A CONTRACT FOR \$225,000 WHICH WAS FOR THE
15 DIAGRAMS AND THE CONSULTING FOR OUR AV SYSTEM. SO
16 ALTOGETHER THERE WAS AN INCREASE HERE OF 535, BUT WE
17 SHOWED THE SAVINGS IN OFFICE EXPENSES AND WERE ABLE
18 TO MANAGE THE OVERALL BUDGET WITHIN WHAT THE BOARD
19 HAD APPROVED.

20 HAVING SUMMARIZED WHAT WE DID IN 22/23, I
21 WANT MOVE ON TO 23/24 AND TALK ABOUT WHAT WE'RE
22 ASKING FOR. IN THE SECOND COLUMN, I, AGAIN, PRESENT
23 TO YOU WHAT THE BOARD APPROVED IN 22/23 AND IN THE
24 THIRD COLUMN THE ESTIMATE TO FINISH THAT WE JUST
25 LOOKED AT. IN THE FOURTH COLUMN I PRESENT THE 23/24

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROPOSED BUDGET FOR ADMINISTRATION. RIGHT OFF THE
2 BAT THE TOP NUMBER, \$19.7 MILLION, AGAIN, THAT'S THE
3 FULL-YEAR COST OF ALL THE POSITIONS THAT WERE
4 APPROVED AND FILLED THIS YEAR PLUS THE ADDITIONAL
5 POSITIONS I WILL BE REQUESTING IN A FEW SLIDES.

6 ALTOGETHER WE'RE ASKING FOR A BUDGET OF
7 \$28.9 MILLION IN FISCAL YEAR 23/24. AND WE ALWAYS
8 SHOW YOU THE COMPARISON OF WHAT WE ARE ASKING FOR
9 VERSUS WHAT WE SPENT THIS YEAR. SO THE LAST COLUMN,
10 THE \$7.2 MILLION, IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT WE
11 ARE ASKING FOR NEXT YEAR VERSUS WHAT WE ACTUALLY
12 THINK WE SPENT THIS YEAR.

13 SO I WANT TO DIG INTO THAT \$7.2 MILLION A
14 LITTLE BIT AND MAINLY FOCUS ON THE TOP THREE
15 CATEGORIES WHICH ARE THE LARGEST DOLLAR AMOUNTS.

16 THOSE THREE CATEGORIES ARE EMPLOYEE
17 EXPENSE. IT HAS TO DO WITH NINE ADDITIONAL
18 POSITIONS. REMEMBER THREE ARE IN RECRUITMENT NOW.
19 SO REALLY WE'RE ASKING FOR SIX NEW POSITIONS AND
20 CONTINUATION OF THREE THAT ARE IN RECRUITMENT. SO
21 ADDITIONAL REVIEWS, MEETINGS, AND WORKSHOPS THAT
22 WILL TAKE PLACE IN PERSON, WHICH IS A HIGHER COST
23 THAN VIRTUAL. AND THEN SOME MEMBERSHIP AND
24 TRAINING, BOTH BECAUSE WE HAVE INCREASED NUMBER OF
25 STAFF AND BECAUSE WE'RE RESUMING THE PRE-PANDEMIC

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 LEVELS OF ACTIVITY.

2 SO TO DIG A LITTLE BIT MORE INTO THE
3 EMPLOYEE COSTS, WHICH ARE THE LARGEST COMPONENT OF
4 THE BUDGET, WE ARE REQUESTING NINE NEW POSITIONS
5 WHICH EQUALS \$1.6 MILLION. WE ARE ALSO ACCOUNTING
6 FOR A SALARY INCREASE CALLED A PERFORMANCE-BASED
7 MERIT SALARY INCREASE. THIS IS BUDGETED AT 3
8 PERCENT. SO THE BUDGET INCLUDES \$290,000 FOR A
9 MERIT INCREASE FOR THOSE WHO ARE ELIGIBLE FOR IT. I
10 HAVE NOT BUDGETED ANYTHING FOR COST OF LIVING. SO
11 NOTHING IN THE BUDGET FOR THAT. AND THERE'S ALSO
12 BEEN AN ADJUSTMENT HERE WHERE WE HAVE TO ADJUST THE
13 CONTRIBUTIONS TO RETIREMENT WAS AT 34 PERCENT AND
14 IT'S BEEN DECREASED TO 33 PERCENT. THIS IS SET BY
15 THE CONTROL AGENCIES. IT'S NOT ANYTHING WE CONTROL,
16 BUT IT RESULTED IN A SAVINGS. SO THE NET IMPACT IS
17 \$4.5 MILLION. THREE MILLION BEING THE SAVINGS FROM
18 LAST YEAR, WHICH I SHOWED YOU A FEW SLIDES AGO, AND
19 THEN 1.4 MILLION INCREASE THIS YEAR.

20 THE NEXT SLIDE GOES INTO THE NEXT CATEGORY
21 WHERE WE HAD A HIGH VARIANCE, EXTERNAL SERVICES.
22 THERE WAS SOME ONE-TIME CONSULTING THAT I MENTIONED
23 NEEDED TO DEVELOP OUR NEW INITIATIVES AND PROGRAMS.
24 THERE WAS SOME CONTINGENT CONTRACTS IN PLACE FOR THE
25 MOVE WHICH WE NOW CAN TAKE OUT OF OUR BUDGET BECAUSE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WE HAVE MOVED AND DON'T NEED THOSE CONTINGENT
2 CONTRACTS ANYMORE. ALSO THERE WAS THAT REALIGNMENT
3 WHERE WE'RE TRYING TO SHOW SERVICES UNDER EXTERNAL
4 SERVICES VERSUS OTHER CATEGORIES. SO THIS
5 ATTRIBUTED TO \$996,000 BETWEEN WHAT WE SPENT THIS
6 YEAR AND WHAT WE ANTICIPATE SPENDING NEXT YEAR.

7 FINALLY, IN THE LAST CATEGORY, WHICH IS
8 REVIEWS, MEETINGS, AND WORKSHOPS, WE HAD HIGHER COST
9 OF REVIEWS. WE ARE INCREASING TO FIVE IN-PERSON
10 MEETINGS VERSUS THE VIRTUAL ONES WE WERE HAVING. WE
11 ARE CONTINUING OUR SCIENTIFIC WORKSHOPS AND ADVISORY
12 MEETINGS. AND WE ARE CONTINUING THE CLINICAL AND
13 TRANSLATIONAL ADVISORY PANELS. SO THE DIFFERENCE
14 BETWEEN WHAT WE SPENT THIS YEAR AND WHAT WE'RE
15 ASKING FOR NEXT YEAR IS \$845,000.

16 IN BUILDING THE BUDGET, WE TRY TO ACTIVELY
17 MANAGE ALL OF THE ASPECTS OF THE BUDGET, BUT THERE
18 ARE THINGS THAT ARE OUTSIDE OUR CONTROL. THOSE
19 INCLUDE RECRUITMENT AND PERSONNEL GROWTH, ECONOMIC
20 INSTABILITY, AND POST-PANDEMIC RECOVERY OF MEETINGS,
21 TRAVEL, AND WORK ACTIVITIES.

22 WITH THOSE CONSTRAINTS, WE HAVE PREPARED
23 THE BUDGET OF 28.9 MILLION THAT WE ARE ASKING THE
24 BOARD TO APPROVE. SO I CAN STOP HERE AND TAKE ANY
25 QUESTIONS BEFORE THE VOTE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: BEFORE WE GO TO
2 QUESTIONS, MAY I HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE FORM
3 RECOMMENDED BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE AND A SECOND
4 PLEASE?

5 DR. SOUTHARD: MOVE.

6 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: SECOND.

7 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE HAVE A MOVEMENT
8 AND A SECOND. OKAY. ANY BOARD COMMENT? MOHAMED.

9 DR. ABOUSALEM: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
10 POUNEH, FOR THE VERY CLEAR MATERIAL AND VERY CLEAR
11 AND SUCCINCT PRESENTATION. I HAVE ONE QUESTION
12 REGARDING COST OF LIVING. SO YOU DON'T HAVE IN THE
13 BUDGET, I'M ASSUMING, WHAT I UNDERSTOOD, YOU DON'T
14 HAVE A PROVISION FOR COST OF LIVING INCREASE, BUT IT
15 IS A FACT, INFLATION IS AN ACTUAL MATTER. AND STATE
16 AGENCIES, A NUMBER OF STATE AGENCIES ARE EXPECTED TO
17 HAVE RAISES FOR COST OF LIVING OVER THE NEXT FEW
18 MONTHS RETRO TO JULY 1ST JUST BASED ON THE DYNAMICS
19 AND WHAT'S GOING ON.

20 CAN YOU JUST SPEAK TO WHY NOT HAVING AT
21 LEAST A PROVISION IN THERE IN CASE YOU NEED IT?

22 MS. SIMPSON: ABSOLUTELY. THANK YOU FOR
23 THE QUESTION.

24 SO THE GOVERNOR NEGOTIATES THE COST OF
25 LIVING ADJUSTMENT PERCENTAGE WITH THE DIFFERENT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BARGAINING UNITS. WE ARE ALL EXEMPT EMPLOYEES AT
2 CIRM. WE HAVE NO BARGAINING, BUT WE DO FOLLOW WHAT
3 THE GOVERNOR RECOMMENDS AS A COST OF LIVING
4 ADJUSTMENT. BECAUSE THAT HASN'T BEEN DETERMINED, WE
5 DIDN'T WANT TO PUT ANY IN MONEY IN THE BUDGET FOR
6 IT. AGAIN, PARTIALLY BECAUSE WE'RE TRYING TO PUT
7 THINGS IN THE BUDGET THAT WE'RE NOT SURE MIGHT
8 MATERIALIZE, WHICH LEADS TO THOSE REALLY BIG
9 VARIANCE GAPS OF WHAT WE SPENT VERSUS WHAT WE'RE
10 ASKING FOR.

11 SO NOTHING HAS BEEN PUT IN THE BUDGET.
12 BUT SHOULD THE GOVERNOR APPROVE A COST OF LIVING
13 ADJUSTMENT, WE WILL EVALUATE IT AND DECIDE IF IT IS
14 SOMETHING THAT CIRM WANTS TO INCLUDE IN OUR BUDGET.
15 WE WILL ADDRESS THAT EITHER BY REDIRECTING SAVINGS
16 IF WE HAVE ANY IN THE FISCAL YEAR OR THAT ADJUSTMENT
17 WON'T TAKE PLACE, OR WE WILL COME BACK TO THE BOARD
18 IF WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH FUNDS AND ASK FOR THOSE
19 DOLLARS.

20 DR. ABOUSALEM: THANK YOU.

21 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MEMBER JUELSGAARD IS
22 NEXT FOLLOWED BY MEMBER HIGGINS.

23 OKAY. DAVID.

24 DR. HIGGINS: MOHAMED ASKED, I THINK, A
25 VERY GOOD QUESTION ABOUT IF THIS NUMBER CHANGES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I THINK HE SAID THAT
2 MOHAMED ASKED HIS QUESTION. ANY OTHER BOARD
3 COMMENT? IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE 23/24
4 BUDGET?

5 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: NO PUBLIC
6 COMMENT.

7 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: NONE. OKAY. THEN
8 WE'RE GOING TO VOTE ON CIRM -- MR. TOCHER IS CLEARLY
9 PLURIPOTENT IN HIS ABILITIES. THEN WE'RE GOING TO
10 MOVE TO A VOTE ON ACCEPTING THE BUDGET FOR THE NEXT
11 FISCAL YEAR. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE.
12 AND THOSE OPPOSED SAY NAY. NO OPPOSITION. SCOTT,
13 WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL OF THE MEMBERS ON THE
14 PHONE.

15 MR. TOCHER: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.

16 DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.

17 MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL.

18 MR. BERNAL: AYE.

19 MR. TOCHER: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.

20 DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.

21 MR. TOCHER: LINDA BOXER.

22 DR. BOXER: YES.

23 MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER.

24 DR. FISHER: YES.

25 MR. TOCHER: JUDY GASSON.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DR. GASSON: YES.
MR. TOCHER: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
MR. LAJARA: YES.
MR. TOCHER: DAVID LO.
DR. LO: YES.
MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
MR. TOCHER: MICHAEL STAMOS.
DR. STAMOS: YES.
MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU. THE MOTION

CARRIES.

CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
SO WE'RE GOING TO NOW MOVE TO -- MEMBER DURON.

MS. DURON: MR. CHAIR, I JUST WANTED TO
COMMENT BECAUSE I'D ASKED THIS LAST YEAR. I HOPE
IT'S BEING CONSIDERED. CONCERN ABOUT HAVING A
ROBUST, DECENT COMMS BUDGET. AFTER ALL, WE ARE NOW
PROMOTING NEW ISSUES, NEW ACTIVITIES, NEW
OPPORTUNITIES FOR OUR DIVERSE COMMUNITIES TO ENGAGE.
AND THAT TAKES A REAL LIFT FOR OUR COMMS TEAM TO
MAKE SURE THAT THEY'RE WELL SUPPORTED, THEY HAVE
ENOUGH PEOPLE TO DO THE WORK, AND WE ARE OUTREACHING
IN THE MULTIPLE WAYS ONE NEEDS TO IN ORDER TO GET

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE MESSAGE OUT AND TO ENGAGE, NOT JUST OUR BRIDGES
2 TEAMS AND OUR SPARKS KIDS AND SO ON AND SO FORTH,
3 BUT TO MAKE SURE THAT OUR PUBLIC IS REALLY BEGINNING
4 TO HEAR, SEE, AND KNOW WHAT THE HECK WE'RE ALL
5 ABOUT.

6 AND SO I JUST REALLY WANT TO CONTINUE TO
7 SUPPORT THAT SOMEHOW OR ANOTHER BUILT WITHIN THIS IS
8 A DECENT AMOUNT OF DOLLARS FOR OUR COMMS TEAM. AND
9 WELCOME ABOARD KOREN WHO'S JUST IN THE BACK OF THE
10 ROOM. SORRY, MR. CHAIR, DIDN'T MEAN TO STEEL ANY
11 THUNDER HERE, BUT JUST WANTED TO WELCOME KOREN.

12 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: CERTAINLY CONSTANT
13 WITH ONE OF MY IMPERATIVES, IF YOU WILL. I
14 CERTAINLY SUPPORT YOUR COMMENT, AND I DON'T THINK
15 THERE'S A WORRY ON THAT.

16 MS. DURON: SHOW ME THE MONEY.

17 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I DON'T THINK IT'S
18 CALLED OUT AS A SEPARATE LINE ITEM.

19 MS. DURON: I THINK IT SHOULD BE.

20 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY. FINANCE CAN
21 TAKE THAT UNDER ADVISEMENT. GOOD SUGGESTION. THANK
22 YOU.

