

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

BEFORE THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR
REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
1999 HARRISON STREET
SUITE 1650
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

DATE: JUNE 28, 2018
9 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CSR
CA CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2018-11

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER.	4
2. ROLL CALL.	4
3. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT.	7
4. PRESIDENT'S REPORT.	29
CONSENT CALENDAR	76
5. REAPPOINTMENT AND APPOINTMENT OF SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.	
6. ADOPTION OF MARCH, APRIL AND MAY 2018 MEETING MINUTES.	
7. FINAL ADOPTION OF CIRM CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE.	
ACTION ITEMS	
8. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING PROGRAMMATIC TOOLS.	78
9. CONSIDERATION OF CIRM BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018-2019.	114
10. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS (CLIN-1,2 OR 3).	126
CLOSED SESSION	NONE
11. DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OR WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION DATA, FINANCIAL INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH OR DATA, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INFORMATION RELATING TO APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO AGENDA ITEMS "10" ABOVE. (HEALTH & SAFETY CODE 125290.30(F)(3)(B) AND (C)).	

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DISCUSSION ITEMS

12. FINANCIAL AUDIT RESULTS FROM MACIAS GINI & O'CONNELL LLP.	137
13. COMMUNICATIONS UPDATE.	143
14. PUBLIC COMMENT.	NONE
15. ADJOURNMENT.	164

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, JUNE 28, 2018

2 9 A.M.

3
4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: GOOD MORNING,
5 EVERYBODY, HIGH ATOP 1999 HARRISON STREET IN
6 BEAUTIFUL DOWNTOWN OAKLAND. I'D LIKE TO CALL THE
7 REGULAR MEETING OF THE ICOC AND APPLICATION REVIEW
8 SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING TO ORDER FOR JUNE 2018. WE'LL
9 MOVE ON.

10 MARIA BONNEVILLE IS ON THE VERY TAIL END
11 OF A WELL-EARNED VACATION, AND SO WE WILL GO TO A
12 PINCH HITTER, MR. TOCHER, TO CONDUCT THE ROLL.

13 MR. TORRES: THAT'S NOT THE SAME.

14 MR. TOCHER: I AGREE. WE LOOK FORWARD TO
15 MARIA'S RETURN.

16 GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.

17 DR. BLUMENTHAL: HERE.

18 MR. TOCHER: LINDA BOXER.

19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: THIS IS LISA.
20 SHE'S JUST FINISHING UP A MEETING.

21 MR. TOCHER: KEN BURTIS.

22 DR. BURTIS: PRESENT.

23 MR. TOCHER: DEBORAH DEAS.

24 DR. DEAS: HERE.

25 MR. TOCHER: JACK DIXON.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. DIXON: HERE.
2 MR. TOCHER: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
3 JUDY GASSON.
4 DR. GASSON: HERE.
5 MR. TOCHER: DAVID HIGGINS.
6 DR. HIGGINS: HERE.
7 MR. TOCHER: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD. SHERRY
8 LANSING. LINDA MALKAS.
9 DR. MALKAS: HERE.
10 MR. TOCHER: BERT LUBIN. DAVE MARTIN.
11 DR. MARTIN: PRESENT.
12 MR. TOCHER: SHLOMO MELMED. LAUREN
13 MILLER.
14 MS. MILLER: HERE.
15 MR. TOCHER: ADRIANA PADILLA. JOE
16 PANETTA. FRANCISCO PRIETO. ROBERT QUINT.
17 DR. QUINT: PRESENT.
18 MR. TOCHER: AL ROWLETT.
19 MR. TOCHER: SUZANNE SANDMEYER.
20 DR. SANDMEYER: HERE.
21 MR. TOCHER: JEFF SHEEHY. OSWALD STEWARD.
22 DR. STEWARD: HERE.
23 MR. TOCHER: JONATHAN THOMAS.
24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.
25 MR. TOCHER: ART TORRES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. TORRES: HERE.

MR. TOCHER: KRISTINA VUORI.

DR. VUORI: HERE.

MR. TOCHER: DIANE WINOKUR.

MS. WINOKUR: HERE.

MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. TOCHER.

THAT WAS AN ADMIRABLE EFFORT. YOU NEED TO RECALL --

MR. TOCHER: I WILL RECORD LINDA MALKAS
AND STEVE JUELSGAARD AS PRESENT.

DR. LUBIN: GOOD MORNING, EVERYBODY.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HI, BERT. NICE TO HAVE
YOU ON THE LINE.

DR. LUBIN: IT'S WONDERFUL TO HEAR YOUR
VOICES. I'M FEELING REALLY TERRIFIC TODAY, SO YOU
DON'T HAVE TO GET INTO ANYTHING RELATED TO CONCERNS
FOR MY HEALTH. I'M REALLY HAPPY TO BE ON THE CALL.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR
JOINING.

OKAY. ANYBODY ELSE? SO WE'RE GOING TO
PROCEED RIGHT INTO THE -- WILL MR. TOCHER LEAD US IN
THE PLEDGE AS WELL?

MR. TOCHER: I WILL.

(THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. WE'LL PROCEED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 RIGHT INTO THE CHAIRMAN'S REPORT. THE FIRST THING
2 WE WOULD LIKE TO DO IS YOU MAY HAVE NOTICED A NEW
3 NAME MENTIONED ON THE ROLL CALL AND WOULD LIKE TO
4 INTRODUCE DR. SUZANNE SANDMEYER FROM UC IRVINE AND
5 ASK HER TO SAY A FEW WORDS TO THE BOARD ABOUT HER
6 BACKGROUND. DR. SANDMEYER.

7 DR. SANDMEYER: SO I'D FIRST LIKE TO SAY
8 THAT I'M REALLY EXCITED TO BE PART OF THE BOARD AND
9 PART OF THIS EXCITING FRONTIER IN SCIENTIFIC
10 RESEARCH. SO I WAS TRICKED INTO MAKING PERSONAL
11 COMMENTS IN THE NEWS REPORT, AND I WON'T GO INTO
12 PERSONAL ASPECTS OF MY PAST, BUT I GOT MY PH.D. IN
13 BIOCHEMISTRY AT THE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON IN
14 SEATTLE, THEN I DID A POST-DOC WITH MAYNARD OLSON AT
15 THE VERY BEGINNING OF GENOMICS AT WASH U. WHEN HE
16 FIRST STARTED THE LAB I JOINED, WE WERE TURNED DOWN
17 BY NSF FOR A GRANT THAT WAS TO RESTRICTION MAP THE
18 YEAST GENOME, WHICH IS THE MOST GENERAL WAY THAT YOU
19 CAN LOOK AT A GENOME. AND THE RESPONSE THAT NSF
20 GAVE WAS THAT NO ONE WOULD CARE AND IT COULDN'T BE
21 DONE ANYWAY.

22 SO IN THE YEARS SINCE THEN, WE'VE LEARNED
23 A LOT ABOUT THE GENOME, AND OBVIOUSLY THE YEAST
24 GENOME WAS SEQUENCED A LONG TIME AGO AND THEN THE
25 HUMAN GENOME WAS SEQUENCED. ONE OF THE THINGS WE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 LEARNED ABOUT GENOMES WITH ALL THE SEQUENCING WAS
2 THAT THEY'RE FULL OF REPEATED SEQUENCES THAT CAN
3 ACTUALLY MOVE AROUND IN THE GENOME. SO I BECAME
4 INTRIGUED BY THIS. AND A LOT OF THESE SEQUENCES ARE
5 ACTUALLY RELATED TO RETROVIRUSES WHICH WERE THOUGHT
6 TO HAVE INVADED THE HUMAN GENOME, FOR EXAMPLE,
7 MILLIONS OF YEARS AGO. AND SO MY LABORATORY FOR THE
8 LAST 30 YEARS AT IRVINE WHERE I'VE BEEN A PROFESSOR
9 IN THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY HAS BEEN
10 CONSUMED WITH TRYING TO UNDERSTAND THE LIFE CYCLE
11 AND THE WAY IN WHICH THESE GENES THAT MOVE AROUND
12 INTERACT WITH WHAT WE CONSIDER HOST GENES, WHICH ARE
13 ACTUALLY A MUCH SMALLER PART OF THE GENOME.

14 AND SO IN THE COURSE OF THIS, I BECAME
15 DIRECTOR OF OUR GENOMICS FACILITY, WHICH DOES
16 SEQUENCING, TO LOOK AT TRANSCRIPTOMICS OF GENOMES,
17 HOW THEY'RE EXPRESSED AND THE DNA OF GENOMES,
18 LOOKING FOR MUTATIONS IN THE HUMAN GENOME, FOR
19 EXAMPLE, AND HOW THOSE AFFECT GENE EXPRESSION IN
20 CANCER AND STEM CELLS AND OTHER AREAS.

21 BUT VERY RECENTLY, JUST TO BRING THIS TO A
22 CLOSE, I WAS APPOINTED VICE DEAN FOR RESEARCH IN THE
23 SCHOOL OF MEDICINE. SO NOW I'M BASICALLY DOWNSIZING
24 MY OWN PERSONAL LAB IN ORDER TO HAVE A BROADER FOCUS
25 ON THE RESEARCH ACTIVITIES IN THE SCHOOL OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MEDICINE. AND OBVIOUSLY STEM CELLS ARE A VERY
2 IMPORTANT PART OF THAT PORTFOLIO. SO WE HAVE A STEM
3 CELL RESEARCH CENTER AT UC IRVINE THAT'S DOING VERY
4 EXCITING THINGS. TWO WEEKS AGO WE SUBMITTED A P30
5 GRANT FOR SKIN STEM CELLS THROUGH THE STEM CELL
6 CENTER THERE. WE'RE NOW WORKING WITH A NUMBER OF
7 INVESTIGATORS FOR A PAUL ALLEN AMERICAN HEART
8 FOUNDATION \$43 MILLION GRANT OPPORTUNITY, WHICH IS A
9 VERY LONG SHOT, BUT WE'RE VERY EXCITED TO WORK ON
10 THAT PROJECT WITH STEM CELL RESEARCHERS AT UC
11 IRVINE.

12 SO I HOPE THAT'S ENOUGH OF A BACKGROUND OF
13 MY INTERESTS, BUT...

14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
15 DR. SANDMEYER. WE'RE DELIGHTED TO HAVE YOU ABOARD
16 AND TO HAVE YOUR EXPERTISE, AND YOU JOIN AN AUGUST
17 GROUP WHICH HAS BEEN FIRMLY COMMITTED TO
18 ACCELERATING THERAPIES FOR PATIENTS WITH UNMET
19 MEDICAL NEEDS THROUGH STEM CELL WORK. AND WHAT YOU
20 BRING TO THE TABLE WILL BE VERY VALUABLE. THANK YOU
21 VERY MUCH FOR BEING A PART OF THIS.

22 IN OTHER BOARD MEMBER NEWS, JUST WANTED TO
23 SAY -- I HOPE THIS ISN'T EMBARRASSING DIANE -- BUT
24 DIANE WINOKUR WAS HONORED BY THE FOUNDATION FOR
25 FIGHTING BLINDNESS ON APRIL 17TH IN A DELIGHTFUL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EVENT AT THE CALIFORNIA ACADEMY OF SCIENCES. SHE
2 WAS RECOGNIZED BY THEM FOR HER EXCEPTIONAL ADVOCACY
3 FOR ALS AND THE WORK SHE HAS DONE IN THE FIELD, AND
4 IT WAS A REALLY ENJOYABLE EVENING.

5 AND, DIANE, CONGRATULATIONS. AN AWARD
6 VERY WELL EARNED, AND IT WAS GREAT TO BE ABLE TO BE
7 THERE TO SEE YOU GET IT.

8 ON ONE OTHER NOTE, WHICH I SORT OF GET A
9 TWOFER ON THIS ONE, I JUST MENTIONED TO ART LINDA
10 MALKAS. I HEARD ON A CITY OF HOPE AD WHEN I WAS
11 LISTENING TO THE DODGER GAME ON THE RADIO, AND I
12 THOUGHT, GEE, I KNOW HER. AND THAT WAS REALLY
13 ELOQUENT. AND SO I JUST WANTED TO BRING THAT TO
14 EVERYBODY'S ATTENTION, BOTH TO MENTION LINDA AND TO
15 MENTION THE DODGERS, MR. JUELGAARD.

16 TRY TO FIND ANY ANGLE. AL MISSED THAT.
17 I'M REALLY SORRY ABOUT THAT. HE'S JUST WALKING IN.

18 SO I WANTED TO GIVE EVERYBODY AN UPDATE ON
19 THE FUND-RAISING PLAN IN FRONT. AS YOU KNOW,
20 FURTHER TO OUR MEETING IN DECEMBER, WE ARE LOOKING
21 TO SECURE BRIDGE FUNDING TO BASICALLY TIDE US OVER
22 TO BE ABLE TO KEEP OUR PROGRAMS GOING APACE FROM THE
23 END OF 2019, WHICH IS WHEN WE CURRENTLY FORECAST
24 WE'LL BE RUNNING OUT OF OUR 3 BILLION, TO THE
25 NOVEMBER 2020 ELECTION, AT WHICH TIME WE ANTICIPATE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BOB KLEIN WILL LEAD A CITIZEN INITIATIVE TO REUP
2 CIRM GOING FORWARD.

3 OUR GOALS WERE TO RAISE 55 MILLION BY THE
4 END OF 2018 AND ANOTHER 55 EACH AT THE MIDPOINT OF
5 2019, THE END OF 2019, AND THE MIDDLE OF 2020.

6 WE HAVE A FUND-RAISING TEAM THAT MEETS
7 WEEKLY HERE, WHICH IS MYSELF, MARIA MILLAN, MARIA
8 BONNEVILLE, NEIL LITTMAN, AND MR. TOCHER. AND AT
9 THIS POINT WHAT WE HAVE DONE IS WE'VE NARROWED DOWN
10 TO TWO DIFFERENT OPTIONS FOR GIVING. ONE IS A
11 STRAIGHT CHARITABLE GIFT. A SECOND IS, AND MOST OF
12 YOU WON'T BE FAMILIAR WITH THIS, BACK IN THE
13 EARLIEST DAYS OF CIRM WHEN CIRM WAS IN LITIGATION,
14 BOB WAS ANXIOUS TO JUMP-START FUNDING EFFORTS, HE
15 PUT IN PLACE SOMETHING CALLED BOND ANTICIPATION
16 NOTES, WHICH BASICALLY WERE A LOAN THAT WOULD BE
17 REPAID IF AND WHEN THE LITIGATION WAS SUCCESSFUL.
18 AND SO THOSE WHO MADE THOSE LOANS WERE AT
19 CONSIDERABLE RISK BECAUSE AT THAT POINT NOBODY KNEW
20 WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN IF LITIGATION WOULD HAVE
21 NOT BEEN SUCCESSFUL. THE LOANS WOULD HAVE
22 IMMEDIATELY CONVERTED TO GIFTS. AND, OF COURSE, HAD
23 IT NOT BEEN SUCCESSFUL, WE WOULDN'T HAVE CIRM. BUT
24 IT WAS AND WE DO. AND BOB USED THAT ROUGHLY 45
25 MILLION IN LOANS AND WAS ABLE TO DEPLOY THAT MONEY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO GET THINGS STARTED IN THE EARLY DAYS.

2 SO THINKING BACK ON THAT, I ASKED THE
3 QUESTION, AND WE'VE HAD A LOT OF DISCUSSION ON THIS
4 IN-HOUSE, COULD WE DO SOMETHING SIMILAR HERE TO,
5 INSTEAD OF HAVING AS THE PIVOTAL EVENT THE
6 LITIGATION BEING SUCCESSFUL, THE PIVOTAL EVENT WOULD
7 BE THE BOND MEASURE PASSING. SO COULD WE GO OUT AND
8 HAVE LOANS IN ADVANCE OF THE BOND ELECTION? AND IF
9 THE MEASURE WAS SUCCESSFUL, THEN WE WOULD, IF THE
10 LENDERS SO CHOSE, THEY COULD GET REPAID AS WAS THE
11 CASE WITH THE BAN'S. AND IF THE MEASURE WASN'T
12 SUCCESSFUL, ANY LOANS WOULD BE CONVERTED AT THAT
13 POINT TO A GIFT AND THE TAX CONSEQUENCES OF GIFTS
14 WOULD FOLLOW, ETC.

15 SO WE HAD THIS EXTENSIVE DISCUSSION WITH
16 THE STATE TREASURER'S OFFICE BOND COUNSEL ON THIS
17 QUESTION AND DETERMINED THAT THAT INDEED WAS A
18 VIABLE WAY TO GO, MUCH AS HAD BEEN THE CASE WITH THE
19 BAN'S, AND SPOKE TO BOB ABOUT IT AS BOB WILL BE THE
20 AUTHOR OF THE NEW INITIATIVE, AND HE WAS FULLY ON
21 BOARD. SO THIS HAS NOW GIVEN US A SECOND TYPE OF
22 OPTION TO OFFER TO POTENTIAL GIVERS TO THE AGENCY
23 TOWARDS OUR BRIDGE FUNDING EFFORT. AND WE'RE
24 STARTING TO TROT THAT OUT AS WE SPEAK.

25 I WANTED TO SAY AND REEMPHASIZE, WHICH

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I'VE SAID SEVERAL TIMES, OUR FIRST PRIORITY HERE IS
2 TO SECURE ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDS BECAUSE WE WANT TO
3 MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE OUR STELLAR TEAM INTACT
4 THROUGH THE ELECTION AND BEYOND. IN EVALUATING
5 POTENTIAL FOLKS TO TALK TO, WE'VE HAD IN-DEPTH
6 ANALYSES OF ALL SORTS OF DONORS WHO HAVE SHOWN AN
7 INTEREST IN MEDICAL RESEARCH IN GENERAL,
8 SPECIFICALLY WITH RESPECT TO REGENERATIVE MEDICINE,
9 AND WE'VE SORT OF EVALUATED THEM FROM THE STANDPOINT
10 OF INTEREST, ABILITY TO GIVE, BANDWIDTH, MEANING
11 WHAT THEY'VE ALREADY GIVEN TO, TRACK RECORD IN
12 GIVING, ETC., AND HAVE BEEN IN THE PROCESS OF
13 IDENTIFYING A GREAT MANY HIGH NET WORTH INDIVIDUALS
14 WHO SORT OF FIT INTO THE GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF WHAT
15 WE ARE LOOKING FOR. SO IT WOULD BE BOTH IDENTIFYING
16 THEM, IDENTIFYING ADVISORS TO HIGH NET WORTH
17 INDIVIDUALS, SUCH AS HEALTHCARE, INVESTMENT BANKERS,
18 CONCIERGE MEDICINE PROVIDERS, DONOR-ADVISED FUNDS,
19 ETC. WE'VE HAD DISCUSSIONS WITH MEMBERS OF THE
20 BOARD ABOUT IDEAS THEY WOULD HAVE IN TERMS OF FOLKS
21 TO TALK TO. HAD A LOT OF DISCUSSIONS WITH PRIVATE
22 BANKING GROUPS, WHICH ARE WEALTH MANAGERS OF HIGH
23 NET WORTH INDIVIDUALS, TO SEE WHICH OF THEIR CLIENTS
24 ARE POTENTIALLY INTERESTED IN THIS EFFORT, AND HAVE
25 IDENTIFIED A NUMBER OF MAJOR FOUNDATIONS THAT HAVE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EVIDENCED AN INTEREST IN HEALTHCARE OVER THE YEARS
2 IN VARIOUS WAYS.

3 ANOTHER GROUP WOULD BE THERE ARE
4 FOUNDATION ADVISORS OUT THERE THAT MAKE A LIVING IN
5 TALKING TO DIFFERENT FOUNDATIONS AND SUGGESTING
6 PLACES THAT THEY PUT THEIR MONEY, SPECIFICALLY WITH
7 RESPECT TO SCIENCE. DISEASE FOUNDATIONS, OF COURSE,
8 AND COMPANIES. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF COMPANIES OUT
9 THERE THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT POTENTIALLY,
10 PARTICULARLY WITH RESPECT TO COMPUTATIONAL ELEMENTS
11 AND BIOINFORMATICS AND OTHER THINGS THAT WE HAVE
12 INVOLVED IN ALL OF OUR PROJECTS THAT COULD BE THE
13 SUBJECT MATTER OF COLLABORATION.

14 ONCE WE SORT OF HAVE GIVEN A LOT OF
15 THOUGHT TO ALL THESE DIFFERENT CATEGORIES, WE'VE
16 SPENT A LOT OF TIME SORT OF DETERMINING WHAT
17 POTENTIAL INTEREST SPECIFIC GIVERS MIGHT HAVE
18 STARTING WITH SORT OF THE UNRESTRICTED GIFT, WHICH
19 IS WE LOVE YOU, CIRM. HERE'S X DOLLARS. DO WITH IT
20 WHAT YOU WILL. THOSE THAT MIGHT BE INTERESTED ON
21 THE ADMIN SIDE, THOSE THAT MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN
22 GIVING TO SPECIFIC DISEASES, RESEARCH DEALING WITH
23 WHATEVER IT MIGHT BE, CANCER, DIABETES, ETC. THOSE
24 THAT ARE MOST INTERESTED IN BASIC RESEARCH AS
25 OPPOSED TO LATER STAGE, THOSE THAT ARE INTERESTED IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EDUCATION, WHETHER IT'S OF THE PI'S OR MEMBERS OF
2 THEIR LAB OR OUR BRIDGES OR SPARK PROGRAM.

3 WE'RE STARTING TO LOOK PRETTY HEAVILY AT
4 THE AREA OF STEM CELL TOURISM BECAUSE WE CONTINUE TO
5 HAVE INCREASING ISSUES WITH THAT IN CALIFORNIA AS
6 WELL AS THE REST OF THE COUNTRY. SO THERE ARE
7 EDUCATIONAL ASPECTS TO THAT. THOSE THAT MIGHT BE
8 INTERESTED IN ADDITIONAL ALPHA CLINICS. AS YOU
9 NOTED IN OUR REPORT IN DECEMBER, THAT WAS ONE OF THE
10 THINGS THAT WE BUDGETED FOR OUT OF THE 220 MILLION.

11 LAST, BUT NOT LEAST, CLINICAL TRIALS IN
12 GENERAL OR SPECIFIC WITH RESPECT TO PARTICULAR
13 INDICATION.

14 ALSO THOUGHT ABOUT THERE ARE, AS YOU WILL
15 RECALL, BACK IN THE EARLY DAYS THERE WERE A NUMBER
16 OF HIGH NET WORTH GIVERS WHO ADDED TO THE 3 BILLION
17 THAT CIRM WAS GOING TO PROVIDE BY PHILANTHROPICALLY
18 GIVING TO SPECIFIC INSTITUTIONS. A LOT OF THAT
19 FUNDING IN THE EARLIEST TIME WENT TO THE BUILDINGS
20 THAT YOU NOW SEE THE STEM CELL INSTITUTES SPREAD OUT
21 THROUGHOUT THE STATE. SO WE'RE INVESTIGATING A
22 NUMBER OF POSSIBLE WAYS TO APPEAL TO PEOPLE WITH
23 RESPECT TO PARTICULAR INSTITUTIONS. AND WERE THEY
24 TO GIVE MONEY, THAT WOULD FREE UP FUNDS FOR US TO
25 PUT ELSEWHERE, ETC.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO IN EACH CASE WITH ALL OF THESE, WE'RE
2 SITTING DOWN AND DISCUSSING THE ASK AMOUNT, THE
3 STRATEGY OF THE ASK, AND JUST AS IMPORTANT IS
4 IDENTIFYING PEOPLE TO TALK TO. AND WHAT THE
5 STRATEGY IS IS HOW YOU GET TO THE PEOPLE YOU WANT TO
6 TALK TO. SO WE SPENT A LOT OF TIME IDENTIFYING
7 FOLKS WHO COULD HELP INTRODUCE US THAT SORT OF GIVE
8 INSTANT LEGITIMACY TO THE EFFORT. AND SO THAT COULD
9 BE, FOR EXAMPLE, THESE PRIVATE BANKING GROUPS, WHICH
10 HAVE EXTENSIVE NETWORKS, ARE GREAT CANDIDATES FOR
11 THAT. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF HIGH NET INDIVIDUALS
12 THAT I OR OTHER MEMBERS OF THE BOARD KNOW DIRECTLY.
13 WE KNOW THE HEADS OF FOUNDATIONS. WE'RE TALKING TO
14 PEOPLE ABOUT HAVING MEETINGS SET UP WHERE A NUMBER
15 OF HIGH NET WORTH PEOPLE WOULD COME TOGETHER TO HEAR
16 ABOUT CIRM AND WHAT WE'RE DOING. THAT WOULD BE
17 CONVENED BY ANY NUMBER OF THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF
18 PEOPLE I WAS TALKING ABOUT AND TO GENERATE
19 EXCITEMENT AMONGST THOSE GROUPS TO JOIN WITH US IN
20 COLLABORATING AND STANDING ON THE SHOULDERS OF THE
21 \$3 BILLION WE'RE ALREADY DEPLOYING IN OUR
22 WORLD-CLASS PORTFOLIO, WHICH WE VIEW AS A GREAT
23 OPPORTUNITY FOR THEM TO JOIN.

24 SO A LOT OF THOUGHT HAS GONE INTO THIS; A
25 LOT OF DISCUSSIONS ARE TAKING PLACE. I LOOK FORWARD

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AT OUR NEXT IN-PERSON MEETING TO GIVING AN UPDATE
2 AND LETTING YOU KNOW THE RESULTS OF HOW ALL THESE
3 CONVERSATIONS ARE DOING. SO DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY
4 QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT?

5 MS. WINOKUR: I HAVE A QUESTION. CAN WE
6 HAVE A NAME THING FOR THE PEOPLE WHO GIVE, BY THE
7 DIANE WINOKUR FUND FOR?

8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: VERY GLAD YOU BROUGHT
9 THAT UP, DIANE. AND I WAS REMISS IN MENTIONING
10 THAT, YES, ABSOLUTELY WE CAN. AND WE'VE HAD A
11 NUMBER OF DISCUSSIONS WITH RESPECT TO POTENTIAL
12 GIFTS THAT PEOPLE MIGHT MAKE THAT WOULD INVOLVE
13 NAMING RIGHTS. IT'S AN EXCELLENT IDEA AND A VERY
14 IMPORTANT IDEA FOR MANY PEOPLE WHO WOULD LIKE TO
15 HAVE THEIR NAMES CONNECTED TO THIS WHOLE WONDERFUL
16 EFFORT. SO THANK YOU FOR BRINGING THAT UP.

17 MS. WINOKUR: THANK YOU.

18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OTHER QUESTIONS?

19 DR. LUBIN: CAN YOU HEAR ME?

20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SURE CAN.

21 DR. LUBIN: I THINK THIS IS FANTASTIC.
22 AND TO THE EXTENT I CAN HELP WITH IT, I REALLY AM
23 MOTIVATED TO DO THAT TO GET BACK INTO LIVING MY LIFE
24 AND REALLY CONTRIBUTING TO THIS. I THINK IT'S
25 WONDERFUL. AND I'D BE HAPPY TO SIT DOWN WITH YOU,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 J.T., AND BOB OR WHATEVER OR PARTICIPATE BY PHONE IN
2 SOME OF THOSE MEETINGS. SO I'M VOLUNTEERING. IF I
3 CAN HELP YOU, I'D BE HAPPY TO HELP.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, BERT, AND
5 YOU'RE ON.

6 OKAY. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

7 DR. SANDMEYER: COULD YOU SAY A LITTLE
8 MORE ABOUT HOW YOU SEE THE TOURISM INSPIRING THE
9 HIGH END DONORS?

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO THERE'S BEEN SOME
11 INTEREST IN THE EDUCATIONAL ASPECT OF -- LET ME BACK
12 UP A SECOND. SO WE HAD THIS WONDERFUL ALPHA CLINIC
13 SYMPOSIUM. EVERY YEAR WE HAVE AT ONE OF OUR
14 DIFFERENT ALPHA CLINIC SITES A MEETING THAT BRINGS
15 TOGETHER DIFFERENT SCIENTISTS AND SPEAKERS ON
16 VARIOUS TOPICS. AND AT THE LAST ONE AT UCLA, WE HAD
17 AN IMPASSIONED DISCUSSION BY SOMEBODY ON BEHALF OF
18 PATIENTS SAYING BASICALLY WE, THE PATIENTS, ARE AT A
19 DISADVANTAGE HERE FROM A KNOWLEDGE STANDPOINT. THE
20 DOCTORS KNOW EVERYTHING. WE REALLY DON'T. WE HEAR
21 ABOUT ALL THESE SITES OUT THERE. WE DON'T KNOW
22 WHAT'S WHAT, WHAT'S LEGITIMATE, WHAT ISN'T. AND
23 WHAT'S REALLY NEEDED IS THE SORT OF EFFORT TO
24 EDUCATE THE PATIENT BODY AND THEIR DOCTORS AS TO
25 WHAT ARE SORT OF PROPER ALTERNATIVES FOR THEM AND TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DISCOURAGE ALL OF THESE SNAKE OIL, STEM CELL TOURISM
2 PLACES THAT ARE CROPPING UP ALL OVER THE PLACE.

3 SO WE'VE HAD SOME DISCUSSIONS WITH
4 POTENTIAL DONORS WHO ARE INTERESTED IN THAT AND SORT
5 OF A CERTIFICATION PROCESS. AND THERE'S QUITE A BIT
6 THAT GOES INTO THAT WHOLE THING. IT WOULD NOT BE A
7 TRIVIAL UNDERTAKING. AND SO WE'RE EXPLORING THAT
8 POTENTIALLY AS A SOURCE OF GIFT.

9 DR. SANDMEYER: SO NOW I UNDERSTAND WHAT
10 YOU MEANT BY TOURISM, BUT I WAS TAKING A DIFFERENT
11 DIRECTION AND WONDERING WHETHER, IF YOU HAD A GROUP
12 OF HIGH END DONORS TO TARGET, YOU COULD PRESENT THEM
13 WITH SOME KIND OF A TRIP, IF YOU WILL, A GUIDED TOUR
14 THROUGH SEVERAL OF THE STEM CELL FACILITIES WHERE
15 THEY WOULD BE INTRODUCED TO INVESTIGATORS, THEY
16 WOULD BE ABLE TO REALLY BE IN CONTACT WITH THE STEM
17 CELL PRODUCTION PIPELINE FROM END TO END. AND THAT
18 MIGHT, FOR A VERY SMALL GROUP OF PEOPLE VISITING
19 DIFFERENT SITES, IT MIGHT BE A PRETTY EXCITING WAY
20 TO RECRUIT YOUR HIGH END DONORS. I DON'T KNOW.

21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THAT'S AN EXCELLENT
22 IDEA. I WILL SAY THAT ANYBODY FROM ANYWHERE WHO
23 GOES TO ANY OF THE -- VISITS ANY OF THE STEM CELL
24 OPERATIONS THAT WE HAVE THROUGHOUT THE STATE IS
25 BLOWN AWAY WITHOUT FAIL. THAT WOULD BE A GREAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 RECRUITING TOOL. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT
2 SUGGESTION.

3 OKAY. I WANTED TO JUST MOVE ON BRIEFLY.
4 WE DID NOT MEET IN PERSON IN MARCH. ONE OF THE
5 THINGS I WANTED TO, WHICH I WAS GOING TO MENTION AT
6 THAT POINT, JUST SO YOU -- THE ALLIANCE FOR
7 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE HAS EARLY IN THE YEAR AN
8 ANNUAL MEETING AT WHICH IT TALKS ABOUT THE STATE OF
9 THE UNION, SORT OF THE STATE OF THE INDUSTRY. AND
10 THEY HAVE SOME INTERESTING PANELS EVERY YEAR. BUT
11 FOR ME THE SORT OF MOST INTERESTING PIECE IS RIGHT
12 AT THE BEGINNING WHICH IS WHERE THEY TALK ABOUT
13 WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THE INDUSTRY AND TRENDS AND ALL
14 THAT SORT OF THING. AND AT THIS --

15 CAN YOU HOLD ON ONE SECOND? FOR SOME
16 REASON MY LAPTOP JUST WENT DOWN AND I WANTED TO SEE
17 IF -- MR. SENATOR, COULD I BORROW YOUR PLUG?

18 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO I THINK LAST YEAR
20 WAS VIEWED AS VERY MUCH OF AN INFLECTION POINT, I
21 THINK. WE HAD A COUPLE OF PRODUCTS THAT WERE
22 ACTUALLY APPROVED BY THE FDA. WE HAD A VERY ACTIVE
23 NEW FDA COMMISSIONER WHO WAS VERY INTERESTED IN
24 IMPLEMENTING THE 21ST CENTURY CURES ACT, AND THERE'S
25 A DESIGNATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS THAT ARE YET

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ACCELERATED STATUS IN THEIR CLINICAL TRIALS. THEY
2 HAD DR. MILLAN. THEY HAD 12 INITIALLY AND THREE OF
3 THOSE WERE OURS, IF I REMEMBER CORRECTLY.

4 DR. MILLAN: FOUR OF THE FIRST 12.

5 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. SO THIS IS AN
6 EXCITING, IT'S CALLED RMAT, AN EXCITING DESIGNATION
7 BECAUSE IT ALLOWS FOR WHATEVER THE PROJECT IS TO GET
8 SORT OF EXPEDITED TREATMENT AND ACCELERATED
9 ATTENTION, ETC. AT THE END OF 2017, THERE WERE,
10 ACCORDING TO ARM, 854 COMPANIES IN THE WORLD DEALING
11 WITH REGENERATIVE MEDICINE OF WHICH 460 WERE IN THE
12 UNITED STATES, EUROPE WITH 234.

13 I SHOULD PAUSE HERE FOR A MOMENT AND
14 INVOKE SOMETHING THAT MR. JENSEN IN OUR AUDIENCE
15 HERE DID A PIECE ON THE LAST FEW DAYS WHICH WAS A
16 STATEMENT BY A WELL-KNOWN PLAYER IN THE ECOSYSTEM
17 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE FIELD IN EUROPE WHO COMMENTED
18 THAT EUROPE IS FALLING BEHIND PARTICULARLY THE
19 UNITED STATES IN THE FIELD OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
20 AND THAT THEY DON'T HAVE THE SORT OF ECOSYSTEM THAT
21 EXISTS IN THE UNITED STATES AND SPECIFICALLY POINTED
22 OUT CIRM AS THE LEADING EXAMPLE AND NOTED THAT WE
23 GIVE OUT \$250 MILLION A YEAR AND HAVE THIS ENTIRE
24 ECOSYSTEM AROUND THAT, AND WHAT A GREAT LEG UP THAT
25 GIVES CALIFORNIA AND THE UNITED STATES. I THOUGHT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE BOARD WOULD FIND THAT VERY INTERESTING SOMEBODY
2 WOULD INVOKE US FROM, I THINK IT WAS IN BRUSSELS,
3 WHEREVER THE INTERVIEW WAS.

4 OVER THE COURSE OF THE LAST YEAR, THERE
5 WERE, OF COURSE, GREAT ADVANCEMENTS IN T-CELLS,
6 HSC'S, IPS, MSC'S, ADULT PROGENITORS, ETC. LOTS OF
7 EXCITEMENT AROUND IMMUNOTHERAPY FOR SURE.

8 FOR THOSE WHO DON'T KNOW, WE HAVE IN OUR
9 PORTFOLIO OF A NUMBER OF, YOU WILL RECALL, WE HAVE
10 IMMUNOTHERAPY PROJECTS, BUT I THINK OUR CANCER
11 PROJECTS IN GENERAL ADD UP TO MANY, MANY TENS OF
12 MILLIONS OF DOLLARS, AND WE'RE, OF COURSE, VERY
13 EXCITED ABOUT THAT AS WELL AS EVERYTHING ELSE THAT
14 WE HAVE. THERE'S NEW ADVANCES IN GENE DELIVERY
15 VEHICLES, MEANING VECTORS OF VARIOUS KINDS. LOTS OF
16 ADVANCE IN GENOME EDITING TAKING CRISPR CAS-9 TO
17 SORT OF NEW LEVELS AND TALENS AND ZINC FINGER
18 NUCLEASES, WHICH OUR OWN SANGAMO IS ONE OF THE WORLD
19 LEADERS. SO THERE'S JUST LOTS OF DIFFERENT
20 ACTIVITY.

21 JUST ONE NOTE ON CLINICAL TRIALS, AGAIN,
22 THIS IS A LITTLE STALE BECAUSE IT WAS THE END OF
23 2017, BUT IT'S STILL VERY INTERESTING, THERE WERE
24 946 CLINICAL TRIALS IN PROGRESS IN REGENERATIVE
25 MEDICINE, 314 OF THOSE PHASE 1, 550 IN PHASE 2, AND

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 82 IN PHASE 3. SO THERE ARE A LOT OF THEM THAT ARE
2 PRESSING ALONG. AND IT WAS SORT OF SYMPTOMATIC OF
3 THE LEVEL OF ENTHUSIASM THAT YOU HEARD IN THE ROOM
4 AND THE CONFERENCE ABOUT HOW THINGS ARE, AS THEY
5 HAVE BEEN FOR THE LAST FEW YEARS, REALLY PICKING UP
6 STEAM AND A LOT OF STUFF IN THE PIPELINE. BY FAR
7 THE BIGGEST CATEGORY OF THE TRIALS WAS IN ONCOLOGY,
8 FOLLOWED BY CARDIOVASCULAR, CNS, MUSCULOSKELETAL,
9 ENDOCRINE DISORDERS OF ONE SORT OR ANOTHER, AND ON
10 DOWN THE LINE TO A GREAT MANY RARE OR ORPHAN
11 DISEASES.

