

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

BEFORE THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
AND THE
APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: AS INDICATED ON THE AGENDA

DATE: JULY 24, 2019
11 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR
CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2019-14

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

I N D E X

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER.	3
2. ROLL CALL.	3
3. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS (CLIN 1, 2 OR 3).	5
4. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO PARTNERING OPPORTUNITY: TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH PROJECT	
CLOSED SESSION	NONE
5. DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OR WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION DATA, FINANCIAL INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH OR DATA, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INFORMATION RELATING TO APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO AGENDA ITEMS "3" AND "4" ABOVE. (HEALTH & SAFETY CODE 125290.30(F) (3) (B) AND (C)).	18
OPEN SESSION	
6. PUBLIC COMMENT.	NONE
7. ADJOURNMENT	92

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JULY 24, 2019; 11:00 A.M.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: GOOD MORNING, EVERYBODY,
AND WELCOME TO THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE ICOC AND
APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE FOR JULY 2019. WE
HAVE QUITE A CROWD IN THE OFFICE HERE UP IN OAKLAND.
I'M NOT SURE IF THERE ARE OTHERS AT OUR DIFFERENT
SITES; BUT WITHOUT FURTHER ADO, LET'S GET GOING.
MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

DR. DULIEGE: YES.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.

DR. HIGGINS: YES, I'M HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.

MR. JUELSGAARD: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING.

MS. LANSING: YES, I'M HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.

DR. MARTIN: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
PADILLA.

DR. PADILLA: YES, HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.

MR. PANETTA: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. PRIETO: HERE.
2 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT. AL
3 ROWLETT.
4 MR. ROWLETT: HERE.
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
6 MR. SHEEHY: HERE.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
8 DR. STEWARD: HERE.
9 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.
11 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
12 MR. TORRES: HERE.
13 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
14 MS. WINOKUR: HERE.
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: ARE THERE OTHER BOARD
16 MEMBERS WHOSE NAME I DID NOT CALL WHO ARE ON THE
17 PHONE?
18 DR. FINE: YES. LEON FINE.
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.
20 DR. SANDMEYER: SUZANNE SANDMEYER.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.
22 DR. GASSON: JUDY GASSON.
23 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, JUDY.
24 THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE HAVE A QUORUM.
25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ON TO ITEM NO. 3, CONSIDERATION OF
2 APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIAL
3 STAGE PROJECTS, CLIN1, 2, AND 3. TURN THE MEETING
4 OVER AT THIS POINT TO MR. SHEEHY.

5 MR. SHEEHY: OKAY. SO DR. PATEL IS GOING
6 TO TAKE US THROUGH THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE ONE
7 APPLICATION WE HAVE.

8 DR. PATEL: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY. I HAVE
9 THE EASY TASK TODAY OF THE CLINICAL APPLICATIONS.
10 SO I'M GOING TO DO THE PRESENTATION AND THEN TURN IT
11 BACK OVER TO YOU.

12 SO, AS YOU ALL KNOW, THE CLINICAL PROGRAM
13 IS COMPOSED OF THREE DISTINCT FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES
14 RANGING FROM IND-ENABLING PRECLINICAL PROJECTS TO
15 PHASE 1, 2, AND 3 CLINICAL TRIALS. THE SINGLE
16 APPLICATION UP FOR YOUR REVIEW TODAY IS A CLIN2
17 APPLICATION FOR A PHASE 1 CLINICAL TRIAL.

18 JUST A REMINDER ON THE WAY THAT OUR GRANTS
19 WORKING GROUP SCORES THESE APPLICATIONS. THEY GIVE
20 IT A SCORE OF 1, 2, OR 3. IF THE APPLICATION HAS
21 EXCEPTIONAL MERIT AND WARRANTS FUNDING, IT GETS A
22 SCORE OF 1. IF IT NEEDS IMPROVEMENT AND DOES NOT
23 WARRANT FUNDING BUT CAN BE RESUBMITTED, IT GETS A
24 SCORE OF 2. AND, LASTLY, IF IT'S SUFFICIENTLY
25 FLAWED THAT IT DOES NOT WARRANT FUNDING AND SHOULD

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 NOT BE RESUBMITTED FOR SIX MONTHS, IT GETS A SCORE
2 OF 3. AND I'LL HIGHLIGHT THE GWG SCORES AT THE END
3 OF THE PRESENTATION.

4 TO GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ON THE CLINICAL
5 BUDGET. THIS IS FOR THE BIG BUCKET, THE
6 GENERAL CLINICAL PROGRAM DESIGNED NOT FOR THE SICKLE
7 CELL PROGRAM. THE ANNUAL ALLOCATION FOR THIS WAS
8 \$93 MILLION FOR 2019. TO DATE THE BOARD HAS
9 APPROVED \$60 MILLION IN FUNDING FOR CLIN AWARDS.
10 THE CURRENT APPLICATION UP FOR REVIEW TODAY IS \$9.3
11 MILLION AS REQUESTED. IF YOU WERE TO APPROVE THAT
12 FOR FUNDING, THAT WOULD REMAIN -- THAT WOULD HAVE AN
13 UNUSED BALANCE OF \$23.7 MILLION GOING FORWARD FOR
14 THE PROGRAM FOR THE REST OF THE YEAR.

15 SO, AS YOU KNOW, THE CIRM TEAM SETS
16 INTERNAL TARGETS BASED ON THE ANNUAL ALLOCATION; AND
17 FOR THE CLIN PROGRAM, WE SET A TARGET OF EIGHT
18 TRIALS FOR CLIN2 AWARDS AND TWO CLIN1 AWARDS. WE'VE
19 MET THE CLIN1 TARGET. AGAIN, IT DOES NOT MEAN THAT
20 YOU CANNOT FUND ADDITIONAL ONES. FOR CLIN2, WE'VE
21 FUNDED SIX TRIALS TO DATE. AND IF YOU APPROVE
22 TODAY'S, THAT WOULD MAKE IT SEVEN OUT OF EIGHT FOR
23 THE TARGET.

24 SO TO GET TO THE CURRENT APPLICATION UP
25 FOR REVIEW TODAY, THIS IS CLIN2-11574, AND THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THERAPY IS AUTOLOGOUS HER2 TARGETED CAR-T CELLS, AND
2 THE T-CELLS HERE ARE WITH A STARTING POPULATION OF
3 90 STEM MEMORY T-CELLS. THE INDICATION IS HER2
4 POSITIVE BREAST CANCER THAT HAS METASTASIZED TO THE
5 CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM, AND IT'S A PHASE 1 TRIAL.
6 THEY'RE REQUESTING \$9.29 MILLION, AND THE MAXIMUM
7 ALLOWABLE FOR THIS CATEGORY IS \$12 MILLION, AND
8 THEY'RE NOT REQUIRED TO HAVE CO-FUNDING AT THIS
9 STAGE.

10 SO TO GIVE YOU A BRIEF BACKGROUND ON THE
11 CLINICAL SIDE AS WELL AS THE VALUE PROPOSITION FOR
12 THIS PARTICULAR THERAPY, AS YOU ALL KNOW, BREAST
13 CANCER IS THE MOST COMMON CANCER IN WOMEN. HER2
14 POSITIVE CANCER REPRESENTS ABOUT 20 TO 25 PERCENT OF
15 THE TOTAL BREAST CANCER POPULATION, AND IT'S HIGHLY
16 METASTATIC. AND IT'S ESTIMATED THAT UP TO 50
17 PERCENT OF THESE PATIENTS CAN DEVELOP CNS TUMORS.
18 IF I'VE DONE MY MATH CORRECTLY, THAT MEANS THAT THE
19 TARGET PATIENT POPULATION FOR THIS PARTICULAR
20 THERAPY WILL BE ABOUT 10 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL BREAST
21 CANCER POPULATION.

22 SO WHILE THESE METASTASES ARE TREATED WITH
23 A COMBINATION OF SURGERY, RADIATION, CHEMOTHERAPY,
24 AND IMMUNOTHERAPY, THE PROGNOSIS AND QUALITY OF LIFE
25 FOR THESE PATIENTS REMAINS VERY POOR. AND PART OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE REASON FOR THAT IS THAT HER2 TARGETED
2 IMMUNOTHERAPY, WHICH HAS BEEN EFFECTIVE IN BREAST
3 CANCER, IS ONLY EFFECTIVE FOR EXTRACRANIAL
4 METASTASES, AND IT HAS LIMITED PENETRATION INTO THE
5 BRAIN DUE TO NOT BEING ABLE TO CROSS THE BLOOD-BRAIN
6 BARRIER. SO THE VALUE PROPOSITION FOR THIS
7 PARTICULAR APPROACH IS THAT YOU CAN HAVE HER2
8 TARGETED IMMUNOCYTOLOGICAL THERAPY DELIVERED LOCALLY
9 AND HAVE A SUSTAINED ANTITUMOR RESPONSE IN THE CNS
10 FOR BOTH BRAIN AS WELL AS LEFT LOBE MEDIAL TUMORS.

11 IF IT'S SHOWN TO BE EFFECTIVE, IT HAS THE
12 POTENTIAL TO GREATLY IMPROVE SURVIVAL AND QUALITY OF
13 LIFE FOR THESE PATIENTS.

14 THE REASON THAT WE ARE REVIEWING THIS
15 PARTICULAR APPLICATION IS BECAUSE THE CELL THERAPY
16 INCLUDES GENE-MODIFIED NAIVE AND STEM MEMORY
17 T-CELLS, WHICH MEETS THE PROGENITOR CELL DEFINITION
18 IN PROP 71.

19 I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT THAT THERE IS A
20 RELATED CIRM PROJECT IN OUR PORTFOLIO CURRENTLY.
21 THIS IS A CLIN2 AWARD FOR A PHASE 1 TRIAL FOR IL-13
22 RECEPTOR CAR-T CELLS FOR MALIGNANT GLIOMA. AGAIN,
23 THE INDICATION THERE IS DIFFERENT, BUT BOTH OF THESE
24 PROJECTS, THE ONE CURRENTLY UNDER REVIEW FOR HER2 AS
25 WELL AS THE PREVIOUSLY FUNDED IL-13 CAR-T THERAPY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BEING CO-DEVELOPED. AND, THUS, THERE'S SIMILAR
2 CAR-T TECHNOLOGY AT PLAY AS WELL AS SIMILAR DELIVERY
3 MECHANISMS. AND THE TRIALS INFORM EACH OTHER AS
4 WELL.

5 BOTH OF THESE TRIALS ACTUALLY STEM FROM A
6 DISCOVERY STAGE PROJECT FUNDED BY CIRM WHERE THEY
7 WERE DISCOVERED AND SHOWN TO HAVE EFFICACY IN
8 PRECLINICAL MODELS. THIS PARTICULAR AWARD WAS
9 STARTED IN 2013 AND ENDED IN 2016. THE AWARD AMOUNT
10 FOR THAT WAS 5.22 MILLION, AND THEY ACHIEVED ALL
11 FOUR OF THEIR MILESTONES EITHER ON TIME OR WITH
12 MINOR DELAYS.

13 AS PROMISED, THIS IS A BREAKDOWN OF THE
14 GRANTS WORKING GROUP REVIEW SCORES. FIFTEEN MEMBERS
15 SCORED THE APPLICATION. ELEVEN GAVE IT A SCORE OF 1
16 AND FOUR GAVE IT A SCORE OF 2, MAKING IT A TIER I
17 RECOMMENDATION FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. THE
18 CIRM TEAM CONCURS WITH THAT RECOMMENDATION TO FUND
19 THE APPLICATION FOR THE AWARD AMOUNT REQUESTED WHICH
20 IS ROUGHLY \$9.29 MILLION.

21 MR. SHEEHY.

22 MR. SHEEHY: SURE. SO DO I HAVE A MOTION
23 TO ACCEPT THE CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDATION?

24 DR. DULIEGE: AYE. THIS IS ANNE-MARIE.

25 MR. SHEEHY: DO I HAVE A SECOND?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. PANETTA: THIS IS JOE. YES.

2 MR. SHEEHY: SECOND FROM JOE PANETTA. DO
3 WE HAVE ANY BOARD DISCUSSION ON THIS?

4 DR. DULIEGE: TWO QUICK QUESTIONS IF
5 THAT'S OKAY.

6 MR. SHEEHY: SURE.

7 DR. DULIEGE: SO ONE IS HOW ARE THE CAR-T
8 CELLS DELIVERED INTO THE CNS? AND MY SECOND
9 QUESTION IS IS THE COST OF \$9.2 MILLION
10 REPRESENTING, IN FACT, THE ENTIRE COST OF THIS PHASE
11 1 TRIAL, AND WHAT'S THE SIZE OF THE PHASE 1 TRIAL,
12 NUMBER OF PATIENTS EXPECTED TO BE ENROLLED, AND HAS
13 IT ACTUALLY STARTED?

14 DR. PATEL: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY. SO THE
15 FIRST QUESTION WITH RESPECT TO THE DELIVERY
16 MECHANISM, THIS IS REGIONALLY DELIVERED
17 INTRAVENTRICULARLY. SO THEY USE A RESERVOIR SYSTEM
18 TO DELIVER THE CELLS.

19 WITH RESPECT TO THE SECOND QUESTION IN
20 TERMS OF THE PATIENTS, SO THERE WAS AN INITIAL
21 COHORT THAT WAS TREATED WITH A DIFFERENT CELL
22 POPULATION. FOR THE PARTICULAR TRIAL THEY'RE ASKING
23 US FOR, I BELIEVE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF PATIENTS TO BE
24 TREATED IS 21.

25 DR. DULIEGE: AND I ASSUME WE DON'T HAVE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ANY RESULTS FROM THE INITIAL COHORT?

2 DR. PATEL: SO FROM THE INITIAL COHORT,
3 WHICH WAS A DIFFERENT CELL POPULATION, THE RESULTS
4 WERE PRESENTED TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP, AND THEY
5 FOUND THE RESULTS TO BE ENCOURAGING ENOUGH TO
6 SUPPORT THIS PARTICULAR TRIAL.

7 DR. DULIEGE: OKAY. GREAT. THANK YOU
8 VERY MUCH.

9 MR. PANETTA: I JUST HAVE TWO BRIEF
10 QUESTIONS JUST TO FOLLOW UP ON ANNE-MARIE'S
11 QUESTION. SO OBVIOUSLY THE INITIAL TRIAL THAT WAS
12 DONE AND THIS ONE ARE BASICALLY SAFETY TRIALS. SO
13 OBVIOUSLY WE WON'T SEE ANY EFFICACY OUT OF THESE
14 TRIALS. I GUESS THAT'S NOT REALLY A QUESTION. BUT
15 THIS SEEMS LIKE AN EXCEPTIONAL PROPOSAL, AND I'M
16 CURIOUS IF IT'S POSSIBLE TO LEARN WHY THERE WERE
17 FOUR VOTES THAT GAVE IT A SCORE OF TWO.

18 DR. PATEL: I CAN COMMENT ON THAT. WITH
19 RESPECT TO THE FOUR REVIEWERS WHO GAVE IT A SCORE OF
20 2, THERE WAS A MIX OF REASONS FOR THAT. ONE OF THE
21 REASONS WAS THAT THEY FELT THAT -- SOME OF THEM FELT
22 THAT, BECAUSE THERE IS THIS ONGOING TRIAL IN
23 GLIOBLASTOMA WITH THE IL-13 RECEPTOR CAR-T CELLS,
24 GETTING MORE DATA FROM THAT TRIAL WOULD BETTER
25 INFORM THE EXECUTION OF THE CURRENT TRIAL. AGAIN,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THEY'RE DIFFERENT INDICATIONS, AND THAT OTHER TRIAL
2 IS STUDYING DIFFERENT CELL POPULATIONS AS WELL AS
3 DIFFERENT DELIVERY MECHANISMS.

4 MR. PANETTA: OKAY. SO BASICALLY NOT A
5 CRITICISM OF THIS TRIAL, BUT YOU GET MORE
6 INFORMATION FROM THE OTHER TRIAL FIRST?

7 DR. PATEL: CORRECT.

8 MR. PANETTA: OKAY. THANKS.

9 DR. MARTIN: I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE
10 CONSTRUCT OF THE CAR. JUST VERY BRIEFLY, THIS IS,
11 PRESUMABLY, AN SCFV HER2 CONSTRUCT FOR THE TARGETING
12 DOMAIN. AND WHAT IS THE INTRACELLULAR CONSTRUCT,
13 AND IS IT ONE THAT IS WIDELY USED OR SOMETHING
14 NOVEL? IS IT A CD 28, A 41-BB? JUST TELL US
15 SOMETHING ABOUT WHY -- ABOUT THE CAR. WHAT ARE THE
16 EXPECTATIONS? WHAT SHOULD BE THE EXPECTATIONS OF
17 ITS EFFICACY? IS IT NOVEL, OR IS IT TRADITIONAL?

18 DR. PATEL: WE WANT TO PROTECT THE
19 CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE APPLICANT, AND WE ARE NOT
20 ABLE TO REVEAL THAT WITHOUT GOING TO CLOSED SESSION.

21 DR. MARTIN: THAT SHOULDN'T BE
22 CONFIDENTIAL. IS IT -- ALL RIGHT. I WON'T PURSUE
23 IT.

24 DR. PATEL: IT IS -- FIRST OF ALL, I JUST
25 CHECKED THE SUMMARY, AND IN THERE IT'S A BB, SO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 YOU'RE RIGHT, THAT IT'S GOING TO BE ONE OF THE KNOWN
2 ONES.

3 DR. MARTIN: THANK YOU.

4 MR. SHEEHY: ARE THERE ADDITIONAL
5 QUESTIONS? COMMENTS? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ABOUT THIS
6 APPLICATION?

7 DR. PRICEMAN: MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
8 MEMBERS OF CIRM, GOOD MORNING. I'M SAUL PRICEMAN.
9 I'M THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR OF THIS CLIN2
10 APPLICATION. THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK
11 TODAY.

12 ONE OF THE GREAT SUCCESS STORIES IN CANCER
13 TREATMENT IS TARGETING THE HER2 ONCOGENE FOR BREAST
14 CANCER. IT'S LANDED IN REMARKABLE FDA-APPROVED
15 THERAPIES, EXTENDING THE LIVES OF PATIENTS WITH THIS
16 DISEASE. UNFORTUNATELY, AS MANY AS 50 PERCENT OF
17 HER2 POSITIVE BREAST CANCER PATIENTS ULTIMATELY
18 DEVELOP BRAIN METASTATIC DISEASE FOR WHICH THERE IS
19 ABSOLUTELY NO EFFECTIVE THERAPY.

20 WE PLAN TO BREAK THAT BARRIER WITH OUR
21 HER2 TARGETED CAR-T CELL THERAPY. CIRM HAS DONE A
22 TREMENDOUS JOB OF FUNDING THE DEVELOPMENT OF
23 THERAPIES FOR MULTIPLE TYPES OF CANCER.
24 SURPRISINGLY, THERE ARE NO FUNDED PROJECTS
25 SPECIFICALLY FOCUSED ON BREAST CANCER OR BRAIN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 METASTATIC DISEASE.

2 WE HOPE YOU WILL ELIMINATE THIS GAP TODAY
3 BECAUSE WE BELIEVE OUR UNIQUE CAR-T CELL APPROACH
4 WILL ULTIMATELY PRODUCE LIFE-EXTENDING THERAPY FOR
5 THESE PATIENTS.

6 THE PHASE 1 CLINICAL TRIAL WE PROPOSE WAS
7 HIGHLY RECOMMENDED BY THE GWG REVIEWERS WHO WERE
8 SUPPORTIVE OF OUR RATIONALE, OUR TEAM, AS WELL AS
9 OUR CLINICAL DATA TO DATE, AND ACKNOWLEDGED THE
10 POTENTIAL BREAKTHROUGH STATUS OF THIS TRIAL.

