

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

BEFORE THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: AS INDICATED IN THE AGENDA

DATE JANUARY 30, 2019
11 A.M.

REPORTER BETH C. DRAIN, CSR
CA CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO. 2019-01

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

I N D E X

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
OPEN SESSION.	
1. CALL TO ORDER.	3
2. ROLL CALL.	3
3. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS . (CLIN1, 2 OR 3).	5
4. CLOSED SESSION: (DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OR WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION DATA, FINANCIAL INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH OR DATA, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INFORMATION RELATING TO APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO AGENDA ITEM “3” ABOVE. (HEALTH & SAFETY CODE 125290.30(F) (3) (B) AND (C)).	NONE
5. PUBLIC COMMENT.	NONE
6. ADJOURNMENT.	58

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JANUARY 30, 2019; 11 A.M.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WELCOME, EVERYBODY.
WOULD LIKE TO CALL THE FIRST REGULARLY SCHEDULED
MEETING OF 2019 FOR THE ICOC AND THE APPLICATION
REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE. MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE CALL
THE ROLL.

MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

DR. DULIEGE: YES.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: HE'S NOT TO SPEAK
YET.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID, WE'RE GIVING YOU
THE ABILITY TO PIPE IN IN JUST A SECOND. SORRY
ABOUT THAT.

STEVE JUELSGAARD.

DR. JUELSGAARD: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.

DR. MARTIN: PRESENT.

MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.

MS. MILLER: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.

DR. PADILLA: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.

MR. PANETTA: HERE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
2 DR. PRIETO: HERE.
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
4 DR. QUINT: PRESENT.
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
6 MR. ROWLETT: HERE.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
8 MR. SHEEHY: HERE.
9 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD. JONATHAN
10 THOMAS.
11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.
12 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
13 MR. TORRES: HERE.
14 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
15 MS. WINOKUR: HERE.
16 MS. BONNEVILLE THANK YOU. ARE THERE ANY
17 OTHER BOARD MEMBERS ON THE LINE WHOSE NAME I DID NOT
18 CALL?
19 DR. GASSON THIS IS JUDY GASSON. I'M ON
20 THE LINE.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, JUDY.
22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA. ON TO
23 ITEM NO. 3, CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED
24 IN RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS, CLIN1,
25 2, OR 3. I'LL TURN THIS AT THIS POINT OVER TO MR.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SHEEHY FOR THE PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW.

2 MR. SHEEHY: I BELIEVE DR. PATEL WILL TAKE
3 US THROUGH THE RECOMMENDATIONS THIS MORNING.

4 DR. PATEL: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY. I HAVE
5 A PRESENTATION PREPARED THAT'S GOING TO GUIDE US
6 THROUGH THESE THREE APPLICATIONS THAT ARE UP FOR
7 YOUR CONSIDERATION TODAY.

8 AS YOU ALL KNOW, THE CLINICAL PROGRAM IS
9 ACTUALLY COMPOSED OF THREE DISTINCT FUNDING
10 OPPORTUNITIES. TODAY I'LL BE PRESENTING ONE CLIN1
11 IND-ENABLING PROJECT AND TWO CLIN2 CLINICAL TRIAL
12 PROJECTS FOR YOUR REVIEW.

13 AS IT INDICATES, ALL APPLICATIONS THAT
14 COME TO YOU, THESE HAVE BEEN REVIEWED BY THE GRANTS
15 WORKING GROUP, AND WE USE A THREE-TIER SCORING
16 SYSTEM FOR THE CLINICAL PROGRAM. IF THE APPLICATION
17 HAS EXCEPTIONAL MERIT AND WARRANTS FUNDING, IT'S
18 GIVEN A SCORE OF 1. IF IT NEEDS IMPROVEMENT AND
19 DOES NOT WARRANT FUNDING AT THIS TIME, BUT CAN BE
20 RESUBMITTED, IT'S GIVEN A SCORE OF 2. AND, LASTLY,
21 IF IT'S SUFFICIENTLY FLAWED SUCH THAT IT DOES NOT
22 WARRANT FUNDING, IT CANNOT BE RESUBMITTED FOR SIX
23 MONTHS AND IS GIVEN A SCORE OF 3.

24 THE BOARD APPROVED \$93 MILLION AS THE
25 ANNUAL BUDGET FOR THE CLINICAL PROGRAM FOR THIS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 YEAR. AND IF THE AWARDS UNDER CONSIDERATION TODAY
2 ARE APPROVED, THEY TOTAL ABOUT \$24 MILLION. THAT
3 WILL LEAVE \$69 MILLION AS THE UNUSED BALANCE FOR THE
4 REMAINDER OF THIS YEAR FOR THE CLINICAL PROGRAM.
5 THIS DOES NOT INCLUDE THE SICKLE CELL ALLOCATION IN
6 THESE NUMBERS.

7 THE CIRM SETS INTERNAL TARGETS FOR THE
8 NUMBER OF PROJECTS WE EXPECT TO FUND IN CLIN2 AND
9 CLIN1. IN CLIN2 OUR ANNUAL TARGET IS EIGHT CLINICAL
10 TRIALS FOR THIS YEAR. IF YOU APPROVE THE TWO
11 CLINICAL TRIAL PROJECTS THAT ARE UNDER CONSIDERATION
12 TODAY, THAT WOULD GET US A FOURTH OF THE WAY THERE.
13 ON THE CLIN1 SIDE, WE EXPECT TO FUND TWO LATE STAGE
14 PRECLINICAL PROJECTS. IF YOU FUND THE ONE THAT'S UP
15 FOR CONSIDERATION TODAY, THAT GETS HALFWAY TO THE
16 GOAL FOR THE YEAR.

17 SO I'M GOING TO GO THROUGH THE THREE
18 PROJECTS STARTING WITH THE FIRST ONE. THIS IS
19 CLIN1-10953. THIS IS THE IND-ENABLING STUDIES FOR
20 HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE THERAPY. THE THERAPY ITSELF IS
21 HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM-CELL DERIVED NEURAL STEM CELLS.
22 AND, AGAIN, THE INDICATION IS HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE.
23 THE GOAL FOR THIS PROJECT IS AN IND FILING. ALONG
24 THE WAY, THEY PLAN TO DO SOME MANUFACTURING
25 OPTIMIZATION AS WELL AS THE NECESSARY IND-ENABLING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 STUDIES FOR THIS PROJECT.

2 THE FUNDS REQUESTED ARE \$6 MILLION WITH
3 ZERO DOLLARS FOR CO-FUNDING, AND THE MAXIMUM FUNDS
4 ALLOWABLE FOR THIS CATEGORY IS \$6 MILLION.

5 I PREPARED A FEW SLIDES THAT CAN HELP YOU
6 GUIDE THE DISCUSSION FOR THIS PROJECT. FIRSTLY, HD
7 IS AN INHERITED DISEASE THAT AFFECTS 30,000 PATIENTS
8 IN THE U.S. THE ADULT ONSET OF THIS DISEASE IS MORE
9 COMMON THAN THE JUVENILE HD VERSION OF THIS DISEASE.
10 AND HD PATIENTS TYPICALLY LIVE 15 TO 20 YEARS AFTER
11 ONSET OF THESE SYMPTOMS.

12 AS MANY OF YOU KNOW, HD IS A PROGRESSIVE
13 NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDER CAUSED BY A DEFECT IN THE
14 HUNTINGTON GENE THAT LEADS TO DEATH OF NEURONS IN
15 VARIOUS REGIONS OF THE BRAIN.

16 THERE ARE CURRENTLY NO CURES OR EVEN
17 DISEASE-MODIFYING THERAPIES FOR HD. THE PROPOSED
18 CELL THERAPY THAT'S UNDER CONSIDERATION TODAY WOULD
19 POTENTIALLY DELAY THE PROGRESSION OF THE DISEASE,
20 BUT DOES NOT ADDRESS THE UNDERLYING GENETIC CAUSE OF
21 THE DISEASE.

22 THE THERAPY ITSELF INVOLVES NEURAL STEM
23 CELLS DERIVED FROM EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS, WHICH IS
24 WHY IT QUALIFIES AS A STEM CELL PROJECT AND IS
25 ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING BY CIRM.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WE CURRENTLY HAVE NO RELATED HD APPROACHES
2 IN OUR PORTFOLIO FOR CLINICAL TRIAL PROJECTS. AND
3 THIS PARTICULAR APPLICANT HAD RECEIVED PREVIOUS
4 FUNDING FROM CIRM FOR THE TRANSLATIONAL WORK THAT
5 WAS DONE TO GET TO THIS STAGE AS WELL AS THE INITIAL
6 CANDIDATE DISCOVERY WORK TO IDENTIFY A SINGLE
7 CANDIDATE.

8 THE GWG REVIEWED THIS PROPOSAL AND GAVE IT
9 A SCORE OF 1. THERE WERE EIGHT VOTES IN TIER I, ONE
10 VOTE IN TIER II, AND FIVE VOTES IN TIER III. THE
11 CIRM TEAM CONCURS WITH THE GWG RECOMMENDATION FOR
12 FUNDING OF THIS APPLICATION FOR THE AWARD AMOUNT
13 REQUESTED, WHICH IS \$6 MILLION. MR. SHEEHY.

14 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. SO DO I HAVE A
15 MOTION TO ACCEPT THE TEAM RECOMMENDATION?

16 MR. TORRES: SO MOVED.

17 MR. SHEEHY: MOVED BY SENATOR TORRES. DO
18 I HAVE A SECOND?

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECOND.

20 MR. SHEEHY: SECOND BY CHAIRMAN THOMAS.
21 SO ANY DISCUSSION FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?

22 DR. DULIEGE: COULD SOMEONE EXPLAIN WHY
23 THIS APPLICATION RECEIVED FIVE SCORES OF 3, WHICH IS
24 QUITE SIGNIFICANT?

25 DR. PATEL: YEAH, SURE. I CAN DO THAT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO DURING THE REVIEW OF THIS APPLICATION, SOME
2 REVIEWERS THOUGHT THAT CELL THERAPIES THAT DO NOT
3 ADDRESS THE UNDERLYING GENETIC CAUSE OF THE DISEASE
4 DON'T HAVE A ROLE IN THE CLINICAL TREATMENT OF HD.
5 THEIR ARGUMENT WAS BASED ON THE FACT THAT THE
6 BENEFITS FOR THIS PARTICULAR TYPE OF THERAPY WOULD
7 NOT OUTWEIGH THE RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE
8 INTERVENTION ITSELF, THE SURGERY AS WELL AS THE
9 IMMUNOSUPPRESSION THAT WOULD BE NECESSARY.

10 OTHER REVIEWERS FELT THAT IT'S TOO EARLY
11 TO RULE OUT THE ROLE OF CELL THERAPY IN HD. AND
12 THEY THOUGHT IF THERE'S GOING TO BE ONE PARTICULAR
13 CELL THERAPY THAT WOULD BE PERFECTLY SUITED TO STUDY
14 THE ROLE, IT WOULD BE THIS ONE. THIS IS THE MOST
15 ADVANCED AND HAS THE MOST DATA TO BACK IT UP.

16 MR. SHEEHY: DO WE HAVE ADDITIONAL
17 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?

18 MR. PANETTA: THIS IS JOE PANETTA.

19 MR. SHEEHY: PLEASE.

20 MR. PANETTA: I'VE GOT TWO QUESTIONS,
21 PLEASE. THE FIRST IS I KNOW WE FUNDED TWO PREVIOUS
22 PROJECTS FOR THIS PARTICULAR THERAPY. AND MY TWO
23 QUESTIONS ARE DO WE HAVE THE SCORES FOR THOSE TWO,
24 AND HOW MUCH IN AWARD MONEY HAVE WE GRANTED FOR
25 THOSE TWO?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. SHEEHY: GIVE US A SECOND.

2 DR. PATEL: WE'RE GOING TO LOOK THAT UP
3 FOR YOU. JUST TO CLARIFY, THAT IT'S THE SAME
4 PROJECT THAT WAS FUNDED PREVIOUSLY FOR TRANSLATIONAL
5 AND CANDIDATE DISCOVERY WORK.