12 I WILL CIRCULATE THE LINK TO THIS.
13 THERE'S A LOT OF STATS ON ALL THE DIFFERENT
14 COMPANIES AND EVERYTHING ELSE. I WILL NOTE ON THE
15 FINANCING SIDE THERE WERE \$7.5 BILLION RAISED IN
16 2017 FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE COMPANIES AS COMPARED
17 TO 4.2 BILLION IN 2016. SO THAT'S A VERY LARGE
18 INCREASE. THERE WERE \$4.5 BILLION IN GENE AND
19 GENE-MODIFIED CELL THERAPY COMPANIES AS OPPOSED TO
20 1.7 RAISED IN 2016. 450 ROUGHLY MILLION DOLLARS FOR
21 TISSUE ENGINEERING UP FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR, AND 4
22 BILLION IN CELL THERAPY ITSELF, UP FROM 1.8 BILLION.
23 BASICALLY YOU GET THE IDEA. THE FIELD IS REALLY
24 RAMPING UP. I BET IF THEY DID A MIDYEAR REPORT THAT
25 IT WOULD BE THAT MUCH GREATER ALREADY THIS YEAR. SO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 I ALWAYS LIKE TO TELL THE BOARD WHAT THE STATE OF
2 PLAY IS IN THE INDUSTRY, AND THINK THAT IT'S NOT
3 ONLY INTERESTING, BUT GREAT TO KNOW THAT WE'RE RIGHT
4 IN THE MIDDLE OF EVERYTHING. SO I THINK WE SHOULD
5 CONTINUE TO FEEL EXTREMELY GOOD ABOUT WHAT WE'RE
6 DOING, THE CONTRIBUTION CIRM IS MAKING TO THE
7 GENERAL FIELD, AND REALLY THE TRANSFORMATIVE AND
8 ACCELERATING IMPACT THAT WE ARE HAVING ON BASICALLY
9 RESEARCH FOR INDICATIONS OF ALL KINDS. SO JUST
10 WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT.

11 BY THE WAY, THIS WHOLE SENSE OF THINGS ARE
12 REALLY GOING ON AND HAPPENING ALSO ECHOED AT EARLIER
13 THIS YEAR AT THE WORLD STEM CELL SUMMIT IN FLORIDA
14 WHERE THERE WAS PRESENTATION AFTER PRESENTATION
15 GETTING TO THAT SAME RESULT. GREAT ENTHUSIASM.

16 SO OKAY. WE COME NOW TO A SPECIAL PART OF
17 THE CHAIRMAN'S REPORT. WE HAD A MAJOR PUBLICATION
18 EVENT HAPPEN RECENTLY BY OUR LONGEST AND MOST
19 SUPPORTIVE ADVOCATE.

20 MR. TORRES: LET'S GET ON WITH IT THEN.

21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I WOULD LIKE TO
22 INTRODUCE OUR OWN DON REED WHO HAS PUBLISHED ANOTHER
23 BOOK ON CIRM WHICH IS A WONDERFUL WORK. ALL MEMBERS
24 OF THE BOARD SHOULD HAVE THEIR COPY, AND WE'LL GET
25 THOSE OF YOU ON THE PHONE AND MAKE SURE EVERYBODY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GETS COPIES SENT TO YOU. IT CHRONICLES WHAT CIRM
2 HAS DONE AND ITS IMPACT AS SEEN THROUGH THE EYES OF
3 DON, WHO IS -- NOBODY COULD BE A BIGGER SUPPORTER OF
4 WHAT WE'RE DOING. SO I WANTED TO GIVE DON THE FLOOR
5 HERE SO HE COULD SAY A FEW WORDS ABOUT HIS BOOK.

6 MR. TORRES: I JUST WANT LET ALL THE BOARD
7 MEMBERS KNOW THAT THEY DON'T HAVE TO REPORT THIS AS
8 A GIFT UNDER THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES.

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. SENATOR.
10 MR. REED.

11 MR. REED: FIRST I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE MY
12 LOVELY WIFE GLORIA WHO HAS MADE MY LIFE HAPPY FOR
13 HALF A CENTURY NEXT YEAR.

14 (APPLAUSE.)

15 MR. REED: HONORED MEMBERS OF THE ICOC,
16 MEMBERS OF THE CIRM TEAM, AND PATIENT ADVOCATES WHO
17 FIGHT BESIDE YOU, EVERY MORNING WHEN I WRITE I GET A
18 PICTURE IN MY MIND OF THE CIRM IN ACTION AND WHAT IT
19 MEANS. I THINK ABOUT THIS VERY ROOM SOMETIMES WHERE
20 DECISIONS ARE MADE HOW BEST TO BENEFIT THE
21 SCIENTISTS HELPING THEM TO EASE SUFFERING AND SAVE
22 LIVES, LIVES LIKE LITTLE EVIE VACARRO, 3 FEET TALL,
23 FULL OF ENERGY, RUNNING AROUND, HER LIFE LITERALLY
24 SAVED BY DONALD KOHN AND THEIR THERAPIES CIRM HELPED
25 FUND.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ON THE WALL IS A PICTURE OF ROSIE BARERRO
2 WHO WAS COMPLETELY BLIND BUT NOW CAN SEE HER TEENAGE
3 CHILDREN FOR THE FIRST TIME THANKS TO HENRY KLASSEN
4 AND CIRM.

5 AND THERE ARE SIX PEOPLE NEWLY PARALYZED
6 WHO REGAINED THE USE OF THEIR HANDS AND ARMS THANKS
7 TO STEM CELL THERAPIES CARRIED FORWARD BY CIRM, AND
8 WHICH PRIDE COMPELS ME TO MENTION WAS BEGUN BY A
9 SMALL RESEARCH PROGRAM LONG AGO AT THE ROMAN REED
10 SPINAL RESEARCH ACT OF 1999. BUT THERE IS SO MUCH
11 MORE GOING ON, SO MANY CHALLENGES QUIETLY ACCEPTED,
12 GOING THROUGH THE SLOW STEP-BY-STEP WORK THAT MUST
13 BE DONE AS WE STRUGGLE TO MAKE CURES NOT POSSIBLE
14 BUT INEVITABLE.

15 DO YOU REMEMBER ANNETTE FUNICELLO, RICHARD
16 PRYOR? THESE GREAT ENTERTAINERS WERE STRICKEN BY
17 MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS, A SLOW PARALYSIS. CURE DID NOT
18 COME IN TIME FOR THEM, BUT THE INTERNATIONAL
19 COOPERATION BETWEEN CALIFORNIA'S CRAIG WALLACE AND
20 AUSTRALIA'S CLAUDE BERNARD MAY HELP OTHERS BY
21 REINSULATING MS DAMAGED NERVES.

22 MY BROTHER DAVID SHATTERED HIS LEG IN A
23 MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT. HE ENDURED MULTIPLE
24 OPERATIONS, HAD STEEL RODS AND PLATES INSERTED INTO
25 HIS LEG. TOMORROW'S ACCIDENT RECOVERIES MAY BE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EASIER. AT CEDARS-SINAI DRS. DAN GAZIT AND HYUN BAY
2 ARE WORKING TO USE STEM CELLS TO REGROW THE NEEDED
3 BONE.

4 GLORIA SUFFERS ARTHRITIS IN HER KNEES.
5 HER PAIN IS SO GREAT SHE TRIES TO MAKE ONLY ONE TRIP
6 A DAY UP AND DOWN THE STAIRS OF OUR HOME. THE
7 CUSHION OF CARTILAGE IN HER KNEES IS WORN OUT SO
8 THERE'S BONE ON BONE. WHAT IF THAT LIVING CARTILAGE
9 COULD BE RESTORED? DR. DENIS EVSEENKO OF UCLA IS
10 ATTEMPTING TO DO JUST THAT.

11 AND WHAT ABOUT THE DEADLY SCOURGE OF
12 CANCER? IRV WEISSMAN, THE FRIENDLY GRIZZLY BEAR
13 GENIUS OF STANFORD, MAY HAVE THE ANSWER TO CANCER.
14 HE RECOGNIZED THERE WERE CANCER STEM CELLS INVOLVED.
15 NOBODY BELIEVED HIM FOR A WHILE, BUT IT IS NOW
16 INCREASINGLY ACCEPTED THAT THESE CANCER STEM CELLS
17 HAVE A CODING OF PROTEIN LIKE A CLOAK WHICH MAKES
18 THEM INVISIBLE TO THE BODY'S DEFENSES. THE WEISSMAN
19 PROCEDURE MAY PEEL OFF THAT CLOAK OF HIDDEN
20 VISIBILITY SO THE IMMUNE SYSTEM CAN FIND AND KILL
21 ALL THE CANCER STEM CELLS AND CURE THEIR OWNER.

22 WHAT WILL HAPPEN WHEN CIRM'S FUNDING RUNS
23 OUT? IF WE DO NOTHING, THE GREATEST SOURCE OF STEM
24 CELL RESEARCH FUNDING IN THE WORLD WILL BE GONE. WE
25 NEED TO RENEW CIRM. PATIENTS ALL ACROSS THE WORLD

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ARE DEPENDING ON US. THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL
2 PROGRAM WAS BEGUN AND LED BY ROBERT N. "BOB" KLEIN.
3 HE NOT ONLY LED THE CAMPAIGN, WAS ITS CHIEF WRITER,
4 NO. 1 DONOR, HE WAS ALSO THE FIRST CHAIRMAN OF THE
5 BOARD, SERVING WITHOUT PAY FOR THE FIRST SIX YEARS.
6 IT WAS AN INCREDIBLE BURDEN. HE WORKED BEYOND
7 EXHAUSTION ROUTINELY. WOULD HE BE WILLING TO TRY IT
8 AGAIN, THIS TIME TO RENEW THE FUNDING OF A
9 SUCCESSFUL PROGRAM? WHEN I ASKED HIM RECENTLY, HE
10 SAID, QUOTE, IF CALIFORNIA POLLS SUPPORT THE
11 CONTINUING EFFORTS OF CIRM, THEN I AM FULLY
12 COMMITTED TO A 2020 INITIATIVE TO RENEW THE
13 CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE.

14 SHAKESPEARE SAID IT BEST IN HIS FAMOUS "TO
15 BE OR NOT TO BE" SPEECH, ASKING "WHETHER TIS NOBLER
16 IN THE MIND TO ENDURE THE SLINGS AND ARROWS OF
17 OUTRAGEOUS FORTUNE OR TO TAKE ARMS AGAINST A SEA OF
18 TROUBLES -- AND BY OPPOSING, END THEM." SHALL WE
19 PASSIVELY ENDURE CHRONIC DISEASE AND DISABILITY OR
20 FIGHT FOR CURES? CALIFORNIA'S ANSWER IS CIRM, AND
21 CONTINUING CIRM IS WHY I WRITE. THANK YOU.

22 (APPLAUSE.)

23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU AGAIN, DON.
24 THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIRELESS SUPPORT AND EVERYTHING
25 YOU AND YOUR WIFE AND ROMAN HAVE DONE FOR CIRM AND

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONTINUE TO DO GOING FORWARD AS WE HEAD TOWARDS WHAT
2 WE HOPE AND ANTICIPATE WILL BE A SUCCESSFUL REUP
3 MEASURE IN NOVEMBER OF '20 AND WELL BEYOND THAT. SO
4 THANKS SO MUCH FOR ALL YOU DO. REALLY APPRECIATE
5 IT.

6 OKAY. THAT CONCLUDES THE CHAIRMAN'S
7 REPORT. I'LL NOW TURN IT OVER -- BEFORE I DO, I
8 HAVE SOMETHING I NEED TO READ HERE. WITH RESPECT TO
9 PUBLIC COMMENT, WE MAY HAVE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AT
10 OTHER SITES, INCLUDING HERE. SO MEMBERS OF THE
11 PUBLIC WHO ARE ON THE PHONE AT A NONNOTICED MEETING
12 LOCATION, WHICH MEANS ELSEWHERE BESIDES THAT LISTED
13 ON THE AGENDA, WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE
14 PUBLIC COMMENT OVER THE PHONE WHEN WE CALL FOR
15 PUBLIC COMMENT. ONCE WE HAVE CALLED FOR PUBLIC
16 COMMENT, MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WILL BE ABLE TO DO SO
17 BY PRESSING STAR ONE, WHICH WILL PLACE YOU IN LINE
18 TO MAKE YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. ONCE WE CALL YOUR
19 NAME -- AND, DOUG, I BELIEVE YOU'RE OVERSEEING
20 THAT -- YOU WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES TO MAKE THAT
21 PUBLIC COMMENT.

22 SO WITH THAT I'D LIKE TO TURN IT OVER TO
23 DR. MILLAN FOR THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT.

24 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING,
25 EVERYBODY, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MEMBERS OF THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PUBLIC, AND COLLEAGUES. SO IT'S MY PLEASURE TO KICK
2 OFF THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT WHICH IS ACTUALLY GOING
3 TO BE A TEAM EFFORT THIS MORNING. AT THE END OF MY
4 PORTION, I WILL BE INTRODUCING MEMBERS OF THE CIRM
5 TEAM WHO WILL BE GIVING UPDATES IN THE VARIOUS AREAS
6 THAT CIRM IS ENGAGED IN TO PUSH FORWARD OUR MISSION.

7 SO TO START, OUR MISSION STATEMENT, TO
8 ACCELERATE STEM CELL TREATMENTS TO PATIENTS WITH
9 UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS REMAINS OUR MISSION, GUIDES
10 EVERYTHING WE DO. AS YOU WILL RECALL, THIS BOARD
11 APPROVED THE FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN WHICH WE
12 LAUNCHED IN 2016. WE'RE NOW HALFWAY THROUGH THAT,
13 AND IN MIDYEAR OF YEAR THREE I'M HAPPY TO REPORT
14 THAT WE CONTINUE TO BE ON TARGET OR A LITTLE BIT
15 AHEAD OF TARGET IN SOME AREAS.

16 SO I'M JUST GOING TO GO THROUGH THE BIG
17 SIX COMPONENTS OF THE FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN AND
18 JUST GIVE A BIG-PICTURE UPDATE. YOU WILL HAVE A
19 MORE FULL UPDATE AT THE END OF THE YEAR. AND LATER
20 ON IN THIS PRESENTATION, MEMBERS OF THE TEAM WILL
21 GIVE YOU A LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL IN TERMS OF HOW
22 WE'VE ACCOMPLISHED THIS AND WHAT CHALLENGES ARE IN
23 FRONT OF US AND HOW WE ARE APPROACHING THESE
24 CHALLENGES.

25 SO ONE OF OUR GOALS WAS TO BUILD A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PIPELINE IN DISCOVERING 50 NEW CANDIDATES THROUGH
2 OUR EARLY STAGE RESEARCH PROGRAMS. AND OUR TARGET
3 WAS 50, AND WE'RE UP TO 29 PROGRAMS WHERE THERE ARE
4 NEW CANDIDATES THAT ARE BEING PURSUED FOR WHETHER
5 THEY COULD BE DEVELOPMENT CANDIDATES.

6 IN TERMS OF ADVANCING THROUGH DEVELOPMENT,
7 AS WE CALL PROGRESSION EVENTS, THAT'S PROJECTS GOING
8 FROM EARLY STAGE TO THE TRANSLATIONAL STAGE TO THE
9 CLINICAL STAGE. WE'VE HAD A TOTAL OF 50 SUCH EVENTS
10 NOW WITH THIS NEW PROCESS AND OPERATING SYSTEM THAT
11 WE HAVE, WHICH WE CALL CIRM 2.0. AND THIS YEAR WE
12 HAVE THREE. AND REFINING THE REGULATORY PARADIGM,
13 YOU WILL HEAR A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THIS FROM DR.
14 ABLA CREASEY LATER ON, BUT WE WERE FORTUNATE TO BE
15 INVOLVED IN CONVERSATIONS AND ACTIONS THAT LED TO
16 THE PASSAGE OF THE 21ST CENTURY CURES ACT AND
17 PROVIDED FOR AN ACCELERATED PATHWAY THAT J.T. HAD
18 MENTIONED, THE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ADVANCE
19 THERAPY. YOU WILL HEAR ABOUT WHY THIS IS HELPING US
20 WITH OUR MISSION OF ACCELERATING DEVELOPMENT OF
21 THERAPEUTICS.

22 AND OF THE 12 PROGRAMS IN EARLY YEAR, I
23 THINK THERE ARE A LITTLE BIT MORE NOW, FOUR OF THEM
24 WERE CIRM-FUNDED PROGRAMS, WHICH IS QUITE
25 REMARKABLE. AND IN TERMS OF SHORTENING TIME TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CLINICAL TESTING, WE BUILT INTO THE SYSTEM
2 REQUIREMENTS FOR THE STAGE AND READINESS OF THE
3 PROGRAMS THAT COME IN FOR VARIOUS FUNDING PROGRAMS.
4 WE HAVE MILESTONE-BASED MANAGEMENT OF THESE AWARDS.
5 WE HAVE ACTIVE INTERVENTION THROUGH ADVISORY PANELS.
6 AND THROUGH THAT WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO MAKE STRIDES
7 TOWARD SHORTENING THE DEVELOPMENT TIME AND,
8 THEREFORE, SAVING THE MONEY IN DEVELOPING THESE
9 PRODUCTS.

10 AND JUST AS A MEASURE OF THAT, WE'VE HAD
11 THREE PROGRAMS THAT WERE ABLE TO ACHIEVE AN IND
12 WITHIN 18 MONTHS. WE SET A TARGET FOR 18 MONTHS FOR
13 WHAT WE CALL THE CLIN1 AWARD, AND WE WERE ABLE TO DO
14 THAT FOR THREE PROGRAMS IN THE PAST TWO YEARS.
15 THAT'S PRETTY REMARKABLE IF ANYBODY HAS BEEN
16 INVOLVED IN A DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.

17 WE HAD A TARGET, A VERY BOLD TARGET, OF 50
18 NEW CLINICAL TRIALS TO ADD TO OUR PORTFOLIO WITHIN A
19 SPAN OF FIVE YEARS. AND WE'VE ADDED 34, BRINGING
20 OUR TOTAL NUMBER OF CIRM-FUNDED PROGRAMS IN STEM
21 CELL REGENERATIVE MEDICINE TO 49. SO IT CONTINUES
22 TO BE BEST IN CLASS, MOST ROBUST PORTFOLIO OUT
23 THERE. AND I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT WHAT THIS MEANS
24 A LITTLE BIT MORE LATER.

25 AND WE HAVE HAD A PART IN AND HAVE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WITNESSED AN INCREASE IN INDUSTRY PULL. WHEN WE
2 STARTED THE STRATEGIC PLAN, SOME OF THE PROBLEM SETS
3 WE PUT FORWARD IS THAT THERE JUST ISN'T ENOUGH
4 INVESTMENT INTO THE SPACE FROM THE PRIVATE SECTOR.
5 AND CIRM'S ROLE IN TERMS OF DERISKING THESE
6 PROGRAMS, BUILDING BY QUALITY BY DESIGN PROGRAMS
7 THAT ARE MORE ATTRACTIVE TO INVENTORS, WE HAVE HAD
8 SUCCESS IN ATTRACTING EXTERNAL INVESTORS AND
9 TRADITIONAL INVESTORS AND PARTNERS INTO THE
10 PROGRAMS. AND NEIL LITTMAN LATER WILL GIVE AN
11 UPDATE ON THAT.

12 WE'RE PLEASED TO REPORT THAT THIS YEAR
13 ALONE WE'VE HAD SIX PARTNERSHIP EVENTS. HE'S GOING
14 TO DESCRIBE THAT A LITTLE BIT MORE. AND IN TOTAL
15 SINCE LAUNCHING THE STRATEGIC PLAN 19. SO IN TERMS
16 OF NUMBERS, THERE'S BEEN AN INCREASE IN TERMS OF
17 DOLLAR AMOUNTS RELATED TO THAT. IT IS, I THINK,
18 ALMOST TENFOLD, BUT NEIL WILL GIVE AN UPDATE ON
19 THAT.

20 SO WHAT DOES THIS MEAN? IF OUR GOAL IS TO
21 GET THESE STEM CELL TREATMENTS TO PATIENTS, THE WAY
22 TO DO THAT IS TO TEST THEM IN THE CLINICS, TO HAVE
23 49 CLINICAL TRIALS ACROSS A BROAD SPECTRUM OF
24 DISEASE INDICATIONS FOR UNMET MEDICAL NEED WITH
25 THESE NOVEL, TRANSFORMATIVE APPROACHES IS PRETTY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 REMARKABLE. AND THIS IS NOTED BY EXTERNAL
2 VALIDATION. WE WERE INVITED TO PHACILITATE WORLD
3 STEM CELL SUMMIT THAT J.T. MENTIONED IN FEBRUARY.
4 I, ALONG WITH SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES ON THE
5 LEADERSHIP TEAM, WERE FORTUNATE TO BE INVITED TO
6 PRESENT CIRM'S EXPERIENCE AND THE PROGRESS IN THE
7 FIELD.

8 AND WE PRESENTED, I PRESENTED ON A PANEL
9 IN THE OPENING PLENARY ON THE LANDSCAPE OF THIS
10 FIELD. THE GENERAL MESSAGE FROM THAT PANEL IS YOU
11 HAVE ARRIVED. ONE OF THE LEADERS IN THE BIDEN
12 CANCER FOUNDATION HAS SAID THAT WHEN THE CURES ACT
13 WAS GOING THROUGH, AND IT RECEIVED BIPARTISAN
14 SUPPORT, BUT ONE OF THE KEY ITEMS THAT LED TO ITS
15 PASSAGE IS THAT BOTH PARTIES ACCEPTED THESE
16 PROVISIONS FOR ACCELERATING AND FOR SUPPORTING
17 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE RESEARCH. SO HE SAID, "IF
18 THAT WAS A DEAL MAKER, THAT'S A PRETTY GOOD SIGN FOR
19 THE FIELD." GREG SIMON, I THINK, WAS WHO SAID THAT.

20 AND THEN WE PRESENTED ON THE STATE FUNDING
21 MODEL, WHICH IS SOMETHING THAT FOLKS LOOK TO AS A
22 MODEL THAT IS, NO. 1, UNIQUE; NO. 2, HAS GIVEN
23 RESULTS; AND, NO. 3, IS ONE TO BE EMULATED IF IT CAN
24 BE. WE PRESENTED THE PARTNERSHIP MODEL, DERISKING.
25 THERE WERE FOLKS THERE, LEADERS IN THE FIELD AND

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 INDUSTRY, AND THEY GAVE US FEEDBACK THAT THIS IS
2 ABSOLUTELY THE APPROACH. WHAT WE'RE DOING DOES
3 INDEED HELP THEM IN TERMS OF DERISKING, IN TERMS OF
4 TRUE PARTNERSHIP SO THAT THEY CAN TAKE IT UP AND
5 BRING THE PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT FORWARD TO
6 COMMERCIALIZATION.

7 AND ABLA CREASEY, WHO YOU WILL HEAR FROM
8 TODAY, PRESENTED ON THE ACCELERATED REGULATORY
9 PATHWAY.

10 CIRM IS VIEWED AS A LEADER. WE'VE HAD
11 OTHER STATE ORGANIZATIONS AND OTHER GROUPS COME UP
12 TO US AND SAY, "WE NEED CIRM TO SUCCEED FOR THIS
13 FIELD TO SUCCEED. YOUR SUCCESS IS OUR SUCCESS." SO
14 IT WAS A REALLY, REALLY CLEAR MESSAGE THAT CAME FROM
15 OTHER SMALLER STATE AGENCIES AND OTHERS WHO ARE
16 WISHING TO DO THIS AS WELL.

17 AND THE ACCELERATION MODEL IS A VERY
18 UNIQUE MODEL, AS WELL AS THE FACT THAT WE ARE
19 IMMersed AND WERE FORMED BY AND EMBEDDED WITHIN WHAT
20 WE DO IS PATIENT ADVOCACY AND PARTNERSHIP WITH THE
21 PATIENT GROUPS AND PATIENTS.

22 SO THAT WAS A NATIONAL MEETING WHERE THERE
23 WAS SOME INTERNATIONAL PRESENCE, BUT THEN I WAS
24 INVITED IN APRIL TO SERVE ON A PANEL AT THE UNITE TO
25 CURE CONFERENCE THAT WAS HELD AT THE VATICAN WHERE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE PONTIFICAL COUNCIL FOR CULTURE OF THE VATICAN
2 CO-SPONSORED THIS MEETING OF THE MINDS OF
3 INTERNATIONAL LEADERS ON POLICY, PHILANTHROPY,
4 SCIENCE, MEDICINE, PATIENT ADVOCACY, AND ALSO THE
5 ENTERTAINMENT INDUSTRY. SO IF YOU FOLLOW FACEBOOK,
6 KATIE PERRY WAS THERE, TONY ROBBINS WAS THERE, JACK
7 NICHOLAS WAS THERE, BUT THEN THERE ALSO WAS FRANCES
8 COLLINS WHO WAS AWARDED, THIS WAS AT THE VATICAN, A
9 PONTIFICAL MEDAL FOR ACHIEVEMENTS IN GENOMICS AND
10 THE HUMAN GENOME PROJECT.

11 SO IF YOU WILL RECALL, THAT WAS A VERY
12 CONTROVERSIAL TOPIC BACK WHEN. AND THEN FRANCES
13 COLLINS WAS BEING HONORED FOR THAT. WE HAD BERNIE
14 SIEGEL, WHO IS A STAUNCH ADVOCATE FOR EMBRYONIC STEM
15 CELL RESEARCH, WAS ALSO INVITED TO SPEAK. SO IT WAS
16 REALLY QUITE REMARKABLE, AND HE NOTED THAT I CAN'T
17 BELIEVE I'M HERE SPEAKING TO YOU RATHER THAN
18 DEBATING YOU, AND IT WAS REALLY A VERY OPEN
19 CONFERENCE WHERE UPDATES ON THE PROGRESS IN THE
20 FIELD OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE AND STEM CELLS,
21 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE IMPORTANCE OF GENOMICS WAS
22 SOMETHING THAT WAS THE THEME OF THE CONFERENCE.

23 I WAS FORTUNATE TO SERVE ON A PANEL WHERE
24 I PRESENTED THE CIRM MODEL FOR PUBLIC/PRIVATE
25 PARTNERSHIP. HAD A LOT OF INTEREST AFTER THAT IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 FOLLOW-ON MEETINGS, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND VARIOUS
2 SECTORS IN TERMS OF KIND OF THE FUTURE FOR THE
3 FIELD, AND MORE ON THAT AT UPCOMING MEETINGS.

4 WE HAD A PRIVATE ADDRESS BY POPE FRANCIS
5 WHO HAD ACKNOWLEDGED AND ALSO APPRECIATED THE
6 STRIDES THAT HAD BEEN MADE IN MEDICAL RESEARCH AND
7 SCIENCE -- AND, OF COURSE, IT WAS TRANSLATED FOR
8 ME BECAUSE I ACTUALLY WAS DEPENDING ON
9 TRANSLATION -- HAD STATED THAT HE IS ENCOURAGED BY
10 THE CURES THAT WE'RE ALREADY SEEING BY THESE
11 ADVANCEMENTS. AND YOU CAN SEE HERE SOME QUOTES FROM
12 THE *VATICAN NEWS*. ADVANCES IN CELLULAR RESEARCH AND
13 IN THE FIELD OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE HAVE OPENED
14 NEW HORIZONS. I ENCOURAGE YOU -- AT THE END OF
15 THIS, HE ENCOURAGED US BY SAYING, "I ENCOURAGE YOU
16 THEN TO PURSUE WITH BOLDNESS AND DETERMINATION THE
17 IDEALS THAT HAVE BROUGHT YOU TOGETHER FOR THIS UNITE
18 TO CURE CONFERENCE."

19 SO THAT WAS A VERY SPECIAL VALIDATION OF
20 OUR MISSION AND THAT IT IS GLOBAL, AND IT'S
21 RECOGNIZED THAT THERE WERE MULTIPLE DIFFERENT FAITH
22 LEADERS WHO WERE PRESENT AT THIS MEETING. SO IT
23 GAVE THE MESSAGE THAT ACROSS RELIGIOUS BOUNDARIES,
24 ACROSS POLITICAL BOUNDARIES, ACROSS VARIOUS CULTURAL
25 DIVERSITY THAT THE IDEA OF CURES AND RELIEVING HUMAN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SUFFERING IS A NOBLE CAUSE AND IT'S OUR MORAL
2 IMPERATIVE TO DO THAT. SO THAT WAS A REALLY NICE
3 EXPERIENCE FOR MANY WHO PARTICIPATED, AND ACTUALLY
4 THERE'S A LOT OF PUBLICATIONS AND SOCIAL MEDIA THAT
5 HAVE GONE OUT SINCE.

6 SO WHAT'S A THEME THAT HAS REALLY BEEN
7 JUST IN THE PAST SIX MONTHS HAS REALLY BEEN COMING
8 TO HEAD IS THE POWER OF COLLABORATION. WE'VE HAD
9 THIS LONG-STANDING COLLABORATION WITH PATIENTS,
10 PATIENT ADVOCACY. THEY'VE BEEN EMBEDDED IN WHAT WE
11 DO. THEY EMPOWER US. THEY FUEL US IN TERMS OF
12 MOTIVATING US AS WELL AS PARTNERING WITH US TO MOVE
13 THINGS FORWARD.

14 WE HAVE HAD MULTIPLE FORUMS WHERE WE CAN
15 INTERACT AND FIGURE OUT WHAT TO DO. THE ALPHA
16 CLINIC SYMPOSIUM IS ONE OF THEM. THEIR
17 PARTICIPATION IN OUR CLINICAL ADVISORY PANELS AND
18 OUR UPCOMING PANELS AS WELL AS THEIR LEADERSHIP IN
19 OTHER INITIATIVES THAT FEED INTO THE BIG MISSION.

20 THE RESEARCHERS, OF COURSE, WE PARTNER
21 WITH THEM THROUGH FUNDING, BUT WE SOLVE PROBLEMS
22 TOGETHER. WE DO IT THROUGH NETWORKS SUCH AS ALPHA
23 CLINICS NETWORK. JOHN ZAIA IS HERE IS ONE OF THE
24 PROGRAM DIRECTORS, KEY LEADERS IN THIS NETWORK. YOU
25 WILL HEAR A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THAT LATER ON IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE YEAR IN AN UPDATE. BUT I WANTED TO JUST FEATURE
2 A COUPLE OF THE NEW THINGS.

3 THE INDUSTRY PARTNERSHIP, WHICH NEIL
4 LITTMAN WILL GIVE MORE DETAIL, IS SOMETHING THAT
5 WE'RE REALLY STARTING TO SEE MUCH MORE DEEP
6 CONVERSATION WITH INDUSTRY PARTNERS EARLY ON.

7 (CELL PHONE RINGING.)

8 DR. MILLAN: THAT WAS NOT MEANT TO BE
9 RELATED TO THE INDUSTRY PARTNERSHIPS BY ANY STRETCH.
10 THANK YOU THOUGH. NEEDED A LITTLE BIT OF THAT.

11 BUT TWO THINGS I WANTED TO TALK ABOUT WAS
12 OUR PARTNERSHIP WITH THE POLICYMAKERS, AND ABLA
13 CREASEY WILL GIVE THE UPDATE ON HOW WE'VE HAD VERY
14 SUPPORTIVE AND OPEN CONVERSATIONS WITH THE FDA THAT
15 HAVE REALLY HELPED OUR PROGRAM LEVEL, BUT JUST
16 BROADLY FOR THE FIELD, AND SHE WILL DESCRIBE SOME OF
17 THOSE, SOME OF THE OUTPUT OF THAT.

18 I WANTED TO TALK ABOUT ANOTHER PARTNERSHIP
19 AND JUST ANNOUNCE SOMETHING THAT'S IN THE WORKS
20 RIGHT NOW AND BEING SIGNED IS A PARTNERSHIP WITH THE
21 NHLBI AT THE NIH. WHAT YOU SEE THERE, IT WAS ONE OF
22 THEIR FACEBOOK LIVE AND SAYS WILL BE LIVE SHORTLY.
23 I THOUGHT IT WAS APPROPRIATE. IT WAS A SCREENSHOT.
24 BUT NOW WE ARE GOING TO BE LIVE ONCE I SIGN THE
25 MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE NHLBI. GARY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GIBBONS IS AT THE NHLBI HAS LAUNCHED THIS CURE
2 SICKLE CELL INITIATIVE THAT'S A FIVE-YEAR
3 INITIATIVE. BOTH FRANCES COLLINS AND GARY GIBBONS
4 ARE HIGHLY COMMITTED TO THIS. IT'S JUST A TARGET
5 THAT REALLY THERE SHOULD ALREADY BE A CURE BASED ON
6 THE SCIENCE THAT WE HAVE, THE ADVANCEMENTS WE HAVE.
7 AND THE IDEA IS IF WE COULD JUST PUT ALL THE PIECES
8 TOGETHER AND PUT THE BEST ACCELERATION MODELS IN
9 PLAY, THAT WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO DO THIS.

10 SO LAST JUNE, AS YOU RECALL, THE CIRM TEAM
11 HAD VISITED THE NIH AND MET WITH THE VARIOUS NIH
12 INSTITUTE HEADS. THERE WAS A LOT OF FOLLOW-UP
13 MEETINGS SINCE THEN. THERE'S A RECOGNITION OF THE
14 VALUE OF CIRM IN TERMS OF A TRANSLATIONAL MACHINERY,
15 IN TERMS OF THE ACCELERATION POTENTIAL, AND IN TERMS
16 OF THE ROBUST LATE DEVELOPMENT PORTFOLIO. BUT ONE
17 OF THE THINGS THAT THEY REALLY, REALLY RECOGNIZE
18 THAT ENABLED THIS IS THE ENGINE AND THE PROCESSES,
19 THE FUNDING PROCESS, ALL THE INFRASTRUCTURE RELATED
20 TO THE REVIEW OF FUNDING AND MANAGEMENT OF THESE
21 AWARDS. AND BECAUSE OF THIS, NHLBI HAD MADE A
22 DECISION THAT THEY NEEDED TO PARTNER WITH US IN
23 ORDER TO HAVE THE BEST SHOT AT ACCOMPLISHING WHAT
24 THEY WANT TO DO WITH THIS CURE SICKLE CELL
25 INITIATIVE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO THE MOU IS PUT IN PLACE TO WORK OUT THE
2 DETAILS AND THE LOGISTICS. AND AS MORE DETAIL COMES
3 OUT OF THIS, WE WILL BE BRINGING INFORMATION TO THE
4 BOARD. IF THERE ARE AMENDMENTS OR SOME NEW CONCEPTS
5 THAT ARISE TO ENABLE THIS TO OCCUR, WE WILL BRING IT
6 TO THE BOARD. BUT THE BOTTOM LINE IS WHAT THEY WANT
7 TO DO IS USE THE PROCESSES THAT WE ALREADY HAVE IN
8 PLACE. IF IT WORKS, THEY REALLY WANT TO LEVERAGE
9 THAT.

10 THEY WILL LEVERAGE OUR APPLICATION
11 PROCESS. THE APPLICATIONS THEMSELVES HAVE A VERY
12 EXPEDITED REVIEW ON THEIR SIDE WHILE WE'RE REVIEWING
13 IT SO THAT IT DOESN'T HOLD UP THE RECOMMENDATION TO
14 YOU FOR FINAL FUNDING. AND THEY WILL THEN CO-FUND
15 THE PROGRAMS AT LEAST AT A 50-50 TYPE THING, BUT
16 MAYBE MORE DEPENDING ON -- AND WE'LL WORK THROUGH
17 THAT SCHEME UNDER THIS MOU. AND THE REALLY POSITIVE
18 ASPECT OF THIS FOR CIRM, GIVEN WHERE WE ARE, AS J.T.
19 HAD MENTIONED, REALLY TRYING TO, GIVEN THE FACT THAT
20 WE ARE GETTING DOWN TO OUR LAST DOLLARS IN TERMS OF
21 RESEARCH BUDGET, IS STRETCH THAT WHILE WE'RE
22 ACCELERATING AND WHILE WE'RE CONTINUING TO SUPPORT
23 THE FIELD. PROGRESS AND SCIENTIFIC DISCOVERIES ARE
24 NOT GOING TO STAY ON HOLD WHILE WE'RE WAITING FOR
25 DECISIONS IN TERMS OF WHETHER WE'RE GOING TO BE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 REUPPED. SO THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO LEVERAGE
2 THOSE FOR THE SICKLE CELL CURES INITIATIVE. IT'S
3 ALSO AN OPPORTUNITY TO TEST THE MODEL THAT COULD BE
4 MORE BROADLY APPLIED TO DIFFERENT CONSORTIA AND
5 DIFFERENT TYPES OF GOALS AS WELL.

6 AND THEN CIRM WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR
7 CONTRACTING, MANAGING THE AWARDS AND THE
8 MILESTONE-BASED METHODS. AND IT'S QUITE REMARKABLE.
9 WE'RE ALSO SEEING THAT THE NIH IS -- THEY
10 RECOGNIZED, THEY ACTUALLY SAID, WE KNOW THAT WE'RE
11 SLOW. THEY ACTUALLY SAID THAT WE GET IT. WE'RE
12 SLOW AND WE KNOW THAT, AND THAT'S WHY WE'RE
13 PARTNERING WITH YOU. AND IT WAS JUST REALLY
14 REMARKABLE. SO I'M PLEASSED TO ANNOUNCE TODAY THAT
15 WE'RE IN THE BEGINNING AND YOU WILL HEAR MORE ABOUT
16 THAT.

17 AND SO I WILL TAKE SOME QUESTIONS ON THAT,
18 BUT THEN I WILL INTRODUCE, I CALL IT OUR INTEL
19 INSIDE. HOW DID THIS HAPPEN? IT'S BECAUSE OF THE
20 TEAM. SO I HAVE ASKED MEMBERS OF THE TEAM TO COME
21 AND PRESENT VERY SHORT, SUCCINCT UPDATES RELATED TO
22 VARIOUS TOPICS. GABE THOMPSON WILL GIVE YOU AN
23 UPDATE OF OPERATIONAL EXCELLENCE, HOW TO MOTIVATE
24 BEHAVIOR, AND HOW WE MANAGE THINGS SO THAT WE
25 ACCELERATE. ABLA CREASEY YOU'VE HEARD ME MENTION

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SEVERAL TIMES. NEIL LITTMAN ON INDUSTRY ALLIANCE.
2 KEVIN WILL TALK ABOUT HOW WE TELL OUR STORY. PAT
3 OLSON WILL INTRODUCE A NEW TRANSLATIONAL ADVISORY
4 PANEL.

5 SCOTT TOCHER, MAYBE I'LL GO AHEAD AND
6 START WITH YOU BECAUSE YOU DON'T HAVE A
7 PRESENTATION, BUT YOU WILL GIVE -- BECAUSE YOU'RE
8 COUNTING AND TAKING NOTES, WILL GIVE AT LEAST AN
9 UPDATE, AND THEN THE REST OF THEM, CHILA
10 SILVA-MARTIN AND GIL SAMBRANO WILL BE GIVING THEIR
11 PRESENTATIONS LATER AS THEY REQUIRE ACTION.