11 FOR THE PAST 20 YEARS, CITY OF HOPE HAS
12 FOCUSED INTENSELY ON DEVELOPING CAR-T CELL
13 APPROACHES FOR TREATING THE MOST INTRACTABLE SOLID
14 TUMORS. IN THE EARLY 2000S, WE WERE THE FIRST TO
15 DEMONSTRATE SOLID TUMOR CAR-T CELL THERAPY TREATMENT
16 IN PATIENTS. AND RECENTLY WE WERE THE FIRST TO
17 REPORT OUR REMARKABLE RESPONSE FOR PATIENTS WITH
18 GLIOBLASTOMA. WE HAVE GAINED CRUCIAL INFORMATION
19 FROM THOSE EARLY TRIALS REGARDING BOTH THE
20 FEASIBILITY AND SAFETY OF OUR INTRAVENTRICULAR ROUTE
21 OF DELIVERY AS WELL AS THE MANUFACTURING PROCESS FOR
22 STEM MEMORY CAR-T CELL THERAPY. SINCE NO THERAPIES
23 TO DATE HAVE BEEN EFFECTIVE FOR THESE PATIENTS WITH
24 HER2 POSITIVE BRAIN METASTATIC DISEASE, WE ARE
25 ANXIOUS TO MOVE QUICKLY FORWARD WITH THE PHASE 1

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TRIAL .

2 FOR THE PATIENTS WITH THIS DISEASE, AN
3 EFFECTIVE THERAPY IS DESPERATELY NEEDED. WE'D LIKE
4 TO THANK THE REVIEWERS, ICOC, AND CIRM FOR FINDING
5 MERIT IN OUR APPLICATION. WE HOPE YOU WILL FUND
6 THIS IMPORTANT EARLY PHASE CLINICAL TRIAL. THANK
7 YOU.

8 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY
9 OTHER -- SENATOR TORRES.

10 MR. TORRES: YES. I WAS A PATIENT
11 ADVOCATE REVIEWER ON YOUR GRANT. AND AS THE SON OF
12 A BREAST CANCER PATIENT WHO HAD A SIMILAR DIAGNOSIS,
13 SHE FIRST RECOVERED FROM BREAST CANCER IN 1994, SO I
14 PUT HER IN THE FIRST TAMOXIFEN TRIAL, AND IN 2012 WE
15 LOST HER, BUT SHE HAD A GOOD LIFE.

16 WITH THAT PERSONAL EXPERIENCE AND ALSO
17 KNOWING OTHERS THAT HAVE BEEN IN THAT HORRIBLE
18 SITUATION WHERE THERE'S NOTHING AVAILABLE ONCE THE
19 GLIOMA BEGINS, THIS IS A PERFECT OPPORTUNITY FOR US
20 TO GET AHEAD OF THE ISSUE HERE. THAT'S WHY I
21 SUPPORT IT.

22 DR. PRICEMAN: THANK YOU.

23 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU, SENATOR TORRES.
24 ANY OTHER COMMENTS EITHER FROM THE PUBLIC OR FROM
25 THE BOARD?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. MARTIN: I HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION. IN
2 THE CLINICALTRIALS.GOV OF CAR-T STUDIES, OF WHICH
3 THERE ARE ABOUT 300, ARE ANY OF THEM HER2 SCFV
4 CAR-TS THAT ARE FOCUSED IN THE SAME -- FOR THE SAME
5 INDICATION?

6 DR. PRICEMAN: THERE ARE, TO OUR
7 KNOWLEDGE, TWO ONGOING TRIALS FOR HER2 TARGETED
8 CAR-T CELLS, ONE SYSTEMIC DELIVERY FOR GLIOBLASTOMA,
9 THE OTHER SYSTEMIC DELIVERY FOR SARCOMA. TO OUR
10 KNOWLEDGE, THIS IS THE FIRST HER2 CAR-T TRIAL FOR
11 BRAIN METASTATIC DISEASE.

12 DR. MARTIN: THANK YOU.

13 MR. SHEEHY: OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS
14 FROM THE PUBLIC OR FROM THE BOARD? COULD YOU CALL
15 THE ROLL, MS. BONNEVILLE.

16 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

17 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

18 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.

19 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.

21 MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING.

23 MS. LANSING: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.

25 DR. MARTIN: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
2 DR. PADILLA: YES.
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
4 MR. PANETTA: YES.
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
6 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
8 DR. QUINT: YES.
9 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
10 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
11 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
12 MR. SHEEHY: YES.
13 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
14 DR. STEWARD: YES.
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
18 MR. TORRES: AYE.
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
20 MS. WINOKUR: YES.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
22 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU.
23 OKAY. I THINK WE'RE TO THE NEXT AGENDA
24 ITEM, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF THE TRANS
25 APPLICATIONS. THANK YOU, DR. PRICEMAN. GOOD LUCK

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WITH YOUR AWARD.

2 THE REPORTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS BETH.
3 I HEAR A LOT OF PAPER RATTLING. IF WE COULD ASK
4 MEMBERS TO PLEASE MUTE THEIR PHONES IF THEY'RE NOT
5 SPEAKING.

6 MR. SHEEHY: SURE.

7 THE REPORTER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

8 MR. SHEEHY: I HOPE EVERYONE HEARD BETH,
9 WHO, GOD BLESS HER, I THINK, HAS BEEN WITH US SINCE
10 THE BEGINNING AND HAS DONE AN EXTRAORDINARY JOB.

11 THE REPORTER: THANK YOU FOR THAT.

12 MR. SHEEHY: NO ONE CAN SAY WE HAVEN'T
13 BEEN TRANSPARENT. EVERY WORD SPOKEN IS RECORDED
14 FAITHFULLY BY BETH GOING BACK NOW WELL OVER A
15 DECADE.

16 SO WHO'S GOING TO TAKE US, DR. SAMBRANO --

17 DR. SAMBRANO: YES.

18 MR. SHEEHY: -- THROUGH THE TRANS?

19 DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY. SO
20 GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE. I'LL TAKE YOU THROUGH THE
21 PRESENTATION AND OVERVIEW OF THE GRANTS WORKING
22 GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THIS CYCLE FOR THE
23 TRANSLATIONAL PROGRAMS.

24 AND SO JUST A QUICK REMINDER OF WHERE IT
25 FITS AMONG OUR FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES, THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TRANSLATIONAL PROGRAM TAKES SINGLE PRODUCT
2 CANDIDATES, WHETHER OR NOT THROUGH OUR OWN DISCOVERY
3 PROGRAM, AND TAKES THEM TO THE POINT WHERE THEY CAN
4 BEGIN OR INITIATE IND-ENABLING ACTIVITIES AND ON
5 INTO THE CLINIC. AND AS SUCH, THE OBJECTIVE IS TO
6 TAKE PROMISING STEM CELL-BASED PROJECTS THAT WILL
7 ACCELERATE THE COMPLETION OF THOSE TRANSLATIONAL
8 STAGE ACTIVITIES AND ADVANCE THEM ULTIMATELY TO THE
9 CLINIC.

10 AND TYPICALLY WHAT QUALIFIES FOR THE TRAN
11 PROGRAM ARE FOUR DIFFERENT PRODUCT TYPES:
12 THERAPEUTICS, DIAGNOSTICS, MEDICAL DEVICES, AND
13 TOOLS. FOR THE ONLY CYCLE THAT WE HAVE HAD IN 2019,
14 AS WAS INDICATED PREVIOUSLY, THIS WAS GOING TO BE
15 LIMITED ONLY TO THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATES, SO ONLY
16 THOSE QUALIFIED FOR THIS PARTICULAR CYCLE.

17 SO FOR THERAPEUTICS, WHAT WE EXPECT IN
18 TERMS OF APPLICANTS COMING IN IS THAT THEY HAVE A
19 SINGLE CANDIDATE WHERE THEY HAVE DEMONSTRATED
20 DISEASE-MODIFYING ACTIVITY IN AN APPROPRIATE MODEL.
21 THE PROJECT OR THE AWARD WOULD TAKE THEM THROUGH THE
22 KEY TRANSLATIONAL ACTIVITIES THAT, HOPEFULLY BY THE
23 END OF THE AWARD, WILL ALLOW THEM TO COMPLETE A
24 PRE-IND MEETING WITH THE FDA AND MOVE ON FROM THERE.

25 THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP LOOKS AT THESE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 APPLICATIONS WITH A FOCUS ON THESE FOUR KEY
2 QUESTIONS IN TERMS OF EVALUATING THE MERIT OF THE
3 APPLICATIONS.

4 FIRST, DOES THE PROJECT HOLD THE NECESSARY
5 SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT? DOES IT HAVE
6 A SOUND RATIONALE? IS THE PROJECT WELL-PLANNED AND
7 DESIGNED? AND IS THE PROJECT FEASIBLE?

8 THE SCORING SYSTEM THAT THE GRANTS WORKING
9 GROUP USES FOR THESE APPLICATIONS IS DIFFERENT FROM
10 THE CLIN PROGRAM. HERE WE HAVE A SCALE OF ONE TO A
11 HUNDRED. SO REVIEWERS THAT ARE SCORING APPLICATIONS
12 BETWEEN 85 AND A HUNDRED MEANS THAT THEY'RE
13 RECOMMENDING FUNDING IF FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE. IF
14 THEY SCORE BETWEEN 1 AND 84, THEIR RECOMMENDATION IS
15 TO NOT FUND. ALL APPLICATIONS ARE SCORED BY THE
16 SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS THAT HAVE NO CONFLICTS. AND WHAT
17 WE DO IS TAKE THE MEDIAN OF ALL INDIVIDUAL GWG
18 SCORES TO DETERMINE WHAT THE FINAL SCORE IS.

19 SO IN THIS PARTICULAR CYCLE, WE HAD 19
20 APPLICATIONS THAT CAME THROUGH. SEVEN OF THEM WERE
21 RECOMMENDED BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. THE TOTAL
22 APPLICANT REQUEST WITH THOSE SEVEN APPLICATIONS IS
23 30.7 MILLION. HOWEVER, THE FUNDS THAT ARE
24 AVAILABLE, AT LEAST THAT WERE ALLOCATED TO THE
25 TRANSLATIONAL PROGRAM FOR 2019, IS \$20 MILLION. SO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WE HAVE MORE RECOMMENDED THAN THE BUDGET WILL ALLOW.

2 AND SO AS SUCH, GIVEN THAT WE HAVE SEVEN
3 MERITORIOUS APPLICATIONS, WE ARE MAKING A
4 RECOMMENDATION TO YOU ABOUT HOW TO POTENTIALLY GO
5 ABOUT THIS. SO --

6 MR. SHEEHY: ISN'T THAT PROGRAMMATIC
7 REVIEW FOR THE BOARD?

8 DR. SAMBRANO: WELL, THE RECOMMENDATION IS
9 OURS. THE PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW IS UP TO YOU. YOU
10 CAN IGNORE OUR RECOMMENDATION.

11 MR. SHEEHY: THIS IS KIND OF NEW TO ME.
12 I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND THE PROCESS.

13 DR. SAMBRANO: SURE.

14 MR. SHEEHY: IT'S CHANGED.

15 DR. SAMBRANO: SO THE PROCESS HASN'T
16 CHANGED. OUR RECOMMENDATION IS DIFFERENT FROM WHAT
17 WE HAVE HAD IN THE PAST. AND SO, GIVEN THE
18 CIRCUMSTANCES, WE ARE PROVIDING A RECOMMENDATION,
19 WHICH IS UP TO YOU IF YOU WANT TO ACCEPT IT OR NOT.
20 WE CAN GO THROUGH OUR RATIONALE FOR IT.

21 MR. SHEEHY: IT'S VERY CONFUSING TO ME.

22 MR. TORRES: WE HAVE HAD RECOMMENDATIONS
23 FROM THE STAFF BEFORE. CIRM RECOMMENDS BLAH AND
24 THEN WE DECIDE.

25 MR. SHEEHY: USUALLY PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 HAS BEEN CONDUCTED BY THE BOARD.

2 DR. SAMBRANO: SHALL I PROCEED? OKAY.

3 SO OUR RECOMMENDATION IS IN TWO PHASES.

4 SO THE FIRST IS WE HAVE \$20 MILLION WHICH CAN COVER
5 ABOUT FOUR APPLICATIONS. SO THOSE CAN BE ANY OF THE
6 APPLICATIONS. BUT IN ADDITION, WE ARE SUGGESTING
7 THAT THE REMAINING THREE OR THOSE THAT CANNOT BE
8 FUNDED BE LEFT OPEN AS OPPOSED TO CLOSING THEM OUT.
9 THERE ARE RECOVERED FUNDS THAT WE ARE LIKELY TO
10 HAVE. CURRENTLY WE HAVE 19.1 MILLION WHICH WE WILL
11 BRING TO THE FULL BOARD IN SEPTEMBER FOR ALLOCATION.
12 THIS IS ONE WAY THAT THEY CAN BE ALLOCATED TO HELP
13 COVER THOSE THAT THE 20 MILLION TODAY CAN'T COVER.

14 AND SO THAT'S THE FIRST PART. AND THE
15 SECOND IS, BASED ON THE RANK ORDER, WE HAVE A
16 SUGGESTION FOR HOW THAT APPROVAL MAY HAPPEN SO THAT
17 THE BOARD COULD APPROVE THE TOP TWO SCORING
18 APPLICATIONS, SELECT TWO AMONG THE THREE THAT ARE IN
19 THE MIDDLE THAT ARE ALL IN THE NEUROLOGICAL FIELD.
20 AND THEN WHATEVER REMAINS, THE LAST TWO AND ONE OF
21 THE NEUROLOGICAL ONES, THAT THOSE REMAIN OPEN FOR
22 CONSIDERATION IN SEPTEMBER AND AT THAT TIME, IF
23 FUNDS ARE ALLOCATED, WHETHER OR NOT THEY SHOULD BE
24 FUNDED.

25 SO THE RECOMMENDATION, AGREED, IS A LITTLE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 COMPLEX AND NUANCED, BUT WE WANTED TO AT LEAST OFFER
2 IT AS A POSSIBILITY. YOU CAN, OF COURSE, DO
3 WHATEVER YOU'D LIKE IN TERMS OF CHOOSING TO FUND
4 WHICHEVER APPLICATIONS.

5 MR. TORRES: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. I
6 THINK IN THE FUTURE, IT WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA FOR YOU
7 TO CONSULT WITH THE CHAIR SO THAT HE AND PERHAPS
8 OTHER MEMBERS ARE AWARE IF THERE'S BEEN ANY CHANGE.
9 THAT WOULD BE AN APPROPRIATE STEP TO TAKE, TALK TO
10 THE CHAIR.

11 AND SO I MOVE TO APPROVE THE FIRST TWO,
12 WHICH IS TRANS --

13 MR. SHEEHY: CAN I RULE THAT MOTION OUT OF
14 ORDER?

15 MR. TORRES: WHY?

16 MR. SHEEHY: BECAUSE FIRST WE TYPICALLY
17 DEAL WITH THE APPLICATIONS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN
18 RECOMMENDED. WE ALWAYS DO IT --

19 MR. TORRES: RIGHT. RIGHT. YOU'RE RIGHT.
20 SO MY QUESTION IS HOW MANY MEMBERS FOR THE TWO THAT
21 ARE RECOMMENDED BE APPROVED, HOW MUCH IS LEFT?

22 MR. SHEEHY: I PERSONALLY WOULD RECOMMEND
23 THE THIRD, PROGRAMMATIC CONSIDERATION. I WOULD LIKE
24 TO SEE CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD, AND THAT'S WHY I'M
25 KIND OF CONFUSED BY THIS PROCESS BECAUSE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROGRAMMATIC CONSIDERATIONS ARE NOT BEING BROUGHT TO
2 BEAR ON THIS, ESPECIALLY IN THE CONTEXT OF THE
3 ALMOST \$20 MILLION THAT WE'VE RECOVERED, SO WE DO
4 HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE INTERESTED IN WHAT'S GOING ON
5 HERE. AND IT JUST IS AWKWARD FOR ME, FOR AN AGENCY
6 THAT'S BEEN AROUND FOR THIS LONG, NOT TO BE ABLE TO
7 PRESENT ITSELF WITH A COHERENT, UNITED MESSAGE AND
8 FORMAL COMMUNICATION.

9 SO, FIRST, IF I COULD, WITH ALL RESPECT TO
10 SENATOR TORRES, ASK IF THERE'S ANY MOTION FROM ANY
11 MEMBER OF THE COMMITTEE TO MOVE ANY APPLICATION FROM
12 TIER II -- AND CAN WE KIND OF MOVE THAT UP BECAUSE I
13 THINK WE NEED TO LET EVERYBODY IN THE CROWD KNOW IN
14 CASE THERE'S SOMEONE HERE WHO WANTS TO SPEAK TO ANY
15 APPLICATION IN TIER II.

16 IS THERE A MOTION TO RECOMMEND ANY
17 APPLICATION IN TIER II, RECOMMEND THAT IT BE MOVED
18 INTO TIER I? AND IF THERE'S NOT, IS THERE ANY
19 PUBLIC COMMENT? BECAUSE I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE
20 GIVE THE FOLKS THAT ARE HERE TODAY, IF THEY WISH TO
21 SPEAK TO ANY OF THOSE APPLICATIONS, THAT THEY BE
22 GIVEN AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO SO.

23 TIER II, THE ONES IN WHITE. AND THEN IF
24 THERE'S NOT, COULD I GET A MOTION TO -- SO MAYBE WE
25 SHOULD DO IT IN THE CONTEXT OF A MOTION JUST TO BE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 COMPLETELY COHERENT WITH OUR PROCESSES. CAN WE GET
2 A MOTION NOT TO FUND THE APPLICATIONS IN TIER II?

3 MR. TORRES: YES.

4 MR. SHEEHY: SECOND?

5 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECOND.

6 MR. SHEEHY: AND THEN CAN WE -- WOULD YOU
7 LIKE TO SPEAK TO THAT MOTION? YEAH, PLEASE.

8 DR. NICHOLAS: THANK YOU FOR THE
9 OPPORTUNITY. MY NAME IS CORY NICHOLAS. I'M THE PI
10 ON TRAN1 PROPOSAL FOR EPILEPSY, AND WE ARE THE ONLY
11 PROPOSAL HERE FOR EPILEPSY. AND I WANT TO MAKE
12 THREE POINTS. AND NOW MY FIRST OF THREE.

13 MR. SHEEHY: BEFORE YOUR TIME STARTS, CAN
14 WE GET THE NUMBER FOR THAT SO EVERYBODY KNOWS?

15 DR. NICHOLAS: IF YOU TO SCROLL DOWN, I
16 THINK IT'S THE --

17 DR. SAMBRANO: IT'S 11611.

18 MR. SHEEHY: YEAH, PLEASE. SORRY.

19 DR. NICHOLAS: SO I'D LIKE TO MAKE THREE
20 IMPORTANT POINTS. THE FIRST IS THAT WE ARE THE ONLY
21 PROGRAM IN THE CIRM PORTFOLIO ACTIVELY PURSUING
22 EPILEPSY. EPILEPSY IS THE FOURTH MOST COMMON
23 NEUROLOGICAL DISORDER. IT AFFECTS THREE MILLION
24 AMERICANS AND OVER HALF A MILLION CALIFORNIANS. A
25 THIRD OF THESE FOLKS HAVE NO CURRENT TREATMENT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OPTION. DRUGS DO NOT WORK. WE WERE PAINFULLY
2 REMINDED RECENTLY WITH THE DISNEY STAR THAT JUST
3 DIED FROM EPILEPSY, AND SOMEONE IN OUR LAB LAST
4 MONTH HAD JUST DIED FROM EPILEPSY. IT'S TRAGIC.
5 AND WHEN IT'S NOT FATAL, IT'S SEVERELY DISABLING.
6 FOLKS CANNOT DRIVE, THEY CANNOT HOLD DOWN A JOB,
7 THEY CANNOT LIVE INDEPENDENTLY. AND WE ARE THE ONLY
8 ACTIVE PROGRAM PURSUING EPILEPSY.