23 SO PLEASE, MS. SIMPSON, STAY THERE AT THE
24 PODIUM. WE'RE GOING TO CONSIDERATION OF CIRM'S
25 SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 23/24.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO MAY I HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE FORM
2 RECOMMENDED IN YOUR PACKET BY THE FINANCE
3 SUBCOMMITTEE AND A SECOND?

4 DR. HIGGINS: SO MOVED.

5 DR. YAMAMOTO: SECOND.

6 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MEMBER HIGGINS HAS
7 MOVED IT; MEMBER YAMAMOTO HAS SECONDED. POUNEH, YOU
8 MAY PROCEED.

9 MS. SIMPSON: SO TO PRESENT THE RESEARCH
10 BUDGET, WE BROUGHT BACK A COUPLE OF SLIDES THAT YOU
11 MAY REMEMBER SEEING IN THE PRE-PANDEMIC ERA,
12 PRE-PROP 14 ERA. SO THIS IS TO SUMMARIZE THE 5.5
13 BILLION OF PROP 14 AGAIN. SO TO CALL OUT WHAT IS IN
14 THE RESEARCH BUCKET, THE 4.9 BILLION.

15 IN THE NEXT SLIDE I BREAK OUT THAT
16 RESEARCH BUCKET INTO COMMITTED AND UNCOMMITTED. SO
17 FAR THE BOARD HAS COMMITTED \$811.4 MILLION OF THE
18 RESEARCH BUCKET. SO TODAY I'M GOING TO BE
19 PRESENTING THE 23/24 BUDGET FOR ADDITIONAL
20 COMMITMENTS IN THE FUTURE FOR RESEARCH.

21 TO GO OVER THE AGENDA, I WILL TELL YOU
22 WHERE WE ARE AT WITH THIS YEAR WITH WHAT THE BOARD
23 APPROVED AND WHAT WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO DO WITH IT
24 BEFORE PRESENTING THE 23/24 BUDGET FOR YOUR
25 APPROVAL.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO IN 22/23 IN THIS CHART WE SUMMARIZE THE
2 AMOUNT THAT THE BOARD APPROVED, \$426.7 MILLION. THE
3 BOARD HAS BEEN VERY BUSY IN COMMITTING GRANTS TO
4 VARIOUS PROJECTS. AND IN TOTAL WE HAVE COMMITTED
5 \$293.6 MILLION. THERE'S PENDING COMMITMENTS OF
6 \$26.2 MILLION, AND THIS HAS TO DO WITH THE TIMING OF
7 HOW WE PUT OUR GRANTS OUT. SOME OF THEM CAN'T FIT
8 IN THE CALENDAR BEFORE JUNE 30TH AND FALL INTO
9 SUBSEQUENT MONTHS. THIS IS ACTUALLY SOMETHING THAT
10 WE ARE PROPOSING A CHANGE TO IN FISCAL YEAR 23/24,
11 WHICH I WILL TALK ABOUT IN FEW SLIDES.

12 SO THE COMBINED ESTIMATED TO FINISH
13 COMMITMENTS IN RESEARCH WILL BE \$330.4 MILLION THIS
14 YEAR WITH A SAVINGS OF 96.3 MILLION. I WANT TO TALK
15 A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE LARGEST CATEGORY OF SAVINGS
16 THERE, THE 70 MILLION. THIS IS MOSTLY ATTRIBUTED TO
17 OUR INABILITY TO FIND A CONTRACTOR QUICKLY ENOUGH
18 FOR THE FACILITIES WORKING GROUP AND SOME DELAYS
19 THAT CAME FROM THAT FOR THE SHARED LABS. WE NOW
20 HAVE THE CONTRACTOR IN PLACE AND THEY WILL HELP US
21 WITH DESIGNING THE REVIEW REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
22 APPLICATIONS THAT WE WILL BE REVIEWING.

23 SO MOVING ON TO THE 23/24 BUDGET, THERE'S
24 SOME MAJOR DRIVERS THAT HAVE LED TO THE BUDGET WE
25 ARE PROPOSING. WE PLAN ON HAVING 18 GRANTS WORKING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GROUPS, ONE FACILITIES WORKING GROUP, ONE ACCESS AND
2 AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP IN A 12-MONTH PERIOD.
3 WE WILL CONTINUE FUNDING OUR DISCOVERY,
4 TRANSLATIONAL, AND CLINICAL PILLARS. AND WE WILL
5 CONTINUE FUNDING CONFERENCE GRANTS IN THE EDUCATION
6 PILLAR.

7 WE HAVE SOME NEW INITIATIVES THAT WE'RE
8 PROPOSING THIS YEAR, WHICH INCLUDE THE SHARED LABS,
9 THE ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY IN THE PATIENT SUPPORT
10 PROGRAM, AND THE DISC NEURO CONCEPT WILL BE
11 PRESENTED IN THE FALL OF THIS COMING YEAR.

12 SO TO DIG A LITTLE BIT DEEPER INTO OUR
13 METHODOLOGY BY PILLAR, I WANT TO START BY SAYING
14 THAT, WHEN WE PREPARE THE RESEARCH BUDGET, WE WANT
15 TO MAKE SURE THERE'S ENOUGH FUNDING SO THAT IF OUR
16 PERFORMANCE IN A GIVEN YEAR IS THE SAME AS PREVIOUS
17 YEARS, THAT WE HAVE THE FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR THE
18 BOARD SO THAT THEY CAN MAKE COMMITMENTS.

19 SO WITH REGARDS TO THE CLINICAL BUDGET, WE
20 HAVE A NEW PARADIGM THIS YEAR WITH INCREASES IN THE
21 VOLUME OF APPLICATIONS. THIS IS PRIMARILY DUE TO
22 TWO THINGS: THE SUCCESSFUL RECRUITMENT OF GRANTEEES
23 AND ALSO THE CHANGE IN THE ECONOMY. SO WE ARE
24 REQUESTING \$250 MILLION, WHICH IS BASED ON THE
25 MAXIMUM NUMBER OF AWARDS FUNDED IN A YEAR TIMES THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AVERAGE AWARD AMOUNT. AND THIS SPACE HAS BEEN NOW
2 ADJUSTED TO REFLECT THE HIGH THAT WE HAD IN FISCAL
3 YEAR 22/23.

4 FOR TRANSLATIONAL BUDGET, WE'RE REQUESTING
5 \$84.6 MILLION. THIS IS BASED ON THE AVERAGE NUMBER
6 OF AWARDS FUNDED PER REVIEW TIMES THE NUMBER OF
7 REVIEWS TIMES THE AVERAGE AMOUNT. WE ARRIVED AT
8 THIS METHODOLOGY BASED ON THE INPUT WE GET FROM THE
9 STAFF. AND THIS ALSO INCLUDES AN INCREASE OF TWO
10 EXTRA GRANTS GOING OUT IN 23/24.

11 FOR THE DISCOVERY BUDGET, WE INITIALLY
12 REQUESTED \$62.5 MILLION, BUT IN A LATER SLIDE I WANT
13 TO COME BACK TO THIS BECAUSE WE HAVE SOME ADDITIONAL
14 NUMBERS THAT WE WANT TO UPDATE YOU ON. WITH REGARDS
15 TO EDUCATION, WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE WITH 2.5
16 MILLION IN FUNDING FOR CONFERENCE GRANTS. AND,
17 FINALLY, IN THE CATEGORY OF INFRASTRUCTURE, WE ARE
18 REQUESTING 62.5 MILLION TO COVER THE SHARED LABS,
19 THE PATIENT SUPPORT GRANTS, AND THE MANUFACTURING
20 POTENTIAL FOR POSSIBLE RESUBMISSION OF APPLICATIONS.

21 IN A SITUATION WHERE PERFORMANCE EXCEEDS
22 OUR BUDGET, WE CAN ALWAYS COME BACK TO THE BOARD AS
23 PART OF THE MID-YEAR BUDGET TO REQUEST ADDITIONAL
24 FUNDS SO THAT ALL MERITORIOUS GRANTS AND NEEDS OUT
25 THERE ARE MET.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 UP TO NOW THE BUDGET INCLUDED THE START OF
2 ALL OF OUR INITIATIVES, BUT NOT NECESSARILY WHEN
3 THAT INITIATIVE WOULD BE PRESENTED FOR AWARD. SO AN
4 INITIATIVE MIGHT HAVE STARTED MID-YEAR AND IT WOULD
5 SPILL INTO THE FOLLOWING YEAR BECAUSE OF THE
6 CALENDARING OF THE REVIEW AND AWARD PROCESS.

7 ONE OF THE FISCAL CHANGES WE'RE PROPOSING
8 IS STARTING IN FISCAL YEAR 23/24, ALL THE REQUESTS
9 IN ALL THE PILLARS THAT WE'RE PRESENTING WILL BE
10 COMMITTED IN THAT FISCAL YEAR. SO BARRING ANY
11 UNFORESEEN CIRCUMSTANCES, ALL THE FUNDS WE ARE
12 ASKING FOR IN THIS NEXT FISCAL YEAR WILL ACTUALLY
13 COME TO THE BOARD FOR A DECISION BEFORE JUNE 30TH.

14 THIS NEXT SLIDE IS WHAT I PRESENTED TO THE
15 SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE. AND SINCE THEN WE HAVE HAD
16 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT IS ADJUSTING THE TOTAL
17 ASK. SO THE FOLLOWING SLIDE SHOWS THE ADJUSTMENT
18 THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE ASKING FOR. SO IN THE LAST
19 NEURO TASK FORCE, THE CIRM STAFF WAS ASKED TO
20 REEVALUATE THE GRANT AMOUNTS FOR THE DISCOVERY
21 PROGRAM. AND SO FOR THAT REASON, WE HAD BEEN
22 PROPOSING A REDUCTION IN DISCOVERY OF \$22.2 MILLION;
23 BUT NOW WE'VE REVISED OUR REQUEST AND ARE ASKING
24 THAT YOU ALLOW US TO STAY AT THAT 84.7 MILLION THAT
25 WAS APPROVED IN 22/23 SO THAT THERE WON'T BE ANY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHANGES FROM THIS FISCAL YEAR TO NEXT IN THAT
2 CATEGORY.

3 AS A RESULT, WE ARE ASKING FOR A TOTAL OF
4 \$486.3 MILLION FOR THE 23/24 FISCAL YEAR.

5 AND THAT IS THE PRESENTATION. I'M ASKING
6 FOR APPROVAL FOR THAT AMOUNT. IF THERE'S ANY
7 QUESTIONS, I'M HAPPY TO TAKE IT.

8 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: NOW PROCEED TO BOARD
9 QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS. KIM BARRETT.

10 DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR
11 CLEAR PRESENTATION. IF I'M READING THE NUMBERS
12 RIGHT, IN 22/23 THERE WAS A SIGNIFICANT UNDER-SPEND
13 IN THE DISCOVERY CATEGORY OF AROUND 20 PLUS MILLION.

14 MS. SIMPSON: THAT WAS ACTUALLY A RESULT
15 OF A POSTPONEMENT OF ONE OF OUR AWARDS. SO IT WAS
16 JUST POSTPONED OUT.

17 DR. BARRETT: BUT YOU WERE BUDGETED LAST
18 YEAR A HUNDRED MILLION; AND EVEN WITH THE INCREASE
19 OF 22.2 MILLION, YOU WOULD STILL GO UP TO 84?

20 MS. SIMPSON: THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S WHAT
21 WE'RE ASKING FOR.

22 DR. BARRETT: SO THAT DOES REFLECT AN
23 OVERALL REDUCTION IN INVESTMENT IN THE DISCOVERY
24 CATEGORY. AND APROPOS OF THE CONVERSATION THAT WE
25 HAD EARLIER WITH GIL ABOUT HAVING TO PRIORITIZE AND

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 HAVE MORE MERITORIOUS APPLICATIONS THAN CAN BE
2 SUPPORTED, I WONDERED WHETHER THAT WAS PERHAPS
3 SHORTSIGHTED.

4 MS. SIMPSON: MY COLLEAGUE WILL ADDRESS
5 THAT QUESTION.

6 DR. CANET-AVILES: THERE ARE TWO REASONS.
7 SO YOU HAVE ACTUALLY VERY CORRECTLY IDENTIFIED \$22
8 MILLION, THAT WAS ONE OF DISCOVERY, THAT DUE TO
9 CAPACITY FROM REVIEW AND ALSO FROM A STATUS YOU CAN
10 SEE WE WERE NOT ABLE HIRE ON TIME, WE HAD TO REMOVE
11 ONE OF THE CALLS. ALSO WE WERE DEVELOPING NEW
12 INITIATIVES. SO WE WERE BEING VERY MINDFUL.

13 THE SECOND REASON WAS THAT WE ACCOUNTED
14 FOR ONE OF THE DISC2S FROM LAST YEAR IN THE BUDGET
15 OF 22/23, BUT IT HAD ACTUALLY COME IN 2021. WE HAD
16 THE REVIEW, BUT WE ACCOUNTED -- WE HAD PAID FOR IT
17 ALREADY IN THE YEAR BEFORE. AND THAT WAS A FISCAL
18 YEAR ACCOUNTING TIMELINE MISTAKE THAT WE MADE AS NEW
19 THAT WE DIDN'T REALIZE. SO THAT WAS THE REASON, BUT
20 WE HAD THE AMOUNT OF THE THREE REVIEWS ACTUALLY.
21 HOPEFULLY THAT ANSWERS.

22 AND THEN IN TERMS --

23 DR. BARRETT: IF I MAY BE ALLOWED JUST TO
24 FOLLOW UP, I THINK THIS MUST BE CAREFULLY MESSAGED
25 BECAUSE THE COMMUNITY WILL SEE THIS AS A REDUCTION

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IN SUPPORT FOR DISCOVERY GRANTS.

2 DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU. WE WILL.

3 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: FURTHER COMMENTS OR
4 QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD? MEMBER SOUTHARD.

5 DR. SOUTHARD: IN THIS AREA OF DISCOVERY,
6 I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT FOR US TO KEEP OUR EYE
7 ON IT FOR THE MIDTERM BUDGET ADJUSTMENT BECAUSE IF
8 WE ARE SUCCESSFUL IN DOING THE SEEK AND FIND FOR THE
9 NEUROPSYCH AREAS, IT WILL BE QUITE EXPENSIVE. AND
10 SO IN SOME WAYS WE SHOULD PREPARE OUR MINDS AND OUR
11 EFFORTS TOWARDS A BUDGET CORRECTION AT MID TERM.

12 DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU, DR.
13 SOUTHARD. WE ARE ACTUALLY TAKING THAT INTO ACCOUNT
14 ALREADY, AND THAT'S WHY WE ADDED THE \$22.2 MILLION.
15 AND WE ARE GOING TO BE STAGING THE NEURO DISCOVERY
16 STRATEGY. SO THAT WILL COME AT THE JUNE MEETING OF
17 NEXT YEAR, BUT WE HAVE A LARGE INITIATIVE THAT HAS
18 BEEN TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT HERE. SO THANK YOU. AND IT
19 WILL COME IN SEPTEMBER.