6 MR. SHEEHY: I THINK YOU HAD A SECOND
7 QUESTION.

8 MR. PANETTA: THOSE ARE THE TWO QUESTIONS,
9 THE AMOUNT OF FUNDING AND THE SCORES. THANKS.

10 DR. MARTIN: LET ME JUST ASK ANOTHER
11 QUESTION ABOUT THESE FIVE NEGATIVE VOTES. AND
12 OBVIOUSLY, THE COMPOSITION OF THIS WORKING GROUP
13 INCLUDED SOPHISTICATED NEUROSCIENTISTS. WITHOUT
14 KNOWING THE COMPOSITION, THE NAYSAYERS OR THE
15 NEGATIVE OR PESSIMISTIC ONES ARE MORE NEUROSCIENCE
16 ORIENTED OR MORE STEM CELL ORIENTED. I JUST WONDER
17 ABOUT THE JUDGMENT THAT'S BEING USED HERE BECAUSE
18 THIS IS JUST JUDGMENT WITHOUT REALLY KNOWING THE
19 OUTCOME. IT WOULD BE INTERESTING TO KNOW HOW THOSE
20 FIVE NEGATIVE VOTES OR THOSE THREE VOTES MIGHT BE
21 WEIGHTED. IF THEY'RE ALL EQUAL, THEN THEY'RE ALL
22 EQUAL AND WE CAN TAKE EIGHT OUT OF FIVE.

23 DR. PATEL: THERE WERE NEUROSCIENTISTS ON
24 BOTH SIDES OF THE ARGUMENT. I'M NOT SURE IF THAT
25 HELPS, BUT WE'RE LOOKING AT THE SCORES. THERE WERE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 NEUROSCIENTISTS ON BOTH SIDES OF THE ARGUMENT.

2 DR. MARTIN: ALL RIGHT. THAT MAKES IT A
3 MORE DIFFICULT DECISION.

4 MR. SHEEHY: I THINK THESE DISCUSSIONS ARE
5 ALMOST THEOLOGICAL IN NATURE.

6 MR. PANETTA: COULD I JUST ASK A DIFFERENT
7 KIND OF A QUESTION ON THIS PLEASE?

8 MR. SHEEHY: SURE.

9 MR. PANETTA: SHYAM, WHAT I'D LIKE TO
10 UNDERSTAND, IS IT BASICALLY THE IDEA TO GRANT THIS
11 EVEN WITH THE DIVISION IN THE SCORES? IS
12 IT -- THERE REALLY ISN'T ANYTHING IN THE WAY OF A
13 PROMISING THERAPY TO TREAT HUNTINGTON'S. IS THAT
14 PRETTY MUCH CORRECT?

15 DR. PATEL: SO THERE ARE CLINICAL TRIALS
16 FOR OTHER APPROACHES. SOME ADDRESS THE GENETIC
17 CAUSE OF THE DISEASE. IN TERMS OF CELL THERAPIES,
18 THIS, IN THE OPINION OF THE GWG, IS THE MOST
19 ADVANCED.

20 MR. PANETTA: OKAY. THANKS.

21 DR. MARTIN: JUST ANOTHER COMMENT. IT'S
22 SORT OF STANDING AT 50,000 FEET. THERE ARE ONLY TWO
23 SLOTS FOR CLIN1. AND HERE WE HAVE ONE THAT'S
24 CLEARLY DIVIDED. IT MADE AN IMPRESSION AMONG THE
25 REVIEWERS. THAT WOULD LEAVE US ONE FOR THE NEXT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THREE QUARTERS. I'M JUST WORRIED ABOUT JUMPING IN
2 ON THAT. I MEAN IF WE HAD FOUR, I'D BE MORE -- I
3 GUESS MORE RECEPTIVE OF A SPLIT VOTE. I REALLY
4 WONDER ABOUT OUR JUDGMENT GOING FORWARD IF WE SPEND
5 HALF OF OUR AMMUNITION ON ONE THAT'S REALLY SPLIT.
6 IS THAT WISE?

7 MR. SHEEHY: SO WE'VE GOT A LITTLE BIT OF
8 A BACKUP. SO I HAVE DR. PRIETO AND THEN I HAVE
9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS. I THINK SHYAM, DR. PATEL, AT THE
10 TIME WANTED TO RESPOND TO THOSE QUESTIONS. AND WE
11 DO HAVE THE ANSWERS TO MR. PANETTA'S PRIOR
12 QUESTIONS. SO MAYBE WE COULD TAKE MR. PANETTA'S
13 PRIOR QUESTIONS AND THEN SHYAM AND THEN FRANCISCO,
14 DR. PRIETO, AND THEN CHAIRMAN THOMAS. DOES THAT
15 SEEM REASONABLE TO KIND OF LINE IT UP?

16 DR. PATEL: I'M GOING TO ANSWER THE
17 QUESTIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE PRIOR FUNDING FOR THIS
18 PROJECT. SO THE TRANSLATIONAL PROJECT RECEIVED \$4.9
19 MILLION, AND THE DISCOVERY STAGE PROJECT RECEIVED
20 \$1.65 MILLION.

21 AND THE QUESTION ABOUT THE ALLOCATION. SO
22 THOSE ARE NOT CAPS. THE WAY THAT THOSE TARGETS ARE
23 ARRIVED AT IS TAKING A \$93 MILLION BUDGET AND
24 REASONABLY APPROXIMATING HOW MANY CLIN2 AWARDS AND
25 CLIN1 AWARDS WOULD HELP US PROGRESS TOWARD OUR BIG

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SIX GOALS, BUT THOSE ARE NOT MEANT TO BE CAPS IN ANY
2 WAY WHATSOEVER.

3 SO JUST TO PROVIDE SOME BACKGROUND ON
4 THAT. LAST YEAR, IN 2018, THE BOARD HAD FUNDED
5 QUITE A FEW MORE CLIN1 PROJECTS THAN WERE TARGETED
6 FOR OUR ALLOCATION. AND SO IT'S NOT MEANT TO BE A
7 CAP IN THAT RESPECT.

8 DR. MARTIN: THAT HELPS.

9 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. THEN WE HAVE DR.
10 PRIETO.

11 DR. PRIETO THAT MAY HAVE ANSWERED MOST OF
12 THIS, BUT I JUST WANTED TO REITERATE THAT THE GWG
13 FELT THAT THIS WAS THE MOST PROMISING APPROACH FOR A
14 DISEASE THAT IS PRETTY DEVASTATING AND CURRENTLY
15 UNTREATABLE AND ON WHICH WE HAVE NOT PUT A LOT OF
16 ATTENTION.

17 MR. SHEEHY: CHAIRMAN THOMAS.

18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I JUST REITERATE WHAT
19 DR. PRIETO SAID. THIS IS SORT OF THE INEXACT
20 SCIENCE WHEN THEY'RE EVALUATING. AT THE GWG IT WAS
21 THE MAJORITY VIEW THAT THIS WAS THE MOST PROMISING
22 APPROACH THAT'S OUT THERE, AND AS SUCH IT WAS
23 RECOMMENDED. BUT THIS IS SORT OF ONE OF THE CLASSIC
24 PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW TYPE OF PROJECTS WHERE, AMONG
25 OTHER THINGS, WE HAVE TO EVALUATE WHAT THE CURRENT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AMOUNT OF ATTENTION THE DISEASE HAS IN OUR
2 PORTFOLIO. AND AS DR. PATEL NOTED, THIS IS NOT
3 SOMETHING THAT WE HAVE A LOT OF COVERAGE ON, AND
4 THIS IS A DISEASE THAT DESPERATELY NEEDS NEW
5 APPROACHES TO TRY TO RESOLVE.

6 SO FOR THAT REASON -- AND THEY DID THINK
7 THIS WAS THE MOST PROMISING CELLULAR THERAPY
8 PROJECT. I UNDERSTAND, DAVE, WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. I
9 WOULD POINT THAT, ON BALANCE, I THINK THIS IS
10 SOMETHING WE SHOULD SUPPORT.

11 MR. SHEEHY: SENATOR TORRES HAD A COMMENT.
12 I HEARD SOMEBODY ELSE SPEAK UP ON THE PHONE.

13 MR. TORRES: YES. I WANTED TO CONCUR WITH
14 DR. PRIETO AND DR. THOMAS. HAVING BEEN PRESENT
15 DURING THAT GWG, IT WAS VERY CLEAR THAT THIS IS THE
16 MOST PROMISING WE HAVE ON THE TABLE. AND QUITE
17 FRANKLY, WE'RE A LITTLE VACANT IN TERMS OF THIS
18 DISEASE IN OUR PORTFOLIO. AND WE HAVE INVESTED
19 SUBSTANTIALLY ALREADY IN OTHER PROJECTS THAT LED US
20 TO THIS POINT; ISN'T THAT CORRECT, DR. PATEL?

21 DR. PATEL: YES, THAT'S CORRECT.

22 MR. TORRES: HE'S NODDING YES.

23 MR. SHEEHY: DO WE HAVE ADDITIONAL
24 COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? I
25 BELIEVE WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS. PLEASE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ANNOUNCE YOUR NAME IF YOU DON'T MIND. YOU DON'T
2 HAVE TO, BUT IT'S HELPFUL FOR THE RECORD.

3 MR. REED: THIS IS DON REED. WHENEVER
4 CIRM TAKES ON A CHALLENGE, THERE'S ALWAYS TWO
5 THINGS. ONE, PEOPLE ARE SUFFERING AND, SECOND,
6 MAYBE THERE'S A WAY TO EASE THE AGONY. SELDOM IS
7 THIS MORE CLEAR THAN THE BATTLE AGAINST
8 HUNTINGTON'S. THE SUFFERING IS UNQUESTIONABLE AND
9 THERE IS NO CURE, NOT YET. THE DISEASE ITSELF IS
10 TERRIBLE. LIKE THAT SENTENCE FROM SHAKESPEARE,
11 "THOSE WHOM THE GODS WOULD DESTROY, THEY FIRST MAKE
12 MAD." HUNTINGTON'S DOES THAT. BAD ENOUGH THAT IT
13 SLOWLY KILLS THE SUFFERER PHYSICALLY, FIFTEEN OR 20
14 YEARS OF SUFFERING BEFORE DEATH. IT AFFECTS THEIR
15 MINDS AS WELL, MAKING THEM FOUL TEMPERED OR REMOVING
16 THEIR GOOD JUDGMENT.

17 I THINK THIS MAY MAKE THE SUFFERERS
18 LITERALLY INSANE. THE FAMILY CONSTANTLY HAS TO
19 REMIND THEMSELVES THIS IS THE DISEASE, NOT HIM OR
20 HER. AND THEY MAY GO THROUGH THIS FOR DECADES. IS
21 THERE HOPE FOR THIS PARTICULAR APPROACH,
22 CLIN1-10953? WILL BRAIN-DERIVED NEUROTROPHIC
23 FACTORS SERVE AS NERVE FERTILIZERS HELP IN THE FIGHT
24 AGAINST HUNTINGTON'S? AND THE SCIENTISTS DECREASED
25 THE LEVELS OF SOMETHING CALLED HDT PROTEIN WHICH

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MAY, IN FACT, CAUSE THE CONDITION.

2 LASTLY, WILL THE NEWLY ADDED NEURAL STEM
3 CELLS, HEALTHY ONES, OVERCOME THE SICKNESS OF
4 HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE? ONE THING WE KNOW ALREADY
5 WHEN YOU THINK OF PEOPLE QUALIFIED TO FIGHT
6 HUNTINGTON'S, THERE THEY ARE, TOPNOTCH FOLKS,
7 CHAMPIONS IN THE FIELD. SOME HAVE STUDIED
8 HUNTINGTON'S FOR MORE THAN 30 YEARS. THEY EXAMINED
9 THE POSSIBILITIES. THIS IS WHAT THEY BELIEVE WILL
10 HELP.

11 I THANK THE BOARD FOR ITS ATTENTION.
12 PLEASE GIVE THE EXPERT THE FUNDING THEY NEED TO
13 CHALLENGE THIS VILE AND HATEFUL CONDITION. THANK
14 YOU.

15 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU, MR. REED. WE HAVE
16 ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT? THANK YOU.