12 SO MAYBE -- SO IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS
13 ABOUT THE NIH COLLABORATION OR ANYTHING ELSE YOU'VE
14 HEARD, I'M HAPPY TO TAKE IT AT THIS TIME.

15 DR. LUBIN: MARIA, THIS IS PHENOMENAL.
16 FIRST OF ALL, THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IS PROBABLY
17 ONE OF THE LEADERS IN SICKLE CELL DISEASE BOTH IN
18 NORTHERN AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. A LOT OF GENE
19 THERAPY, BUT NEWBORN DIAGNOSIS FOR SICKLE CELL
20 DISEASE STARTED IN CALIFORNIA. NOW IS U.S. WIDE.
21 TREATMENT PROTOCOLS ARE BEING DEVELOPED THAT ARE
22 NATIONALLY ADOPTED. THIS IS A PHENOMENAL
23 OPPORTUNITY.

24 IN ANY WAY I CAN HELP, AS SOMEBODY WHO'S
25 DEVOTED TO THIS DISEASE, I'D BE HAPPY TO DO, BUT I

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WANT TO CONGRATULATE THE WHOLE TEAM AND YOUR
2 EFFORTS. I THINK IT'S PHENOMENAL.

3 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, AND WE
4 WILL CALL UPON YOU AND YOUR EXPERTISE.

5 DR. MALKAS: MARIA, I JUST WANT TO
6 COMPLIMENT YOU AND THE TEAM AS WELL. THIS
7 PARTNERSHIP IS EXTRAORDINARY. THIS IS A REALLY RARE
8 EVENT BY THE NIH TO DO SUCH A THING, AND I THINK
9 IT'S JUST A PHENOMENAL EXAMPLE OF THE RECOGNITION
10 THAT CIRM IS GETTING NATIONWIDE. WE KNOW IT'S
11 GREAT, BUT ACTUALLY AT THAT KIND OF LEVEL AND IN A
12 WAY, AS YOU SAID, THIS IS A PILOT, I COULD SEE OTHER
13 INSTITUTES JOINING IN. IT BECOMES VERY DISEASE
14 SPECIFIC AND VERY, VERY -- THIS IS THE POWER. THE
15 INTEL INSIDE TOTALLY. I WANT TO CONGRATULATE YOU
16 ALL. THIS IS JUST PHENOMENAL.

17 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LINDA.

18 DR. DIXON: ABSOLUTELY EXCELLENT. VERY
19 NICE.

20 DR. SANDMEYER: I AGREE. IT'S AMAZING.
21 YOU'RE TO BE REALLY CONGRATULATED. BUT I'M CURIOUS
22 HOW THIS AFFECTS GRANTEES OUTSIDE OF CALIFORNIA AND
23 OUTSIDE OF CIRM. SO YOU WOULD BE HANDLING GRANTS
24 THAT ARE NOT CIRM BASED? WOULD YOU BE MEDIATING
25 SOME PART OF THEIR ADMINISTRATION?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. MILLAN: I'LL RESPOND TO THAT IN BROAD
2 STROKES BECAUSE WE ARE WORKING OUT THE MOU TERMS.
3 BUT THE IDEA IS WE DO ALREADY ACCEPT NON-CALIFORNIA
4 OR EX-CALIFORNIA GRANTEES FOR OUR CLINICAL
5 PORTFOLIO. SO WE'RE FOCUSING ON THE CLINICAL AT
6 THIS POINT. AND WHAT IT WOULD JUST MEAN IS THAT
7 THERE IS A POTENTIAL FOR THOSE CALIFORNIA GRANTEES,
8 WHO TYPICALLY ONLY HAVE THE CALIFORNIA COST COVERED
9 BY CIRM, THAT THEY WOULD, IN ADDITION TO THE NIH
10 CO-FUNDING THE CALIFORNIA PORTION, THEY COULD
11 POTENTIALLY ACCESS ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR THEIR
12 EX-CALIFORNIA COSTS.

13 BUT, AGAIN, WE WILL HAVE MORE DETAIL ONCE
14 WE HAVE SETTLED ON THE TERMS OF THE RELATIONSHIP AND
15 THE STRUCTURE.

16 DR. LUBIN: I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT. IT'S
17 REALLY IMPORTANT THAT THIS INFORMATION IS BROUGHT TO
18 BARBARA LEE'S OFFICE. SHE HAS BEEN COMMITTED TO
19 SICKLE CELL RESEARCH, SICKLE CELL PATIENT CARE, AND
20 SHE'LL BE ECSTATIC TO HEAR WHAT WE'RE DOING. AND SO
21 IF IT HASN'T BEEN DONE, I MIGHT BE ABLE TO HELP. I
22 CERTAINLY CAN. SHE SHOULD KNOW THIS ACTIVITY IS
23 HAPPENING WITH CIRM.

24 DR. MILLAN: WE WILL SHARE ALL THE DETAILS
25 SHORTLY.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TORRES: THIS IS THE MOST I'VE HEARD
2 BERT TALK AT A BOARD MEETING. SO IT'S CLEAR THAT
3 HE'S DOING WELL. WE ARE BLESSED THAT HE IS.

4 DR. MILLAN: SO IF THERE ARE NO OTHER
5 QUESTIONS, I'D LIKE TO HAVE SCOTT GIVE THE LEASE
6 UPDATE, AND THEN WE'LL GO AHEAD AND GET GABE SET UP
7 FOR HIS PRESENTATION.

8 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU, MARIA. AS YOU MAY
9 RECALL OR MAYBE NOT FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO JOINED CIRM
10 IN OUR LATER YEARS, BUT WHEN CIRM WAS FIRST CREATED
11 BY THE INITIATIVE, MANY CITIES COMPETED TO LOCATE
12 CIRM'S HEADQUARTERS. WITH SAN FRANCISCO'S
13 SUCCESSFUL BID, ONE OF THEIR INDUCEMENTS WAS THAT
14 THEY NEGOTIATED ON CIRM'S BEHALF FREE RENT FOR A
15 PERIOD OF TEN YEARS. SO --

16 MR. TORRES: WHO'S RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT?

17 MR. TOCHER: SENATOR, I THINK THAT MIGHT
18 HAVE BEEN YOU.

19 MR. TORRES: GAVIN NEWSOM.

20 MR. TOCHER: GAVIN NEWSOM AND BOB KLEIN, I
21 THINK, AS WELL. SO AT ANY RATE, WE WERE BLESSED
22 WITH ABOUT 20,000 SQUARE FEET, WHICH AT THE TIME,
23 2004-5, WAS A BIT OF A SLEEPY BACKWATER WHICH IN THE
24 ENSUING TEN YEARS GREW UP WITH VALUE OF RENTS
25 SKYROCKETING. SO THE LANDLORD WASN'T ENTIRELY SAD

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO SEE US GO AFTER TEN YEARS.

2 AS YOU KNOW, SITTING HERE TODAY, WE
3 RELOCATED TO OAKLAND IN A SOMEWHAT MORE MODEST SIZE
4 SPACE BUT STILL VERY COMFORTABLE LOCATION. THE
5 FLOOR SPACE HERE ON THE 16TH FLOOR COMPRISES JUST
6 14,000 FEET. AT THE TIME, WITH THE SIZE OF THE
7 GROUP THAT WE HAD, WE ALSO AVAILED OURSELVES OF A
8 SMALL PIECE DOWN ON THE 15TH FLOOR OF JUST ABOUT
9 2600 SQUARE FEET. WE ANTICIPATED AT SOME POINT THAT
10 WE WOULD HOPEFULLY ABSORB FOLKS SO THAT WE WOULD
11 HAVE THE MORE IDEAL SITUATION OF HAVING EVERYONE
12 LOCATED ON THE SAME FLOOR AND HAVING THE TEAM ALL IN
13 ONE PLACE.

14 ABOUT A YEAR AGO WE WERE LOOKING INTO THAT
15 AND DECIDED WE COULD ACTUALLY MAKE SOME PROGRESS ON
16 THAT. SO WE MOVED FOLKS THAT WERE DOWNSTAIRS UP
17 HERE AND GOT REAL COZY. AND THEN WITH THE TERM
18 REMAINING ON THE 15TH FLOOR, WE DECIDED TO SEE IF
19 THERE WAS ANY WAY THAT WE COULD GET ANY VALUE FOR
20 THAT SPACE IN THE ABOUT 11 MONTHS THAT WAS REMAINING
21 ON THE LEASE OF THAT SPACE. AND WE WERE LUCKY
22 ENOUGH TO FIND A SUBTENANT. SO ARE ABLE TO RECOUP
23 ABOUT 86 PERCENT OF OUR COST ON THE LEASE FOR A
24 SUBLEASE FOR A TERM OF JUST TEN AND A HALF MONTHS.
25 WE WERE ALSO ABLE TO NEGOTIATE GETTING ALL OF THAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PAYMENT UP FRONT. SO WE ELIMINATED THE EXPOSURE.
2 THIS SAVED US ABOUT \$87,000 OR SO IN OUR LEASE COSTS
3 FOR THAT PERIOD OF SPACE.

4 SO WE'RE ALL UP HERE. WE SAVED ABOUT
5 \$87,000. WE'RE DELIGHTED THAT WE WERE ABLE TO DO
6 SO. ACTUALLY THAT WAS BETTER THAN OUR EXPECTATIONS.
7 SO WE JUST WANTED TO GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ON THE
8 SPACE. THANK YOU, MARIA. ANY QUESTIONS?

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. TOCHER.
10 I'D LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THE ORIGINAL SPACE WE
11 HAD, OF COURSE, WAS A FANTASTIC LOCATION OTHER THAN
12 THE FACT THAT IT WAS DIRECTLY ACROSS FROM THE GIANTS
13 BASEBALL STADIUM. AND WE HAD PUT UP WITH THE SERIES
14 OF WORLD CHAMPIONSHIPS. SO I THOUGHT MOVING TO
15 OAKLAND WAS GOING TO BE A GREAT MOVE. AND LO AND
16 BEHOLD, WE'VE NOW HAD TO PUT UP WITH A SERIES OF
17 WARRIOR PARADES. SO YOU CAN'T ESCAPE THIS STUFF.

18 MR. TORRES: YOU'RE VERY LUCKY YOU'VE
19 TERMED OUT.

20 DR. LUBIN: WATCH OUT FOR THE A'S. THE
21 A'S ARE STILL IN IT.

22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, BERT. BETH,
23 HOW ARE YOU DOING? YOU NEED A BREAK OR ARE YOU
24 OKAY?

25 THE REPORTER: SURE. WE'LL TAKE A BREAK.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MARIA, IS THAT OKAY?
2 GIVE BETH A LITTLE REST HERE. WE'LL TAKE A
3 TEN-MINUTE BREAK. WE'LL BE BACK WITH THE REST OF
4 THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT. THANK YOU.

5 (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. WE'RE GOING TO
7 RESUME NOW BACK TO THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT. DR.
8 MILLAN.

9 DR. MILLAN: I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE GABE
10 THOMPSON, WHO'S THE HEAD OF OUR OPERATIONS GRANTS
11 MANAGEMENT FOR CIRM.

12 MR. THOMPSON: HELLO, BOARD, COLLEAGUES,
13 AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. I'M GOING TO GIVE A
14 QUICK UPDATE ON WHAT WE'RE DOING TO MEASURE
15 ACCELERATION TO DRIVE PERFORMANCE AND EFFICIENCIES
16 BOTH IN OUR PORTFOLIO ON THE RESEARCH SIDE AS WELL
17 AS ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE SIDE OF THE HOUSE.

18 SO COUPLE QUICK THINGS TO TALK ABOUT FIRST
19 IS YOU'VE HEARD A LITTLE BIT ABOUT OUR OPERATIONAL
20 MILESTONE MODEL OF FUNDING THAT IS SPECIFIC TO OUR
21 CLINICAL AND TRANSLATIONAL STAGE PROGRAMS. WE SEND
22 OUT DISBURSEMENTS BASED ON MILESTONES. AND SO AS A
23 REMINDER, WHEN AN AWARD LAUNCHES AT CIRM, WE PROVIDE
24 ENOUGH FUNDING BASED ON THEIR OWN BUDGET TO GET TO
25 THE FIRST MILESTONE. AND THEN WE WORK WITH THEM TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MAKE SURE THEY'RE ON TARGET. AND ONCE THEY ACHIEVE
2 THAT MILESTONE, WE RELEASE THE SECOND TRANCHE AND SO
3 FORTH THROUGHOUT THE AWARD.

4 AND SO THIS HAS HELPED US DRIVE BEHAVIOR
5 IN A FEW KEY WAYS. SO FIRST OFF, WE ARE ABLE TO
6 PROVIDE REAL-TIME COURSE CORRECTION FOR THE TEAMS
7 BOTH THROUGH OUR ADVISORY PANELS AS WE WERE TALKING
8 ABOUT BEFORE TO REALLY KIND OF BE THERE WITH A TEAM
9 OF EXPERTS, INCLUDING SCIENTIFIC EXPERTS AND PATIENT
10 ADVOCATES AND REPRESENTATIVES, TO HELP THE TEAMS
11 DEAL WITH PROBLEMS AS THEY OCCUR.

12 IN ADDITION, WE REQUIRE PROJECT MANAGEMENT
13 ON THE AWARDS AS WELL SO THAT THERE IS A SINGLE
14 PERSON WORKING FOR THAT TEAM THAT KNOWS HOW THE
15 WHOLE PROJECT IS GOING AND CAN KIND OF COORDINATE
16 WITH CIRM TO HELP US MANAGE THE AWARD AND HELP
17 EXECUTE ON THE PROJECT.

18 IN ADDITION, BECAUSE OF THIS MILESTONE
19 MODEL, EVERY ONCE IN A WHILE AN AWARDEE MIGHT NOT
20 HAVE ENOUGH FUNDING, THAT MILLION DOLLARS IN THAT
21 FIRST DISBURSEMENT MIGHT NOT BE ENOUGH TO GET TO THE
22 FIRST MILESTONE EITHER BECAUSE IT'S TAKING LONGER OR
23 BECAUSE IT WAS MORE EXPENSIVE THAN THEY ANTICIPATED.
24 AND SO THE TERMS OF OUR AWARD REQUIRE THAT THE
25 AWARDEE PROVIDE NON-CIRM CONTINGENCY FUNDS TO BRIDGE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT GAP UNTIL THEY REACH THE MILESTONE. AND TO
2 DATE WE HAVE SEEN AWARDEES PUT UP 24 MILLION IN
3 NON-CIRM CONTINGENCY FUNDS TO HELP BRIDGE THOSE GAPS
4 WHEN NEEDED.

5 IN ADDITION, IF AWARDS ARE REALLY HITTING
6 KIND OF BOTTLENECKS OR KIND OF PROBLEMS WITH THE
7 PROJECT THAT CAN'T BE RESOLVED, CIRM DOES ON
8 OCCASION TERMINATE AN AWARD IF THERE DOESN'T REALLY
9 SEEM LIKE A WAY FORWARD. AND I'VE GIVEN SOME DATA
10 ON OUR TERMINATIONS. SO SINCE WE LAUNCHED THESE 2.0
11 PROGRAMS IN THE CLIN AND TRAN SPACE, WE'VE MADE 62
12 AWARDS TO DATE; THAT IS, STARTING JANUARY OF 2015,
13 AND WE HAVE TERMINATED FOUR AWARDS THAT HAVE
14 RESULTED IN ABOUT 34 MILLION BEING RETURNED TO CIRM
15 WHICH THEN CAN GO BACK INTO THE RESEARCH POT TO BE
16 REDEPLOYED FOR NEW AWARDS.

17 GIVING YOU AN UPDATE HERE ON THE
18 CIRM-FUNDED ENROLLMENT -- CIRM-FUNDED PATIENTS
19 ENROLLED IN CIRM-FUNDED TRIALS THROUGH QUARTER ONE
20 OF 2018. AND SO YOU CAN SEE PATIENT ENROLLMENT IS
21 STILL RAPIDLY ACCELERATING, AND WE'RE NEARLY
22 APPROACHING -- THIS DATE IS ACTUALLY ALWAYS ABOUT A
23 MONTH OR TWO BEHIND ENROLLMENT IN THE TRIALS. WE
24 ASK FOR QUARTERLY UPDATES ON ENROLLMENT. AND SO
25 WE'RE RAPIDLY APPROACHING A THOUSAND CIRM-FUNDED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PATIENTS ENROLLED IN CIRM-FUNDED TRIALS.

2 AND FINALLY, WE ALSO LIKE TO MAKE SURE
3 THAT WE AS THE CIRM TEAM IS ALSO MANAGING THE AWARDS
4 EFFICIENTLY AND DOING ALL WE CAN TO ACCELERATE THESE
5 PROCESSES. SO TWO KEY IMPROVEMENTS IN OUR AWARD
6 MANAGEMENT AREA IS WE IMPLEMENTED DOCU-SIGN
7 ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE OF AWARD DOCUMENTS AND HAVE
8 SEEN THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF DAYS TO EXECUTION OF OUR
9 AWARDS DROP FROM 16 DAYS TO 5 DAYS, WHICH WE'VE BEEN
10 PLEASED WITH. OUR AWARDEES HAVE ALSO APPRECIATED US
11 IMPLEMENTING.

12 AND THEN SECOND IS WE IMPLEMENTED
13 ELECTRONIC FUNDS TRANSFER. WE USED TO ACTUALLY WORK
14 WITH THE STATE AGENCY TO INITIATE A PAYMENT, AND
15 THEN A PAPER CHECK WOULD BE REGULAR MAILED TO THE
16 AWARDEE, AND IT WOULD TAKE SOMETIMES THREE, FOUR
17 WEEKS TO GET TO THE AWARDEE. AND SO EARLIER THIS
18 YEAR WE CONVERTED TO ELECTRONIC FUNDS TRANSFER AND
19 HAVE BROUGHT PAYMENT PROCESSING TIMES DOWN FROM 16
20 TO 4 DAYS. THAT NOT ONLY SPEEDS UP THE TIME TO GET
21 CIRM PAYMENTS TO THE AWARDEES, BUT ALSO REDUCES
22 MAILING COSTS AND OTHER COSTS AS WELL AS REDUCES
23 PAYMENT ERRORS WHICH WE WERE EXPERIENCING IN THE OLD
24 PAPER PROCESS WAY. SO WE'RE VERY HAPPY TO SEE
25 IMPROVEMENTS IN THAT AREA.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO THANK YOU AND I WILL PASS IT OFF TO MY
2 COLLEAGUE ABLA CREASEY. OH, ONE QUESTION HERE.

3 DR. JUELSGAARD: GOING BACK TO THE
4 CLINICAL TRIAL ENROLLMENT SLIDE, HOW MANY PHASE 3
5 CLINICAL TRIALS ARE WE INVOLVED WITH NOW?

6 MR. THOMPSON: ACTIVE PHASE 3S ARE ABOUT
7 THREE OR FOUR.

8 DR. MILLAN: WE'LL CHECK ON THAT.

9 DR. JUELSGAARD: ALSO JUST WHERE WE'RE AT
10 ALONG THE ENROLLMENT CONTINUUM FOR THOSE TRIALS; IN
11 OTHER WORDS, WHAT PERCENTAGE ENROLLMENT WE HAVE IN
12 EACH OF THOSE PHASE 3 TRIALS.

13 MR. THOMPSON: I THINK TWO OF THE
14 TRIALS -- ONE OF THE TRIALS IS FULLY ENROLLED, AND
15 TWO OF THEM ARE VERY EARLY STAGES. IN FACT, THE
16 ACCELERATION YOU SEE IN THE RECENT QUARTERS IS
17 DRIVEN BY A PHASE 3 THAT WE RECENTLY FUNDED. AND
18 THEN I THINK THE FOURTH TRIAL IS NEARING FULL
19 ENROLLMENT AS WELL. THERE'S FOUR.

20 MR. JUELSGAARD: THANKS.

21 MR. THOMPSON: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: JUST LIKE TO NOTE,
23 GABE, THAT THE EXCELLENCE OF THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT
24 PROGRAM IS ONE OF THE THINGS THAT LED NIH TO BE SO
25 INTERESTED IN WHAT WE DO. AND YOU'VE CONTINUED TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 REFINE IT TO MAKE A GREAT THING EVEN BETTER, AND
2 THIS IS SORT OF A CENTRAL ELEMENT OF EVERYTHING WE
3 DO. JUST WANTED, ON BEHALF OF EVERYBODY,
4 CONGRATULATE YOU FOR REALLY GOOD WORK AND IT'S
5 SHOWN. IT'S NOW A NATIONALLY NOTICED OPERATION. SO
6 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR WHAT YOU DO.

7 MR. THOMPSON: APPRECIATE IT. THANK YOU,
8 J.T.

9 NOW I WILL PASS IT TO MY COLLEAGUE ALBA
10 CREASEY.

11 DR. CREASEY: THANK YOU. I'M GOING TO
12 BRIEFLY DESCRIBE TO YOU OUR INTERACTIONS WITH THE
13 FDA AS WELL AS WHAT THE RMAT DESIGNATION IS ALL
14 ABOUT AND WHY IT IS IMPORTANT.

15 SO THE 21ST CENTURY CURES ACT WAS PASSED
16 IN DECEMBER OF 2017 WHEN PRESIDENT OBAMA WAS IN
17 CHARGE. AND THAT 21ST CENTURY CURES ACT PROVIDED
18 THE FDA WITH FUNDS IN THE AREA OF INNOVATION AND
19 INNOVATION ACTIVITIES. UNDER THAT TERM THEY HAVE
20 SUBTITLE D OF THE 21ST CENTURY CURES ACT. IT'S
21 PATIENT ACCESS TO THERAPIES AND INFORMATION. AND
22 UNDER THAT IS SECTION 3033, ACCELERATED APPROVAL OF
23 REGENERATIVE ADVANCED THERAPIES. AND THAT'S WHERE
24 ALL THE DOLLARS COME FOR MOVING THE FDA IN A MORE
25 EXPEDITED MANNER IN THIS AREA OF SCIENCE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO THE 21ST CENTURY CURES ACT DEFINITION
2 OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE THERAPY INCLUDES CELL
3 THERAPY, THERAPEUTIC TISSUE ENGINEERING PRODUCTS,
4 HUMAN CELL AND TISSUE PRODUCT AND COMBINATION
5 PRODUCT. WHEN YOU SAY HERE COMBINATION PRODUCT,
6 IT'S DEVICE AND CELLS, TISSUE AND CELLS, MOSTLY
7 REALLY DEVICE AND CELLS OF SORT. AND THE DEVICE CAN
8 BE OF ANY KIND OF DEVICE OR IT COULD BE A SCAFFOLD
9 MADE OF BIOMATERIALS.

10 SO UNDER THE 21ST CENTURY CURES ACT, THEN,
11 THE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ADVANCED THERAPY, RMAT,
12 DESIGNATION WAS GENERATED. THIS IS REALLY A BIG AND
13 AN IMPORTANT STEP FOR OUR AREA OF SCIENCE, RESEARCH,
14 MAINLY BECAUSE IT PROVIDES THE PEOPLE WHO ARE
15 WORKING WITH THAT DESIGNATION ALONGSIDE THE PROGRAMS
16 TO HAVE A LOT OF PRIVILEGES RELATED TO INTERACTIONS
17 WITH THE FDA.

18 AND BEFORE I MOVE FORWARD AND DESCRIBE ALL
19 THIS, I HAVE TO TELL YOU THE FDA HAS BEEN EXTREMELY
20 HELPFUL TO US. MARIA AND I, HONESTLY IT'S DUE TO
21 MARIA'S LEADERSHIP, MARIA AND I HAVE MET WITH THE
22 HEAD OF THE CBER, WHICH IS THE CENTER FOR BIOLOGICS
23 EVALUATION RESEARCH, HE MADE TIME TO TALK TO US VERY
24 CASUALLY IN A HOTEL AS PART OF OUR MEETINGS DURING
25 LIKE THE FIRST WEEK IN JANUARY. AND IT WAS VERY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CORDIAL. HE MADE HIMSELF AVAILABLE. HE ASKED US TO
2 SEND E-MAILS BACK TO HIM DIRECTLY WHENEVER WE HAVE
3 ANY QUESTIONS RELATED TO THIS AREA. AND HE ANSWERS
4 E-MAILS VERY READILY ALSO WHEN WE HAVE QUESTIONS.
5 THAT ALSO SHOWS LEADERSHIP ON THEIR PART AND ALSO
6 RESPECT FOR CIRM AND OUR LEADERSHIP, AND YOU AS THE
7 BOARD.

8 SO THE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ADVANCED
9 THERAPY DESIGNATION, THE RMAT, IS REALLY A SPECIAL
10 PROGRAM TO ADVANCE THE FIELD BY PROVIDING AN
11 ACCELERATED PATHWAY FOR APPROVAL. ACCELERATED
12 PATHWAY, BY THE WAY, NOW IS BEING DEBATED. WE ALL
13 HAVE LEARNED HOW TO DEVELOP DRUGS BY SAYING YOU NEED
14 FIRST A PHASE 1 TRIAL, THEN YOU MAY NEED TWO PHASE 2
15 TRIALS, THEN YOU MAY NEED ONE OR TWO PHASE 3 TRIALS.
16 THEY'RE SAYING EXPEDITED MEANS CUT TO THE CHASE. IF
17 YOU HAVE NO SAFETY ISSUES AND YOU HAVE A HINT OF
18 ACTIVITY, THEN WE'RE YOUR PARTNERS, AND THEN WE'LL
19 HELP YOU SHAPE THE DESIGN OF THE NEXT TRIAL TO
20 ESSENTIALLY CONFIRM THE SIGNAL THAT YOU'RE SEEING,
21 AND ALL THAT DATA MAY BE AVAILABLE, THEN, FOR
22 POTENTIAL APPROVAL OF A GIVEN THERAPY. SO IT'S NO
23 LONGER THE PARADIGM THAT WAS CARRIED WHEN PEOPLE
24 WERE DEVELOPING SMALL MOLECULES OR LARGE MOLECULES,
25 ANTIBODIES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO THE SPECIAL PROGRAM COVERS REGENERATIVE
2 MEDICINE THERAPIES, DRUGS TARGETING SERIOUS DISEASE
3 OR CONDITIONS, AND AREAS OF UNMET MEDICAL NEED.

4 THE PROCESS FOR RMAT DESIGNATION, IT'S A
5 VERY BRIEF DOCUMENT THAT A GIVEN CANDIDATE WHO IS
6 APPLYING FOR THAT HAS TO FILL OUT. THERE ARE REALLY
7 THREE MAIN AREAS. REGENERATIVE MEDICINE THERAPY HAS
8 TO BE OBVIOUS. SECOND, IS THERE HIGH UNMET MEDICAL
9 NEED, AND YOU HAVE TO JUSTIFY THAT. THREE IS DO YOU
10 HAVE PRELIMINARY CLINICAL DATA TO ALLOW US TO
11 EVALUATE THAT THERE'S NO SAFETY ISSUES AND THERE ARE
12 HINTS OF EFFICACY.

13 RECENTLY THEY'VE CHANGED A LITTLE BIT.
14 INSTEAD OF SAYING HINTS OF ACTIVITY WITH EITHER
15 BIOMARKERS OR POTENTIAL BENEFIT, ANY KIND OF
16 BENEFIT, WHETHER DEPENDING ON THE THERAPEUTIC AREA.
17 BY THE WAY, THE RMAT DESIGNATION IS OPEN TO ALL
18 THERAPEUTIC AREAS. IT'S NOT RESTRICTED TO CANCER;
19 IT'S NOT RESTRICTED TO HEART DISEASE. SO NOW THEY
20 ARE REQUESTING CONVINCING, INSTEAD OF PRELIMINARY
21 CLINICAL EVIDENCE, CONVINCING EVIDENCE. AND THAT
22 MEANS MAYBE A LARGER DATABASE OF PATIENTS. THAT
23 MEANS THAT THERE'S A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN UNTREATED
24 AND TREATED, ETC.

25 THEY ARE WILLING TO GIVE FEEDBACK TO THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 APPLICANTS WITHIN 60 DAYS OF SUBMISSION OF THE
2 REQUEST. THE APPLICANT WILL RECEIVE WRITTEN
3 RESPONSE OF APPROVAL. IT'S EITHER APPROVED OR
4 DENIED.

5 WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS OF RMAT DESIGNATION?
6 THE KEY PART HERE, AND IT'S ALWAYS IMPORTANT TO
7 KNOW, IS REALLY READY ACCESS TO THE MOST SENIOR
8 PEOPLE AT THE FDA WHO CAN MAKE DECISIONS VERY
9 QUICKLY AND HELP YOU. WE'VE WITNESSED THAT WITH ONE
10 OF OUR GRANTEES WHERE, AS SOON AS THEY GOT THE RMAT
11 DESIGNATION, THEIR PHASE 2 CLINICAL TRIAL DESIGN WAS
12 MODIFIED BY THE FDA WITH THEM TO CHANGE THINGS TO
13 HIGHER DOSE, LESS PATIENTS, AND END POINT CHANGES.
14 AND THE FDA CONVINCED OUR GRANTEE AND THEIR TEAM
15 THAT IF THEY WERE ABLE TO MODIFY THIS, THEN THEY
16 WILL STAY THEIR CHAMPIONS AND PARTNERS IN MOVING
17 THAT TRIAL FORWARD.

18 SO WE THINK IT'S REALLY A GREAT ASSET FOR
19 US FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. THE POTENTIAL IS HERE
20 FOR RMAT GRANTEES TO HAVE A PHASE 2 TRIAL BE
21 APPROVED FOR GETTING THE THERAPY ON THE MARKET;
22 HOWEVER, THERE MAY BE REQUIREMENT FOR FURTHER
23 CLINICAL STUDIES LIKE WHAT WE USED TO CALL IN THE
24 OLD DAYS PHASE 4 STUDIES. HERE POSTAPPROVAL STUDIES
25 MAY BE NEEDED THAT CAN CONTINUE TO SUBMIT CLINICAL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EVIDENCE AND KEEP MONITORING PATIENT OUTCOMES. SO
2 IN MANY WAYS IT'S EARLY ACCESS, ACCELERATED
3 DEVELOPMENT OF THE PRODUCT.

4 SO FINALLY, I WANTED TO SHOW YOU -- I
5 GUESS THE ARROWS DON'T SHOW. SO THERE WERE 18 RMAT
6 DESIGNATIONS UNTIL MARCH OF THIS YEAR SINCE
7 2017-2018. WE LINED THEM UP HERE FOR YOU BY THE
8 YEAR THEY WERE AWARDED AND 18 OF THEM. IN 2017 WE
9 HAD THREE OUT OF THE 12 THAT WERE DESCRIBED BY J.T.
10 AND MARIA: ASTERIAS, HUMACYTE, AND JCYTE. AND THEN
11 IN 2018 WE'VE HAD CAPRICOR AND CALADRIUS GAIN THE
12 RMAT DESIGNATION. SO WE HAVE FIVE OF 18 ARE OUR
13 GRANTEES, AND WE'RE VERY PROUD OF THEM. WE'RE PROUD
14 OF THE FACT THAT THEY NOW HAVE ACCESS TO THE SENIOR
15 AUTHORITIES WITHIN THE AGENCY.

16 I WILL CONCLUDE BY, FIRST OF ALL, THANKING
17 DR. WILSON BRYAN AT THE FDA WHO'S BEEN WORKING WITH
18 US AS WELL AS DR. PETER MARKS FROM THE FDA, AND
19 THANKING THE CIRM GRANTEES FOR A GOOD JOB IN
20 MAINTAINING AND GAINING THE RMAT DESIGNATION. ANY
21 QUESTIONS?

22 DR. DIXON: SOUNDS TERRIFIC.

23 MR. LITTMAN: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR.
24 CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE ICOC, MEMBERS OF THE
25 PUBLIC. MY NAME IS NEIL LITTMAN. I'M THE DIRECTOR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT. I WILL BE TALKING TO YOU
2 TODAY BRIEFLY ABOUT CIRM'S INDUSTRY ALLIANCES.

3 I'D LIKE TO START TALKING ABOUT OUR NEW
4 INDUSTRY ALLIANCE PROGRAM. SO THIS IS SOMETHING
5 THAT WE LAUNCHED EARLIER THIS YEAR IN JANUARY AROUND
6 THE JP MORGAN HEALTHCARE CONFERENCE. AS A REMINDER,
7 THE GOAL FOR THE INDUSTRY ALLIANCE PROGRAM OR WHAT
8 WE CALL IAP FOR SHORT IS TO SECURE INDUSTRY
9 PARTNERSHIPS AND ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR CIRM'S LARGE
10 AND GROWING TRANSLATIONAL AND CLINICAL STAGE
11 PORTFOLIO.

12 WE ARE HAPPY TO ANNOUNCE THAT WE HAVE
13 THREE PARTNERS TO DATE: BLUE ROCK THERAPEUTICS,
14 VIVO CAPITAL, AND PANACEA VENTURE. BLUE ROCK IS
15 DEVELOPING TREATMENTS FOR NEURODEGENERATIVE AND
16 CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES WITH A FOCUS ON IPS CELLS.
17 THEY ARE IN ACTIVE DISCUSSIONS WITH SEVERAL OF OUR
18 GRANTEES. VIVO CAPITAL IS A HEALTHCARE FOCUSED BAY
19 AREA VENTURE CAPITAL FIRM WITH ABOUT \$1.8 BILLION
20 UNDER MANAGEMENT. THEY HAVE INVESTED IN AND ARE
21 CURRENTLY SUPPORTING TWO CIRM GRANTEES INCLUDING
22 METEOR THERAPEUTICS AND POSEIDA. AND THEN PANACEA
23 VENTURE IS OUR NEWEST PARTNER. THEY ARE A BRAND NEW
24 \$300 MILLION HEALTHCARE DEDICATED FUND IN THE U.S.
25 STARTED BY A FEW EX-PARTNERS FROM KLEINER PERKINS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT IS OUR LATEST PARTNER. THEY ARE CURRENTLY
2 GETTING TO KNOW THE CIRM PORTFOLIO.

3 I'D LIKE TO SPEND JUST A COUPLE MINUTES
4 TALKING ABOUT LEVERAGE FUNDING IN PARTICULAR, WHAT
5 WE CALL OUR PARTNERSHIP EVENTS. WE DEFINE
6 PARTNERSHIP EVENTS AS LICENSE AGREEMENTS, OPTION
7 AGREEMENTS, AND FOLLOW-ON FUNDING FROM INDUSTRY
8 PARTNERS AND INVESTORS. AS YOU CAN SEE IN THIS
9 CHART, 2000 YEAR-TO-DATE LEVERAGED FUNDING UNDER
10 PARTNERSHIP EVENTS TOTALS ABOUT \$415 MILLION. THAT
11 OUTPACES THE TOTAL OF LAST YEAR, WHICH WAS ABOUT
12 \$389 MILLION, WHICH WAS A RECORD-BREAKING YEAR FOR
13 CIRM. IN TOTAL OVER THE PAST FOUR YEARS, SINCE
14 2015, THERE'S BEEN ALMOST A BILLION DOLLARS THAT
15 HAVE BEEN LEVERAGED THROUGH PARTNERSHIP EVENTS. AND
16 IF YOU LOOK FROM THE BEGINNING OF THE LAUNCH OF OUR
17 STRATEGIC PLAN IN JANUARY OF 2016, THERE'S BEEN
18 ABOUT \$960 MILLION LEVERAGED.

19 SO EVERYTHING IS SORT OF TRENDING IN THE
20 RIGHT DIRECTION. WE'RE SEEING AN INCREASED INTEREST
21 FROM INDUSTRY AND INVESTORS IN THE PROGRAMS THAT
22 CIRM HAS FUNDED AND WE'RE CONTINUING TO SEE MORE
23 INTEREST, WHICH IS WONDERFUL.

24 I WANT TO SPEND A COUPLE MINUTES JUST
25 TALKING ABOUT THE 2018 YEAR-TO-DATE PARTNERSHIP

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EVENTS. HUMACYTE HAS RAISED \$225 MILLION YEAR TO
2 DATE. THEIR MOST RECENT RAISE WAS \$150 MILLION FROM
3 A STRATEGIC PARTNER BY THE NAME OF FRESENIUS. THIS
4 IS TO BRING HUMACYTE BLOOD VESSEL IMPLANTS TO
5 DIALYSIS CLINICS. I'D JUST LIKE TO READ A QUOTE
6 FROM ONE OF THE VP'S OF CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT FROM
7 FRESENIUS. HE STATES, "FRESENIUS AIMS TO NOT ONLY
8 HELP HUMACYTE ADVANCE THEIR CLINICAL PROGRAM, BUT
9 DISTRIBUTE A PRODUCT GLOBALLY THAT IT SEES AS HAVING
10 INCREDIBLE POTENTIAL FOR MEDICINE." AS A REMINDER,
11 HUMACYTE IS DEVELOPING AN ACELLULAR VESSEL IN
12 PATIENTS NEEDING RENAL REPLACEMENT THERAPY.

13 FORTY SEVEN IS, IF YOU RECALL, A SPINOUT
14 COMPANY BASED ON IRV WEISSMAN'S TECHNOLOGY AT
15 STANFORD. ACTUALLY AS OF YESTERDAY, THEY JUST
16 PRICED THEIR IPO. SO FORTY SEVEN SOLD 7 MILLION
17 SHARES AT \$16 A SHARE, RAISING A TOTAL OF \$112
18 MILLION. THAT WAS A VERY EXCITING DEVELOPMENT.

19 AND THEN NOHLA THERAPEUTICS RAISED A \$45
20 MILLION SERIES B ROUND. POSEIDA RAISED ABOUT \$31
21 MILLION SERIES B ROUND.

22 THEN ORCHARD THERAPEUTICS IS A COMPANY
23 THAT HAS IN-LICENSED TECHNOLOGY FROM DON KOHN'S LAB
24 AT UCLA. THEY ARE FOCUSED ON RARE DISEASES. THEY
25 RECENTLY ACQUIRED THE RARE DISEASE GENE THERAPY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PORTFOLIO FROM GLAXO-SMITH KLINE, AND THEY ARE
2 INVESTING IN BUILDING MANUFACTURING SITES IN
3 CALIFORNIA.

4 SO A LOT OF EXCITING DEVELOPMENTS THIS
5 YEAR IN REGARDS TO OUR INDUSTRY ALLIANCES LEVERAGED
6 FUNDING. WITH THAT, I WOULD BE HAPPY TO TAKE ANY
7 QUESTIONS.