9 A SECOND POINT IS THAT WE RECEIVED
10 GENEROUSLY A QUEST AWARD TWO YEARS AGO FROM CIRM TO
11 SEE IF OUR HUMAN PRODUCT CANDIDATE WOULD WORK IN
12 RELEVANT ANIMAL MODELS OF EPILEPSY, AND WE CRUSHED
13 THE BALL OUT OF THE PARK. WE EXECUTED ALL OF OUR
14 MILESTONES AHEAD OF SCHEDULE SUCCESSFULLY, AND THIS
15 WAS NOT A MINOR RESPONSE. THIS WAS A COMPLETE
16 CURATIVE RESPONSE, SEIZURE ELIMINATION IN MOST OF
17 THE ANIMALS THAT WE TREATED. SO THIS WAS A DRAMATIC
18 EFFECT. AND IT'S HARD TO IMAGINE THAT WE COULD DO
19 BETTER.

20 AND, THIRD, WITH THIS DRAMATIC EFFECT THAT
21 WE DEMONSTRATED AND WITH SUPPORT FROM CIRM IN
22 DESIGNING THE ACTIVITIES IN OUR CURRENT TRAN
23 PROPOSAL, WE RESPECTFULLY SCORED VERY WELL. WE ARE
24 A MERE EIGHT OR SEVEN POINTS BELOW THE FUND LINE.
25 AND I WANT TO COMMENT ON WHY WE THINK WE ARE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 UNFAIRLY SCORED.

2 THE FINAL SHEET FROM THE GRANTS WORKING
3 GROUP WAS THAT WE DIDN'T PROVIDE FULL DISCLOSURE OF
4 OUR MANUFACTURING PROCESS. AND I WANT TO SAY THAT
5 WE ARE THE ONLY COMPANY UP HERE FOR CONSIDERATION.
6 OUR BOARD WOULD NOT ALLOW US TO DISCLOSE THE
7 MANUFACTURING DETAILS. SHORT OF THAT, THE
8 CATEGORIES THAT WE WERE PURSUING IN THIS PROPOSAL,
9 AND WE URGE THE COMMITTEE TO RECONSIDER THIS VOTE.
10 AND WE ASK THAT IF FUNDS ARE PERMITTING, IT APPEARS
11 THAT THERE ARE UNALLOCATED AMOUNTS, YOU CONSIDER
12 FUNDING THIS PROPOSAL OR AT LEAST PUTTING US IN THE
13 WAITING POOL FOR CONSIDERATION WHEN THE UNALLOCATED
14 FUNDS ARE RELEASED. WE REALLY APPRECIATE THE
15 OPPORTUNITY.

16 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. ARE THERE ANY
17 BOARD COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?

18 DR. MARTIN: WOULD EVERYONE WHO IS NOT
19 SPEAKING PLEASE TURN OFF THEIR SPEAKER OR MUTE THEIR
20 PHONE? THE BACKGROUND NOISE IS HORRENDOUS. THANK
21 YOU. THAT MADE A DIFFERENCE.

22 DR. DULIEGE: I HAVE A QUESTION. CAN
23 SOMEONE FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP OR
24 REPRESENTATIVE PROVIDE SOME EXPLANATION OR RESPONSE
25 TO THE INTERVENTION WE JUST HEARD?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. NICHOLAS: COULD YOU CLARIFY YOUR
2 QUESTION?

3 MR. SHEEHY: I THINK SHE'S ASKING FOR SOME
4 CLARIFICATION FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP
5 RESPONSE. I THINK THE KEY POINT WAS THAT THE
6 MANUFACTURING PROCESS WAS NOT -- WAS THE MAJOR
7 NEGATIVE POINT, AND THAT WAS INDEED -- IF I
8 PARAPHRASE THIS WRONG, EITHER THE APPLICANT OR DR.
9 DULIEGE IS WELCOME TO CORRECT ME, BUT THAT WAS THE
10 ISSUE, IN THAT IT REQUIRED INFORMATION THAT THEY DID
11 NOT FEEL LIKE THEY WERE ABLE TO DIVULGE.

12 DR. SAMBRANO: RIGHT. SO THAT IS CORRECT.
13 THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP FELT THAT, AT LEAST FOR THE
14 MANUFACTURING ASPECT, THEY WERE NOT REALLY ABLE TO
15 ASSESS THIS BECAUSE THERE WERE VERY FEW DETAILS THAT
16 WERE PROVIDED. WE GO THROUGH A PROCESS WHERE THE
17 REVIEWERS ARE ALLOWED TO ASK THE APPLICANT
18 QUESTIONS. AND SO THAT QUESTION WAS ASKED OF THE
19 APPLICANT. THE APPLICANT PROVIDED SOME ADDITIONAL
20 DETAILS, BUT NOT SUFFICIENT TO SATISFY THE GRANTS
21 WORKING GROUP.

22 I WILL POINT OUT THAT THERE ARE, IN FACT,
23 OTHER COMPANIES THAT ARE REPRESENTED AMONG THOSE OF
24 THE 19 THAT APPLIED. AND GENERALLY THE GRANTS
25 WORKING GROUP IS USED TO SEEING MORE DETAIL WITHIN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE MANUFACTURING PROCESS.

2 THERE ARE OTHER ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSAL,
3 HOWEVER, THAT ALSO WERE KEY IN TERMS OF THEIR
4 DECISION. IT WAS NOT SOLELY BASED ON THIS. I THINK
5 REVIEWERS FELT THAT IF OTHER ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSAL
6 WERE HIGHLY MERITORIOUS, THAT THIS PROBABLY WOULD
7 NOT HAVE BEEN SOMETHING THAT THEY WOULD HAVE
8 PREVENTED IT FROM BEING IN THE RECOMMENDED POOL.
9 PART OF THAT WAS JUST CLARITY AROUND THE OVERALL
10 PATIENT BENEFIT AND TRUE CLINICAL GOAL THAT THEY'RE
11 TRYING TO ACHIEVE WITH THIS TREATMENT AND MORE
12 DETAILS ON THEIR OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND
13 PROGRAM FOR THIS PRODUCT.

14 SO THOSE WERE JUST SOME OF THE HIGHLIGHTS
15 OF WHERE THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WAS THINKING ABOUT
16 THIS APPLICATION.

17 DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

18 WHAT WAS THE SCORE OF THIS APPLICATION?

19 DR. SAMBRANO: THIS APPLICATION SCORED A
20 78. THAT WAS THE MEDIAN SCORE. THE AVERAGE WAS 76.

21 DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU. CAN THE
22 APPLICANT ADDRESS THE CONCERN THAT WE EXPRESSED TO
23 THEM AND REAPPLY?

24 DR. NICHOLAS: SORRY. CAN YOU REPEAT THE
25 QUESTION PLEASE?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. DULIEGE: THE QUESTION IS CAN THE
2 APPLICANT ADDRESS THE CONCERNS THAT WERE EXPRESSED
3 TO THE APPLICANT AND REAPPLY LATER?

4 MR. SHEEHY: THE QUESTION WAS CAN YOU
5 ADDRESS THE CONCERNS AND REAPPLY LATER.

6 DR. NICHOLAS: MY UNDERSTANDING IS THIS IS
7 THE LAST ROUND.

8 MR. SHEEHY: YOU'RE RIGHT ABOUT THAT.

9 DR. NICHOLAS: WE DON'T HAVE ANOTHER
10 CHANCE. THE MANUFACTURING PROCESS IS EXTREMELY
11 SENSITIVE FOR COMPANIES, AS YOU WOULD IMAGINE, AT
12 THIS EARLY STAGE OF DEVELOPMENT. ULTIMATELY, ONCE
13 THIS GETS TO THE FDA, THE PROCESS NEEDS TO BE FULLY
14 DISCLOSED. BUT AT THIS EARLY TRAN STAGE, WE FEEL
15 THAT THE PROCESS IS SO SENSITIVE THAT, IN ORDER TO
16 GENERATE THE HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN THE
17 FUTURE TO TAKE US ALL THE WAY TO COMMERCIALIZATION,
18 WE NEED TO MAKE SURE WE PROTECT THAT. AND WE DIDN'T
19 FEEL AT THIS TIME WE WANTED TO DISCLOSE THE KEY
20 TRADE SECRETS THAT ARE PART OF THIS PROCESS. AND
21 THAT WAS THE MAIN CRITIQUE. IT'S HARD TO IMAGINE
22 THAT WE COULD DO ANY BETTER WITH OUR RESPONSE IN
23 THIS THERAPY.

24 BY THE WAY, THIS IS AN INHIBITORY NEURON
25 THERAPY THAT'S VERY UNIQUE IN THAT IT'S POSTMITOTIC.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WE'VE DEMONSTRATED IT TO BE SAFE. IT INTEGRATES AND
2 PERSISTS, AND WE'VE SHOWN COMPLETE SEIZURE
3 SUPPRESSION. AND SO WE ARE VERY WELL POSITIONED TO
4 TAKE THIS ALL THE WAY, AND I WOULD HATE TO HAVE CIRM
5 DROP THIS AT THIS POINT.

6 MR. SHEEHY: I JUST HAD ONE QUESTION.
7 WHAT'S THE COMPETITION IN THIS SPACE? LIKE WHAT ARE
8 PEOPLE DOING NOW?

9 DR. NICHOLAS: RIGHT. SO THERE ARE A LOT
10 OF OBVIOUSLY SMALL MOLECULE DRUGS THAT AREN'T
11 WORKING. A PATIENT IS CONSIDERED TO BE DRUG
12 RESISTANT IF THEY FAIL TWO OR MORE ANTI-EPILEPTIC
13 DRUGS, WHICH IS OFTEN THE CASE. ONCE YOU FAIL TWO
14 DRUGS, YOU HAVE LESS THAN, I THINK, A 5-PERCENT
15 CHANCE OF EVER BENEFITING FROM A FUTURE OR CURRENT
16 DRUG TRIAL.

17 THERE ARE ALWAYS, OF COURSE, NEW SMALL
18 MOLECULES BEING DEVELOPED. CURRENTLY THE ONLY REAL
19 OPTION FOR PATIENTS WHO HAVE FAILED MULTI DRUGS IS
20 TO HAVE A MASSIVE PIECE OF THE BRAIN REMOVED. FOR
21 THAT REASON, IT'S NOT PURSUED. LESS THAN 10 PERCENT
22 OF PATIENTS WHO ARE ELIGIBLE FOR THIS ACTUALLY GO
23 THROUGH WITH IT BECAUSE YOU RISK PERMANENT COGNITIVE
24 IMPAIRMENTS. AND SO IN THIS WAY WE ARE PROPOSING A
25 RESTORATIVE TREATMENT TO TRY TO ADDRESS THESE -- TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 RESTORE, THAT IS, TO ABLATE AND DESTROY A MAJOR
2 PIECE OF BRAIN TISSUE. SO THERE REALLY IS NOTHING
3 ELSE.

4 MR. SHEEHY: WE HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL PUBLIC
5 COMMENT HERE IN SAN FRANCISCO?

6 DR. KRIEGSTEIN: THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE
7 THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO THE COMMITTEE.

8 I'M ARNOLD KRIEGSTEIN. I DIRECT THE STEM
9 CELL PROGRAM AT UCSF. I WANT TO GIVE THE HISTORY OF
10 THE PROJECT YOU JUST HEARD ABOUT BECAUSE IT STARTED
11 AT UCSF, AND CIRM BEGAN INVOLVEMENT MANY YEARS AGO,
12 SEVEN OR EIGHT YEARS AGO, MAYBE EVEN MORE, WHEN WE
13 TRIED TO DEVELOP HUMAN CELLS THAT COULD BE USED FOR
14 EPILEPSY IN THE ANIMAL MODELS THAT ANIMAL CELLS HAD
15 WORKED IN. AND THAT WAS A CIRM-SPONSORED PROJECT,
16 AND CORY WAS A POST-DOC IN MY LAB, THE FELLOW YOU
17 JUST HEARD FROM JUST NOW, AT THE TIME. AND IT TOOK
18 A NUMBER OF YEARS, BUT WE DID DEVELOP A PROTOCOL FOR
19 MAKING THESE CELLS. AND AS AN ACADEMIC ACTIVITY,
20 THIS WAS, OF COURSE, PUBLISHED AND DISCLOSED. IT
21 BECAME THE FOUNDATION OF THE EFFORT TO ACTUALLY
22 BEGIN MOVING TOWARD THE CLINIC. AND THAT OBVIOUSLY
23 INVOLVED SCALING UP THE PRODUCTION AND DOING IT
24 THROUGH GMP FACILITIES AND CHANGING OF THOSE GROWTH
25 FACTORS TO SMALL MOLECULES AND DOING ALL THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SCALING-UP ACTIVITIES THAT ARE NOT REALLY DONE IN AN
2 ACADEMIC SETTING.

3 AND SO IT WAS AT THAT POINT THAT WE
4 STARTED THIS COMPANY, AND IT WAS MOVED TO SOUTH SAN
5 FRANCISCO. CORY BECAME THE CHIEF SCIENTIFIC
6 OFFICER, AND THE PROJECT CONTINUED TO FLOURISH IN
7 THAT SETTING. IT BECAME, I THINK, A VERY SUCCESSFUL
8 EXAMPLE OF HOW YOU TRANSITION FROM WHAT STARTED AS
9 AN ACADEMIC ENTERPRISE INTO SOMETHING THAT REALLY IS
10 MOVING TOWARD THE CLINIC AND BECOMING A PRODUCT.

11 OF COURSE, WHEN THAT HAPPENED, THE
12 PROTOCOL FOR MAKING THE CELLS WAS TWEAKED. IN FACT,
13 IT WAS VERY SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVED. THE PURITY OF
14 THE CELLS, WHICH WERE 40 TO 60 PERCENT IN MY LAB,
15 ARE NOW 99 PERCENT OR BETTER. THEY'RE ALL
16 POSTMITOTIC. THEY'RE GENERATED THROUGH ALL GMP
17 PRODUCTS, AND THE SCALING-UP PROCESS IS COMPATIBLE
18 WITH BIOREACTORS. ALL THE GOALS THAT THE COMPANY
19 HAD IN MIND HAVE BEEN ACHIEVED. AND AS YOU HEARD
20 THROUGH CIRM, WE WERE ABLE TO USE THESE CELLS IN
21 ANIMAL MODELS AND SHOW REALLY A SURPRISE, A SHOCKING
22 DEGREE OF IMPROVEMENT. SO WE FEEL AS THOUGH THIS
23 PROJECT HAS MOVED EXACTLY THE WAY IT SHOULD AND IS
24 MOVING VERY NICELY TOWARD THE CLINIC. AND SO THAT'S
25 WHY I FEEL IT WAS AN UNFAIR CRITICISM THAT WE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WEREN'T FULLY DISCLOSING OUR MANUFACTURING PROCESS.

2 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU, DR. KRIEGSTEIN. I
3 HAVE A QUICK QUESTION. SO ARE THESE CELLS DERIVED
4 FROM -- IS THIS TECHNOLOGY EMBRYONIC STEM CELL
5 BASED?

6 DR. KRIEGSTEIN: YES. IT STARTS WITH AN
7 EMBRYONIC STEM CELL LINE. IT'S A SINGLE PRODUCT.
8 SO WE'VE CHOSEN ONE LINE TO MAKE OUR CELLS.

9 MR. SHEEHY: I THINK WE'RE GOING TO END UP
10 WITH APPLICATIONS OPEN. I WONDER IF THE MAKERS OF
11 THE MOTION WOULD ACCEPT A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO HOLD
12 THIS APPLICATION OPEN.

13 MR. TORRES: VERY FRIENDLY, I HOPE.

14 MR. SHEEHY: TO HOLD THIS APPLICATION TO
15 INCLUDE IN THIS MOTION TO NOT FUND THE APPLICATIONS
16 IN TIER II WITH THE EXCEPTION THAT THIS ONE, WHICH
17 IS 11611, BE HELD OPEN FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION IF
18 OTHER FUNDS BECOME AVAILABLE.

19 MR. TORRES: WE ARE FINE.

20 MR. SHEEHY: YOU'RE BOTH FINE WITH THAT?
21 SO PERHAPS IN THE MEANTIME WE CAN MAYBE HAVE A
22 LITTLE BIT OF DIALOGUE BECAUSE I KNOW THIS IS A HUGE
23 UNMET NEED. AND, FRANKLY, JUST TO KIND OF PREFIGURE
24 WHERE I'M COMING FROM TODAY, IS THAT I DO THINK THAT
25 AS WE GET TO THE LAST OF OUR MONEY, A RENEWED AND

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FOCUSED -- A RENEWED FOCUS ON WHAT LIES BEHIND --
2 UNDERNEATH OUR FOUNDING, WHICH IS EMBRYONIC STEM
3 CELL TECHNOLOGY, WHICH WE'VE LED THE WORLD ON, I
4 WOULD SAY, AND WE HAVE, IN RELATION TO WHAT WE'VE
5 BEEN DOING, A RELATIVELY LOW YIELD IN THAT
6 PARTICULAR TECHNOLOGY, THAT WE DOUBLE DOWN HERE WITH
7 OUR REMAINING FUNDS.

8 SO WITH THAT BASIS --

9 MR. TORRES: MOVE AS AMENDED.

10 MR. SHEEHY: MOVED AS AMENDED. CAN WE
11 CALL THE ROLL.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECOND AS AMENDED.

13 MS. BONNEVILLE: CAN I HAVE A
14 CLARIFICATION JUST FOR THE MOTION? IS THIS TO HOLD
15 IT OPEN TO THEN CONSIDER LATER OR IN ADDITION TO THE
16 ONES THAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE TAKING UP AFTER?

17 MR. SHEEHY: YEAH. TO HOLD IT OPEN WITH
18 ONES THAT WE WILL HOLD OVER. PRESUMABLY WE WILL
19 HOLD OPEN WITHIN THE TIER I.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: OKAY. GREAT. THANK YOU.

21 MR. TORRES: I PRESUME YOU'RE GOING TO
22 THANK YOUR PROFESSOR.

23 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

24 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

25 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. HIGGINS: YES.
2 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
3 MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
4 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
5 DR. MARTIN: YES.
6 MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
7 DR. PADILLA: YES.
8 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA. JOE
9 PANETTA. FRANCISCO PRIETO.
10 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
11 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
12 DR. QUINT: YES.
13 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. JEFF SHEEHY.
14 MR. SHEEHY: YES.
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
18 MR. TORRES: AYE.
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR. JOE
20 PANETTA.
21 MR. PANETTA: YES.
22 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.
23 MR. PANETTA: SORRY.
24 MS. BONNEVILLE: NO PROBLEM. DIANE AND AL
25 ARE THE LINE? DIANE AND AL, I BELIEVE YOU BOTH ARE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ON MUTE.

2 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, DIANE. HOW
4 ABOUT AL? OKAY. MOTION CARRIES. IS THAT AL?

5 MR. ROWLETT: YES. SORRY.

6 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.

7 MR. SHEEHY: NOW WE HAVE THE GROUP IN TIER
8 I. SO, SENATOR TORRES, IF YOU WANTED TO MAKE YOUR
9 MOTION.

10 MR. TORRES: OH, THANKS. I MOVE TO
11 APPROVE THE TWO IN TIER I.

12 MR. SHEEHY: IS THERE A SECOND?

13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECOND.

14 MR. SHEEHY: SO I'D LIKE TO SPEAK AGAINST
15 THAT MOTION. IN MY VIEW, WE HAVE THREE EMBRYONIC
16 STEM CELL-BASED THERAPIES IN THIS ROUND AND ONE
17 FETAL TISSUE THERAPY. WE KNOW THE REASON THIS
18 AGENCY WAS FUNDED WAS TO FUND EMBRYONIC STEM CELL
19 THERAPIES, AND WE KNOW THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
20 IS LOOKING ASKANCE AT FETAL TISSUE RESEARCH. AND
21 THIS GOES TO THE HEART OF WHY CIRM EVEN EXISTS, WHY
22 WE HAVEN'T DECIDED AS A STATE TO RELY ON THE FEDERAL
23 GOVERNMENT.

24 THOSE APPLICATIONS ARE 11532, 11579, 1148
25 THOSE ARE THE EMBRYONIC STEM CELL-BASED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 APPLICATIONS. AND 11628 IS THE FETAL TISSUE-BASED
2 THERAPY. IF I'M INCORRECT, I HOPE THAT
3 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE APPLICANTS WILL CORRECT ME.