17 DR. THOMPSON: MY NAME IS LESLIE THOMPSON
18 AND I'M FROM UC IRVINE. IT'S OUR APPLICATION. AND
19 AS YOU'VE HEARD IT AND HAVE HEARD OVER THE YEARS, HD
20 IS UNRELENTING, PROGRESSIVE TO FINAL LIFE, AND
21 THERE'S NOTHING THAT CHANGES THE COURSE OF DISEASE
22 RIGHT NOW. I'VE WORKED ON THIS FOR 30 YEARS. I DO
23 FEEL THIS IS VERY, VERY PROMISING. AND WE HAVE A
24 LOT OF PROMISING PROSPECTS FOR TREATMENT, BUT WE
25 DON'T HAVE ANYTHING NOW. AND THIS COULD BE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SOMETHING THAT CAN BE LEVERAGED WITH THOSE EVEN IN
2 COMBINATION POTENTIALLY.

3 SO WE PROPOSE TO USE THIS HUMAN STEM
4 CELL-DERIVED PRODUCT. AS YOU'VE HEARD, IT'S HAD
5 EXTENSIVE INVESTMENT, GUIDANCE FROM CIRM. WE'VE
6 BEEN WORKING VERY CLOSELY WITH THE FDA, WITH AN
7 INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION OF INVESTIGATORS WHO ARE
8 ALL THINKING ABOUT HOW TO BEST USE THIS TYPE OF
9 APPROACH: IMMUNOLOGISTS, TRANSPLANT SURGEONS, OTHER
10 INDIVIDUALS WHO EVEN HAVE PARTICIPATED IN FETAL CELL
11 TRANSPLANTS PREVIOUSLY.

12 WE HAVE -- WE'D LIKE TO CARRY OUT THE
13 PIVOTAL SAFETY TRIALS AND ALSO SAFETY STUDIES AND
14 IND-ENABLING ACTIVITIES. WHAT WE DO KNOW ABOUT THIS
15 IS THAT IT PROVIDES EXTENSIVE NEUROPROTECTION,
16 REPLACES SOME OF THE FACTORS, NURSING FACTORS, THAT
17 ARE LEFT IN THE DISEASE IN THREE DIFFERENT
18 HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE MOUSE MODELS. AS YOU HEARD
19 FROM DON, IT REDUCES THE FORMATION OF THIS TOXIC
20 POISON THAT BUILDS UP IN THE BRAIN, AND THAT'S ONE
21 OF THE MOST EXCITING THINGS TO US ABOUT THIS. IT
22 DOES GET AT THE ROOT CAUSE OF HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE
23 TO SOME DEGREE. DOESN'T CHANGE THE MUTATION, BUT IT
24 DOES REDUCE THE FORMATION OF SOMETHING WE KNOW IS
25 REALLY DEADLY. AND IT HAS THIS VERY ROBUST EFFECT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IN THREE MODELS.

2 WE WANT TO DELVE DEEPER INTO THE MECHANISM
3 THAT'S INVOLVED AS PART OF THE CLIN1 ACTIVITIES.
4 AND, AGAIN, I'VE REALLY COMMITTED MYSELF TO THIS,
5 AND I FEEL THAT IS A VERY PROMISING APPROACH. AND
6 REALLY THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION OF THE
7 PROPOSAL. THANKS.

8 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU.

9 MS. SALDANA: THANK YOU FOR LETTING ME
10 TAKE A FEW MINUTES OF YOUR TIME. MY NAME IS FRANCES
11 SALDANA, HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE PATIENT ADVOCATE. I
12 SPENT HALF OF MY LIFE FIGHTING AGAINST A DISEASE
13 THAT HAS TERRORIZED MY FAMILY FOR GENERATIONS. THE
14 DISEASE TOOK MY MOTHER-IN-LAW, THE FATHER OF MY
15 CHILDREN, AND ALL THREE OF MY CHILDREN. THE DISEASE
16 KILLS OUR MOMS, OUR DADS, OUR BROTHERS, SISTERS, AND
17 OUR CHILDREN, AND IT DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE.

18 HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE HAUNTS ME EVERY DAY
19 IN KNOWING THAT MY OWN GRANDCHILDREN ARE AT RISK TO
20 INHERIT THE KILLER GENE. WE HAVE NO CURE OR EVEN A
21 TREATMENT FOR HD, NOT EVEN SOMETHING TO SLOW IT
22 DOWN. THOUGH THIS TRULY IS A STATE OF EMERGENCY FOR
23 US, OUR FAMILY MEMBERS CONTINUE TO DIE.
24 FURTHERMORE, HD FAMILY MEMBERS THAT HAVE STILL NOT
25 BEEN TESTED LIVE IN UNCERTAINTY AND FEAR.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BUT THE GOOD NEWS IS THAT HAVING A
2 TREATMENT FOR HD IS NO LONGER JUST A FANTASY. IT IS
3 WITHIN OUR REACH, AND HD FAMILIES CLING TO THAT HOPE
4 THAT COMES FROM THE WORK OF OUR AMAZING SCIENTISTS.
5 THROUGH THEIR ORGANIZED KNOWLEDGE AND TESTABLE
6 EXPLANATION AND PREDICTION, THE HARD WORK OF
7 SCIENTISTS HAS FINALLY PUT US IN THE CUSP OF TRULY
8 HAVING A TREATMENT FOR HD. IT IS NOT, HOWEVER,
9 ENOUGH TO PUT ALL OUR EFFORT INTO ONE TREATMENT
10 OPTION. WE NEED TO TRY AS MANY OPTIONS AS POSSIBLE
11 AS NOT DOING SO COULD MEAN THAT YET ANOTHER
12 GENERATION OF HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE FAMILIES WILL
13 LOSE THE FIGHT WHILE THE POSSIBLE TREATMENT WE LEAVE
14 IN THE HD LAB WITHOUT EVER BEING DISCOVERED.

15 HD FAMILIES ECHO THE WORDS OF DR. STANLEY
16 CROOKE, CEO OF IONIS PHARMACEUTICALS, WHO STATES THE
17 FOLLOWING ABOUT HIS COMPANY, "OUR CULTURE IS BUILT
18 ON OPEN SCIENCE AND AN ABSOLUTE AVERSION TO THE WORD
19 "NO." A DESPERATE PATIENT WITH FEW OPTIONS FOR
20 TREATMENT SHOULD NEVER HEAR THAT WORD.

21 MY DAUGHTER MARIE LOST THE FIGHT TO HD
22 NINE YEARS AGO. MY SECOND DAUGHTER MARGIE ALSO LOST
23 THE FIGHT TO HD FIVE YEARS AGO AND HER MEMORIAL IS
24 COMING UP NEXT WEEK. AND MY SON MICHAEL, THE
25 FIGHTER, LOST THE FIGHT, A LONG, DRAWN-OUT FIGHT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 JUST A YEAR AGO. HE'S GONE; THEY'RE GONE, BUT TO ME
2 THE PAIN IS AS FRESH AS IF IT JUST HAPPENED
3 YESTERDAY.

4 MARGIE GAVE ME TWO BEAUTIFUL GRANDCHILDREN
5 WHO ARE NOW AT RISK FOR HD. SHE WOULD HAVE GIVEN
6 HER LIFE TO SAVE HER CHILDREN'S LIFE. SHE WOULD
7 HAVE RISKED HER OWN LIFE, TRIED ANY NEW, ANY NEW,
8 NOVEL THERAPY. IT WAS NOT AVAILABLE TO HER.
9 WITHOUT A TREATMENT FOR HD, MY CHILDREN AND
10 THOUSANDS OF OTHER HD FAMILIES WHO HAVE FOUGHT SO
11 HARD WILL HAVE DIED IN VAIN AND MANY MORE WILL
12 CONTINUE TO DIE. SO IN MEMORY OF MY CHILDREN AND ON
13 BEHALF OF ALL HD FAMILY MEMBERS WHO ARE ANXIOUSLY
14 AWAITING A TREATMENT, PLEASE FUND DR. THOMPSON'S
15 THERAPEUTIC TRIAL FOR HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE. THANK
16 YOU.

17 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. MY HEART GOES OUT
18 TO YOU. I CAN'T IMAGINE.

19 MS. SALDANA: I KNOW. I KNOW IT DOES.

20 MR. SHEEHY: SO I THINK WE'RE AT A POINT
21 WHERE WE CAN TAKE A VOTE.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

23 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.

25 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
2 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
4 DR. MARTIN: YES.
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
6 MS. MILLER: YES.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
8 DR. PADILLA: YES.
9 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
10 MR. PANETTA: YES.
11 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
12 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
13 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
14 DR. QUINT: NO.
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
16 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
18 MR. SHEEHY: YES.
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
22 MR. TORRES: AYE.
23 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
24 MS. WINOKUR: YES.
25 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. THE MOTION

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CARRIES.

2 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. AND I HOPE THIS
3 HELPS.

4 MS. SALDANA: OH, IT DOES. THANK YOU SO
5 MUCH.

6 MR. SHEEHY: AND I KNOW THIS, DR.
7 THOMPSON'S WORK, HOW MANY YEARS NOW, SIX, EIGHT?

8 DR. THOMPSON: YEAH. IT'S BEEN AWHILE.

9 MR. SHEEHY: I HOPE WE HAVE IT IN TIME FOR
10 YOUR GRANDCHILDREN.

11 DR. THOMPSON: THEY'RE VERY HOPEFUL. I
12 KNOW -- REALLY, MY HOPE COMES FROM WHEN YOURS
13 STARTS. THAT'S REALLY GOING TO HELP. SOMETHING IS
14 ABOUT TO HAPPEN HERE. SO THANK YOU SO MUCH. THANK
15 YOU.

16 MR. SHEEHY: OKAY. I THINK WE'RE BACK TO
17 DR. PATEL FOR THE SECOND APPLICATION UNDER
18 CONSIDERATION TODAY.

19 DR. PATEL: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY. SO THE
20 SECOND APPLICATION IS CLIN2-11400. THIS IS A
21 CLINICAL STUDY OF A THERAPY FOR RENAL FAILURE. THE
22 THERAPY ITSELF IS DONOR HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELL
23 GRAFT PLUS DONOR T-CELLS AS WELL AS RECIPIENT
24 EXPANDED T REGULATORY CELLS. I'LL EXPLAIN ALL THAT
25 IN A LITTLE BIT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE INDICATION ITSELF IS THESE ARE
2 PATIENTS WHO HAVE KIDNEY DISEASE THAT REQUIRE KIDNEY
3 TRANSPLANTATION. THE GOAL OF THIS PROJECT IS TO
4 COMPLETE THE PHASE 1 STUDY. AND THEY'RE REQUESTING
5 \$11.9 MILLION OF FUNDING. THERE IS ZERO DOLLARS OF
6 CO-FUNDING, AND THE MAXIMUM FUNDS ALLOWABLE FOR THIS
7 CATEGORY IS ALSO \$12 MILLION.

8 SO JUST A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND ON THE
9 IMPACT, VALUE PROPOSITION, AND WHY THIS IS ELIGIBLE
10 FOR CIRM FUNDING. OVER A 100,000 NEW CASES OF
11 KIDNEY FAILURE ARE REPORTED EACH YEAR, AND 17,000
12 KIDNEY TRANSPLANTS ARE PERFORMED ANNUALLY. HOWEVER,
13 THERE IS A WAITING LIST OF A 100,000 PATIENTS ON THE
14 TRANSPLANT LIST.

15 EVEN WITH IMPROVEMENTS IN
16 IMMUNOSUPPRESSION REGIMENS, 50 PERCENT OF HLA
17 MISMATCHED TRANSPLANTS ARE LOST TO CHRONIC
18 REJECTION. AND THESE PATIENTS REQUIRE LIFELONG
19 IMMUNOSUPPRESSION, WHICH CARRIES MANY RISKS,
20 INCLUDING INFECTION, CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE, AND
21 DIABETES. THE PROPOSED THERAPY HERE AIMS TO ACHIEVE
22 MIXED HEMATOPOIETIC CHIMERISM, SO THE DONOR KIDNEY
23 PLUS THE DONOR HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELLS ARE
24 TRANSFUSED INTO THE PATIENT. AND THE INTENT HERE IS
25 TO INDUCE LONG-TERM TRANSPLANT TOLERANCE AND TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR IMMUNOSUPPRESSION. IT'S
2 ELIGIBLE FOR CIRM FUNDING BECAUSE THE THERAPY ITSELF
3 INCLUDES HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELLS.