20 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MEMBER LEVITT.

21 DR. LEVITT: I'LL SAVE IT FOR LUNCH. SO
22 WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE VARIANCE, CAN YOU -- YOU'VE
23 DONE YOUR CALCULATIONS. IS THIS INCREASE IN NUMBER
24 OF GRANTS OR AN INCREASE OF THE SIZE OF EACH GRANT
25 OR BOTH GIVEN THE CONVERSATIONS THAT HAVE OCCURRED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IN VARIOUS FORMS ABOUT THE CHALLENGES OF CONTINUING
2 TO DO THIS RESEARCH ON BUDGET LEVELS THAT WERE SET
3 SOME YEARS AGO?

4 DR. CANET-AVILES: I'LL JUST STAY HERE NOW
5 FOR A BIT. IT'S AN INCREASE IN THE SIZE OF THE
6 GRANTS, A SUBSTANTIAL INCREASE THAT YOU HAVE TO
7 REVISE AND APPROVE AND AN INCREASE IN NUMBERS AS
8 WELL.

9 DR. LEVITT: SO IT'S AN INCREASE IN BOTH.

10 DR. CANET-AVILES: IN BOTH. WE ARE
11 TALKING ABOUT DISCOVERY RIGHT NOW. I WILL DEAL WITH
12 DISCOVERY AND SHARED LABS AND EDUCATION, AND MY
13 COLLEAGUE, DR. CREASEY, CAN DEAL WITH THE
14 TRANSLATION ON CLINICAL.

15 DR. LEVITT: OKAY.

16 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, ROSA.

17 IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT? I'M BEING
18 TOLD THERE'S NO PUBLIC COMMENT. SO WE'RE GOING TO
19 PROCEED TO A VOTE TO ACCEPT THE FORM RECOMMENDED FOR
20 APPROVAL BY THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE. I
21 MISAPPROPRIATED THEM. I SAID FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE.
22 SO PROCEEDING, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF ACCEPTING THE
23 PROPOSED FISCAL YEAR 23/24 RESEARCH BUDGET, PLEASE
24 SAY AYE. THOSE OPPOSED SAY NO. MR. TOCHER, IF YOU
25 WOULD POLL THE MEMBERS ONLINE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: HAIFAA ABDULHAQ.
2 DR. ABDULHAQ: YES.
3 MR. TOCHER: DAN BERNAL.
4 MR. BERNAL: AYE.
5 MR. TOCHER: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
6 DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
7 MR. TOCHER: LINDA BOXER.
8 DR. BOXER: YES.
9 MR. TOCHER: FRED FISHER.
10 DR. FISHER: AYE.
11 MR. TOCHER: JUDY GASSON.
12 DR. GASSON: YES.
13 MR. TOCHER: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
14 DR. GOLDSTEIN: YES.
15 MR. TOCHER: RICH LAJARA.
16 MR. LAJARA: YES.
17 MR. TOCHER: DAVID LO.
18 DR. LO: YES.
19 MR. TOCHER: CHRISTINE MIASKOWSKI.
20 DR. MIASKOWSKI: YES.
21 MR. TOCHER: MICHAEL STAMOS.
22 DR. STAMOS: YES.
23 MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANKS VERY MUCH.
24 THE MOTION CARRIES.
25 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: WE'RE GOING TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROCEED TO AGENDA ITEM NO. 13, WHICH IS
2 CONSIDERATION OF AN UPDATE FROM THE NEURO TASK FORCE
3 OF THE BOARD. THIS WILL BE PRESENTED BY BOARD
4 MEMBER LARRY GOLDSTEIN.

5 DR. GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. LET ME GET
6 ACTIVATED HERE. MARIANNE, IF YOU COULD BRING UP THE
7 FIRST SLIDE PLEASE.

8 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: WE ARE WORKING
9 ON IT, LARRY.

10 DR. GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. SO LET ME JUST
11 GIVE YOU A SUMMARY OF WHERE WE ARE AFTER HALF A
12 DOZEN OR SO MEETINGS. WE'VE BEEN MEETING MONTHLY
13 SINCE FEBRUARY, AND THOSE MEETINGS HAVE BEEN DEVOTED
14 TO A REVIEW OF THE CURRENT PORTFOLIO OF GRANTS FROM
15 CIRM IN A VARIETY OF AREAS OF NEURO, A DISCUSSION OF
16 PRIORITIES, AND A DISCUSSION OF REALLY WHERE WE WANT
17 TO BE MOVING FORWARD.

18 THIS SLIDE JUST SUMMARIZES THE BULK OF OUR
19 CONCLUSIONS. FIRST, WHEN WE LOOK AT THE FRACTION OF
20 THE BUDGET THAT IS BEING SPENT IN THE NEURO AREA, IT
21 IS RUNNING AT ABOUT THE SAME PERCENTAGE AS THE 1.5
22 BILLION SET ASIDE OUT OF THE TOTAL 5.5 BILLION. SO
23 THAT'S -- WE WOULD EXPECT SLASH HOPE IN ORDER TO HIT
24 THAT MARK, THAT IT OUGHT TO BE ABOUT 30 PERCENT, AND
25 THAT'S ABOUT WHAT IT'S BEEN RUNNING AT. SO THERE'S

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 NO, IN A SENSE, EMERGENCY TO BE SURE THAT WE EITHER
2 DECREASE OR INCREASE THE RATE OF SPENDING IN THE
3 NEURO AREA BECAUSE THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP IS DOING
4 AN APPROPRIATE JOB OF SORTING THROUGH THE
5 APPLICATIONS.

6 NONETHELESS, WHEN WE THINK ABOUT HOW MUCH
7 OF THAT ONE AND A HALF BILLION SHOULD WE TRY TO
8 PROGRAM, AT PRESENT THERE'S NOT A LOT OF SENTIMENT
9 IN FAVOR OF TRYING TO SPECIFY ALL OF THAT ONE AND A
10 HALF BILLION. WE THINK THAT THE GRANT REVIEW SYSTEM
11 IS DOING JUST FINE.

12 BUT PORTFOLIO REVIEW HAS SUGGESTED A
13 COUPLE THINGS WE SHOULD THINK ABOUT. AND, MARIANNE,
14 COULD WE HAVE THE NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. SO THIS IS ONE
15 OF SEVERAL SLIDES THAT THE CIRM TEAM CREATED
16 FOLLOWING A REALLY EXCELLENT REVIEW OF THE
17 PORTFOLIO. AND IT'S A MEASURE OF SPENDING IN
18 DIFFERENT AREAS OF NEURO DISEASE RELATIVE TO THE
19 DISABILITY INDEX. DALY, DISABLED ADJUSTED LIFE
20 YEARS, IS ONE OF SEVERAL MEASURES THAT CAN BE USED
21 TO MEASURE THE IMPACT OF A DISEASE ON THE AMERICAN
22 POPULATION. AND ALTHOUGH THE FUNDING DOESN'T
23 NECESSARILY FOLLOW THE DISABILITY INDEX VERY
24 CLOSELY, THERE'S NO REASON AT THE MOMENT TO SUSPECT
25 WITH AN EXCEPTION OR TWO THAT THE GRANT REVIEW

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SYSTEM IS NOT DOING AN ADEQUATE JOB OF ALLOCATING
2 BASED ON THE MERIT OF THE APPLICATIONS IN THE AREA.

3 ONE MAJOR EXCEPTION YOU CAN SEE AT THE
4 BOTTOM THERE, WHICH ARE GRANTS IN THE
5 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISEASE AREA, WHERE YOU CAN SEE THE
6 DISABILITY INDEX IS ENORMOUS COMPARED TO MOST OTHER
7 DISEASES. AND YOU CAN SEE THAT OUR CURRENT
8 COMMITMENT IN THIS AREA IS ZERO, AND WE FELT THAT
9 THAT WAS ULTIMATELY INAPPROPRIATE. THERE'S ALSO A
10 DISCUSSION ENSUING ABOUT STROKE ALTHOUGH IT'S
11 PROBABLY LESS URGENT IN THE NEUROPSYCHIATRIC AREA.

12 MARIANNE, IF YOU CAN GO BACK TO THE
13 PREVIOUS SLIDE. SO CONCLUSION NO. 2 THERE, WE ARE
14 RECOMMENDING AN INCREASED INVESTMENT IN
15 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS WHERE I'LL COME TO WHAT
16 OUR PLANS ARE IN A MOMENT AND POSSIBLY IN STROKE.

17 NOW, THE OTHER THING THAT HAS COME UP IS
18 SOMETHING THAT THE BOARD JUST DISCUSSED EARLIER IN
19 THIS MEETING, WHICH IS SIZE AND DURATION OF GRANT
20 AWARDS. WE HEARD FROM SOME FOLKS IN THE
21 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC COMMUNITY IN PARTICULAR THAT THE
22 GRANT AMOUNTS ARE REALLY NOT LARGE ENOUGH TO SUPPORT
23 WHAT THEY REGARD AS APPROPRIATE SCIENTIFIC AND
24 MEDICAL INVESTMENTS IN THIS AREA. AND I KNOW THAT
25 THERE'S GOING TO BE CONTINUED BOARD DISCUSSION OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THIS AND PROBABLY SOME DISCUSSION IN THE TASK FORCE.

2 ITEM 4 IS, IN PARTICULAR, FOR NEW WORK IN
3 NEUROPSYCHIATRY, PSYCHIATRIC DISEASE, THAT WE HOPE
4 WILL BE FUNDED. WE REALLY THINK THERE OUGHT TO BE A
5 STRONG EFFORT TO PUT MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAMS
6 TOGETHER. THE REASON FOR THAT IS THAT IT'S VERY
7 CLEAR THAT THERE ARE STRONG GENETIC INFLUENCES ON
8 THE DEVELOPMENT OF NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISEASE AND AT
9 THE VERY LEAST A TEAM WITH STEM CELL BIOLOGISTS AND
10 BIOCHEMISTS OUGHT TO INCLUDE GENETICISTS TO HELP
11 FIGURE OUT WHAT SORTS OF INDIVIDUALS TO GENERATE
12 STEM CELL LINES FROM FOR DIFFERENT NEUROPSYCHIATRIC
13 DISORDERS. THERE'S A MIX IN THAT 50 PERCENT
14 HERITABILITY OF SINGLE LARGE EFFECT RARE FACTORS
15 COMBINED WITH MUCH MORE COMMON LOW EFFECT
16 INDIVIDUALLY GENETIC VARIANTS.

17 AND THEN, FINALLY, AGAIN, THERE WAS A
18 DISCUSSION THAT CAME UP IN THIS AREA ABOUT FUNDING
19 OF SMALL MOLECULES. I KNOW GIL ADDRESSED THAT
20 EARLIER IN THE MEETING. I'LL JUST POINT OUT THAT IN
21 THE NEUROPSYCHIATRIC AREA ONE HOPE WOULD BE THAT
22 USING STEM CELL MODELS, IT MAY BE POSSIBLE, FOR
23 EXAMPLE, TO FIND FDA-APPROVED DRUGS THAT HAVE SOME
24 INFLUENCE ON THE BEHAVIOR OF NEURONS AND GLIA
25 DERIVED FROM INDIVIDUALS WITH, FOR EXAMPLE,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SCHIZOPHRENIA OR DEPRESSION OR WHAT HAVE YOU.
2 EXACTLY HOW THOSE WOULD BE FUNDED TO GET THEM TO
3 CLINICAL TRIALS AND THEN APPROVAL MAY REQUIRE AT
4 SOME POINT A DISCUSSION OF FUNDING OF SMALL MOLECULE
5 PROPOSALS, IN PARTICULAR, THOSE THAT DON'T
6 NECESSARILY DEPEND ON STEM OR PROGENITOR CELLS FOR
7 THEIR EVALUATION.

8 I THINK THAT THIS IS NOT HIGHLY LIKELY,
9 BUT IT MAY WELL HAPPEN AND WE SHOULD PREPARE
10 OURSELVES AT SOME POINT TO DISCUSS THIS ISSUE.

11 SO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT'S THE SUMMARY I
12 WANTED TO PROVIDE. AND I'D BE HAPPY TO TAKE
13 QUESTIONS FROM BOARD MEMBERS IF THERE ARE ANY.

14 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH
15 FOR THAT PRESENTATION. THE FLOOR IS NOW OPEN FOR
16 COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS STARTING WITH SHLOMO.

17 DR. MELMED: THANKS, LARRY. THAT WAS
18 REALLY A TERRIFIC ASSESSMENT AND VERY HELPFUL FOR
19 UNDERSTANDING THE LANDSCAPE AND ALSO BE IMPORTANT
20 FOR GOING FORWARD.

21 I WAS STRUCK BY ANOTHER INCONSISTENCY IN
22 THE DALY VERSUS WHAT WE ARE FUNDING, WHICH ALSO
23 INDIRECTLY AND DIRECTLY LEADS TO STROKE AND
24 ALZHEIMER'S IN YOUR PURVIEW, AND THAT IS DIABETES.
25 IS THERE REALLY ZERO IN DIABETES? I RECALL THERE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WERE SOME DIABETES GRANTS. IF IT IS ZERO, I THINK
2 THAT SHOULD APPEAR AS A TRIGGER FOR STROKE AND
3 CERTAINLY SHOULD BE CONSIDERED BECAUSE THE DALY IS
4 VERY HIGH.

5 DR. GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU, SHLOMO. THAT'S
6 AN EXCELLENT POINT. I DON'T THINK WE CALLED OUT
7 DIABETES IN THE NEURO AREA SPECIFICALLY IN THE
8 PORTFOLIO ANALYSIS. SOMEBODY FROM THE CIRM TEAM CAN
9 CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG ABOUT THAT. YOU'VE RAISED
10 AN INTERESTING POINT THOUGH ABOUT WHETHER WE SHOULD
11 INCLUDE IT IN OUR THINKING ABOUT STROKE. AND I'LL
12 BRING THAT TO OUR NEXT TASK FORCE MEETING.

13 DR. CANET-AVILES: THE ANALYSIS THAT DR.
14 CREASEY AND MY TEAM DID ONLY WAS FOR NEUROSCIENCE
15 BECAUSE WE WERE -- THIS WAS IN CONTEXT OF THE NEURO
16 TASK FORCE.

17 DR. MELMED: BECAUSE IT WOULD BE
18 INTERESTING TO COMPARE THE DALY TO THAT AS WELL AND
19 WHAT OUR SUPPORT IS BECAUSE THE DALY IS MUCH HIGHER
20 THAN MANY OF THOSE THERE FOR DIABETES. IT'S 14
21 PERCENT OF THE HEALTHCARE GMP.