8 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO, NEIL, BACK TO THE
9 FIRST SLIDE ON THE INDUSTRY ALLIANCE PROGRAM. WHAT
10 SPECIFICALLY DOES IT MEAN TO BE A PARTNER? WHAT DO
11 THEY DO? WHAT ADVANTAGES DO THEY GET? WHAT DO WE
12 GET?

13 MR. LITTMAN: VERY GOOD QUESTION. AS YOU
14 KNOW, OVER THE YEARS WE TRY TO CONNECT INDUSTRY AND
15 INVESTORS WITH OUR PORTFOLIO. OUR PORTFOLIO IS OPEN
16 FOR EVERYONE TO COME AND LOOK AT AND CONNECT WITH.
17 WHAT WE TRIED TO DO UNDER THE IAP IS PUT IN A MORE
18 FORMALIZED STRUCTURE TO FACILITATE THESE
19 CONVERSATIONS. SO BLUE ROCK, FOR EXAMPLE, WAS ONE
20 OF OUR FIRST PARTNERS UNDER THE IAP. AND SO WE HELP
21 FACILITATE THE DIALOGUE BETWEEN THEM AND ANY OF OUR
22 GRANTEES THAT THEY'RE INTERESTED IN SPEAKING WITH.

23 WE ALSO ARE FACILITATING THE COMPILATION
24 OF DATA ROOMS, FOR EXAMPLE. SO WE HELP STREAMLINE
25 THE DILIGENCE PROCESS BETWEEN OUR PARTNERS AND OUR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GRANTEES. FROM THE GRANTEE PERSPECTIVE, WE VET
2 THESE PARTNERS. THESE PARTNERS HAVE AN INTEREST IN
3 THE CELL AND REGENERATIVE MEDICINE SPACE. THEY'VE
4 MADE INVESTMENTS IN THE SPACE. THEY'RE CONTINUING
5 MAKING INVESTMENTS IN THE SPACE. THEY'RE NOT SIMPLY
6 WINDOW SHOPPING. SO WE THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT TO
7 GET OUR GRANTEES COMFORTABLE WITH HAVING
8 CONVERSATIONS WITH INDUSTRY PARTNERS AND POTENTIAL
9 INVESTORS.

10 SO I THINK THERE ARE A LOT OF BENEFITS ON
11 BOTH SIDES. THIS IS REALLY THE CULMINATION OF A LOT
12 OF THINGS WE'VE DONE OVER THE YEARS. THIS IS JUST A
13 MORE STRUCTURED FORMAT TO FACILITATE THESE TYPES OF
14 CONVERSATIONS.

15 DR. JUELSGAARD: JUST ONE FOLLOW-UP
16 QUESTION THEN. ARE YOU OUT ACTIVELY SOLICITING
17 FUNDS TO BE ONE OF THESE ALLIANCE PARTNERS? HOW DO
18 WE GET TO KNOW THEM, AND HOW DO WE VET THEM?

19 MR. LITTMAN: GOOD QUESTION. WE ARE
20 ACTIVELY TALKING WITH POTENTIAL PARTNERS. WE ARE
21 SOLICITING NEW PARTNERS ON A SELECTIVE BASIS. SO AS
22 I MENTIONED, WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT OUR PARTNERS
23 ACTUALLY HAVE CAPITAL TO INVEST, EXPERTISE TO MOVE
24 THE PROGRAMS FORWARD, ARE NOT JUST WINDOW SHOPPING.
25 SO WE ARE ACTIVELY TALKING TO FUNDS, WE ARE TALKING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO STRATEGIC PARTNERS. WE DON'T EXPECT THIS TO BE A
2 SUPER HIGH VOLUME-TYPE PARTNERSHIP. WE ARE NOT
3 LOOKING FOR A HUNDRED PARTNERS. WE'RE LOOKING FOR A
4 SELECT NUMBER OF HIGH QUALITY PARTNERS.

5 DR. MILLAN: SO IN ADDITION, WHAT HAPPENS
6 IS OUR GRANTEES GET FEEDBACK FROM THESE POTENTIAL
7 PARTNERS EARLY THAT CAN HELP THEM SHAPE THEIR
8 STRATEGY AND WHAT THEY THINK ABOUT AND DECISION
9 MAKING. THAT'S BEEN EXTREMELY VALUABLE. AND NEIL
10 AND THE TEAM HAVE BEEN ABLE TO INFLUENCE THE NATURE
11 OF THE CONVERSATIONS; WHEREAS, SOMETIMES
12 ARTIFICIALLY TALKS STOP BECAUSE OF ASSUMPTIONS, WHAT
13 HAVE YOU. CIRM BEING IN THE MIDDLE HAS HELPED TO
14 CREATE A MORE KIND OF OPEN DIALOGUE AND HAMMER OUT
15 THINGS THAT REALLY SHOULDN'T GET IN THE WAY OF
16 CONVERSATIONS.

17 AND ANOTHER THING WE'RE WORKING TOWARD IS
18 STANDARD LICENSING AGREEMENT, AT LEAST TEMPLATES TO
19 START WITH SO IT STREAMLINES UP-FRONT ISSUES, AND
20 THEN THAT CAN KIND OF SAVE TIME AND EFFORT LATER.

21 DR. JUELSGAARD: THANK YOU.

22 MR. LITTMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. UP
23 NEXT I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE KEVIN MCCORMACK, WHO'S
24 GOING TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT CIRM'S PROCESS FOR
25 GETTING OUR STORY OUT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. MC CORMACK: THANK YOU, NEIL.
2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, FRIENDS, AND
3 COLLEAGUES, I'M GOING TO GIVE A BRIEF UPDATE ON
4 COMMUNICATIONS. I SAY BRIEF FOR TWO REASONS. ONE,
5 BECAUSE DR. MILLAN TOLD ME TO KEEP IT BRIEF. WE
6 ALWAYS DO WHAT DR. MILLAN WANTS. SECONDLY, BECAUSE
7 IN ABOUT TEN MINUTES ENGLAND ARE GOING TO BE PLAYING
8 BELGIUM IN THE WORLD CUP, AND MY ABILITY TO FOCUS ON
9 ANYTHING WILL BE GOING OUT THE WINDOW.

10 PICKING UP WHERE NEIL LEFT OFF, TALKING
11 ABOUT ORCHARD THERAPEUTICS, HE ANNOUNCED THAT THEY
12 DID A DEAL EARLIER THIS YEAR TO TAKE OVER GSK'S GENE
13 THERAPY PROGRAM FOR RARE DISEASES. WE ISSUED A NEWS
14 RELEASE TO EXPLORE ANOTHER SIDE OF THAT NEWS, WHICH
15 IS THAT WITHOUT CIRM, WITHOUT THE VOTING AND THE
16 SUPPORT YOU'VE GIVEN TO THIS WORK, IT WOULDN'T HAVE
17 BEEN POSSIBLE BECAUSE THE BOARD HERE VOTED SOME TIME
18 AGO TO SUPPORT DON KOHN'S WORK IN DEVELOPING A
19 TREATMENT FOR SEVERE COMBINED IMMUNODEFICIENCY OR
20 SCID. IT'S THE TREATMENT THAT HELPED CURE LITTLE
21 EVIE THERE WHOSE PICTURE IS ON THE WALL. BECAUSE OF
22 THAT WORK AND THE SUCCESS THAT DON HAS HAD, ORCHARD
23 BECAME INVOLVED. AND THAT'S NOW THEIR LEAD PROGRAM.
24 AND SINCE THEN THEY'VE OPENED UP ONE CORPORATE
25 OFFICE AND TWO MANUFACTURING FACILITIES HERE IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CALIFORNIA. SO THAT INVESTMENT IS OBVIOUSLY PAYING
2 OFF.

3 ALSO, WHEN YOU VOTE ON SOMETHING, WHEN THE
4 CIRM BOARD VOTES TO RECOMMEND SOMETHING AND APPROVE
5 SOMETHING, IT'S LIKE GIVING IT THE GOOD HOUSEKEEPING
6 SEAL OF APPROVAL BECAUSE EVERYONE KNOWS THAT IT GOES
7 THROUGH A REALLY RIGOROUS SCIENTIFIC REVIEW AND
8 ANALYSIS PROCESS. AND SO COMPANIES LIKE GSK WILL
9 LOOK AT PROGRAMS THAT WE FUND AND THINK THAT THIS IS
10 A REALLY GOOD INVESTMENT FOR THEM. SO THIS IS ONE
11 OF THE THINGS THAT HAPPENED IN THIS CASE.

12 SO WE USE NEWS RELEASES TO GIVE OUR SIDE
13 OF THE STORY, TO EXPLORE THE FACT THAT THESE THINGS,
14 IT'S GREAT THAT THEY DID THIS DEAL, BUT WITHOUT OUR
15 EARLY SUPPORT, THAT WOULDN'T HAVE HAPPENED.

16 OTHER THINGS WE USE NEWS RELEASES FOR ARE
17 TO TELL OUR STORY ABOUT THE RESEARCH THAT YOU
18 APPROVE HERE. IN THIS CASE THIS WAS DR. TIPPI
19 MACKENZIE AT UCSF WHO DEVELOPED A THERAPY OR A
20 CLINICAL TRIAL FOR ALPHA THALASSEMIA MAJOR, A REALLY
21 RARE, BUT KIND OF FATAL IMMUNE CONDITION THAT
22 AFFECTS CHILDREN IN UTERO. WHEN SHE WAS ABLE TO
23 TREAT THE FIRST PATIENT WITH THAT, THIS COUPLE HERE
24 WERE ABLE TO DELIVER A HEALTHY CHILD. IT WAS
25 OBVIOUSLY BIG NEWS. IT WAS IN BOTH THE *NEW YORK*

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 *TIMES*, THE *SAN JOSE MERCURY NEWS*, AND A NUMBER OF
2 OTHER OUTLETS AS WELL. THAT'S ALWAYS GREAT
3 EXPOSURE, NOT JUST FOR THE WORK THAT TIPPI MACKENZIE
4 IS DOING, BUT ALSO THE FACT THAT WE'VE BEEN
5 SUPPORTING HER WORK FOR SOME TIME. AND SO WE'RE
6 ABLE TO GIVE PEOPLE SUPPORT AT A REALLY EARLY STAGE
7 AND HELP ADVANCE THEM TO THE POINT WHERE WE CAN SHOW
8 THAT IT WORKS.

9 THIS IS PARTICULARLY TRUE FOR RARE
10 DISEASES BECAUSE PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES AND BIG
11 VENTURE CAPITALIST COMPANIES OBVIOUSLY ARE NOT GOING
12 TO GET INVOLVED IN SOMETHING THAT HAS A VERY LIMITED
13 POTENTIAL FOR PAYBACK.

14 WE'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN A LOT OF OTHER NEWS
15 COVERAGE AS WELL. WE WORKED WITH NBC 7 IN SAN DIEGO
16 TO HELP THEM DO A STORY ABOUT MANY OF THE STEM CELL
17 CLINICS THAT ARE POPPING UP ALL OVER CALIFORNIA AND
18 AROUND THE COUNTRY TO DO OFTEN UNPROVEN THERAPIES.
19 DR. MILLAN HAS DONE A NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS WITH THE
20 VARIOUS NEWS OUTLETS, AND WE'VE HAD STORIES IN THE
21 *SAN DIEGO UNION TRIBUNE*, KQV NEWS, KQED RADIO. SO
22 WE'RE TRYING TO COVER AS MANY DIFFERENT AREAS AS
23 POSSIBLE.

24 WE DON'T JUST RELY ON TRADITIONAL MEDIA.
25 WE ALSO USE SOCIAL MEDIA. AND RECENTLY WE HELD OUR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 VERY FIRST FACEBOOK LIVE, ASK THE EXPERT EVENT.
2 THIS IS BASICALLY USING FACEBOOK TO HOST A LIVE
3 WEBINAR. IT'S A ONE-HOUR PROGRAM. AND WE FEATURED
4 IN THIS CASE STROKE THERAPY. WE FEATURED DR. GARY
5 STEINBERG. WE WERE VERY FORTUNATE TO HAVE HIM JOIN
6 US FOR THAT. OUR OWN DR. LILA COLLINS AND DR.
7 STEINBERG BROUGHT ALONG A PATIENT. FOR ONE HOUR
8 THEY TALKED ABOUT THE WORK THAT THEY'RE DOING, THE
9 WORK THAT CIRM IS FUNDING, AND SOME OF THE OTHER
10 PROGRAMS OUT THERE THAT AFFECTED PEOPLE WHO HAD
11 STROKE. AND THE RESPONSE WAS REALLY QUITE AMAZING.
12 IT WAS THE FIRST TIME WE'D DONE SOMETHING LIKE THIS,
13 SO WE WERE INTERESTED TO SEE HOW IT WOULD WORK.

14 EVEN THOUGH AT THE PEAK OF THE BROADCAST
15 WE HAD 91 PEOPLE INVOLVED, BECAUSE AS SOON AS THE
16 BROADCAST ENDS, IT GETS ARCHIVED. PEOPLE CAN WATCH
17 IT ANY TIME THEY WANT, ANYWHERE THEY WANT. AND SO
18 WE'VE SINCE HAD 6700, MORE THAN 6700 PEOPLE VIEWING
19 IT. NOT JUST VIEWING IT. THEY'RE ENGAGED IN THAT
20 THEY'RE SHARING IT WITH FRIENDS. EVEN WHEN IT
21 WASN'T LIVE, THEY WERE MAKING COMMENTS ON IT, WHICH
22 HAS BEEN REALLY INTERESTING TO SEE THE IMPACT IT
23 HAS.

24 THE TOP VIEWING LOCATIONS, CALIFORNIA,
25 OBVIOUSLY BECAUSE WE'RE CALIFORNIA BASED. IT WAS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 INTERESTING TO SEE THAT TOKYO CAME SECOND, AND I
2 THINK THAT SPEAKS TO THE FACT THAT WE ARE A GLOBAL
3 ORGANIZATION. OUR IMPACT IS GLOBAL, BUT ALSO THE
4 FACT THAT STROKE IS A GLOBAL PHENOMENA. AND WE ARE
5 KIND OF REACHING OUT AND TALKING TO THAT COMMUNITY.
6 WE'RE GOING TO BE DOING SOME MORE FACEBOOK LIVE
7 EVENTS IN THE COMING MONTHS. WE HAVE ONE FOR SICKLE
8 CELL DISEASE AND THEN ALSO ONE FOR ALS. AND THAT'S
9 COMING UP AT THE END OF JULY AND THEN ALSO AT THE
10 END OF AUGUST. SO WE'LL BE SHARING DETAILS WITH YOU
11 ABOUT THOSE AS THEY BECOME AVAILABLE.

12 BUT, OF COURSE, DOING STUFF ON LINE AND
13 THROUGH THE MEDIA IS IMPORTANT, BUT WE ALSO LIKE TO
14 KIND OF DO THE IN-PERSON EVENTS AS WELL BECAUSE
15 THOSE ARE A REALLY POWERFUL WAY OF TOUCHING PEOPLE
16 OR GETTING THE WORD OUT. EARLIER THIS YEAR DR. DEAS
17 INVITED US TO HOLD AN EVENT AT UC RIVERSIDE, FOR
18 WHICH WE'RE GRATEFUL. DR. MALKAS MADE THE TREK FROM
19 CITY OF HOPE. AND WE TURNED IT INTO A KIND OF
20 ROADSHOW PATIENT ADVOCATE EVENT WHERE WE TALKED TO
21 THE RESEARCHERS ABOUT FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES, BUT
22 ALSO TO PATIENT ADVOCATES AND PATIENTS ABOUT THE
23 KIND OF WORK THAT WE'RE DOING AND THE PROGRESS
24 THAT'S BEING MADE. AND WE HAD A ROOM THAT WE
25 THOUGHT WOULD BE FINE. IT WAS ABOUT 120 PEOPLE, BUT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IT WAS AN OVERFLOW CROWD. WE DIDN'T HAVE ENOUGH
2 ROOM FOR EVERYONE WHO WANTED TO SHOW UP. I THINK
3 THAT SPEAKS TO THE FACT THAT THERE'S A LOT OF
4 PROMISE OUT THERE AND A LOT OF HOPE, AND A LOT OF
5 PEOPLE FIND OUT WHAT'S GOING ON. AND SO WE HOPE TO
6 DO MORE OF THOSE EVENTS IN THE COMING MONTHS, AND
7 WE'LL BE SHARING NEWS ABOUT THOSE AS THEY BECOME
8 AVAILABLE.

9 WITH THAT, I'LL HAND OVER TO MY COLLEAGUE
10 PAT OLSON.

11 DR. OLSON: THANK YOU AND GOOD MORNING.
12 I'D LIKE TO FINISH UP WITH JUST TELLING YOU WHAT
13 WE'RE DOING TO FURTHER HELP PROMOTE THE SUCCESS OF
14 OUR GRANTEES IN THE EARLY STAGE OF DEVELOPMENT. SO
15 WHAT WE'VE DONE IS WE'RE INITIATING AND PUTTING IN
16 PLACE WHAT WE'RE CALLING TRANSLATIONAL ADVISORY
17 PANELS. AS MANY OF YOU KNOW, WHEN YOU MOVE FROM THE
18 RESEARCH PHASE INTO EARLY DEVELOPMENT, THIS IS A
19 VERY CHALLENGING TIME BECAUSE HERE YOU HAVE TO TAKE
20 YOUR RESEARCH PROCESS, YOU HAVE TO MAKE IT A
21 REGULATED PROCESS. YOU HAVE TO SHOW THAT YOU CAN
22 PUT IN PLACE A MANUFACTURING PROCESS THAT GIVES
23 YOU -- THAT IS APPROPRIATE SCALE FOR THE CLINICAL
24 TRIAL YOU INTEND TO CONDUCT, THAT ESSENTIALLY CAN
25 GIVE YOU A CONSISTENT PRODUCT CANDIDATE. SO THAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MEANS ASSAYS, ALL THOSE RESEARCH ASSAYS, ALL THAT
2 WORK YOU'VE DONE IN RESEARCH HAS TO BE TRANSLATED
3 INTO SOMETHING THAT YOU CAN USE TO SHOW THAT YOU
4 HAVE A GOOD PRODUCT IN DEVELOPMENT. SO IT'S A
5 CHALLENGING TIME.

6 SO WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS WE'RE TRYING
7 TO ACCELERATE THE TIMELINES. WE'RE TRYING TO
8 INCREASE THE PROBABILITY THAT OUR GRANTEES WILL MEET
9 THEIR MILESTONES IN TIME AND, MOST IMPORTANTLY,
10 INCREASE THE CHANCES OF PROGRESSING TO THE NEXT
11 STAGE OF DEVELOPMENT. YOU MAY RECALL THAT THE GOAL
12 OF OUR TRAN STAGE PROGRAMS IS TO HOLD A PRE-IND
13 MEETING, WHICH IS WHAT YOU DID GENERALLY BEFORE YOU
14 DO YOUR PIVOTAL STUDIES AND FILE YOUR IND.

15 OUR SOLUTION, THE TAP, IS MODELED ON THE
16 CLINICAL ADVISORY PANELS. AND WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO
17 DO IS INCREASE THE EXPERTISE THAT'S AVAILABLE TO THE
18 PROJECT TEAM TO SOLVE ISSUES.

19 SO THE MEMBERSHIP, AGAIN SOMEWHAT
20 MIRRORING THAT OF THE CLINICAL ADVISORY PANELS, IS
21 THERE WILL BE THE CIRM PROGRAM OFFICER AND USUALLY
22 ONE OR TWO OTHER CIRM PARTICIPANTS. THERE WILL BE
23 EXTERNAL SCIENTIFIC EXPERTS USUALLY IN THE AREA OF
24 PROCESS SCALE-UP, POSSIBLY IN PRECLINICAL MODELS, OR
25 THE DISEASE TARGET OF INTEREST. AND THEN THERE WILL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ALSO BE A PATIENT ADVISOR WHO WILL REPRESENT THE
2 SUBJECT POPULATION WHO IS LIKELY TO BE THE SUBJECTS
3 OF THE CLINICAL TRIAL.

4 FINALLY, THE CURRENT STATUS, THIS IS
5 RELATIVELY NEW. WE HAVE THE ADMINISTRATIVE, LEGAL,
6 AND I.T. INFRASTRUCTURE IN PLACE. WE'VE ACTUALLY
7 GOT THE FIRST TWO MEETINGS ALREADY SCHEDULED FOR
8 JULY. WE'RE HOLDING THE FIRST ONE NEXT MONDAY.
9 WE'VE RECRUITED, OBVIOUSLY, THE SCIENTIFIC AND THE
10 PATIENT ADVISORS FOR THAT PARTICULAR -- FOR THOSE
11 PARTICULAR MEETINGS. AND I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT
12 THAT KENT FITZGERALD, WHO IS THE ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR
13 OF THE DISCOVERY AND TRANSLATIONAL PROGRAM, IS
14 LEADING THIS EFFORT. AND THEN AMY, WHO DOES
15 EVERYTHING, IS ACTING AS -- IS HANDLING PROGRAM
16 LOGISTICS.

17 SO WITH THAT, I'M HAPPY TO TAKE ANY
18 QUESTIONS. AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. OLSON, COULD YOU
20 JUST BRIEFLY COMMENT. YOU JUST CAME BACK FROM ISSCR
21 WHICH, AS EVERYBODY KNOWS, IS THE MAJOR GATHERING OF
22 THE WORLD STEM CELL SCIENTISTS THIS YEAR IN
23 MELBOURNE. DR. OLSON AND DR. FITZGERALD WERE THERE.
24 JUST ANY COMMENTS, TAKEAWAYS?

25 DR. OLSON: I ACTUALLY DO SEE A SHIFT IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THEY ARE -- I THINK ISSCR HAS BEEN TRYING TO
2 EMPHASIZE THE FACT THAT THEY'RE MOVING INTO A
3 TRANSLATIONAL SPACE, BUT I THINK YOU'RE SEEING THAT
4 MORE NOW. SO A LOT OF THE PEOPLE WHO SPOKE TALKED
5 ABOUT SOME OF THE SUCCESSES THEY'RE STARTING TO HAVE
6 IN THAT SPACE.

7 OBVIOUSLY ISSCR SPEAKS FOR ALL THE STEM
8 CELL SCIENTISTS IN THE WORLD. AND, YOU KNOW, THE
9 EXCITING BASIC RESEARCH THAT'S GOING ON, THE USE OF
10 ORGANOIDS TO MODEL DISEASES AND TO HELP DETERMINE
11 SORT OF MECHANISMS OF DISEASES, ALSO WAYS TO TEST
12 POTENTIAL THERAPEUTICS, THAT WAS, I THINK, ONE OF
13 THE HIGHLIGHTS AS WELL. ANY OTHER POINTS?

14 DR. MARTIN: I HAVE A QUICK QUESTION.
15 I'VE KNOWN HER LONG ENOUGH SO I CAN CALL HER PATTY.
16 THE RECIPIENTS OF THE ADVICE, ARE THERE CIRM STAFF
17 OR DOES IT INCLUDE THE AWARDEES?

18 DR. OLSON: NO. NO. NO. SO A SPECIFIC
19 GRANTEE. SO IF WE HAD A -- TRANSLATION STAGE
20 PROGRAMS ARE EARLY DEVELOPMENT STAGE PROGRAMS. AND
21 IT IS THE GRANTEE THAT WE ARE PUTTING -- WE ARE
22 GETTING CIRM INTERNAL PEOPLE, WE'RE GETTING EXTERNAL
23 EXPERTS TO MEET WITH THEIR TEAM TO DEAL WITH ISSUES
24 THAT THEY MAY HAVE, AND TO HELP FACILITATE THEY'RE
25 SUCCESSFULLY MOVING THEIR PROGRAM FORWARD. SO IT'S

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TAILORED TO A SPECIFIC GRANTEE TEAM.

2 DR. MARTIN: IS THAT A PUSH OR A PULL FOR
3 THE GRANTEE? ARE YOU PUSHING THAT TO THEM, OR ARE
4 THEY PULLING IT?

5 DR. OLSON: I THINK THERE'S PROBABLY A
6 LITTLE BIT OF BOTH. I THINK WE'RE TRYING TO HELP
7 THEM ADDRESS THINGS BEFORE THEY BECOME ISSUES. AND
8 I THINK THE RESPONSE, AT LEAST FROM THE CLINICAL
9 ADVISORY PANELS, I THINK HAS BEEN PRETTY UNIFORMLY
10 POSITIVE. AND ESPECIALLY A LOT OF TIMES WITH OUR
11 TRAN STAGE PROGRAMS, THEY HAVE GOOD TEAMS.
12 OBVIOUSLY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP HAS DONE ITS JOB
13 IN SAYING THIS IS A GOOD TEAM. BUT, YOU KNOW, WE'RE
14 WORKING WITH VERY NOVEL THERAPEUTIC STRATEGIES.
15 WE'RE WORKING WITH THINGS WHERE THERE'S NOT A LOT OF
16 EXPERIENCE IN THE FIELD IN, SAY, AN AUTOLOGOUS
17 GENE-EDITED CELL THERAPY. AND SO THE EXPERTISE --
18 BRINGING IN EXPERTS WHO CAN TALK ABOUT THOSE THINGS
19 AND WHO HAVE BEEN THERE AND WHO MAYBE CAN GIVE THEM
20 SOME HINTS OR GIVE THEIR CMC PERSON, IT'S A FORUM TO
21 ADDRESS ISSUES AND TRY AND RESOLVE THEM BEFORE THEY
22 DELAY THE PROJECT TOO MUCH.

23 ANY OTHER QUESTIONS THAT I CAN ANSWER?

24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: JUST WANTED TO POINT
25 OUT AGAIN THIS WHOLE NOTION OF THE ADVISORY PANEL IS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ONE OF THE UNIQUE FEATURES OF CIRM. THERE'S NO
2 OTHER MAJOR GRANTING AGENCY THAT FOLLOWS UP IN THE
3 FASHION THAT WE DO AND MEETS REGULARLY WITH GRANTEEES
4 TO HELP IMPROVE THE PROJECTS AS THEY PROCEED THROUGH
5 THE PERIOD THAT WE ARE GRANTING. AS DR. OLSON SAYS,
6 IT'S BEEN VERY POSITIVELY RECEIVED BY THE CLINICAL
7 TRIAL GRANTEEES. I'M SURE IT WILL BE LIKEWISE FOR
8 THIS, BUT IT'S SOMETHING THAT REALLY ADDS TREMENDOUS
9 VALUE AND GIVES THE PROJECTS AT ISSUE A CHANCE TO
10 BETTER SUCCEED. SO THANK YOU ALL OF YOU WHO WORK ON
11 THESE ADVISORY PANEL PROJECTS. THANK YOU.

12 OKAY. DR. MILLAN, ARE WE NOW UP TO CHILA?

13 DR. MILLAN: I BELIEVE THAT'S IT FOR THE
14 PRESIDENT'S REPORT. CHILA HAS AN ACTION ITEM ON THE
15 BUDGET PROPOSAL. SO I THINK IT'S LATER ON IN THE
16 AGENDA. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, EVERYBODY, FOR YOUR
17 ATTENTION. AND I'M HAPPY TO TAKE ANY FINAL
18 QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS AGGREGATE PRESENTATION.

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY COMMENTS?

20 DR. DIXON: NICELY DONE.

21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
22 EXCELLENT WORK BY ALL CONCERNED. SO THANK YOU VERY
23 MUCH AGAIN.

24 OKAY. WE ARE GOING TO JUST BRIEFLY -- WE
25 HAVE THREE ITEMS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR WHICH YOU

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CAN SEE FROM YOUR AGENDA. I DON'T BELIEVE ANY OF
2 THEM REQUIRE MUCH DISCUSSION. IF ANYBODY HAS ANY
3 QUESTIONS OR WOULD LIKE TO TAKE ANY OF THOSE ITEMS
4 OFF CONSENT PLEASE SPEAK NOW. OTHERWISE, DO I HEAR
5 A MOTION TO APPROVE ITEMS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR?

6 MR. TORRES: SO MOVED.

7 DR. BURTIS: SECOND.

8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY SENATOR
9 TORRES, SECONDED BY DR. BURTIS. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR
10 PLEASE SAY AYE.

11 MR. TOCHER: PUBLIC COMMENT.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: RIGHT. SORRY. THANK
13 YOU. ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR?
14 HEARING NONE, TRY THAT AGAIN. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR
15 PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? MR. TOCHER, DO YOU HAVE
16 TO POLL THOSE ON THE PHONE FOR CONSENT?

17 MR. TOCHER: INDEED WE DO. LINDA BOXER.

18 DR. BOXER: YES.

19 MR. TOCHER: JACK DIXON.

20 DR. DIXON: YES.

21 MR. TOCHER: BERT LUBIN.

22 DR. LUBIN: YES.

23 MR. TOCHER: LAUREN MILLER.

24 MS. MILLER: YES.

25 MR. TOCHER: OS STEWARD.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. STEWARD: YES.
2 MR. TOCHER: KRISTINA VUORI.
3 DR. VUORI: YES.
4 MR. TOCHER: DID I MISS ANY MEMBERS ON THE
5 PHONE?
6 DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES, GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
7 MS. WINOKUR: I VOTE YES.
8 MR. TOCHER: AND CHANCELLOR BLUMENTHAL.
9 DR. BLUMENTHAL: I VOTE YES AS WELL.
10 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND THE
11 MOTION CARRIES.
12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. SO WE'RE
13 NOW GOING TO MOVE ON TO THE ACTION ITEMS, FIRST OF
14 WHICH IS DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING
15 PROGRAMMATIC TOOLS. WE'RE GOING TO BEGIN WITH A
16 PRESENTATION BY DR. SAMBRANO AND THEN TURN THE
17 DISCUSSION OVER TO SUPERVISOR SHEEHY.
18 DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
19 GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE.
20 SO THE PRESENTATION, THE WAY WE'VE
21 ORGANIZED IT, IS HOPEFULLY TO FACILITATE A
22 DISCUSSION BY YOU ABOUT THE PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW. SO
23 WHAT I'M GOING TO START WITH IS JUST A VERY BRIEF
24 OVERVIEW OF THE REVIEW PROCESS AS IT EXISTS, WHAT
25 THE ELEMENTS ARE JUST TO PROVIDE THE CONTEXT FOR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WHERE PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW FITS IN. AFTER THAT WE'LL
2 GO ON TO A DISCUSSION, MR. SHEEHY WILL LEAD THAT
3 DISCUSSION, ON ELEMENTS PERTAINING TO PROGRAMMATIC
4 REVIEW AND HOW THAT MAY BE CONDUCTED.

5 AND THEN I HAVE A SET OF SLIDES THAT OFFER
6 SOME POSSIBLE TOOLS THAT WOULD HELP SUPPORT YOU IN
7 YOUR DISCUSSION ABOUT APPLICATIONS AS THEY COME IN,
8 THINGS THAT WE CAN PROVIDE. AND THESE CAN JUST BE
9 EXAMPLES, SO IT'S OPEN TO SUGGESTIONS FROM YOU IN
10 TERMS OF WHAT ELSE WE CAN PROVIDE OR IN WHAT FASHION
11 WE CAN PROVIDE IT.

12 SO TO BEGIN, THE REVIEW PROCESS OVERALL IS
13 CONDUCTED IN THREE MAJOR PHASES, AND SO THOSE ARE
14 ILLUSTRATED ON THIS SLIDE. WHEN WE RECEIVE AN
15 APPLICATION, IT FIRST GOES THROUGH THE ELIGIBILITY
16 ASSESSMENT. AND WE LOOK THERE BASICALLY FOR
17 COMPLETENESS, MAKE SURE THE APPLICANT HAS ADDRESSED
18 ALL OF THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA THAT ARE PRESENTED
19 IN THE SOLICITATION, AND ULTIMATELY JUST ASKING THE
20 QUESTION CAN CIRM ACCEPT THIS APPLICATION IN ORDER
21 TO MOVE IT THROUGH THE REVIEW PROCESS.

22 ONCE IT COMPLETES ITS ELIGIBILITY
23 ASSESSMENT, IT GOES ON TO UNDERGO A MERIT REVIEW.
24 SO THE MERIT REVIEW IS DONE BY OUR GRANTS WORKING
25 GROUP. SO THIS IS THE PANEL THAT INCLUDES 15

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SCIENTISTS, 7 PATIENT ADVOCATE MEMBERS FROM OUR
2 BOARD THAT TOGETHER ASSESS WHETHER THIS PROJECT OR
3 SET OF PROJECTS ARE SCIENTIFICALLY MERITORIOUS. AND
4 I'LL GIVE YOU A LITTLE MORE DETAIL ON WHAT THEY
5 PROVIDE.

6 AND THEN, FINALLY, THE LAST PHASE IS THE
7 RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE GWG COME TO THE ICOC, AND
8 MORE SPECIFICALLY THE APPLICATION REVIEW
9 SUBCOMMITTEE, THAT MAKES THE FINAL FUNDING DECISION
10 ON THOSE APPLICATIONS AND LARGELY ASKING THE
11 QUESTION: IS THIS A PROJECT THAT CIRM SHOULD FUND?

12 SO IN LIGHT OF THOSE THREE DIFFERENT
13 PHASES, WE'LL FOCUS IN JUST ON THE GWG AS A REMINDER
14 OF THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT THEY LOOK AT. SO THEY
15 CONDUCT THIS REVIEW WITH FOUR MAJOR QUESTIONS IN
16 MIND. SO THESE ARE THE GUIDING PRINCIPLES UNDER
17 WHICH THEY ASSESS AND SCORE AN APPLICATION. AND
18 THESE ARE OVERARCHING THEMES THAT APPLY TO MOST OF
19 THE APPLICATIONS THAT ARE RECEIVED, WHETHER THEY'RE
20 DISCOVERY, TRANSLATIONAL, OR CLINICAL.

21 SO THE FIRST QUESTION IS DOES THE PROJECT
22 HOLD THE NECESSARY SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL FOR
23 IMPACT. SO THIS IS ASKING THE QUESTION OF WHAT
24 VALUE IT IS THAT THE APPLICATION OR THE PROPOSAL IS
25 BRINGING TO THE FIELD, TO PATIENTS, TO THE GOALS OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE RFA OR THE PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT THAT WE PUT OUT?
2 IS IT ADDRESSING AN UNMET MEDICAL NEED? AND OVERALL
3 WHAT IMPACT IS IT GOING TO HAVE ON THAT UNMET NEED?

4 THE NEXT QUESTION THEY ADDRESS IS WHETHER
5 THE RATIONALE IS SOUND. SO THIS IS ASKING WHETHER
6 THE APPLICANTS HAVE A GOOD SCIENTIFIC OR CLINICAL
7 BASIS FOR WHAT THEY'RE PROPOSING TO DO, THAT THEY
8 HAVE SUPPORTIVE DATA, A LOT OF TIMES PRELIMINARY
9 EXPERIMENTS, THAT SHOW THAT THIS IS SOMETHING THAT
10 IS REASONABLE AND CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED.

11 THE THIRD QUESTION IS WHETHER THE PROJECT
12 IS WELL-PLANNED AND DESIGNED. SO WANTING TO KNOW IF
13 THEY'VE LAID OUT A PLAN THAT IS GOING TO LEAD TO
14 MEASURABLE OUTCOMES AND RESULTS THAT ARE TARGETED
15 TOWARDS THE GOAL OR THE INTENDED GOAL OF THE
16 PROJECT.

17 AND THEN, FINALLY, THE QUESTION OF WHETHER
18 IS THIS A PROJECT THAT'S FEASIBLE. IS IT SOMETHING
19 THAT THEY CAN DO? AND THAT MAY INCLUDE THINGS SUCH
20 AS DO THEY HAVE THE NECESSARY RESOURCES? DO THEY
21 HAVE QUALIFIED INDIVIDUALS ON THEIR TEAM TO ACHIEVE
22 THE GOALS OF THE PROJECT? AND CAN THEY DO IT WITHIN
23 THE TIME THAT IS PROPOSED OR REQUIRED UNDER THE
24 SOLICITATION?

25 SO THOSE ARE THE MAJOR QUESTIONS THAT THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GWG ASKS. THAT'S WHAT, WHEN WE HAVE ANY OF THESE
2 MEETINGS, GUIDE THEM AND POINT THEM TO IN ORDER FOR
3 THEM TO PROVIDE A SCORE THAT ULTIMATELY COMES TO
4 YOU.

5 AND AS YOU ALREADY KNOW, AND THIS IS AGAIN
6 JUST A REMINDER, THAT THE SCORING THAT WE HAVE FOR
7 THESE APPLICATIONS KIND OF COMES IN TWO DIFFERENT
8 FLAVORS. SO WE HAVE FOR THE DISCOVERY AND
9 TRANSLATION APPLICATIONS, WHICH ARE REVIEWED AS A
10 COHORT OF APPLICATIONS, THEY ARE SCORED ON A SCALE
11 OF ONE TO A HUNDRED, AND THERE'S TWO CATEGORIES THAT
12 THEY CAN FALL INTO, THE RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING IF
13 THEY SCORE ABOVE 85 OR NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING
14 IF THEY SCORE BELOW THAT.

15 FOR OUR CLINICAL APPLICATIONS, WE HAVE A
16 BIT OF A UNIQUE SCORING SYSTEM WHERE APPLICATIONS
17 ARE SCORED AS A 1, 2, OR A 3, WITH THE 1 MEANING
18 THAT THEY HAVE EXCEPTIONAL MERIT AND THEY WOULD
19 WARRANT FUNDING. THE SCORE OF 2 IS ONE THAT ALLOWS
20 THE APPLICANT TO RAPIDLY RESPOND TO CONCERNS FROM
21 THE GWG AND RESUBMIT WITHOUT HAVING TO START THE
22 WHOLE APPLICATION PROCESS. IT'S BASICALLY TAKING
23 COMMENTS THAT CAME FROM THE REVIEW, DIRECTLY
24 ADDRESSING THEM, AND THEN THE GWG CAN DETERMINE
25 WHETHER THEIR CONCERNS HAVE BEEN ADEQUATELY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ADDRESSED OR NOT. AND THEN, FINALLY, A SCORE OF 3,
2 WHICH OFTEN MEANS THAT EITHER THE APPLICATION IS
3 FLAWED TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY DON'T FEEL THAT THEY
4 CAN RESOLVE THOSE WITHIN SIX MONTHS. SOMETIMES IT
5 MAY JUST MEAN THEY NEED TO DO SEVERAL EXPERIMENTS,
6 AND THEY FEEL IT'S GOING TO TAKE MORE THAN SIX
7 MONTHS, AND THEY SHOULDN'T COME BACK BEFORE THAT
8 TIME.