4 I'M SPEAKING TO THE MOTION. I'M NOT
5 MAKING A MOTION.

6 MS. BONNEVILLE: OKAY.

7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 11544 IS ALSO FETAL
8 STEM CELLS.

9 MR. SHEEHY: THERE ARE TWO FETAL, 11544.
10 OF THE FOUR THAT I SELECTED, I JUST NOTE THERE IS
11 EXACTLY \$20 MILLION.

12 MS. BONNEVILLE: A COINCIDENCE.

13 MR. SHEEHY: A COINCIDENCE AS THEY KIND OF
14 ADD UP TO THAT. EVEN THOUGH I'M VERY SUPPORTIVE OF
15 THAT APPLICATION, I DON'T SEE WHY WE COULDN'T HOLD
16 IT.

17 ANYWAY, THAT'S JUST WHY I'M NOT GOING TO
18 BE SUPPORTIVE OF THIS BECAUSE I THINK, AS WE GET TO
19 LOWER FUNDS, AND I WOULD NOTE THAT FIVE OF THE TOP
20 SIX APPLICATIONS, AS WE GET TO HAVING LESS FUNDS,
21 THAT WE SHOULD PRIORITIZE THOSE APPLICATIONS THAT
22 ARE MOST ALIGNED WITH THE ORIGINAL REASON FOR THE
23 ESTABLISHMENT OF THIS AGENCY.

24 OTHER BOARD COMMENTS ON THE MOTION TO
25 APPROVE THE FIRST TWO ARE WELCOME.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TORRES: MY MOTION?

2 MR. SHEEHY: YES.

3 MR. TORRES: I WOULD ARGUE THAT IN DEALING
4 WITH WHAT CIRM'S LEGACY SHOULD BE, IT SHOULD ALSO BE
5 REFLECTIVE OF THE PATIENTS THAT WE WANT TO IMPACT
6 WITH OUR RESEARCH AND WITH OUR FUNDING. AND CLEARLY
7 IN MY MIND MACULAR DEGENERATION, DRY MACULAR
8 DEGENERATION, AFFECTS DISPROPORTIONATELY THOSE
9 PEOPLE OVER SIXTY.

10 MR. SHEEHY: WE ARE ALIGNED ON THAT
11 APPLICATION.

12 MR. TORRES: WE ARE. OKAY. WELL, THEN,
13 I'M ALIGNED EVEN MORE.

14 MR. JUELGAARD: MR. SHEEHY, THIS IS STEVE
15 JUELGAARD.

16 MR. SHEEHY: YES, PLEASE.

17 MR. JUELGAARD: SO I WOULD LIKE TO JUST
18 BACK UP A MINUTE AND ASK DR. SAMBRANO WHAT WAS THE
19 BASIS, THE PRIMARY BASIS, FOR THE STAFF'S
20 RECOMMENDATION THAT WE APPROVE THE APPLICATIONS
21 ENDING IN 36 AND 32? WHAT WAS THE TIPPING POINT
22 THAT SAID DEFINITELY --

23 MR. SHEEHY: DID WE LOSE YOU, STEVE?

24 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)

25 (047:44) MR. JUELGAARD: THIS IS STEVE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 JUELSGAARD AGAIN. FOR SOME REASON I JUST GOT KICKED
2 OFF THE PHONE. SO I HOPE MY QUESTION CAME THROUGH.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: CAN YOU PLEASE RESTATE,
4 STEVE?

5 MR. JUELSGAARD: YES. THIS IS A QUESTION
6 FOR DR. SAMBRANO. SO WHAT WAS THE MAJOR REASON OR
7 REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE TWO
8 APPLICATIONS THAT HE IDENTIFIED THAT THE STAFF IS
9 RECOMMENDING FOR APPROVAL? WHAT WAS DIFFERENT ABOUT
10 THEM THAN THE OTHER APPLICATIONS THAT RESULTED IN
11 THAT RECOMMENDATION?

12 DR. SAMBRANO: SURE. SO JUST TO BE CLEAR,
13 WE AREN'T MAKING ANY PROGRAMMATIC RECOMMENDATION
14 WHATSOEVER. SO THE RECOMMENDATION THAT WE ARE
15 MAKING IS SOLELY BASED ON THE SCORE AND BASED ON THE
16 FACT THAT WE THINK ALL OF THE APPLICATIONS HAVE
17 MERIT. AND IT WAS A MATTER OF SUGGESTING THAT AT
18 LEAST FOUR APPLICATIONS BE APPROVED TODAY AND THE
19 OTHERS BE HELD OVER FOR POSSIBLE FUNDING IN
20 SEPTEMBER. SO OUR GOAL ULTIMATELY WAS TO ACTUALLY
21 HAVE FUNDING FOR ALL SEVEN. THE STRATEGY WAS JUST
22 SIMPLY HOW TO ADD UP UP TO 20 IN GOING DOWN THE RANK
23 ORDER.

24 MR. JUELSGAARD: RIGHT. SO AS I
25 UNDERSTAND IT, THE PRINCIPAL BASIS FOR THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 RECOMMENDATION WAS THE SCIENTIFIC SCORE AND NOTHING
2 BEYOND THAT, NOTHING PROGRAMMATIC.

3 DR. SAMBRANO: CORRECT.

4 MR. JUELSGAARD: OKAY. SO THIS HAS BEEN,
5 I THINK, WHERE WE REALLY COME INTO THE MIDDLE OF
6 WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE HERE; THAT IS, THIS GROUP, THE
7 APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE, AND THAT'S THE
8 PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW, WHICH TO ME IS A DIFFERENT WAY
9 OF LOOKING AT THINGS THAN SCIENTIFIC MERIT. BECAUSE
10 FOR ME, ONCE SOMETHING HAS A SCORE OF 85 OR GREATER,
11 IT HAS SCIENTIFIC MERIT, AND I TEND TO DISCOUNT THE
12 DIFFERENCE IN SCORES AS BEING ALL THAT SIGNIFICANT
13 AND, INSTEAD, TEND TO LOOK AT THE INDICATION.

14 SO JEFF WANTS TO LOOK AT THE, IN ESSENCE,
15 THE CONNECTION TO REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, WHAT IS
16 THAT, WHICH IS FINE. I DON'T HAVE AN ARGUMENT WITH
17 THAT. THAT'S ONE WAY OF LOOKING AT IT.

18 ANOTHER WAY OF LOOKING AT IT IS TO SAY
19 WHAT ARE THE INDICATIONS THAT THESE PARTICULAR
20 POTENTIAL THERAPEUTICS THAT ARE IN TRANSLATION, WHAT
21 ARE THEY AIMED AT, AND HOW IMPORTANT ARE THOSE
22 POTENTIAL DISEASES THAT THEIR THERAPY IS FOR, AND
23 WHAT ELSE EXISTS OUT THERE RIGHT NOW BOTH WITHIN OUR
24 OWN PORTFOLIO, BUT ALSO OUTSIDE OF OUR OWN
25 PORTFOLIO, THAT ARE AIMED AT LOOKING AT THESE SORTS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF PROBLEMS?

2 AND SO WHILE I HAVE MY OWN POINTS OF VIEW
3 ABOUT WHAT -- HOW I WOULD RANK THESE IN TERMS OF
4 IMPORTANCE FROM WHAT I CALL A THERAPEUTIC POINT OF
5 VIEW, I WOULD SUGGEST THAT, AS WE THINK THROUGH
6 THIS, EACH OF US INDIVIDUALLY, THAT THAT MIGHT BE
7 ONE WAY THAT WE LOOK AT THIS AND THINK ABOUT IT IS
8 WHAT OF THESE DISEASES ACTUALLY NEEDS THE MOST
9 SUPPORT AT THIS POINT OF VIEW BECAUSE THEY'RE THE
10 MOST PROBLEMATIC DISEASES WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH, AT
11 LEAST THAT WE CAN UNDERSTAND OR SEE AT THIS POINT.

12 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU, DR. -- I MEAN
13 MR. JUELSGAARD. CHAIRMAN THOMAS.

14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I THINK HE ACTUALLY IS
15 DR. JUELSGAARD IF YOU COUNT THE VETERINARY ASPECT OF
16 THINGS.

17 MR. JUELSGAARD: LITTLE KNOWN FACT, YES.

18 MR. TORRES: I DIDN'T KNOW THAT EITHER.

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: FURTHER TO DR.
20 JUELSGAARD'S COMMENTS, DR. SAMBRANO, COULD YOU SPEAK
21 A BIT TO THE PREVALENCE IN THE PORTFOLIO OF OTHER,
22 AS WE DO ON THE CLINS, OTHER PROJECTS IN RELATION TO
23 WHAT'S LISTED HERE AMONGST THESE SEVEN?

24 DR. SAMBRANO: I CAN.

25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: BY THE WAY, WHILE YOU'RE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AT IT, PUT THE EPILEPSY BACK FOR CONSIDERATION, AT
2 SOME POINT SPEAK TO THAT ON THE SAME QUESTION AS
3 WELL.

4 MR. SHEEHY: WELL, MAYBE -- THAT'S ONE
5 THING. MAYBE IF I COULD STEP INTO THE MIDDLE OF
6 THIS QUESTION. COULD WE -- I DON'T KNOW IF WE'D
7 LIKE TO DO A MOTION, OR COULD WE AGREE AS A GROUP
8 THAT INCLUDED IN OUR RECOMMENDATION, WHATEVER FINAL
9 MOTIONS WE DO, WE WILL REQUEST THAT THE REMAINING
10 APPLICATIONS BE HELD OPEN IN THE EVENT THAT THE FULL
11 BOARD IN SEPTEMBER MAKES THE DECISION TO ALLOCATE
12 PART OF THE WHAT IS NOW 20 MILLION AND MAYBE A BIT
13 MORE BY THEN IN RETURNED FUNDS? IF THIS BODY FEELS
14 COMFORTABLE -- IF THERE'S ANY OBJECTION TO THAT, WE
15 CAN KIND OF DO A MOTION; BUT, OTHERWISE, WE CAN ADD
16 IT TO WHATEVER OUR FINAL MOTION IS. IF EVERYBODY IS
17 COMFORTABLE WITH THAT. WE'RE CLEAR WE'RE GOING TO
18 TRY TO FUND ALL THESE.

19 MS. WINOKUR: MAY I ASK A QUESTION?

20 MR. SHEEHY: SURE.

21 MS. WINOKUR: THE APPLICATIONS THAT WE
22 HOLD OPEN, WHAT IS THE GROUP? WILL THEY JUST
23 COMPETE AGAINST EACH OTHER THE NEXT TIME AROUND?
24 WILL THEY BECOME PART OF THE NEW GROUP OF
25 APPLICATIONS THAT COME IN AND COMPETE WITH THEM?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. SHEEHY: MS. WINOKUR, WHAT WOULD
2 HAPPEN, AND THIS IS WHAT WE DID THE LAST TIME WE HAD
3 AN EXCESS OF TRANSLATION APPLICATIONS OR MAYBE IT
4 WAS QUEST IS THAT WE HELD THEM OPEN. WHEN THE BOARD
5 RELEASED THE NEXT TRANCHE OF FUNDS, THEN THE
6 APPLICATION REVIEW COMMITTEE MET TO APPLY THOSE
7 FUNDS TO THOSE APPLICATIONS. THERE WAS NO NEED TO
8 COME BACK IN FOR ANOTHER COMPETITION. THEY WERE NOT
9 RESCORED. THE BOARD APPLIED THE FUNDS THAT HAD
10 BECOME AVAILABLE TO THOSE APPLICATIONS AND THEY WERE
11 FUNDED.

12 THE ROUGH DELAY IN THIS CASE WOULD BE
13 ABOUT THREE MONTHS, I THINK, IN TERMS OF FUNDING?

14 MS. BONNEVILLE: IT FIRST WENT TO THE FULL
15 BOARD TO DETERMINE THAT THAT SORT OF MONEY WOULD BE
16 ALLOCATED. SO WE DID IT AT A MEETING WHERE THE FULL
17 BOARD MET, AND THEN SUBSEQUENTLY YOU WENT INTO THE
18 APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO THEN APPLY THOSE
19 FUNDS.

20 MR. SHEEHY: RIGHT. SO THAT'S THE
21 PROCESS.

22 MR. TORRES: SO WHAT IS ON THE TABLE NOW?
23 IS THERE A MOTION THAT'S ALIVE ON THE TABLE NOW?

24 MR. HUANG: YES.

25 (SIMULTANEOUS DISCUSSION.)

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TORRES: THIS IS THE ONE THAT WE
2 AMENDED WITH THE FRIENDLY AMENDMENT?

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: WE HAVE AN AMENDMENT.

4 MR. TORRES: OH, I THOUGHT WE PASSED THAT.
5 OKAY.

6 MR. HUANG: NO. NO. YOUR INITIAL MOTION
7 WAS TO FUND THE FIRST TWO.

8 MR. TORRES: CORRECT.

9 MR. HUANG: AND THEN --

10 MR. TORRES: WE BECAME FRIENDS.

11 MR. SHEEHY: I DID MAKE AN AMENDMENT.

12 MR. HUANG: YOU DID MAKE AN AMENDMENT.

13 MR. SHEEHY: IF YOU TAKE THAT AS AN
14 AMENDMENT --

15 MR. TORRES: NO, WE DID. J.T. AND I SAID
16 YES.

17 MS. BONNEVILLE: THAT WAS THE ONE PRIOR.
18 THAT WAS THE PRIOR ONE.

19 MR. TORRES: WHAT'S ON THE TABLE NOW?

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: YOU MADE A MOTION TO FUND
21 THE TOP TWO.

22 MR. TORRES: OKAY. AND YOU WANTED TO
23 AMEND THAT MOTION AS WELL?

24 MR. SHEEHY: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO AMEND
25 THAT MOTION TO APPROVE THE FOUR BELOW THE TOP ONE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BECAUSE THREE OF THOSE -- THE SECOND, THIRD, AND
2 FOURTH ARE EMBRYONIC STEM CELL-DERIVED PRODUCT AND
3 THE FOURTH ONE IS THE FETAL TISSUE-DERIVED PRODUCT,
4 AND THERE'S ONE MORE FETAL TISSUE PRODUCT, BUT I
5 DON'T THINK WE CAN FIT THAT IN WITHIN OUR BUDGET.

6 DR. PRIETO: CAN I OFFER THAT AMENDMENT?

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: YOU WANT -- LET ME GET
8 THIS STRAIGHT. YOU WANT TO FUND ONE THROUGH FIVE?

9 MR. SHEEHY: TWO THROUGH SIX.

10 MS. BONNEVILLE: TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE,
11 SIX. I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S ENOUGH MONEY FOR THAT.

12 MR. SHEEHY: TWO THROUGH FIVE.

13 MS. BONNEVILLE: TWO THROUGH FIVE. SO
14 THAT WOULD BE AMENDING ART AND J.T.'S MOTION.

15 MR. TORRES: THAT WAS THE FIRST ONE?

16 MR. SHEEHY: THE FIRST ONE CAN WAIT.

17 MR. TORRES: I THOUGHT THE FIRST ONE GOES.

18 MR. HUANG: WOULD IT BE EASIER, THEN, TO
19 WITHDRAW THE MOTION? IF YOU ARE IN CONCURRENCE,
20 WITHDRAW MOTION. THEN --

21 MR. TORRES: THE FRIENDLY AMENDMENT, IF
22 MY EFFORT TO MAKE SURE THAT 11532 GETS THROUGH --

23 MS. BONNEVILLE: YES. HE'S
24 SUGGESTING -- HE'S SUGGESTING --

25 MR. HUANG: THE AMENDMENT WOULD BE AWKWARD

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BECAUSE YOUR MOTION IS TO ACCEPT THE FIRST TWO, AND
2 THEN THE AMENDMENT IS TO LEAVE THE FIRST ONE OPEN.

3 MR. TORRES: MAYBE IF I WITHDRAW MY MOTION
4 AND ENTER A NEW MOTION, IF I MAY DO SO, MR. CHAIR?

5 MR. SHEEHY: YES.

6 MR. TORRES: AND MY NEW MOTION IS TO
7 APPROVE 11532 ON ITS OWN, AND THEN YOU CAN ENTERTAIN
8 A MOTION ON YOUR ISSUES.

9 MR. SHEEHY: WE CAN DO THAT.

10 MR. TORRES: OR YOU CAN AMEND --

11 MR. SHEEHY: THAT'S FINE.

12 MR. HUANG: SO THE MOTION ON THE TABLE IS
13 TO APPROVE TRAN1-11532. IS THERE A SECOND?

14 MR. SHEEHY: I'LL SECOND.

15 DR. PRIETO: SECOND.

16 MR. TORRES: THANK YOU.

17 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART AND JEFF.

18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. CHAIRMAN.

19 MR. SHEEHY: YES.

20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO, AGAIN, I WOULD LIKE
21 TO HAVE THE -- AS PART OF WHERE THIS IS HEADED, I'D
22 LIKE TO HAVE, IN CONNECTION WITH THIS, THIS IS AS
23 GOOD A MOTION AS ANY, HAVE DR. SAMBRANO ADDRESS THE
24 QUESTION I ASKED, WHICH I THINK ALSO GETS TO DR.
25 JUELGAARD'S POINT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TORRES: THIS MOTION ONLY HAS TO DEAL
2 WITH ONE APPLICATION.

3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I UNDERSTAND THAT.

4 MR. TORRES: SO YOU WANT TO RESERVE THE
5 QUESTIONS AFTER I GET MY VOTES?

6 DR. SAMBRANO: I CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH SOME
7 OF THE PORTFOLIO INFORMATION FOR THIS ONE IF YOU'D
8 LIKE TO AT LEAST JUST FOCUS ON THIS ONE NOW. I CAN
9 ALSO POINT YOU TO THE FACT THAT BEHIND THE MEMO WE
10 ALSO HAD PORTFOLIO INFORMATION FOR THOSE SEVEN TRAN
11 APPLICATIONS THAT SPEAK TO WHAT WE HAVE IN OUR
12 CURRENT PORTFOLIO. SO THAT'S WHERE I'LL HIGHLIGHT
13 THE INFORMATION FROM.

14 SO IF WE ARE TALKING ABOUT 11532, WE HAVE
15 IN THE CIRM PORTFOLIO NINE ACTIVE AWARDS THAT
16 ADDRESS VISION LOSS, EIGHT THAT ARE FOCUSED ON
17 RETINAL DISEASE, AND ONE ON CORNEAL INJURY. WE HAVE
18 FIVE DEVELOPMENTAL STAGE CELL THERAPIES FOR AMD
19 AND/OR RETINITIS PIGMENTOSA. THOSE ARE TWO CLIN AND
20 THREE TRAN STAGE. WE HAVE TWO DISCOVERY STAGE
21 PROJECTS THAT USE PLURIPOTENT STEM CELLS THAT ARE
22 DERIVING RETINAL TISSUES FOR MODELING RETINAL
23 DISEASE AND/OR EXPLORING RETINAL CELL REPLACEMENT
24 STRATEGIES, ONE DISCOVERY STAGE AWARD THAT IS
25 PURSUING A SMALL MOLECULE THERAPY FOR GENE-SPECIFIC

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PHOTORECEPTOR DISEASE.

2 BUT THIS PARTICULAR CANDIDATE DIFFERS FROM
3 OTHERS. THIS IS A BIOLOGIC, SO THESE ARE SOLUBLE
4 FACTORS THAT ARE DERIVED FROM THE CULTURE OF
5 HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELL-DERIVED RETINAL PIGMENT
6 EPITHELIAL CELLS. AND SO THOSE SOLUBLE FACTORS ARE
7 BASICALLY THE PRODUCT THAT THEY ARE TRYING TO
8 ADVANCE. SO THAT ITSELF IS A UNIQUE TECHNOLOGY THAT
9 WE ARE NOT FUNDING.