22 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, SHLOMO.
23 NEXT WE HAVE BOARD MEMBER FRED FISHER.

24 DR. FISHER: HI. I APOLOGIZE FOR MISSING
25 THE LAST TASK FORCE MEETING. SO MY QUESTION

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROBABLY COULD HAVE BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED IN THE
2 LAST MEETING.

3 I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE DALY. AND THE
4 WAY I HEARD YOU SPEAK OF IT, WHICH I MIGHT HAVE
5 MISHEARD YOU, IT'S SOME REFLECTION OF EITHER DISEASE
6 BURDEN OR BURDEN -- SHLOMO'S COMMENTS SUGGEST TO ME
7 MAYBE IT'S NOT, NOT ABOUT DISEASE BURDEN, BUT IT'S
8 ABOUT HOW MUCH BURDEN IT PUTS ON THE HEALTHCARE
9 SYSTEM.

10 SO COULD YOU CLARIFY FOR ME WHAT THE DALY
11 MEANS?

12 DR. GOLDSTEIN: YEAH. SO I'M NOT AN
13 EPIDEMIOLOGIST EXPERT, BUT MY UNDERSTANDING OF IT,
14 FRED, IS THAT IT IS THE BURDEN OF DISEASE ON THE
15 INDIVIDUALS, NOT NECESSARILY THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM.
16 I THINK THE BURDEN ON THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM IS A
17 DIFFERENT MEASURE THAT'S NOT SHOWN THERE. SO THE
18 NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISEASE BURDEN, FOR EXAMPLE,
19 MEASURES OR ESTIMATES HOW MUCH DOES DEPRESSION OR
20 MANIA OR OTHER OR SCHIZOPHRENIA BURDEN PEOPLE'S
21 PRODUCTIVE LIVES. IT'S A VERY IMPORTANT WAY OF
22 LOOKING AT DISEASE.

23 COST OBVIOUSLY IS IMPORTANT, BUT IT
24 DOESN'T MEASURE THE HUMAN IMPACT NECESSARILY. AND I
25 THINK THAT'S WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT THERE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. FISHER: IT MAY BE THAT FOR NEUROPSYCH
2 IT'S AN EXCELLENT MEASURE OF BURDEN AND CERTAINLY
3 SOMETHING THAT WE SHOULD BE LOOKING AT. IF YOU CAN
4 PUT THAT CHART BACK UP. AS THE ALS/MMD PATIENT
5 ADVOCATE, THE DALY FOR ALS AND NEURODEGENERATIVE
6 DISEASES IS AMONG THE LOWEST HERE, BUT FEW WOULD
7 ARGUE THAT THE BURDEN OF HAVING ALS IS SMALL. SO IT
8 MIGHT BE THAT WHAT WE USE TO EVALUATE THE BURDEN OF
9 DISEASE, WE MAY NEED DIFFERENT TOOLS BECAUSE THIS
10 ONE, IN MY MIND, CERTAINLY DOES NOT CAPTURE IN ANY
11 ADEQUATE WAY THE BURDEN OF DISEASE THAT ALS AND
12 MOTOR NEURON DISEASE AND MS FOR THAT MATTER WHICH I
13 AM ALSO THE PATIENT ADVOCATE FOR.

14 DR. MELMED: I THINK IT'S THE NOMINATOR.
15 IT'S DALY PER 100,000. BECAUSE ALS IS SO RARE,
16 THAT'S WHY IT COMES OUT 4.7 OR 47. CAN'T SEE.

17 DR. GOLDSTEIN: IF I MIGHT ADD TO THAT,
18 SHLOMO, THE OTHER THING THAT YOU POINT OUT, FRED, IS
19 I THINK COMPLETELY CORRECT. AND THAT IS THAT A
20 DISORDER SUCH AS ALS THAT IS RAPIDLY LETHAL IS GOING
21 TO UNDERESTIMATE THE DISEASE BURDEN OVER TIME
22 BECAUSE THE TIME THAT PEOPLE HAVE THESE SORTS OF
23 THINGS IS SO SHORT.

24 SO A GOOD QUESTION MIGHT BE, AND WE CAN
25 TAKE THIS UP AT ANOTHER TASK FORCE MEETING, WHAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DOES THE GRANT PORTFOLIO LOOK LIKE AND ARE GRANTS
2 MISSING SOMETHING OBVIOUS IN THE AREA OF ALS. SO I
3 WOULDN'T SAY THAT WE'VE NECESSARILY CUT OFF FURTHER
4 DISCUSSION OF THAT. AND YOUR POINT IS, I THINK,
5 PRETTY REASONABLE FOR THESE DISORDERS THAT ARE SO
6 RAPIDLY LETHAL.

7 DR. FISHER: AND REPRESENT PERHAPS A
8 SMALLER PERCENTAGE OF THE PATIENT POPULATION. SO WE
9 NEED A DIFFERENT TOOL TO LOOK AT WHAT WE ARE
10 INVESTING AND THE BURDEN OF THE DISEASE ON THOSE
11 POPULATIONS SUFFERING FROM WHAT ARE CONSIDERED RARE
12 DISEASES.

13 DR. GOLDSTEIN: FAIR POINT.

14 DR. CANET-AVILES: DR. GOLDSTEIN, CAN I
15 ADD A COMMENT?

16 DR. GOLDSTEIN: OH, YES. PLEASE, ROSA.

17 DR. CANET-AVILES: I JUST WANTED TO READ
18 HOW WE CALCULATED THESE. THE DALY ACTUALLY REFLECTS
19 A TIME-BASED MEASURE THAT COMBINES YEARS OF LIFE
20 LOST DUE TO PREMATURE MORTALITY AND YEARS OF LIFE
21 LOST DUE TO TIME IN STATES OF LESS THAN FULL HEALTH
22 OR HEALTH. SO I JUST WANTED TO -- AND WE DID THIS
23 PER 100,000, AND WE TOOK VALUES THAT WERE FROM THE
24 WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION AND THE GATES FOUNDATION
25 THAT HAS BEEN CALCULATING THESE OVER THE YEARS, AND

1 THESE ARE THE MOST RECENT VALUES.

2 DR. FISHER: I WOULD JUST SUGGEST THAT
3 USING THE DALY WHEN IT COMES TO FAST PROGRESSING,
4 LETHAL DISEASES, THAT THIS IS NOT THE BEST
5 ILLUSTRATION OF INVESTMENT VERSUS IMPACT BECAUSE
6 WHEN YOU GO FROM BEING PERFECTLY FUNCTIONING TO
7 LOSING THE ABILITY TO EAT, SWALLOW, MOVE, TALK, AND
8 BREATHE WITHIN TYPICALLY A TWO- TO FIVE-YEAR PERIOD
9 AND IT'S A RARE DISEASE, YOU'RE GOING TO BE
10 UNDERREPRESENTED IN THE WAY THAT ROSA JUST
11 DESCRIBED. AND SO WE NEED A VARIETY OF TOOLS TO
12 EXPRESS AND UNDERSTAND HOW WE ARE INVESTING FUNDS
13 AND THE IMPACT OF THOSE DISEASES ON THOSE
14 COMMUNITIES AS THIS ONE REALLY IS INADEQUATE WHEN IT
15 COMES TO ALS AND MND AND MS.

16 DR. GOLDSTEIN: I THINK THIS IS SOMETHING
17 WE CAN TAKE UP AT A FUTURE MEETING, FRED. I DON'T
18 DISPUTE THAT THERE'S VALUE IN CONTINUING THAT
19 DISCUSSION. UNFORTUNATELY FOR MANY OF THESE
20 DISEASES, ADVOCATES CAN MAKE VERY STRONG ARGUMENTS
21 ABOUT WHAT THE RIGHT LEVEL OF ALLOCATION IS. AND AS
22 YOU KNOW, THAT'S ALWAYS A TRICKY BUSINESS. I THINK
23 HITTING NEUROPSYCH FIRST IS SOMETHING WHERE IT'S
24 REALLY BEEN ALMOST COMPLETELY IGNORED IN OUR
25 PORTFOLIO AND, THEREFORE, CONSTITUTES A HIGH

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PRIORITY. AND THERE WILL BE A CONCEPT COMING FROM
2 VICE PRESIDENT CANET-AVILES SOON.

3 DR. FISHER: I DON'T WANT TO BE
4 MISUNDERSTOOD. I'M NOT BEING CRITICAL OF THE
5 PRIORITY OF NEUROPSYCH. I'M BEING CRITICAL OF USING
6 DALY WHEN IT COMES TO UNDERSTANDING OUR INVESTMENT
7 RELATED TO THE IMPACT OF DISEASE BECAUSE IT SEVERELY
8 UNDERREPRESENTS THE BURDEN OF DISEASE.

9 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: VERY GOOD POINT.
10 MEMBER MELMED.

11 DR. MELMED: ANOTHER NUANCE, LARRY. I
12 DON'T KNOW IF YOU CONSIDERED FOR EPILEPSY, FOR
13 EXAMPLE, DID YOU CALL OUT PEDIATRICS? BECAUSE
14 PEDIATRIC EPILEPSY AND NEONATAL EPILEPSY IS SO MUCH
15 MORE COMMON IN ADULTS. I WONDER IF THAT SHOULDN'T
16 BE EMPHASIZED. THAT'S A VERY SIGNIFICANT COMPONENT
17 OF THE NEONATAL MORBIDITY POPULATION.

18 DR. GOLDSTEIN: ROSA OR ABLA, CAN YOU
19 ADDRESS THAT PLEASE?

20 DR. MELMED: DO WE HAVE ANYTHING IN
21 PEDIATRIC EPILEPSY? IF WE DON'T, WE SHOULD. I
22 WOULD ARGUE THAT'S A VERY IMPORTANT DALY FOR
23 PEDIATRIC PATIENTS.

24 DR. CANET-AVILES: WE WILL HAVE TO LOOK
25 INTO THIS. WELL, WE HAVE EPILEPSY. YOU WERE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TALKING ABOUT PEDIATRIC EPILEPSY?

2 DR. MELMED: DID WE CALL IT OUT SEPARATELY
3 OR IN ADDITION?

4 DR. MILLAN: JUST IN TERMS OF THE
5 PORTFOLIO, IN THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT, I REPORTED ON
6 AT LEAST ONE PROGRAM THAT'S IN CLINICAL STAGE FOR
7 PEDIATRIC FOCAL EPILEPSY THAT'S RESISTANT TO DRUGS,
8 WHICH IS WHERE WE ARE FINDING --

9 DR. MELMED: ONLY ONE.

10 DR. MILLAN: THERE MAY BE MORE IN THE
11 TRANSLATIONAL PORTFOLIO, BUT I'LL DEFER TO DR.
12 CREASEY FOR ADDITIONAL.

13 DR. CREASEY: THERE'S ONLY ONE, THAT'S THE
14 NEURONA PROGRAM IN CLINICAL CURRENTLY. WE DON'T
15 HAVE PEDIATRICS IN CLINICAL YET.

16 DR. MELMED: I WOULD SUGGEST THAT THE TASK
17 FORCE CONSIDER THAT WE CALL THAT OUT SEPARATELY
18 BECAUSE IT'S SO IMPORTANT.

19 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MEMBER DURON.

20 MS. DURON: I WANTED TO PULL OUT, LARRY, A
21 WRINKLE AND PERHAPS EVEN FROM LEFT FIELD. BUT I
22 WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOME DATA THAT REPRESENTS THE
23 IMPACT OF THESE DISEASES ON COMMUNITIES OF COLOR
24 WHICH SOMETIMES THE IMPACT IS EVEN GREATER AS A
25 RESULT OF SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH. THEREFORE,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IF THEY'RE ACTUALLY RECEIVING OR
2 BEING INCLUDED. THIS GETS REALLY COMPLEX, BUT ARE
3 THEY BEING EQUALLY INCLUDED SO THAT THEY'RE GETTING
4 THE BENEFIT OF THE RESEARCH, THE TRIALS, ET CETERA,
5 BECAUSE THEY MIGHT BE DISPROPORTIONATELY IMPACTED BY
6 SOME OF THESE DISEASES.

7 ONE OF THE THINGS WE SAW PARTICULARLY
8 DURING COVID WAS THE MENTAL, EMOTIONAL IMPACT ON
9 MANY OF OUR COMMUNITIES; BUT FOR THIS FIRST TIME, IN
10 A RESPONSE FROM THE LATINO COMMUNITY WAS THEY ARE
11 ACTUALLY ADMITTING TO THE NEED FOR EMOTIONAL HELP,
12 THAT THEY WERE ACTUALLY ADMITTING THAT THERE WAS AN
13 ISSUE IN TERMS OF MENTAL HEALTH. SO THAT'S A
14 MESSAGING ISSUE. IT'S AN INVESTMENT ISSUE. IT'S A
15 WAY TO BRING THEM TO THE TABLE FOR CLINICAL TRIALS
16 THROUGH OUR CANCER CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE. I KEEP
17 SAYING THAT. I'M GOING TO HAVE TO WIPE IT OFF MY
18 TONGUE.

19 AND SO IF WE DON'T SEE IT REFLECTED IN THE
20 DATA, IT'S JUST THIS MASS STUFF, THEN I DON'T KNOW
21 HOW TO MESSAGE BACK TO MY COMMUNITY IF THIS IS
22 HELPING THEM, IF THEY'RE BEING IMPACTED, IF THEY'RE
23 ENGAGED, AND WHAT THEY NEED TO DO IN ORDER TO DO AND
24 ADDRESS ISSUES THAT THEY'RE FINALLY ADMITTING ARE
25 PART OF THE COMMUNITY IMPACT. SO I DON'T KNOW IF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 YOU CAN PULL THAT DATA OUT, IF IT EXISTS, IF
2 ANYBODY'S TRIED TO LOOK AT IT, BUT I THINK IT IS
3 REALLY CRITICAL IF WE'RE, IN FACT, GOING TO BEGIN
4 MESSAGING TO THESE VULNERABLE POPULATIONS AS WELL.

5 DR. GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU FOR THAT
6 COMMENT, YSABEL. I THINK I'M SURE THAT THE NUMBERS
7 EXIST SOMEWHERE. WE DID NOT DISCUSS THE DETAILS OF
8 THOSE NUMBERS THUS FAR, BUT I WILL TELL YOU THAT, AS
9 A GENERAL MATTER, THE TASK FORCE IS AWARE THAT
10 UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES AND COMMUNITIES OF COLOR
11 ARE, IN FACT, VERY HIGHLY IMPACTED BY THESE SORTS OF
12 DISORDERS AND ABSOLUTELY NEED TO BE INCLUDED MOVING
13 FORWARD.