9 SO THOSE ARE THE ELEMENTS THAT THE GWG
10 USES TO ASSESS THE APPLICATIONS AND TO SCORE THEM,
11 AND THEN ULTIMATELY THOSE ARE ELEMENTS THAT COME TO
12 THE ICOC AS PART OF THE RECOMMENDATION. AND SO JUST
13 ON THIS SLIDE VERY BRIEFLY, WHAT IS THEN
14 PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW THAT HAPPENS AT THE ICOC? JUST
15 THE FIRST TWO BULLETS POINT TO WHAT YOU NORMALLY
16 CONSIDER. WE PROVIDE TO YOU A SUMMARY OF THE REVIEW
17 THAT COMES FROM COMMENTS FROM THE GWG. WE PROVIDE
18 YOU WITH A SCORE AND ANY RECOMMENDATION FROM THE
19 CIRM STAFF ITSELF FOR ANY ISSUES THAT WE'VE NOTICED,
20 OUR CONCURRENCE WITH THE GWG RECOMMENDATION. AND
21 THEN THE SUBCOMMITTEE, THE APPLICATION REVIEW
22 SUBCOMMITTEE, MAY CONSIDER MANY DIFFERENT FACTORS.
23 AND SOME OF THESE ARE ACTUALLY PRESENTED IN THE
24 BYLAWS FOR THE ICOC RELATED TO REVIEW.

25 SO THEY ARE THINGS SUCH AS THE MISSION OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CIRM, THE PORTFOLIO AND HOW THE APPLICATION MAY FIT
2 WITHIN THE PORTFOLIO, THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAM
3 ANNOUNCEMENT OR THE RFA, UNMET MEDICAL NEED, OR THE
4 OVERALL BUDGET. A LOT OF TIMES THE BUDGET MAY
5 DETERMINE. FOR EXAMPLE, IF WE HAVE SET A SPECIFIC
6 ALLOCATION, WE CAN FIT ONLY A CERTAIN NUMBER OF --
7 OR FUND ONLY A CERTAIN NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS WITH
8 THAT BUDGET. SO SOMETIMES DISCUSSIONS GO IN THAT
9 MANNER.

10 SO HERE I WILL TURN IT OVER TO MR. SHEEHY
11 JUST TO LEAD A DISCUSSION ON WHAT PROGRAMMATIC
12 REVIEW MAY BE ABOUT.

13 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU, DR.
14 SAMBRANO. SO ONE OF THE REASONS WE'RE DOING THIS IS
15 WE'RE GETTING TOWARDS THE END OF OUR FUNDING. FOR
16 THOSE WHO HAVE BEEN AROUND FOR A WHILE, DR. PRIETO,
17 COUPLE OTHERS, WE USED TO HAVE QUITE VIGOROUS
18 PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW IN THE EARLY DAYS AND IT
19 ACTUALLY HAD A DIFFERENT BIAS. THE BIAS WAS USING A
20 LOT OF THESE FACTORS, AND WE WERE BRINGING PEOPLE
21 WHO WERE ON THE CUSP OF BEING FUNDED, WE'RE BRINGING
22 THEM UP AND FUNDING THEM. AND PART OF THAT -- A BIG
23 MOTIVATION FOR THAT WAS WE WERE REALLY DEVELOPING
24 NEW TECHNOLOGY, AND WE REALLY WANTED TO STIMULATE
25 THE FIELD. AND IT REALLY DID GIVE A BOOST TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EVERYBODY IN CALIFORNIA. AND SOME OF THOSE ONES
2 THAT WE PULLED UP ACTUALLY TURNED OUT TO BE
3 SUCCESSFUL.

4 SO IT WAS NOT AN IRRATIONAL EXERCISE TO
5 REALLY LOOK AT SOME OF THESE FACTORS AND TO SAY,
6 HEY, THIS ONE WAS CLOSE. IT DIDN'T QUITE MAKE IT.
7 OUR SCORING WAS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT TOO. WE
8 DIDN'T HAVE THE 1, 2, 3. SO YOU'D HAVE ONES WHO HAD
9 SOME MINOR FLAWS THAT DIDN'T QUITE MAKE IT. AND SO
10 THAT WAS THE PROCESS WE USED EARLIER. FOR THE LAST
11 FEW YEARS IT'S ALL BEEN FAIRLY LINEAR. WE'VE BEEN
12 LARGELY GUIDED BY ALMOST EXCLUSIVELY THE SCORES OF
13 THE WORKING GROUP, WHICH IS GREAT AND REASONABLE.
14 STEVE AND I TALKED ABOUT THIS A LITTLE BIT OVER THE
15 LAST COUPLE OF YEARS.

16 AS WE START TO GET TO THE FINAL AMOUNT OF
17 MONEY, IT FEELS TO ME, AT LEAST MY VIEW IS, AND I
18 HAVE NOT BEEN VOTING FOR EVERY APPLICATION THE LAST
19 TWO COUPLE OF REVIEWS, AND THERE ARE QUESTIONS ABOUT
20 WHETHER, EVEN THOUGH SOMETHING IS SCIENTIFICALLY
21 MERITORIOUS, DO WE REALLY NEED TO BE THE AGENCY THAT
22 FUNDS IT? AND I THINK I PERSONALLY HAVE BEEN
23 FEELING, AS WE GET TO THE END OF OUR FUNDING, THAT
24 I'M USING A DIFFERENT SET OF CRITERIA, THAT I'M
25 FEELING A SENSE OF PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW, WHICH IS THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DUTY OF THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE, IS TO
2 IMPOSE ADDITIONAL CRITERIA TO MAKE SURE THAT
3 WHATEVER WE'RE FUNDING MEETS CIRM'S MISSION. AND
4 FOR ME I KIND OF FEEL LIKE I PERSONALLY WANT TO
5 PRESERVE THAT FUNDING FOR THINGS THAT ARE MORE
6 ALIGNED WITH OUR MISSION. BUT I THINK WE'RE HEADING
7 TO A PLACE WHERE THE DISCUSSIONS ARE GOING TO BE
8 MORE ROBUST, AND WE MAY END UP NOT DECIDING TO FUND
9 SOME APPLICATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN SCORED FUNDABLE BY
10 THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.

11 AND SO IN ORDER TO BE ABSOLUTELY
12 TRANSPARENT WITH OUR GRANTEES, IT SEEMS REASONABLE
13 TO HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS SO THAT SOME SENSE
14 OF THE CRITERIA THAT WE MAY BE USING COLLECTIVELY TO
15 MAKE THESE DECISIONS CAN BE PROVIDED, MAYBE PROVIDE
16 SOME STRUCTURE TO HOW WE MAKE THOSE DECISIONS.

17 I KNOW DR. MARTIN IN THE LAST ONE WAS
18 REALLY QUESTIONING WHETHER AN APPLICATION WAS REALLY
19 IN SCOPE. WAS IT "STEMMY" ENOUGH FOR US? AND
20 ESPECIALLY AS WE FUND SOME OF OUR LATE STAGE, LIKE
21 PHASE 3 TRIALS, WHERE IF IT'S NOT A REAL -- IN FACT,
22 RANDY, WHEN HE WAS REVIEWING, COINED THE TERM
23 "CIRMY." IF NOT FOR CIRM, WHO WOULD FUND THIS? AND
24 SO I REALLY THOUGHT AND I THINK, AGAIN TALKING TO
25 STEVE AND OS, THAT WE SHOULD HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THIS AND REALLY KIND OF TEST THE QUESTION BECAUSE WE
2 ARE, AS WE KNOW, GETTING TO THE LAST OF OUR MONEY.
3 AND I THINK IF WE COULD JUST OPEN UP A DISCUSSION
4 AND ASK OURSELVES DO WE WANT TO REALLY HAVE A
5 VIGOROUS PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW IN THE APPLICATION
6 REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO REALLY ASK OURSELVES IS THIS,
7 WITH THE REMAINING DOLLARS WE HAVE, AND HOPEFULLY
8 2020 WE GET REUPPED, AND THE REMAINING DOLLARS WE
9 HAVE, DO WE REALLY WANT TO FOCUS MORE BASED ON
10 CERTAIN CRITERIA ON PROJECTS THAT TO US FEEL MORE
11 APPROPRIATE FOR THE MISSION OF THIS AGENCY THAN
12 MAYBE SOME OTHERS.

13 AND I KNOW PART OF THE REASON WE'VE BEEN
14 VERY AGGRESSIVE IN TRYING TO REACH 50 CLINICAL
15 TRIALS. WE'RE AT 49. RIGHT? BUT I THINK THIS IS A
16 QUESTION WE NEED TO ASK. AND I'D BE HAPPY TO OPEN
17 UP THE DISCUSSION IF OTHER FOLKS HAVE THOUGHTS ABOUT
18 IT.

19 DR. HIGGINS: IT SEEMS LIKE IF WE'RE GOING
20 TO THINK ABOUT THESE THINGS IN ADVANCE, THAT WE OWE
21 IT TO APPLICANTS TO SOMEHOW REPRESENT THOSE IN THE
22 RFA SO THEY DON'T SUBMIT GRANTS THAT NEVER HAD A
23 CHANCE OF ACTUALLY MAKING IT ALL THE WAY THROUGH.
24 IS THERE SOME WAY TO DO THAT, OR DOES THAT SORT OF
25 SHORT-CIRCUIT THE VERY FLEXIBILITY YOU'RE TRYING TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 KEEP?

2 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: I'M JUST ASKING THE
3 QUESTION. I'M HAPPY TO TAKE ANY IDEAS, BUT I DO
4 THINK -- I JUST KNOW THAT, FOR INSTANCE, IF I SEE A
5 SMALL MOLECULE PRODUCT FOR ALMOST ANYTHING, I'M NOT
6 GOING TO VOTE FOR IT. THAT'S JUST WHERE MY HEAD IS
7 RIGHT NOW. I FEEL LIKE IF I SEE SOMETHING THAT
8 INVOLVES EITHER IPS, AND I'M JUST TALKING PERSONALLY
9 JUST TO GIVE YOU WHERE MY HEAD IS, OR EMBRYONIC STEM
10 CELLS, I'M ALMOST CERTAIN TO VOTE FOR IT IF IT MAKES
11 IT THROUGH THE WORKING GROUP. JUST BECAUSE WHO ELSE
12 IS REALLY FUNDING THAT IN THAT SPACE? AND THEN
13 THERE'S A WHOLE LOT OF PRODUCTS THAT FALL IN
14 BETWEEN. I WANT TO BE CANDID ABOUT THAT'S WHERE MY
15 HEAD IS. AND, AGAIN, MAYBE THAT'S SOMETHING THAT
16 GOES INTO THE RFA.

17 DR. DEAS: I CERTAINLY AGREE WITH WHAT
18 DAVID SAID. A LOT OF WORK GOES INTO PREPARING AN
19 APPLICATION. AND IF THE APPLICANTS KNOW ON THE
20 FRONT END THAT THEIR SPECIFIC THINGS THAT WILL BE
21 FUNDED AND WILL NOT, I THINK IT'S A GOOD SERVICE TO
22 THE APPLICANT, THAT THEY NOT PUT THAT TIME AND
23 EFFORT BECAUSE THEY'RE TAKING AWAY FROM SOMETHING
24 ELSE.

25 THE OTHER POINT THAT I WANTED TO MAKE IS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT I REALLY FEEL STRONGLY, AND I KNOW CIRM DOES AS
2 WELL, THAT WE SHOULD LOOK AT THE SCIENCE AND THE
3 IMPACT THAT THESE PROPOSALS WILL MAKE. I ALSO THINK
4 THAT WHILE WE ARE AT THIS STAGE WHERE WE HAVE FEWER
5 RESOURCES TO USE FOR FUNDING, WE SHOULD ALSO LOOK AT
6 THE SOCIETAL IMPACT EVEN BEYOND THE SCIENCE. AND I
7 THINK ABOUT APPLICATIONS THAT ARE RECRUITING FROM
8 POPULATIONS WHO ARE DIVERSELY REPRESENTED. AND I
9 KNOW IN THE DISCUSSION EARLIER TODAY THERE WAS A
10 REPORT ABOUT CIRM'S VISIT TO THE RIVERSIDE INLAND
11 EMPIRE, AND THAT IS A POPULATION THAT IS VERY
12 DIVERSE. AND I WONDER HOW MANY -- WHAT IS THE
13 DEMOGRAPHICS OF THE APPLICATIONS THAT WE HAVE
14 ALREADY FUNDED AND THE PARTICIPANTS IN THOSE
15 PROJECTS SO THAT IN THE END WE HAVE DATA THAT IS
16 REALLY GENERALIZABLE TO THE POPULATION AS A WHOLE.

17 SO I WONDER HOW WE MIGHT INTRODUCE THOSE
18 DIVERSITY FACTORS IN MAKING SOME DECISIONS NOW THAT
19 WE'RE AT THE FINAL LINE.

20 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DR. PRIETO.

21 DR. PRIETO: I'D LIKE TO ENDORSE WHAT DR.
22 DEAS SAID BUT ALSO REMIND EVERYONE THAT THIS NOT
23 ONLY ALIGNS WITH OUR MISSION, A MORE ROBUST
24 PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW ALIGNS WITH OUR MISSION, BUT
25 ALSO WITH THE LANGUAGE OF THE PROPOSITION, WHICH

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SAID THAT WE WOULD PREFERENTIALLY TRY TO FUND WORK
2 THAT WOULD HAVE DIFFICULTY BEING FUNDED. AND THIS
3 WAS PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT WHEN THIS WAS A NEW
4 FIELD, BUT WE'VE NOW BROUGHT THE FIELD FORWARD TO A
5 POINT THAT OTHER FUNDERS HAVE COME INTO THIS SPACE,
6 SOMETIMES IN A BIG WAY, AND THERE ARE APPLICATIONS
7 LIKE SOME OF THE SMALL MOLECULES THAT YOU MENTIONED
8 THAT MAY BE FUNDABLE, MAY HAVE SCIENTIFIC MERIT
9 UNDER OUR CRITERIA, BUT ARE ALSO FUNDABLE FROM OTHER
10 SOURCES. AND WE NEED TO LOOK AT WHERE WE NEED TO
11 PUT OUR LIMITED RESOURCE.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO THE ISSUE SUPERVISOR
13 SHEEHY RAISES IS NOT JUST SORT OF IN SOME SENSES
14 THEORETICAL OR PHILOSOPHICAL, BUT WE'RE GOING TO BE
15 SHORTLY FACED WITH DECISIONS THAT NEED TO BE MADE
16 WHERE WE HAVE GWG RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUNDING ON A
17 PARTICULAR ROUND OF GRANTS THAT EXCEED THE BUDGET
18 FOR THAT PARTICULAR TYPE OF GRANT. AND AS YOU
19 RECALL, WE HAVE SET FORTH VERY SPECIFIC NUMBERS WITH
20 RESPECT TO EACH OF THE HOW MANY CLINICAL TRIALS, HOW
21 MANY CLINIS FOR THE PRECLINICAL, IND-ENABLING, ETC.,
22 AND ALL THE WAY DOWN TO THE QUEST AND INCEPTION
23 AWARDS.

24 SO WE ARE IN A VERY REAL SENSE VERY
25 SHORTLY GOING TO BE HAVING TO DEAL WITH THIS, AND WE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 NEED TO FIGURE OUT IF YOU HAVE MORE PROJECTS THAT
2 ARE RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING THAN WE HAVE BUDGETED,
3 WHAT ARE THE FACTORS THAT GO INTO DETERMINING HOW
4 YOU MAKE THAT DECISION BECAUSE IT WILL BE A
5 REAL-LIFE DECISION AS SOON AS JULY.

6 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DR. MARTIN.

7 DR. MARTIN: AS MENTIONED, THERE HAS BEEN
8 EVOLUTION IN THE LAST TEN YEARS IN TERMS OF HOW MANY
9 ENTITIES ARE THERE OUT THERE THAT WILL FUND NOW
10 THINGS THAT SAY STEM CELL. FORGET WHETHER IT'S
11 EMBRYONIC OR NOT. BUT THERE'S ALSO AN EVOLUTION IN
12 TERMS OF THE FIELD OF WHAT ARE THE RISKS OF SUCCESS.
13 AND I THINK THAT HAS CHANGED ENORMOUSLY. AND I
14 THINK THE WHOLE PROCESS IS VERY DYNAMIC WITH
15 CHANGING FACTORS THAT GO INTO THE SUCCESS OF
16 ANYTHING THAT'S STEM CELL RELATED, RECOGNITION OF
17 OTHER VALID STEM CELL OPPORTUNITIES OR STEM CELL
18 COMPONENTS OR COMPONENTS OF MEDICINE THAT INVOLVE
19 STEM CELLS THAT WE DIDN'T KNOW EXISTED TEN YEARS
20 AGO.

21 SO I THINK THAT -- I THINK IT'S VERY
22 IMPORTANT TO DO, BUT WE ALMOST NEED A MAP OF WHAT
23 HAS EVOLVED OVER THE LAST TEN YEARS AND HOW SHOULD
24 THAT INFLUENCE OUR THINKING ABOUT THE CURRENT
25 MISSION OF CIRM AND GOING FORWARD FOR THE NEXT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 HOPEFULLY FUNDING ROUTE. IT'S AN EVOLUTIONARY
2 PROCESS, AND IT'S PROBABLY NEVER BEEN AND MAY NEVER
3 BE AGAIN MORE DYNAMIC THAN IT'S BEEN IN THE LAST 15
4 YEARS.

5 DR. MALKAS: I WANT TO AGREE WITH THAT. I
6 THINK THAT'S A VERY IMPORTANT POINT BECAUSE WE'RE
7 THINKING THAT, BECAUSE THE FUNDS ARE RUNNING LOW,
8 THAT WE HAVE TO MAKE DECISIONS. BUT THE DECISIONS
9 YOU MAKE NOW ARE THE REALLY IMPORTANT ONES FOR THE
10 NEXT WHEN THE NEW INITIATIVE COMES FORWARD, WHEN THE
11 SPIGOT PRESUMABLY WILL OPEN UP AGAIN.

12 SO THE QUESTIONS THAT YOU'RE ASKING NOW
13 ARE WHERE YOU WANT TO FOCUS NOW, AND I AGREE WITH
14 THE IDEA OF A MAP ALMOST, OF WHERE ARE THE TRENDS,
15 WHERE IS THE FUTURE, AND WHERE COULD WE PLAY AN
16 IMPORTANT ROLE IN GOOSING IT. LIKE YOU SAY, THERE'S
17 A LOT OF OTHER FUNDING AGENCIES. MARIA HAS BEEN
18 TALKING WITH THE NIH. THEY MAY EVEN GIVE HER SOME
19 INSIGHT WHERE THEY SEE AS THE FUTURE. SO THE
20 DECISIONS THAT ARE MADE NOW REALLY SHOULD BE
21 REFLECTING WHAT WE'RE PLANNING FOR THE NEXT
22 INITIATIVE AS WELL. THAT'S GOING TO BE WHAT WE'RE
23 GOING TO MESSAGE TO PEOPLE.

24 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: OTHER THOUGHTS?
25 DR. BURTIS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. BURTIS: I GUESS I JUST WANT TO SAY I
2 THINK THIS AGENCY HAS ALWAYS DONE ITS BEST TO CHOOSE
3 THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES THAT BEST ALIGN WITH ITS
4 MISSION AS STATED AT THE TIME. I THINK THE MISSION
5 HAS BEEN RESTATED OVER THE YEARS AND HAS BEEN
6 SHARPENED PERHAPS AND FOCUSED IN DIFFERENT WAYS. I
7 DON'T KNOW, SOMETHING MAKES ME A LITTLE BIT THINKING
8 THAT WE WOULD SOMEHOW TREAT THIS LAST MONEY
9 DIFFERENTLY THAN THE FIRST MONEY. ALL THE MONEY WAS
10 A PRECIOUS RESOURCE GIVEN TO US BY THE STATE, AND I
11 THINK WE'VE DONE THE BEST JOB POSSIBLE IN SPENDING
12 ALL THAT MONEY. THAT SAID, I ENDORSE EVERYTHING
13 THAT'S BEEN SAID. I THINK AT THIS POINT TO THE
14 EXTENT THAT THINGS BEST FULFILL THE MISSION AS
15 STATED NOW AT ALL POINTS OF REVIEW, WE SHOULD ALL
16 HAVE THAT FOREMOST IN OUR MIND. AND I THINK THE
17 GROUP HAS DONE A GOOD JOB OVER THE YEARS DOING THAT,
18 AND SO I ENDORSE YOUR EFFORTS TO CONTINUE TO DO
19 THAT.

20 MR. ROWLETT: I AGREE WITH THE SENTIMENT
21 THAT'S BEEN SHARED. ADDITIONALLY, AND PERHAPS THIS
22 IS A BIT OF A ROVING THOUGHT, IT WOULD BE HELPFUL
23 FOR ME IN TERMS OF MAKING MY DECISION FOR STAFF TO
24 APPRECIATE WHAT THE SHIFTING THINKING IS AS
25 ARTICULATED BY JEFF. GIVEN THE CURRENT CIRCUMSTANCE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF THE ORGANIZATION, CERTAINLY THE OPTIMISM THAT IS
2 ALWAYS ARTICULATED RELATED TO CONTINUATION OF THE
3 ORGANIZATION, BUT THERE'S ALSO THE OTHER REALITY.
4 AND SO NOT THAT STAFF WOULD TRY TO INFLUENCE VOTING,
5 BUT INFLUENCING -- PROVIDING US WITH INFORMATION
6 GIVEN WHAT JEFF SAID ABOUT THE PORTFOLIO AND WHERE
7 GWG PROPOSALS FIT WITH THE EXISTING PORTFOLIO IS
8 HELPFUL, ESPECIALLY GIVEN THE AMOUNT OF RESOURCES
9 AVAILABLE TODAY. AND IF STAFF COULD, NOT THAT I'M
10 TRYING TO ESTABLISH AN ADDITIONAL CRITERIA, BUT IF
11 STAFF COULD SPEAK TO THAT, THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ONE SUGGESTION, MR.
13 SUPERVISOR, THAT I WOULD MAKE WITH RESPECT TO THE
14 COMMENTS ABOUT WHO ELSE IS FUNDING WHAT, ETC., IS
15 THAT WE DON'T WANT TO BE DUPLICATIVE. WE DON'T HAVE
16 OR I DON'T BELIEVE TRADITIONALLY HAVE HAD ANY SORT
17 OF REQUIREMENT FOR A COMPREHENSIVE, COMPETITIVE
18 LANDSCAPE ANALYSIS BY APPLICANTS. AND I THINK THAT
19 DOING THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD HELP US
20 BECAUSE WHEN YOU GET INTO GWG, OCCASIONALLY SOMEBODY
21 WILL SAY, WELL, I KNOW SOMEBODY IS DOING THIS OR
22 THAT, BUT THERE'S NO WAY THAT WE HAVE A GREAT FEEL
23 FOR IT. AND SOMEBODY WHO'S APPLYING FOR A GRANT IS
24 GOING TO KNOW WHO'S DOING WHAT AND WHO'S FUNDING
25 WHAT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO I THINK THAT ADDING THAT AS A
2 REQUIREMENT FOR GRANTS WOULD BE HELPFUL IN GUIDING
3 US TO ADDRESS THAT VERY ISSUE.

4 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: AND JUST ONE QUESTION
5 I'D LIKE TO PUT OUT THERE. YOU KNOW, OUR SCOPE HAS
6 INCREASED DRAMATICALLY IN THE LAST TWO OR THREE
7 YEARS. I THINK PART OF IT IS THE EVOLUTION OF THE
8 FIELD, BUT IS THAT SOMETHING WE WANT TO REEXAMINE?
9 IN THE WORKING GROUP WE ROUTINELY, I WOULD SAY
10 ALMOST AT LEAST EVERY OTHER REVIEW, THERE'S A
11 QUESTION LIKE IS THIS REALLY IN SCOPE. AND THAT MAY
12 BE SOMETHING WE WANT TO REEXAMINE AS WELL AS LOOKING
13 AT -- BECAUSE IT WAS TIGHTER AND NOW IT IS MUCH
14 LOOSER, AND PART OF THAT WAS OUR DESIRE TO GET INTO
15 CLINICAL TRIALS, BUT ALSO A BIG PIECE OF IT WAS THE
16 EVOLUTION OF THE FIELD. IT WAS RATHER NARROWLY
17 DEFINED WHEN WE STARTED. WE DIDN'T HAVE IPS CELLS,
18 FOR INSTANCE, WHEN WE STARTED. SO THE IDEA OF
19 DISEASE MODELING WITH IPS CELLS WAS A TESTING PRICE
20 ON THAT.

21 SO HOW WOULD YOU LIKE TO PROCEED? IT
22 SEEMS LIKE WE KIND OF ACKNOWLEDGED THIS IS A
23 DISCUSSION WE WANT TO HAVE. DO WE WANT TO THEN
24 ACTUALLY TALK ABOUT SPECIFIC CRITERIA THAT WE MIGHT
25 EXAMINE? THIS ISN'T SOMETHING WE HAVE TO DO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 COMPLETELY TODAY, BUT I PERSONALLY FEEL LIKE THAT
2 THIS IS SOMETHING THAT, AND I KIND OF GET THERE'S
3 SOME AGREEMENT, THAT WE REALLY NEED TO LOOK AT WHERE
4 WE ARE JUST TO BE MOST EFFECTIVE WITH THE REMAINING
5 DOLLARS. I THINK THAT'S -- I THINK THAT WE ALL WANT
6 TO DO THAT. AND WE DID HAVE A COUPLE OF
7 DISPARITIES, ADDRESSING DISPARITIES IS AN ISSUE WE
8 HAVEN'T REALLY PUT ON THE TABLE, AND I THINK IT'S
9 MORE CRITICAL NOW THAN EVER, ADDING THAT TO THE MIX.
10 BUT IF WE WANT TO TAKE THE DISCUSSION A STEP FURTHER
11 AND START TALKING ABOUT SPECIFIC CRITERIA, WE MAY
12 WANT TO SAY THAT WE MAY CONSIDER WHEN MAKING THESE
13 DECISIONS. I JUST HAVE A FEELING THESE DEBATES ARE
14 GOING TO COME UP. WHETHER WE WANT TO OR NOT, WE'RE
15 GOING TO END UP, WE'LL BE AT THE END OF THE YEAR
16 WE'VE GOT \$30 MILLION LEFT, SAY, IN THE CLIN
17 PORTFOLIO, WE'VE FOUR MERITORIOUS PROGRAMS, AND IT
18 COMES TO 50 MILLION, AND HOW ARE WE GOING TO PICK
19 WHICH ONE?

20 DR. DEAS: PERHAPS YOU CAN TAKE THIS --
21 YOU'VE HEARD FROM THE BOARD MEMBERS AND PERHAPS YOU
22 COULD TAKE THIS INFORMATION BACK INTERNALLY AND
23 DISCUSS AND DEVELOP SOME OF THE CRITERIA THAT YOU
24 GLEANED FROM THESE DISCUSSIONS AND THEN SEND IT OUT
25 TO THE BOARD TO SEE IF THOSE ARE THINGS THAT WE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WOULD AGREE WITH.

2 DR. HIGGINS: TO THAT SUGGESTION, WOULD
3 THAT JUST BE PULLING STUFF OUT THAT ALREADY EXISTS
4 AS GUIDANCE, OR WOULD IT BE CREATING NEW GUIDANCE?

5 DR. DEAS: COULD BE BOTH.

6 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: I'VE THOUGHT ABOUT
7 THIS. SO THE CRITERIA, AND I'LL JUST GIVE YOU AS AN
8 EXAMPLE, WHEN I THINK ABOUT THIS, I THINK ABOUT
9 DISEASE TARGET. I'M NOT REALLY INTERESTED IN KNEE
10 REPLACEMENT EVEN THOUGH I NEED -- I KNOW, ART. I'M
11 SORRY. BUT WHEN YOU COMPARE THAT WITH DISEASES THAT
12 ARE DEADLY OR CONDITIONS THAT ARE REALLY SEVERE, I
13 HAVE A LOT OF TROUBLE GETTING AROUND KNEE
14 REPLACEMENT JUST PERSONALLY.

15 SOMETHING THAT I DON'T KNOW A LOT OF
16 PEOPLE THINK ABOUT I THINK INFRASTRUCTURE. SO IF WE
17 HAVE IN CALIFORNIA A CERTAIN REALLY WELL-DEVELOPED
18 EXPERTISE IN ENTITIES LIKE WE BUILT FACILITIES
19 THERE. I SEE CITY OF HOPE. SO THEY HAVE THIS
20 AMAZING COMPETENCY IN GENE THERAPY AND
21 MANUFACTURING, IN WORKING WITH IMMUNE CELLS TO
22 GENE-MODIFY THEM TO IMPACT DISEASE. SO I REALLY
23 FIND THAT VERY INTERESTING TO CONTINUE TO SUPPORT
24 THAT INFRASTRUCTURE THAT WE'VE CREATED IF GOOD
25 PROJECTS ARE COMING OUT BECAUSE THAT'S A LEGACY OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CIRM. SOME OF THOSE PROGRAMS NOW, THEY WERE WORLD
2 CLASS, BUT THEY'RE LIKE SUPER WORLD CLASS.

3 OTHER CRITERIA THAT I LOOK AT -- THOSE ARE
4 SOME OF THE KEY ONES. I COULD GO ON AND ON, BUT
5 THAT'S KIND OF WHAT I TEND TO LOOK AT. AND I DID
6 MENTION THE "CIRM-INESS" OF THE PROJECTS. BUT I
7 DON'T KNOW IF WE WANT TO DISCUSS WHAT SOME OF THOSE
8 ARE. I KNOW GIL ON HIS SET OF SLIDES HAS BROUGHT UP
9 SOME OF THAT. I KNOW KEN HAS RAISED HIS HAND OR
10 NOT. OR STEVE.

11 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO I THINK WE JUST OUGHT
12 TO BE A LITTLE MORE DEFINITIVE INSTEAD OF SORT OF
13 THIS GRANDER APPROACH. AND WHAT I THINK WE OUGHT TO
14 DO BECAUSE WHAT'S GOING TO HAVE TO HAPPEN IF WE
15 DECIDE TO PROCEED IS THAT CIRM STAFF ARE GOING TO
16 NEED TO DEVELOP TOOLS TO GIVE THE INFORMATION TO US
17 THAT WE WANT. THAT'S NOT GOING TO HAPPEN OVERNIGHT.
18 THAT'S GOING TO TAKE A LITTLE BIT OF EFFORT.

19 SO LET ME POINT TO A SPECIFIC EXAMPLE
20 BECAUSE FOR ME IT'S ONE OF THE MORE INTERESTING
21 ONES. WE FUND A LITTLE SUBSET OF TRIALS IN A GRAND
22 UNIVERSE OF TRIALS THAT ARE GOING ON. AND BY GRAND
23 UNIVERSE I MEAN NOT JUST THE STEM CELL MECHANISM OF
24 ACTION, BUT ALL SORTS OF MECHANISMS OF ACTION. AND
25 FOR ME THE REAL QUESTION IS ARE WE REALLY ADDRESSING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AN UNMET MEDICAL NEED. I'LL JUST USE CANCER AS AN
2 EXAMPLE, AND IN THIS CASE WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT
3 GLIOBLASTOMA A LITTLE BIT LATER. SO WE REALLY DON'T
4 KNOW WHEN WE VOTE ON THE TRIAL THAT IS ASKED TO BE
5 FUNDED WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THE GLIOBLASTOMA AREA. I
6 DO KNOW THAT AS A GENERAL MATTER CANCER IS PROBABLY
7 ONE OF THE MOST HEAVILY INVESTED DISEASE ENTITIES AT
8 THIS POINT CERTAINLY IN THE CORPORATE WORLD.

9 AND SO THERE'S A LOT THAT'S GOING ON. AND
10 THE REAL QUESTION, THEN, FOR ME IS, AND THIS IS WHAT
11 HAPPENS INSIDE A COMPANY, IS YOU REALLY LOOK AT
12 SOMETHING YOU'RE ABOUT TO SPEND A LOT OF MONEY ON
13 AND SAY WHAT DOES THE LANDSCAPE LOOK LIKE OUT THERE?
14 YOU HAVE GATHERED AS MUCH INTELLIGENCE AS YOU CAN,
15 AND THEN YOU MAKE A DECISION ABOUT WHETHER YOU'RE
16 GOING TO PROCEED AND INVEST THAT MONEY OR YOU'RE
17 GOING TO STOP AND PUT THE MONEY ELSEWHERE. BECAUSE
18 ONCE THAT MONEY IS SPENT, IT CAN'T BE SPENT ON
19 SOMETHING ELSE, AND SO YOU ALWAYS WANT TO TRY AND
20 OPTIMIZE YOUR SPENDING.

21 SO THAT FOR ME MAKES A LOT OF SENSE, BUT
22 OTHER PEOPLE MAY DISAGREE AND THAT'S FINE. IT'S
23 THAT KIND OF THING. IT'S REALLY DEFINING WHAT IS IT
24 THAT YOU REALLY WANT TO KNOW BEFORE YOU VOTE. WILL
25 IT MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN THE DECISION? AS I SAID, IF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT WERE TO BE ONE OF THEM, THAT WILL TAKE A LITTLE
2 BIT OF TIME TO PUT TOGETHER A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS
3 OF THE DIFFERENT MODALITIES OF THERAPIES THAT ARE
4 BEING PURSUED FOR THE TREATMENT OF A SPECIFIC
5 CONDITION.

6 I WILL JUST TELL YOU THIS, FOR EXAMPLE, IN
7 WHAT WE'RE GOING TO LOOK AT TODAY, GLIOBLASTOMA
8 VERSUS ALS, ONE HECK OF A LOT MORE MONEY IS BEING
9 SPENT ON GLIOBLASTOMA CLINICAL TRIALS THAN WAS BEING
10 SPENT ON ALS. NOW, SHOULD THAT MAKE A DIFFERENCE OR
11 NOT? WE CAN ALL HAVE OUR OPINIONS, BUT IT MAY
12 INFORM HOW WE WOULD VOTE ON SOMETHING.

13 DR. BURTIS: SO I HAVE TWO THINGS. ONE, I
14 JUST WANTED TO DISTINGUISH IN YOUR COMMENTS BETWEEN
15 SCOPE AND PRIORITIZATION. SO YOU COULD DEFINE OUR
16 SCOPE AS NO LODGER INCLUDING KNEES, AND LIST SCOPE,
17 BUT I DON'T THINK THAT'S REALLY IT. WHAT YOU'RE
18 ASKING FOR IS THE ABILITY TO PRIORITIZE WITHOUT
19 SAYING, SO OUR SCOPE IS LISTED IN OUR MISSION
20 STATEMENT, MEANING UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS, WHICH COULD
21 INCLUDE KNEES, WHICH COULD INCLUDE GLIOBLASTOMAS.
22 WHAT YOU'RE REALLY ASKING FOR IS SORT OF A BLESSING
23 TO BE ABLE TO PRIORITIZE IN A TIME OF NOT UNLIMITED
24 FUNDING IN MAKING DECISIONS BETWEEN EQUALLY
25 MERITORIOUS, EQUALLY WITHIN SCOPE, BUT FOR WHATEVER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 REASON THE DIFFERENTIALLY PRIORITIZED OPTIONS. SO I
2 THINK THAT'S AN IMPORTANT DISTINCTION.

3 THE SECOND THING I'D LIKE TO ADD, NOT TO
4 MAKE IT MORE COMPLICATED, BUT IF WE'RE MOVING INTO A
5 PERIOD OF TIME IF CHAIRMAN THOMAS IS SUCCESSFUL IN
6 HIS PHILANTHROPIC EFFORTS TO ACQUIRE NEW FUNDING
7 DURING THIS BRIDGE BETWEEN NOW AND WHATEVER THE NEW
8 LEGISLATION SETS AS PRIORITIES AND SCOPE, ALL OF US
9 WHO HAVE WORKED WITH PHILANTHROPY KNOW THAT IT OFTEN
10 COMES WITH STRINGS. AND SO THE GROUPS THAT
11 ADJUDICATE THESE THINGS ARE GOING TO PROBABLY HAVE
12 TO DEAL WITH SOME NEW ISSUES THEY HAVEN'T HAD TO
13 DEAL WITH BEFORE OF MONEY THAT COMES INTO CIRM, BUT
14 WITH SOME BOUNDARIES ATTACHED POSSIBLY BASED ON THE
15 WISHES OF THE DONOR. MAYBE THEY'LL ALL COME IN,
16 HERE'S YOUR MONEY. DO WHAT YOU LIKE WITH IT. MY
17 EXPERIENCE IS THAT THAT PROBABLY WON'T BE THE CASE.

18 SO I THINK THIS IS VERY TIMELY. I THINK
19 IN PREPARING FOR WHAT WE DO WITH THE LAST OF THE
20 FIRST GROUP OF STATE DOLLARS, YOU ALSO MAY BE
21 PREPARING FOR HOW YOU'RE GOING TO DEAL WITH MONEY
22 THAT COMES IN WITH STRINGS ATTACHED FROM
23 PHILANTHROPY.

24 MR. TORRES: YES, I DO HAVE A KNEE
25 REPLACEMENT AND I DON'T WANT ANOTHER ONE. BUT I

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 NEED TO HELP YOU UNDERSTAND HOW I MAKE MY DECISIONS.
2 COMING FROM THE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS, MAKING
3 DECISIONS HAS TO BE WHAT'S GOOD FOR THE LARGEST
4 PORTION OF THE POPULATION. WHAT'S GOING TO BE GOOD
5 FOR THE LARGEST PORTION MAY NOT MEET THE NEEDS OR
6 DECISION MAKING OF THIS BODY. WHEN I LOOK AT KNEE
7 REPLACEMENTS, I LOOK AT THE AGING POPULATION OF
8 CALIFORNIA. AND IT'S INCREASING TREMENDOUSLY AND
9 HAS AN IMPACT. WHEN I LOOK AT DIABETES, AND WE
10 SPEND 40 BILLION IN THIS STATE ALONE, IF WE CAN FIND
11 A CURE, WE'LL MAKE A MAJOR IMPACT AND FULFILL THE
12 MISSION THAT THE VOTERS GRANTED US IN 2004.