10 MR. SHEEHY: AND WOULD I BE CORRECT TO SAY
11 THAT THIS IS A TECHNOLOGY THAT'S BEEN DEVELOPED BY
12 CIRM, I BELIEVE --

13 DR. SAMBRANO: YES, CORRECT.

14 MR. SHEEHY: SO OTHER BOARD COMMENT ON
15 THIS MOTION? IS THERE PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS
16 MOTION?

17 MR. JUELSGAARD: JEFF, THIS IS STEVE
18 JUELSGAARD AGAIN.

19 MR. SHEEHY: PLEASE.

20 MR. JUELSGAARD: SO I THOUGHT WHAT DR.
21 SAMBRANO PRESENTED WAS PRETTY ILLUMINATING. I DON'T
22 KNOW HOW MANY OTHER INDICATIONS WE HAVE THAT HAVE
23 SOME MANY -- SO MUCH EFFORT FOCUSED ON THEM IN OUR
24 PORTFOLIO OR AT LEAST EFFORT FOCUSED IN THAT DISEASE
25 AND EYE DISEASES COMPARED SO SOME OF THE OTHERS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND I WOULD ALSO SUGGEST THAT THERE'S CERTAINLY A
2 LOT OF EFFORT BEING UNDERTAKEN OUTSIDE OF WHAT CIRM
3 IS DOING IN THE WHOLE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY ON
4 THIS DISEASE OF AGE-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION,
5 THE DRY FORM.

6 SO IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME THAT THIS IS THE
7 BEST USE OF OUR FUNDS GIVEN WHAT I THINK IS ALREADY
8 A PLETHORA OF WORK THAT'S GOING ON INTERNALLY AND
9 WITH RESPECT TO OTHERS.

10 JUST TO TAKE AS AN ALTERNATIVE, BECAUSE
11 WE'VE BEEN -- THIS IS ONE OF THE THINGS WE'VE PRIDED
12 OURSELVES ON. THE APPLICATION THAT ACTUALLY RANKED
13 HIGHER THAN THIS, THE 36 APPLICATION THAT HAS TO DO
14 WITH X-LINKED HYPER IGM SYNDROME, I DARE SAY
15 PROBABLY ALMOST -- BECAUSE IT'S SO RARE, PROBABLY
16 NOBODY ELSE IS WILLING TO FUND SOMETHING LIKE THIS,
17 IS WILLING TO PROVIDE ANY SORT OF TRACTION FOR IT.
18 AND THIS IS THE KIND OF ORGANIZATION THAT'S BEEN
19 WILLING IN THOSE KIND OF SITUATIONS TO STEP INTO
20 THAT LURCH AND PROVIDE SOME FUNDING FOR WHAT ARE
21 TYPICALLY CLEARLY RARE INDICATIONS TO AT LEAST SEE
22 IF SOME PROGRESS CAN BE MADE.

23 SO, ANYWAY, I GUESS MY POINT IS I AM -- I
24 GUESS I'M NOT IN FAVOR FROM MY POINT OF VIEW OF
25 APPROVING THIS APPLICATION BECAUSE I THINK THERE ARE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OTHERS THAT ARE ONES THAT WE SHOULD CONSIDER IN
2 FRONT OF THIS FOR OTHER REASONS.

3 MR. SHEEHY: SO DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER BOARD
4 COMMENTS FOR THIS MOTION? QUESTIONS? DO WE HAVE
5 ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?

6 DR. HUMAYAN: I'D LIKE TO THANK THE BOARD
7 FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK. I'M MARK HUMAYAN. I'M
8 THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR ON THIS GRANT. I'M A
9 RETINA SPECIALIST AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEER.

10 I THINK CLEARLY MACULAR DEGENERATION NEEDS
11 FURTHER DISCUSSION. THERE ARE TWO TYPES OF MACULAR
12 DEGENERATION. THERE'S THE WET TYPE WHERE THERE WAS
13 BLEEDING THAT OCCURRED, AND CLEARLY THERE'S A LOT OF
14 INDUSTRY, A LOT OF MONEY BEING SPENT ON TREATING
15 THAT, BUT 90 PERCENT OF MACULAR DEGENERATION IS
16 ACTUALLY THE DRY TYPE, NOT THE WET TYPE. AND THIS
17 IS UNRELENTING, AND YOU EVENTUALLY GO BLIND FROM NOT
18 NEW VASCULARIZATION, BUT ATROPHY OF THE RETINAL
19 PIGMENT EPITHELIUM.

20 SO I THINK TO SAY THAT THERE'S INDUSTRY
21 CHASING AND THERE'S A LOT OF ACTIVITY IN MACULAR
22 DEGENERATION, WE JUST HAVE TO MAKE IT VERY CLEAR
23 THAT THERE ARE TWO DIFFERENT TYPES. AND, YES, IN
24 WET THERE IS; BUT IN DRY, NO. IN FACT, A LOT OF
25 COMPANIES HAVE FAILED IN DRY MACULAR DEGENERATION

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BECAUSE THEY'VE TRIED A SINGLE TARGET, EITHER AN
2 ANTI-INFLAMMATORY OR A NEUROPROTECTIVE APPROACH.
3 AND THE ADVANTAGE OF USING THE SOLUBLE FACTORS FOR
4 USING EMBRYONIC STEM CELL-DERIVED RPE IS THAT THEY
5 GIVE YOU A COMBINATION OF BOTH. AND WHAT WE FOUND
6 IS THAT IN AN FDA-APPROVED ANIMAL MODEL, FOR THE
7 VERY FIRST TIME, THAT THIS TYPE OF APPROACH STARTS
8 TO SLOW DOWN THE RETINAL PROBLEMS.

9 SO THIS IS CLEARLY AN UNMET NEED. THERE'S
10 COST IN CALIFORNIA ALONE MORE THAN \$3 BILLION, MORE
11 THAN HALF OF 500,000 EACH YEAR OF PATIENTS WHO
12 SUFFER FROM MACULAR DEGENERATION. IT ADDRESSES A
13 DIFFERENT FORM, WHICH CURRENTLY THERE ISN'T ANY
14 THERAPY FOR. A LOT OF COMPANIES HAVE FAILED BECAUSE
15 THEY'VE TRIED TO DEVELOP MONOTHERAPIES WITH SMALL
16 MOLECULES. THIS IS A WONDERFUL APPROACH AND A
17 REALLY IMPORTANT APPROACH WHERE WE CAN USE CIRM
18 FUNDED -- WE'VE BEEN VERY GRATEFUL TO CIRM FOR
19 FUNDING US -- TO TAKE THIS APPROACH AND USE THE
20 SOLUBLE FACTORS TO MAKE AN ENORMOUS DIFFERENCE IN
21 PATIENTS WITH VISION PROBLEMS.

22 IF YOU THINK ABOUT IT, WE ALL LIVE TO OUR
23 RETIREMENTS, WE ARE ALL HEALTHY; BUT IF YOU LOSE
24 YOUR VISION, YOU'RE INCREDIBLY INCAPACITATED IF YOU
25 CAN'T READ, RECOGNIZE FACES, WATCH TELEVISION, OR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DRIVE. SO IT'S USUALLY IMPACTFUL. THIS HAS BEEN
2 FUNDED BY CIRM. IT IS NOT BEING DONE BY OTHER
3 INDUSTRY AND IT'S A HUGE UNMET NEED. SO THANK YOU
4 FOR LETTING ME MAKE THAT COMMENT.

5 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. SO IF THERE'S NO
6 MORE BOARD COMMENTS OR ANY OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT, WE
7 CAN CALL THE ROLL ON THE MOTION, WHICH IS TO APPROVE
8 11532.

9 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

10 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

11 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.

12 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

13 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.

14 MR. JUELSGAARD: NO.

15 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.

16 DR. MARTIN: YES.

17 MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.

18 DR. PADILLA: YES.

19 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA. FRANCISCO
20 PRIETO.

21 DR. PRIETO: AYE.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.

23 DR. QUINT: NO.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.

25 MR. ROWLETT: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.

2 MR. SHEEHY: YES.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

5 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

6 MR. TORRES: AYE.

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

8 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

9 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. MOTION
10 CARRIES.

11 MR. SHEEHY: SO THAT'S ONE DOWN, AND
12 THAT'S APPROXIMATELY 3.7 MILLION. SO --

13 DR. PRIETO: MR. CHAIRMAN?

14 MR. SHEEHY: YES, PLEASE.

15 DR. PRIETO: CAN I MAKE A MOTION TO
16 APPROVE THE NEXT THREE ON OUR LIST, 11579, 11548,
17 AND 11628?

18 MR. SHEEHY: YES. AND I WOULD SECOND
19 THAT.

20 DO WE HAVE ANY DISCUSSION ON THIS MOTION?
21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS.

22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO I'D JUST LIKE TO
23 HEAR, SINCE THAT WOULD FACTOR IN ONE OF THE FETAL
24 TISSUE PROJECTS AND NOT ANOTHER THAT HAD THE SAME
25 MEDIAN SCORE, WOULD LIKE TO HEAR SORT OF WHAT THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DISTINCTION IS AND WHY WE WOULD PROPOSE TO FUND ONE
2 RATHER THAN THE OTHER AT THIS STAGE, UNDERSTANDING
3 THAT EVERYTHING ELSE IS GOING TO BE HELD OVER AND
4 HOPEFULLY FROM THAT PERSPECTIVE (INAUDIBLE). DR.
5 SAMBRANO.

6 MR. SHEEHY: THIS WAS -- WE MADE --

7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: GET A SCIENTIFIC
8 EXPLANATION.

9 DR. SAMBRANO: I CAN'T PROVIDE A
10 SCIENTIFIC REASON FOR WHY YOU WOULD PICK ONE OVER
11 THE OTHER.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: REPHRASE THAT. IF YOU
13 COULD JUST GIVE SCIENTIFIC HIGHLIGHTS FOR BOTH.

14 DR. SAMBRANO: SO THE TWO FETAL ONES THAT
15 WE ARE TALKING ABOUT, 11544, WHICH IS THE LAST ONE,
16 WE JUST DID THE ONCOLYTIC IMMUNOTHERAPY FOR OVARIAN
17 CANCER. THE OTHER ONE WOULD BE THE NEURAL STEM
18 CELLS FOR NEUROPROTECTION IN PERINATAL HYPOXIC
19 ISCHEMIC BRAIN INJURY.

20 SO LET ME ADDRESS 11628 FIRST. SO IN
21 TERMS OF THE PORTFOLIO, WE DON'T CURRENTLY HAVE
22 ANYTHING IN OUR PORTFOLIO THAT IS ADDRESSING HYPOXIC
23 ISCHEMIC BRAIN INJURY. SO THAT WOULD BE UNIQUE IN
24 OUR PORTFOLIO.

25 IN TERMS OF THE REVIEW, GIVE ME A MOMENT,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO THIS IS AN APPLICATION THAT USES FETAL-DERIVED
2 HUMAN NEURAL STEM CELLS. THE IDEA BEHIND THIS IS TO
3 TREAT NEONATES THAT HAVE EXPERIENCED A HYPOXIC
4 ISCHEMIC BRAIN INJURY EVENT. THE GOAL WOULD BE TO
5 DEVELOP A PRODUCT FOR WHICH THEY COULD HAVE A
6 PRE-IND MEETING AT THE END OF THIS AWARD.

7 SO IN TERMS OF SCORING, THIS ONE HAD AN
8 85. AND JUST, AGAIN, TO DISTINGUISH, THIS HAD A
9 MEAN OF 84 AND HAD 11 GRANTS WORKING GROUP MEMBERS
10 THAT VOTED IN FAVOR AND GAVE IT A SCORE THAT WAS IN
11 THE FUNDABLE RANGE, FOUR THAT DID NOT.

12 WITH REGARDS TO 11544, SO THAT ONE IS ALSO
13 USING FETAL-DERIVED NEURAL STEM CELLS. HERE IN
14 TERMS OF PORTFOLIO, WE HAVE 17 PROJECTS IN SOLID
15 TUMORS IN GENERAL. THERE ARE THREE THAT IN SOME WAY
16 ADDRESS OVARIAN CANCER THAT ARE ALL VERY EARLY, SO
17 DISCOVERY STAGE. ONE IS A PROJECT THAT IS LOOKING
18 AT INTRODUCING RNAI THROUGH NANOPARTICLES AS A
19 MECHANISM OF ADDRESSING DISEASE.

20 WE HAVE SOME CANDIDATE DISCOVERY, SO JUST
21 TWO PROJECTS. ONE IS DEVELOPING AN IPSC-DERIVED
22 NATURAL KILLER CELL IMMUNOTHERAPY AND A CAR-T CELL
23 APPROACH. HOWEVER, THE CANDIDATE IN THIS PARTICULAR
24 CASE USES AN APPROACH THAT'S PRETTY UNIQUE AMONG OUR
25 PORTFOLIO. THAT IS THE ONCOLYTIC VIRAL THERAPY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 COMBINED WITH CELLS THAT TARGET THE OVARIAN CANCER
2 CELLS. SO THAT ITSELF CERTAINLY WOULD BE UNIQUE IN
3 OUR PORTFOLIO.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WHAT WAS THE VOTE?

5 DR. SAMBRANO: AND THEN ON THAT ONE, THE
6 SCORE THERE WAS A MEDIAN OF 85, THE MEAN IS 83, AND
7 THERE WERE NINE MEMBERS THAT SCORED WITH A SCORE TO
8 RECOMMEND AND SIX THAT SCORED BELOW.

9 MR. SHEEHY: ANY OTHER BOARD COMMENTS,
10 QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS MOTION TO APPROVE THESE FOUR
11 APPLICATIONS? IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?

12 I JUST WANT TO PREFACE THIS. WE'RE GOING
13 TO HOLD THIS OPEN. SO JUST TO PUT THAT OUT THERE.

14 DR. CHIU: I JUST WANTED TO RESPOND TO
15 J.T.'S QUESTION. FIRST OF ALL, LET ME JUST SAY THAT
16 YOU HAVE A NUMBER --

17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: NAME?

18 MR. TORRES: YOU WANT TO INTRODUCE
19 YOURSELF? WE ALL KNOW YOU.

20 DR. CHIU: ARLENE CHIU, FORMERLY FROM THE
21 CITY OF HOPE. SO YOU HAVE AN ABUNDANCE OF 85S AND
22 WE ARE SPLITTING HAIRS. AND I CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND
23 HOW HARD IT IS TO COMPARE YOUR FAVORITE CHILD WITH
24 YOUR OTHER FAVORITE CHILDREN. BUT WHAT I WANTED TO
25 SAY IS THAT THIS 11544 DESERVES TO BE CONSIDERED AS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PART OF THIS PACKAGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS.

2 ONE, IT IS ALSO DERIVED FROM FETAL
3 TISSUE-DERIVED NEURAL STEM CELLS WHICH HAVE A UNIQUE
4 ABILITY TO HOME IN ON TUMOR CELLS. SO THIS PROJECT
5 IS TO TARGET OVARIAN CANCER. AND AS DR. SAMBRANO
6 HAS MENTIONED, YOU HAVE CURRENTLY, I THINK, THREE,
7 IS IT, QUEST AWARDS FOR OVARIAN CANCER AND NOTHING
8 MOVING DOWN THE PIPELINE.

9 IN CONTRAST, THIS ONE, THE PRODUCT ITSELF
10 HAS GONE THROUGH FDA. YOUR EARLIER FUNDING OF THE
11 APPLICANT HAS BROUGHT HER TO SEVERAL CLINICAL TRIALS
12 USING THESE NEURAL STEM CELLS. NOW SHE'S PACKAGED
13 THEM WITH A REALLY INNOVATIVE APPROACH OF USING
14 ONCOLYTIC VIRUSES PACKAGED INTO THESE CELLS SO THAT
15 THEY CAN TARGET THE TUMORS AND THEN LYSE AND REALLY
16 WIPE THEM OUT. SO THIS IS UNIQUE.

17 THE SCIENCE OF ALL OF THESE ARE GREAT, AND
18 I DON'T WANT TO COMPARE ONE SCIENCE PROJECT WITH
19 ANOTHER. WHAT I DID WANT TO SAY IS ONE OF THE GOALS
20 IS TO BRING A STEM CELL PRODUCT TO THE MARKET, A
21 REAGENT OFF THE SHELF, UNLIKE AUTOLOGOUS-TYPE
22 APPROACHES WHERE YOU HAVE TO DO THEM INDIVIDUALLY.
23 ONE WOULD REALLY LIKE TO HAVE THESE CELLS THAT COULD
24 BE USED IN MANY DIFFERENT INDICATIONS AND EASILY
25 AVAILABLE. AND THIS POTENTIALLY COULD BE THAT. IT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 HAS ALREADY GONE THROUGH THE FDA FOR ONE INDICATION.
2 SO IT'S ON A SHORT PATH TO GET THROUGH THE FDA FOR A
3 DIFFERENT INDICATION, A VERY DESERVING INDICATION.

4 BUT NOT ONLY THAT, BECAUSE OF ALL THE
5 STUDIES THAT HAVE GONE BEFORE, IF THIS WORKS, IT
6 REALLY COULD IN SHORT ORDER BECOME ONE OF THESE
7 REAGENTS THAT YOU CAN BUY OFF THE SHELF AND TREAT
8 GLIOBLASTOMA IN ONE CASE, DEPENDING ON WHERE YOU PUT
9 IT IN, OR INTO THE ABDOMEN AND TREAT OVARIAN CANCER.

10 SO FOR THAT REASON, AND ALSO FETAL STEM
11 CELLS ARE NOT REALLY WELL RECEIVED BY THE NIH THESE
12 DAYS, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO APPEAL TO YOU TO PUT THIS
13 AMONGST YOUR OTHERS. BUT I DO HEAR MR. SHEEHY'S
14 POINT, THAT THAT DOESN'T MEAN THIS WILL NOT GET
15 FUNDED.

16 LAST, BUT NOT LEAST, THE PRICE TAG IS
17 REALLY REASONABLE. THANK YOU.

18 MS. LANSING: CAN YOU HEAR ME?

19 MR. SHEEHY: YES.

20 MS. LANSING: CAN I SPEAK IN FAVOR --

21 MS. BONNEVILLE: NO, YOU CANNOT. THANK
22 YOU.

23 MS. LANSING: I CAN'T SPEAK IN FAVOR OF
24 THE OVARIAN CANCER ONE? I THOUGHT THAT WAS THE ONE
25 I WASN'T CONFLICTED ON.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: NO. BECAUSE THERE ARE
2 OPEN APPLICATIONS AND YOU'RE IN CONFLICT WITH SOME
3 OF THEM, YOU CANNOT SPEAK TOWARDS THIS ONE.

4 MS. LANSING: SORRY.

5 MR. TORRES: MISS YOU.

6 MS. LANSING: I TRIED.

7 DR. ABOODY: HI. THANK YOU FOR LETTING ME
8 SPEAK. I'M DR. KAREN ABOODY WITH CITY OF HOPE. I'M
9 THE PI OF TRAN1-11544. YOU PREVIOUSLY FUNDED ME ON
10 A DISEASE TEAM AWARD WHERE WE MET ALL THE MILESTONES
11 AND GOT NIH FUNDING FOR THE CLINICAL TRIAL THAT'S
12 ONGOING.

13 IN RESPONSE, THERE ARE 22,000 WOMEN PER
14 YEAR IN THE U.S. AFFLICTED WITH OVARIAN CANCER. IT
15 IS THE MOST LETHAL GYNECOLOGICAL MALIGNANCY WITH AN
16 EXCEPTIONALLY HIGH MORTALITY RATE LARGELY BECAUSE
17 THE MAJORITY OF THE PATIENTS PRESENT AT ADVANCED
18 STAGE, STAGE 3 WITH ABDOMINAL METASTASIS, WHICH IS
19 WHAT WE ARE TARGETING. THE MEDIAN SURVIVAL FOR
20 THESE PATIENTS IS LESS THAN THREE YEARS FOLLOWING
21 STANDARD-OF-CARE SURGERY AND CHEMO. A LOT OF THESE
22 WOMEN CANNOT FINISH THE CHEMO REGIMEN BECAUSE THE
23 CHEMO IS SO TOXIC THAT THEY HAVE NAUSEA, ABDOMINAL
24 PAIN, AND VOMITING. SO THEY'RE NOT EVEN ABLE TO
25 FINISH THE CHEMO.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FURTHERMORE, THEY DEVELOP CHEMO
2 RESISTANCE. THIS IS CISPLATIN PACLITAXEL.