14 THE MESSAGING WILL BE CRITICAL, TO BE SURE
15 THAT, AS GENETIC STUDIES NOT SUPPORTED BY CIRM AND
16 PERHAPS THOSE THAT WE PARTNER ON, ARE CONTINUED IN
17 THE COMING YEARS. I'M RELATIVELY SURE THAT SOME OF
18 THEM ARE TRYING TO GET INTO COMMUNITIES OF COLOR,
19 AND IT'S CERTAINLY A COMMUNITY THAT WE ABSOLUTELY
20 HAVE TO ENGAGE MOVING FORWARD HERE.

21 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. MEMBER
22 MALKAS.

23 DR. MALKAS: ACTUALLY I WOULD LOVE TO ECHO
24 THAT AND ALSO DRAW A POINT TO THE CHILDREN HAVE BEEN
25 SO PROFOUNDLY AFFECTED IN THOSE COMMUNITIES BY THIS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO I WANT TO ECHO YOUR POINT.

2 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: PAT LEVITT.

3 DR. LEVITT: SO THERE IS DATA ON SELECTIVE
4 DSM-V DISORDERS THAT SPEAK TO YOUR POINT DIRECTLY.
5 AND PARTICULARLY IN PEDIATRIC POPULATIONS, IT HAS A
6 VERY LARGE IMPACT ON TIME TO FIRST DIAGNOSIS, WHICH,
7 OF COURSE, THEN AFFECTS TIME TO FIRST INTERVENTION.
8 SO AFRICAN-AMERICAN CHILDREN HAVE THE LARGEST GAP
9 BETWEEN THE MEAN, WHICH IS ABOUT 3.2 YEARS FOR
10 AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, FOR EXAMPLE, AND FIRST
11 DIAGNOSIS FOR CHILDREN WHO ARE IN THOSE FAMILIES,
12 WHICH IS ABOUT SIX YEARS OLD. SO IT'S SCHOOL AGE.
13 THE GAP IS CLOSING, BUT SLOWLY.

14 THERE'S ALSO NOW A LARGE NIH-FUNDED STUDY
15 OF GENETICS THAT INCLUDES LARGE LATINO POPULATIONS.
16 ALMOST ALL OF GENETICS AND IDENTIFYING MUTATIONS ARE
17 BASED ON NORTHERN EUROPEAN DATA. SO THAT'S CHANGING
18 AS WELL. BUT, AS YOU KNOW, IT'S REALLY A HEAVY LIFT
19 TO WORK WITH COMMUNITIES, PARTICULARLY WITH
20 ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE IN COMMUNITIES, TO TALK ABOUT
21 WHY IT'S IMPORTANT TO PARTICIPATE IN RESEARCH. SO
22 THERE IS NOT JUST A GROWING AWARENESS, BUT THERE'S A
23 LARGE EFFORT ON THE PART OF THE RESEARCH COMMUNITY
24 TO BE VERY INCLUSIVE. BUT THE RESEARCH COMMUNITY
25 FOLKS NEED HELP IN DOING THIS THE RIGHT WAY. BUT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE POINT YOU MAKE IS ABSOLUTELY SPOT ON.

2 MS. DURON: MAY I JUST ADD BUT WE NEED TO
3 SEE THE DATA BECAUSE OTHERWISE WE DON'T BUY IT,
4 RIGHT. THERE'S JUST TOO MANY PEOPLE WHO DON'T
5 BELIEVE IT. AND SO WE NEED TO SEE THE DATA. AND I
6 KNOW THAT PULLING THAT OUT MIGHT BE DIFFICULT,
7 WHETHER STAFF CAN HELP WITH THAT, BUT I JUST THINK
8 OVERARCHINGLY WE JUST NEED TO SEE IT SO I CAN GO
9 BACK AND USE THAT. ALL OF US RESEARCH PROGRAM IN
10 WHICH THEY CLAIM NOW TO HAVE THE LARGEST GENOMIC
11 DATABASE, DIVERSE DATABASE, SHOWED THAT 16 PERCENT
12 OF THE ENROLLEES ARE LATINO. SO WHEN YOU SAY YOU
13 CAN'T GET THEM TO GET ENGAGED, YOU CAN, BUT WHO'S
14 CALLING THEM OUT AND WHAT ARE THEY TELLING THEM AND
15 HOW ARE THEY LISTENING TO THEM AND IN WHAT LANGUAGE
16 ARE THEY TALKING?

17 SO I THINK, AS YOU SAY, PAT, IT'S THE
18 DEVIL'S IN THE DETAILS OF HOW WE'RE GOING TO DO
19 THIS, BUT WE NEED THE DATA. SO IT HAS TO BE CALLED
20 OUT AND PULLED OUT.

21 DR. CREASEY: YSABEL, I JUST WANTED TO
22 MENTION TO YOU THAT WE ARE COMMITTED TO DOING THAT.
23 WE'RE IN THE PROCESS OF COLLECTING THAT KIND OF DATA
24 FROM THE CLINICAL TRIALS. AND SO AS SOON AS WE HAVE
25 CRITICAL AMOUNTS THAT ARE WORTHY OF ANALYSIS, WE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WILL BE DOING THAT. SO WE HEARD YOU.

2 MS. DURON: THAT'S SAD, ABLA, THAT ARE
3 WORTHY OF CALLING OUT.

4 DR. CREASEY: NUMBERS-WISE.

5 MS. DURON: YOU KNOW. BUT I APPRECIATE
6 IT. THANK YOU.

7 DR. CREASEY: ALL I'M SAYING IS THAT IF WE
8 HAD ONLY FIVE PATIENTS, WHAT DO THESE DATA MEAN; BUT
9 IF WE HAVE 25, I CAN THEN DESCRIBE TRENDS FOR YOU
10 THE WAY WE DID HERE.

11 DR. GOLDSTEIN: LET ME JUST ADD A
12 TECHNICAL POINT. SO FIRST OF ALL, YSABEL, I AGREE.
13 WE SHOULD HAVE A LOOK AT THOSE DATA. I WANT TO
14 REMIND EVERYBODY THAT IN ORDER FOR STEM CELL
15 PROJECTS TO BE INITIATED IN SOME OF THESE AREAS, IN
16 PARTICULAR NEUROPSYCHIATRY, WE DEPEND VERY HEAVILY
17 ON THE GENETIC ANALYSES DONE BY THE GENETICS
18 COMMUNITY. AT PRESENT CIRM IS NOT SUPPORTING
19 GENOMEWIDE SCREENS IN ANY OF THESE COMMUNITIES.
20 IT'S AN IMPORTANT QUESTION, TO WHAT EXTENT SHOULD WE
21 BECOME INVOLVED IN STIMULATING THOSE PROJECTS? AND
22 I THINK AS WE START TO DEVELOP SOME PILOT PROJECTS
23 IN THE PORTFOLIO, WE'LL START TO LEARN TO WHAT
24 EXTENT THE STEM CELL COMMUNITY IS ENGAGED WITH THE
25 GENETICS COMMUNITY AND TO WHAT EXTENT WE CAN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ENCOURAGE THAT.

2 DR. CANET-AVILES: I'D LIKE TO ADD. SO
3 THIS CONVERSATION ABOUT ANCESTRAL DIVERSITY HAD COME
4 UP EARLIER ON. AS WE WERE DEVELOPING AND
5 BRAINSTORMING ABOUT THE NEURO DISCOVERY INITIATIVE,
6 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THE CIRM TEAM DID WAS START
7 DISCUSSING WHAT'S OUT THERE. WHAT IS THE NATIONAL
8 INSTITUTES OF MENTAL HEALTH FUNDING? WHAT ARE OTHER
9 ORGANIZATIONS FUNDING? SO THERE'S ACTUALLY A VERY
10 LARGE COHORT WITH ANCESTRAL DIVERSITY, GENOTYPING
11 AND CLINICAL PHENOTYPING OF DIVERSE POPULATIONS
12 ACROSS THE WORLD, THOUSANDS OF SCHIZOPHRENIA AND
13 BIPOLAR DISEASE. AND THEY ARE ACTUALLY CURRENTLY
14 LOOKING FOR A PARTNER TO DERIVE SOME LINES, IPS
15 LINES, SO THAT WE COULD HAVE -- ACTUALLY THIS COULD
16 BE SOMETHING THAT, THIS IS A PROPOSAL FOR LATER.
17 JUST WANTED TO BRING IT UP TO THE BOARD, THAT THIS
18 MIGHT COME UP AT THE TASK FORCE LEVEL, BUT THIS
19 COULD BE A VERY GOOD RESOURCE FOR US TO PARTNER AND
20 ANSWER SOME OF THE QUESTIONS AND VOIDS THAT WE ARE
21 IDENTIFYING IN THIS DISCUSSION. JUST WANTED TO
22 BRING IT UP. I'M HAPPY TO PRESENT IT AT A LATER
23 TIME.

24 DR. GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU, ROSA.

25 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ANY OTHER COMMENTS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FROM BOARD MEMBERS IN THE ROOM OR ONLINE OR FROM THE
2 PUBLIC? OKAY. THIS WAS A VERY GOOD CONVERSATION.
3 I'M SURE THE NEURO TASK FORCE IS GOING TO TAKE THIS
4 BACK FOR CONSIDERATION, AND THEY ARE GOING TO MAKE A
5 PRESENTATION, I THINK, MORE FORMALLY AT THE NEXT
6 BOARD IN SEPTEMBER.

7 WITH THAT, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A
8 TEMPORARY RECESS FOR LUNCH, AND THEN WE WILL COME
9 BACK AND LISTEN TO DR. TURBEVILLE'S REPORT ON AAWG.

10 MR. TOCHER: WE'LL COME BACT AT 12:40, SO
11 HALF AN HOUR.

12 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: PERFECT. THANK YOU.

13 (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

14 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: IF EVERYONE CAN
15 PLEASE RESUME THEIR SEATS. WE'LL TAKE UP THE FINAL
16 ITEM ON THE AGENDA. GIVE EVERYONE A FEW SECONDS TO
17 GET SETTLED. OKAY. THANK YOU, EVERYONE. HOPE YOU
18 ENJOYED YOUR LUNCH.

19 WE HAVE ONE ITEM ON THE FORMAL AGENDA
20 REMAINING, AND THAT IS AN UPDATE ON ACCESSIBILITY
21 AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP. AND THE
22 PRESENTATION WILL BE LED BY DR. SEAN TURBEVILLE, OUR
23 VICE PRESIDENT FOR MEDICAL AFFAIRS. THANK YOU.

24 DR. TURBEVILLE: OKAY. THANK EVERYBODY
25 AND GOOD AFTERNOON. I WANT TO THANK THE CHAIRMAN,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF COURSE, AND VICE CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE ICOC, AND
2 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. I THINK IT'S FIRST TO SAY
3 THAT THIS IS PROBABLY MY LAST PRESENTATION TO THE
4 ICOC. AND I WANT TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO
5 EXPRESS MY GRATITUDE AND APPRECIATION FOR THE
6 OPPORTUNITY TO WORK FOR CIRM. CIRM IS AN AMAZING
7 PLACE, PARTICULARLY THE EXTRAORDINARY ORGANIZATION
8 WITH RESPECT TO THE TIRELESS WORK THAT I SEE FROM
9 THE CIRM EMPLOYEES, THE STAFF. THE GROUNDBREAKING
10 INVESTIGATIVE THERAPIES FOR CALIFORNIA PATIENTS
11 DESERVES IMMENSE RECOGNITION, AND SOMETIMES I HEAR,
12 TALKING TO COLLEAGUES, THAT PEOPLE STILL DON'T KNOW
13 WHO CIRM IS. AND IT'S JUST QUITE SURPRISING TO ME
14 GIVEN ALL THE WORK THAT I SEE INTERNALLY AS WELL AS
15 EXTERNALLY, BUT I'M CERTAIN THAT THAT WILL CHANGE
16 OVER TIME. SO THE DEDICATION AND REMARKABLE
17 ACHIEVEMENTS OF CIRM IN THIS FIELD IS TRULY
18 INSPIRING AND CERTAINLY APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY
19 TO BUILD THE MEDICAL AFFAIRS ORGANIZATION. WE DID A
20 LOT OF WORK IN 14 MONTHS. AND I'D LIKE TO NOW MOVE
21 TO THE PRESENTATION TODAY.

22 AND WHAT I'LL BE SPEAKING TO IS OUR
23 INTRODUCTION TO OUR PROPOSED ROADMAP TO ACCESS AND
24 AFFORDABILITY. IN THE LAST FOUR TO FIVE MONTHS,
25 WE'VE BEEN ENGAGING WITH THE AAWG ON DIFFERENT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONCEPTS THAT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED FROM ICOC, THE
2 OPPORTUNITY TO BE IN A ROADMAP FOR ACCESS AND
3 AFFORDABILITY. SO I'M GOING TO FOCUS ON WHY WE ARE
4 CREATING THE ROADMAP, REVIEW THE POTENTIAL PROBLEM
5 STATEMENT, AND THE OPPORTUNITY AREAS TO ADDRESS
6 BARRIERS TO ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY. AND THEN AT
7 THE END OF THIS PRESENT A COUPLE OF CONCEPTS THAT
8 I'D LIKE TO GET FEEDBACK ON THAT WE CAN CONSIDER FOR
9 NEAR-TERM OPPORTUNITY WITHIN THE ROADMAP.

10 SO IT'S CRITICAL TO TAKE A MOMENT TO
11 REFLECT ON THE PURPOSE BEHIND THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE
12 PROPOSED ROADMAP. SO WHY ARE WE DOING THIS? SO
13 PROPOSITION 14 AND THE FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN
14 STATE THAT CIRM WILL SUPPORT THE DEVELOPMENT OF A
15 ROADMAP FOR ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY IN CONCORDANCE
16 WITH CIRM'S ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING
17 GROUP, THE AAWG.

18 THE ROADMAP WILL INCLUDE A STRATEGY FOR
19 GATHERING DATA TO SUPPORT REIMBURSEMENT, ENGAGING
20 POLICYMAKERS AND REGULATORS, AND DEVELOPING NOVEL
21 HEALTHCARE DELIVERY MODELS. IT WILL ALSO MANAGE
22 FUTURE INITIATIVES INVOLVING POST-MARKETING
23 RESEARCH, FOLLOW-UP AND OUTCOME DATABASES, REGISTRY
24 DEVELOPMENT, REAL-WORLD EVIDENCE RESEARCH,
25 HEALTHCARE ECONOMICS, AND PATIENT-REPORTED OUTCOMES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AS WE DIVE INTO VARIOUS RECOMMENDATIONS,
2 YOU WILL NOTICE THAT THESE SUGGESTIONS ARE IN LINE
3 WITH THE LANGUAGE OUTLINED IN THE PROPOSITION 14 AND
4 OUR FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN THAT I JUST DESCRIBED.