13 IF I LOOK AT HEART DISEASE, DR. QUINT, AS
14 YOU WELL KNOW, IS ONE OF THE LEADING CAUSES OF DEATH
15 IN CALIFORNIA, AND YET WE'VE DONE SOME GOOD WORK IN
16 THAT AREA AS WELL. SO WHEN I APPROACH THESE
17 DECISIONS, WHETHER IT'S IN THE WORKING GROUP THAT I
18 SERVE ON OR WHETHER IT'S HERE AS A BOARD, I TRY TO
19 FIGURE OUT WHAT'S GOING TO HAVE THE MOST IMPACT IN
20 TERMS OF THE POPULATION OF CALIFORNIA WITHOUT
21 SACRIFICING THE NEED TO LOOK AT OTHER AREAS. FOR
22 EXAMPLE, FOR ME HIV IS VERY IMPORTANT. CANCER IS
23 VERY IMPORTANT AS A COLON CANCER SURVIVOR. SO I
24 LOOK AT BOTH THESE THINGS, AND YOU CANNOT REMOVE
25 YOUR PERSONAL EXPERIENCES FROM THESE DECISIONS, BUT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 YOU ALSO HAVE TO LOOK BEYOND THAT. AND THAT'S WHY I
2 DO THINK THAT KNEE REPLACEMENT, EVEN THOUGH WE
3 HAVEN'T FUNDED IT THAT MUCH, CAN HAVE AN IMPACT
4 BECAUSE IT CAN ALSO MOVE TOWARD ELBOWS, ARMS, ETC.,
5 IN TERMS OF REGENERATION OF CARTILAGE. AND THAT
6 AFFECTS PEOPLE THAT ARE AGING IN CALIFORNIA, AND THE
7 ECONOMIC IMPACT THAT WE CAN HAVE IN REDUCING THE
8 NEED FOR \$60,000 KNEE REPLACEMENT SURGERIES PLUS ALL
9 THE ATTENDANT THERAPY IS ALSO AN ECONOMIC FACTOR
10 THAT I TAKE INTO ACCOUNT.

11 DR. MARTIN: I WOULD CERTAINLY AGREE
12 THAT'S A VERY IMPORTANT CRITERION. BUT IN ADDITION,
13 THERE'S AN ENTIRE ISSUE OF INNOVATION. AND I THINK
14 THAT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT CIRM HAS DONE, AND MOST
15 OF MY EXPOSURE HAS BEEN FROM THE OUTSIDE, IS REALLY
16 INNOVATE IN THE FIELD OF STEM CELL BIOLOGY AT A TIME
17 WHEN ALMOST EVERYTHING THAT WAS BEING DONE WAS
18 INNOVATIVE. AND, AGAIN, THAT'S EVOLVED. AND SO YOU
19 HAVE INNOVATION, YOU HAVE PUBLIC IMPACT, SOCIETAL
20 IMPACT, AND THEN YOU ALSO HAVE RISK. AND FUNDING
21 RISKY PROJECTS THAT ARE INNOVATIVE AND HAVE THE
22 POTENTIAL FOR A MAJOR SOCIETAL IMPACT BEGINS TO PUT
23 TOGETHER SOME PIERS ON WHICH TO BUILD.

24 BUT I THINK THAT IT REALLY REQUIRES MORE
25 THAN ANY ONE OF THOSE. AND THE ENVIRONMENT HAS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHANGED, HAS EVOLVED. AND SO IN THE CONTEXT OF THE
2 CURRENT ENVIRONMENT AND WHAT WE ANTICIPATE ITS
3 BECOMING OR EVOLVING INTO OVER THE NEXT DECADE AS WE
4 GO FORWARD, I THINK, IS REALLY IMPORTANT. SO IF WE
5 COULD GET A LITTLE BIT OF A STRUCTURE IN THERE WITH
6 NOT 15 CRITERIA, BUT MAYBE THREE, FOUR, FIVE
7 CRITERIA, NOT NECESSARILY WEIGHT THEM ALL, BUT
8 CONSIDER THEM ALL.

9 MR. TORRES: EXCELLENT.

10 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. AND THEN
11 I'M JUST GOING TO ADD ONE MORE THING BECAUSE IT JUST
12 OCCURRED TO ME AND IT'S GOING TO MAKE THE TEAM'S
13 HEAD EXPLODE. FOR PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN HERE FOR A
14 WHILE, WHEN WE WERE ACTUALLY DOING MORE ACTIVE
15 REVIEW, WE ALSO HAD A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT ACCESS TO
16 THE APPLICATIONS. REMEMBER WHEN WE USED TO GO INTO
17 CLOSED SESSION? ALSO, THAT'S SOMETHING, IF WE'RE
18 GOING TO DO THIS, I THINK FOR THOSE OF US WHO ARE
19 ABLE TO SIT IN THE REVIEWS, WE COME WITH A LOT MORE
20 INFORMATION, BUT I THINK FOR OTHER INDIVIDUALS WHO
21 ARE ON THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE, BUT ALSO
22 MAYBE OTHER MEMBERS, IT'S REALLY HARD. YOU SEE A
23 SUMMARY AND YOU'RE TRYING TO FIGURE IT OUT AND YOU
24 HAVEN'T HAD THE BENEFIT OF THE DISCUSSION, AND
25 THERE'S ALL SORTS OF, AS SCOTT WELL KNOWS, PUBLIC

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 RECORD, BROWN ACT TYPES OF THING THAT WE HAVE TO
2 NEGOTIATE. BUT MAYBE, ALSO, THAT'S SOMETHING WE
3 MIGHT LOOK AT GIVEN THAT WE DO HAVE PEOPLE WHO ARE
4 PARTICIPATING WHO HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE. THE
5 INEQUITY IN KNOWLEDGE BASE THAT EXISTS AMONG MEMBERS
6 OF THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE DOESN'T FEEL
7 URGENT IN THE SENSE WE'RE MOSTLY RATIFYING DECISIONS
8 OF THE PEER REVIEW GROUP. BUT IF WE'RE ACTUALLY
9 GOING TO BE MORE ACTIVELY MAKING DECISIONS ABOUT
10 THIS, THEN I THINK WE ALSO MIGHT CONSIDER ASKING THE
11 TEAM TO COME UP -- TO CONSIDER SOME WAYS IN WHICH WE
12 CAN PROVIDE MORE INFORMATION TO INDIVIDUALS IN SOME
13 SORT OF CLOSED SESSION FASHION LIKE WE USED TO DO IN
14 THE PAST.

15 MR. TORRES: THAT MIGHT BE EASIER GIVEN
16 WHAT WE TALKED ABOUT IN TERMS OF CRITERIA AND
17 OVERLAY OF WHAT YOU'RE JUST SAYING, WHICH I SUPPORT
18 WHAT YOU ARE SAYING.

19 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO THAT'S ONE THING I
20 THING WE MIGHT WANT TO LOOK AT.

21 DR. PRIETO: I'M NOT SURE HOW MUCH OF THIS
22 NEEDS TO BE IN CLOSED SESSION. SOME OF IT CERTAINLY
23 DOES. WE HAD A LOT OF THAT DISCUSSION BECAUSE OF
24 PROPRIETARY INFORMATION THAT WAS BEING DISCUSSED OR
25 PRESENTED BY THE APPLICANT. STAFF HAS DONE A VERY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GOOD JOB OF GIVING US INFORMATION ON THE
2 DISTRIBUTION OF OUR PORTFOLIO BY DISEASE STATE. WE
3 HAVEN'T HAD AS MUCH INFORMATION, AND I THINK STEVE
4 IS TOUCHING ON THIS, ON WHAT OTHER ACTIVITY THERE IS
5 IN A PARTICULAR SPACE, OTHER FUNDERS IN PARTICULAR
6 TYPES OF THERAPY OR PARTICULAR DISEASE STATES, OTHER
7 WORK BEING DONE ON DISEASES OR CONDITIONS THAT
8 AFFECT SPECIFIC POPULATIONS. THAT'S PART OF UNMET
9 MEDICAL NEED. THERE ARE LARGE POPULATIONS THAT
10 SUFFER DISPROPORTIONATELY FROM CONDITIONS THAT WE
11 HAVEN'T ADDRESSED IN A MAJOR WAY. I THINK WE'RE
12 ASKING STAFF TO BRING FORWARD MORE INFORMATION.

13 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: CHAIRMAN THOMAS.

14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I WOULD ALSO BE
15 INTERESTED, WHEN CIRM FIRST GOT GOING, ONE OF THE
16 PHRASES THAT WAS USED IN TERMS OF OUR ROLE WAS TO
17 FUND RESEARCH IN THE VALLEY OF DEATH. AND IN THE
18 EARLIER DAYS, THE VALLEY OF DEATH, WHICH WAS DEFINED
19 AS THINGS THAT NOBODY ELSE WOULD FUND BECAUSE IT'S
20 TOO EARLY IN THE R&D PROCESS, AND FOR QUITE A WHILE
21 YOU DIDN'T SEE PHARMA OR VC'S OR WHATEVER LOOK TO
22 GET INTO THE GAME UNTIL PROOF OF CONCEPT HAD BEEN
23 ESTABLISHED, SAY, AT THE END OF PHASE 2 OR WHATEVER.
24 I THINK YOU'RE STARTING TO SEE THAT VALLEY OF DEATH
25 SHRINKING BECAUSE PLAYERS ARE GETTING INTO THE SPACE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EARLIER, NOT IN ANY SORT OF STAMPEDE WAY, BUT THERE
2 ARE A NUMBER THAT ARE BEGINNING TO REALIZE THAT THE
3 TRAIN AT SOME POINT IS GOING TO LEAVE THE STATION,
4 AND THEY'VE GOT TO GET IN EARLIER THAN THEY USED TO
5 IN THE PAST.

6 SO I THINK WE SORT OF NEED A BIT OF A
7 REDEFINITION OF WHAT THE VALLEY OF DEATH IS AS
8 REGARDS WHAT WE'RE FUNDING. SO PERHAPS THE TEAM
9 COULD GIVE A LITTLE THOUGHT TO THAT. MIGHT THROW
10 THAT ONE ON NEIL, FOR EXAMPLE, BECAUSE THAT HAS TO
11 DO WITH WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THE CORPORATE SPHERE AND
12 WHAT THE WILLINGNESS IS TO GET INTO THE GAME EARLIER
13 BECAUSE IF THERE IS A WILLINGNESS EARLIER, THEN
14 THAT'S SOMETHING WE NEEDN'T WORRY ABOUT AS MUCH
15 FUNDING. SO I WOULD THROW THAT OUT AS A
16 CONSIDERATION AS WELL.

17 DR. JUELSGAARD: I JUST WANT TO FOLLOW UP
18 ON SOME COMMENTS I MADE EARLIER. AND I'M FOCUSED ON
19 THIS GLIOBLASTOMA TRIAL BECAUSE I JUST THINK FOR ME
20 IT'S A REAL LIVE ISSUE, AND IT'S NOT SAYING HOW I
21 WOULD VOTE ON IT, BUT THE IDEA IN THIS TRIAL IS TO
22 TAKE AN EXISTING AGENT THAT'S BEING SOLD ALREADY AS
23 CHEMOTHERAPY BEING USED TO TREAT GLIOBLASTOMA AND
24 TRY TO MAKE IT MORE EFFECTIVE. SO IF IT'S ALREADY
25 BEING SOLD, IT MEANS, THEN, THERE ARE MANUFACTURERS,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THERE ARE PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES OUT THERE THAT
2 ARE SELLING IT.

3 ONE OF THEM IS MERCK, WHICH IS A RATHER
4 LARGE INTERNATIONAL PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY. AND ONE
5 OF THE QUESTIONS I WOULD ASK IF SOMEBODY CAME TO ME
6 AND WANTED FUNDING IS HAVE YOU KNOCKED AT THE DOOR
7 OF THOSE COMMERCIAL ENTERPRISES THAT MAKE THIS
8 PRODUCT? IF YOU'RE SUCCESSFUL IN THIS CLINICAL
9 TRIAL, AND THERE'S A PUBLIC LETTER ASSOCIATED WITH
10 IT FROM THE CITY OF HOPE, SO IT'S THE CITY OF HOPE
11 THAT'S ASKING FOR THE FUNDING. SO HAS THE CITY OF
12 HOPE APPROACHED ANY OF THE MANUFACTURERS TO SEE IF
13 THEY WOULD BE WILLING TO SUPPORT THIS TRIAL BECAUSE
14 IF THIS TRIAL WERE SUCCESSFUL, THEY WOULD BE GREAT
15 BENEFICIARIES ECONOMICALLY OF IT.

16 SO PART OF THIS IS WHAT OTHER DOORS HAVE
17 YOU KNOCKED ON TO GET FUNDING, OR ARE WE JUST AN
18 EASY DOOR BECAUSE IF IT'S GOT GREAT SCIENTIFIC
19 MERIT, WE'LL APPROVE IT. THAT'S, I THINK, KIND OF
20 WHAT WE'VE BEEN DOING OVER TIME.

21 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO OTHER THOUGHTS? I
22 THINK I KIND OF -- WHAT I'M HEARING IS THAT THERE'S
23 SUPPORT FOR CONSIDERING THIS. THIS IS NOT THE FINAL
24 DISCUSSION, RIGHT, AND I DID, AND I HOPE THE TEAM
25 HAS BEEN TAKING NOTES, BUT JUST THE COMPETITIVE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 LANDSCAPE, DISPARITIES, GETTING A MAP OF THE
2 EVOLUTION OF THE RESEARCH, COMPETITIVE LANDSCAPE,
3 SOME OF THESE THINGS ARE NECESSARY WORK THAT WE CAN
4 MAYBE -- AND THEN, OF COURSE, WE MENTIONED OTHER
5 CRITERIA. SO WE'RE TALKING SCOPE AND
6 PRIORITIZATION.

7 WHEN I WAS THINKING OF KNEES, I ACTUALLY
8 WAS THINKING OF BOTH. I HAD IN MIND SPECIFICALLY
9 ADIPOSE STEM CELL-DERIVED KNEE PRODUCT, AND I WAS
10 LIKE WHAT IS THAT DOING HERE. I THINK PEOPLE ARE
11 DOING THAT ALL OVER THE PLACE, OFTEN IN POPUP, NOT
12 QUITE LEGIT CLINICS.

13 WE CAN GO ON ALL DAY ON THIS, BUT MAYBE
14 THAT'S A FRAMEWORK THAT WE CAN ASK THE TEAM TO COME
15 BACK AT ANOTHER MEETING IN THE FUTURE AND WE CAN
16 HAVE A FURTHER DISCUSSION. I DO THINK THAT --
17 BEFORE YOU GET UP, GIL, I'LL LET PUBLIC COMMENT
18 BECAUSE I KNOW DON HAS BEEN WAITING VERY PATIENTLY,
19 BUT COME BACK AND HAVE A FOLLOW-UP DISCUSSION AND
20 MAYBE SEE IF WE CAN GET SOMETHING THAT'S A LITTLE
21 FIRMER JUST TO GUIDE US. MORE IMPORTANTLY, AS WAS
22 BROUGHT UP, TO GUIDE APPLICANTS SO THAT THEY'RE NOT
23 JUST SHOCKED WHEN THEY COME IN WITH A ONE AND
24 THEY'RE LIKE, I'M SORRY, WE'RE NOT GOING TO FUND
25 YOU. THEY'LL HAVE SOME SENSE OF WHAT'S GOING ON,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WHICH MAY, IN FACT, INFLUENCE THE TYPES OF PROJECTS
2 THEY SUBMIT TO US. THEY MAY BE MORE AGGRESSIVE,
3 KIND OF ALLUDING TO WHAT STEVE JUST SAID, IN SEEKING
4 OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDING BEFORE THEY ACTUALLY COME
5 TO US BECAUSE THEY HAVE THAT CAPABILITY.

6 DON, YOU WANTED TO SPEAK. ALWAYS LOVE TO
7 HEAR WHAT YOU HAVE TO SAY.

8 MR. REED: THANK YOU. TWO THINGS. FIRST
9 OF ALL, I THINK THIS IS A HUGELY IMPORTANT ISSUE. I
10 THINK IT'S GREAT TO AGENDIZE IT AND HAVE EVERYBODY
11 HAVE THEIR THOUGHTS READY WHEN IT COMES. TIME IS
12 IMPORTANT.

13 SECONDLY, YOU MENTIONED THIS A LITTLE BIT,
14 JEFF, I'M REMINDED OF THE EARLIER LANGUAGE, WHICH
15 WAS THAT CIRM WOULD TRY TO FUND THINGS UNLIKELY TO
16 BE FUNDED IN OTHER AREAS. I THINK CONSIDERING THE
17 CURRENT CLIMATE IN WASHINGTON, I THINK THAT'S
18 SOMETHING WE OUGHT TO TAKE STRONGLY. IF WE'RE EVER
19 GOING TO DO EMBRYONIC STEM CELL RESEARCH, IT'S GOING
20 TO BE US. I DON'T THINK IT'S GOING TO BE
21 WASHINGTON. SO I REALLY HOPE THAT WE CONSIDER OUR
22 PREVIOUS COMMITMENT, THAT CIRM WOULD FUND THAT WHICH
23 IS -- WOULD GIVE PREFERENCE TO THAT WHICH IS
24 UNLIKELY TO BE FUNDED ELSEWHERE. THANK YOU.

25 DR. CHIU: YOU HAVE A DIFFICULT PROBLEM

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AHEAD OF YOU. AND YOU'RE PARTLY A VICTIM OF YOUR
2 OWN SUCCESS IN THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS BECAUSE WHEN
3 YOU TALK ABOUT SOMETHING THAT'S "CIRMY," IT COVERS A
4 WIDE RANGE OF THINGS. AND THE SUCCESS IN BRINGING
5 SUCH A REMARKABLE NUMBER OF CLINICAL TRIALS, CLEARLY
6 DOING MUCH BETTER THAN THE NIH, AND NOT BEING DONE
7 BY THE NIH, HAS ALSO EXACTED A TOLL. AND THE TOLL
8 IS THAT YOU ARE NOT POSSIBLY AT THE SAME TIME
9 FUNDING BASIC RESEARCH THAT WOULD BE LEADING UP TO
10 NEW THINGS IN EMBRYONIC AND IPSC'S. AND THOSE GUYS
11 ARE SO NEW THAT IT WILL TAKE AWHILE BEFORE ANYTHING
12 REALLY PRODUCTIVE AND AS SOPHISTICATED COME UP FOR
13 CLINICAL TRIALS.

14 SO HOW DO YOU COMPARE THAT? BECAUSE AS
15 MANY OF YOU ON THE BOARD HAVE SAID, ALL THE THINGS
16 THAT YOU FUND HAVE GREAT IMPACT. ALL THE THINGS
17 THAT YOU FUND CAN BE USED BY INDUSTRY. OTHERWISE
18 INDUSTRY WOULDN'T BE STREAMING IN. WHY HASN'T
19 INDUSTRY BEEN STREAMING IN BEFORE AND FUNDING THESE
20 THINGS? BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T KNOW THAT IT WOULD BE
21 SO PRODUCTIVE, THAT THEY DIDN'T KNOW THAT THESE
22 DISEASES COULD BE LOOKED AT AND TREATED. SO YOU'RE
23 SORT OF STUCK BETWEEN A ROCK AND A HARD PLACE OF
24 YOUR OWN SUCCESS, AND I DON'T ENVY YOU.

25 I WOULD JUST LIKE TO ASK YOU TO SERIOUSLY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONSIDER BEFORE YOU PRIORITIZE ONE OVER THE OTHER
2 THAT YOU'VE MADE A BIG IMPACT. IT IS HARD TO CHOOSE
3 HOW TO SPEND YOUR LAST DOLLARS, BUT DON'T BE
4 PARTICULARLY SWAYED COMPLETELY BY YOUR PORTFOLIO OR
5 THE LACK OF YOUR PORTFOLIO BECAUSE SOMETIMES THINGS
6 THAT ARE NOT READY, IF YOU DON'T FEED THEM, WILL
7 NEVER BE READY. AND WHEN YOU DON'T GIVE OPPORTUNITY
8 FOR EARLY INNOVATION, DISCOVERY THINGS, THEY WON'T
9 GROW UP. BUT ON THE OTHER HAND, THERE ARE PRODUCTS
10 THAT COULD VERY EASILY BE MOVING INTO INDUSTRY, AND
11 YOU WOULD REAP THE SUCCESS OF THESE THINGS.

12 SO I'M JUST GOING TO SAY YOU HAVE A HARD
13 PROBLEM, BUT PLEASE DON'T JUST JUMP INTO PRIORITIES
14 OR BE IN A RUSH TO FIX THIS PROBLEM. THANK YOU.

15 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. IS THERE
16 ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT?

17 SO SHOULD WE CONSIDER THIS -- DR. SAMBRANO
18 HAS SOME MORE SLIDES THAT REALLY GET MORE
19 SPECIFICITY, BUT I'M WONDERING IF WE WANT TO SAVE
20 THOSE AND DO THAT IN THE CONTEXT. IT'S YOUR CALL.
21 I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH TIME YOU WANT TO SPEND ON
22 THIS. WE'VE KIND OF DECIDED THIS IS A DISCUSSION WE
23 WANT TO HAVE. WE NEED TO GO THROUGH SOME MORE
24 SPECIFICS. OR WE CAN ALLOW THE TEAM TO PULL
25 TOGETHER SOME OF THE OTHER FACTORS THAT YOU BROUGHT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 UP TODAY AND HAVE THIS DISCUSSION LATER. EITHER
2 WAY.

3 DR. MALKAS: I THINK THE TEAM NEEDS TO
4 PREPARE. THEY CAN PREPARE A SERIES OF INFORMATION
5 THAT WOULD BE MORE USEFUL TO THIS DISCUSSION.
6 THAT'S JUST MY OPINION.

7 DR. DIXON: GOOD THOUGHT.

8 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: WE CONCUR ON THAT?
9 OKAY. THANK YOU, DR. SAMBRANO. AND THANK YOU. I
10 THINK THIS IS AN INTERESTING START BECAUSE WE ARE
11 GETTING TO THE END, AT LEAST THIS FIRST TRANCHE.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU,
13 MR. SUPERVISOR. THANK YOU, EVERYBODY, FOR ALL YOUR
14 COMMENTS.

15 SO WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO NOW IS WE HAVE
16 A COUPLE MORE ACTION ITEMS. I'D LIKE TO DO ONE MORE
17 AND THEN BREAK FOR LUNCH. AND SO --

18 MR. TOCHER: I'M SORRY I DIDN'T MEAN TO
19 INTERRUPT YOU. GO AHEAD AND FINISH. I HAVE A
20 SUGGESTION FOR THE PRIORITIZATION.

21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: PLEASE.

22 MR. TOCHER: WE'RE COMING CLOSE TO OUR
23 QUORUM. I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT WE TAKE UP THE
24 BUDGET FOR THE UPCOMING YEAR, FISCAL YEAR BUDGET,
25 AND THEN PROCEED WITH THE CLINICAL APPLICATION

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 REVIEW.

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: GREAT MINDS THINK
3 ALIKE, MR. TOCHER. THAT IS THE NEXT ITEM ON THE
4 AGENDA, SO WE WERE GOING TO GO TO CHILA AND BUDGET
5 FORTHWITH HERE. OR ARE YOU SUGGESTING THAT WE PUSH
6 THAT OFF FOR A MINUTE? SO, CHILA, YOU'RE ON. THANK
7 YOU.

8 MS. SILVA-MARTIN: GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE,
9 OR IT MAY BE AFTERNOON, I GUESS. THANK YOU FOR THE
10 OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT THE '18/'19 PROPOSED BUDGET.
11 FOR THOSE OF YOU ON THE PHONE, I WILL TRY TO CALL
12 OUT THE SLIDES THAT WE'RE ON SO YOU CAN FOLLOW WITH
13 US.

14 SO ON SLIDE 3 IS THE AGENDA FOR THE
15 PRESENTATION. I'D JUST LIKE TO REVIEW THAT BRIEFLY
16 WITH YOU. WE'LL FIRST LOOK AT THE CURRENT YEAR
17 OPERATING BUDGET. WE'LL REVIEW THE BUDGET THAT WAS
18 APPROVED BY THE ICOC BOARD AS WELL AS WHERE WE
19 EXPECT TO END THE FISCAL YEAR AS OF TOMORROW. AND
20 THEN I'LL BRIEFLY DISCUSS SOME OF THE MAJOR DRIVERS
21 THAT ARE IMPACTING THOSE FINAL RESULTS.

22 NEXT WE'LL LOOK AT THE '18/'19 PROPOSED
23 BUDGET. WE'LL REVIEW THE BUDGET REQUEST AGAINST
24 WHERE WE EXPECT TO END THE FISCAL YEAR FOR '17/'18
25 AND TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE DRIVERS THAT ARE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IMPACTING THE REQUEST AND THEN IDENTIFY A FEW RISKS
2 THAT MAY IMPACT THE FINAL RESULTS. AND, LAST, I'D
3 LIKE TO JUST REVIEW THE BUDGET REQUEST AGAINST THE
4 NUMBERS THAT WE PROVIDED AT THE NOVEMBER 2017
5 TRANSITION PLAN MEETING.

6 SO MOVING ON TO SLIDE 5, THIS CHART HERE
7 REPRESENTS THE CURRENT YEAR BUDGET AND THE EXPECTED
8 RESULTS AT THE CATEGORICAL LEVEL. SO THE
9 EXPENDITURES ARE IDENTIFIED BY CATEGORIES AND
10 THEY'RE REPRESENTED IN THE FIRST COLUMN. THE SECOND
11 COLUMN REPRESENTS THE BUDGET THIS BOARD APPROVED FOR
12 THE '17/'18 FISCAL YEAR. SO AS YOU CAN SEE IN THE
13 TOTAL ROW, IT WAS JUST UNDER \$18.6 MILLION. THE
14 THIRD COLUMN REPRESENTS WHERE WE EXPECT TO END THE
15 YEAR WHICH IS JUST A LITTLE BIT LESS THAN \$16
16 MILLION, 15.7 MILLION. AND THEN, FINALLY, THE LAST
17 COLUMN IS THE VARIANCE, SO THE SAVINGS, THE
18 UNDERRUNS OR OVERRUNS IN THE EXPECTED RESULTS. SO
19 RIGHT NOW WE ANTICIPATE THAT OUR VARIANCE IS GOING
20 TO BE ABOUT \$2.7 MILLION IN SAVINGS.

21 SO NEXT I'D LIKE TO JUST BRIEFLY TALK
22 ABOUT SOME OF THE HIGHER VARIANCES AND WHY THEY
23 OCCURRED. SO THERE'S THREE AREAS WHERE WE HAD SOME
24 FAIRLY SIGNIFICANT VARIANCES AND MAKE UP A MAJORITY
25 OF THE \$2.7 MILLION THAT IS GOING UNSPENT. THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 LARGEST IS IN OUR EMPLOYEE EXPENSES, WHICH IS ABOUT
2 \$1.5 MILLION IN SAVINGS. AND THEN IN OUR REVIEWS,
3 MEETINGS, AND WORKSHOPS, WE'RE ANTICIPATING OVER
4 HALF A MILLION DOLLARS IN SAVINGS. AND THEN FOR
5 EXTERNAL SERVICES ABOUT \$400,000. I DIDN'T CALL OUT
6 THE SLIDE. SO THAT WAS SLIDE NO. 6. SO WE'RE NOW
7 MOVING ON TO SLIDE NO. 7.

8 SO WHY DID THESE THINGS OCCUR?

9 SO OVERALL WE'RE SEEING LOWER EMPLOYEE
10 EXPENSES. AS YOU MAY RECALL, THE '17/'18 BUDGET
11 SUPPORTED A TOTAL OF 52 POSITIONS. THIS WAS THE
12 NUMBER OF POSITIONS THAT WE FELT WERE NECESSARY FOR
13 US TO ENSURE THAT WE COULD DELIVER ON OUR STRATEGIC
14 PLAN AND MEET ALL OF OUR MILESTONES.

15 AT THE BEGINNING OF THE FISCAL YEAR, FIVE
16 OF THOSE 52 POSITIONS WERE VACANT, BUT YOU MAY ALSO
17 RECALL THAT WE HAD SOME FAIRLY MAJOR CHANGES IN OUR
18 LEADERSHIP. DR. MILLAN WAS APPOINTED TO LEAD THE
19 ORGANIZATION WHEN DR. MILLS STEPPED DOWN. SO AS A
20 RESULT, WE ALSO EXPERIENCED SOME OTHER LEADERSHIP
21 CHANGES IN THE ORGANIZATION. DR. CREASEY AND NEIL
22 LITTMAN, FOR EXAMPLE, IN OUR THERAPEUTIC AND
23 STRATEGIC INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS.

24 SO THE POSITIONS THAT WERE UNFILLED AT THE
25 BEGINNING OF THE FISCAL YEAR, WE DECIDED TO HOLD

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THEM VACANT UNTIL EACH OF THE LEADERSHIP TEAM
2 MEMBERS COULD WORK WITH THEIR INDIVIDUAL TEAMS TO
3 ENSURE THAT THEY COULD IMPLEMENT AND MAINTAIN
4 OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCIES TO PROVIDE AS MANY SHOTS ON
5 GOAL AS POSSIBLE. SO THE TEAM WAS NOT ONLY ABLE TO
6 MEET, BUT IN MANY CASES THEY WERE ABLE TO EXCEED OUR
7 STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS WITHOUT FILLING THE POSITIONS.
8 AND THEY REMAINED VACANT THROUGHOUT THE YEAR AND
9 HAVE BEEN ELIMINATED GOING FORWARD.

10 IN ADDITION TO THE VACANCIES, AS GABE
11 THOMPSON REPORTED EARLIER, WE DID SOME OPERATIONAL
12 CHANGES THAT HELPED TO REDUCE SOME OF OUR POSITIONS.
13 SO, FOR EXAMPLE, HE TALKED ABOUT ELECTRONIC FUNDS
14 TRANSFER. SO OUR GRANT INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND
15 FINANCE TEAMS WORKED REALLY CLOSELY WITH THE STATE
16 CONTROLLER'S OFFICE TO IMPLEMENT EFT. AND AS A
17 RESULT OF THAT, EFFICIENCIES INCREASED IN THE
18 FINANCE OFFICE AND WE WERE ABLE TO ELIMINATE A
19 POSITION. SO OVERALL OUR EMPLOYEE EXPENSES WAS
20 UNDERRUN BY ABOUT \$1.5 MILLION.

21 SO MOVING ON TO SLIDE 8, ANOTHER AREA
22 WHERE WE HAD SAVINGS IS IN EXTERNAL SERVICES.
23 THAT'S REALLY AS A RESULT OF TWO FACTORS. FIRST OF
24 ALL, THE EXPENSES CAME IN LOWER THAN WAS BUDGETED.
25 I TALKED ABOUT THE ORGANIZATION GOING THROUGH A LOT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 OF CHANGE LAST YEAR. WELL, WE EVEN HAD MORE CHANGE.
2 AS YOU MAY RECALL, WE PREVIOUSLY CONTRACTED FOR OUR
3 GENERAL COUNSEL POSITION. AND DURING THE '17/'18
4 FISCAL YEAR, WE BROUGHT THAT TASK IN HOUSE. AS A
5 RESULT OF THE CHANGE, WE HAD ANTICIPATED THAT WE
6 WOULD NEED SOME OUTSIDE COUNSEL. AND SO BASED ON
7 HISTORICAL DATA, WE BUDGETED FOR OUTSIDE COUNSEL.
8 HOWEVER, THE EXPENSES DID NOT MATERIALIZE ANYWHERE
9 NEAR WHAT WE HAD BUDGETED. SO WE SAW SAVINGS THERE
10 OF ABOUT \$170,000. SO OUR REALLY SMALL, BUT
11 EFFICIENT TEAM UNDER SCOTT TOCHER'S LEADERSHIP WAS
12 ABLE TO ACCOMPLISH ALL THE WORK WITH MINIMAL OUTSIDE
13 SUPPORT.

14 THE PERFORMANCE AUDIT IS ANOTHER AREA
15 WHERE SOME OF THE COSTS CAME IN LOWER THAN WE HAD
16 ANTICIPATED, AND WE SAW SAVINGS THERE OF ABOUT
17 \$78,000.

18 AND THEN THE LAST FACTOR IMPACTING IT IS
19 THAT WE BUDGETED FOR SOME EXTERNAL SERVICES, AND
20 THEY JUST SIMPLY DID NOT OCCUR. FOR EXAMPLE, WE DID
21 BUDGET FOR SOME BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CONSULTING, AND
22 WE FOUND THAT NEIL LITTMAN DID NOT REQUIRE THOSE
23 SERVICES. SO OVERALL IN THAT CATEGORY WE SAW ABOUT
24 \$412,000 IN SAVINGS.

25 MOVING ON TO SLIDE NO. 9, THE LAST AREA

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT I WANT TO COVER AS PART OF THE MAJOR DRIVERS
2 ARE REVIEWS, MEETINGS, AND WORKSHOPS. DURING THE
3 '16/'17 FISCAL YEAR, WE IMPLEMENTED SOME OPERATIONAL
4 EFFICIENCIES BY BRINGING IN SOME OF OUR MEETINGS
5 IN-HOUSE. AS YOU CAN RECALL, WE BROUGHT THE ICOC
6 MEETINGS IN-HOUSE DURING THAT FISCAL YEAR. SO
7 DURING THE '17/'18 FISCAL YEAR, WE DECIDED TO BRING
8 ALL OF THE ICOC MEETINGS IN-HOUSE AS WELL AS ALL OF
9 OUR OTHER MEETINGS, SUCH AS REVIEWS AND OUR CAPS.
10 AND AS A RESULT, WE ARE SEEING OVERALL DECREASES IN
11 THIS CATEGORY.

12 SO MARIA BONNEVILLE REALLY LED THE EFFORT
13 IN THAT AREA WITH HER TEAM, AND THEN SHORTLY
14 THEREAFTER GIL SAMBRANO AND DR. CREASEY FOLLOWED AND
15 IMPLEMENTED OUR REVIEWS AND MEETINGS IN-HOUSE, AND
16 WE'RE SEEING SAVINGS OVERALL. SO ALL OF THESE
17 DRIVERS ARE REALLY BRINGING THE OVERALL COST DOWN.

18 AND SO THAT COVERS THE '17/'18 BUDGET, AND
19 NOW I'D LIKE TO MOVE ON TO THE '18/'19 PROPOSED
20 BUDGET. SO THEN WE'RE LOOKING NOW AT SLIDE NO. 11.
21 SO THIS CHART PROVIDES YOU THE '18/'19 BUDGET
22 REQUEST, AND IT REALLY COMPARES IT AGAINST WHERE WE
23 THINK WE'RE GOING TO END THE FISCAL YEAR FOR
24 '17/'18. SO, AGAIN, THE FIRST COLUMN REPRESENTS THE
25 CATEGORICAL AREAS OF EXPENDITURE. THE SECOND COLUMN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 REPRESENTS WHAT THIS BOARD ALLOCATED FOR '17/'18,
2 \$18.6 MILLION. AND THE THIRD COLUMN WE'RE SEEING
3 WHERE WE THINK WE'RE GOING TO END THE FISCAL YEAR,
4 WHICH IS ABOUT \$15.7 MILLION. AND THE BUDGET
5 REQUEST IS REFLECTED IN THE LAST COLUMN. AS YOU CAN
6 SEE, THE BUDGET REQUEST IS JUST OVER \$16.8 MILLION.

7 SO HOW DOES THIS COMPARE YEAR OVER YEAR?
8 SLIDE NO. 12 PROVIDES THAT INFORMATION. SO IN
9 '17/'18 WE HAD AUTHORITY OF \$18.6 AND WE BROUGHT THE
10 OVERALL EXPENDITURES IN AT ABOUT 15.7.

11 THE '18/'19 BUDGET REQUEST IS \$16.8
12 MILLION, AND THAT'S ABOUT \$1.9 MILLION LESS THAN
13 WHAT WAS BUDGETED IN '17/'18 AND JUST OVER \$1
14 MILLION MORE THAN THE '17/'18 YEAR FORECAST.

15 SO WHAT'S DRIVING THAT \$1 MILLION
16 DIFFERENCE? NOW WE'RE ON SLIDE 13. SO THE CATEGORY
17 THAT'S DRIVING THE LARGEST INCREASE YEAR OVER YEAR
18 IS EMPLOYEE EXPENSES, WHICH IS OUR LARGEST RESOURCE.
19 WE'RE A STATE AGENCY. AS A STATE AGENCY, WE'RE
20 REQUIRED TO PROVIDE OUR EMPLOYEES BENEFITS SUCH AS
21 RETIREMENT AND HEALTH. WE ARE NOT ABLE TO NEGOTIATE
22 THOSE BENEFIT RATES. THEY ARE ACTUALLY DONE BY
23 SEVERAL STATE CONTROL AGENCIES, SUCH AS THE
24 CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEE RELATION SYSTEM AND THE
25 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES. THEY HAVE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PROVIDED US WITH THEIR LATEST DATA; AND BASED ON
2 THAT INFORMATION, WE BELIEVE THAT OVERALL WE'RE
3 GOING TO SEE ABOUT A 6-PERCENT INCREASE IN THAT
4 AREA. SO THAT HAS BEEN BUILT INTO THE '18/'19
5 BUDGET. AND THEN EMPLOYEE EXPENSES ALSO INCLUDES AN
6 ANNUAL SALARY ADJUSTMENT FOR THE CIRM TEAM. WE ARE
7 OVERALL SEEING OTHER AREAS THAT ARE INCREASING,
8 OTHER CATEGORICAL AREAS. SOME OF IT IS DUE TO NEW
9 ACTIVITIES, SUCH AS DR. OLSON MENTIONED,
10 IMPLEMENTATION OF OUR ADVISORY PANELS IN OUR
11 TRANSLATIONAL PROGRAM. AND THEN WE DO ANTICIPATE
12 INCREASED ACTIVITY IN OUR CLINICAL PORTFOLIO. AND
13 THEN JUST OVERALL WE'RE SEEING SOME ACROSS-THE-BOARD
14 COST OF LIVING INCREASES IN THE OTHER CATEGORIES OF
15 OPERATING EXPENSES.