3 OKAY. THE CURRENT THERAPIES CANNOT HELP
4 THESE WOMEN. THERE'S AN URGENT NEED FOR A MORE
5 EFFECTIVE TARGETED THERAPY THAT CAN ALSO IMPROVE
6 QUALITY OF LIFE DURING TREATMENT, WHICH IS AS
7 IMPORTANT AS IMPROVING CRITICAL OUTCOMES IN MY MIND.
8 THIS TUMOR-KILLING ONCOLYTIC VIRAL THERAPY CAN KILL
9 CHEMO-RESISTANT AND RADIO-RESISTANT CELLS. IT Lyses
10 THE CELLS. IT RELEASES MORE VIRAL PARTICLES, AND IT
11 HAS AN AMPLIFYING EFFECT. SO IF YOU CAN SEED THE
12 VIRUS IN EACH OF THOSE METASTATIC TUMOR SITES, IT
13 SHOULD KEEP GOING TILL IT IS NORMAL TISSUE AND IT
14 WILL STOP.

15 THERE ARE CURRENTLY SOME CLINICAL TRIALS
16 WHERE THEY'RE PUTTING FREE ONCOLYTIC VIRUS INTO THE
17 PATIENT. OKAY. IT HAS DEMONSTRATED SAFETY.
18 THEY'RE DISAPPOINTED IN THE UPTAKE BECAUSE THEY'RE
19 NOT GETTING THE VIRUS TO THE TUMOR SITES. THE VIRUS
20 IS GETTING ELIMINATED BY THE PATIENT'S IMMUNE SYSTEM
21 BEFORE IT EVEN GETS TO THE TUMOR. WHEN IT DOES GET
22 TO THE TUMOR, IT'S NOT GETTING DISTRIBUTED IN THE
23 METASTASIS. THE STEM CELLS, NOW WE HAVE DATA, THEY
24 ARE TARGETING, THEY'RE DELIVERING THE VIRUS TO THE
25 OVARIAN METASTASIS IN OUR ANIMAL MODELS. THEY'RE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROTECTING IT FROM THE IMMUNE SYSTEM IN
2 IMMUNOCOMPETENT ANIMALS. WE KNOW PATIENTS CAN GET
3 MULTIPLE TREATMENT ROUNDS OF THESE NEURAL STEM CELLS
4 WITHOUT REJECTING THEM IMMUNOLOGICALLY. THEY'RE
5 NOT -- THEY'RE HLA CLASS II NEGATIVE.

6 WE HAVE THE MANUFACTURING PROCESS READY.
7 WE HAVE GMP VIRUS. WE ARE IN CLINICAL TRIAL FOR
8 BRAIN TUMOR PATIENTS NEWLY DIAGNOSED. THE FIRST
9 EIGHT PATIENTS HAVE DEMONSTRATED SAFETY USING THE
10 EXACT SAME PRODUCT. OUR STEM CELLS MAKE A RISK-FREE
11 VIRUS. I CAN TAKE IT TO THE CLINIC VERY QUICKLY.
12 WE HAVE OUR CLINICIANS EXCITED ABOUT IT. WE HAVE
13 THE TEMPLATE, WE HAVE THE TEAM, WE KNOW HOW TO GET
14 IT INTO THE CLINIC, AND HAVE THE PRE-IND MEETING
15 PROBABLY BEFORE THE END OF THE TERM. OUR
16 (UNINTELLIGIBLE) SPECIFICALLY LOWERED TO THE TYPES
17 THAT WE NEED TO GET IT THERE. AND THERE IS URGENCY
18 IN MY MIND, AND THE CLINICIANS REALLY WANT THIS TO
19 MOVE FORWARD NOW. THEY DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO TREAT
20 THESE PATIENTS WITH. THANK YOU.

21 MR. SHEEHY: OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT? I
22 THINK YOU WERE FIRST AND THEN DR. SNIDER, I BELIEVE.
23 I MISSED SOMEBODY BACK THERE. ONE, TWO, THREE,
24 FOUR. OKAY.

25 DR. CHEN: GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU ALL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK. I'M ACTUALLY GOING TO
2 ADVOCATE FOR 11555, AND THE REASON IS I THINK IF
3 THIS MOTION PASSES --

4 MR. TORRES: STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE
5 RECORD PLEASE.

6 DR. CHEN: SORRY. MY NAME IS YVONNE CHEN,
7 FACULTY FROM UCLA, THE PI FOR TRAN1-11555. AND SO I
8 CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND OUR WISH TO PROMOTE RESEARCH;
9 AND AS ONE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS MENTIONED, I THINK
10 IT'S IMPORTANT TO CONSIDER THE DISEASE TYPE AND THE
11 UNMET NEED THAT EXISTS.

12 OUR APPLICATION FOCUSES ON SUBMITTING AND
13 GETTING APPROVAL FOR AN IND FOR A PHASE 1 CLINICAL
14 TRIAL TO TREAT MULTIPLE MYELOMA. MULTIPLE MYELOMA
15 IS AN INCURABLE DISEASE. IT AFFECTS 32,000 NEW
16 PATIENTS EVERY YEAR. AT UCLA WE TREAT OVER A
17 THOUSAND EVERY YEAR JUST AT UCLA. AND THIS BEING AN
18 INCURABLE DISEASE, WE HAVE FAR MORE PATIENTS WHO
19 WISH TO GO ON CLINICAL TRIALS THAN WE HAVE SPACE
20 FOR. AND SO THIS IS A TRULY UNMET NEED, AND WE HAVE
21 DEVELOPED A NOVEL TECHNOLOGY THAT SIMULTANEOUSLY
22 TARGETS TWO ANTIGENS PRESENT ON MULTIPLE MYELOMA
23 CELLS THAT CAN HELP US INCREASE DURABILITY OF
24 RESPONSE, WHICH IS CURRENTLY THE GREATEST CHALLENGE
25 IN THE TREATMENT OF MULTIPLE MYELOMA.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I WOULD LIKE TO ALSO MENTION THAT OUR TEAM
2 HAS PERFORMED EXTENSIVE PRECLINICAL TESTING AND HAS
3 MOVED THIS PROJECT ALONG VERY QUICKLY. WITHIN THE
4 PAST FOUR MONTHS SINCE WE -- OR THREE MONTHS SINCE
5 WE SUBMITTED THIS APPLICATION, WE'VE ALREADY MADE
6 SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS. NO. 1, WE GAINED FDA APPROVAL
7 FOR ANOTHER IND ON THE BISPECIFIC T-CELL THERAPY
8 THAT MY GROUP HAS DEVELOPED DEMONSTRATING THAT WE
9 ARE ABLE TO ACTUALLY EXECUTE CLINICAL MANUFACTURING
10 AND PROVIDE SUCCESSFUL IND PREPARATION FOR CAR-T
11 CELL THERAPY.

12 AND NO. 2, WE HAVE SUBSEQUENTLY PERFORMED
13 ADDITIONAL MOUSE IN VIVO STUDIES DEMONSTRATING VERY
14 STRONG EFFICACY USING OUR T-CELLS IN THE TREATMENT
15 OF ADVANCED, AGGRESSIVE MULTIPLE MYELOMA.

16 AND SO I WOULD LIKE TO URGE THE BOARD AND
17 THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS TO CONSIDER BASED ON THE TRUE
18 EXISTING UNMET NEED OF THIS INCURABLE DISEASE THAT
19 AFFLICTS SO MANY PEOPLE AND ALL OF THEM INVARIABLY
20 RELAPSE AFTER MULTIPLE LINES OF TREATMENT TYPICALLY
21 IN THE TIME FRAME OF FIVE TO TEN YEARS. AND THIS IS
22 REALLY A NOVEL TECHNOLOGY USING CELL TYPES THAT CIRM
23 HAS REPEATEDLY SUPPORTED JUST THIS MORNING WITH
24 ANOTHER CLIN2 GRANT THAT I THINK SHOWS REAL PROMISE
25 IN CURING A TERRIBLE DISEASE. THANK YOU.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TORRES: I WANTED TO ADD A COMMENT,
2 NOT TO YOUR PROPOSAL. I WAS REMISS IN NOT THANKING
3 DR. ABOODY WHO I HAD FIRST MET WHEN I FIRST CAME ON
4 THIS BOARD AND HAVE MARVELED AT HER RESEARCH
5 ESPECIALLY WITH BRAIN TUMORS.

6 AND I WAS THE PATIENT ADVOCATE REVIEWER ON
7 YOUR GRANT BECAUSE I KNOW HOW IMPORTANT JEFF FEELS
8 ABOUT OVARIAN CANCER GIVEN HIS FAMILIAL HISTORY.
9 AND I ESPECIALLY, BECAUSE, AS SHERRY WELL KNOWS AND
10 SO SHE CANNOT SPEAK ON THIS, BUT I CAN, IT'S A
11 TREMENDOUS UNMET NEED AND SOMETHING THAT WE HAVE NOT
12 DONE FOR WOMEN IN THIS STATE. AND SO I JUST WANT TO
13 THANK YOU AGAIN, AND I KNOW IT'S GOING TO CONTINUE
14 TO BE OPEN, RIGHT, AS WE MOVE FORWARD. BUT THANK
15 YOU, KAREN.

16 DR. MARTIN: I HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION
17 RELEVANT TO AN EARLIER ONE, AND THAT IS IN THE
18 CLINICALTRIALS.GOV, HOW MANY CAR-T TRIALS ARE
19 ONGOING THAT ARE TARGETING MULTIPLE MYELOMA?

20 MR. SHEEHY: PERHAPS WE COULD HAVE
21 SOMEBODY RESEARCH THAT FOR A MINUTE, BUT WE ARE IN
22 PUBLIC COMMENT RIGHT NOW, AND WE HAVE DR. SNIDER
23 COMING UP. WE CAN BRING THE APPLICANT. I THINK SHE
24 KNOWS THE ANSWER. WE WILL BRING HER UP AFTER
25 DR. -- WELL, IF YOU CAN GIVE A QUICK ANSWER.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. CHEN: SO I CAN COMMENT. SO THERE ARE
2 MULTIPLE CLINICAL TRIALS -- THERE ARE MULTIPLE
3 CLINICAL TRIALS TARGETING MULTIPLE MYELOMA USING
4 CAR-T CELLS AND NONE THAT ACTUALLY HAS LYSE
5 SPECIFICITY. IN ALL OF THE TRIALS THAT HAVE
6 REPORTED SO FAR HAVE SHOWN POOR PERSISTENCE IN LARGE
7 PART DUE TO ANTIGEN LOSS. AND THAT'S PRECISELY THE
8 CHALLENGE THAT WE ARE TRYING TO ADDRESS AND HAVE
9 SHOWN CLINICAL EFFICACY TO ADDRESS BY USING A
10 BISPECIFIC CAR-T CELL.

11 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. INTRODUCE
12 YOURSELF, DR. SNYDER.

13 DR. SNYDER: YEAH. HI. MY NAME IS EVAN
14 SNYDER. I'M THE PI ON 628. I DID SUBMIT A LETTER
15 LAST WEEK THAT GOES INTO MUCH MORE DETAIL ABOUT OUR
16 MOTIVATION AND RATIONALE.

17 AND I'M THE ONLY ONE SPEAKING HERE ON
18 BEHALF OF THE KIDS. IT'S TAKEN ME 25 YEARS TO GET
19 HERE IN FRONT OF YOU TO BE ABLE TO BE ON THE
20 THRESHOLD OF DOING SOMETHING FOR KIDS WITH PERINATAL
21 ASPHYXIA, THAT IF NOTHING IS DONE WILL GO ON TO
22 DEVELOP CP.

23 MR. SHEEHY: COULD YOU PLEASE --

24 DR. SNYDER: GO ON TO DEVELOP CEREBRAL
25 PALSY. IT IS COMMON, DESTABLING, AND IN IMPACT, NOT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 JUST THE KIDS, FOR DECADES, BUT THEIR FAMILIES AND
2 SOCIETY.

3 AS A STEM CELL BIOLOGIST, AS A
4 NEONATOLOGIST, AS A PEDIATRIC NEUROLOGIST, I'M
5 ABSOLUTELY PASSIONATE ABOUT THIS. I KNOW THE
6 DISEASE, I KNOW THE KIDS, I KNOW WHAT HAPPENS TO THE
7 BABIES IF YOU DON'T DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT. I KNOW
8 EVERY ASPECT OF HOW THIS INTERVENTION THAT WE TALKED
9 ABOUT CAN BE PIGGYBACKED UPON THE ROUTINE NEONATAL
10 CARE OF THESE KIDS, INCLUDING THE IMAGING, THE
11 PATIENT SELECTION, THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE CELLS,
12 WHICH WILL NOT ALTER THE COURSE, BUT WILL ALTER
13 THEIR OUTCOME.

14 I THINK IT WAS MENTIONED BY GIL. CIRM HAS
15 NO -- HAS VERY FEW PEDIATRIC NEUROLOGY INDICATIONS
16 IN THE PORTFOLIO AND NONE FOR BABIES. ON THE OTHER
17 HAND, IF YOU ACCEPT THAT STEM CELL BIOLOGY IS PART
18 OF DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY AND THE IMMATURE NEONATAL
19 BRAIN IS IN THE MIDST OF ACTIVE PLASTICITY AND
20 DEVELOPMENT, THERE IS NO GREATER OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE
21 AN IMPACT OF THIS BIOLOGY ON KIDS THAT NEED THIS.

22 I'VE ALSO TAKEN CARE OF THE KIDS WHEN
23 NOTHING HAS HAPPENED, AND THERE IS NOTHING OUT
24 THERE. WE CAN MAKE AN IMPACT FOR DECADES. THE
25 RATIONALE IS SOLID. THERE IS AN AREA THAT CAN BE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IMAGED CALLED THE PENUMBRA AROUND THE INJURY. THIS
2 IMAGING CORRELATES WITH THE MOLECULAR PROFILE THAT
3 WILL RESPOND TO THE KNOWN MECHANISM OF ACTION OF THE
4 CELLS. THESE KIDS CAN BE SELECTED, THEY CAN BE
5 ADMINISTERED THE CELLS, AND I THINK THERE'S AN
6 ENORMOUSLY HIGH LIKELIHOOD OF A SUCCESSFUL CLINICAL
7 TRIAL.

8 THE TWELVE NICUS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
9 ARE IN PLACE AND READY TO LAUNCH. THIS, IN FACT,
10 WOULD ACTUALLY BE THE FIRST BIOMARKER FOR
11 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE THAT ACTUALLY RATIONALLY
12 CHOOSES PATIENTS BASED ON THE MECHANISM OF ACTION OF
13 THE STEM CELLS. SO IT'S INFORMATIVE NOT JUST FOR
14 THESE KIDS, BUT FOR THE ENTIRE FIELD.

15 THE LAST THING I'LL SAY, IF WE DON'T GET
16 INVOLVED, I'M AFRAID THE CHARLATANS THAT ARE ALREADY
17 APPROACHING THESE PARENTS WITH CEREBRAL PALSY WILL
18 FILL THE BRIDGE.

19 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU, DR. SNYDER. SO
20 NEXT WE HAVE TWO FOLKS OVER HERE, THREE. IF YOU ARE
21 COMFORTABLE, WE CAN MOVE DOWN THE ROW. PLEASE.

22 DR. KUO: MY NAME IS CAROLINE KUO. I'M AN
23 IMMUNOLOGIST AT UCLA, AND I'M THE PI OF THE TOP
24 GRANT, 11536. WE HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THIS PROJECT
25 FOR THE LAST SEVEN YEARS. AND WE CURRENTLY HOLD A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DISC AWARD THAT HAS HELPED IDENTIFY THE REAGENTS
2 THAT WE WILL BE USING FOR THIS TRAN AWARD.

3 AND SO FIRST OFF, I'D LIKE TO SAY THAT I
4 THINK THAT THIS PROJECT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE CIRM
5 MISSION IN THAT WE ARE USING HUMAN HEMATOPOIETIC
6 STEM CELLS THAT ARE GENE MODIFIED. AND TO ECHO
7 DR. JUELSGAARD, THIS TREATMENT IS INTENDED FOR A
8 POPULATION THAT IS IN DESPERATE NEED OF NEW
9 THERAPEUTIC OPTIONS.

10 SO THE CURRENT SURVIVAL RATE FOR X-LINKED
11 HYPER IGM IS 25 PERCENT AT 25 YEARS OF AGE. AND SO
12 ALL THE CURRENT THERAPIES THAT ARE AVAILABLE ARE
13 REALLY VERY TEMPORARY AND CANNOT BE CURATIVE AND
14 STILL ALLOWS THE DISEASE TO PROGRESS.

15 AND SO WE HAVE REALLY STRUCTURED THIS
16 APPLICATION AROUND A PRE-IND MEETING THAT WE HAD,
17 PRE, PRE-IND MEETING THAT WE HAD WITH THE FDA IN
18 FEBRUARY. AND SO WE FEEL THAT WE HAVE FILLED A NEED
19 AND A VERY CLEAR SET OF MILESTONES THAT CAN BE
20 COMPLETED QUICKLY AND IN A REASONABLE FASHION.

21 I'LL ALSO ADD THAT MY SCIENTIFIC MENTOR IS
22 DR. DONALD KOHN, AND MY LAB IS ADJACENT TO HIS LAB.
23 AND SO WE'VE ESTABLISHED A VERY SYNERGISTIC
24 RELATIONSHIP, AND SO THIS PROJECT CAN REALLY TAKE
25 ADVANTAGE OF THE SUCCESS THAT HE'S HAD WITH GENE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THERAPY FROM A CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE AND
2 REALISTICALLY BRING THIS PROJECT TO THE CLINIC.
3 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

4 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. NEXT COMMENTER.

5 DR. TUSZYNSKI: GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M MARK
6 TUSZYNSKI. I'M A PHYSICIAN SCIENTIST AT THE
7 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO AND THE DIRECTOR
8 OF THE UCSD TRANSLATIONAL NEUROSCIENCE INSTITUTE,
9 AND I'M SPEAKING ON APPLICATION 11579 ON BEHALF OF
10 MY CO-APPLICANT.

11 I'M GRATEFUL FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO RELAY
12 A FEW POINTS RELATED TO THE CONSIDERATION OF OUR
13 PROPOSAL. SO, FIRST, WITH REGARD TO PROGRAMMATIC
14 IMPACT, WE ARE THE ONLY STEM CELL PROJECT IN THE
15 CIRM PORTFOLIO THAT AIMS TO TREAT SEVERE SPINAL CORD
16 INJURY. SO WE AIM TO RECONNECT DAMAGED CONNECTIONS
17 IN THE SPINAL CORD, ADDRESSING A GREAT UNMET MEDICAL
18 NEED THAT CURRENTLY HAS NO TREATMENT.

19 OTHER SCI-, SPINAL CORD INJURY, RELATED,
20 PROJECTS IN CIRM'S PORTFOLIO TARGET THE RELATIVELY
21 SMALL POPULATION OF UNINJURED CONNECTIONS IN AN
22 EFFORT TO PROMOTE REINSULATION OF THE WIRES, THE
23 AXONS THAT ARE IN THE INJURY SITE, AND THAT MAY HAVE
24 A RELATIVELY MINOR IMPACT ON PATIENTS WITH SEVERE
25 INJURY WHO HAVE LOST THE CONNECTIONS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OUR PROGRAM SIGNIFICANTLY WIDENS THE
2 POTENTIAL SCOPE AND THE IMPACT OF CIRM FUNDING BY
3 TRYING TO FORM NEW CONNECTIONS IN SEVERELY INJURED
4 PATIENTS. AND THIS PROGRAM IS BASED ON BIOLOGY THAT
5 IS ASTONISHING IN COMING BACK TO YOUR COMMENT ABOUT
6 THE VALUE OF NEURAL STEM CELLS OR STEM CELLS
7 GENERALLY. HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF AXONS EMERGE
8 FROM STEM CELLS, SO CAN ACTUALLY EMERGE FROM STEM
9 CELL GRAFTS PLACED IN AN INJURY SITE AND TRAVEL
10 BELOW.