5 SO NO DOUBT ABOUT IT, CELL AND GENE
6 THERAPIES HAVE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON PATIENTS, AND
7 WE'VE SEEN IT TIME AND TIME AGAIN OVER A NUMBER OF
8 DIFFERENT THERAPEUTIC AREAS; HOWEVER, THERE ARE ALSO
9 SOME CHALLENGES. AND WE COULD PERHAPS START WITH A
10 PROBLEM STATEMENT, BUT ALSO SOME OPPORTUNITIES. ONE
11 OF THE PROBLEM STATEMENTS SAYS THERE ARE SEVERAL
12 LOGISTICAL AND FINANCIAL BARRIERS TO CLINICAL TRIALS
13 AND APPROVED THERAPIES FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPIES.
14 WE'VE SEEN OVER AND OVER AGAIN THE CHALLENGES FOR
15 PATIENTS AND FAMILY MEMBERS TO PARTICIPATE IN MANY
16 OF THESE TRIALS.

17 THE OPPORTUNITY THAT WE HAVE IS IN
18 PROPOSITION 14, WHICH PROVIDES DEDICATED FUNDING AND
19 THE FORMATION OF THE ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY
20 WORKING GROUP TO ADDRESS THESE BARRIERS. THE GOAL
21 OF THE ROADMAP IS TO IDENTIFY NEAR-TERM AND
22 LONGER-TERM INITIATIVES TO HELP PATIENTS OVERCOME
23 SOME OF THESE BARRIERS TO ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY.

24 SO I WANT TO TAKE A LITTLE BIT OF TIME TO
25 FOCUS ON THIS SLIDE. SO WE'VE DONE A LOT OF DUE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DILIGENCE ON BARRIERS THAT PREVENT PATIENTS FROM
2 HAVING ACCESS TO CLINICAL TRIALS. AND THERE ARE A
3 NUMBER OF OPPORTUNITIES AND A NUMBER OF PROGRAMS
4 WITHIN CIRM THAT ARE ALREADY IN PLAY AND OTHERS THAT
5 WE WANT TO RECOMMEND THAT WILL MITIGATE SOME OF THE
6 BARRIERS.

7 SO IF WE THINK ABOUT INITIATIVES THAT
8 MITIGATE NEGATIVE SOCIAL AND CULTURAL DETERMINANTS
9 OF HEALTH, ONE, WE ALREADY HAVE THE EXPANSION OF THE
10 ALPHA CLINICS THAT WENT FROM SIX TO NINE, AND THERE
11 ARE A NUMBER OF OFFERINGS WITHIN THOSE AWARDS THAT
12 ACTUALLY ADDRESS AND SOME OF THEM MITIGATE THE
13 NEGATIVE SOCIAL AND CULTURAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH.
14 AND I'LL SPEAK TO THOSE IN A FEW MINUTES.

15 WE ALSO HAVE THE COMMUNITY OUTREACH IN
16 EDUCATION. THIS IS A NEW DEPARTMENT THAT'S JUST
17 STARTING TO GEAR UP, STARTING TO THINK THROUGH
18 OUTREACH PROGRAMS THAT WOULD HELP WITH EDUCATION AND
19 OTHER OPPORTUNITIES.

20 WE ALSO HAVE THE FUTURE COMMUNITY CARE
21 CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE. THIS IS A BIG INITIATIVE
22 I'LL SPEAK TO IN A FEW MINUTES. AND THEN COMMUNITY
23 PARTNERS. I'VE LEARNED RECENTLY THROUGH THIS
24 JOURNEY HOW IMPORTANT COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS
25 ARE IN INTERACTING WITH PATIENTS, GETTING THE WORD

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ACROSS, EDUCATING ON CELL AND GENE THERAPIES, ET
2 CETERA.

3 WHEN WE THINK ABOUT CIRM PROGRAMS TO
4 ADDRESS INFORMATIONAL BARRIERS, THIS IS WHERE OUR
5 PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM SITS. AND SO THE PATIENT
6 SUPPORT PROGRAM PROVIDES A NUMBER OF SERVICES. ONE,
7 NAVIGATING PATIENTS TO THE RIGHT CLINICAL TRIAL. SO
8 CIRM HAS, WHAT, ABOUT 91 TRIALS CURRENTLY ENROLLED.
9 THE OTHER THING IS INFORMATION, INFORMATION ABOUT
10 THE TRIAL, INFORMATION FOR HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS AND
11 PATIENTS IS ABSOLUTELY KEY.

12 WHEN WE THINK ABOUT PROGRAMS TO HELP
13 PATIENTS NAVIGATE THE LOGISTICAL BARRIERS, AND THIS
14 IS ONE OF THE VARIABLES THAT CONSISTENTLY COMES UP
15 IN PARTICIPATING IN TRIALS, IS HOW CAN I GET TO THE
16 SITE, THE EXPENSES THAT TAKE PLACE, FAMILY,
17 CHILDCARE, ET CETERA. THIS TOO IS WHERE IT CAN BE
18 SUPPORTED BY THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM. AND WHEN
19 WE THINK ABOUT LOGISTICS, THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR
20 THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM TO HELP THE SITE
21 ORGANIZE THE PATIENT IN TERMS OF LOGISTICS TO THE
22 SITE, GOING BACK AND FORTH HOME, ET CETERA.

23 THE OTHER THING WITH RESPECT TO FINANCIAL,
24 WITHIN THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM THERE'S ALSO THE
25 PATIENT ACCESS FUND. AND THIS IS AN RFA THAT'S

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 POSTED RIGHT NOW. THAT PATIENT ACCESS FUND SITS
2 WITHIN THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM. THIS IS \$15.6
3 MILLION THAT IS DEDICATED DIRECTLY TO SUPPORTING
4 PATIENTS THROUGH TRIALS. AND, AGAIN, WE KNOW THOSE
5 OUT-OF-POCKET PATIENT EXPENSES CAN BE HIGH. IN
6 FACT, THERE'S RECENT DATA THAT SUGGESTS THAT
7 OUT-OF-POCKET PATIENT EXPENSES CAN BE ANYWHERE
8 BETWEEN FIVE TO EIGHT HIGHER THAN WHAT YOU WOULD SEE
9 IN PATIENTS WHO ARE PARTICIPATING IN NON-CELL AND
10 GENE THERAPY TRIALS.

11 SO WHILE CIRM'S EFFORT PRIMARILY FOCUSES
12 ON ADDRESSING BARRIERS TO CLINICAL TRIALS, IT IS
13 CRUCIAL TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT REMOVING ALL BARRIERS,
14 EVEN IF WE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY, DOES NOT NECESSARILY
15 MEAN PATIENTS ARE ALWAYS GOING TO GET ACCESS TO
16 DRUGS. MARIA MILLAN JUST GAVE A PRESENTATION TODAY
17 ABOUT CANDIDATES, DRUG CANDIDATES, THAT DEMONSTRATED
18 EFFICACY THAT STILL CAN'T GET TO THE MARKETPLACE.
19 SO FDA APPROVAL AND REIMBURSEMENT FOR A THERAPY ARE
20 ESSENTIAL ASPECTS TO ENSURE THAT ALL CALIFORNIA
21 PATIENTS HAVE MEANINGFUL ACCESS TO CELL AND GENE
22 THERAPIES BEYOND JUST THE CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE.

23 SO I'LL TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT SUPPORTING
24 BROAD AND FAIR COVERAGE FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPIES.
25 A COUPLE OF COMPONENTS I'LL GET BACK INTO IN MORE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DETAIL LATER. ONE IS THINKING ABOUT THE RESEARCH
2 WITH RESPECT TO REAL-WORLD EVIDENCE AND HEALTH
3 OUTCOMES AND OPPORTUNITIES AND THAT IMPACT ON ACCESS
4 AND AFFORDABILITY. ANOTHER IS THE ENGAGEMENT WITH
5 PAYERS. AND THIRD IS OUTCOMES-BASED PERFORMANCE
6 MODELS, WHICH ARE ABSOLUTELY CRITICAL WITH RESPECT
7 TO REIMBURSEMENT, ET CETERA.

8 SO I'D LIKE TO DIRECT YOU TO THIS SLIDE.
9 THIS IS OUR PROPOSED ROADMAP TO ACCESS AND
10 AFFORDABILITY, AND IT IS COLOR CODED. SO THE ORANGE
11 TO THE LEFT OF THE SLIDE REPRESENTS APPROVED
12 CIRM-FUNDED PROGRAMS. SO RIGHT NOW WE HAVE
13 EXPANSION OF THE ALPHA CLINICS AND WE HAVE THE
14 PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM. THE NAVY BLUE DEPICTS
15 THREE PROPOSED STRATEGIES IN THE MIDDLE THAT I WILL
16 FOCUS ON TODAY FURTHER ON WITH MY DISCUSSION. AND
17 THEN THE GRAY COMPONENT INDICATES AN IMPORTANT
18 PROGRAM THAT IS CURRENTLY IN PROGRESS. AND, OF
19 COURSE, THAT'S THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF
20 EXCELLENCE. AND THE WAY WE DESIGNED THIS IS ALSO
21 THINKING THROUGH MUCH MORE LONG-TERM FUTURE
22 INITIATIVES.

23 SO FOR THE PURPOSE FOR THIS PRESENTATION
24 IS REALLY TO THINK ABOUT SHORT-TERM, NEAR-TERM
25 OPPORTUNITIES THAT WE CAN HELP PATIENTS WITH RESPECT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY.

2 SO LET'S FIRST TALK ABOUT APPROVED
3 PROGRAMS, SO THE EXPANSION OF THE ALPHA CLINICS.
4 THIS PROGRAM ALIGNS WITH PROP 14 AND INVOLVES
5 EXPANDING THE EXISTING NETWORK OF ALPHA CLINICS.
6 THE NINE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE OFFER VARIOUS
7 CLINICAL SERVICES, RESOURCES THAT ALLOW CIRM TO
8 GATHER ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR FUTURE
9 CONSIDERATIONS RELATED TO ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY.
10 AS PART OF THE EXPANSION, THERE ARE SPECIFIC
11 OFFERINGS WITHIN THE AWARDS, SUCH AS PATIENT
12 NAVIGATION, COVERAGE ANALYSIS, NEW FINANCIAL MODELS,
13 DEI INITIATIVES, AND OTHER OFFERINGS THAT ARE
14 INCORPORATED TO ENHANCE THE PATIENT SUPPORT AND
15 ACCESS.

16 SO AS THESE STUDIES CONTINUE TO ENROLL,
17 WE'LL BE ABLE TO GET INFORMATION FROM THE ALPHA
18 CLINICS ON THE REPORTING THAT WILL GIVE US GUIDANCE
19 IN TERMS OF WHERE THERE MAY BE ADDITIONAL
20 OPPORTUNITIES FOR ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY RELATED
21 TO THESE VARIABLES.

22 THE OTHER IS THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM.
23 AND THIS IS OFFSETTING THE COST OF PROVIDING
24 TREATMENTS AND CURES ARISING FROM INSTITUTE FUNDING
25 RESEARCH. SO THE ACTIVITY HERE IS TO CREATE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONSISTENT, EFFICIENT, STREAMLINED, AND RELIABLE
2 ACCESS TO RESOURCES AND SUPPORT ACROSS ALL THE
3 DIFFERENT TYPES OF CIRM-FUNDED PROGRAMS. AGAIN,
4 THESE ARE TWO PROGRAMS THAT ARE PROGRESSING AND ARE
5 PART OF THE PROPOSED ROADMAP AND DIRECTLY ALIGN WITH
6 THE PROPOSITION 14.

7 NOW I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE PROGRAM IN
8 PROGRESS, AND THIS IS THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF
9 EXCELLENCE. SO THIS ALIGNS WITH PROPOSITION 14, IN
10 THAT IT ENHANCES THE GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION TO
11 PROMOTE PATIENT ACCESS TO TRIALS AND CREATE NOVEL
12 HEALTHCARE DELIVERY MODELS. SO THIS IS AN ONGOING
13 PROGRAM. THE MEDICAL AFFAIRS TEAM WORKED
14 TIRELESSLY. MANY PEOPLE HERE IN THIS ROOM GAVE A
15 LOT OF GUIDANCE AND ATTENDED NOT ONLY THE THREE
16 LISTENING SESSIONS, BUT JUST RECENTLY THE PUBLIC
17 SESSION THAT WE HAD LAST WEEK. AND THESE COMMUNITY
18 CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE ARE QUALIFIED SITES WITH
19 CLINICAL, OPERATIONAL, AND EDUCATIONAL EXPERTISE TO
20 PROVIDE RURAL COMMUNITIES ACCESS TO INVESTIGATIONAL
21 CELL AND GENE THERAPY TRIALS.

22 THE CCE HOLDS SIGNIFICANT IMPORTANCE AS IT
23 WILL SERVE AS A CRUCIAL COMPONENT OF THE ROADMAP
24 PARTICULARLY IN SUPPORTING CLINICAL TRIALS IN RURAL
25 COMMUNITIES. SO AT THIS STAGE, AS DR. MILLAN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MENTIONED EARLIER TODAY, WE ARE NOW STARTING TO
2 DEVELOP THE CONCEPT PLAN. THAT CONCEPT PLAN WILL BE
3 PRESENTED TO THE ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING
4 GROUP, TO THE SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE, AND THEN
5 HOPEFULLY TO THE ICOC FOR FINAL CONSIDERATION INTO
6 AN RFA. AND OUR EXPECTATION IS THAT THROUGH THAT
7 PROCESS AN RFA COULD BE POSTED AS EARLY AS Q2 OF THE
8 FIRST HALF OF 2024.

9 SO THIS IS A SLIDE THAT WAS DEVELOPED BY
10 MY COLLEAGUE GEOFF. AND BY INTEGRATING THE ALPHA
11 CLINICS, THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE,
12 THE CIRM-FUNDED TRIALS, AND THE PATIENT SUPPORT
13 PROGRAM, THIS COLLABORATIVE APPROACH WILL ALLOW THE
14 CREATION OF MORE ROBUST STRATEGIES AND INITIATIVES
15 THAT ADDRESS THE SPECIFIC NEEDS OF DIVERSE
16 COMMUNITIES, ENHANCE ACCESSIBILITY TO INNOVATIVE
17 THERAPIES, AND PROMOTE AFFORDABILITY FOR PATIENTS
18 ACROSS DIFFERENT REGIONS.

19 SO IF YOU THINK ABOUT THIS, OF COURSE,
20 WE'VE GOT THE ALPHA CLINICS. THOSE ARE THE NINE
21 LEAD SITES AND CENTERS, CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE. THE
22 COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE BRING THE
23 CLINICAL CAPACITY TO THE COMMUNITY. THE CIRM
24 CLINICAL TRIALS, NOW 91 CIRM-FUNDED TRIALS, ARE IN
25 PLAY. AND THEN YOU TACK ON BOARD THE PATIENT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SUPPORT PROGRAM THAT WILL PROVIDE THE ADDITIONAL
2 FINANCIAL AND LOGISTICAL BARRIERS.