16 SO MOVING ON TO SLIDE 14, AS YOU CAN SEE
17 FROM THE REQUEST, I BELIEVE THAT OUR TEAM IS WORKING
18 HARD AND ACTIVELY TO MANAGE OUR EXPENSES. THE
19 BUDGET REFLECTS THIS EFFORT. IT ALLOWS US TO BRING
20 QUALITY REVIEWS AND HIGH PERFORMING AWARDS, BUT
21 THERE ARE SOME FACTORS THAT WE DON'T CONTROL OR HAVE
22 LITTLE OR HAVE LITTLE CONTROL OVER, AND THEY COULD
23 IMPACT THE FINAL RESULTS NEXT YEAR. ONE OF THOSE IS
24 THE MANDATED EMPLOYEE COST. WHILE WE'VE BEEN
25 PROVIDED WITH THE LATEST RATE CHANGES, OFTEN THESE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CONTROL AGENCIES GO IN AND MAKE ADDITIONAL CHANGES.
2 IF THOSE INCREASE, WE CAN SEE OUR EMPLOYEE COSTS
3 INCREASING.

4 ANOTHER AREA WHERE WE CAN'T PREDICT IS OUR
5 TURNOVER. SO IF WE HAVE A HIGH TURNOVER, IT'S
6 POSSIBLE THAT OUR EMPLOYEE EXPENSES COULD GO DOWN.
7 AND THEN, FINALLY, THE ONE AREA WHERE WE DON'T
8 REALLY HAVE CONTROL OVER IS OUR PORTFOLIO ACTIVITY.
9 SO OUR BUDGET IS BASED ON HISTORICAL DATA, BUT THE
10 FINAL NUMBERS MAY BE DIFFERENT. WE MIGHT SEE A
11 SURGE IN APPLICATION ACTIVITY. AND IF THAT HAPPENS,
12 IT WOULD DRIVE OUR COST UP. CONVERSELY, IF THE
13 PORTFOLIO ACTIVITY REDUCES, OUR COSTS COULD GO DOWN.

14 SO THE LAST ITEM I JUST BRIEFLY WANTED TO
15 COVER WAS THE BUDGET REQUEST AGAINST THE TRANSITION
16 PLAN THAT WE PRESENTED IN NOVEMBER OF 2017. MOVING
17 ON TO SLIDE 15, AS YOU MAY RECALL, AT THIS NOVEMBER
18 TRANSITION MEETING, WE FORECASTED THAT WE
19 ANTICIPATED THE '18/'19 BUDGET REQUEST WOULD BE
20 APPROXIMATELY \$17.1 MILLION. THE REQUEST BEFORE YOU
21 IS ACTUALLY LOWER, \$16.8 MILLION. SO THAT'S ABOUT
22 \$300,000 LESS, BUT PRETTY MUCH IN LINE WITH WHAT
23 WE'VE PRESENTED AND NO MAJOR CHANGES.

24 THIS DOES CONCLUDE THE BUDGET
25 PRESENTATION. I UNDERSTAND THAT YOU WERE PROVIDED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WITH AN APPENDIX THAT PROVIDES YOU WITH DETAILED
2 BUDGET REPORTS FOR EACH OF OUR COST CENTERS. WE
3 REQUEST YOUR APPROVAL OF THE '18/'19 BUDGET, AND I'M
4 HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.

5 DR. PRIETO: I'LL MOVE TO APPROVE.

6 DR. DIXON: SECOND.

7 MR. JUELSGAARD: SECOND.

8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY MR.
9 JUELSGAARD, SECONDED BY SENATOR TORRES. ANY
10 DISCUSSION BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD EITHER IN THE
11 ROOM OR ON THE PHONE? IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT
12 IN THE ROOM OR ON THE PHONE.

13 BEFORE WE VOTE, JUST LIKE TO SAY, CHILA,
14 THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIRELESS EFFORTS. THIS IS A LOT
15 OF WORK. AND IT IS, AS MR. JUELSGAARD FREQUENTLY
16 POINTS OUT, IN A FORMAT THAT IS EXTREMELY READABLE
17 AND UNDERSTANDABLE AND LOGICAL. AND SO WE THANK YOU
18 FOR ALL THAT YOU DO --

19 MS. SILVA-MARTIN: THANK YOU.

20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: -- ON AN ONGOING BASIS.

21 IS THIS A ROLL CALL VOTE, MR. TOCHER?

22 MR. TOCHER: NO, IT'S NOT. IT CAN BE BY
23 VOICE WITH A ROLL CALL FOR THE MEMBERS ON THE PHONE.

24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF
25 THE BUDGET PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? ABSTENTIONS?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL OF THOSE ON THE
2 PHONE.

3 MR. TOCHER: JACK DIXON.

4 DR. DIXON: AYE.

5 MR. TOCHER: BERT LUBIN.

6 DR. LUBIN: YES.

7 MR. TOCHER: LAUREN MILLER.

8 MS. MILLER: YES.

9 MR. TOCHER: OS STEWARD. KRISTINA VUORI.

10 DR. VUORI. YES.

11 MR. TOCHER: DIANE WINOKUR.

12 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

13 MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANK YOU. MOTION
14 CARRIES.

15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU ALL.
16 AGAIN, THANK YOU VERY MUCH, CHILA AND EVERYBODY WHO
17 WORKS WITH CHILA FOR OUTSTANDING WORK.

18 MR. TOCHER, YOU HAD A LOGISTICAL QUESTION.

19 MR. TOCHER: WE ARE NEARING THE EDGE OF
20 OUR QUORUM AT THE MOMENT. THE PLAN WAS TO TAKE
21 ABOUT A 25, 30-MINUTE BREAK TO ALLOW MEMBERS HERE TO
22 GET THEIR LUNCH AND THEN RETURN TO CONTINUE NEXT
23 WITH THE CONSIDERATION OF THE CLINICAL APPLICATIONS.
24 BUT BEFORE BREAKING, I JUST WANTED TO GET ASSURANCE
25 FROM THOSE MEMBERS REMAINING ON THE PHONE THAT THEY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WILL BE ABLE TO REMAIN WITH US THROUGH THAT BRIEF
2 BREAK AND THEN FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE CLINICAL
3 APPLICATIONS.

4 SO, DR. DIXON, WILL YOU BE AVAILABLE FOR
5 THE FORESEEABLE NEXT HOUR AND A HALF OR SO?

6 DR. DIXON: I'LL BE AROUND.

7 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU. DR. LUBIN.

8 DR. LUBIN: I WILL NOT BE. I HAVE TO SIGN
9 OFF.

10 MR. TOCHER: FOR HOW MUCH LONGER WILL YOU
11 BE AVAILABLE?

12 DR. LUBIN: I WAS PLANNING NOT TO
13 PARTICIPATE AFTER 12 MOON.

14 MR. TOCHER: LAUREN MILLER.

15 MS. MILLER: YES, I'M AROUND.

16 MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANK YOU. KRISTINA
17 VUORI.

18 DR. VUORI: YES, I WILL STAY ON.

19 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU. AND DIANE
20 WINOKUR.

21 MS. WINOKUR: I WILL STAY ON.

22 MR. TOCHER: OKAY. AND, OS, ARE YOU GOING
23 TO BE AVAILABLE? HE'S DIALING BACK IN. OKAY.
24 WE'LL BE IN GOOD SHAPE.

25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I THINK THE IDEA WAS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 JUST TO GIVE FOLKS A CHANCE TO HAVE A BIT OF A BREAK
2 AND GRAB THEIR LUNCH. SO WE WILL NOW RECESS FOR --
3 WE'LL RECONVENE AT 12:45. FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE
4 ON THE PHONE, I HOPE YOU ARE STILL THERE. THERE
5 SEEMS TO BE A CLAMORING TO DO A WORKING LUNCH. SO
6 LET'S TAKE A TEN-MINUTE BREAK TO LET EVERYBODY GET
7 THEIR LUNCH AND RECONVENE, AND WE'LL GO STRAIGHT
8 INTO THE REMAINING ACTION ITEM.

9 (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ALL RIGHT, EVERYBODY.
11 IF YOU COULD PLEASE TAKE YOUR SEATS, WE'RE GOING TO
12 CONTINUE WITH THE AGENDA. OKAY. BACK IN SESSION
13 NOW. GOING TO GO ON TO ACTION ITEM NO. 10,
14 CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE
15 TO THE CLINICAL TRIAL AWARDS. WE'LL START WITH A
16 PRESENTATION BY DR. SAMBRANO AND MOVE TO
17 PROGRAMMATIC DISCUSSION LED BY SUPERVISOR SHEEHY.

18 DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
19 SO WE'RE BRINGING FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION TWO
20 APPLICATIONS IN RESPONSE TO OUR CLINICAL STAGE
21 PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENTS. BOTH OF THESE APPLICATIONS
22 ARE CLIN1S, MEANING THESE ARE LATE STAGE PRECLINICAL
23 PROJECTS, SO NOT YET CLINICAL TRIALS. THE GOAL OF
24 THE LATE STAGE PRECLINICAL PROJECT PROGRAM IS TO GET
25 PROGRAMS TO FILE AN IND AND TO DO SO IN ABOUT 18

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MONTHS. SO THOSE ARE THE TYPES OF PROJECTS WE'RE
2 GOING TO CONSIDER TODAY.

3 A REMINDER OF THE SCORING SYSTEM FOR OUR
4 CLINICAL APPLICATIONS. I MENTIONED BEFORE THAT WE
5 HAVE THE SCORE OF 1, 2, AND 3, AND SO THAT WAS
6 UTILIZED IN THIS PROGRAM.

7 SO THE FIRST APPLICATION TO BE CONSIDERED
8 IS CLIN1-10967. THIS IS LATE STAGE PRECLINICAL
9 STUDIES FOR A THERAPY FOR GLIOBLASTOMA. SO THE
10 THERAPY OR THE PRODUCT IS A GENETICALLY MODIFIED
11 BLOOD STEM CELL. SO THOSE STEM CELLS ARE THEN
12 TRANSPLANTED IN ORDER TO ALLOW PATIENTS WITH
13 GLIOBLASTOMA TO UNDERGO GREATER LEVELS AND CYCLES OF
14 THE STANDARD OF CARE, TEMOZOLOMIDE THERAPY.

15 SO OBVIOUSLY THE INDICATION IS FOR
16 PATIENTS WITH NEWLY DIAGNOSED GLIOBLASTOMA OR GRADE
17 IV GLIOMA. THE GOALS OF THE PROJECT ARE TO OPTIMIZE
18 THEIR MANUFACTURING OF THE VECTOR, GENERATION OF THE
19 CELL PRODUCT, TO CONDUCT PRECLINICAL SAFETY AND
20 EFFICACY STUDIES THAT WILL ALLOW THEM TO PREPARE AND
21 FILE AN IND. THE FUNDS REQUESTED ARE 3.7 MILLION
22 APPROXIMATELY FOR THIS PROJECT.

23 THE GWG REVIEWED THIS PROPOSAL AND GAVE IT
24 A SCORE OF 1. THE SCORE OF 1 WAS ACHIEVED WITH TEN
25 VOTES FROM THE GWG MEMBERS THAT GAVE IT A ONE, THERE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WERE TWO MEMBERS THAT SCORED IT A 2, AND TWO MEMBERS
2 THAT SCORED IT A 3. THE CIRM TEAM ALSO, AS
3 MENTIONED, LOOKS AT THE APPLICATION PARTICULARLY IN
4 TERMS OF THE OVERALL PROCESS OF THE REVIEW, IF THERE
5 WERE ANY IRREGULARITIES OR ISSUES THAT WE WOULD WANT
6 TO CALL TO YOUR ATTENTION. THERE WERE NONE. AND SO
7 WE CONCUR WITH THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE GWG TO FUND
8 THIS APPLICATION IN THE AWARD AMOUNT OF 3.7 MILLION.
9 MR. SHEEHY.

10 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO DO WE HAVE A MOTION
11 TO EITHER ACCEPT THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP
12 RECOMMENDATION OR TO NOT ACCEPT IT?

13 MR. TORRES: MOVE TO ACCEPT.

14 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DO I HAVE A SECOND?

15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECOND.

16 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO DISCUSSION?

17 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO I HAD A QUESTION
18 EARLIER WHEN WE WERE TALKING ABOUT PROGRAMMATIC
19 REVIEW. AND I KNOW THAT WE HAVE AT LEAST ONE
20 REPRESENTATIVE FROM OR WE HAD ONE REPRESENTATIVE
21 FROM THE CITY OF HOPE HERE AND WE STILL DO.

22 SO THE QUESTION IS, GIVEN THAT THIS IS
23 DESIGNED TO ENHANCE THE ACTIVITY OF AN EXISTING
24 THERAPEUTIC AGENT WHICH IS BEING MARKETED BY, I
25 THINK IT'S PROBABLY ALSO GONE GENERIC, BY AT LEAST

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ONE MAJOR DRUG COMPANY, MERCK. WHAT EFFORTS WERE
2 MADE TO GO OUT TO INDUSTRY TO SEEK FUNDING FOR THIS
3 PARTICULAR APPROACH? I CAN UNDERSTAND INNOVATIVE
4 THERAPIES THAT ARE REALLY JUST STARTED AND NOT
5 BOOTSTRAPPING ONTO WHAT ALREADY EXISTS BECAUSE IT
6 DOESN'T EXIST, BUT HERE SOMETHING DOES EXIST IN THE
7 FORM OF ENHANCING A CHEMOTHERAPEUTIC. SO IF
8 SOMEBODY FROM CITY OF HOPE COULD SPEAK TO THAT, THAT
9 WOULD BE GREAT.

10 DR. ZAIA: THANK YOU. MY NAME IS JOHN
11 ZAIA. I'M THE PI FOR THE PROJECT. I BASICALLY HAVE
12 TWO COMMENTS TOWARDS THAT END. ONE IS THAT THE
13 PROJECT IS NOT JUST TO BE ABLE TO USE MORE OF THIS
14 DRUG CALLED TEMODAR, BUT TO ALSO PROTECT THE STEM
15 CELLS AS ULTIMATELY AN ATTACK ON THE STEM CELLS,
16 WHICH IS MORE EFFICIENT DURING THE TREATMENT OF
17 GLIOBLASTOMA THAN ARE THE DRUGS ON TREATMENT OF THE
18 ACTUAL TUMOR. SO WE HAVE A METHOD BY WHICH WE CAN
19 PROTECT THE STEM CELLS AND INCREASE AT THE SAME TIME
20 THE SENSITIVITY OF THE TUMOR TO THE TEMODAR. AND
21 THAT'S WHAT THIS OTHER DRUG O-6 PG. SO THERE'S NO
22 COMPANY THAT MAKES THAT DRUG AT CITY OF HOPE OR NIH.
23 IN THIS CASE IT'S MADE IN CITY OF HOPE. BUT IT IS
24 POSSIBLE THAT, IF IT WERE SUCCESSFUL, WE WILL USE
25 LESS TEMODAR THAN IS CURRENTLY THE STANDARD OF CARE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO WHEN WE CALCULATED THE DOSING FOR THE
2 POSSIBLE FUTURE CLINICAL TRIAL, THAT WAS THE CASE,
3 THAT WE WOULD ACTUALLY BE USING LESS TEMODAR. FOR
4 THAT REASON WE HAVE NOT APPROACHED MERCK FOR SUPPORT
5 FOR THIS. WE DON'T THINK MERCK WOULD BE INTERESTED
6 IN A STUDY THAT WOULD USE LESS DRUG THAN THEY
7 CURRENTLY HAVE.

8 THE OTHER THING IS IS THIS A "CIRM-ISH"
9 STUDY? AND I WANT TO ARGUE THAT IT IS BECAUSE, AS I
10 SAID BEFORE, THE PROBLEM OF TREATING GLIOBLASTOMA
11 RIGHT NOW IS THE INABILITY OF OUR TREATMENT TO
12 PROTECT THE STEM CELLS. SO PATIENTS ARE HAVING SIDE
13 EFFECTS REALLY BECAUSE THEIR WHITE COUNT GOES LOW
14 AND THEIR PLATELETS GO LOW AND THEY END UP IN THE
15 HOSPITAL WITH INFECTIONS. AND THE QUALITY OF LIFE
16 IS AFFECTED BY THAT. SO THE PILOT STUDIES THAT HAVE
17 BEEN DONE, AND ONE WAS DONE AT THE FRED HUTCH, ONE
18 WAS DONE AT CASE WESTERN, FOUND THAT YOU COULD --
19 YOU DO SEE A BETTER EFFECT ON THE TUMOR, BUT YOU HAD
20 LESS HOSPITALIZATIONS AND BETTER QUALITY OF LIFE.

21 SO WE THINK THAT THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO
22 REALLY SHOW ONCE AND FOR ALL THAT WE HAVE A METHOD
23 TO IMPROVE THE OUTCOME OF GLIOBLASTOMA. SO WITH
24 THAT, I WILL END UNLESS THERE'S OTHER QUESTIONS.

25 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TORRES: I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR ALL
2 THE INCREDIBLE HARD WORK YOU'VE BEEN DOING OVER THE
3 YEARS, JOHN. BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY, AS A STRONG
4 SUPPORTER OF OUR HIGH SCHOOL PROGRAM, THE SPARK
5 PROGRAM, THE FACT THAT YOU TOOK THE TIME TO TALK TO
6 THESE HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS AT THE CITY OF HOPE WAS
7 VERY MOVING TO THEM AND THEIR FAMILIES. SO I JUST
8 WANT TO SAY THANK YOU AGAIN FOR DOING THAT. IT'S
9 NOT OFTEN WE GET PI'S ACTUALLY CONNECTING WITH HIGH
10 SCHOOL STUDENTS AND TAKING THE TIME TO DO THAT. I
11 JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU.

12 DR. DIXON: HERE. HERE.

13 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: OTHER QUESTIONS OR
14 COMMENTS? ANY PUBLIC COMMENTS? ARE YOU CALLING THE
15 ROLL TODAY, SCOTT?

16 MR. TOCHER: I SURE AM.

17 DR. CHIU: I SUGGESTED TO DR. ZAIA TO
18 MENTION THE FACT THAT THIS PROCESS, IF IT WORKS,
19 WILL NOT BE ONLY FOR TREATMENT OF GLIOBLASTOMA, BUT
20 FOR OTHER AGGRESSIVE CANCERS FOR WHICH YOU WANT TO
21 PROTECT THE PATIENT'S OWN BLOOD STEM CELLS. THANK
22 YOU.

23 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU.

24 MR. TOCHER: SO THE MOTION IS TO FUND
25 APPLICATION 10967.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 HIGGINS.
2 DR. HIGGINS: YES.
3 MR. TOCHER: JUELSGAARD.
4 MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
5 MR. TOCHER: MARTIN.
6 DR. MARTIN: YES.
7 MR. TOCHER: LAUREN MILLER.
8 MS. MILLER: YES.
9 MR. TORRES: WE'RE GETTING FEEDBACK.
10 THAT'S WHY SHE'S NOT PICKING IT UP.
11 MR. TOCHER: DR. PRIETO.
12 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
13 MR. TOCHER: QUINT.
14 DR. QUINT: YES.
15 MR. TOCHER: ROWLETT.
16 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
17 MR. TOCHER: SHEEHY.
18 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
19 MR. TOCHER: STEWARD. OS, ARE YOU WITH
20 US?
21 DR. STEWARD: YES, I AM. YES IS THE VOTE.
22 MR. TOCHER: J.T.
23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
24 MR. TOCHER: TORRES.
25 MR. TORRES: AYE.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: AND WINOKUR.

2 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

3 MR. TOCHER: THE MOTION CARRIES.

4 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. BEFORE WE
5 GO TO THE NEXT APPLICATION, MAYBE THIS, AT LEAST FOR
6 THESE CLINICAL APPLICATIONS, MAYBE THIS IS A FEATURE
7 WE WANT TO DO IS INVITE THE PI'S BECAUSE I THOUGHT
8 THAT THAT WAS VERY INTERESTING. AND THAT ACTUALLY
9 COULD BE A WAY WE COULD -- THE AMOUNT OF MONEY
10 THAT'S UNDER DISCUSSION, I THINK, WARRANTS A PLANE
11 FLIGHT. SO PLEASE, DR. SAMBRANO.

12 DR. SAMBRANO: SO THE NEXT APPLICATION IS
13 CLIN1-11059. THIS IS A LATE STAGE PRECLINICAL STUDY
14 OF A THERAPY FOR PARKINSON'S DISEASE. SO THIS IS A
15 PRODUCT WHICH IS NEURAL PROGENITOR CELLS THAT ARE
16 GENETICALLY MODIFIED WITH GDNF, WHICH IS A COMPOUND
17 THAT PROTECTS OTHER NEURAL CELLS. SO THE IDEA
18 BEHIND THIS IS THAT THESE CELLS WOULD PROVIDE
19 PROTECTION FOR DOPAMINERGIC NEURONS IN THE PUTAMEN
20 REGION OF THE BRAIN. THAT IS THE REGION THAT IS
21 AFFECTED BY PARKINSON'S DISEASE.

22 THE INDICATION IS FOR MIDSTAGE PARKINSON'S
23 DISEASE. THE GOALS OF THE PROJECT ARE TO
24 MANUFACTURE THE CELLS AND DEVELOP THEIR PROCESS,
25 CONDUCT LONG-TERM SAFETY STUDIES, DEMONSTRATE SAFETY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND TOLERABILITY ALSO IN A LARGE ANIMAL MODEL. THE
2 FUNDS REQUESTED ARE 5.8 MILLION FROM THIS APPLICANT.

3 THE GWG REVIEW GAVE IT A SCORE OVERALL OF
4 1. THERE WERE NINE MEMBERS SCORING IT A 1, THERE
5 WERE SIX MEMBERS THAT SCORED IT A 2, AND NO MEMBERS
6 GIVING IT A SCORE OF 3. THE CIRM TEAM
7 RECOMMENDATION IS ALSO TO FUND FOR THE AWARD AMOUNT
8 OF 5.8 MILLION. MR. SHEEHY.

9 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. DO I HAVE
10 A MOTION TO EITHER ACCEPT THE RECOMMENDATION OR
11 REJECT THE RECOMMENDATION?

12 DR. HIGGINS: SO MOVED TO ACCEPT.

13 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: WE GOT A SECOND?

14 MR. TORRES: SECOND.

15 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: ANY DISCUSSION?

16 DR. SANDMEYER: IS IT APPROPRIATE TO ASK
17 WHAT THE VIEW OF THE SIX VOTERS IN THE MINORITY WAS?

18 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.

19 DR. SAMBRANO: YES, ABSOLUTELY. SO LET ME
20 JUST TRY TO SUMMARIZE. OVERALL THE CONCERNS THAT
21 WERE BROUGHT UP BY THE GROUP WERE RELATIVELY MINOR,
22 BUT THEY RELATED TO THE ANIMAL STUDY PROPOSED. THEY
23 HAD A LONG TIMELINE OF UP TO NINE MONTHS TO LOOK AT
24 SAFETY AND TUMOROGENICITY. THEY WERE SUGGESTING A
25 REVISION AND ADDING A SIX-MONTH TIME POINT BECAUSE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THEY DIDN'T HAVE ONE BETWEEN THREE AND NINE MONTHS
2 AND THEY FELT THAT WAS IMPORTANT.

3 THERE WERE QUESTIONS ABOUT THE DELIVERY
4 METHOD. SO THEY USE A CANNULA SYSTEM THAT HAS BEEN
5 USED PREVIOUSLY. AND, IF ANYTHING, IT WAS REALLY
6 CLARIFICATIONS ON HOW IT WOULD BE USED AND TESTED IN
7 ORDER TO ENSURE THAT THE CELLS SURVIVE THROUGH THE
8 USE OF THE CANNULA SYSTEM, WHICH IS PROBABLY
9 SOMETHING THAT THEY WILL BE DOING IN THEIR IN VIVO
10 STUDIES UNDER THIS GRANT AWARD IF THEY RECEIVE IT.

11 AND THEN A QUESTION ABOUT THEIR IMAGING
12 CONTRAST AGENT THAT THEY PROPOSE TO USE AS PART OF
13 INTRODUCING THE CELLS, WHETHER THAT ACTUALLY HAS
14 VALUE TO INCLUDE IT OR NOT. SO THOSE WERE THE
15 CONCERNS THAT WERE BROUGHT UP.

16 DR. SANDMEYER: THANK YOU.

17 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: OTHER QUESTIONS?
18 THOUGHTS? OKAY. IS THERE PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS?
19 SCOTT, COULD WE CALL THE ROLL PLEASE?

20 MR. TOCHER: SURE. THE MOTION IS TO FUND
21 APPLICATION 11059.

22 HIGGINS.

23 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

24 MR. TOCHER: JUELGAARD.

25 MR. JUELGAARD: YES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. TOCHER: MARTIN.
2 DR. MARTIN: YES.
3 MR. TOCHER: MILLER.
4 MS. MILLER: YES.
5 MR. TOCHER: PRIETO.
6 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
7 MR. TOCHER: QUINT.
8 DR. QUINT: YES.
9 MR. TOCHER: ROWLETT.
10 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
11 MR. TOCHER: SHEEHY.
12 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
13 MR. TOCHER: STEWARD.
14 DR. STEWARD: YES.
15 MR. TOCHER: THOMAS.
16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
17 MR. TOCHER: TORRES.
18 MR. TORRES: AYE.
19 MR. TOCHER: WINOKUR.
20 MS. WINOKUR: YES.
21 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU. THE MOTION
22 CARRIES.
23 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. ARE WE
24 GOING INTO THE NEXT ONE -- SO THAT FINISHES CLIN.
25 WE HAVE QUEST NEXT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DR. SAMBRANO: NO. THAT'S JULY.

2 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO ARE WE DONE? THANK
3 YOU.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
5 MR. SUPERVISOR. THAT CONCLUDES THE ACTION ITEM
6 PORTION OF THE AGENDA. WE'LL PROCEED NOW TO
7 DISCUSSION ITEM NO. 12, FINANCIAL AUDIT RESULTS FROM
8 THE MACIAS FIRM. WE HAVE CRAIG HARNER HERE TO
9 PRESENT. MR. HARNER.

10 MR. HARNER: AFTERNOON, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
11 MEMBERS OF THE ICOC. I'M CRAIG HARNER. I'M A
12 SENIOR MANAGER WITH MGO. WE'RE THE INDEPENDENT
13 AUDITOR FOR CIRM.

14 AND SO THIS AFTERNOON I'M GOING TO PRESENT
15 THE RESULTS OF NOT ONE, BUT TWO OF OUR AUDITS THAT
16 WE DID FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDING 2016 AND 2017.
17 AND SO WE WERE ENGAGED BY CIRM TO AUDIT THE
18 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THEIR GOVERNMENTAL
19 ACTIVITIES AND ALSO THE STEM CELL FUND AND THE
20 RELATED NOTE DISCLOSURES TO THOSE FINANCIAL
21 STATEMENTS, AS I MENTIONED, FOR FISCAL YEARS '15-'16
22 AND '16/'17. AND THE REASON WE'RE PRESENTING TWO
23 YEARS TODAY IS THAT FOR FISCAL YEAR '16, DUE TO THE
24 STATE IMPLEMENTING A NEW FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT
25 FINANCIAL INFORMATIONAL SYSTEM, THERE WERE DELAYS IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GETTING THE BOOKS CLOSED AND FOR US TO BE ABLE TO
2 START OUR AUDIT. SO THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN UNTIL ABOUT
3 DECEMBER OR JANUARY 2017. SO WE WERE A LITTLE
4 DELAYED IN ISSUING OUR AUDIT FOR THAT YEAR, SO WE
5 WILL PRESENT BOTH TODAY.

6 AND THEN WHEREVER THERE IS -- WITH BOTH
7 YEARS OF OUR AUDIT, WHEREVER THE INFORMATION IS
8 EXACTLY THE SAME, I'LL JUST KIND OF MENTION THAT.
9 AND THEN WHEREVER IT'S DIFFERENT, I'LL JUST SPEAK TO
10 EACH INDIVIDUALLY.

11 SO I'LL START WITH 2016. AS I MENTIONED,
12 WE ISSUED OUR AUDIT REPORT IN APRIL OF 2017, AND WE
13 ISSUED WHAT'S CALLED AN UNMODIFIED OPINION ON THOSE
14 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. NOW, AN UNMODIFIED OPINION IS
15 THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF ASSURANCE THAT AN INDEPENDENT
16 AUDITOR CAN GIVE AN ENTITY REGARDING THE FAIR
17 PRESENTATION OF THOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. SO IT'S
18 VERY GOOD THERE.

19 AND THEN SAME IN 2017. WE ISSUED OUR
20 FINANCIAL STATEMENT REPORT ON OCTOBER 16, 2017, SO
21 BACK ON OUR NORMAL, USUAL CYCLE. AGAIN, IN 2017 WE
22 ISSUED AN UNMODIFIED OPINION THERE AS WELL.

23 AND THEN ASIDE FROM OUR INDEPENDENT
24 AUDITORS REPORTS, WHAT YOU'LL FIND IN YOUR PACKETS
25 ARE WHAT'S CALLED A REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS OR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 REPORTS ADDRESSED TO THE ICOC. THESE ARE JUST
2 REQUIRED BY PROFESSIONAL AUDITING STANDARDS. AT THE
3 END OF OUR ENGAGEMENTS, WE REPORT TO THE BOARD OR
4 WHAT'S CALLED THOSE CHARGED WITH GOVERNANCE ANY WHAT
5 WE CALL SIGNIFICANT AUDIT FINDINGS. AND NOT THAT WE
6 HAVE ANY FINDINGS. IT'S REALLY JUST THE NAME WE
7 HAVE TO USE. BUT IT'S KIND OF JUST A SUMMARY OF
8 SOME KEY IMPORTANT ITEMS THAT OCCURRED DURING THE
9 AUDIT.

10 THE FIRST ITEM THERE, AND THIS WILL BE THE
11 SAME FOR BOTH YEARS BECAUSE BOTH YEARS OF THIS
12 INFORMATION WAS EXACTLY THE SAME, SO THIS WILL COVER
13 '16 AND '17. THE FIRST ITEM UNDER THERE IS CALLED
14 QUALITATIVE ASPECTS OF ACCOUNTING PRACTICE, AND WE
15 JUST WANT TO HIGHLIGHT THIS, THAT ASIDE FROM
16 QUANTITATIVE NUMBERS, THERE'S ALSO SOME QUALITATIVE
17 THINGS THAT GO INTO THOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, MORE
18 SUBJECTIVE TYPES OF INFORMATION. THE FIRST IS THAT
19 MANAGEMENT IS RESPONSIBLE. SO MANAGEMENT OF CIRM IS
20 RESPONSIBLE FOR SELECTING APPROPRIATE ACCOUNTING
21 POLICIES, AND THOSE ARE DISCLOSED IN NOTE 2 TO THEIR
22 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. AND THERE WERE NO CHANGES IN
23 ANY OF THE ACCOUNTING POLICIES THAT WERE ADOPTED
24 DURING EITHER 2016 OR 17. AND WE NOTED NO
25 TRANSACTIONS THAT LACKED AUTHORITATIVE GUIDANCE THAT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ENTERED INTO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. AND THEN
2 ALSO ALL THE SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTIONS WERE
3 RECOGNIZED IN THEIR PROPER PERIODS. SO NO ISSUES
4 THERE.

5 THE SECOND ITEM HERE HAS TO DO WITH
6 ESTIMATES. ESTIMATES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THOSE
7 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS BECAUSE SOME OF THOSE BALANCES
8 REQUIRE MANAGEMENT TO MAKE AN ESTIMATE TO RECORD THE
9 AMOUNT PROPERLY. AND THERE'S SOME THAT ARE
10 PARTICULARLY SENSITIVE JUST BECAUSE OF THEIR NATURE.
11 AN ESTIMATE, THE ACTUAL FUTURE EXPERIENCE COULD BE
12 VERY DIFFERENT FROM WHAT YOU THINK MIGHT HAPPEN AT
13 THE DAY YOU ARE REQUIRED TO RECORD IT.

14 JUST TO HIGHLIGHT, IN CIRM'S FINANCIAL
15 STATEMENTS THERE'S ACTUALLY NO ESTIMATES THAT WE
16 CONSIDERED PARTICULARLY SENSITIVE OR SIGNIFICANT.
17 SO HIGHLIGHT THAT.

18 AND THEN THE LAST COUPLE ITEMS HERE, WE
19 ARE REQUIRED TO REPORT ANY DIFFICULTIES THAT WE
20 ENCOUNTERED IN PERFORMING OUR AUDITS OR ANY
21 DISAGREEMENTS WE HAD WITH MANAGEMENT. AND WE'RE
22 VERY HAPPY TO REPORT WE HAD NEITHER OF SUCH
23 INSTANCES DURING EITHER 2016 OR 2017.

24 AND THEN THE VERY LAST THING I'LL GO OVER
25 IS THAT, AS PART OF THE PROP 71 THAT REQUIRES CIRM

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO HAVE A FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDITED ALSO REQUIRED
2 THE CALIFORNIA STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE TO INSPECT
3 ALL OF OUR AUDIT WORKPAPERS AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF
4 OUR AUDIT. SO EVERY YEAR A COUPLE MONTHS AFTER WE
5 ISSUE OUR AUDIT REPORT, THE STATE CONTROLLER SENDS
6 IN A TEAM OF AUDITORS TO LOOK THROUGH IN VERY
7 METICULOUS DETAIL ALL OF OUR WORKPAPERS, AND THEN
8 THEY ISSUE A REPORT AFTERWARDS. AND SO THIS IS
9 CALLED THEIR QUALITY CONTROL REVIEW REPORT. AND FOR
10 THE 2016 FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT THAT WAS ISSUED
11 ON SEPTEMBER 8, 2017, AND THEY HAD NO FINDINGS AND
12 FOUND THAT ALL OF OUR WORK WAS PERFORMED IN
13 ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS FOR
14 AUDITING AND ALSO WITH THE CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND
15 PROFESSIONAL CODE. AND THEN FOR 2017 THEY ISSUED
16 THE REPORT IN FEBRUARY 23D OF THIS YEAR, 2018.
17 AGAIN, THEY HAD NO FINDINGS ON OUR WORK.

18 AT THIS POINT I WILL CONCLUDE AND ASK IF
19 THERE'S ANY QUESTIONS?

20 MR. TORRES: SO HOW MANY AUDITS DO WE HAVE
21 TO UNDERGO?

22 MR. HARNER: ONE EACH YEAR FOR THE
23 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

24 MR. TORRES: AND THEN THERE IS ALSO AN
25 AUDIT BY THE CONTROLLERS ON THOSE FINANCIAL

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 STATEMENTS.

2 MR. HARNER: CORRECT.

3 MR. TORRES: SO THAT'S TWO.

4 MR. HARNER: THAT IS REALLY TWO AUDITS. I
5 SHOULD HAVE MENTIONED THAT.

6 MR. TORRES: I WANT THE PUBLIC TO KNOW
7 THAT WE ARE THE MOST AUDITED AGENCY IN STATE
8 GOVERNMENT. AND THE OTHER AUDIT THAT WE HAVE TO GO
9 THROUGH, WHICH I HAD TO NEGOTIATE WITH SENATOR
10 DURING THE PERIOD OF TIME IN '09, IS A MANAGEMENT
11 AUDIT. SO WE HAVE THREE AUDITS THAT WE UNDERGO. NO
12 OTHER STATE AGENCY IS SUBJECT TO THAT KIND OF
13 FINANCIAL AND SUBSTANTIVE REVIEW, AND THEY SHOULD
14 BE, QUITE FRANKLY, WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE OPERATIONS.

15 MS. SILVA-MARTIN: I WOULD LIKE TO ADD
16 THAT EVERY ONE OF OUR INVOICES AND EVERY PAYMENT
17 THAT WE PROCESS THROUGH THE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE IS
18 AUDITED. SO WE UNDERGO A HUNDRED PERCENT AUDIT BY
19 THE STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE EVERY DAY FOR
20 EVERYTHING WE PAY. I DON'T KNOW OF ANY OTHER STATE
21 AGENCY THAT DOES THAT.

22 MR. TORRES: NO. AND YOU KNOW MY EXPENSE
23 ACCOUNT IS VERY SMALL. MR. JENSEN, VERY SMALL. I
24 DON'T GO TO CONFERENCES. I DON'T GO -- I ONLY DRIVE
25 TO FRESNO AND SACRAMENTO, NO. 1.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 NO. 2, I GOT AUDITED BY THE CONTROLLER'S
2 OFFICE BECAUSE THERE WAS \$16 DISCREPANCY IN THE GAS
3 RECEIPT THAT I SUBMITTED ON THE RENTAL CAR THAT I
4 USED, AND I WAS FINALLY FOUND IN THE RIGHT BECAUSE
5 ENTERPRISE HAD THE PROBLEM.

6 MR. HARNER: I CAN'T SPEAK TO THE
7 CONTROLLER'S OFFICE. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR
8 ANYTHING ELSE I CAN ANSWER?

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I JUST WANT TO
10 REITERATE THAT, IN ADDITION TO BEING VERY HAPPY WITH
11 WHAT YOU'VE REPORTED, THAT, ONCE AGAIN, DEMONSTRATES
12 THE GREAT WORK THAT CHILA AND HER TEAM IS DOING ON
13 AN ONGOING BASIS THAT LEADS TO THESE SPARKLING
14 RESULTS. SO THANK YOU, MR. HARNER. AND, AGAIN,
15 THANK YOU, CHILA AND YOUR TEAM.

16 MR. HARNER: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. SO WE NOW HAVE
18 KEVIN WHO WANTS TO DO AN INTRODUCTION OF THE SPECIAL
19 GUEST.

20 MR. MC CORMACK: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN,
21 BELGIUM 1, ENGLAND NIL. REALLY, THAT'S GOOD NEWS
22 BECAUSE ENGLAND GET THE SOFTER GROUP IN THE NEXT
23 ROUND. SO IT'S ALL CALCULATION.

24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ARE YOU SAYING THEY'RE
25 THROWING THE GAME?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 MR. MC CORMACK: NO. IT SLIPPED OUT OF
2 THEIR GRASP. IT'S ALL STRATEGY.

3 ANYWAY, ONE OF THE THINGS I LOVE ABOUT MY
4 JOB, OTHER THAN UPDATING YOU ON WORLD CUP SCORES, IS
5 THAT I REGULARLY GET TO MEET PATIENTS AND PATIENT
6 ADVOCATES. AND THEY'RE SOME OF THE MOST
7 EXTRAORDINARY AND INSPIRING PEOPLE THAT YOU CAN
8 MEET. THE STORY, THEIR COURAGE, THE DETERMINATION
9 IS A REMINDER WHY WE DO THIS WORK.