4 THERE ARE 2 OTHER RELATED CIRM PORTFOLIO
5 PROJECTS IN THE CLINICAL STAGE THAT WE ARE FUNDING,
6 AND THEY ALL HOPE TO ACHIEVE THE SAME OUTCOME, WHICH
7 IS MIXED CHIMERISM IN THE PATIENT AS WELL AS TO
8 ACHIEVE LONG-TERM KIDNEY TOLERANCE. AND THEY ALL
9 KIND OF BUILD ON TOP OF EACH OTHER.

10 I'M GOING TO START WITH THE PHASE 3 TRIAL
11 THAT WE ARE FUNDING. THE THERAPY ITSELF IS DONOR
12 HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELLS AND THE DONOR T-CELLS, AND
13 THIS IS FOR HLA-MATCHED RECIPIENTS. WE'RE ALSO
14 FUNDING A PHASE 1 TRIAL WHICH IS THE SAME DONOR
15 HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELL GRAFT AND DONOR T-CELLS, BUT
16 IT IS FOR HLA-MISMATCHED RECIPIENTS. AND THE
17 CURRENT APPLICATION UNDER REVIEW FOR YOU TODAY IS IN
18 THAT SAME HLA-MISMATCHED POPULATION, BUT IT INCLUDES
19 EXPANDED RECIPIENT T REGS, WHICH THE AIM HERE IS TO
20 HELP THAT MIXED CHIMERISM -- IMPROVE THE SUCCESS
21 RATE OF MIXED CHIMERISM WITH THE T REGS BEING
22 INJECTED IN THE PATIENT AS WELL.

23 I HOPE THAT'S KIND OF CLEAR. I'M HAPPY TO
24 ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT DURING THE
25 DISCUSSION. AS NOTED, WE ARE FUNDING THE PHASE 1

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TRIAL THAT IS DIRECTLY RELATED TO THIS PARTICULAR
2 INDICATION AND ITS THERAPY, AND THAT IS AN ONGOING
3 TRIAL WHICH IS EXPECTED TO CONCLUDE IN 2021.

4 THIS APPLICATION WAS REVIEWED BY THE GWG
5 AND UNANIMOUSLY GOT A TIER I SCORE. THERE WERE 14
6 VOTES IN TIER I. THE CIRM TEAM CONCURS WITH THAT
7 RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THIS APPLICATION FOR THE FULL
8 AWARD AMOUNT OF 11,969,435.

9 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU, DR. PATEL. DO WE
10 HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS?
11 SENATOR TORRES.

12 MR. TORRES: YES. IT IS A LARGE AMOUNT,
13 NO. 1. NO. 2, WHAT PARTICULAR GOALPOSTS ARE WE
14 PUTTING ON THIS AWARD? IN OTHER WORDS, AT WHAT
15 POINT DO WE DECIDE WE'VE GIVEN ENOUGH, FIRST
16 QUARTER, TWO QUARTERS, NOW WE'RE GOING TO REDUCE THE
17 AMOUNT, OR WE'RE GOING TO STOP THE GRANT. WHAT DO
18 YOU ANTICIPATE -- I KNOW IT'S ALL SPECULATIVE -- BUT
19 WHEN DO YOU ANTICIPATE THAT MIGHT OCCUR?

20 DR. PATEL: SO THE WAY THAT THESE AWARDS
21 ARE MANAGED IS THAT OUR SCIENCE TEAM WORKS WITH THE
22 APPLICANTS AND GRANTEES TO DEVELOP APPROPRIATE
23 MILESTONES. OFTENTIMES THOSE MILESTONES ARE BASED
24 ON ENROLLMENT, ENROLLING AT A PARTICULAR TIME AND A
25 PARTICULAR RATE. SOMETIMES THERE COULD BE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MANUFACTURING OR OTHER MILESTONES IN THERE. FOR
2 THIS TYPE OF AN AWARD, THE ASSUMPTION WOULD BE THAT
3 IT WOULD BE BASED ON ENROLLMENT.

4 MR. TORRES: AND WHAT DO WE ANTICIPATE?

5 DR. PATEL: IN TERMS OF ENROLLMENT? JUST
6 TO KIND OF CLARIFY A LITTLE BIT HERE, THIS
7 PARTICULAR TRIAL IS BUILDING ON THE PREVIOUS PHASE 1
8 TRIAL THAT WE'RE FUNDING. IN THAT ONE THEY WERE
9 ESCALATING THE DONOR T-CELL DOSE, AND THEY WERE NOT
10 ACHIEVING THE TYPES OF CHIMERISM THAT THEY EXPECTED.
11 SO HERE THEY'RE GOING TO ESCALATE THE RECIPIENT.
12 T REG DOSE TO ACHIEVE CHIMERISM. SO THERE COULD BE
13 SOME MILESTONES BASED AROUND THAT. AGAIN, THAT'S
14 GOING TO BE A DISCUSSION BETWEEN THE GRANTEE AND
15 SCIENCE OUR TEAM.

16 MR. TORRES: THANK YOU.

17 MR. SHEEHY: IS THERE SOME RECOVERY FROM
18 THE PHASE 1? SO WHAT IS THE INTERSECTION THERE? SO
19 YOU HAD THE PHASE 1. THAT'S NOT COMPLETE, RIGHT?
20 IT COMPLETES IN 2021. SO THEY'RE NOT GETTING
21 RESULTS. SO IT SOUNDS LIKE WHAT THEY'RE DOING NOW
22 IS JUST ADDING THE T REGS TO THE COCKTAIL. SO I
23 GUESS I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW THOSE TWO ALIGN.
24 WE'RE PAYING FOR TWO TRIALS THAT ARE RUNNING -- HAVE
25 THE SAME INDICATION, AND IT SEEMS -- I'M TRYING TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 UNDERSTAND HOW WE WOULD END UP PAYING FOR BOTH
2 SIMULTANEOUSLY WHEN THEY'VE IDENTIFIED SOMETHING
3 THAT WOULD MAKE THE PRODUCT BETTER. DOES THAT MAKE
4 SENSE? I'M NOT ARTICULATING CLEARLY.

5 DR. PATEL: IT COULD POTENTIALLY IMPROVE
6 THE RATE OF CHIMERISM. SO YOU CAN THINK OF THIS AS
7 TWO INDEPENDENT ARMS OF A BROADER STUDY. ALL WOULD
8 BE INFORMATIVE FOR HOW TO ADDRESS THIS PATIENT
9 POPULATION WITH PARTICULAR THERAPIES AIMED AT
10 ACHIEVING THIS CHIMERISM. SO BOTH OF THEM WOULD BE
11 INFORMATIVE FOR THE OVERALL PROGRESSION OF THIS STEM
12 THERAPY. BUT YOU ARE RIGHT, THAT WE DON'T HAVE FULL
13 READOUT FROM THAT OTHER TRIAL YET. AND THIS IS
14 GOING TO BE A STEPWISE IMPROVEMENT OVER THAT.

15 MR. SHEEHY: AND HOW MUCH IS LEFT
16 REMAINING ON THE FIRST TRIAL? YOU PROBABLY DON'T
17 KNOW THAT. IT'S NOT FAIR TO ASK THAT. WHAT'S THE
18 AMOUNT THAT WAS FUNDED FOR THIS BECAUSE I THINK YOU
19 DID HAVE THAT ON THE SLIDE, RIGHT? THE AMOUNT WE
20 PUT INTO THAT.

21 DR. PATEL: I DON'T HAVE IT ON THIS SLIDE,
22 BUT WE CAN GET THAT FOR YOU.

23 MR. SHEEHY: I THOUGHT -- OH, WE DON'T
24 HAVE THE MONEY IN THERE.

25 DR. PATEL: YEAH, WE DON'T. I'LL PULL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT UP FOR YOU RIGHT NOW.

2 MR. SHEEHY: IS IT POSSIBLE THAT AT LEAST
3 GRANTS MANAGEMENT, AND, AGAIN, JUST TRYING TO BE
4 FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE, SOMETIME IF WE DO FUND THIS
5 THAT WE'LL MAYBE SLOW THE FIRST TRAIN DOWN AND PUT
6 EVERYTHING -- AND NOT NECESSARILY FUND THAT ALL THE
7 WAY THROUGH? IF THIS IS CLEARLY SHOWING BETTER
8 RESULTS IN ACHIEVING CHIMERISM, WOULD WE NOT -- ONE,
9 WE COULD CONTINUE FUNDING THE FIRST TRIAL ALL THE
10 WAY THROUGH.

11 DR. PATEL: THE QUESTION, I GUESS, YOU'RE
12 ASKING IS IF THIS TRIAL IS SHOWING RESULTS, WHY
13 WOULD WE CONTINUE TO FUND THE OTHER ONE? THE OTHER
14 TRIAL, I HAVE THE NUMBERS. TO BE INFORMATIVE, IT'S
15 \$6.6 MILLION FOR THAT OTHER TRIAL, CLIN2.

16 WITH RESPECT TO HOW THOSE ARE BEING
17 MANAGED IN TANDEM, WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO ANSWER THAT?
18 SO DR. THOMPSON DOES GRANTS MANAGEMENT. DO YOU
19 THINK YOU HAVE SITUATIONS WHERE YOU HAVE TWO RELATED
20 AWARDS WHERE ONE IS IMPACTING DISBURSEMENT ON THE
21 OTHER ONE?

22 MR. THOMPSON: I DON'T THINK WE'VE EVER
23 ENCOUNTERED THAT SITUATION CURRENTLY OR HAVE EVER IN
24 THE PAST ENCOUNTERED THAT. SO AS LONG AS THE
25 AWARDEE IS IN FACT CONDUCTING THE PROJECT ACCORDING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO WHAT THEY PROPOSED AND WITHIN THE SCOPE AND IS
2 EXECUTING ON THAT ACCORDING TO THEIR TIMELINES, AS
3 LONG AS THEY'RE NOT SLIPPING BEHIND, THEN I IMAGINE
4 WE WOULD CONTINUE WITH THAT. WE'VE HAD AWARDEES IN
5 THE PAST DECIDE TO NO LONGER PURSUE A PROJECT FOR A
6 THERAPY FOR BUSINESS REASONS AND FOR KIND OF BECAUSE
7 THE FIELD IS GOING IN A DIFFERENT DIRECTION THAN THE
8 PRODUCT, BUT WE HAVEN'T EVER TAKEN THAT ACTION BASED
9 ON THE OUTCOME OF ANOTHER PROJECT.

10 MR. SHEEHY: SO ARE THEY MEETING THEIR
11 MILESTONES RIGHT NOW?

12 MR. THOMPSON: THEY ARE.

13 MR. SHEEHY: I HEARD SOMEBODY ELSE. I
14 DON'T WANT TO MONOPOLIZE.

15 DR. MARTIN: I WAS GOING TO ASK A
16 TECHNICAL QUESTION. I PRESUME THAT THIS ONGOING
17 PHASE 1 IS WHAT IN ONCOLOGY WOULD BE CALLED A PHASE
18 1B, AND THAT IS THESE ARE NOT NORMAL VOLUNTEERS.
19 THESE ARE PATIENTS, RIGHT, AND THEY'RE JUST IN PHASE
20 1. THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE CONSIDERED
21 REQUESTING AN ADAPTIVE TRIAL ON THIS PHASE 1 SINCE
22 THESE ARE PATIENTS IN THE CONTEXT OF IS IT POSSIBLE,
23 WITHOUT GOING INTO DETAILS AND PROTOCOLS, TO MODIFY
24 THE CURRENTLY FUNDED TRIAL SUCH THAT IT COULD GAIN
25 OR TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE PROPOSED DIFFERENCE IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 USING AUTOLOGOUS T REGS, WHICH I GATHER IS THE MAJOR
2 DIFFERENCE, IN WHICH CASE IT WOULD BE AN ADAPTIVE
3 TRIAL, SAME PROTOCOL EXCEPT FOR THE ADOPTION. AND
4 IF IT'S AUTOLOGOUS T REGS, THAT SHOULD BE A REALLY,
5 IN THEORY, MINIMAL REGULATORY HURDLE TO DO THAT.

6 DR. PATEL: THAT'S A GOOD COMMENT.
7 HOWEVER, THE ROLE OF GWG ISN'T DISCONTINUING TRIALS,
8 AND SO THAT'S WHAT WAS REVIEWED AND THAT'S WHAT'S
9 PRESENTED TO YOU HERE. THAT'S SOMETHING THAT COULD
10 POTENTIALLY BE CONSIDERED, BUT, AGAIN, THAT WAS NOT
11 REVIEWED BY THE GWG.

