

Gil Sambrano, PhD Vice President Portfolio Development and Review GWG Recommendations on Manufacturing Phase One Awards



May 31, 2023





OUR MISSION

Accelerating world class science to deliver transformative regenerative medicine treatments in an equitable manner to a diverse California and world



Real **Prop 14-Era: Our 5-Year Strategic Plan** Life Deliver Provide Advance **Real World** Opportunity **Solutions** World Class for All Science Real Rea Real Advance therapies to marketing approval Build a diverse and highly Create a manufacturing • Develop shared resources skilled workforce partnership network • Deliver a roadmap for

- Build knowledge networks
- Expand Alpha Clinics Network
- Create Community Care Centers of Excellence

access and affordability



Two phases of awards will be funded by two requests for applications



Real

Life

Steering Committee

Composed of awardees, industry partners and external representatives Drive collaboration, knowledge-sharing and standard-setting





- Implementation of quality-driven enhancements that de-risk and accelerate early <u>and</u> late-stage process development and GMP manufacturing of cell and gene therapies.
- **Specialization** in one or more functional areas that overcome bottlenecks in the development and delivery of cell and gene therapies.
- Workforce development programs for technical and leadership positions, preferably in partnership with CIRM EDUC-funded programs, California cell and gene therapy industry stakeholders, and California academic institutions.

CIRM Additional Considerations



- Knowledge Sharing: Applicants should develop plans intended to capture and disseminate within the network any operational data, protocols, processes, expertise, guidance or other information vital to achieving the three goals of the California Cell and Gene Therapy Manufacturing network. The knowledge sharing plan may also include the ability to support CIRM TRAN and CLIN awardees that may utilize the Manufacturing Network in meeting their own CIRM data sharing requirements.
- Organizational Business Plan: Applicants are required to describe their plans for maintaining sustainability beyond the immediate project period of any proposed operational enhancements, quality-based improvements, specialization areas and training programs that will be developed, implemented and/or scaled up as part of these funding opportunities.

CIRM Diversity, Equity, Inclusion



Must Include Plans to Address Underserved Communities

- How the proposed project activities will improve access to cell and gene therapies by underserved and disproportionately affected populations
- How the project team will bring diverse and inclusive perspectives and experience to the implementation of proposed activities
- How well the project team demonstrates a successful track record for promoting and valuing diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI)
- How any proposed workforce development programs will increase workforce participation by underserved and disproportionately affected populations in California





- \$20M was allocated for Phase One awards (current opportunity).
- Phase One award provides up to \$2M for two years
- 9 applications were submitted and accepted for review
 - 3 applicants have relatively new manufacturing facilities
- GWG was instructed to recommend as many or as few as are meritorious

Real

ife



INFR Scientific Scoring System



Score of "1"

Exceptional merit and warrants funding.

May have minor recommendations and adjustments that do not require further review by the GWG

Score of "2"

Needs improvement and does not warrant funding at this time but, at the applicant's option, may be resubmitted to address areas for improvement if the Application Review Subcommittee has not approved an application for funding following the Grants Working Group's review.

GWG should provide recommendations that are achievable (i.e., "fixable changes")_or request clarification/information on key concerns.

Score of "3"

Sufficiently flawed that it does not warrant funding.

Applications are scored by <u>all scientific members</u> of the GWG with no conflict.



Scientific Review Criteria Basis for Scientific Score



- 1. Does the project <u>offer a significant value proposition</u> that would contribute to the creation of a California Cell and Gene Therapy Network capable of accelerating manufacturing development, advancing industry standards in manufacturing and building an inclusive manufacturing workforce?
- 2. Is the project well planned and designed?
- 3. Is the proposal <u>feasible</u>?
- 4. Does the project effectively <u>serve the needs of underserved</u> and disproportionately affected communities?





- Initial discussion ~ 20 minutes
- Applicant Presentation "Pitch" 10 minutes
- Q&A with Applicant 10 minutes
- Wrap-up discussion and scoring ~ 15-20 minutes
 - Repeat for each application









GWG Recommendations



For each award, the final award amount shall not exceed the amount approved by the ICOC Application Review Subcommittee and may be reduced contingent on CIRM's assessment of allowable costs and activities.



Real

Life





- Under Prop 14, any application that is not recommended for funding by the GWG, but which had 35% or more members score to fund the application must include a minority report.
- The minority report is included in the review summary and provides a brief synopsis of the opinion of reviewers that scored the application 85 or above.





Real

Life

CIRM Applications with a Score of "2"



App Number	Title	Funds Requested	Score	1	2	3
INFR5-14562	[Institution Name] Advanced Cell Therapy Laboratory	\$2,000,000	2	7	7	0
INFR5-14667	Advancing Cell Therapy Manufacturing Through Collaboration	\$1,999,964	2	5	7	0
INFR5-14779	Creating A Collaborative California Cell and Gene Therapy Manufacturing Network	\$1,496,973	2	0	10	5
INFR5-14574	The [Institution Name] GMP Cell and Gene Therapy Manufacturing Facility	\$2,000,000	2	0	9	5

The CIRM Team recommends that the 4 applications with a <u>score of "2</u>" be given the opportunity to revise and resubmit their application and allow the GWG to evaluate the revisions.

The CIRM Team agrees with the GWG recommendations to fund applications with a score of "1".

CIRM Board Members with Conflicts of Interest



Board members with Conflicts of Interest for INFR5 applications	B	oard mem	bers with	Conflicts o	f Interest for I	NFR5 ap	plications
---	---	----------	-----------	-------------	------------------	---------	------------

Haifaa Abdulhaq	James Kovach		
Kim Barrett	Pat Levitt		
Maria Bonneville	Linda Malkas		
Linda Boxer	Shlomo Melmed		
Ysabel Duron	Christine Miaskowski		
Leon Fine	Suzanne Sandmeyer		
Elena Flowers	Michael Stamos		
Judith Gasson	Keith Yamamoto		
Larry Goldstein			