11 SECONDLY, WITH REGARD TO PATIENT IMPACT,
12 THIS PROGRAM HAS BEEN SUPPORTED BY CIRM FROM ITS
13 EARLIEST STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT TO THE PRESENT LEVEL
14 OF TRANSLATIONAL READINESS. AND UPON COMPLETING THE
15 WORK IN THIS TRAN GRANT, WE WILL BE READY TO
16 INITIATE HUMAN CLINICAL TRIALS IN SEVERE SPINAL CORD
17 INJURY. SO THE INTENT OF THIS GRANT IS TO FUND THE
18 PRODUCTION OF OUR CLINICAL TRIAL CELL LINE AND
19 ESTABLISH STANDARDS FOR THE TESTING AND RELEASE OF
20 THESE CELLS TO PATIENTS AND THEIR PHYSICIANS. AND,
21 OF COURSE, WE HOPE TO COMPLETE THIS PROGRAM WITH
22 FUNDING UNDER THIS TRAN GRANT.

23 I'D ALSO LIKE TO SAY THAT WE HAVE EVIDENCE
24 OF EFFECTIVENESS IN PRIMATES. SO WE HAVE SHOWN THE
25 EFFECTIVENESS OF THE STEM CELL THERAPY IN SPINAL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CORD INJURY MODELS IN SEVERAL RAT EXPERIMENTS, AND
2 WE NOW HAVE PUBLISHED DATA OF EFFICACY IN NONHUMAN
3 PRIMATES WITH SPINAL CORD INJURY. SO OUR
4 DEMONSTRATION OF EFFECTIVENESS IN MONKEYS IS UNIQUE,
5 TO OUR KNOWLEDGE, AMONG STEM CELL PROGRAMS FOR
6 NEUROLOGICAL DISEASE, IN PARTICULAR SPINAL CORD
7 INJURY, AND STRONGLY SUPPORTS BRINGING THIS PROGRAM
8 TO PATIENTS.

9 AND FINALLY, I'D LIKE TO COMMENT ON THE
10 STRENGTH OF THE SCIENCE AND THE EXPERIENCE OF OUR
11 RESEARCH TEAM. SO WE HAVE PUBLISHED RESULTS FROM
12 THIS PROGRAM IN THE TOP JOURNALS IN SCIENCE
13 INCLUDING SIX PAPERS IN TOP NATURE JOURNALS IN THE
14 RECENT YEARS, SUPPORTING THE QUALITY AND DEPTH OF
15 OUR PROGRAM. AND WE HAVE A TEAM OF PHYSICIANS READY
16 TO LINE THIS UP FOR CLINICAL TRIAL. SO WE ARE
17 GRATEFUL FOR THE SUPPORT WE HAVE HAD AND HOPE WE CAN
18 MOVE FORWARD. THANK YOU.

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN I ASK
20 A QUESTION OF THE PI?

21 TO THE EXTENT THAT THE PRODUCT ULTIMATELY
22 PROVES TO BE EFFICACIOUS, OBVIOUSLY ONE OF THE BIG
23 QUESTIONS IN SPINAL CORD INJURY IS WHAT'S THE WINDOW
24 POSTINJURY IN WHICH SOMETHING MIGHT BE EFFECTIVE?
25 WHAT IS YOUR HYPOTHESIS AS FAR AS THAT GOES? IS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT SOMETHING YOU CAN'T REALLY SAY UNTIL YOU GET
2 INTO THE CLINICAL TRIAL PROCESS?

3 DR. TUSZYNSKI: NO. NO. SO WE HAVE
4 PRELIMINARY INDICATION FROM THE ANIMAL EXPERIMENTS.
5 SO WE SEE EFFICACY FROM A TWO-WEEK WINDOW TO A
6 THREE-MONTH WINDOW. AND WE ARE CURRENTLY DOING
7 ONGOING STUDIES IN CHRONIC INJURY IMPLANTING CELLS
8 ONE YEAR AFTER THE INJURY IN MONKEYS. SO FOR
9 CHRONIC INJURY, IT'S ONGOING WORK, BUT WE HAVE
10 EVIDENCE FOR THIS WINDOW OF TWO WEEKS TO THREE
11 MONTHS AFTER.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU.

13 MR. TORRES: RHESUS MONKEYS?

14 DR. TUSZYNSKI: YES.

15 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU, DOCTOR. NEXT. I
16 KNOW JEANNE. WE HAVE SOMEONE ELSE, BUT YOU CAN
17 FOLLOW.

18 DR. GARBAZEF: HI. I WANT TO THANK THE
19 BOARD FOR GIVING ME AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK. I'M A
20 POST-DOC IN THE (UNINTELLIGIBLE), AND I'LL BE
21 TALKING ON BEHALF OF PROJECT 11579. SO, HELLO. MY
22 NAME IS HELENA GARBAZEF (PHONETIC).

23 ABOUT THREE YEARS AGO, WHILE IN GRADUATE
24 SCHOOL AT STANFORD UNIVERSITY, I FELL IN A ROCK
25 CLIMBING ACCIDENT IN YOSEMITE NATIONAL PARK. I WAS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AIRLIFTED TO STANFORD HOSPITAL AND UNDERWENT
2 EMERGENCY SURGERY FOR MY FALL. I HAVE AN L1 SPINAL
3 CORD INJURY. I RETURNED TO GRADUATE SCHOOL AFTER MY
4 ACCIDENT. AFTER I FINISHED MY DEGREE, I JOINED THE
5 TUSZYNSKI LAB BECAUSE OF THE PROMISE OF NEURAL STEM
6 CELL THERAPY FOR SPINAL CORD INJURY.

7 THIS APPROACH ALLOWS US TO REPLACE NEURAL
8 TISSUE LOST TO TRAUMA. THE GRAFT STEM CELLS PROMOTE
9 THE GROWTH OF INJURED NEURONS. THEY MATURE TO
10 BECOME FUNCTIONAL NEURONS AND CONNECT TO CELLS ABOVE
11 AND BELOW THE LESION SITE. THEY CREATE A RELAY THAT
12 ALLOWS THE BRAIN TO TALK TO THE SPINAL CORD AGAIN.

13 WE'VE TAKEN THIS APPROACH FROM RATS TO
14 GRAFTING HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS INTO NONHUMAN
15 PRIMATES TO SHOW SAFETY AND EFFICACY AT EVERY STEP.
16 THERE'S CURRENTLY NO TREATMENT FOR SPINAL CORD
17 INJURY. THESE INJURIES HAPPEN TO YOUNG PEOPLE.
18 THEY ARE A LIFE SENTENCE OF SEVERE AND OFTEN
19 COMPLETE LIMITATION, OF DEBILITATING PAIN,
20 DEPENDENCE ON CAREGIVERS, AND LOSS OF OUR MOST BASIC
21 FUNCTIONS.

22 MY INJURY COMPLETELY DISRUPTED MY LIFE,
23 AND I'M A MILD AND LUCKY CASE. I'VE MET MANY YOUNG
24 PEOPLE IN WHEELCHAIRS THROUGH MY INVOLVEMENT WITH
25 THE ADAPTIVE SPORTS COMMUNITY AND THROUGH PATIENT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ADVOCACY THROUGH SCIENCE, EDUCATION, AND OUTREACH.
2 I THINK ABOUT THEM EVERY DAY AS I WORK IN THE LAB.
3 I'M HERE BOTH AS A SCIENTIST AND AS A PATIENT
4 ADVOCATE.

5 WE NEED AN INTERVENTION FOR SPINAL CORD
6 INJURY THAT HAS THE POTENTIAL TO TREAT ALL THE
7 EFFECTS OF DAMAGE TO THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM.
8 THIS INJURY AFFECTS MANY FUNCTIONS, AND THE NEURAL
9 STEM CELL GRAFT IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO RESTORE SO MUCH
10 MORE THAN JUST STEPPING.

11 I WANT TO END BY THANKING CIRM FOR ALL THE
12 WORK THAT YOU'VE DONE SO FAR. EVEN BEFORE IN MY
13 CURRENT POSITION, AS A STUDENT AT STANFORD WORKING
14 IN A STEM CELL LAB, I SAW FIRSTHAND THE IMPACT
15 FUNDING FROM CIRM HAS ON EXCITING FLEDGLING WORK AND
16 HOW SUPPORT FROM THIS ORGANIZATION STIMULATED AND
17 ENERGIZED MY FIELD. SO THANK YOU.

18 MR. TORRES: I JUST WANT TO SAY WHAT A
19 PLEASURE IT WAS TO MEET YOU EARLIER BECAUSE I
20 ESCORTED YOU THROUGH SECURITY. I'M READING ALL YOUR
21 WHOLE HISTORY, BUT NOW THAT I DO, I LOVE YOU EVEN
22 MORE. SO THANK YOU. WE'RE HONORED BY YOUR
23 PRESENCE.

24 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. DR. LORING.

25 DR. LORING: MY NAME IS JEANNE LORING, AND

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I AM FROM THE SCRIPPS RESEARCH INSTITUTE AND ASPEN
2 NEUROSCIENCE, A NEW START-UP COMPANY. BUT I AM A
3 COLLABORATOR ON 11548, THE TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY
4 GRANT. THE PI IS BRIAN CUMMINGS AT UCI, BUT I AM
5 NOT HERE AS A COLLABORATOR, I'M HERE AS A SURROGATE
6 FOR DON REED, WHO YOU ALL KNOW. DON HAS ASKED ME TO
7 READ HIS LETTER, AND IT IS A TREMENDOUS HONOR
8 KNOWING HOW MUCH DON HAS DONE FOR CIRM OVER ALL
9 THESE YEARS, AND I'M EXTREMELY HAPPY TO STAND IN FOR
10 HIM.

11 "WILL THERE BE A PROP 71 PART 2? THAT
12 DECISION IS NOT MINE TO MAKE. BUT I ABSOLUTELY KNOW
13 WHAT I DO WANT, AND THAT IS A MAJOR RENEWAL OF
14 FUNDING. THE BEST WAY TO MOVE TOWARD THAT GOAL, I
15 BELIEVE, IS TO TAKE ON A TRULY HUGE PROBLEM -- AND
16 THEY JUST DON'T GET ANY BIGGER THAN TRAUMATIC BRAIN
17 INJURY. EVERY YEAR, MORE THAN 200,000 CALIFORNIANS
18 RECEIVE A TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY, AT A FINANCIAL
19 COST OF ROUGHLY 9.6 BILLION, AN AMOUNT MORE THAN
20 THREE TIMES CALIFORNIA'S ENTIRE TEN-YEAR INVESTMENT
21 IN CIRM. ACROSS OUR COUNTRY, 1.7 MILLION CITIZENS
22 SUFFER A TBI - AT THE STAGGERING EXPENSE OF \$76.5
23 BILLION. MORE PEOPLE HAVE A TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY
24 THAN ARE AFFECTED BY CANCERS OF THE BRAIN, BREAST,
25 COLON, LUNG AND PROSTATE PUT TOGETHER.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 "WHAT IS IT LIKE TO HAVE A TRAUMATIC BRAIN
2 INJURY? OFTEN COMPARED TO ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE, TBI
3 DESTROYS MEMORY AND BRINGS EMOTIONAL CONFUSION TO
4 THE SUFFERER. WHILE TBI AFFECTS SIMILAR NUMBERS OF
5 CALIFORNIANS WITH ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE, TBI IS MUCH
6 LESS KNOWN AND MIGHT BE CALLED A SILENT EPIDEMIC.

7 THE PRIMARY INVESTIGATOR FOR THIS PROJECT,
8 BRIAN CUMMINGS, TOLD ME OF A FAMILY SUMMER CAMP FOR
9 CHILDREN TO WHICH HE BROUGHT HIS DAUGHTER. WHILE
10 THERE, HE MET A WOMAN WHO HAD BEEN A SOLDIER IN THE
11 IRAQ WAR WHERE SHE TWICE RECEIVED TBIS FROM ONE OF
12 THOSE GHASTLY HOME-MADE BOMBS, AN IED. WHAT BROUGHT
13 THE MEANING OF THE TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY HOME TO
14 DR. CUMMINGS WAS THAT THIS WOMAN SOLDIER COULD NOT
15 REMEMBER WHICH CHILD WERE HER CHILDREN.

16 "TBI, AT PRESENT, IS INCURABLE. AS YOU
17 KNOW, FOR MORE THAN 25 YEARS I HAVE BEEN SUPPORTING
18 RESEARCH FOR THE RELATED CONDITION OF SPINAL CORD
19 INJURY. AND FOUR OF OUR GREATEST RESEARCH CHAMPIONS
20 ARE AILEEN ANDERSON, HANS KEIRSTEAD, GABRIEL NISTOR,
21 AND BRIAN CUMMINGS. ALL FOUR OF THESE OUTSTANDING
22 SPINAL CORD INJURY SCIENTISTS -- THIS TIME LED BY
23 BRIAN CUMMINGS AS THE TBI EXPERT -- WILL BE INVOLVED
24 IN THIS PROJECT. THEIR GOAL? "TRANSPLANTATION OF
25 HUMAN NEURAL STEM CELLS COULD LEAD TO IMPROVEMENTS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IN LEARNING, MEMORY AND EMOTION (TO) SIGNIFICANTLY
2 CHANGE A PATIENT'S --

3 "IN ADDITION, FOUR YOUNG SCIENTISTS FROM
4 CIRM'S BRIDGES PROGRAM WILL BRING THE ENERGY AND
5 PASSION OF YOUTH TO THIS ENDEAVOR. THIS IS CIRM AT
6 ITS VERY BEST. I URGE YOUR SUPPORT."

7 AND I SUPPOSE YOU DON'T KNOW, DON REED IS
8 VICE PRESIDENT FOR PUBLIC POLICY FOR AMERICANS FOR
9 CURES. I KNOW I'M NOT AS GOOD AS HE IS.

10 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. WE HAVE
11 ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT?

12 MR. PEREZ: MY NAME IS VICTOR PEREZ. I AM
13 A MEDICAL SOCIAL WORKER AT THE REHABILITATION
14 INSTITUTE OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, ALSO KNOWN AS RIO,
15 AND I'M HERE TO ADVOCATE ON BEHALF OF BRIAN
16 CUMMINGS' PROJECT, TRAN1-11548.

17 SO AT RIO WE HAVE BEEN SUPPORTING
18 CALIFORNIA RESIDENTS WHO HAVE SUFFERED A TRAUMATIC
19 BRAIN INJURY SINCE 1950. I HAVE WORKED FOR RIO FOR
20 ABOUT TWO YEARS NOW PRIMARILY AS A COUNSELOR, AS A
21 SOCIAL WORKER, AND MOST OF OUR TBI PATIENTS WHO ARE
22 PARTICIPANTS THERE ARE CONSIDERED WITH HIGH ACUITY
23 AND REQUIRE SIGNIFICANT ASSISTANCE WITH ACTIVITIES
24 OF DAILY LIVING AS WELL AS 24-HOUR SUPERVISION.

25 PEOPLE WITH MODERATE TO SEVERE TBI

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TYPICALLY VARY IN CHRONIC HEALTH PROBLEMS
2 CONSIDERING THAT 57 PERCENT OF THEM ARE MODERATELY
3 OR SEVERELY DISABLED, 55 PERCENT OF THEM DO NOT HAVE
4 A JOB, BUT AT THE TIME OF INJURY DID. FIFTY PERCENT
5 OF THEM RETURNED TO A HOSPITAL AT LEAST ONCE, AND 33
6 PERCENT OF THEM RELY ON HELP FOR EVERYDAY
7 ACTIVITIES. TBI SURVIVORS OFTEN EXPERIENCE A LOSS
8 OF INDEPENDENCE, OFTENTIMES THROUGH THE COMPLICATION
9 OF APHASIA.

10 UNFORTUNATELY, I HAVE WITNESSED THE
11 STRUGGLE AS I OBSERVE MANY OF THE PARTICIPANTS I
12 COUNSEL. I CAN ONLY RELATE TO THIS PHENOMENON OF
13 LETHOLOGICA, OR THE EXPERIENCE OF THE TIP OF THE
14 TONGUE WHERE YOU WANT TO SAY THE WORD, BUT YOU JUST
15 CAN'T QUITE REMEMBER IT. SO IMAGINE THAT ON AN
16 ONGOING BASIS, NOT BEING ABLE TO QUITE GET THAT WORD
17 OUT. I CAN.

18 I CAN ALSO TELL YOU THAT TBI'S ARE QUITE
19 EMOTIONAL FOR THESE INDIVIDUALS, OFTENTIMES
20 SUFFERING FROM ANXIETY, ANGER, FRUSTRATION,
21 CONFUSION, AND EVEN SOMETIMES DEPRESSION.

22 SO NOW I WANT YOU TO IMAGINE BRIAN, A
23 15-YEAR-OLD YOUNG MAN AS HE'S EXPERIENCING THE
24 LIVELIHOOD OF BEING A TEENAGER LEARNING HOW TO RIDE
25 A SKATEBOARD. UNBEKNOWNST TO BRIAN, HIS LIFE WOULD

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FOREVER CHANGE AS HE IS HIT BY A CAR.

2 HAVING KNOWN WHAT IT WAS LIKE TO LIVE A
3 FAIRLY INDEPENDENT LIFE, HE NOW MUST LEARN TO RELIVE
4 WHAT IT'S LIKE TO BE BRIAN. EVENTUALLY BRIAN WOULD
5 GROW TO LOSE INTEREST IN DAILY ACTIVITIES AND
6 DEVELOP DEPRESSION. FORTUNATELY, BRIAN HAS TWO
7 LOVING PARENTS WHO HAVE ADVOCATED FOR HIM TO BE
8 ENROLLED IN A PROGRAM AT RIO TO HAVE HIS NEEDS MET,
9 INCLUDING ADDRESSING THAT EMOTIONAL DISTRESS. IF A
10 CELL THERAPY COULD PARTIALLY IMPROVE BRIAN'S
11 ABILITIES, HIS LIFE WOULD BE GREATLY IMPROVED.

12 ON BEHALF OF THE REHABILITATION INSTITUTE
13 OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, MY COLLEAGUES, AND THE
14 PARTICIPANTS THAT I SERVE, THEY HAVE SENT ME HERE TO
15 ENTHUSIASTICALLY ENDORSE DR. CUMMINGS' PROJECT BASED
16 AT UC IRVINE WITH AIMS TO ESTABLISH SAFETY AND
17 EFFICACY OF HUMAN NEURAL STEM CELLS AS THERAPY FOR
18 TBI. IF SUCCESSFUL, DR. CUMMINGS' WORK COULD ALSO
19 IMPACT NOT ONLY THOSE WITH TBI, BUT ALSO THOSE WITH
20 SPINAL CORD INJURY, PARKINSON'S DISEASE, AND
21 ALZHEIMER'S.

22 AS A SOCIAL WORKER ON THE FRONT LINES OF
23 THIS BATTLE, I LOOK FORWARD TO THE DAY WHEN I CAN
24 REFER MY PARTICIPANTS TO CELLULAR TBI CLINICAL TRIAL
25 INSTEAD OF JUST REFERRING THEM TO BRAIN BANKS TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SUPPORT RESEARCH. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

2 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. SO ADDITIONAL
3 PUBLIC COMMENT?

4 DR. CUMMINGS: THANK YOU. SO I'M
5 DR. CUMMINGS. I AM THE VICE CHAIR FOR RESEARCH IN
6 PHYSICAL MEDICINE AND REHABILITATION AND
7 NEUROLOGICAL SURGEON AT UC IRVINE, AND I'M SPEAKING
8 ON BEHALF OF MY GRANT, 11548.