10 EARLIER THIS YEAR I WENT TO THE CIRM ALPHA
11 CLINIC SYMPOSIUM AT UCLA. IT WAS A GREAT MEETING,
12 LOTS OF REALLY INTERESTING SPEECHES, BUT THE
13 PRESENTATION, I THOUGHT, THAT STOOD OUT ABOVE ALL OF
14 THOSE WAS THE ONE YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR NEXT. AND
15 IT'S FROM A PATIENT ADVOCATE. AND WHILE I WAS
16 LISTENING TO IT, I THOUGHT I'LL TAKE SOME NOTES HERE
17 FOR A BLOG. AND THEN I THOUGHT YOU CAN'T TAKE THIS
18 DOWN. YOU HAVE TO HEAR IT. SO IT'S MY GREAT
19 PLEASURE TO INTRODUCE GIANNA MCMILLAN.

20 (APPLAUSE.)

21 MS. MC MILLAN: THANK YOU FOR INVITING ME
22 TO JOIN YOU TODAY. THESE ARE THE COMMENTS THAT I
23 GAVE IN APRIL. I'M HAPPY TO SHARE THEM AGAIN. AND
24 I APOLOGIZE IF THIS IS A REPEAT PERFORMANCE FOR SOME
25 OF YOU.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 STEM CELL RESEARCH AND REGENERATIVE
2 MEDICINE ARE APPEALING BECAUSE PATIENTS, FAMILIES,
3 AND SOCIETY ARE WEARY OF INELEGANT INTERJECTIONS
4 THAT INFLICT IN SOME CASES AS MUCH HARM AS BENEFIT.
5 WE ARE TIRED, PATIENTS AND FAMILY AND SOCIETY, WE'RE
6 TIRED OF PUTTING POISON IN OUR LOVED ONES TO KILL
7 THEIR CANCER OR FEELING HELPLESS AS OTHER DISEASES
8 ATTACK OUR OWN BODILY FUNCTIONS.

9 CALIFORNIA IS FULL OF DREAMERS AND
10 GO-GETTERS. SO, OF COURSE, WE EMBRACE THIS NEW
11 IDEA, STEM CELL THERAPEUTICS REGENERATIVE MEDICINE,
12 TO FORGE BRAVELY AND ENTHUSIASTICALLY AHEAD.

13 IT'S IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER THAT ALL
14 BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH, EVEN A NEW FIELD AS EXCITING
15 AND INSPIRING AS STEM CELL THERAPEUTICS, MUST ADHERE
16 TO BASIC PREMISES. IT MUST BE VALID SCIENCE, AND IT
17 MUST BE BASED ON AN ETHICAL PARTNERSHIP WITH
18 PATIENTS AND RESEARCH SUBJECTS.

19 IN THE WORLD OF RESEARCH ETHICS, I WEAR A
20 LOT OF HATS. I'VE BEEN A SUBJECT, A CAREGIVER, AN
21 INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD MEMBER, IRB MEMBER,
22 SOMEONE WHO ACTUALLY REVIEWS AND APPROVES RESEARCH
23 STUDIES BEFORE THEY'RE ALLOWED TO PROCEED, AND I
24 WORKED WITH THE GOVERNMENT ON REGULATORY COMMITTEES.
25 THESE DAYS I'M FINISHING MY DOCTORAL STUDIES IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 BIOETHICS. AND WHILE I LOVE THE INTERPLAY OF
2 PHILOSOPHY AND ETHICAL PRINCIPLES, I MOST TRULY
3 IDENTIFY AS IN-THE-TRENCHES PATIENT SUBJECT
4 ADVOCATE. I AM COMPELLED TO CHAMPION PATIENTS WHO
5 STRUGGLE WITH NEW AND DEVASTATING DIAGNOSES, HOPING
6 DESPERATELY FOR A CURE, WHO MIGHT BE FACED WITH
7 DECISIONS ABOUT PARTICIPATING IN RESEARCH FOR THEIR
8 OWN BENEFIT AND FOR THE GREATER GOOD OF SCIENCE.

9 IN THE OLD DAYS DOCTORS MADE DECISIONS ON
10 BEHALF OF THEIR PATIENTS, WHO, MEEKLY GRATEFUL FOR
11 THE GUIDANCE, DID WHATEVER THEY WERE TOLD. IT'S A
12 LITTLE DIFFERENT NOW. PATIENTS ARE BETTER INFORMED.
13 THEY OFTEN DO THEIR OWN HOMEWORK, AND THEY PRETTY
14 MUCH DEMAND TO BE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THEIR
15 TREATMENT PLAN.

16 THE WORLD OF RESEARCH HAS UNDERGONE
17 SIMILAR CHANGES. INSTEAD OF INVESTIGATORS DOING
18 THINGS TO RESEARCH SUBJECTS, NEW BEST PRACTICES
19 INVOLVE PATIENTS IN THE DESIGN OF CLINICAL TRIALS.
20 PATIENTS AND EXPERIENCED SUBJECTS HELP DECIDE WHAT
21 SPECIFIC QUESTIONS SHOULD BE THE FOCUS OF THE
22 RESEARCH. THEY IDENTIFY ENDPOINTS IN THE RESEARCH
23 THAT IS MEANINGFUL TO THE PATIENT POPULATION BEING
24 STUDIED. THEY IDENTIFY TOOLS AND STRATEGIES TO
25 INCLUDE PATIENT AND SUBJECT-REPORTED OUTCOMES AND

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE DELIVERY OF STUDY RESULTS. THE INVESTIGATOR AND
2 THE RESEARCH SUBJECT HAVE COME TO BE SEEN AS
3 PARTNERS. AND WHILE THE EVOLUTION OF THIS IMPORTANT
4 RELATIONSHIP IS HEALTHY AND WONDERFUL, IT SHOULD NOT
5 BE ASSUMED THAT IT IS AN EQUAL PARTNERSHIP.

6 WHY? BECAUSE SUBJECTS ARE ALWAYS AT A
7 DISADVANTAGE. NOW, I REALIZE THAT THIS MIGHT BE AN
8 UNCOMFORTABLE CONCEPT. PHYSICIAN INVESTIGATORS IN
9 CHARGE OF THE STUDY MIGHT BE UNCOMFORTABLE SAYING
10 THIS OUT LOUD, AND THEY WOULD WANT TO QUALIFY IT BY
11 ADDING, BUT WE DO OUR BEST TO ACCOMMODATE THEIR
12 NEEDS. AND SUBJECTS PROBABLY DON'T WANT TO ADMIT
13 THIS EITHER BECAUSE IT'S HARD TO MAKE A DECISION
14 WITH COMPETENCE WHILE ACKNOWLEDGING, WOW, I'M REALLY
15 AT A DISADVANTAGE HERE.

16 I HAVE LEARNED THE HARD WAY THAT AN HONEST
17 PARTNERSHIP REQUIRES ADDRESSING SOME UNCOMFORTABLE
18 REALITIES. SO LET ME TELL YOU A PERSONAL STORY.
19 WHEN MY OLDEST SON WAS FIVE, HE WAS DIAGNOSED WITH
20 MALIGNANT BRAIN CANCER. MY HUSBAND AND I ARE WELL
21 EDUCATED, AND HUBBY IS A BRILLIANT LAWYER. WE WERE
22 SCHEDULED TO MEET WITH OUR SON'S TREATMENT TEAM FOR
23 THE FIRST TIME, AND WE HAD IT ALL PLANNED OUT. MY
24 HUSBAND, ARTICULATE AND CONCISE, WOULD TAKE THE
25 LEAD. HE HAD A LEGAL PAD WITH A LIST OF QUESTIONS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AND EACH QUESTION AND ANSWER WOULD TAKE US DOWN THE
2 PAGE UNTIL AT LAST WE WOULD USE ALL THAT INFORMATION
3 TO MAKE A DECISION, A LIFE OR DEATH DECISION, ON
4 BEHALF OF OUR YOUNG CHILD.

5 SO THE DAY OF THE MEETING CAME. AND FOR
6 SOME REASON WE WERE IN A PROCEDURE ROOM, AND WE WERE
7 ALL SITTING ON LITTLE ROUND STOOLS WITH WHEELS. THE
8 NEUROSURGEON EXPLAINED A FEW THINGS, AND THE
9 RADIOLOGIST SAID HIS PIECE, AND THE ONCOLOGIST
10 OFFERED AN OPINION. AND THEN EVERYONE LOOKED
11 EXPECTANTLY AT MY HUSBAND BECAUSE IT WAS HIS TURN.
12 AND THIS LOVELY MAN OPENED HIS MOUTH AND HE CLOSED
13 HIS MOUTH AND HE OPENED IT AGAIN AND THEN HE BURST
14 INTO TEARS. AND HE PUSHED HIMSELF ON HIS WHEELY
15 STOOL INTO THE FAR CORNER OF THE ROOM, AND HE HELD
16 THE LEGAL PAD OVER HIS CHEST AND HE SOBBED. HE
17 COULD NOT SPEAK.

18 THERE WERE A FEW SECONDS OF HORRIFIED
19 SILENCE, AND THEN I ROLLED MY STOOL TO THE CENTER OF
20 THE ROOM AND I STAMMERED OUT WHAT FEW QUESTIONS I
21 COULD REMEMBER. AND, FRANKLY, I HAVE NO IDEA HOW
22 THEY WERE ANSWERED. THE DOCTORS' MOUTHS MOVED, AND
23 I LEANED IN AND I NODDED AND I MADE EYE CONTACT, BUT
24 ALL I HEARD WAS A LOUD WHITE NOISE THAT FILLED MY
25 SKULL AND MY HUSBAND'S RASPY BREATHING AND MY OWN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 VOICE CRYING OUT IN THE BACK OF MY HEAD. OH, MY
2 GOD. MY CHILD. MY CHILD.

3 THE POINT OF THIS STORY IS TO ILLUSTRATE
4 THAT GOOD PEOPLE, EDUCATED AND PREPARED, READY TO
5 BRING THEIR VERY BEST SELVES TO MAKE THE MOST
6 IMPORTANT DECISION THEY WILL EVER MAKE, ONE THAT
7 WOULD AFFECT THE LIFE OF THEIR BELOVED CHILD, THESE
8 PEOPLE COULD NOT FUNCTION. AND THESE NONFUNCTIONING
9 PEOPLE, MY HUSBAND AND I, IN A VERY SHORT TIME WERE
10 INTRODUCED TO THE IDEA OF A RESEARCH STUDY, ONE THAT
11 MIGHT CURE OUR CHILD WHILE LIMITING THE DAMAGE TO
12 HIS GROWING BRAIN. MIGHT. NO ONE KNEW FOR SURE.
13 THAT'S WHY IT WAS A RESEARCH STUDY. NO MATTER HOW
14 WELL INTENTIONED THE RESEARCH TEAM WAS, NO MATTER
15 HOW DESIROUS THEY WERE OF A PARTNERSHIP WITH US, WE
16 WERE AT SUCH A DISTINCT DISADVANTAGE THAT THE
17 RELATIONSHIP WE HAD WITH THESE INVESTIGATORS COULD
18 NOT BE CATEGORIZED AS ONE AMONG EQUALS.

19 DOESN'T THAT JUST RUB YOU THE WRONG WAY?
20 EVEN NOW, MORE THAN 20 YEARS LATER, IT'S PAINFUL FOR
21 ME TO REFLECT ON THIS. BUT I HAVE WORKED WITH
22 HUNDREDS OF FAMILIES WHOSE CHILDREN WENT INTO
23 CLINICAL TRIALS. AND WHAT WE'VE LEARNED IS THAT IF
24 WE CAN BE HONEST ABOUT THE SITUATION, WE MIGHT TAKE
25 SOME ACTIONS TO IMPROVE IT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO LET ME BE SPECIFIC ABOUT THE WAYS THAT
2 RESEARCH SUBJECTS ARE AT A DISADVANTAGE. ONE, THEY
3 OFTEN DON'T SPEAK THE LANGUAGE OF THE DISEASE. THEY
4 ARE UNFAMILIAR WITH THE PROCESS OF RESEARCH. THEY
5 ARE WRESTLING WITH EMOTIONS, DESPAIR, DENIAL, ANGER,
6 HOPE. THEIR LIFE HAS BEEN DISRUPTED, AND THERE ARE
7 CONSEQUENCES. COMPARE THIS TO THE RESEARCH TEAM WHO
8 KNOWS THE LINGO, DESIGNED THE RESEARCH PLAN, IS NOT
9 PERSONALLY AFFECTED BY THE SCENARIO, AND, WELL, THIS
10 IS BUSINESS AS USUAL, ENROLL A SUBJECT, LET'S GET
11 GOING. NOW, THAT'S A GROSS GENERALIZATION TO MAKE A
12 POINT.

13 HOW IS THE NOTION OF PARTNERSHIP AFFECTED
14 BY SUCH UNEQUAL CIRCUMSTANCES? IS A MEANINGFUL
15 PARTNERSHIP EVEN POSSIBLE? WELL, YES. AND THIS
16 NOTION OF PARTNERSHIP IS ESPECIALLY IMPORTANT AS NEW
17 TECHNOLOGIES COME TO INVADE THE INTIMATE QUALITIES
18 OF SELF AND THE BUILDING BLOCKS OF WHAT MAKES EACH
19 OF US HUMAN. BUT WE NEED TO BE REALISTIC ABOUT WHAT
20 THIS PARTNERSHIP LOOKS LIKE, AND IT IS NOT EQUAL.
21 AND, FRANKLY, I'M GOING TO TAKE A STAND HERE AND SAY
22 THAT THE PARTNER WHO HAS THE ADVANTAGE, IN THIS CASE
23 THE RESEARCHER AND SCIENTIST, IS MORALLY OBLIGATED
24 TO MEANINGFULLY ADDRESS THE DISADVANTAGE OF THE
25 OTHER PARTY. I'M GOING TO SAY THAT AGAIN. THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PARTNER WHO HAS THE ADVANTAGE IS MORALLY OBLIGATED
2 TO MEANINGFULLY ADDRESS THE DISADVANTAGE OF THE
3 OTHER PARTY.

4 WE NEED TO BE REASONABLE ABOUT HOW THIS
5 MIGHT HAPPEN. WE NEED TO BE REASONABLE ABOUT HOW A
6 RESEARCH TEAM MIGHT ADDRESS THESE DISADVANTAGES.
7 THERE'S PROBABLY NOT MUCH A DOCTOR OR A PHYSICIAN
8 INVESTIGATOR CAN DO ABOUT THE EMOTIONAL STATE OR
9 LIFE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE SUBJECT. BUT HERE'S WHAT
10 I'VE HEARD OVER AND OVER FROM SUBJECTS AND SUBJECT
11 FAMILIES. TELL ME WHAT I NEED TO KNOW. TELL ME IN
12 A WAY THAT I CAN HEAR IT. TELL ME AGAIN AND AGAIN.

13 LET ME EXPAND ON THIS. FIRST, IF I'M NEW
14 TO A DIAGNOSIS, A TREATMENT, OR A RESEARCH, I
15 PROBABLY DO NOT KNOW WHAT I DO NOT KNOW. HELP ME
16 LEARN THE VOCABULARY, THE PROCEDURES, THE HOSPITAL
17 SYSTEMS. TELL ME ABOUT THE ELEMENTS OF INFORMED
18 CONSENT SO THAT I RECOGNIZE THEM WHEN I SEE THEM IN
19 THE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU WANT ME TO SIGN. EXPLAIN THE
20 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN STANDARD OF CARE AND EXPERIMENTAL
21 TREATMENT. HELP ME UNDERSTAND THE RESEARCH QUESTION
22 IN THE CONTEXT OF THE DISEASE IN GENERAL AND IN MY
23 OWN AILMENT IN PARTICULAR. GIVE ME THE WORDS TO ASK
24 THE QUESTIONS THAT I SHOULD BE ASKING.

25 SECONDLY, THERE ARE MANY DIFFERENT WAYS OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SHARING THIS INFORMATION: PRINT, VIDEO, WEBSITES,
2 PEER MENTORS, NURSE EDUCATORS, RESEARCH TEAM
3 MEMBERS. HIT THE TOPIC FROM ALL SIDES IN MULTIPLE
4 FORMATS.

5 THIRDLY, PLEASE REALIZE THAT THERE IS A
6 LEARNING CURVE FOR ME, AND IT IS CLOSELY TIED TO MY
7 EMOTIONAL JOURNEY WITH MY PREDICAMENT. I MAY NOT BE
8 ABLE TO ABSORB CERTAIN FACTS IN THE VERY BEGINNING;
9 BUT A FEW WEEKS LATER, I MIGHT BE MENTALLY AND
10 COGNITIVELY IN A DIFFERENT PLACE. OBVIOUSLY I MIGHT
11 BE AN INEXPERIENCED RESEARCH SUBJECT WHEN I SIGN THE
12 CONSENT FORM; BUT A FEW MONTHS LATER, I WILL BE A
13 VASTLY MORE SOPHISTICATED PARTICIPANT. AND AT THAT
14 TIME I NEED THE OPPORTUNITY TO ASK MY MORE
15 CONSIDERED AND CONTEXT SAVVY QUESTIONS.

16 HERE'S WHAT I WANT TO POINT OUT.
17 RESEARCHERS HAVE ACCESS TO A DEEP WELL OF WISDOM, A
18 RESOURCE THAT CAN ADVISE AND SUPPORT ETHICAL ACTIONS
19 THAT WILL HELP THEIR DISADVANTAGED PARTNERS.
20 RESEARCHERS CAN ASK THEIR EXPERIENCED SUBJECTS FOR
21 ADVICE. THOSE HUNDREDS OF FAMILIES I WORKED WITH
22 WHOSE CHILDREN ULTIMATELY ENROLLED IN CLINICAL
23 TRIALS, THESE EXPERIENCED PARENTS SAY, LET ME TELL
24 YOU WHAT I NEEDED TO KNOW. LET ME TELL YOU HOW I
25 NEEDED TO HEAR IT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GETTING INPUT FROM THESE EXPERIENCED
2 SUBJECTS AND CAREGIVERS DOES TWO THINGS. THE
3 RESEARCH TEAM WILL BE LEVERAGING THE INVESTMENT
4 THEY'VE ALREADY MADE INTO THESE PARTICIPANTS IN
5 THEIR STUDIES. AND, SECONDLY, AND I THINK VERY
6 IMPORTANTLY, THEY ARE EMPOWERING THE PREVIOUSLY
7 DISADVANTAGED PARTNER. EXPERIENCED SUBJECTS CAN
8 SHARE WHAT THEY HAVE LEARNED, THEY CAN GIVE
9 SUGGESTIONS TO THE RESEARCH TEAM WHO MIGHT EVEN
10 BUILD A STABLE OF PEER MENTORS WHO COULD HELP
11 NEWBIES LEARN THE PROCESS.

12 EVERYTHING THAT I'VE SAID APPLIES TO ALL
13 AVENUES OF CLINICAL RESEARCH, BUT THESE ARE
14 ESPECIALLY IMPORTANT CONSIDERATIONS IN THE FACE OF
15 NEW AND EXCITING SCIENCE. IT TOOK A LONG TIME FOR
16 MORE TRADITIONAL RESEARCH PRACTICES TO EVOLVE INTO
17 AN INVESTIGATOR/SUBJECT PARTNERSHIP MODEL. STEM
18 CELL RESEARCH AND REGENERATIVE MEDICINE HAS THE
19 OPPORTUNITY TO DO THIS FROM THE VERY START AND
20 BENEFIT FROM THE LESSONS PREVIOUSLY LEARNED.

21 WHEN I WAS PREPARING THESE REMARKS,
22 SOMEONE CASUALLY MENTIONED THAT I MIGHT TALK ABOUT
23 THE IMPORTANCE OF BALANCING TRUTH TELLING IN THE
24 INFORMED CONSENT PROCESS WITH THE RESPECT FOR THE
25 HOPE OF THE FAMILY. I WOULD LIKE TO UNEQUIVOCALLY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 STATE THAT THE VERY NATURE OF AN INFORMED CONSENT
2 PROCESS REQUIRES 100 PERCENT TRUTH. AND THAT IS HOW
3 YOU SHOW THE UTMOST RESPECT FOR THE FAMILY, 100
4 PERCENT TRUTH. AND THIS DOES NOT UNDERMINE OUR
5 CAPACITY FOR HOPE. WE PLACE OUR HOPE IN THIS
6 EXCITING AND NEW SCIENCE AND IN THE DOCTORS AND
7 RESEARCHERS WHO ARE THE PIONEERS. WE UNDERSTAND
8 THAT THERE ARE MANY UNKNOWN IN THIS NEW FIELD.
9 PLEASE BE HONEST WITH US SO THAT WE MIGHT SORT OUT
10 OUR THOUGHTS AND OUR HOPES FOR OURSELVES IN OUR OWN
11 CONTEXT.

12 SO WHAT MESSAGE -- WHAT'S THE TAKEAWAY
13 MESSAGE? WELL, I WOULD WANT YOU TO HEAR. I PUT ON
14 MY PATIENT RESEARCH SUBJECT ADVOCATE HAT AND MY
15 PATIENT SUBJECT ADVOCATE VOICE AND I WOULD SAY,
16 "TELL ME WHAT I NEED TO KNOW." THANK YOU FOR
17 LISTENING.

18 (APPLAUSE.)

19 MS. MC MILLAN: YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS OR
20 QUESTIONS?

21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MY ONE COMMENT IS IT
22 WAS AN EXTREMELY POWERFUL SPEECH AS DELIVERED AT
23 UCLA, AND IT HASN'T LOST ONE OUNCE OF POWER IN
24 HEARING IT A SECOND TIME. SO THANK YOU. AND IT'S A
25 PERSPECTIVE THAT IS CRITICAL FOR US AND ANYBODY IN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE FIELD TO HEAR. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

2 MS. MC MILLAN: THANKS VERY MUCH, AND
3 THANK YOU FOR THE GOOD WORK THAT ALL OF YOU DO.

4 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO HAS YOUR
5 PERSPECTIVE INFORMED OUR ALPHA CLINICS? BECAUSE
6 THIS IS VERY MUCH ALIGNED GOING BACK TO WHEN WE
7 FIRST STARTED DISCUSSING THE CONCEPT AND VERY MUCH
8 ALIGNED WITH SOME OF THE WAYS IN WHICH WE WERE
9 ENVISIONING THESE TO FUNCTION BECAUSE THEY ARE ABOUT
10 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. AND IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME
11 THAT WE ACTUALLY HAVE BEEN ABLE TO OPERATIONALIZE IT
12 IN THE WAY THAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT IT AND WE
13 SHOULD. AND THE CLARITY AND THE THOUGHTFULNESS WITH
14 WHICH YOU DEVELOPED YOUR ANALYSIS OF THIS WHOLE
15 PROCESS I WOULD HOPE, GIVEN THAT WE HAVE, WHAT, FOUR
16 OF THESE NOW, FIVE ALPHA CLINICS, I MEAN THIS SHOULD
17 BE STRUCTURED AND ORGANIZED AND IMPLEMENTED AS PART
18 OF OUR ALPHA CLINIC PROGRAM AS PART OF THE
19 INNOVATION WE'RE DOING.

20 SO I DON'T KNOW IF THE TEAM HAS PICKED UP
21 ON THAT, HOW WELL THIS ALIGNS. WE DO HAVE ONE ALPHA
22 CLINIC DIRECTOR HERE PRESENTLY, I THINK, BUT IT
23 WOULD BE INTERESTING TO REALLY, IF IT'S POSSIBLE,
24 AND I KNOW YOU HAVE YOUR OWN DEMANDS ON YOUR TIME,
25 BUT TO ACTUALLY -- ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HAS BEEN

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 GREAT ABOUT THIS AGENCY IS THAT WHEN WE HAVE MET
2 INNOVATION, WE'VE ACTUALLY BEEN ABLE TO
3 OPERATIONALIZE IT.

4 AND A THIRD OF OUR BOARD IS PATIENT
5 ADVOCATES, AND THAT'S PARTIALLY OPERATIONALIZING
6 PATIENT VOICES. BUT GIVEN THAT WE HAVE THIS
7 INNOVATIVE PROGRAM, WE COULD GO FROM -- I THOUGHT IT
8 WAS JUST BRILLIANT THE WAY IN WHICH YOU'VE REALLY
9 BEEN ABLE TO ANALYZE THIS PIECE, THIS IMPORTANT
10 RELATIONSHIP PIECE OF THE RESEARCH PROCESS, TO SEE
11 IT OPERATIONALIZED IN PROGRAMS WHICH WE DIRECTLY
12 FUND.

13 MS. MC MILLAN: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO WORK
14 WITH YOU ON THAT KIND OF THING IF YOU ARE
15 INTERESTED. IT'S BECAUSE I HAVE 20 YEARS IN THE
16 FIELD IN A VARIETY, LIKE WITH SIGNIFICANT IRB
17 EXPERIENCE AND I'VE WORKED WITH THE FDA AND I'VE
18 WORKED ON REGULATORY COMMITTEES. SO I HAVE A
19 CONTEXT THAT HELPS ME KIND OF FOCUS IN ON THE KEY
20 ELEMENTS, ESPECIALLY FROM MY POINT OF VIEW AS AN
21 ADVOCATE. SO I THINK YOUR IDEA OF OPERATIONALIZING
22 IS REALLY IMPORTANT FOR WHAT YOU'RE DOING.

23 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: WE ACTUALLY HAVE AN
24 ALPHA CLINIC DIRECTOR HERE, JOHN ZAIA. WE COULD PUT
25 THE CHARGE TO HIM RIGHT NOW. I REALLY -- THAT WAS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 AMAZING.

2 DR. ZAIA: WE REALLY BENEFITED FROM
3 HEARING THIS TALK IN UCLA. AND CIRM ACTUALLY SET UP
4 THE ALPHA CLINICS WITH THIS IN MIND. SO OUR PATIENT
5 CARE COORDINATORS ARE THE EDUCATORS THAT MEET WITH
6 THE PATIENTS AND PROVIDE A GOOD DEAL OF EDUCATION.
7 BUT THEY HAVE TO HEAR IT MULTIPLE TIMES, ALMOST
8 EVERY VISIT WHEN YOU COME BACK. AND THERE'S TWO
9 KINDS OF PROBLEMS. THERE'S THE ACUTE CATASTROPHIC
10 PROBLEM THAT YOU DESCRIBE WHERE EVERYBODY IS
11 EMOTIONALLY UPSET, EVEN THE PHYSICIAN WHEN HE HAS A
12 CHILD WITH THIS PROBLEM, AND THEN THERE'S THE
13 CHRONIC DISEASE. LET'S SAY THE ALS PATIENTS AND THE
14 PARKINSON'S. THEY'VE BEEN SICK FOR A WHILE. I
15 THINK THEY CAN GRAPPLE WITH THIS EASIER.

16 UCLA HAS TACKLED THIS IN THE FOLLOWING
17 WAY. THEY ARE DEVELOPING AN IPAD THAT WILL HAVE
18 INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROTOCOL AND THE BACKGROUND
19 INFORMATION, AND THEY JUST GIVE THE IPAD TO -- FOR A
20 FEW HUNDRED BUCKS, YOU CAN GIVE THE IPAD TO THE
21 PATIENT TO TAKE HOME, LOOK AT IT, READ ALL THE
22 INFORMATION, AND COME BACK. THAT'S DIFFICULT
23 BECAUSE FOR EACH PROTOCOL YOU HAVE TO PROGRAM
24 THINGS. AND IF YOU WANT TO BUILD IT INTO THE
25 CONSENT, YOU HAVE TO BE ABLE TO DOCUMENT THAT THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PATIENT AND THE FAMILY UNDERSTOOD EACH ITEM. AND IF
2 THEY HAVE A QUESTION, THEY CAN MAKE A NOTE IN THE
3 TEXT, AND THEN YOU CAN THEN INDICATE THAT YOU
4 ANSWERED THAT QUESTION.

5 SO THAT REQUIRES A LITTLE MORE TECHNOLOGY
6 THAT WE HAVEN'T MASTERED. I THINK WE'RE GETTING
7 THERE SLOWLY, BUT SURELY. BUT YOUR SITUATION IS THE
8 WORST OF ALL WHEN YOU HAVE THAT ACUTE CRISIS WHEN A
9 NEWLY DIAGNOSED PATIENT ARRIVES. AND THEN YOU'VE
10 GOT A FEW TRIALS, AND MANY TIMES YOU HAVE THREE OR
11 FOUR, AND THAT BALANCE WHICH ONE IS THE ONE THEY
12 WANT. THANK YOU.

13 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: JUST CURIOUS. YOU
14 RECOGNIZE THE MORAL AND ETHICAL OBLIGATION TO
15 ADDRESS THE KNOWLEDGE AND POWER IMBALANCE. THAT'S
16 JUST LIKE IS THAT A PRINCIPLE? I MEAN THAT -- AND
17 THAT REALLY GOES TO THE HEART OF IT. I HEAR YOU
18 SAYING GIVE INFORMATION. I DO THINK THAT PART OF
19 WHEN WE WERE TALKING ABOUT INFORMED CONSENT, GEOFF
20 WILL REMEMBER, WE DID WANT TO DO A LITERACY --
21 LITERACY IS NOT THE RIGHT WORD, BUT WHERE THE PEOPLE
22 UNDERSTOOD WHAT THEY WERE DOING AND PUT IN MEASURES
23 TO MAKE THAT FIRMER. BUT REALLY THIS WHOLE
24 KNOWLEDGE IMBALANCE AND RECOGNIZING THAT AS A MORAL
25 AND ETHICAL OBLIGATION, I FEEL LIKE THAT SHOULD BE A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PRINCIPLE THAT WE ENSHRINE.

2 MS. MC MILLAN: I'M GOING TO SAY IF YOU
3 LOOK AT THE HISTORY OF THE PHYSICIAN/PATIENT
4 RELATIONSHIP, THAT'S ALMOST -- IT'S LIKE A SACRED
5 RELATIONSHIP WHERE THE WELL-BEING OF THE PATIENT IS
6 THE MOST IMPORTANT THING. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
7 A SUBJECT AND AN INVESTIGATOR, THE PURPOSE OF THAT
8 RELATIONSHIP IS TO GENERATE INFORMATION AND IT IS
9 NOT TO BENEFIT THE SUBJECT. SO THERE'S A PATIENT,
10 AND THAT WHOLE WORD "PATIENT" IMPLIES SOMETHING, AND
11 A RESEARCH SUBJECT IS NOT A PATIENT. IT'S A
12 RESEARCH SUBJECT WHO'S PARTICIPATING IN ORDER TO
13 GENERATE NEW INFORMATION.

14 WHAT'S THE GOAL? WHERE IS THE GOAL
15 GENERATED? IT'S GENERATED FROM WHAT THE
16 INVESTIGATOR IS TRYING TO LEARN. HERE IT'S FROM THE
17 PATIENT -- THIS IS WHAT THE PATIENT NEEDS. HERE'S
18 WHAT THE INVESTIGATOR NEEDS. AND FOR THAT REASON,
19 IN THE SUBJECT/INVESTIGATOR RELATIONSHIP, THERE IS
20 AN INHERENT IMBALANCE. BUT THAT'S OKAY AS LONG AS
21 WE ACKNOWLEDGE IT AND AS LONG AS WE DEAL WITH IT AND
22 PUT IT IN A CONTEXT WHERE AS MUCH JUSTICE AND
23 FAIRNESS CAN HAPPEN.

24 BY THE WAY, WHEN I GIVE THESE KINDS OF
25 TALKS, NOT JUST TO SCIENTISTS AND PHYSICIANS, BUT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ALSO TO PATIENT GROUPS, BECAUSE THEY HAVE A
2 RESPONSIBILITY ALSO TO STAND UP FOR THEMSELVES, TO
3 EDUCATE THEMSELVES, AND INTERACT IN AN APPROPRIATE
4 AND RATIONAL WAY WITH PHYSICIANS AND SCIENTISTS. SO
5 THERE ARE TWO PARTIES HERE, AND THEY BOTH HAVE WORK
6 TO DO. BUT YOU CAN'T GET AWAY FROM THE FACT THAT IN
7 THIS INSTANCE THERE IS AN IMBALANCE, BUT OKAY SO
8 THEN WE ACKNOWLEDGE IT AND WE DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT.
9 THAT'S WHAT I THINK IS THE ETHICAL IMPERATIVE THERE.

10 ANYWAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR
11 ATTENTION.

12 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU.

13 MR. ROWLETT: JUST ONE PERSPECTIVE OF A
14 PATIENT ADVOCATE, THE TRIAD THAT YOU DESCRIBE,
15 HAVING A PERSON WITH LIVED EXPERIENCE WITH THE
16 INVESTIGATOR WITH THE SUBJECT. IDEALLY IT SPEAKS
17 TO, I THINK, WHAT PHILOSOPHICALLY JEFF IS TALKING
18 ABOUT. AND THEN EMPHASIZING TO THE SUBJECT AS A
19 PERSON WITH LIVED EXPERIENCE THE IMPORTANCE OF THEM
20 DOING SOME OF THEIR OWN RESEARCH AND BECOMING
21 INFORMED TO BE ABLE TO NOT JUST QUALITATIVELY, BUT
22 QUANTITATIVELY RESPOND TO WHAT'S BEING PRESENTED TO
23 THEM. AND, AGAIN, I WOULD SAY THAT WITHOUT
24 DETRACTING FROM WHAT YOU SAID, IN MY OWN LIFE
25 JOURNEY, I'VE UNDERSTOOD THE IMPORTANCE OF HAVING A

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PATIENT ADVOCATE BECAUSE WHEN YOU HEAR THAT, WHAT
2 YOU HEARD, IT BECOMES IMMOBILIZING. SO YOU SIT
3 THERE WONDERING, WELL, I'M AN ARTICULATE PERSON, BUT
4 RIGHT NOW I JUST GOT TOLD I HAVE CANCER AND I DON'T
5 KNOW WHAT TO DO WITH THAT. SO HAVING A PERSON WITH
6 LIVED EXPERIENCE HELP YOU NORMALIZE THAT AND THEN
7 WALK THROUGH THAT TO ANSWER QUESTIONS, ESPECIALLY IN
8 THE INITIAL PHASE AFTER YOU'VE GIVEN THE INFORMED
9 CONSENT, IS WHAT YOU JUST DESCRIBED. A CRITICAL AND
10 IMPORTANT MODEL FOR THE FUTURE. THANK YOU.

11 DR. MALKAS: ACTUALLY I JUST WANTED TO ADD
12 IT WAS WONDERFUL, AND I LOVED THE IDEA OF THE
13 MENTORSHIP FOR THESE. I GUESS THE THING IS FOR CIRM
14 TO CONSIDER, AND NOT JUST CIRM, BUT ALPHA CLINICS,
15 BUT TO ACTUALLY BUILD A PIPELINE OF THESE TYPE OF
16 PEOPLE. SO IT'S A NEW SKILL SET ALMOST THAT HAS TO
17 BE CONSTRUCTED.

18 MS. MC MILLAN: IT IS ABSOLUTELY A NEW
19 SKILL SET. IN MY PREVIOUS WORK, WE ACTUALLY
20 DESIGNED WHAT WE CALLED A VETERAN PARENT PROGRAM,
21 WHICH WOULD BE PARENTS WHO ARE EXPERIENCED WITH
22 THEIR CHILDREN HAVING BRAIN TUMORS WHO WERE AT A
23 CERTAIN PLACE IN THEIR JOURNEY. WE TRAINED THEM TO
24 BE PEER MENTORS WHO THEN HELPED THE NEWLY DIAGNOSED.

25 ONE THING ABOUT THIS THAT WORKED IN MY

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ORGANIZATION WAS THAT THEY COME NEEDING HELP,
2 THEY'RE AT THE MOST VULNERABLE, AND THEY GET A PEER
3 MENTOR. LATER ON DOWN THE PATH, THEY ARE TRAINED TO
4 HELP SOMEBODY ELSE WHICH BECOMES THE LAST PART OF
5 THEIR HEALING CYCLE. SO THAT THEY ARE -- THEY START
6 OFF AS SORT OF VICTIMIZED AND AT A DISADVANTAGE, AND
7 IN THE END THEY HAVE SOME KIND OF POWER AND AGENCY
8 OVER THEIR OWN EXPERIENCE AND THEY CAN HELP SOMEBODY
9 ELSE. IT'S BEAUTIFUL. IT'S TAKES VERY SPECIFIC
10 TRAINING, AND NOT EVERYONE HAS THE TEMPERAMENT TO BE
11 AN EFFECTIVE PEER MENTOR. THERE'S A LARGE BODY OF
12 WORK AND RESEARCH ON THAT AND MANY PROGRAMS THAT DO
13 THIS KIND OF THING THAT COULD BE GENERALIZED TO WHAT
14 YOU DO HERE.

15 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: ALSO COULD SUPPORT
16 ADVOCATES. ANYBODY WHO'S DONE ADVOCACY GENERALLY
17 RECOGNIZES IT DOESN'T PAY.

18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
19 AND, KEVIN, THANK YOU FOR INVITING OUR SPEAKER.
20 THAT WAS WONDERFUL AND VERY IMPORTANT TO HEAR.

21 WE'RE DOWN TO GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT.
22 DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANYTHING THEY WOULD LIKE TO
23 COMMENT ABOUT EITHER HERE OR ON THE PHONE? OKAY.
24 HEARING NONE, BEFORE WE ADJOURN, JUST AS ALWAYS,
25 LIKE TO THANK OUR VERY HARDWORKING TEAM WHO PUT THIS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TOGETHER, AMY, DOUG, TRICIA, EVERYBODY WHO HAD A
2 ROLE IN STAGING THIS, IT WAS ANOTHER WONDERFUL
3 MEETING HERE AT HEADQUARTERS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH
4 FOR ALL YOUR HARD WORK.

5 WITH THAT, LET YOU KNOW THAT OUR NEXT
6 MEETING OF THE ICOC AND APPLICATION REVIEW
7 SUBCOMMITTEE IS JULY 19TH. THAT'S TELEPHONIC. AND
8 OUR NEXT IN-PERSON MEETING IS OCTOBER 18TH ALSO HERE
9 AT HEADQUARTERS. WITH THAT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
10 EVERYBODY. WE STAND ADJOURNED.

11 (THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 1:23 P.M.)

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD AT THE LOCATION INDICATED BELOW

1999 HARRISON STREET
SUITE 1650
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA
ON
JUNE 28, 2018

WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152
133 HENNA COURT
SANDPOINT, IDAHO
(208) 255-5453

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

.