3 SO NOW WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS DISCUSS THE
4 PROPOSED INITIATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION. SO POSSIBLE
5 STRATEGY NO. 1 IS SUPPORTING FUNDING OF CALIFORNIA
6 DISEASE REGISTRIES AND OTHER REAL-WORLD DATA
7 COLLECTION. WE KNOW THAT THE FDA AND PAYERS HAVE
8 REQUIREMENTS NOW FOR REAL-WORLD DATA. THEY HAVE A
9 SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON CLINICAL DOSSIERS THAT GO TO
10 THE FDA, AND THEY HAVE EVEN MORE IMPORTANCE WITH
11 RESPECT TO REIMBURSEMENT. SO THE BENEFIT HERE IS IT
12 ENHANCES OPPORTUNITY FOR APPROVED AND PAYER
13 REIMBURSEMENT WHEN DATA IS APPROPRIATELY
14 SUBSTANTIATED ACCORDING TO FDA GUIDANCE AND PAYER
15 MANDATES. PATIENTS WILL HAVE ACCESS TO THE
16 TREATMENT IF APPROVED AND REIMBURSED BY PAYERS.

17 AS AN EXAMPLE, DR. MILLAN, AND THERE ARE
18 OTHERS, JUST RECENTLY WE HEARD ABOUT THE MOST RECENT
19 GENE THERAPY THAT WAS APPROVED FOR DND. AND IN THAT
20 CLINICAL PACKAGE THAT WENT TO THE FDA, THAT BLA, NOT
21 ONLY DID IT INCLUDE OPEN LABEL PHASE 2 TRIAL DATA,
22 BUT IT ALSO INCLUDED REAL-WORLD EVIDENCE AND DATA
23 FROM DISEASE REGISTRY. AND THAT WAS IMPORTANT
24 BECAUSE IT DID PROVIDE SUBANALYSES THAT WERE ASKED
25 OF THE COMMITTEE ON A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT VARIABLES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND WE ARE SEEING THIS OVER AND OVER AGAIN WITH
2 OTHER PRODUCTS THAT ARE BEING PRESENTED TO THE FDA
3 THROUGH BLA OR EVEN AN NDA FOR THAT MATTER.

4 SO PAYERS ARE ALSO BEGINNING TO SET UP
5 THEIR INFRASTRUCTURE, WHAT THE REQUIREMENTS ARE,
6 WITH REAL-WORLD DATA. THE AMCP DOSSIER THAT YOU MAY
7 BE FAMILIAR WITH, THAT IS THE GOLD STANDARD
8 FORMULARY SUBMISSION THAT GOES TO ALL THE PAYERS.
9 THAT INCLUDES PUBLIC AS WELL AS PRIVATE. THEY HAVE
10 GUIDELINES ON HOW YOU CAN USE REAL-WORLD DATA TO
11 SUPPORT THE CLINICAL EFFICACY, MORE IMPORTANTLY
12 EFFECTIVENESS OUT THERE IN THE REAL WORLD.

13 SO ONE HAS TO START THINKING THROUGH IN
14 THE ABSENCE OF REAL-WORLD DATA AND -- LET ME BACK
15 UP. MY POINT BEING IS SO NOW WE MUST CONSIDER THE
16 POTENTIAL CONSEQUENCES FOR PATIENTS IF DISEASE
17 REGISTRIES AND OTHER REAL-WORLD DATA SOURCES ARE NOT
18 UTILIZED FOR REGULATORY AND PAYER SUBMISSION IN
19 APPROVING ACCESS. SO THERE ARE A COUPLE OF
20 RECOMMENDATIONS HERE.

21 ONE, WE RECOMMEND ADDITIONAL RESEARCH.
22 WHERE HAVE DISEASE REGISTRIES BEEN IMPACTFUL FOR
23 REGULATORY APPROVAL? TWO, WHERE HAVE DISEASE
24 REGISTRIES AND OTHER REAL-WORLD DATA BEEN
25 SUCCESSFULLY SUBMITTED TO PAYERS? AND HOW CAN THIS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 KNOWLEDGE BE APPLIED FOR CIRM-FUNDED TRIALS,
2 PARTICULARLY WHEN WE START ASKING THE QUESTIONS
3 ABOUT PATIENT AND ECONOMIC OUTCOMES?

4 BY CONDUCTING SUCH RESEARCH AND UTILIZING
5 THESE DISEASE REGISTRIES AND OTHER REAL-WORLD DATA
6 SOURCES EFFECTIVELY, CIRM CAN PROVIDE A NEW FUNDING
7 MECHANISM TO PROVIDE AWARDEES THE BEST CHANCE FOR
8 SUCCESS FOR REGULATORY APPROVAL, THEREBY
9 FACILITATING ACCESS TO APPROVED NOVEL THERAPIES. SO
10 THAT IS POSSIBLE STRATEGY NO. 1, SUPPORT THE FUNDING
11 OF REAL-WORLD DATA AND DISEASE REGISTRIES.

12 A POSSIBLE SECOND STRATEGY IS ENGAGING
13 WITH PAYERS. SO THE BENEFIT HERE IS IT CREATES
14 PROACTIVE UNDERSTANDING OF PAYER ISSUES, NECESSARY
15 DATA NEEDED FOR APPROPRIATE PAYMENT MODELS. AND
16 THERE'S A COUPLE OF RECOMMENDATIONS BY THE AAWG.
17 ONE, LET'S PUT OUT A PAYER SURVEY, A ROBUST SURVEY
18 THAT ASKS A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS AMONG PRIVATE AS
19 WELL AS PUBLIC PAYERS TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THEIR
20 CHALLENGES ARE RELATED TO CELL AND GENE THERAPY
21 REIMBURSEMENT. THE SURVEY COULD PROVIDE VALUABLE
22 INFORMATION ON PAYER EXPECTATIONS AND DATA
23 REQUIREMENTS AIDING IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF
24 APPROPRIATE REIMBURSEMENT STRATEGIES. THAT WOULD BE
25 RECOMMENDATION NO. 1.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AFTER THAT THERE WAS A RECOMMENDATION TO
2 ACTUALLY HAVE A PAYER ADVISORY BOARD, ESTABLISHING A
3 ROBUST PAYER ADVISORY BOARD LED BY THE ACCESSIBILITY
4 AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP. THIS BOARD COULD
5 BRING TOGETHER PAYERS AND OTHER RELEVANT
6 STAKEHOLDERS TO PROVIDE ONGOING GUIDANCE, ADVICE,
7 AND FEEDBACK ON REIMBURSEMENT STRATEGIES, POLICY
8 CONSIDERATIONS, AND PAYER ENGAGEMENT.

9 BY UNDERTAKING THESE RESEARCH
10 OPPORTUNITIES AND ACTIVELY ENGAGING WITH PAYERS,
11 CIRM COULD GAIN INSIGHTS INTO THE PAYER LANDSCAPE,
12 ADDRESS PAYER CONCERNS, FOSTER COLLABORATIVE
13 RELATIONSHIPS. THIS PROACTIVE APPROACH CAN HELP
14 GUIDE APPROPRIATE REIMBURSEMENT STRATEGIES,
15 ANTICIPATE POTENTIAL POLICY RESTRICTIONS, AND ENSURE
16 THAT CIRM-FUNDED THERAPIES HAVE ACCESS TO FAVORABLE
17 REIMBURSEMENT FRAMEWORKS. SO THAT'S POSSIBLE
18 STRATEGY NO. 2.

19 POSSIBLE STRATEGY NO. 3 FOCUSES ON THE
20 FEDERAL AND STATE POLICY ISSUES. SO THE BENEFIT OF
21 THIS IS DEVELOPING AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE STATE
22 POLICY TO SUPPORT PROACTIVE COMMUNICATION AND
23 DEVELOPMENT OF CIRM POLICIES WITH KEY CALIFORNIA
24 AGENCIES. ONE PARTICULAR OPPORTUNITY IS TO WORK
25 WITH CMS. SO CMS, PARTICULARLY THE CENTER FOR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MEDICARE/MEDICAID INNOVATION THAT'S CALLED CMMI, HAS
2 NOW REACHED OUT TO STATES AND REACHED OUT TO STATES
3 TO GET GUIDANCE ON WHAT THE PAYER OUTCOME-BASED
4 MODELS SHOULD LOOK LIKE.

5 SO THIS IS A VOLUNTARY OPPORTUNITY. YOU
6 DON'T HAVE TO DO IT, BUT WHAT WE WERE THINKING IS
7 THAT THIS WOULD BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR CIRM TO
8 COLLABORATE WITH OTHER STATE ORGANIZATIONS WITHIN
9 CALIFORNIA TO PROVIDE FEEDBACK OF WHAT WE THINK ARE
10 IMPORTANT WHEN IT COMES TO VALUE, PARTICULARLY VALUE
11 OF CELL AND GENE THERAPIES. SO THIS WOULD BE A
12 GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO INTERACT WITH CMS, PROVIDE
13 GUIDANCE PARTICULARLY WHEN IT COMES TO THOSE
14 OUTCOMES-BASED MODELS.

15 JUST TO BRIEFLY SPEAK ABOUT OUTCOMES-BASED
16 MODELS, VALUE-BASED CONTRACTS, THEY'RE NOT ALL THE
17 SAME. AND SOME OF THEM WORK REALLY WELL IN
18 ONCOLOGY, SOME OF THEM WORK REALLY WELL IN GENE
19 THERAPY, BUT FOR NEUROMUSCULAR DISEASES, FOR
20 EXAMPLE, THEY'RE A LITTLE BIT DIFFICULT. AND SO IT
21 GIVES US AN OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE THE LEAD AND PROVIDE
22 SOME GUIDANCE TO THE CMS IN TERMS OF WHAT CALIFORNIA
23 APPRECIATES WITH RESPECT TO VALUE.

24 ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY THAT WAS RECOMMENDED
25 TO US IS TO FURTHER EXPLORE THE CANCER CARE EQUITY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ACT. NOW, THERE'S AN ONGOING DISCUSSION ON THE
2 CANCER CARE EQUITY ACT AMONG OTHER STAKEHOLDERS WITH
3 RESPECT TO EXPLORING OPPORTUNITIES TO EXPAND THE
4 LANGUAGE OF THIS LAW. AND BASICALLY HOW THIS LAW
5 WAS SET UP IS THAT IT MANDATES -- WELL, IT DOESN'T
6 REALLY MANDATE. WHAT IT DOES IS A GOOD FAITH
7 PRINCIPLE, AND THAT IS ANY CANCER PATIENT RECENTLY
8 DIAGNOSED, THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO BE TREATED AT AN
9 NCI CERTIFIED SITE, MUCH LIKE ALL THE ALPHA CLINICS.

10 ONE OF THE DRAWBACKS TO THIS IS IT'S NOT
11 MANDATED. THAT IS, IF YOU DON'T HAVE TO TELL THE
12 PATIENT, IT'S WITH A GOOD FAITH PRINCIPLE. AND SO
13 THE AAWG AND A NUMBER OF OTHER COLLEAGUES THOUGHT IT
14 MAY BE A GOOD OPPORTUNITY FOR CIRM TO GET INVOLVED
15 WITH PERHAPS UPDATING THIS LEGISLATION TO ACTUALLY
16 HAVE IT MANDATED. THAT IS, ANY CANCER PATIENT
17 THAT'S DIAGNOSED, THEY GET THE OPPORTUNITY TO NOT
18 ONLY BE TREATED AT THE COMMUNITY CENTER, BUT ALSO
19 HAVE A MUCH MORE ROBUST 360 CARE AT ONE OF THE NCI
20 CERTIFIED SITES.

21 AND THEN, FINALLY, ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY ON
22 THE PAYER SIDE IS TO WORK WITH THE OFFICE OF HEALTH
23 AND AFFORDABILITY. AND SENATOR ART TORRES GOT US
24 CONNECTED WITH THIS GROUP. IT'S PART OF THE
25 GOVERNOR'S TEAM. IT IS A BIG INITIATIVE. MANY OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 YOU WERE ON THAT CALL WITH ME. THERE IS INTEREST IN
2 COLLABORATION. RIGHT NOW THEY ARE JUST SETTING UP
3 THE INFRASTRUCTURE. CELL AND GENE THERAPY FOR THEM
4 RIGHT NOW IS NOT A BIG BUDGET IMPACT MODEL OR
5 IMPACTS THE BUDGET FRAMEWORK, BUT THERE IS INTEREST
6 FOR US TO GIVE GUIDANCE TO THEM IN TERMS OF WHAT'S
7 IN THE PIPELINE, WHAT THAT LOOKS LIKE. RIGHT NOW
8 THE FDA IS EXPECTING ANYWHERE FROM 15 TO 20 NEW
9 APPROVALS A YEAR INTO THE FUTURE ON CELL AND GENE
10 THERAPIES. AND WHEN YOU START THINKING ABOUT THE
11 COST OF THOSE THERAPIES, EVEN THOUGH THEY'RE NOT
12 LARGE FROM A PATIENT POPULATION STANDPOINT,
13 CUMULATIVELY IT WILL HAVE A LARGE IMPACT ON BUDGET
14 IMPACTS FOR PAYERS. SO THERE'S A GOOD OPPORTUNITY,
15 AND THAT HAS ALREADY KICKED OFF. SO LOOK FORWARD TO
16 POTENTIALLY FUTURE COLLABORATIONS WITH THAT GROUP ON
17 BEHALF, OF COURSE, OUR VICE CHAIR AS WELL.

18 SO THOSE ARE THREE POSSIBLE STRATEGIES FOR
19 NEAR-TERM OPPORTUNITIES. WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT
20 ROADMAP ELEMENTS, THIS IS NOT NECESSARILY A
21 TIMELINE, BUT JUST GIVES YOU AN EXAMPLE OF WHAT WE
22 ARE THINKING FROM A NEAR-TERM STANDPOINT. AGAIN, WE
23 HAVE THE APPROVED PRODUCTS. THAT'S THE EXPANSION OF
24 THE ALPHA CLINICS THAT KICKED OFF IN JANUARY OF THIS
25 YEAR. THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM, THAT RFA IS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 POSTED. WE ARE HOPING THAT WE'LL BE ABLE TO LAUNCH
2 THAT IN THE SECOND HALF OF NEXT YEAR. IN PROGRESS,
3 OF COURSE, IS THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF
4 EXCELLENCE. THAT'S GOING TO GIVE THAT OPPORTUNITY
5 FOR CLINICAL TRIAL ACTIVITIES OUT IN THE RURAL
6 COMMUNITIES, EDUCATION, ETC. THAT IS A VERY BIG
7 INITIATIVE.