9 230,000 CALIFORNIANS AND \$9 BILLION SPENT
10 EVERY YEAR. EVERY DECADE THAT'S 2.3 MILLION
11 ADDITIONAL CALIFORNIANS ARE AFFECTED AND 9 BILLION
12 MORE IS LOST. THIS IS THE COST OF TRAUMATIC BRAIN
13 INJURY JUST TO CALIFORNIA. TBI IS A SILENT EPIDEMIC
14 AND THE LEADING CAUSE OF DEATH AND DISABILITY
15 WORLDWIDE. FORTY PERCENT OF PATIENTS HAVE LONG-TERM
16 DISABILITIES, INCLUDING MEMORY PROBLEMS AND ANXIETY.
17 AND OUR TRAN1 WILL ADDRESS THESE SYMPTOMS AND THEIR
18 PROHIBITIVE COST.

19 THERE ARE NO APPROVED THERAPIES FOR BRAIN
20 INJURIES, AND BRAIN INJURIES INCREASE THE RISK OF
21 SUBSEQUENT ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE. SO TREATING BRAIN
22 INJURIES NOW COULD ULTIMATELY REDUCE ALZHEIMER'S AS
23 WELL.

24 IN 2011 CIRM FUNDING HELPED EXPAND OUR
25 SPINAL CORD WORK TO BRAIN INJURIES WITH AN EARLY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TRANSLATION AWARD, AND WE WERE SUCCESSFUL. IN 2016
2 A CIRM DISCOVERY AWARD ENABLED US TO VALIDATE A LEAD
3 CANDIDATE FROM TWO FETAL AND TWO EMBRYONIC CELL
4 LINES. OUR TRAN1 PROGRAM WILL COMPLETE GMP
5 MANUFACTURE, ENABLE LONG-TERM SAFETY TESTING, AN ADD
6 A LARGE ANIMAL MODEL USING OUR LEAD CANDIDATE, NOT A
7 SURROGATE. WE ARE THE ONLY CIRM-FUNDED PROGRAM
8 ADDRESSING TBI. WE HAVE SHOWN EFFICACY IN FOUR
9 SEPARATE EXPERIMENTS USING CELLS FROM THREE
10 MANUFACTURING RUNS. WE'VE IMPROVED LEARNING AND
11 MEMORY AND REDUCED ANXIETY-LIKE BEHAVIOR TO TBI
12 MODELS REPEATEDLY, AND WE HAVE DEMONSTRATED EFFICACY
13 USING FROZEN VIALS OF CELLS. FRESHLY THAWED CELLS
14 WILL FACILITATE THE SURGICAL APPROACH TO CLINICAL
15 TRANSLATION USING OUR INTENDED CELLULAR PRODUCT.
16 AGAIN, NOT A SURROGATE. AND, IMPORTANTLY, OUR LEAD
17 CANDIDATE HAS A LARGER EFFECT SIZE THAN ANYTHING
18 PREVIOUSLY REPORTED FOR BRAIN INJURY.

19 OUR LEAD CANDIDATE HAS FOUR MECHANISMS OF
20 ACTION FROM CELL REPLACEMENT, NEUROGENESIS,
21 NEUROPROTECTION, TO REDUCING INFLAMMATION. THIS
22 INCREASES THE LIKELIHOOD OF TRANSLATION TO PEOPLE AS
23 ONLY ONE NEEDS TO WORK. IT ALSO INCREASES THE
24 POTENTIAL TO TREAT OTHER NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS
25 SUCH AS ALZHEIMER'S, PARKINSON'S, SPINAL CORD

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 INJURY, AND ALS.

2 THE CIRM'S GRANT WORKING GROUP HAS
3 RECOMMENDED OUR TBI PROGRAM FOR FUNDING SEVERAL
4 TIMES. WE'VE ONLY BEEN FUNDED TWICE. IN 2016 CIRM
5 WAS SHORT OF FUNDS AND OUR DISC2 WAS SKIPPED OVER
6 DESPITE A TIER I RECOMMENDATION. WE WERE ALSO
7 RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
8 BUT WAS CANCELLED ADMINISTRATIVELY TO AVOID THE
9 CONTROVERSY OF EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS. CIRM IS THE
10 ONLY AGENCY CAPABLE OF FUNDING THIS WORK, AND YOU'VE
11 INVESTED MILLIONS IN OUR PROGRAM THUS FAR. CIRM
12 SHARED IN THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY PORTFOLIO OF OUR
13 LEAD CANDIDATE AND REVENUE SHARING FROM WHAT IS
14 TRAGICALLY A HUGE MARKET. BRAIN INJURIES IMPACT
15 MORE CALIFORNIANS THAN ALL OF THE OTHER TRAN GRANTS
16 CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION.

17 FINALLY, WE NOTE THAT OUR WORK OVER THE
18 LAST EIGHT YEARS HAS BEEN SUPPORTED BY CIRM BRIDGES
19 INTERNS, TEN OF THEM, FOUR WHO REMAINED IN THIS
20 TRAN1 GRANT. WITHOUT THESE INTERNS, OUR WORK COULD
21 NOT HAVE BEEN COMPLETED. OUR TEAM HAS THE
22 EXPERIENCE FOR MULTIPLE CLINICAL TRIALS USING CELL
23 THERAPIES; AND IF SUCCESSFUL, WILL CONFRONT AN UNMET
24 MEDICAL NEED FOR MILLIONS OF CALIFORNIANS AND THEIR
25 FAMILIES AND POTENTIALLY SAVE CALIFORNIA BILLIONS IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MEDICAL COSTS. AND IF WE SUCCEED, CIRM, WE WILL BE
2 FUELING PUBLIC SUPPORT FOR RENEWAL IN 2020 BY
3 ADDRESSING A CONDITION THAT EVERYONE CAN IDENTIFY
4 WITH. THANK YOU.

5 MR. SHEEHY: ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT?

6 DR. NISTOR: MY NAME IS GABRIEL NISTOR.
7 I'M THE CHIEF SCIENCE OFFICER AND HAVE CO-FOUNDED
8 AIVITA, AND I'M HERE TO SUPPORT DR. CUMMINGS'
9 APPLICATION. IF FUNDED, AIVITA WILL ACT AS A
10 CONTRACTOR TO MANUFACTURE THE CELLS FOR THIS
11 PROJECT. AND I'M HONORED TO BE HERE, AND SINCERELY
12 I'M IMPRESSED. THIS IS THE FIRST TIME MY
13 PARTICIPATION IN THIS KIND OF MEETING, AND I WISH I
14 WAS HERE MORE ACTUALLY AND LISTENING TO ALL THESE
15 COMMENTS AND THE DISCUSSION OF THE GRANTS.

16 SO AIVITA IS THE MAJOR ROADBLOCK IN
17 DEVELOPING CELL THERAPY IN THE SCALE-UP WITH THE
18 QUALITY WHICH ACTUALLY ALLOWS PRODUCT, WHICH GOES
19 THROUGH FDA, AND ULTIMATELY IS APPROVED. AND WE'VE
20 BEEN FOCUSING ON THESE ASPECTS FOR THE PAST 14, 15
21 YEARS.

22 WE HAVE IN THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT IN THE
23 LEADERSHIP RENOWN WHICH ACTUALLY BROUGHT ALREADY
24 CELL THERAPY PRODUCTS TO MARKET. AND THE REASON WE
25 PARTICIPATING IN THIS APPLICATION IS BECAUSE WE SEE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 A ROAD FORWARD. SO WE DO HAVE A PAVED ROAD. WE
2 HAVE ABOUT -- WE HAVE FOUR CURRENT TRIALS IN PHASE 2
3 FOR CANCER. THEY'RE CELL THERAPIES, AUTOLOGOUS, ONE
4 IN OVARIAN, ONE IN GBM, ANOTHER ONE IN MELANOMA IN
5 JAPAN, AND ANOTHER ONE, A COMBINATION THERAPY OF
6 MELANOMA AND CHECKPOINT INHIBITORS.

7 WE HAVE MANY, MANY INTERACTIONS WITH FDA,
8 AND WE KNOW EXACTLY HOW THE ROAD IS MOVING FORWARD
9 FOR THESE KIND OF APPROACHES.

10 WE ARE A COMPANY WHICH IS WELL FUNDED,
11 WELL EQUIPPED. WE CAN PRODUCE ALL THE CELLS. WE
12 CAN TAKE ANY EXTRA (UNINTELLIGIBLE), AND, MOST
13 IMPORTANT, WE DO HAVE THE INVESTORS WHICH ARE
14 ACTUALLY INTERESTED IN MOVING THESE PROGRAMS
15 FORWARD. SO I URGE THIS COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER
16 DR. BRIAN CUMMINGS' APPLICATION FOR FUNDING, AND I
17 WON'T GO IN THE IMPORTANCE OF ADDRESSING IN OUR
18 SOCIETY AFTER THIS CANCER SOLDIERS AND MEMBERS OF
19 FAMILIES SUFFERING FROM BRAIN TRAUMA. THANK YOU
20 VERY MUCH.

21 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. ANY ADDITIONAL
22 PUBLIC COMMENT? OKAY. AT THIS POINT PUBLIC COMMENT
23 IS --

24 MR. MC CORMACK: THIS IS A COMMENT FROM
25 DON REED. "HONORABLE MEMBERS OF THE -- I CAN'T

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONVEY HIS ENTHUSIASM, BUT I'LL TRY.

2 "HONORABLE MEMBERS OF THE ICOC, THANK YOU
3 FOR ALLOWING ME TO MAKE PUBLIC COMMENT ON
4 APPLICATION TRAN1-11579, THE HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM
5 CELL-DERIVED NEURAL STEM CELLS FOR SEVERE SPINAL
6 CORD INJURY.

7 "MORE THAN 20 YEARS AGO DR. MARK TUSZYNSKI
8 WAS ONE OF THE FIRST RECIPIENTS OF A ROMAN REED
9 GRANT FOR SPINAL CORD INJURY RESEARCH. EVER SINCE,
10 HE'S BEEN WORKING QUIETLY AND STEADILY IN TANDEM
11 WITH THE FIELD OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. HIS
12 PROJECT TO DATE, TRAN1-11579, IS THE CULMINATION OF
13 THAT LIFETIME OF HARD WORK AND SCIENCE. I MEAN IT'S
14 SPECTACULAR.

15 "USUALLY WHEN I SEE A PHOTOGRAPH OF A
16 SPINAL CORD INJURY, IT'S HARD FOR ME TO UNDERSTAND
17 WHAT'S GOING ON, COMPLICATED X-RAY OF THE SPINE, AND
18 THE SCIENTIST POINTS TO A V-SHAPED LITTLE MARK AND
19 SAYS THAT'S THE INJURY. AND SEE THAT LITTLE FUZZY
20 GROWTH ON THE EDGES OF THE WOUND, THAT'S IT. THAT'S
21 THE REGENERATION. AND I NOD MY HEAD AND SMILE, BUT
22 IT TAKES A LOT OF FAITH TO SEE ANYTHING THERE.

23 "DR. TUSZYNSKI'S WORK IS DIFFERENT. IT'S
24 IMPOSSIBLE NOT TO SEE NEW GROWTH. GREEN MARKS THE
25 NERVES LEAPING ACROSS THE GAP IN THE INJURED SPINAL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CORD REACHING TO THE OTHER SIDE AND NEW NERVE CELLS
2 ARE BIOMARKED WITH GREEN SO YOU COULD FOLLOW THEM.
3 IT WAS LIKE THE WHOLE SPINE WAS SLAVERED WITH LIME
4 GREEN PAINT.

5 "WHAT DOES THAT MEAN IN PRACTICAL TERMS?
6 IS THERE ANY RECOVERED MOTION? 'INJURED RATS WITH
7 COMPLETELY SEVERED SPINAL CORDS RECOVERED
8 SIGNIFICANT MOTION, INCLUDING THE ABILITY TO MOVE
9 EVERY JOINT OF THEIR LEGS,' SAYS DR. TUSZYNSKI.
10 THAT WAS IN RATS. HE'S SINCE GONE ON TO ACHIEVE
11 SIMILAR RESULTS OF A NONHUMAN PRIMATE, A RHESUS
12 MONKEY. MAJOR WORK HAS HONORED HIM BY PUBLISHING NO
13 LESS THAN SIX ARTICLES ON HIS RECENT WORK, ARTICLES
14 LIKE THE "STORAGE OF EFFECTS OF HUMAN NEURAL STEM
15 CELL GRAFT WITH PRIMATE SPINAL CORD" AND "BIOMETRIC
16 3D PRINTED SPINAL CORD SCAFFOLD FOR SPINAL CORD
17 INJURY," AND MOST RECENTLY "CHONDROITIN MAY HAVE
18 IMPROVED ANATOMICAL AND FUNCTIONAL OUTCOMES AFTER
19 PRIMATE SPINAL CORD INJURY," WHICH IS IN PRESS.

20 "HE'S DONE EVERY STEP OF THE EARLY WORK
21 REQUIRED, ACHIEVED STRONG PRELIMINARY RESULTS. IT
22 IS VITAL THAT HIS WORK CONTINUE, AND I URGE HIS
23 CONTINUED SUPPORT. THANK YOU."

24 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. THAT CLOSES
25 PUBLIC COMMENT. WE HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE 11579,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 11548, AND 11628.

2 MS. BONNEVILLE: THE OTHERS OPEN UNTIL THE
3 NEXT --

4 MR. SHEEHY: THAT WAS NOT THE MOTION.

5 MS. BONNEVILLE: OKAY.

6 DR. PRIETO: AS THE MAKER, I'D ACCEPT THAT
7 AS A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT IF IT'S REQUIRED.

8 MR. SHEEHY: AND I THINK I SECOND, SO WE'D
9 DO THAT BECAUSE I BELIEVE I WAS THE SECOND. SO WE
10 WOULD TAKE THAT AS A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO HOLD ALL
11 THE APPLICATIONS OPEN WITH THE ANTICIPATION OF
12 MEETING WITH THE FULL BOARD TO REDIRECT FUNDS IN
13 SEPTEMBER TO ALLOW US TO FUND THE REMAINING
14 APPLICATIONS. SO COULD YOU CALL THE ROLL PLEASE.

15 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

16 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

17 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS. YOU'RE ON
18 MUTE, DAVID. STEVE JUELSGAARD.

19 MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.

21 DR. MARTIN: YES.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.

23 DR. PRIETO: AYE.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.

25 DR. QUINT: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. JEFF SHEEHY.

2 MR. SHEEHY: YES.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

5 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

6 MR. TORRES: AYE.

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

8 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

9 DR. HIGGINS: I'M BACK ON. I WANT TO SAY
10 YES.

11 MS. BONNEVILLE: VOTE?

12 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

13 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. I THINK
14 YOU'RE ON MUTE.

15 MOTION CARRIES.

16 MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. AND I JUST WANT
17 TO REITERATE THE REMAINING THREE APPLICATIONS ARE
18 NOT DENIED FUNDING. THEY ARE OVERWHELMINGLY LIKELY
19 TO BE FUNDED, AND THEY ARE ONLY BEING DELAYED A FEW
20 MONTHS. AND IT DOES IN NO WAY REFLECT ON THE
21 QUALITY OF THE SCIENCE.

22 BUT I WOULD, JUST AS AN EDITORIAL COMMENT,
23 WHICH I THINK I CAN GET AWAY WITH MAKING BECAUSE
24 THERE'S NOT AN EXISTING FORMAL CAMPAIGN TO RENEW
25 THIS AGENCY, BUT ALL OF YOU BEING HERE TODAY IS AN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EXAMPLE OF HOW IMPORTANT THE WORK WE DO IS. AND THE
2 REALITY IS IT WILL TAKE EVERYBODY WHO'S BEEN
3 INVOLVED WHO'S SEEN WHAT WE'VE ACCOMPLISHED, WHO
4 HAVE HOPE FOR THE FUTURE AND WHAT WE CAN ACCOMPLISH.

5 WE JUST HEARD ABOUT CHALLENGES
6 GETTING EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS FUNDED WITH THE FEDERAL
7 GOVERNMENT. WE KNOW THAT WE HAVE TWO OUTSTANDING
8 APPLICATIONS FOR FETAL TISSUE. I LOST MY MOTHER TO
9 OVARIAN CANCER, SO I KNOW EXACT -- STAGE III. I
10 KNOW EXACTLY THE STAGE IN WHICH THIS PARTICULAR
11 INVESTIGATOR WANTED TO TARGET THE CANCER, AND I KNOW
12 THE DIFFERENCE THAT THAT HAS THE POTENTIAL TO MAKE
13 IN THE LIVES OF OVARIAN CANCER PATIENTS WHO
14 INEVITABLY IN SO MANY CIRCUMSTANCE END UP HAVING AN
15 INCREDIBLY PAINFUL, DIFFICULT ROAD. I WALKED THAT
16 ROAD WITH MY MOM. THE HOPE THAT WE OFFER, THE CURES
17 THAT WE ARE ALREADY PROVIDING, AND I'M GLAD WE HAVE
18 DR. KUO FROM DR. KOHN'S LAB WHO'S CURED ALMOST 40
19 PATIENTS WITH A COUPLE OF INDICATIONS VIA CIRM
20 FUNDING.

21 THIS IS REAL, BUT WE CANNOT ACCOMPLISH THE
22 NEXT STAGE OF OUR MISSION WITHOUT THE SUPPORT OF THE
23 PEOPLE WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH ALL THESE YEARS. SO
24 THANK YOU. AND IT HAS BEEN WONDERFUL TO HEAR FROM
25 EVERYBODY TODAY, AND I AM COMMITTED MYSELF TO MAKING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SURE THE REST OF THE APPLICATIONS GET FUNDED. THE
2 SCIENCE HAS BEEN OUTSTANDING, AND IT'S AN HONOR TO
3 RECEIVE THESE APPLICATIONS AND TO DO THIS WORK. SO
4 THANK YOU. AND THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN THOMAS, FOR --

5 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR.
6 SHEEHY, FOR BOTH THE INSPIRATIONAL WORDS AND
7 NAVIGATING THROUGH THIS MEETING. IT WAS NOT EASY.

8 AND I'D LIKE TO ECHO ALL THE COMMENTS TO
9 DRIVE HOME EXACTLY THE POWER OF THE TECHNOLOGY THAT
10 YOU ALL ARE DEVELOPING AND WE HAVE HAD THE PRIVILEGE
11 OF BEING ABLE TO FUND OVER THE YEARS AND HOPE TO AS
12 THINGS GO FORWARD. SO THANKS TO EVERYBODY.

13 ARE THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ANY
14 TOPICS OF ANY NOTE ON ANYTHING? HEARING NONE, I'D
15 JUST LIKE TO CLOSE BY ONE LAST THING TO MENTION. IT
16 MAY HAVE GONE UNNOTICED WHEN DR. CHIU WAS TALKING
17 THAT SHE WAS RECENTLY AT CITY OF HOPE. THAT IS TO
18 SAY THAT AFTER A VERY LONG AND DISTINGUISHED CAREER,
19 WHICH HOPEFULLY PERHAPS WILL HAVE ANOTHER STAGE TO
20 IT, THAT SHE IS RETIRED FROM CITY OF HOPE.

21 DR. CHIU WAS INSTRUMENTAL IN THE EARLY
22 DAYS OF CIRM WORKING HERE, PROVIDING GREAT GUIDANCE
23 ON MANY FRONTS, AND HAS DONE A LENGTHY LIST OF VERY
24 IMPORTANT AND COMMENDABLE THINGS OVER THE YEARS, AND
25 I DIDN'T WANT IT TO GO WITHOUT NOTICE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND, ARLENE, CONGRATULATIONS ON EVERYTHING
2 YOU'VE DONE, NOT JUST FOR CIRM, BUT FOR EVERYWHERE
3 YOU'VE BEEN. YOU'VE BEEN A TREMENDOUS HELP TO MANY,
4 MANY PEOPLE. SO CONGRATULATIONS ON A WONDERFUL
5 CAREER.

6 MR. TORRES: HERE. HERE.

7 (APPLAUSE.)

8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WITH THAT, WE STAND
9 ADJOURNED. WE WILL SEE YOU IN AUGUST. THANK YOU.

10

11 (THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 1 P.M.)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON JULY 24, 2019, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152
133 HENNA COURT
SANDPOINT, IDAHO
208-255-5453