12 MR. SHEEHY: DO WE HAVE OTHER QUESTIONS OR
13 COMMENTS? I WONDER IF PART OF THIS MIGHT BE A
14 DIRECTION TO THE CIRM TEAM TO MAYBE SPEED UP THE
15 INTERFACE. OBVIOUSLY IF THIS SECOND PRODUCT IS
16 PRODUCING BETTER RESULTS, THAT WOULD OBTAIN THE
17 NEED FOR THE FIRST TRIAL, RIGHT? AND SO THAT
18 PROBABLY SHOULDN'T BE LEFT UP TO THE GRANTEE. YOU
19 KNOW, WHERE WE ARE FINANCIALLY THESE DAYS, I
20 THINK -- I DON'T KNOW. IS THAT ACCEPTABLE,
21 DR. MILLAN?

22 DR. MILLAN: GABE THOMPSON ARTICULATED
23 THERE IS A CONTRACT IN PLACE FOR THE FIRST AWARD
24 THAT'S BEING REFERRED TO. AND SO THAT IS
25 INDEPENDENT OF THIS AWARD. HOWEVER, WHEN ONE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EVALUATES IT FROM THE INVESTIGATIVE PERSPECTIVE, I
2 THINK THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY WITH OUR SCIENCE TEAM
3 AND OUR CLINICAL ADVISORY PANEL REGARDING THE
4 RESULTS OF BOTH TRIALS IN TERMS OF CRAFTING THE BEST
5 PATH FORWARD INFORMED BY BOTH TRIALS TO WHAT THE
6 NEXT DEVELOPMENT STAGE WOULD BE FOR THE PRODUCT,
7 WHETHER IT WOULD BE -- THEY MAY FIND FROM THE DATA
8 THERE WOULD BE A SUBSET OF PATIENTS THAT ARE MORE
9 SUITABLE FOR ONE APPROACH AND ANOTHER SUBSET THAT
10 WOULD BE SUITABLE FOR ANOTHER APPROACH, OR THEY MAY
11 FIND FROM THE DATA THAT THE FIRST TRIAL MAY GIVE
12 EQUIVALENT RESULTS. SO WE DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER --
13 WE DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER UNTIL THE INVESTIGATORS
14 HAVE A CHANCE TO DO THE CLINICAL TRIAL.

15 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU, DR. MILLAN. DO WE
16 HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF
17 THE BOARD?

18 DR. MARTIN: DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THERE IS
19 AN INTERIM LOOK SCHEDULED ON THIS EXISTING PHASE 1
20 TRIAL EVEN IF THE SLIDE DIDN'T?

21 DR. MILLAN: I'M GOING TO HAVE DR. TALIB,
22 IF THAT'S OKAY, WHO'S A SCIENCE OFFICER MANAGING THE
23 AWARD, RESPOND TO THAT, WHATEVER CAN BE DISCLOSED IN
24 PUBLIC ABOUT THE TRIAL.

25 DR. TALIB: COUPLE OF COMMENTS. THE FIRST

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CLINICAL TRIAL, WHICH IS ONGOING, IS, AGAIN, WE HAVE
2 MILESTONES BASED ON CLINICAL TRIAL. WE'LL BE
3 MONITORING THOSE CLINICAL TRIALS, HOW THEY'RE
4 RESPONDING. SO THEY'RE MEETING THEIR MILESTONES.
5 AND THERE IS A DIFFERENCE FROM THE FIRST CLINICAL
6 TRIAL WHICH IS GOING ON. THERE'S A DOSE ESCALATION
7 OF CD3D CELLS. SO THERE'S A DIFFERENCE. THESE ARE
8 TWO INDEPENDENT CLINICAL TRIALS.

9 IN FIRST CLINICAL TRIAL, THEY'RE
10 INCREASING THE CD3 T-CELL DOSE AND SEE WHETHER THEY
11 CAN INCREASE THE CHIMERISM. SO RIGHT NOW AT THE
12 MOMENT THEY'RE NOT SEEING VERY STABLE CHIMERISM, BUT
13 THAT CLINICAL TRIAL NEEDS TO PROCEED IN TERMS OF
14 INCREASING THE CD3 DOSE. SO IT'S POSSIBLE THAT THE
15 FIRST CLINICAL TRIAL MIGHT SHOW THE RESULT.
16 THEREBY, INCREASING THE CD3 DOSE, THEY MIGHT GET TO
17 CHIMERISM.

18 THE SECOND CLINICAL TRIAL IS DIFFERENT.
19 IN THERE THEY'RE ADDING THE T REG TO INCREASE THE
20 CHIMERISM. SO IT'S POSSIBLE THAT WHAT WE LEARN FROM
21 THE PHASE 1 CLINICAL TRIAL INDEPENDENTLY COULD
22 INFORM ON THE DESIGN OF THE NEXT CLINICAL TRIAL. SO
23 THESE TWO CLINICAL TRIALS ARE INDEPENDENT, AND
24 THEY'RE BOTH FORMING A BOND HOW WE CAN MAKE THIS
25 KIDNEY TRANSPLANT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IN TERMS OF THE INTERIM, IN TERMS OF THE
2 INTERIM ANALYSIS, SO THEY'RE ANALYZING THE DATA AS
3 THE CLINICAL TRIAL IS PROGRESSING. SO CLEARLY THE
4 DATA WHICH IS COMING OUT, BECAUSE THE DATA IS AFTER
5 12 MONTHS, HOW MUCH CHIMERISM HAVE YOU RECEIVED AND
6 CAN YOU REDUCE THE IMMUNOSUPPRESSION. THAT IS THE
7 PURPOSE OF THIS CLINICAL TRIAL. CAN YOU TAKE OFF
8 THE IMMUNOSUPPRESSION FROM THIS CLINICAL TRIAL. SO
9 THAT DATA WILL BE AVAILABLE AT THE END OF THE 12
10 MONTHS. THIS CLINICAL TRIAL IS ONGOING. SO WE WILL
11 LEARN AT THE END OF THIS YEAR WHETHER THE FIRST
12 PATIENTS WHICH HAVE BEEN TREATED, THOSE WHICH HAVE
13 ACHIEVED CHIMERISM, WHETHER THEY ARE ABLE TO
14 MAINTAIN IT.

15 SO, YES, BY THE END OF THIS YEAR, WE WILL
16 LEARN FROM THE FIRST CLINICAL TRIAL WHAT THE INTERIM
17 DATA LOOKS LIKE.

18 MR. SHEEHY: ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS,
19 COMMENTS? DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT? CAN WE GO
20 TO A VOTE? WE HAVE A MOTION, YEAH. NO. SORRY
21 ABOUT THAT. I USUALLY DO THAT FIRST THING.

22 IS THERE A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE TEAM'S
23 RECOMMENDATION?

24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO MOVED.

25 MR. SHEEHY: MADE BY CHAIRMAN THOMAS. IS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THERE A SECOND?
2 DR. HIGGINS: I SECOND IT.
3 MR. SHEEHY: OKAY. SECONDED BY DAVID
4 HIGGINS. WOULD YOU LIKE TO CALL THE ROLL?
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: SURE.
6 ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
7 DR. DULIEGE: YES.
8 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
9 DR. HIGGINS YES.
10 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
11 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
12 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
13 DR. MARTIN: NO.
14 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
15 MS. MILLER: YES.
16 MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
17 DR. PADILLA: YES.
18 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
19 MR. PANETTA: YES.
20 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
21 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
22 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
23 DR. QUINT: ABSTAIN.
24 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
25 MR. ROWLETT: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.

MR. SHEEHY: NO.

MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

MR. TORRES: AYE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

MS. WINOKUR: I ABSTAIN.

MS. BONNEVILLE: JUST A SECOND. THE
MOTION CARRIES.

MR. SHEEHY: MOTION CARRIES.

SO THE NEXT APPLICATION, DR. PATEL.

DR. PATEL: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY. THE
LAST APPLICATION UP FOR CONSIDERATION TODAY IS
CLIN2-11431. THIS IS A CLINICAL STUDY OF A THERAPY
FOR SEVERE COMBINED IMMUNODEFICIENCY. THE THERAPY
ITSELF IS TWO PARTS. THERE'S A CONDITIONING REGIMEN
AGENT, ANTI-TD117 ANTIBODY, FOLLOWED BY A PURIFIED
ALLOGENEIC HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELL TRANSPLANTATION.
THE GOAL IS TO COMPLETE THE PHASE 1 TRIAL IN SEVERE
COMBINED IMMUNODEFICIENCY PATIENTS. THEY'RE
REQUESTING FIVE POINT -- ESSENTIALLY \$6 MILLION OF
FUNDING WITH ZERO DOLLARS CO-FUNDING. THE MAXIMUM
FUNDS ALLOWABLE FOR THIS PARTICULAR CATEGORY IS \$12
MILLION.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO SCID IS A RARE DISEASE AFFECTING AN
2 ESTIMATED ONE IN 58,000 INFANTS IN THE U.S. EACH
3 YEAR. AND IT'S ACTUALLY DISPROPORTIONATELY
4 AFFECTING THE NAVAJO POPULATION. UNTREATED, SCID
5 PATIENTS WILL LIKELY DIE BEFORE REACHING THE AGE OF
6 TWO. AN ALLOGENEIC HSC TRANSPLANTATION, WHICH IS
7 THE CURRENT STANDARD OF CARE, IS CURATIVE IN 94
8 PERCENT OF INFANTS WHO HAVE BEEN SCREENED AND
9 TREATED WITHIN THREE MONTHS OF BIRTH.

10 COULD EVERYONE PLEASE MUTE THEIR LINE?
11 THANK YOU.

12 SO GIVEN THE ALLOGENEIC HSC
13 TRANSPLANTATION IN THE STANDARD OF CARE, THERE ARE
14 TWO MAJOR RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THAT PARTICULAR
15 TREATMENT. THE FIRST IS THAT THE SCID INFANTS
16 THEMSELVES ARE VULNERABLE TO TOXICITY FROM THE
17 CONDITIONING REGIMEN, WHICH TENDS TO BE HIGHLY
18 DESTRUCTIVE AND, SECONDLY, THE ALLOGENEIC HSC
19 TRANSPLANTATION ITSELF COULD INDUCE GRAFT VERSUS
20 HOST DISEASE IN THIS PATIENT POPULATION.

21 THE PROPOSED TREATMENT SEEKS TO CORRECT
22 BOTH THESE LIMITATIONS, FIRST WITH A NOVEL, TARGETED
23 CONDITIONING AGENT, AND, SECONDLY, THE PURIFIED HSC
24 GRAFT. AND IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT THE CONDITIONING
25 AGENT ITSELF HAS MANY -- COULD BE APPLICABLE IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TREATMENTS INVOLVING HEMATOPOIETIC TRANSPLANTS,
2 EITHER AUTOLOGOUS OR ALLOGENEIC, FOR VARIOUS BLOOD
3 DISEASES. THIS IS ELIGIBLE FOR CIRM FUNDING BECAUSE
4 IT INVOLVES BOTH TARGETING OF ENDOGENOUS HSC AS WELL
5 AS TRANSPLANTATION OF ALLOGENEIC HSC.