8 AND THEN WE HAVE THE POSSIBLE STRATEGIES.
9 SO DISEASE REGISTRIES AND REAL-WORLD EVIDENCE. IF,
10 IN FACT, THAT'S SOMETHING WE WANT TO PURSUE, THAT
11 COULD BE KICKED OFF AS EARLY AS SEPTEMBER OF THIS
12 YEAR. A PAYER ADVISORY GROUP MEETING, WHICH WAS
13 RECOMMENDED, COULD KICK OFF IN DECEMBER OF THIS
14 YEAR. AND THEN POLICY OPPORTUNITIES, WE HAVE TO
15 REALLY THINK THROUGH SOME OF THE STRATEGIES, WHO WE
16 WANT TO ENGAGE WITH, AND THE DELIVERABLE, BUT THAT
17 COULD EASILY BE KICKED OFF IN JANUARY OF 2024.

18 AND THEN THROUGH THESE LEARNING SESSIONS,
19 WE'LL BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY NEW FUTURE STRATEGIES.
20 ONE OF THE CHALLENGES WITH CELL AND GENE THERAPY IS
21 THE FRAMEWORK IS CHANGING DAILY. THE PAYER PATHWAYS
22 ARE CHANGING CONSTANTLY. MANUFACTURING IS CHANGING.
23 DISTRIBUTION IS CHANGING. AND SO IT'S TOUGH TO GET
24 A HANDLE ON A TRAJECTORY OF WHERE YOU WANT TO GO
25 FOUR OR FIVE YEARS FROM NOW. SO IT'S REALLY ABOUT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BABY STEPS AND REALLY THE NEAR-TERM OBJECTIVES THAT
2 WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO HAVE AN IMPACT FOR PATIENTS WITH
3 RESPECT TO ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY.

4 AND SO WITH THAT, I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU
5 FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT WHAT WE HAVE TO DATE
6 ON NEAR-TERM OPPORTUNITIES. AND DO WANT TO OPEN IT
7 UP TO A SPECIFIC QUESTION. AND THAT IS, BASED ON
8 WHAT I REPORTED TODAY, ARE THE PROPOSED RESEARCH
9 ACTIVITIES APPROPRIATE AS INITIAL STEPS OF
10 IMPLEMENTATION FOR THE ROADMAP TO ACCESS AND
11 AFFORDABILITY? CHAIRMAN, I'LL HAND IT OVER TO YOU.

12 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
13 DR. TURBEVILLE. LEONDRAS, DID YOU WANT TO START THE
14 CONVERSATION?

15 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: VERY QUIET. I HAVEN'T
16 TALKED MUCH. THANK YOU. GREAT REPORT. AND
17 CONGRATULATIONS ON YOUR TENURE HERE AT CIRM.

18 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT YOU MENTIONED THAT
19 I THOUGHT WAS REALLY GREAT WAS THE IDEA OF A PAYER
20 ADVISORY BOARD. I JUST WONDER, IT MADE ME THINK
21 ABOUT, I KNOW THAT THERE ARE -- MY UNDERSTANDING IS
22 THAT THERE ARE SOME PATIENT KIND OF ADVISORY BOARDS
23 AND GROUPS THAT YOU ALL WORK WITH IN OTHER WAYS, BUT
24 WONDERING IF IT MIGHT MAKE SENSE TO ALSO THINK ABOUT
25 THAT AS PART OF THIS DISCUSSION, EVEN NOT JUST

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PATIENTS BECAUSE IT MIGHT MAKE SENSE TO HAVE
2 SPECIFIC PATIENTS FOR SPECIFIC AREAS WHERE YOU NEED
3 THAT FEEDBACK, BUT ALSO INCLUDING, LIKE, COMMUNITY
4 OR STAKEHOLDERS, PARTICULARLY CULTURAL INFORMANTS.
5 I KNOW WE TALK ABOUT THAT A LOT IN THE
6 COMMUNICATIONS SUBGROUP, THE IMPORTANCE OF, LIKE,
7 WHO YOU'RE WORKING WITH TO GET THE MESSAGE ABOUT
8 EVERYTHING OUT TO THE COMMUNITY. SO EVEN IF THOSE
9 KEY CULTURAL INFORMANTS SAT ON SOME TYPE OF
10 COMMUNITY ADVISORY BOARD AS WELL WHEREVER IT MAKES
11 SENSE. IT'S JUST SOMETHING THAT'S GOING AROUND IN
12 MY HEAD RIGHT NOW AS YOU TALKED ABOUT THE PAYER
13 BOARD, A NICE BALANCE TO IT, I THINK.

14 DR. TURBEVILLE: YEAH. THAT'S GOOD.
15 THANK YOU. YEAH. I THINK THAT PROVIDES A MUCH MORE
16 ROBUST INTERACTION. THANK YOU.

17 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: BOARD MEMBER BERNAL
18 IS NEXT.

19 MR. BERNAL: FIRST OF ALL, SEAN, THANK YOU
20 SO MUCH FOR THIS PRESENTATION. THERE'S A LOT OF
21 INNOVATIVE WORK IN THERE. IT'S BEEN A PLEASURE
22 WORKING WITH YOU OVER THESE YEARS, AND YOU'LL
23 CERTAINLY BE MISSED HERE AT CIRM.

24 I WANTED TO ASK SPECIFICALLY ABOUT THE
25 STRATEGY THAT RELATES TO ENGAGING WITH THE CENTER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES. THAT SEEMS TO
2 BE A REALLY GOOD IDEA WITH REGARD TO MEDICAID SINCE
3 THAT'S A POPULATION THAT WE ARE HOPING TO TARGET IN
4 MAKING OUR TRIALS AND TREATMENTS AVAILABLE. HOW
5 WOULD THAT FIT IN WITH CHAIR IMBASCIANI'S STATEMENTS
6 EARLIER ABOUT OUR SORT OF HAVING A LACK OF OR NOT
7 HAVING ENOUGH PRESENCE AND ENGAGEMENT WITH FEDERAL
8 LAWMAKERS? AND HOW WOULD WE GO ABOUT IMPLEMENTING
9 THAT STRATEGY GIVEN OUR CURRENT RESOURCES, OR WOULD
10 IT REQUIRE MORE STAFF?

11 DR. TURBEVILLE: YEAH. CERTAINLY. SO,
12 DR. IMBASCIANI, IT WOULD CERTAINLY PIGGYBACK YOUR
13 VISION OF GETTING INTO THE BELTWAY FOR THE MOST PART
14 AND INTERACTING ON THE FEDERAL LEVEL. THAT'S A
15 STRATEGY THAT CERTAINLY CAN BE PUT IN PLAY. BUT
16 CERTAINLY ENCOURAGE, WHEN THEY'RE ASKING US TO COME
17 OUT AND GIVE GUIDANCE, THAT'S ANALOGOUS TO PAYERS
18 REACHING OUT TO, LET'S SAY, A BIOPHARMA COMPANY,
19 WHICH HAPPENS RARELY. WHEN THEY DO ASK US FOR
20 GUIDANCE, WE LISTEN. SO IT'S A UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY.
21 I THINK THAT WOULD BE GREAT SYNERGY.

22 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES. RESPONDING
23 WHEN THEY ASK US, BUT I HAD IN MIND US ASKING THEM
24 TO ASK US.

25 DR. TURBEVILLE: WE CAN DO THAT TOO.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. BERNAL: THANK YOU.

2 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: ABLA, DID YOU WANT
3 TO MAKE A COMMENT?

4 DR. CREASEY: JUST A COMMENT REGARDING
5 ADVISORY PANELS. SO WE ACTUALLY HAVE IN OUR
6 STRATEGIC PLAN MARKETING APPROVAL ADVISORY PANELS.
7 AND WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF GETTING THOSE STARTED,
8 AND WE WILL INCLUDE PEOPLE LIKE PAYERS IN THOSE
9 PANELS. SO IT'S ALONG THE LINES OF WHAT YOU WERE
10 SUGGESTING, AND THANK YOU FOR MAKING THAT.

11 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: MEMBER LEVITT.

12 DR. LEVITT: SO, SCOTT, WE'VE TALKED ABOUT
13 THIS OFTEN. IN EACH OF THOSE DOMAINS AND WHAT YOU
14 PROPOSE THERE ARE UNIQUE CHALLENGES IN EACH OF THOSE
15 DOMAINS FOR AFFORDABILITY AND ACCESSIBILITY FOR
16 PEDIATRIC POPULATIONS. SO AS YOU'VE GONE THROUGH
17 THIS, I'D BE INTERESTED IN YOUR VIEW OF HOW TO
18 DIFFERENTIATE THAT IN A WAY OR A POSITIVE WAY THAT
19 THOSE ISSUES CAN BE ADDRESSED SPECIFICALLY BECAUSE
20 THEY WON'T BE ADDRESSED FULLY OR MAYBE NOT AT ALL IF
21 IT'S A VERY ADULT DISEASE-CENTRIC SET OF ACTIVITIES
22 THAT GOES ON. I DON'T HAVE TO BELABOR IT. YOU KNOW
23 WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT.

24 DR. TURBEVILLE: CERTAINLY. IT IS SORT OF
25 AN OVERLOOKED ISSUE, TO BE QUITE HONEST WITH YOU.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THERE ARE OPPORTUNITIES WITH THE STATE-FUNDED
2 PROGRAMS THAT ARE TRYING TO IMPROVE EXACTLY WHAT YOU
3 JUST DISCUSSED AND WHAT WE DISCUSSED IN THE PAST.
4 MEDICAID IS MEDICAID. AND TO BE HONEST WITH YOU, I
5 THINK WE'D HAVE TO DO A LITTLE BIT MORE DUE
6 DILIGENCE IN IDENTIFYING WHAT OPPORTUNITIES ARE
7 AVAILABLE ON THE PEDIATRIC SIDE.

8 DR. LEVITT: HAVE YOU HAD CONVERSATIONS
9 WITH, MAYBE OTHERS HAVE HAD CONVERSATION AT THE
10 STATE LEVEL FOR THOSE WHO SORT OF SEE THIS AS
11 CARRYING A TORCH ON THE LEGISLATIVE SIDE TO TRY TO
12 DEAL WITH THIS BECAUSE YOU COULD -- I CAN IMAGINE, I
13 DON'T EVEN HAVE TO IMAGINE BECAUSE IT EXISTS NOW,
14 THAT THE IDENTICAL TREATMENT THAT WOULD BE
15 REIMBURSED DIFFERENTLY IF IT'S A CHILD VERSUS IF
16 IT'S AN ADULT. SO ARE THERE CHAMPIONS THAT CAN BE
17 ENGAGED IN SOME WAY BECAUSE OTHERWISE, FROM MY
18 PERSPECTIVE, IT'S LIKE SPEAKING INTO THE WIND THAT'S
19 BLOWING AT YOU. SO IT DOESN'T GO VERY FAR.

20 DR. TURBEVILLE: CERTAINLY. WHAT YOU'RE
21 SPECIFICALLY GETTING AT IS REALLY THOSE
22 REIMBURSEMENT RATES FOR CERTAIN ORGANIZATIONS,
23 CERTAIN HOSPITALS. AND I THINK THAT WOULD BE AN
24 INITIATIVE THAT, AND I CAN'T SPEAK FOR CIRM
25 SPECIFICALLY, BUT CERTAINLY TAKE IT BACK TO THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP AND
2 SEE IF THERE'S ANY OPPORTUNITY THERE WHERE WE COULD
3 PERHAPS CHAMPION SOME NEW LANGUAGE THAT WE COULD
4 TAKE TO THE LEGISLATURE.

5 DR. LEVITT: AND THE OTHER THING IS THAT
6 THERE'S ALSO DIFFERENT NEEDS FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AT
7 THE ALPHA CLINICS AND OTHER AREAS BECAUSE A FAMILY,
8 A CAREGIVER BRINGING A CHILD TO A TREATMENT VISIT IS
9 DIFFERENT THAN EVEN A CAREGIVER BRINGING AN
10 ELDERLY ADULT TO TREATMENT. AND SO WHETHER
11 THERE'S GOING TO BE APPROPRIATE INFRASTRUCTURE TO
12 DEAL WITH THAT SO THAT ACCESSIBILITY WILL BE
13 ACTUALLY BALANCED, I THINK, WILL BE IMPORTANT TO DO
14 AS WELL. I DON'T HAVE ANY SOLUTIONS TO THIS.

15 DR. TURBEVILLE: IT'S A PRESSING ISSUE.

16 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: OKAY.

17 DR. CLARK-HARVEY: I WAS GOING TO SAY I
18 THINK YOU DO HAVE A SOLUTION. I THINK YOU PROPOSED
19 ONE AROUND FINDING LEGISLATIVE CHAMPIONS AND OTHERS.
20 I THINK IF THAT'S APPROPRIATE OR THAT'S NEEDED, THAT
21 SHOULD BE LOOKED AT. AND IF THERE'S OTHER WAYS OF
22 DOING THAT WITHOUT HAVING TO TAKE THAT STEP, I THINK
23 THAT THAT'S WORTH FURTHER DISCUSSION AS WELL.

24 DR. TURBEVILLE: THANK YOU.

25 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I DON'T SEE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ADDITIONAL BOARD COMMENT EITHER OUTSIDE THE ROOM OR
2 INSIDE THE ROOM. SO THIS IS NOT AN ACTION ITEM.
3 WE'RE GOING TO PROCEED TO OUR LAST ITEM ON THE
4 AGENDA. IS THERE ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC THAT
5 WOULD LIKE TO MAKE COMMENT ON ANY ITEM WHETHER ON
6 THE AGENDA OR NOT?

7 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: THERE ARE NO
8 PUBLIC.

9 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: NO PUBLIC COMMENTS.
10 THEN LET ME GIVE MY PERSONAL THANKS TO ALL THE BOARD
11 MEMBERS FOR MAKING THIS A VERY SWEET AND PRODUCTIVE
12 MEETING, BUT VERY NICE FOR ME. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
13 AND I THINK WE ARE ADJOURNED.

14 MR. TOCHER: HOUSEKEEPING, LITTLE
15 HOUSEKEEPING JUST QUICK. YOUR NEXT IN-PERSON, WE
16 WILL WELCOME YOU BACK HERE ON THE LAST THURSDAY OF
17 SEPTEMBER, SEPTEMBER 28TH, SAME TIME. AND THE
18 APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE WILL RESUME ITS
19 REGULAR PROGRAMMING ON JULY 27TH. THAT'S ALSO THE
20 LAST THURSDAY, AS WELL AS AUGUST 31ST. SO THOSE ARE
21 YOUR NEXT MEETINGS COMING UP IN THE NEXT QUARTER.
22 THANK YOU.

23 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU, SCOTT.
24 WE ARE ADJOURNED. THANK YOU SO MUCH, EVERYONE.

25 (THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 1:25 P.M.)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON JUNE 29, 2023, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152
133 HENNA COURT
SANDPOINT, IDAHO
(208) 920-3543