

California Institute for Regenerative Medicine

Proposed Grants Working Group Selection Process Flowsheet

Professional Nominations

- From directories such as those of the NAS and “Who’s Who in Stem Cell Research”
- Peer recommendations

Public Nominations

- collected from responses to request for nomination on CIRM website

Each of six two-member interview teams (IT) will review nominees and put forth 5 recommendations. These 30 will be reviewed by the sub committee in the context of an OPEN meeting after soliciting serious candidates regarding their willingness to participate.

Review of all nominations for eligibility (Chair)
Distribute eligible nominees to six interview teams (IT)

IT 1

IT 2

IT 3

IT 4

IT 5

IT 6

30
Nominees

STEP 1

STEP 2

STEP 3

STEP 4

The 30 nominees recommended by the 6 interview teams will be reviewed by the sub committee in an OPEN meeting format. The sub committee will recommend individual nominees to serve the Grants Working Group as either A) a full member, or B) an ad hoc expert. The sub committee will also reserve the ability to draw expertise from a larger pool of ad hoc experts depending on the nature of the grants being considered.

30
Nominees

15 Full
members

Ad hoc
experts

- Sub committee recommends the 30 candidates to the Independent Citizen's Oversight Committee [along with the process and criteria used to select those candidates] as a slate of 15 full members and a slate of 15 ad hoc members for review and final approval.

STEP 5