6 SO I HAVE A SLIDE PREPARED ABOUT RELATED
7 CIRM PORTFOLIO PROJECTS WITH LOTS OF CLARIFICATIONS
8 HERE. SO WE ARE FUNDING THREE OTHER SCID THERAPIES
9 THAT ARE MEANT TO BE CURATIVE. ALL THREE OF THESE
10 ARE GENE-MODIFIED AUTOLOGOUS HSC THERAPIES FOR
11 DIFFERENT VARIANTS OF SCID, INCLUDING X-SCID,
12 ADA-SCID, AND ART SCID. AND THEY'RE ON HERE BECAUSE
13 THE INITIAL INDICATION FOR THIS -- INITIAL TARGET
14 FOR THIS PARTICULAR THERAPY IS IN THAT SCID
15 POPULATION AND FOR IT TO BE CURATIVE. HOWEVER, THE
16 CONDITIONING AGENT ITSELF, THE ANTI-CD117 ANTIBODY,
17 AS I MENTIONED PREVIOUSLY, IS BROADLY APPLICABLE IN
18 OTHER BLOOD DISEASES, AND WE'RE NOT FUNDING ANY
19 SIMILAR PROJECTS THAT ARE DEVELOPING NOVEL
20 CONDITIONING AGENTS FOR THAT BLOOD DISEASE.
21 WE HAVE PREVIOUSLY FUNDED THIS PROJECT. IT'S A
22 PHASE 1 STUDY THAT IS ONGOING AND EXPECTED TO
23 COMPLETE IN 2020. THE GWG REVIEWED THIS APPLICATION
24 AND GAVE IT A SCORE OF 1. THERE WERE NINE VOTES IN
25 TIER I, SIX VOTES IN TIER II, AND ZERO VOTES IN TIER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 III. AND THE CIRM TEAM CONCURS WITH THE GWG
2 RECOMMENDATION TO FUND THIS APPLICATION FOR THE
3 AWARD AMOUNT REQUESTED, WHICH IS 5,999,984.

4 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU, DR. PATEL. SO HOW
5 MUCH HAS THIS PROJECT RECEIVED FROM CIRM?

6 DR. PATEL: THE INITIAL AWARD AMOUNT ON
7 THAT PREVIOUS PROJECT WAS \$20 MILLION. AND TO DATE
8 I BELIEVE WE HAVE DISBURSED \$18 MILLION.

9 MR. SHEEHY: SO QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM
10 BOARD MEMBERS?

11 DR. JUELSGAARD SO WHEN THE INITIAL
12 APPLICATION WAS MADE FOR FUNDING THIS PHASE 1 STUDY,
13 AND THAT'S THE \$20 MILLION YOU JUST SPOKE TO, DO YOU
14 KNOW, WAS THE EXPECTATION THAT THEY WERE GOING TO BE
15 ABLE TO COMPLETE THE PHASE 1 WITH THAT GRANT, OR WAS
16 THE EXPECTATION AT THAT TIME THAT THEY WERE
17 GOING -- AND I KNOW WE HAD A \$20 MILLION CAP -- WAS
18 THE EXPECTATION THAT THEY WOULD NEED TO COME BACK
19 AND ASK FOR MORE MONEY?

20 DR. PATEL: JUST TO CLARIFY, THAT INITIAL
21 AWARD WAS A DISEASE TEAM AWARD, WHICH FUNDED
22 LONGITUDINAL WORK ALL THE WAY FROM DISCOVERY UP TO
23 THE PHASE 1 TRIAL THAT INCLUDED IND-ENABLING WORK AS
24 WELL AND COMPLETION OF THE PHASE 1 TRIAL.

25 DR. JUELSGAARD ALL RIGHT. FINE. BUT MY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 QUESTION STILL STANDS. DID THEY THINK THEY WERE
2 GOING TO BE ABLE TO COMPLETE THE PHASE 1 WITHIN THAT
3 FUNDING, OR DID THEY CONTEMPLATE THEY WOULD HAVE TO
4 COME BACK AND ASK FOR MORE, WHICH THEY HAVE DONE?

5 DR. PATEL: AS PROPOSED AND APPROVED FOR
6 THAT PRIOR AWARD, YES, THAT THE PHASE 1 TRIAL WOULD
7 BE COMPLETED WITHIN THAT AMOUNT.

8 DR. JUELSGAARD AND SO THEY WEREN'T ABLE
9 TO COMPLETE IT WITHIN THAT AMOUNT, AND DO WE KNOW
10 WHY?

11 DR. PATEL: YES. SO THERE WERE ENROLLMENT
12 CHALLENGES WHICH DELAYED THE PROJECT.

13 DR. JUELSGAARD WELL, DELAY DOESN'T
14 NECESSARILY ADD UP TO MORE MONEY. THEY OBVIOUSLY
15 SPENT THE MONEY ON SOMETHING THAT THEY DIDN'T
16 ANTICIPATE OR UNDERBUDGETED OR SOMETHING. THERE'S
17 SOMETHING MORE TO IT. SO DO YOU KNOW EXACTLY WHAT
18 THAT IS?

19 MR. THOMPSON: THIS IS GABRIEL THOMPSON.
20 SO THE DISEASE TEAM II ROUNDS FUNDED BOTH
21 IND-ENABLING AND THE CONDUCT OF THE INITIAL PHASE
22 TRIAL. THIS PROJECT DID INCUR MORE COST TO GET TO
23 THE IND FILING. AND SO THERE WAS A SET ASIDE UNDER
24 THAT AWARD TO \$5.5 OF THAT \$20 MILLION AWARD TO
25 CONDUCT THE PHASE 1 TRIAL. AND SO -- BUT THIS WAS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 KIND OF UNIQUE TO THE DISEASE TEAM TO PROGRAM WHERE
2 WE ARE FUNDING A WHOLE SET OF ACTIVITIES AND TRYING
3 TO STAY ON TARGET.

4 DR. JUELSGAARD: ALL RIGHT. WELL, MY ONLY
5 CONCERN IS THAT PEOPLE MANAGE THEIR BUDGETS
6 APPROPRIATELY. I THINK WHEN YOU ESTABLISH A BUDGET
7 TO DO SOMETHING, YOUR FIRST OBJECTIVE IS TO TRY AND
8 STAY WITHIN THAT BUDGET. AND IF YOU CAN'T, THEN
9 THERE NEEDS TO BE A GOOD REASON TO UNDERSTAND WHY
10 YOU CAN'T. AND I'M HOPEFUL THAT WHEN THESE GET
11 BROUGHT FORWARD AND THE BUDGET TARGET WASN'T MET,
12 THAT WE TRULY UNDERSTAND WHY THAT WAS THE CASE AND
13 THAT WE FEEL THAT THEY'VE SPENT THE MONEY WISELY.
14 IT WAS JUST UNFORTUNATE THAT THEY WEREN'T ABLE TO
15 MEET THEIR BUDGET TARGETS. HENCE MY QUESTIONS.

16 MR. SHEEHY: I HAVE A RELATED QUESTION. I
17 THINK IT'S ONE OF THE REASONS THEY HAVE SIX IS THAT
18 THE BUDGET THAT THEY'RE PRESENTING ACTUALLY WILL NOT
19 ALLOW THEM TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT. SO THEIR LOT
20 EXPIRES, THE PRODUCT LOT EXPIRES IN 2020. THEY RUN
21 OUT IN 2020, AND THEY NEED TO RAISE ANOTHER
22 ADDITIONAL 2.9 MILLION IN ORDER TO GET A NEW LOT OF
23 THE PRODUCT PRODUCED. THEY HAVE A LETTER THAT
24 THEY'RE WORKING WITH TO GET CIRM FUNDING, BUT THEY
25 ACTUALLY DON'T HAVE THE MONEY TO COMPLETE THE TRIAL.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND GIVEN THAT ENROLLMENT HAS BEEN AN ISSUE, THAT'S
2 A CONCERN. THERE SEEMS TO BE ONGOING BUDGET ISSUES
3 ANYWAY.

4 THE OTHER CONCERN IS THAT WE UNLIKELY,
5 GIVEN OUR PRESENT TRAJECTORY, HOPEFULLY WE HAVE AN
6 EXTENSION OF PROPOSITION 71, BUT IF THAT WERE NOT TO
7 HAPPEN, I'M NOT SURE HOW THIS PROJECT GETS
8 COMPLETED. SO THERE'S AMBIGUITY. IT'S GOOD
9 SCIENCE, I THINK, BUT IT JUST -- THE FUNDING ISSUES
10 ARE PROBLEMATIC. AND I PERSONALLY WOULD NOT BE
11 COMFORTABLE FUNDING THIS UNTIL THERE'S SOME
12 RESOLUTION OF THAT. AND I THINK THIS SHOULD HAVE
13 COME OUT OF THE TWO UNTIL WE CAN GET RESOLUTION.
14 THEY STILL HAVE \$2 MILLION LEFT. SO WE'RE NOT
15 STOPPING THEM IN THEIR TRACKS.

16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'D LIKE TO ASK A
17 QUESTION OF GABE THOMPSON. DO THEY HAVE ENOUGH OF
18 THE ANTIBODY AVAILABLE TO COMPLETE THE TRIAL?
19 THAT'S SORT OF GETTING TO THE BOTTOM OF MR. SHEEHY'S
20 QUESTION.

21 MR. SHEEHY: THEY SAY THAT THEY DON'T.

22 DR. PATEL: I THINK THIS IS ALSO NOTED IN
23 THE LETTER. IT'S ON A STABILITY PROGRAM SO THEY CAN
24 EXTEND THE EXPIRATION DATE FOR THE ANTIBODY. THEY
25 HAVE ENOUGH SUPPLY TO FUND THE TRIAL, BUT THEY HAVE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO DO A STABILITY PROGRAM TO SHOW THE ANTIBODY IS
2 STABLE AS THEY'RE ENROLLING PATIENTS. AND THEY
3 BELIEVE THAT THEY CAN EXTEND THE SHELF LIFE OF THIS
4 PARTICULAR ANTIBODY TO ENROLL THE PATIENTS THEY NEED
5 TO FOR THIS PARTICULAR TRIAL. AND THAT'S A COMMON
6 PRACTICE IN BIOLOGICS DISCOVERY WHERE YOU DO HAVE
7 THIS KIND OF LOT THAT IS BASICALLY FURTHER AHEAD
8 THAN THE CURRENT LOT THAT THEY'RE USING, AND THEY
9 USE THAT TO JUST BASICALLY KNOW IF THAT PRODUCT CAN
10 BE STABLE WHEN THEY USE IT.

11 MR. SHEEHY: THEY STATE WE ANTICIPATE IN
12 THE FUTURE BEYOND 2020 A NEW LOT OF AMG-191 WILL
13 HAVE TO BE PRODUCED. DO WE ANTICIPATE THEM
14 COMPLETING THE TRIAL IN THAT TIME?

15 DR. PATEL: THE WAY THEY PROPOSE IT IS
16 THAT THEIR CURRENT LOT WITH THE STABILITY TESTING
17 PROGRAM THEY HAVE GOING WOULD ALLOW THEM TO ENROLL
18 THOSE PATIENTS. NOW, WHETHER THAT IS --

19 MR. SHEEHY: THAT ISN'T WHAT THEY SAID IN
20 THE APPLICATION. THAT STATEMENT HAS BEEN REVIEWED
21 BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.

22 DR. PATEL: SO THERE WERE CONCERNS RAISED
23 BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP ABOUT ENROLLMENT AS WELL
24 AS THE STABILITY OF THE ANTIBODY, BUT I'M BASICALLY
25 SYNTHESIZING BOTH THAT AS WELL AS WHAT WAS PROVIDED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BY THE APPLICANT.

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. PATEL, NOT TO GET
3 OVERLY TECHNICAL, BUT HAS THERE BEEN EVIDENCE OF
4 INSTABILITY OF THE ANTIBODY TO DATE, AND IS THAT
5 SOMETHING THAT IN THE ORDINARY COURSE ONE WOULD
6 EXPECT?

7 DR. PATEL: THERE HAS NOT BEEN EVIDENCE OF
8 THAT TO DATE FOR THIS PARTICULAR ANTIBODY.

9 DR. MARTIN: ANOTHER TECHNICAL QUESTION.
10 IS IT FROZEN? IS THAT HOW THEY'RE STORING IT, MINUS
11 20 OR MINUS 80?

12 DR. PATEL: YES, IT'S FROZEN.

13 DR. MARTIN: THEN IT'S GOING TO BE STABLE.
14 THAT EXTENSION IS FAIRLY EASY TO MANAGE IF IT'S
15 FROZEN PROPERLY.

16 MR. SHEEHY: OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?

17 MR. TORRES: YES. SO WHAT IS THE
18 ALTERNATIVE IF WE WERE NOT TO FUND IT TODAY?

19 MR. SHEEHY: I WOULD SEND IT BACK TO THE
20 GRANTS WORKING GROUP. FOR ME PERSONALLY, I'D LIKE
21 TO SEE THE FINANCING FOR THE REST OF THE TRIAL
22 SECURED.

23 MR. TORRES: THE TIME FRAME -- AS I SEE
24 IT, THE TIME FRAME THAT YOU ARE OFFERING IS NOT
25 GOING TO JEOPARDIZE THE PROJECT. THEY STILL HAVE,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WHAT, TWO MILLION LEFT?

2 DR. PATEL: THERE IS, I THINK, ABOUT TWO,
3 TWO AND A HALF MILLION UNDER THE CURRENT AWARD. IT
4 ALSO HAS -- THE CURRENT AWARD ALSO HAS CO-FUNDING
5 REQUIREMENTS ON IT.

6 MR. TORRES: ARE THEY FULFILLED OUT?

7 DR. PATEL: THEY FULFILLED INITIAL
8 CO-FUNDING REQUIREMENTS, BUT THOSE ARE SPREAD OUT
9 THROUGH THE REMAINDER OF THE DURATION, AND THAT
10 IS -- ADDITIONAL CO-FUNDING HASN'T COME FORWARD YET.

11 MR. SHEEHY: THE OTHER THING IS THIS IS A
12 VERY COMMERCIALIZABLE PRODUCT. SO WE'RE IN PHASE 1.
13 WE'VE GOT PATIENT DATA. I JUST WOULD LIKE TO SEE
14 SOME ACCELERATION FOR ITS COMMERCIALIZATION. JUST
15 BECAUSE IT'S AN ANTIBODY, IT'S GOING TO BE A
16 COMMERCIAL PRODUCT IF IT'S SUCCESSFUL. SO I DON'T
17 KNOW. THE INDUSTRY PEOPLE HAVE A BETTER SENSE OF
18 THIS THAN I DO.

19 DR. JUELSGAARD: I HAVE A DIFFERENT
20 QUESTION, JUST TO BACK UP TO THE COMMENT THAT WAS
21 MADE ABOUT CO-FUNDING. SO THE \$20 MILLION HAD A
22 CO-FUNDING COMPONENT TO IT; IS THAT RIGHT?

23 DR. PATEL: THAT'S CORRECT.

24 DR. JUELSGAARD: AND WHAT WAS THE AMOUNT
25 OF THE CO-FUNDING?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. PATEL: AROUND FOUR TO FIVE MILLION,
2 SOMEWHERE IN THAT RANGE. I DON'T KNOW THE EXACT
3 NUMBER.

4 DR. JUELSGAARD: THAT'S FINE. SO DID
5 SOMEONE THEN SAY THAT THEY HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO
6 RAISE THE CO-FUNDING?

7 DR. PATEL: THEY HAVE RAISED INITIAL
8 CO-FUNDING, BUT THERE IS ADDITIONAL CO-FUNDING
9 REQUIREMENTS AS THEY ACHIEVE -- AS THEY GO FURTHER
10 AND ACHIEVE MILESTONES. THAT HASN'T BEEN RAISED
11 YET.

12 DR. JUELSGAARD: I SEE. SO THEY'RE STILL
13 ON THE CO-FUNDING TRACK. IT ISN'T THAT THEY HAVEN'T
14 BEEN ABLE TO ACHIEVE THE CO-FUNDING THAT THEY WERE
15 PUT TO DO, BUT RATHER THE CO-FUNDING IS DETERMINED
16 UPON BENCHMARKS THAT HAVEN'T YET BEEN MADE?

17 DR. PATEL: THAT'S CORRECT, STEVE.

18 DR. JUELSGAARD: I'M JUST CURIOUS. HOW
19 FAR THIS 20 MILLION -- WHERE ARE THEY LIKELY TO
20 ACHIEVE THE NEXT CO-FUNDING LEVEL AND HOW MUCH WOULD
21 THAT BE?

22 DR. PATEL: I'M NOT EXACTLY SURE WHEN IT
23 WOULD BE.

24 DR. JUELSGAARD: HERE'S THE CONCERN I
25 HAVE, AND THAT IS THEY'RE ASKING FOR CLOSE TO SIX

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MILLION. AND THAT IF WE GIVE THEM THE SIX MILLION,
2 IT'S GOING TO TAKE THE PRESSURE OFF THEM TO RAISE
3 THE CO-FUNDING, RIGHT, BECAUSE THE MONEY NO LONGER
4 WENT TO THE SAME POT. UNLESS THEY ULTIMATELY RAISE
5 THE CO-FUNDING, IT CERTAINLY GIVES THEM A LOT OF
6 BREATHING ROOM.

7 MY CONCERNS RELATE MOSTLY TO HOW THEY'RE
8 BUDGETARILY HANDLING ALL OF THIS STUFF, AND IT'S NOT
9 CLEAR TO ME THAT THEY'RE BEING FRUGAL WITH THE MONEY
10 THAT THEY HAVE BEEN GIVEN. SO I'M JUST TRYING TO
11 GET A BETTER HANDLE ON THAT.

12 DR. PATEL: THERE IS -- THE NEXT
13 CO-FUNDING MILESTONE IS ABOUT 1.6 MILLION, I
14 BELIEVE. AND WE EXPECT THE NEXT MILESTONE TO BE
15 ACHIEVED SOMETIME IN THE SPRING, THIS SPRING.

16 MR. SHEEHY: WHO'S PROVIDING THE
17 CO-FUNDING? IS IT COMMERCIAL?

18 DR. PATEL: THE INITIAL CO-FUNDING WAS
19 PROVIDED BY THE AWARDEE INSTITUTION.

20 MR. SHEEHY: THAT'S GOING TO BE ADDITIONAL
21 CO-FUNDING WILL BE FROM THE AWARDEE INSTITUTION?

22 DR. PATEL: NOT NECESSARILY.

23 MR. SHEEHY: AND THEN WE HAVE THIS OTHER
24 ENTITY THAT THEY'RE TARGETING -- THEY'RE CHANGING
25 MANUFACTURING. THEY'RE NO LONGER -- THEY'RE MOVING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO A NEW MANUFACTURER NO MATTER WHAT, RIGHT? THAT'S
2 WHAT IT SAYS IN THE APPLICATION, THAT THEY'RE MOVING
3 TO A NEW MANUFACTURER. AND THE ENTITY THAT'S GOING
4 TO PROVIDE -- THAT THEY HOPE TO SET UP TO PROVIDE
5 THE FUNDING TO MOVE TO A NEW MANUFACTURER, WHAT'S
6 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THEM AND OTHER SOURCES OF
7 CO-FUNDING? I JUST THINK THERE'S A FINANCIAL --
8 FINANCIALLY IT SEEMS VERY MUDDY TO ME. AND WE'VE
9 GIVEN \$20 MILLION FOR A PRODUCT THAT WAS ALREADY
10 DEVELOPED, RIGHT? WE DIDN'T HAVE TO DISCOVER THE
11 PRODUCT; WE JUST HAD TO APPLY IT. AMGEN ACTUALLY IS
12 MANUFACTURING THE PRODUCT NOW, RIGHT?

13 DR. PATEL: AMGEN MANUFACTURED THAT LOT
14 AND THAT'S WHAT THEY HAVE BEEN USING.

15 DR. HIGGINS: I HAVE A QUESTION WHEN I CAN
16 FIT IN. IS NOW A GOOD TIME?

17 MR. SHEEHY: YEAH, DAVID, GO AHEAD.

18 DR. HIGGINS: I WAS JUST -- JUST TO FOLLOW
19 UP ON WHAT JEFF IS SAYING OR I THINK HE'S LEANING
20 TOWARD, CAN YOU VOTE NEITHER A ONE OR A THREE? CAN
21 YOU ESSENTIALLY KIND OF VOTE A TWO? IN OTHER WORDS,
22 IF YOU ANSWER SOME OF THESE QUESTIONS, YOU CAN COME
23 BACK TO US. NOT GO BACK TO GWG, BUT CAN IT JUST
24 COME BACK TO THE COMMITTEE HERE? I DON'T KNOW IF
25 THERE'S A PRECEDENT FOR THAT OR IF THAT'S EVEN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 POSSIBLE.

2 MR. SHEEHY: JAMES.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: HE'S GOING TO KILL ME FOR
4 LETTING YOU KNOW THAT HE'S ON THE LINE.

5 MR. SHEEHY: I KNOW. YOU JUST PULLED A
6 THREAD.

7 MR. HARRISON: SO, DAVID, IN ANSWER TO
8 YOUR QUESTION, THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
9 CAN TAKE ANY ACTION IT WANTS. IN OTHER WORDS, IT
10 COULD ESSENTIALLY VOTE TO DELAY CONSIDERATION OF
11 THIS APPLICATION UNTIL IT RECEIVES ADDITIONAL
12 INFORMATION. IT COULD ASK THE GWG TO CONSIDER NEW
13 INFORMATION. IT COULD TAKE ANY NUMBER OF STEPS
14 SHORT OF EITHER APPROVING IT FOR FUNDING OR
15 DECLINING TO FUND IT.

16 DR. HIGGINS: IS THERE A MOTION ON THE
17 TABLE? WOULD WE HAVE TO MAKE THAT AS A SEPARATE
18 MOTION TO MODIFY IT THAT WAY?

19 MR. SHEEHY: THERE'S NO MOTION AT THIS
20 POINT.

21 DR. HIGGINS: OKAY. GOTCHA.

22 MR. TORRES: WELL, THEN, LET'S MOVE IT TO
23 THE RECOMMENDATION THAT OUR COUNSEL SUGGESTED, AND
24 THAT IS TO DELAY.

25 MR. SHEEHY: YEAH. I THINK THE OTHER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THING IS TOO BECAUSE WE HAVE, WHAT, ONE AND A HALF
2 LEFT ON THE ORIGINAL AWARD. WE HAVE ANOTHER 1.6
3 COMING IN FOR CO-FUNDING, PERHAPS OTHER CO-FUNDING.
4 COULD WE JUST GET ALL THE BUDGETING ALIGNED AND TRY
5 TO BE AS -- I GUESS THAT'S HOW I WOULD HOPE THE
6 MOTION WOULD BE FRAMED SO THAT WE ARE THE MOST
7 CONSERVATIVE IN HOLDING ON TO CIRM FUNDS FOR OTHER
8 PROJECTS AS WE GO FORWARD, AND MAYBE WE CAN
9 ACCELERATE COMMERCIAL PARTNERSHIPS. OR IT SOUNDS
10 LIKE A COMPANY IS BEING THOUGHT OF AS BEING FORMED.
11 WHATEVER THAT COMMERCIAL ENTITY, TO KIND OF TAKE
12 THIS OFF OUR HANDS, IF POSSIBLE, AT THE LOWEST
13 POSSIBLE COST.

14 MR. TORRES: THESE OBVIOUSLY NEED TO BE
15 EXPLORED, NO. 1. NO. 2, I DON'T THINK IT'S A GOOD
16 MOVE TO SEND IT BACK TO THE GWG.

17 MR. SHEEHY: YEAH. I DON'T THINK IT'S A
18 GWG ISSUE.

19 MR. TORRES: SO MY MOTION, AGAIN, IS
20 TO -- FOR US TO DELAY UNTIL THESE QUESTIONS ARE
21 ANSWERED APPROPRIATELY TO OUR SATISFACTION.

22 DR. HIGGINS: I AGREE, ART.

23 MR. SHEEHY: DO I HAVE A SECOND?

24 MR. HARRISON: AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THE
25 MOTION IS TO DELAY CONSIDERATION OF CLIN2-11431

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 UNTIL ADDITIONAL BUDGET INFORMATION IS PROVIDED BY
2 THE CIRM TEAM AND THE APPLICANT TO THE APPLICATION
3 REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE.

4 MR. SHEEHY: IF I CAN MAKE A FRIENDLY
5 AMENDMENT, ALSO A CLEAR PATH TO COMMERCIALIZATION
6 AND PARTNERING WITH THE COMMERCIAL ENTITY.

7 MR. TORRES: I ACCEPT THAT FRIENDSHIP.

8 MR. SHEEHY: IS THAT OKAY WITH YOU TOO,
9 DAVID?

10 DR. HIGGINS: YES, ABSOLUTELY.
11 ENTHUSIASTICALLY, YES.

12 MR. SHEEHY: OKAY. ANY OTHER BOARD
13 COMMENT OR QUESTIONS? DO WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT?

14 DR. SHIZIRU: JUDY SHIZIRU. I'M THE PI ON
15 THE DISEASE TEAM AWARD, AND I'M THE PI ON THIS ONE.
16 I WANT TO START OUT BY THANKING CIRM FOR SUPPORTING
17 THIS PROGRAM OVER THE YEARS. IT HAS BEEN AN
18 EXCITING AND VERY GRATIFYING EXPERIENCE TO BE ABLE
19 TO TAKE A CONCEPT FROM MICE ALL THE WAY THROUGH THE
20 IND-ENABLING STUDY TO PATIENTS. AND WE'RE
21 ALREADY -- IN THE EARLY STAGES OF THE TRIAL, WE'VE
22 TREATED PATIENTS IN THE FIRST TWO COHORTS, AND WE'RE
23 ALREADY SEEING THAT THESE PATIENTS ARE BENEFITING.
24 AND SO I THINK, FROM MY PERSPECTIVE AS A CLINICIAN
25 SCIENTIST, IT DOESN'T GET ANY BETTER THAN THAT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND I ALSO WANT TO BE SURE TO ACKNOWLEDGE
2 AND THANK THE PATIENTS AND THE FAMILIES FOR BEING
3 WILLING TO GO ON TO A CLINICAL TRIAL WITHOUT -- JUST
4 BY ITSELF NATURE IS NOT GUARANTEED.

5 SO I ACTUALLY CAME HERE, I WASN'T PLANNING
6 TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, BUT I DO WANT TO BE ABLE
7 TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE ISSUES IN PARTICULAR WITH
8 REGARD TO THE ANTIBODY. SO THE ANTIBODY WAS
9 GENERATED BY AMGEN. IT'S THE SAME LOT THAT WE'VE
10 BEEN USING ALL ALONG. AS PART OF THE DISEASE TEAM
11 AWARD, WE DID DO A TECH TRANSFER, BUT WE'VE
12 MAINTAINED A ROBUST STABILITY AND ONGOING STABILITY
13 PROGRAM. AND I THINK CONSERVATIVELY WE'RE JUST
14 EXTENDING THE EXPIRY BY A YEAR OR TWO. AND WE ALSO
15 HAVE AN EXPERT AMGEN CONSULTANT WITH REGARD TO THE
16 ANTIBODY EXPIRY AND HE HIMSELF THINKS THAT THE
17 ANTIBODY IN ITS CURRENT STATE, WHICH HAS BEEN FROZEN
18 ALL ALONG, IS GOING TO LAST WELL BEYOND 2020.

19 TO BE CONSERVATIVE, WE SAID, OKAY, WE'VE
20 EXTENDED IT TO 2020, AND WE HAVE THE ONGOING
21 STABILITY PROGRAM, AND WE'RE GOING TO EXTEND IT
22 BEYOND 2021, AND WE'LL PROBABLY BE ABLE TO EXTEND IT
23 BEYOND THAT TIME.

24 AND WITH REGARD TO THE FUNDING, I THINK
25 THAT FOR THE CLINICAL TRIAL WE WERE -- ULTIMATELY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE AGREEMENT WAS FOR \$5.5 MILLION, AND WE DID HAVE
2 DIFFICULTIES ENROLLING PATIENTS. THIS IS A RARE
3 DISEASE. WE'RE STARTING AT A FAIRLY LOW DOSE OF
4 ANTIBODY, SO IT WAS DIFFICULT TO RECRUIT PATIENTS.
5 BUT NOW, EVEN AT THE LOWEST DOSE, WE'RE SEEING
6 EFFICACY. THE TRIAL IS ACCELERATING.

7 I THINK WE'RE ALSO IN THE PROCESS OF
8 INTERACTING AND TRYING TO COMMERCIALIZE THIS ENTITY.
9 MY CONCERN IS THAT IF WE DON'T GET FUNDING, THEN WE
10 WILL HAVE TO SLOW THE TRIAL DOWN BECAUSE IT'S TAKEN
11 TIME. IT'S A COMPLEX PROPOSITION TO SEARCH OUT A
12 COMPANY FROM AN ACADEMIC CENTER AND JUST GETTING THE
13 PATIENT DATA NOW. SO MY CONCERN WOULD BE THAT IF WE
14 DID NOT RECEIVE THIS FUNDING, THAT IT WILL
15 DEFINITELY SLOW DOWN THE TRIAL. AND SO WE ALREADY
16 HAVE PATIENTS IN THE QUEUE WHO ARE READY TO UNDERGO
17 TREATMENT.

18 I UNDERSTAND CIRM'S CONCERNS, AND I CAN
19 SEE YOU'RE VERY THOUGHTFUL ABOUT HOW THIS MONEY IS
20 BEING SPENT. I DO THINK THAT THIS ANTIBODY HAS THE
21 POSSIBILITY TO NOT ONLY IMPROVE THE SCID TRIAL
22 BECAUSE THOSE KIDS ARE GETTING CHEMOTHERAPY. BUT IF
23 WE CAN MOVE THIS ALONG, THE GENE THERAPY TRIALS
24 COULD THEN ALSO USE THIS ANTIBODY IN THAT REGARD,
25 AND IT'S APPLICABLE TO THE KIDNEY PROTOCOL THAT WAS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 UP THERE, AND IT'S APPLICABLE TO SICKLE CELL
2 DISEASE. SO I DO THINK THIS IS REALLY IN LINE WITH
3 THE MISSION OF CIRM.

4 I SEE THAT THERE ARE CONCERNS ABOUT THE
5 WAY THE MONEY WAS SPENT. I HAVE TO SAY THERE WAS A
6 DELAY FOR A LONG PERIOD OF TIME WHICH I WON'T GO
7 INTO. SO I'M HOPING YOU'LL LOOK FAVORABLY AND
8 ACTUALLY AGREE TO FUND THE STUDY TODAY SO THAT WE
9 CAN MOVE THIS ALONG AND GET THIS INTO PATIENTS.

10 DR. JUELSGAARD: CAN I ASK A QUICK
11 QUESTION? SO 22 DAYS FROM NOW WE HAVE ANOTHER CIRM
12 MEETING AT WHICH WE CAN RECONSIDER THIS PROPOSAL.
13 YOU THINK THOSE BUDGETARY QUESTIONS THAT WE'VE
14 RAISED COULD BE ANSWERED WITHIN 22 DAYS?

15 DR. SHIZIRU: I THINK SO. I JUST WOULD
16 BE, YOU KNOW, AGAIN, EXPLICITLY WHAT IT WOULD BE, OF
17 COURSE, THE DEVIL IS IN THE DETAILS, BUT, OF COURSE,
18 WE WOULD DO EVERYTHING WE COULD TO ANSWER EVERYTHING
19 AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE.

20 DR. JUELSGAARD: OKAY. THANKS.

21 MR. SHEEHY: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

22 MR. TORRES: I HAVE THE ULTIMATE
23 CONFIDENCE IN JUDY TO HELP US GET THROUGH THIS
24 PROCESS, AND I DON'T THINK THE 22-DAY DELAY IS GOING
25 TO REALLY GET YOU OFF THE RAILS. IF WE CAN SATISFY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE BOARD BOTH PUBLICLY AND AS A BOARD IN TERMS OF
2 MOVING APPROPRIATELY.

3 DR. SHIZIRU: YEAH. I THINK WE HAVE
4 PATIENTS IN THE QUEUE RIGHT NOW. WE'RE LOOKING TO
5 DO THE NEXT DOSE. WE WILL DELAY THAT PATIENT IF
6 THAT'S THE CASE.

7 MR. TORRES: I THOUGHT YOU HAD TWO
8 MILLION, RIGHT?

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: RIGHT, EXACTLY. I
10 THOUGHT YOU ONLY SPENT 18 OF THE 20. DON'T YOU HAVE
11 TWO MILLION TO APPLY TO THAT?

12 DR. SHIZIRU: WE ARE -- OUR CO-FUNDING
13 REQUIREMENT IS IN APRIL, RIGHT. AND ALSO I THINK
14 ONE OF THE OTHER ISSUES THAT WE'VE DISCUSSED IS
15 ACCELERATING THE TRIAL BECAUSE OF THE DIFFICULTY
16 ENROLLING THE NEWBORN PATIENTS. AND SO WHAT WE
17 WANTED TO DO WAS OPEN UP THE STUDY IN OTHER CENTERS.
18 BUT I THINK, GIVEN THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE MONIES TO
19 SUPPORT THE TRIAL GOING FORWARD, THEN I THINK
20 BUDGETARILY WE'RE BETTER OFF USING IT TO CONTINUE TO
21 FOLLOW THE PATIENTS THAT WE'VE ALREADY TRANSPLANTED.
22 FROM THAT BUDGETARY STANDPOINT, WE SHOULD DELAY THE
23 TRIAL. WE SHOULD DELAY TREATING ANY MORE PATIENTS
24 ON THE TRIAL.

25 MR. SHEEHY: SO STANFORD WON'T FRONT YOU

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 1.6 MILLION TO PATIENTS IF WE DON'T GIVE YOU THE
2 MONEY TODAY?

3 DR. SHIZIRU: I HESITATE TO SAY WHAT THEY
4 WOULD DO.

5 MR. SHEEHY: TO STANFORD, 50 MILLION FROM
6 CIRM, THEY WOULD ACTUALLY PUT PATIENTS AT RISK?

7 DR. SHIZIRU: I'M NOT AT LIBERTY TO SAY
8 WHAT STANFORD WOULD DO.

9 MR. SHEEHY: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR
10 COMMENTS? ARE WE READY -- ANY OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT?
11 ARE WE READY TO VOTE?

12 MS. BONNEVILLE: SURE.

13 MR. TORRES: WILL YOU RESTATE THE MOTION?

14 MR. SHEEHY: YEAH. COULD WE RESTATE THE
15 MOTION, JAMES.

16 MR. HARRISON: THE MOTION AGAIN, AS I
17 UNDERSTAND IT, IS TO DELAY CONSIDERATION OF
18 CLIN2-11431 UNTIL THE CIRM TEAM AND THE APPLICANT
19 PROVIDE ADDITIONAL BUDGET INFORMATION AND THE
20 APPLICANT PROVIDES A CLEAR PLAN FOR
21 COMMERCIALIZATION TO THE APPLICATION REVIEW
22 SUBCOMMITTEE.

23 MR. TORRES: THE ONLY AMENDMENT THAT I
24 WOULD ADD TO THAT MOTION, JAMES, IS TO PUT THE DATE
25 OF OUR NEXT MEETING, WHICH IS WHEN?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: FEBRUARY 21ST.
2 MR. TORRES: TO RESOLVE IT BY THEN, A DATE
3 CERTAIN.
4 MR. SHEEHY: YEAH. TWENTY-TWO DAYS.
5 MR. HARRISON: SO ADDED.
6 MR. TORRES: THANK YOU.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE. DAVE
8 HIGGINS.
9 DR. HIGGINS: YES, WITH THE FOOTNOTE THAT
10 I WANTED TO ACKNOWLEDGE FELLOW BOARD MEMBERS AT
11 THEIR CONTINUED CONCERN ABOUT SPENDING TAXPAYER'S
12 MONEY WISELY BECAUSE I THINK THIS IS A GREAT EXAMPLE
13 OF THAT.
14 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, DAVID.
15 STEVE JUELSGAARD.
16 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
18 DR. MARTIN: YES.
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
20 MS. MILLER: YES.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
22 DR. PADILLA: YES.
23 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
24 MR. PANETTA: YES.
25 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DR. PRIETO: AYE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.

DR. QUINT: YES.

MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.

MR. ROWLETT: YES.

MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.

MR. SHEEHY: YES.

MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

MR. TORRES: AYE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

MS. WINOKUR: YES.

MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. THE MOTION
CARRIES.

MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. THAT CONCLUDES
THE BUSINESS OF THE APPLICATION SUBCOMMITTEE.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY.
THANK YOU FOR ALL WHO ATTENDED, COMMENTED. WE
APPRECIATE IT AS ALWAYS. IS THERE ANY PUBLIC
COMMENT ON ANY GENERAL TOPIC? HEARING NONE, THAT
CONCLUDES TODAY'S AGENDA. THANK YOU, EVERYBODY. WE
WILL ADJOURN THE MEETING AND LOOK FORWARD TO THE
MEETING ON FEBRUARY 21ST.

MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, EVERYONE.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON JANUARY 30, 2019, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152
133 HENNA COURT
SANDPOINT, IDAHO
(208) 255-5453